Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry

Retrotransposons in the development and progression of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis

Journal:	Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry
Manuscript ID	jnnp-2018-319210.R1
Article Type:	Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Savage, Abigail; University of Liverpool, Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology Schumann, Gerald; Paul-Ehrlich-Institut, Division of Medical Biotechnology Breen, Gerome; King's College London, Social, Genetic, and Developmental Psychiatry Research Centre Bubb, Vivien; University of Liverpool, Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology Al-Chalabi, Ammar; King's College London, Basic and Clinical Neuroscience; King's College Hospital Quinn, John; University of Liverpool, Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology
Keywords:	ALS, GENETICS
Specialty :	Neurodegenerative

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jnnp

ו ר	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
,	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
20	
20	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
27	
27	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
77	
40	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
23	
54	
55	
56	
57	

59

60

Retrotransposons in the development and progression of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis

Abigail L. Savage¹, Gerald G Schumann², Gerome Breen³, Vivien J. Bubb¹, Ammar Al-Chalabi^{4,5} and John P.Quinn^{1*}

¹Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.

² Division of Medical Biotechnology, Paul-Ehrlich-Institut, Langen, Germany.

³ Social, Genetic, and Developmental Psychiatry Research Centre, King's College London, London, UK.

⁴ King's College London, Maurice Wohl Clinical Neuroscience Institute, Department of Basic and Clinical Neuroscience, London, UK.

⁵ King's College Hospital, Denmark Hill, London, UK.

* Corresponding author.

Mental Health and Behaviour Research Group, Dept of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, Institute of Transitional Medicine, Crown Street, Liverpool, L69 3BX, UK.

Tel: 0151 794 5498 Email: jquinn@liv.ac.uk

Word count excluding title page, abstract, references, figures and tables – 4942

References: 56

Supplemental references: 42

ABSTRACT

Endogenous retrotransposon sequences constitute approximately 42% of the human genome and mobilisation of retrotransposons has resulted in rearrangements, duplications, deletions, novel transcripts and the introduction of new regulatory domains throughout the human genome. Both germline and somatic *de novo* retrotransposition events have been involved in a range of human diseases and there is emerging evidence for the modulation of retrotransposon activity during the development of specific diseases. Particularly, there is unequivocal consensus, that endogenous retrotransposition can occur in neuronal lineages. This review addresses our current knowledge of the different mechanisms through which retrotransposons might influence the development of and predisposition to ALS.

INTRODUCTION

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), also known as motor neuron disease, is characterised by the rapid progressive degeneration of upper and lower motor neurons. This results in the wasting and weakening of muscles of the limbs and trunk and those involved in speaking, swallowing and facial expression. It is usually fatal within 3-5 years of disease onset, most frequently due to respiratory failure.¹ In European populations, the incidence of ALS is 2.16 per 100,000 people per year and the median age at diagnosis is 65.2 and 67 years for men and women respectively.² The mechanisms underlying the pathogenesis of ALS are not fully understood, however there have been several hypotheses proposed to explain what drives the development of the disease, which include protein aggregation, oxidative stress, excitotoxicity and defects in RNA processing and axonal transport.³ 5-10% of cases of ALS are reported as familial and the remaining as sporadic. However, this division may not accurately reflect the mechanisms and risk associated with disease development.⁵¹ Familial ALS is defined by a positive family history of the disease but family history may not always be apparent, has varying definitions and many of the mutations identified in cases of familial ALS are also found in those with the sporadic form.^{4 S2} Twin studies estimate a heritability of 60% in sporadic ALS and first degree relatives of sporadic ALS patients have an eight-fold increased risk of developing the disease demonstrating further the importance of genetics in the sporadic disease.^{53 54} There have been more than 30 genes associated with familial and sporadic ALS and more genetic risk loci identified through genome wide association studies (GWAS).⁵⁶ The four genes harbouring mutations that cause the greatest number of ALS cases are SOD1, C9orf72, FUS and TARDBP, contributing to

47.7% and 5.2% of familial and sporadic cases, respectively, in a recent meta-analysis of European and Asian populations.⁷

The increase in the generation and availability of sequencing data has driven the discovery of new genes involved in the development of ALS, with the rate of discovery doubling every four years.⁵⁵ Despite these findings there is still much to learn about the genetic causes of ALS and how variants affect disease progression. The same mutation in different individuals does not always result in the same clinical progression or even the same disease. The C9orf72 repeat expansion and mutations in FUS and TARDBP can lead either to the development of ALS or frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD).⁸ Additional modifying genetic variants and environmental factors or a combination of both are likely to contribute to the phenotypic heterogeneity seen in carriers of these mutations. For example, the intermediate length trinucleotide CAG repeats encoding polyglutamine tracts in the ATXN2 gene that confer a risk of developing ALS, have been associated with C9orf72 repeat expansion carriers with ALS but not with those carriers with FTLD.^{9 10} Environmental factors have also been implicated in ALS pathogenesis, but it has proven difficult to replicate these experimental outcomes reliably. Proposed environmental risk factors include physical exercise and exposure to heavy metals, pesticides and electromagnetic radiation.⁵¹¹ Modelling of the population with ALS suggest ALS development is a multistep process requiring six molecular steps, and these are likely to be a combination of genetic and environmental factors.¹²

One component of the human genome that has been largely overlooked in conjunction with neurodegenerative diseases until recently is the group of endogenous transposable elements (TEs), even though such structures contribute to nearly half of our genome. Their role in evolution, disease, regulation of gene expression, response to environmental stimuli, and their ability to generate genetic diversity both within a population and within an individual have led to them no longer being considered 'junk' DNA but an important part of the human genome.¹³⁻¹⁵ Two classes of TEs can be identified in mammalian genomes:

1) DNA transposons are widely represented in different taxa of organisms including vertebrates. Functional transposons encode a *transposase* protein, flanked by two terminal inverted repeats (TIRs) (Figure 1A) and move by a 'cut-and-paste' mechanism that involves excising an element and its reinsertion at a new genomic location.^{S6} Upon insertion, a sequence in the target site is duplicated generating a target site duplication (TSD).^{S7} DNA transposon sequences account for around 3% of the human genome but, with the exception of at least one family of *PiggBac* elements in little brown bats^{S8}, no functional DNA transposons have been identified in mammals to date.

2) Retrotransposons comprise approximately 42% of the human genome and propagate via a 'copy-and-paste' mechanism, meaning that retrotransposon transcripts are reverse transcribed into a cDNA intermediate which is integrated into a new site of the host genome by retrotransposon-encoded proteins. Retrotransposons can be subdivided into long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons and non-LTR retrotransposons (Figure 1B).¹⁶ This review will bring retrotransposons into sharper focus and discuss how their activity could contribute to the development and progression of ALS.

