

Core-Levels, Band Alignments, and Valence Band States in CuSbS for Solar Cell Applications

Thomas J. Whittles, Tim D. Veal, Christopher N. Savory, Adam W. Welch, Francisco Willian de Souza Lucas, James T. Gibbon, Max Birkett, Richard J. Potter, David O. Scanlon, Andriy Zakutayev, and Vinod R Dhanak

ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acsami.7b14208 • Publication Date (Web): 10 Nov 2017

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on November 10, 2017

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

Core-Levels, Band Alignments, and Valence Band States in CuSbS₂ for Solar Cell Applications

Thomas J. Whittles[†], Tim D. Veal[†], Christopher N. Savory^{‡,§}, Adam W. Welch^{||}, Francisco Willian de Souza Lucas^{||}, James T. Gibbon[†], Max Birkett[†], Richard J. Potter[⊥], David O. Scanlon^{‡,§,#}, Andriy Zakutayev^{||}, and Vinod R. Dhanak^{,†}*

[†]Stephenson Institute for Renewable Energy and Department of Physics, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZF, United Kingdom

[‡]Department of Chemistry, University College London, Christopher Ingold Building, London WC1H 0AJ, United Kingdom

[§]Thomas Young Centre, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

^{||}National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Material Science Center, 15013 Denver W Parkway, Golden, CO 80401, USA

[⊥]Department of Mechanical, Materials and Aerospace Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GH, United Kingdom

[#]Diamond Light Source Ltd., Diamond House, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot, Oxfordshire, OX11 0DE, United Kingdom

KEYWORDS

CuSbS₂, copper antimony sulfide, XPS, DFT, thin film solar cells, band alignments, density of states

ABSTRACT

The earth-abundant material CuSbS₂ (CAS) has shown good optical properties as a photovoltaic solar absorber material, but has seen relatively poor solar cell performance. To investigate the reason for this anomaly, the core-levels of the constituent elements, surface contaminants, ionization potential, and valence band spectra are studied by x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS). The ionization potential and electron affinity for this material (4.98 eV and 3.43 eV) are lower than for other common absorbers, including CuIn_xGa_(1-x)Se₂ (CIGS). Experimentally corroborated density functional theory (DFT) calculations show that the VBM is raised by the lone pair electrons from the antimony cations contributing additional states when compared with indium or gallium cations in CIGS. The resulting conduction band misalignment with CdS is a reason for the poor performance of cells incorporating a CAS/CdS heterojunction, supporting the idea that using a cell design analogous to CIGS is unhelpful. These findings underline the critical importance of considering the electronic structure when selecting cell architectures that optimize open-circuit voltages and cell efficiencies.

INTRODUCTION

The drive to discover and develop materials for use in terawatt-scale thin film photovoltaics (PV) has grown somewhat in recent years, due to the necessity of eliminating environmentally harmful or economically unfeasible elemental components from their production, as is the case with the current market leaders, cadmium telluride (CdTe) or copper indium gallium diselenide (CIGS)¹⁻⁴. One attractive family of materials for this purpose is the ternary copper chalcogenides, taking the general form $\text{Cu}_m\text{M}_n\text{Ch}_x$ ($\text{M} = \text{Sb, Bi}$; $\text{Ch} = \text{S, Se}$), developed as analogues to CIGS, replacing trivalent In and Ga with Sb or Bi^{1,5,6}.


Here, the compound CuSbS_2 (CAS) is of interest, which has previously demonstrated appealing photovoltaic properties⁷. With scarcity and world demand for antimony being significantly lower than for indium⁶, as well as having almost equivalent ionic radii⁸, this metal could prove a good substitute, both economically and in terms of PV properties. Long known as the naturally occurring mineral chalcostibite, its potential as a solar absorber was only realized in 2001⁵, with the first cell being constructed in 2005⁹. Since then, it has demonstrated a solar-matched band gap of ~ 1.5 eV^{5,10,11}, inherent p-type conductivity due to the dominant copper vacancy^{5,12,13}, and absorption stronger than both CIGS and copper zinc tin sulfide (CZTS)⁷, which is another popular earth-abundant absorber. CAS has a relatively low melting point of $\sim 540^\circ\text{C}$ ^{2,13}, and so is amenable to crystallization at lower temperatures. CAS has thus far been successfully grown in thin film and nanoscale form by many and varied deposition methods, both physical (thermal evaporation^{2,14,15} and sputtering^{3,4,16}) and chemical (spray pyrolysis^{12,17}, chemical bath^{5,9,10,18}, spin coating¹³, electrodeposition^{11,14}, solution processing¹⁹ and solvo-/hydro-thermal²⁰⁻²²), leading to continued interest in the material. Beyond its use as a solar absorber, interest is also maintained in CAS because of its potential use in other areas of

1
2
3 semiconductor applications, such as supercapacitors²³, dye-sensitized solar cells²⁴, or electrodes
4
5 in batteries²⁵.
6

7
8 Despite this, solar cell performance thus far has been severely limited and the shortcomings of
9
10 the literature reports on device properties have been previously acknowledged³. There have been
11
12 very few reports of fully built PV devices and those that do exist commonly report no^{8,9,18}, or
13
14 very low (<2%)^{2,4,13,15} efficiencies. The efficiency record currently stands at only 3.22%²⁶, with
15
16 another study reporting a near-record efficiency of 3.13%¹¹. Despite over a decade of research,
17
18 recent reports still acknowledge that further investigation into device fabrication and
19
20 characterization is required^{7,15}. This is especially the case, given that these record efficiency
21
22 devices utilize similar architectures, which all involve the use of problematic CdS.
23
24
25

26
27 Although antimony takes the trivalent oxidation state in CAS, like Ga/In does in CIGS, CAS
28
29 does not form in the tetrahedral chalcopyrite crystal structure like CIGS²⁷. Instead, CAS forms in
30
31 a structure (shown in Figure 1 and generated from x-ray diffraction data²⁸) that is somewhat
32
33 distorted by the stereochemically active antimony lone pair electrons, which do not take part in
34
35 bonding²⁹. The copper atoms are 4-fold coordinated to sulfur in almost regular tetrahedra,
36
37 whereas the antimony atoms are 5-fold coordinated to sulfur in a distorted square-based pyramid
38
39 arrangement. The lone pair electron density is then directed into the void between the SbS₅
40
41 pyramid units³⁰. With two Sb-S bonds being much longer than the other three²⁸ (shown as grey
42
43 in Figure 1), the crystal structure is said to be layered through the plane intersecting these bonds⁴.
44
45 Consequently, there are two inequivalent sulfur sites, one which is coordinated to two Cu and
46
47 three Sb atoms, and the other which is coordinated to two Cu and two Sb atoms¹ (labelled S1 and
48
49 S2 in Figure 1, respectively). This crystallography, which is very dissimilar from that of CIGS or
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 CZTS³, leads to differences in the characteristic properties and electronic structures, which are
4
5 thought to be the cause of the poor device performances.
6
7



8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 **Figure 1.** Crystal structure of chalcostibite CuSbS₂, showing the individual atoms of Cu
26 (orange), Sb (purple) and S (yellow). The inequivalent sulfur coordination sites are marked.
27
28
29

30 This article presents a full x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) analysis of CuSbS₂,
31 including core-levels, valence band spectra, band edge positions, and the effects of surface
32 cleaning and contamination. Complexities in the spectra can mask the surface contamination, the
33 effects of which are important to recognize because they can impact the electronic properties.
34
35 The valence band findings are corroborated with theoretical density of states calculations to
36 explain how the bonding nature of the material affects the position of the VBM. This gives rise
37 to a low ionization potential, which is partly responsible for the poor efficiencies seen in CuSbS₂
38 solar cells, due to poor conduction band alignment with the commonly used window layer, CdS.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 METHODS

