MR imaging of metastasis

**Brief Report** 

Magnetic resonance imaging for characterisation of a chick embryo model of cancer

cell metastases

Anne Herrmann<sup>1</sup>, Arthur Taylor<sup>2</sup>, Patricia Murray<sup>2</sup>, Harish Poptani<sup>2</sup>, Violaine Sée<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 7ZB, UK

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Preclinical Imaging, Department of Cellular and Molecular Physiology, University

of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 7ZB, UK

Corresponding author: \* Violaine Sée, Department of Biochemistry, Institute of Integrative

Biology, Crown Street, Liverpool L69 7ZB, UK.

Telephone +44 151 795 4598, Fax: +44 151 795 4404, E-mail violaine@liverpool.ac.uk

Running title: MRI imaging of metastasis

**Keywords:** MRI, chick embryo, imaging metastasis, neuroblastoma, *in ovo* imaging

Word count: 4317

Number of figures: 3 + 1 supplemental figure

1

## **ABSTRACT**

Metastasis is the most common cause of death for cancer patients. To fully understand the steps involved in metastatic dissemination, *in vivo* models are required, of which murine ones are the most common. Therefore preclinical imaging methods such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have mainly been developed for small mammals, and their potential to monitor cancer growth and metastasis in non-mammalian models is not fully harnessed. We have here used MRI to measure primary neuroblastoma tumour size and metastasis in a chick embryo model. We compared its sensitivity and accuracy to end-point fluorescence detection upon dissection.

Human neuroblastoma cells labelled with GFP and micron-sized iron particles (MPIOs) were implanted on the extraembryonic chorioallantoic membrane of the chick at E7. T<sub>2</sub> RARE, T<sub>2</sub> weighted FLASH as well as time-of-flight MR angiography imaging was applied at E14. MPIO labelling of neuroblastoma cells allowed *in ovo* observation of the primary tumour and tumour volume measurement non-invasively. Moreover, T<sub>2</sub> weighted and FLASH imaging permitted the detection of small metastatic deposits in the chick embryo, thereby reinforcing the potential of this convenient, 3R compliant, *in vivo* model for cancer research.

## **BACKGROUND**

Metastasis accounts for 90% of cancer deaths (1), yet it is one of the most poorly understood aspects of tumour progression. In order to reduce metastasis associated mortality, it is crucial to understand how, when and where metastasis occurs. However, small size, heterogeneity and large dispersal of disseminated cancer cells, combined with the limited sensitivity and spatial resolution of current clinical imaging methods, make their early and reliable detection challenging. Metastatic dissemination is a complex process involving several steps from the initial detachment of cells from the primary tumour, diffusion within the surrounding stromal tissue, degradation of the extracellular matrix and intravasation into the blood stream. Once in the circulatory system, tumour cells not only have to survive the hostile environment, but also attach to the endothelial cells of the vessel wall, extravasate in the extravascular tissue and proliferate in the metastatic site to form secondary tumours (2). Although many of these steps have been studied at a molecular level *in vitro*, visualisation of the dynamic events *in vivo* remain elusive.

Currently used methods to detect the presence of metastasis *in vivo* in experimental studies rely mostly on endpoint measurements and require the termination of the experiment and organ dissection. Modern imaging modalities such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET) or bioluminescence imaging (BLI) allow non-invasive and longitudinal imaging of metastatic dissemination in whole organisms. In addition, MRI provides enhanced soft tissue contrast, three dimensional anatomical information and high spatial resolution. While the detection of primary tumours with MRI is already a routine practise, finding metastasis is more challenging as the metastatic cell population is heterogeneous and usually consists of single cells or a small group of malignant cells present in various tissue types, which makes their detection difficult. The use of contrast agents like iron oxide nanoparticles or Gadolinum-based agents for cell labelling can enhance contrast and thus detection limit. Iron oxide particles cause a distortion in the magnetic field leading to a change in T<sub>2</sub>/T<sub>2</sub>\* relaxation and are mainly used to generate hypointense contrast on MRI (3, 4). While a broad range of iron oxide particles are available for cell tracking, micron-sized iron

particles (MPIOs) are of special importance as they are not only taken up efficiently and rapidly by cells but also enable prolonged imaging due to their ability to label cells with a single particle only (5-7). Using contrast agents, metastasising cells could be detected in the lymph nodes (3, 8-10), liver (11-13) and brain (14) of rodents. Foster *et al.* reported the detection of approximately 100 MPIO labelled cells after direct implantation of melanoma cells in the lymph node (3). Even detection at single cell level was observed as small metastastic deposits could be found in livers post mortem (12) and in the brain after injection into the left ventricle of the heart (14).

