

# THE HOMFLYPT SKEIN ALGEBRA OF THE TORUS AND THE ELLIPTIC HALL ALGEBRA

HUGH MORTON AND PETER SAMUELSON

ABSTRACT. We give a generators and relations presentation of the Homflypt skein algebra  $H$  of the torus  $T^2$ , and we give an explicit description of the module corresponding to the solid torus. Using this presentation, we show that  $H$  is isomorphic to the  $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}^{-1}$  specialization of the elliptic Hall algebra of Burban and Schiffmann [BS12].

As an application, for an iterated cable  $K$  of the unknot, we use the elliptic Hall algebra to construct a 3-variable polynomial that specializes to the  $\lambda$ -colored Homflypt polynomial of  $K$ . We show that this polynomial also specializes to one constructed by Cherednik and Danilenko using the  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$  double affine Hecke algebra. This proves one of the Connection Conjectures in [CD14].

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Background	6
3.	A presentation for $H$	12
4.	The skein of the annulus as a module over the algebra $H$ .	19
5.	The elliptic Hall algebra	28
6.	Adaptations of the Homflypt skein relations	29
7.	Iterated Cables	32
	References	41

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we compare an algebra coming from knot theory with an algebra defined using elliptic curves over finite fields. We use this to derive results about polynomials associated to algebraic knots, which are iterated torus knots that arise from a singular point of a planar algebraic curve. Below we briefly introduce both algebras and then give precise statements of the results.

**1.1. The Homflypt skein algebra.** The *framed Homflypt skein module*  $H(M)$  of an oriented 3-manifold  $M$  consists of  $R$ -linear combinations of framed oriented links in  $M$  up to isotopy, modulo the linear ‘skein relations’

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \nearrow \\ \searrow \swarrow \end{array} \right) - \left( \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \swarrow \\ \searrow \nearrow \end{array} \right) = (s - s^{-1}) \left( \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \nearrow \end{array} \right) \quad (\text{Switch and smooth})$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \circlearrowleft \\ \uparrow \end{array} = v^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \circlearrowright \\ \uparrow \end{array} = v \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \quad (\text{Framing change})$$

using the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}, s^{\pm 1}]$  with denominators  $s^r - s^{-r}, r > 0$  as coefficient ring.

In these relations we use the convention that the framing is defined by a ribbon for each component of the link, and the skein relations apply in a ball in which the framing ribbons lie parallel to the strands as seen. This is sometimes termed the ‘local blackboard framing’. The second framing relation then introduces  $v^{\pm 1}$  when a framing ribbon acquires a single extra twist.

**Remark 1.1.** The term *skein module* indicates that  $H(M)$  is a module over  $R$ . Since many skeins are also modules over more complicated  $R$ -algebras, Morton and his co-workers often simply use the term *skein*, and suppress the qualifications *framed Homflypt* also when the context is clear.

When  $F$  is an orientable surface and  $M = F \times I$  we adopt the notation  $H(F)$  in place of  $H(F \times I)$ , and refer to  $H(F)$  as the skein (module) of the surface  $F$ . Framed links in  $F \times I$  can be represented by diagrams in  $F$ , with the global ‘blackboard framing’ from  $F$ , up to isotopy and Reidemeister moves  $R_{II}, R_{III}$ . We can then regard elements of  $H(F)$  as diagrams in  $F$  modulo  $R_{II}, R_{III}$  and the skein relations.

The skein  $H(F)$  forms an algebra over  $R$  under the product induced by placing one copy of  $F \times I$  containing an element  $D \in H(F)$  on top of another copy containing  $E \in H(F)$  to determine their product  $DE$  (see Section 2.3).

In the case  $\mathcal{C} = H(F)$  where  $F$  is the annulus  $S^1 \times I$  this algebra is commutative and has been studied for some time. A recent account of some of its properties can be found in [MM08]. It has an interpretation as the algebra of symmetric functions in a large number of commuting variables  $x_1, \dots, x_N$ , and contains an element  $P_m$  for each  $m$  corresponding to the power sum  $x_1^m + \dots + x_N^m$ . One representation of this element, due originally to Aiston [Ais96], is a multiple of the sum of  $m$  explicit closed  $m$ -braids (see Section 2.4).

The case of primary interest to us is the skein  $H = H(T^2)$  for the surface  $T^2$ . As an algebra  $H$  is non-commutative, and can be generated by elements  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$ , one for each  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , corresponding to free homotopy classes of curves in  $T^2$ .

For a primitive  $\mathbf{x} = (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  we represent  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  by the oriented embedded  $(m, n)$  curve on  $T^2$ . It is an immediate consequence of the switch and smooth skein relation that the commutator  $[P_{(1,0)}, P_{(0,1)}]$  satisfies

$$[P_{(1,0)}, P_{(0,1)}] = (s - s^{-1})P_{(1,1)}.$$

The same switching and smoothing relation shows that  $[P_{\mathbf{x}}, P_{\mathbf{y}}] = (s - s^{-1})P_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}$  when the primitive curves  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  cross once in the positive direction. Our main result is the following simple extension of these commutation relations (see Theorem 3.2).

**Theorem 1.** (Global switch and smooth). *The commutator  $[P_{\mathbf{x}}, P_{\mathbf{y}}]$  in  $H$  satisfies*

$$(1.1) \quad [P_{\mathbf{x}}, P_{\mathbf{y}}] = (s^d - s^{-d})P_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}$$

where  $d = \det[\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{y}]$  is the signed crossing number of  $\mathbf{x}$  with  $\mathbf{y}$ . In particular, if  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are collinear, then  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $P_{\mathbf{y}}$  commute.

In making the statement of Theorem 1 we must also specify  $P_{m\mathbf{x}}$  for any multiple of a primitive  $\mathbf{x}$ . This is defined by decorating the embedded curve  $\mathbf{x}$  by the element  $P_m$  from the skein  $\mathcal{C}$  of the annulus. (See Definition 2.5.)

When  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$  are all primitive the commutator  $[P_{\mathbf{x}}, P_{\mathbf{y}}]$  may be regarded as the difference of a switch of the curves  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , with  $P_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}$  appearing as the simultaneous smoothing at the  $k$  crossings.

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on a result in [MM08] to establish that

$$[P_{(m,0)}, P_{(0,1)}] = (s^m - s^{-m})P_{(m,1)}.$$

Direct skein manipulation shows that

$$[P_{(0,-1)}, P_{(m,1)}] = (s^m - s^{-m})P_{(m,0)},$$

using Aiston's representation of  $P_m$ . The full theorem is proved from these two cases in Section 3 using induction on  $\det[\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{y}]$ . Using a theorem of Przytycki in [Prz92], we prove the following (see Corollary 3.3).

**Theorem 2.** *As an abstract algebra,  $H(T^2)$  has a presentation with generators  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and relations given by equation (1.1).*

In general, if  $M$  is a 3-manifold, then  $H(M)$  is a module over the algebra  $H(\partial M)$ . In particular, the skein module  $H(S^3 \setminus K)$  of a knot complement is a module over the algebra  $H(T^2)$ . In the case where  $K$  is the trivial knot we use earlier work of Morton and coauthors [MH02, HM06] to give an explicit description of  $\mathcal{C} := H(S^1 \times D^2)$  as a module over  $H(T^2)$ . In particular, as a module over the (commutative) 'horizontal' subalgebra generated by  $\{P_{m,0} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  it is simultaneously diagonalizable with distinct eigenvalues. Over the 'vertical' subalgebra generated by  $\{P_{0,n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  the module  $\mathcal{C}$  is free of rank 1. It can be viewed as an analogue of the polynomial module over the Weyl algebra, a Verma module in Lie theory, or the polynomial representation of a double affine Hecke algebra. We give explicit formulas for the action of  $H$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  in Theorem 4.6.

These theorems can be viewed as analogues of the work of Frohman and Gelca in [FG00]. They give a presentation of the Kauffman bracket skein algebra  $K_q(T^2)$  of the torus  $T^2$  and describe its action on the (Kauffman bracket) skein of the annulus. It turns out that the algebra  $K_q(T^2)$  is the  $t = q$  specialization of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  spherical double affine Hecke algebra introduced by Cherednik in [Che95]. Analogously, we show that the Homfly skein algebra  $H$  is isomorphic to the  $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}^{-1}$  specialization of the elliptic Hall algebra of Burban and Schiffmann [BS12]. This algebra can be viewed as the  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}$  spherical DAHA by work of Schiffmann and Vasserot [SV11].

**1.2. The elliptic Hall algebra.** Let  $X$  be a smooth elliptic curve over a finite field. In [BS12], Burban and Schiffmann gave an explicit presentation for the *elliptic Hall algebra*  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ , which is the Drinfeld double of the Hall algebra of the category  $\text{Coh}(X)$  of coherent sheaves over  $X$ . They show that the structure constants are Laurent polynomials in  $q, t$ , where  $q^2, t^{-2}$  are the eigenvalues  $\sigma, \bar{\sigma}$  of the Frobenius operator on the first  $l$ -adic cohomology group of  $X$ . We may therefore view  $q, t$  as formal parameters.

It turns out that the algebra  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  (or one of its cousins<sup>1</sup>) has several interpretations:

<sup>1</sup>By 'cousin' we mean either the 'positive half'  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^+$  or a central extension of  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ .

- a generalized quantum affine algebra in [DI97],
- ‘a  $(q, \gamma)$  analog of the  $W_{1+\infty}$  algebra’ in [Mik07]
- the ‘shuffle algebra’ of [FT11], (see also [Neg14])
- the ‘spherical  $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$  double affine Hecke algebra’ in [SV11], (see also [FFJ<sup>+</sup>11])
- the ‘quantum continuous  $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ ’ in [FFJ<sup>+</sup>11]
- an algebra acting on  $\oplus K^T(\text{Hilb}_n(\mathbb{C}^2))$  in [SV13] (see also [FT11], [FFJ<sup>+</sup>11], [Neg15])

As a consequence of Theorem 2, we add a new interpretation to this list (see Theorem 5.6).

**Theorem 3.** *The skein algebra  $H$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}_{q,q}$ , and the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  actions agree. In particular, any knot  $K \subset S^3$  provides a module over the algebra  $\mathcal{E}_{q,q}$ .*

This theorem may seem surprising because the definitions of  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  and  $H$  seem completely unrelated. One rough heuristic explanation for this isomorphism is as follows. When all parameters are set equal<sup>2</sup> to 1, the Homflypt skein algebra of a surface  $F$  surjects onto  $\mathcal{O}_{F,N} := \mathcal{O}(\text{Char}(\pi_1(F), \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})))$ , the ring of functions on the scheme parameterizing representations of  $\pi_1(F)$  up to equivalence. The  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$  spherical double affine Hecke algebra  $\text{SH}_{q,t}^N$  is an algebra depending on 2 parameters  $q, t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , and when  $q = t = 1$ , this algebra is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{T^2,N}$ . Finally, Schiffmann and Vasserot show in [SV11] that  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  surjects onto  $\text{SH}_{q,t}^N$  for any  $N$ . Summarizing, when the parameters are set to 1, both  $H$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{1,1}$  surject onto the (commutative) algebras  $\mathcal{O}_{T^2,N}$  for any  $N$ .

We also remark that Theorem 3 seems analogous to Kontsevitch’s homological mirror symmetry for an elliptic curve (see [PZ98] for a precise statement and proof in this case). Very roughly, in this case mirror symmetry predicts that the derived category  $D^b(\text{Coh}(X))$  of coherent sheaves over an elliptic curve  $X$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  is equivalent to the Fukaya category of the symplectic torus  $S^1 \times S^1$ . Objects in this Fukaya category are simple closed curves ‘decorated’ by a representation of  $U(k)$  (for some  $k$ ), and under this equivalence, a sheaf on  $X$  of slope  $m/n$  is sent to the  $(m, n)$  curve on  $S^1 \times S^1$ , decorated by a certain representation of  $U(\text{gcd}(m, n))$ . This is reminiscent of the isomorphism in Theorem 3. However, it seems that there are significant difficulties (at best) in attempting to prove this isomorphism using mirror symmetry, so this picture is still rather vague. In particular, the elliptic Hall algebra is actually the “spherical” subalgebra of the Hall algebra of an elliptic curve (i.e. it only contains certain linear combinations of sheaves), and the elliptic curve is over a finite field. However, the work of Lekili and Perutz in [LP12] may shed some light on this situation.

**1.3. Algebraic knots.** We next discuss an application of the isomorphism  $H \cong \mathcal{E}_{q,q}$ . If  $K$  is an iterated cable of the unknot, then it is straightforward to give a *cabling formula* that computes the  $\lambda$ -colored Homflypt polynomial  $J^H(K, \lambda)$  of  $K$  in terms of four objects:

- the skein algebra  $H$ ,
- the action of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $H$ ,
- the action of  $H$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ , the skein module of the annulus,
- the evaluation map  $\text{ev}^H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow H(S^3) = R$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>“Setting all parameters equal to one” is a statement that requires some care to be made precise, but we will not discuss this.

(See Proposition 7.13). Using the isomorphism of Theorem 5.6 and a theorem in [SV13], we show that all objects used in the cabling formula have  $t$ -deformations. This allows us to define 3-variable polynomials  $J^{\mathcal{E}}(K, \lambda)$  (see Definition 7.16) that specialize to the colored Homflypt polynomials  $J^H(K, \lambda)$ . (Technically, these are rational functions – see Remark 7.20.)

In [Sam14] similar polynomials were defined using the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  spherical double affine Hecke algebra, and Cherednik and Danilenko generalized these to arbitrary  $\mathfrak{g}$  shortly afterwards in [CD14] (with a slightly simpler construction). For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_N$ , we recall their definition of the polynomials  $J^N(K, \lambda)$  in Definition 7.19. We prove the following in Theorem 7.4:

**Theorem 4.** *If  $K$  is an iterated cable of the unknot, we have the following specializations:*

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\mathcal{E}}(K, \lambda; q, t, u) \Big|_{q=s^{-2}, t=s^{-2}, u=v^2} &= v^{\bullet} s^{\bullet} J^H(K, \lambda; v, s) \\ u^{\bullet} J^{\mathcal{E}}(K, \lambda; q, t, u) \Big|_{u=t^N} &= q^{\bullet} t^{\bullet} J^N(K, \lambda; q, t) \end{aligned}$$

(where the powers denoted by “ $\bullet$ ” depend on  $K$  and  $|\lambda|$ , but not on  $N$ ). In particular, the Connection Conjecture [CD14, Conj. 2.4(i)] is true.

We remark that the definition of  $J^{\mathcal{E}}(K)$  depends *a priori* on a choice of presentation of  $K$  as an iterated cable of the unknot. It isn’t clear whether different choices for this presentation produce the same polynomials. (However, it was shown that certain different choices do produce the same polynomials  $J^N(K)$  in [CD14, Thm. 2.1(i)].)

At this point, one natural question is whether the modules over  $\mathcal{E}_{q,q}$  associated to knots can be deformed to modules over  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$  (in the style of [BS14]). A positive answer may be interesting even for the module  $\mathcal{C}$  associated to the unknot. A central extension  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^c$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  acts on  $\Lambda$ , which is the ‘Fock space’ with basis given by the set of all partitions (see, e.g., [FFJ<sup>+</sup>11] or [SV13]). The module  $\mathcal{C}$  has a natural basis indexed by *pairs* of partitions, and so can be identified with  $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$  as a vector space. It does not seem that a module of this ‘size’ has appeared in the recent literature about the representation theory of  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ , but it does seem reasonable to expect that  $\mathcal{C}$  deforms. We hope to address this question in future work.

**1.4. Summary.** We now summarize the contents of the paper. In Section 2 we provide brief background and definitions. We then give a presentation for the algebra  $H$  in Section 3. The module  $\mathcal{C}$  associated to the solid torus is described explicitly in Section 4. In Section 5 we prove that  $H$  is the  $t = q$  specialization of the elliptic Hall algebra  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ . In Section 6 we describe different specializations of the Homflypt skein relations and their relation to other knot invariants. In Section 7 we use the elliptic Hall algebra to construct a 3-variable polynomial that specializes to the Homflypt polynomial for iterated torus knots and to the 2-variable polynomials for  $\mathfrak{gl}_N$  constructed in [CD14].

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank the referees for a careful reading of the manuscript and for a suggestion which helped clarify the proof of Theorem 4.6. We thank I. Cherednik for helpful discussions about [CD14], and D. Muthiah for help with using **Sage** and for several enthusiastic conversations. We also thank Y. Berest, F. Bergeron, A. Oblomkov, V. Shende, O. Schiffmann, and E. Vasserot for enlightening discussions of

their work and/or the present paper. The authors also benefited from the Research in Pairs program in Oberwolfach, where final editing on this paper was completed.

