**Genetic effects influencing risk for major depressive disorder in China and Europe**

Tim B Bigdeli1,\*, Stephan Ripke2-4, Silviu-Alin Bacanu1, Roseann E. Peterson1, Abdel Abdellaoui5, Till F M Andlauer6,7, Aartjan TF Beekman8, Klaus Berger9, Douglas H R Blackwood10, Dorret I Boomsma5, Gerome Breen11,12, Henriette N Buttenschøn13, Enda M Byrne14, Sven Cichon15-18, Toni-Kim Clarke10, Baptiste Couvy-Duchesne14,19,20, Nick Craddock21, EJC de Geus5,22, Franziska Degenhardt18,23, Erin C Dunn24-26, Alexis C. Edwards1, Ayman H Fanous27, Andreas J Forstner18,23, Josef Frank28, Michael Gill29, Scott D Gordon19, Hans J Grabe30, Steven P Hamilton31, Orla Hardiman32, Caroline Hayward33, Andrew C Heath34, Anjali K Henders14, Stefan Herms18,23,35, IB Hickie36, Per Hoffmann18,23,37, Georg Homuth38, Jouke- Jan Hottenga5, Marcus Ising39, Rick Jansen8, Stefan Kloiber39, James A Knowles40, Maren Lang28, Qingqin S Li41, Susanne Lucae39, Donald J MacIntyre10, Pamela AF Madden42, Nicholas G Martin19,43, Patrick J McGrath44, Peter McGuffin12, Andrew M McIntosh10,45, Sarah Elizabeth Medland19, Divya Mehta14, Christel M Middeldorp5, Yuri Milaneschi8, Grant W Montgomery46, Ole Mors47, Bertram Müller-Myhsok6,7,48, Matthias Nauck49, Dale R Nyholt50, Markus M Nöthen18,23, Michael J Owen51, Brenda WJH Penninx8, Michele L Pergadia52, Roy H Perlis53,54, Wouter J Peyrot8, David J Porteous55, James B Potash56, John P Rice57, Marcella Rietschel28, Brien P. Riley1,58, Margarita Rivera59,60, Robert Schoevers61, Thomas G Schulze28,62-65, Jianxin Shi66, Stanley I Shyn67, Johannes H Smit8, Jordan W Smoller24-26, Fabian Streit28, Jana Strohmaier28, Alexander Teumer68, Jens Treutlein28, Sandra Van der Auwera30, Gerard van Grootheest8, Albert M van Hemert69, Henry Völzke68, B. Todd Webb1, Myrna M Weissman70,71, Jürgen Wellmann9, Gonneke Willemsen5, Stephanie H Witt28, Douglas F Levinson72, Cathryn M Lewis12,73, Naomi R Wray14, Jonathan Flint74,75, Patrick F Sullivan76-78 & Kenneth Kendler1,58 on behalf of the CONVERGE consortium and Major Depressive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium

1Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, Department of Psychiatry, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine; 2Department of Psychiatry, Charite Universitatsmedizin Berlin Campus Benjamin Franklin; 3Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute; 4Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital; 5Dept of Biological Psychology, VU University Amsterdam; 6Department of Translational Research in Psychiatry, Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry; 7Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy); 8Department of Psychiatry, VU University Medical Center and GGZ inGeest; 9Institute of Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Muenster; 10Division of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh; 11NIHR BRC for Mental Health, King's College London; 12MRC Social Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, King's College London; 13Department of Clinical Medicine, Translational Neuropsychiatry Unit, Aarhus University; 14Queensland Brain Institute, The University of Queensland; 15Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel; 16Division of Medical Genetics, University of Basel; 17Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine (INM-1), Research Center Juelich; 18Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn; 19Genetics and Computational Biology, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute; 20Centre for Advanced Imaging, The University of Queensland; 21Psychological Medicine, Cardiff University; 22EMGO+ Institute, VU University Medical Center; 23Life&Brain Center, Department of Genomics, University of Bonn; 24Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute;

25Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital; 26Psychiatric and Neurodevelopmental Genetics Unit (PNGU), Massachusetts General Hospital; 27State University of New York Downstate Medical Center; 28Department of Genetic Epidemiology in Psychiatry, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University; 29Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin; 30Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medicine Greifswald; 31Psychiatry, Kaiser-Permanente Northern California; 32Institute of Neuroscience, Trinity College Dublin; 33Medical Research Council Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh; 34Psychiatry, Washington University in Saint Louis School of Medicine; 35Division of Medical Genetics and Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel; 36Brain and Mind Research Institute, University of Sydney; 37Human Genomics Research Group, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel; 38Interfaculty Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, Department of Functional Genomics, University Medicine and Ernst Moritz Arndt University Greifswald; 39Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry; 40Psychiatry & The Behavioral Sciences, University of Southern California; 41Neuroscience Therapeutic Area, Janssen Research and Development, LLC; 42Department of Psychiatry, Washington University in Saint Louis School of Medicine; 43School of Psychology, The University of Queensland; 44Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute & Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons; 45Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, University of Edinburgh; 46Institute for Molecular Biology, University of Queensland; 47Research Department P, Aarhus University Hospital, Risskov; 48University of Liverpool; 49Institute of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald; 50Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology; 51MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Cardiff University School of Medicine; 52Charles E. Schmidt College of Medicine, Florida Atlantic University; 53Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School; 54Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital; 55Medical Genetics Section, CGEM, IGMM, University of Edinburgh; 56Psychiatry, University of Iowa; 57Department of Psychiatry, Washington University in Saint Louis; 58Dept of Human and Molecular Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine; 59Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria ibs.Granada and CIBER en Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), University of Granada; 60MRC Social Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, King´s College London; 61Department of Psychiatry, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen; 62Institute of Psychiatric Phenomics and Genomics (IPPG), Medical Center of the University of Munich, Campus Innenstadt; 63Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center Göttingen; 64Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins University; 65Human Genetics Branch, NIMH Division of Intramural Research Programs; 66Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute; 67Psychiatry, Group Health; 68Institute for Community Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald; 69Department of Psychiatry, Leiden University Medical Center; 70Division of Epidemiology, New York State Psychiatric Institute; 71Psychiatry, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons; 72Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University; 73Department of Medical & Molecular Genetics , King's College London; 74Merton College, University of Oxford; 75Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford; 76Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet; 77Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; 78Psychiatry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

\*Correspondence: Tim B. Bigdeli, Ph.D., Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, 800 E. Leigh St., Biotech One, Suite 101, Richmond, VA 23219-1534, Phone No: (804) 828-5860, Fax No: (804) 828-1471, Email: tim.bigdeli@gmail.com

**Abstract Word Count:** 242

**Article Word count:** 3,224

**Number of Figures:** 1

**Number of Tables:** 2

**Supplemental Information:** 1

**Running Title:** Trans-ancestry genomic analysis of depression

**Keywords:** Major Depressive Disorder; GWAS; trans-ancestry; genetic correlation, polygenic risk

# **Abstract**

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a common, complex psychiatric disorder and a leading cause of disability worldwide. Despite twin studies indicating its modest heritability (~30-40%), extensive heterogeneity and a complex genetic architecture have complicated efforts to detect associated genetic risk variants. We combined single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) summary statistics from the CONVERGE and PGC studies of MDD, representing 10 502 Chinese (5 282 cases and 5 220 controls) and 18 663 European (9 447 cases and 9 215 controls) subjects. We determined the fraction of SNPs displaying consistent directions of effect, assessed the significance of polygenic risk scores, and estimated the genetic correlation of MDD across ancestries. Subsequent trans-ancestry meta-analyses combined SNP-level evidence of association. Sign tests and polygenic score profiling weakly support an overlap of SNP effects between East-Asian and European populations. We estimated the trans-ancestry genetic correlation of lifetime MDD as 0.33; females-only and recurrent MDD yielded estimates of 0.40 and 0.41, respectively. Common variants downstream of *GPHN* achieved genome-wide significance by Bayesian trans-ancestry meta-analysis (rs9323497; log10 Bayes Factor=8.08) but failed to replicate in an independent European sample (*P*=0.911). Gene-set enrichment analyses indicate enrichment of genes involved in neuronal development and axonal trafficking. We successfully demonstrate a partially shared polygenic basis of MDD in East-Asian and European populations. Taken together, these findings support a complex etiology for MDD and possible population differences in predisposing genetic factors, with important implications for future genetic studies.

