

# ALEXANDER POLYNOMIALS OF CLOSED 3-BRAIDS

H. R. Morton

## 1. Introduction

The knots and links which can arise as the closure of 3-string braids, and their relations to the braids which give rise to them have been studied by Murasugi [Mu] and others, including Hartley [H] and more recently Przytycki [P]. Three-braids appear to form a rather special class among braids from some points of view, [M1]; they are, also, the only group of braids for which Burau's representation is known to be faithful [B]. They are, however, varied enough to provide an interesting range of knots and links on which to test a number of conjectures.

In this paper I present a compact formula giving the Alexander polynomial of  $\hat{\beta} \cup L_{\beta}$ , and hence  $\hat{\beta}$ , for the braids  $\beta = c^n \sigma_1^{p_1} \sigma_2^{-q_1} \dots \sigma_1^{p_r} \sigma_2^{-q_r}$ , where  $L_{\beta}$  is the axis of the closed braid  $\hat{\beta}$ ,  $c = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^3$  generates the centre of  $B_3$ , and  $p_i, q_i > 0$

These braids form Murasugi's class  $\Omega_6$ , making up the vast majority of 3-string braids, up to conjugacy. The formula, in terms of the indices  $p_i, q_i$  and their order of appearance, up to cyclic permutation, enables the number,  $r$ , of 'terms' in  $\beta$

to be read off from the Alexander polynomial, and also the smallest index  $m = \min \{p_1, \dots, q_r\}$  and its multiplicity.

It provides evidence to support the conjecture that for a braid  $\beta \in B_n$ , the Alexander polynomial of the link  $\hat{\beta} \cup L_\beta$ , where  $L_\beta$  is the axis of  $\hat{\beta}$ , determines the link (possibly up to orientation), and hence the conjugacy class of  $\beta$  and its reverse. This Alexander polynomial  $\Delta(x, t)$  is just the characteristic polynomial  $\det(xI - B(t))$  of the reduced Burau matrix  $B(t)$  of  $\beta$ , so the conjecture for  $n > 3$  would imply the faithfulness of the corresponding Burau representation.

With considerable combinatorial ingenuity it might be possible to recover the indices of a 3-string braid  $\beta$  up to cyclic order from the polynomial given in Theorem 3 and so prove the conjecture for 3-braids, but I can see no prospect for a direct attack when  $n > 3$ .

§2. A formula for the Alexander polynomial of a closed 3-braid

Given any 3-braid  $\beta$ , the Alexander polynomial of the link  $\hat{\beta} \cup L_\beta$ , the closure of  $\beta$  together with its axis, is given by  $\Delta(x, t) = \det(xI - B(t))$ , where the variable  $x$  refers to the meridian of the axis  $L_\beta$ , and  $t$  to all meridians of the oriented closed braid  $\hat{\beta}$ , and  $B(t)$  is the reduced Burau matrix of  $\beta$ , [M2].

In the case of a knot  $\hat{\beta}$ , its Alexander polynomial can be recovered as  $\Delta(1, t)/(1 + t + t^2)$ .

Conjecture Given  $\Delta(x, t)$  the link  $\hat{\beta} \cup L_\beta$  is determined (possibly only up to orientation), and so  $\beta$  is determined up to conjugacy (maybe with reversal or reflection included).

Note  $\Delta(x, t) = x^2 - \text{tr } B(t) \cdot x + \det B(t)$ , so from  $\Delta(x, t)$ , even given only up to multiples by  $\pm x^s t^k$ , we can recover  $\text{tr } B(t)$  and  $\det B(t) = (-t)^{|\beta|}$ , where  $|\beta|$  = algebraic number of crossings in  $\beta$ .