Whilst rodents constitute the most widely used preclinical model for studying tumour development and metastasis, the chick embryo is a versatile 3R compliant model that is readily accessible in or ex ovo, nutritionally self-sufficient, cost-efficient and phylogenetically more similar to mammals than several other models of replacement, such as the zebrafish or nematode worm. The main advantage, when models for tumour formation are considered, is the accessibility of its chorioallantoic membrane (CAM), a highly vascularised extraembryonic membrane that is located directly beneath the eggshell. Thus, tumour cells can be engrafted easily, non-invasively and in the absence of an 'interfering' immune system, since the chick embryo is immunodeficient at earlier stages of development, when cells are implanted. Within days, tumour formation occurs and, in the case of aggressive tumours, metastasising cells can colonise the host's organs via haematogenous metastasis (15). However, despite all the advantages of the chick embryo, its potential has not been fully exploited so far. One reason for this might be the difference in protocols required for the successful MR imaging without motion artefacts. While the topical application of anaesthetic agents can be used to achieve motionless imaging, it can impair the embryo's survival and thus render this method impractical for longitudinal imaging. Cooling the embryo on the other hand has been successfully used for repeated MR imaging at different embryonic stage (16, 17). Together, it makes the chick embryo model an attractive alternative for in vivo animal experiments.

We evaluated the advantages and limitations of MRI to study metastatic dissemination of neuroblastoma in the chick embryo, as a preclinical model. We have shown previously that we can induce metastasis *in vivo* by preculturing neuroblastoma cells in hypoxia or by treating with the hypoxia mimetic drug dimethyloxalylglycine (DMOG), where cells metastasise in 52% and 75% of cases, respectively (15). Whilst MRI has previously been used to monitor tumour growth in the chick embryo (17), we here investigated the feasibility of MRI to detect metastatic deposits of MPIO labelled neuroblastoma cells in the chick embryo.

#### **METHODS**

## Cell culture

The human NB line SK-N-AS (ECACC No. 94092302) was grown in Minimal Essential Medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal calf serum and 1% (v/v) non-essential amino acids (both Life Technologies) and maintained in a humidified incubator at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. For hypoxic studies, cells were maintained at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 1% O<sub>2</sub> (Don Whitley Scientific – Hypoxystation-H35).

#### Stable cell line generation and cell labelling

Lentiviral particles were produced with the transfer vector pLNT-SFFV-EGFP (18) as described previously (6). For cell labelling 2  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> SK-N-AS cells were seeded in a T-75 flask and allowed to grow for 24 h. Then 20  $\mu$ M of Suncoast Yellow Encapsulated Magnetic Polymers (Bangs Beads, Stratech Scientific, Suffolk, England) were added directly to the complete culture medium and cells were allowed to grow for further 48 h. After the labelling period, the cells were carefully washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to remove excess contrast agent, harvested and used for *in vivo* studies. Stability of the bang beads over time and their remaining numbers in cells upon multiple cycle of cell division was previously tested (6).

#### Primary tumour, experimental and spontaneous metastasis assay

For the observation of the primary tumour, CAM implantation at E7 was performed as described previously (19). In brief, fluorescent (GFP) and MPIO labelled SK-N-AS cells were harvested and 1  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells/ $\mu$ I were resuspended in serum free media. CAM implantation was achieved by transferring 2  $\mu$ I of the cell suspension into the CAM membrane fold created by careful laceration of White Leghorn chicken embryos.

For the observation of cells directly injected in the chick organs, fluorescent and MPIO labelled SK-N-AS cells were harvested and  $1 \times 10^5$  cells/µl resuspended in serum free media and 0.15% (v/v) Fast green (Sigma-Aldrich). Cell implantation was achieved by injecting 3 µl

of the cell suspension into the brain of White Leghorn chicken embryos *in ovo* at E7 using a micro-capillary pipette.

For the observation of spontaneous metastasis CAM implantation at E7 was performed as described above for primary tumour formation using hypoxic preconditioned neuroblastoma cells. In brief, fluorescent (GFP) labelled SK-N-AS cells were preconditioned in 1%  $O_2$  for 3 days. MPIO labelling took place 48h prior harvesting. Cells were harvested and 1 ×  $10^6$  cells/µl were resuspended in serum free media. CAM implantation was achieved by transferring 2- 10 µl of the cell suspension into the CAM membrane as explained above.

After cell implantation, eggs were maintained at 37 °C and 40% humidity (Ovo Easy 380, Brinsea) until E11 or E14 and all animal work followed UK regulations (Consolidated version of ASPA 1986). For MR scanning, embryos were removed from the incubator at E11 or E14, cooled at 4 °C for 60 min and then imaged. The cooling protocol was previously described by Zuo et al., however, here the same cooling duration was used for both E11 and E14, as it was enough, in our room temperature conditions, to avoid chick movement and to keep the egg cold upon imaging. In the case of time-of-flight (ToF) angiographic MRI, embryos were not cooled but anaesthetised with 3.6 mM Ketamine in 500 µl of PBS (Sigma-Aldrich) dropped directly onto the CAM prior to MR imaging. The protocol for ketamine application was optimised by using different concentration of ketamine (data not shown). The application of 3.6 mM Ketamine in 500 µl of PBS resulted in MR imaging that was free of motion artefacts for 30 mins (ToF scanning time was 12 min). Whilst the embryos recovered well after anaesthesia, we cannot exclude an impact on long-term survival as mentioned by Zuo et al. (17).

