

1

2

Best in show but not best shape: a photographic

3

assessment of show dog body condition

4

5

Zackary R. Such¹, Alexander J. German^{1,2} PhD DipECVIM-CA

6

7

Running header

Body condition of show dogs

8

9

¹School of Veterinary Science and ²Department of Obesity and Endocrinology and,

10

University of Liverpool, Leahurst Campus, Chester High Road, Neston, Wirral CH64

11

7TE, United Kingdom

12

13

Corresponding author

Alexander J German

14

Tel.: +44 151-795-6100

15

Fax. +44 151-795-6101

16

Email address: ajgerman@liv.ac.uk (A.J. German).

17

18

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

Abstract

Previous studies suggest that owners often wrongly perceive overweight dogs to be in normal condition. The body shape of dogs attending shows might influence owners' perceptions, with online images of overweight show winners having a negative effect. This was an observational *in silico* study of canine body condition. 14 obese-prone breeds and 14 matched non-obese-probe breeds were first selected, and one operator then used an online search engine to identify 40 images, per breed, of dogs that had appeared at a major national UK show (Crufts®). After images were anonymised and coded, a second observer subjectively assessed body condition, in a single sitting, using a previously validated method. Of 1120 photographs initially identified, 960 were suitable for assessing body condition, with all unsuitable images being from longhaired breeds. None of the dogs (0%) were underweight, 708 (74%) were in ideal condition, and 252 (26%) were overweight. Pugs, Basset Hounds, and Labrador Retrievers were most likely to be overweight, whilst Standard Poodles, Rhodesian Ridgebacks, Hungarian Vizslas, and Dobermanns were least likely to be overweight. Given the proportion of show dogs from some breeds that are overweight, breed standards should be redefined to be consistent with a dog in optimal body condition.

Keywords. Obesity, overweight, canine, pedigree dog, body composition

Introduction

40

41

42 Canine obesity is now a common medical disorder (German 2006) with recent UK
43 studies suggesting that over half of all pet dogs are now overweight (Courcier and
44 others 2010). The condition is linked to diseases such as orthopaedic disease,
45 diabetes mellitus, respiratory disease, and certain types of neoplasia (Lund and
46 others 2006; German and others 2010), as well as being a major welfare concern
47 given adverse effects on quality of life (German and others 2012) and longevity
48 (Kealy and others 2002). Despite this, veterinarians uncommonly raise the issue of
49 obesity with their clients (German and Morgan 2008; Rolph and Others 2014) and,
50 when they do, it is often met with distrust (White and others 2010). This owner
51 reaction might be due to the fact that owners under-estimate the true body condition
52 of their dog, thereby believing overweight dogs to be slimmer than they are (Courcier
53 and others 2010; Eastland-Jones and others 2014). The basis of owner
54 misperception of body condition is not known, and two possibilities exist. First, it
55 might be that their perception of body shape is incorrect, as with obese humans who
56 under-estimate their own body size (Wright and Whitehead 1987) and parents who
57 misperceive the body shape of their children (Campbell and others 2006).
58 Alternatively, owners' perception of body shape might reflect that of society as a
59 whole, with the condition of overweight dogs assumed to be normal. If the latter,
60 were true, then images of dogs in the media might influence owners' perception of
61 optimal body shape.

62

63 Dog shows are the most popular form of canine competition, and receive widespread
64 media interest. For instance, Crufts[®] is the UK's national dog show and is the

65 largest in the world, with 28,000 dogs participating each year, and 160,000
66 spectators (Crufts[®] 2014a). Show dogs are perceived to be the ideal specimens of
67 their breed, and images of dog show winners can be disseminated widely in the
68 media, especially over the Internet. Given that 75-80% of UK dogs are purebred
69 (O'Neill and others 2014), any deviation of the body condition of a show dogs from
70 ideal, has the potential to adversely influence the perception of many dog owners as
71 to what is normal. However, limited data currently exist on the body condition of
72 show dogs as portrayed in online images. Given the null hypothesis that all show
73 dogs would be in optimal condition, the main aim of the current study was to assess
74 the body condition of show dogs using images available online. Assuming that the
75 null hypothesis was rejected, a secondary aim was to determine factors associated
76 with overweight body condition.

77

Materials and methods

78

79

Study protocol and overview

81 This was a cross-sectional, retrospective, *in silico*, study, conducted between
82 February and May 2014 at the University of Liverpool School of Veterinary Science,
83 and designed to assess the body condition of UK show dogs using online images.

