

# The impact of $\gamma$ -irradiation on the induction of bystander killing by genetically engineered ovarian tumor cells: implications for clinical use.

**Authors:** Jehad Zweiri<sup>1,2</sup>, Stephen E Christmas<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Haematological Medicine, King's College London, the Rayne Institute, 123 Coldharbour Lane, London SE5 9NU, UK

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Infection & Global Health, University of Liverpool, UK

**Address for correspondence:**

**Dr Jehad Zweiri**

Dept. of Clinical Infection & Immunology  
Institute of Infection & Global Health  
Ronald Ross Building  
University of Liverpool  
8, West Derby St  
Liverpool L69 7BE, UK  
UK Tel: 0044 151 795 9643  
UK Mobile: 0044 7990980755  
Fax: 0044 151 795 5527  
Email: [jehad.zweiri@liverpool.ac.uk](mailto:jehad.zweiri@liverpool.ac.uk)  
ORCID: 0000-0003-3315-0972

**Dr Stephen Christmas**

[sechris@liverpool.ac.uk](mailto:sechris@liverpool.ac.uk)  
ORCID: 0000-0001-8925-3204

**Running title:**  $\gamma$ -irradiation in 'suicide' gene therapy of cancer.

## **Abstract**

**Background:** Cellular based therapeutic approaches for cancer rely on careful consideration of finding the optimal cell to execute the cellular goal of cancer treatment. Cell lines and primary cell cultures have been used in some studies to compare the *in vitro* and *in vivo* efficacy of autologous vs allogeneic tumour cell vaccines.

**Methods:** This study examines the effect of  $\gamma$ -irradiation on a range of tumor cell lines in conjunction with suicide gene therapy of cancer. To determine the efficacy of this modality, a series of *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments were conducted using genetically modified and unmodified tumor cell lines.

**Results:** Following co-culture of HSV-TK modified tumor cells and unmodified tumor cells both *in vitro* and *in vivo* we observed that the PA-STK ovarian tumor cells were sensitive to  $\gamma$ -irradiation, completely abolishing their ability to induce bystander killing of unmodified tumor cells. In contrast, TK-modified human and mouse mesothelioma cells were found to retain their *in vitro* and *in vivo* bystander killing effect after  $\gamma$ -irradiation. Morphological evidence was consistent with the death of PA-STK cells being by pyknosis after  $\gamma$ -irradiation. These results suggest that PA-STK cells are not suitable for clinical application of suicide gene therapy of cancer, as lethal  $\gamma$ -irradiation (100Gy) interferes with their bystander killing activity. However, the human mesothelioma cell line CRL-5830-TK retained its bystander killing potential after exposure to similarly lethal  $\gamma$ -irradiation (100Gy). CRL-5830 may therefore be a suitable vehicle for HSV-TK suicide gene therapy.

**Conclusions:** This study highlights the diversity among tumor cell lines and the careful considerations needed to find the optimal tumor cell line for this type of suicide gene therapy of cancer.

**Key words:** Tumor cell lines,  $\gamma$ -irradiation, Suicide gene therapy, anti-tumor immune response, cell death, Bystander killing effect, and cancer clinical trials.

## Introduction

The central objective in cancer therapy is to kill the malignant cells while causing little or preferably no collateral damage to healthy cells. Suicide gene therapy, as applied to the treatment of cancer, holds the potential to achieve just that [1,2]. An example is the insertion of the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-TK) gene into cancer cells which are consequently induced to “commit suicide” when in the presence of otherwise non-toxic doses of ganciclovir (GCV) [3,4]. This selective toxic effect of the purine analogue ganciclovir is because HSV-TK phosphorylates ganciclovir, converting it ultimately to ganciclovir-triphosphate, a toxic compound when inserted into the DNA of these transfected cells [5-7]. As with any other gene therapy strategy, and any anti-cancer treatment approach, its main limitation is the selective targeting and transduction of all tumor cells *in vivo* [8]. However, it may not be necessary to transduce every tumor cell *in vivo* to bring about a clinically-meaningful anti-tumor effect [9-11]. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that two types of “bystander tumor cell killing” mechanisms are mediated by this approach: a) a “direct” bystander effect, due to the transfer of ganciclovir triphosphate from HSV-TK-positive tumor cells into untransfected neighboring cells [12-14], b) a systemic immunologically-mediated bystander effect due to the *in-vivo* immune presentation of tumor-specific/associated antigens following the killing of HSV-TK –expressing cells [15,16].

The genetically modified HSV-TK human ovarian cancer cell line, PA1-STK, has been used for the treatment of solid tumors (administered intraperitoneally in patients with ovarian cancer) [17]. The *in vitro* culture of these cells in the presence of ganciclovir provoked bystander killing, but with limited cytotoxic activity *in vivo* [18]. The rationale for this strategy was that PA-STK cells, injected in the vicinity of the patient’s tumor bulk, could make contact with, and seed themselves onto, the patient’s tumor cells *in vivo* and, after treatment with ganciclovir, could commit suicide and kill the patient’s tumor cells by a “direct” bystander mechanism (*e.g.* gap junction mediated transfer of the phosphorylated ganciclovir from the HSV-TK positive cells to the TK negative cells). This direct cytotoxicity could then induce a more systemic immunological bystander tumor-killing effect [19-21].