## Fluorescent detection of tumour and metastatic deposits.

Following MRI, a standard fluorescent stereo microscope (Leica M165-FC) was used to image primary tumours and metastatic deposits. Tumours were removed from the CAM and were imaged from three different perspectives (dorsal, ventral and lateral). Following removal

of primary tumours from the CAM, embryos were dissected. Organs were removed and tumour cells and / or metastatic deposits identified by fluorescence.

Subsequently, tumour and organ samples were fixed for up to 12 h in 4% formaldehyde for the preparation of 10 µm thick frozen sections. Frozen tissue slices were stained with Hoechst and analysed with an epi-fluorescent microscope (Axio ObserverZ1, Zeiss). A representative sagittal MRI slice was correlated with the section of the region of tumour or metastatic deposit.

## **Tumour volume calculation**

By microscopy

Excised tumours were imaged from three different perspectives (dorsal, ventral and lateral). Average tumour volume was calculated as previously described (15) using  $V = \frac{4}{3 \times \pi \times l \times h \times d}$ , where I is length, h is height and d is depth. The volume of tumours extracted from 8 chick embryos was analysed.

#### By MRI

 $T_2$  weighted ( $T_2$ W) images were used for tumour volume calculation. The tumour area was measured with ImageJ (Wayne Rasband) in each slice and tumour volume was calculated using  $V = (t+h)\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i$ , where N is the number of slices,  $A_i$  the area of the ROI encompassing the tumour, h the slice gap and t the slice thickness (20). The volume of tumours extracted from 8 chick embryos was analysed.

#### In ovo MRI

MR data were acquired with a Bruker Avance III spectrometer interfaced to a 9.4T magnet (Bruker Biospec 90/20 USR) using a 74 cm transmit-receive resonator coil. Sagittal images of the chick embryos were acquired using following sequences: (1) high resolution **TurboRARE**  $T_2$  weighted ( $T_2$ W) images with the following parameters: field of view 45 × 35 mm, matrix

size  $512 \times 398$  ( $256 \times 198$  for Figure 1A-C), slice thickness 0.4 mm (0.5 mm for Figure 1A-C), slice gap 0.3 mm, effective TE 35 ms, TR 7822 ms (6703 ms and 7262 ms for Figure 1A and Figure 1B/C, respectively), averages 5, slices 70 (60 and 65 for Figure 1A and Figure 1B/C, respectively), scan time 31 min 56 s (13 min 24 s and 14 min 31 s for Figure 1A and Figure 1B/C, respectively); **(2)** T2\* weighted ( $T_2*W$ ) images using a **FLASH** sequence with the following parameters: field of view  $45 \times 35$  mm, matrix size  $512 \times 398$ , slice thickness 0.4 mm, slice gap 0.3 mm, effective TE 6.88 ms, TR 1135 ms, averages 3, flip angle  $30^\circ$ , slices 70, scan time 22 min 35 s; **(3)** angiography using a **ToF** sequence with following parameters: field of view  $45 \times 35$  mm, matrix size  $512 \times 398$ , slice thickness 0.4 mm, slice gap 0.3 mm, effective TE 3.1 ms, TR 13 ms, averages 2, flip angle  $80^\circ$ , slices 70, scan time 12 min 4 s.

#### **RESULTS**

T2W imaging of chick embryos allows observation of tumourigenesis and embryonic development.

Fluorescently labelled (GFP) neuroblastoma cells were implanted on the CAM at E7 and tumour formation was assessed by MRI. Representative images from T<sub>2</sub>W multi-slice MRI scans obtained at E11 and E14 are shown in Figure 1A and B, respectively. Allantois, yolk sack and chick embryo organs such as liver, kidneys and heart can be clearly identified and studied over time. The cooling of the embryos at 4 °C for 60 min prior to imaging reduced their movement for up to 60 min allowing motionless imaging. Tumours grown on the CAM can be easily identified by MRI (Figure 1C). Primary tumour dissection with a fluorescent microscope revealed that location and morphology of the tumour are in good correlation with the images acquired by MRI (Figure 1D).

