International Journal of Epidemiology

# Changes in millennial adolescent mental health and health related behaviours over ten years: a population cohort comparison study

OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Journal:	International Journal of Epidemiology
Manuscript ID	IJE-2018-08-1015.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Patalay, Praveetha; University College London, Gage, S; University of Liverpool
Key Words:	depression, smoking, alcohol, sleep, ALSPAC, Millennium Cohort Study

SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Changes in millennial adolescent mental health and health related behaviours over ten years: a population cohort comparison study
8 9 10 11 12	Praveetha Patalay* <sup>1,2</sup> , Suzanne H Gage <sup>1</sup>
13 14	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Psychology, Health and Society, University of Liverpool, Bedford Street South,
15 16	Liverpool, UK
17 18	<sup>2</sup> Centre for Longitudinal Studies and MRC Unit for Lifelong Health and Ageing, UCL, Gower
20 21 22 23	Street, London, UK
24 25 26 27	Word count: 4116
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	*Correspondence to: Praveetha Patalay, Centre for longitudinal studies, 20 Bedford Way London Tel: 02076126051 Email: p.patalay@ucl.ac.uk

Page 2 of 31

#### Abstract

**Background:** There is evidence that mental health problems are increasing and substance use behaviours are decreasing. This paper aimed to investigate recent trends in mental ill-health and health-related behaviours in two cohorts of UK adolescents in 2005 and 2015. **Method:** Prevalences in mental health (depressive symptoms, self-harm, anti-social behaviours, parent reported difficulties) and health related behaviours (substance use, weight, weight perception, sleep, sexual intercourse) were examined at age 14 in two UK birth cohorts; Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC, N=5627, born 1991-92) and Millennium Cohort Study (MCS, N=11318, born 2000-02). Prevalences and trend estimates are presented unadjusted and using propensity score matching and entropy balancing to account for differences between samples.

**Results:** Depressive symptoms (9% to ~15%) and self-harm (11.8% to ~14.5%) were higher is 2015 compared to 2005. Parent-reported emotional difficulties, conduct problems, hyperactivity and peer problems were higher in 2015 compared to 2005 (5.7 – 9% to 9 – 18%). Conversely, substance use (tried smoking 9% to 3%; tried alcohol 52% to ~43%, cannabis 4.6% to ~4%), sexual activity (2% to ~1%) and anti-social behaviours (6.2 – 40.1% to 1.6 – 28%) were less common or no different. Adolescents in 2015 were spending less time sleeping (<8 hours 5.7% to 11.5%), had higher BMIs (obese, 3.8% to ~7.3%) and a greater proportion perceived themselves as overweight (26.5% to 32.9). The findings should be interpreted bearing in mind limitations in ability to adequately harmonize certain variables and account for differences in attrition rates and generalizability of the two cohorts. **Conclusion:** Given health-related behaviours are often cited as risk-factors for poor mental health, our findings suggest relationships between these factors might be more complex and dynamic in nature than currently understood. Striking increases in mental health difficulties, BMI and poor sleep related behaviours highlight an increasing public health challenge.

**Keywords:** depression, smoking, alcohol, sleep, ALSPAC, Millennium Cohort Study, adolescence

## Key messages

- Large increases are observed in some mental health difficulties (depression, self-harm), obesity and poorer sleeping habits in the ten years between 2005 and 2015, while antisocial behaviour and substance use seem to be decreasing or are unchanged.
- Given health-related behaviours are often cited as risk-factors for poor mental health, our findings suggest relationships between these factors might be changing over time
- The findings have important implications for policy and public health planning related to mental health and substance use.
- Our findings also present data on changes in sleep behaviours and weight perceptions, highlighting the need for further research into the role these behaviours might play in the rising mental health difficulties observed in today's adolescents.

Page 4 of 31

#### Introduction

The focus on adolescent health has been increasing in recent years,<sup>1</sup> with a growing recognition that these years are pivotal in the development and maintenance of health behaviours and outcomes through the lifecourse.<sup>2, 3</sup> Adolescence is a key period for mental health disorder onset with half of lifetime onset by age 14.<sup>4</sup> Research over previous decades suggests that the prevalences of mental health problems are increasing in UK teenagers,<sup>5, 6</sup> which is mirrored in studies across different countries.<sup>7, 8</sup> An international systematic review investigating secular trends in adolescent mental health from the previous century into the start of this century concluded that internalizing symptoms seem to be increasing, finding more consistent evidence for increases in girls compared to boys.<sup>9</sup> Most studies in this review focussed on internalizing symptoms or general psychological distress, making conclusions about externalising behaviours less possible. There are few studies comparing changing trends in the millennial generations, and prevalence studies suggest that mental health problems in mid-adolescence might have increased even further in recent years.<sup>10</sup> <sup>11</sup>

In contrast, while prevalence of internalizing mental health problems seems to be increasing, young people in the UK are becoming less likely to be underage substance users. Office for National Statistics reports collected from secondary school pupils in England have found prevalence of alcohol use, smoking, cannabis use and other drug use among 14 year old pupils have consistently fallen since 1982 when the survey was first undertaken.<sup>12</sup> For example, in 1982 16% of 14 year olds described themselves as regular smokers. In 2014 this had fallen to just 4%, and the drop was consistent across genders. This decrease in use has become particularly pronounced since the early 2000s.<sup>12</sup>

Given that various health behaviours including but not limited to substance use are implicated in risk for poor mental health,<sup>13-18</sup> investigating the relationships between these secular trends is important to explore causal relationships, the aetiology of mental ill-health,

and potentially to inform interventions to try and reverse the increasing prevalence of mental health problems. It is therefore surprising that to date there has been little attempt to combine investigations of secular trends in mental health with changes in health related behaviours. We also know little about trends in other health related behaviours such as sleep, risky sexual behaviour, body satisfaction and physical activity that might also be causal risk factors for mental ill-health and substance use.<sup>13-18</sup>

In the current study we use two cohorts of UK adolescents born a decade apart (1991/92 and 2000/02) in order to identify changes in mental health, considering both internalizing and externalizing symptoms, and a number of related health behaviours including substance use, sleep behaviours, weight, physical and sexual activity. In particular we attempt to make the variables and datasets as comparable as possible by harmonizing the variables and performing two different techniques (propensity score matching and entropy balancing) to increase the comparability of the cohorts. The prevalence of a number of these behaviours differ between males and females, and some studies report different trends in males and females.<sup>6</sup> We therefore also empirically examine sex differences in changes over time in these outcomes.

