Patterns of genome evolution that have accompanied host adaptation in *Salmonella*

Gemma C. Langridge^{a,1}, Maria Fookes^a, Thomas R. Connor^{a,2}, Theresa Feltwell^a, Nicholas Feasey^a, Bryony N. Parsons^{b,c}, Helena M. B. Seth-Smith^{a,3,4}, Lars Barquist^a, Anna Stedman^a, Tom Humphrey^d, Paul Wigley^{b,e}, Sarah E. Peters^f, Duncan J. Maskell^f, Jukka Corander^g, Jose A. Chabalgoity^h, Paul Barrowⁱ, Julian Parkhill^a, Gordon Dougan^a, and Nicholas R. Thomson^a

^aPathogen Genomics, The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1SA, United Kingdom; ^bInstitute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7BE, United Kingdom; 'Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GE, United Kingdom; ^dCollege of Medicine, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, United Kingdom; ^eSchool of Veterinary Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GE, United Kingdom; ^dInstitute of Medicine, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, United Kingdom; ^eSchool of Veterinary Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GB, United Kingdom; [†]Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0ES, United Kingdom; ⁹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland; ^hDepartamento de Desarrollo Biotecnológico, Instituto de Higiene, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de la República, Montevideo, CP 11600, Uruguay; and ⁱSchool of Veterinary Medicine and Science, University of Nottingham, Nottingham LE12 5RD, United Kingdom

Edited by Ralph R. Isberg, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, and approved November 26, 2014 (received for review August 29, 2014)

Many bacterial pathogens are specialized, infecting one or few hosts, and this is often associated with more acute disease presentation. Specific genomes show markers of this specialization, which often reflect a balance between gene acquisition and functional gene loss. Within Salmonella enterica subspecies enterica, a single lineage exists that includes human and animal pathogens adapted to cause infection in different hosts, including S. enterica serovar Enteritidis (multiple hosts), S. Gallinarum (birds), and S. Dublin (cattle). This provides an excellent evolutionary context in which differences between these pathogen genomes can be related to host range. Genome sequences were obtained from ~60 isolates selected to represent the known diversity of this lineage. Examination and comparison of the clades within the phylogeny of this lineage revealed signs of host restriction as well as evolutionary events that mark a path to host generalism. We have identified the nature and order of events for both evolutionary trajectories. The impact of functional gene loss was predicted based upon position within metabolic pathways and confirmed with phenotyping assays. The structure of S. Enteritidis is more complex than previously known, as a second clade of S. Enteritidis was revealed that is distinct from those commonly seen to cause disease in humans or animals, and that is more closely related to S. Gallinarum. Isolates from this second clade were tested in a chick model of infection and exhibited a reduced colonization phenotype, which we postulate represents an intermediate stage in pathogen-host adaptation.

Salmonella | host adaptation | pseudogene | metabolism

The central importance of horizontal acquisition of mobile genetic elements in the development of virulence in bacteria has been well described. It has frequently been observed that, as pathogens acquire virulence determinants, they become increasingly adapted to a specific host (1, 2). Exquisitely host-restricted pathogens also often exhibit extensive genome decay, through insertion sequence element proliferation, genomic rearrangement, and/or pseudogene formation (1, 3, 4). Investigating mechanisms involved in host adaptation is key to an understanding of pathogen evolution and has directly translatable relevance to the epidemiology and potentially the control of human and zoonotic infectious disease.

By concentrating upon individual pathogenic clades, insights have been obtained into specific adaptations relating to specific hosts; however, comparative analysis is relatively rare. By broadening this approach to examine multiple human and animal pathogens, derived from a single closely related lineage but with differing host specializations, there is an opportunity to understand the fundamental evolutionary processes involved in host adaptation. Lineagespecific changes that have become fixed can then be distinguished from those stochastic changes that differentiate individual isolates. A single lineage within *Salmonella enterica* presents such an opportunity. *S. enterica* is a leading cause of foodborne gastroenteritis, globally responsible for 80 million cases annually (5). Differentiation of *S. enterica* is largely based upon somatic (O) and flagellar (H) antigens, but it is increasingly being typed by

Significance

Common features have been observed in the genome sequences of bacterial pathogens that infect few hosts. These "host adaptations" include the acquisition of pathogenicity islands of multiple genes involved in disease, losses of whole genes, and even single mutations that affect gene function. Within *Salmonella enterica* is a natural model system of four pathogens that are each other's closest relatives, including a host-generalist, two host-specialists, and one with strong host associations. With whole-genome sequences, we aimed to improve our understanding of the number, nature, and order of these host adaptation events, shedding light on how human and animal pathogens arose in the past, and potentially allowing us to predict how emerging pathogens will evolve in the future.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

Data deposition: The sequences reported in this paper have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA), www.ebi.ac.uk/ena (accession nos. ERS003157– ERS003161, ERS004906, ERS004907, ERS004909–ERS004912, ERS004914, ERS007756, ERS022673–ERS022687, ERS024552, ERS217197, ERS217199, ERS217201, ERS217204, ERS217211, ERS217214, ERS217216, ERS217218, ERS217222, ERS217233, ERS217235, ERS217238, ERS217239, ERS217241, ERS217242, ERS217252, ERS217264, ERS400244, ERS400251, ERS400254, ERS400256–ERS400259, ERS400264, ERS429283, ERS429284, ERS430067, LK931482, and LK9315020), and annotated plasmid assemblies are available from the European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) European Bioinformatics Institute, www.ebi.ac.uk (accessions nos. HG970000 and HG970001).

See Commentary on page 647.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: gb4@sanger.ac.uk.

²Present address: Cardiff School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AX, United Kingdom.

³Present address: Functional Genomics Center Zürich, Universität Zürich, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland.

⁴Present address: Institute for Veterinary Pathology, Vetsuisse Faculty, Universität Zürich, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1416707112/-/DCSupplemental.





Author contributions: G.C.L., T.H., P.W., D.J.M., J.A.C., P.B., J.P., G.D., and N.R.T. designed research; G.C.L., T.F., B.N.P., A.S., and S.E.P. performed research; J.C. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; G.C.L., M.F., T.R.C., N.F., B.N.P., H.M.B.S.-S., L.B., T.H., P.W., S.E.P., D.J.M., J.C., and N.R.T. analyzed data; and G.C.L., M.F., T.R.C., B.N.P., L.B., P.W., J.C., and N.R.T. wrote the paper.

genomic methods, such as multilocus sequence typing (MLST). Somatic serogrouping and MLST have identified a single lineage with closely related members that exhibit a range of different host specializations (6-9). These include two of the most important Salmonella pathogens: S. Enteritidis and S. Gallinarum. In addition to the contribution of S. Enteritidis to human disease, in many countries both of these pathogens are notifiable diseases in poultry farming and egg production. Despite their close phylogenetic relatedness, they exhibit strikingly different host ranges, with S. Enteritidis capable of infecting multiple host species, whereas S. Gallinarum is restricted to infection in galliforme birds. This lineage also includes S. Gallinarum biovar Pullorum (hereafter S. Pullorum), also restricted to galliformes, and S. Dublin, which is strongly associated with infection of cattle and more rarely that of humans (10). The Salmonella pathogens adapted to particular hosts are associated with a much more invasive disease than generalists like S. Enteritidis, which tend to cause enteritis.

Fifty-nine isolates of this *Salmonella* lineage were selected to capture the diversity of sequence types, phage types, and geographical and temporal spread available at the time. Through genome sequencing, we generated a phylogeny to act as a framework with which to reconstruct the evolutionary history of the lineage, onto which observed gene loss and acquisition could be plotted.

This dataset provides a compelling record of the degradation of common metabolic pathways during host specialization to date. We have documented the order of events during the evolution of an entire *Salmonella* lineage. To link this specifically to host adaptation, we have tested isolates occupying key positions in the phylogeny in their cognate host to assess the effects of gene degradation on the manner and severity of disease caused.

Results and Discussion

Classical S. Enteritidis Is Not the Ancestor of the S. Gallinarum Biovars. To establish an accurate phylogeny, we selected isolates of *S.* Enteritidis, *S.* Gallinarum, *S.* Pullorum, and *S.* Dublin representing different MLSTs, phage types (PTs), and geographical and temporal spread to ensure that we sampled a broad diversity in this lineage. In total, genomic DNA from 59 isolates was used to generate whole genomes by Illumina multiplex sequencing (Table S1). Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were detected by mapping sequences against a pan-chromosome and virulence plasmid pseudomolecule (*SI Methods*). The maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree shown in Fig. 1 was constructed based upon the core regions of the reference *S.* Enteritidis strain P125109 genome.

