

Resting-state functional brain networks in adults with a new diagnosis of focal epilepsy

Batil K. Alonazi^{1,5a}, Simon S. Keller^{2,4a,*}, Nicholas Fallon¹, Valerie Adams³, Kumar Das⁴,
Anthony G. Marson², Vanessa Sluming^{1b}

^a Shared first authorship

^b Deceased

[1] Department of Psychological Sciences, Institute of Psychology, Health and Society,
University of Liverpool, UK

[2] Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, Institute of Translational Medicine,
University of Liverpool, UK

[3] Liverpool Magnetic Resonance Imaging Centre (LiMRIC), University of Liverpool, UK

[4] The Department of Neuroradiology, The Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool,
UK

[5] Department of Radiology and Medical Imaging, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University,
Al Kharj, Saudi Arabia

*Corresponding author:

Dr. Simon Keller

Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology

Institute of Translational Medicine

University of Liverpool

Clinical Sciences Centre

Lower Lane

Liverpool, L9 7LJ

simon.keller@liverpool.ac.uk

Tel: +44 (0)151 529 5943

Abstract

Objectives: Newly diagnosed focal epilepsy (NDfE) is rarely studied, particularly using advanced neuroimaging techniques. Many patients with NDfE experience cognitive impairments, particularly with respect to memory, sustained attention, mental flexibility and executive functioning. Cognitive impairments have been related to alterations in resting-state functional brain networks in patients with neurological disorders. In the present study, we investigated whether patients with NDfE had altered connectivity in large-scale functional networks using resting-state functional MRI.

Methods: We recruited 27 adults with NDfE and 36 age and sex-match healthy controls. Resting-state functional MRI was analysed using the Functional Connectivity Toolbox (CONN). We investigate reproducibly determined large-scale functional networks, including the default mode, salience, fronto-parietal attention, sensorimotor and language networks using a seed-based approach. Networks comparisons between patients and controls were thresholded using a FDR cluster-level correction approach.

Results: We found no significant differences in functional connectivity between seeds within the default mode, salience, sensorimotor and language networks and other regions of the brain between patients and controls. However, patients with NDfE had significantly reduced connectivity between intraparietal seeds within the fronto-parietal attention network and predominantly frontal and temporal cortical regions relative to controls; this finding was demonstrated including and excluding the patients with brain lesions. No common alteration in brain structure was observed in patients using voxel-based morphometry. Findings were not influenced by treatment outcome at one year.

Conclusions: Patients with focal epilepsy have brain functional connectivity alterations at diagnosis. Functional brain abnormalities are not necessarily a consequence of the chronicity of epilepsy and are present when seizures first emerge.

Keywords: Brain connectivity; cognitive dysfunction; new onset seizures; treatment outcome.

1. INTRODUCTION

Neuroimaging approaches have provided important insights into longstanding, typically treatment refractory epilepsy. Sophisticated MRI approaches in particular have provided a deeper understanding of the biological mechanisms underlying the development of focal and generalised epilepsies (Bernhardt, et al., 2013; Duncan, 2005; Koepp and Woermann, 2005), and have recently been used to gain insights into response to surgical intervention in patients with refractory focal epilepsy (Bonilha, et al., 2015; Keller, et al., 2017; Keller, et al., 2015; Munsell, et al., 2015). Comparatively, newly diagnosed epilepsy is rarely studied despite this being a key point in time to understand the underlying biology of epilepsy and to identify potential interventions and biomarkers for seizure and cognitive outcomes. The translation of what we understand in longstanding epilepsy to people with a new diagnosis of epilepsy is confounded by several factors, including the chronic effects of seizures and anti-epileptic drugs (Pohlmann-Eden, et al., 2013). This lack of investigation is most notably due to access to patients; many specialist and academic centres do not see epilepsy until it is well established. As such, advanced imaging studies – which yield important structural and functional information beyond what can be obtained from conventional neuroimaging in context of standard clinical care – have not been published in patients with newly diagnosed epilepsy (Pohlmann-Eden, 2011; Pohlmann-Eden, et al., 2013).

Focal onset epilepsy is more prevalent than idiopathic generalized epilepsy (IGE) (Sander and Shorvon, 1996), and is more commonly associated with pharmaco-resistance (Kwan and Brodie, 2000) and memory dysfunction (van Rijckevorsel, 2006). There are only few studies of adults with newly diagnosed focal epilepsy (NDfE) using MRI approaches, most of which have used conventional applications (i.e. volumetric image analysis techniques applied to

clinically-acquired T1-weighted images). Studies have revealed that 65-96% adults with NDfE have no MRI observed lesion (Liu, et al., 2002; Van Paesschen, et al., 1997; Van Paesschen, et al., 1998). Most volumetric MRI studies of the hippocampus reveal no significant difference between in patients with NDfE and healthy controls (Liu, et al., 2001; Liu, et al., 2002; Salmenpera, et al., 2005). One study revealed mild hippocampal changes at diagnosis, which contrasted to substantial hippocampal atrophy in patients with chronic focal epilepsy (Saukkonen, et al., 1994). In one longitudinal study of adults with newly diagnosed focal temporal lobe epilepsy, 24/24 were MRI-negative at baseline whereas a single patient developed hippocampal sclerosis in a follow up scan approximately three years later (Briellmann, et al., 2002). Cerebellar volume is normal at diagnosis of focal epilepsy (Hagemann, et al., 2002). Generally speaking, there have been no reports of common gross brain structural changes in adults with NDfE when assessed using volumetric MRI approaches. There is a need to understand changes in brain structure and function using advanced neuroimaging techniques at the earliest reliable time point following a diagnosis of human epilepsy.

Adults with epilepsy may be cognitively impaired at the time of diagnosis. Drug naïve patients with NDfE show significant impairments in memory, sustained attention, executive functioning, mental flexibility and psychomotor speed relative to healthy volunteers (Aikia, et al., 1995; Aikia, et al., 2001; Kalviainen, et al., 1992; Prevey, et al., 1998; Pulliainen, et al., 2000; Taylor, et al., 2010). One 12-month follow-up study revealed that performance on some of these cognitive domains further deteriorated (Baker, et al., 2011); another study reported no subsequent significant worsening of verbal memory performance in patients impaired at diagnosis and that memory dysfunction was not related to hippocampal volume (Aikia, et al.,

2001). Cognitive deficits – which along with spontaneous seizures contribute to impaired quality of life in epilepsy (Engelberts, et al., 2002) - are therefore not necessarily a result of the chronicity of the disorder, including the recurrent seizures and chronic use of anti-epileptic drugs, and are therefore likely to be the result of epileptogenesis. There are, however, no existing neuroimaging insights of the underlying aetiology and mechanisms of cognitive dysfunction in NDfE.