Irradiation in conjunction with cancer gene therapy may have several potential benefits. As well as synergistic killing of tumor cells, it may enhance the bystander effect by releasing

tumor antigens, stimulating a broad anti-tumor immune response [19-22]. However, it is important to establish that irradiated HSV-TK-containing cells are still able to induce direct bystander killing, without contributing to the live tumor burden. This is a particularly important consideration when such treatment is considered for conditions of low tumor cell burden, but poor prognosis, such as surgically debulked advanced malignancies.

The objective of the present studies was to assess the efficacy of bystander killing of unmodified tumour cells by HSV-TK modified cells, before and after irradiation. The studies reported here show that  $\gamma$ -irradiation has a vastly different impact on the viability of tumor cells *in vitro*; some die within hours whereas others remain metabolically active for several days. The consequences of such different sensitivities to  $\gamma$ -irradiation are that the highly radiosensitive cells failed to mediate efficient bystander killing either *in vitro* or *in vivo*, whilst the less radiosensitive cells were able to mediate efficient bystander killing both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These studies highlight the importance of sensitivity to  $\gamma$ -irradiation for the development of allogeneic cell lines for the clinical application of HSV-TK mediated bystander killing of tumor cells.

## **Methods**

### Cell lines

Human mesothelioma cell lines, CRL-5915, 5820, and 5830 were obtained from the American type culture collection (Rockville, MD, USA) with the permission of Prof. A Gazdar (MD Anderson Cancer Center, Texas, USA)<sup>23</sup>. Mouse mesothelioma cell lines, ABI (H-2d) from BALB/c mice, AE17 (H-2k) from CBA mice, and AC29 (H-2b) from C57BL/6 mice were obtained from Prof. B. Robinson, QEII Medical Centre, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Australia<sup>24</sup>. Human ovarian tumor (teratocarcinoma) cell line, PA-1 and PA-STK were obtained from Prof. S Freeman, Tulane University Medical School, New Orleans, USA [17]. Three ovarian tumor cell lines already available in the laboratory were: OVC-432 (ovarian carcinoma, a kind gift from Dr C. Dohring, Basel Institute for Immunology, Basel, Switzerland<sup>25</sup>, SKOV-3 (ovarian adenocarcinoma) [26], and OIB, an ovarian tumor cell line established by Prof. F Farzaneh in the Molecular Medicine Department<sup>27</sup>. All human cell lines were maintained in DMEM, supplemented with 10% Fetal Calf Serum (FCS) and 1% sodium pyruvate. In the case of mouse mesothelioma cells, the RPMI-medium was

supplemented with 5% FCS, 20mM HEPES, 50mM 2-mercaptoethanol (2ME) and 2mM glutamine.

### Retroviral infection

Cells to be infected were seeded at a density of  $5 \times 10^5$  cells per 10 cm plate, 24 hrs before infection. Virus producing cells were also grown to about 90% confluence, and the medium changed 10 hrs prior to harvest of the culture medium to ensure that fresh virus containing supernatant was used [28].

The medium from virus producing cells was removed and filtered through a  $0.45 \mu\text{m}$  pore-size filter to remove cell debris but allowing passage of the viral vector through the filter. To enhance the retroviral infection,  $8 \mu\text{g/ml}$  of polybrene (Sigma Aldrich, Poole, UK) was added to the culture medium. Polybrene is required for coating of target cells in order to neutralise their negative surface charge, therefore increasing the efficiency of infection [29,30]. The medium from the cells to be infected was removed prior to infection, and the vector-polybrene mixture added. After 10 hrs of infection the medium was changed. 48 hrs after infection, the medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium containing G418 at  $1 \text{mg/ml}$ . G418 resistant clones were expanded.

### *In vitro* GCV-sensitivity studies on the tumor cell lines

The *in vitro* studies examining the effect of GCV on the HSV-TK expressing mesothelioma and ovarian carcinoma cells, as well as the untransduced cells, were performed in 96-well plates. Transduced and untransduced cells were plated in triplicate at two densities,  $10^4$  cells/well and  $10^5$  cells/well for comparison. After 2 days, the medium was replaced by fresh DMEM containing the indicated concentrations of GCV in the range  $10,000 \mu\text{M}$  to  $0.01 \mu\text{M}$ . Cells were then incubated at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  in humidified 5 %  $\text{CO}_2$  for 5 days. Sensitivity to GCV treatment was measured by using a colorimetric cell proliferation assay that measures viable cell dehydrogenase activity, the microculture tetrazolium cell proliferation assay [31].  $20 \mu\text{l}$  of  $5 \text{mg/ml}$  MTT (Sigma Aldrich, Poole, UK) was added to each well for 3hrs and the cells in each well were solubilised in  $150 \mu\text{l}$  of MTT solubilisation solution. After overnight

incubation, the optical density of each well was measured on a 96-well plate reader (Dynatech, Reading, UK) set at 570nm wavelength. Known concentrations of cells were also plated, cultured in the presence of MTT, and similarly solubilized. The absorbance reading of these control cells represents the metabolic activity of a known number of cells and was used to generate a standard curve in Microsoft Excel. The absorbance reading for each sample (well) was directly compared with the standard curve, and the numbers of viable cells were determined accordingly.