LTR RETROTRANSPOSONS

Human endogenous retroviruses (HERVs) belong to the class of LTR retrotransposons that constitute approximately 8% of the human genome. They are flanked by LTRs which contain transcriptional regulatory domains controlling expression of the proviral DNA but have also been exapted as regulatory elements for endogenous genes (Figure 2).^{59 510} Endogenous retroviruses resulted from the repeated infection of germ cells by exogenous retroviruses and became unable to re-infect due to mutations that accumulated in their proviral DNA.¹⁷ As a consequence, expression of endogenous retrovirus proviral DNA does not lead to infectious particles and there is currently no evidence that endogenous retroviruses still mobilise in humans although this cannot be ruled out.^{17 18} The classification of HERVs is complex and dependant on the methodology used with HERVs divided into 50-200 families.¹⁹ One of the methods is primarily based on the tRNA that binds to the viral primer binding site which for HERV-K is the lysine (K) tRNA and the most recent inherited proviral insertions in the human genome are HERV-K sequences.¹⁷ A complete autonomous HERV-K sequence harbours 7-9kb of DNA coding for the viral proteins gag, pro, pol and env, and is flanked by ~1000bp LTRs (Figure 1B). There are a small number of complete HERV-K elements in the human genome, however the majority of HERV families no longer encode functional proteins, and in many cases, the only remnant of the HERV integration is a solo LTR due to the removal of the originally LTR-flanked region by recombination events. HERV-K insertions are present in Old World monkeys, apes and humans and are likely to have entered the primate lineage after the divergence of New and Old World monkeys.⁵¹¹ Similarities in sequence allow for the identification of 10 HERV-K families (HML-1 to HML-10) and a number of HML-2 loci, the youngest family, are human specific indicating their continued mobilisation during human evolution.¹⁸ Recent reports have also identified polymorphic HERV-K insertions for their presence/absence in humans²⁰ suggesting recent mobilisation activity and the possibility that some HERV-K copies might currently still retain the capability to mobilise in present-day humans. Multiple neurological diseases, including multiple sclerosis, schizophrenia and

 ALS, have been associated with HERVs and HERV-K is the predominant family studied in the pathogenesis of ALS but as yet a direct cause-effect relationship has yet to proven.^{21 S12}

NON-LTR RETROTRANSPOSONS

Non-LTR retrotransposons lack LTRs, include the families of long interspersed nuclear element-1 (LINE-1/L1), short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs) and SINE-VNTR-Alu (SVA) retrotransposons (Figure 1B) and represent the only group of TEs that is still currently mobilised in the human genome.¹⁶ The LINE-1 subfamily represents the only autonomous group of human non-LTRs because members of this subfamily encode those proteins that are essential for their mobilisation and there are 80-100 retrotransposition competent LINE-1 elements in the human reference genome.²² A functional, full length LINE-1 element is ~6kb in length, contains a 5' and 3'-untranslated region (UTR), three open reading frames (ORF0, ORF1, ORF2) whereby ORF1 and ORF2 are separated by a 63bp spacer region, a poly A tail at its 3'end and is flanked by variable length target site duplications (TSDs) (Figure 1B). The 5' UTR includes a sense and antisense promoter. ORF1p is a ~40 kDa protein with RNA binding and chaperone activities.⁵¹³ ORF2p has a molecular weight of ~150 kDa and harbours endonuclease (EN) ^{\$14} and reverse transcriptase (RT) activities ²³ (Figure 1B). Both ORF1p and ORF2p are essential for retrotransposition of their own mRNA in cis which is performed by a mechanism termed target primed reverse transcription (TPRT) (Figure 3). This mechanism involves the nicking of the bottom strand of the target DNA by the endonuclease domain of ORF2p to expose a 3'hydroxyl for priming reverse transcription of LINE-1 mRNA by the reverse transcriptase domain of ORF2p.^{S15} Due to the presence of a conserved antisense promoter in full-length LINE-1 elements ^{\$16}, a third ORF named ORF0 has recently been identified in selected human LINE-1 elements with ORFOp enhancing their retrotransposition.^{S17} The non-autonomous non-LTR retrotransposons Alu and SVA do not have any protein-coding capacity and recruit the LINE-1 protein machinery for their own mobilisation in trans. Alu elements are primate-specific short interspersed nuclear elements derived from the 7SL RNA gene and there are approximately 1.5 million copies in the human genome (Figure 1B).²⁴ SVAs are hominid specific composite elements consisting of a 5' hexamer repeat that can be variable in length ((CCCTCT)_n), two Alu fragments in antisense orientation, a GC-rich variable number tandem repeat (VNTR), a SINE-R sequence derived from a HERV-K10 element and a poly Atail following a polyadenylation signal (Figure 1B).²⁵ The continuing expansion of non-LTR retrotransposons has contributed to more than a third of the human genome shaping its organisation and gene expression through a variety of mechanisms including insertional mutagenesis, deletions at the insertion site, 5'- and 3' transductions, non-allelic homologous

recombination, transcript pausing or termination, antisense/sense promoter effects, alternative splicing, heterochromatisation and processed pseudogene formation.^{13 16} Furthermore, non-LTR retrotransposon insertions can affect host gene expression by numerous mechanisms (Figure 4).

It is well established that new LINE-1, *Alu* and SVA mobilisation events occur in most instances during early embryogenesis and to some extent in germ cells.²⁶ The neuronal lineages in hippocampus, cerebellum, caudate nucleus and cortex (reviewed in ²⁶) support mobilisation of human endogenous LINE-1 elements and LINE-1 mediated insertions occur throughout fetal and adult neurogenesis as well as in mature neurons resulting in somatic mosaicism in the adult brain.²⁷⁻ ³¹ LINE-1 retrotransposition has also been demonstrated in tumour cells resulting in somatically acquired insertions in cancer genomes (reviewed in ⁵¹⁸). *De novo* retrotransposition events that occur in germ cells and during early embryonic development prior to partitioning of the germline are heritable and create genetic variation in the population, whereas those occurring in somatic tissues will not be passed on to the next generation but could influence cellular function and fitness of the individual.

DETECTION OF REVERSE TRANSCRITPASE ACTIVITY IN ALS PATIENTS

It has been hypothesised retroviral activity may, at least in part, be involved in ALS development.³² Evidence for this hypothesis includes motor neuron dysfunction in mice caused by a retroviral infection, the identification of antibodies in the sera of ALS patients directed against retroviral proteins of the human T-lymphotrophic virus and the development of ALS-like syndromes in patients infected with the human T-lymphotrophic virus-1 and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).^{32 S19 S20} Also, patients with HIV-associated ALS syndromes may experience improvement in such symptoms with antiretroviral treatment.^{33 34} Both retroviruses and autonomous retrotransposons encode a reverse transcriptase (RT) enzyme generating a cDNA copy of the proviral and retrotransposonencoded mRNA, respectively.^{17 23} RT activity was detected in 59% of sera from ALS patients but only in 5% of sera from an unaffected control group, but it could not be attributed to the presence of any known human exogenous retrovirus.³⁵ A second study also detected a significant difference in RT activity in the sera of ALS patients compared to non-blood relative controls (spouses and healthy volunteers) (47% vs 18%), however there was only a small difference in RT activity when comparing the ALS patients to blood relative controls (first degree, aunt or cousin) (47% vs 43%).³⁶ Comparable levels of RT activity in blood relative controls of ALS patients and the absence of any detectable exogenous retrovirus suggests that the origin of the RT activity is endogenous. A recent study

 demonstrating the activation of an endogenous retrovirus in at least a subpopulation of sporadic ALS patients ³⁷ suggests that this endogenous RT is at least one source of the detected RT activity. Determining any potential role of RT in the pathogenesis of the disease is hugely important to improve our understanding of the development of ALS. If the increase in RT activity in ALS was shown to have a causative role in the pathogenesis of the disease, well-established RT inhibitors may provide a viable target for treatment.