# **Introduction**

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is the most common psychiatric illness and a leading cause of disability worldwide [1,2](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/nqg3F+kkvoK). MDD is modestly heritable (30-40%), may be genetically complex, and likely heterogeneous, complicating efforts to identify replicable risk loci [3,4](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/Y9kpn+mlqsV). The successful detection and interpretation of genetic associations requires both increased sample sizes [5](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/0lFHn) and empirically-driven efforts to reduce phenotypic heterogeneity [6](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/5MdWN).

Underpinning the success of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of numerous traits has been the emergence of large research consortia [7](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/Bn8Z0). In addition to facilitating larger sample sizes, many consortia are increasingly ancestrally diverse, enabling identification of novel associations [8–10](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/cQAh5+FzwLM+ygTv3) and independent replication of reported findings [11,12](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/YX60P+9etuc), as well as improving fine mapping of implicated loci [13,14](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/uxPhX+q0gDU). Consistent associations at replicated loci have been reported for psychiatric disorders [15](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/tM2wG) and non-psychiatric traits [8,11,16–18](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/YX60P+7wMKD+eJZ6d+95KKB+cQAh5), and shared liabilities are often borne out by genome-wide polygenic analyses [19–21](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/4aFEG+qitjh+hHxs0).

Whether genetic factors predisposing to MDD are shared across ancestries is not well established, and two replicated genome-wide significant associations for MDD in China had markedly lower allele frequencies in Europeans and thus did not replicate [22–24](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/L6xO+gDp4+IbYm). Allelic heterogeneity and population-specific genetic effects have been reported for several complex traits [18,25,26](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/95KKB+bFSjt+eSzKV) but the extent of differences across ancestries remains relatively unexplored.

We sought to clarify the extent to which liability to MDD is shared between European and East-Asian populations via collaboration between the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) [22](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/L6xO) and CONVERGE [6](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/5MdWN) studies of MDD. We asked whether observed directions of allelic effects are consistent across populations, assessed the significance of cross-ancestry polygenic scores, and estimated the trans-ancestry genetic correlation of MDD. We attempted to disentangle population differences from those arising from ascertainment or phenotypic definition through analyses of recurrent MDD and in female subjects. These meta-analyses represent the largest trans-ancestry genetic study of MDD to date.

# **Methods**

## *Ascertainment and genotyping*

Sample ascertainment, SNP genotyping, and quality control procedures for PGC and CONVERGE have been described previously [6,22](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/5MdWN+L6xO). Individual sites and sample sizes are presented in Table 1.

*CONVERGE* (**C**hina, **O**xford a**n**d **V**irginia Commonwealth University **E**xperimental **R**esearch on **G**enetic **E**pidemiology): Briefly, all subjects were Han Chinese women and had two or more episodes of MDD meeting DSM-IV criteria. After applying quality controls modeled after the PGC study, 10 502 samples (5 282 cases and 5 220 controls) and 6 242 619 SNPs were retained for analysis.

*PGC MDD:* Samples included here comprise Stage 1 of the PGC MDD study [22](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/L6xO). Briefly, all subjects were of European ancestry, all cases were assessed using validated methods and met DSM-IV criteria for lifetime MDD, and the majority of controls were screened to exclude lifetime MDD. Available data on number of depressive episodes were used to identify recurrent cases (two or more episodes). Nine studies from the US, Europe, and Australia were genotyped using SNP arrays. Imputation was performed with IMPUTE2 [27](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/8VljV) using the 1000 Genomes Project data (v3; GRCh37/hg19) [28](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/6pZTd), resulting in a total of 13 381 627 autosomal and X chromosome SNPs.

## *Polygenic risk score profiling and binomial sign tests*

Each dataset was filtered on the basis of statistical imputation information (INFO) greater than 0.8 and MAF greater than 0.01 in both CONVERGE and PGC overall; linkage disequilibrium (LD) based “clumping” was used to obtain an approximately independent set of SNPs (*r*2<0.1) while preferentially retaining the most significant SNP within 500kb windows. We computed weighted polygenic scores (i.e., log odds ratio of the associated allele), based on varying *P*-value thresholds in the “training set” results (i.e., CONVERGE or PGC); *P*-value thresholds ranged between 10-5 and 0.5. We evaluated the significance of case-control differences using logistic regression and covarying ancestry-based principal components (PCs) and a study indicator variable. The predictive value of these scores is reported in terms of Nagelkerke’s pseudo-*R*2 (fmsb package in R) [29](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/Dz6V4).