84 The study comprised 3 parts, discussed in further detail below. The first part
85 consisted of image and data acquisition, and was conducted by one investigator
86 (ZS). In the second part, another investigator (AJG), who was blinded to the dog
87 details, subjectively assessed the body condition of dogs pictured in all of the
88 images. Once the second part had been completed, the body condition results were
89 matched to the dog-specific information to enable the second investigator to analyse
90 the data (part 3).

91

Part one: image and data acquisition

Information Sources

94 Photographs from dogs that have appeared at a UK national dog show (Crufts[®])
95 were identified using the online search engine (Google Images 2014). Searches
96 were conducted on a single computer, between 20 February 2014 and 10 March
97 2014. First, available results from the Crufts[®] dog show (Crufts[®] 2014b) were
98 examined to identify names of dogs that had been placed at shows. Each name was
99 used as a search term to identify pictures of that dog. If the results returned were
100 not specific enough to identify suitable images, the search was refined, for instance
101 by adding the name of the relevant breed.

102

103

104 *Breed Selection*

105 In order to ensure that a wide range of breeds was studied, a systematic searching
106 protocol was used. First, a list of dog breeds prone to obesity was compiled, based
107 upon previously published studies (German 2006; Lund and others 2006; Courcier
108 and others 2010; Zoran 2010). Ultimately, 14 obese-prone breeds were identified,
109 including breeds from all Kennel Club groups (The Kennel Club, 2014a) including
110 Gundog (Labrador Retriever, Cocker Spaniel, Golden Retriever), Hound (Basset
111 Hound, Beagle, Dachshund), Pastoral (Shetland Sheepdog), Terrier (Cairn Terrier,
112 Scottish Terrier), Toy (Cavalier King Charles Spaniel, Pug), Utility (Dalmatian), and
113 Working (Boxer, Rottweiler) groups. Each breed was paired with a breed from the
114 same Kennel Club group that not reportedly prone to obesity. When possible,
115 breeds were chosen that were similar to the obese-prone breed in terms of stature
116 and body shape. The 14 non-obese prone breeds chosen comprised the following
117 (listed in order of pairings with the obese-prone breeds above): Gundog group
118 (Hungarian Vizsla, Springer Spaniel, Flat Coated Retriever), Hound group
119 (Rhodesian Ridgeback, Basset Griffon Vendeen [Petit], Irish Wolfhound), Pastoral
120 group (Welsh Corgi [Pembroke]), Terrier group (Border Terrier, West Highland White
121 Terrier), Cairn Terrier, Scottish Terrier), Toy group (Chihuahua, Bichon Frise), Utility
122 group (Poodle [Standard]), and Working group (Bullmastiff, Dobermann).

123

124

125 *Eligibility of Dogs*

126 In order for a dog to be eligible it must have placed between first and fifth in its class
127 (e.g. Open, Limit, Mid Limit, Post Graduate, Graduate, Under Graduate or Veteran)

128 at a UK national dog show (Crufts®) between 2001 and 2013. Additionally, only
129 adults were considered because the photographic condition scoring system used
130 had not been validated for growing dogs.

131

132 *Eligibility of Images*

133 Images were only suitable if they were in focus, and had been taken from the side
134 with the dog in a standing position (Laflamme 1997, German and others 2006).
135 Furthermore, only one dog could be included in the picture in order to avoid
136 confusion and possible bias through comparison between dogs. Finally, images were
137 only used when the identity of the dog could be conclusively confirmed, based upon
138 the details provided on the website where the image appeared.

139

140 *Image acquisition and data recording*

141 In order to ensure a range of images was assessed, a systematic approach was
142 used for image acquisition. In this respect, suitable images of 5 male and 5 female
143 dogs from each breed were selected, from each of 4 time categories (e.g. 2001-
144 2008, 2009-2011, 2012, and 2013), making a total of 40 images per breed, and 1120
145 images in total. Each image was assigned a unique study code, and temporarily
146 saved to an external hard drive (1 TB Seagate® Expansion™ External Drive,
147 Seagate) in Joint Photographic Experts Group (JPEG) format. A computer
148 spreadsheet (Excel 2007, Microsoft Corporation) was created to record
149 accompanying data for each image, as follows: study code, year of competition,
150 breed, Kennel Club group, whether or not from an obese-prone breed, sex, coat
151 colour, and placing in the show. No dog- or owner-identifying information was
152 recorded.