#### Method

#### Participants

*Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC)* is a cohort born in 1991-92. ALSPAC recruited 14,541 pregnant women resident in Avon, UK with expected dates of delivery 1st April 1991 to 31st December 1992. When the oldest children were approximately 7 years of age, an attempt was made to bolster the initial sample with eligible cases who had failed to join the study originally. The total sample size for analyses using any data collected after the age of seven is therefore 15,247 pregnancies, resulting in 15,458 foetuses. Of this total sample of 15,458 foetuses, 14,775 were live births and 14,701 were alive at 1 year of age.<sup>19, 20</sup> The study website contains details of all the data that is available through a fully searchable data dictionary and variable search tool

(http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/). Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees. Data were collected frequently via different modalities, with clinic visits and postal questionnaires having taken place in adolescence every year. This study uses data from ages 13, 14 and 15. In the current study, data were available from 6132 participants at age 14 representing 41.7% of the 14701 participants alive past 1 year. Attrition is predicted by a range of variables in ALSPAC including lower educational level, male gender, non-White ethnicity, and eligibility for free school meals.<sup>19</sup>

*Millennium Cohort Study (MCS)* is a cohort of 19,517 children born in 2000-02 sampled from the whole of the UK.<sup>21</sup> Data so far have been collected in 6 sweeps at ages 9 months, 3, 5, 7, 11 and 14 years. The <u>study website (https://cls.ucl.ac.uk/cls-studies/millennium-cohort-study/</u>) contains details regarding all the data available and information on accessing the datasets. Ethics approval for the age 14 sweep was obtained from the National Research Ethics Service Research Ethics Committee. At the age 14 sweep, 15415 families were issued into the field (those not issued due to emigration, permanent refusal,

untraceability), of which 11726 families participated in the age 14 sweep (representing 60.9% of the original sample).<sup>22</sup> Attrition at the age 14 sweep compared to the full sample is predicted by a range of demographic variables including male gender, Black ethnicity, lower occupational and educational level and single parent family.<sup>23</sup>

For this study, we analysed data from participants who had provided data on at least one of the outcome variables at the age 14 sweeps of the studies (depressive symptoms, smoking, alcohol, cannabis and other drugs; ALSPAC N= 6132, MCS N= 11351). Furthermore, participants without the demographic data required for increasing the comparability of the datasets (sex, ethnicity, age, maternal education and maternal age) were excluded, resulting in an analysis sample of 5627 from ALSPAC and 11318 from MCS.

There have been changes in socio-demographic characteristics of the country in the ten years between these cohorts (e.g. higher proportion ethnic minorities, higher education levels) and in addition the two cohorts represent different regions that might have different characteristics with ALPSAC being a regional and MCS a national cohort. For instance, around one-fifth of the MCS sample are ethnic minorities compared to around 4% in ALSPAC. To minimise the bias in estimates that socio-demographic differences in the samples might cause, we control for socio-demographic factors in analysis and in addition employ two additional approaches (propensity score matching and entropy balancing) to increase the comparability of the cohorts.

#### Measures

The measures used in this study (Table 1) include socio-demographic indicators (used for increasing cohort comparability), mental ill-health (depressive symptoms, self-harm, parent reported difficulties), substance use (alcohol, smoking, cannabis and other drugs), antisocial behaviours (assault, graffiti, vandalism, shoplifting and rowdy behaviour) and other health related behaviours (including sleep, weight, weight perception and sexual activity). In a few

instances (self-harm, sleep behaviours, parent-rated difficulties), the variables of interest were not available in ALSPAC at age 14, but available in the sweep immediately before (age 13) or afterwards (age 15) and where this is the case is clearly indicated in the table. Table 1 also presents the details of the harmonised variables that were subsequently used in analysis. Some of the variables were more readily comparable than others, for instance both studies used the Short Moods and Feelings Questionnaire<sup>24</sup> to assess depressive symptoms, parent-rated Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire<sup>25</sup> to measure difficulties and the same set of questions to record sexual activity. Other variables were harmonised through a process of creating new, comparable variables across the datasets (e.g. alcohol, smoking). For self-harm, even after harmonisation the resulting variable is not truly comparable due to different time scales of the question asked, which needs to be borne in mind when interpreting findings. Lastly, some health related behaviours that we planned to harmonise and investigate (physical activity) were substantially differently measured and harmonised measures could not be derived. Analysis 

# Increasing comparability of the datasets

To increase comparability of the samples by accounting for key socio-demographic differences between these samples, participants from the larger MCS sample were matched or weighted to make them comparable to the ALSPAC sample on key demographic factors including sex, age, ethnicity, maternal education and maternal age at birth. This was done using two approaches: propensity score matching<sup>26</sup> and entropy balancing.<sup>27</sup> Both approaches aim to reduce the probability that differences between samples on outcomes of interest are because of sample differences on relevant demographic variables.<sup>27</sup> Table S1

shows the differences in these characteristics in the samples before and after these procedures were applied.

*Propensity score matching* is based on a propensity score, which is derived from weighting schemes based on the criteria that are to be matched to identify individuals from the larger, control group that are most like each of the individuals in the treatment group (in this case ALSPAC) across a range of variables as specified. Propensity score matching was conducted in STATA using psmatch2.<sup>28</sup>

*Entropy balancing* is a multivariate reweighting method that calibrates unit weights such that two samples are balanced on a range of pre-specified variables, hence increasing comparability for the estimation of treatment, or in this case cohort, effects.<sup>27</sup> The application of this approach creates an entropy balancing weight value for all participants in the MCS sample, which is then used as a weight when estimating prevalences in the MCS sample. This approach allows the utilisation of the full available MCS cohort, instead of selecting a matched sub-sample like the propensity score matching approach. Entropy balancing was conducted in STATA using ebalance.<sup>29</sup>

#### Missing data

In ALSPAC 15.6% of the total cells were missing (ranging from <1% for substance use, 1.8% for mental health, ~24% for antisocial behaviours and ~26% for sleep behaviours). In the MCS samples, 1% of cells were missing in the MCS propensity matched sample and 1.2% in the full MCS sample. Multiple imputations (20 imputations) were carried out using chained equations separately in the two cohorts.

#### Estimating cohort differences

Four estimates (ALSPAC, MCS nationally representative, MCS propensity matched and MCS entropy balanced) of the prevalences and descriptive statistics (means and % with

95% CIs) for each of the harmonised outcome variables were first estimated. In addition, we estimated odds ratios (Figure 1) of the cohort effects (MCS compared to ALSPAC) for the prevalence of high mental health or risky health behaviours using logistic regressions. Lastly, we also examined sex into cohort interactions with the *ebalancing* weight to examine whether any outcomes different extent of change in males and females.

For ease of interpretation for the reader, throughout the rest of the paper we refer to the ALSPAC variables year of collection as 2005 and the MCS variables as 2015.

# Results

There were no differences between the samples in sex distribution and maternal age at birth (Table S1). Regarding the other characteristics, as expected, MCS had higher proportions of ethnic minorities and higher levels of maternal higher education. Of the two approaches used to increase comparability, the propensity matching resulted in the two samples becoming more similar, for example ethnic minorities were less than 4% in the ALSPAC cohort compared to more than 20% in the full MCS cohort, while the propensity matched MCS cohort consisted of around 10% of minority ethnic individuals. In contrast, the entropy balancing (based on the generated entropy weights) resulted in matched estimates across demographic characteristics in the two cohorts.

Estimates from the propensity score matched sample and the entropy balancing in the MCS were very similar in most cases and for most outcomes, different from the MCS nationally representative estimates, indicating the relevance of adjusting the estimates when estimating cohort differences. The descriptive statistics indicated that there were more young people with mental health problems, as indicated by greater proportion above depression threshold and reporting self-harming, in 2015 compared to 2005 (but note the self-harm behaviour question was limited to past 12 months in 2015 compared to lifetime in 2005).

Page 10 of 31

Antisocial behaviour and substance use rates were lower in 2015 compared to 2005. Parent reported difficulties highlighted higher rates of emotional, conduct and hyperactivity symptoms and greater levels of problems getting along with peers in 2015 compared to 2005. With regards to the health-related behaviours, the more recent cohort had a higher BMI on average and larger numbers also perceived themselves to being overweight. The data on sleep behaviours indicated that on weekdays young people in 2015 were more likely to sleep later and more likely to wake up earlier. Weekend sleep and wake times were more similar between the cohorts. A greater proportion of adolescents in 2005 reported having had sexual intercourse by this age compared to in 2015. Due to the higher comparability and complete sample size using entropy weights and the similar estimates produced with entropy and propensity adjustment, entropy balancing is used for subsequent regression analyses comparing the two cohorts and the sex by cohort interactions.

