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**Abstract**

**Aims** To assess the utility of corneal confocal microscopy in identifying small fibre damage in patients with burning mouth syndrome (BMS).

**Methods** A prospective cross-sectional cohort study conducted at two UK dental hospitals between 2014 and 2017. 17 consecutive patients aged between 18 to 85 years with idiopathic BMS (15F/2M) and 14 healthy age matched control subjects (7F/7M) were enrolled in this study. Corneal subbasal nerve plexus measures and Langerhans cell density were quantified in images acquired with a laser scanning in-vivo corneal confocal microscope. Main outcome measureswere corneal nerve fibre density, nerve branch density, nerve fibre length and Langerhans cell density.

**Results**  Of the 17 patients with BMS 15 (88%) were female with a mean (standard deviation) age of 61.7 (6.5) years. Of the healthy controls, 7 (50%) were female with a mean (standard deviation) age of 59.3 (8.68) years. Corneal nerve fibre density (no./mm2) (BMS: 29.27±6.22 vs. Controls: 36.19±5.9; median difference, 6.71; 95% CI [1.56 to 11.56]; *P*= .007) and corneal nerve fibre length (mm/mm2) (BMS: 21.06±4.77 vs. Controls: 25.39±3.91; median difference, 4.5; 95% CI [1.22 to 6.81]; *P*= .007) were significantly lower and Langerhans cell density (no./mm2) (BMS: 74.04±83.37 vs. Controls: 29.17±45.14; median difference, -21.27; 95% CI [-65.35to -2.91]; *P*= .02) was significantly higher in patients with BMS compared to controls.

**Conclusions** This study, using a rapid non-invasive ophthalmic imaging technique, provides further evidence for small fibre damage in BMS and has potential utility to monitor disease progression or response. Furthermore, it shows a hitherto undocumented increased immune cell density in this group of patients.

**Introduction**

Idiopathic burning mouth syndrome (BMS) is a debilitating painful condition of the oral cavity, characterised by a burning sensation of the tongue, palate or buccal mucosa [1](#_ENREF_1) and has a major impact on quality of life [2](#_ENREF_2),[3](#_ENREF_3). It affects between 0.7% to 3.7% of the general population [4](#_ENREF_4),[5](#_ENREF_5" \o "Coculescu, 2014 #37).

For the diagnosis of BMS, systemic causes such as Sjogren’s syndrome should be excluded and the oral mucosa should be normal to inspection [6](#_ENREF_6). The underlying etiology of BMS is complex and poorly understood[7](#_ENREF_7) with abnormalities extending from the altered expression of vanilloid and cannabinoid receptors on the epithelium [8](#_ENREF_8), to peripheral nerve [9](#_ENREF_9) and central functional and structural alterations in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex [10](#_ENREF_10). Altered immune and endocrine function has also been implicated in the aetiology of BMS [11](#_ENREF_11),[12](#_ENREF_12).

The management of BMS is very difficult in relation to accurate diagnosis, especially as it is often misdiagnosed as Sjogren’s syndrome[13](#_ENREF_13). A wide array of sub-optimally effective therapies have been used and include antidepressants, alpha-lipoic acid, anti-inflammatory agents and non-pharmacological therapies [14-16](#_ENREF_14). The complex etiology of BMS and the existence of specific subtypes with differing contributions of peripheral and central neuropathic pain may explain the limited therapeutic response [14](#_ENREF_14),[17](#_ENREF_17).

The role of small fibre pathology was explored in an early tongue biopsy study which revealed a significant decrease in epithelial nerve density and active axonal degeneration in the sub-papillary nerve plexus, in patients with BMS [18](#_ENREF_18). In a more recent study there was a loss of epidermal nerve fibres, but no difference in subepithelial nerve fibre density [9](#_ENREF_9). In a further study, there was an overall loss of epidermal nerve fibres, but with an increase in TRPV1 and NGF expressing pain nerve fibres [19](#_ENREF_19). Furthermore, in a recent study mechanical sensitivity thresholds were preserved indicating preferential small fibre involvement in BMS [20](#_ENREF_20).

A tongue biopsy may be useful in identifying small fibre damage and to explore the underlying etiology of BMS, however, its invasive nature limits its usefulness. We have pioneered the technique of corneal confocal microscopy (CCM) for rapid non-invasive imaging of the corneal sub-basal plexus, which are sensory nerves derived from the trigeminal nerve [21](#_ENREF_21). We have shown that CCM is a reproducible and repeatable technique [22](#_ENREF_22) for identifying small fibre damage in diabetic neuropathy [23-25](#_ENREF_23) and a range of other peripheral neuropathies [26-29](#_ENREF_26). We have also shown increased Langerhans cell density in diabetic neuropathy [30](#_ENREF_30), chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy [26](#_ENREF_26) and multiple sclerosis [31](#_ENREF_31) in relation to corneal nerve loss. We have also recently shown corneal nerve fibre loss in patients with multiple sclerosis [31](#_ENREF_31) and Parkinson’s disease [32](#_ENREF_32).