The phylogeny of these serotypes is largely consistent with previous studies showing that *S*. Dublin represents an independent clade (9, 11). Our data show that there are \sim 23,000 SNPs differentiating *S*. Dublin from the most recent common ancestor of *S*. Entertitidis and the avian-adapted salmonellae, *S*. Gallinarum and *S*. Pullorum. However, rather than being a single clade itself, *S*. Entertitidis appears to be more structurally complex: isolate 01-00493-2 occupies a position on the tree basal to all other *S*. Entertitidis and *S*. Gallinarum/*S*. Pullorum complex isolates, and a second clade of *S*. Entertitidis appears within the *S*. Gallinarum/*S*. Pullorum complex (Fig. 1).

Statistical clustering supports the two clades of *S*. Enteritidis depicted in Fig. 1; a Bayesian analysis of population structure (BAPS) was used to identify significant differences in polymorphism sharing across strains (12). This initially indicated that members of clade 2 clustered separately from clade 1. A subsequent level of clustering then separated the *S*. Enteritidis 01-00493-2 isolate labeled "ancestral" in Fig. 1 from the rest of clade 2 (Dataset S1). No further substructure in *S*. Enteritidis was found to be significant according to the Bayesian statistical model.



Fig. 1. Chromosome-based phylogenetic relationships. Midpoint-rooted maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree based upon the chromosome. Branch lengths are determined by number of SNPs. Numbers on the tree indicate how many pseudogenes were identified on that branch. Colored strain names show serotype: S. Enteritidis, blue; S. Pullorum, gold; S. Gallinarum, red; S. Dublin, green. Metadata columns: Clade, phylogenetic grouping of S. Enteritidis isolates; ST, sequence types; pVIR, virulence plasmid; *stf-sth*, fimbriae; SPI-6 and -19, *Salmonella* pathogenicity islands; i, ii, SPI-6 partial hits represent two distinct versions at this locus; iii, SPI-19 partial presence is different from classic S. Enteritidis in this strain. Partial presence indicates one or more genes have been lost.

We refer to members of the first clade as "classic" *S*. Enteritidis because this clade includes the most commonly isolated MLST, ST11, and PTs affecting humans and animals. It is of note that both PT11 isolates (99-02302 and 00-03508) occupy a deep branch within the classic clade. PT11s are unusual in that they are rare in human infections and have been reported to be associated with hedgehogs (*Erinaceus europaeus*) (13).

The second clade (Fig. 1, second clade) includes isolates SARB18, 118-2012K-186, 105-2011K-1654, and D24359, which share the same O and H antigen designations as classic S. Enteritidis and are closely related at the sequence level. It is this second clade of S. Enteritidis from which the ancestors of the S. Gallinarum/S. Pullorum complex emerged. This phylogeny suggests that S. Gallinarum and S. Pullorum did not directly evolve from within the most commonly isolated (classic) clade of *S*. Enteritidis, as was previously postulated (8), and that there is much more substructure in the phylogeny of these important human and animal pathogens than was previously known.

Chromosomal Macroevolution. Evolution of pathogenicity by Salmonella is strongly associated with the acquisition of mobile genetic elements called Salmonella pathogenicity islands (SPIs). Many of these SPIs were acquired very early in the evolution of S. enterica (14), and so, perhaps unsurprisingly, their complement was found to be conserved across this entire lineage, with the exception of SPI-6 and SPI-19. Among other functions, these SPIs both encode type VI secretion systems (T6SSs), which are known to promote colonization in the avian gut (15). As previously reported for S. Enteritidis PT4 P125109, the majority of the classic S. Enteritidis isolates sequenced here harbor degenerate versions of both these SPIs. In these isolates, little more than the saf fimbrial operon is maintained on SPI-6, whereas on SPI-19 only 13 out of 33 genes, mainly encoding exported proteins, remain intact. The borders of these deletions are the same for all isolates. We also observed that two isolates of the classic S. Enteritidis clade, both PT11, carry intact versions of both SPIs. This indicates that the loss of SPI-6 and SPI-19 is restricted and suggests that it occurred following the divergence of the main classic S. Enteritidis group from these strains. That the common ancestor of the entire lineage contained both loci is also supported by their (intact) presence in S. Dublin.

SPI-19 is intact in all other isolates sequenced in this study (Fig. 1), and for *S*. Gallinarum and *S*. Pullorum, the retention of a functional SPI-19 is consistent with the T6SS it encodes being important for *S*. Gallinarum survival in chicken macrophages (16). However, the degradation of SPI-6 and loss of the T6SS, seen within the second clade of *S*. Enteritidis and the *S*. Gallinarum/*S*. Pullorum complex, may have only occurred once. Examining the recombination events across the entire lineage revealed that the region surrounding SPI-6 appears to have been independently donated from the *S*. Gallinarum lineage to *S*. Pullorum and isolates in the second clade of *S*. Enteritidis (Fig. 1, SPI-6 version ii; Dataset S1).

Within the chromosome, other clade-specific whole gene losses were related to fimbriae. In *Salmonella*, the loss or inactivation of fimbriae is associated with host adaptation (8, 17). *S.* Enteritidis harbors 13 fimbrial operons, and the variable presence of 6 of these occurred in patterns that matched the phylogeny of isolates in this lineage (Fig. 1). The majority of these differences result from partial- or whole-gene losses in host-restricted *S.* Gallinarum and *S.* Pullorum, but partial losses of the *ssf* and *saf* operons were also observed in the second clade of *S.* Enteritidis.

Extrachromosomal Macroevolution. Only four isolates in this study do not carry a version of the *Salmonella* virulence plasmid (pVIR) encoding the virulence-associated *spv* operon (Fig. 1). Using the alignment produced by mapping to a pseudomolecule representing the pan-chromosome combined with the pVIR, we extracted the subset of sequences aligning to the pVIR of *S*. Gallinarum 287-91, which is the largest and most complete virulence plasmid in this lineage. The SNPs identified in this alignment were used to construct a pVIR phylogenetic tree (Fig. S1). The plasmid phylogeny mirrors that of the chromosome for all isolates except for the classic Entertitids clade, which appears to harbor a pVIR more distantly related to the plasmids carried within this lineage (~1,000 SNPs) than the outgroup, pSLT (~300 SNPs).

The smaller size of the classic *S*. Entertitidis pVIR (~60 kb) relative to that of *S*. Gallinarum (~90 kb) can be largely attributed to major deletions in the *tra* region responsible for conjugal transfer (18). Other differences that contribute to the phylogenetic distance between pVIRs include the presence of genes for a partial K88-like fimbria (19), which was found in all of the

Serovar Ent.		Gall.	Dub.	
3				
6 (0)	212			
6 (0)	105 (25)	231		
0	18 (10)	18 (11)	95	
	Ent. 3 6 (0) 6 (0) 0	Ent. Pull. 3	Ent. Pull. Gall. 3	

Dub., Dublin; Ent., Enteritidis; Gall., Gallinarum; Pull., Pullorum. Total number of pseudogenes shared between serovars. Pseudogenes that have different mutations between serovars are given in parentheses. The 98 ancestral pseudogenes common to all are not included. Only pseudogenes present in all sequenced strains of the serovar are shown here.

S. Dublin, S. Gallinarum, and S. Pullorum pVIRs. A pefA-like remnant upstream of SG_p0053 was also conserved across these plasmids, which we believe to be a scar of the pef fimbrial operon. This remnant has not been annotated in any published K88-like-containing pVIRs to date but has been randomly mutated in S. Gallinarum by transposon mutagenesis. In contrast to the parental S. Gallinarum, no morbidity or mortality was observed in chickens inoculated with the resultant mutants (19). This suggests that the *pefA* remnant retains some functionality, although it is not clear what interaction this may have with the K88-like replacement. The *pef* fimbrial operon itself is present only in pVIR-carrying strains of S. Enteritidis from the classic clade. Alongside the pVIR phylogeny, this suggests that the ancestral pVIR plasmid of the entire lineage was replaced by a different plasmid before the expansion of the classic S. Enteritidis clade. In further support of this, we observe that the second clade and ancestral S. Enteritidis all have a pVIR more closely related to those carried by S. Gallinarum and S. Pullorum than to those found in the classic S. Enteritidis clade.

Pseudogene Formation Largely Occurred After Serovar Diversification. Manual, whole-genome comparisons were used to define the extent to which gene degradation has occurred along the branches of the chromosomal phylogenetic tree. In this context, we defined a pseudogene as a gene harboring a mutation (i.e., premature stop codon, frame shift, truncation, or syntenic deletion) relative to an intact version of that gene. Table 1 summarizes the number of pseudogenes present in each of the respective serovars, and how many shared pseudogenes are due to identical or nonidentical mutations. Full pseudogene sets are listed in Dataset S2. In total, 98 ancestral pseudogenes are common to the entire set of serovars. These are typically fragments of nonfunctional genes and are likely due to stochastic loss. However, pseudogenes that are found in particular regions of the tree are more likely to have resulted from a primary event interrupting metabolic or other pathways, potentially leading to further degradation of related genes.