153

154 **Part 2: Subjective assessment of body condition**

155 Body condition was subjectively assessed from the images collected, in a single
156 sitting, by a second investigator (AJG) with experience in assessing body condition
157 from photographs. The technique used was a validated semi-quantitative scoring
158 method using the visual descriptors used in conventional BCS systems (Laflamme
159 1997, German and others 2006), with dogs being assigned to one of three
160 categories: underweight (BCS 1-3/9), ideal condition (BCS 4-5/9), and overweight (6-
161 9/9). In previous validation work (Gant and others 2013), the same investigator
162 (AJG) assessed body condition using photographs from 105 dogs, and results
163 correlated strongly with body fat mass measured by dual-energy X-ray
164 absorptiometry (R_s 0.84, $P < 0.001$). The same approach was adopted in the current
165 study, and all results were entered into a second computer spreadsheet (Excel
166 2007), identified by the unique study code only. Once all images had been
167 assessed, the hard drive was wiped so that there was no possibility of using the
168 images for any other purposes, or of subsequently identifying the dogs that had
169 participated in the study.

170

171 **Part 3: Data analysis**

172 After part 2 of the project was completed, the data from both spreadsheets were then
173 combined so as to match body condition results to the dog-specific information. A
174 sample size calculation was not performed; instead, the number of images selected
175 for each breed was arbitrarily determined so that the overall study size, was broadly
176 similar to that of a previous study assessing body condition in dogs at shows
177 (Corbee 2013).

178

179 For each body condition category, results are reported as absolute numbers of dogs
180 and percentages. Computer software (Stats Direct version 2.6.2; Stats Direct Ltd.)
181 was used for all statistical analyses, with the level of significance set at $P < 0.05$ for
182 two-sided analyses. Logistic regression was used to determine what variables were
183 associated with overweight body condition. The outcome variable tested was body
184 condition, whereby dogs scored as overweight were assigned a score of 1, and dogs
185 in ideal weight assigned a score of 0. Variables tested included sex, breed, Kennel
186 Club group, breed prone to obesity, coat colour, year of competition, and placing in
187 the show. Sex was classified according to a binary variable, with male dogs scored
188 as 1, and female dogs scored as 0 (i.e. the reference category). For each breed, a
189 dummy variable was created, whereby dogs of that breed were scored as 1 and
190 dogs not of the breed scored as 0. For breed group, dummy variables were created
191 for all Kennel Club groups (i.e. Gundog, Hound, Pastoral, Terrier, Toy, Utility, and
192 Working), and Gundog was arbitrarily chosen as the reference category. In a similar
193 manner, dummy variables were created for coat colour (i.e. light colour [e.g. cream,
194 fawn, grey, white, and yellow], mid-colour [e.g. grizzle, red, russet gold, and
195 wheaten], mixed colour [where. a mix of light and dark colours was present e.g.
196 grizzle and white, liver and white, orange and white, tan and white, tricolour,], and
197 dark colour [e.g. blue, black, chocolate, black and tan etc]), with light colour
198 arbitrarily chosen as the reference category. For year of competition, dummy
199 variables were created for each time category (e.g. 2001-2008, 2009-2011, 2012,
200 2013), with 2001-2008 arbitrarily chosen as the reference category. Finally, dummy
201 variables were created placing in each show (from 1st to 5th), with first place
202 arbitrarily chosen as the reference category.

203

204 Initially, all variables listed above were tested separately with simple logistic
205 regression. Multiple regression was then use to account for possible confounding
206 amongst variables, with an initial model including all variables identified as $P < 0.2$ on
207 simple regression analysis. This model was then refined over multiple rounds using
208 backwards-stepwise elimination, of the least significant variable at each round, and
209 variables were only retained in the final model if they were significant in their own
210 right ($P < 0.05$), or when removal led to a significant effect (i.e. $> 10\%$) on the model.
211 Goodness of fit of the final model was assessed by the Pearson Chi-square
212 goodness of fit test. Logistic regression results are reported as odds ratios (OR),
213 95% confidence intervals (95%-CI), and the associated P-value.