### Bystander killing effect studies

The bystander effect was determined by mixing HSV-TK expressing cells with untransfected cells at the indicated ratios. Cells were then plated in triplicate in 10 cm plates at two densities,  $1 \times 10^5$  and  $5 \times 10^5$  cell/ plate to ensure cell-cell contact and to compare the *in vitro* effect of cell densities on the bystander effect. Two days later, the cells were treated with 50  $\mu$ M GCV and incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 10-14 days. The plates were then stained with 2% methylene blue and stained cells were counted.

In order to calculate the effect of GCV on the mixed PA-STK and PA-1 populations, the number of colonies counted were expressed as a percentage of the total number of colonies in the co-cultured TK+ve and TK-ve tumor cells at the indicated ratios of the two cell populations in the presence of the indicated concentrations of GCV. A graph was obtained by plotting the percentage of surviving colonies.

### $\gamma$ -irradiation of HSV-TK modified tumor cells

$2 \times 10^6$  tumor cells resuspended in 5ml of DMEM were  $\gamma$ -irradiated (100Gy) using a Gamma cell-1000 (Atomic Energy of Canada Ltd. Source: <sup>137</sup>Cs).

### Cytospin histological analysis

A 100 $\mu$ l aliquot of  $10^4$  cells/ml in PBS was used to prepare cytopsin slides using a cytopsin system (Hettich, Salford, UK), fixed in 10% formalin for 10 minutes, left overnight to air-dry and then stained by the Papanicolaou staining method [32,33].

### Measurement of apoptosis and necrosis by FACS analysis

Tumor cells were resuspended in 100µl of working labelling solution and incubated for 15min in the dark. Two control tubes were used: cells stained with Annexin V-FITC (Becton Dickinson, Oxford, UK) alone and cells stained with PI alone [34,35]. The cells were then analysed by flow cytometry using a FACScan analyser (Becton Dickinson, Oxford, UK).

### Cell cycle analysis

Cells were irradiated at 100Gy and incubated overnight at 37°C, in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, resuspended in 1ml of staining buffer (0.1% sodium citrate, 0.1% Triton-X100 and 10µg/ml propidium iodide in dH<sub>2</sub>O), vortexed and left overnight at 4°C in the dark. The cells were then analysed by flow cytometry using a FACScan analyser.

### *In vivo* studies: Inoculation, establishment and treatment of the tumors intraperitoneally (IP) in mice

AB1 mouse mesothelioma adherent tumor cells were harvested by washing with versene only and re-suspended in 0.2 ml of PBS. Tumors were established IP in female BALB/c mice by injecting the mesothelioma cells (AB1 tumor cell line), at different doses ( $1 \times 10^5$ ,  $5 \times 10^5$  and  $1 \times 10^6$ /100ml PBS) using a 26-gauge needle, to establish the TD<sub>50</sub> for the tumor cell line, according to Home Office regulations. Mice (n=45) were injected i.p. with AB1 tumor cells on day 0. Nine days later, animals were assigned to nine groups (n=5 per group). GCV treatment was started at day 10. GCV (Cymevene® 500mg; Roche, Switzerland) was diluted in sterile DMEM to a stock concentration of 50mg/ml. The stock solution of GCV was diluted in DMEM to a concentration of 2mg/ml, and 1ml of the stock was injected IP once a day for five consecutive days. Mice were monitored every two days to palpate the tumor. At post-mortem, all tumor nodules were counted and measured using a calliper (for each nodule, 2 perpendicular diameters were recorded). Tumor volume was calculated for each nodule assuming spherical shape and the total tumor volume was calculated by adding all the calculated values for each mouse.

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Microsoft Excel program. Differences between groups were analysed using Student's paired t-test. A P value of < 0.05 was considered as significant.

## Results

### PA-STK cells irradiated at 100Gy lose their ability to induce bystander killing

Irradiation of PA-STK cells (100Gy) substantially reduced their ability to induce bystander killing of unmodified PA-1 cells (Figure 1A). This study was carried out at the optimum number of  $5 \times 10^5$  cells per  $10 \text{ cm}^3$  plate as previously determined (data not shown). A possibility was that the irradiation stopped the growth of PA-STK cells and therefore reduced the possibility of cell to cell contact in the tissue culture plate. This experiment was therefore repeated at a higher cell density of  $2 \times 10^6$  cells/plate. Increasing the cell density did not restore the bystander effect (Figure 1B). At both cell densities, irradiated PA-STK cells were highly significantly less efficient at mediating the bystander effect at a 50:50 ratio than unirradiated cells ( $P = 0.04$ ). Similar data were obtained in three further repeats of this experiment.

### $\gamma$ -Irradiated (100Gy) human and mouse mesothelioma cells retain the ability to induce bystander killing.

In contrast to the PA-STK cells, the mouse mesothelioma AE17-STK cells retain their capacity to induce bystander killing after  $\gamma$ -irradiation (100Gy, Figure 2A). Human mesothelioma cells CRL-5830-TK, were similarly able to retain their bystander killing activity after  $\gamma$ -irradiation (Figure 2B). In neither case was there a significant difference in efficacy between irradiated and unirradiated cells ( $P > 0.45$  at all cell ratios).