S. Enteritidis is generally regarded as a promiscuous serovar, and our analysis found only one nonancestral pseudogene shared by all classic isolates (Fig. 1). When considered alongside the maintenance of intact fimbriae, replacement of the ancestral plasmid, and loss of both T6SSs, it is apparent that these are markers of adaptation to host generalism in classic *S*. Enteritidis. Fig. S2 depicts the differing routes toward host generalism and adaptation seen across the entire lineage, from a hypothetical shared ancestor.

Previous studies have indicated that the degree of host specificity displayed by particular *Salmonella* serovars loosely correlates with the level of gene degradation (8, 17, 20, 21). In keeping with this observation, host-restricted *S.* Gallinarum and *S.* Pullorum harbor 231 and 212 pseudogenes, respectively, whereas *S.* Dublin, which is associated with but not restricted to cattle, harbors 95 (Table 1).

Significantly, given their shared host restriction, analysis of pseudogene positioning across the tree indicated that over 60%

of the genome degradation found in *S*. Gallinarum (151 of 231) and *S*. Pullorum (132 of 212) occurred after the two diverged. Our recombination analysis revealed that there has been one large recombination event between them, representing a region of around 180 kb (Dataset S1), but this accounts for only six shared pseudogenes, indicating that recombination has not been a significant cause of shared gene loss. However, this region encompasses 165 genes, including four fimbrial operons (*saf, sti, stf,* and *stb*) and SPI-6, suggesting that recombination has played some role in these two pathogens converging on the same host niche.

Loss of Metabolic Capacity. Functionally, the 98 identified ancestral pseudogenes consist mainly of phage, insertion sequences, and genes of unknown function. This is in stark contrast to the functional categories represented by pseudogenes found toward the tips of the tree (Figs. 1 and 2*A*), where membrane/surface structure and central/intermediary metabolism genes are more commonly inactivated. This latter pattern is broadly consistent for *S*. Gallinarum and *S*. Pullorum, both individually and in their shared 78 pseudogenes, and also in the *S*. Dublin pseudogenes, suggesting that loss of related functions is associated with adaptation to a host organism.

We established that ~15–20% of pseudogenes from each serovar had functional locations according to a database of metabolic pathways predicted to be present in S. Enteritidis (Dataset S3). This allowed us to establish whether any metabolic functions were commonly affected (i.e., by the same or different pseudogenes in the same pathway) between serovars. The overlap of the affected pathways is shown in Fig. 2B and indicates that many specific pathways or transport reactions are affected in more than one of the host-adapted serovars in our set, and indeed in other hostadapted salmonellae (see below).

One sodium/galactoside transporter has been lost in all of the host-adapted serovars within our set, but degradation of the carbon source D-glucarate is the only full pathway that has been affected (by different mutations) in them all. Interestingly, the human-restricted serovars S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi A also harbor pseudogenes related to the transport of D-glucarate into the cell, suggesting that the utilization of this carbon source may not be advantageous in causing invasive infection. Two isoenzymes catalyze a key reaction in this pathway, one of which is mutated in S. Dublin, whereas both are inactivated in S. Gallinarum.

The differing impact of these pseudogenes was supported by a phenotyping screen (Dataset S4), because *S*. Dublin has only suffered a loss of redundancy and therefore remains capable of using D-glucarate, whereas *S*. Gallinarum has lost the function entirely.

There are 13 metabolic pathways and two transport reactions commonly affected in S. Gallinarum and S. Pullorum (Dataset S3). The majority of these (12 of 15) are due to identical pseudogenes that occurred before their divergence. One of these is in the biosynthesis of the siderophore enterobactin, used to scavenge iron from the environment (22). Iron acquisition in the host is key to many bacterial infections (23), and other iron uptake and transport systems remain intact. This is in contrast to previous findings that human-restricted S. Typhi is dependent upon iron uptake through enterobactin via the fep genes (24) and therefore suggests that enterobactin is not required in the avian host. However, another of the pathways, putrescine biosynthesis, is also affected in S. Typhi, and the same enzyme, ornithine decarboxylase, is mutated in all three. Because the alternative putrescine pathway remains intact, loss of redundancy may have an important role alongside loss of function in host adaptation. This is further supported by the phenotyping screen performed in S. Dublin. In accordance with the lower absolute number of pseudogenes, S. Dublin has only three pathways affected, and four transport reactions (Dataset S3). Of the four relevant substrates present in the phenotyping screen, S. Dublin cannot use



Fig. 2. Functions lost through pseudogene formation. (*A*) Functional classification of pseudogene sets. All, pseudogenes shared by all strains in the phylogeny (98); Gal/Pul, shared by *S*. Gallinarum and *S*. Pullorum (80); Gal, Pul, Dub, remaining pseudogenes present in all strains of *S*. Gallinarum (151), *S*. Pullorum (132), and *S*. Dublin (95), respectively. (*B*) Venn diagram showing the distribution of metabolic pathway and transport loss between *S*. Gallinarum, *S*. Pullorum, and *S*. Dublin, irrespective of causative pseudogenes. (*C*) Schematic depicting interconnectivity of pseudogene-affected pathways and transport systems. Processes inside the dotted line only occur anaerobically. Operons involved are shown in red.

one, but remains capable of using three others, indicating that reduction in redundancy is more common than loss of function in this host-adapted serovar. Three metabolic pathways are affected in *S*. Gallinarum and *S*. Pullorum due to different pseudogenes that occurred after the two diverged. Two of these functions, allantoin degradation and adenosylcobalamin (vitamin B12) biosynthesis, are also mutated in *S*. Typhi and *S*. Paratyphi A. Given the pseudogene accumulation in these pathways in host-restricted serovars, they emerge as strong contenders for markers of a switch to invasive rather than enteric disease.

In birds, allantoin can be found in the serum and is used as a carbon source during *S*. Enteritidis infection of chickens (25). Inactivation of the genes encoding the regulator *allS* and the degradative enzyme *allD* in *S*. Gallinarum and *S*. Pullorum, respectively, means that neither can use allantoin. Although the relevance of allantoin in mammalian hosts is unknown, pseudogenes relating to allantoin utilization have also been identified in a strain of *S*. Typhimurium belonging to ST313, which causes invasive nontyphoidal salmonellosis in humans (26).

Vitamin B12 is required for the anaerobic degradation of 1,2propanediol, which uses tetrathionate as an electron acceptor (27). Tetrathionate plays an important role in enteric infection: its production is triggered by the inflammatory response to S. Typhimurium in the gut and provides a niche for respiration, in competition with other gut microbes (28). A recent report by Nuccio and Bäumler (21) identified loss of function in central anaerobic metabolism as a key indicator of invasive versus gastrointestinal salmonellae. From plotting pseudogenes onto the chromosomal phylogeny, we know that S. Gallinarum and S. Pullorum lost the ability to reduce tetrathionate before their divergence, and that their subsequent loss of vitamin B12 synthetic ability occurred independently. The knock-on effect of those initial pseudogenes in tetrathionate reduction is illustrated in Fig. 2C, as further pseudogenes have also arisen in other related functions, consistent with Nuccio and Bäumler's findings.

Second Clade and Ancestral S. Enteritidis Display Intermediate Characteristics. Of the five S. Enteritidis isolates outside the classic clade, one (ancestral) was basal to all S. Enteritidis and S. Gallinarum, whereas the remainder (second clade) were basal to both S. Gallinarum and S. Pullorum (Fig. 1). To assess whether their position on the phylogenetic tree had any phenotypic consequences, we initially looked at colony morphology and motility of the ancestral isolate (01-00493-2) and a representative isolate from the second clade (SARB18). These indicated that both have intermediate characters between S. Enteritidis and S. Gallinarum (Fig. S3). One explanation for this could be recombination; multiple separate events have recombined ~175 kb from S. Gallinarum or S. Pullorum into the second clade isolates, but not into any from the classic S. Enteritidis clade (Dataset S1). Approximately 160 kb originating from S. Gallinarum recombined into all four of the second clade isolates, around the SPI-6 locus, resulting in the presence of a different degenerate version of this pathogenicity island compared with that carried by the classic clade isolates. A separate event was responsible for the recombination of ~85 kb into the ancestral isolate 01-00493-2 from S. Gallinarum, also including SPI-6. Unlike classic S. Enteritidis, SPI-19 remains intact in the ancestral and second clade isolates of S. Enteritidis, as it does in S. Gallinarum and S. Pullorum (Fig. 1).

Our pseudogene analysis was dependent upon a single reference strain per serovar, and therefore new pseudogenes could not be confirmed in the *S*. Enteritidis isolates outside the classic clade. However, metabolic phenotyping evidence suggests numerous differences are present between the SARB18 second clade and 01-004-93-2 ancestral isolate, with each able to use six or eight different carbon sources, respectively, that could not be used by the other isolate (Dataset S4).