Functionally connected large-scale networks that have significance for particular cognitive domains can be delineated in the human brain using resting state functional MRI. These neuroimaging approaches have provided significant insights into cognitive dysfunction in people with neurological, neurodegenerative and neuropsychiatric disorders (Cataldi, et al., 2013; Li, et al., 2015; Woodward and Cascio, 2015). Three of the most investigated networks include the default mode network (key role in internally directed or self-generated thought (Andrews-Hanna, et al., 2014; Greicius, et al., 2003; Raichle, et al., 2001), has dynamic roles in cognitive processing (Ichesco, et al., 2012) and is compromised in patients with loss of consciousness (Vanhaudenhuyse, et al., 2010)), the salience network (key roles in communication, social behaviour, self-awareness and multiple facets of cognition (Menon, 2015)), and the fronto-parietal attention network (key roles in attention, cognitive control and executive functioning (Markett, et al., 2014; Schmidt, et al., 2016)). Alterations in these three functional networks have been reported in patients with chronic temporal lobe epilepsy and idiopathic generalised epilepsy (de Campos, et al., 2016; Kay, et al., 2013; Wei, et al., 2015), and such alterations have been inferred to underlie cognitive impairment in these patient groups. Given that the neuropsychological literature suggests that patients with NDfE have particular cognitive impairments in the attention, cognitive control and executive

function domains, there may be alterations in functional connectivity within the fronto-parietal attentional network, or between nodes in this network and other brain regions. However, there are no published studies that have investigated functional networks in patients with NDfE.

There were two primary objectives of the present study. We sought to determine whether core functional networks are altered in patients with a new diagnosis of focal epilepsy relative to a cohort of healthy controls using resting-state functional MRI. We hypothesised abnormalities of functional networks that are known to play a role in the facets of cognition function previously demonstrated to be impaired in patients with NDfE (particularly memory, attention and executive function), most notably, the fronto-parietal attention network. Secondly, in order to determine whether functional network alterations existed in patients in the absence of gross structural abnormalities, we performed voxel-based morphometry (VBM) comparisons of regional grey matter volume between patients and controls (Keller, et al., 2015; Keller and Roberts, 2008).

2. METHODS

2.1 Patients

We recruited 27 patients with NDfE (mean age, 33.1 years (SD 11.3), range 18-57; 12 (44% females) attending outpatient clinics at the Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust in Liverpool. Focal epilepsy was diagnosed by expert epileptologists based on the latest International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) operational classifications (Fisher, et al., 2017). Diagnostic features consistent with focal epilepsy were based on detailed assessment of seizure semiology. Demographic and clinical information for patients is provided in Table 1. In order to increase the number of patients recruited into this study, we did not constrain recruitment to drug-naïve patients. We scanned patients an average of 3.7 months after diagnosis (SD 2.9, range 1-11 months). We did not anticipate any deleterious effects on brain function or cognition within this time period. Exclusion criteria included provoked seizures (e.g. drug induced), acute symptomatic seizures (e.g. acute brain haemorrhage or brain injury), primary generalised seizures, unclassified seizures and known progressive neurological disease (e.g. brain tumour, Alzheimer's disease). All patients underwent EEG as part of their clinical investigations using the conventional 10-20 system. All patients were followed up one year after functional MRI to determine response to AED therapy. We also studied 36 age-matched neurologically and neuropsychiatrically healthy volunteers (mean age 33.7 years (SD 11.6), range 18-58; 22 (61%) females).

2.2 MRI acquisition

All patients and controls were scanned at the Liverpool Magnetic Resonance Imaging Centre (LiMRIC) at the University of Liverpool, and we acquired 3D T1-weighted and resting-state functional MRI data using a 3 T MR system (Siemens Trio). For the T1-weighted data, we

acquired Magnetization Prepared Rapid Gradient Echo (MPRAGE) sequence with the following parameters: TE = 5.57 ms; TR = 2040 ms; TI = 1100 ms; slice thickness = 1 mm; voxel size = 1 mm x 1 mm; 176 slices; flip angle = 8. The resting-state functional MRI data was acquired using a 6-minute T2-weighted sequence and the following parameters: TE = 30 ms; TR = 2000 ms; slice thickness = 3.5 mm; voxel size = 3 mm x 3 mm; 180 volumes; 32 slices; flip angle = 90. For the resting-state functional MRI, participants were asked to remain awake with their eyes closed. We additionally acquired isotropic 3D T2-weighted (turbo spin echo with variable flip angle; TE = 355 ms; TR = 3000 ms; slice thickness = 1 mm; voxel size = 1 mm x 1 mm; Turbo factor = 209) and T2-Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery (TE = 353 ms; TR = 6000 ms; slice thickness = 1 mm; voxel size = 1 mm x 1 mm; Turbo factor = 221) images for diagnostic appraisal and reporting of incidental findings in all subjects.

2.3 Resting-state functional analysis

Resting-state functional data were spatially pre-processed using SPM12 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, University College London, United Kingdom; <http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/>) running in Matlab v.9.0 (The Mathworks Inc, USA). Functional data were realigned, slice-time corrected, spatially normalised to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using the normalised EPI template image in SPM, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full width half maximum Gaussian kernel. Motion parameters from realignment were evaluated and a motion artefact threshold (translation >3 mm, rotation >1°) was employed for exclusion (Fallon, et al., 2016). No participants displayed gross movements to require exclusion. For subsequent analyses, each participant's T1-weighted MPRAGE image was automatically segmented into grey matter, white matter and

cerebrospinal fluid and normalised to MNI space using the Computational Anatomy Toolbox (CAT12; <http://www.neuro.uni-jena.de/cat/>) running in SPM12 (see VBM methods).

Spatially pre-processed resting-state functional data were analysed using the Functional Connectivity Toolbox (CONN) (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012) running in Matlab. CONN implements a component-based noise correction method (Behzadi, et al., 2007) to reduce physiological and extraneous noise, providing interpretative information on correlated and anti-correlated functional brain networks. Blood-Oxygen-Level Dependent (BOLD) signal from the cerebral white matter and ventricles were removed prior to seed-based connectivity analysis using principal component analysis of the multivariate BOLD signal within each these masks obtained from the segmented T1-weighted MPRAGE scans (Fallon, et al., 2016; Woodward, et al., 2011). BOLD data was bandpass filtered (0.008–0.09Hz) to reduce low-frequency drift and noise effects. We generated seed-to-voxel connectivity maps for each individual for the following reproducibly demonstrated functional networks: the default mode, salience, fronto-parietal attention, language, and sensorimotor networks. These networks were chosen as they have been intimately associated with aspects of cognitive functioning disrupted in NDFE (Aikia, et al., 1995; Aikia, et al., 2001; Ichesco, et al., 2012; Kalviainen, et al., 1992; Markett, et al., 2014; Menon, 2015; Prevey, et al., 1998; Pulliainen, et al., 2000; Schmidt, et al., 2016; Taylor, et al., 2010) and / or have been demonstrated to be significantly altered in refractory epilepsy (de Campos, et al., 2016; Kay, et al., 2013; Wei, et al., 2015). Seeds were 10 mm diameter spheres; the spatial co-ordinates and anatomical location of network seeds are provided in Table 2 and illustrated in Figure 1. These seeds are provided in the CONN software, and represent core and reproducibly demonstrated topological nodes within each resting-state network. The reasoning for

identification and use of these seeds is described in greater detail by the originators of CONN (Whitfield-Gabrieli, et al., 2011). We investigated functional networks generated from individual seeds separately (i.e. not averaged over seed regions within a given network); this resulted in 14 analyses (two seeds each for default mode and fronto-parietal networks, three seeds each for sensorimotor and salience networks, and four seeds for the language network; Table 2).