GLOBAL CHANGES TO TRANSPOSABLE ELEMENT REGULATION IN ALS

There is evidence for a global activation of TEs in ALS, that includes both LTR and non-LTR retrotransposons, and TEs belonging to both retrotransposon and DNA transposon classes are regulated by TAR DNA-binding protein 43 (TDP-43), a protein involved in neurodegenerative disorders including ALS, FTLD and Alzheimer's disease.^{8 521} As previously stated, mutations in the TARDBP gene encoding the TDP-43 protein have been identified in both familial and sporadic cases of ALS and FTLD.⁷ Moreover, the accumulation of TDP-43 in the cytoplasm occurs in the majority of ALS and 45-60% of FTLD cases.^{522 523} TDP-43 is a nucleic acid binding protein with distinct binding affinities for RNA and DNA and is involved in the regulation of transcription and splicing, miRNA processing and the stability and transport of mRNA.⁵²⁴ One study found that transcripts derived from LTR and non-LTR retrotransposons and DNA transposons are targets of TDP-43 in humans, rats and mice and that this association of TDP-43 and TE transcripts is decreased in the brain tissue of FTLD patients compared to controls.³⁸ This study also demonstrated that in two different mouse models of TDP-43 dysfunction (overexpression and striatal depletion), TE transcripts were overexpressed; the authors hypothesised that TE overexpression may be part of TDP-43 pathology and contribute to TDP-43 related neurodegeneration. Another model system of ALS using Drosophila melanogaster in which the expression of human TDP-43 in either neurons or glia resulted in protein aggregation, motor impairment and premature death was used to investigate the relationship of TDP-43 and TEs further.³⁹ Human TDP-43 overexpression was found to be correlated with elevated expression of members of the class of LTR retrotransposons and LINE families in Drosophila due to the loss of small interfering RNAs that act post transcriptionally to repress these elements. Further, they found that the expression of human TDP-43 and activation of retrotransposon expression caused cell death through DNA damage-mediated signalling. It was shown that this type of toxicity in glial cells was due to the activity of the endogenous retrovirus gypsy as the phenotype could be rescued when *gypsy* expression was inhibited either genetically or pharmacologically (reverse transcriptase inhibitors). The data suggests that the activation of retrotransposons could be

contributing to TDP-43 mediated neurodegeneration, which includes ALS and FTLD. Similarly, a study analysing the transcriptome of the frontal cortex and cerebellum of ALS patients demonstrating *C9orf72* GGGGCC repeat expansions identified a significant increase in transcripts from both classes of TEs (retrotransposons and DNA transposons) in the frontal cortex when compared to controls.⁴⁰ Although *C9orf72* expansion-negative ALS patients also demonstrated a similar trend of increased TE expression in the frontal cortex, this was not statistically significant. The study did not find an association either between TDP-43 levels or pathology and the increase in TE transcripts, however the changes observed positively correlated with RNA polymerase II activity. The data suggests that there was a global change in TE expression and activity in the brains of certain ALS patients and the exact role, if any, of the different TE families in disease progression to ALS and FTLD. In addition, several other neurological diseases have been associated with retrotransposon activity, more specifically LINE-1, including Rett Syndrome, Ataxia Telangiectasia, autism and schizophrenia ⁵²⁵⁻⁵²⁹ and therefore the dysregulation of retrotransposons may not only be part of the ALS disease process but also play a wider role in neurological conditions.

ACTIVATION OF ENDOGENOUS RETROVIRAL EXPRESSION IN ALS

Several studies have been carried out, outlined below, implicating a role of HERV-K derived gene products in the pathogenesis of ALS. There is a significant increase in HERV-K pol expression in cortical brain tissue from ALS patients compared to non-ALS controls.⁴¹ HERV-K pol levels from the HML-2 and HML-3 subfamilies differ across brain regions in ALS patients but not in the non-ALS controls as do the genomic loci where the transcripts originate, suggesting unique patterns of expression in the brains of those with ALS. Immunohistochemistry shows the presence of HERV-K RT protein is limited to neurons and at significantly higher levels in ALS patients than in controls.⁴¹ In a subsequent study, transcripts of the *gag*, *pol* and *env* HERV-K genes were elevated in brain tissue from ALS patients over controls and expression of the HERV-K HML-2 Env protein was detected in cortical and spinal neurons of ALS patients but not in normal or Alzheimer's disease brain tissue.³⁷ Although this provides evidence for the presence of HERV-K expression in ALS, it is not clear if this is part of the pathogenic process or a consequence of it. To elucidate the potential role of HERV-K in the pathogenesis of ALS, a transgenic mouse line expressing the HERV-K HML-2 Env protein in neurons has been generated.³⁷ The transgenic animals develop progressive motor neuron degeneration involving both upper and lower motor neurons, atrophy of the motor cortex, DNA damage and morphological abnormalities of the neurons resulting in a 50% mortality rate by 10

months, suggesting that HERV-K expression is cause rather than consequence. Additionally, transfection of the HERV-K HML-2 genome or HERV-K HML-2 *env* gene alone into human neuronal cells causes a decrease in cell number and retraction of neurites.³⁷ In contrast to the above studies a recent report did not detect any compelling differences in the transcription of HERV-K HML-2 loci in ALS versus control brain and spinal cord samples and was unable to confirm the presence of full length HML-2 Env protein in ALS and control samples.⁴² Table 1 summarises the data from the above studies.

A recent report on 5 HIV patients with motor neuron disease analysed the HERV-K RNA expression level in response to antiretroviral therapy.³³ Data on HERV-K RNA levels at the onset of neurological symptoms were available for 2 patients who showed elevated HERV-K expression levels in plasma that became undetectable after treatment with antiretroviral therapy.³³ HIV-1 infection is known to induce HERV-K expression, so it is unclear whether the antiretroviral therapy directly inhibits HERV-K or the reduction in HERV-K RNA is due to a loss of activation by HIV-1.^{S30} Clinical trials are currently underway testing the ability of antiretroviral therapy to suppress HERV-K expression and the safety and tolerability of these drugs in ALS patients (NCT02437110, NCT02868580 and Motor Neuron Disease Australia's The Lighthouse Project). The results of these trials will be important in determining if the potentially pathogenic expression of this element could be modified with existing drugs.

Table 1: Summary of studies linking TE activity to ALS and FTLD.

Study	Transposable Element	Cell Line and Animals Models	Human Samples
Andrews et al 2000		75.	-Reverse transcriptase activity was detected using a product enhanced reverse transcriptase assay in the sera of a significantly higher number of motor neurodisease patients than controls (56% vs 5%).
Steele et al 2005	-	-	-Using a product enhanced reverse transcriptase assay serum reverse transcriptase activity was detected in a higher proportion of sporadic ALS patients (47%) compared to unrelated controls (18%) but not compared to blood relatives of ALS patients (43%).
Douville et al 2011	LTR retrotransposon (HERV-K)	31.	-HERV-K <i>pol</i> transcript expression was significantly increased in the brains of patients compared to controls as was neuronal HERV-K reverse transcriptase protein expression. -Specific genomic loci from which the HERV-K transcripts originated were identified and included a locus unique to the ALS samples.
		 In ALS brain tissue reverse transcriptase expression was positively correlate with TDP-43 and the reverse transcriptase protein colocalised with TDP-43. 	
Li et al 2012	LTR and non-LTR retrotransposons and DNA transposons	-TE transcripts are targeted by TDP-43 in both rats and mice. -TE transcripts are overexpressed in two different models of TDP-43 dysfunction (overexpression of human TDP-43 in transgenic mice and depletion of TDP-43 in mice striatum).	-TDP-43 targets TE transcripts in the human brain and this binding is significantly reduced in FTLD brain tissue compared to healthy.
Li et al 2015	LTR retrotransposon (HERV-K)	 -The expression of the HERV-K Env protein in human neurons caused a decrease in cell number and retraction of neurites and could contribute to neurotoxcity. -HERV-K expression was activated in human neurons upon the transfection of TDP-43 and TDP-43 was shown to bind to the LTR of the HERV-K. -Transgenic mice expressing HERV-K <i>env</i> gene in neurons showed a specific loss of upper and lower motor neurons, neuronal DNA damage and motor dysfunction. 	-Expression of the HERV-K gag, pol and env transcripts and the Env protein w detected in cortical and spinal neurons of ALS patients but not in those of healthy controls.
Krug et al 2017	LTR and non-LTR retrotransposons	-Neuronal and glial overexpression of human TDP-43 in <i>Drosophila</i> resulted in a loss of small interfering RNAs that repress retrotransposon expression and therefore levels of both LTR and non-LTR	-
		10	
		https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com	n/jnnp