It will be more convenient to use  $s = -t$  in place of  $t$ . The Burau matrices for the braid group generators are then

$$\sigma_1(s) = \begin{pmatrix} s & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2^{-1}(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & s \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\bar{s} = s^{-1}).$$

Except for a small number of  $\beta$  we can write  $\beta$  up to conjugacy as  $\beta = c \sigma_1^{p_1} \sigma_2^{-q_1} \dots \sigma_1^{p_r} \sigma_2^{-q_r}$ , with  $p_i, q_i \geq 1$ , and  $c = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2)^3$  the generator of the centre of  $B_3$ , [Mu]. The Burau matrix of  $c$  is  $s^3 I_2$ , so

$$B(s) = s^{3n} M(p_1, q_1) \dots M(p_r, q_r),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(p_i, q_i) &= \text{Bureau matrix of } \sigma_1^{p_i} \sigma_2^{-q_i} \\ &= \sigma_1^{p_i}(s) (\sigma_2^{-1}(s))^{q_i} \\ &= s^{-q_i} \begin{pmatrix} s^{p_i} & 1 + s + \dots + s^{p_i-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s^{q_i} & 0 \\ s(1 + \dots + s^{q_i-1}) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= s^{-q_i} C_{p_i}(s) D_{q_i}(s) \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Then } B(s) = s^{3n - \sum q_i} \prod_{i=1}^r C_{p_i}(s) D_{q_i}(s).$$

$$\text{So } \text{tr } B(s) = s^{3n - \sum q_i} \text{tr} \prod_{i=1}^r C_{p_i}(s) D_{q_i}(s).$$

$$\text{Write } \text{tr} \prod_{i=1}^r C_{p_i}(s) D_{q_i}(s) = Q(s), \quad \text{a polynomial in } s.$$

Theorem 1  $Q(s) = 1 + rs \pmod{s^2}.$

Corollary 1. We can find  $Q(s)$  from  $\text{tr } B(s) = s^{3n - \sum q_i} Q(s)$  by multiplying  $\text{tr } B(s)$  by  $s^k$  to get a polynomial with constant term 1. We then also find  $k = 3n - \sum q_i$ .

Corollary 2. From  $\text{tr } B(s)$  we can find  $r$ , the number of 'terms' in  $\beta$  of the form  $\sigma_1^{p_i} \sigma_2^{-q_i}$ .

Theorem 2 If  $r = 1$ ,  $Q(s)$  determines the unordered pair  $\{p_1, q_1\}$ . Let  $m = \min\{p_1, \dots, q_r\}$  and let  $\alpha$  be the number of these indices equal to  $m$ . Then

$$(1 - s)^{2r} Q(s) = (1 - s + s^2)^r - \alpha s^{m+1} \pmod{s^{m+2}}, \quad \text{when } r > 1.$$

Corollary 3

From  $Q(s)$  we can find  $m$  and  $\alpha$ .

These results follow fairly readily from calculations of  $C_{p_i}$  and  $D_{p_i} \bmod s^2$  or  $\bmod s^{m+2}$ , or from the complete formula for  $Q(s)$  given in Theorem 3. I shall construct the formula for  $Q(s)$  from a polynomial in  $s$  and indeterminates  $t_1, \dots, t_{2r}$  which contains no squares or higher powers of any indeterminate  $t_k$  by putting  $t_{2i-1} = s^{p_i}$  and  $t_{2i} = s^{q_i}$ . Each monomial in  $\{t_k\}$  has as coefficient a polynomial in  $s$  depending on the number of gaps when the indeterminates in the monomial are arranged in order round a circle.

To formulate this explicitly, write the numbers  $1, \dots, 2r$  consecutively round a circle.

Definition

For each subset  $J \subset \{1, \dots, 2r\}$  write  $c(J)$  for the number of 'blocks' of  $J$  on the circle, i.e. the components of the subset of  $S^1$  given by joining all adjacent pairs which lie in  $J$ .

Make the convention that  $c(J) = 0$  when  $J = \emptyset$  and when  $J = \{1, \dots, 2r\}$ . Then  $c(J)$  counts the number of times you pass from  $J$  to its complement  $J'$  on making a circuit of  $S^1$ , and  $c(J') = c(J)$ .

For example, the subsets  $\{1, 2\}$  and  $\{1, 4\}$  of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  have one block, while  $\{1, 3\}$  has two.