Individual correlation maps were generated in the CONN toolbox by extracting the mean resting-state BOLD time course from each seed ROI and calculating correlation coefficients with the BOLD timecourse of each voxel throughout the whole brain. The resulting coefficients were converted to normally distributed scores using Fisher's transformation to give maps of voxelwise functional connectivity for each seed ROI for each subject. The value of each voxel throughout the whole brain represents the relative degree of functional connectivity with each seed (Whitfield-Gabrieli, et al., 2011). These maps were subsequently used for second-level analysis of relative functional connectivity using a two-sided independent *t*-test, implemented in the CONN toolbox, to investigate differences in seed-to-voxel connectivity between groups.

Participant motion parameters were included as within-subject first-level covariates. To determine between-subject effects in resting-state functional networks, group (patients and controls), presence of MRI lesion, patient seizure outcome status at one year follow up, age and gender were included as second-level covariates. As in previous studies (Fallon, et al., 2016; Ichesco, et al., 2012; Woodward, et al., 2011), we performed voxel-wise statistical

analysis over the entire brain using an uncorrected level ($p < 0.001$) before a false discover rate (FDR) correction was applied at the cluster level ($p < 0.05$).

2.4 Voxel-based morphometry

VBM was performed using a similar approach as previously described (Keller, et al., 2015) but using CAT12 running in SPM12 (as opposed to the VBM8 toolbox running in SPM8). CAT12 includes improvements to the image pre-processing pipeline and has been suggested to provide an improved method for the identification of brain structural abnormalities in patients with epilepsy over previous VBM applications (Farokhian, et al., 2017). Briefly, the T1-weighted MPAGE images were automatically segmented into grey matter, white matter and cerebrospinal fluid tissue classes, and spatially normalised to MNI space using DARTEL (Ashburner, 2007). Default options were chosen in the CAT12 batch editor (<http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/cat12/CAT12-Manual.pdf>). Grey matter and white matter normalised images were smoothed with an isotropic Gaussian kernel of 8 mm. Grey matter and white matter comparisons were made between groups on a voxel-by-voxel basis using a full factorial model, including age and sex as confounding covariates. Groups included controls, patients with gross lesions and patients with no lesion. Only results surviving multiple whole brain corrections using the familywise error (FWE) rate ($p < 0.05$) are reported, based on previous recommendations (Keller and Roberts, 2008).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Patient clinical data

20 (74%) patients did not have any discernible MRI lesion. Of the seven patients with focal brain abnormalities, two had focal cortical dysplasia (7%), two had hippocampal asymmetry suggestive of hippocampal sclerosis (7%), one had multiple focal gliosis (4%), one had focal white matter hyperintensity corresponding to haemosiderin and suggestive of previous microhaemorrhages (4%), and one had focal gliosis and encephalomalacia (4%; Table 1). Figure 2 illustrates the lesional cases. Three patients (11%) had abnormalities on inter-ictal EEG; all three patients were MRI-negative, two experienced focal seizures with impaired awareness only, and one experienced focal to bilateral tonic-clonic seizures. 17 (63%) patients were seizure free after one-year follow-up. Two (66%) patients with abnormal EEG and eight (33%) patients with normal EEG experienced continued seizures. Three (43%) patients with MRI positive findings and seven (35%) patients who were MRI-negative experienced continued seizures.

3.2 Resting-state functional MRI

Group-wise resting-state default mode, sensorimotor, salience, fronto-parietal and language networks are shown separately for controls and patients in Figure 3. The anatomical topology of each resting state network is indicated in Table S1, including the corresponding statistics, peak co-ordinates and cluster size for correlated and anti-correlated voxels. Visual inspection indicated a relatively similar distribution of correlated (Figure 3, red regions) and anti-correlated (Figure 3, purple regions) networks in patients and controls for the default mode, sensorimotor, salience and language networks. However, connectivity in the fronto-parietal attention network was notably different between groups, manifest as a loss of connectivity

within correlated and anti-correlated regions in those with epilepsy. Second level analyses of functional connectivity between seeds within default mode, sensorimotor, salience and language networks and grey matter voxels across the brain revealed no significant differences between patients and controls using any seed region (Figure 1, Table 2). However, significant differences between patients and controls were observed using the left and right intraparietal sulcus seeds within the fronto-parietal attention network. There was significantly reduced functional connectivity between the left intraparietal sulcus seed and the right lateral temporal cortex, left lateral temporo-parietal cortex, left medial frontal cortex, precuneus and posterior cingulate cortex in patients relative to controls (Figure 4A-C, Table 3). When analyses were performed with the seven patients with MRI-positive findings excluded, we observed a very similar pattern of hypoconnectivity in patients relative to controls (Figure 4D, Table 4); the only difference was an absence of hypoconnectivity in the precuneus and posterior cingulate region. There was significantly reduced functional connectivity between the right intraparietal sulcus seed and right lateral temporal cortex, left mesial frontal cortex, left occipital cortex, and left cerebellum in patients relative to controls (Figure S1A, Table 3). When patients with MRI-positive findings were excluded, only significantly reduced connectivity with left mesial frontal cortex was observed (Figure S1B, Table 4). We found no statistically significant differences in functional networks between patients who were seizure free at follow up and those continued to experience seizures.

3.3 Structural MRI

There were no significant differences in grey matter or white matter structure between patients and controls using VBM at the selected statistical threshold ($p < 0.05$, FWE). There

were no significant structural differences between patients who were seizure free at follow up and those continued to experience seizures.

4. DISCUSSION

There were two primary objectives of the present study. Firstly, we sought to compare resting-state functional networks between patients and controls. We did not find connectivity alterations in patients between seeds within the default mode, sensorimotor, salience or language networks and voxels across the brain. However, we observed significantly reduced connectivity between intraparietal seeds within the fronto-parietal attention network and distal brain regions in patients; this hypoconnectivity was demonstrated when all patients were compared with controls and when analyses were restricted to non-lesional patients. Secondly, we sought to determine whether adults with NDfE show evidence of a common structural brain abnormality using VBM. We found no statistically significant grey matter or white matter differences between patients and controls. We discuss the biological and clinical implications of these results before highlighting pertinent methodological issues.

Biological and clinical implications

Our clinical data is in keeping with other reports of NDfE. In our limited sized cohort we report that 74% patients had a normal MRI. Other studies of NDfE in adults have reported normal MRI in 65% (Liu, et al., 2002), 76% (Van Paesschen, et al., 1997) and 78% (Van Paesschen, et al., 1998) of patients. We reported focal cortical dysplasia in 7% and subtle signs of unilateral hippocampal sclerosis in 7% of patients. Previous reports of these abnormalities have ranged from 1.5% to 11% of adults with NDfE (Liu, et al., 2002; Van Paesschen, et al., 1997; Van

Paesschen, et al., 1998). 63% of our patients were seizure free after a one-year follow up, which is in keeping with large clinical studies (Annegers, et al., 1979; Kwan and Brodie, 2000; Marson, et al., 2007). We found no significant association between continued seizures after AED treatment and EEG or MRI abnormality. This is likely due to the small cohort of patients with NDfE studied here in comparison to larger population studies that have reported such associations (Mohanraj and Brodie, 2013). Given that the presence of an MRI-determined lesion is associated with medical intractability in large-scale studies, and presumably an increasing impact of epilepsy on cognition, we may have expected that the 26% patients who were not MRI-negative would have significantly greater alterations in network connectivity than the 74% who were MRI-negative. However, we did not find any evidence to support this; the same functional network alterations were observed in patients when the 'lesional' cases were removed from analysis. The relative contributions of gross macroscopic lesions and impairments in functional network connectivity to cognitive impairment in NDfE need to be assessed in larger prospective studies.