### Investigation of PA-STK cell death after irradiation



Microscopic examination of the irradiated (100Gy) PA-STK and PA-1 cells revealed that they were very sensitive to irradiation. The cells did not attach to the culture plate after irradiation, when visualised the next day (Figure 3). In contrast, OVC-432 cells were able to efficiently adhere to the culture dish, even after 100Gy  $\gamma$ -irradiation. These cells, in common with all other cell lines tested (OVC-432, SKOV-3, OIB, CRL-5830, CRL-5839-STK, CRL-5820, CRL-5915, AE17, AE-STK, AB-1, AC-29, HL-60) were able to attach efficiently to substrate and remain metabolically active for about 3 days before eventually losing surface adherence (data not shown).

A dose range of irradiation from 1 to 100Gy was then tested on PA-STK cells. For this purpose the cells were trypsinised,  $\gamma$ -irradiated and then plated onto tissue culture plates. The next day it was observed that even the PA-STK cells irradiated at 1Gy had lost their ability to adhere to the tissue culture plates. Further cell viability studies were performed using the MTT assay to determine their viability, showing that these cells were non-viable at all  $\gamma$ -irradiation doses. Moreover, these cells failed to mediate any *in vitro* bystander effect at all  $\gamma$ -irradiation doses tested (data not shown).

### Analysis of cell death in PA-STK cells

The first objective was to quantitatively record cell death during a time course study following  $\gamma$ -irradiation using the trypan blue dye exclusion method (Figure 4). The control cell line used in this experiment was another ovarian cancer cell line, OVC-432, as well as non-irradiated PA-STK cells. The vast majority (over 90%) of the irradiated PA-STK cells died by 18 hours post irradiation. However, this was not the case for control cells which survived beyond 48 hours.

Additionally, Annexin-V and propidium iodide staining of cells was used as a method to quantify the proportion of apoptotic and necrotic cells in the  $\gamma$ -irradiated population of PA-STK cells, respectively. In this experiment HL-60 cells treated with staurosporin (1mg/ml for 4 hours) were used as positive control cells<sup>34,35</sup>. As shown in Fig. 5, only a small proportion of PA-STK cells were dying by apoptosis. In order to further examine the mechanism of cell death in the irradiated PA-STK cells, cytospin preparations were examined 18 hours later. The non-irradiated PA-STK cells served as controls. The cytospin histological analysis of the

irradiated PA-STK cells suggests that these cells die by pyknosis, a form of necrosis (Figure 6). Pyknosis is characterised by nuclear shrinkage and increased basophilia<sup>36</sup>. In the irradiated PA-STK cells the DNA condensed into a solid, shrunken basophilic mass. The only clear inference which can be drawn from these data is that the radiation induced death in the vast majority of PA-STK cells is due to necrosis/pyknosis, rather than apoptosis.

### *In vivo* bystander killing activity

To establish an allogeneic TK-tumor cell/GCV treatment model, a series of experiments were conducted to repeat and extend previous *in vivo* studies. The treatment groups in Figure 6 were used to assess and compare the activity of PA-STK cells (irradiated and non-irradiated) as a possible vehicle for HSV-TK suicide gene therapy with other TK expressing tumor cells. In this experiment BALB/c mice were injected with the syngeneic murine AB1 mesothelioma cells intraperitoneally ( $1 \times 10^6$  cells per mouse) on day 0 (to act as the experimental groups), and one group of mice acted as healthy controls. Nine days later, the mice were separated into 9 different treatment groups. Group 1: PBS alone intraperitoneally (2 ml), Group 2: AE17-STK alone (2 ml containing  $1 \times 10^6$  cells), group 3: GCV alone (2 mg/ml/mouse for five consecutive days), Group 4: GCV + AE17-STK (GCV 2 mg/mouse for five consecutive days), Group 5:  $\gamma$ -irradiated AE17-STK/GCV (100Gy) + GCV, Group 6: unirradiated PA-STK cells + GCV, Group 7:  $\gamma$ -Irradiated PA-STK (100Gy) + GCV, Group 8: CRL5830-STK/GCV, and Group 9:  $\gamma$ -Irradiated CRL5830-STK/GCV (100Gy). Co-cultured cells for treatment were used at 50% HSVTK modified tumor cells: 50% unmodified tumor cells. The mice were then left and monitored for signs of tumor development and toxicity. Eight days after the first day of treatment (i.e. 17 days from tumor inoculation), mice were sacrificed and post-mortem was performed. The mean tumor volume was calculated for each treatment group (Figure 7).