1				
2				
3				
4				
5			retrotransposons were elevated.	
6			- The toxicity associated with the overexpression of the gypsy ERV	
7			element was recused by either blocking its expression or by using	
8			reverse transcriptase inhibiters.	
9				TE expression was significantly increased in the frental cortex of Coerf72
10		LTR and non-LTR	6	nositive ALS natients compared to who were C9orf72 negative and to healthy
11	Prudencio et	retrotransposons		controls.
12	al 2017	and DNA		
13		transposons		- The increase in expression of TE transcripts was not positively correlated with
14				
15				-HERV-K transcript levels were detected at relatively high levels from 24
16		I TR		different loci across brain and spinal cord samples and no significant difference
17	Mayer et al	retrotransposon	-	was observed between the ALS and controls.
18	2018	(HERV-K)		-In addition full length Env protein could not be detected in the ALS and controls
19				samples, however there was data to indicate the presence of truncated protein
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				

Page 12 of 27

GERMLINE RETROTRANSPOSON INSERTION POLYMORPHISMS

De novo retrotransposition events are detectable in approximately 1/20 live births for Alu elements, 1/150 for LINE-1 and 1/1000 for SVA elements and within the global human population there are an estimated 392 million private insertions unique to those harbouring them.¹⁴ It is estimated that every human has on average 180 LINE-1, 1283 Alu and 56 SVA presence/absence insertion polymorphisms ⁴³, which are an important source of genetic variation. The genetic variation generated by the presence or absence of these retrotransposons are termed retrotransposon insertion polymorphisms (RIPs). Due to the complex nature of the analysis, this type of genetic variation is not routinely studied in large cohorts although there is a wide range of bioinformatics tools available to analyse such variation.⁴⁴ To date, no actively retrotransposing HERV elements have been reported to be able to create new insertions in the human genome. However, 36 polymorphic HERV-K insertions have been identified that are not present in the human reference genome in a study analysing over 2500 genomes.²⁰ The few polymorphic HERV-K insertions are therefore a much smaller source of genetic variation compared to the huge number of polymorphic non-LTR retrotransposon insertions. To date, 124 LINE-1 mediated insertions have been identified as the genetic cause of diseases such as hereditary cancer, haemophilia, X-linked dystonia parkinsonism and neurofibromatosis type 1.¹⁵ Mechanisms by which the LINE-1-mediated *de novo* retrotransposition events affect the respective disease-related host genes include gene inactivation through aberrant splicing and exonic insertions causing frameshifts, deletions at the site of insertion and also the incorporation of the element's sequence into the host protein affecting its function.¹⁵ Many of these disease causing events are rare insertions resulting in a robust phenotype, but there are also more common RIPs that have been shown to affect the function of the gene they have inserted into. For example, an SVA-E insertion into intron 8 of the CASP8 gene is associated with transcript abnormalities and with an increased risk of breast cancer but a decreased risk of prostate cancer.⁴⁵ There is also evidence for common Alu RIPs as candidate causative variants in diseases such as multiple sclerosis when identified to be in linkage disequilibrium with trait associated single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) identified through GWAS.⁴⁶ A study into the impact of RIPs on human health and disease through changes in gene expression, included a global analysis of LINE-1, Alu and SVA RIPs in linkage disequilibrium with SNPs associated with complex diseases from GWAS, found 2474 such elements in a European population.⁴⁷ This study, using a focus on the human immune system, identified candidate RIPs that could lead to disease through changes in gene regulation.⁴⁷ LINE-1 RIPs have also been shown to be enriched in intragenic regions and different gene ontologies and pathways when those found in controls were compared to individuals with schizophrenia.⁵²⁹ Analysis of SNP variation demonstrated there is a 14.3% genetic correlation

between ALS and schizophrenia suggesting convergent biological mechanisms between the two diseases.⁵³¹ The burden of RIPs within an individual will vary and therefore the genes and pathways affected by their presence could also differ.

There is data available on those RIPs that have already been identified, collated in a review 44 and in databases such as the European database of L1-HS retrotransposon insertion in humans (euL1db.unice.fr). There have also been large scale studies addressing RIPs in the 1000 genomes data.⁵³² So far, this list comprises approximately 40000 Alu, LINE-1 and SVA RIPs in the human populations studied but it will grow as further genomes are analysed. This list of RIPs includes several that are present in genes and genetic loci that are associated with ALS. It is possible that these retrotransposon insertions affect splicing and expression of the gene where they inserted through the introduction of novel splice sites and regulatory domains (Figure 4 for major mechanisms).^{15 47} We propose that these RIPs and others that have yet to be identified have the potential to alter the function or expression of the genes they have inserted into and could act as genetic predisposing factors for ALS. Extensive analysis of those pathways containing genes potentially affected by RIPs is beyond the scope of a review. However as an example the NEK1 locus, a gene recently identified to contain risk variants for ALS and involved in mitochondrial function and DNA damage response⁴⁸, has both reference non-LTR insertions and RIPs that could impact on the regulation and function of this gene. To explore this hypothesis, RIPs would need to be addressed in ALS patients and controls to determine if there are insertions that occur more frequently in one population compared to the other. The functional consequences of any insertions identified that are associated with disease risk would need to be investigated further. One such experiment could involve developing a cell culture model of induced pluripotent stem cells from patients harbouring the insertion, which could then be removed using CRISPR to evaluate the consequences of the specific insertion on host gene expression.

SOMATIC MOBILISATION OF NON-LTR RETROTRANSPOSONS IN THE BRAIN

Non-LTR retrotransposons can affect cellular function through insertions in the germline but also via their mobilisation in adult tissues, which includes neuronal cells. The evidence for the mobilisation of non-LTR retrotransposons in neuronal cells has come from a combination of studies using cell lines, animal models and human tissue. By applying engineered LINE-1 retrotransposition reporter elements in cell culture assays, it was demonstrated that rat neuronal progenitor cells, human fetal brain neuronal progenitor cells, neuronal progenitor cells derived from human embryonic stem cells and mature non-dividing neurons can support human LINE-1 retrotransposition in vitro.²⁸⁻³⁰ In addition, an enhanced green fluorescent protein marked human LINE-1 retrotransposition reporter transgene in mice resulted in somatic mosaicism in the brain.³⁰ A quantitative multiplexed PCR assay to determine the endogenous LINE-1 copy number in a given genome, demonstrates that there is an increase in LINE-1 copies in several brain regions compared to the heart and liver from the same human individual with the highest number found in the hippocampus.²⁸ Using a novel technique termed retrotransposon capture sequencing, the identification and characterisation of endogenous somatic retrotransposition events in the human brain were characterised.^{49 50} Retrotransposon capture sequencing was used to generate libraries of retrotransposon insertions in genomic DNA from the hippocampus and caudate nucleus of three donors of advanced age (average 92 years old). Subsequent next generation sequencing identified 7,743 LINE-1, 13,692 Alu and 1,350 SVA putative somatic *de novo* insertions in total in the three individuals which were present in one brain region but absent in the other and not previously identified as a germline variant.⁴⁹ 33 of these potential de novo insertions were chosen for validation by genotyping PCR and capillary sequencing of the resulting PCR products successfully validating 28 of them as somatic *de novo* insertions that were absent from the second brain region.⁴⁹ The number of neurons affected by an individual somatic *de* novo insertion would be dependent on the point in time of the retrotransposition event, whether it occurred in a single mature post-mitotic neuron, during neurogenesis or early in embryonic development affecting neuronal lineages. Therefore, characterising the extent of this neuronal mosaicism is challenging.²⁶