52
53 **Experimental Section.** Films of phase-pure polycrystalline CuSbS₂ (~1.5 μm thickness) were
54 synthesized by co-sputtering from Sb₂S₃ and Cu₂S targets on bare and Mo-coated soda lime glass
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 substrates heated to 350°C¹⁶. To improve the quality of the CuSbS₂ material, some of the
4
5 resulting thin films were annealed at 455°C for 11 hours in a tube furnace under flow of N₂ in the
6
7 presence of sacrificial Sb₂S₃ powder³. The annealing was preceded by a 100°C water vapor
8
9 removal step for 30 minutes and a 60 minute heating step; it was followed by a 5 hour cooling
10
11 step down to room temperature. The results for the annealed CuSbS₂ thin films are presented
12
13 below, whereas the results for the as-deposited films are provided in the Supporting Information.
14
15 More details about the synthesis¹⁶ and annealing³ of the same CuSbS₂ thin films have been
16
17 previously reported.
18
19
20
21

22 Raman spectra were acquired using a Horiba Scientific Jobin-Yvon LabRam HR confocal
23
24 Raman microscope. This consisted of a confocal microscope coupled to a single grating
25
26 spectrometer, equipped with a longpass filter and a CCD detector. Spectra were measured using
27
28 an incident wavelength of 514.5 nm from an argon ion laser with a CCD exposure time of 30 s
29
30 and 5 acquisitions. Before measurements, the spectrometer was calibrated to the zero order and
31
32 the 520 cm⁻¹ Raman line of silicon.
33
34
35

36 Optical transmission and specular reflection spectra were recorded at 4 and 300 K and for
37
38 photon energies of 0.3 to 2.4 eV at an angle of incidence of 11° to the surface normal using a
39
40 combined reflection-transmission accessory in a Bruker Vertex 70v Fourier-transform infrared
41
42 (FTIR) spectrometer. The low temperature was obtained using an Oxford Instruments CFV2
43
44 continuous-flow helium cryostat. The vacuum pressures were 2 mbar for the optical path in the
45
46 spectrometer and 1 × 10⁻⁶ mbar in the sample environment of the cryostat. The procedure for
47
48 reduction of the transmission and reflection spectra to obtain absorption spectra is described
49
50 elsewhere³¹.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 XPS measurements were performed in a standard ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) chamber operating
4
5 at a base pressure of less than 2×10^{-10} mbar with hydrogen as the main residual gas. Samples
6
7 were attached to the sample plates by spot welding tantalum straps across the sample edges. This
8
9 also provided an electrical connection between the CAS films and the spectrometer. In vacuo
10
11 sample cleaning was performed by means of Ar^+ ion sputtering and a radiative heating stage. The
12
13 core-level electronic structure, valence band density of states and secondary electron cutoff
14
15 (SEC) were measured using monochromated Al $K\alpha$ x-ray radiation, operating nominally at 250
16
17 W, details of which, including the calibration of the spectrometer, are described elsewhere³².
18
19

20
21
22 Samples were sputtered and annealed in order to remove surface contaminants including
23
24 oxides and hydrocarbons which inevitably form due to handling in ambient atmosphere. During
25
26 cleaning, the samples were monitored by XPS and were considered to be clean when the C 1s
27
28 peak and peaks due to antimony oxide were no longer visible on the survey spectra. Such
29
30 cleanliness was achieved by grazing angle (20°) sputtering with 500 eV Ar^+ in 5 minute steps (30
31
32 minutes total) followed by annealing at 200°C for 60 minutes. Relatively low energy and a
33
34 grazing angle of incidence were used in order to minimize damage. This cleaning facilitated the
35
36 measurement of a more representative surface of CuSbS_2 and hence, prevented the valence band
37
38 (VB) spectra being overwhelmed by signal from the contamination. It is noted however, that a
39
40 full set of XPS spectra was taken prior to surface cleaning to demonstrate the changes taking
41
42 place and also the tendency of oxide formation at the surface of this material.
43
44
45
46
47

48
49 Prior to cleaning, the main C 1s signal, due to contaminant carbon, was found to have a
50
51 binding energy (BE) of 284.7 eV and was deemed to have either experienced no, or very
52
53 minimal charging due to this binding energy being observed for contaminant carbon on this
54
55 material previously.^{2,8,18,33} However, after subjecting the sample to surface cleaning, the very
56
57
58
59
60


1
2
3 poor signal to noise ratio for the C 1s peaks meant that this peak could no longer be used for
4 charge correction. Instead, it is believed the copper in CAS should be affected least by cleaning,
5 and as such, the copper 2p_{3/2} peak should demonstrate the same BE throughout. Therefore, the
6 spectra were calibrated using the Cu 2p_{3/2} BE of CAS prior to cleaning, which was measured to
7 be 932.0 eV.
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15 **Computational Modeling.** All periodic Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations in this
16 article were performed through the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP). The screened
17 hybrid functional HSE06 was used for geometry optimization and the density of states
18 calculations. HSE06 includes 25% Hartree-Fock exchange, which is screened with a parameter
19 of $w = 0.11 \text{ bohr}^{-1}$, with 75% exchange and full correlation from the Generalized Gradient
20 Approximation (GGA) functional PBE. This method has been used successfully in previous
21 reports on CuSbS₂ and is described more fully there^{1,7}. The projector-augmented wave method
22 was used to describe the interaction between valence and core electrons, and scalar-relativistic
23 pseudopotentials were used. A cut-off energy of 375 eV and a Γ -centered k-point mesh of 4×7
24 $\times 2$ were used in all calculations, determined to be sufficient to converge the total energy to
25 within 1 meV/atom. A convergence criterion of 0.01 eV \AA^{-1} on the forces per atom was used for
26 the geometry optimization, and the cut-off energy was increased to prevent errors arising from
27 Pulay stress.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

49
50 The Raman spectrum for CuSbS₂ is shown in Figure 2 and reveals a single intense peak at 335
51 cm^{-1} , which is characteristic of the chalcostibite phase³⁴. Also observed are weaker Raman
52 modes at 101, 140 and 164 cm^{-1} , along with two weak features around 220 and 260 cm^{-1} , all of
53 which have been reported or observed previously². The Raman modes corresponding to Sb₂S₃³⁵,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 CuS³⁶ and the other stoichiometries of copper antimony sulfide, Cu₃SbS₃³⁷, Cu₃SbS₄³⁸ and
4
5 Cu₁₂Sb₄S₁₃³⁴, are not present in the spectrum. This supports previous x-ray diffraction (XRD)
6
7 measurements of CuSbS₂ prepared using an identical method³, in finding that the CuSbS₂ is
8
9 phase-pure.
10
11



28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 2. Raman spectrum for the CAS sample.

Core-Level XPS. XPS can be used as a powerful tool for determining oxidation states in materials. In addition, it can also identify and determine the effects of surface contamination, which can be detrimental to device performance. Unfortunately, complexities in the spectra can lead to misinterpretations, which led to the adoption of the fitting procedure applied below. Further discussion of these complexities with regards to CAS is given in the Supporting Information.

The pre- and post-cleaning survey spectra are shown in Figure 3. Peaks for the expected elements of copper, antimony and sulfur from the material are visible, along with peaks from carbon and oxygen; not unexpected because of hydrocarbon contamination from exposure to atmosphere, which then subsequently reduced after cleaning. Sodium peaks were also found, but did not decrease on cleaning, suggesting that the sodium has diffused through from the glass, as has been seen in similar materials. Further discussion of the nature and effects of sodium with the corresponding spectra can be found in the Supporting Information.




Figure 3. XPS survey spectra for the CAS sample before and after surface cleaning.

The following is an analysis of the high resolution spectra for the regions of interest in this material. The fitted binding energies and FWHM of the core-levels are summarized in Table 1. All spectra were fitted using Voigt functions after Shirley background subtraction. Further details of the analysis, with the associated spectra, and values for surface stoichiometry can be found in the Supporting Information.

The Cu 2p spectra were fitted with one doublet separated by 19.8 eV³⁹ and with an area ratio of 1:2, attributed to Cu⁺ in CuSbS₂. The FWHM of the Cu 2p_{1/2} peak is somewhat broader than that of the Cu 2p_{3/2} peak due to Coster-Kronig effects⁴⁰. Because charge correction was achieved using the Cu 2p_{3/2} peak, all spectra are nominally similar, and a comparison before and after cleaning is shown in Figure 4. It can be seen that no discernible change occurred during the cleaning process, showing no oxidation of the copper in the material after growth and demonstrating the quality of the material, as copper oxidation has been noted previously²¹.