Given the genotypic and phenotypic differences observed, we tested the pathogenesis of these two isolates in comparison with the reference strains of *S*. Entertiidis and *S*. Gallinarum in the



Fig. 3. Infection of the avian host by nonclassic *S*. Enteritidis isolates. Invasion of *Salmonella* strains into the (*A*) spleen, (*B*) liver, and (*C*) colonization of chick ceca 7 d (except *S*. Gallinarum 287/91, 5 d, separated by dashed line) postinfection (n = 5). Solid lines represent means. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. classic, classic clade isolate; Ent, *S*. Enteritidis; GAL, *S*. Gallinarum; second, second clade isolate.

natural avian host. The S. Gallinarum-infected group showed signs of systemic salmonellosis and reached the humane endpoint of this experimental protocol at 5 d postinfection. The remaining groups showed no signs of ill health and the experiment continued for the full 7 d. At postmortem, the S. Gallinaruminfected birds displayed considerable hepatosplenomegaly, white spot lesions on the spleen and discoloration of the liver accompanied by "bronzing" on exposure to air, consistent with fowl typhoid. In contrast, the groups infected with S. Enteritidis strains showed mild hepatosplenomegaly consistent with infection by this Salmonella serovar. Also as expected, S. Gallinarum was the most invasive, indicated by significantly higher colony counts in both the spleen and liver on day 5 (P < 0.01) (Fig. 3 A and B). However, the classic S. Enteritidis strain P125109 showed significantly higher levels of colonization (bacteria present in the caeca) compared with both the nonclassic S. Enteritidis strains and S. Gallinarum (P <0.05) (Fig. 3C). This was also borne out by histopathological scoring of the tissue (Table S2). This reduced colonization phenotype from the ancestral and second clade S. Enteritidis isolates further suggests that they represent intermediate stages in the evolution of host adaptation.

In conclusion, whole-genome comparisons across an entire *Salmonella* lineage have enabled us to establish the progression of pseudogene formation that has resulted in differently host-adapted pathogens. The strongest signal of metabolic loss was seen in *S*. Gallinarum and *S*. Pullorum, the fully host-restricted

members of the lineage, consistent with patterns seen in other host-restricted salmonellae. It is therefore plausible that pseudogene formation progresses in a predictable fashion, given a particular host. We also observed the presence of *S*. Enteritidis isolates that fall outside the classic host generalist clade, instead occupying positions basal to the avian-restricted strains. Their metabolic, genomic, and infection phenotypes all suggest that these represent intermediate, but extant, stages in the process of pathogen–host adaptation, demonstrating an unexpected diversity in this servar.

Methods

Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analysis. All isolates were sequenced on the Illumina platform, with additional 454 sequencing where required. A nonredundant pseudomolecule was used a reference for mapping and to produce genome alignments. The genetic structure of the population was estimated with the software BAPS, version 6.0 (12, 29), and recombination detected using the BratNextGen method (30). Pseudogene identification was performed by manual genome comparison, and metabolic pathway reconstruction was based upon the annotated genome of *S*. Enteritidis,

- Parkhill J, et al. (2003) Comparative analysis of the genome sequences of Bordetella pertussis, Bordetella parapertussis and Bordetella bronchiseptica. Nat Genet 35(1): 32–40.
- Rohmer L, et al. (2007) Comparison of *Francisella tularensis* genomes reveals evolutionary events associated with the emergence of human pathogenic strains. *Genome Biol* 8(6):R102.
- Cole ST, et al. (2001) Massive gene decay in the leprosy bacillus. Nature 409(6823): 1007–1011.
- Moran NA, Plague GR (2004) Genomic changes following host restriction in bacteria. Curr Opin Genet Dev 14(6):627–633.
- Majowicz SE, et al.; International Collaboration on Enteric Disease "Burden of Illness" Studies (2010) The global burden of nontyphoidal Salmonella gastroenteritis. Clin Infect Dis 50(6):882–889.
- Boyd EF, et al. (1993) Salmonella reference collection B (SARB): Strains of 37 serovars of subspecies I. J Gen Microbiol 139(Pt 6):1125–1132.
- Porwollik S, et al. (2005) Differences in gene content between Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis isolates and comparison to closely related serovars Gallinarum and Dublin. J Bacteriol 187(18):6545–6555.
- Thomson NR, et al. (2008) Comparative genome analysis of Salmonella Enteritidis PT4 and Salmonella Gallinarum 287/91 provides insights into evolutionary and host adaptation pathways. Genome Res 18(10):1624–1637.
- Achtman M, et al.; S. Enterica MLST Study Group (2012) Multilocus sequence typing as a replacement for serotyping in Salmonella enterica. PLoS Pathog 8(6):e1002776.
- Selander RK, et al. (1992) Molecular evolutionary genetics of the cattle-adapted serovar Salmonella dublin. J Bacteriol 174(11):3587–3592.
- Olsen JE, Skov M (1994) Genomic lineage of Salmonella enterica serovar Dublin. Vet Microbiol 40(3-4):271–282.
- Corander J, Marttinen P, Sirén J, Tang J (2008) Enhanced Bayesian modelling in BAPS software for learning genetic structures of populations. BMC Bioinformatics 9(1):539.
- Nauerby B, Pedersen K, Dietz HH, Madsen M (2000) Comparison of Danish isolates of Salmonella enterica serovar enteritidis PT9a and PT11 from hedgehogs (Erinaceus europaeus) and humans by plasmid profiling and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. J Clin Microbiol 38(10):3631–3635.
- Fookes M, et al. (2011) Salmonella bongori provides insights into the evolution of the Salmonellae. PLoS Pathog 7(8):e1002191.
- Blondel CJ, et al. (2010) Contribution of the type VI secretion system encoded in SPI-19 to chicken colonization by Salmonella enterica serotypes Gallinarum and Enteritidis. PLoS One 5(7):e11724.

using Pathway Tools software (SRI International). High-throughput phenotype screening was performed using the Biolog system (Biolog). Details are described in *SI Methods*.

Chick Infection Models. All experiments were conducted in accordance with United Kingdom legislation governing experimental animals under project licenses PPL 40/3063 and PPL 40/3652 and were approved by the University of Liverpool ethical review process before the award of the license. Four or five chicks were inoculated per experimental group and were killed at 5 or 7 d postinfection. Colony-forming units were quantified from the liver, spleen, and cecal contents, and pathology scoring on hematoxylin-and-eosin–stained sections was performed. Full details are described in *SI Methods*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Mark Achtman and Mark Stevens for kindly providing strains. M.F., T.R.C., H.M.B.S.-S., J.P., and N.R.T. were funded by Wellcome Trust Grant 098051. The chicken infection work by B.N.P., T.H., and P.W. was funded by the Houghton Trust. G.C.L. was funded by Microme, a European Union Framework 7 Programme Collaborative Project, Grant Agreement 222886-2. J.C. was funded by European Research Council Grant 239784 and Academy of Finland Grant 251170.

- Blondel CJ, et al. (2013) The type VI secretion system encoded in Salmonella pathogenicity island 19 is required for Salmonella enterica serotype Gallinarum survival within infected macrophages. Infect Immun 81(4):1207–1220.
- Parkhill J, et al. (2001) Complete genome sequence of a multiple drug resistant Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi CT18. Nature 413(6858):848–852.
- Rodríguez-Peña JM, Buisan M, Ibáñez M, Rotger R (1997) Genetic map of the virulence plasmid of Salmonella enteritidis and nucleotide sequence of its replicons. Gene 188(1):53–61.
- Rychlik I, Lovell MA, Barrow PA (1998) The presence of genes homologous to the K88 genes faeH and fael on the virulence plasmid of *Salmonella gallinarum*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 159(2):255–260.
- Chiu C-H, et al. (2005) The genome sequence of Salmonella enterica serovar Choleraesuis, a highly invasive and resistant zoonotic pathogen. Nucleic Acids Res 33(5): 1690–1698.
- Nuccio S-P, Bäumler AJ (2014) Comparative analysis of Salmonella genomes identifies a metabolic network for escalating growth in the inflamed gut. MBio 5(2):e00929-14.
- Pollack JR, Ames BN, Neilands JB (1970) Iron transport in Salmonella typhimurium: Mutants blocked in the biosynthesis of enterobactin. J Bacteriol 104(2):635–639.
- Skaar EP (2010) The battle for iron between bacterial pathogens and their vertebrate hosts. *PLoS Pathog* 6(8):e1000949.
- Barquist L, et al. (2013) A comparison of dense transposon insertion libraries in the Salmonella serovars Typhi and Typhimurium. Nucleic Acids Res 41(8):4549–4564.
- Dhawi AA, et al. (2011) Adaptation to the chicken intestine in Salmonella Enteritidis PT4 studied by transcriptional analysis. Vet Microbiol 153(1-2):198–204.
- Kingsley RA, et al. (2009) Epidemic multiple drug resistant Salmonella Typhimurium causing invasive disease in sub-Saharan Africa have a distinct genotype. Genome Res 19(12):2279–2287.
- Price-Carter M, Tingey J, Bobik TA, Roth JR (2001) The alternative electron acceptor tetrathionate supports B12-dependent anaerobic growth of Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium on ethanolamine or 1,2-propanediol. J Bacteriol 183(8):2463–2475.
- Winter SE, et al. (2010) Gut inflammation provides a respiratory electron acceptor for Salmonella. Nature 467(7314):426–429.
- Tang J, Hanage WP, Fraser C, Corander J (2009) Identifying currents in the gene pool for bacterial populations using an integrative approach. PLOS Comput Biol 5(8): e1000455.
- 30. Marttinen P, et al. (2012) Detection of recombination events in bacterial genomes from large population samples. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40(1):e6.

