

1 Introduction

2 Lameness in sheep is one of the most important economic and welfare issues for the UK
3 sheep industry ¹. Estimates of on farm prevalence vary, as lameness will vary throughout the
4 year, but recent studies suggest 4.9% of the UK sheep flock (or 1.75 million sheep) are lame
5 at any one time ². Considering labour costs, loss of production, culling and treatment costs,
6 this potentially equates to losses of an estimated £24- 80 million annually ^{3; 4} to the UK sheep
7 industry. The welfare cost of lameness to the animals is also significant: Lame animals will be
8 in pain, which can be chronic and prolonged, depending on the promptness and efficacy of
9 farm interventions.⁵ They are also at risk of concurrent disease such as flystrike (Figure 1),
10 metabolic disease and nutritional deficit, all of which will also affect the welfare of any
11 dependent lambs.

12 The infectious foot diseases, footrot and contagious ovine digital dermatitis (CODD), are the
13 principle causes of sheep lameness in the UK ⁶ and therefore should be the first priority for
14 lameness control in flocks. Fortunately, these two diseases have many common features in
15 terms of microbial aetiology, transmission routes and epidemiology, which enable a simple
16 holistic approach to their control on farms by veterinarians and farmers.

17

18 Clinical presentation

19 Footrot and scald had previously been considered as separate disease entities. However, it is
20 now widely recognised that they are part of the same disease spectrum⁷. Scald or interdigital
21 dermatitis (ID) is the earliest stage of footrot where the interdigital skin is inflamed, but
22 without horn separation (Figure 2). As footrot progresses, there is separation of the hoof
23 horn typically commencing at the medial sole and advancing axially and onto the sole (Figure

24 3). There is usually an accompanying distinctive pungent smell, grey discharge and varying
25 degrees of lameness. Four and five point footrot scoring systems are available to describe
26 different clinical footrot disease presentations ⁸.

27 CODD clinical presentation has also been described by a five point scoring system (Figure 4) ⁹.
28 This scoring system reflects the progressive nature of the disease from an inflammatory
29 lesion at the coronary band, to progressive separation of the horn capsule extending from
30 the coronary band distally, resulting in complete horn capsule avulsion. CODD is known to
31 cause a more severe lameness in sheep, with foot pathology extending into osteitis of the
32 pedal bone (Figure 5).

33

34 **Aetiology**

35 Both footrot and CODD have a bacterial aetiology. The primary cause of footrot is invasion of
36 the epidermal tissue by *Dichelobacter nodosus* ¹⁰. There are 10 different serogroups of
37 *Dichelobacter nodosus*, based on the fimbrial antigen, and multiple serogroups can be
38 present on one farm or sheep ¹¹. The bacteria are classified into benign or virulent strains,
39 depending on proteases present, although most strains on UK sheep farms are classed as
40 virulent. During footrot development the load of *Dichelobacter nodosus* is much higher in
41 the early, ID stage, which is therefore a highly infective stage, whereby bacteria are shed
42 onto the pasture and bedding ⁷. *Fusobacterium necrophorum* is also associated with footrot,
43 but is considered a secondary pathogen to *Dichelobacter nodosus* contributing to the severity
44 of the disease ¹².

45 The treponeme bacteria are strongly associated with CODD, specifically three members of
46 the Treponema genus, namely *Treponema medium*-like, *Treponema phagedenis*-like and
47 *Treponema pedis*. Studies of CODD feet and healthy feet also identified *Dichelobacter*

48 *nodosus* and *Fusobacterium necrophorum* in many CODD lesions ¹³ . Currently, the precise
49 aetiology and role of the different consortia of bacteria identified in CODD lesions is unclear,
50 however the treponemes are considered as a necessary cause of disease ¹³.

51

52 **Transmission**

53 Understanding pathogen transmission routes informs farm management control strategies
54 aimed to prevent the spread of infectious foot disease both between and within farms.

55 Recent research work on *Dichelobacter nodosus* and the digital dermatitis treponeme
56 bacteria has identified some useful similarities in their transmission pathways.

57 *Dichelobacter nodosus* can be found in healthy sheep's feet, diseased feet (highest at ID
58 stage) ¹⁴; it can also survive on soil for up to 30 days (depending on temperature and soil
59 type) ¹⁵; and be isolated from hoof trimming equipment, workers hands and hoof clippings ¹⁶.