Figure 1 illustrates odds of outcomes in the MCS sample (2015) compared to the ALSPAC sample (2005) using both a direct comparison approach and using estimates applying the entropy balancing weights. Estimates were similar for most of the mental health and some health related behaviour outcomes based on the two approaches, but there was some noticeable upward or downward bias for some outcomes, for instance with entropy balancing the lower odds in 2015 compared to 2005 are more stark for antisocial and risky health behaviours; highlighting the potential relevance of using methods to increase the comparability of cohorts when estimating cohort differences.

Descriptives stratified by sex are presented in Table 4. Depressive symptoms, self-harm, overweight perception were higher in females and antisocial behaviours, peer problems higher in males., Regression analysis with the entropy balancing weight were estimated to examine sex-by-cohort interactions. Most health-related behaviours showed little or no sex

differences in prevalence. There were no sex-by-cohort interactions for most of the variables included in this study, indicating that rates of change or increased/decreased odds were similar in males and females. There was evidence of sex differences in cohort effects for some antisocial behaviours (e.g. assault  $OR_{male} = 0.66$ ,  $OR_{female} = 0.45$ ), parent-reported conduct problems ( $OR_{male} = 2.74$ ,  $OR_{female} = 1.38$ ) and having tried alcohol ( $OR_{male} = 0.85$ ,  $OR_{female} = 0.59$ ), where odds of these behaviours in 2015 compared to 2005 were lower in females compared to males (irrespective of whether overall odds were lower or higher in 2015). Odds ratios separately by sex were estimated and presented in Figure 2.

ſαιτ.,

#### Discussion

The current study examined changes in a range of mental health and health related behaviour outcomes in mid-adolescence over ten years (2005 to 2015) using two key UK birth cohort studies. Importantly, the study investigated this range of outcomes within the same analytic framework, and employed methodological techniques to provide comparable estimates across the different health outcomes.

Prevalence of depressive symptoms, self-harm and parent reported mental health difficulties were all higher in 2015 compared to 2005, whereas anti-social behaviours were lower in 2015. Changes in these mental health outcomes were substantial, with a 6% increase (9% in 2005, 14.9% in 2015) in those above the threshold for depression and 20% decrease in those reporting physically assaulting anyone at age 14 (40.1% in 2005, ~28% in 2015). Most antisocial behaviours reported were substantially lower in 2015 compared to 2005 and there was a sex interaction whereby the cohort difference was larger in females. Trends in externalising behaviours have been understudied in cohort comparisons and this data provides clear evidence for changes in antisocial behaviours in the decade between these cohorts.

The increase in internalising mental health problems was consistent by sex, suggesting that increases in psychological distress and self-harming behaviour are not increasing at higher rates in females. This finding is in contrast to some other studies of adolescent trends that indicated that increases in internalising problems were more consistent and greater in females.<sup>6, 9, 11</sup> For instance, previous research has reported odds in 2006 compared to 1986 at age 16 of 0.9 in males and 1.5 in females,<sup>6</sup> compared to the increased odds in this study of ~1.8 in both males and females in 2015 compared to 2005. It is striking that the rate of increase of high depressive symptoms is more than 60% in just one decade. Poor mental

health at this age predicts a host of lifelong negative consequences such as poorer health, social and economic outcomes,<sup>3, 30</sup> and therefore this sharp increase should cause concern.

Results for health related behaviours were mixed with less young people having tried alcohol, binge drinking, smoking and having sex by mid adolescence in 2015 but being more likely to have later bedtimes and wake up earlier, sleep less than the recommended 8 hours for adolescents<sup>31</sup> and to perceive themselves as overweight and to have higher BMIs. It is relevant to note that although fewer young people had tried smoking cigarettes in 2015, there was no cohort difference in the proportion smoking weekly at this age, although in absolute terms the number of individuals smoking weekly at age 14 was small (approximately 2% in both cohorts). In terms of sex differences in these cohort effects, the odds for some antisocial behaviours and ever trying alcohol in 2015 compared to 2005 were even lower in females compared to males, indicating that for some of these behaviours the decreasing prevalences over time were more marked in females. Some of these findings (e.g. underage substance use, sexual activity) are in line with research that demonstrates a decrease in 'adult activities' among adolescents in recent decades,<sup>32</sup> however, this explanation does not help understand shorter sleeptimes, lower anti-social behaviours and poorer weight related outcomes observed in this study.

The health-related behaviours identified in this study are all known risk factors for mental illhealth.<sup>13-15, 18</sup> In some instances the increasing trends in risky health behaviours such as decreasing sleep times, increasing weight, and perceived overweight status might help explain the increasing mental health difficulties experienced by adolescents. Where the trends are moving in opposite directions (substance use, antisocial behaviours), the interpretation becomes more complicated. It may suggest that the associations between these behaviours and mental health are not consistent over generations and might be changing over time. This is important with regards to trying to identify causal risk factors for poor adolescent health outcomes. Unexpected patterns such as those seen in our study,

could indicate that associations between, for example, cannabis use and depression could be due to residual confounding rather than true causality. However, other factors not included in the study are also likely to have changed over the ten years of investigation, which may also impact on these associations. Understanding the dynamic relationships between health behaviours and mental health should be a priority as adolescent mental health problems increase, in order to identify suitable targets for interventions to prevent this upward trend from continuing.

In addition to effectively using two large contemporary birth cohort studies, the study makes several methodological advancements in improving our understanding of changing trends in UK adolescents. Variables in the two cohorts, where dissimilar, were carefully harmonised to ensure comparisons could be made. Unfortunately, this harmonisation could not be achieved for certain variables of interest (physical activity), which we were therefore unable to include. Similarly, for other variables the harmonisation is imperfect either owing to different time periods of reference in the questions (e.g. self-harm) or availability only at a slightly different age in the ALSPAC cohort (e.g. sleep times) and this must be borne in mind when interpreting findings. In both these cases, however, the direction of bias is likely to be an underestimation of the increased poorer outcomes in 2015, for instance, with self-harm we estimate lifetime prevalence in ALSPAC and previous year prevalence in MCS. Although ALSPAC and MCS are large and detailed birth cohorts, one is a regional cohort (ALSPAC) and one is a national cohort (MCS), which could bias our findings. However, regional variation in these outcomes was estimated and was found to be minimal (<1% for mental health, sex, weight variables, <3% for substance use and sleep). Albeit employing multiple techniques to increase the comparability of the cohorts, it is possible that some of the differences observed are due to changes in demographic composition over the decade, differences in the study samples, or the different rates and predictors of attrition between the two studies. The nationally representative estimates for the MCS at age 14 indicate that across all the investigated variables the comparable estimates were slightly different from

the nationally representative ones, highlighting the value of applying techniques to increase the comparability of these cohorts, but at the same time limiting the generalisability of our secular trend estimates to the UK as a whole. It is also important to note that missing data was higher in ALSPAC than MCS, and although we conducted multiple imputation with socio-demographic and all examined variables informing the imputation to reduce bias in estimates, some estimates might remain biased due to unmeasured factors associated with missingness and their potential association with our outcomes of interest. Finally, two of the measures used in this study are psychometric surveys (SDQ and SMFQ) and our findings assume measurement invariance for these. However we have not tested this, and there is a possibility that the surveys are not measuring the same constructs across the two cohorts.