Write  $t_J$  for the monomial  $\prod_{k \in J} t_k$  in indeterminates  $t_1, \dots, t_{2r}$ . We can now give our explicit formula for  $Q(s)$ , the trace of the reduced Burau matrix  $B(t)$  for  $\beta = c^n \sigma_1^{p_1 - q_1} \sigma_2^{p_2 - q_2} \dots \sigma_r^{p_r - q_r}$ , where  $s = -t$ , normalised to have lowest degree term 1.

Theorem 3  $Q(s)(1-s)^{2r} = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, 2r\}} (-1)^{|J|} s^{c(J)} (1-s+s^2)^{r-c(J)} t_J,$   
 where  $t_{2i-1} = s^{p_i}, t_{2i} = s^{q_i}.$

Remark The appearance of the numbers  $c(J)$  make it possible that the order of the indices  $\{p_1, \dots, q_r\}$  around a circle could be recovered from  $Q(s)$  as part of a process for finding the set of indices. In the case  $r = 1$  or  $2$  the index set and, for  $r = 2$ , the circular order can be recovered from  $Q(s)$ .

### 3. Proofs

#### Proof of Theorem 2

Take  $m = \min \{p_i, q_j\}$ .

- (a) When  $m > 1$  then  $t_J = 0 \pmod{s^{m+2}}$ , with  $t_k$  as in Theorem 3, for all  $J$  with  $|J| > 1$ .

$$\text{Then } Q(s)(1-s)^{2r} = (1-s+s^2)^r - s(1-s+s^2)^{r-1} \sum_{k=1}^{2r} t_k \pmod{s^{m+2}}.$$

Now  $\sum_{k=1}^{2r} t_k = \alpha s^m \pmod{s^{m+1}}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the number of  $t_k$  equal to  $s^m$ , so  $s(1-s+s^2)^{r-1} \sum_{k=1}^{2r} t_k = \alpha s^{m+1} \pmod{s^{m+2}}$ , giving Theorem 2 in this case.

- (b) When  $m = 1$  it is still true that  $s^{c(J)} t_J = 0 \pmod{s^{m+2}}$  for  $|J| > 1$ , apart from the case  $r = 1$ , where  $c(J) = 0$  when  $|J| = 2$ , giving a single exception  $p_1 = q_1 = 1$ ,  $r = 1$ .

The proof of theorem 2 follows as above except in this one simple case, which can be detected in advance from the highest degree term in  $Q(s)$ , namely  $s^{\sum p_i + \sum q_i}$ .

$$\text{In the case } r = 1 \text{ we have } Q(s)(1-s)^2 = (1-s+s^2) - s(s^{p_1} + s^{q_1}) + (1-s+s^2)s^{p_1+q_1}.$$

Find the largest degree term  $s^{p_1+q_1+2}$ , and then remove  $(1-s+s^2)(1+s^{p_1+q_1})$  to recover  $p_1$  and  $q_1$ .

#### Proof of Theorem 1

From Theorem 3,  $Q(s)(1-2rs) = 1 - rs \pmod{s^2}$ ,

so  $Q(s) = 1 + rs \pmod{s^2}$ .

Proof of Theorem 3

Write  $t_{2i-1} = s^{p_i}$ ,  $t_{2i} = s^{q_i}$ .

$$\text{Then } (1-s)C_{p_i}(s) = \begin{pmatrix} t_{2i-1}(1-s) & 1-t_{2i-1} \\ 0 & 1-s \end{pmatrix} = t_{2i-1}A_1 + B_1,$$

$$\text{where } A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1-s & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1-s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly  $(1-s)D_{q_i} = t_{2i}A_2 + B_2$ , where

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1-s & 0 \\ -s & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ s & 1-s \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Then } Q(s)(1-s)^{2r} = \text{tr} \left( \prod_{i=1}^r (t_{2i-1}A_1 + B_1)(t_{2i}A_2 + B_2) \right).$$

Write  $M_J$  for the product of the sequence of matrices  $A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2$  determined explicitly by each subset  $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, 2r\}$  as

$M_J = \prod_{k=1}^{2r} C_k$ , where  $C_k = A_k$  if  $k \in J$ , and  $C_k = B_k$  if  $k \notin J$ , taking  $A_k = A_1$  for  $k$  odd,  $A_2$  for  $k$  even and similarly for  $B_k$ .

