**Abstract**

**Objective**

To assess the frequency, healthfulness and promotional techniques of television food advertising to children and adolescents in the Russian Federation

**Design**

A cross-sectional study was conducted to monitor food and beverage television advertising. For the 5 most popular TV channels among children and adolescents, TV broadcasts were recorded for 2 weekdays and 2 weekends (320 hours) during March to May 2017. Recordings were screened for advertisements. Food advertisements were categorized by food categories and as either “permitted” or “not permitted” for advertising to children in accordance with World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe Nutrient Profile Model (NPM) and promotional techniques in advertisements were recorded.

**Results**

Overall, 11,678 advertisements were coded. Across all channels food and drink (19.2%) was the most frequently advertised product type. The most common food categories advertised were yoghurts and other dairy foods (15%), chocolate and confectionery (12.3%), and ready-made, convenience foods and composite dishes (10%). A majority (61.9%) of food and drink products advertised should not be permitted for advertising to children according to the NPM. The most frequently used persuasive appeals in the food advertisements were low price (15.4%), product novelty (11.8%) and enjoyment (10.0%).

**Conclusions**

Children and adolescents in the Russian Federation are likely exposed to a substantial number of unhealthy food advertisements. There is a need to consider policies to restrict children’s exposure to unhealthy food advertising on television in the Russian Federation.
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**Introduction**

The determinants of childhood obesity are multiple and operate at the individual, family and environment level [1]. One of the factors that has been demonstrated to have an impact on children’s eating behavior and body weight is the marketing of foods and drinks high in fat, salt and sugar [2]. Marketing relates to commercial activities whose main purpose is to increase brand recognition and appeal, and eventual purchase of products and services [3]. Sugary breakfast cereals, soft drinks, confectionery, breakfast cereals, biscuits, snack foods, ready meals and fast-foods are the products most often featured in advertisements targeted at or seen by children around the world [4, 5]. Based on this evidence, in May 2010, Member States of the World Health Organization (WHO) endorsed Resolution WHO 63.14, calling for limits on the marketing of food and non-alcoholic beverage products to children. Subsequently, the WHO released the Set of Recommendations to guide efforts by Member States in designing new and/or strengthening existing policies on food marketing communications [6]. A number of the major policy documents published to support the Recommendations and their implementation recommend comprehensive policies to reduce the intake of foods and non-alcoholic beverages high in saturated fat, salt and/or free sugars (HFSS foods) [7-9]. They emphasize that reducing the exposure of children, including adolescents, to the marketing of these foods should be a priority.

The WHO has explicitly called on Member States to introduce comprehensive restrictions on marketing of HFSS foods to children in all media, including television. There are a number of European countries where advertising of foods and beverages to children and adolescents is regulated, to a greater or lesser extent (UK, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, France, Spain and Slovenia) [7, 10].

To be effective, policies should be evidence-based and respond to specific challenges identified, therefore in states where food marketing restrictions do not currently exist, a first essential step in the policy development process is monitoring the current advertising landscape to build the case for action [6]. In order to have a comprehensive picture, both exposure (i.e. the frequency and reach of unhealthy food marketing to children) and power (i.e. the prevalence of persuasive marketing techniques) need to be assessed. The impact of marketing on behavior is a function of both of these components [6].

Existing studies describe the extent and nature of television food advertising in Australia, Asia, Western Europe, and North and South America (for example [4] and [5]). Data show that the advertising of foods to children is highly prevalent on television, actively uses persuasive techniques likely to appeal to children, and largely promotes the consumption of foods and drinks that are HFSS and therefore not conducive to a healthy diet.