## 2. BACKGROUND

In this section we first establish notation for ‘quantum numbers’ and partitions, and we then give definitions and background for Homflypt skein modules.


**2.1. Notation.** In this paper we will use the coefficient ring  $R = \mathbb{C}[v^{\pm 1}, s^{\pm 1}, (s - s^{-1})^{-k}]$  (where  $k$  ranges over  $\mathbb{N}$ ), and we use the following ‘quantum numbers:’

$$[d] := \frac{s^d - s^{-d}}{s - s^{-1}}, \quad \{d\} := s^d - s^{-d}, \quad \{d\}^+ := s^d + s^{-d}$$

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$  be a partition of length  $k$ . We will represent partitions by Young diagrams using the continental convention, so that a nonempty partition always has a box in its lower left corner. We will write  $\lambda$  both for a partition and for its representation as a Young diagram. If  $x$  is a box in  $\lambda$  in row  $i$  and column  $j$ , we will use the standard notations

$$(2.1) \quad a(x) = \lambda_i - j, \quad l(x) = |\{n \mid \lambda_n \geq j\}| - i, \quad a'(x) = j, \quad l'(x) = i$$

Here  $a(x)$ ,  $l(x)$ ,  $a'(x)$ , and  $l'(x)$  are the arm length, leg length, coarm length, and coleg length of  $x$ , respectively. Graphically, they are the number of cells strictly to the right, strictly above, weakly to the left, and weakly below  $x$ , respectively.



We will also use the *content*  $c(x)$  and *hook length*  $hl(x)$ , which are defined by

$$(2.2) \quad c(x) := j - i, \quad hl(x) := a(x) + l(x) + 1$$

**2.2. Homflypt skein modules.** Let  $M$  be an oriented 3-manifold. A *framed oriented link* in  $M$  is (an ambient isotopy class of) a smooth embedding  $\sqcup(S^1 \times [0, 1]) \hookrightarrow M$ , where each copy of  $S^1$  is oriented. Let  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  be the free  $R$ -module spanned by framed oriented links in  $M$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}'(M) \subset \mathcal{L}(M)$  be the  $R$ -submodule generated by the skein relations in Figure 1. (A *skein relation* is a formal linear combination of links differing only inside a 3-ball as shown in the figure.)

$$\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \quad \nearrow \\ \searrow \quad \swarrow \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \quad \swarrow \\ \searrow \quad \nearrow \end{array} = (s - s^{-1}) \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \quad \text{(Switch and smooth)} \\
\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} = v^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} = v \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \quad \text{(Framing change)}
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. The Homflypt skein relations

It is an immediate consequence that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array} = \delta \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{array},$$

where  $\delta = \frac{v^{-1} - v}{s - s^{-1}}$ . This relation allows an unknotted component with framing 0 contained in a 3-ball disjoint from the rest of the link to be removed at the expense of the constant multiple  $\delta$ .

**Definition 2.1.** The *framed Homflypt skein module*  $H(M)$  of the manifold  $M$  is the quotient  $\mathcal{L}(M)/\mathcal{L}'(M)$ .

In general, the Homflypt skein  $H(M)$  has four important properties:

- (1) The skein  $H(M)$  is graded by the homology group  $H_1(M)$ , since each skein relation involves only links in the same homology class.
- (2) If  $M = F \times [0, 1]$ , then  $H(M)$  is an algebra (which is typically noncommutative). The product is given by stacking links (see Section 2.3 for an example). The grading is additive under the product.
- (3) If  $\partial M = F$ , then  $H(M)$  is a module over the algebra  $H(F \times [0, 1])$ . The action is given by “pushing links from the boundary into  $M$ .” If  $a \in H(F \times [0, 1])$  and  $m \in H(M)$  are homogeneous, then  $\deg(a \cdot m) = \iota(\deg(a)) + \deg(m)$ , where  $\iota_* : H_1(F) \rightarrow H_1(M)$  is the map on homology induced by the inclusion  $\iota : F \hookrightarrow M$ .
- (4) An oriented embedding  $f : M \hookrightarrow N$  induces an  $R$ -linear map  $f_* : H(M) \rightarrow H(N)$ . When  $f$  is a homeomorphism the map  $f_*$  is an isomorphism.


**Definition 2.2.** Write  $H := H(T^2)$  for the (framed Homflypt) skein of the torus  $T^2$ , and  $\mathcal{C} := H(S^1 \times I^2)$  for the skein of the solid torus, with a choice of explicit homeomorphism from the solid torus to  $(S^1 \times I) \times I$  to specify  $\mathcal{C}$  as an algebra (see the beginning of Section 4). The skein  $\mathcal{C}$  is an algebra, where the product is given by stacking in the third coordinate of  $(S^1 \times I) \times I$ . The algebra  $H$  also acts on  $\mathcal{C}$ , since the boundary of  $(S^1 \times I) \times I$  is a torus. However, this action is *not* by algebra morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$ . (This action is roughly analogous to the action of the Weyl algebra of differential operators on  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ .)

In this paper we study the algebra structure of  $H$  and the  $H$ -module structure of  $\mathcal{C}$ . The algebra  $H$  is graded by  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 = H_1(T^2)$ . Set

$$H = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} H_{\mathbf{x}}$$


where the degree of a link is given by its homology class. Similarly the algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}$  grading. There is an action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  by algebra automorphisms on  $H$  induced by the mapping class group action on  $T^2$ .

**2.3. Diagrammatic representations of links in  $T^2$ .** Use the classical presentation of  $T^2$  as a square with pairs of edges identified,




as indicated by the coloured edges in the diagram above. Then a link in  $T^2$  can be drawn as a diagram in the square with some arcs meeting the coloured edges in matching pairs.


For example here are diagrams in  $T^2$  of a 2-component link



and a 1-component link




along with diagrams for the products



Elements in  $H$  are linear combinations of diagrams, so for example the commutator  $[D, E]$  is the element  $DE - ED \in H$ .

Using the convention that the square has sides along the usual axes we can draw the embedded  $(m, n)$  curve in  $T^2$  as



meeting the vertical edges in  $m$  points and the horizontal edges in  $n$  points. Here  $(m, n) = (-1, k) = \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  is the homology class of the curve, so that  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  lies in the graded subspace  $H_{\mathbf{x}} \subset H$ . The curve will cross the edges of the square according to the signs of  $m$  and  $n$ ; in this diagram  $m = -1$  and  $n = k > 0$ .

This defines elements  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  for each primitive  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , in other words where  $m$  and  $n$  are coprime. As a simple example we have



$$P_{1,0} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \xrightarrow{\text{green}} \\ \hline \xrightarrow{\text{black}} \\ \hline \xrightarrow{\text{green}} \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad P_{0,1} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \xrightarrow{\text{green}} \\ \hline \uparrow \text{black} \\ \hline \xrightarrow{\text{green}} \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Their commutator then satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} [P_{1,0}, P_{0,1}] &= \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \xrightarrow{\text{green}} \\ \hline \uparrow \text{black} \\ \hline \xrightarrow{\text{green}} \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \xrightarrow{\text{green}} \\ \hline \xrightarrow{\text{black}} \\ \hline \xrightarrow{\text{green}} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ &= (s - s^{-1}) \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \xrightarrow{\text{green}} \\ \hline \curvearrowright \text{black} \\ \hline \xrightarrow{\text{green}} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ &= (s - s^{-1})P_{1,1}, \end{aligned}$$

using the switch and smooth skein relation.

Clearly if the embedded curve  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  crosses the embedded curve  $P_{\mathbf{y}}$  once positively<sup>3</sup> then the switch and smooth relation applied at the crossing results in the embedded curve  $P_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}$  and their commutator satisfies the equation

$$[P_{\mathbf{x}}, P_{\mathbf{y}}] = (s - s^{-1})P_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}.$$

**Remark 2.3.** The signed number of crossings of curves with homology  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  is equal to the determinant  $\det[\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{y}]$  of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix with columns  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ .

Before giving the definition of the elements  $P_{(m,n)} \in H$  where  $m$  and  $n$  are not coprime we use diagrams in the square meeting the edges in matched pairs to represent elements of some other skeins.

**2.4. The skein  $\mathcal{C}$  of the annulus.** If we identify the two horizontal edges only we get an annulus. Elements of its skein  $\mathcal{C}$  can be represented by diagrams which do not meet the vertical edges. Any such diagram also gives a diagram in  $T^2$  by identifying the two vertical edges. The elements arising in this way in  $H$  will lie in the graded part  $H_{(0,k)}$  where  $k$  is the signed number of crossings with the horizontal edge.

For  $i, j \geq 0$ , define elements  $A_{i,j} \in \mathcal{C}$  diagrammatically by

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \xrightarrow{\text{green}} \\ \hline \text{diagram with } i \text{ vertical lines and } j \text{ crossings} \\ \hline \xrightarrow{\text{green}} \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

<sup>3</sup>A crossing is *positive* if the tangent vector of the upper curve is rotated clockwise from tangent vector of the lower one (i.e. the left-most term of the skein relation is a positive crossing).

We will use the following  $k$ -strand elements in  $H_{0,k}$ :

$$X_k := \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A_{i,k-i-1} \in \mathcal{C}$$

**Remark 2.4.** By repeatedly applying the skein relation, it is clear that  $X_k = kA_{k,0} + \dots$ , where the ellipses refer to diagrams with fewer numbers of crossings. Since  $A_{1,0} = X_1$ , this upper-triangularity implies that in the algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ , any polynomial in the  $A_{k,0}$  can be written uniquely as a polynomial in the  $X_k$ . We will use the  $X_k$  because they satisfy nicer commutation relations.

Aiston [Ais96] showed that  $X_k$  represents a multiple of the  $k$ th power sum when interpreting  $\mathcal{C}$  in terms of symmetric functions. Further work by Aiston, Morton and subsequent collaborators has identified other nice algebraic and skein theoretic properties of  $X_k$  and more particularly the exact power sum  $P_k := (s - s^{-1})/(s^k - s^{-k})X_k$ , which we shall also use in this paper.

**Definition 2.5.** For  $k > 0$  we define  $P_{0,k}$  to be the result of decorating the  $(0,1)$  curve in  $T^2$  by  $P_k$  from  $\mathcal{C}$ , or equivalently

$$P_{0,k} = \frac{s - s^{-1}}{s^k - s^{-k}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A_{i,j}$$

after identifying the vertical edges as well in the square to give diagrams in  $T^2$ .

To define  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  in general write  $\mathbf{x} = k(m, n)$  with  $m$  and  $n$  coprime and  $k > 0$ . Then *decorate* the embedded  $(m, n)$  curve by the element  $P_k \in \mathcal{C}$  from the skein of the annulus to specify  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$ . By definition, for  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  we have  $\gamma \cdot P_{\mathbf{x}} = P_{\gamma \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ .

**2.5. Decoration and framing.** The term *decoration* in our definition above has been used widely by Morton and others in describing satellite invariants of framed knots and links in manifolds.

What is meant by *decorating* a framed oriented curve  $K$  in  $M$  by a framed curve  $Q$  in  $S^1 \times I$  is to embed the thickened annulus  $S^1 \times I$  on the neighbourhood of  $K$ , respecting orientation *and* framing. The image of  $Q$  in  $M$  is the satellite of  $K$  with pattern  $Q$ , and is referred to as  $K$  *decorated by*  $Q$ . It carries a framing which is determined by the framing of  $Q$ .

The embedding from  $S^1 \times I$  to  $M$  depends on the framing and orientation of  $K$ . It induces a linear map of skeins from  $\mathcal{C} = H(S^1 \times I)$  to  $H(M)$ , with image denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_K$ .

In the case where  $M = F \times I$  and  $K$  is an *embedded* curve in the surface  $F$ , which is framed by its neighbourhood in  $F$ , the induced linear map is an algebra homomorphism. The image  $\mathcal{C}_K$  is then a subalgebra of  $H(F)$ .

When  $F = T^2$  there is an embedded curve  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  for each *primitive*  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . We have defined  $P_{k\mathbf{x}}$  above to be the image of  $P_k \in \mathcal{C}$  under the homomorphism  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow H$  determined by the framed embedded curve  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$ .



proved by applying the switch and smooth relations on the  $n$  crossing points of the first diagram in sequence. Both diagrams on the left hand side are then clearly central in the full skein.

**Remark 2.7.** The full skein of the cylinder with  $n = 1$  is used by Lukac [Luk01], and by Morton and Hadji [HM06], under the name  $\mathcal{A}$ , in proving results about  $\mathcal{C}$ . One relevant result from [MM08] is illustrated below, with the cylinder drawn as an annulus, in Equation (3.3). This leads immediately to the representation of  $(s^k - s^{-k}) \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^k$  for every  $n > 1$ .

Very close analogues of  $Y_i$  in  $H_n$  are used in [Mor02a, Mor02b] where they represent the Murphy operators. The notation  $T_i$  is used in that paper, where a similar construction gives a quick proof that symmetric polynomials in the commuting elements  $T_i$  are central in  $H_n$ .

It is also worth considering the algebras  $\hat{H}_{n,p}$  where the vertical edges are again identified to give a cylinder, and diagrams meet the horizontal edges in  $n$  upward and  $p$  downward matched pairs of points. By work of Turaev [Tur88] the elements  $X_m$  generate  $H(S^1 \times [0, 1])$  as an algebra over  $R$ .

### 3. A PRESENTATION FOR $H$

In this section we give a presentation of  $H$  using the elements  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  of Definition 2.5.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The algebra  $H$  is generated by the elements  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$ .*

*Proof.* Any element of  $H$  can be reduced to a sum of products of knots using the skein relations. Then a knot in  $H$  is in some graded piece  $H_{j,k}$ , and it follows from [Prz92] that the skein module of the annulus surjects onto any particular graded piece  $H_{j,k}$  by embedding the annulus onto a neighborhood of the  $(j/d, k/d)$  curve (where  $d = \gcd(j, k)$ ). The claim then follows from the fact that the  $X_m$  generate the skein module of the annulus, which was proved by Turaev in [Tur88], and the fact that over  $R$  the  $X_m$  can be written in terms of the  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$ . (See Remark 2.4.)  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *The elements  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  satisfy the following commutation relation:*

$$(3.1) \quad [P_{\mathbf{x}}, P_{\mathbf{y}}] = \{\det[\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{y}]\} P_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}$$

*Proof.* We separate this proof into two subsections. In Section 3.1 we prove the following relations using methods and results of [MM08]:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} [P_{k\mathbf{x}}, P_{j\mathbf{x}}] &= 0 \\ [P_{1,0}, P_{-1,k}] &= \{k\} P_{0,k} \\ [P_{1,0}, P_{0,k}] &= \{k\} P_{1,k} \end{aligned}$$

Then in Section 3.2 we show that the relations (3.2) imply (3.1).  $\square$

Before we prove this theorem, we use a theorem in [Prz92] to show that this gives a presentation of  $H$ . More precisely, let  $A$  be the abstract algebra generated by  $P_{j,k}$  subject to the relations in Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 3.3.** *The natural surjection  $A \twoheadrightarrow H$  is an isomorphism.*


*Proof.* We begin by recalling a basis for  $H$  constructed in [Prz92]. We pick a linear ordering  $\leq$  of elements in  $\pi^0 := \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  according to the angle with the positive  $x$ -axis. Then for each  $w = (m, n) \in \pi^0$  we pick a representative diagram  $B(w)$ , which is defined to be the curve  $A_{k,0}$  (defined in Section 2.4) inserted on the  $(m/d, n/d)$  curve on the torus, where  $d = \gcd(m, n)$ . If  $Sym(\pi^0)$  is the symmetric algebra of  $R[\pi^0]$ , then Przytycki defines a map  $Sym(\pi^0) \rightarrow H$  which takes a monomial  $w_1 \cdots w_n$  to the product  $B(w_1)B(w_2) \cdots B(w_n)$ , where  $w_1 \leq w_2 \leq \cdots \leq w_n$ . Then [Prz92, Thm. 6.2] states that this is an  $R$ -isomorphism.

We then note that over  $R$ , the diagram  $B(w)$  can be written uniquely in terms of diagrams  $P_{cm/d, cn/d}$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d = \gcd(m, n)$  (this follows from Remark 2.4). Then the commutation relations in Theorem 3.2 allow one to order the  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  according to the angle between  $\mathbf{x}$  and the positive  $x$ -axis. This completes the proof of the corollary.  $\square$


### 3.1. Certain commutation relations.

*Proof.* The first equation of (3.2) is obvious because the two elements in question lie in parallel annuli.

