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**Abstract:**

A major challenge in evaluating the contribution of rare variants to complex disease is identifying enough copies of the rare alleles to permit informative statistical analysis. To investigate the contribution of rare variants to the risk of *type* 2 diabetes (T2D) and related traits, we performed deep whole-genome analysis of 1,034 members of 20 large Mexican-American families with high prevalence of T2D. If rare variants of large effect account for much of diabetes risk in these families, our experiment was powered to detect association. Using gene expression data on 21,677 transcripts for 643 pedigree members, we identified evidence for large effect rare variant *cis*-eQTLs that could not be detected in population studies, validating our approach. However, we did not identify any rare variants of large effect associated with T2D, or the related traits of fasting glucose and insulin, suggesting that large effect rare variants account for only a modest fraction of the genetic risk of these traits in this sample of families. Reliable identification of large effect rare variants will require larger samples of extended pedigrees or different study designs that further enrich for such variants.

**Significance Statement:**

Contributions of rare variants to common and complex traits such as type 2 diabetes are difficult to measure. This paper describes our results from deep whole-genome analysis of large Mexican American pedigrees to understand role of rare sequence variations to T2D and related traits through enriched allele counts in pedigrees. Our study design was well-powered to detect association of rare variants if rare variants with large effects collectively account for large portions of risk variability, but our results did not identify such variants in this sample. We further quantified contributions of common and rare variants in gene expression profiles and concluded that rare eQTLs explain a substantive, but minority, portion of expression heritability.

\body

**Introduction**  
Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a common complex disease affecting >340 million individuals worldwide. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified ~88 common loci contributing to T2D (1). The role of rare variants in T2D is largely unknown because large samples are required to have high power for rarest variants, and, until recently, strategies to genotype rare variants in large samples were prohibitively expensive. Rare variants typically have recent origins and may therefore have large deleterious effects that have not yet been removed from the population by natural selection. If many large effect rare variants underlie T2D, they could jointly explain a large fraction of trait heritability and their discovery could accelerate the transition from genetic association signals to biological understanding (2, 3).

Although we can now discover and genotype rare genetic variants in large study cohorts, the majority of these variants will be present in only a few individuals—in population-based genetic studies, >50% of variants are seen in a single individual—making it difficult to establish evidence of association. Increased association power can be achieved by increasing the number of copies of each rare allele – for example, by sequencing very large numbers of unrelated individuals (4), but even these studies had little power to detect association with variants with minor allele frequency (MAF)< 0.1%. Here, we describe an alternate strategy for testing rare variants, with a focus on private, family-specific variants, combining the classical genetic approach of large, well-characterized families with modern whole genome sequencing technology. The rationale for the experiment is to increase allele counts for private variants by tracking Mendelian segregation among related individuals within pedigrees. By chance, some private variants will segregate to multiple related individuals, providing a sufficient number of observed alleles to allow association testing which would be nearly impossible in even large studies of unrelated samples (see **Fig. 1**).

**Results**

To determine the extent to which private and rare variants contribute to T2D and related quantitative phenotypes, we examined 20 large Mexican-American pedigrees drawn from the San Antonio Family Heart Study (5, 6) and the San Antonio Family Diabetes/Gall Bladder Study (7, 8). Pedigrees contained 22 to 86 individuals distributed across 3 to 5 generations, for a total of 1,034 individuals; 305 (~30%) had T2D (**Table 1**). In addition to T2D, we tested diabetes-related quantitative traits reflecting glycemic control (fasting/2-hour glucose and insulin levels) for association in the 715 non-diabetic individuals and lipid traits (total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, and triglycerides) in all samples. The high prevalence of T2D in these families is consistent with the possible segregation of large effect, private risk variants, making them ideally suited for this experimental study design.

Power to detect the effect of a single rare variant on disease risk is a function of pedigree size, pedigree structure and the effect size of the variant. Together, these determine the number of copies that can be observed for each private variant. In our 20 Mexican American pedigrees, the 413 founders have varying numbers of descendants and potential transmitted copies for a private variant (**Fig. 2C**); >40 founders can transmit ≥25 copies of rare variants they carry. Using gene dropping simulation and averaging over all contributing founders, there is probability 16%, 4.5%, and 1.3% of capturing ≥5, ≥10, and ≥15 copies of any variant present only in a single founder ; the average number of copies is 2.5.