There are a number of implications highlighted by our findings. Most importantly, the rapidly increasing prevalence of depressive symptoms, self-harm, parent-reported mental health problems, obesity and lesser sleep in adolescents over the past decade is an important finding, and the reasons why this has occurred need thorough investigation. Identifying further factors that have changed over the decade that might have resulted in UK young people having less support and being at higher risk should be undertaken as a public health priority. A further implication arising from our findings is that while certain mental health problems are increasing, other problems and health related behaviours, thought to predict poor mental health, are decreasing. Understanding the nature of these associations and their dynamic nature over time could be extremely valuable in identifying causal risk factors for mental health and potential targets for interventions. Identifying explanations for these high prevalences and changing time trends are key for preventing further increases in poor mental health and health outcomes for future generations of young people.

To conclude, in a large well-powered study across two key UK birth cohorts born a decade apart, depressive symptoms and self-harm behaviours have increased between 2005 and 2015. Adolescents are spending less time sleeping and have higher BMIs. In contrast, other

health related behaviours such as substance use and antisocial behaviours have decreased over the same time period, suggesting that links between mental health problems and health related behaviours might be more complex and dynamic in nature than currently predicted. The data provide important evidence to understand health behaviours in millennials and how these are changing, permitting the planning of policy and public health provision.

### Acknowledgments

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses.

The authors are grateful for the cooperation of the Millennium Cohort Study families who voluntarily participate in the study. They would also like to thank a large number of stakeholders from academic, policy-maker and funder communities and colleagues at the Centre for Longitudinal Studies involved in data collection and management. We would also like to thank Dr David Bann (UCL) and Dr Andrew Jones (University of Liverpool) for their helpful comments on an initial draft of this manuscript.

#### Funding

This work did not receive specific funding. The UK Medical Research Council and Wellcome (Grant ref: 102215/2/13/2) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. A comprehensive list of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC website (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grant-acknowledgements.pdf).The Millennium Cohort Study is supported by the Economic and Social Research Council and a consortium of UK government departments. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of this report.

# Authors contributions

PP and SG, planned the study, analysed the data and prepared the manuscript for publication. Both PP and SG have full access to the data presented in this report and act as guarantors for the paper.

**Declaration of Interests:** All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi\_disclosure.pdf and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

# References

1. Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, Ross DA, Afifi R, Allen NB, et al. Our future: a Lancet commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. Lancet. 2016;387:2423-78.

2. Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, Costello EJ, Georgiades K, Green JG, Gruber MJ, et al. Prevalence, persistence, and sociodemographic correlates of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2012;69:372-80.

3. Colman I, Murray J, Abbott RA, Maughan B, Kuh D, Croudace TJ, et al. Outcomes of conduct problems in adolescence: 40 year follow-up of national cohort. Bmj. 2009;338:a2981.

4. Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005;62:593-602.

5. Fink E, Patalay P, Sharpe H, Holley S, Deighton J, Wolpert M. Mental health difficulties in early adolescence: A comparison of two cross-sectional studies in England from 2009 to 2014. J Adolesc Health. 2015;56:502-7.

6. Collishaw S, Maughan B, Natarajan L, Pickles A. Trends in adolescent emotional problems in England: a comparison of two national cohorts twenty years apart. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2010;51:885-94.

7. Twenge JM, Gentile B, DeWall CN, Ma D, Lacefield K, Schurtz DR. Birth cohort increases in psychopathology among young Americans, 1938–2007: A cross-temporal meta-analysis of the MMPI. Clinical psychology review. 2010;30:145-54.

8. Hagquist C. Discrepant trends in mental health complaints among younger and older adolescents in Sweden: an analysis of WHO data 1985–2005. J Adolesc Health. 2010;46:258-64.

9. Bor W, Dean AJ, Najman J, Hayatbakhsh R. Are child and adolescent mental health problems increasing in the 21st century? A systematic review. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2014;48:606-16.

10. Patalay P, Fitzsimons E. Mental ill-health among children of the new century: trends across childhood with a focus on age 14. London: Centre for Longitudinal Studies, 2017.

11. Mojtabai R, Olfson M, Han B. National Trends in the Prevalence and Treatment of Depression in Adolescents and Young Adults. Pediatrics. 2016;138.

12. Agalioti-Sgompou V, Christie Š, Fiorini P, Hawkins V, Hinchliffe S, Lepps H, et al. Smoking, Drinking and Drug Use Among Young People in England - 2014. Health and Social Care Information Centre, 2015.

13. Winsler A, Deutsch A, Vorona RD, Payne PA, Szklo-Coxe M. Sleepless in Fairfax: the difference one more hour of sleep can make for teen hopelessness, suicidal ideation, and substance use. J Youth Adolesc. 2015;44:362-78.

14. Sharpe H, Patalay P, Choo T-H, Wall M, Mason SM, Goldschmidt AB, et al. Bidirectional associations between body dissatisfaction and depressive symptoms from adolescence through early adulthood. Dev Psychopathol. 2017:1-12.

15. Kelly Y, Patalay P, Montgomery S, Sacker A. BMI Development and Early Adolescent Psychosocial Well-Being: UK Millennium Cohort Study. Pediatrics. 2016:e20160967.

 Jerstad SJ, Boutelle KN, Ness KK, Stice E. Prospective reciprocal relations between physical activity and depression in female adolescents. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2010;78:268.
 Degenhardt L, Hall W, Lynskey M. Exploring the association between cannabis use and depression. 2003;98:1493-504.

18. Farrell M, Howes S, Bebbington P, Brugha T, Jenkins R, Lewis G, et al. Nicotine, alcohol and drug dependence, and psychiatric comorbidity--results of a national household survey. Int Rev Psychiatry. 2003;15:50-6.

19. Boyd A, Golding J, Macleod J, Lawlor DA, Fraser A, Henderson J, et al. Cohort profile: the 'children of the 90s'—the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42:111-27.

20. Fraser A, Macdonald-Wallis C, Tilling K, Boyd A, Golding J, Davey Smith G, et al. Cohort Profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42:97-110.

21. Connelly R, Platt L. Cohort profile: UK millennium Cohort study (MCS). Int J Epidemiol. 2014;43:1719-25.

22. Fitzsimons E. Millennium Cohort Study Sixth Survey 2015-2016: User Guide (First Edition). London: Centre for Longitudinal Studies, 2017.

23. Mostafa T, Ploubidis GB. Millennium Cohort Study, Sixth Survey 2015-2016: Technical report on response (Age 14). London: Centre for Longitudinal Studies, 2017.

24. Angold A, Costello EJ, Messer SC, Pickles A, Winder F, Silver D. The development of a short questionnaire for use in epidemiological studies of depression in children and adolescents. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 1995;5:237-49.

25. Goodman R. The strengths and difficulties questionnaire: a research note. 1997;38:581-86.

26. Dehejia RH, Wahba S. Propensity Score-Matching Methods For Nonexperimental Causal Studies. Rev Econ Stat. 2002;84:151-61.

 Hainmueller J. Entropy balancing for causal effects: A multivariate reweighting method to produce balanced samples in observational studies. Political Anal. 2012;20:25-46.
 Leuven E, Sianesi B. PSMATCH2: Stata module to perform full Mahalanobis and propensity score matching, common support graphing, and covariate imbalance testing. In: Components SS, editor. S432001. revised 19 Jul 2012 ed: Boston College Department of Economics; 2003.

29. Hainmueller J, Xu Y. Ebalance: A Stata package for entropy balancing. 2013.

30. Goodman A, Joyce R, Smith JP. The long shadow cast by childhood physical and mental problems on adult life. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2011;108:6032-7.