Figure 4. XPS spectra for the Cu 2p region of the CAS sample before and after surface cleaning. Fitted peaks shown in red and peak envelope shown in black.

The overlapping regions of Sb 3d and O 1s were fitted according to the procedure detailed in the Supporting Information, and the spectra before and after cleaning are shown in Figure 5. It can be seen that prior to cleaning, two distinct peaks are visible in the Sb 3d_{3/2} region, with a shoulder to the lower binding energy side of the lower peak (~537 eV). These features were fitted with three Sb 3d doublets, and the remaining intensity in the Sb 3d_{5/2} region, relating to O 1s emission, was fitted with two peaks. The strongest, sharpest antimony doublet (red dash) was attributed to Sb³⁺ in CuSbS₂, sharpest because of the high crystallinity of the intentionally grown material. The doublet at highest binding energy (pink dot) was attributed to antimony in a Sb-O environment. It is thought that this oxide consists predominantly of Sb₂O₃, as the trivalency of antimony is maintained and the binding energy is in agreement with literature values⁴¹; however, it is possible that the oxide is a mixture of Sb₂O₃ and Sb₂O₅ because the literature is unclear on the distinction between the two oxides in the spectra^{42–45}. Nevertheless, this Sb-O species is drastically reduced on surface cleaning, showing that the oxide is present only at the surface of the sample and formed during atmospheric exposure. After cleaning, a small amount of oxide remained on the surface, which was not removed to avoid inducing sample damage through prolonged, excessive sputtering. It is also possible that this remnant oxide is present in the bulk

of the sample and so could not be removed by surface cleaning; however, due to the low level of oxide remaining (see Supporting Information for details), it is thought to have little effect on the measurements. The O 1s peak at higher binding energy (orange shading) is consistent with an adventitious species associated with carbon⁴⁶, while the peak at lower binding energy (purple shading) that almost fully overlaps the antimony oxide Sb 3d_{5/2} peak is attributed to the oxygen from within this antimony oxide⁴³, as it is also reduced upon cleaning. The third, small antimony doublet at lower binding energy (blue dot dash) is attributed to metallic antimony⁴². It is believed that the metallic antimony is not created by the preferential sputtering of sulfur, nor the dissociation of sulfur during the surface cleaning for several reasons. Firstly, the amount of metallic antimony relative to the antimony from CAS decreases after surface cleaning, whereas if it were created by the surface cleaning, one would expect it to increase. Secondly, surface cleaning-induced damage to the sample was minimized by using relatively low sputtering energies and anneal temperatures, as detailed in the experimental section. In a separate study where high energy sputtering was used (3 keV)^{8,18}, the amount of sputter-induced antimony was markedly higher and could have a significant effect on the measurements. Further discussion of the presence of metallic antimony is given in the Supporting Information.



1
2
3 **Figure 5.** XPS spectra for the Sb 3d and O 1s overlap region of the CAS sample before and after
4 surface cleaning. Peak envelope shown in black.
5
6
7

8
9 A comparison of the S 2p spectra before and after surface cleaning is shown in Figure 6.
10 Doublets were fitted with a separation of 1.18 eV⁴⁷ and an area ratio of 1:2. Before cleaning, the
11 spectra were fit with three doublets, and after cleaning, were fit with two doublets. The species
12 which was eliminated after cleaning (green shading) is attributed to sulfur-containing surface
13 contamination⁴⁸, as seen in other sulfide materials³². The two strongest doublets (red dash & blue
14 dot), separated by 0.3 eV are assigned to S²⁻ in CAS. Each doublet is attributed to one of the two
15 different sulfur coordination sites described in the introduction (see Figure 1). In the literature,
16 few attempts at fitting the S 2p region have been made, and only one group fit it with more than
17 one doublet^{8,18}; however, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is poor and the authors state that the
18 extra doublets are due to unreacted precursor. It is our opinion, however, that the extra sulfur
19 peaks in that study were probably due to sputter damage, affirmed by the sputter profile shown
20 there. The viability of the presence of two doublets in Figure 6 is initially confirmed by the poor
21 quality of fit when using one doublet (see Figure S2), and is strengthened by: the area ratio
22 between the doublets being 1:1, as expected from the crystal structure; samples previously
23 measured by the authors being fit this way equally well³³; and the large difference in
24 coordination environments for the sulfur. Despite this, the specific assignment of the S 2p
25 doublets to their corresponding coordination environment is unclear, because of the severely
26 distorted structure of CAS and the complications involved with predicting the binding energies
27 of sulfur for complex systems⁴⁹. Also, although the S1 environment is coordinated to an extra
28 cation, two of the bonds with antimony are significantly longer (3.12 Å) than the others²⁸ (2.30 –
29 2.57 Å), and as such are believed to be governed by van der Waals forces⁵⁰. Therefore, the
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

amount of charge transfer, differences in electronegativities of the cations, the effect of bond lengths and second-nearest neighbors will all contribute differently to the binding energy, and as such, no definitive assignment is given here. Prior to cleaning, the sample showed no presence of sulfate species, as has been seen in previous reports of this material^{22,33}.




Figure 6. XPS spectra for the S 2p region of the CAS sample before and after surface cleaning. Peak envelope shown in black.

Generally, the binding energies reported for this material are in poor agreement with those measured here, excepting one study with well fitted data², and other CAS samples measured by the authors³³. However, it is believed that some studies are lacking a charge correction¹⁷, or have misapplied one²³, as the relative binding energy differences are in agreement with those measured here. Furthermore, other studies which are in contrast with our values suffer as their binding energies were either not quoted²², not fitted in the case of multi-component regions²¹, or the SNR were so poor that no values could be confidently assigned²⁰. The merit of these analyses therefore cannot be evaluated. Additionally, as discussed above, the presence of contamination and oxide formation can affect the measured binding energies and band positions, which can also

change as a function of composition and by the presence of mixed phases. In this respect, some studies seem to suffer from this without full acknowledgement of the ramifications^{8,13,18}.

As shown in Table 1, the shifts in binding energy before and after surface cleaning are rather small and so it is concluded that the gentle cleaning employed here did not significantly affect the underlying material. Further discussion on the binding energies, including those from contamination, can be found in the Supporting Information, along with all fitted binding energies in Table S1. Also presented in the Supporting Information are the XPS-derived surface stoichiometry values, and a discussion and comparison of the electronic structure and sample quality of the as-deposited and annealed material.

Table 1. XPS binding energies^a determined for the main peaks of CAS and electronic band positions^b before and after surface cleaning^c.

Sample	CuSbS ₂						
	Cu 2p _{3/2}	Sb 3d _{5/2}	S 2p _{3/2} (1)	S 2p _{3/2} (2)	WF	IP	EA
Before Cleaning	931.97 (1.13)	529.11 (0.80)	161.28 (0.62)	161.58 (0.88)			
After Cleaning	931.97 (1.13)	529.19 (0.86)	161.33 (0.66)	161.64 (0.76)	4.73	4.98	3.43

^a Peak FWHM are given in parentheses and the binding energies of all fitted peaks in this study can be found in Table S1.

^b Values of: work function (WF), ionization potential (IP), and electron affinity (EA) were calculated from XPS and optical absorption spectra.

^c All values are given in eV.

Band Edge Positions. When considering solar cell materials, an often overlooked point to consider is how the band edge positions affect the architecture of the cell. Whilst a desirable absorber band gap is necessary for decent efficiencies, performance is largely compromised if the band alignments hinder charge carrier extraction.

Nevertheless, the direct and indirect band gaps of CuSbS_2 were determined from the absorption spectra both at room and cryogenic temperatures using the method described in the literature⁵¹, and are shown in the Tauc plots in Figure 7. Room temperature measurements (300 K) allow the extraction of both the direct (1.60 eV) and indirect (1.55 eV) band gaps, which are in agreement with a previous study that found the direct transition to lie 0.1 eV higher than the indirect transition, and led to previous misclassification of the fundamental gap of this material to be direct in nature⁷. The direct and indirect gaps at cryogenic temperatures (4 K) were also determined for comparison with the DFT calculations and were found to be 1.69 eV and 1.59 eV respectively. As the DFT HSE06 (0 K) direct and indirect band gaps were found to be 1.82 eV and 1.67 eV respectively, this is in satisfactory agreement with the experimental results at 4 K, with the slight discrepancy explained because of the lack of inclusion of excitonic effects which may affect the experimental absorption.