# MPIO labelling facilitates tumorigenesis observation and allows tumour volume measurement.

To investigate whether MPIO labelling enhances the detection of primary tumours, GFP-expressing neuroblastoma cells were labelled with red fluorescent MPIOs for 48 h prior to CAM implantation. MPIO uptake was efficient as all cells contained multiple MPIOs 24 h post labelling (Figure 2A). MPIO labelled cells successfully formed tumours on the CAM and signal from GFP as well as MPIOs could be detected by fluorescence (Figure 2B). Tumour formation was then assessed by T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub>\*W fast low angle shot (FLASH) MRI scans. Using FLASH, areas containing cells labelled with MPIOs should experience an enhanced signal loss compared to other areas, such as blood vessels or tissue. Representative images from T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub>\*W FLASH scans obtained at E14 show that, as with unlabelled cells, tumours could be readily identified in the MR scans (Figure 2C). Tumours formed from MPIO labelled cells, however, displayed a much stronger signal loss, which is expected given their iron oxide load.

Primary tumour dissection revealed that location and morphology of the tumour were comparable to the images acquired by MRI (Figure 2B). Fluorescent images of frozen tumour sections revealed a homogenous distribution of MPIOs within the tumour (Figure 2D). Only a fraction of cells still contained MPIOs, which was expected due to extensive cell proliferation during tumour development *in vivo* and consequently, progressive dilution of the label between daughter cells. MPIOs were only observed in GFP-labelled tumour cells and not in the surrounding chick tissue.

In order to determine whether MRI can also be used to determine tumour volume, tumour areas on sagittal T<sub>2</sub>W MRI slices displaying the primary tumour were measured and calculated as described in the method section. Different amounts of cancer cells were implanted in order to compare a range of tumour sizes and observe whether the two methods relate for small as well as large tumours. In addition we also compared labelled cells with unlabelled ones. Tumour volume estimates were then compared to those obtained from tumour excision and microscopy and were comparable with a difference of 6.19% and 5.95% for tumours formed by unlabelled and labelled cells, respectively (Figure 2E). The slight dissimilarity between the two methods can be explained by the difference in volume calculation. While the volume measured in images obtained by microscopy assumes that the tumour is a spherical object, MRI allows a more precise estimation as the area of each slice displaying a tumour is considered. Whilst it was easier to see the tumours when they were labelled with MPIO, it did not drastically change the ability to detect primary tumours and it had no impact on tumour volume measurements. Thus, MRI can easily be used to study the presence, progression and volume of tumours non-invasively over time, in contrast to fluorescence microscopy, which necessitate tumour excision from the CAM.

## MPIO labelling combined with T<sub>2</sub>W and FLASH imaging allows detection of metastasis.

To first investigate whether MPIO labelling enables the detection of cells within the chick embryo organs,  $3 \times 10^5$  GFP-expressing and MPIO labelled neuroblastoma cells were directly injected into the brain of the chick embryo at E7 and analysed at E14. Representative images

from T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub> W FLASH scans obtained at E14 are shown in Figure 3A. A small region (2 x 1 mm) of signal loss can be observed in the brain indicating the presence of MPIO labelled tumour cells. Size, shape and location of the cell cluster correlate well with the fluorescent signal obtained by subsequent fluorescence microscopy and tissue analysis (Figure 3B). Like in the primary tumour growing on the CAM, MPIOs were homogenously distributed among the cell population, with a great proportion of the cells not containing MPIOs anymore.

We further evaluated whether MPIO labelling enables the detection of spontaneous and smaller metastasis by using a spontaneous metastasis model in the chick embryo (15). We have previously shown that we can control metastasis of neuroblastoma cells by hypoxic preconditioning (15). While cells grown in normoxia are capable of tumourigenesis but not of metastatic invasion, cells grown in hypoxia (3 days in 1% O<sub>2</sub>) metastasise in 52% of the cases from the primary tumour into the chick embryo organs. Whilst such metastatic phenotype was observed as an end point measurement upon chick organs dissection, the detection of metastasis in the chick embryo using imaging modalities has not yet been reported. GFPexpressing and MPIO labelled neuroblastoma cells were cultured under hypoxia, implanted on the CAM at E7 and their metastasis into chick tissues was assessed at E14, using T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub>\*W FLASH scans (Figure 3C). FLASH MRI was applied in order to distinguish the regions of signal loss caused by small blood vessels, haemorrhagic areas, air-tissue interfaces like the pancreas or areas devoid of proton signal such as the lungs from potential neuroblastoma metastasis. Several small areas of signal loss were observed in the kidneys of chick embryos. Arrows indicate the areas where signal loss with T2\*W FLASH was maintained or increased (for quantification of the signal loss, see Supplemental Figure 1), indicating the presence of metastasising labelled cells. Organ dissection and analysis by fluorescence microscopy confirmed the presence of several metastatic deposits in the kidney as shown in Figure 3D. The metastatic deposits consisted of up to 12 cells and up to 4 MPIOs. Thus even very small metastasis could be detected by MRI. However, their identification was not trivial given their small size in the inherent low MR signal of the kidney. An exact registration between MRI and histology was not possible as the MRI data acquired were non-isotropic, therefore

anatomical landmarks were used and provided a good correlation between imaging findings and histological staining.