In our study, a T2D variant with 80% penetrance and observed ≥25 times within a single pedigree had 50% power of detection at genome-wide significance (*α*=5x10-8) (Supplementary Figure S1A). Although power to detect a single private variant is low, this study had 60% power to detect at least one of such variant if at least 500 variants with MAF=0.1% exist in the population (Supplementary Figure S1B) for T2D and 100% power for quantitative traits (**Fig. 2B**). The existence of large numbers of rare variants with large effect is compatible with current understanding of complex diseases, for which only a minority of heritability is typically explained by common variants (9-11). For example, given the 30% prevalence of type 2 diabetes, if fully penetrant rare variants with MAF ~0.1% explain >20% of diabetes cases, at least 60 such variants must exist in the population; if causal variants have frequency 0.01%, at least 600 must exist in the population.

We had greater power to detect variants influencing quantitative traits, even though for analysis of these traits we excluded individuals with T2D. For example, we had 80% power to detect a rare variant that modifies a quantitative trait by 2.0 standard deviations (SD) provided it was transmitted to 16 individuals. Supposing that variants modifying traits with an effect size of 2.0 SD have MAF ~0.1% and jointly account for 33% of the heritability of a quantitative trait, there must be at least 400 such variants in the population. If most causal variants have lower frequency, then there must be even more of them. In any situation where variants with frequency <0.01% and effect sizes of ≥2.0 SD jointly explain >33% of the heritability of a diabetes-relevant quantitative trait, our pedigrees provided ~80% power to detect genome-wide significant association (*α*=5x10-8)with at least one of these variants. In contrast, sequencing a similar number of unrelated samples would be a hopeless strategy – any variants sampled would be present in only one or two individuals and power would be < 0.001% (**Fig. 2B**).

We strategically sequenced 586 individuals from the 20 pedigrees at >40x coverage using Complete Genomics services. Sequenced individuals were specifically chosen to maximize the capture of genetic variation in each pedigree and, by sequencing of parent-offspring pairs, to facilitate estimation of haplotypes. Sequencing identified 23.4 million variants: 21.6M single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and 1.9M more complex genetic variants including insertions, deletions, and copy number variants (**Fig. 3**)**.** As expected, most variants were rare: 15.1M had MLE MAF<1% by SOLAR-estimated MAF; 7.2M are private, family-specific variants that enter our pedigrees through a single founder and do not appear in the 1000 Genomes Project data (12).

We genotyped 448 additional pedigree members using Illumina HumanHap550v3, Human1M-Duov3, Human1Mv1, and Human660W-Quad\_v1 GWAS arrays. SNVs not present in one platform were imputed and a comprehensive set of 1 million SNV was defined. This data allow us to track haplotypes through each family and identify additional carriers of variants identified in the sequenced samples (13). We evaluated accuracy of the genotypes (sequenced or imputed) by comparing our genotypes to rare variants genotyped using Illumina HumanExome-12 v1 exome array. For variants with MLE MAF<1%, non-reference genotypes called by sequencing and by haplotype imputation were accurate 99.9% and 96.7% of the time, respectively. Many novel, private variants were transmitted to multiple descendants; 514K such variants transmitted to >10 individuals. We observed 1.74M variants inherited from a single founder having enriched allele counts with ≥5 copies in pedigree members; these variants are likely to be singletons in the same number of samples of unrelated individuals.

Analysis of 1000 simulated null phenotypes shows a *p*-value of 7.1x10-8 is required to achieve genome-wide significance in this experiment (versus ~1x10-9 using Bonferroni adjustment) (Supplementary Figure S3). This reflects the large LD blocks observed in the Mexican American pedigrees and the restricted number of segregating founder haplotypes.