Figure 7. Optical absorption data from CAS. Tauc plot fittings for the direct and indirect gaps of the CAS sample at 4 K and 300 K.

It is also important, at least from an initial cell-design perspective, that the relative energies between the conduction band minimum (CBM) and valence band maximum (VBM) are known with respect to the vacuum level: these are the electron affinity (EA) and ionization potential (IP)

1
2
3 respectively. Direct measurements of IP are possible using XPS, the method of which is
4 described elsewhere³². The IP and, as a consequence work function (WF), of CAS after cleaning
5 were determined to be 4.98 eV and 4.73 eV respectively from the fittings shown in Figure S8. By
6 using a vacuum alignment procedure⁵² and taking the measured indirect and direct 300 K band
7 gap value of 1.55 eV and 1.60 eV from Figure 7 respectively, the band alignment is compared
8 with CZTS, CIGS and CdS in Figure 8, with the values of IP and WF shown in Table 1
9 (literature values of ionization potential and band gap are taken for CdS⁵³, CIGS⁵⁴ and CZTS⁵³).
10 An EA of 3.43 eV was determined from the difference between the measured IP and indirect
11 band gap.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 8. Vacuum-aligned band diagram for CAS derived from XPS IP and optical indirect (solid line) and direct (dashed line) band gap measurements compared with other common absorbers and the common n-type window layer material, CdS.

Although XPS can be used to great effect in determining band positions⁵⁵ and also performing band alignments at junction interfaces⁵⁶, it is not widely performed, especially on new materials. Hence these measurements are absent from the majority of CAS literature. However, one study¹³ using ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy (UPS) measured a WF of 4.86 eV, in good


1
2
3 agreement with our values, and an ionization potential of 5.25 eV, which considering the
4
5 apparent level of contamination present in this cited study, is not unexpected as the fitting for the
6
7 VBM is unclear. In another study, with extremely heavy antimony oxide contamination present,
8
9 a very high IP and band gap (2.75 eV) were measured³³. This suggests that the presence of
10
11 antimony oxide could be a contributing factor to the poor performance of CAS so far, because
12
13 Sb₂O₃ has a relatively large band gap⁵⁷ and would act as an insulating layer within the cell. It is
14
15 proposed that only with the use of surface sensitive techniques such as XPS, that the true
16
17 ramifications of this oxide formation can be determined, because as the oxide is very thin (<3nm,
18
19 evidenced from the spectral ratios and estimated escape depth of electrons in Figure S6), optical
20
21 measurements of the band gap would not be affected by it. Also, as the oxide crystallinity is
22
23 probably poor and given the thinness of this layer, identification may be missed by XRD
24
25 analysis. In this respect, surface sensitive XPS can provide indispensable information:
26
27 identifying unwanted contaminants and also measuring the effect they have on the electronic
28
29 structure.
30
31
32
33
34
35

36
37 It has been previously suggested that the poor open circuit voltage (V_{OC}) in CAS cells arises
38
39 partly from a poor conduction band (CB) alignment with the n-type layer⁴, and this is confirmed
40
41 here in Figure 8, with the conduction band of CAS lying 0.85 eV higher than that of CdS, which
42
43 with such a high conduction band offset (CBO), would suggest that a recombination center
44
45 would be present here⁵⁸. In fact, in order to stop the formation of an electron barrier at the
46
47 interface, the conduction band of the n-type layer should be 0–0.4 eV higher than that of the
48
49 absorber⁵⁹, as is the case with both CIGS/CdS and CZTS/CdS as seen in Figure 8. It is therefore
50
51 obvious that utilizing traditional CIGS cell architectures for CAS is unfavorable for device
52
53 performance. The use of CdS as the n-type layer not only creates the cliff-like CBO⁴, but also
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 undermines the goal of developing environmentally-friendly structures. It may also be the case
4 that along with replacing the n-type layer, the back contact may also need replacing as the work
5 function of typically-used molybdenum (4.35–4.90 eV⁶⁰) may not be favorable for charge
6 extraction. It is therefore apparent that further research is needed to identify more ideal partner
7 materials for CAS in a cell; this could be achieved by measuring the band alignments of grown
8 heterojunctions.
9

10
11 **Density of States.** The IP found in the previous section is unusually low compared with other
12 PV absorbers (see Figure 8). This has been seen previously in materials with non-bonding lone
13 pairs³², where this electronic structure feature was the reason for the remarkably high bands,
14 causing a CB misalignment with CdS, and it is thought that a similar situation could be present in
15 CAS as well. The partial density of states (pDoS) in the valence band along with the
16 experimentally measured valence band spectra from XPS (after cleaning) are shown in Figure 9.
17 A Shirley background was subtracted from the spectra to account for inelastically scattered
18 electrons. The pDoS curves were corrected using standard photoionisation cross sections⁶¹,
19 convolved with a Gaussian function (0.38 eV FWHM) to account for thermal broadening and the
20 spectrometer resolution, and then further convolved with a Lorentzian function (0.25 eV
21 FWHM) to account for lifetime broadening. The corrected pDoS curves were then summed, and
22 the total curve aligned to the XPS data for direct comparison. There is generally good agreement
23 between the calculated density of states (DoS) and the XPS spectra, with all features accounted
24 for and the relative intensities of the correct order. Especially, Cu 3d states of the main feature
25 (II) and the leading edge at the top of the valence band (I) are reproduced well. The only
26 differences arise at features III and IV (better shown in the zoomed region of Figure 9), probably
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 due to final-state relaxation effects in XPS, which are known to shift features which are deeper in
4 the valence band to lower binding energies³².
5
6
7



8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 **Figure 9.** Simulated and measured VB spectra for CAS with respect to the Fermi level at 0 eV.
27 Background subtracted XPS data is compared with broadened and corrected partial DoS curves.
28 Top spectra shows intensity zoomed region to better show the underlying curves. Green data are
29 from XPS and black curve with grey shading is the total summed DoS.
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 Following the excellent experimental corroboration from comparing XPS spectra and corrected
37 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
38 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
39 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
40 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
41 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
42 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
43 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
44 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
45 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
46 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
47 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
48 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
49 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
50 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
51 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
52 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
53 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
54 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
55 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
56 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
57 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
58 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
59 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected
60 DoS curves, it is now prudent to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected

valence band is comprised of bonding orbitals of S 3p states with a slight contribution from Sb 5p states (VII), and the antibonding states of this interaction form the main contribution to the conduction band (VII*).




Figure 10. a) & b) Total and partial electronic density of states curves for CAS, with intensity zoomed region to more clearly show the underlying orbitals. Curves have been convolved with a Gaussian function (0.3 eV FWHM) in order to better distinguish features. DoS curves are aligned to the VBM. Black curve with grey shading is the total summed DoS. c) Configuration energies for the valence orbitals displayed with a schematic of the bonding hybridizations as discussed in the text. It is noted that these values do not take ionization, multi-electron occupancy or hybridization into account and are shown only as a schematic guide. Part labels are discussed and referred to throughout the text.

