

Canadian Journal on Aging / La Revue canadienne du vieillissement**Date of delivery:** 22-08-2017**Journal and vol/article ref:**

cjb

S0714980817000320

Number of pages (not including this page): 2

page 1 of 2

This proof is sent to you on behalf of Cambridge University Press.

Authors are strongly advised to read these proofs thoroughly because any errors missed may appear in the final published paper. This will be your ONLY chance to correct your proof. Once published, either online or in print, no further changes can be made.

Here is a proof of your article for publication in *Canadian Journal on Aging*. Please print out the file and check the proofs carefully, make any corrections necessary on a hardcopy, and answer queries on the e proofs.

You may choose one of the following options for returning your proofs.

Send a PDF of the corrected proofs to Louise O'Donald at lbodonald@earthlink.net within 48 hours. You can either: 1) add your corrections using Adobe Illustrator or 2) print out and mark the proofs clearly and scan the corrected proofs into a PDF.

If the corrections can be explained clearly in a text message, you can list the corrections in an email, citing page number, paragraph number, and line number.

You should have received a copyright transfer request from the Editor, Paul Stolee. If you have not already done so, please complete and send the original signed copyright transfer form by express mail to: Paul Stolee, PhD, School of Public Health and Health Systems, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1; Telephone: (519) 888-4567; Fax: (519) 888-4362; email: <mailto:cjarcv@uwaterloo.ca>

You are responsible for correcting your proofs. Errors not found may appear in the published journal.

The proof is sent to you for correction of typographical errors only. Revision of the substance of the text is not permitted, unless discussed with the editor of the journal.

Please answer carefully any queries raised from the typesetter.

A new copy of a figure must be provided if correction of anything other than a typographical error introduced by the typesetter is required. Please provide this in eps or tiff format to the production editor and print it out to send with the faxed proof.

To order reprints or offprints of your article or a printed copy of the issue, please visit the Cambridge University Reprint Order Center online at: www.sheridan.com/cup/eoc

Canadian Journal on Aging / La Revue canadienne du vieillissement

Thank you in advance.

Regards,

Jonathan Geffner
Production Editor

Please note:

- The proof is sent to you for correction of typographical errors only. Revision of the substance of the text is not permitted, unless discussed with the editor of the journal. Only **one** set of corrections are permitted.
- Please answer carefully any author queries.
- Corrections which do NOT follow journal style will not be accepted.
- A new copy of a figure must be provided if correction of anything other than a typographical error introduced by the typesetter is required.
- If you have problems with the file please contact

jgeffner@cambridge.org

Please note that this pdf is for proof checking purposes only. It should not be distributed to third parties and may not represent the final published version.

Important: you must return any forms included with your proof.

Please do not reply to this email

NOTE - for further information about **Journals Production** please consult our **FAQs** at
http://journals.cambridge.org/production_faqs

Author Queries

QA	There are no queries for this article.
----	--

Book Reviews/Comptes rendus

Caroline Lodge, Eileen Carnell, and Marianne Coleman. *The New Age of Ageing: How Society Needs to Change*. Bristol, ENG: Policy Press, 2016

Reviewed by Susan Pickard, University of Liverpool – Department of Sociology, Social Policy and Criminology

doi:10.1017/S0714980817000320

This book is informed by the conviction that both individual aging and the aging of societies are events to be welcomed. In the former case there are many positive aspects of growing old for the individual, which can also benefit societies in general. In the latter, the aging of societies is not just a huge success story more generally (in that we are not just living longer but doing so in better health) but a revolution for women in particular (the lower childbirth rates reflecting the opportunities open to women that were not present in previous generations). Indeed, the feminist activist background of the writers also motivates their aim to challenge ageist stereotypes found at all levels of society and to debunk the powerful myth that depicts aging as wholly negative: harmful to individuals, to intergenerational relations, and to society more generally in the context of an aging demographic.

The authors' hope is that improved knowledge will underpin a more measured planning for the needs of an aging society and infuse public debate with more-positive elements. The authors explain that, as they are in their 60s and 70s, they have experienced ageist attitudes first hand as well as by observation and thus have a personal stake in this goal. They specifically wish to influence a broader readership, and so they ensure, although they back up their arguments with a wealth of theoretical knowledge and the latest scholarly evidence, that this is always done in an approachable and jargon-free manner. Vivid illustrations and case studies are drawn from media stories and news reports as well as from the voices of older individuals obtained from interviews – some carried out by the authors themselves – and this further increases the book's appeal and reach.

In the first 11 chapters of *The New Age of Ageing*, the authors explore the marginalizing practices that exist in a number of areas of society including consumerism and marketing, media representations, employment, beauty, housing, and care. In each case, they present alternative and more positive approaches, and end chapters with a short and useful summary detailing "what needs to change". The chapters on demographics and economics and on "How Society Ages People" challenge the social construction of old age in terms of

decline and deficit and present a convincing alternative case for continuity of self across ages and stages, and for similarities of older people to people of other ages.

Although inevitably the authors cover the standard themes found in nearly every social policy text on aging – such as caring, housing, and the like – the chapter on older consumers is a welcome and original addition, making the point that older consumers are not well catered to in a whole range of ways, from the type of consumables on offer to their development and design, packaging, and instructions, all of which both disadvantage older consumers and fail to tap into the potential of this market (showing that age ideology is so powerful it can even undermine capitalist profit). Similarly refreshing and original is the chapter on media exclusion which covers both the absence of older women from key roles in media and, relatedly, the stereotypes of old age produced by this same youthfully biased media.

The last three chapters focus on positive aspects of aging from both an individual and societal perspective. Herein the authors set out to demonstrate that old age can, like any other stage of life, be a time of fun, happiness, freedom, and continued growth. Additionally, they identify wisdom as both an advantage to individual older people as well as a uniquely valuable resource to society in general and younger people in particular. A chapter on the role that many older people continue to play in political campaigning, including (and contrary to the stereotypes of old age as conservative) in radical politics further emphasises their oft-forgotten contribution to the communities in which they live and presents this as beneficial to the working of democracy. This challenges the depiction of older people as burdens or, alternatively, as standing in the way of progress itself.

The book tackles subjects such as social and psychological theories of aging, intergenerational justice, and the intersection of age, gender, class, and race, among other things, in a way that is both accessible and yet informative. At the same time, the book departs from the more cautious approach that usually characterises more-academic texts in its bold prescriptions of the

changes needed regarding aging and old age which, as well as forming the concluding sections of most of the chapters, are collected in the final summarizing chapter, "Our Vision for the Future".

The book successfully bridges the gap between the scholarly community and the informed reading public. It succeeds in both its aims of giving information and in reappraising old age as a positive rather than negative event. This can be seen if we consider a case study that appears at the very start of the book, in which the authors discuss the example of the healthy and active retired British nurse, Gill Pharaoh, who decided in 2015 at the age of 75 that she would end her life by euthanasia rather than live on to be a burden to her family. By the

end of the book, the reader is in no doubt that being old is not the wholly negative condition characterised entirely by decline, dependency, and other dreaded attributes that Pharaoh assumed, and society encouraged her to believe, and that old age is, indeed, infinitely better than being dead.

I have used this text, with success, in my second-year undergraduate course on age studies, and although the course is a little U.K.-centric, I have no doubt it will serve as a useful resource for many gerontologists, both as a handy reference and for teaching, as well as for social scientists, cultural critics, advocacy groups, and all those, lay and professional, interested in a lively and engaging overview of the politics of aging and old age today.