To prove the second equation, we work with diagrams in the square with edges identified, as discussed above. The commutator  $[P_{1,0}, P_{-1,k}]$  is represented by the torus diagrams



We introduce intermediate torus diagrams  $C_{j,k-j}$  for  $j = 0, \dots, k$  in which the first  $j$  crossings on the  $(1, 0)$  curve are switched from over to under. Thus




Then  $C_{0,k} = P_{1,0}P_{-1,k}$  and  $C_{k,0} = P_{-1,k}P_{1,0}$ .

The skein relation applied at the circled crossing on  $C_{j,k-j}$  gives

$$C_{j,k-j} - C_{j+1,k-j-1} = (s - s^{-1})D_{j,k-j-1}.$$

Here




is the smoothed diagram with  $j$  crossings on the string from the left-hand vertical edge and  $i$  crossings on the string to the right-hand vertical edge.

Then the commutator  $[P_{1,0}, P_{-1,k}]$  is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{0,k} - C_{k,0} &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (C_{j,k-j} - C_{j+1,k-j-1}) \\ &= (s - s^{-1}) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} D_{j,k-j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the diagram  $D_{j,i}$  in  $T^2$  can be isotoped by moving  $j$  crossings horizontally to the left to get an equivalent diagram which does not meet the vertical edge. The result is the closed braid diagram



This is the element  $A_{i,j} \in \mathcal{C}$  described earlier, running in the direction of the  $(0,1)$  curve on  $T^2$ . This establishes that  $[P_{1,0}, P_{-1,k}]$  is represented by the sum of closed braids.

$$(s - s^{-1}) \sum_{i+j=k-1} A_{i,j}$$


following the  $(0,1)$  curve in  $T^2$ . By definition, in the annulus

$$\sum_{i+j=k-1} A_{i,j} = (s^k - s^{-k}) / (s - s^{-1}) P_k$$


The element  $P_k$  decorating the  $(0,1)$  curve in  $T^2$  represents  $P_{0,k}$  in our current notation, and this proves the equation

$$[P_{1,0}, P_{-1,k}] = \{k\} P_{0,k}.$$

To prove the final equation of (3.2), we first note that a diagram on  $T^2$  cut open along a  $(0,1)$  curve gives a diagram in the annulus with some matched point on the two boundary curves. The product  $P_{1,0} P_{0,k}$  can thus be represented by the diagram



in the annulus with one input on the left boundary and one output on the right boundary. The commutator  $[P_{1,0}, P_{0,k}]$  is then represented in the annulus by



We then apply directly Theorem 4.2 of [Mor02b] which gives an equation in the skein of the annulus with one point on each boundary curve. In diagrammatic form, Theorem 4.2 of that paper shows that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Annulus with } P_k \text{ on inner circle and arrow on outer circle} \\ - \\ \text{Annulus with arrow on inner circle and } P_k \text{ on outer circle} \end{array} = (s^k - s^{-k}) \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Annulus with a curve winding } k \text{ times} \end{array} .$$

The curve in the right-hand diagram circles the annulus  $k$  times, here shown in the case  $k = 2$ . When the annulus follows the  $(0, 1)$  curve in  $T^2$ , and its boundary curves are rejoined to form  $T^2$  the curve in the right-hand diagram becomes the  $(1, k)$  curve in  $T^2$  while the other two diagrams yield  $P_{1,0}P_{0,k}$  and  $P_{0,k}P_{1,0}$  respectively. This leads immediately to the equation

$$[P_{1,0}, P_{0,k}] = \{k\}P_{1,k}.$$


□

**3.2. All commutation relations.** In this section we prove Proposition 3.7, which shows that the equations (3.1) follow from equations (3.2). In what follows, we write  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \det[\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{y}]$  for  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $d(\mathbf{x}) = \gcd(m, n)$  when  $\mathbf{x} = (m, n)$ . We will also use the following terminology:

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \text{ satisfies (3.1) if } [P_{\mathbf{x}}, P_{\mathbf{y}}] = \{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\}P_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}$$

The idea of the proof is to induct on the determinant of the matrix with columns  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ . To induct, we write  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$  for carefully chosen vectors  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  and then use the following lemma. We have indicated an example choice of the vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  in Figure 3. It is easy to see that Lemma 3.4 applies to the vectors in this example.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{x}$  and that  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  satisfies (3.1). Further assume that the four pairs of vectors  $(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{a}), (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}), (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}),$  and  $(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$  satisfy (3.1). Then the pair  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  satisfies (3.1).*

FIGURE 3. A choice of  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}$ ,  $\mathbf{a}$ , and  $\mathbf{b}$ 

*Proof.* By the first assumption, we have  $[P_{\mathbf{a}}, P_{\mathbf{b}}] = \{d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\}P_{\mathbf{x}}$ . We then use the Jacobi identity and the remaining assumptions to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
-\{d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\}[P_{\mathbf{x}}, P_{\mathbf{y}}] &= -[[P_{\mathbf{a}}, P_{\mathbf{b}}], P_{\mathbf{y}}] \\
&= [[P_{\mathbf{y}}, P_{\mathbf{a}}], P_{\mathbf{b}}] + [[P_{\mathbf{b}}, P_{\mathbf{y}}], P_{\mathbf{a}}] \\
&= \{d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{a})\}[P_{\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{a}}, P_{\mathbf{b}}] + \{d(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y})\}[P_{\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{y}}, P_{\mathbf{a}}] \\
&= \left( \{d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{a})\}\{d(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\} + \{d(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y})\}\{d(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{a})\} \right) P_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}} \\
&=: cP_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the identity  $\{m\}\{n\} = \{m+n\}^+ - \{m-n\}^+$  it is straightforward to show

$$c = -\{d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})\}\{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We next prove the following elementary lemma (which is a slight modification of [FG00, Lemma 1]). This lemma is used to make a careful choice of vectors  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  so that the previous lemma can be applied.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Suppose  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  are relatively prime with  $0 < q < p$  and  $p > 1$ . Then there exist  $u, v, w, z \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that the following conditions hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad u + w &= p, & v + z &= q \\
0 < u, w &< p \\
uz - wv &= 1
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime, there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $bq - ap = 1$ . This solution can be modified to give another solution  $a' = a + q$  and  $b' = b + p$ , so we may assume  $0 \leq b < p$ . We then define

$$u = b, \quad v = a, \quad w = p - b, \quad z = q - a$$



By definition,  $u, v, w, z$  satisfy the first condition of (3.4), and the inequalities  $0 \leq b < p$  and  $p > 1$  imply the second condition. To finish the proof, we compute

$$uz - vw = b(q - a) - a(p - b) = bq - ap = 1$$

□

It might be helpful to point out that the numbers in the previous lemma satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & w \\ v & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix}$$

**Remark 3.6.** There is a natural  $R$ -linear anti-automorphism  $\sigma : H \rightarrow H$  which “flips  $T^2 \times [0, 1]$  across the  $y$ -axis and inverts  $[0, 1]$ .” In terms of the elements  $P_{a,b}$ , we have  $\sigma(P_{a,b}) = P_{a,-b}$ . We therefore have an *a priori* action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $H$ , where elements of determinant 1 act by algebra automorphisms, and elements of determinant  $-1$  act by algebra anti-automorphisms.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Suppose  $A$  is an algebra with elements  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  that satisfy equations (3.2). Furthermore, suppose that there is a  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  action by (anti-)automorphisms on  $A$  as in Remark 3.6, and that the action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is given by  $\gamma(P_{\mathbf{x}}) = P_{\gamma(\mathbf{x})}$  for  $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then the  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  satisfy the equations (3.1).*

*Proof.* The proof proceeds by induction on  $|d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})|$ , and the base case  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \pm 1$  is immediate from Remark 3.6 and the assumption (3.2) for  $\mathbf{x} = (1, 0)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (0, 1)$ . We now make the following inductive assumption:

$$(3.5) \quad \text{For all } \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}' \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \text{ with } |d(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}')| < d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \text{ we have } [P_{\mathbf{x}'}, P_{\mathbf{y}'}] = \{d(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}')\}P_{\mathbf{x}'+\mathbf{y}'}$$

We would like to show that  $[P_{\mathbf{x}}, P_{\mathbf{y}}] = \{d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\}P_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}$ . By Remark 3.6, we may assume

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ r \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}, \quad d(\mathbf{x}) \leq d(\mathbf{y}), \quad 0 \leq q < p$$

If  $p = 1$ , then this equation follows from (3.2), so we may also assume  $p > 1$ .

*Case 1:* Assume  $0 < q$ .

Let  $p' = p/d(\mathbf{x})$  and  $q' = q/d(\mathbf{x})$ . By the assumption  $0 < q$ , we see that  $d(\mathbf{x}) < p$ , so  $p' > 1$ . We can therefore apply Lemma 3.5 to  $p', q'$  to obtain  $u, v, w, z \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying

$$(3.6) \quad uz - vw = 1, \quad uq' - vp' = 1, \quad u + w = p', \quad v + z = q', \quad 0 < u, w < p'$$

We then define vectors  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  as follows (the properties listed follow from (3.6)):

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{a} := \begin{pmatrix} d(\mathbf{x})u \\ d(\mathbf{x})v \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} := \begin{pmatrix} d(\mathbf{x})w \\ d(\mathbf{x})z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{x}, \quad d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = d(\mathbf{x})^2$$

Using Lemma 3.4 and Assumption (3.5), it is sufficient to show that the absolute values of each of  $d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ ,  $d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b})$ ,  $d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{a})$ ,  $d(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ , and  $d(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})$  are strictly less than  $|pr| = d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ . First,

$$d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = d(\mathbf{x})^2 \leq d(\mathbf{x})d(\mathbf{y}) = d(\mathbf{x})r < pr$$

where the last inequality follows from the assumption  $0 < q < p$ . Second, the absolute values of  $d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}) = d(\mathbf{x})rw$  and  $d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{a}) = d(\mathbf{x})ru$  are strictly less than  $pr$  by the inequalities in (3.6). Third, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} -d(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) &= -d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}) - d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \\ &= d(\mathbf{x})wr - d(\mathbf{x})^2 \\ &< d(\mathbf{x})wr \\ &\leq pr \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} -d(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) &= -d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{a}) - d(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) \\ &= d(\mathbf{x})ur + d(\mathbf{x})^2 \\ &\leq (d(\mathbf{x})u + d(\mathbf{x}))d(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= (u + 1)d(\mathbf{x})r \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we will be finished once we show that the absolute value of  $(u + 1)d(\mathbf{x})r$  is strictly less than  $p$ . We now split into subcases:

*Subcase 1a:* If  $u + 1 < p'$ , then  $(u + 1)d(\mathbf{x})r < p'd(\mathbf{x})r = pr$ , and we are done.

*Subcase 1b:* Assume  $u + 1 = p'$ . By equation (3.6), we have

$$1 = uq' - vp' = (p' - 1)q' - vp' \implies p'(q' - v) = 1 + q' < 1 + p'$$

Since  $p' > 1$ , the last inequality implies  $q' - v = 1$ , which implies  $v = q' - 1$  and  $z = 1$ . Since  $uz - vw = 1$ , this implies  $(p' - 1) - (q' - 1) = 1$ , which implies  $q' = p' - 1$ . If we write  $g = d(\mathbf{x})$ , we then have

$$-d(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}) = -\det \begin{bmatrix} g & p - g \\ g + r & p - 2g \end{bmatrix} = rp + g(g - r) = rp + d(\mathbf{x})(d(\mathbf{x}) - r)$$

We already assumed that  $d(\mathbf{x}) \leq r$ , and if this inequality is strict, then we are done.

*Subcase 1c:* In this subcase, we are reduced to showing the following vectors satisfy (3.1):

$$\mathbf{y} = (0, r), \quad \mathbf{x} = (rp', rp' - r)$$

First, suppose  $r = 1$ . Then there is a matrix in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  that fixes  $\mathbf{y}$  and sends  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto (p', -1)$ . Therefore, if  $r = 1$ , the second equation of (3.2) implies that  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  satisfies (3.1).

Now we assume  $r > 1$  and replace our previous choice of  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  with a choice which is better adapted to this particular subcase. We define

$$\mathbf{a} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} := \begin{pmatrix} rp' \\ rp' - r + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $r > 1$ , it is clear that the absolute values of the determinants of the matrices  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ ,  $[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{a}]$ ,  $[\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ , and  $[\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}]$  are all less than  $r^2p'$ . This together with Assumption (3.5) shows that these pairs of vectors satisfy (3.1). Finally, since  $rp' - r$  and  $rp' - r + 1$  have different parities, we see that  $d(\mathbf{b}) \neq d(\mathbf{x}) = r$ . This together with Subcase 1b shows that  $(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b})$  satisfies (3.1). Then Lemma 3.4 finishes the proof of this subcase, which finishes the proof of Case 1.


*Case 2:* In this case we assume  $q = 0$ . We define  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  similarly to Subcase 1c, so we have

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ r \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{a} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} := \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $r = 1$ , then the third equation of (3.2) implies that the pair  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  satisfies (3.1). If  $r > 1$ , then an identical argument to Subcase 1c finishes the proof of this case and of the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. THE SKEIN OF THE ANNULUS AS A MODULE OVER THE ALGEBRA $H$ .

We now describe the action of the algebra  $H$  on the skein  $\mathcal{C}$  of the annulus. Draw the torus  $T^2$  as the boundary of a standardly embedded solid torus  $V \subset R^3$ . Once an orientation and a framing for the core of  $V$  have been chosen, by choosing an oriented annular neighbourhood of the core curve, we can regard  $V$  as a thickened annulus and get an explicit identification of the skein  $H(V)$  of  $V$  with the skein  $\mathcal{C}$ . In the diagram below



we indicate the relation of the torus and the framed core of  $V$ .

Parametrize  $T^2$  so that the core lies in the direction of the  $(0, 1)$  curve, and the framing of the core is chosen to agree with the neighbourhood framing of the  $(0, 1)$  curve in  $T^2$ . The  $(1, 0)$  curve in  $T^2$  is then a meridian of the solid torus  $V$ .

As remarked in Section 2, the skein  $H(V) \cong \mathcal{C}$  is a module over the algebra  $H(\partial V) \cong H$ . To describe  $h \cdot c \in \mathcal{C}$  explicitly for  $h \in H$  and  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , we represent  $c$  by a framed diagram in the core annulus, and  $h$  by a framed diagram in  $\partial V = T^2$ . The union of these two framed diagrams in  $V$  then represents  $h \cdot c$  in  $H(V) = \mathcal{C}$ . (Properly  $h$  and  $c$  are represented by some  $R$ -linear combination of framed diagrams, and we extend the construction bilinearly).

**4.1. The action.** We now give precise statements about the action of  $H$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Because the core annulus of  $V$  is parallel to the  $(0, 1)$  curve in  $T^2$  the action of  $P_{0,n}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  is simply multiplication by  $P_n$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

By results of Morton and Hadji [MH02, HM06], the module  $\mathcal{C}$  has an  $R$ -linear basis given by elements  $Q_{\lambda, \mu}$ , where  $\lambda, \mu$  range over the set of partitions. The basis elements  $Q_{\lambda, \mu}$  are shown there to be eigenvectors of the ‘meridian maps’ from  $\mathcal{C}$  to itself defined for each  $m$  by  $c \mapsto P_{m,0} \cdot c$ . We will describe the action of  $H$  in this basis. Then at the end of the section we will collect from the literature several facts about the  $Q_{\lambda, \mu}$  for the reader’s convenience.

For partitions  $\lambda, \mu$  write

$$s_{\lambda, \mu} := (s - s^{-1}) \left( v^{-1} \sum_{x \in \lambda} s^{2c(x)} - v \sum_{x \in \mu} s^{-2c(x)} \right) + \frac{v^{-1} - v}{s - s^{-1}}$$

where  $c(x) = j - i$  is the *content* of the cell  $x$  in row  $i$  and column  $j$ . We will use the continental convention for Young diagrams, so that the unique partition of 1 corresponds to a cell in the lower-left corner of the diagram, which is in row and column 0.

For a partition  $\lambda$  define its *content polynomial*  $C_\lambda(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$  by

$$C_\lambda(t) = \sum_{x \in \lambda} t^{c(x)}.$$

Then  $s_{\lambda,\mu} = (s - s^{-1})(v^{-1}C_\lambda(s^2) - vC_\mu(s^{-2})) + \frac{v^{-1} - v}{s - s^{-1}}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *In the basis  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  described in [MH02, HM06], the action of  $H$  is determined by the equations*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,0} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} &= s_{\lambda,\mu} Q_{\lambda,\mu} \\ P_{-1,0} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} &= s_{\mu,\lambda} Q_{\lambda,\mu} \\ P_{0,1} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \lambda+1} Q_{\alpha,\mu} + \sum_{\beta \in \mu-1} Q_{\lambda,\beta} \\ P_{0,-1} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} &= \sum_{\beta \in \lambda-1} Q_{\beta,\mu} + \sum_{\alpha \in \mu+1} Q_{\lambda,\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda + 1, \lambda - 1$  are the set of partitions where one cell has been added to (subtracted from)  $\lambda$ , respectively.