214

215 **Ethical and copyright considerations**

216 Given the study design, there were both ethical and copyright considerations.
217 Before the study commenced, the protocol was reviewed and approved by the
218 University of Liverpool Research Ethics Committee (VREC185). As described
219 above, a number of procedures were implemented to ensure anonymity for all dogs
220 and owners. First, all images were anonymised (using a unique study code) before
221 being used, and no dog- or owner-identifying information was recorded at any stage.
222 Further, only one investigator performed the internet searches on a single computer
223 and, as soon as all images had been acquired, the computer's internet search
224 history was deleted. Moreover, only one copy of each image was saved to the
225 external hard drive, and this was identified by a study code only. Finally, the
226 investigator who assessed body condition, was unaware of any of the dogs' details,
227 and the hard drive was wiped as soon as all images had been assessed.

228

229 Given that the images used were acquired from the internet, it was critical to comply
230 with appropriate copyright laws (Intellectual Property Office 2014). In this respect,
231 “non-commercial research” is a permissible act under copyright law, and permission
232 is not required to copy or use images in these circumstances. The images were not
233 used for any other purpose and were not stored for any longer than was necessary
234 for the study. As a result of this, owners of websites were not contacted in advance
235 to request permission to use images.

236

Results

237

238 **Images and dogs**

239 Of the 1120 individual images originally acquired, 960 proved to be suitable for
240 assessing body condition. The 160 images that were unsuitable were all from breeds
241 with long coats, including Bichon Frise, Scottish Terrier, West Highland White Terrier
242 and Shetland Sheepdog breeds. In all cases, the long hair made it difficult to assess
243 visual characteristics of condition reliably, such as abdominal tuck and whether ribs
244 could be seen. Of the 960 dogs ultimately included, 0 (0%) were scored as
245 underweight, 708 (74%) scored as ideal condition (n=708), and 252 (26%) as
246 overweight. The number and percentages of dogs in the different body condition
247 categories, stratified according to breed and other variables, are reported in Tables 1
248 and 2, respectively.

249

250 **Simple logistic regression**

251 *Breed*

252 On simple regression analysis (Table 1), overweight status was positively associated
253 with three breeds (Basset Hound, OR=6.42, 3.25-12.64, P<0.001; Labrador
254 Retriever, OR=5.09, 2.64-9.82, P<0.001; Pug, OR=12.73, 5.78-28.03, P<0.001) and
255 negatively associated with six breeds (Border Terrier, OR=6.42, 3.25-12.64,
256 P<0.001; Boxer, OR=6.42, 3.25-12.64, P<0.001; Dobermann, OR=6.42, 3.25-12.64,
257 P<0.001; Hungarian Vizsla OR=6.42, 3.25-12.64, P<0.001; Standard Poodle,
258 OR=6.42, 3.25-12.64, P<0.001; and Rhodesian Ridgeback, OR=6.42, 3.25-12.64,
259 P<0.001). Although springer spaniel (OR=0.48, 0.20-1.17, P=0.11) was not
260 significantly associated with overweight condition, this breed qualified for inclusion in
261 the initial multiple logistic regression model.

262

263 *Other variables*

264 With simple logistic regression, overweight condition was positively associated with
265 dogs from the toy group (OR 1.92, 1.21-3.05, P=0.01), and negatively associated
266 with dogs in the utility group (OR 0.30, 0.14-0.66, P=0.003). Further, dogs with a
267 light coat colour were more likely to be overweight than all other coat colours (vs.
268 light colour: mid coat colour, OR=0.25, 0.15-0.40, P<0.001; mid coat colour,
269 OR=0.58, 0.40-0.83, P=0.004; dark coat colour, OR=0.59, 0.40-0.89, P=0.01; Table
270 2). However, there was no association between overweight status and either sex,
271 placing in show, or time category, and no other variables qualified for inclusion in the
272 initial multiple logistic regression model (Table 2).

273

274 **Multiple conditional logistic regression**

275 The initial multiple regression model comprised 13 variables: the dummy variables
276 for 10 of the breeds (see above), and the three coat colour dummy variables (mid
277 colour, mixed colour, and dark colour). The independent variables that remained in
278 the final regression model were 9 of the breed dummy variables, and one coat colour
279 variable, and this model was judged to be a good fit for the data (Table 3, P=0.66).
280 Overweight status was positively associated with dogs that were Basset Hounds
281 (OR=7.55, 3.63-15.67, P<0.001), Labrador Retrievers (OR=4.16, 2.11-8.21,
282 P<0.001), or Pugs (OR=10.00, 4.46-22.41, P<0.001), and negatively associated with
283 dogs that were Border terriers (OR=0.20, 0.06-0.67, P=0.01), Boxers (OR=0.27,
284 0.08-0.91, P=0.03), Dobermanns (OR=0.13, 0.03-0.56, P=0.01), Hungarian Vizslas
285 (OR=0.13, 0.03-0.56, P=0.01), Rhodesian Ridgebacks (OR=0.13, 0.03-0.56,

286 P=0.01), Standard Poodles (OR=0.06, 0.01-0.47, P=0.01), or had a mixed coat colour
287 (OR=0.69, 0.047-1.00, P=0.05).