60 The digital dermatitis associated treponemes in CODD have been isolated from foot lesions
61 from diseased sheep, cattle, goats and wild elk; also from fresh faeces, slurry, hoof trimming
62 equipment and gloves ¹⁷. In most of these studies the infective dose of bacteria required to
63 produce foot disease was not established, therefore the precise role of different sources of
64 infection in disease transmission cannot be categorically stated, however the data does
65 provide very useful guidance for appropriate farm management interventions to prevent
66 disease spread.

67

68 **Epidemiology**

69 Footrot is present in 80-95% of UK flocks. The prevalence of footrot does vary throughout the
70 year. One longitudinal study of 6 farms reported a mean prevalence of 5.0% (95%CI 3.2-6.8%)
71 and a range of 0-20.5%¹⁸. Risk factors for footrot infection have been clearly described in a

72 number of recent publications and reviewed by Caetano¹². Risk factors provide the
73 veterinarian with an evidence base to inform farm management interventions. These will be
74 considered at the end of the article.

75 Factors that increase the risk of a sheep having footrot are:

- 76 • Foot trimming (when bleeding is caused)
- 77 • Wet, muddy, under foot conditions
- 78 • Poor foot confirmation
- 79 • Large flock size
- 80 • Increase stocking rates
- 81 • Seasonal trend observed (spring and late summer/early autumn)
- 82 • Longer sward

83 Factors that decrease the risk of footrot are:

- 84 • Vaccination with Footvax
- 85 • Early detection and treatment (within 3 days)
- 86 • Separating lame sheep from healthy sheep during treatment
- 87 • Breeding replacements from non-lame sheep
- 88 • Culling sheep lame ≥ 2 times within one year
- 89 • Quarantine period over 21 days
- 90 • Foot bathing to treat/prevent ID

91 Evidence suggests that CODD occurs on between 35-58% of UK farms. Again, the amount of
92 disease on farms will vary throughout the year. Typically, farmers report disease prevalence
93 to be around 2% but levels of up to 50% of sheep affected are recorded ¹⁹. Epidemiological
94 studies have identified a number of risk factors associated with disease prevalence and which
95 have important implications for CODD control²⁰.

96 Factors that increase the risk of a sheep having CODD are:

- 97 • Lowland pasture, lush pasture, poached pasture
- 98 • Seasonal (spring and late summer/early autumn)
- 99 • Large flock size
- 100 • Cattle on farm with digital dermatitis
- 101 • Biosecurity practices
 - 102 ○ Purchasing replacement ewes
 - 103 ○ Not checking feet on arrival
 - 104 ○ Not isolating sheep on arrival
 - 105 ○ Not foot bathing sheep on arrival
- 106 • Footrot
- 107 • Foot trimming

108 Factors that decrease the risk of a sheep having CODD are:

- 109 • Vaccination with Footvax
- 110 • Following current recommendations for footrot

111 Treatment

112 There is a reasonable evidence base for the treatment of footrot. Veterinarians can choose
113 between topical and systemic antibiotics, and non-antibiotic footbaths (Table 1). However,
114 the evidence base is strongly in favour of prompt treatment (within 3 days) with injectable
115 antibiotics and a topical antibiotic foot spray²¹, and does not support whole flock
116 treatments^{22; 23}. Efficacy of non-antibiotic footbaths is strongly influenced by foot bathing
117 technique, which can be difficult to apply correctly with large numbers of sheep, wet
118 underfoot conditions and inadequate facilities. Current advice is that when correctly
119 undertaken, foot bathing can be beneficial as a general foot hygiene measure, as a

120 preventative measure, and in the early stages of footrot (ID). Foot trimming is not
121 recommended for lame sheep as it delays and reduces the probability of healing, and risks
122 the spread of infection²¹.

123

Treatment	Follow Up Period	Proportion of Sheep Recovered
Oxytetracycline LA ²⁴	3 Weeks	79.3%
Oxytetracycline LA ²⁵	6 Weeks	89-100%
Amoxicillin LA ²⁶	9 Weeks	80.4%
Gamithromycin ²⁴	3 Weeks	93.7%
Zinc Sulphate Footbath ²⁵	6 Weeks	77%

124

125 **Table 1: Comparison of proportion of sheep recovered from footrot following different**
126 **treatment strategies**

127 Being a relatively new disease, the evidence base for CODD treatment is more limited.

128 However, as with footrot, prompt treatment with systemic antibiotics is also advised in order
129 to improve sheep welfare and reduce the spread of infectious agents. Similarly whole flock
130 antibiotic treatments are not recommended^{22; 23}. A summary of the current evidence base
131 for treatment is given in table 2.