Despite the toxicity of the treatment, the animals in Group 4 (which received AE17-STK + GCV) had significantly smaller tumors compared to the mice in the first 3 control groups (PBS alone, AE17-STK alone, or GCV alone) [ $p = 0.03$ ]. This reduction in tumor volume appeared to be reduced slightly in the case of irradiated AE17-STK cells, as there was a smaller reduction in tumor volume in Group 5 (which received  $\gamma$ -irradiated AE17-STK with GCV) compared to Group 4 (GCV + AE17-STK), although this reduction was not statistically

significant ( $p = 0.56$ ). For Groups 6 and 7 (PA-STK treated groups), the unirradiated PA-STK cells + GCV mediated a detectable anti-tumor effect *in vivo*, whereas the  $\gamma$ -irradiated PA-STK cells and GCV did not ( $p$  values = 0.05 and 0.6 respectively), compared to control mice which received PBS only. However, the difference between the irradiated PA-STK and unirradiated PA-STK cells was statistically significant ( $p = 0.045$ ). Moreover, both the live and irradiated CRL5830-STK human mesothelioma cells in combination with GCV mediated a detectable anti-tumor bystander killing effect, compared to control mice which received PBS only,  $p$  values = 0.05 and 0.06 respectively.

## Discussion

The use of lethally-irradiated allogeneic TK-modified tumor cells could result in the development of a 'generic' HSV-TK expressing tumor cell line to generate an anti-tumor immune response against a number of different tumor types [17,37]. If effective, such a strategy could be much more practical, as it could be more easily standardised. In addition it could be a less expensive therapeutic option than therapy with autologous modified tumor cells [38].

The studies described above appear to indicate that irradiation of PA-STK cells abolishes their bystander killing ability, because the PA-STK cells are very radiosensitive and die too rapidly to enable therapeutic benefit. The radio-sensitivity of the PA-1 and PA-STK cells appeared to be much higher than a panel of 10 other cell lines including human and mouse mesothelioma cells. The precise mechanism for this difference is unclear but could be a result of a deficiency in DNA repair in PA-STK cells.

In a murine mesothelioma model, Schwarzenberger and his colleagues successfully used PA-STK ovarian tumor cells to treat peritoneally grown mesothelioma tumor AC29. In this study the PA-STK cells were not irradiated [18]. Efficacy of the irradiated TK+ve cells was tested in a previous study by Freeman and his colleagues using the TK+ve cells KBALB but not PA-STK cells. However, the data presented in this study demonstrate that irradiation of PA-STK cells, even at very low doses, makes them lose their capacity to induce an *in vitro* bystander effect as they die very early post-irradiation.

In this study, irradiated and non-irradiated AE17-STK mouse mesothelioma cells were examined for their efficiency in inducing the rejection of allogeneic tumors; AE17-STK cells were also compared with a human mesothelioma cell line CRL5830. Tumor regression was detected in all animals injected with the non-irradiated allogeneic AE17-STK cells after GCV administration whereas in mice treated with the irradiated cells tumor regression was detectable in only 60% of the animals. Interestingly, the human mesothelioma CRL5830-STK in combination with GCV treatment has indicated significant reduction in tumor mass in contrast to PA-STK human cell line.

The first clinical trial to use a suicide gene (HSV-TK) as a primary therapeutic agent was approved in 1991 for the treatment of ovarian carcinoma<sup>17</sup>. Ovarian cancer cells (PA-1) were transfected *ex vivo* with the gene for HSV-TK and then injected intraperitoneally in nine patients with stage III disease. One patient achieved complete remission, whereas others showed partial tumor regression. However, data obtained in the present study show that these particular cells (PA-STK) were not able to mediate any detectable *in vivo* bystander killing after lethal irradiation; however a detectable but not significant bystander killing was found when these cells were not irradiated. The high sensitivity of PA-STK to irradiation appeared to be responsible for the complete loss of the bystander effect not only *in vivo* but also *in vitro*. The non-irradiated PA-STK cells were able to mediate bystander killing *in vitro*, and also to induce a detectable level of *in vivo* bystander killing. One possible explanation is that the PA-STK cells are not very efficient mediators of the direct bystander effect (PA-STK IC50 of 20mM GCV, compared to an IC50 of 3mM for AE17-STK or 1mM for CRL5830-TK cells). It is possible that the xenogeneic human ovarian PA-STK cells did not very efficiently home onto and/or establish gap junctions with the allogeneic murine mesothelioma cells [10,39]. However, as already mentioned, Schwarzenberger and colleagues did find that PA-STK cells can treat AC29 mouse mesothelioma tumors initiated 4 days earlier [18]. However, in the present studies in which the PA-STK cells were given on day 9 of tumor inoculation there was no evidence of tumor regression after irradiation, although there was clear evidence for the induction of tumor elimination by the AE17-STK cells.

To sum up, the issue of tumor cell sensitivity to  $\gamma$ -irradiation is critical in cellular therapy, as 'optimum' sensitivity need to be observed carefully. Gene-modified (HSVTK) tumor cells which are to be used in cancer patients need to be lethally irradiated so that they will not

proliferate in the recipient's body, but still be able to mediate bystander killing. It is therefore important to establish whether and when the irradiated gene-modified tumor cells would die after irradiation.

## **Conclusions**

This study suggests that PA-STK ovarian tumor cells are rather poor mediators of bystander killing, and therefore may not be the most efficient cells for future clinical trials. However, the *in vitro* and *in vivo* results obtained in the present studies suggest that CRL-5830TK human mesothelioma cells may be effective inducers of bystander killing for the clinical treatment of mesothelioma. Interestingly, CRL-5830TK cells appear to retain their killing ability even after lethal irradiation.