To date, no studies have evaluated the food advertising children and adolescents are exposed to on television in the Russian Federation. But the growing rate of obesity, including childhood obesity, has been demonstrated as a substantial problem in Russia in recent years [11, 12]. Furthermore, the diets of Russian youth is also poor (or sub-optimal). The WHO HBSC survey demonstrated that a relatively low percentage of Russian adolescents aged 11, 13 and 15 years old consume fruit and vegetables daily and over 25% consume sweets every day [13]. Furthermore, television viewing is still popular among the children and adolescents audience; with 60-70% of children aged less than 12 years regularly watching TV in Russia [14, 15]. Therefore, it is necessary to consider all environmental factors that may be contributing to these phenomena and whether there might be scope for policy intervention to tackle the problem. Food advertising may be one such factor. Currently in the Russian Federation although laws exist to protect children and adolescents from information harmful to health (e.g. marketing of tobacco products and alcohol is prohibited), and advertising cannot contain certain messages (e.g. discrediting parents/teachers), there are no specific restrictions relating to the advertising of foods and drinks to children and adolescents on television. Russian regulations require that 5% of total advertisements on each channel be social marketing (marketing aimed to promote a community's health and well-being, such as healthy lifestyle, and road safety issues) but the level of adherence with these regulations is not known. Therefore, the primary aim of the current study was to assess the frequency, healthfulness and promotional techniques of food advertising to children and adolescents in the Russian Federation for the purposes of informing the development of future policies aimed at restricting its impact on the eating behaviors and health of young people. A secondary aim was to assess adherence with current regulations by identifying the prevalence of social marketing.

**Methods**

The study was conducted in Moscow, Russian Federation using an adapted version of the WHO protocol “Monitoring food and beverage marketing to children via television and the Internet” [16]. Adaptations to the protocol to meet the needs of the Russian Federation included incorporating social marketing, sausage factories, dairy production, infant formula, product range without highlighting the particular dish, as advertisement types and adding some culturally relevant categories to the coding system for food advertisements (specifically non-alcoholic beer and tea).

Training and support in the use of the protocol and in the coding procedure were provided by the authors of the protocol – WHO (JMJ, JB) and academic (EB) experts. The study has strong methodological similarities with a number of published studies of television food advertising including– in Europe [17, 18], the United Kingdom [19], Australia [20], and China [21].

*Sampling*

In order to select the five TV channels most popular among children and adolescents (under 16 years of age) in Russia, publicly available television viewing data were consulted [15]. The study focused on federal channels as they are broadcast throughout the country, rather than regional or cable channels with more restricted audiences. The channels chosen were: «Карусель»/«Karusel», «Disney», (both child-oriented channels) «СТС»/STS, «ТНТ»/TNT, and «Пятница»/«Piatnitsa» (adolescent-oriented channels).

*Data collection*

For each channel TV broadcasts were recorded by the research team for two weekdays and two weekend days, 06.00 to 22.00 hours, between March and May 2017. The recording days were chosen by random sampling and excluded periods of national holidays. The total sample (all five channels) comprised of 320 hours of TV across 20 days of recording (10 week days and 10 weekend days).

All recordings were viewed and screened for spot advertisements (those shown between and during programs). Other forms of marketing such as product placement and program sponsorship were not included. All advertisements were coded into one of 24 different types (e.g. food and drinks, clothing, financial etc.) by two researchers. In order to ensure reliability of coding across the two researchers, both researchers initially coded one day of data for one channel, the results were compared, discrepancies discussed, and agreement was reached for all instances of disagreement. After that, the rest days were divided among both researchers. Food and beverages advertisements (defined as those featuring a food item for sale, such as from a food retailer or fast food restaurant) were then additionally coded in accordance with 17 food categories described in the WHO Regional Office for Europe Nutrient Profile Model (NPM) [22]. As per the protocol and previously published studies [4, 19], where more than one product was shown during the advertisement, the product deemed to be most prominent was coded. If more than one product was equally prominent, the item appearing first was coded. But, in case, when the marketing was for a restaurant meal, including a quickservice or take-away meal of two or more menu items, all items were individually coded according the relevant nutrient criteria.

Information about the content (or ‘power’) of the advertisement was also recorded, according to the coding methods described in the WHO Regional Office for Europe protocol [16]. Specifically, the primary persuasive appeal (defined as the main theme or topic of the advertisement) and the appearance of children or child-like characters was recorded for each food or beverage advertisement. Coding the primary persuasive appeal to one of the 18 categories (including taste, fun, and health) required a subjective judgement about which theme is most prominent in the advertisement. Appeal categories were mutually exclusive as per previous studies [4, 19].