288

Discussion

289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307

In the current study, we have assessed the body condition of show dogs using online images. Approximately 26% of the show dogs examined were overweight, which is less than recent reported prevalence of overweight dogs in the UK pet dog population (Courcier and others 2011). However, the findings are similar to results from a previous study that assessed the body condition of show dogs in the Netherlands, where 19% of dogs were overweight (Corbee 2013). Whilst this suggests that show dogs may be in better body condition than the pet population as a whole, the fact that approximately a quarter were above ideal weight is still a cause for concern. These dogs showcase the ideal characteristics of the pedigree breed, and there is a danger that widespread media exposure might adversely influence owner perception of optimal body shape. Whilst the three breeds with the greatest prevalence of overweight condition were from the obese-prone category, the prevalence of overweight condition was low in boxers, despite the fact that this breed was also in the obese-prone category. This suggests that not all obese-prone dogs are overweight at national shows. In light of this, breed-specific approaches might be most pertinent for addressing the issue for show dogs.

308
309
310
311
312
313

In a previous study regarding body condition of show dogs, prevalence of overweight status was greater in some breeds (Corbee 2013). Similar findings were noted in the current study, with overweight condition being highly prevalent in three breeds, but uncommon in six others. However, in the previous study, whilst many different breeds were included, only small numbers of dogs were assessed for many of the individual breeds, limiting the ability to judge prevalence within breed. Further, the

314 author assessed body condition only once, making it impossible to assess possible
315 changes in prevalence over time, and also did not consider a possible influence of
316 other factors such as placing within the show. Whilst the study was of a similar
317 magnitude, the use of Internet images in the current study enabled us to adopt a
318 systematic approach to case inclusion. Further, we increased the numbers of dogs
319 examined per breed by only assessing breeds prone to obesity, and matched control
320 breeds. Despite the systematic breed selection, the diversity of breeds selected was
321 wide, comprising a range of statures (from Miniature Dachshund to Irish Wolfhound),
322 breeds from all Kennel Club groups, and 10 of the 20 most popular UK Kennel Club
323 breeds by registration in 2013 (The Kennel Club 2014b). Further, by systematically
324 including images from a 13-year period, and recording data on placing in show, we
325 were able to whether any temporal changes in body condition had occurred in show
326 dogs, and to what extent body condition influenced placing in the show.

327

328 In light of adverse media publicity, much greater emphasis has recently been placed
329 on promoting good health in pedigree dogs, and discouraging exaggeration of
330 characteristics that may cause adverse health effects (Crispin 2011). There have
331 also been changes in policy regarding the criteria for judging dogs at shows, with the
332 aim of encouraging more responsible breeding and pet ownership. Indeed, in 2014,
333 the UK Kennel Club introduced its 'Breed Watch' scheme, designed to act as an
334 early warning system to increase awareness of possible health problems in specific
335 breeds (The Kennel Club 2014c). Further, judges are advised to ensure that only
336 dogs perceived to be healthy dogs win prizes, and are given breed-specific advice
337 on what characteristics that can produce adverse health effects. Indeed, overweight
338 body condition is included as a point of concern for many breeds. Disappointingly, in

339 the current study, we did not identify any difference in the prevalence of overweight
340 condition based upon placing in the show, suggesting that being overweight does not
341 reduce the likelihood of a dog winning. Further, there was no apparent evidence of a
342 change in prevalence of overweight condition during the 13-year course of the study.
343 This finding should be placed in the context of a rising overall prevalence of canine
344 obesity in the UK pet population during this time (Edney and Smith 1986, Courcier
345 and others 2010). Although this relative decrease in prevalence might be
346 encouraging, it is disappointing that a quarter of show dogs remain overweight.
347 Nonetheless, the findings regarding show placing and change in prevalence over
348 time, cannot be taken as evidence that recent changes to guidance of show dog
349 judges have not worked, because schemes such as the Kennel Club's Breed Watch,
350 was introduced in 2014 (The Kennel Club 2014c), after the period used for the
351 current study.