*Proof.* The first two equations are the meridian map formulae in [HM06, Thm. 3.9], and the last two are the product formulae in [MR10].  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *The statements in Theorem 4.1 completely determine the module structure of  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  form a basis for  $\mathcal{C}$  the action of  $P_{\pm 1,0}$  and  $P_{0,\pm 1}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  is completely described by Theorem 4.1. Over  $R$  these elements generate the algebra  $H$ , which completes the claim.  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** The skein  $\mathcal{C}$  has a multiplicative identity 1, represented by the empty diagram. Then  $h \cdot 1$  is the element  $h$  regarded as lying in the solid torus  $V$ . In particular, when  $h$  is a meridian element, meaning that  $h$  is  $P_{1,0}$  decorated by some element  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , the element  $h \cdot 1$  is represented by the zero framed unknot in a ball inside  $V$  decorated by  $c$ . Its value in  $\mathcal{C}$  is the scalar multiple  $\text{ev}(c)1$  of the identity, where  $\text{ev}(c)$  is the Homflypt polynomial of the unknot decorated by  $c$ .

Thus  $P_{m,0} \cdot 1 = \text{ev}(P_m)1$ , and it is known, [Ais96, section 4.9.9], that

$$\text{ev}(P_m) = \frac{v^{-m} - v^m}{s^m - s^{-m}}.$$

This follows quickly from the definition of  $P_m$  in section 2.4 in terms of closed braids. The framing relation shows that  $\text{ev}(A_{i,j}) = v^{i-j} \text{ev}(A_{0,0})$ , where  $A_{0,0}$  is the simple 1-string closed braid representing the unknot with zero framing. Then

$$\text{ev}(P_m) = \frac{s - s^{-1}}{s^m - s^{-m}} (v^{m-1} + v^{m-3} + \dots + v^{-(m-1)}) \delta = \frac{(s - s^{-1})(v^m - v^{-m})}{(s^m - s^{-m})(v - v^{-1})} \delta,$$

where  $\delta = \text{ev}(A_{0,0}) = \frac{v^{-1} - v}{s - s^{-1}}$ , as in eq(2.3).

Our commutation relation  $[P_{m,0}, P_{0,n}] = \{mn\}P_{m,n}$  leads to the calculation in [MM08] of the effect in  $\mathcal{C}$  of putting a meridian decorated by  $P_m$  around the core decorated by  $P_n$ . The core decorated by  $P_n$  is  $P_{0,n} \cdot 1 \in \mathcal{C}$ . Hence putting a meridian decorated by  $P_m$  around this gives

$$\begin{aligned} P_{m,0} \cdot (P_{0,n} \cdot 1) &= P_{m,0}P_{0,n} \cdot 1 = \{mn\}P_{m,n} \cdot 1 + P_{0,n}P_{m,0} \cdot 1 \\ &= \{mn\}P_{m,n} \cdot 1 + \text{ev}(P_m)P_{0,n} \cdot 1 \\ &= \{mn\}P_{m,n} \cdot 1 + \text{ev}(P_m)P_n. \end{aligned}$$

In fact it was this equation in [MM08, Thm. 18], illustrated there in figure 14, with  $N, M$  in place of our  $m, n$  respectively, which encouraged us to conjecture (and then prove) the commutation relations of Theorem 3.2 in their complete generality. (The original goal was to prove the relations (3.2) and then use these to prove the isomorphism with the elliptic Hall algebra.)

We now deduce formulae for the action of  $P_{m,n}$  on the elements  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$ . We first establish some notation.

**Definition 4.4.** We will use several statistics on pairs of partitions.

- (1) For  $\lambda \subset \alpha$ , write  $\alpha - \lambda$  for the skew partition consisting of the cells contained in  $\alpha$  but not in  $\lambda$ .
- (2) Write  $\lambda + n$  for the set of partitions  $\alpha \supset \lambda$  where  $\alpha - \lambda$  is an  $n$ -ribbon<sup>4</sup>. Similarly  $\lambda - n$  is the set of partitions  $\beta \subset \lambda$  where  $\lambda - \beta$  is an  $n$ -ribbon.
- (3) Write  $\text{ht}(\gamma)$  for the *height* of an  $n$ -ribbon  $\gamma$ , defined as the number of rows in  $\gamma$  minus 1.
- (4) Extend the definition of content polynomial to cover skew partitions  $\alpha - \lambda$  by setting

$$C_{\alpha-\lambda}(t) := \sum_{x \in \alpha-\lambda} t^{c(x)} = C_\alpha(t) - C_\lambda(t).$$

Replace  $s$  and  $v$  by  $s^m$  and  $v^m$  in  $s_{\lambda,\mu}$  to define

$$s_{\lambda,\mu}(m) := \{m\}(v^{-m}C_\lambda(s^{2m}) - v^mC_\mu(s^{-2m})) + \frac{v^{-m} - v^m}{s^m - s^{-m}}.$$

- (5) For a skew partition  $\gamma$  write

$$\begin{aligned} b(m, \gamma) &:= v^{-m}C_\gamma(s^{2m}) \\ b^-(m, \gamma) &:= (-1)^{\text{ht}(\gamma)}v^{-m}C_\gamma(s^{2m}) \end{aligned}$$

Using these definitions, we have the immediate relations that

$$s_{\lambda,\mu}(m) = \{m\}b(m, \lambda) + \{-m\}b(-m, \mu) + \frac{v^{-m} - v^m}{s^m - s^{-m}}$$

and  $s_{\lambda,\mu}(-m) = s_{\mu,\lambda}(m)$ .

<sup>4</sup>An  $n$ -ribbon is a (skew) partition with  $n$  boxes that is connected and contains no  $2 \times 2$  squares.

**Remark 4.5.** If  $\gamma$  is an  $n$ -ribbon it consists of a sequence of  $n$  cells, each adjacent to the previous one, starting with a cell of least content  $k$ , say. The content increases by one for each cell in the sequence, so that  $C_\gamma(t) = t^k(1 + t + \cdots + t^{n-1})$ . Then  $b(1, \gamma) = v^{-1}s^{2k}(s^{2n} - 1)/(s^2 - 1) = v^{-1}s^{2k+n-1}\{n\}/\{1\}$ . A similar calculation shows

$$\frac{\{m\}}{\{mn\}}b(m, \gamma) = (v^{-1}s^{2k+n-1})^m = v^{-m}s^{\frac{m}{n}\sum_{x \in \gamma} c(x)}$$

In particular, this last equality shows that the quantity  $\{m\}b(m, \gamma)/\{mn\}$  is the same for any two  $n$ -ribbons  $\gamma$  whose upper-left box is the same, and changes by a factor of  $s^m$  if the ribbon is translated right or down by one box.

The following formulae generalise those of Theorem 4.1. They apply even in the case of  $n < 0$ , provided that when  $\alpha \subset \lambda$  we set  $\text{ht}(\alpha - \lambda) = \text{ht}(\lambda - \alpha)$ , and still take  $C_{\alpha-\lambda}(t)$  to mean  $C_\alpha(t) - C_\lambda(t)$ , so that  $b(m, \alpha - \lambda) = -b(m, \lambda - \alpha)$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *For  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  we have the following equalities:*

$$(4.1) \quad P_{m,0} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} = s_{\lambda,\mu}(m)Q_{\lambda,\mu}$$

$$(4.2) \quad P_{0,n} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} = \sum_{\alpha \in \lambda+n} (-1)^{\text{ht}(\alpha-\lambda)} Q_{\alpha,\mu} + \sum_{\beta \in \mu-n} (-1)^{\text{ht}(\mu-\beta)} Q_{\lambda,\beta}$$

$$(4.3) \quad P_{m,n} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} = \frac{\{m\}}{\{mn\}} \left[ \sum_{\alpha \in \lambda+n} b^-(m, \alpha - \lambda) Q_{\alpha,\mu} + \sum_{\beta \in \mu-n} b^-(-m, \mu - \beta) Q_{\lambda,\beta} \right] \\ = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \lambda+n \\ \alpha' = \alpha - \lambda}} (-1)^{\text{ht}(\alpha')} v^{-m} s^{\frac{m}{n}C_{\alpha'}(1)} Q_{\alpha,\mu} + \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mu-n \\ \beta' = \mu - \beta}} (-1)^{\text{ht}(\beta')} v^m s^{-\frac{m}{n}C_{\beta'}(1)} Q_{\lambda,\beta}$$

**Remark 4.7.** Before proving the theorem, we remark that when  $\mu = \emptyset$ , these formulas were already known. The first follows from the identification of  $P_{0,n}$  with the power sum function in [Mor02a, MM08], and the second appears as [MM08, Lemma 17]. For a closely related statement (also when  $\mu = \emptyset$ ), see [Ste10].

*Proof.* We know the first two equations for  $m = \pm 1$  and  $n = \pm 1$ . Equation (4.3) follows from (4.1) and (4.2) by a straightforward calculation using the commutation relation of Theorem 3.2, and the final equality follows from (4.3) by Remark 4.5.

To prove equation (4.1), we proceed by induction on  $m$ , where in the inductive step we assume that the first and third equations are true for  $0 \leq m \leq M$  and for  $n \in \{-1, 1\}$ , and prove the first equation for  $m = M + 1$ . (The case  $m < 0$  follows by symmetry.) By the inductive assumption, we have

$$P_{m,1} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} = \sum_{\alpha \in \lambda+1} b(m, \alpha - \lambda) Q_{\alpha,\mu} + \sum_{\beta \in \mu-1} b(-m, \mu - \beta) Q_{\lambda,\beta} \\ P_{1,-1} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} = \sum_{\alpha \in \lambda-1} b(1, \lambda - \alpha) Q_{\alpha,\mu} + \sum_{\beta \in \mu+1} b(-1, \beta - \mu) Q_{\lambda,\beta}$$

From these, we can compute the action of  $P_{m+1,0}$  using the commutation relation

$$(4.4) \quad P_{m+1,0} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} = \frac{-1}{\{m+1\}} [P_{m,1}, P_{1,-1}] \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu}$$

To shorten the following computation, we first note that in the formula for  $P_{m,1}P_{1,-1} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  there will be 4 types of terms corresponding to whether each operator adds cells to  $\lambda$  or remove cells from  $\mu$ . The “cross terms” where both  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  change will cancel with the analogous cross terms from  $-P_{1,-1}P_{m,1} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu}$ . Also, equality (4.4) for the terms where just  $\lambda$  changes is equivalent to the equality of the terms where just  $\mu$  changes, by symmetry. Therefore, in the following computation we will just write the terms of the right hand side of (4.4) where only  $\lambda$  changes, and denote the rest of the terms by “ $\dots$ ”.

$$(4.5) \quad P_{m,1}P_{1,-1} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} = \sum_{\alpha \in \lambda-1} b(1, \lambda - \alpha) \sum_{\alpha' \in \alpha+1} b(m, \alpha' - \alpha) Q_{\alpha',\mu} + \dots$$

$$(4.6) \quad P_{1,-1}P_{m,1} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} = \sum_{\beta \in \lambda+1} b(m, \beta - \lambda) \sum_{\beta' \in \beta-1} b(1, \beta - \beta') Q_{\beta',\mu} + \dots$$

We now examine the coefficients of  $Q_{\gamma,\mu}$  in  $-[P_{m,1}, P_{1,-1}] \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu}$ , which is (4.6) minus (4.5). Since  $P_{m,1}$  acts by adding one box, the terms where  $\gamma \neq \lambda$  appear exactly once in both (4.5) and (4.6) with equal coefficients, so they cancel. The coefficient of  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  in (4.5) comes from the cases where a cell  $x$  is removed from  $\lambda$  to give  $\alpha \in \lambda - 1$ , and then restored to get  $\alpha' = \lambda$ . Therefore, the coefficient of  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  in (4.5) is

$$\sum_{\{x \mid \alpha = \lambda - x\}} b(1, x) b(m, x) = v^{-m-1} \sum_{\{x \mid \alpha = \lambda - x\}} s^{2(m+1)c(x)}$$

In (4.6) we need  $\beta' = \lambda$ , so  $\beta$  arises by adding (and then removing) one cell  $y$  to  $\lambda$ . This shows that the coefficient of  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  in (4.6) is

$$\sum_{\{y \mid \beta = \lambda + y\}} b(1, y) b(m, y) = v^{-m-1} \sum_{\{y \mid \beta = \lambda + y\}} s^{2(m+1)c(y)}$$

The difference (4.6) minus (4.5) is then

$$(4.7) \quad v^{-m-1} \left[ \sum_{\{y \mid \beta = \lambda + y\}} s^{2(m+1)c(y)} - \sum_{\{x \mid \alpha = \lambda - x\}} s^{2(m+1)c(x)} \right]$$

(In other words, the two sums in this expression are over boxes  $y$  that can be added to  $\lambda$ , and boxes  $x$  that can be removed from  $\lambda$ , respectively.)

It is now enough to show that the expression in (4.7) is equal to the terms with coefficient  $v^{-m-1}$  in  $\{m+1\}s_{\lambda,\mu}(m+1)$ . (The terms with coefficient  $v^{m+1}$  will come from the terms where a cell is added and subtracted from  $\mu$ , by symmetry). In other words, we must show that (4.7) is equal to

$$(4.8) \quad v^{-m-1} + \{m+1\}^2 b(m+1, \lambda) = v^{-m-1} \left[ 1 + (s^{m+1} - s^{-m-1})^2 \sum_{z \in \lambda} s^{2(m+1)c(z)} \right]$$

Finally, the equality of the expressions (4.7) and (4.8) is a well-known combinatorial identity. It can be proved by elementary means by first expanding the right hand side of (4.8) along the rows and then along the columns of  $\lambda$ . The two factors of  $\{m+1\}$  turn these two expansions into telescoping sums, and the leftover terms are exactly the ones in (4.7). This completes the proof of equation (4.1) in Theorem 4.6.

We now proceed to the proof of equation (4.2), using a similar induction on  $n$ . Precisely, we assume the claimed relations for  $(m, n)$  with  $m \in \{-1, 1\}$  and  $0 \leq n \leq N$  and prove (4.2) for  $n = N + 1$ . In this case we use the commutation relation

$$(4.9) \quad P_{0,n+1} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} = \frac{1}{\{n+1\}} [P_{1,n}, P_{-1,1}] \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu}$$

The induction assumption shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\{n\}}{\{1\}} P_{1,n} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \lambda+n} b^-(1, \alpha - \lambda) Q_{\alpha,\mu} + \sum_{\beta \in \mu-n} b^-(-1, \mu - \beta) Q_{\lambda,\beta} \\ P_{-1,1} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \lambda+1} b^-(-1, \alpha - \lambda) Q_{\alpha,\mu} + \sum_{\beta \in \mu-1} b^-(1, \mu - \beta) Q_{\lambda,\beta} \end{aligned}$$

When  $P_{1,n}P_{-1,1}$  is applied to  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  there will be four types of terms, depending on how cells are added to  $\lambda$  or subtracted from  $\mu$ . Thus

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\{n\}}{\{1\}} P_{1,n} P_{-1,1} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 \in \lambda+1 \\ \gamma \in \alpha_1+n}} b^-(-1, \alpha_1 - \lambda) b^-(1, \gamma - \alpha_1) Q_{\gamma,\mu} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 \in \lambda+1 \\ \beta_n \in \mu-n}} b^-(-1, \alpha_1 - \lambda) b^-(1, \mu - \beta_n) Q_{\alpha_1, \beta_n} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\alpha_n \in \lambda+n \\ \beta_1 \in \mu-1}} b^-(-1, \alpha_n - \lambda) b^-(1, \mu - \beta_1) Q_{\alpha_n, \beta_1} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\beta_1 \in \mu-1 \\ \delta \in \beta_1-n}} b^-(-1, \mu - \beta_1) b^-(1, \beta_1 - \delta) Q_{\lambda, \delta} \end{aligned}$$

(The subscripts indicate the number of boxes added or removed, e.g. the subscript on  $\alpha_n$  indicates that  $n$  boxes have been added to  $\lambda$  to obtain  $\alpha_n$ .) There is a similar formula for  $\frac{\{n\}}{\{1\}} P_{-1,1} P_{1,n} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu}$ , and it is clear that the ‘‘cross terms’’  $Q_{\alpha_1, \beta_n}$  and  $Q_{\alpha_n, \beta_1}$  will cancel in the expansion of  $[P_{1,n}, P_{-1,1}] \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu}$ . It is also clear that symmetry between the first and last terms on the right hand side of (4.10) shows that it is sufficient to prove our claim for the coefficient of  $Q_{\gamma,\mu}$ .