31. Paruthi S, Brooks LJ, D'Ambrosio C, Hall WA, Kotagal S, Lloyd RM, et al. Recommended amount of sleep for pediatric populations: a consensus statement of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine. J Clin Sleep Med. 2016;12:785-6.

32. Twenge JM, Park H. The Decline in Adult Activities Among U.S. Adolescents, 1976–2016. Child Dev. 2017;0.

Figure 1. ORs (95% CI) for poorer outcomes in 2015 (MCS) vs. 2005 (ALSPAC). Unadjusted estimates and estimates using entropy balancing weights are both presented.

Figure 2. ORs (95% CI) for poorer outcomes in 2015 (MCS) vs. 2005 (ALSPAC) for males and females

Outcome	ALSPAC (2005)	MCS (2015)	Harmonised variable
Depressive symptoms	<sup>a</sup> 13-item Short Moods and Feelings Questionnaire	<sup>D</sup> 13-item Short Moods and Feelings Questionnaire	Total depressive symptoms score (continuous) yes/no variable based on
Self-harm	<sup>a</sup> (Measured at age 15) Over your whole lifetime have	<sup>b</sup> In the past year have you hurt yourself on purpose in any	clinical threshold >=12 0 Not self harmed 1 Have self harmed
	you ever tried to harm yourself or kill yourself?	way?	NOTE: ALSPAC is lifetime
Antisocial	b How often in the last year have	b In the last 12 months have	O No
behaviours	you done any of the following? (categorical)	you: (yes/no)	1 Yes
	- Not at all		Assault
	- Just once - 3-5 times	Pushed or shoved /hit/slapped/punched someone?	Rowdy behaviour
	- 6+ times	Boon poisy or rudo in a public	Croffiti
	Hit kicked or punched someone	place so that people complained or got you into	Vandalism
		trouble?	Vandalioni
	Been rowdy or rude in a public place so that people complained	Written things or sprav painted	Shoplifting
	or you got in to trouble	on a building, fence or train or anywhere else where you	
	Written things or sprayed paint on a property that did not belong to	shouldn't have?	
	you	On purpose damaged anything in a public place that didn't	
	Deliberately damaged or	belong to you, for example by	
	destroyed property that did not belong to you	burning, smashing or breaking things like cars, bus shelters and rubbish bins?	
	Taken something from a shop without paying for it	Taken something from a shop without paying for it?	
	Other items included skipping school, breaking and stealing	Other items included using a	
	carrying a knife or weapon for protection, setting fire, stealing a vehicle, not paying correct fare on	from someone, stealing from someone, hacking into computers, sending computer viruses.	
	steal.		
Parent rated difficulties	<sup>c</sup> (Measured at age 13) Strengths and difficulties Questionnaire	° Strengths and difficulties Questionnaire	5 continuous subscale score 5 yes/no variables based or the 'abnormal' cutoff
			Subscales: emotional symptom, conduct problems hyperactivity, peer problems, prosocial behaviour
Alcohol use	<sup>a</sup> Have you ever tried alcohol with/without your parents' permission? (yes/no)	<sup>b</sup> Have you ever had an alcoholic drink? That is more than a few sips. (yes/no)	0 Never drank a whole drinl 1 Nothing in last 12 months/never drank 5 or more
	What is the most alcoholic drinks you've had in a single evening? (continuous variable)	How many times have you had an alcoholic drink in the last 12 months? (7 response options from never to over 40)	2 1-2 times drank 5 or more alcoholic drinks in one evening 3 3 or more times drank 5 c
	How many times have you done this in the last year? (continuous	Have you ever had five or more	more alcoholic drinks in one evening

			drink is half a pint of lager, beer or cider, one alcopop, a small glass of wine, or a measure of spirits. (yes/no)	
			How many times have you had five or more alcoholic drinks at	
			a time in the last 12 months? - Never	
			- 1-2 times	
			- 6-9 times	
- ·			- 10 or more time	
Smok	ing encv	<sup>a</sup> Frequency teenager has smoked cigarettes in the past 6	Please read the following statements carefully and decide	0 Non smoker 1 Occasional smoker not
nequ	Shoy	months:	which one best describes you.	weekly
		- 1-3 times;	Do	2 Smokes 1-6 cigarettes a
		- >4 times; - once per week:	(e-cigarettes)	weeк 3 Smokes more than 6
		- never.	- I have never smoked	cigarettes a week
		Number of signstation emoked per	cigarettes;	
		week in the last 6 months for	cigarettes once:	
		weekly users (continuous	- I used to smoke sometimes	
		variable)	but I never smoke a cigarette	
			- I sometimes smoke cigarettes	
			now but I don't smoke as many	
			as one a week;	
			and six cigarettes a week;	
			- I usually smoke more than six	
Cann	ahie	<sup>a</sup> Have you ever used cannabis?	cigarettes a week.	0 Never used cannabis
Cann	4015	(yes/no)	following things?	1 Have tried cannabis
			Cannabis (also known as weed,	
			marijuana, dope, hash or skunk)? (ves/no)	
			skunk): (yes/no)	
Other	drugs	<sup>a</sup> Teenager has been offered	<sup>b</sup> Have you ever tried any of the following things?	0 Never used other drugs
			Any other illegal drug (such as	Thave they other drugs
		<if above="" to="" yes=""> Teenager has</if>	ecstasy, cocaine, speed)?	
		used drugs other than cannabis	(yes/no)	
BMI		<sup>a</sup> Height and weight measured by	<sup>a</sup> Height and weight measured	BMI derived from height and
		interviewer	by interviewer	weight
				Obese (0=no, 1=yes) derived using IOTE threshold
Weigl	nt	<sup>b</sup> How do you describe your	<sup>b</sup> Which of these do you think	Perceive themselves:
perce	ption	weight?	you are?	1 Underweight
		- very underweight - Slightly underweight	<ul> <li>Onderweight</li> <li>About the right weight</li> </ul>	2 About the right weight 3 Slightly overweight
		- About the right weight	- Slightly overweight	4 Very overweight
		- Slightly overweight	- Very overweight	
Sleep		<ul> <li>very overweight</li> <li><sup>b</sup> (Measured at age 15)</li> </ul>	<sup>b</sup> About what time do you	4 categorical sleep variables:
2.000		What time do you usually get in to	usually go to sleep on a school	
		be on school days? (continuous -	night?	Schoolday bedtime
		am/pm)	apout what time do you usually ap to sleep on the nights when	INON SCHOOLDAY DEDTIME
		- F · · /	you do not have school the	1 Before 9pm
		What time do you usually get into	next day?	2 9 - 9.59pm
		(continuous - reported in hours	- Beiore spin - 9 - 9.59pm	4 11pm - midniaht
		and minutes am/pm)	- 10 - 10.59pm	5 After midnight