The aim of this study was to investigate if CCM can detect an abnormality in corneal small nerve fibres and Langerhans cell density in patients with BMS compared to age matched controls.

**Methods**

**Study subjects**

This was a prospective cross-sectional cohort study conducted at two tertiary referral dental hospitals in the United Kingdom June between 2014 and 2017.

17 consecutive patients with burning mouth syndrome who were able to attend for further investigations were studied and compared to 14 age-matched healthy control subjects selected from hospital and university staff without any cause of neuropathy. The study was approved by the NHS Health Research Authority, National Research Ethics Service reference 14/NW/0004 and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. This research adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Eligibility**

Patients with a definite clinical history of primary burning mouth syndrome for at least six months, aged between 18 to 85 years were invited for the study. The diagnostic criteria were based on the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-3 beta) defined as “an intraoral burning or dysaesthetic sensation, recurring daily for more than two hours per day over more than three months, without clinically evident causative lesions.” The diagnosis of BMS included a clinical investigation of the oral cavity in order to exclude local causes and laboratory analysis to eliminate any systemic cause of burning or sore mouth[13](#_ENREF_13). Exclusion: Subjects with a known history of corneal abnormality, trauma or surgery, wearing contact lenses, any other cause of neuropathy and burning mouth symptoms attributed to any other underlying cause such as candidiasis, trauma and thermal or chemical burns were excluded from the study.

### Corneal Confocal Microscopy

All participants underwent corneal confocal microscopy using a Heidelberg Retinal Tomograph III with Rostock Cornea Module (HRT III RCM) (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany). The examination took 5-10 minutes per patients and was performed by highly experienced optometrists. 6 images (3 per eye) from the central corneal sub basal nerve plexus were selected following our previously published protocol [22](#_ENREF_22).

**Image analysis**

An experienced examiner (MF) analysed all the images manually using CCMetrics (MA Dabbah; Imaging Science and Biomedical Engineering, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK), while being masked from the diagnosis. The measurements that were performed comprised: Corneal nerve fibre density (CNFD) indicating the number of major nerves/mm2 of corneal tissue; Corneal nerve fibre length (CNFL) indicating the length of nerves/mm2 of corneal tissue; Corneal nerve branch density (CNBD) indicating the number of nerve branches/mm2 of corneal tissue;Corneal nerve fibre tortuosity (CNFT) indicating the degree of non-linearity of the nerve fibres were quantified. Langerhans cells (LC) were identified from their size and morphology as highly bright dendritic structures and the density (no./mm2) was derived by counting the total number of LC’s in the area of the cornea using the NBD feature of the CCMetrics software [26](#_ENREF_26).

**Statistical analysis**

IBM SPSS v22 (Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows and Stata V 15 (Texas, USA) were used to compute the results. Analysis included descriptive and frequency statistics and all data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). All data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and Q-Q plots. Two independent sample t-tests (for parametric variables) and Mann-Whitney U test (for non-parametric variables) were used to compare means between the two groups. Where appropriate, confidence intervals (CI) were expressed.

**Sample size**

Based on previously published results in patients with Charcot Marie Tooth (27) we estimated a minimum of 12 patients and 12 controls were required to detect a difference in CCM parameters with a significance level of 0.05 and power of 0.80, based on a two-tailed independent sample T-test per group.

**Results**

**Demographics**

17 patients with BMS (15 Females/2 Males) were compared with 14 healthy age matched control subjects (7 Females, 7 Males). There was no difference in age between patients with BMS and controls (BMS: 61.7±6.5 vs Controls: 59.3±8.7; mean difference, -2.45; 95% CI [-8.46 to 3.55]; P=0.4).

**Corneal nerve fibres**

Corneal nerve fibre density (no. /mm2) (BMS: 29.27±6.22 vs. Controls: 36.19±5.9; median difference, 6.71; 95% CI [1.56to 11.56]; *P*= .007) and corneal nerve fibre length (mm/mm2) (BMS: 21.06±4.77 vs. Controls: 25.39±3.91; median difference, 4.52; 95% CI [1.22 to 6.81]; *P*= .007) were significantly lower in patients with BMS compared to controls. There was no difference in corneal nerve branch density (no./mm2) (BMS: 74.83±27.43 vs. Controls: 76.48±23, median difference, 2.86; 95%CI [-19.27 to 21.66]; *P*= .7) and corneal nerve fibre tortuosity (TC) (BMS: 14.42±2.95 vs. Controls: 16.41±2.7; mean difference, 2.42; 95%CI [-0.24 to 4.58]; *P*= .06) between patients with BMS and controls (Table 1 and Figures 1 and 2).