Single cell-based analysis of somatic retrotransposition events suggest retrotransposition frequencies of 0.2 to 16.3 new insertions per neuron depending on the brain region and type of analysis.⁵⁰⁻⁵² Although the actual rate of somatic retrotransposition in neurons is still an area of controversy, somatic insertions have been validated and characterised, showing that the genome of an individual or groups of neurons can be altered by these elements. Even taking the lowest rate of 0.2 per neuron would result in 20 billion unique insertions in the adult human brain.⁵³ In the adult brain, somatic insertions are more likely to occur into expressed genes due to their open chromatin. It is hypothesised that a controlled level of somatic retrotransposition in neuronal genomes may be beneficial in generating diversity between neurons thus enabling individuals to respond to their environment and could be a mechanism involved in memory and learning.^{27 49} Conversely, increased non-LTR retrotransposon activation beyond what may be beneficial, and the misregulation of these mobile elements could contribute to neurodegeneration and cognitive decline. Changes to the chromatin structure and de-repression of retrotransposons during ageing has been associated with their increased transcript expression. This increase in retrotransposon mRNA expression and Page 15 of 27

evidence for mobilisation has been identified for non-LTR retrotransposons in replicatively senescent human cells (normal diploid fibroblasts), both LTR and non LTR retrotransposons in the ageing mouse (liver and muscle tissue) and LINE-like and LTR retrotransposons in the brain of *Drosophila* where it was linked to cognitive decline.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ Age is a risk factor for many neurodegenerative conditions and changes to retrotransposon regulation during ageing may be part of this increasing risk for disease. Although mRNA and retrotransposons encoded proteins have been shown to be upregulated in ALS, it has yet to be determined if there is an increase in somatic retrotransposition events in the brains of ALS patients and whether the site of insertion could affect the normal functioning of those neurons.

SUMMARY

ALS is thought to be a multistep process involving a complex interplay between germline genetic variation that defines the level of risk an individual is born with and subsequent modifiers, we are confronted with as we age, such as the environment, which determines whether an individual develops the disease or not. The presence or absence of retrotransposon insertions contributes extensively to germline genetic variation affecting the structure, splicing and expression of genes. To date, this type of genetic variation has not yet been addressed in ALS cohorts and should not be overlooked as a potential source of germline risk to the disease. The regulation of these retrotransposons is modified by age and in neurological disorders, including ALS, and can alter the genome of individual or groups of neurons in the adult brain. The changes in the regulation of retrotransposon insertions and the consequences of genomic alterations caused by somatic retrotransposon mobilisation could affect normal cellular function and even lead to cell death contributing to later steps of the disease development process. Further studies are required to fully investigate the exact role of retrotransposons and determine if there is a direct cause and effect relationship between retrotransposon activity or individual retrotransposon insertions and neurological diseases. Retrotransposons have the potential to be involved in multiple steps of the development of ALS from contributing to the missing heritability of the disease to neuronal dysfunction and degenerative processes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ALS, JPQ, GGS, VJB, GB and AAC are funded by the Motor Neurone Disease Association and JPQ by the Andrzej Wlodarski Memorial Research Fund. For AAC this work is supported through the

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jnnp

following funding organisations under the aegis of JPND - <u>www.jpnd.eu</u> (United Kingdom, Medical Research Council (MR/L501529/1; MR/R024804/1) and Economic and Social Research Council (ES/L008238/1)) and through the Motor Neurone Disease Association, and represents independent research part funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The work leading up to this publication was funded by the European Community's Horizon 2020 Programme (H2020-PHC-2014-two-stage; grant agreement number 633413).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There are no conflicts of interest to report.

REFERENCES

- 1. Morgan S, Orrell RW. Pathogenesis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. British medical bulletin 2016;**119**(1):87-98.
- 2. Logroscino G, Traynor BJ, Hardiman O, et al. Incidence of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in Europe. Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry 2010;**81**(4):385-90.
- 3. Rossi S, Cozzolino M, Carri MT. Old versus New Mechanisms in the Pathogenesis of ALS. Brain pathology 2016;**26**(2):276-86.
- Turner MR, Al-Chalabi A, Chio A, et al. Genetic screening in sporadic ALS and FTD. Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry 2017;88(12):1042-44.
- 5. Zufiria M, Gil-Bea FJ, Fernandez-Torron R, et al. ALS: A bucket of genes, environment, metabolism and unknown ingredients. Progress in neurobiology 2016;**142**:104-29.
- van Rheenen W, Shatunov A, Dekker AM, et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify new risk variants and the genetic architecture of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Nature genetics 2016;48(9):1043-8.
- 7. Zou ZY, Zhou ZR, Che CH, et al. Genetic epidemiology of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry 2017.
- Liscic RM. Als and Ftd: Insights into the disease mechanisms and therapeutic targets. Eur J Pharmacol 2017;817:2-6.
- 9. Elden AC, Kim HJ, Hart MP, et al. Ataxin-2 intermediate-length polyglutamine expansions are associated with increased risk for ALS. Nature 2010;**466**(7310):1069-75.
- 10. van Blitterswijk M, Mullen B, Heckman MG, et al. Ataxin-2 as potential disease modifier in C90RF72 expansion carriers. Neurobiol Aging 2014;**35**(10):2421 e13-7.
- 11. Visser AE, Rooney JPK, D'Ovidio F, et al. Multicentre, cross-cultural, population-based, casecontrol study of physical activity as risk factor for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry 2018;**89**(8):797-803.
- 12. Al-Chalabi A, Calvo A, Chio A, et al. Analysis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis as a multistep process: a population-based modelling study. The Lancet Neurology 2014;**13**(11):1108-13.
- 13. Cordaux R, Batzer MA. The impact of retrotransposons on human genome evolution. Nature reviews Genetics 2009;**10**(10):691-703.
- 14. Faulkner GJ. Retrotransposons: mobile and mutagenic from conception to death. FEBS letters 2011;**585**(11):1589-94.
- 15. Hancks DC, Kazazian HH, Jr. Roles for retrotransposon insertions in human disease. Mobile DNA 2016;**7**:9.