132

Treatment	Follow Up Period	Proportion of Sheep Recovered
Amoxicillin LA ²⁶	9 Weeks	71%
Chlortetracycline Footbath ²⁷	3 Weeks	52.7%
Tilmicosin (2 doses regime) ²²	7 Weeks	100%

133

134 Table 2: Comparison of proportion of sheep recovered from CODD following different

135 treatment strategies

136

137 **Control of Infectious Lameness**

138 *History and Clinical Examination*

139 As with any disease situation, the core principles of taking a detailed farm history and
 140 performing a thorough clinical examination of a representative number of animals in the
 141 flock together with an inspection of the farm environment are the essential basis of any
 142 control plan.

143 The history should include:

- 144 • Estimate of the numbers of animals affected
- 145 • Duration of the problem
- 146 • Farm risk factors
 - 147 ○ Seasonality
 - 148 ○ Assessment of housing conditions
 - 149 ○ Assessment of field conditions
- 150 • Culling policy

- 151 • Biosecurity policy
- 152 • Current control policy
- 153 ○ Treatments (dosage, administration technique, drug)
- 154 ○ Foot trimming
- 155 ○ Vaccinations (storage, administration technique, dosage used)
- 156 ○ Foot bathing (facilities, chemical used, technique)

157 *The Five Point Plan for the Control of Infectious Lameness*

158 The Five Point Plan²⁸ is the sheep industry recognised framework for the control of infectious
159 foot disease and provides a useful basis for the construction of a farm specific control plan.

160 It consists of the following elements that will be considered in turn.

- 161 1. Prompt detection and treatment of lame sheep
- 162 2. Vaccination with Footvax (MSD)
- 163 3. Biosecurity for incoming stock (Quarantine)
- 164 4. Farm Hygiene (Avoid)
- 165 5. Culling of chronically lame sheep

1661. *Prompt Detection and Treatment*

167 This should be the corner stone of any infectious foot disease plan, both from a sheep
168 welfare as well as from an infection control perspective. As discussed, systemic antibiotics
169 (plus topical treatment) are the recommended option in clinical cases. In addition, affected
170 animals should be isolated where practically possible to enable monitoring for clinical cure,
171 enable repeat treatments and reduce disease spread. Although whole flock treatments are
172 not advised, group treatments are often necessary.

1732. *Vaccination*

174 The multivalent vaccine against footrot (Footvax MSD) is a very useful tool in infectious foot
175 disease as it has proven efficacy against both footrot as well as to a lesser extent CODD
176 (about 30%)²⁶. Vaccination protocols are tailored to each farm; however, in general,
177 vaccination is usually repeated twice yearly and in advance of risk periods. For this reason,
178 many farmers find post shearing (in advance of a warm wet summer) and post scanning (well
179 in advance of a planned increase in stocking density around lambing time) to be practical and
180 effective times to schedule vaccinations.

1813. *Farm Hygiene*

182 Based on our current knowledge of transmission routes and the epidemiology for both
183 footrot and CODD, control strategies should include;

- 184 • prompt treatment and isolation of affected sheep at the earliest stages of disease
- 185 • biosecurity measures for all incoming stock avoidance of hoof trimming, and cleaning and
186 disinfection of equipment and hands
- 187 • promotion of good underfoot hygiene by employing measures such as
 - 188 ○ resting fields (current advice is for 14 days for footrot)
 - 189 ○ avoiding poaching of fields by moving field furniture, monitoring stocking rates,
190 improving drainage around gateways and areas of high traffic (Figure 6)
 - 191 ○ ensuring clean, dry, disinfected housing (Figure 7)
 - 192 ○ cleaning and disinfection of handling areas after use (Figure 8)
 - 193 ○ consider risks of co-grazing

1944. *Biosecurity*

- 195 • Isolate brought in sheep for a minimum of 3 weeks
- 196 • Check feet on arrival as not all sheep with foot lesions are lame (up to 30 %)
- 197 • Return clinically affected sheep to vendor or treat the whole group until clinical cure achieved
198 (no guarantee of bacteriological cure)

199 • Vaccinate incoming animals if this is part of flock policy

200 • Disinfectant footbath as general hygiene measure

2015. *Culling policy*

202 Culling repeat cases of infectious foot disease has a number of benefits. It reduces the infection

203 burden in the flock, reduces the welfare impact on chronically lame animals, and if the flock breeds its

204 own replacement animals, then will prevent breeding from footrot susceptible animals.