1
2
3 Further insights into the bonding nature are gained when studying the states normally
4 overwhelmed by intensity from Cu d/S p hybridization and to this end, we consider the zoomed
5 region of the valence and conduction band edges in Figure 10b. At first glance, it would seem
6 that the Sb 5s states are localized at ~ 10 eV in feature VIII, which agrees with the classical
7 model of lone pair electrons: that they are too tightly bound and therefore non-bonding.
8 However, there are also Sb s states at the top of the valence band (X) and the bottom of the
9 conduction band (X^*), which suggests that the contribution here is due to interactions via the
10 revised lone pair model²⁹. In this model, the lone pair electrons firstly interact with S 3p
11 electrons and the full antibonding orbital of this interaction is then sufficiently high so that it can
12 subsequently interact with empty Sb 5p states, resulting in bonding states in the valence band (X)
13 and antibonding states at the bottom of the conduction band (X^*). Our findings, in agreement
14 with previous analyses of the VBDoS of CAS^{1,30}, are in direct opposition to a more recent study
15 which claimed that the Sb 5s lone pair is inert, localized and contributes nothing to bonding in
16 the valence band²⁷. We believe this interpretation to be incorrect for two main reasons: first,
17 because the top of the valence band is so well reproduced between the DoS and XPS spectrum,
18 our bonding model is supported, even though the Sb states have weak cross sections when
19 compared to the Cu states. Second, due to the distorted nature of the SbS₅ pyramids in the crystal
20 structure, the revised lone pair model suggests that these interactions should indeed take place. In
21 fact, the structure is stabilized by projecting states into the crystal void, whereas in undistorted
22 structures, this interaction is symmetry forbidden³⁰.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 The bonding mechanisms described above and the other main contributions are shown
51 schematically in Figure 10c to more clearly demonstrate the proposed hybridizations from the
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 configuration energies (CE)^{63,64}, most importantly, the effect of the lone pairs and the nature of
4
5 the Cu 3d states in the valence band.
6
7

8 The described features are in agreement with previous analyses of CAS DoS
9
10 calculations^{1,7,27,30}; however, no solid link has been previously made to the effect of these
11
12 features regarding the electronic properties. As discussed previously, much initial progress was
13
14 made likening CAS to CIGS and also to CZTS, and the comparisons are still drawn in analyses
15
16 of the DoS³⁰, especially regarding the Cu 3d/S 3p states in the valence band⁷, which are indeed
17
18 very similar to the tetrahedral environment also present in CIGS. However, the full merit of this
19
20 practice is questioned when it is clear that the crystal structure of CAS is so different from CIGS,
21
22 then so should be the DoS as the bonding is what leads to the adopted crystal structure. Clearly,
23
24 from the CBDoS, Sb 5p states are the main cation contributor in CAS, whereas in CIGS, the
25
26 bottom of the CB is mainly In/Ga s states^{62,65}. Consequently, this has been recognized previously
27
28 and found to be the reason why CAS shows superior absorption to CIGS⁶⁶, but no further
29
30 discussions have been made²⁷. We then posit that this difference is also the cause of the low IP
31
32 found previously and discussed above. In CIGS, the In/Ga are in the 3+ oxidation state, as is Sb
33
34 in CAS, however, being group III elements, In/Ga have formally empty valence s orbitals for the
35
36 3+ oxidation state ([Kr]4d¹⁰5s⁰5p⁰/[Ar]3d¹⁰4s⁰4p⁰), whereas in group V Sb, it is full
37
38 ([Kr]4d¹⁰5s²5p⁰). This means that in CIGS, empty cation s orbitals bond with full anion p orbitals
39
40 to form regular full bonding states at the bottom of the valence band and empty antibonding
41
42 states at the bottom of the conduction band, whereas in CAS the full Sb 5s orbital is available for
43
44 bonding and due to the mechanism described above, states are found throughout the valence
45
46 band and at the bottom of the conduction band. It is unsurprising that the first conduction band of
47
48 CAS is dominated by antibonding Sb 5p/S 3p states as Sb-5p are the first empty orbitals that are
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

energetically within the proximity of the full S 3p orbitals. This is shown, in contrast to the empty states of CIGS, in the schematic configuration energy^{63,64} diagram in Figure 11, with the main contributions to the VBM and CBM marked. From this, we can also see that the empty cation s states in CIGS are energetically closer to the anion p orbital than the also empty cation p orbitals, and therefore the cation s nature of the CBM in CIGS is explained as well.




Figure 11. Configuration energies for the valence orbitals of CIGS and CAS. The formal ionic occupancy of the orbitals within the materials are marked and the main orbital contributions to the VBM and CBM are shown.

Although we believe that the Sb lone pair plays some part in raising the energy levels of the bands in CAS, the Cu d states must also have an effect due to their dominating presence in the valence band. In other dominant d-orbital semiconductors, the chemical trend is that with increasing separation between the cation d and anion p levels, the VBM position rises, given a common-cation⁶⁷. If one accepts this, then by studying Figure 11, the CAS analogue replacing S with Se, that is CuSbSe₂ (CAsSe), should yield a lower IP than CAS, and this has been previously reported^{1,68}. This then, would suggest that CIGS, with common Cu-cation, and Se as an anion,

1
2
3 should show a similar trend when compared to CAS. As this is not the case, it is then evident that
4
5 it is the presence of antimony over indium/gallium which is responsible for the elevation of the
6
7 valence band, both through the addition of Sb 5s states to the valence band, but also the shifting
8
9 of Cu 3d states within the VBM.
10
11

12
13 As has been shown before, the growth method can strongly affect the device quality with
14
15 regards to contaminant formation. However, another issue is the formation of defects within the
16
17 absorber material which can also affect device performance. ‘Defect tolerance’ is a term used
18
19 when the formation of defects does not negatively affect the properties of a material and has been
20
21 classified to occur when the VBM is antibonding in nature and the CBM is bonding in nature, so
22
23 that defects would produce only shallow levels⁶⁹. To this extent, CAS should benefit from at
24
25 least some measure of this property because even though the CBM is predominantly antibonding
26
27 Sb p/S p states, the VBM is strongly antibonding Cu d/S p with also a slight contribution from
28
29 Sb s states due to the lone pair bonding mechanism. Furthermore, the dominant vacancy in CAS
30
31 has been shown to be the acceptor copper vacancy (V_{Cu})¹³ which leads to the inherent p-type
32
33 conductivity and also the crystallographic differences between the two cation-anion
34
35 environments makes CAS less prone to cation disorder, and the band tailing associated with it³,
36
37 both of which support the idea that CAS should be defect tolerant.
38
39
40
41
42

43
44 These observations and reasoning all support the postulate that advancement in CAS research
45
46 now requires a definitive move away from CIGS analogies. The lone pair of electrons from the
47
48 antimony cation provides extra states to both the valence and conduction bands of CAS, which
49
50 are not present in CIGS. This leads to a higher VBM level, resulting in a markedly low IP for
51
52 CAS, which results in a performance-damaging CBO with CdS. Therefore, CAS should be
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 developed, along with its true analogous materials (the [Cu-(Sb/Bi)-(S/Se)] family of systems),
4
5 as its own class of earth-abundant absorber materials.
6
7
8

9 10 CONCLUSIONS

11
12 The valence band density of states and the size and nature of the band gaps of the promising
13 absorber material, CuSbS₂ have been compared experimentally and theoretically for the first
14 time. We show that the strong Cu d nature of the valence band obscures states arising from the
15 antimony, but which play a role in determining the properties of the material. In contrast with
16 CIGS, the conduction band minimum consists of non-copper cation p/anion p states rather than
17 non-copper cation s/anion p states as in CIGS; a result of Sb³⁺ being a group V element rather
18 than the group III (In/Ga)³⁺ in CIGS. The full Sb 5s states therefore also feature at the top of the
19 valence band through the revised lone pair model, along with Sb 5p states. These extra
20 contributions to the valence band play some role in raising the VBM and causing the low IP of
21 4.98 eV observed here. The band levels measured here support the reasoning that CdS is an
22 inappropriate n-type window layer for CAS because of the large CBO, resulting from the low EA
23 (3.43 eV) which was determined using a combination of IP measurements from XPS and a band
24 gap measurement of 1.55 eV from the room temperature indirect absorption spectra. This
25 approach to cell design, which arises from CIGS development, is part of the reason that CAS has
26 seen poor performance. We have also shown a thorough core-level XPS analysis of CAS, which
27 reveals that the two sulfur coordination environments can be determined by XPS, and also the
28 effects of contamination, which is crucial given the spectral complexities of this material.
29 Following a thorough literature survey of this material, it is believed that these complexities have
30 led to misinterpretations of XPS spectra, and therefore this could have also contributed to the
31 poor performance of CAS cells. It is therefore clear that the use of CdS as the n-type layer in
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 CAS cells is less favorable than for CIGS cells. However, with alternative n-type material with
4
5 better band alignments, the potential of CAS as a solar absorber remains.
6
7

8 9 10 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

11 12 13 **Supporting Information.**

14
15
16 The following files are available free of charge.