Using the same sets of SNPs and the same *P*-value thresholds, we applied a binomial sign-test to determine whether the number of SNPs demonstrating consistent directions of allelic effects between CONVERGE and PGC was greater than expected by chance (i.e., a one-sided test of whether this fraction is greater than 0.5).

## *Trans-ancestry genetic correlation*

The recently developed *popcorn* software [30](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/knFMe) allows for estimation of the trans-ancestry genetic effect correlation (*ρg*)using GWAS summary statistics. Cross-ancestry reference scores, representing SNP-wise estimates of the similarity of LD (with neighboring SNPs) between populations, were calculated for East Asian (*N*=286) and European (*N*=379) subjects from the 1000 Genomes Project (v3) [28](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/6pZTd). For computational efficiency and consistency with previously reported estimates of genetic correlation, these calculations were based on ~1.2M common SNPs present in HapMap3 [31](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/Z7tpN) following study-wise exclusion of SNPs with INFO<0.9 or MAF<0.01%.

We attempted to address possible heterogeneity by examining estimates of genetic correlation within- and across-ancestries, and for varying phenotypic definitions. Briefly, we divided the PGC and CONVERGE studies into approximate halves, performing association analysis in each subsample as described above, and subsequently estimating the genetic correlations between these non-overlapping halves. Within the PGC, we randomly selected 5 of 10 studies (*SEUR1*), with the remaining 5 studies taken as a comparison sample (*SEUR2*). We selected *N*=30 of a possible 126 paired comparisons for which the sample sizes of each subset were equivalent (~1:1). We followed an analogous procedure in CONVERGE, selecting 12 of 24 sequencing batches (*SASN1*), with the remaining 12 batches taken as a comparison sample (*SASN2*). Within-ancestry comparisons were between non-overlapping subsets (e.g., *SEUR1* versus *SEUR2*) and utilized reference scores based on a single population, calculated as described above. Cross-ancestry estimates were based on comparisons of the full set of CONVERGE results to each of *N*=60 subsets from the within-PGC analysis. We compared cross-ancestry estimates for lifetime MDD, recurrent MDD and females-only by paired Student’s *t*-tests.

## *SNP-based meta-analyses*

Within each study, we tested for association between SNPs and affection status by logistic regression with PLINK [32](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/9VFhN), using allelic dosages and including ancestry PCs as covariates (plus a site indicator in PGC analyses). Backwards-stepwise regression was used to select PCs demonstrating association (*P*<0.159) with each diagnosis. We excluded SNPs with MAF<0.01 or INFO<0.5 in either CONVERGE or PGC (overall), or missing in ≥5 of 9 PGC samples. We analyzed the X chromosome as previously described [22](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/L6xO).

We performed Bayesian meta-analyses of PGC and CONVERGE studies using MANTRA [33](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/5oHQi). By leveraging population differences in local LD structure, MANTRA has greater power to detect genetic effects demonstrating allelic heterogeneity than traditional approaches assuming random effects. When effects are consistent across studies, MANTRA is effectively a Bayesian implementation of fixed-effects meta-analysis. Inter-study genetic distances were calculated from mean allele frequency differences. We adopted a threshold of log10 Bayes factor (log10BF) > 7 for declaring genome-wide significance.

## *Gene-set enrichment analyses*

We applied DEPICT [34](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/RuVs4), to identify significantly enriched gene-sets and pathways in specific tissues and cell-types. Briefly, genes in the vicinity of associated SNPs are tested for enrichment for “reconstituted” gene sets, comprised of curated sets expanded to include co-regulated loci. Tissue and cell type enrichment analysis is conducted by testing whether genes were highly expressed in any of 209 MeSH annotations based on microarray data for the Affymetrix U133 Plus 2.0 Array platform [35](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/2t5CC).