To our knowledge, this is the first study of large-scale resting-state functional networks in patients with a new diagnosis of focal epilepsy. We report that patients with a new diagnosis of focal epilepsy have significantly reduced functional connectivity between regions within the fronto-parietal attention network and other areas of the brain. The fronto-parietal attentional network preferentially includes the dorsolateral and medial frontal lobe, posterior parietal cortices, and lateral temporal regions (Markett, et al., 2014). Brain regions within the fronto-parietal attention network are activated in task-related functional MRI studies of working memory and attention (Cabeza and Nyberg, 2000; Corbetta and Shulman, 2002; Fan, et al., 2005). Moreover, resting-state functional connectivity within the fronto-parietal

network is correlated with attentional and cognitive abilities in healthy people in tasks administered outside the scanner environment (Markett, et al., 2014). The significance of attentional and cognitive control processes of the fronto-parietal network has also been demonstrated in non-human primates (Ptak, 2012). Furthermore, hypoconnectivity within the fronto-parietal network has been described in other groups of patients with impaired cognitive control, such as major depressive disorder (Kaiser, et al., 2015) and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (Lin, et al., 2015). We therefore suggest that the loss of connectivity within this network and between this network and other regions of the brain are candidate causes of memory, executive, and attentional dysfunction that have been previously demonstrated in patients with NDfE (Aikia, et al., 1995; Aikia, et al., 2001; Kalviainen, et al., 1992; Prevey, et al., 1998; Pulliainen, et al., 2000; Taylor, et al., 2010). We were, however, unable to directly address a correlation between functional brain connectivity and cognitive impairment in our sample given that our patients were not neuropsychologically evaluated. Approximately one-half of all patients with NDfE are impaired on cognitive tasks of memory, psychomotor speed and executive function (Taylor, et al., 2010). It will therefore be interesting to determine whether it is those cognitively impaired patients who influence network hypoconnectivity, and reciprocally, whether imaging of functional networks represents a non-invasive prognostic marker of cognitive dysfunction in these patients.

We report that patient hypoconnectivity existed between intraparietal seeds and lateral temporo-parietal, dorsomedial frontal, medial parietal and occipito-cerebellar regions. Whilst bilaterally distributed, hypoconnectivity was predominantly left lateralised regardless of whether the fronto-parietal network was seeded from the left or right intraparietal sulcus.

We cannot be certain that this lateralised effect was due to an increased number of patients with left-sided seizure onset in our sample; a confident localisation of the seizure onset zone is difficult in patients with NDfE, given that only 11% of our sample had interictal EEG abnormalities. Confident localisation of the seizure focus is more likely after detailed imaging, EEG and neuropsychological evaluation in patients with refractory focal epilepsy. The brain regions constituting the fronto-parietal functional network are richly interconnected with white matter fibres passing through the superior longitudinal fasciculus (Ptak, 2012). It will therefore be interesting to investigate this white matter tract bundle using diffusion-based MRI techniques in patients with NDfE.

We did not observe structural abnormalities in the group of patients relative to controls. On the one hand, this may suggest that alterations in functional networks, and concomitant effects on cognition, occur in the absence of gross focal structural abnormalities in patients with NDfE. On the other hand, whilst minimising false positives, the stringent – but necessary – statistical approach incorporated into VBM could obscure subtle common structural alterations (Keller and Roberts, 2008). VBM has previously revealed focal alterations in groups of patients with non-lesional epilepsy who share common underlying neurobiological mechanisms (e.g. juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (O'Muircheartaigh, et al., 2011; Woermann, et al., 1999) or temporal lobe epilepsy of unknown cause (Riederer, et al., 2008; Scanlon, et al., 2013)). One issue to therefore consider is that patients with NDfE have heterogeneous neurobiological mechanisms and different epileptogenic foci, which would not be identified using a technique such as VBM that is used to detect abnormalities common to a patient group. However, we suggest that there remains the possibility that common structural network alterations may exist in patients with NDfE, and which may be beyond the resolution

of VBM. Particular anatomical circuits act as critical modulators of seizure generation and propagation, and seizure activity does not spread diffusely throughout the brain but propagates along specific anatomical pathways, regardless of the localisation of the brain insult (Loscher and Ebert, 1996; Piredda and Gale, 1985). Furthermore, a recently published study has shown that pathological structural connectivity causes disturbances to common large scale functional brain networks regardless of the localisation of the epileptogenic zone in patients with refractory focal epilepsy (Besson, et al., 2017). Moreover, particular deep brain regions - such as the thalamus and thalamocortical pathways - that play a crucial role in the clinical expression of seizures in *the epilepsies* (Dreifuss, et al., 2001), and anatomically support widespread distributed cortico-subcortical networks (Nieuwenhuys, et al., 1988) – are structurally and physiologically abnormal in both hemispheres in patients with longstanding focal and generalised epilepsy disorders (Bonilha, et al., 2013; He, et al., 2015; Kay and Szaflarski, 2014; Keller, et al., 2014; Keller, et al., 2015; Kim, et al., 2014; O'Muircheartaigh, et al., 2012). Finally, cognitive impairment is not related to the type of focal epilepsy in those with a new diagnosis (Taylor, et al., 2010). Taken together, this evidence suggests that there may be a common underlying anatomical system that is impaired in patients with NDfE. Advanced diffusion-based MRI approaches (Bonilha, et al., 2015; Glenn, et al., 2016; Keller, et al., 2017) may provide important insights into structural network alterations in NDfE.

Methodological issues

We suggest that alterations of brain functional networks may relate to cognitive dysfunction in patients with NDfE. However, we were unable to directly relate brain functional (and structural) alterations to cognitive performance in our patients, as neuropsychological assessment was not performed. This is a shortcoming of the present study. We have used our imaging data to generate the hypothesis that altered functional connectivity with seeds in the fronto-parietal network may be related to cognitive dysfunction in NDfE by highlighting previous work that has reproducibly demonstrated (i) memory, sustained attention, executive functioning, mental flexibility and psychomotor speed impairments in NDfE (Aikia, et al., 1995; Aikia, et al., 2001; Kalviainen, et al., 1992; Prevey, et al., 1998; Pulliainen, et al., 2000; Taylor, et al., 2010) and (ii) an association between the fronto-parietal attention network and sustained attention, cognitive control and executive functioning (Markett, et al., 2014; Schmidt, et al., 2016). Patients with a new diagnosis of epilepsy do not receive neuropsychological evaluation as part of their clinical assessment; such evaluation will need to be performed in context of prospective research studies. Despite the difficulties associated with recruitment and detailed assessment of patients with NDfE – an issue that partly explains the lack of sophisticated imaging investigations in this patient group – future research should strive to simultaneously acquire neuroimaging and neuropsychological data in this understudied patient group and determine whether there is a direct link between brain functional hypoconnectivity and cognitive dysfunction.