17
18
19
20 Explanation of the XPS fitting procedure used throughout this study, discussion of the effects
21
22 of sodium detected in the samples (Figure S1), justification of fitting two S 2p doublets to CAS
23
24 (Figure S2), a comparison between the effect of the thermal treatment on the XPS spectra (Figure
25
26 S3–S8), all of the fitted XPS binding energies in this study (Table S1), surface stoichiometry
27
28 values from XPS (Table S2) (PDF)
29
30
31
32
33

34 35 AUTHOR INFORMATION

36 37 **Corresponding Author**

38
39
40 *E-mail: vin@liverpool.ac.uk
41
42

43 44 **Notes**

45
46 The authors declare no competing financial interest.
47
48
49
50

51 52 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

53
54
55 This work was supported by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council
56
57 (EPSRC). TJW & MB acknowledge funding through EPSRC studentships (Grant No.
58
59
60

1
2
3 EP/J50047/1, EP/K503095/1, & EP/L505018/1). The synthesis of the samples was supported by
4
5 U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, under Contract
6
7
8 No. DE-AC36-08GO28308 to National Renewable Energy Laboratory. The computational work
9
10 in this article made use of the ARCHER U.K. National Supercomputing Service
11
12 (<http://www.archer.ac.uk>), via our membership of the U.K.'s HEC Materials Chemistry
13
14 Consortium, which is funded by EPSRC (Grant No. EP/L000202), and the UCL Legion
15
16 (Legion@UCL) and Grace (Grace@UCL) HPC Facilities. DOS and TDV acknowledge funding
17
18 through EPSRC (Grant No. EP/N01572X/1 & EP/N015800/1) and membership of the Materials
19
20 Design Network. CNS is grateful to EPSRC and the Department of Chemistry at UCL for the
21
22 provision of a Doctoral Training Partnership studentship (Ref. No. 1492829).
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 REFERENCES

- 31
32
33 (1) Temple, D. J.; Kehoe, A. B.; Allen, J. P.; Watson, G. W.; Scanlon, D. O. Geometry,
34
35 Electronic Structure, and Bonding in CuMCh_2 ($\text{M} = \text{Sb, Bi}$; $\text{Ch} = \text{S, Se}$): Alternative Solar Cell
36
37 Absorber Materials? *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2012**, *116* (13), 7334–7340.
38
39
40 (2) Wan, L.; Ma, C.; Hu, K.; Zhou, R.; Mao, X.; Pan, S.; Wong, L. H.; Xu, J. Two-Stage Co-
41
42 Evaporated CuSbS_2 Thin Films for Solar Cells. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2016**, *680*, 182–190.
43
44
45 (3) de Souza Lucas, F. W.; Welch, A. W.; Baranowski, L. L.; Dippo, P. C.; Hempel, H.;
46
47 Unold, T.; Eichberger, R.; Blank, B.; Rau, U.; Mascaro, L. H.; Zakutayev, A. Effects of
48
49 Thermochemical Treatment on CuSbS_2 Photovoltaic Absorber Quality and Solar Cell
50
51 Reproducibility. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120* (33), 18377–18385.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (4) Welch, A. W.; Baranowski, L. L.; Zawadzki, P.; DeHart, C.; Johnston, S.; Lany, S.;
4
5 Wolden, C. A.; Zakutayev, A. Accelerated Development of CuSbS₂ Thin Film Photovoltaic
6
7 Device Prototypes. *Prog. Photovoltaics Res. Appl.* **2016**, *24* (7), 929–939.

8
9
10
11 (5) Rodríguez-Lazcano, Y.; Nair, M. T. S.; Nair, P. K. CuSbS₂ Thin Film Formed through
12
13 Annealing Chemically Deposited Sb₂S₃–CuS Thin Films. *J. Cryst. Growth* **2001**, *223* (3), 399–
14
15 406.

16
17
18
19 (6) Kehoe, A. B.; Temple, D. J.; Watson, G. W.; Scanlon, D. O. Cu₃MCh₃ (M = Sb, Bi; Ch =
20
21 S, Se) as Candidate Solar Cell Absorbers: Insights from Theory. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**,
22
23 *15* (37), 15477.

24
25
26
27 (7) Kumar, M.; Persson, C. CuSbS₂ and CuBiS₂ as Potential Absorber Materials for Thin-
28
29 Film Solar Cells. *J. Renew. Sustain. Energy* **2013**, *5* (3), 31616.

30
31
32
33 (8) Ornelas-Acosta, R. E.; Shaji, S.; Avellaneda, D.; Castillo, G. a.; Das Roy, T. K.;
34
35 Krishnan, B. Thin Films of Copper Antimony Sulfide: A Photovoltaic Absorber Material. *Mater.*
36
37 *Res. Bull.* **2015**, *61*, 215–225.

38
39
40
41 (9) Rodríguez-Lazcano, Y.; Nair, M. T. S.; Nair, P. K. Photovoltaic P-I-N Structure of Sb₂S₃
42
43 and CuSbS₂ Absorber Films Obtained via Chemical Bath Deposition. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2005**,
44
45 *152* (8), G635–G638.

46
47
48
49 (10) Garza, C.; Shaji, S.; Arato, A.; Perez Tijerina, E.; Alan Castillo, G.; Das Roy, T. K.;
50
51 Krishnan, B. P-Type CuSbS₂ Thin Films by Thermal Diffusion of Copper into Sb₂S₃. *Sol.*
52
53 *Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2011**, *95* (8), 2001–2005.

54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (11) Septina, W.; Ikeda, S.; Iga, Y.; Harada, T.; Matsumura, M. Thin Film Solar Cell Based
4 on CuSbS₂ Absorber Fabricated from an Electrochemically Deposited Metal Stack. *Thin Solid*
5
6
7
8 *Films* **2014**, *550*, 700–704.

9
10
11 (12) Popovici, I.; Duta, A. Tailoring the Composition and Properties of Sprayed CuSbS₂ Thin
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Films by Using Polymeric Additives. *Int. J. Photoenergy* **2012**, *2012*, 1–6.

(13) Yang, B.; Wang, L.; Han, J.; Zhou, Y.; Song, H.; Chen, S.; Zhong, J.; Lv, L.; Niu, D.;
Tang, J. CuSbS₂ as a Promising Earth-Abundant Photovoltaic Absorber Material: A Combined
Theoretical and Experimental Study. *Chem. Mater.* **2014**, *26* (10), 3135–3143.

(14) Colombara, D.; Peter, L. M.; Rogers, K. D.; Painter, J. D.; Roncallo, S. Formation of
CuSbS₂ and CuSbSe₂ Thin Films via Chalcogenisation of Sb–Cu Metal Precursors. *Thin Solid*
Films **2011**, *519* (21), 7438–7443.

(15) Krishnan, B.; Shaji, S.; Ernesto Ornelas, R. Progress in Development of Copper
Antimony Sulfide Thin Films as an Alternative Material for Solar Energy Harvesting. *J. Mater.*
Sci. Mater. Electron. **2015**, *26* (7), 4770–4781.

(16) Welch, A. W.; Zawadzki, P. P.; Lany, S.; Wolden, C. A.; Zakutayev, A. Self-Regulated
Growth and Tunable Properties of CuSbS₂ Solar Absorbers. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2015**,
132, 499–506.

(17) Ramos Aquino, J. A.; Rodriguez Vela, D. L.; Shaji, S.; Avellaneda, D. A.; Krishnan, B.
Spray Pyrolysed Thin Films of Copper Antimony Sulfide as Photovoltaic Absorber. *Phys. status*
solidi **2016**, *13* (1), 24–29.