*Nutritional analysis*

The WHO NPM was used to classify foods and beverages as permitted or not permitted to be marketed to children. The model does this using first a category level classification and then, for some categories, there are additional nutrient thresholds that must be met for marketing to be permitted. For example, a product categorized as “chocolate and sugar confectionery” is not permitted to be marketed to children regardless of the nutrient content, but within the category “breakfast cereals” a product may be permitted to be marketed to children if the total fat, total sugar and salt levels per 100g of product are below the stated thresholds. Therefore, for some products it was necessary to obtain the nutrition information, and where possible this information was sourced from product packaging (accessed online or at point of sale in retail stores) [22]. In the Russian Federation nutritional information on product packaging generally only includes total fat (g/100g), total carbohydrates (g/100g), total protein (g/100g), energy (kcal/100g) and the list of ingredients (without specifying the amount). The amount of salt, added sugar or trans-fat is not a mandatory and so often is not provided. Therefore, in some cases, it was not possible to make a judgement as to whether marketing of that product would be permitted according to WHO NPM.

*Data analysis*

Coding for all variables was entered directly into Microsoft Excel while viewing the TV recordings. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 21.0 software for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL).

Analyses were conducted to address the following research questions:

1. What is the quantity of food and beverage advertising on Russian TV popular with children and adolescents, and does this differ by channel?

2. What WHO Europe NPM food and beverage categories are promoted and does this differ between channels aimed at children and those aimed at adolescents?

3. What proportion of food and beverage ads on Russian TV popular with children and adolescents would be permitted to be advertised to children according to the WHO Europe NPM, and does this differ by channel?

4. What is the rate (frequency) of food and beverage advertising on Russian TV popular with children and adolescents, and does this differ by channel?

5. Does the rate of “not permitted” food and beverage advertising differ by channel?

6. Do the primary persuasive appeals used to promote foods and beverages to young people on Russian TV differ between advertisements permitted and not permitted to be advertised to children according to the WHO NPM?

7. Which food and beverage product categories are most frequently advertised using children and child-like characters?

In accordance with these objectives, results were analyzed overall (all 5 channels combined) and for each channel separately for advertised product types and the nutrient profile model classifications. Results for the analyses of content (power) are presented for all channels combined. Both the quantity of food advertisements and the rate (number of food advertisements per hour) were calculated.

**Results**

*Advertised Product Types*

Overall, 11,678 advertisements were coded. The most frequent product type advertised was food and beverages (n=2247, 19.2%) across all TV channels (Figure 1, Table 1 in the annex), with proportions ranging from 14.0% (n=349) of all ads (Disney) to 22.9% (n=583) of all ads (STS). The second most frequently advertised category overall was pharmaceuticals (n=1985, 17.0%).

There were no differences in advertisement types between weekdays and weekends (which is consistent with previous studies, e.g. [19]) and therefore all subsequent analyses combined weekend and weekday data together.

 [Figure 1 here].

Across all TV channels the most common food categories advertised were: yoghurts, sour milk, cream and other similar foods (n=338, 15.0% of total foods ads), chocolate and sugar confectionery (n=277, 12.3%), ready-made and convenience foods and composite dishes (n=225, 10%), beverages (tea and coffee; n=220, 9.8%) and processed meat, poultry, fish and similar (n=185, 8.2%) (Figure 2, Table 2 in the annex). The proportion of sugary drink advertisements was 6.5% (n=146). Child-oriented channels Disney and Karusel had a relatively higher proportion of advertisements for chocolate and sugar confectionery, juices and yogurts compared with the three other channels (Table 2). The three adolescent-oriented channels advertised non-alcoholic beer, energy drinks and ready-made and convenience foods and composite dishes, which were not advertised on children channels at all.

 [Figure 2 here].

*Nutrient Profile of Advertised Foods*

Most of the food and beverages advertised (n=1443, 64.2%) should not be permitted to be advertised to children according to the WHO NPM, with only 17.3% (n=389) permitted. A lack of nutrition information meant that 18.5% (n=415) of foodadvertisements could not be evaluated according to the WHO NPM (Table 3 in the annex). The most frequent food categories which were evaluated as “unknown” according to the WHO NPM were yoghurts, soul milk and cream (n=150, 36.1% of all “unknown” products, up to 85.7% on Disney), processed meat (n=65, 15.7%) and beverages (n=58, 14.0%). For the yoghurts and other beverages, lack of data on the amount of total sugar was the main reasons of “unknown” category according to NPM model, for processed meat – absence of amount of salt. The proportion of food advertisements not permitted did not vary substantially across the different TV channels (range of 59-64%, see Figure 3). The proportion of advertisements for products permitted to be advertised to children varied more and washighest on the Piatnitsa channel (an adolescent-oriented channel).