The clinical heterogeneity and unclear seizure foci of many patients with NDfE also contributes to the lack of investigation of this patient population in neuroimaging studies. The differentiation of new onset focal and generalised seizures is reliably achieved through

detailed assessment of seizure semiology by experienced epileptologists. However, it is difficult – and in many cases impossible – to identify the seizure focus at the time of diagnosis, which is very different from patients with longstanding (typically refractory) focal epilepsy and well-established foci defined using multi-modal imaging and clinical investigations. The majority of patients with new onset seizures do not show interictal epileptiform activity on clinical EEG (Aikia, et al., 1999; Kim, et al., 2006; Su, et al., 2013). This is particularly true in adults, where the prognostic value of routine interictal EEG has not been established (Mohanraj and Brodie, 2013). As such, our imaging findings are ‘collapsed’ across patients with likely newly diagnosed temporal and frontal lobe epilepsy, which constitutes the vast majority of focal epilepsies. Although recruitment of consecutive patients with NDfE naturally yields a clinically heterogeneous group, this represents a clinically pragmatic endeavour and partly accounts for the lack of sophisticated neuroimaging studies in this understudied population. We believe that there may be common markers of cognitive dysfunction and pharmaco-resistance across patients with NDfE, which is supported by neuropsychological (Aikia, et al., 1995; Aikia, et al., 2001; Kalviainen, et al., 1992; Prevey, et al., 1998; Pulliainen, et al., 2000; Taylor, et al., 2010) and imaging (Kim, et al., 2017) work. The study by Kim et al. (2017) reported that patients with NDfE who continued to experience seizures despite AED therapy had reduced volumes of the corpus callosum relative to healthy controls and patients who were rendered seizure free. The identification of a common biomarker for cognitive and treatment outcome in patients with NDfE represents an important future research endeavour.

Conclusion

We have demonstrated that patients with NDfE have significantly reduced connectivity between seeds within the fronto-parietal attention functional network and other cortical regions. This loss of connectivity is not influenced by the presence of a gross macroscopic epileptogenic lesion. This work indicates that functional brain abnormalities are not necessarily a consequence of the chronicity of epilepsy and are present when seizures first emerge.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

SSK is supported by the UK Medical Research Council (grant number MR/S00355X/1) and Epilepsy Research UK (grant number P1805).

REFERENCES

- Aikia, M., Kalviainen, R., Mervaala, E., Riekkinen, P.J., Sr. (1999) Predictors of seizure outcome in newly diagnosed partial epilepsy: memory performance as a prognostic factor. *Epilepsy Res*, 37:159-67.
- Aikia, M., Kalviainen, R., Riekkinen, P.J. (1995) Verbal learning and memory in newly diagnosed partial epilepsy. *Epilepsy Res*, 22:157-64.
- Aikia, M., Salmenpera, T., Partanen, K., Kalviainen, R. (2001) Verbal Memory in Newly Diagnosed Patients and Patients with Chronic Left Temporal Lobe Epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav*, 2:20-27.
- Andrews-Hanna, J.R., Smallwood, J., Spreng, R.N. (2014) The default network and self-generated thought: component processes, dynamic control, and clinical relevance. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1316:29-52.
- Annegers, J.F., Hauser, W.A., Elveback, L.R. (1979) Remission of seizures and relapse in patients with epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, 20:729-37.
- Ashburner, J. (2007) A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. *Neuroimage*, 38:95-113.
- Baker, G.A., Taylor, J., Aldenkamp, A.P. (2011) Newly diagnosed epilepsy: cognitive outcome after 12 months. *Epilepsia*, 52:1084-91.
- Behzadi, Y., Restom, K., Liau, J., Liu, T.T. (2007) A component based noise correction method (CompCor) for BOLD and perfusion based fMRI. *Neuroimage*, 37:90-101.
- Bernhardt, B.C., Hong, S., Bernasconi, A., Bernasconi, N. (2013) Imaging structural and functional brain networks in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, 7:624.
- Besson, P., Bandt, S.K., Proix, T., Lagarde, S., Jirsa, V., Ranjeva, J.-P., Bartolomei, F., Guye, M. (2017) Anatomic consistencies across epilepsies: a stereotactic-EEG informed high-resolution structural connectivity study. *Brain*, <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awx181>.
- Bonilha, L., Helpert, J.A., Sainju, R., Nesland, T., Edwards, J.C., Glazier, S.S., Tabesh, A. (2013) Presurgical connectome and postsurgical seizure control in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Neurology*, 81:1704-10.
- Bonilha, L., Jensen, J.H., Baker, N., Breedlove, J., Nesland, T., Lin, J.J., Drane, D.L., Saindane, A.M., Binder, J.R., Kuzniecky, R.I. (2015) The brain connectome as a personalized biomarker of seizure outcomes after temporal lobectomy. *Neurology*, 84:1846-53.
- Briellmann, R.S., Berkovic, S.F., Syngeniotes, A., King, M.A., Jackson, G.D. (2002) Seizure-associated hippocampal volume loss: a longitudinal magnetic resonance study of temporal lobe epilepsy. *Ann Neurol*, 51:641-4.
- Cabeza, R., Nyberg, L. (2000) Imaging cognition II: An empirical review of 275 PET and fMRI studies. *J Cogn Neurosci*, 12:1-47.
- Cataldi, M., Avoli, M., de Villers-Sidani, E. (2013) Resting state networks in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, 54:2048-59.
- Corbetta, M., Shulman, G.L. (2002) Control of goal-directed and stimulus-driven attention in the brain. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 3:201-15.
- de Campos, B.M., Coan, A.C., Lin Yasuda, C., Casseb, R.F., Cendes, F. (2016) Large-scale brain networks are distinctly affected in right and left mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. *Hum Brain Mapp*, 37:3137-52.

- Dreifuss, S., Vingerhoets, F.J., Lazeyras, F., Andino, S.G., Spinelli, L., Delavelle, J., Seeck, M. (2001) Volumetric measurements of subcortical nuclei in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy. *Neurology*, 57:1636-41.
- Duncan, J.S. (2005) Brain imaging in idiopathic generalized epilepsies. *Epilepsia*, 46 Suppl 9:108-11.
- Engelberts, N.H., Klein, M., van der Ploeg, H.M., Heimans, J.J., Jolles, J., Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenite, D.G. (2002) Cognition and health-related quality of life in chronic well-controlled patients with partial epilepsy on carbamazepine monotherapy. *Epilepsy Behav*, 3:316-321.
- Fallon, N., Chiu, Y., Nurmikko, T., Stancak, A. (2016) Functional Connectivity with the Default Mode Network Is Altered in Fibromyalgia Patients. *PLoS One*, 11:e0159198.
- Fan, J., McCandliss, B.D., Fossella, J., Flombaum, J.I., Posner, M.I. (2005) The activation of attentional networks. *Neuroimage*, 26:471-9.
- Farokhian, F., Beheshti, I., Sone, D., Matsuda, H. (2017) Comparing CAT12 and VBM8 for detecting brain morphological abnormalities in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Frontiers in neurology*, In press (doi: 10.3389/fneur.2017.00428).
- Fisher, R.S., Cross, J.H., French, J.A., Higurashi, N., Hirsch, E., Jansen, F.E., Lagae, L., Moshe, S.L., Peltola, J., Roulet Perez, E., Scheffer, I.E., Zuberi, S.M. (2017) Operational classification of seizure types by the International League Against Epilepsy: Position Paper of the ILAE Commission for Classification and Terminology. *Epilepsia*, 58:522-530.
- Glenn, G.R., Jensen, J.H., Helpert, J.A., Spampinato, M.V., Kuzniecky, R., Keller, S.S., Bonilha, L. (2016) Epilepsy-related cytoarchitectonic abnormalities along white matter pathways. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 87:930-6.
- Greicius, M.D., Krasnow, B., Reiss, A.L., Menon, V. (2003) Functional connectivity in the resting brain: a network analysis of the default mode hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 100:253-8.
- Hagemann, G., Lemieux, L., Free, S.L., Krakow, K., Everitt, A.D., Kendall, B.E., Stevens, J.M., Shorvon, S.D. (2002) Cerebellar volumes in newly diagnosed and chronic epilepsy. *Journal of neurology*, 249:1651-8.
- He, X., Doucet, G.E., Sperling, M., Sharan, A., Tracy, J.I. (2015) Reduced thalamocortical functional connectivity in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, 56:1571-9.
- Ichesco, E., Quintero, A., Clauw, D.J., Peltier, S., Sundgren, P.M., Gerstner, G.E., Schmidt-Wilcke, T. (2012) Altered functional connectivity between the insula and the cingulate cortex in patients with temporomandibular disorder: a pilot study. *Headache*, 52:441-54.
- Kaiser, R.H., Andrews-Hanna, J.R., Wager, T.D., Pizzagalli, D.A. (2015) Large-Scale Network Dysfunction in Major Depressive Disorder: A Meta-analysis of Resting-State Functional Connectivity. *JAMA psychiatry*, 72:603-11.
- Kalviainen, R., Aikia, M., Helkala, E.L., Mervaala, E., Riekkinen, P.J. (1992) Memory and attention in newly diagnosed epileptic seizure disorder. *Seizure*, 1:255-62.
- Kay, B., Szaflarski, J.P. (2014) EEG/fMRI contributions to our understanding of genetic generalized epilepsies. *Epilepsy Behav*, 34:129-35.
- Kay, B.P., DiFrancesco, M.W., Privitera, M.D., Gotman, J., Holland, S.K., Szaflarski, J.P. (2013) Reduced default mode network connectivity in treatment-resistant idiopathic generalized epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, 54:461-70.