1
2
3 (18) Ornelas-Acosta, R. E.; Avellaneda, D.; Shaji, S.; Castillo, G. a.; Das Roy, T. K.;
4
5 Krishnan, B. CuSbS₂ Thin Films by Heating Sb₂S₃/Cu Layers for PV Applications. *J. Mater. Sci.*
6
7 *Mater. Electron.* **2014**, *25* (10), 4356–4362.
8
9

10
11 (19) Suehiro, S.; Horita, K.; Yuasa, M.; Tanaka, T.; Fujita, K.; Ishiwata, Y.; Shimanoe, K.;
12
13 Kida, T. Synthesis of Copper–Antimony-Sulfide Nanocrystals for Solution-Processed Solar
14
15 Cells. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54* (16), 7840–7845.
16
17

18
19 (20) An, C.; Liu, Q.; Tang, K.; Yang, Q.; Chen, X.; Liu, J.; Qian, Y. The Influences of
20
21 Surfactant Concentration on the Quality of Chalcostibite Nanorods. *J. Cryst. Growth* **2003**, *256*
22
23 (1–2), 128–133.
24
25

26
27 (21) Suriakarthick, R.; Nirmal Kumar, V.; Shyju, T. S.; Gopalakrishnan, R. Effect of Substrate
28
29 Temperature on Copper Antimony Sulphide Thin Films from Thermal Evaporation. *J. Alloys*
30
31 *Compd.* **2015**, *651*, 423–433.
32
33

34
35 (22) Su, H.; Xie, Y.; Wan, S.; Li, B.; Qian, Y. A Novel One-Step Solvothermal Route to
36
37 Nanocrystalline CuSbS₂ and Ag₃SbS₃. *Solid State Ionics* **1999**, *123* (1–4), 319–324.
38
39

40
41 (23) Ramasamy, K.; Gupta, R. K.; Sims, H.; Palchoudhury, S.; Ivanov, S.; Gupta, A. Layered
42
43 Ternary Sulfide CuSbS₂ Nanoplates for Flexible Solid-State Supercapacitors. *J. Mater. Chem. A*
44
45 **2015**, *3* (25), 13263–13274.
46
47

48
49 (24) Choi, Y. C.; Yeom, E. J.; Ahn, T. K.; Seok, S. Il. CuSbS₂ -Sensitized Inorganic-Organic
50
51 Heterojunction Solar Cells Fabricated Using a Metal-Thiourea Complex Solution. *Angew.*
52
53 *Chemie Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54* (13), 4005–4009.
54
55

1
2
3 (25) Marino, C.; Block, T.; Pöttgen, R.; Villevieille, C. CuSbS₂ as a Negative Electrode
4 Material for Sodium Ion Batteries. *J. Power Sources* **2017**, *342*, 616–622.
5
6

7
8 (26) Banu, S.; Ahn, S. J.; Ahn, S. K.; Yoon, K.; Cho, A. Fabrication and Characterization of
9 Cost-Efficient CuSbS₂ Thin Film Solar Cells Using Hybrid Inks. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*
10 **2016**, *151*, 14–23.
11
12
13

14
15 (27) Maeda, T.; Wada, T. First-Principles Study of Electronic Structure of CuSbS₂ and
16 CuSbSe₂ Photovoltaic Semiconductors. *Thin Solid Films* **2015**, *582*, 401–407.
17
18
19

20
21 (28) Kyono, A. Crystal Structures of Chalcostibite (CuSbS₂) and Emplectite (CuBiS₂):
22 Structural Relationship of Stereochemical Activity between Chalcostibite and Emplectite. *Am.*
23 *Mineral.* **2005**, *90* (1), 162–165.
24
25
26
27

28
29 (29) Walsh, A.; Payne, D. J.; Egdell, R. G.; Watson, G. W. Stereochemistry of Post-Transition
30 Metal Oxides: Revision of the Classical Lone Pair Model. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40* (9), 4455–
31 4463.
32
33
34
35
36

37
38 (30) Dufton, J. T. R.; Walsh, A.; Panchmatia, P. M.; Peter, L. M.; Colombara, D.; Islam, M. S.
39 Structural and Electronic Properties of CuSbS₂ and CuBiS₂: Potential Absorber Materials for
40 Thin-Film Solar Cells. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *14* (20), 7229.
41
42
43
44

45
46 (31) Birkett, M.; Savory, C. N.; Fioretti, A. N.; Thompson, P.; Muryn, C. A.; Weerakkody, A.
47 D.; Mitrovic, I. Z.; Hall, S.; Treharne, R.; Dhanak, V. R.; Scanlon, D. O.; Zakutayev, A.; Veal,
48 T. D. Atypically Small Temperature-Dependence of the Direct Band Gap in the Metastable
49 Semiconductor Copper Nitride Cu₃N. *Phys. Rev. B* **2017**, *95* (11), 115201.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (32) Whittles, T. J.; Burton, L. A.; Skelton, J. M.; Walsh, A.; Veal, T. D.; Dhanak, V. R. Band
4 Alignments, Valence Bands, and Core Levels in the Tin Sulfides SnS, SnS₂, and Sn₂S₃:
5
6 Experiment and Theory. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28* (11), 3718–3726.
7
8

9
10
11 (33) Peccerillo, E.; Major, J.; Phillips, L.; Treharne, R.; Whittles, T. J.; Dhanak, V.; Halliday,
12 D.; Durose, K. Characterization of Sulfurized CuSbS₂ Thin Films for PV Applications. In *2014*
13 *IEEE 40th Photovoltaic Specialist Conference (PVSC)*; IEEE, 2014; pp 0266–0269.
14
15

16
17
18 (34) Rath, T.; MacLachlan, A. J.; Brown, M. D.; Haque, S. A. Structural, Optical and Charge
19 Generation Properties of Chalcostibite and Tetrahedrite Copper Antimony Sulfide Thin Films
20 Prepared from Metal Xanthates. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3* (47), 24155–24162.
21
22
23

24
25
26 (35) Efthimiopoulos, I.; Buchan, C.; Wang, Y. Structural Properties of Sb₂S₃ under Pressure:
27 Evidence of an Electronic Topological Transition. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6* (April), 24246.
28
29

30
31
32 (36) Mernagh, T. P.; Trudu, A. G. A Laser Raman Microprobe Study of Some Geologically
33 Important Sulphide Minerals. *Chem. Geol.* **1993**, *103* (1–4), 113–127.
34
35

36
37
38 (37) Qiu, X. D.; Ji, S. L.; Chen, C.; Liu, G. Q.; Ye, C. H. Synthesis, Characterization, and
39 Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering of near Infrared Absorbing Cu₃SbS₃ Nanocrystals.
40
41 *Crystengcomm* **2013**, *15* (48), 10431.
42
43

44
45
46 (38) Aup-Ngoen, K.; Thongtem, T.; Thongtem, S. Characterization of Cu₃SbS₄ Microflowers
47 Produced by a Cyclic Microwave Radiation. *Mater. Lett.* **2012**, *66* (1), 182–186.
48
49

50
51
52 (39) Lebugle, A.; Axelsson, U.; Nyholm, R.; Mårtensson, N. Experimental L and M Core
53 Level Binding Energies for the Metals 22 Ti to 30 Zn. *Phys. Scr.* **1981**, *23* (5A), 825–827.
54
55

1
2
3 (40) Nyholm, R.; Martensson, N.; Lebugle, A.; Axelsson, U. Auger and Coster-Kronig
4 Broadening Effects in the 2p and 3p Photoelectron Spectra from the Metals 22 Ti- 30 Zn. *J.*
5
6
7
8 *Phys. F Met. Phys.* **1981**, *11* (8), 1727–1733.
9

10
11 (41) Delobel, R.; Baussart, H.; Leroy, J.-M.; Grimblot, J.; Gengembre, L. X-Ray
12 Photoelectron Spectroscopy Study of Uranium and Antimony Mixed Metal-Oxide Catalysts. *J.*
13
14
15
16 *Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1 Phys. Chem. Condens. Phases* **1983**, *79* (4), 879.
17

18
19 (42) Morgan, W. E.; Stec, W. J.; van Wazer, J. R. Inner-Orbital Binding Energy Shifts of
20 Antimony and Bismuth Compounds. *Inorg. Chem.* **1972**, *12* (4), 953–955.
21
22

23
24 (43) Garbassi, F. XPS and AES Study of Antimony Oxides. *Surf. Interface Anal.* **1980**, *2* (5),
25
26
27 165–169.
28

29
30 (44) Tang, X.; Welzenis, R. G. van; Setten, F. M. van; Bosch, A. J. Oxidation of the InSb
31 Surface at Room Temperature. *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* **1986**, *1* (6), 355–365.
32
33

34
35 (45) Petit, E. J.; Riga, J.; Caudano, R. Surface and Interface XPS Characterization of the
36 Oxide Layer Grown on Antimony under UV Laser Irradiation. *Surf. Sci.* **1991**, *251–252*, 529–
37
38
39
40
41 534.
42

43
44 (46) Payne, B. P.; Biesinger, M. C.; McIntyre, N. S. X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy
45 Studies of Reactions on Chromium Metal and Chromium Oxide Surfaces. *J. Electron Spectros.*
46
47
48
49 *Relat. Phenomena* **2011**, *184* (1–2), 29–37.
50

51
52 (47) Barrie, A.; Drummond, I. W.; Herd, Q. C. Correlation of Calculated and Measured 2p
53 Spin-Orbit Splitting by Electron Spectroscopy Using Monochromatic X-Radiation. *J. Electron*
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 *Spectros. Relat. Phenomena* **1974**, *5* (1), 217–225.