 [Figure 3 here].

The mean rate of food advertisements (mean number of advertisements per hour) varied between 2.30 (Karusel) and 9.1 per hour (Karusel, Piatnitsa, STS and TNT) (Figure 4). The rate of advertisements for foods not permitted to be advertised to children according to the NPM ranged from 1.4 (Karusel) to 5.8 per hour (STS and TNT).

 [Figure 4 here].

*The use of promotional techniques*

The most frequent persuasive appeals in all food advertisements were health (n=366, 16.3%), enjoyment/satisfaction (n=264, 11.7%) and low price (n=254, 11.3%) (Table 4 in the annex). The most frequently used primary persuasive appeal among the not permitted food advertisements was low price (n=250, 17.3%), novelty (n=162, 11.2%) and enjoyment/satisfaction (n=145, 10.0%) (Figure 5, Table 5 in the annex). In 9.1% (n=131) of not permitted food advertisements the primary persuasive appeal was health. Children or child-like characters appeared most frequently in the advertisements for cakes/sweet biscuits (78.9%), juices (75.3%) and chocolate/sugar confectionery (52.3%). The most frequent primary persuasive appeals for permitted advertisements were enjoyment/satisfaction (n=83, 21.3%), health (n=81, 20.8%), and taste (n=71, 18.3%) (Figure 5, Table 6 in the annex).

 [Figure 5 here].

*Social marketing*

No social marketing were identified on any of the channels.

**Discussion**

This study is the first to report empirical data on the frequency, healthfulness and promotional techniques of food advertising to which children and adolescents in the Russian Federation are likely to be exposed. It is also the first study for any Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) country, and therefore may be used to inform policy development across this region. No CIS country has regulations on food marketing for children, so many findings of the current study are applicable to the whole region, especially considering that many Russian TV channels are broadcast in these countries. Furthermore, it is the first study to have used the WHO monitoring tool and therefore provides a useful reference point for other Member States in their gathering of evidence to inform food marketing policy.

We analyzed 320 hours of TV broadcasting, during which time there were 11678 advertisements. Of these, 19.2% were for food and drinks. This is consistent with the proportion of food advertising found in previous studies in Germany (18.5%) [17], lower than in Australia and China (both 25%) [20, 21] and much higher than in the United Kingdom (12.8%, the statutory regulation was partly implemented when this study was conducted) [19].

Of the food and drink advertisements, yoghurts, sour milk, cream and other similar foods were the most frequently advertised item (30.1% of all food advertisements on Disney channel and 32.0% on Karusel). These products were also found to be the most frequently advertised to children in China [4]. Chocolate and confectionery was the next most advertised product type in the current study, and this is consistent with findings from many other countries [4].

A substantial proportion of the food advertisements (64.2%) were for products that would not be permitted to be advertised to children according to the WHO NPM. Given that this study assessed the television channels that are most popular with these age groups, the findings suggest that children and adolescents in Russia are likely exposed to a high volume of unhealthy food advertisements when watching TV. In our sample, the healthfulness of 18.5% of food advertisements could not be evaluated using the WHO nutrient profile model. The proportion of non-healthy food advertisements identified in this study is comparable to two studies from Germany, where the proportion was 73% (with 6% of foods unclassified) [16] and 87% [4] respectively. The figures are slightly higher than the proportions of non-healthy foods identified in studies from China (48%) [21], Spain (54.9%) [17] and the United Kingdom (56%) [19]. However, it should be noted that some of these papers use slightly different systems for categorizing foods, for example using core and non-core groupings [19] and this may affect comparability of findings. In addition, some countries, like the UK, have regulations in place to restrict unhealthy food marketing to children [9].