We did not observe significant evidence of association between individual rare variants and T2D, glucose, or insulin levels (**Fig. 4**). These results suggest that large effect rare variants (those with near-complete penetrance for T2D or with an effect size >2 SD for quantitative traits) are very unlikely to explain ≥ 20% of T2D risk or ≥ 33% heritability of quantitative traits in this sample; as noted previously, situations where this occur would require large numbers of such variants and, in that case, we expect to detect a few. In the analyses of additional quantitative traits, we re-identified several previously known common variants associated with lipid traits, but did not observe significant signals from individual rare variants (Supplementary section 4.2).

We carried out gene-based analyses that grouped functional rare variants within each gene (see Methods). Using each of four grouping strategies, test statistics fit the null hypothesis, and no gene reached exome-wide significance (*α*=2.5x10-6) for T2D. We observed exome-wide significant association between *CYP3A4* gene and fasting glucose levels (*p*-value: 9.2x10-7) and between *OR2T11* gene and 2-hour insulin levels (*p*-value: 1.9x10-6). We also observed that *LDLR* gene is associated with LDL cholesterol levels (8.3x10-7). We investigated evidences of rare variants with large effect sizes enriched in these gene-based results, but we did not find evidences of such variants. More details about gene-based results are provided in Supplementary section 4.3. We next examined single variant and gene-level association results in regions linked to our traits by our prior linkage results. A linkage peak was considered significant if present a LOD score above 3 and the respective peak limits when LOD score reduce by one unit. We also investigate regions identified by GWAS as harboring trait-associated with common genetic variants, regions harboring genes implicated in monogenic forms of diabetes and single gene disorders that affect fasting blood glucose and insulin levels. Each of these more focused analyses offered us the opportunity to prioritize strong signals that did not reach genome-wide significance. Again, we did not observe association with T2D, fasting insulin, or fasting glucose even with appropriately relaxed stringency.

To allow investigation of rare variant effects over a wider range of traits, we took advantage of array-based lymphocyte gene expression available for 643 individuals in 17 of the 20 pedigrees (14). *cis*-eQTL analysis of 21,677 transcripts identified 4,307 independent variant-expression associations at family-wise error rate (FWER) <5% (*α*=7.0x10-6); 3,144 expression traits had at least one associated variant. The average effect size across all 4,307 *cis*-eQTLs was 0.81 SD units but as expected varied dramatically according to variant MAF: the 785 associated variants with MLE MAF<1% had an average effect size of 2.0 SD units, the 3,522 associated variants with MLE MAF>1% an average effect size of 0.55 SD unit. We observed 92 instances in which both rare and common eQTLs contributed to the same expression trait. Recently Genotype-Tissue Expression consortium reported rare variants with large expression effects in genes with outlier expression levels in multi-tissues samples (15); while we have power to assess overall effects of rare variations over a wider spectrum of expression level changes with the pedigrees.

To formally test whether rare eQTLs have larger average effect sizes than common eQTLs, we compared the full distributions of standardized quantitative trait effect sizes, regardless of whether a variant was significantly associated with expression traits (**Fig. 5**). We reasoned that evaluating the full distribution of rare variant effect sizes would avoid the winner’s curse (16) given the asymptotic unbiasedness of the effect size estimates and would help evaluate whether, overall, there is evidence that rare variant effect sizes are larger in magnitude (and, thus, have higher variance) than those for common variants. The observed variance of effects estimated for rare variants is 5.65 times greater than that observed for common variants, suggesting that there are rare variants with substantially larger effects overall. After correcting for the estimated sampling error, which is greater for rare variants, the ratio of effect size variance of rare and common variants was 4.18. This is remarkably consistent with the ratio of effect sizes observed for statistically significant rare and common eQTLs (2.0 standard deviations compared to 0.55 standard deviations), despite the fact that the winner’s curse results in inflated estimated effect sizes when a statistical threshold is applied. Finally, we randomly sampled from these empirical effect size and overall minor allele frequency spectrum to estimate that as much as 25% of genetic variation in quantitative gene expression in these families may be due to rare variants with MLE MAF<1%. Overall, these results suggest that an average rare eQTL has a substantially greater biological effect than an average common eQTL – although we cannot rule out an unexpected artifact (such as unmodeled population structure) that would increase rare variant effect size variance beyond what we expected based on sampling error.