Because DEPICT adjusts for potential sources of confounding and multiple testing using precomputed GWAS of randomly distributed phenotypes , we elected to use as input *P*-values from inverse variance weighted (i.e., fixed effects) meta-analysis of PGC and CONVERGE. Recalling that MANTRA is effectively a Bayesian implementation of fixed-effects meta-analysis when allele frequencies are similar between populations, we considered this to be an appropriate strategy, if not somewhat conservative.

## *Replication analyses*

A total of 4 504 cases and 7 007 controls from 10 independent, European-ancestry cohorts were available for replication (Table 1). These studies represent recent additions to the PGC that were not included in the previously published analysis [22](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/L6xO). A brief description of each study site is given in the Supplemental Material. At the time of writing, neither comparable East-Asian GWAS datasets nor subject-level data on the number of depressive episodes were readily available. For analyses of recurrent illness, we included those replication studies that specifically ascertained recurrent cases.

For each phenotype definition, we identified independent (pairwise *r*2<0.1 within 500kb windows based on European 1000 Genomes Project samples), significant autosomal SNPs (log10BF>5) from the trans-ancestry meta-analyses (10, 7, and 7 for MDD, females-only, and recurrent MDD, respectively). We tested these SNPs for association using logistic regression and including ancestry PCs as covariates. We performed inverse-variance weighted meta-analyses of the replication samples using METAL. We also performed binomial sign tests comparing the directions of allelic effects across discovery and replication stages.

# **Results**

## *Polygenic risk score profiling and binomial sign tests*

We employed polygenic risk score profiling to determine whether findings from CONVERGE or the PGC are, in aggregate, significantly associated with MDD status in the other study. Scores based on PGC results were nominally associated with MDD in CONVERGE (Figure 1; Supplemental Tables S1-S3), accounting for ~0.1% of risk (Nagelkerke’s pseudo-*R*2=7.46×10-4; *P*=0.02). Scores based on results for females-only yielded similar results (Nagelkerke’s pseudo-*R*2=7.60×10-4; *P*=0.0141), while scores for recurrent MDD were most strongly associated overall (Nagelkerke’s pseudo-*R*2=0.00201; *P*=6.56×10-5). Scores from CONVERGE were nominally associated with MDD status in the PGC data (Nagelkerke’s pseudo-*R*2=6.08×10-4; *P*=6.66×10-3); these scores yielded similar results when considering females-only (Nagelkerke’s pseudo-*R*2=0.00111; *P*=4.15×10-3), and recurrent MDD (Nagelkerke’s pseudo-*R*2=9.13×10-4; *P*=2.02×10-3). However, only the results based on PGC-trained polygenic scores for recurrent MDD remained significant after correction for multiple testing (Supplemental Table S2).

We evaluated whether the observed fraction of results displaying the same direction of allelic effects across studies was significantly greater than expected by chance (i.e., 50%) using binomial sign tests. Supplemental Table S4 give the number of LD-independent SNPs considered, fraction of these SNPs displaying the same direction of effect in the other study, and a one-sided binomial test *P*-value. For lifetime MDD, we observed the largest excess of same-direction effects in PGC for SNPs significant at *P*<0.2 in CONVERGE (50.7%; binomial *P*=1×10-3); this finding remains significant after multiple-testing adjustment (Supplemental Table S4). For the reverse comparison, the largest excess of same-direction effects was observed for SNPS significant at *P*<0.2 in PGC (50.5%; binomial *P*=0.016).

Overall, the greatest excess of same-direction effects in CONVERGE was observed for SNPs significant at *P*<0.1 in the PGC recurrent MDD analysis (51.1%; binomial *P*=3.05×10-5); the fraction of same-direction effects in the PGC was largest in the females-only analysis, for SNPs significant at *P*<0.1 in CONVERGE (50.9%; binomial *P*=1.11×10-3). While statistically significant after correcting for multiple tests (Supplemental Table S4), the observed excess of same-direction effects represents only a very small deviation from expectation under the null hypothesis.

## *Trans-ancestry genetic correlation*

Table 2 displays the results of the trans-ancestry genetic correlation between East-Asian and European populations. For lifetime MDD (*ρg*=0.332, 95% CI:[0.270,0.394]), this was both significantly greater than zero (*Pρg*>0=7.23×10-26) and significantly less than one (*Pρg*<1=1.40×10-99), indicating a partially shared polygenic basis of MDD risk between East-Asians and Europeans. These findings remain significant after correction for multiple tests.