Supporting Information

Langridge et al. 10.1073/pnas.1416707112

SI Methods

Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analysis. S. Pullorum isolate S44987 and S. Dublin SC50 were sequenced as 37-bp paired-end runs on the Illumina platform, and 3-kb insert libraries for these isolates were also sequenced as FLX2 paired-end run on the 454 pyrosequencer (Roche) to provide reference sequences for other isolates of the same serotype. The Illumina data were assembled using Velvet (1), with a kmer of 27 for S44987 and 31 for SC50. The 454 data were assembled and combined with the Illumina data with Newbler, version 2.1 (Roche). Joins in the sequences were made manually in Gap4 (2) based upon contig overlaps and 454 read-pair information, and the resulting assembly was corrected using iCORN (3).

All other isolates were sequenced as 50- to 76-bp paired-end runs on the Illumina platform. Two published reference genomes were also included: *S.* Gallinarum 287/91 and *S.* Entertitidis P125109 (4). All accession numbers are listed in Table S1.

Pan-Chromosome and pVIR Pseudomolecule Preparation. A BLASTbased iterative procedure was used to obtain a nonredundant pseudomolecule, which we used as a reference for mapping (5). The entire *S.* Enteritidis P125109 chromosomal sequence was concatenated to the unique regions of *S.* Gallinarum 287-91, *S.* Gallinarum var. Pullorum S44987, *S.* Enteritidis SARB18 and 01-00493-2, and *S.* Dublin SC50, using draft assemblies where appropriate. In addition, the *S.* Gallinarum 287/91 pVIR sequence [European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL): HG970001] and the unique regions of the pVIR counterparts of P125109 (EMBL: HG970000), S44987 (EMBL: LK931482), SC50 (EMBL: LK931502), and *S.* Typhimurium LT2 (EMBL: AE006471) were also added, creating a final pan-chromosome and pVIR pseudomolecule.

Sequence Mapping and Phylogenetic Analysis. All raw sequence data were mapped to the pan-chromosome and pVIR pseudomolecule using SMALT (www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/software/smalt). The maximum-likelihood whole-genome phylogeny was generated using 28,089 variant sites, corresponding to the chromosomebased alignment of *S*. Entertitidis P125109, after removing high-density SNP regions (6).

Per isolate, Velvet assemblies (1) were checked to ascertain the presence of a pVIR. For the purposes of creating a pVIRbased phylogeny, a subset alignment corresponding to the pVIR of *S*. Gallinarum 287/91 was extracted for all pVIR-containing isolates. This alignment was used as input to produce a maximumlikelihood phylogenetic tree using all sites (RAxML). Finally, SNPs were reconstructed with parsimony (7).

Population Genetic Analysis. The genetic structure of the population was estimated with the software BAPS, version 6.0 (8, 9), in particular its module hierBAPS (10). BAPS is widely used for the analysis of bacterial genome data for establishing lineages, and in particular the nested clustering identifies significant differences in polymorphism sharing across strains in different groups. When a lineage lacks significant substructure according to the Bayesian statistical model, it will not be split further in the higher levels of the analysis. hierBAPS fits a nested clustering to the genome data using a Bayesian statistical model and stochastic optimization algorithm to estimate the optimal clusters. Two nested levels of molecular variation were fitted to the data using 10 independent runs of the stochastic optimization algorithm with the a priori upper bound of the number of clusters

varying over the interval 20–40 across the runs. The estimated mode of the posterior distribution had 4 and 12 clusters at the levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy, respectively. All clusters in the mode were significantly supported when compared against alternative partitions (posterior probability of any cluster at least 100-fold higher than for the alternative).

Analysis of Recombination. The BratNextGen method (11) was used to detect recombination events using the pan-chromosome and pVIR sequence alignment obtained above. The estimation of recombination was performed with the hyperparameter $\alpha = 1$ using 20 iterations of the estimation algorithm, which was assessed to be sufficient because changes in the hidden Markov model parameters were already negligible over the last 60% of the iterations. Levels of significance for estimated recombinations were determined as in ref. 11 using a permutation test with 100 permutations executed in parallel on a cluster computer with the threshold of 5% to conclude significance for each region.

Basic Phenotyping Methods. Four isolates were streaked out onto Brilliant Green Agar (Oxoid) and incubated overnight at 37 °C and for a further 7 d at 25 °C. Colony morphology was examined after these 7 d. Each isolate was inoculated from a single colony into a soft agar plate of LB with 0.3% Noble agar (Oxoid). The diameter of the swim zone was measured after a 5-h incubation at 37 °C. See Fig. S3.

Chick Infection Models. Four isolates were used in the animal models: *S.* Enteritidis P125109, SARB18, and 01-00493-2, and *S.* Gallinarum 287/91.

Unvaccinated commercial female egg-layer Lohmann Brown chicks were obtained from a commercial hatchery and housed in four groups in secure floor pens at a temperature of 25 °C. Chicks were given ad libitum access to water and to a laboratory grade vegetable protein-based pellet diet (SDS). Five chicks per strain were inoculated by gavage at 10 d of age and received a dose of 10^8 *Salmonella* in a volume of 0.2 mL. Subsequently, four to five birds from each group were killed 5 or 7 d postinfection (p.i.). Birds inoculated with *S*. Gallinarum 287/91 were killed at 5 d p.i. as they became ill and had reached the humane endpoint for this experimental protocol.

At postmortem, the liver, spleen, and cecal contents were removed aseptically from each bird and diluted 1:10 (wt/vol) in sterile PBS. Tissue and cecal content samples were then homogenized in a Colworth 80 BioMaster microstomacher (A. J. Seward & Co. Ltd.). Samples were serially diluted and dispensed onto Brilliant Green agar (Oxoid) to quantify *Salmonella* numbers as described previously (12). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS, version 20 (IBM). Comparison of bacterial load between infected groups was tested using Kruskal– Wallis, an equivalent nonparametric test to ANOVA, because the data were not distributed normally.

Pathology scoring on hematoxylin-and-eosin-stained sections at days 3 and 7 (5 for *S*. Gallinarum-infected birds) following the methods described previously (13). Statistical comparison between groups was performed using the Mann-Whitney U test.

Pseudogene Curation. The presence of pseudogenes was assessed in two stages. First, a four-way genome comparison was generated by Blast and visualized with the Artemis Comparison Tool (ACT) (14), using a reference sequence for each of the following: *S.* Gallinarum 287/91, *S.* Pullorum S44987, *S.* Enteritidis P125109, and S. Dublin SC50. A pseudogene predicted in any reference was manually checked in all references, generating lists of pseudogenes present in each reference sequence that specified whether pseudogene-causing mutations were identical or different between references (Dataset S2). Mutations shared between references allowed placement on shared branches of the chromosomal phylogeny. Mutations that only appeared in the reference sequence were assessed in the second stage to establish they were present in all sequenced isolates of that serovar. Per reference, pseudogenes were BLASTed against each isolate, which confirmed whether or not the gene was present. If present, sequences across the hit region were extracted and aligned per pseudogene. The alignments were visualized in SeaView (15), then translated and compared against the reference in Artemis (16), looking for evidence of the original pseudogene-causing mutation. If that mutation was not present in all of the strains, the pseudogene was classed as "variable" and not counted toward the final tally of pseudogenes per serovar.

Metabolic Analysis. Functional classification of pseudogenes was performed based upon the functional classes assigned in the genome annotations of *S*. Enteritidis P125109 and *S*. Gallinarum 287/91 (4). Where a functional category of "pseudogene" was indicated in both these genomes, manual assessment of the potential gene product and/or comparisons were made with other serovars to establish which category was suitable for assignment.