Many rare eQTLs were undetected in this study because causal variants were not present in the 413 founders or were present in a founder but in too few of descendants. Based on the numbers of detected associations, the allele frequency spectrum, and statistical power, we estimate ~23,000 common eQTLs with effect sizes of ~0.5 SD unit are required to explain our observation of 3,522 detected common eQTLs with an average effect size of 0.55 SD unit. If we assume that rare variants have an average effect size of 1 SD unit (2-fold higher that of common variants), the detection of 765 rare eQTLs suggests that a total of ~220,000 true rare eQTLs exist. With a larger true effect size of 2.0 SD units (4-fold that of common variants), ~20,000 true rare eQTLs would be required to explain our 765 observed rare eQTLs. Overall, with ~20,000 common eQTLs with effect sizes averaging 0.5 SD units and 20,000-200,000 rare eQTLs with effect sizes averaging 1-2 SD units, rare variants would explain 5-20% of eQTL heritability. This estimate is smaller than the 25% observed in the simulation experiment due to the restriction to the distribution of observed significant effects. Taken together, our results suggest the existence of very large numbers of rare eQTLs with larger biological effects than those of common variants, but a minority contribution to overall expression heritability.

**Discussion**

Genetic association studies have identified >88 common T2D-associated loci, most with small biological effect sizes (1, 17, 18). It has been hypothesized that many rare variants of large effect may exist and that taken together such variants could explain a considerable proportion of the variance in T2D risk (19) . This hypothesis has not been well tested before because of the difficulty and cost involved in assessing very rare variants in large samples, while recently Fuchsberger et al. showed that common-variant GWAS signals are not the results of clustered rare variant signals residing on common haplotypes (4). Here, using a combination of deep whole genome sequencing and analysis of large families, we designed an experiment specifically powered to identify variants with effect sizes >2.0 standard deviations and population frequency <0.01%. In models where these variants cumulatively explain ~33% of the variation in risk for a diabetes related trait, our experiment would have identified at least one such variant for each trait examined. We did not identify any rare variants associated with T2D, glycemic or lipid traits, suggesting that large effect, extremely rare variants are unlikely to explain a large portion of the variability in type 2 diabetes risk in this sample of pedigrees.

Our results are sensitive to stochastic effects. Most founder lineages are simply not large enough to identify private functional variants because there is a limit on the number of copies of rare variant alleles that can be transmitted. Thus, we expect an experiment such as ours to miss most such rare variants. However, our experiment will sample many copies (≥ 15) of a proportion of the variants that would be private in similar sized samples of unrelated individuals. If larger numbers of rare, large-effect, T2D-associated variants were to exist, we would be uniquely well placed to detect these. Some evidence for the likely importance of rare variants in quantitative phenotypic variation was observed for available gene expression data. For this larger set of phenotypes relatively close to gene action, rare variants exhibited demonstrably larger biological effects sizes and are estimated to account for as much as 25% of observed transcript level genetic variance in these pedigrees.

Our analyses show that large families can be used to identify many copies of rare variants – which we expect will be especially important for genetic studies outside coding regions, where burden-based tests aggregating the effects of many variants remain challenging because of a lack of annotation strategies. Our results suggest that while rare variants might be plentiful enough to help understand causality and may be biologically important for specific individuals/lineages, they are unlikely to account for much heritability in diabetes and related traits in this sample. Our analyses further suggest that the identification of robust associations between variants private to single large families and diabetes related traits will require larger numbers of extended pedigrees and/or different study designs that further increase the probability of functional rare variant segregation. Alternative strategies that maximize the number of observed rare variant alleles include focusing on population “isolates” as recently illustrated by the identification of a variant with an increased allele frequency only in this specific population predisposing to type 2 diabetes in Greenland (20). Such isolates represent extended kindreds with large lineages.