To simplify notation in the rest of this proof, we will write

$$\text{expS}(m) := s^m$$



We now simplify the coefficients of  $Q_{\gamma,\mu}$  by rescaling and using Remark 4.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.11) \quad & P_{1,n}P_{-1,1} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} \\
&= \frac{\{1\}}{\{n\}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 \in \lambda+1 \\ \gamma \in \alpha_1+n}} b^-(-1, \alpha_1 - \lambda) b^-(1, \gamma - \alpha_1) Q_{\gamma,\mu} + \cdots \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 \in \lambda+1 \\ \gamma \in \alpha_1+n}} (-1)^{\text{ht}(\gamma-\alpha_1)} \expS \left( -2c(\alpha_1 - \lambda) + \frac{2}{n} C_{\gamma-\alpha_1}(1) \right) Q_{\gamma,\mu} + \cdots
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in the other term of the commutator we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.12) \quad & P_{-1,1}P_{1,n} \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu} \\
&= \frac{\{1\}}{\{n\}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_n \in \lambda+n \\ \gamma \in \alpha_n+1}} b^-(-1, \alpha_n - \lambda) b^-(1, \gamma - \alpha_n) Q_{\gamma,\mu} + \cdots \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_n \in \lambda+n \\ \gamma \in \alpha_n+1}} (-1)^{\text{ht}(\alpha_n-\lambda)} \expS \left( -2c(\gamma - \alpha_n) + \frac{2}{n} C_{\alpha_n-\lambda}(1) \right) Q_{\gamma,\mu} + \cdots
\end{aligned}$$

We now examine the coefficient of  $Q_{\gamma,\mu}$  in  $[P_{1,n}, P_{-1,1}] \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  based on the topology of the skew partition  $\gamma - \mu$ . We first note that there are at most 2 components in this skew partition. Then there are 3 cases.

*Case 1:* The skew partition  $\gamma - \lambda$  has 2 components.

In this case, the boxes  $\alpha_1 - \lambda$  and  $\gamma - \alpha_n$  in (4.11) and (4.12) are the same box, and the  $n$ -ribbons  $\gamma - \alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_n - \lambda$  are also the same. This means the coefficients of  $Q_{\gamma,\mu}$  in (4.11) and (4.12) are equal, which means this term cancels in the commutator.

*Case 2:* The skew partition  $\gamma - \lambda$  has a  $2 \times 2$  square. (There is at most 1 such square.)

In this case, the box  $\alpha_1 - \lambda$  must be the lower-left box in the square, and the box  $\gamma - \alpha_n$  is the upper-right box. Since the content of these two boxes is the same, and the heights of the complementary  $n$ -ribbons are the same, the coefficients of these terms are equal, and they cancel in the commutator.

*Case 3:* The skew partition  $\gamma - \lambda$  is an  $n + 1$ -ribbon.

Write  $\gamma' := \gamma - \lambda$ . There are *exactly* two ways to write the  $n + 1$ -ribbon  $\gamma'$  as a union of a box and a ribbon, which means that  $Q_{\gamma,\mu}$  will appear exactly twice in the commutator  $[P_{1,n}, P_{-1,1}] \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu}$ . However, whether these terms appear in (4.11) or (4.12) depends on the shape of  $\gamma'$ .

To be more precise, let  $x$  be the upper-left box of  $\gamma'$  and  $y$  be the lower-right box of  $\gamma'$ . Given a box  $z \in \gamma'$ , we define

$$\text{sign}(z) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda + z \text{ is a partition} \\ -1 & \text{if } \lambda + \gamma' - z \text{ is a partition} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Alternatively, we could say that  $\text{sign}(x) = 1$  if  $\gamma'$  contains a box to the right of  $x$ , and  $\text{sign}(x) = -1$  if  $\gamma'$  contains a box below  $x$ . Similarly,  $\text{sign}(y) = 1$  if  $\gamma'$  contains a box above  $y$ , and  $-1$  otherwise. The point is that if  $\text{sign}(x) = 1$ , then  $x$  must be added to  $\lambda$

before the  $n$ -ribbon  $\gamma' - x$  is added to  $\lambda$ , so this term appears in (4.11) with  $x = \alpha_1 - \lambda$ . Conversely, if  $\text{sign}(x) = -1$ , then  $x$  must be added to  $\lambda$  after the  $n$ -ribbon  $\gamma' - x$ , and this term appears in (4.12) with  $x = \gamma - \alpha_n$ .

We may therefore write the coefficient  $c$  of  $Q_{\gamma,\mu}$  in the commutator  $[P_{1,n}, P_{-1,1}] \cdot Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  as follows:

$$(4.13) \quad c = \text{sign}(x)(-1)^{\text{ht}(\gamma'-x)} \exp\left(-2c(x) + \frac{2}{n}C_{\gamma'-x}(1)\right) \\ + \text{sign}(y)(-1)^{\text{ht}(\gamma'-y)} \exp\left(-2c(y) + \frac{2}{n}C_{\gamma'-y}(1)\right)$$

We now note that  $\text{sign}(x)(-1)^{\text{ht}(\gamma'-x)} = (-1)^{\text{ht}(\gamma')}$ , and similarly  $\text{sign}(y)(-1)^{\text{ht}(\gamma'-y)} = -(-1)^{\text{ht}(\gamma')}$ . We can therefore simplify (4.13) as follows:

$$c = (-1)^{\text{ht}(\gamma')} \left[ \exp\left(-2c(x) + \frac{2}{n}C_{\gamma'-x}(1)\right) - \exp\left(-2c(y) + \frac{2}{n}C_{\gamma'-y}(1)\right) \right]$$

Finally, since  $x$  is the leftmost box of the  $n+1$ -ribbon  $\gamma'$ , we have the equality

$$-2c(x) + \frac{2}{n}C_{\gamma'-x}(1) = -2c(x) + \frac{2}{n} \left( nc(x) + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right) = n+1$$

and similar for  $y$ . We can therefore finally simplify the coefficient  $c$  as

$$c = (-1)^{\text{ht}(\gamma')} (s^{n+1} - s^{-n-1})$$

This completes the proof of equation (4.2) and the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**4.2. Further properties of  $\mathcal{C}$ .** We collect here some results about  $\mathcal{C}$  as an algebra over  $R$ , and about its basis  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$ . The subalgebra  $\mathcal{C}^+$  spanned by  $Q_{\lambda,\emptyset}$  is isomorphic to the ring  $\Lambda$  of symmetric functions. The Schur function  $s_\lambda$  corresponds to  $Q_{\lambda,\emptyset}$ . The identity element 1 of  $\mathcal{C}$ , represented by the empty diagram, is given by  $Q_{\emptyset,\emptyset}$ . The element  $P_n \in \mathcal{C}$  lies in  $\mathcal{C}^+$  for  $n > 0$ , and corresponds to the power sum  $p_n \in \Lambda$ . This interpretation of  $\mathcal{C}$  as symmetric functions was suggested in [AM98], with details established in [Luk01, Luk05] and [Mor02a].

**Remark 4.8.** In the case  $\mu = \emptyset$ , the formulae in theorem 4.6 were already known. Equation (4.1) appears in the context of meridian maps as [MM08, Lemma 17].

A known result in the theory of symmetric polynomials is the expansion of the product of the  $n$ th power sum  $p_n$  and the Schur function  $s_\lambda$  as a signed sum of Schur functions  $s_\alpha$  where  $\alpha - \lambda$  is an  $n$ -ribbon. Equation (4.2) then follows from the interpretation in [MM08] of  $\mathcal{C}^+$  as symmetric polynomials in which  $P_n$  corresponds to  $p_n$ , and  $Q_{\lambda,\emptyset}$  to  $s_\lambda$ .

The subalgebra  $\mathcal{C}^+$  is spanned by closed braid diagrams in the annulus where all the strings go in the same direction. Closed braids with strings in the reverse direction span an isomorphic subalgebra  $\mathcal{C}^-$ , which is also spanned by  $Q_{\emptyset,\mu}$ . Reversing string direction carries  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  to  $Q_{\mu,\lambda}$ . Now  $\mathcal{C}$  can be written as  $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C}^+ \otimes \mathcal{C}^-$ , using Turaev's early description [Tur88] of  $\mathcal{C}$  as a polynomial algebra. His generators  $A_n$  are  $P_{1,n} \cdot 1$  in the notation above, giving  $\mathcal{C}^+$  for  $n > 0$  and  $\mathcal{C}^-$  for  $n < 0$ .

We can then present the whole algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  as  $\Lambda \otimes_R \Lambda$ . We have already noted that  $P_k \in \mathcal{C}^+$  represents  $p_k$  when  $k > 0$  and hence  $p_k \otimes 1$  in  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda$  while  $P_{-k}$  for  $k > 0$  becomes  $1 \otimes p_k$ .

The construction of the elements  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  makes use of elements  $h_n \in \mathcal{C}^+$  corresponding to the complete symmetric functions in  $\Lambda \otimes 1$  and  $h_n^* \in \mathcal{C}^-$ , given by reversing the string direction, which become the complete symmetric functions in  $1 \otimes \Lambda$ .

The formula in [HM06] for  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  is an extension of the classical Jacobi-Trudy formula for  $s_\lambda$  as a polynomial in the complete symmetric functions. The general construction can be illustrated by the case when  $\lambda$  has parts 2, 2, 1 and  $\mu$  has parts 3, 2. Take a matrix with diagonal entries as shown, corresponding to the parts of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_2^* & & & & \\ & h_3^* & & & \\ & & h_2 & & \\ & & & h_2 & \\ & & & & h_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Complete the rows by shifting indices upwards for the parts of  $\lambda$ , and downwards for the parts of  $\mu$ , to get

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} h_2^* & h_1^* & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ h_4^* & h_3^* & h_2^* & h_1^* & 1 \\ 1 & h_1 & h_2 & h_3 & h_4 \\ 0 & 1 & h_1 & h_2 & h_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & h_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $Q_{\lambda,\mu} = \det M$ .

There is a further interesting interpretation for the whole of  $\mathcal{C}$ , where we can consider  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda$  as symmetric functions in two sets of commuting variables  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , with the symmetric functions of  $\mathbf{x}$  representing the first copy of  $\Lambda$  and the symmetric functions of  $\mathbf{y}$  representing the second copy.

In this context there is a body of results stemming from work of King [Kin70], Koike [Koi89] and subsequent authors in which such functions are studied, both as functions of two sets of variables, and in the special setting with  $y_i = x_i^{-1}$  that deals with characters of  $gl(N)$  for large  $N$ .

Besides the Schur functions  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$  and  $s_\lambda(\mathbf{y})$  King [Kin70] defines ‘compound’ Schur functions  $s_{\lambda;\bar{\mu}}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$  by determinants that closely resemble those for  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  in [HM06], or their counterpart in terms of elementary symmetric functions. As a result we can identify  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$  with the compound Schur function  $s_{\lambda;\bar{\mu}}$  of [Kin70].

Here are a few further facts about  $\mathcal{C}$  and its isomorphism with  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda$ :

- (1) The symmetry  $a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  sends  $Q_{\lambda,\mu} \mapsto Q_{\mu,\lambda}$ .
- (2) The products  $Q_{\alpha,\beta} Q_{\alpha',\beta'}$  expand as positive integer combinations in the basis  $Q_{\lambda,\mu}$ .
- (3) The set  $\{Q_{\lambda,\mu} \mid |\lambda| \leq n, |\mu| \leq p, |\lambda| - |\mu| = n - p\}$  spans the subspace  $\mathcal{C}^{n,p}$  defined as the closure of  $(n, p)$  diagrams in the square.
- (4)  $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus \mathcal{C}^{n,p}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}^{n,p} \subset \mathcal{C}^{n+1,p+1}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{n,p} \cap \mathcal{C}^{n',p'} = 0$  if  $n - p \neq n' - p'$ .

(5)  $Q_{\lambda, \emptyset} = s_\lambda \otimes 1$ , and  $Q_{\emptyset, \mu} = 1 \otimes s_\mu$ .

(6) We have  $Q_{\lambda, \mu} = Q_{\lambda, \emptyset} Q_{\emptyset, \mu} + x = (s_\lambda \otimes 1)(1 \otimes s_\mu) + x$ , for some  $x \in \mathcal{C}^{|\lambda|-1, |\mu|-1}$ .

**Remark 4.9.** Fact 5 is established by Lukac, [Luk01, Ch. 3] or [Luk05]. The other facts appear in [HM06] and [MH02]. Fact 1 is immediate from the determinantal formula on reversing the orientations of all curves and rotating the matrix. Fact 2 is theorem 3.5 in [HM06], while facts 3,4 and 6 are in [MH02]. Fact 6, along with a more detailed expression for  $x$ , can also be deduced from [Kin70] (see also [Koi89]), when  $Q_{\lambda, \mu}$  is interpreted in terms of compound Schur functions.

## 5. THE ELLIPTIC HALL ALGEBRA

In this section we recall from [BS12] a presentation of the elliptic Hall algebra  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ , which depends on two parameters  $q, t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . For the convenience of the next section, we will switch  $t \mapsto t^{-1}$  from the notation of [BS12]. We then prove that the  $t = q$  specialization<sup>5</sup>  $\mathcal{E}_{q,q}$  is isomorphic to the Homflypt algebra  $H_{s=q^{-1/2}, v}$ .

**Remark 5.1.** Before giving a presentation, we recall a short description of the construction of the algebra  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  from the introduction of [BS12]. First, we consider a smooth elliptic curve  $X$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and the category  $\text{Coh}(X)$  of coherent sheaves over  $X$ . The *Hall algebra* of this category is a (topological) bialgebra  $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}}^+$ , where  $\sigma, \bar{\sigma}$  are the Frobenius eigenvalues on the  $l$ -adic cohomology group  $H^1(X_{\mathbb{F}_p}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ . It is proved in [BS12] that the relations can be written entirely in terms of Laurent polynomials in these parameters, so we rename the parameters  $q, t$  and allow them to be formal (i.e.  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^+$  is an algebra over  $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$ ). Then  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  is the Drinfeld double of the algebra  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^+$ .

As before, we will write  $d(\mathbf{x}) = \gcd(a, b)$  if  $\mathbf{x} = (a, b)$ , and  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \det[\mathbf{x} \mathbf{y}]$  for  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Define the constant

$$\alpha_i := (1 - q^i)(1 - t^{-i})(1 - q^{-i}t^i)/i$$

We will also write  $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$  for the triangle with corners  $0$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$ , and  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ .

**Definition 5.2.** By [BS12, Thm. 5.4], the *elliptic Hall algebra*  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  is generated by elements  $u_{\mathbf{x}}$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , with relations

(1) If  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  belong to the same line in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , then

$$[u_{\mathbf{x}}, u_{\mathbf{y}}] = 0$$

(2) If  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  are such that  $d(\mathbf{x}) = 1$  and  $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$  has no interior lattice points, then

$$(5.1) \quad [u_{\mathbf{y}}, u_{\mathbf{x}}] = \epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \frac{\theta_{\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}}}{\alpha_1}$$

Here  $\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \text{sign}(d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))$  and the elements  $\theta_{\mathbf{x}}$  are polynomials in the  $u_{k\mathbf{x}}$  defined for  $d(\mathbf{x}_0) = 1$  by equating the following series:

$$(5.2) \quad 1 + \sum_{i>0} \theta_{i\mathbf{x}_0} z^i = \exp\left(\sum_{r \geq 1} \alpha_r u_{r\mathbf{x}_0} z^r\right)$$

where  $z$  is a formal variable.

<sup>5</sup>In terms of the Frobenius eigenvalues, this specialization is  $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}^{-1}$ .

**Remark 5.3.** By [BS12, Lemma 5.3], the group  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  via  $\gamma(u_{\mathbf{x}}) = u_{\gamma(\mathbf{x})}$ .

These relations look somewhat similar to the commutation relations for  $H$ , but they are complicated by the definition of  $\theta_{\mathbf{x}}$ . The key observation is that if  $t = q$ , then the element  $\theta_{\mathbf{x}}$  defined in (5.2) simplifies substantially.

