## A water-soluble Mn polypyridyl complex for selective electrocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> reduction

James J. Walsh<sup>a,b</sup>, Gaia Neri<sup>c</sup>, Charlotte L. Smith<sup>c</sup> and Alexander J. Cowan<sup>c</sup>

a: School of Chemical Sciences, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland.

b: National Centre for Sensor Research, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland.

c: Stephenson Institute for Renewable Energy, University of Liverpool, L69 7ZF, UK.

**Electronic Supplementary Information** 



*Figure S1* UV-Vis spectra of  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  at various concentrations, carried out in water (top) or Britton Robinson buffer at pH = 9.7 (bottom), with at pathlength of 2 mm. The complex was dissolved in either Britton-Robinson buffer at pH 9.7 or in water, then centrifuged and the UV-vis spectrum was measured.



**Figure S2** Normalised absorbance (at 408 nm) plotted versus the concentration of  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$ in the solutions indicated, taken from **Figure S1**. The complex was dissolved in either Britton-Robinson buffer at pH 9.7 or in water, then centrifuged and the UV-vis spectrum was measured. While at high pH the trend is linear throughout the concentrations measured, in unbuffered solutions (pH 3.5) the concentration shows a plateau, indicating the solution is saturated.



**Figure S3** UV-Vis spectra of  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  (0.25 mM) in water (pH 3.5, red) or acetonitrile (black), under argon, pathlength 1 mm. Solutions were made up in volumetric flasks covered with aluminium foil to protect from photodecomposition and measured within 5 minutes of dissolution. The  $\lambda_{max}$  of both the <sup>1</sup>MLCT and  $\pi$ - $\pi$ \* absorptions blue shift in H<sub>2</sub>O, relative to in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, primarily due to ligand exchange (see below).



*Figure S4* UV-Vis spectra of  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  in acetonitrile- water mixtures both immediately after preparation and after 3 hours at room temperature in the dark.

Figure S4 shows UV/Vis spectra of the complex in water, acetonitrile and acetonitrile/water mixes recorded immediately after preparation of the sample and then again after 3 hours shows the relative contributions from solvatochromism and ligand exchange. The as prepared samples show a slight shift in the UV/Vis maxima of the MLCT as the water content is increased (100% CH<sub>3</sub>CN  $\lambda_{max} = 460$  nm, 75% CH<sub>3</sub>CN 25% H<sub>2</sub>O  $\lambda_{max} = 453$  nm, 50% CH<sub>3</sub>CN 50% H<sub>2</sub>O  $\lambda_{max} = 444$  nm) which is assigned to solvatochromic effects. The sample prepared with 75% water has  $\lambda_{max} = 393$  nm as prepared, similar to that observed at low pH for a sample prepared in solely aqueous solvent. The large shift in UV/Vis maxima in 75% water indicates that ligand displacement takes place rapidly at higher water concentrations. After 3 hours all water containing samples have very similar UV/Vis spectrum cannot be assigned to solvatochromism – such behaviour would be immediately apparent. Instead it is apparent that the large shift in MLCT maxima when the complex is studied in water is primarily due to the exchange of the halide ligand with water and the solvatochromic contribution, although present, is significantly smaller.



**Figure S5** High resolution mass spectrometry following the dissolution of  $[Mn^{I}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  (0.2 mM) in 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The peak at m/z = 466.9270 and its isotopic distribution corresponds to  $C_{15}H_{7}MnN_{2}Na_{3}O_{8}$ . A proposed structure for this mass is given.



**Figure S6** FTIR spectra of solutions of  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  (0.2 mM). The highlighted vibrational modes are assigned to the carbonyl groups of the complexes:  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}DMF]$  (top),  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COO)_{2})(CO)_{3}H_{2}O]^{2-}$  (middle) and  $[Mn(bpy)(COO)_{2})(CO)_{3}OH]^{3-}$  (bottom).



**Figure S7** UV-Vis spectra of  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  (0.25 mM) in water (dotted lines) or carbonate solution (0.5 M K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, solid lines) under argon or CO<sub>2</sub>. The absence of changes in the spectra in the different solutions suggests that the carbonate complex does not form at high concentrations.

рН	V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> of 1 <sup>st</sup> reduction	V <sub>Ag/AgCI</sub> of 2 <sup>nd</sup> reduction	
2.5	-0.90	-1.40	
3.5	-0.95	-1.38	
4	-1.00	-1.37	
6	-1.05	-1.37	
7.5	1.05	-1.37	
9	-1.04	-1.37	
11.5	-1.07	-1.32	

**Table S1** Peak potentials for the two irreversible reductions showed by  $[Mn^{1}(bpy(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  at the pH values indicated, taken from the CVs Fig. 1 in the main paper. CVs were recorded with argon purged 0.5 mM solutions of  $[Mn^{1}(bpy(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  ( $K_{2}CO_{3}$  (0.5 M) + KCl (0.1 M) electrolyte for pH 11.5-7.5, 0.1 M KCl for pH 3.5-6) at a scan rate of 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup> on a Hg/Au working electrode. The pH was adjusted by adding aliquots of either HCl or KOH solutions at various concentrations, while purging the solution with Ar.