To determine which metabolic functions may be affected by pseudogene formation, a metabolic database, SenCyc, was generated in Pathway Tools version 15.0 (SRI International) using the genome sequence and annotation of *S*. Entertitidis P125109. The database was manually curated according to the steps in the user manual, and the Pathway Hole Filler algorithm results

- 1. Zerbino DR, Birney E (2008) Velvet: Algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res* 18(5):821–829.
- Bonfield JK, Smith Kf, Staden R (1995) A new DNA sequence assembly program. Nucleic Acids Res 23(24):4992–4999.
- Otto TD, Sanders M, Berriman M, Newbold C (2010) Iterative Correction of Reference Nucleotides (iCORN) using second generation sequencing technology. *Bioinformatics* 26(14):1704–1707.
- Thomson NR, et al. (2008) Comparative genome analysis of Salmonella Enteritidis PT4 and Salmonella Gallinarum 287/91 provides insights into evolutionary and host adaptation pathways. Genome Res 18(10):1624–1637.
- Bronowski C, et al. (2013) Genomic characterisation of invasive non-typhoidal Salmonella enterica subspecies enterica serovar Bovismorbificans isolates from Malawi. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 7(11):e2557.
- Croucher NJ, et al. (2011) Rapid pneumococcal evolution in response to clinical interventions. Science 331(6016):430–434.
- 7. Harris SR, et al. (2010) Evolution of MRSA during hospital transmission and intercontinental spread. *Science* 327(5964):469–474.
- Corander J, Marttinen P, Sirén J, Tang J (2008) Enhanced Bayesian modelling in BAPS software for learning genetic structures of populations. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9(1):539.

manually checked and verified against other *Salmonella* serovars, EcoCyc (www.ecocyc.org), and the literature. This resulted in a database containing 195 predicted metabolic pathways and 137 predicted transport reactions. Pseudogene sets were loaded into the software and highlighted on the cellular overview to establish which pathways/transport reactions were affected.

Metabolic Phenotyping. Strains were prepared from agar plates as per the manufacturer's instructions (Biolog). The carbon source panels PM1 and PM2A were used with dye A, and additives were provided at a final concentration of 0.5 μ M to the following strains: nicotinic acid and thiamine for 287/91, nicotinic acid only for SC50; none were required for P125109, SARB18, and 01-004-93-2. Duplicate panels for each strain were incubated for 48 h in the Omnilog (Biolog). Each serovar was tested at the appropriate host temperature: 42 °C for 287/91, 37 °C for SC50, and both temperatures for P125109, SARB18, and 01-004-93-2.

Data were exported from the Biolog File Manager, and further analysis was conducted in R. The 48-h time series for each well was used to compute a signal value, described in ref. 17. Logtransformed signal values displayed a bimodal distribution, with modes corresponding to background dye reduction and active respiration, respectively. We fitted normal distributions to the densities surrounding each mode using the MASS package, and calculated a log₂-odds ratio for each replicate of each well. Wells were classified as actively respiring if both replicates had a log₂-odds ratio of at least 2 (four times more likely to occur under the fitted "active respiration" distribution), ambiguous if both replicates had a log-odds ratio of at least -2, and not respiring otherwise.

- Tang J, Hanage WP, Fraser C, Corander J (2009) Identifying currents in the gene pool for bacterial populations using an integrative approach. *PLoS Comput Biol* 5(8):e1000455.
- Cheng L, Connor TR, Sirén J, Aanensen DM, Corander J (2013) Hierarchical and spatially explicit clustering of DNA sequences with BAPS software. Mol Biol Evol 30(5):1224–1228.
- 11. Marttinen P, et al. (2012) Detection of recombination events in bacterial genomes from large population samples. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40(1):e6.
- Salisbury AM, Bronowski C, Wigley P (2011) Salmonella Virchow isolates from human and avian origins in England—molecular characterization and infection of epithelial cells and poultry. J Appl Microbiol 111(6):1505–1514.
- Parsons BN, et al. (2013) Invasive non-typhoidal Salmonella typhimurium ST313 are not host-restricted and have an invasive phenotype in experimentally infected chickens. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 7(10):e2487.
- 14. Carver TJ, et al. (2005) ACT: The Artemis comparison tool. Bioinformatics 21(16):3422-3423.
- 15. Galtier N, Gouy M, Gautier C (1996) SEAVIEW and PHYLO_WIN: Two graphic tools for
- sequence alignment and molecular phylogeny. *Comput Appl Biosci* 12(6):543–548. 16. Rutherford K, et al. (2000) Artemis: Sequence visualization and annotation. *Bio*-
- informatics 16(10):944–945.
 17. Homann OR, Cai H, Becker JM, Lindquist SL (2005) Harnessing natural diversity to probe metabolic pathways. *PLoS Genet* 1(6):e80.



Fig. S1. Plasmid-based phylogenetic relationships. Unrooted maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree based upon the virulence plasmid (pVIR). p denotes plasmid of the named isolate or group. Branches labeled by serotype or clade indicate that all pVIRs from that group are found on that branch. pSLT is the virulence plasmid derived from S. Typhimurium LT2. Four strains (one S. Gallinarum and three S. Enteritidis) lacked evidence of a pVIR.



Fig. 52. Evolution of host adaptation and generalism. Schematic depicting the hypothetical common ancestor of the lineage, and the features that are found in host-adapted and host-generalist clades.

<



Fig. S3. Basic phenotyping tests. (A) Comparative 140-mm swim plate showing the intermediate phenotype of two nonclassic S. Enteritidis isolates. (B) Singlecolony morphology after growth on Brilliant Green Agar. GAL, S. Gallinarum 287/91; P125109, classic S. Enteritidis; 01004932 and SARB18, ancestral and second clade S. Enteritidis isolates.

DNAS Nd

Table S1. List of isolates used in this study

PNAS PNAS

		Year of			Phage type	Multilocus	eBURST		
Strain	Serovar	isolation	Country	Source	(where known)	sequence type	group	Accession no(s).	
P125109	Enteritidis		United Kingdom	Human (gastroenteritis)	4	11	4	AM933172	
SARB18	Enteritidis	1988	United States	-	4	4 11		ERS400262	
31/88	Enteritidis	1988	Uruguay	Human (gastroenteritis)		11	4	ERS022680	
8/89	Enteritidis	1989	Uruguay	Human (invasive)		11	4	ERS022681	
53/94	Enteritidis	1994	Uruguay	Food		11	4	ERS022682	
9508_195	Enteritidis	1994	United States	Egg	23	11	4	ERS217239	
SE A	Enteritidis	1994	United States	Egg	13a	11	4	ERS217235	
EX4_PT21b	Enteritidis	1996	United Kingdom	Human (gastroenteritis)	21b	11	4	ERS217238	
D24359	Enteritidis	1998	Malawi	Human (invasive)		Novel		ERS024552	
99-02302	Enteritidis	1999	Germany	Hedgehog	11	183	4	ERS400254	
206/99*	Enteritidis	1999	Uruguay	Food		11	4	ERS022683	
00-03508	Enteritidis	2000	Germany	Hedgehog	11	183	4	ERS400256	
LH_M296	Enteritidis	2001	United States			11	4	ERS400259	
251/01	Enteritidis	2001	Uruguay	Egg		11	4	ERS022684	
01-00493-2*	Enteritidis	2001	Germany	Reptile		168	4	ERS400244	
8/02	Enteritidis	2002	Uruguay	Human (gastroenteritis)		11	4	ERS022685	
214/02*	Enteritidis	2002	Uruguay	Human (invasive)		11	4	ERS022686	
10/05	Enteritidis	2005	Uruguay	Human (gastroenteritis)		11	4	ERS022687	
RD50	Enteritidis	2005	United Kingdom	Egg farm	6	11	4	ERS217225	
RD36	Enteritidis	2005	United Kingdom	Egg farm	7	11	4	ERS217218	
RD3	Enteritidis	2005	United Kingdom	Egg farm	24	11	4	ERS217214	
RD26	Enteritidis	2005	United Kingdom	Egg farm	35	11	4	ERS217216	
RD46	Enteritidis	2005	United Kingdom	Egg farm	36	11	4	ERS217222	
RD84	Enteritidis	2005	United Kingdom	Egg farm	45	11	4	ERS217233	
S1750_06	Enteritidis	2006	United Kingdom	Human (gastroenteritis)	1	11	4	ERS217242	
S4726_04	Enteritidis	2006	United Kingdom	Human (gastroenteritis)	14b	11	4	ERS217241	
P17	Enteritidis	2007		Human (gastroenteritis)	2	11	4	ERS217211	
Р5	Enteritidis	2007		Human (gastroenteritis)	3	11	4	ERS217199	
P2	Enteritidis	2007		Human (gastroenteritis)	5	11	4	ERS217197	
P7	Enteritidis	2007		Human (gastroenteritis)	58	11	4	ERS217201	
P10	Enteritidis	2007	Spain	Human (gastroenteritis)	14b	11	4	ERS217204	
105_2011K	Enteritidis	2011	United States	Human (gastroenteritis)		366	4	ERS217252	
118_2012K	Enteritidis	2012	United States	Human (gastroenteritis)		366	4	ERS217264	

Table S1. Cont.