To be able to differentiate the small metastatic deposits from the blood vessels, we applied time-of-flight MR angiography (ToF MRA). This allowed signal loss caused by small blood vessels to be distinguished from potential metastasis more effectively than using FLASH alone. As ToF is dependent on the influx of fresh unsaturated blood, chick movement reduction was necessary. We tested two methods for reducing embryo movement: ketamine anaesthesia and embryo cooling. Cooling the embryos resulted in a reduced blood flow making successful ToF acquisition unfeasible. Therefore, ketamine anaesthesia was used. Representative ToF images were overlaid with imaged from T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub>\*W FLASH scans obtained at E14 are shown in Figure 3E. Although ToF MRA allows the detection of bigger blood vessels, the small and very fine vessels in the kidney for example as well as other hypointense areas such as the gastrointestinal tract could not be resolved with the current acquisition protocol that was optimized to keep the embryos viable limiting the ability to detect small metastasis in this model.

Taken together, we have demonstrated that primary tumour formation on the CAM can be easily detected in the chick embryo model. Tumour cells within the organs of the chick embryo can be also detected, however 12 cells (labelled with 1 remaining MPIO) seemed to constitute the lower limit for a reliable detection and we anticipate that larger metastases are required to provide a more robust signal.

## **DISCUSSION**

Much of our current understanding about the complex metastatic process comes from modern imaging techniques. While each imaging modality comes with advantages and limitations, MRI offers detailed three dimensional anatomical information and high resolution over time in a non-invasive manner. In agreement with others, we show here, that MRI is a powerful imaging modality for the study of tumour progression (17, 21) and embryonic development (16, 22-26) in the chick embryo. Compared to optical imaging, it can be used to detect the presence of tumours even when they are hidden beneath the egg shell and allows the non-invasive study of tumour progression and volume over time.

The main aim of this study was to determine whether MRI can also be used to detect the presence of metastasis non-invasively in the chick embryo. In order to observe metastatic dissemination, neuroblastoma cells were labelled with MPIOs as contrast agents. The labelling of cancer cells with MPIOs did not alter tumour formation on the CAM. While it did not offer significant advantages for primary tumour detection compared to unlabelled cells, it was necessary for small metastasis detection in the chick embryo organs. We initially tried to detect large clusters of cells, administered directly to the brain of the chick embryo, which resulted in a substantial loss of signal and thus in a robust detection of cancer cells. This suggests that cancer lesions of about 2 mm are detectable in the chick embryo, a size that is smaller than the MRI detection limit of metastasis reported being 10-20 mm in rodents (27). This finding is in agreement with others that have used SPIONs to successfully detect micrometastases in lung, lymph node and brain in mice (3, 14, 28). Using SPIONs and hyperpolarized <sup>3</sup>He MRI, Branca et al. could detect micrometastasis of 0.3 mm in the lung of mice (28), while Foster et al. could detect 100 MPIO labelled cells by MRI after injecting them directly into the lymph node of mice (3) and Heyn et al. used SPION labelled breast cancer cells to detect a small number of cells in the brain of mice (14). We have also shown previously that MRI can be used to reliably detect cell clusters of 5 x 10<sup>4</sup> SPION labelled cells in the brain ex vivo (6). Apart from SPIONs, other contrast agents have also been used for imaging

metastasis. In mice, Zhou *et al.* could detect breast cancer metastases of less than 0.5 mm in different organs such as the lung, liver, lymph node, adrenal gland and bone using a gadolinium based contrast agent (29). Xue *et al.* developed a protein-based contrast agent that enabled them to image early liver metastases as small as 0.24 mm in diameter after tail vein injection of uveal melanoma cells into mice (27). It should be noted that although MPIO labelling aids the detection of metastasised cells by enhancing their contrast, the division of cancer cells will lead to an expected loss of signal. The use of micron-sized contrast agents, as used here, offer the advantage that at least one of the daughter cells could potentially retain enough iron to display a T2-shortening effect, which would be lost in the case of nano-sized particles, where a 50% reduction in signal intensity at every cell division would quickly render them undetectable. However, this means that in a rapidly dividing cancer type, a proportion of unlabelled cells exists that thus will not be detectable.