352

353 The Pug was originally bred to be a companion dog (The Kennel Club 2014a) and,
354 as a consequence, no physiological advantage would be expected from an
355 overweight body condition. Although the current standard is for a 'square and cobby'
356 shape, the recommendation is that this be the result of muscle mass rather than fat
357 (The Kennel Club 2014a). The results of the current study indicated that 80% of
358 Pugs from shows were overweight, which is similar to the 71% overweight
359 prevalence reported in Pugs from a population of pet dogs (Mao and others 2013),
360 and consistent with body condition scores reported in a recent investigation at a dog
361 show (Corbee 2013). The Pug is a high profile breed for health problems (The
362 Kennel Club 2014c), and the high prevalence of overweight dogs highlights the need
363 for urgent action to address this within the breed. Not surprisingly, therefore, the UK

364 Kennel Club has placed the Pug in category 3 (points of concern for health) in the
365 'Breed Watch' health-monitoring programme, and "significantly overweight is a point
366 of concern for special attention by show judges.

367

368 Overweight condition was also prominent in Basset Hounds and Labrador retrievers
369 where 68% and 63%, respectively, of show dogs were overweight. Since Bassett
370 Hounds were traditionally bred for endurance and hunting, and Labrador retrievers
371 were bred for field work (The Kennel Club 2014a), any increase in body weight could
372 be disadvantageous to function. The Kennel Club's breed standard for Bassett
373 Hounds suggests that dogs of the breed should be of 'considerable substance', but
374 no guidance is given on the desired body composition (The Kennel Club 2014a).
375 Therefore, it is possible that breeders with Bassett Hounds of smaller stature might
376 attempt to increase 'substance' by increasing body fat mass. For Labrador
377 retrievers, the breed is expected to be agile, and without excess body fat. The chest
378 is expected to be 'of good width and depth', and this might increase the potential for
379 owners of show dogs to aim for a heavier set dog. Whilst, the standard states that
380 the effect not be produced by carrying excess weight, the inability of dog owners to
381 judge body condition accurately (The Kennel Club 2014a), may make it difficult to
382 avoid. As with the Pug, the Bassett Hound is a high profile breed (The Kennel Club
383 2014c), and judges are required to monitor overweight status amongst other issues.
384 In contrast, Labrador retrievers are in the second most severe category of the
385 national breed watch list, though show judges have been asked to be alert for
386 significantly overweight examples (The Kennel Club 2014c).

387

388 In contrast to the fact that over a quarter of dogs were overweight, none were
389 underweight, and perhaps suggests whilst that owners, breeders, and judges are
390 more aware of the characteristics associated with underweight condition than of
391 overweight condition. Indeed, whilst owners of underweight dogs do tend to over-
392 estimate the condition of their dog, the effect is less marked than the tendency for
393 owners of overweight dogs to under-estimate condition (Eastland-Jones and others
394 2014). Clearly, therefore, more effort is required to educate owners, breeders, and
395 show judges so that they can all better recognise overweight condition.

396

397 Simple regression analysis revealed that a light coat colour was associated with
398 overweight condition but, as was the case for the obese-prone breed category, the
399 effect was not significant in the final multiple regression. Thus, rather than coat
400 colour affecting the perception of body shape, individual breed effects likely explain
401 the effect. Indeed, many dogs from obese-prone breeds had a light coat colour, for
402 example 50% of Labrador retrievers, and 95% of the pugs were fawn; further, many
403 non-obese-prone breeds had other coat colours such as Hungarian Vizslas and
404 Rhodesian Ridgebacks which were both in the mid-colour range.

405

406 As with any study, there are limitations that should be considered when interpreting
407 the results. Most importantly, whilst photographic assessment of body condition
408 correlates well with body fat mass measured by DEXA, it does not perform as well as
409 conventional body condition score assessment (Gant and others 2013). Thus, there
410 may have been errors in the assessments for some of the dogs. Most notable was
411 the fact that it was not possible to assess the body condition of some longhaired
412 breeds and, consequently, these were removed from the analysis. To minimise the

413 errors of the method, we ensured that a single observer, with experience in using a
414 validated photographic body condition score method, assessed all dogs in a single
415 sitting. Therefore, it would be advisable to conduct further studies, using different
416 methods of assessing body condition, and including with more dogs.