Although encouraging results are emerging from pre-clinical and some early stage clinical studies, further developments are needed. These include: 1- development of relevant disease models in higher vertebrates with physiological traits that are adequately representative of humans malignancies; 2- development and optimisation of the gene delivery systems; 3- further investigation of ways of enhancing the bystander effect; 4- modulation of the host immune response to enhance and complement suicide gene therapy; 5- further exploration of other therapeutic strategies in combination with suicide gene therapy in the light of the observation that certain suicide genes can behave as radio-sensitising agents [40-42].

## **Abbreviations**

GCV: ganciclovir, HSV-TK: herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase, MTT: micro-culture tetrazolium cell proliferation assay.

## **Ethics approval and consent to participate and Consent for publication**

This manuscript contains no information on clinical materials and hence ethical approval and consent for publication are not required.

### **Authors' contributions**

Jehad Zweiri conceived, planned and carried out the experiments and data analyses, and drafted the manuscript; Steve Christmas advised on drafting the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Haematological Medicine, King's College London, the Rayne Institute, 123 Coldharbour Lane, London SE5 9NU, UK

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Infection & Global Health, University of Liverpool, UK

### **Acknowledgements**

This study was conducted with the academic and financial support of Professor Farzin Farzaneh of King's College London, UK. Professor Farzaneh also helped in the design of the experiments and reading the manuscript.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

### **Availability of data and materials**

The data and materials of this study are included in this published article.

### **Funding**

This work was supported in part by King's College London.

## **References**

- 1-Sangro B, Mazzolini G, Ruiz M, Ruiz J, Quiroga J, Herrero I et al. A phase I clinical trial of thymidine kinase-based gene therapy in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 2010; 17: 837–843. DOI: 10.1038/cgt.2010.40
- 2- Klatzmann D, Valéry CA, Bensimon G, Marro B, Boyer O, Mokhtari K et al. A phase I/II study of herpes simplex virus type 1 thymidine kinase 'suicide' gene therapy for recurrent glioblastoma. *Human Gene Therapy.* 1998; 9: 2595-2604. DOI: 10.1089/hum.1998.9.17-2595
- 3- Moolten, FL. Tumor chemosensitivity conferred by inserted herpes thymidine kinase genes: paradigm for a prospective cancer control strategy. *Cancer Res.* 1996; 46: 5276-5280.
- 4- Moolten FL. Drug sensitivity ("suicide") genes for selective cancer chemotherapy. *Cancer Gene Therapy.* 1994; 1: 279-282.
- 5- Elion GB, Furman PA. Selectivity of action of an antiherpetic agent. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA.* 1977; 74: 5716-60.
- 6- Caruso M. Gene therapy against cancer and HIV infection using the gene encoding herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase. *Molecular Medicine Today.* 1996; 2: 212-217.
- 7- Martin LA, Lemoine NR. Direct cell killing by suicide genes. *Cancer Metastases Rev.* 1996; 15: 301-305.
- 8- Price P, Sikora K. *Treatment of cancer.* Chapman & Hall Medical, third edition, 1995, P 647-668.

9- Hamel W, Magnelli L, Chiarugi VP, Israel MA. Herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase/ganciclovir-mediated apoptotic death of bystander cells. *Cancer Research*. 1996; 56: 2697-2702.

10- Ishii-Morita H, Agbaria R, Mullen CA, Hirano H, Koeplin DA, Ram Z et al. Mechanism of “bystander effect” killing in the herpes simplex thymidine kinase gene therapy model of cancer treatment. *Gene Therapy*. 1997; 4: 244-251. DOI: 10.1038/sj.gt.3300379

11- Fillat C, Carrio M, Cascante A, Sangro B. Suicide gene therapy mediated by the Herpes Simplex virus thymidine kinase gene/Ganciclovir system: fifteen years of application. *Curr Gene Ther*. 2003; 3: 13-26.

12- Freeman SM, Abboud CN, Whartenby KA, Packman CH, Koeplin DS, Moolten FL, Abraham GN. The bystander effect- tumor regression when a fraction of the tumor mass is genetically modified. *Cancer Research*. 1993; 53: 5274-5283.

13- Elshami AA, Kucharczuk JC, Zhang HB, Smythe WR, Hwang HC, Litzky LA, Kaiser LR, Albelda SM. Treatment of pleural mesothelioma in an immunocompetent rat model utilizing adenoviral transfer of the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase gene. *Human Gene Therapy*. 1996; 7: 141-148. DOI: 10.1089/hum.1996.7.2-141

14- Elshami AA, Saavedra A, Zhang H, Kucharczuk JC, Spray DC, Fishman GI et al. Gap junctions play a role in the “bystander effect” of the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase/ganciclovir system in vitro. *Gene Therapy*. 1996; 3: 85-92.

15- Freeman SM, Ramesh R, Marrogi AJ, Jensen A, Abboud CN. In vivo studies on the mechanisms of bystander effect. *Cancer Gene Therapy*. 1994; 1: 326-332.