Compared to mice, the chick embryo is a cost effective and convenient model, complying with the 3Rs by replacement of animal use. At E14, the metastatic deposits of neuroblastoma cells consist of only few cells, hence we wanted to determine if MRI could be used for their identification. We could observe signal reduction caused by a single MPIO particle and thus identify very small clusters of metastasised cells in the kidneys. However, the signal observed in the chick's internal organs, including the kidney is inherently low, hence reliable detection of metastatic cells remains challenging with a potential of increased false positives. A confirmatory method, such as dissection, was needed to confirm the presence of such small metastatic deposits. These detection difficulties can be partially overcome by applying special techniques, such as ToF MRA, which we used here. Although ToF MRA enabled us to identify larger blood vessels, very small blood vessels couldn't be resolved and consequently failed to facilitate the reliable detection of small metastasis in organs such as the kidney. Hence we would recommend ToF for cases where cells consistently metastasise to a defined region, which could then be scanned using a narrower field of view with a shorter scan time. Thus,

the detection of metastasis of tumour types that disseminate either in organs of minimal signal loss, such as the brain or disseminate in bigger cell clusters, is more appropriate to this model.

In conclusion, we report that MRI is a suitable and highly sensitive imaging modality to image tumourigenesis *in vivo* using a chick embryo. We could, for the first time, identify metastatic deposits in the chick embryo by MRI. However, for reliable detection, we observed that 12 cells was the lower limit of detection. Whilst this means that this approach cannot be used to detect the onset of metastasis from a single cell, the small metastases observed was still remarkable, with the potential of providing longitudinal view of disease progression in the same animal non-invasively, particularly of primary tumours generated in areas such as the CAM or injected cells in the brain.

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BLI bioluminescence imaging

CAM chorioallantoic membrane

DMOG Dimethyloxalylglycine

FLASH fast low angle shot

H&E haematoxylin and eosin

MPIOs micron-sized iron particles

MRI magnetic resonance imaging

PBS phosphate buffered saline

PET positron emission tomography

ToF MRA time-of-flight MR angiography

T<sub>2</sub>W T<sub>2</sub> weighted

 $T_2$ \*W  $T_2$ \* weighted

## **DECLARATIONS**

## Ethics approval and consent to participate:

Not applicable, as the experiments with chick embryos were terminated at E14 and hence the proposed model is classified as non-protected under the Animals Scientific Procedures Act 1986 (amended 2012).

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

## Availability of data and materials:

The full MRI scans and images are all available in figshare https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.5625271.v1

MR imaging of metastasis

Figure 1A shows slice 45 from T<sub>2</sub>W MR Scan 'Fig1A' in ovo at E11. Figure 1B shows slice 44

from T<sub>2</sub>W MR Scan 'Fig1B-C' in ovo at E14. Figure 1C shows slice 12 from T<sub>2</sub>W MR Scan

'Fig1B-C' in ovo at E14. Figure 2C shows slice 23 from T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub>\*WFLASH MR Scan 'Fig2C

T2' and 'Fig2C FLASH' in ovo at E14. Figure 3A shows slice 14 from T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub>\*W FLASH

MR Scan 'Fig3A T2' and 'Fig3A FLASH' in ovo at E14. Figure 3C shows slice 34 and 42 from

T2-weighted and T2\*W FLASH MR Scan 'Fig3C T2' and 'Fig3C FLASH' in ovo at E14. Figure

3E shows slice 35 from T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub>\*W FLASH MR Scan 'Fig3E T2 ToF' and 'Fig3E

FLASH ToF' in ovo at E14.

**Competing interests:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding:

Funding for this project was provided by the UK Neuroblastoma Society.

**Authors' contributions:** 

A.H. and A.T. performed the experiments, analysed the data, prepared figures and drafted the

manuscript. V.S. conceived and coordinated the study and wrote the manuscript. T.M, D.M.

and H.P. contributed to the direction of the project and provided input in data analysis. All

authors reviewed drafts of the manuscript and gave final approval for publication.

**Acknowledgements:** 

Imaging data in this article were obtained in the Centre for Cell Imaging (CCI) and in the Centre

for Preclinical Imaging (CPI) of the University of Liverpool. The equipment used in the CCI and

the CPI has been funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) (MR/K015931/1 and

MR/L012707/1, respectively).