Then  $\prod_{i=1}^r (t_{2i-1}A_1 + B_1)(t_{2i}A_2 + B_2) = \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, 2r\}} t_J M_J$ , and so

$Q(s)(1-s)^{2r} = \sum_J P_J(s) t_J$ , where  $P_J(s) = \text{tr } M_J$ . Theorem 3 will then follow from the calculation of  $\text{tr } M_J$  given in Lemma 4.

Lemma 4

For  $J \subset \{1, \dots, 2r\}$  and  $M_J$  as above,

$$\text{tr } M_J = (-1)^{|J|} s^{c(J)} (1-s+s^2)^{r-c(J)}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4

Without altering the trace of  $M_J$  we may

cyclically permute the matrices  $C_1, \dots, C_{2r}$ . In the product we have  $c(J)$  blocks of consecutive matrices of type  $A_k$ , separated by  $c(J)$



blocks of matrices of type  $B_k$ , up to cyclic reordering. In the product the subscripts 1 and 2 will alternate.

The proof is by induction on  $r$ , based on several straightforward calculations.

$$(1) \quad A_1 A_2 A_1 = v A_1, \quad A_2 A_1 A_2 = v A_2; \quad B_1 B_2 B_1 = v B_1, \quad B_2 B_1 B_2 = v B_2,$$

$$\text{where } v = (1 - s + s^2). \quad \text{For example, use } A_1 A_2 = v \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} B_2 A_1 A_2 B_1 &= s B_2 B_1, & B_1 A_2 A_1 B_2 &= s B_1 B_2; \\ A_1 B_2 B_1 A_2 &= s A_1 A_2, & A_2 B_1 B_2 A_1 &= s A_2 A_1. \end{aligned}$$

#### Case 1

If any block of  $J$  or  $J'$  has three or more elements, we can use (1), after cyclic permutation of the matrices  $C_k$  if necessary, to give  $\text{tr } M_J = v \text{tr } M_K$  where  $K$  is the subset of  $\{1, \dots, 2r - 2\}$  given from  $J$  by omitting two consecutive numbers in the block of  $J$  or  $J'$ , and renumbering. Then the number of blocks is unchanged, as is the parity of  $|J|$ , and induction gives

$$\text{tr } M_K = (-1)^{J_s} c(J)_v^{r-1-c(J)}.$$

#### Case 2

If a block of  $J$  or  $J'$  has two elements, then we can use (2), possibly after cyclic permutation, to give  $\text{tr } M_J = s \text{tr } M_K$ , where  $K$  is given by omitting the two element block and renumbering. Then  $c(K) = c(J) - 1$  and again induction gives the result.

Finally, if each block of  $J$  and  $J'$  has only one element, we have

Case 3

$$M_J = (A_1 B_2)^r \quad \text{or} \quad M_J = (B_1 A_2)^r.$$

Here  $c(J) = |J| = r$ . Now  $A_1 B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -s & -(1-s) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B_1 A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -s & -s(1-s) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . A quick calculation confirms that in either case  $\text{tr } M_J = (-s)^r$ , as required.

The induction is completed by checking the remaining cases with  $r = 1$ ,  $M_J = A_1 A_2$  and  $B_1 B_2$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 4, and of Theorem 3.

References

- [B] Birman, J. S. Braids, links and mapping-class groups,  
Annals of Maths. Studies 82 Princeton  
University Press, 1974.
- [H] Hartley, R. On the classification of three-braid links.  
Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg 50 (1980) 108-117.
- [M1] Morton, H. R. Closed braids which are not prime knots.  
Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 86 (1979),  
421-426.
- [M2] Morton, H. R. Exchangeable braids.  
To appear in Proceedings of Sussex conference 1982.
- [Mu] Murasugi, K. On closed 3-braids.  
AMS Memoirs 151 (1974).
- [P] Przytycki, J. Incompressibility of surfaces in 3-manifolds.  
Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University (1981).

Department of Pure Mathematics,  
The University of Liverpool,  
P.O. Box 147,  
Liverpool.  
L69 3BX  
England