PNAS PNAS

S44987 Pullorum 1987 United Kingdom 92 4 LK931482 (E ERS43067 (III) SARB52 Pullorum Germany 92 4 ERS43067 (III) SARB52 Pullorum 1995 China 92 4 ERS40067 (III) SARS5087 Pullorum 1995 China 92 4 ERS00491 RKS2242 Pullorum 1994 United 92 4 ERS00491 States 9 Gallinarum 1994 United 92 4 ERS00491 287/91 Gallinarum 1994 United 92 4 ERS00315 28 Gallinarum 1994 United 978 4 ERS00316 9 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00316 1978 4 ERS00316 97/80 Gallinarum 1972 United Human 78 4 ERS00316 97/80 Gallinarum 2001 Germany 10 53 ERS	Strain	Serovar	Year of isolation	Country	Source	Phage type (where known)	Multilocus sequence type	eBURST group	Accession no(s).
SARB52 Pullorum Germany 92 4 ERS0030 1002 Pullorum 1995 China 92 4 ERS00491 RKS5087 Pullorum 1994 United 92 4 ERS00491 RKS2242 Pullorum 1994 United 92 4 ERS00491 287/91 Gallinarum 1994 United 92 4 ERS00491 287/91 Gallinarum 1994 United 92 4 ERS00491 287/91 Gallinarum 1994 Brazil Fowl typhoid 331 4 AM93317 8338 Gallinarum 1991 Brazil Fowl typhoid 331 4 ERS00315 1026 Gallinarum 178 4 ERS00316 5 ERS00316 5 <td< td=""><td>S44987</td><td>Pullorum</td><td>1987</td><td>United Kingdom</td><td></td><td></td><td>92</td><td>4</td><td>LK931482 (EMBL) ERS430067 (Illumina) ERS429283 (454)</td></td<>	S44987	Pullorum	1987	United Kingdom			92	4	LK931482 (EMBL) ERS430067 (Illumina) ERS429283 (454)
1002Pullorum1995China United States924ERS00491RK55087Pullorum1994United States924ERS00491RK52242Pullorum1994United States924ERS00491287/91Gallinarum1991BrazilFowl typhoid3314AM933178338Gallinarum784ERS00315784ERS003159Gallinarum784ERS00315784ERS003159Gallinarum784ERS00315784ERS0031572/8Gallinarum784ERS00316784ERS003165ARB21*Gallinarum1972United StatesHuman784ERS00267LH_M139Gallinarum2001GermanyHuman1053ERS00267SC50 (S2229)Dublin2001GermanyHuman1053ERS00267SDU2Dublin2004UruguayHuman1053ERS02267(invasive)1053ERS02267(invasive)1053ERS02267SDU4Dublin2006UruguayHuman1053ERS02267(gastroenteritis)2004UruguayHuman1053ERS02267SDU5Dublin2005UruguayHuman1053ERS02267(gastroenteritis)10053ERS02267(gastroenteritis) <td>SARB52</td> <td>Pullorum</td> <td></td> <td>Germany</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>92</td> <td>4</td> <td>FRS400251</td>	SARB52	Pullorum		Germany			92	4	FRS400251
RKS5087 Pullorum 1994 United States 92 4 ERS00491 RKS2242 Pullorum 1994 United States 92 4 ERS00491 287/91 Gallinarum 1994 United States 92 4 ERS00491 287/91 Gallinarum 1991 Brazil Fowl typhoid 331 4 AM93317 8338 Gallinarum 1991 Brazil Fowl typhoid 331 4 AM93317 9 Gallinarum 1991 Brazil Fowl typhoid 331 4 ERS00315 7/2/8 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00315 78 4 ERS00316 5AR821* Gallinarum 1972 United Human 78 4 ERS0025 SC50 (S2229) Dublin 1972 United Human 10 53 ERS00756 (III) LH_M138 Dublin 2001 Germany Human 10 53 ERS00267 SDU2 Dublin 2006 Uruguay Human 10 53 ERS02267<	1002	Pullorum	1995	China			92	4	ERS004912
RKS2242Pullorum1994States United StatesPowl typhoid3314RKS0491287/91Gallinarum1991BrazilFowl typhoid3314AM933178338Gallinarum784ERS003169Gallinarum784ERS003169Gallinarum784ERS0031672/8Gallinarum784ERS0031652/80Gallinarum784ERS0031655/80Gallinarum1972UnitedHuman784ERS0031655/80Gallinarum1972UnitedHuman784ERS0031656/80Gallinarum1972UnitedHuman784ERS0031657/80Gallinarum1972UnitedHuman784ERS0031657/80Gallinarum1972UnitedHuman784ERS0031657/80Gallinarum2001Germany1053ERS0226750/1Dublin2004GermanyHuman1053ERS0226750/2Dublin2006UruguayHuman1053ERS0226750/4Dublin2008UruguayHuman1053ERS0226750/5Dublin2006UruguayHuman1053ERS0226750/16Dublin2005UruguayHuman1053ERS0226750/16Dublin2005U	RKS5087	Pullorum	1994	United			92	4	ERS004914
RKS2242Pullorum1994United States924ERS00491287/91Gallinarum1991BrazilFowl typhoid3314AM933178338Gallinarum1991BrazilFowl typhoid3314AM933178338Gallinarum784ERS00315784ERS003151026Gallinarum784ERS00316784ERS0031672/8Gallinarum1972UnitedHuman784ERS003165/800Gallinarum1972UnitedHuman784ERS003165/80Gallinarum2001Germany1053ERS00267LH_M139Gallinarum2001Germany1053ERS00267SC50 (\$2229)Dublin2001GermanyHuman1053ERS00267SDU1Dublin2001GermanyHuman1053ERS02267SDU2Dublin2006UruguayHuman1053ERS02267SDU3Dublin2008UruguayHuman1053ERS02267SDU4Dublin2008UruguayHuman1053ERS02267SDU5Dublin2005UruguayHuman1053ERS02267SDU5Dublin2005UruguayHuman1053ERS02267SDU5Dublin2005UruguayHuman1053ERS02267 <tr< td=""><td></td><td>i anorani</td><td></td><td>States</td><td></td><td></td><td>52</td><td>·</td><td>2</td></tr<>		i anorani		States			52	·	2
States 287/91 Gallinarum 1991 Brazil Fowl typhoid 331 4 AM93377 8338 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00316 9 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00316 1026 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00316 5780 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00316 5780 Gallinarum 1972 United Human 78 4 ERS00316 5780 Gallinarum 1972 United Human 78 4 ERS00316 5780 Gallinarum 2001 Germany 10 53 EK590267 LH_M139 Gallinarum 2001 Germany 10 53 ERS02267 SDU2 Dublin 2001 Germany 10 53 ERS02267 SDU2 Dublin 2004 Uruguay Human 10 53 ERS02267 SDU3 Dublin 2008 Uruguay Human <td>RKS2242</td> <td>Pullorum</td> <td>1994</td> <td>United</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>92</td> <td>4</td> <td>ERS004910</td>	RKS2242	Pullorum	1994	United			92	4	ERS004910
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				States					
8338 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00315 9 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00315 1026 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00315 72/8 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00315 95/80 Gallinarum 1972 United Human 78 4 ERS00316 5AR821* Gallinarum 1972 United Human 78 4 ERS0025 5C50 (S2229) Dublin 2001 Germany 10 53 EK90025 SC50 (S2229) Dublin 2001 Germany 10 53 ERS00255 SDU1 Dublin 2001 Germany 10 53 ERS0267 SDU2 Dublin 2004 Uruguay Human 10 53 ERS02267 (invasive) (invasive) 10 53 ERS02267 (invasive) 10 53 ERS02267 SDU2 Dublin 2006 Uruguay Human 10 53 ERS02267 (invasive) C (invasive	287/91	Gallinarum	1991	Brazil	Fowl typhoid		331	4	AM933173
9 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00315 1026 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00316 95/80 Gallinarum 78 4 ERS00316 95/80 Gallinarum 1972 United Human 78 4 ERS00316 SARB21* Gallinarum 1972 United Human 78 4 ERS00316 LH_M139 Gallinarum 2001 Germany 470 4 ERS00316 SC50 (S2229) Dublin 2001 Germany 10 53 LK931502 (EERS00756 (III)) SD14 Dublin 2001 Germany 10 53 ERS02267 SDU2 Dublin 2004 Uruguay Human 10 53 ERS02267 SDU3 Dublin 2006 Uruguay Human 10 53 ERS02267 SDU4 Dublin 2006 Uruguay Human 10 53 ERS02267 (invasive) (invasive) (invasive) 10 53 ERS02267 SDU4 Dublin <td>8338</td> <td>Gallinarum</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>78</td> <td>4</td> <td>ERS003157</td>	8338	Gallinarum					78	4	ERS003157
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	Gallinarum					78	4	ERS003158
728 9580 GallinarumGallinarum78 Gallinarum4ERS00316 FRS0026SARB21* LH_M139Gallinarum1972 CallinarumUnited StatesHuman States784ERS0026 ERS0026C50 	1026	Gallinarum					78	4	ERS003159
95/80Gallinarum1972United StatesHuman784ERS0016SARB21*Gallinarum1972United StatesHuman784ERS0026LH_M139Gallinarum2001Germany4704ERS40025SC50 (52229)DublinSc50 (52229)Dublin1053LK931502 (E ERS40025LH_M158Dublin2001Germany1053ERS40025SDU1Dublin1995UruguayHuman1053ERS02267SDU2Dublin2004UruguayHuman1053ERS02267SDU3Dublin2006UruguayHuman1053ERS02267SDU4Dublin2008UruguayHuman1053ERS02267SDU5Dublin2000UruguayHuman1053ERS02267SDU6Dublin2005UruguayHuman1053ERS02267(gastroenteritis)1053ERS022671010101010SDU6Dublin2005UruguayHuman1053ERS02267(gastroenteritis)1053ERS022671010101010SDU6Dublin2005UruguayHuman1053ERS02267(gastroenteritis)1053ERS022671010101010SDU6Dublin2005UruguayHuman<	72/8	Gallinarum					78	4	ERS003160
SARB21*Gallinarum1972United StatesHuman784ERS40026LH_M139Gallinarum2001Germany4704704ERS40026SC50 (S2229)DublinSSC50 (S2229)Dublin53LK931502 (E ERS007756 (III ERS429284 (Invasive)1053ERS40026SDU1Dublin2001Germany1053ERS402267SDU2Dublin2004UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267SDU3Dublin2006UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267SDU4Dublin2008UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267SDU5Dublin2000UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267SDU5Dublin2005UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)53ERS02267SDU7Dublin2008UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)53ERS02267SDU7Dublin2008UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)53ERS02267SDU7Dublin2008UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)53ERS02267SDU4Dublin2008UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)53ERS02267SDU7Dublin2008UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)53ERS02267SDU7Dublin2008UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)53ERS02267SDU7	95/80	Gallinarum					78	4	ERS003161
LH_M139Gallinarum2001Germany4704ERS40025SC50 (S2229)DublinDublin2001Germany1053LK931502 (E (ERS007756 (III)) (ERS40025)LH_M158 SDU1Dublin2001Germany UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS40025SDU2Dublin2004UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267SDU3Dublin2006UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267SDU4Dublin2008UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267SDU5Dublin2000UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)1053ERS02267SDU7Dublin2008UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)1053ERS02267SDU7Dublin2008UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)1053ERS02267SDU7Dublin2008UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)1053ERS02267SDU7Dublin2008UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)1053ERS02267	SARB21*	Gallinarum	1972	United States	Human		78	4	ERS400264
SC50 (S2229)DublinDublinSC50 (S2229)DublinDublinSC50 (S2229)DublinSC50 (S2229)SC50 (S2229)SC50 (S2229)SC50 (S2229)SC50 (S229)SC50 (S2	LH_M139	Gallinarum	2001	Germany			470	4	ERS400258
LH_M158 SDU1Dublin2001 DublinGermany 1995Human 	SC50 (S2229)	Dublin					10	53	LK931502 (EMBL) ERS007756 (Illumina) ERS429284 (454)
SDU1Dublin1995UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267 (invasive)SDU2Dublin2004UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267 (invasive)SDU3Dublin2006UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267 (invasive)SDU4Dublin2008UruguayHuman 	LH M158	Dublin	2001	Germanv			10	53	ERS400257
SDU2Dublin2004UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267 (invasive)SDU3Dublin2006UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267 (invasive)SDU4Dublin2008UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267 (invasive)SDU5Dublin2000UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267 (invasive)SDU5Dublin2000UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267 (gastroenteritis)SDU6Dublin2005UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)1053ERS02267 (gastroenteritis)SDU7Dublin2008UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)1053ERS02267 (gastroenteritis)	SDU1	Dublin	1995	Uruguay	Human (invasive)		10	53	ERS022673
SDU3Dublin2006UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267SDU4Dublin2008UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267 (invasive)SDU5Dublin2000UruguayHuman 	SDU2	Dublin	2004	Uruguay	Human (invasive)		10	53	ERS022674
SDU4Dublin2008UruguayHuman (invasive)1053ERS02267 (invasive)SDU5Dublin2000UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)1053ERS02267 	SDU3	Dublin	2006	Uruguay	Human (invasive)		10	53	ERS022675
SDU5Dublin2000UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)1053ERS02267SDU6Dublin2005UruguayHuman (gastroenteritis)1053ERS02267 (gastroenteritis)SDU7Dublin2008UruguayHuman 	SDU4	Dublin	2008	Uruguay	Human (invasive)		10	53	ERS022676
SDU6Dublin2005UruguayHuman1053ERS02267(gastroenteritis)(gastroenteritis)1053ERS02267SDU7Dublin2008UruguayHuman1053ERS02267(gastroenteritis)(gastroenteritis)1053ERS02267	SDU5	Dublin	2000	Uruguay	Human (gastroenteritis)		10	53	ERS022677
SDU7 Dublin 2008 Uruguay Human 10 53 ERS02267 (gastroenteritis)	SDU6	Dublin	2005	Uruguay	Human (gastroenteritis)		10	53	ERS022678
(guillochents)	SDU7	Dublin	2008	Uruguay	Human (gastroenteritis)		10	53	ERS022679
2272-02 Dublin 2002 Cattle 10 53 ERS00490	2272-02	Dublin	2002		Cattle		10	53	ERS004907
230A-02 Dublin 2002 Cattle 10 53 ERS00490	230A-02	Dublin	2002		Cattle		10	53	ERS004906
2221-02 Dublin 2002 Cattle 10 53 ERS00490	2221-02	Dublin	2002		Cattle		10	53	ERS004909
2356-02 Dublin 2002 Sheep 10 53 ERS00491	2356-02	Dublin	2002		Sheep		10	53	ERS004911