- Keller, S.S., Glenn, G.R., Weber, B., Kreilkamp, B.A., Jensen, J.H., Helpers, J.A., Wagner, J., Barker, G.J., Richardson, M.P., Bonilha, L. (2017) Preoperative automated fibre quantification predicts postoperative seizure outcome in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Brain*, 140:68-82.
- Keller, S.S., O'Muircheartaigh, J., Traynor, C., Towgood, K., Barker, G.J., Richardson, M.P. (2014) Thalamotemporal impairment in temporal lobe epilepsy: A combined MRI analysis of structure, integrity, and connectivity. *Epilepsia*, 55:306-15.
- Keller, S.S., Richardson, M.P., Schoene-Bake, J.C., O'Muircheartaigh, J., Elkommos, S., Kreilkamp, B., Goh, Y.Y., Marson, A.G., Elger, C., Weber, B. (2015) Thalamotemporal alteration and postoperative seizures in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Ann Neurol*, 77:760-74.
- Keller, S.S., Roberts, N. (2008) Voxel-based morphometry of temporal lobe epilepsy: an introduction and review of the literature. *Epilepsia*, 49:741-57.
- Kim, H.C., Kim, S.E., Lee, B.I., Park, K.M. (2017) Can we predict drug response by volumes of the corpus callosum in newly diagnosed focal epilepsy? *Brain and behavior*, 7:e00751.
- Kim, J.B., Suh, S.I., Seo, W.K., Oh, K., Koh, S.B., Kim, J.H. (2014) Altered thalamocortical functional connectivity in idiopathic generalized epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, 55:592-600.
- Kim, L.G., Johnson, T.L., Marson, A.G., Chadwick, D.W., group, M.M.S. (2006) Prediction of risk of seizure recurrence after a single seizure and early epilepsy: further results from the MESS trial. *Lancet Neurol*, 5:317-22.
- Koepp, M.J., Woermann, F.G. (2005) Imaging structure and function in refractory focal epilepsy. *Lancet Neurol*, 4:42-53.
- Kwan, P., Brodie, M.J. (2000) Early identification of refractory epilepsy. *N Engl J Med*, 342:314-9.
- Li, H.J., Hou, X.H., Liu, H.H., Yue, C.L., He, Y., Zuo, X.N. (2015) Toward systems neuroscience in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis of 75 fMRI studies. *Hum Brain Mapp*, 36:1217-32.
- Lin, H.Y., Tseng, W.Y., Lai, M.C., Matsuo, K., Gau, S.S. (2015) Altered resting-state frontoparietal control network in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society : JINS*, 21:271-84.
- Liu, R.S., Lemieux, L., Bell, G.S., Bartlett, P.A., Sander, J.W., Sisodiya, S.M., Shorvon, S.D., Duncan, J.S. (2001) A longitudinal quantitative MRI study of community-based patients with chronic epilepsy and newly diagnosed seizures: methodology and preliminary findings. *Neuroimage*, 14:231-43.
- Liu, R.S., Lemieux, L., Bell, G.S., Sisodiya, S.M., Bartlett, P.A., Shorvon, S.D., Sander, J.W., Duncan, J.S. (2002) The structural consequences of newly diagnosed seizures. *Ann Neurol*, 52:573-80.
- Loscher, W., Ebert, U. (1996) The role of the piriform cortex in kindling. *Prog Neurobiol*, 50:427-81.
- Markett, S., Reuter, M., Montag, C., Voigt, G., Lachmann, B., Rudolf, S., Elger, C.E., Weber, B. (2014) Assessing the function of the fronto-parietal attention network: insights from resting-state fMRI and the attentional network test. *Hum Brain Mapp*, 35:1700-9.
- Marson, A.G., Al-Kharusi, A.M., Alwaidh, M., Appleton, R., Baker, G.A., Chadwick, D.W., Cramp, C., Cockerell, O.C., Cooper, P.N., Doughty, J., Eaton, B., Gamble, C., Goulding, P.J., Howell, S.J., Hughes, A., Jackson, M., Jacoby, A., Kellett, M., Lawson, G.R., Leach, J.P., Nicolaides, P., Roberts, R., Shackley, P., Shen, J., Smith, D.F., Smith, P.E., Smith, C.T., Vanoli, A., Williamson, P.R. (2007) The SANAD study of effectiveness of