By comparison, recurrent MDD and females-only yielded slightly higher estimates of genetic correlation (Table 2). We compared these estimates by assuming an approximately normal distribution for *ρg* and obtaining a *Z*-score for the difference in values; these differences were found to be nominally significant for both recurrent MDD (*P1-sided*=0.023) and females-only (*P1-sided*=0.044). We followed-up these results by calculating genetic effect correlation estimates based on comparisons of CONVERGE to *N*=60 random subsets of the PGC data (Supplemental Figure S1). Compared to lifetime MDD (*ρg=*0.309; 95% CI:[0.290 0.327]), estimates of *ρg* were significantly higher for females-only (*ρg=*0.372, 95% CI:[0.344,0.401]; *t*(59)=7.41, *P*1-sided=2.69×10-10) and recurrent MDD (*ρg=*0.375, 95% CI:[0.362,0.389]; *t*(59)=15.29, *P*1-sided=1.74×10-22).

To aid our interpretation of the cross-ancestry results, we derived analogous within-ancestry estimates for East-Asians (*ρg=*0.926, 95% CI:[0.967,0.967]) and Europeans (*ρg=*0.807, 95% CI:[0.856,0.856]) (Supplemental Figure S2). Notably, within-ancestry analysis of East-Asians yielded significantly greater estimates of *ρg* (*t*(56.45)=3.70, *P*2-sided=0.0005). However, as CONVERGE represents a single study, actual population differences are confounded here with those arising from ascertainment or heterogeneity in assessment methods and instruments among participating PGC studies.

## *SNP-based meta-analyses*

We observed the strongest overall evidence of association experiment-wide between SNPs upstream of gephyrin (*GPHN*) at 14q23.3 (rs9323497; log10BF=8.08) and lifetime MDD (Supplemental Figures S3 and S4). Associated SNPs show marked differences in allele frequencies between East-Asian and European populations and opposing directions of allelic effect in CONVERGE and PGC (Supplemental Figure 4). This locus encodes a neuronal assembly protein that anchors glycine and GABAA receptors to the postsynaptic density in inhibitory neurons [36](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/FSXY). Intriguingly, the gephryin region exhibits an unusual ‘yin-yang’ haplotype structure reflecting strong positive selection related to recent, rapid human evolution [37](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/SgmH), and has previously yielded suggestive evidence of association with depressive symptoms in the general population [38](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/L5kQ).

A total of 10 independent associated SNPs (log10BF>5) were prioritized for replication (Supplemental Table S5; Supplemental Figure S4); of these, 3 were in or near *GPHN*, 2 represent previously reported associations in CONVERGE that did not replicate in PGC [6](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/5MdWN), and 1 was the strongest reported association in the original PGC study [22](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/L6xO). No single SNP in either the females-only or recurrent MDD analyses attained genome-wide significance (Supplemental Figure S3). From each of these analyses, 7 independent associated SNPs were taken forward to the replication stage (Supplemental Tables S6 and S7).

We attempted to replicate these single-SNP associations in a collection of independent replication samples (4 504 MDD cases and 7 007 controls). For lifetime MDD, no single SNP yielded nominally significant evidence of association (*P*<0.05) in fixed-effects meta-analysis of these replication samples (Supplemental Table S5). Replication analyses also failed to generate replication support for SNP associations identified for females-only or recurrent MDD (Supplemental Tables S6 and S7). Regional association and forest plots for these SNPs are provided in the Supplemental Material (Supplemental Figures S4-S6).

For selected SNPs from the trans-ancestry meta-analyses, we assessed the significance of the observed fraction of SNPs showing the same direction of effect across discovery and replication phases; these fractions were 0.30 (*P*=0.9453), 0.286 (*P*=0.9375), and 0.571 (*P*=0.5) for lifetime, females-only, and recurrent MDD, respectively.