60

1 2 3

- 11pm - midnight (11 pm and later classified as What time do you usually wake - After midnight late bedtime) up on school days? (continuous - reported in hours About what time do you usually Schoolday waketime and minutes am/pm) wake up on a school day? - Before 6am 1 Before 6am What time do you usually wake - 6 - 6.59am 26-6.59am up on weekend days? - 7 - 7.59am 3 7 - 7.59am - 8 - 8.59am 4 8 - 8.59am (continuous - reported in hours and minutes am/pm) - 9am or later 5 9am or later (before 7 am classified as About what time do you wake early waketime) up in the morning on the days Non schoolday waketime when you do not have school? - Before 8am 1 Before 8am - 8 - 8.59am 28-8.59am 3 9 - 9.59am - 9 - 9.59am 4 10 - 10.59am - 10 - 10.59am - 11 - 11.59am 5 11 - 11.59am for perik - Midday or later 6 Midday or later (before 9 am classified as early waketime) Sleep duration weekdays: We estimate a sleep duration variable based on these categories (category midpoints are used and for earliest and latest times we use half hour pre/post stated time). Sleep less than 8 hours on weekdays is classified as insufficient sleep based on quidelines for adolescent sleep durations. <sup>b</sup> Series of questions regarding <sup>b</sup> Series of questions regarding 0 have not had sex Sexual intercourse intimate contact with someone intimate contact with someone 1 had sex else leading to: else leading to: Have you had sexual intercourse In the last 12 months have you with another person in the past had sexual intercourse with another young person? year? <sup>b</sup> Frequency data available on <sup>b</sup> On how many days in the last Physical Not harmonised activity approx. 80 specific activities week did you do a total of at (riding a bike, skipping, least an hour of moderate to gardening, walking the dog, vigorous physical activity? By moderate to vigorous we mean cricket etc) any physical activity that makes you get warmer, breathe harder and makes your heart beat faster, e.g. riding a bike, running, playing football, swimming, dancing, etc. - Every day - 5-6 days - 3-4 days - 1-2 days Not at all <sup>a</sup> Measured via interview; <sup>b</sup> Measured via self completion; <sup>c</sup>Parent reported

		2005		2015	
Domain	Variable	ALSPAC N=5627	MCS [nationally representative estimates), N=11318	MCS [propensity score matched) N=5627	MCS [entropy balanced) N= 11318
		Mean or % [95% Cl]	Mean or % [95% CI]	Mean or % [95% CI]	Mean or % [95% Cl
Depressive	SMFQ score	4.93[4.81,5.05]	5.72 [5.57,5.86]	5.44 [5.28,5.59]	5.41 [5.10,5.73]
symptoms	% above clinical cutoff	9.0 [8.3,9.8]	16.4 [15.5,17.3]	14.7 [13.8,15.6]	14.8 [12.7,16.8]
Self-harm	% Yes	11.9 [10.9,13.0]	15.4 [14.5,16.3]	14.8 [13.8,15.7]	14.4 [12.8,16.0]
Antisocial behaviours	% Assault	40.1 [38.5, 41.6]	31.6 [30.4, 32.7]	28.9 [27.8,30.2]	27.7 [25.5,29.8]
	% Rowdy behaviour	11.5 [10.6,12.4]	14.1 [13.2,14.9]	12.5 [11.6,13.3]	12.1 [10.4,13.9]
	% Graffiti	9.9 [8.9,10.9]	2.8 [2.5,3.2]	2.4 [2.0,2.8]	1.6 [1.3,2.0]
	% Vandalism	6.2 [5.6,7.0]	3.6 [3.1,4.1]	2.9 [2.5,3.3]	2.2 [1.7,2.7]
	% Shoplifting	8.0 [7.1,8.8]	3.6 [3.1,4.1]	3.0 [2.5,3.4]	2.5 [1.9,3.2]
Parent	Emotional symptoms	1.42[1.37,1.47]	2.08 [2.02,2.14]	2.02 [1.96,2.07]	2.03 [1.93,2.13]
reported	% above clinical cutoff	5.7 [5.1,6.3]	13.9 [13.0,14.8]	13.0 [12.2,13.9]	13.4 [11.6,15.2]
difficulties	Conduct problems	1.20[1.16,1.24]	1.53 [1.48,1.58]	1.39 [1.35,1.43]	1.44 [1.35,1.53]
[SDQ)	% above clinical cutoff	6.0 [5.3,6.6]	11.9 [11.0,12.7]	10.0 [9.2,10.8]	11.6 [9.8,13.4]
	Hyperactivity symptoms	2.85[2.79,2.91]	3.12 [3.06,3.18]	2.97 [2.91,3.04]	3.07 [2.95,3.19]
	% above clinical cutoff	6.2 [5.6,6.9]	10.0 [9.2,10.8]	8.7 [7.9,9.4]	9.7 [8.3,11.0]
	Peer problems	1.21[1.16,1.25]	1.82 [1.78,1.87]	1.73 [1.68,1.78]	1.85 [1.73,1.97]
	% above clinical cutoff	8.9 [8.1,9.7]	16.8 [15.8,17.8]	15.0 [14.1,15.9]	17.7 [15.3,20.1]
	Prosocial behaviours	8.26[8.21,8.31]	8.25 [8.20,8.30]	8.38 [8.34,8.43]	8.41 [8.32,8.49]
	% above clinical cutoff	1.2 [0.9,1.5]	1.9 [1.6,2.3]	1.4 [1.1,1.7]	1.4 [0.9,1.9]

Table 9. Descriptive statistics for monthal baselike subserves in 2005 (ALCDAC) and 2015 (MCC)