For the channels that are more adolescent-oriented (Piantnitsa, TNT, STS), there was an average of 9.1 food advertisements per hour, of which 5.4-5.8 were for products not permitted to be advertised

to children according to the WHO NPM. The rate of food advertisements on the other, more child-

oriented channels was lower, with the lowest rate found on the Karusel channel. Thus, future policy measures should take into consideration the need to protect all children, including adolescents. In China the frequency of food advertisements was 6 per hour per channel, of which 3 advertisements were for unhealthy foods [21]. Rates observed in the current study are comparable with those found for Germany (6 non-core (unhealthy) food advertisements per hour), Greece and Spain (5 non-core food advertisement per hour), and the US and Sweden (4 non-core food advertisement per hour) [20].

The findings of the current study are a concern given the poor diet quality of young people in Russia [13], the growing obesity rates in this age group seen across the last decade [23], and the evidence to support a causal link between unhealthy food advertising exposure and increased body weight in children [24]. Although food marketing regulations often focus on the protection of younger children [9], with limited available data on the impact of food marketing exposure on eating behavior in adolescents [25], neurological, hormonal and social development factors may mean children of this age are uniquely vulnerable to food marketing despite growing cognitive ability [26]. These data therefore provide novel insight into potential exposure across both age groups and support increased regulation of unhealthy food advertising to all young people in Russia, not just young children.

The food advertisements analyzed used a number of different types of persuasive appeals. The most frequently used appeals were low prices, product novelty and enjoyment. This differs from some previous studies, for example one in Australia found that the advertisements most frequently used promotional characters and premium offers [20]. In 9.1% of the advertisements for food not permitted to be advertised to children according to the WHO NPM, “health” was used as a primary persuasive appeal, which may be considered as misleading for consumers. Recent work by other authors has discussed this “health halo” surrounding food advertising [27]. The issue of misleading health (or product) claims is a separate point for legislation in this area, but these data highlight the need for clearly defined rules and regulations [9]. Children and child-like characters were frequently used to advertise foods designated as “not permitted” by the WHO NPM, for example in promotions for cakes/sweet biscuits, juices and chocolate/sugar confectionery so it is clear that these promotions are targeting the attention and engagement of young people [28].

We did not find any social marketing in the analyzed time periods. This doesn’t exclude the possibility that social marketing was broadcast at other times (e.g. after 10pm at night), but based on these data it seems that children and adolescents are unlikely to be exposed to such advertisements. Exposure to social marketing for positive dietary health behaviors, even at the 5% rate, would be unlikely to sufficiently counteract the harmful effects of the high volume of unhealthy food and beverage advertising on health, but it is notable for policy deliberations that current regulations on social marketing do not appear to be adhered to. Policymakers should consider sanctions for non-compliance.

This study did not measure all aspects of food marketing on television, for example program sponsorship or product placement in children’s movies (which was shown recently to have significant effect on the children’s snack choices [29]). Therefore, this study likely underestimated the frequency of unhealthy food marketing on examined channels. Future studies in the Russian Federation should seek to address these gaps and consider the extent and nature of digital marketing to which children in this region are exposed.

Current WHO recommendations on the marketing of foods and non-alcoholic beverages to children call for reductions in both the exposure of children to marketing and its power [10]. It emphasizes the need to restrict food advertising also on channels intended for general audience that the high percentage of children and adolescents watch often. This study is an essential first step for evaluating the size of problem of food and drink advertising to children and informing appropriate policy actions in the Russian Federation. It also adds new evidence to inform policy deliberations in other member states of the European Region (particularly those neighboring Russia) and contributes to the international debate about this global public health concern.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This first study of television advertising in Russia demonstrated that on the channels most popular with children and adolescents there is a high volume of unhealthy food and drink advertising. This supports policy actions for the protection of the health of the young people in this region.

**KEY POINTS**

* Marketing of unhealthy food is associated with increasing childhood obesity worldwide and, as such, is a critical public health issue.
* This study is the first in the Russian Federation to analyze the food and drinks advertised on television popular with children and adolescents.
* Young people in the Russian Federation are likely exposed to high volumes of unhealthy food advertisements in which persuasive appeals include promoting the novelty of the product and the potential enjoyment it offers.
* Policymakers should consider steps to reduce this exposure and protect children from the negative impacts of unhealthy food advertising on eating behavior and health.
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