## *Gene-set enrichment analyses*

We used DEPICT to investigate whether particular pathways or gene-sets were enriched for associations with any of the phenotypic definitions considered. For SNPs significant at *P*<10-5 in fixed-effects meta-analyses of lifetime, females-only, and recurrent MDD, (29, 24, and 27 independent loci, respectively), no single pathway or gene-set was significantly enriched, or contained more significant genes than expected by chance, after correction for multiple testing (*q*≥0.20).

When we considered a more inclusive threshold (*P*<10-4), there were 167, 161, and 161 independent loci for lifetime, females-only, and recurrent MDD. Following correction for multiple testing, only central nervous system neuron differentiation (GO:0021953) and axon cargo transport pathways (GO:0008088) were found to be significantly enriched (*q*<0.05) in the analysis of lifetime MDD. An additional eleven (11) gene-sets were suggestively enriched (*q*<0.20) and included several ontology terms related to neurodevelopmental processes (Supplemental Table S8). Finally, no tissue or cell-types were enriched for associations with any definition of MDD (*q*≥0.20), irrespective of the significance threshold applied.

# **Discussion**

We have conducted a large, trans-ancestry meta-analysis representing, to our knowledge, the first systematic effort to analyze European and Han Chinese studies of MDD. As expected, we identified a shared, common polygenic basis of MDD between these population, as exemplified by an excess of same-direction allelic effects, significant polygenic risk score profiling results, and modest estimates of genetic correlation.

We initially considered the simple hypothesis that disease-relevant SNP effects would have similar sizes and directions of effect across European and Han Chinese studies [39](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/p3tQj), without explicit consideration of population differences arising from genetic drift or divergent genetic architectures. Scores constructed from either PGC or CONVERGE results were significantly associated with lifetime MDD in the other study, albeit explaining a diminutive fraction of risk. However, it is commonly observed that polygenic prediction is generally poorer when ‘training’ and ‘testing’ datasets do not originate from a single ancestral population, likely attributable to differences in allele frequencies and patterns of LD [20,21](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/qitjh+hHxs0).

Next, we applied the recently developed *popcorn* method [30](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/knFMe) to obtain estimates of the genetic effect correlation between these populations. Briefly*,* the genetic correlation is the correlation coefficient of per-allele SNP effect sizes across populations. We found that the genetic correlation of lifetime MDD was significantly different from both zero and one, suggesting that there is substantial but incomplete overlap in common SNP effects predisposing to MDD in Europe and China. Of particular interest, comparisons based on females-only or recurrent MDD, which better recapitulated the ascertainment strategy in CONVERGE, yielded significantly higher estimates of genetic correlation despite an attendant reduction in sample size.

Given the extensive heterogeneity of MDD, and an expected and demonstrable loss of power arising from between-study differences in ancestry and ascertainment, our limited success in identifying novel, replicable evidence of genome-wide significant association is perhaps unsurprising. It is well understood that a trait’s heritability—and by extension, a shared polygenic liability—is a less important determinant of successful identification of relevant associations than its underlying genetic architecture. Considering the relatively low genetic correlations reported here, we might expect an attenuation of statistical power to detect individual variants, i.e., as compared to a similarly sized studies of the same ancestry. A concomitant, statistically significant enrichment of biologically relevant gene sets is taken as additional support for this interpretation.

*Limitations*

Firstly, the absence of replicable associations with MDD in ancestrally diverse populations precluded more pointed comparisons of specific genetic effects.

Our attempts to reduce the heterogeneity of MDD, namely by focusing on two particular subtypes of illness, should be regarded as preliminary. Furthermore, questions pertaining to both screening and ascertainment of controls were not addressed in the current study, and could have reduced our power to detect relevant variation. We expect that with larger sample sizes, future studies will be sufficiently powered to address these issues.

Finally, by having conducted multiple separate analyses for females-only and recurrent MDD, we increased the multiple-testing burden. As these do not represent completely independent analyses, we have not corrected exhaustively for the total number of tests performed.