Demein	Veriable	2005	MOO In ation all	2015 MOO [area area;h.;	MOO Faistaan
Domain	Variable	N=5627	representative estimates) N=11318	N=5627	MCS [entrop balanced) N= 11318
		Mean or % [95% Cl]	Mean or % [95% Cl]	Mean or % [95% Cl]	Mean or % [ Cl]
Alcohol use	% never drank	47.9 [46.6,49.2]	51.8 [50.6,53]	57.8 [56.5,59.1]	56.5 [53.9,59.
	% nothing past 12 mo,	42.1 [40.8,43.4]	38.3 [37.1,39.5]	34.7 [33.4,35.9]	37.2 [34.7,39.6
	% heavy drinking 1-2	6.7 [6,7.3]	6.2 [5.6,6.7]	4.8 [4.3,5.4]	3.9 [3.2,4.6]
	times in past 12 mo				, ,
	% heavy drinking >3	3.4 [2.9,3.8]	3.7 [3.2,4.2]	2.6 [2.2,3.1]	2.4 [1.7,3.1]
	times in past 12 mo				
Smoking	% non-smoker	90.8 [90,91.5]	95.3 [94.7,95.9]	97.0 [96.6,97.5]	97.1 [96.4,97.
Ū	% occasional, not weekly	7.2 [6.5,7.8]	2.1 [1.7,2.5]	1.4 [1.1,1.7]	1.2 [0.8,1.6]
	% 1-6 cigarettes/week	0.6 [0.4,0.8]	0.9 [0.7,1.1]	0.6 [0.4,0.8]	0.4 [0.2,0.7]
	%>6 cigarettes/week	1.4 [1.1,1.7]	1.7 [1.4,2.1]	1.0 [0.8,1.3]	1.3 [0.7,1.9]
Cannabis	% tried	4.6 [4.0,5.1]	5.5 [4.9,6.1]	3.7 [3.2,4.2]	3.9 [2.6,5.2]
Other drugs	% tried	0.4 [0.2,0.6]	0.8 [0.6,1.0]	0.6 [0.4,0.8]	0.8 [0.3,1.2]
M/aiabt	Maan DMI	20 22120 22 20 411	21 50121 47 21 601	21 26121 25 21 471	21 25121 07 2
vveignt	% obese	20.32[20.23,20.41]         3.8       [3.3,4.3]	7.8 [7.1,8.5]	7.4 [6.7,8.0]	7.3 [6.1,8.5]
Weight	% underweight	14.3 [13.2,15.4]	7.0 [6.4,7.6]	6.9 [6.2,7.6]	6.8 [5.7,7.9]
Perception	% about right weight	59.3 [57.9,60.7]	59.4 [58.2,60.5]	60.3 [59.1,61.6]	60.2 [57.7,62.
	% overweight	23.0 [21.8,24.2]	28.7 [27.6,29.8]	28.4 [27.3,29.6]	28.8 [26.3,31.
	% very overweight	3.5 [2.9,4.0]	4.9 [4.4,5.5]	4.3 [3.7,4.8]	4.1 [3.3,4.9]
Sleep-	before 9pm	0.9 [0.6,1.2]	5.0 [4.5,5.6]	4.9 [4.4,5.5]	6.0 [4.8,7.1]
Schoolday	9-9.59 pm	15.3 [14.3,16.4]	29.2 [28.1,30.3]	30.1 [28.9,31.2]	33.1 [30.6,35.
bedtime	10-10.59 pm	64.9 [63.4,66.4]	39.7 [38.5,40.9]	39.9 [38.7,41.2]	37.0 [34.7,39.
	11-midnight	16.3 [15.2,17.4]	19.6 [18.6,20.5]	19.3 [18.3,20.4]	18.0 [16.0,20.
	after midnight	2.6 [2.1,3.0]	6.5 [5.8,7.1]	5.7 [5.1,6.3]	5.9 [4.8,7.1]
Sleep-	before 9pm	0.2 [0.1,0.3]	0.9 [0.6,1.1]	0.9 [0.6,1.1]	0.9 [0.4,1.3]
Non schoolday	9-9.59 pm	2.7 [2.2,3.2]	5.6 [5.1,6.1]	6.1 [5.4,6.7]	7.3 [5.6,9]
bedtime	10-10.59 pm	24.1 [22.9,25.3]	23.4 [22.4,24.4]	24.8 [23.7,26.0]	27.5 [25.3,29.
	11-midnight	42.3 [40.8,43.8]	36.2 [35.1,37.4]	36.8 [35.5,38.1]	33.7 [31.4,36.
Sleen	after midnight	30.7 [29.4,32.1]	33.9 [32.7,35.0] 4 4 [3 0 5]	31.4 [30.2,32.0]	30.5 [28.2,32.
Sebooldov		1.0 [0.7,1.3] 28.4 [27.0.20.8]	4.4 [5.9,5]	38 8 [37 5 40 1]	38.4 [36.0.40]
waketime	7 7 50 pm	20.4 [27.0,29.0] 66 1 [64 7 67 5]	49.4 [48.2 50.6]	53.5 [52.2 54.8]	54 4 [51 9 56
waketime	8-8 59am	42 [3748]	3 1 [2 7 3 5]	35[3040]	3 2 [2 5 4 0]
	after 9am	0.3 [0.1.0.4]	0.9 [0.5.1.2]	0.6 [0.4.0.9]	0.5 [0.2.0.7]
Sleep-	before 8am	6.5 [5.8,7.3]	8.1 [7.4,8.7]	7.8 [7.1,8.5]	8.1 [6.6,9.6]
Non schooldav	8-8.59 am	15.4 [14.3,16.5]	16.2 [15.3,17.1]	15.8 [14.9,16.8]	17.0 [14.9,19.
waketime	9-9.59 pm	26.2 [24.8,27.5]	24.8 [23.8,25.8]	25.4 [24.2,26.5]	25.6 [23.4,27.
	10-10.59am	31.1 [29.7,32.5]	29.0 [27.9,30.1]	29.8 [28.6,31.0]	27.9 [25.7,30.
	11-11.59am	15.1 [13.9,16.3]	15.0 [14.1,15.8]	14.8 [13.8,15.7]	15.2 [13.5,16.
	after midday	5.8 [5.1,6.5]	6.9 [6.3,7.6]	6.4 [5.8,7.0]	6.1 [4.9,7.4]
Sleep duration	Mean sleep duration	8.70 [8.68,8.73]	8.60 [8.58,8.63]	8.68 [8.65,8.71]	8.74 [8.68,8.7
(weekday)	% sleep less than 8 hours	5.7 [5.1,6.4]	13.4 [12.6,14.3]	11.6 [10.7,12.4]	11.5 [10.0,13.
Sexual	% yes	2.1 [1.8,2.5]	2.0 [1.7,2.4]	1.2 [0.9,1.5]	0.9 [0.6,1.3]

 Table 3. Descriptive statistics for health-related behaviours in 2005 (ALSPAC) and 2015 (MCS)

# **Table 4.** Descriptive statistics for mental health and health related behaviours in 2005 (ALSPAC) and 2015 (MCS) by sex