**Lemma 5.4.** *If  $t = q$ , then*

$$\frac{\theta_{\mathbf{x}}}{\alpha_1} = ([d(\mathbf{x})]_{q^{1/2}})^2 u_{\mathbf{x}}$$

*Proof.* Each constant  $\alpha_i$  has a zero of order 1 at  $t = q$ . If we write the RHS of (5.2) as  $\exp(a)$ , then only the terms of degree 1 in  $a$  have a simple zero at  $t = q$ . Therefore, if we specialize  $t = q$ , the only surviving term in  $\exp(a)/\alpha_1$  is  $a/\alpha_1$ , so the identity  $(\alpha_i/\alpha_1)|_{t=q} = ([i]_{q^{1/2}})^2$  shows the claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.** *If  $t = q$ , then the following relations are satisfied:*

$$\begin{aligned} [u_{1,0}, u_{-1,k}] &= -\mathrm{sign}(k) ([k]_{q^{1/2}})^2 u_{0,k} \\ [u_{1,0}, u_{0,k}] &= -\mathrm{sign}(k) u_{1,k} \end{aligned}$$

We now define renormalized generators  $w_{\mathbf{x}} := (q^{d(\mathbf{x})/2} - q^{-d(\mathbf{x})/2}) u_{\mathbf{x}}$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** *If we specialize  $q = t$  and identify  $t = q = s^{-2}$ , then the map  $P_{\mathbf{x}} \mapsto w_{\mathbf{x}}$  extends to an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -graded isomorphism of algebras  $H_{s,v} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{q=s^{-2}, t=s^{-2}}$ .*

*Proof.* We first remark that  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts by permutation on the generators  $w_{\mathbf{x}}$  by Remark 5.3 (since it preserves the  $\gcd$  of the entries of vectors). If we rewrite the relations of Corollary 5.5 in terms of  $w_{\mathbf{x}}$  and the parameter  $s$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [w_{1,0}, w_{-1,k}] &= \{k\}_s w_{0,k} \\ [w_{1,0}, w_{0,k}] &= \{k\}_s w_{1,k} \end{aligned}$$

These are the same as the relations (3.2), so Remark 5.3 combined with Proposition 3.7 shows that the  $w_{\mathbf{x}}$  also satisfy the relations (3.1). The map is clearly surjective, and it is injective because the description of the basis of  $H$  in Corollary 3.3 agrees with the PBW basis of  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  described in [BS12, Thm. 4.8].  $\square$

**Remark 5.7.** There is  $S_3$  symmetry in the parameters  $\{q, t, qt^{-1}\} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ , so if we specialize  $s^2 = q^{-1}$  and  $t = 1$  the previous theorem remains true. We also note that Theorem 3.2 shows that the skein algebra  $H_{s,v}(T^2)$  actually does not depend on the parameter  $v$ , although the action of  $H_{s,v}$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  does depend on  $v$ . The meaning of this parameter in terms of the Hall algebra or its action on symmetric functions remains unclear.

## 6. ADAPTATIONS OF THE HOMFLYPT SKEIN RELATIONS

There are a number of instances, for example in the context of families of Hecke algebras of type  $A$ , or in relation to quantum  $\mathrm{SL}_N$  modules and associated invariants, where Homflypt skeins can be used as models after a simple adaptation.

The simplest model of the Hecke algebra  $H_n$  of type  $A_{n-1}$  is the Homflypt skein of oriented framed  $n$ -tangles, using diagrams in a rectangle with  $n$  inputs at the bottom and  $n$  outputs at the top [MT90]. Composition is induced by stacking diagrams and the algebra is generated by the elementary  $n$ -braids

$$\sigma_i = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\begin{array}{ccccccc} \uparrow & | & | & \uparrow & \nearrow & \nwarrow & \uparrow & | & | & \uparrow \\ & & & & & & & & & \end{array}} \\ i \quad i+1 \end{array}.$$

Write  $T_i$  for the element of the skein represented by  $\sigma_i$ . The basic skein relation gives the equation  $T_i - T_i^{-1} = (s - s^{-1})\text{Id}$ , and hence the quadratic relation

$$(T_i - s)(T_i + s^{-1}) = 0,$$

with roots  $s, -s^{-1}$ .

Many algebraic accounts use a version of the Hecke algebra where the quadratic has roots  $q, -1$ , so it is useful to adapt the skein theory to allow for roots  $xs, -xs^{-1}$  with an extra parameter  $x$ . This is done by Aiston and Morton in [AM98] for the Hecke algebra, and subsequently used in the form below for other skeins.

**6.1. The adaptable Homflypt skein.** Use  $R[x^{\pm 1}]$ -linear combinations of framed oriented curves in a 3-manifold  $M$ , possibly including arcs with fixed input and output points on  $\partial M$ , subject to the relations

$$\begin{array}{l} x^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} - x \begin{array}{c} \nwarrow \\ \swarrow \end{array} = (s - s^{-1}) \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \quad \left( \text{Switch and smooth} \right) \\ \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \circlearrowleft \end{array} = xv^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \curvearrowright \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} = x^{-1}v \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} \quad \left( \text{Framing change} \right) \end{array}$$

with the local blackboard framing convention. The resulting skein  $H_x(M)$  provides the relations  $x^{-1}T_i - xT_i^{-1} = (s - s^{-1})\text{Id}$  and hence the quadratic relation with roots  $xs, -xs^{-1}$ .

This is useful in several instances.

- Take  $x = s$  and set  $q = s^2$  to recover the algebraic version of the Hecke algebra with roots  $q, -1$ .
- Take  $x = v$  to eliminate the framing dependence.
- Take  $s = e^{h/2}, v = s^{-N}, x = e^{-h/2N} = s^{-1/N}$  to adjust for the quadratic relation satisfied by the fundamental  $R$ -matrix of the  $SL_N$  quantum group, and the effect of framing change when constructing knot invariants. [Ais96, MM08]

Much of Aiston's original work uses these adaptable relations, with  $x$  as an indeterminate alongside  $v$  and  $s$  in the coefficient ring.

Clearly, knowing the skein  $H_x(M)$  we can find the basic skein  $H(M) = H_1(M)$  by setting  $x = 1$ . Lukac suggested how to reverse the process in many instances and recover  $H_x(M)$  from  $H(M)$ , so that we can work without  $x$ , while still being in a position to adapt if needed.

**Theorem 6.1.** *When  $M = F \times I$  is a thickened surface there is a linear isomorphism  $f_x : H(M) \rightarrow H_x(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Represent each union of framed curves in  $M$  by a diagram  $D$  on  $F$  with the blackboard framing. The allowed changes in the curves alter  $D$  by isotopy in  $F$  and Reidemeister moves  $R_{II}, R_{III}$ . The *writhe* of  $D$ ,  $w(D)$ , defined as the sum of the signs of the crossings in  $D$ , then depends only on the curves in  $M$  and not on the choice of representing diagram.

Define  $f_x$  on diagrams by

$$f_x(D) = x^{-w(D)} D.$$

To prove that this induces a well-defined map on  $H(M)$  we must show that the skein relations are respected.

For the switch and smooth relation we must show that

$$f_x(D_+) - f_x(D_-) = (s - s^{-1})f_x(D_0)$$

in  $H_x(M)$ , where three diagrams  $D_+, D_-, D_0$  differ only by switching or smoothing a crossing.

Now the writhes of  $D_{\pm}, D_0$  satisfy  $w(D_+) = w + 1, w(D_-) = w - 1$  where  $w = w(D_0)$ , so

$$f_x(D_+) - f_x(D_-) = x^{-w-1}D_+ - x^{-w+1}D_- = x^{-w}(s - s^{-1})D_0 = (s - s^{-1})f_x(D_0)$$

in  $H_x(M)$ .

Similarly, for the framing change,  $w \left( \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \circlearrowleft \end{array} \right) = w + 1$  where  $w = w \left( \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right)$ , so in  $H_x(M)$

we have

$$f_x \left( \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \circlearrowleft \end{array} \right) = x^{-w-1} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \circlearrowleft \end{array} = x^{-w} v^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} = v^{-1} f_x \left( \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right).$$

□

For example, if we need to adapt the element  $P_m = (s - s^{-1})/(s^m - s^{-m})X_m$  from our algebra  $H$  above to  $H_x$  we replace  $X_m = \sum A_{i,j}$  by  $\sum x^{j-i} A_{i,j}$  as in Aiston's original version. The product  $P_{\mathbf{x}}P_{\mathbf{y}}$  in  $H$  is replaced by  $x^{-k}P_{\mathbf{x}}P_{\mathbf{y}}$  on passing to  $H_x$  where we use the adapted  $P_{\mathbf{x}}, P_{\mathbf{y}}$  in  $H_x$ , and set  $k = \det[\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{y}]$ . This implies the following corollary of Theorem 3.2:

**Corollary 6.2.** *Using the general Homflypt skein relations in this section (with parameters  $x, s, v$ ), the algebra  $H$  is generated by elements  $P_{\mathbf{x}}$  with relations*

$$x^{-k}P_{\mathbf{x}}P_{\mathbf{y}} - x^kP_{\mathbf{y}}P_{\mathbf{x}} = \{d\}P_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}$$

where  $d = \det[\mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{y}]$ .

The quadratic relations used by Schiffman, Vasserot, and Cherednik correspond to the basic skein, so there is largely no need for adaptation. However, comparison with the results of Frohman and Gelca [FG00] for the Kauffman bracket skein needs the adaptation, after orienting, of  $x = -A^{-1}$ ,  $s = A^{-2}$  and  $v = A^{-4}$ .

## 7. ITERATED CABLES

Let  $K$  be an iterated cable of the unknot and  $\lambda$  a partition. In this section we use the isomorphism between the elliptic Hall algebra and the Homflypt skein algebra to construct a 3-variable polynomial that specializes to the  $\lambda$ -colored Homflypt polynomial of  $K$  (up to a monomial  $s^\bullet v^\bullet$ ). This can be considered to be an  $\mathfrak{sl}_\infty$  version of the construction in [Sam14] for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ , which was generalized in [CD14] to arbitrary  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Our construction uses the work of Schiffmann and Vasserot [SV13,SV11] in an essential way, and when restricted to torus knots, it is essentially the same as the construction of Gorsky and Negut [GN15]. We will use our construction to prove a conjecture of Cherednik and Danilenko in [CD14].

The key idea of this construction is the following. First, we prove a cabling formula which computes the colored Homfly polynomial of an iterated cable of the unknot in terms of the algebra  $H$ , the  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  action on  $H$ , the action of  $H$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ , and the evaluation map  $\mathrm{ev}^H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow R$ . (See Proposition 7.13.) We then show that all four of these objects have natural  $t$ -deformations, so the cabling formula can be deformed.

We first establish some notation. Throughout the section,  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$  will be sequences of integers with  $m_i, n_i$  relatively prime and  $m_i > 0$ . We will write  $\Lambda^N := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_N]^{S_N}$  for the graded ring of symmetric polynomials, and  $\Lambda$  for the (graded) ring of symmetric functions, which is  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limit of the  $\Lambda^N$ . We write  $\pi_N : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^N$  for the natural projection.

There are three algebras that we will use in this section: the Homflypt skein algebra  $H$ , the elliptic Hall algebra  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ , and the double affine Hecke algebra  $\check{H}_{q,t}^N$  (defined below). In general we will use superscripts  $H$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $N$  to distinguish between objects associated to these three algebras. For example, associated to the sequences  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$  and a partition  $\lambda$  we will define two polynomials using the representation theory of  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  and  $\check{H}_{q,t}^N$ , respectively:

$$J^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \lambda; q, t, u) \in \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}, u^{\pm 1}], \quad J^N(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \lambda; q, t) \in \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$$

(Technically, we actually define rational functions - see Remark 7.20.) We will relate these polynomials to the colored Homflypt polynomial of the iterated cable  $K(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$  of the unknot determined by the sequences  $\mathbf{m}$  and  $\mathbf{n}$ . We first define the notion of iterated cable that we will use:

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $K$  be a framed knot, let  $T$  be the torus which bounds a neighborhood of  $K$ , and let  $L_{fr}$  be the longitude in  $T$  determined by the framing of  $K$ .

- (1) The *algebraic*  $(m, n)$  cable of  $K$  is the framed knot in  $T$  such that

$$K(m, n) \sim mL_{fr} + nM$$

(In this notation, the symbol  $\sim$  means ‘is homologous to’ and  $M$  is the meridian of  $K$ .) The framing of  $K(m, n)$  is defined to be parallel to the torus  $T$ .

- (2) We then define a framed knot  $K(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$  inductively as follows:  $K(m_1, n_1)$  is the algebraic  $(m_1, n_1)$  cable of the 0-framed unknot, and  $K(\mathbf{m}_k, \mathbf{n}_k)$  is the algebraic  $(m_k, n_k)$  cable of  $K(\mathbf{m}_{k-1}, \mathbf{n}_{k-1})$ .

**Remark 7.2.** We note that the algebraic cabling procedure uses a different framing convention than the standard topological construction of a cable of a knot. However, the resulting cabling formula (Prop. 7.13) is particularly simple, which makes it convenient for



our purposes. In our cabling convention, the framing of the cable is parallel to the torus in which it is embedded. However, the standard topological framing of a knot  $K$  in  $S^3$  is the 0-framing (which means the two boundary components of the annulus determining the framing of  $K$  have 0 linking number). It is clear that the same set of knots is produced by either cabling procedure (even though their framings are different). Since changing the framing of a knot changes its (colored) Homfly polynomials by an overall power of  $q$ , our choice of framing convention will not affect the proof of Theorem 7.4 below.

Our cabling procedure is related to algebraic knots, which are the knots obtained by intersecting a (singular) irreducible algebraic curve in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with a small copy of  $S^3$  around the singularity. In particular, if the  $\mathbf{m}$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  are the *Newton pairs* of an algebraic plane curve (see [EN85, Appendix to Ch. 1]), then the algebraic knot obtained from this curve is  $K(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$ . The knots that arise this way are exactly those with  $n_i > 0$ . (These knots are determined by their Alexander polynomial.)

We will not need to discuss algebraic knots, but it is worth calling attention to [CD14, Conj. 2.4(iii)], which states that if the  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$  are the Newton pairs of an algebraic knot, then the specialization  $J^\mathcal{E}(\square; q = 1, t, u = 0)$  is related to the Betti numbers of the Jacobian factor of the curve. (These numbers were first conjectured to be related to Homfly homology in [ORS12]. Homfly homology was conjectured to also be related the stable polynomials in [CD14].) It is not clear if the skein-theoretic point of view in this paper can say anything about these conjectures.

We will use the following as our definition of the Homflypt polynomial of  $K(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$ . Because of our framing conventions (see Remark 7.2), our definition differs from the standard definition of the Homflypt polynomial of  $K$  by a monomial  $s^\bullet v^\bullet$  depending on  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$  and  $|\lambda|$ . We will ignore this difference since the conjecture in [CD14] is stated up to an overall constant.

**Definition 7.3.** The evaluation in the skein of  $S^3$  of the framed knot  $K(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$  colored by the element  $Q_\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^+$  will be denoted as follows:

$$J^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \lambda; v, s) \in \text{Homflypt}(S^3) = \mathbb{C}[v^{\pm 1}, s^{\pm 1}, (s^k - s^{-k})^{-1}]$$

In this section we will prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 7.4.** *For  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \lambda$  as above, we have the following specializations:*

$$(7.1) \quad v^\bullet s^\bullet J^\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \lambda; q, t, u) \Big|_{q=s^{-2}, t=s^{-2}, u=v^2} = J^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \lambda; v, s)$$

$$(7.2) \quad u^\bullet J^\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \lambda; q, t, u) \Big|_{u=t^N} = q^\bullet t^\bullet J^N(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \lambda; q, t)$$

(where the powers denoted by “ $\bullet$ ” depend on  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$ , and  $|\lambda|$ , but not on  $N$ ). In particular, the Connection Conjecture [CD14, Conj. 2.4(i)] is true.

**Remark 7.5.** The existence of a polynomial  $J^\mathcal{E}$  satisfying the second specialization was announced as a theorem in [CD14]. The proof of this is essentially identical to the proof in [GN15] (which used the results in [SV11] and [SV13]). For the sake of completeness we will include this proof in Section 7.3. We also remark that the stabilization variable  $a$  in the Connection Conjecture of [CD14] is  $-u$  for us, so their specialization  $a = -t^N$  becomes our specialization  $u = t^N$ .

**7.1. Homflypt cabling formula.** In this section we give a cabling formula for the Homflypt polynomial  $J^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \lambda; v, s)$  of the  $\lambda$ -colored framed knot  $K(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$ . In particular, this will give an algebraic formula for  $J^H$  in terms of the action of  $H$  on the skein  $\mathcal{C}$  of the annulus. This formula will later be compared to a specialization of the formula given in Section 7.2 which defines  $J^\mathcal{E}$ , and this will imply the first equality of Theorem 7.4.