**Langerhans cell density**

Cell density was significantly increased in patients with BMS compared to controls (no. /mm2) (BMS: 74.04±83.37 vs. Controls: 29.17±45.14; median difference, -21.27; 95% CI [-65.35to -2.91]; *P*= .02) (Table 1, Figures 1-2).

**Discussion**

Corneal confocal microscopy has identified corneal small fibre damage in patients with burning mouth syndrome. This confirms the presence of a small fibre neuropathy in patients with BMS, that could previously only be shown through a reduction in epidermal nerve fibre density in tongue biopsies[9](#_ENREF_9),[18](#_ENREF_18" \o "Lauria, 2005 #3),[19](#_ENREF_19).

The key advantage with CCM is that it is a rapid non-invasive imaging method that accurately and reproducibly [25](#_ENREF_25),[33](#_ENREF_33) quantifies small fibre damage in a range of peripheral neuropathies [25](#_ENREF_25),[34](#_ENREF_34),[35](#_ENREF_35). Indeed, we have previously shown that CCM has comparable diagnostic utility to intra-epithelial nerve fibre density in skin biopsies for patients with diabetic neuropathy [33](#_ENREF_33),[35](#_ENREF_35).

We have also shown that CCM can predict the development of clinical neuropathy [36](#_ENREF_36),[37](#_ENREF_37) and can detect early nerve fibre repair after therapeutic intervention [34](#_ENREF_34),[38](#_ENREF_38). It is hoped, therefore, that CCM may be able to detect response of small nerve fibres to treatment in BMS patients also. As CCM allows the detection of small fibre damage in BMS patients, it may help to identify BMS patients with a greater abnormality in peripheral rather than central pain pathways [14](#_ENREF_14),[39](#_ENREF_39).

Furthermore, we also show a significant increase in corneal Langerhans cell density in BMS patients, suggestive of immune alterations in BMS. Two previous studies have suggested immune alterations in patients with BMS with a reduction in CD8 cells and altered CD4/CD8 ratios [12](#_ENREF_12),[40](#_ENREF_40). Whilst, Langerhans cell density has not been assessed directly in biopsies from BMS patients, TRPV1 receptors are expressed on LC’s, and TRPV1 immunoreactivity has been shown to be increased in tongue biopsies from patients with BMS [19](#_ENREF_19). In relation to a mechanistic link with nerve degeneration, increased Langerhans cell density has been associated with a reduced density of intra-epidermal nerve fibres in patients with painful diabetic neuropathy[41](#_ENREF_41).

Corneal confocal microscopy is a fast, non-invasive imaging method to quantify small nerve fibre damage in patients with BMS. Further studies utilising CCM are needed to investigate if it has utility in differentiating disease subtypes or to monitor progression or response to treatment.
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**Tables and Figures**

Table 1. Corneal Confocal Microscopy measurements in BMS patients and controls.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | BMS (n=17) | Controls (n=14) | P value |
| Age (years) | 61.76±6.5 | 59.3±8.68 | 0.4 |
| CNFD (no./mm2) | 29.27±6.22 | 36.19±5.9 | 0.007 |
| CNBD (no./mm2) | 74.83±27.43 | 76.48±23.15 | 0.7 |
| CNFL (mm/mm2) | 21.06±4.77 | 25.39±3.91 | 0.007 |
| CNFT (TC) | 14.42±2.95 | 16.41±2.79 | 0.06 |
| LC’s density (no./mm2) | 74.04±83.37 | 29.17±45.14 | 0.02 |

Abbreviations: CNFD = corneal nerve fibre density, CNBD = corneal nerve fibre branch density, CNFL = corneal nerve fibre length, CNFT = corneal nerve fibre tortuosity, LC’s = Langerhans cells. All data presented as Mean±SD.



Figure 1. CCM images of the central sub-basal nerve plexus from a healthy control subject (A) and a patient with BMS (B). Red arrows indicate main nerves, yellow arrows indicate branches and circles indicate Langerhans cells.



Figure 2. Corneal nerve fibre density (CNFD) (A), Corneal nerve branch density (CNBD) (B), Corneal nerve fibre length (CNFL) (C) and Langerhans cell (LC’s) density (D) in control subjects and patients with BMS. Bars indicate mean and one standard deviation.