- carbamazepine, gabapentin, lamotrigine, oxcarbazepine, or topiramate for treatment of partial epilepsy: an unblinded randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*, 369:1000-15.
- Menon, V. (2015) Salience network. In: Toga, A., editor. *Brain Mapping: An Encyclopedic Reference*. London: Academic Press: Elsevier. p 597-611.
- Mohanraj, R., Brodie, M.J. (2013) Early predictors of outcome in newly diagnosed epilepsy. *Seizure*, 22:333-44.
- Munsell, B.C., Wee, C.Y., Keller, S.S., Weber, B., Elger, C., da Silva, L.A., Nesland, T., Styner, M., Shen, D., Bonilha, L. (2015) Evaluation of machine learning algorithms for treatment outcome prediction in patients with epilepsy based on structural connectome data. *Neuroimage*, 118:219-30.
- Nieuwenhuys, R., Voogd, J., van Huijzen, C. (1988) *The human central nervous system*. New York. Springer-Verlag.
- O'Muircheartaigh, J., Vollmar, C., Barker, G.J., Kumari, V., Symms, M.R., Thompson, P., Duncan, J.S., Koepp, M.J., Richardson, M.P. (2011) Focal structural changes and cognitive dysfunction in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Neurology*, 76:34-40.
- O'Muircheartaigh, J., Vollmar, C., Barker, G.J., Kumari, V., Symms, M.R., Thompson, P., Duncan, J.S., Koepp, M.J., Richardson, M.P. (2012) Abnormal thalamocortical structural and functional connectivity in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Brain*, 135:3635-44.
- Piredda, S., Gale, K. (1985) A crucial epileptogenic site in the deep prepiriform cortex. *Nature*, 317:623-5.
- Pohlmann-Eden, B. (2011) Conceptual relevance of new-onset epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, 52 Suppl 4:1-6.
- Pohlmann-Eden, B., Crocker, C.E., Schmidt, M.H. (2013) A conceptual framework for the use of neuroimaging to study and predict pharmaco-resistance in epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, 54 Suppl 2:75-9.
- Prevey, M.L., Delaney, R.C., Cramer, J.A., Mattson, R.H. (1998) Complex partial and secondarily generalized seizure patients: cognitive functioning prior to treatment with antiepileptic medication. VA Epilepsy Cooperative Study 264 Group. *Epilepsy Res*, 30:1-9.
- Ptak, R. (2012) The frontoparietal attention network of the human brain: action, saliency, and a priority map of the environment. *The Neuroscientist : a review journal bringing neurobiology, neurology and psychiatry*, 18:502-15.
- Pulliainen, V., Kuikka, P., Jokelainen, M. (2000) Motor and cognitive functions in newly diagnosed adult seizure patients before antiepileptic medication. *Acta Neurol Scand*, 101:73-8.
- Raichle, M.E., MacLeod, A.M., Snyder, A.Z., Powers, W.J., Gusnard, D.A., Shulman, G.L. (2001) A default mode of brain function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98:676-82.
- Riederer, F., Lanzenberger, R., Kaya, M., Prayer, D., Serles, W., Baumgartner, C. (2008) Network atrophy in temporal lobe epilepsy: a voxel-based morphometry study. *Neurology*, 71:419-25.
- Salmenpera, T., Kononen, M., Roberts, N., Vanninen, R., Pitkanen, A., Kalviainen, R. (2005) Hippocampal damage in newly diagnosed focal epilepsy: a prospective MRI study. *Neurology*, 64:62-8.
- Sander, J.W., Shorvon, S.D. (1996) Epidemiology of the epilepsies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 61:433-43.

- Saukkonen, A., Kalviainen, R., Partanen, K., Vainio, P., Riekkinen, P., Pitkanen, A. (1994) Do seizures cause neuronal damage? A MRI study in newly diagnosed and chronic epilepsy. *Neuroreport*, 6:219-23.
- Scanlon, C., Mueller, S.G., Cheong, I., Hartig, M., Weiner, M.W., Laxer, K.D. (2013) Grey and white matter abnormalities in temporal lobe epilepsy with and without mesial temporal sclerosis. *Journal of neurology*, 260:2320-9.
- Schmidt, E.L., Burge, W., Visscher, K.M., Ross, L.A. (2016) Cortical thickness in frontoparietal and cingulo-opercular networks predicts executive function performance in older adults. *Neuropsychology*, 30:322-31.
- Su, L., Di, Q., Kwan, P., Yu, N., Zhang, Y., Hu, Y., Gao, L. (2013) Prediction for relapse and prognosis of newly diagnosed epilepsy. *Acta Neurol Scand*, 127:141-7.
- Taylor, J., Kolamunnage-Dona, R., Marson, A.G., Smith, P.E., Aldenkamp, A.P., Baker, G.A. (2010) Patients with epilepsy: cognitively compromised before the start of antiepileptic drug treatment? *Epilepsia*, 51:48-56.
- Van Paesschen, W., Duncan, J.S., Stevens, J.M., Connelly, A. (1997) Etiology and early prognosis of newly diagnosed partial seizures in adults: a quantitative hippocampal MRI study. *Neurology*, 49:753-7.
- Van Paesschen, W., Duncan, J.S., Stevens, J.M., Connelly, A. (1998) Longitudinal quantitative hippocampal magnetic resonance imaging study of adults with newly diagnosed partial seizures: one-year follow-up results. *Epilepsia*, 39:633-9.
- van Rijckevorsel, K. (2006) Cognitive problems related to epilepsy syndromes, especially malignant epilepsies. *Seizure*, 15:227-34.
- Vanhaudenhuyse, A., Noirhomme, Q., Tshibanda, L.J., Bruno, M.A., Boveroux, P., Schnakers, C., Soddu, A., Perlberg, V., Ledoux, D., Brichant, J.F., Moonen, G., Maquet, P., Greicius, M.D., Laureys, S., Boly, M. (2010) Default network connectivity reflects the level of consciousness in non-communicative brain-damaged patients. *Brain*, 133:161-71.
- Wei, H.L., An, J., Zeng, L.L., Shen, H., Qiu, S.J., Hu, D.W. (2015) Altered functional connectivity among default, attention, and control networks in idiopathic generalized epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav*, 46:118-25.
- Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., Moran, J.M., Nieto-Castanon, A., Triantafyllou, C., Saxe, R., Gabrieli, J.D. (2011) Associations and dissociations between default and self-reference networks in the human brain. *Neuroimage*, 55:225-32.
- Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., Nieto-Castanon, A. (2012) Conn: a functional connectivity toolbox for correlated and anticorrelated brain networks. *Brain connectivity*, 2:125-41.
- Woermann, F.G., Free, S.L., Koepp, M.J., Sisodiya, S.M., Duncan, J.S. (1999) Abnormal cerebral structure in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy demonstrated with voxel-based analysis of MRI. *Brain*, 122 (Pt 11):2101-8.
- Woodward, N.D., Cascio, C.J. (2015) Resting-State Functional Connectivity in Psychiatric Disorders. *JAMA psychiatry*, 72:743-4.
- Woodward, N.D., Rogers, B., Heckers, S. (2011) Functional resting-state networks are differentially affected in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia research*, 130:86-93.




Figure 1
 Location of seeds for each resting-state network. See Table 2 for anatomical locations and coordinates.




Figure 2

Lesions identified in the present study (see Table 1 for corresponding information). Patient 1 (P1): mesial temporal focal cortical dysplasia and atrophy of ipsilateral hippocampal head on T1-weighted (left) and T2-FLAIR (right) images; P3: orbitofrontal gliosis on T1-weighted (left) and T2-FLAIR (right) images; P4: focal cortical dysplasia of middle frontal gyrus on T2-FLAIR (left) and T2-weighted (right) images; P9: unilateral hippocampal atrophy on T1-weighted (left) and T2-weighted (right) images; P12: temporal lobe white matter alteration on T2-weighted (left) and T2-FLAIR (right) images; P24: Frontal lobe gliosis and encephalomalacia, corpus callosum atrophy and contrecoup posterior gliosis on T2-FLAIR (left) and T1-weighted (right images). Patient 21 (slight unilateral hippocampal alteration) not illustrated. Images are neurological convention (right = right).




Figure 3

Resting-state functional networks shown separately for controls (C) and patients (P). Regions correlated (orange) and anti-correlated (purple) with seeds are indicated. Specific seeds used to generate networks indicated here include medial prefrontal cortex (default mode), primary motor area (sensorimotor), anterior cingulate gyrus (salience), left intraparietal sulcus (fronto-parietal) and left inferior frontal gyrus (language). Networks were reproducibly reconstructed using the alternative seeds shown in Figure 1. Note the visual difference between controls and patients in the fronto-parietal attentional network.