18

### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Chaffer CL, Weinberg RA. A perspective on cancer cell metastasis. Science. 2011;331(6024):1559-64.
- 2. Valastyan S, Weinberg RA. Tumor metastasis: molecular insights and evolving paradigms. Cell. 2011;147(2):275-92.
- 3. Foster PJ, Dunn EA, Karl KE, Snir JA, Nycz CM, Harvey AJ, et al. Cellular magnetic resonance imaging: in vivo imaging of melanoma cells in lymph nodes of mice. Neoplasia. 2008;10(3):207-16.
- 4. von der Haar K, Lavrentieva A, Stahl F, Scheper T, Blume C. Lost signature: progress and failures in in vivo tracking of implanted stem cells. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2015;99(23):9907-22.
- 5. Shapiro EM, Skrtic S, Sharer K, Hill JM, Dunbar CE, Koretsky AP. MRI detection of single particles for cellular imaging. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(30):10901-6.
- 6. Taylor A, Herrmann A, Moss D, See V, Davies K, Williams SR, et al. Assessing the efficacy of nano- and micro-sized magnetic particles as contrast agents for MRI cell tracking. PloS one. 2014;9(6):e100259.
- 7. Vernikouskaya I, Fekete N, Bannwarth M, Erle A, Rojewski M, Landfester K, et al. Iron-loaded PLLA nanoparticles as highly efficient intracellular markers for visualization of mesenchymal stromal cells by MRI. Contrast media & molecular imaging. 2014;9(2):109-21.
- 8. Harisinghani MG, Barentsz J, Hahn PF, Deserno WM, Tabatabaei S, van de Kaa CH, et al. Noninvasive detection of clinically occult lymph-node metastases in prostate cancer. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(25):2491-9.
- 9. Kircher MF, Allport JR, Graves EE, Love V, Josephson L, Lichtman AH, et al. In vivo high resolution three-dimensional imaging of antigen-specific cytotoxic T-lymphocyte trafficking to tumors. Cancer Res. 2003;63(20):6838-46.
- 10. Kobayashi H, Kawamoto S, Brechbiel MW, Bernardo M, Sato N, Waldmann TA, et al. Detection of lymph node involvement in hematologic malignancies using micromagnetic resonance lymphangiography with a gadolinum-labeled dendrimer nanoparticle. Neoplasia. 2005;7(11):984-91.
- 11. Peiris PM, Toy R, Doolittle E, Pansky J, Abramowski A, Tam M, et al. Imaging metastasis using an integrin-targeting chain-shaped nanoparticle. ACS Nano. 2012;6(10):8783-95.
- 12. Townson JL, Ramadan SS, Simedrea C, Rutt BK, MacDonald IC, Foster PJ, et al. Three-dimensional imaging and quantification of both solitary cells and metastases in whole mouse liver by magnetic resonance imaging. Cancer Res. 2009;69(21):8326-31.
- 13. Vogl TJ, Kummel S, Hammerstingl R, Schellenbeck M, Schumacher G, Balzer T, et al. Liver tumors: comparison of MR imaging with Gd-EOB-DTPA and Gd-DTPA. Radiology. 1996;200(1):59-67.
- 14. Heyn C, Ronald JA, Ramadan SS, Snir JA, Barry AM, MacKenzie LT, et al. In vivo MRI of cancer cell fate at the single-cell level in a mouse model of breast cancer metastasis to the brain. Magn Reson Med. 2006;56(5):1001-10.
- 15. Herrmann A, Rice M, Levy R, Pizer BL, Losty PD, Moss D, et al. Cellular memory of hypoxia elicits neuroblastoma metastasis and enables invasion by non-aggressive neighbouring cells. Oncogenesis. 2015;4:e138.
- 16. Bain MM, Fagan AJ, Mullin JM, McNaught I, McLean J, Condon B. Noninvasive monitoring of chick development in ovo using a 7T MRI system from day 12 of incubation through to hatching. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2007;26(1):198-201.
- 17. Zuo Z, Syrovets T, Genze F, Abaei A, Ma G, Simmet T, et al. High-resolution MRI analysis of breast cancer xenograft on the chick chorioallantoic membrane. NMR Biomed. 2015;28(4):440-7.
- 18. Carter R, Mullassery D, See V, Theocharatos S, Pizer B, Losty PD, et al. Exploitation of chick embryo environments to reprogram MYCN-amplified neuroblastoma cells to a benign phenotype, lacking detectable MYCN expression. Oncogenesis. 2012;1:e24.
- 19. Herrmann A, Moss D, See V. The Chorioallantoic Membrane of the Chick Embryo to Assess Tumor Formation and Metastasis. Methods Mol Biol. 2016;1464:97-105.