205 **Conclusion**

206 Infectious foot disease remains a significant welfare and economic issue for many flocks. Thanks to

207 recent research, veterinarians have sound evidence upon which to base their advice to farmers.

208 Control plans should be tailored to individual farms but prompt individual treatment should be the

209 corner stone of any advice, whilst the Five Point Plan provides a very useful framework for a holistic

210 farm approach.

211 **Key Points**

212 • Footrot and CODD are the most important causes of lameness in sheep in the UK

213 • Footrot and CODD are different, yet strongly associated in terms of microbial aetiology,
214 epidemiology and transmission routes.

215 • A holistic approach to lameness control is necessary to target footrot and CODD together.

216 • Prompt individual treatment with systemic antibiotics is an essential step in controlling
217 infectious foot disease.

218 • The Five Point Plan provides a useful framework to devise holistic control plans

219

220 **References**

221 1. Phythian CJ, Michalopoulou E, Jones PH, Winter AC, Clarkson MJ, Stubbings LA, Grove-
222 White D, Cripps PJ, Duncan JS. 2011. Validating indicators of sheep welfare through a
223 consensus of expert opinion. *Animal : an international journal of animal bioscience.*
224 5(6):943-952.

- 225 2. Winter JR, Kaler J, Ferguson E, KilBride AL, Green LE. 2015. Changes in prevalence of, and
226 risk factors for, lameness in random samples of english sheep flocks: 2004–2013.
227 Preventive veterinary medicine. 122(1):121-128.
- 228 3. Wassink GJ, King EM, Grogono-Thomas R, Brown JC, Moore LJ, Green LE. 2010. A within
229 farm clinical trial to compare two treatments (parenteral antibacterials and hoof
230 trimming) for sheep lame with footrot. Preventive veterinary medicine. 96(1-2):93-
231 103.
- 232 4. Nieuwhof GJ, Bishop SC. 2005. Costs of the major endemic diseases of sheep in great
233 britain and the potential benefits of reduction in disease impact. Animal Science.
234 81(23-29).
- 235 5. Angell JW, Cripps PJ, Grove-White DH, Duncan JS. 2015. A practical tool for locomotion
236 scoring in sheep: Reliability when used by veterinary surgeons and sheep farmers.
237 Veterinary Record. 176(20):521-523.
- 238 6. Kaler J, Green LE. 2008. Naming and recognition of six foot lesions of sheep using written
239 and pictorial information: A study of 809 english sheep farmers. Preventive
240 veterinary medicine. 83:52-64.
- 241 7. Green L, Clifton R. 2018. Diagnosing and managing footrot in sheep: An update. In
242 Practice. 40(1):17-26.
- 243 8. Egerton JR, Roberts DS. 1971. Vaccination against ovine foot-rot. Journal of Comparative
244 Pathology. 81(2):179-185.
- 245 9. Angell JW, Blundell R, Grove-White DH, Duncan JS. 2015. Clinical and radiographic
246 features of contagious ovine digital dermatitis and a novel lesion grading system.
247 Veterinary Record. 176(21):544-552.
- 248 10. Beveridge WIB. 1941. Foot-rot in sheep: A transmissible disease due to infection with
249 *fusiformis nodosus* (n. Sp.); studies on its cause, epidemiology and control. The
250 Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Australian Bulletin.
251 140(1):1-64.
- 252 11. Moore LJ, Wassink GJ, Green LE, Grogono-Thomas R. 2005. The detection and
253 characterisation of *dichelobacter nodosus* from cases of ovine footrot in england and
254 wales. Veterinary microbiology. 108(1-2):57-67.
- 255 12. Caetano P BE, Branco S 2018. Reviewing footrot in sheep. Journal of Veterinary Science
256 & Animal Husbandry. 6(4).
- 257 13. Sullivan LE, Clegg SR, Angell JW, Newbrook K, Blowey RW, Carter SD, Bell J, Duncan JS,
258 Grove-White DH, Murray RD et al. 2015. High-level association of bovine digital
259 dermatitis treponema spp. With contagious ovine digital dermatitis lesions and
260 presence of fusobacterium necrophorum and dichelobacter nodosus. Journal of
261 clinical microbiology. 53(5):1628-1638.
- 262 14. Witcomb LA, Green LE, Kaler J, Ul-Hassan A, Calvo-Bado LA, Medley GF, Grogono-
263 Thomas R, Wellington EMH. 2014. A longitudinal study of the role of *dichelobacter*
264 *nodosus* and *fusobacterium necrophorum* load in initiation and severity of footrot in
265 sheep. Preventive veterinary medicine. 115(1-2):48-55.
- 266 15. Muzafar M, Green LE, Calvo-Bado LA, Tichauer E, King H, James P, Wellington EMH.
267 2016. Survival of the ovine footrot pathogen dichelobacter nodosus in different soils.
268 Anaerobe. 38:81-87.
- 269 16. Locher I, Giger L, Frosth S, Kuhnert P, Steiner A. 2018. Potential transmission routes of
270 dichelobacter nodosus. Veterinary microbiology. 218:20-24.