METHODS SUMMARY

We selected 1,034 individuals from 20 pedigrees that are part of San Antonio Family Heart Study (SAFHS) (2, 5) and San Antonio Family Diabetes/Gallbladder Study (SAFDGS) projects (7, 8). We then selected 600 samples to be sequenced to gain maximal genetic information about the remaining samples in the pedigrees using the ExomePick software (see URLs) (21). Whole genome sequencing for 600 samples were done by Complete Genomics (CGI). After stringent sample-level quality control, we analyzed 586 individuals with sequence data. Variant calls generated by CGI pipeline were filtered based on multi-sample statistics using SVM filtering of the GotCloud pipeline (22). Merlin (13) was used to obtain sequence-scale genotype information for remaining GWAS samples using sequenced family members. Variants were grouped into several functional categories using five prediction algorithms (LRT, Mutation Tester, PolyPhen2-HumDiv, PolyPhen2-HumVar, SIFT) assisted by extensive external information (23-26). We used EMMAX (27) to generate empirical kinship coefficients between samples to account for known and hidden family structures. Details on study design and data generation is described in supplementary material (section 1).

We analyzed T2D related metabolic traits: fasting glucose, fasting insulin, 2-hour glucose, 2-hour insulin, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and triglyceride levels. Trait values were measured at up to five exams. Regressions were performed at each exam adjusting for covariates as appropriate, producing exam-specific residuals. The exam-specific residuals were then averaged over multiple measurements and an inverse-normal transformation was applied to averaged residuals. Covariates were chosen to align with strategies taken by consortia participating in meta-analysis of GWAS of the given traits, as well as the T2D-GENES and GoT2D consortia’s trait transformation strategy (4) and included age, age2, sex, and BMI. T2D samples were excluded from glycemic trait analyses and cholesterol levels were pre-adjusted by a fixed amount per lipid medication status.

Two different variance component models, SOLAR (28) and FamRVtest (29), were used for association analyses with the empirical kinship coefficients. More details on each of analysis steps are described in the supplementary methods. All software tools used in this project are publicly available.

To estimate overall contributions of common and rare variants to overall expression levels, we used number of common and rare eQTLs from our association results together with externally supplied allele frequency spectrum. Since sample allele frequencies in these pedigrees have a lower bound of 1 / the number of founder chromosomes (1/816 = 0.12%), we simulated each possible founder allele count and used the allele frequency spectrum from 2,000 unrelated Mexican American samples to obtain more accurate power estimates.

We restricted gene-based rare variant tests to variants with MLE MAF < 1% by maximum likelihood MAF estimation, and applied four different variant masks based on functional annotations: (1) protein truncating variants (PTVs) only, (2) PTVs + missense variants, (3) PTVs + variants predicted deleterious by five different functional prediction algorithms, and (4) PTVs + variants predicted deleterious by at least one functional prediction algorithm.

All data used in this paper are publicly available through dbGaP (accession: phs000462.v2.p1).
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**Figure Legends**

**Fig. 1.** Large pedigrees are a valuable tool to investigate the role of rare variants in complex disease.

**Fig. 2.** Enrichment of allele counts within pedigrees and the effect on the analysis power. A) Power to detect private risk variants conditional on the number of observed allele counts. Effect sizes are expressed in standard deviation (SD) units for normalize traits. B) Power to detect at least one of *N* private risk alleles with effect size of 2 phenotype standard deviations in our pedigree samples (black) and in 1,034 unrelated samples (blue). Blue curves for MAF=0.01% and MAF=0.001% are shown overlapped in one line at power=0. C) Distribution of maximum possible and expected numbers of minor alleles for 413 pedigree founders, where maximum numbers are number of all descendent haploids and expected numbers are averaged over 1,000 gene-drop simulations.

**Fig. 3**. Catalog of variants identified by whole genome sequencing.

**Fig. 4.** Single-variant association results for type 2 diabetes and glycemic traits. QQ and Manhattan plots for A) T2D, B) fasting glucose (adjusted for BMI), and C) fasting insulin (adjusted for BMI). Only variants with MAF ≤ 1% in the 1000 Genomes Phase I dataset are plotted. Variants that are only seen in one pedigree (that would be private in an unrelated sample) are highlighted in purple. The “step” in the T2D QQ-plot is due to a group of variants shared by a nuclear family in one pedigree in which five members have T2D. No variant achieved a *p*-value exceeding the experiment-wide significance threshold of 7.1x10-8 for any of these three traits.

**Fig. 5.** Distribution of estimated effect sizes (betas) of minor alleles on quantitative gene expression for common (n=43,517,300) and rare (n=927,244,054) variants.