To simplify comparison to the elliptic Hall algebra, we will need to twist the action of  $H$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  by an automorphism. To try to make this section self-contained, we will recall the necessary facts about  $H$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  before giving the cabling formula.

**7.1.1. Notation.** We will twist the action of  $H$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  by the automorphism  $P_{m,n} \mapsto P_{-n,m}$ . To compare with the constructions in the following sections we will use the following definitions.

**Definition 7.6.** We define the following subalgebras of  $H$ :

$$H^{\geq} := \langle P_{m,n} \mid m \geq 0 \rangle, \quad H^{>} := \langle P_{m,n} \mid m > 0 \rangle$$

We also will use the following  $R$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\mathcal{C}^+ := R\{Q_{\lambda,\emptyset}\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda, \quad Q_{\lambda,\emptyset} \mapsto s_\lambda$$

The map  $\mathcal{C}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda$  is an algebra isomorphism by [Luk05, Thm. 8.2]. The action of  $H^{\geq}$  preserves the subspace  $\mathcal{C}^+ \subset \mathcal{C}$ , so we can identify  $\Lambda$  as an  $H^{\geq}$ -module. This module structure is described as follows.

**Lemma 7.7.** *The action of  $H$  on  $\Lambda$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{m,0} \cdot s_\lambda &= p_m s_\lambda \\ P_{0,n} \cdot s_\lambda &= \left[ \frac{v^{-n} - v^n}{s^n - s^{-n}} + v^n (s^{-n} - s^n) \sum_{x \in \lambda} s^{-2c(x)} \right] s_\lambda \\ &= \left[ \frac{v^{-n} - v^n}{s^n - s^{-n}} + v^n s^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^k (s^{-2n\lambda_i} - 1) s^{2ni} \right] s_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ .

*Proof.* The first equality follows from the fact that when  $\mu = \emptyset$ , the second equation of Theorem 4.6 agrees with the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule for the product  $p_m s_\lambda$  of a power sum times a Schur function. The second equality is translated from Theorem 4.6, and the third equality follows from the fact that the sum for each row is a telescoping sum.  $\square$

**Remark 7.8.** These equations have been proved previously by Morton and coauthors. The first follows from the identification of  $P_{m,0}$  with the power sum function in [Mor02a, MM08], and the second appears as [MM08, Lemma 17].

**Definition 7.9.** If  $K$  is a framed knot, then the inclusion  $N_K \hookrightarrow S^3$  induces the following  $R$ -linear evaluation map

$$\text{ev}_K^H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow H(S^3) = R$$

For later use we recall an explicit formula for the evaluation map  $\text{ev}_U^H$  restricted to the subspace  $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{C}$  when  $U$  is the 0-framed unknot.

**Lemma 7.10** ([ML03, eq. (12)]). *For the 0-framed unknot  $U$ , the evaluation map is*

$$\mathrm{ev}_U^H : \Lambda \rightarrow R, \quad \mathrm{ev}_U^H(s_\lambda) = \prod_{x \in \lambda} \frac{v^{-1}s^{c(x)} - vs^{-c(x)}}{s^{hl(x)} - s^{-hl(x)}}$$

(If  $x \in \lambda$  is a box, then  $c(x)$  and  $hl(x)$  are its content and hook length, see Section 2.1.)

**Remark 7.11.** It was shown in [Mor07, Thm. 1] that the evaluation  $\mathrm{ev}_K^H(Q_{\lambda,\mu})$  is divisible by the evaluation  $\mathrm{ev}_U^H(Q_{\lambda,\mu})$ . (The latter evaluation is typically called the quantum dimension.)

7.1.2. *The cabling formula.* Let  $K$  be a framed knot with  $N_K$  a tubular neighborhood of  $K$  and  $T_K$  the boundary of  $N_K$ . We use the framing of  $K$  to identify  $T$  with the standard torus, and we use this to identify  $H$  with the skein of  $T_K$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  with the skein of  $N_K$ . Under these identifications, the framed knot  $K$  is isotopic to  $P_{1,0} \in H$  and is also isotopic to  $Q_{(1),\emptyset} \in \mathcal{C}^+ \subset \mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $K(m,n)$  be the algebraic  $(m,n)$  cable of  $K$  and let  $N_{m,n}$  be a tubular neighborhood of  $K(m,n)$ . We identify  $\mathcal{C}$  with the skein of  $N_{m,n}$  using the framing of  $K(m,n)$  - in particular, under this identification, the element  $Q_{(1),\emptyset}$  is isotopic to  $K(m,n)$ .

Finally, let  $\Gamma_{m,n} : N_{m,n} \rightarrow N_K$  be the inclusion, let  $\iota_{m,n}^H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow H$  be the inclusion given by inserting the annulus along the  $(m,n)$  curve. We choose

$$(7.3) \quad \gamma_{m,n} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ such that } \gamma_{m,n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m \\ n \end{pmatrix}$$

We will write

$$\Gamma_{m,n}^H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

for the  $R$ -linear map induced by  $\Gamma_{m,n}$  (where we have identified  $H(N_{m,n})$  and  $H(N_K)$  with  $\mathcal{C}$  as described above). Then the following lemma follows immediately from our choices of identification. (See, e.g. [Sam14, Lemma 2.20].)

**Lemma 7.12.** *Under the identifications above, the  $R$ -linear map  $\Gamma_{m,n}^H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is*

$$\Gamma_{m,n}^H(x) = \gamma_{m,n}(\iota_{1,0}(x)) \cdot 1 = \iota_{m,n}(x) \cdot 1$$

Given sequences  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$  as before, we will use the composition

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}^H := \Gamma_{m_1,n_1}^H \circ \cdots \circ \Gamma_{m_k,n_k}^H$$

**Proposition 7.13.** *If  $U$  is the 0-framed unknot we have the following equality:*

$$J^H(K(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}), \lambda; s, v) = \mathrm{ev}_{K(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})}^H(Q_\lambda) = (\mathrm{ev}_U^H \circ \Gamma_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}^H)(Q_\lambda)$$

*In particular, the  $\lambda$ -colored Homflypt polynomial  $J^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \lambda; v, s)$  of the iterated cable  $K(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$  (in our normalization, see Definition 7.3) is equal to the right hand side.*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 7.12 and our choices of identification. First, the left hand side of the equation is induced from the inclusion of  $N_{K(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})} \hookrightarrow S^3$ , and this inclusion defines the  $(\lambda$ -colored) Homflypt polynomial. Then the right hand side is induced from the sequence of inclusions

$$N_{K(\mathbf{m}_k, \mathbf{n}_k)} \hookrightarrow N_{K(\mathbf{m}_{k-1}, \mathbf{n}_{k-1})} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow N_{K(\mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{n}_1)} \hookrightarrow N_U \hookrightarrow S^3$$

and the composition of these inclusions is equal to the inclusion  $N_{K(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})} \hookrightarrow S^3$ .  $\square$

**Remark 7.14.** This proposition shows that the colored Homflypt polynomials of an iterated cable of the unknot can be evaluated using the skein algebra  $H$ , the  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  action on  $H$ , the action of  $H$  on  $\Lambda$ , and the evaluation map on  $\Lambda$ . The more standard way of writing the cabling formula gives an expression for the polynomials of the cable of  $K$  in terms of the polynomials of  $K$ . Such an expression could be derived from our cabling formula, but we will not need to do this. However, in the simpler case of the Kauffman bracket skein module, both versions of the cabling formula have appeared in multiple places. (Precise statements appear in [Sam14, Cor. 2.15, Cor. 2.16], together with references to other versions.)

In [CD14, Prop. 4.2], the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  version of the latter cabling formula was used to prove the analogue of the specialization in equation (7.1), and the same specialization was proved in [Sam14, Thm. A.8] using the former cabling formula (again for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ ). It therefore should not be surprising that our version of the Homflypt cabling formula implies the specialization in equation (7.1), after the Homflypt skein algebra and elliptic Hall algebra have been shown to be isomorphic.

**7.2. The Hall algebra.** In this section we define a 3-variable polynomial that specializes to the Homflypt polynomial  $\mathrm{ev}_{K(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})}^H(s_\lambda)$  of Proposition 7.13. The key idea is that all the objects on the right hand side of the equality in Proposition 7.13 have  $t$ -deformations that come from the elliptic Hall algebra  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ . We will use the work of Schiffmann and Vasserot in [SV11, SV13], where they constructed an action of a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  on  $\Lambda$ . However, to simplify comparison to double affine Hecke algebras we will change  $t \mapsto t^{-1}$  (as in Section 5). We also use their renormalized generators  $v_{\mathbf{x}} := (q^{d(\mathbf{x})} - 1)u_{\mathbf{x}}$ .

We first define subalgebras

$$\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq} := \langle v_{m,n} \mid m \geq 0 \rangle, \quad \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{>} := \langle v_{m,n} \mid m > 0 \rangle$$

We now recall from [SV13, Prop. 1.4] an action of  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}$  on  $\Lambda$ . The action will be written in terms of *Macdonald polynomials*  $P_\lambda \in \Lambda$ , which form a basis for  $\Lambda$ . The element  $v_{1,0}$  acts by multiplication by the power sum  $p_1$ , and for  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{0,k} \cdot P_\lambda &:= \left( \sum_i (q^{k\lambda_i} - 1)t^{-k(i-1)} \right) P_\lambda \\ v_{0,-k} \cdot P_\lambda &:= q^k \left( \sum_i (q^{-k\lambda_i} - 1)t^{k(i-1)} \right) P_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 7.15.** The Macdonald polynomials  $P_\lambda$  are a homogeneous basis of  $\Lambda$ . Then equation (7.4) combined with the presentation of  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  implies that the operators  $v_{m,n}$  are graded operators, in the sense that they take homogeneous elements to homogeneous elements. In particular, the action of  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^+$  on  $\Lambda$  is graded, which generalizes property (4) under Definition 2.1.

In fact, the subalgebra  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{hor} := \langle v_{k,0} \mid k \geq 0 \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda$  as a graded algebra, and it acts by multiplication operators. Given  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  relatively prime, we let  $\gamma_{m,n} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$

be as in equation (7.3). By [BS12], the group  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ , and we let  $\iota_{m,n}^{\mathcal{E}} : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{q,t}$  be the composition of the isomorphism  $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\mathrm{hor}}$  with the automorphism  $\gamma_{m,n} : \mathcal{E}_{q,t} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ . Since  $\iota_{m,n}^{\mathcal{E}}$  is a map of algebras, it is uniquely determined by the following formula:

$$(7.5) \quad \iota_{m,n}^{\mathcal{E}}(p_k) = v_{km, kn} \in \mathcal{E}_{q,t}$$

We recall Macdonald's evaluation map [Mac95, eq. VI.6.17 and VI.8.8] in terms of  $P_\lambda$ :

$$(7.6) \quad \mathrm{ev}^{\mathcal{E}} : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}, u^{\pm 1}], \quad \mathrm{ev}^{\mathcal{E}}(P_\lambda) := \prod_{x \in \lambda} \frac{t^{l'(x)} - uq^{a'(x)}}{1 - q^{a(x)}t^{l(x)+1}}$$

**Definition 7.16.** Given  $m, n$  relatively prime and  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$  as above, define maps  $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  via

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{m,n}^{\mathcal{E}}(x) &:= \iota_{m,n}^{\mathcal{E}}(x) \cdot 1 \\ \Gamma_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}^{\mathcal{E}} &:= \Gamma_{m_1, n_1}^{\mathcal{E}} \circ \cdots \circ \Gamma_{m_k, n_k}^{\mathcal{E}} \end{aligned}$$

We then define the polynomial

$$J^{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \lambda; q, t, u) := \mathrm{ev}^{\mathcal{E}}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}^{\mathcal{E}}(P_\lambda))$$

Before we prove the first equality of Theorem 7.4 we prove a proposition relating the actions of  $\mathcal{E}_{s^{-2}, s^{-2}}$  and  $H$  on  $\Lambda$ . We recall that Theorem 5.6 states that there is an isomorphism  $H \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{s^{-2}, s^{-2}}$  uniquely determined by  $P_{\mathbf{x}} \mapsto s^{d(\mathbf{x})}v_{\mathbf{x}}$ . We twist this by a graded automorphism of  $H$  to obtain the isomorphism

$$(7.7) \quad \tilde{\varphi} : H \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{s^{-2}, s^{-2}}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}(P_{m,n}) = v^n s^{-m+d(m,n)} v_{m,n}$$

**Proposition 7.17.** For  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $P \in H^>$ , we have

$$P \cdot x = \tilde{\varphi}(P) \cdot x$$

*Proof.* We first compare the actions of  $P_{m,n}$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}(P_{m,n})$  on  $\Lambda$  for certain  $m, n$ . First,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{m,0} \cdot s_\lambda &= p_m s_\lambda \\ v^{-0} s^{-m+0+m} v_{m,0} &= v_{m,0} s_\lambda = p_m s_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Second, from Lemma 7.7, for all  $n$  we have

$$P_{0,n} \cdot s_\lambda = \left[ \frac{v^{-n} - v^n}{s^n - s^{-n}} + v^n s^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^k (s^{-2n\lambda_i} - 1) s^{2ni} \right] s_\lambda$$

It is well-known that when  $t = q$ , the Macdonald polynomials specialize to the Schur functions. Then for  $n > 0$ , the  $t = q = s^{-2}$  specialization of equation (7.4) states

$$\begin{aligned} v^n s^{0+n} v_{0,n} \cdot s_\lambda &= v^n s^{-n} \left[ \sum_i (s^{-2n\lambda_i} - 1) s^{2ni} \right] \\ v^{-n} s^{0+n} v_{0,-n} \cdot s_\lambda &= v^{-n} s^n \left[ \sum_i (s^{2n\lambda_i} - 1) s^{-2ni} \right] \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore shown that  $P_{m,0} \cdot s_\lambda = \tilde{\varphi}(P_{m,0}) \cdot s_\lambda$  and that

$$(7.8) \quad P_{0,n} \cdot s_\lambda = \left[ \frac{v^{-n} - v^n}{s^n - s^{-n}} + \tilde{\varphi}(P_{0,n}) \right] \cdot s_\lambda$$

Using the commutation relations in  $H$ , it is clear that any element in  $H^>$  can be written as sums of products of commutators of  $P_{m,0}$  and  $P_{0,n}$ . In the commutator the constant term on the right hand side of equation (7.8) drops out, which shows that

$$(7.9) \quad P \cdot s_\lambda = \tilde{\varphi}(P) \cdot s_\lambda, \quad \text{for all } P \in H^>$$

which completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

*Proof.* (of equality (7.1) of Theorem 7.4) We first use the cabling formula in Proposition 7.13 to reduce the equality that we are supposed to prove to the following:

$$(7.10) \quad \text{ev}^\mathcal{E}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}^\mathcal{E}(P_\lambda)) \Big|_{q=s^{-2}, t=s^{-2}, u=v^2} = v^\bullet s^\bullet \text{ev}^H(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}^H(s_\lambda))$$

where the powers depend on  $\mathbf{m}$ ,  $\mathbf{n}$ , and  $|\lambda|$ . We recall that if  $p_k \in \Lambda$  is a power sum, then  $\iota_{m,n}^H = P_{km, kn} \in H^>$  and  $\iota_{m,n}^\mathcal{E}(p_k) = v_{km, kn} \in \mathcal{E}_{s^{-2}, s^{-2}}^>$ . Then Proposition 7.17 implies

$$\iota_{m,n}^H(p_k) = v^{kn} s^{-km} s^k v_{km, kn}(p_k) \in \text{End}_R(\Lambda)$$

where the equality is equality of operators in  $\text{End}_R(\Lambda)$ . Now the assignments  $p_k \mapsto v^{kn} s^{-km} s^k p_k$  induce a graded algebra isomorphism  $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ , which shows that for any homogeneous  $x \in \Lambda$  (and in particular for  $x = s_\lambda$ ), we have the equality of operators

$$\iota_{m,n}^H(x) = v^{|x|n} s^{-|x|m} s^{|x|} v_{|x|m, |x|n}(x) \in \text{End}_R(\Lambda)$$

Since this equality is as operators on  $\Lambda$ , this shows that  $\Gamma_{m,n}^H(x) = v^\bullet s^\bullet \Gamma_{m,n}^\mathcal{E}(x)$ , where the powers depend on  $m$ ,  $n$ , and  $|x|$ . Finally, the actions of  $H$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\Lambda$  are graded: if  $a \in H^>$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^>$ , and  $x \in \Lambda$  are homogeneous, then  $a \cdot x$  and  $b \cdot x$  are also homogeneous. (See Remark 7.15.) This implies that  $\Gamma_{m,n}^H$  and  $\Gamma_{m,n}^\mathcal{E}$  are homogeneous maps, which implies that for homogeneous  $x \in \Lambda$ ,

$$(7.11) \quad \Gamma_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}^H(x) = v^\bullet s^\bullet \Gamma_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}^\mathcal{E}(x)$$

where the powers depend on  $\mathbf{m}$ ,  $\mathbf{n}$ , and  $|x|$ .