- 271 17. Duncan J, Grove-White D, Angell J. 2018. Understanding contagious ovine digital
272 dermatitis. *In Practice*. 40(2):60-65.
- 273 18. Angell JW, Grove-White DH, Duncan JS. 2018. Sheep and farm level factors associated
274 with footrot: A longitudinal repeated cross-sectional study of sheep on six farms in
275 the uk. *Veterinary Record*. 182(10):293-293.
- 276 19. Angell JW, Duncan JS, Carter SD, Grove-White DH. 2014. Farmer reported prevalence
277 and factors associated with contagious ovine digital dermatitis in wales: A
278 questionnaire of 511 sheep farmers. *Preventive veterinary medicine*. 113(1):132-
279 138.
- 280 20. Angell JW, Grove-White DH, Duncan JS. 2015. Sheep and farm level factors associated
281 with contagious ovine digital dermatitis: A longitudinal repeated cross-sectional
282 study of sheep on six farms. *Preventive veterinary medicine*. 122(1-2):107-120.
- 283 21. Kaler J, Daniels JL, Wright JL, Green LE. 2010. Randomized clinical trial of long-acting
284 oxytetracycline, foot trimming, and flunixin meglumine on time to recovery in
285 sheep with footrot. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. 24(2):420-425.
- 286 22. Angell JW, Grove-White DH, Williams HJ, Duncan JS. 2016. Whole-flock, metaphylactic
287 tilmicosin failed to eliminate contagious ovine digital dermatitis and footrot in sheep:
288 A cluster randomised trial. *Veterinary Record*. 179(12):308.
- 289 23. SVS. 2017. Sheep veterinary society policy on responsible use of antimicrobials in sheep
290 flocks
291
- 292 24. Strobel H, Lauseker M, Forbes AB. 2014. Targeted antibiotic treatment of lame sheep
293 with footrot using either oxytetracycline or gamithromycin. *Veterinary Record*.
294 174(2):5.
- 295 25. Grogono-Thomas R, Wilsmore AJ, Simon AJ, Izzard KA. 1994. The use of long-acting
296 oxytetracycline for the treatment of ovine footrot. *British Veterinary Journal*.
297 150(6):561-568.
- 298 26. Duncan JS, Grove-White D, Moks E, Carroll D, Oultram JW, Phythian CJ, Williams HW.
299 2012. Impact of footrot vaccination and antibiotic therapy on footrot and contagious
300 ovine digital dermatitis. *Veterinary Record*. 170(18):462.
- 301 27. Duncan JS, Grove-White D, Oultram JW, Phythian CJ, Dijk JV, Carter SD, Cripps PJ,
302 Williams HJ. 2011. Effects of parenteral amoxicillin on recovery rates and new
303 infection rates for contagious ovine digital dermatitis in sheep. *Veterinary Record*.
304 169(23):606.
- 305 28. Clements RH, Stoye SC. 2014. The 'five point plan': A successful tool for reducing
306 lameness in sheep. *Veterinary Record*. 175(9):225.
307