1	
2	16 Carria Daraz II. Widmann TL Adams ID. The impact of transpessible elements on mammalian
3	10. Garcia-Perez JL, Wildmann TJ, Adams IR. The impact of transposable elements on manimalian development. Development 2016: 142 (22):4101-14
4	17 Mager DI Stove IP Mammalian Endogenous Retroviruses Microhiology spectrum
6	2015-3(1)·MDNA3-0009-2014
7	18 Elockerzi A Burkhardt S Schempp W et al Human endogenous retrovirus HERV-K14 families:
8	status, variants, evolution, and mobilization of other cellular sequences. Journal of virology
9	2005: 79 (5):2941-9.
10	19. Katoh I. Kurata S. Association of endogenous retroviruses and long terminal repeats with human
11	disorders. Frontiers in oncology 2013: 3 :234.
12	20. Wildschutte JH, Williams ZH, Montesion M, et al. Discovery of unfixed endogenous retrovirus
13	insertions in diverse human populations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
14	of the United States of America 2016; 113 (16):E2326-34.
15	21. Kury P, Nath A, Creange A, et al. Human Endogenous Retroviruses in Neurological Diseases.
16	Trends in molecular medicine 2018;24(4):379-94.
17	22. Brouha B, Schustak J, Badge RM, et al. Hot L1s account for the bulk of retrotransposition in the
10	human population. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
20	America 2003; 100 (9):5280-5.
21	23. Mathias SL, Scott AF, Kazazian HH, Jr., et al. Reverse transcriptase encoded by a human
22	transposable element. Science 1991; 254 (5039):1808-10.
23	24. Deininger P. Alu elements: know the SINEs. Genome biology 2011; 12 (12):236.
24	25. Wang H, Xing J, Grover D, et al. SVA elements: a hominid-specific retroposon family. Journal of
25	molecular biology 2005; 354 (4):994-1007.
26	26. Faulkner GJ, Garcia-Perez JL. L1 Mosaicism in Mammals: Extent, Effects, and Evolution. Trends in
27	genetics : TIG 2017; 33 (11):802-16.
28	27. Erwin JA, Marchetto MC, Gage FH. Mobile DNA elements in the generation of diversity and
29	complexity in the brain. Nature reviews Neuroscience 2014; 15 (8):497-506.
30 31	28. Coufal NG, Garcia-Perez JL, Peng GE, et al. L1 retrotransposition in human neural progenitor cells.
37	Nature 2009; 460 (7259):1127-31.
33	29. Macia A, Widmann TJ, Heras SR, et al. Engineered LINE-1 retrotransposition in nondividing
34	human neurons. Genome research 2017; 27 (3):335-48.
35	30. Muotri AR, Chu VI, Marchetto MC, et al. Somatic mosaicism in neuronal precursor cells mediated
36	by L1 retrotransposition. Nature 2005; 435 (7044):903-10.
37	31. Richardson SR, Morell S, Faulkner GJ. L1 retrotransposons and somatic mosaicism in the brain.
38	Allitudi review of genetics 2014; 48 .1-27.
39	32. Jubelt B, Berger JR. Does viral disease underne ALS? Lessons from the AIDS pandemic. Neurology
40	2001, 37 (0).343-0.
41	response to antiretroviral therapy. Neurology 2016: 97 (17):1756-62
42	34 Verma & Berger IB ALS syndrome in natients with HIV-1 infection Journal of the neurological
45 44	sciences 2006; 240 (1-2):59-64
45	35 Andrews WD Tuke PW Al-Chalabi A et al. Detection of reverse transcriptase activity in the
46	serum of patients with motor neurone disease. Journal of medical virology 2000;61(4):527-
47	32
48	36. Steele AL Al-Chalabi A. Ferrante K. et al. Detection of serum reverse transcriptase activity in
49	patients with ALS and unaffected blood relatives. Neurology 2005; 64 (3):454-8.
50	37. Li W. Lee MH. Henderson L. et al. Human endogenous retrovirus-K contributes to motor neuron
51	disease. Sci Transl Med 2015: 7 (307):307ra153.
52	38. Li W, Jin Y, Prazak L, et al. Transposable elements in TDP-43-mediated neurodegenerative
53	disorders. PloS one 2012; 7 (9):e44099.
54	,
55	
20 57	
57	
59	17
60	https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jnnp

2	
ک ₄	
4	
5	
6	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
30	
30	
37	
22	
22	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

- Krug L, Chatterjee N, Borges-Monroy R, et al. Retrotransposon activation contributes to neurodegeneration in a Drosophila TDP-43 model of ALS. PLoS genetics 2017;13(3):e1006635.
- 40. Prudencio M, Gonzales PK, Cook CN, et al. Repetitive element transcripts are elevated in the brain of C9orf72 ALS/FTLD patients. Human molecular genetics 2017.
- 41. Douville R, Liu J, Rothstein J, et al. Identification of active loci of a human endogenous retrovirus in neurons of patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Annals of neurology 2011;69(1):141-51.
- 42. Mayer J, Harz C, Sanchez L, et al. Transcriptional profiling of HERV-K(HML-2) in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and potential implications for expression of HML-2 proteins. Molecular neurodegeneration 2018;**13**(1):39.
- 43. Bennett EA, Coleman LE, Tsui C, et al. Natural genetic variation caused by transposable elements in humans. Genetics 2004;**168**(2):933-51.
- 44. Ewing AD. Transposable element detection from whole genome sequence data. Mobile DNA 2015;6:24.
- 45. Stacey SN, Kehr B, Gudmundsson J, et al. Insertion of an SVA-E retrotransposon into the CASP8 gene is associated with protection against prostate cancer. Human molecular genetics 2016;25(5):1008-18.
- 46. Payer LM, Steranka JP, Yang WR, et al. Structural variants caused by Alu insertions are associated with risks for many human diseases. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2017;**114**(20):E3984-E92.
- 47. Wang L, Norris ET, Jordan IK. Human Retrotransposon Insertion Polymorphisms Are Associated with Health and Disease via Gene Regulatory Phenotypes. Frontiers in microbiology 2017;8:1418.
- 48. Kenna KP, van Doormaal PT, Dekker AM, et al. NEK1 variants confer susceptibility to amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Nature genetics 2016;**48**(9):1037-42.
- 49. Baillie JK, Barnett MW, Upton KR, et al. Somatic retrotransposition alters the genetic landscape of the human brain. Nature 2011;**479**(7374):534-7.
- 50. Upton KR, Gerhardt DJ, Jesuadian JS, et al. Ubiquitous L1 mosaicism in hippocampal neurons. Cell 2015;161(2):228-39.
- 51. Evrony GD, Cai X, Lee E, et al. Single-neuron sequencing analysis of L1 retrotransposition and somatic mutation in the human brain. Cell 2012;**151**(3):483-96.
- 52. Evrony GD, Lee E, Park PJ, et al. Resolving rates of mutation in the brain using single-neuron genomics. eLife 2016;5.
- 53. Goodier JL. Restricting retrotransposons: a review. Mobile DNA 2016;7:16.
- 54. De Cecco M, Criscione SW, Peckham EJ, et al. Genomes of replicatively senescent cells undergo global epigenetic changes leading to gene silencing and activation of transposable elements. Aging cell 2013;**12**(2):247-56.
- 55. De Cecco M, Criscione SW, Peterson AL, et al. Transposable elements become active and mobile in the genomes of aging mammalian somatic tissues. Aging 2013;**5**(12):867-83.
- 56. Li W, Prazak L, Chatterjee N, et al. Activation of transposable elements during aging and neuronal decline in Drosophila. Nature neuroscience 2013;**16**(5):529-31.