Includes accession numbers.

*These isolates do not harbor a virulence plasmid.

Table S2. Pathology scores in chickens following experimental infection

	3 d p.i.						7 d p.i.*					
	Spleen		Liver		lleum		Spleen		Liver		lleum	
Isolate	Mean	Median (range)	Mean	Median (range)	Mean	Median (range)	Mean	Median (range)	Mean	Median (range)	Mean	Median (range)
S. Gallinarum 287/91	2.8	3.0 (2–4)	3.0	3.0 (2–4)	1.0	1 (1–1)	4.5	4.5 (4–5)	3.7	3.5 (3–4)	1.4	1.0 (0–2)
S. Enteritidis P125109	1.4	1.0 (1–2)	2.0	2.0 (1–3)	3.0	3.0 (2–4)	2.0	2.0 (1–3)	2.0	2.0 (1–3)	3.6	3.5 (3–4)
S. enteritidis 01-00493-2	1.4	1.0 (1–2)	2.0	2.0 (1–3)	2.2	2.0 (2–3)	2.0	2.0 (2–2)	2.0	2.0 (1–3)	2.8	3.0 (3–4)
S. Enteritidis SARB18	1.6	1.5 (1–2)	1.8	2.0 (1–3)	3.0	3.0 (2–4)	2.2	2.0 (1–3)	2.0	2.0 (1–3)	1.8	2.0 (1–3)

Statistical comparison between groups using the Mann–Whitney *U* test shows increased systemic pathology in the *S*. Gallinarum-infected group at 5 d p.i. over that of the *S*. Enteritidis-infected birds at 7 d p.i. (P < 0.015 for spleen; P < 0.03 for liver). Little difference was seen in systemic pathology between *S*. Enteritidis strains. At 3 d p.i., all three *S*. Enteritidis isolates showed significantly greater inflammation and damage in the ileum than *S*. Gallinarum (P < 0.013) and little difference between each other. However, at 7 d p.i. damage and inflammation was lower than *S*. Enteritidis P125109, but not at statistical significance, in 01-00493-2 and significantly lower in SARB18 (P = 0.014). The reduced intestinal pathology is likely to be a reflection of reduced intestinal load of *Salmonella* in these isolates. Intestinal pathology remained lower in the *S*. Gallinarum-infected group, although was not significantly lower than that seen with SARB18 (P = 0.40).

*S. Gallinarum data at 3 and 5 d p.i. as birds had reached humane endpoint at 5 d p.i.

Dataset S1. BAPS clusters and recombination events

Dataset S1

SAND SAL

Dataset S2. Pseudogenes across the lineage, listed with gene IDs and pseudogene designations

Dataset S2

Dataset S3. List of metabolic pathways and transport reactions affected by pseudogenes

Dataset S3

Includes an interpretation of pseudogene impact using Biolog phenotyping results.

Dataset S4. Biolog phenotyping results for S. Dublin, S. Gallinarum, and three S. Enteritidis isolates

Dataset S4