Domain	Variable				
		Males	Females	Males	Females
		Mean or % [95% CI]	Mean or % [95% Cl	] Mean or % [95% Cl]	Mean or % [95% CI]
Depressive	SMFQ score	4.14 [3.99,4.28]	5.69 [5.51,5.87]	4.22 [4.05,4.39]	7.31 [7.08,7.54]
symptoms	% above clinical cutoff	5.65 [4.78,6.52]	12.4 [11.1,13.6]	9.19 [8.13,10.3]	24.0 [22.5,25.4]
Self-harm	% Yes	6.86 [5.67,8.05]	16.9 [15.2,18.6]	8.49 [7.51,9.47]	22.8 [21.3,24.2]
Antisocial	% Assault	48.1 [45.9,50.3]	32.3 [30.3,34.4]	41.4 [39.7,43.1]	21.1 [19.7,22.5]
behaviours	% Rowdy behaviour	11.0 [9.63,12.3]	12.0 [10.7,13.4]	15.3 [14.0,16.5]	12.7 [11.6,13.9]
	% Graffiti	9.01 [7.56,10.4]	10.7 [9.38,12.0]	3.17 [2.61,3.73]	2.47 [1.95,2.98]
	% Vandalism	7.70 [6.35,9.06]	4.73 [3.79,5.67]	4.44 [3.66,5.22]	2.76 [2.12,3.40]
	% Shoplifting	7.60 [6.44,8.76]	8.30 [7.14,9.46]	4.36 [3.67,5.04]	2.81 [2.25,3.38]
Parent	Emotional symptoms	1.23 [1.16,1.30]	1.60 [1.52,1.67]	1.78 [1.69,1.86]	2.41 [2.33,2.48]
reported	% above clinical cutoff	4.70 [3.89,5.50]	6.67 [5.73,7.61]	10.5 [9.35,11.7]	17.4 [16.1,18.8]
difficulties	Conduct problems	1.24 [1.18,1.30]	1.16 [1.10,1.22]	1.60 [1.53,1.67]	1.46 [1.40,1.51]
ISDQ)	% above clinical cutoff	6.42 [5.47.7.36]	5.55 [4.67.6.43]	13.7 [12.3.15.1]	9.89 [8.79.11.0]
[00 0)	Hyperactivity symptoms	3.28 [3.19.3.37]	2.44 [2.36.2.52]	3.54 [3.45.3.63]	2.67 [2.59.2.75]
	% above clinical cutoff	8.81 [7.72.9.90]	3.69 [2.97.4.42]	13.4 [12.1.14.6]	6.41 [5.48.7.34]
	Peer problems	1.36 [1.29.1.43]	1.06 [0.99.1.12]	1.92 [1.85,1.99]	1.73 [1.67.1.79]
	% above clinical cutoff	11 2 [9 98 12 4]	6 71 [5 75 7 67]	18 5 [17 0 20 0]	14 9 [13 7 16 1]
	Prosocial behaviours	8 01 [7 93 8 08]	8 50 [8 43 8 57]	8 02 [7 95 8 09]	8 49 [8 42 8 56]
	% above clinical cutoff	1 67 [1 17 2 18]	0.00 [0.40,0.07]	2 35 [1 78 2 92]	1 51 [1 03 1 99]
		1.07 [1.17,2.10]	0.72 [0.00, 1.00]	2.00 [1.70,2.02]	1.01 [1.00, 1.00]
Alcohol use	% never drank	48.7 [46.8,50.6]	47.1 [45.2,48.9]	51.3 [49.6,53.0]	52.4 [50.7,54.1]
	% nothing past 12 mo,	40.7 [38.9,42.6]	43.4 [41.6,45.3]	39.4 [37.7,41.1]	37.2 [35.6,38.8]
	never neavy drinking	7 25 16 27 9 221	C 00 [E 11 C 90]		6 24 [5 29 7 40]
	times in past 12 mo	7.55 [0.57,0.55]	0.00 [5.11,0.89]	0.07 [5.20,0.66]	0.24 [0.30,7.10]
	% heavy drinking $>3$	3.24 [2.58.3.91]	3.50 [2.81.4.19]	3.26 [2.60.3.92]	4.20 [3.48.4.92]
	times in past 12 mo	······		<u>[</u> ,]	
Smokina	% non-smoker	93 2 [92 2 94 1]	88 4 [87 3 89 6]	96 3 [95 6 97 0]	94 1 [93 2 95 0]
Chloking	% occasional not	5 15 [4 33 5 98]	9 14 [8 08 10 2]	1 61 [1 11 2 10]	2 59 [1 98 3 19]
	weekly	0.10[7.00,0.00]	0.17[0.00,10.2]		2.00 [1.00,0.10]
	% 1-6 cigarettes/week	0.44 [0.19,0.69]	0.81 [0.48,1.14]	0.68 [0.39,0.97]	1.13 [0.75,1.51]
	%>6 cigarettes/week	1.24 [0.83,1.65]	1.61 [0.12,2.08]	1.39 [0.92,1.86]	2.15 [1.54,2.76]
Cannabis	% tried	5.32 [4.48,6.16]	3.86 [3.15,4.57]	5.57 [0.47,0.64]	5.43 [4.57,6.29]
Other drugs	% tried	0.45 [0.18,0.72]	0.34 [0.12,0.55]	0.81 [0.48,1.14]	0.79 [0.49,1.10]
Weight	Mean BMI	19.9 [19.8,20.1]	20.7 [20.6,20.8]	21.0 [20.9,21.2]	22.2 [22.0,22.3]
-	% obese	3.93 [3.21,5.66]	3.66 [2.97,4.35]	7.64 [6.66,8.61]	7.92 [6.97,8.87]
Weight	% underweight	17.3 [15.6,18.9]	11.4 [10.1,12.7]	9.36 [8.33,10.4]	4.58 [3.87,5.28]
Perception	% about right weight	61.1 [59.1,63.1]	57.4 [55.4,59.5]	63.7 [62.0,65.3]	54.8 [53.2,56.5]
·	% overweight	19.4 [17.8,21.0]	26.4 [24.7,28.2]	23.6 [22.2,25.1]	34.0 [32.4,35.6]
	% very overweight	2.20 [1.54,2.85]	4.68 [3.83,5.53]	3.34 [2.67,4.00]	6.59 [5.72,7.45]
Sleep-	before 9pm	0.86 [0.47,1.26]	0.90 [0.52,1.28]	5.44 [4.64,6.23]	4.61 [3.88,5.35]
Schoolday	9-9 59 pm	14.8 [13.3.16.2]	15.9 [14.5.17.3]	28.5 [27.0.30.0]	29.9 [28.4.31.4]

bedtime	10-10.59 pm	64.7 [62.5,67.0]	65.1 [63.2,67.1]	40.5 [38.8,42.2]	38.9 [37.3,40.6]
	11-midnight	16.9 [13.1,18.6]	15.7 [14.2,17.2]	18.9 [17.5,20.2]	20.3 [19.0,21.7]
	after midnight	2.76 [2.10,3.42]	2.37 [1.74,3.01]	6.74 [5.76,7.73]	6.21 [5.37,7.06]
Sleep-	before 9pm	0.18 [0.00,0.35]	0.22 [0.02,0.42]	0.88 [0.57,1.20]	0.82 [0.51,1.14]
Non	9-9.59 pm	2.45 [1.78,3.11]	2.92 [2.18,3.65]	5.89 [5.09,6.68]	5.30 [4.58,6.03]
schoolday	10-10.59 pm	22.5 [20.6,24.4]	25.7 [24.0,27.4]	22.5 [21.1,23.9]	24.4 [23.0,25.8]
bedtime	11-midnight	41.6 [39.4,43.7]	43.0 [40.8,45.1]	35.2 [33.6,36.8]	37.3 [35.7,38.9]
	after midnight	33.3 [31.3,35.3]	28.2 [26.3,30.1]	35.5 [33.8,27.2]	32.1 [30.5,33.7]
Sleep-	before 6am	0.85 [0.47,1.21]	1.10 [0.68,1.53]	4.40 [3.61,5.18]	4.50 [3.77,5.24]
Schoolday	6-6.59 am	23.7 [21.7,25.7]	33.0 [31.1,34.8]	36.9 [35.2,38.6]	48.0 [46.3,49.7]
waketime	7-7.59 pm	69.2 [67.2,71.1]	63.1 [61.2, 65.0]	53.6 [51.8,55.3]	44.9 [43.2,46.5]
	8-8.59am	5.91 [4.88,6.94]	2.63 [1.97,3.29]	4.02 [3.36,4.67]	2.05 [1.59,2.51]
	after 9am	0.36 [0.10,0.63]	0.19 [0.00,0.39]	1.10 [0.46,1.74]	0.59 [0.35,0.84]
Sleep-	before 8am	7.70 [6.58,8.83]	5.36 [4.42,6.31]	9.74 [8.70,10.8]	6.31 [5.49,7.13]
Non	8-8.59 am	15.5 [13.9,17.0]	15.3 [13.9,16.8]	16.8 [15.5,18.1]	15.5 [14.3,16.8]
schooldav	9-9.59 pm	25.4 [23.4,27.4]	26.9 [25.0,28.7]	23.1 [21.7,24.5]	26.6 [25.1,28.0]
waketime	10-10.59am	29.9 [27.9,31.9]	32.3 [30.3,34.2]	28.0 [26.4,29.5]	30.1 [28.6,31.7]
	11-11.59am	15.2 [13.8,16.6]	14.9 [13.4,16.4]	14.7 [13.5,16.0]	13.2 [13.0,16.5]
	after midday	6.34 [5.35,7.32]	5.29 [4.37,6.20]	7.67 [6.58,8.76]	6.19 [5.37,7.00]
Sleep	Mean sleep duration	8.75 [8.72,8.79]	8.65 [8.62,8.68]	8.78 [8.71,8.86]	8.70 [8.61,8.78]
duration	% sleep less than 8	5.0 [4.0,5.9]	6.5 [5.5, 7.5]	11.0 [8.8,13.2]	12.0 [9.9,14.1]
(weekdav)	hours	• • •	• • •		
Sexual	% ves	2.3 [1.7,2.8]	2.0 [1.5,2.6]	2.0 [1.4,2.5]	2.1 [1.6,2.6]
intercourse		. , .	, .]	L , -]	L -, -]

2.3

to Review only



503x335mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Figure 2. ORs (95% CI) for poorer outcomes in 2015 (MCS) vs. 2005 (ALSPAC) for males and females

499x333mm (72 x 72 DPI)