Figure 1: Structure of transposable elements in the human genome. A) Structure of Hsmar-1, a member of the mariner-like DNA transposon superfamily. The human genome harbours inactive members of seven of the nine eukaryotic superfamilies of DNA transposons.⁵³³ (TIR-terminal inverted repeat) B) Organisation of the retrotransposons HERV-K, LINE-1, *Alu* and SVA. An intact full length HERV-K provirus is ~9.5 kb long, codes for group-specific antigen (Gag), protease (Pro),

polymerase (Pol) and envelope (Env) proteins and is flanked by $\sim 1kb$ long terminal repeats (LTRs) with the 5'LTR including the HERV-K promoter. A functional full length LINE-1 element is ~6 kb in length, encodes three open reading frames (ORF0, ORF1 and ORF2) with ORF1 and ORF2 being separated by a 63bp noncoding spacer region. The 5' untranslated region (5'UTR) harbours the endogenous LINE-1 promoter and an antisense promoter. The 3' untranslated region (3'UTR) includes the transcriptional termination signal. Alu elements are primate specific short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs) that are ~280-300bp long and are composed of a 7SL-RNA derived left and right monomer separated by an A-rich connector (A_5TACA_6) and end in a poly A tail (A_n). The SVA element is a composite hominid specific retrotransposon containing a (CCCTCT), hexamer repeat, an Alu-like region consisting of two antisense Alu fragments and an intervening unique sequence, a variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) region, and a short interspersed element of retroviral origin (SINE-R) region. The length of an intact SVA can vary depending on the number of repeats present in the hexamer and VNTR domains and the length of the poly A-tail. LINE-1, Alu and SVA insertions are characterised by the hallmarks of LINE-1-mediated retrotransposition such as flanking variable target site duplications (TSDs, black triangles), polyA tails at their 3' ends (A_n) and insertion at the consensus target sequence 5'-TTTT/AA-3'. Figure 2: The effects of LTR retrotransposon insertions on the host genome. Both intact full-length

HERV proviruses and solo LTRs that are the result of recombination events can affect host gene expression. The mechanisms through which these insertions can act are outlined. A) LTR sequences contain regulatory domains to control the expression of the proviral HERV insertions and may become exapted as a novel promoter controlling host gene expression often in a tissue specific manner. B) The LTRs of HERVs contain binding sites for transcription factors that can either act to enhance or repress host gene expression depending on the protein complexes bound. C) A small number of HERV insertions retain coding capacity and specific insertions play an important role in humans, for example the Env protein of a HERV-W insertion is involved in human placental morphogenesis and the formation of the syncytiotrophoblast. D) The full-length HERV provirus or solo LTRs in an intron can result in alternative splicing of the host gene due to splice sites present in the HERV sequences. E) Full-length HERV provirus or solo LTRs located downstream of a host gene can promote the expression of antisense transcripts of this gene. F) Sequence similarities between HERV LTRs across the genome provide binding sites for the same transcription factors allowing for the regulation of a network of genes. G) HERV-encoded polyA signals that are located in introns can cause premature termination or pausing of transcription. H) DNA methylation and repressive histone

modifications (e.g. H3K9me3) act to silence HERV expression and can impact on neighbouring gene expression. This figure was compiled from references 15, S9 and S34.

Figure 3: Schematic of the endogenous LINE-1 retrotransposition cycle. An intact, full-length LINE-1 source element on chromosome A is transcribed by RNA Polymerase II. The resulting bicistronic mRNA is exported into the cytoplasm where translation of the encoded open reading frames (ORFs) occurs. Acting in cis: ORF1p and ORF2p exhibit a cis-preference for their own mRNA molecule. A multitude of ORF1p trimers and as few as one copy of ORF2p associate with their encoding RNA to form an LINE-1 ribonucleoprotein (L1 RNP) particle. Either the entire RNP or RNP components enter the nucleus where LINE-1 endonuclease (EN) activity nicks the genomic DNA of chromosome B at the LINE-1 consensus 5'-TTTT/A-3', exposing a 3' hydroxyl residue from which the LINE-1 reverse transcriptase (RT) initiates target site-primed reverse transcription (TPRT) of the associated LINE-1 mRNA. Processes leading to second-strand cleavage, and second strand-complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis are still unclear. TPRT results in target site duplication-flanked LINE-1 de novo insertions which are seldom full-length and usually 5'-truncated. Acting in trans: Occasionally, LINE-1-encoded ORF1p and ORF2p bind Alu RNA, SVA mRNA or cellular host gene-encoded RNA-Polymerase II mRNAs in the cytoplasm, form RNPs with these RNAs and mediate their *trans*-mobilisation by TPRT. The figure has been adapted from multiple reviews. ^{31 S18 S35} (ORF1p – protein encoded by ORF1 of LINE-1. ORF2p – protein encoded by ORF2 of LINE-1)

Figure 4: The effects of non-LTR retrotransposon insertions on host gene expression. Non-LTR retrotransposon insertions can affect gene expression through multiple mechanisms and the major mechanisms are outlined. A) The control of host gene expression by sense and/or antisense promoters of neighbouring non-LTR retrotransposon insertions has been reported for LINE-1 and *Alu* elements¹⁶ and the initial 328 bp of a specific subtype of SVAs have been reported to harbour promoter activity.⁵³⁶ Transcriptional start sites have been identified within LINE-1, *Alu* and SVA sequences.^{537 538} B) LINE-1, *Alu* and SVA sequences contain binding sites for transcription factors that can have either positive or negative regulatory effects on the expression of neighbouring host genes depending on the protein complexes bound.⁵³⁹ C) LINE-1, *Alu* and SVA insertions into exons can cause loss of function mutations.¹⁵ D) Splice sites within LINE-1, *Alu* and SVA insertions residing in introns can result in new exons within host genes and alternative splicing.¹⁵ E) When two *Alu* repeats are inserted in the opposite orientation in close proximity, base pairing between the two repeats can occur in the mRNA forming double stranded RNA. Adenosine deaminases can bind to the double stranded RNA and deaminate adenosine to inosine (A to I editing) affecting transcript stability. As inosine is recognised by the translational and splicing machinery as guanosine, this RNA editing could

1 2	
3 4	
5 6 7	
, 8 9	
10 11	
12 13	
14 15 16	
17 18	
19 20	
21 22	
23 24 25	
26 27	
28 29 30	
31 32	
33 34	
35 36	
38 39	
40 41	
42 43	
44 45 46	
47 48	
49 50	
51	

55 56

57

58 59

60

lead to an amino acid substitution (if occurs in the coding sequence), alternative splicing or modification of microRNA binding.⁵⁴⁰ F) The adenosine-rich nature of LINE-1, *Alu* and SVA transcripts can introduce premature polyadenylation and/or RNA polymerase II transcriptional pause sites into genes, thereby resulting in termination of transcription within the retrotransposons sequence or reducing their expression. ^{S38 S41} G) Epigenetic alterations at the integration site of a new retrotransposon insertion can restrict retrotransposon expression and include DNA methylation (LINE-1, Alu and SVAs contain multiple CpG sites) and heterochromatin formation which can also μ uring μ .tron of a ce. .nism known as ger lead to the repression of neighbouring genes.⁵³ H) Full-length LINE-1 insertions that inserted in the antisense orientation into an intron of a cellular gene can split the gene's transcript into two smaller transcripts through a mechanism known as gene breaking.⁵⁴²

Pol

3'UTR

ORF2

RT

SINE-R

Figure 1: Structure of transposable elements in the human genome

338x190mm (300 x 300 DPI)

3'LTR

ŤSE

Env

A. Hsmar-1 (~1.29 kb)

TR

B. HERV-K (~9.5 kb)

5'LTR TSD

LINE-1 (~6 kb)

Alu (~280 bp)

A B

SVA (~0.7 - 4 kb)

5'UTR

(CCCTCT), Alu-like

Gag

A₅TACA

ORF1

7SL-deriv

Pro

VNTR

EN





59 60

56 57 58

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jnnp





ransμ nm (300 x 300 μ. Figure 2: The effects of LTR retrotransposon insertions on the host genome.

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jnnp





Figure 3: Schematic of the endogenous LINE-1 retrotransposition cycle

254x190mm (300 x 300 DPI)



60



Figure 4: The effects of non-LTR retrotransposon insertions on host gene expression.