- 20. Shen W, Wang Z, Tang H, Heshka S, Punyanitya M, Zhu S, et al. Volume estimates by imaging methods: model comparisons with visible woman as the reference. Obes Res. 2003;11(2):217-25.
- 21. Faucher L, Guay-Begin AA, Lagueux J, Cote MF, Petitclerc E, Fortin MA. Ultra-small gadolinium oxide nanoparticles to image brain cancer cells in vivo with MRI. Contrast media & molecular imaging. 2011;6(4):209-18.
- 22. Boss A, Oppitz M, Wehrl HF, Rossi C, Feuerstein M, Claussen CD, et al. Measurement of T1, T2, and magnetization transfer properties during embryonic development at 7 Tesla using the chicken model. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2008;28(6):1510-4.
- 23. Waschkies C, Nicholls F, Buschmann J. Comparison of medetomidine, thiopental and ketamine/midazolam anesthesia in chick embryos for in ovo Magnetic Resonance Imaging free of motion artifacts. Sci Rep. 2015;5:15536.
- 24. Dixon JC, Cady EB, Priest AN, Thornton JS, Peebles DM. Growth restriction and the cerebral metabolic response to acute hypoxia of chick embryos in-ovo: a proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. Brain Res Dev Brain Res. 2005;160(2):203-10.
- 25. Effmann EL, Johnson GA, Smith BR, Talbott GA, Cofer G. Magnetic resonance microscopy of chick embryos in ovo. Teratology. 1988;38(1):59-65.
- 26. Streckenbach F, Klose R, Langner S, Langner I, Frank M, Wree A, et al. Ultrahigh-Field Quantitative MR Microscopy of the Chicken Eye In Vivo Throughout the In Ovo Period. Mol Imaging Biol. 2018.
- 27. Xue S, Yang H, Qiao J, Pu F, Jiang J, Hubbard K, et al. Protein MRI contrast agent with unprecedented metal selectivity and sensitivity for liver cancer imaging. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112(21):6607-12.
- 28. Branca RT, Cleveland ZI, Fubara B, Kumar CS, Maronpot RR, Leuschner C, et al. Molecular MRI for sensitive and specific detection of lung metastases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107(8):3693-7.
- 29. Zhou Z, Qutaish M, Han Z, Schur RM, Liu Y, Wilson DL, et al. MRI detection of breast cancer micrometastases with a fibronectin-targeting contrast agent. Nat Commun. 2015;6:7984.

#### FIGURE LEGENDS

### Figure 1: T<sub>2</sub>W images of tumours growing on the CAM

A and B) Representative sagittal T<sub>2</sub>W MR images of E11 (A) and E14 (B) chick embryo *in ovo*. Egg compartments like albumen (1), yolk (2) as well as chick embryo organs like brain (3), eyes (4), kidneys (5) heart (6) liver (7) and gizzard (8) can be identified. C) Representative sagittal T<sub>2</sub>W MR images of embryonated chicken egg at E14 *in ovo*. Extraembryonic tumour can be identified on top of the CAM (zoom in inset) and correlates with fluorescent image (D). Due to the anatomy of the egg the primary tumour is not always located above the chick embryo and thus the chick embryo does not always appear in the same sagittal slice as the one showing the primary tumour. D) The same tumour than in C) was imaged with fluorescence microscopy. The picture is a representative image of the tumour formed by GFP-expressing neuroblastoma cells. Scale bars represents 1000 μm.

#### Figure 2: T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub>\*W FLASH images of tumours labelled with MPIO

A) GFP-expressing SK-N-AS cells (green) 24 h post labelling with 20  $\mu$ M MPIO (Suncoast Yellow Encapsulated Magnetic Polymers - Bangs Beads, red). Scale bar is 20  $\mu$ m. B) Single channel and overlay image of neuroblastoma tumour post dissection formed by GFP-expressing SK-N-AS cells (green) which were labelled with MPIO (red) 48h prior CAM implantation. Scale bar is 1000  $\mu$ m. C) Representative sagittal  $T_2$ W and  $T_2$ \*W FLASH MR images of embryonated chicken egg at E14 (A). Tumour formed by cells labelled with MPIO can be identified on top of the CAM (zoom in inset). Scale bar is 1000  $\mu$ m. D) Representative image of tumour formed on the CAM by GFP-expressing SK-N-AS cells (green) labelled with MPIO (red). Nuclei are stained with Hoechst (blue). Inset shows MPIO only (red). Right image is 2.5xzoom. Scale bar is 100  $\mu$ m. E) Comparison of tumour volume [mm3] measured by microscopy or MRI. Tumours 1-2 were formed by cells without MPIO, tumours 3-8 were formed by cells with MPIO.

# Figure 3: MR images of cell deposits and metastasis in the chick embryo organs

A) Representative sagittal T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub>\*W FLASH MR images of E14 chick embryo *in ovo*. Deposit formed by cells labelled with MPIO can be identified in the brain (zoom in inset) Scale bar is 1000 μm. B) Representative fluorescence microscopy image of brain slice showing cluster of GFP and MPIO labelled neuroblastoma cells, and zoom. Scale bar is 100 μm. C) Representative sagittal T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub>\*W FLASH MR images of E14 chick embryo *in ovo*. Shown are two slices of the abdominal region and kidneys. Arrows indicate signal loss that intensified in the T<sub>2</sub>\*W FLASH sequence and thus indicates the potential presence of metastasis. D) Representative fluorescence microscopy image of kidney slices showing metastatic deposit of GFP and MPIO labelled neuroblastoma cells. Scale bar is 100 μm. E) Representative sagittal T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub>\*W FLASH MR images of E14 chick embryo *in ovo* overlayed with ToF MRA (red).

## **Supplemental information:**

Supplemental Figure 1 is related to Figure 3A and displays the signal to noise and contrast to noise ratios for different regions of MR images acquired by T<sub>2</sub>W and T<sub>2</sub>\*W FLASH.