16-Freeman SM, Ramesh R, Marrogi AJ. Immune system in suicide-gene therapy. *Lancet*. 1997; 349: 2-3. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(97)22001-5

17- Freeman SM, McCune C, Robinson W, Abboud CN, Abraham GN, Angel C et al. The treatment of ovarian cancer with a gene modified cancer vaccine: a phase I study. *Human Gene Therapy*. 1995; 6: 927-939. DOI: 10.1089/hum.1995.6.7-927

18- Schwarzenberger P, Lei D, Freeman SM, Ye P, Weinacker A, Theodossiou C et al. Antitumor activity with the HSV-tk-gene-modified cell line PA-1-STK in malignant mesothelioma. *Amer J Respir Cell Mol Biol*. 1998; 19: 333-337. DOI: 10.1165/ajrcmb.19.2.3123

19- Duarte S, Carle G, Faneca H, Pedroso de Lima M, Pierrefite-Carle V. Suicide gene therapy in cancer: Where do we stand now? Mini-review. *Cancer Letters*. 2012; 28: 324: 160-70. DOI: 10.1016/j.canlet.2012.05.023

20- Vile RG, Castleden S, Marshall J, Camplejohn R, Upton C, Chong H. Generation of an anti-tumor immune response in a non-immunogenic tumour: HSV-TK killing in vivo stimulates a mononuclear cell infiltrate and a Th1-like profile of intratumoural cytokine expression. *Int J Cancer*. 1997; 71: 267-274.

21- Singhal S, Kaiser LR. Cancer chemotherapy using suicide genes. *Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America*. 1998; 7: 505-536.

22- Teh BS, Ishiyama H, Mai W-Y, Thompson TC, Butler EB. Long-term outcome of a phase II trial using immunomodulatory in situ gene therapy in combination with intensity-modulated radiotherapy with or without hormonal therapy in the treatment of prostate cancer. *Journal of Radiation Oncology*. 2015; 4: 377-386.

23- Shivapurkar N, Virmani AK, Wistuba II, Milchgrub S, Mackay B, Minna JD et al. Deletions of chromosome 4 at multiple sites are frequent in malignant mesothelioma and small cell lung carcinoma. *Clinical Cancer Res.* 1999; 5: 17-23.

24- Robinson BW. The scientific basis for immunotherapy of human mesothelioma. *Eur Resp Rev.* 1993; 311: 195-199.

25- Dohring C, Angman L, Spangoli G, Lanzavecchia A. T-helper- and accessory-cell-independent cytotoxic responses to human tumor cells transfected with a B7 retroviral vector. *Int J Cancer.* 1994; 57: 75-79.

26- Xu L, Yoneda J, Herrera C, Wood J, Killion JJ, Fidler IJ. Inhibition of malignant ascites and growth of human ovarian carcinoma by oral administration of a potent inhibitor of the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinases. *Int J Oncol.* 2000; 16: 445-454.

27- Kuiper M, Peakman M, Farzaneh F. Ovarian tumour antigens as potential targets for immune gene therapy. *Gene Therapy.* 1995; 2: 7-15.

28- Riviere I, Sadelain M. Methods for the construction of retroviral vectors and the generation of high-titer producers. In *Methods in Molecular Medicine, Gene Therapy Protocols*. Ed Robbins P. Humana Press, Totowa New Jersey: 1997; pg 59-78. DOI: 10.1385/0-89603-484-4:59.

29- Markowitz D, Goff A, Bank A. Construction of a safe and efficient amphotropic packaging cell line. *Virology.* 1988; 167: 400-406.

30- Miller A. Construction and properties of retrovirus packaging cells based on gibbon ape leukaemia virus. *J Virology.* 1991; 65: 2890-2897.

- 31- Mosmann TM. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival. *J Immunol Methods*. 1983; 65: 55-63.
- 32- Lamont RF, Hudson EA, Hay PE, Morgan DJ, Modi V, Ison CA. Comparison of the use of Papanicolaou-stained cervical cytological smears with Gram-stained vaginal smears for diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis in early pregnancy. *Int J of STD & AIDS*. 1999; 10: 93-97.
- 33- Puthavathana P, Horthongkham N, Kanyok R. Comparison between virus isolation method, Papanicolaou stain, immunoperoxidase stain and polymerase chain reaction in the diagnosis of genital herpes. *J Allergy and Immunol*. 1998; 16: 177-83.
- 34- Philpott NJ, Turner AJ, Scopes J, Westby M, Marsh JC, Gordon-Smith EC et al. The use of 7-amino actinomycin D in identifying apoptosis: simplicity of use and broad spectrum of application compared with other techniques. *Blood*. 1996; 87: 2244-2251.
- 35- Necoletti I, Meglorati G, Pagliacci MC, Grignani F, Ricardi C. A rapid simple method for measuring thymocyte apoptosis by propidium iodide staining and flow cytometry. *J Immunol Methods*. 1991; 139: 271-279.
- 36- El Gamoussi R, Threadgill MD, Prade M. Relationship between melanin content of a human melanoma cell line and its radiosensitivity and uptake of pimonidazol. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. 1993; 31: 277-282.
- 37- Chen CY, Chang YN, Ryan P, Linscott M, McGarrity GJ, Chiang YL. Effect of herpes simplex thymidine kinase expression levels on ganciclovir -mediated cytotoxicity and the bystander effect. *Human Gene Therapy*. 1995; 6: 1467-1476. DOI: 10.1089/hum.1995.6.11-1467
- 38- Gage FH. Cell therapy. *Nature*. 1998; 392Suppl: 18-24.