1
2
3 (48) Lindberg, B. J.; Hamrin, K.; Johansson, G.; Gelius, U.; Fahlman, A.; Nordling, C.;
4 Siegbahn, K. Molecular Spectroscopy by Means of ESCA II. Sulfur Compounds. Correlation of
5 Electron Binding Energy with Structure. *Phys. Scr.* **1970**, *1* (5–6), 286–298.
6
7

8
9
10
11 (49) Gerson, A. R.; Bredow, T. Interpretation of Sulphur 2p XPS Spectra in Sulfide Minerals
12 by Means of Ab Initio Calculations. *Surf. Interface Anal.* **2000**, *29* (2), 145–150.
13
14

15
16
17 (50) Ramasamy, K.; Sims, H.; Butler, W. H.; Gupta, A. Selective Nanocrystal Synthesis and
18 Calculated Electronic Structure of All Four Phases of Copper–Antimony–Sulfide. *Chem. Mater.*
19 **2014**, *26* (9), 2891–2899.
20
21
22

23
24
25 (51) Viezbicke, B. D.; Patel, S.; Davis, B. E.; Birnie, D. P. Evaluation of the Tauc Method for
26 Optical Absorption Edge Determination: ZnO Thin Films as a Model System. *Phys. status solidi*
27 **2015**, *252* (8), 1700–1710.
28
29
30

31
32
33 (52) Klein, A. Energy Band Alignment in Chalcogenide Thin Film Solar Cells from
34 Photoelectron Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2015**, *27* (13), 134201.
35
36
37

38
39 (53) Burton, L. A.; Walsh, A. Band Alignment in SnS Thin-Film Solar Cells: Possible Origin
40 of the Low Conversion Efficiency. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2013**, *102* (13), 132111.
41
42
43

44 (54) Hinuma, Y.; Oba, F.; Kumagai, Y.; Tanaka, I. Ionization Potentials of (112) and (112⁻)
45 Facet Surfaces of CuInSe₂ and CuGaSe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **2012**, *86* (24), 245433.
46
47
48

49 (55) Burton, L. A.; Whittles, T. J.; Hesp, D.; Linhart, W. M.; Skelton, J. M.; Hou, B.;
50 Webster, R. F.; O'Dowd, G.; Reece, C.; Cherns, D.; Fermin, D. J.; Veal, T. D.; Dhanak, V. R.;
51 Walsh, A. Electronic and Optical Properties of Single Crystal SnS₂: An Earth-Abundant
52 Disulfide Photocatalyst. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2016**, *4* (4), 1312–1318.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (56) Durose, K.; Asher, S. E.; Jaegermann, W.; Levi, D.; McCandless, B. E.; Metzger, W.;
4 Moutinho, H.; Paulson, P. D.; Perkins, C. L.; Sites, J. R.; Teeter, G.; Terheggen, M. Physical
5
6 Characterization of Thin-Film Solar Cells. *Prog. Photovoltaics* **2004**, *12* (2–3), 177–217.
7
8

9
10
11 (57) Allen, J. P.; Carey, J. J.; Walsh, A.; Scanlon, D. O.; Watson, G. W. Electronic Structures
12
13 of Antimony Oxides. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117* (28), 14759–14769.
14
15

16
17 (58) Sinsermsuksakul, P.; Hartman, K.; Bok Kim, S.; Heo, J.; Sun, L.; Hejin Park, H.;
18
19 Chakraborty, R.; Buonassisi, T.; Gordon, R. G. Enhancing the Efficiency of SnS Solar Cells via
20
21 Band-Offset Engineering with a Zinc Oxysulfide Buffer Layer. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2013**, *102* (5),
22
23 53901.
24
25

26
27 (59) Minemoto, T.; Matsui, T.; Takakura, H.; Hamakawa, Y.; Negami, T.; Hashimoto, Y.;
28
29 Uenoyama, T.; Kitagawa, M. Theoretical Analysis of the Effect of Conduction Band Offset of
30
31 window/CIS Layers on Performance of CIS Solar Cells Using Device Simulation. *Sol. Energy*
32
33 *Mater. Sol. Cells* **2001**, *67* (1–4), 83–88.
34
35

36
37 (60) Jacobson, D. L.; Campbell, A. E. Molybdenum Work Function Determined by Electron
38
39 Emission Microscopy. *Metall. Mater. Trans. B* **1971**, *2* (11), 3063–3066.
40
41

42
43 (61) Yeh, J. J.; Lindau, I. Atomic Subshell Photoionization Cross Sections and Asymmetry
44
45 Parameters: $1 \leq Z \leq 103$. *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* **1985**, *32* (1), 1–155.
46
47

48
49 (62) Zhang, Y.; Yuan, X.; Sun, X.; Shih, B.-C.; Zhang, P.; Zhang, W. Comparative Study of
50
51 Structural and Electronic Properties of Cu-Based Multinary Semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **2011**,
52
53 *84* (7), 75127.
54
55

1
2
3 (63) Mann, J. B.; Meek, T. L.; Allen, L. C. Configuration Energies of the Main Group
4 Elements. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122* (12), 2780–2783.
5
6

7
8
9 (64) Mann, J. B.; Meek, T. L.; Knight, E. T.; Capitani, J. F.; Allen, L. C. Configuration
10 Energies of the D-Block Elements. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122* (21), 5132–5137.
11
12

13
14 (65) Chen, X.-D.; Chen, L.; Sun, Q.-Q.; Zhou, P.; Zhang, D. W. Hybrid Density Functional
15 Theory Study of Cu(In_{1-x}Ga_x)Se₂ Band Structure for Solar Cell Application. *AIP Adv.* **2014**, *4*
16 (8), 87118.
17
18
19

20
21 (66) Yu, L.; Kokenyesi, R. S.; Keszler, D. A.; Zunger, A. Inverse Design of High Absorption
22 Thin-Film Photovoltaic Materials. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2013**, *3* (1), 43–48.
23
24
25

26
27 (67) Wei, S. H.; Zunger, A. Calculated Natural Band Offsets of All II-VI and III-V
28 Semiconductors: Chemical Trends and the Role of Cation D Orbitals. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, *72*
29 (16), 2011–2013.
30
31
32

33
34 (68) Xue, D.-J.; Yang, B.; Yuan, Z.-K.; Wang, G.; Liu, X.; Zhou, Y.; Hu, L.; Pan, D.; Chen,
35 S.; Tang, J. CuSbSe₂ as a Potential Photovoltaic Absorber Material: Studies from Theory to
36 Experiment. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2015**, *5* (23), 1501203.
37
38
39
40

41
42 (69) Zakutayev, A.; Caskey, C. M.; Fioretti, A. N.; Ginley, D. S.; Vidal, J.; Stevanovic, V.;
43 Tea, E.; Lany, S. Defect Tolerant Semiconductors for Solar Energy Conversion. *J. Phys. Chem.*
44 *Lett.* **2014**, *5* (7), 1117–1125.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

TOC FIGURE