Figure 4

Significantly reduced functional connectivity within the fronto-parietal attentional network in patients relative to controls (left intraparietal sulcus seed). Hypoconnectivity in all patients relative to controls are projected onto a 3D rendering (A) and axial sections (B) to illustrate anatomical locations. The spatial distribution of hypoconnectivity in all patients (C) and patients with normal MRI scans (D) are compared using glass brain projections. The corresponding information for each cluster is provided in Tables 3 and 4.

	Age	Sex	EEG	MRI Report	Medication	Dx > fMRI	Seizures between Dx & MRI	Neurological History	Treatment outcome
1	18	M	N	FCD & Hipp R<L	LMT 400mgs	6	Multiple FSIA	No neurological history	PS
2	37	F	N	Normal	LMT 1000mgs	2	FTBTC	Syncope followed by concussive seizure	SF
3	39	M	N	Frontal focal gliosis	LMT 100mgs	7	No Seizures	2 FTBTC & brain injury age of 15	SF
4	57	M	N	FCD	LEV1000mgs	8	FSIA	FTBTC & pituitary cyst	SF
5	43	F	N	Normal	LEV 1000 mgs	1	FSIA	Headaches & previous seizures	SF
6	30	M	N	Normal	LAM 150mgs	7	Single FSIA	No neurological history	SF
7	28	F	N	Normal	LEV 1000mgs	5	No Seizures	FSIA & FTBTC	PS
8	37	M	A	Normal	ZNS 200mgs	8	2 FSIA	FSIA & FTBTC	PS
9	30	M	N	Hippo L<R	LMT 500 mgs	8	FSIA	Von Willebrand disease	PS
10	22	M	N	Normal	ZNS 150mgs	1	No Seizures	FTBTC	SF
11	37	M	N	Normal	LMT 150mgs	2	No Seizures	History of FC	SF
12	38	F	N	Multiple WM hypointensity; haemosiderin and suggestive of previous microhaemorrhages	ZNS 250mgs	5	FSIA & FTBTC	Previous hypoxic brain injury	SF
13	37	F	N	Normal	ZNS 500mgs	1	No Seizures	FSA	SF
14	18	F	N	Normal	LMT 150mgs	11	4 FSIA & FTBTC	No neurological history	PS
15	54	F	N	Normal	LMT 100mgs	1	6 FSIA	FTBTC and history of FC	SF
16	41	F	A	Normal	LEV 500mgs	5	FSIA & FTBTC	FTBTC	SF
17	25	F	N	Normal	LMT 200mgs	3	FSIA	No neurological history	SF
18	18	M	A	Normal	LMT 50mgs	2	FSIA	FTBTC	PS
19	56	M	N	Normal	LMT 150mgs	1	No Seizures	FSA & FTBTC	PS
20	41	F	N	Normal	LMT 300mgs	2	No Seizures	FSIA & FTBTC	SF
21	22	M	N	R hippo change*	LMT 50mgs	3	No Seizures	FTBTC	SF

22	23	M	N	Normal	LMT 150mgs	3	No Seizures	FTBTC	SF
23	20	F	N	Normal	LMT 100mgs	1	No Seizures	No neurological history	PS
24	32	M	N	Right FL gliosis, encephalomalacia & CC atrophy; left posterior gliosis	LEV 1000mgs	1	No Seizures	FTBS & previous brain injury	PS
25	38	F	N	Normal	LEV 1000mgs	2	No Seizures	FTBTC	PS
26	28	M	N	Normal	LMT 150 mgs	1	No Seizures	2 FTBTC	SF
27	24	M	N	Normal	Unknown	2	No Seizures	No neurological history	SF

Table 1. Patient clinical data. Age is years. Time between diagnosis and resting-state functional MRI (Dx > fMRI) is months. A, abnormal; CPS, complex partial seizure; F, female; FC, febrile convulsions; FCD, focal cortical dysplasia; FSA, focal seizure, aware (formerly simple partial seizure (Fisher, et al., 2017)); FSAI, focal seizure awareness impaired (formerly complex partial seizure (Fisher, et al., 2017)); FTBTC, focal to bilateral tonic-clonic (formerly generalised tonic-clonic seizure (Fisher, et al., 2017)); Hippo, hippocampal volume; L, left; LEV, Levetiracetam; LMT, Lamotrigine; M, male; N, normal; PS, persistent seizures; R, right; SF, seizure free; WM, white matter; ZNS, Zonisamide. *Right hippocampal change was observed on Fluid-Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) MRI only.

Network	Anatomical region	BA	x	y	x
Default mode	Medial prefrontal cortex	10	1	55	-3
	Posterior parietal cortex	7	1	-61	38
Sensorimotor	Primary motor area	4	0	-31	67
	Precentral gyrus, left	6	-55	-12	29
	Precentral gyrus, right	6	56	-10	29
Salience	Anterior cingulate gyrus	32	0	22	35
	Anterior insula, left	13	-44	13	1
	Anterior insula, right	13	47	14	0
Fronto-parietal	Intraparietal sulcus, left	39	-46	-58	49
	Intraparietal sulcus, right	39	52	-52	45
Language	Posterior superior temporal gyrus, left	22	-57	-47	15
	Posterior superior temporal gyrus, right	22	59	-42	13
	Inferior frontal gyrus (pars triangularis), left	45	-51	26	2
	Inferior frontal gyrus (pars triangularis), right	45	54	28	1

Table 2. Seed regions used to generate resting-state networks. See Figure 1 for visualisation of anatomical location of seeds.

Seed	Anatomical regions	Peak x,y,z	Cluster	Cluster p FWE	Peak p unc
Left	Right middle temporal gyrus, temporal pole, inferior temporal gyrus	62 -12 -28	867	0.004	0.00004
	Left lateral occipital cortex, angular gyrus, middle temporal gyrus, supramarginal gyrus	-44 -56 22	865	0.006	0.00001
	Left superior frontal gyrus, frontal pole	-10 24 52	672	0.007	<0.00001
	Left middle temporal gyrus, superior temporal gyrus, inferior temporal gyrus, temporal pole	-60 -44 -02	650	0.007	0.0002
	Precuneus, posterior cingulate gyrus	-02 -52 20	484	0.002	0.0004
Right	Right lateral temporal cortex	54 -30 -16	600	0.04	0.0008
	Left mesial frontal cortex	-20 40 18	643	0.03	0.00008
	Left occipital cortex, cerebellum	-38 -86 -36	1101	0.004	0.0008

Table 3. Second level results: significantly reduced functional connectivity within fronto-parietal attention network in **all** patients relative to controls. Regions are illustrated in Figure 2.

Seed	Anatomical regions	Peak x,y,z	Cluster	Cluster p FWE	Peak p unc
Left	Right middle temporal gyrus, temporal pole, inferior temporal gyrus	62 -12 -28	583	0.007	0.00007
	Left lateral occipital cortex, angular gyrus	-44 -56 22	570	0.009	0.00002
	Left superior frontal gyrus, frontal pole	-10 24 52	509	0.01	<0.00001
	Left middle temporal gyrus, superior temporal gyrus	-60 -44 -02	326	0.03	0.0001
Right	Left mesial frontal cortex	-20 40 18	451	0.05	0.0001

Table 4. Second level results: significantly reduced functional connectivity within fronto-parietal attention network in **non-lesional patients only** relative to controls.