- 39- Touraine RL, Ishii-Morita, Ramsey WJ, Blaese RM. The bystander effect in the HSVTK/GCV system and its relationship to a gap junctional communication. *Gene Therapy*. 1998; 5: 1705-1711. DOI: 10.1038/sj.gt.3300784
- 40- Cross D, Burmester JK. *Gene Therapy for Cancer Treatment: Past, Present and Future*. *Clinical Medicine & Research*. 2006; 4: 218-227.
- 41- Lal S, Lauer UM, Niethammer D, Beck JF, Schlegel PG. Suicide genes: past, present and future perspectives. *Immunol Today*. 2000; 21: 48-54.
- 42- Zarogoulidis P, Darwiche K, Sakkas A, Yarmus L, Huang H, Li Q et al. Suicide Gene Therapy for Cancer – Current Strategies. *J Genet Syndr Gene Ther*. 2013; 4: 16849. DOI: 10.4172/2157-7412.1000139

## Figure Legends

Figure 1. Loss of bystander killing after  $\gamma$ -irradiation of PA-STK cells (100 Gy) A)  $5 \times 10^5$  cells/plate; B)  $2 \times 10^6$  cells/plate. Mixing experiments demonstrate the *in vitro* bystander effect of the irradiated and un-irradiated PA-STK cells. X-axis represents the ratios of PA-STK to PA-1 cells. Y-axis represents % colony formation after exposure to 50  $\mu$ M GCV for 5-days. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. Representative of three similar experiments. At both cell densities, irradiated PA-STK cells were highly significantly less efficient at mediating the bystander effect at a 50:50 ratio than unirradiated cells ( $P = 0.04$ ). Similar data were obtained in three further repeats of this experiment.

Figure 2. *In vitro* bystander killing induced by  $\gamma$ -irradiated (100 Gy) mouse mesothelioma AE17-STK cells. A- AE17-STK and AE-17 cells (with or without  $\gamma$ -irradiation) were mixed and cultured in the presence of 50  $\mu$ M GCV for 6 days. The total number of cells was  $5 \times 10^4$ /well of 96-well tissue culture plate. Per cent survival was measured using the MTT assay. Each point is the mean of three separate measurements and error bars indicating the standard error of the mean are shown. Similar data was obtained in three separate experiments. B- *In vitro* bystander killing induced by the  $\gamma$ -irradiated human mesothelioma cell line CRL-5830TK. CRL5830-STK and CRL5830 cells were mixed in the indicated ratios and cultured in the presence of 50  $\mu$ M GCV for 6 days. The total number of cells was  $5 \times 10^4$ /well of 96-well tissue culture plate. The fraction of surviving cells was measured using the MTT assay. Each point is the mean of three separate measurements and error bars indicate the standard error of the mean. Similar data was obtained in three separate experiments. In neither case was there a significant difference in efficacy between irradiated and unirradiated cells ( $P > 0.45$  at all cell ratios).

Figure 3. The effect of  $\gamma$ -irradiation on OVC-432, PA-1, and PA-STK cells. The cells were either  $\gamma$ -irradiated at 100Gy, or not irradiated as controls. The cells were re-plated in 6-well tissue culture plates at a density of  $5 \times 10^4$  per plate and then were incubated overnight. The plates were then visualized and photographed by light microscopy (100X magnification).

Figure 4. Time course of cell death in  $\gamma$ -irradiated PA-STK and OVC-432 cells using trypan blue dye exclusion. The cells were irradiated at 100Gy and the percentage of trypan blue excluding cells was determined at the indicated time points. The counts were performed in triplicate. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. Representative of three similar experiments.

Figure 5. Apoptosis measured in two human tumor cell lines using annexin V/propidium iodide. Cells were analysed with a FACSCalibur flow cytometer: A) HL-60 control cell line either untreated or treated with 0.1 $\mu$ M staurosporin for 4 hours. B) PA-STK cells unirradiated or irradiated at 100Gy. The irradiated tumour cells were analysed 10 hours after irradiation.

Figure 6. Cytospin- histological analysis of irradiated PA-STK ovarian tumor cells. A, control unirradiated cells. B, The PA-STK cells  $\gamma$ -irradiated at 100Gy, after 18 hours in culture  $1 \times 10^4$  cells were centrifuged at 2,400 rpm for 10 minutes. Papanicolaou staining was then performed and cells observed at 1000x magnification

Figure 7. *In vivo* treatment using allogeneic AE17-STK mouse mesothelioma cells in BALB/c mice. BALB/c mice were injected IP with the syngeneic murine mesothelioma cells AB1 ( $1 \times 10^6$  cells per mouse) on day 0. Nine days later the mice were separated into nine treatment groups as indicated, and another healthy group acted as positive control. On day 18, mice were sacrificed and post-mortem was performed. All tumor nodules were counted and measured using a calliper. Tumor volume was calculated for each mouse and the mean tumor volume was calculated for each group. The error bars represent standard error of the mean. Irr. Stands for cells irradiated at 100Gy and treated with GCV. See text for detailed experimental conditions. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. The difference between unirradiated and irradiated PA-STK cells was statistically significant ( $p = 0.045$ ). Representative of three similar experiments.