417

418 A second limitation was that selection of obese-prone breeds was based upon a
419 number of recent and historical studies, including those from other studies. The
420 breeds identified in these studies might not have been representative of the UK dog
421 population. Third, whilst every effort was made to match breeds not prone to obesity
422 with the chosen obese-prone breeds, this was not always possible. Most
423 challenging was finding matches within the hound group; for instance, Dachshund
424 and Beagles were paired with Rhodesian Ridgeback and Irish Wolfhound,
425 respectively, even though stature was not well matched. Such a matching was not
426 perfect, but arose because it was not possible to identify breeds of an equivalent
427 stature, with sufficient images available for review. Despite this limitation the
428 diversity of breeds was wide, and it is unclear to what extent the results were
429 affected. A fourth limitation was the fact that, given the systematic method of
430 selection, not all breeds were examined. Therefore, whilst the issue of overweight
431 condition has been highlighted in certain breeds, similar issues might have been
432 missed for breeds with unexpected problems. Further investigations would help to
433 confirm the current findings, by enabling more dogs in more breeds to be assessed.

434

435

436

Conclusion

437

438 This study has shown that a significant proportion of show dogs from some breeds,
439 such as Pugs, Basset Hounds and Labrador Retrievers are overweight. Although
440 overweight condition in show dogs is less prevalent than in the general pet
441 population, these findings are still concerning given the widespread potential for
442 dissemination of images through the media. Further effort is now required to
443 educate owners, breeders, and show judges so that they can all better recognise
444 overweight condition, thus helping to prevent the development of obesity.
445

446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the owners of all dogs whose images were used in this study, and all websites from which images were acquired. This study was not supported by any research grant.

Conflicts of Interest

The following conflicts of interest apply: AJG's academic post at the University of Liverpool is funded by Royal Canin. Mars Petcare also provides research funding for the author's weight management referral clinic at the University of Liverpool.

Author contributions

The contribution made by each author is as follows: ZS – collated relevant clinical data, analysed results, reviewed manuscript. AJG – designed study, collected clinical data, analysed results, drafted paper. Both authors have approved the final article.

467 **References**

- 468 CAMPBELL, K.J., CRAWFORD, D.A. & BALL, K. (2006) Family food environment
469 and dietary behaviors likely to promote fatness in 5 – 6 year-old children.
470 *International Journal of Obesity* **30**, 1272-1280
- 471 CORBEE, R.J. (2013) Obesity in show dogs. *Journal of Animal Physiology & Animal*
472 *Nutrition* **97**, 904-910
- 473 COURCIER, E.A., THOMSON, R.M., MELLOR, D.J. & YAM, P.S. (2010) An
474 epidemiological study of environmental factors associated with canine obesity.
475 *Journal of Small Animal Practice* **51**, 362-7
- 476 COURCIER, E.A., MELLOR, D.J., THOMSON, R.M. & YAM, P.S. (2011) A cross
477 sectional study of the prevalence and risk factors for owner misperception of
478 canine body shape in first opinion practice in Glasgow. *Preventive Veterinary*
479 *Medicine* **102**, 66–74
- 480 CRISPIN, S. (2011) Tackling the welfare issues of dog breeding. *Veterinary Record*
481 2011, 168, 53-54
- 482 CRUFTS[®] (2014a). History of Crufts. [http://www.crufts.org.uk/content/show-](http://www.crufts.org.uk/content/show-information/history-of-crufts/)
483 [information/history-of-crufts/](http://www.crufts.org.uk/content/show-information/history-of-crufts/). Accessed December 13, 2014
- 484 CRUFTS[®] (2014b). Crufts Results. <http://crufts.fossedata.co.uk> . Accessed May 10,
485 2015
- 486 EASTLAND-JONES, R., GERMAN, A.J., HOLDEN, S.L., BIOURGE, V. &
487 PICKAVANCE, L.C. (2014) Owner 539 misperception of canine body condition
488 persists despite use 540 of BCS chart. *Journal of Nutritional Science* **3**, e45, 1-
489 5

490 GANT, P.H., HOLDEN, S.L., BIOURGE, V., MORRIS, P.J. & GERMAN, A.J. (2013)
491 Can Body Composition In Dogs Be Estimated From Photographs? *Journal of*
492 *Veterinary Internal Medicine* **27**, 742

493 GERMAN, A.J. (2006) The growing problem of obesity in dogs and cats. *The Journal*
494 *of Nutrition* **136**, 1940S-1946S

495 GERMAN, A.J. & MORGAN, L.E. (2008) How often do veterinarians assess the
496 bodyweight and body condition of dogs? *Veterinary Record* **163**, 503–505