*Conclusions*

We have demonstrated a common polygenic basis of MDD that is partially shared between European and Han Chinese populations. Importantly, our findings appear to reinforce the idea that subtyping of MDD may yield additional insight into its etiology [40](https://paperpile.com/c/Qdxtid/tOb6). Striking an advantageous balance between phenotypically more homogeneous definitions of illness and sample size represents an ongoing and nuanced challenge for genetic studies of MDD.
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**Tables**

## Table 1. Sample sizes by participating study site in discovery and replication phases.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Phase** | **Study** | **Ancestry** | **No. controls** | **No. cases** | **No. recurrent** |
| *Discovery* | Bonn Mannheim | EUR | 1 072 (48.3) | 588 (64.1) | 408 (66.7) |
|  | GenRED1 | EUR | 1 097 (42.7) | 1 020 (70.8) | 1 020 (70.8) |
|  | GSK MPIP | EUR | 859 (67.6) | 861 (67.4) | 831 (67.3) |
|  | MPIP MARS 650 | EUR | 539 (54.5) | 373 (55.2) | 128 (59.4) |
|  | NTR/NESDA | EUR | 1 727 (62.0) | 1 685 (69.2) | 834 (71.2) |
|  | QIMR I317 | EUR | 960 (55.2) | 1 017 (61.0) | 524 (65.6) |
|  | QIMR I610 | EUR | 748 (61.9) | 433 (72.3) | 250 (72.4) |
|  | RADIANT | EUR | 1 549 (54.2) | 1 903 (70.5) | 1 441 (70.8) |
|  | RADIANT - Germany | EUR | 217 (53.9) | 327 (65.7) | 254 (70.5) |
|  | STAR\*D | EUR | 447 (43.8) | 1 240 (58.6) | 912 (59.1) |
|  | CONVERGE† | EAS | 5 220 | 5 282 | 5 282 |
| *Replication*‡ | Edinburgh | EUR | 285 (48.8) | 372 (59.4) | . |
|  | DepGenesNetwork | EUR | 470 (62.6) | 471 (77.5) | 471 (77.5) |
|  | GenRED2 | EUR | 474 (48.9) | 830 (82.8) | 830 (82.8) |
|  | Harvard i2b2 | EUR | 1 067 (50.1) | 806 (66.9) | 806 (66.9) |
|  | Janssen | EUR | 1 380 (60.5) | 466 (68.2) | 466 (68.2) |
|  | QIMR COEX | EUR | 526 (57.6) | 565 (71.5) | . |
|  | RADIANT - Irish cases | EUR | 340 (52.4) | 109 (82.6) | 109 (82.6) |
|  | RADIANT - US cases | EUR | 378 (51.9) | 223 (78.5) | 223 (78.5) |
|  | RADIANT - Denmark cases | EUR | 516 (40.7) | 133 (69.9) | 133 (69.9) |
|  | SHIP-LEGEND | EUR | 1 087 (44.0) | 366 (67.8) | . |
|  | SHIP-TREND-0 | EUR | 484 (44.6) | 163 (71.8) | . |
| *Totals* | Discovery |  | 14 435 (71.3) | 14 729 (78.4) | 11 884 (82.2) |
|  | Replication |  | 7 007 (51.6) | 4 504(72.3) | 2 572 (75.8) |

Numbers of female subjects are displayed parenthetically. Ancestry abbreviations are as follows: European (EUR), East-Asian (EAS). †All cases and controls were female. ‡See note in Methods section*.*

## Table 2. Trans-ancestry genetic correlations between East-Asian and European MDD subtypes.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Trait**† | ***ρg\**** | ***Pρg>0*** | ***Pρg<1*** |
| Lifetime MDD | 0.332 [0.270,0.394] | 7.23×10-26 | 1.40×10-99 |
| Females-only MDD | 0.402 [0.326,0.477] | 2.04×10-25 | 2.59×10-54 |
| Recurrent MDD | 0.410 [0.343,0.477] | 5.40×10-33 | 2.23×10-66 |

\*Estimates of *ρg* are displayed with corresponding 95% confidence intervals.†European prevalences of lifetime, females-only, and recurrent MDD were assumed to be 0.15, 0.20, and 0.105, respectively; prevalence of recurrent MDD among Chinese women was assumed to be 0.08.

# **Figure legends**

**Figure 1. Trans-ancestry Association of Polygenic Risk Scores with Major Depressive Disorder.** For scores based on results from PGC or CONVERGE, the variance in risk explained in the other study is shown on the *y*-axis in terms of Nagelkerke’s pseudo-*R*2; scores based on various *P*-value inclusion thresholds are displayed as shaded bars.