Now to finish the proof of equation (7.10), all that remains is to compare the evaluation maps  $\text{ev}^\mathcal{E}$  and  $\text{ev}^H$ . We then equate parameters  $t = q = s^{-2}$  and  $u = v^2$  in the formula (7.6) and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}^\mathcal{E}(s_\lambda) &= \prod_{x \in \lambda} \frac{s^{-2l'} - v^2 s^{-2a'}}{1 - s^{-2a} s^{-2l-2}} \\ &= v \prod_{x \in \lambda} \left( s^{1-l'-a'+a+l} \right) \frac{v^{-1} s^{a'-l'} - v s^{l'-a'}}{s^{-a-l-1} - s^{a+l+1}} \\ &= v s^{-|\lambda|} \prod_{x \in \lambda} \frac{v^{-1} s^{c(x)} - v s^{-c(x)}}{s^{hl(x)} - s^{-hl(x)}} \\ &= v s^{-|\lambda|} \text{ev}^H(s_\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where we have written  $l = l(x)$ , etc. (The third equality is a straightforward combinatorial identity.) Since the  $s_\lambda$  are a homogeneous linear basis of  $\Lambda$  and the maps  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}^H$  and  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}^\mathcal{E}$  are homogeneous, this shows equality (7.10) and completes the proof.  $\square$

**7.3. Double affine Hecke algebras.** We now briefly recall the construction of [CD14]. Since the main theorem of this section will follow from comparing to [SV13], we will only introduce the notation necessary to make this comparison. (As in the previous section, the  $t$  of [SV13] is our  $t^{-1}$ .)

The double affine Hecke algebra  $\ddot{H}_{q,t}^N$  of  $GL_N$ , abbreviated DAHA, is the algebra generated by elements  $T_i^{\pm 1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N-1$ , and  $X_j^{\pm 1}, Y_j^{\pm 1}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq N$ , subject to some relations which we will not write down. This algebra is  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -graded, with  $\deg(X_i) = (1, 0)$ ,  $\deg(Y_i) = (0, 1)$ , and  $\deg(T_i) = 0$ .

Let  $S$  be the symmetrizing idempotent in the finite Hecke algebra (which is generated by the  $T_i$ 's), which is characterized by  $T_j S = S T_j = t^{1/2} \mathbf{e}$  for all  $j$ . The spherical DAHA is the subalgebra  $S\ddot{H}_{q,t}^N := S\ddot{H}_{q,t}^N S$  of  $\ddot{H}_{q,t}^N$ , and it is also  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -graded. There is an  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  action on the subalgebra  $S\ddot{H}_{q,t}^N$  (see the paragraph above Lemma 2.1 in [SV11]).

Following [SV11, Sec. 2.2], for  $k > 0$  we define elements

$$P_{0,k}^N = S \sum_i Y_i^k S$$

Elements  $P_{\mathbf{x}}^N$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  are defined using the  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  action. We define  $S\ddot{H}_{q,t}^{N,>}$  to be the subalgebra of  $S\ddot{H}_{q,t}^N$  generated by  $P_{m,n}^N$  with  $m > 0$ .

Cherednik defined an action of  $S\ddot{H}_{q,t}^N$  on  $\Lambda^N$  using Demazure-Lusztig operators (see, e.g. [Che95]). Instead of defining these operators, we recall the following theorem of Schiffmann and Vasserot. (This determines the action of  $S\ddot{H}_{q,t}^{N,>}$  on  $\Lambda^N$  uniquely up to scalars, which is enough for our purposes.)

**Theorem 7.18** ([SV13,SV11]). *The assignment  $v_{\mathbf{x}} \mapsto P_{\mathbf{x}}^N$  extends uniquely to a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -graded  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivariant surjective algebra homomorphism*

$$\phi^N : \mathcal{E}_{q,t} \twoheadrightarrow S\ddot{H}_{q,t}^N$$

Furthermore, under the projection  $\pi_N : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^N$ , the actions of the subalgebras  $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{>}$  and  $S\ddot{H}_{q,t}^{N,>}$  are related via the formula

$$(q^{\bullet} t^{\bullet} u^{\bullet} \pi_N \circ v_{m,n}) \Big|_{u=t^N} = P_{m,n}^N \circ \pi_N$$

where  $v_{m,n}$  and  $P_{m,n}^N$  are viewed as endomorphisms of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^N$ , respectively, and where the powers denoted ' $\bullet$ ' depend on  $m$  and  $n$  but not on  $N$  or on  $|\lambda|$ .

*Proof.* The first statement is [SV11, Thm. 3.1]. The second statement follows from [SV13, Lemma 1.3] and the discussion directly preceding [SV13, Prop. 1.4]. We remark that the definition of the  $P_{m,n}^N$  differs in [SV13] and [SV11] - we have chosen the latter because these make the map  $\phi^N$  equivariant under the  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  action. The analogous surjection used in [SV13] is a twist of  $\phi^N$  by a graded automorphism, which accounts for the factor  $q^{\bullet}$  in our statement. The factors  $u^{\bullet}$  and  $t^{\bullet}$  come from the two formulas below [SV13, eq. (2.12)].  $\square$

There is a natural algebra map  $\iota^N : \Lambda^N \rightarrow \mathring{\text{SH}}_{q,t}^{N,>}$  which takes the power sum functions  $p_k$  to the element  $P_{k,0}^N$ . Given  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  relatively prime with  $m > 0$ , we will write

$$\iota_{m,n}^N := \gamma_{m,n} \circ \iota^N$$

where  $\gamma_{m,n} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is as in equation (7.3). We remark that the automorphism  $\gamma_{m,n}$  of  $\mathring{\text{SH}}_{q,t}^N$  does not preserve the subalgebra  $\mathring{\text{SH}}_{q,t}^{N,>}$ . However, since  $m > 0$ , the image of the elements  $P_{k,0}^N$  is contained in the subalgebra  $\mathring{\text{SH}}_{q,t}^{N,>}$ .

**Definition 7.19** ([CD14, eq. (2.13)]). Given  $m, n$  relatively prime with  $m > 0$ , sequences  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$  as above, and a partition  $\lambda$  with at most  $N$  parts, define maps  $\Lambda^N \rightarrow \Lambda^N$  via

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{m,n}^N(x) &:= \iota_{m,n}^N(x) \cdot 1 \\ \Gamma_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}^N &:= \Gamma_{m_1,n_1}^N \circ \cdots \circ \Gamma_{m_k,n_k}^N \end{aligned}$$

We then define the polynomial

$$J^N(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \lambda; q, t) := \text{ev}^N(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}^N(P_\lambda^N))$$

where  $P_\lambda^N \in \Lambda^N$  is the Macdonald polynomial associated to the partition  $\lambda$ .

**Remark 7.20.** The Macdonald polynomials are actually rational functions in  $q$  and  $t$ , so the definition above actually produces a rational function. The definition in [CD14] is a renormalization of the definition above (they divide by the evaluation of  $P_\lambda^N$ ), and in their normalization the output of their formula is actually a polynomial, which is important for their purposes. The effect of this normalization is that the polynomials for the unknot are all 1. Since we work with the skein-theoretic normalization of the Homflypt polynomial, our choice of normalization is slightly more convenient for our purposes.

*Proof.* (of equation (7.2) of Theorem 7.4) We need to prove the equality

$$q^{\bullet} t^{\bullet} u^{\bullet} \text{ev}^{\mathcal{E}}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}^{\mathcal{E}}(P_\lambda)) \Big|_{u=t^N} = \text{ev}^N(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}^N(P_\lambda^N))$$

where the powers “ $\bullet$ ” do not depend on  $N$ . We will do this by relating the various maps involving  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^N$  with the projection  $\pi_N : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^N$  and  $\phi^N : \mathcal{E}_{q,t} \rightarrow \mathring{\text{SH}}_{q,t}^N$ .

First, it is well known that  $\pi_N(P_\lambda) = P_\lambda^N$ . Since the surjection  $\phi^N$  is  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivariant, we see that  $\phi_N(\iota_{m,n}^{\mathcal{E}}(x)) = \iota_{m,n}^N(\pi_N(x))$  for  $x \in \Lambda$ . This combined with the assumption  $m > 0$  and the second statement of Theorem 7.18 shows the equality

$$(7.12) \quad (q^{\bullet} t^{\bullet} u^{\bullet} \pi_N \circ \Gamma_{m,n}^{\mathcal{E}}) \Big|_{u=t^N} = \Gamma_{m,n}^N \circ \pi_N$$

where the powers depend on  $m, n$  but not on  $N$ . Finally, the equality

$$\text{ev}^{\mathcal{E}} \Big|_{u=t^N} = \text{ev}^N \circ \pi_N$$

completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$



## REFERENCES

- [Ais96] A. K. Aiston, *Skein theoretic idempotents of Hecke algebras and quantum group invariants*, PhD thesis, University of Liverpool (1996).
- [AM98] A. K. Aiston and H. R. Morton, *Idempotents of Hecke algebras of type A*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **7** (1998), no. 4, 463–487. MR 1633027 (99h:57002)
- [BS12] Igor Burban and Olivier Schiffmann, *On the Hall algebra of an elliptic curve, I*, Duke Math. J. **161** (2012), no. 7, 1171–1231. MR 2922373
- [BS14] Y. Berest and P. Samuelson, *Double affine Hecke algebras and generalized Jones polynomials*, ArXiv 1402.6032 (2014).
- [CD14] I. Cherednik and I. Danilenko, *DAHA and iterated torus knots*, arXiv 1408.4348 (2014).
- [Che95] Ivan Cherednik, *Double affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald’s conjectures*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 1, 191–216. MR 1314036 (96m:33010)
- [DI97] Jintai Ding and Kenji Iohara, *Generalization of Drinfeld quantum affine algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **41** (1997), no. 2, 181–193. MR 1463869 (98e:17019)
- [EN85] David Eisenbud and Walter Neumann, *Three-dimensional link theory and invariants of plane curve singularities*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 110, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985. MR 817982 (87g:57007)
- [FFJ<sup>+</sup>11] B. Feigin, E. Feigin, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and E. Mukhin, *Quantum continuous  $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ : semi-infinite construction of representations*, Kyoto J. Math. **51** (2011), no. 2, 337–364. MR 2793271 (2012k:17024)
- [FG00] Charles Frohman and Răzvan Gelca, *Skein modules and the noncommutative torus*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 10, 4877–4888. MR MR1675190 (2001b:57014)
- [FT11] B. L. Feigin and A. I. Tsymbaliuk, *Equivariant K-theory of Hilbert schemes via shuffle algebra*, Kyoto J. Math. **51** (2011), no. 4, 831–854. MR 2854154 (2012m:14018)
- [GL03] J. J. Graham and G. I. Lehrer, *Diagram algebras, Hecke algebras and decomposition numbers at roots of unity*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **36** (2003), no. 4, 479–524. MR 2013924 (2004k:20007)
- [GN15] Eugene Gorsky and Andrei Negut, *Refined knot invariants and Hilbert schemes*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **104** (2015), no. 3, 403–435. MR 3383172
- [HM06] Richard J. Hadji and Hugh R. Morton, *A basis for the full Homfly skein of the annulus*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **141** (2006), no. 1, 81–100. MR 2238644 (2007j:57006)
- [Kin70] R. C. King, *Generalized Young tableaux and the general linear group*, J. Mathematical Phys. **11** (1970), 280–293. MR 0251972 (40 #5197)
- [KM93] Masashi Kosuda and Jun Murakami, *Centralizer algebras of the mixed tensor representations of quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbf{C}))$* , Osaka J. Math. **30** (1993), no. 3, 475–507. MR 1240008 (94k:17025)
- [Koi89] Kazuhiko Koike, *On the decomposition of tensor products of the representations of the classical groups: by means of the universal characters*, Adv. Math. **74** (1989), no. 1, 57–86. MR 991410 (90j:22014)
- [LP12] Y. Lekili and T. Perutz, *Arithmetic mirror symmetry for the 2-torus*, arXiv 1211.4632 (2012).
- [Luk01] S. G. Lukac, *Homfly skeins and the hopf link*, PhD thesis, University of Liverpool (2001).
- [Luk05] Sascha G. Lukac, *Idempotents of the Hecke algebra become Schur functions in the skein of the annulus*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **138** (2005), no. 1, 79–96. MR 2127229 (2005m:20018)
- [Lus89] George Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), no. 3, 599–635. MR 991016
- [Mac95] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, second ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995, With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications. MR 1354144 (96h:05207)
- [MH02] Hugh R. Morton and Richard J. Hadji, *Homfly polynomials of generalized Hopf links*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **2** (2002), 11–32 (electronic). MR 1885213 (2003j:57015)

- [Mik07] Kei Miki, *A  $(q, \gamma)$  analog of the  $W_{1+\infty}$  algebra*, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), no. 12, 123520, 35. MR 2377852 (2009a:17024)
- [ML03] Hugh R. Morton and Sascha G. Lukac, *The Homfly polynomial of the decorated Hopf link*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **12** (2003), no. 3, 395–416. MR 1983094 (2004d:57012)
- [MM08] H. R. Morton and P. M. G. Manchón, *Geometrical relations and plethysms in the Homfly skein of the annulus*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **78** (2008), no. 2, 305–328. MR 2439627 (2009h:57021)
- [Mor02a] Hugh R. Morton, *Power sums and Homfly skein theory*, Invariants of knots and 3-manifolds (Kyoto, 2001), Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 4, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2002, pp. 235–244 (electronic). MR 2002613 (2004g:57032)
- [Mor02b] ———, *Skein theory and the Murphy operators*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **11** (2002), no. 4, 475–492, Knots 2000 Korea, Vol. 2 (Yongpyong). MR 1915490 (2003f:20013)
- [Mor07] ———, *Integrality of Homfly 1-tangle invariants*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **7** (2007), 327–338. MR 2308948 (2008c:57026)
- [MR10] H. R. Morton and N. D. A. Ryder, *Relations between Kauffman and Homfly satellite invariants*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **149** (2010), no. 1, 105–114. MR 2651580 (2011f:57020)
- [MT90] H. R. Morton and P. Traczyk, *Knots and algebras*, In ‘Contribuciones Matemáticas en homenaje al profesor D. Antonio Plans Sanz de Bremond,’ ed. E. Martín-Peinador and A. Rodez Usan, University of Zaragoza, 201–220., 1990.
- [Neg14] Andrei Negut, *The shuffle algebra revisited*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2014), no. 22, 6242–6275. MR 3283004
- [Neg15] ———, *Moduli of flags of sheaves and their K-theory*, Algebr. Geom. **2** (2015), no. 1, 19–43. MR 3322196
- [ORS12] A. Oblomkov, J. Rasmussen, and V. Shende, *The Hilbert scheme of a plane curve singularity and the HOMFLY homology of its link*, arXiv 1201.2115 (2012).
- [Prz92] Józef H. Przytycki, *Skein module of links in a handlebody*, Topology '90 (Columbus, OH, 1990), Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 1, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992, pp. 315–342. MR 1184418 (94b:57013)
- [PZ98] Alexander Polishchuk and Eric Zaslow, *Categorical mirror symmetry: the elliptic curve*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998), no. 2, 443–470. MR 1633036 (99j:14034)
- [Sam14] P. Samuelson, *A topological construction of Cherednik’s  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  torus knot polynomials*, arXiv 1408.0483 (2014).
- [Ste10] Sébastien Stevan, *Chern-Simons invariants of torus links*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **11** (2010), no. 7, 1201–1224. MR 2753387
- [SV11] O. Schiffmann and E. Vasserot, *The elliptic Hall algebra, Cherednik Hecke algebras and Macdonald polynomials*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 1, 188–234. MR 2771130 (2012f:20008)
- [SV13] Olivier Schiffmann and Eric Vasserot, *The elliptic Hall algebra and the K-theory of the Hilbert scheme of  $\mathbb{A}^2$* , Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 2, 279–366. MR 3018956
- [Tur88] V. G. Turaev, *The Conway and Kauffman modules of a solid torus*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **167** (1988), no. Issled. Topol. 6, 79–89, 190. MR 964255 (90f:57012)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL, PEACH STREET, LIVERPOOL L69 7ZL, UK

*E-mail address:* morton@liverpool.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ON M4Y 1H5, CANADA

*E-mail address:* psam@math.utoronto.ca