497 GERMAN, A.J., HOLDEN, S.L., MOXHAM, G.L., HOLMES, K.L., HACKETT, R.M.,
498 RAWLINGS, J.M. (2006) A Simple, Reliable Tool for Owners to Assess the
499 Body Condition of Their Dog or Cat. *The Journal of Nutrition* **136**, 2031S-2033S

500 GERMAN, A.J., RYAN, V.H., GERMAN, A.J., WOOD, I.S. & TRAYHURN, P. (2010)
501 Obesity, its associated disorders and the role of inflammatory adipokines in
502 companion animals. *Veterinary Journal* **185**, 4-9

503 GERMAN, A.J., HOLDEN, S.L., WISEMAN-ORR, M.L., REID, J., NOLAN, A.M.,
504 BIOURGE, V., MORRIS, P.J. & SCOTT, E.M. (2012) Quality of life is reduced
505 in obese dogs but improves after successful weight loss. *Veterinary Journal*
506 **192**, 428-434

507 GOOGLE IMAGES. <http://www.google.com/imghp>. Accessed between February and
508 May, 2014

509 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE. Copyright Notice: digital images,
510 photographs and the internet.
511 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/3](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/305165/c-notice-201401.pdf)
512 [05165/c-notice-201401.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/305165/c-notice-201401.pdf) Accessed December 11, 2014

513 KEALY RD, LAWLER DF, BALLAM JM, MANTZ SL, BIERY DN, GREELEY EH,
514 LUST G, SEGRE M, SMITH GK & STOWE HD (2002) Effects of diet restriction

515 on life span 481 and age-related changes in dogs. *Journal of the American*
516 *Veterinary Medical Association* **220**, 1315–1320.

517 LAFLAMME, D. (1997) Development and validation of a body condition score system
518 for dogs. *Canine practice* **22**, 10–15

519 LUND, E.M., ARMSTRONG, P.J., KIRK, C.A. & KLAUSNER, J.S. (2006) Prevalence
520 and risk factors for obesity in adult dogs from private US veterinary practices.
521 *International Journal of Applied Research in Veterinary Medicine* **4**, 177-186

522 MAO, J., XIA, Z., CHEN, J. & YU, J. (2013) Prevalence and risk factors for canine
523 obesity surveyed in veterinary practices in Beijing, China. *Preventive Veterinary*
524 *Medicine* **112**, 438-442

525 O'NEILL, D.G., CHURCH, D.B., MCGREEVY, P.D., THOMSON, P.C. & BRODBELT,
526 D.C. (2014) Prevalence of Disorders Recorded in Dogs Attending Primary-Care
527 Veterinary Practices in England. *PLOS ONE* **9**, 1-16

528 ROLPH, N.C., NOBLE, P.J.M. & GERMAN, A.J. (2014) How often do primary care
529 veterinarians record the overweight status of dogs? *Journal of Nutritional*
530 *Science* **3**, e58, 1-5

531 THE KENNEL CLUB (2014a). Breed Information Centre.
532 <http://www.thekennelclub.org.uk/services/public/breed/Default.aspx>. Accessed
533 March 20, 2014

534 THE KENNEL CLUB (2014b) Top Twenty Breeds in Registration Order For The
535 Years 2012 and 2013. [http://www.thekennelclub.org.uk/media/350279/2012_-_](http://www.thekennelclub.org.uk/media/350279/2012_-_2013_top_20.pdf)
536 [2013_top_20.pdf](http://www.thekennelclub.org.uk/media/350279/2012_-_2013_top_20.pdf). Accessed April 25, 2014

537 THE KENNEL CLUB (2014c) Breed watch. Retrieved 13 December 2014 from:
538 <http://www.thekennelclub.org.uk/services/public/breed/watch/Default.aspx>.
539 Accessed December 13, 2014

540 WHITE, G.A., HOBSON-WEST, P., COBB, K., CRAIGON, J., HAMMOND, R. &
541 MILLAR, K.M. (2011) Canine obesity: is there a difference between veterinarian
542 and owner perception? *The Journal Of Small Animal Practice* **52**, 622-6
543 WRIGHT, E.J. & WHITEHEAD, T.L. (1987) Perceptions of body size and obesity: a
544 selected literature review. *Journal of Community Health* **12**, 117-129
545 ZORAN, D.L. (2010) Obesity in Dogs and Cats: A Metabolic and Endocrine Disorder.
546 *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice* **40**, 221-239