338x190mm (300 x 300 DPI)

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jnnp

SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

- S1. Al-Chalabi A. Perspective: Don't keep it in the family. Nature 2017;550(7676):S112.
- S2. Byrne S, Bede P, Elamin M, et al. Proposed criteria for familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Amyotroph Lateral Scler 2011;**12**(3):157-9.
- S3. Al-Chalabi A, Fang F, Hanby MF, et al. An estimate of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis heritability using twin data. Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry 2010;**81**(12):1324-6.
- S4. Hanby MF, Scott KM, Scotton W, et al. The risk to relatives of patients with sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Brain : a journal of neurology 2011;**134**(Pt 12):3454-7.
- S5. Al-Chalabi A, van den Berg LH, Veldink J. Gene discovery in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: implications for clinical management. Nature reviews Neurology 2017;**13**(2):96-104.
- S6. Goodier JL, Kazazian HH, Jr. Retrotransposons revisited: the restraint and rehabilitation of parasites. Cell 2008;**135**(1):23-35.
- S7. Richardson JM, Dawson A, O'Hagan N, et al. Mechanism of Mos1 transposition: insights from structural analysis. The EMBO journal 2006;**25**(6):1324-34.
- S8. Mitra R, Li X, Kapusta A, et al. Functional characterization of piggyBat from the bat Myotis lucifugus unveils an active mammalian DNA transposon. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2013;**110**(1):234-9.
- S9. Thompson PJ, Macfarlan TS, Lorincz MC. Long Terminal Repeats: From Parasitic Elements to Building Blocks of the Transcriptional Regulatory Repertoire. Molecular cell 2016;**62**(5):766-76.
- S10. Cohen CJ, Lock WM, Mager DL. Endogenous retroviral LTRs as promoters for human genes: a critical assessment. Gene 2009;**448**(2):105-14.
- S11. Barbulescu M, Turner G, Seaman MI, et al. Many human endogenous retrovirus K (HERV-K) proviruses are unique to humans. Current biology : CB 1999;**9**(16):861-8.
- S12. Christensen T. Human endogenous retroviruses in neurologic disease. APMIS : acta pathologica, microbiologica, et immunologica Scandinavica 2016;**124**(1-2):116-26.
- S13. Khazina E, Weichenrieder O. Non-LTR retrotransposons encode noncanonical RRM domains in their first open reading frame. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2009;**106**(3):731-6.
- S14. Feng Q, Moran JV, Kazazian HH, Jr., et al. Human L1 retrotransposon encodes a conserved endonuclease required for retrotransposition. Cell 1996;**87**(5):905-16.
- S15. Babushok DV, Kazazian HH, Jr. Progress in understanding the biology of the human mutagen LINE-1. Human mutation 2007;**28**(6):527-39.
- S16. Macia A, Munoz-Lopez M, Cortes JL, et al. Epigenetic control of retrotransposon expression in human embryonic stem cells. Mol Cell Biol 2011;**31**(2):300-16.
- S17. Denli AM, Narvaiza I, Kerman BE, et al. Primate-specific ORF0 contributes to retrotransposonmediated diversity. Cell 2015;**163**(3):583-93.
- S18. Burns KH. Transposable elements in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2017;**17**(7):415-24.
- S19. Ferrante P, Westarp ME, Mancuso R, et al. HTLV tax-rex DNA and antibodies in idiopathic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Journal of the neurological sciences 1995;**129 Suppl**:140-4.
- S20. Gardner MB, Henderson BE, Officer JE, et al. A spontaneous lower motor neuron disease apparently caused by indigenous type-C RNA virus in wild mice. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 1973;**51**(4):1243-54.
- S21. Josephs KA, Whitwell JL, Weigand SD, et al. TDP-43 is a key player in the clinical features associated with Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol 2014;**127**(6):811-24.
- S22. Mackenzie IR, Bigio EH, Ince PG, et al. Pathological TDP-43 distinguishes sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with SOD1 mutations. Annals of neurology 2007;**61**(5):427-34.
- S23. Gotzl JK, Lang CM, Haass C, et al. Impaired protein degradation in FTLD and related disorders. Ageing Res Rev 2016;**32**:122-39.

1	
2	
3	S24. Buratti E, Baralle FE. TDP-43: gumming up neurons through protein-protein and protein-RNA
4	interactions. Trends in biochemical sciences 2012; 37 (6):237-47.
5	S25. Muotri AR, Marchetto MC, Coufal NG, et al. L1 retrotransposition in neurons is modulated by
6	MeCP2. Nature 2010; 468 (7322):443-6.
/	S26. Coufal NG, Garcia-Perez JL, Peng GE, et al. Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) modulates long
8	interspersed element-1 (L1) retrotransposition in human neural stem cells. Proceedings of
9	the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2011; 108 (51):20382-7.
10	S27. Shpyleva S, Melnyk S, Pavliv O, et al. Overexpression of LINE-1 Retrotransposons in Autism
17	Brain. Molecular neurobiology 2017.
12	S28. Bundo M, Toyoshima M, Okada Y, et al. Increased 11 retrotransposition in the neuronal genome
14	in schizophrenia. Neuron 2014; 81 (2):306-13.
15	S29. Doyle GA, Crist RC, Karatas ET, et al. Analysis of LINE-1 Elements in DNA from Postmortem
16	Brains of Individuals with Schizophrenia. Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of
17	the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology 2017.
18	S30. van der Kuyl AC. HIV infection and HERV expression: a review. Retrovirology 2012; 9 :6.
19	S31. McLaughlin RL, Schijven D, van Rheenen W, et al. Genetic correlation between amyotrophic
20	lateral sclerosis and schizophrenia. Nature communications 2017;8:14774.
21	S32. Sudmant PH, Rausch T, Gardner EJ, et al. An integrated map of structural variation in 2,504
22	human genomes. Nature 2015; 526 (7571):75-81.
23	S33. Pace JK, 2nd, Feschotte C. The evolutionary history of human DNA transposons: evidence for
24	intense activity in the primate lineage. Genome research 2007; 17 (4):422-32.
25	S34. Dupressoir A, Lavialle C, Heidmann T. From ancestral infectious retroviruses to bona fide cellular
26	genes: role of the captured syncytins in placentation. Placenta 2012; 33 (9):663-71.
27	S35. Beck CR, Garcia-Perez JL, Badge RM, et al. LINE-1 elements in structural variation and disease.
28	Annual review of genomics and human genetics 2011; 12 :187-215.
29	S36. Zabolotneva AA, Bantysh O, Suntsova MV, et al. Transcriptional regulation of human-specific
30 21	SVAF(1) retrotransposons by cis-regulatory MAST2 sequences. Gene 2012; 505 (1):128-36.
20	S37. Faulkner GJ, Kimura Y, Daub CO, et al. The regulated retrotransposon transcriptome of
32	mammalian cells. Nature genetics 2009;41(5):563-71.
32	S38. Kim DS, Hahn Y. Identification of human-specific transcript variants induced by DNA insertions
35	in the human genome. Bioinformatics 2011; 27 (1):14-21.
36	S39. Sun X, Wang X, Tang Z, et al. Transcription factor profiling reveals molecular choreography and
37	key regulators of human retrotransposon expression. Proceedings of the National Academy
38	of Sciences of the United States of America 2018; 115 (24):E5526-E35.
39	S40. Hasler J, Strub K. Alu elements as regulators of gene expression. Nucleic acids research
40	2006; 34 (19):5491-7.
41	S41. Han JS, Szak ST, Boeke JD. Transcriptional disruption by the L1 retrotransposon and implications
42	for mammalian transcriptomes. Nature 2004; 429 (6989):268-74.
43	S42. Wheelan SJ, Aizawa Y, Han JS, et al. Gene-breaking: a new paradigm for human
44	retrotransposon-mediated gene evolution. Genome research 2005; 15 (8):1073-8.
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
55	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	