*Figure S8* UV/Vis spectroelectrochemistry of 1 mM complex in DMF/0.1 M (<sup>n</sup>But<sub>4</sub>N)<sub>4</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub>. Spectra were recorded using an OTTLE cell with Pt mesh working and counter electrodes, and a silver wire pseudo-reference electrode.

Complex	Solvent	λ (nm)	Reference
[Mn(bpy)(CO) <sub>3</sub> Br]	CH₃CN	366 (sh.), 416	Angew. Chem. Int.
			Ed. 2011, 50, 9903
[Mn(bpy)(CO) <sub>3</sub> ] <sub>2</sub>	CH₃CN	394, 461, 633, 806	Angew. Chem. Int.
			Ed. 2011, 50, 9903
[Mn(bpy)(CO) <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	CH₃CN	370, 560, 626 (sh.)	Angew. Chem. Int.
			Ed. 2011, 50, 9903
[Mn(bpy(COO) <sub>2</sub> )(CO) <sub>3</sub> (C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO)] <sup>-</sup>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO	386	This work
[Mn(bpy(COO) <sub>2</sub> )(CO) <sub>3</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> <sup>4-</sup>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO	380, 499, 644, 810	This work
[Mn(bpy(COO) <sub>2</sub> )(CO) <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>3-</sup>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO	385, 410, 587, 703	This work
[Mn(bpy(COO) <sub>2</sub> )(CO) <sub>3</sub> (OH <sub>2</sub> )] <sup>-</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> O	306, 413	This work
[Mn(bpy(COO) <sub>2</sub> )(CO) <sub>3</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> <sup>4-</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> O	393, 536, 641, 824	This work

*Table S2* UV/Vis spectroelectrochemical data summarised from this work (figure S8, figure3) and published literature.



*Figure S9* CVs of  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  (0.5 mM), under argon (black) and CO<sub>2</sub> (red), carried out at a scan rate of 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, Hg/Au WE at pH 2.5. The electrolyte was 0.1 M KCl adjusted to the desired value by adding aliquots of HCl.



**Figure S10** CVs of  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  (0.5 mM) in a 0.1 M KCl + 0.5 M K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> electrolyte at pH = 9, under argon (black) and CO<sub>2</sub> (red), recorded on a GC working electrode, Pt counter electrode and Ag/AgCl reference working electrode. The scan rate was 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The dashed lines are the CV of the blank electrolyte recorded at the same scan rate, showing the solvent potential window on this electrode.



**Figure S11** CVs of  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  (0.5 mM) in a 0.1 M KCl, 0.5 M K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> electrolyte at pH = 7, under argon and CO<sub>2</sub>, recorded on a BDD working electrode, Pt counter electrode and Ag/AgCl reference working electrode. The scan rate was 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure S12** Bulk electrolysis of  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  (0.2 mM) in a 0.1 M KCl, 0.5 M  $K_{2}CO_{3}$  electrolyte (pH =9) under CO<sub>2</sub> at the potentials indicated. The working electrode was a Hg pool, with a Pt mesh as the counter electrode and a Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The spikes in the traces are due to the sampling of the headspace for GC analysis.



**Figure S13** CVs of  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  (0.2 mM) in a 0.1 M KCl, 0.5 M  $K_{2}CO_{3}$  electrolyte (pH 9) under CO<sub>2</sub> recorded before and after bulk electrolysis, showing minimal degradation of the catalyst. CVs were recorded on a Hg pool as the working electrode, Pt mesh counter electrode and Ag/AgCl reference electrode, at a scan rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



*Figure S14* UV-vis spectra of  $[Mn^{I}(bpy(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$  (0.2 mM, 0.1 M KCl, 0.5 M K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) under CO<sub>2</sub> recorded before and after the bulk electrolysis experiment.



**Figure S15** Bulk electrolysis in the absence of  $[Mn^{l}(bpy)(COOH)_{2})(CO)_{3}Br]$ . 0.1 M KCl, 0.5 M  $K_{2}CO_{3}$  electrolyte (pH =9) under CO<sub>2</sub> at the potential indicated. The working electrode was a Hg pool, with a Pt mesh as the counter electrode and a Ag/AgCl reference electrode. GC analysis of the headspace at the end of the experiment showed only H<sub>2</sub> being produced, with only traces of CO in the chromatogram.