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**ABSTRACT**

**Objectives:** To assess the associations between microbiological markers of vaginal dysbiosis and 1) incident/cleared/type-swap/persistent high-risk human papillomavirus (hrHPV) infection; and 2) incident/cured/cleared/persistent high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN2+) while controlling for persistent hrHPV infection.

**Design:** Two nested case-control studies (N=304 and 236) within a prospective cohort of HIV-positive women in Johannesburg, South Africa.

**Methods:** Participants were examined for hrHPV type (InnoLipA), cervical dysplasia (histology), and vaginal microbiota (VMB) composition (V3-V4 Illumina HiSeq 2x300bp) at baseline and endline, a median of 16 months later.

**Results:** Women with incident hrHPV compared to those who remained hrHPV-negative were less likely to have an optimal *Lactobacillus crispatus*/*jensenii*-dominated VMB type at endline (relative risk ratio (RRR)=0.125, p=0.019) but not at baseline. Having different hrHPV types at both visits was associated with multiple anaerobic dysbiosis markers at baseline (e.g. increased BV-anaerobes relative abundance: RRR=3.246, p=0.026). Compared to women without CIN2+ but with hrHPV at both visits, women with incident CIN2+ had increased Simpson diversity (RRR=7.352, p=0.028) and non-significant trends in other anaerobic dysbiosis markers at endline but not baseline. These associations persisted after controlling for age, hormonal contraception, and CD4+ count. Current hormonal contraceptive use (predominantly progestin-only injectables) was associated with increased CIN2+ risk over-and-above persistent hrHPV infection and independent of VMB composition.

**Conclusions:** hrHPV infection (and/or increased sexual risk-taking) may cause anaerobic vaginal dysbiosis, but a bidirectional relationship is also possible. In this population, dysbiosis did not increase CIN2+ risk, but CIN2+ increased dysbiosis risk. The CIN2+ risk associated with progestin-only injectable use requires further evaluation.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Cervical cancer is the fourth most common cancer affecting women worldwide [1]. Persistent genital infection with high-risk human papillomavirus (hrHPV) types is a necessary trigger, but hrHPV infection and high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) lesions may regress without treatment [2]. Cervical cancer and hrHPV prevalences are particularly high in sub-Saharan Africa, including South Africa, which currently also has the highest HIV prevalence worldwide [3]. Women living with HIV have a higher prevalence of genital hrHPV infection than the general population [4], and a higher risk of progression to CIN or cervical cancer, likely due to HIV-induced immunosuppression [5].

Persistent hrHPV infection does not always result in CIN/cancer, and other exposures are thought to play important roles. One of these is vaginal microbiota (VMB) dysbiosis. An optimal VMB is dominated by lactobacilli. The most common type of vaginal dysbiosis is bacterial vaginosis (BV), which is characterised by a persistent decrease in lactobacilli and increase in fastidious anaerobes (referred to as BV-anaerobes). BV affects 30-40% of women worldwide at any given time [6]. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of 14 longitudinal studies published between 2003 and 2017 showed that BV is associated with increased risks of incident hrHPV (relative risk 1.33), hrHPV persistence (1.18), and CIN/cancer (2.01) [7]. However, most of these studies (11/14) used crude VMB assessments by microscopic methods only. Furthermore, in all studies, women with high-grade CIN/cancer were compared with those without, regardless of their hrHPV status. The review could therefore not disentangle the impact of VMB characteristics on hrHPV infection from progression to CIN/cancer during or after persistent hrHPV infection.

We addressed the shortcomings of these earlier studies by conducting two nested case-control studies within the ‘HPV in Africa Research Partnership’ (HARP) study in South African women living with HIV [8,9]: the hrHPV sub-study and the CIN sub-study. We used appropriate control groups in each sub-study and incorporated molecular VMB assessments.

**METHODS**

The HARP study aim was to improve cervical cancer prevention programmes for HIV–infected African women and procedures have been described elsewhere [8,9]. HIV-infected women (N=623), aged 25-50, were recruited from HIV treatment centres and surrounding communities in Johannesburg in 2011 and 2012. Exclusion criteria were previous treatment for cervical cancer, previous hysterectomy, and being pregnant or less than eight weeks postpartum. Enrolment was stratified by antiretroviral therapy status (on therapy versus therapy-naïve, following the 2010 World Health Organisation guidelines that used a CD4+ 350 cells/μl cut-off [10]) in a 2:1 ratio. Women were followed up every six months for a median of 16 months (range 11-22 months), but only baseline and endline data were used for the VMB sub-studies. The hrHPV sub-study (N=304) included women with CIN1 or lower (≤CIN1) at both visits who had never received cervical treatment, and categorised them into mutually exclusive categories as follows (Figure 1): twice hrHPV-negative (n=37; referred to as persistent hrHPV-negative controls), incident hrHPV (n=43; no hrHPV types at baseline but at least one at endline), cleared hrHPV (n=65; at least one hrHPV type at baseline and none at endline), hrHPV type-swap (n=67; different hrHPV types at the two visits), and persistent type-specific hrHPV (n=92). The CIN sub-study (N=236) included women with CIN2 or higher (CIN2+) on at least one visit, who did or did not receive cervical treatment in between the two visits, and categorised them into mutually exclusive categories as follows: incident CIN2+ (n=22), cured CIN2+ after treatment (n=50), spontaneous CIN2+ clearance in the absence of treatment (n=14), persistent or recurrent CIN2+ (n=25; five women were treated but had CIN2+ recurrence and 20 women were not treated and had CIN2+ at both visits), and prevalent CIN2+ (n=33; data available for one visit only). In this sub-study, women with ≤CIN1 but persistent type-specific hrHPV at both visits were used as the control group (n=92; referred to as persistent hrHPV-positive controls). This group was included in both sub-studies, as a comparison group in the hrHPV sub-study and as a control group in the CIN sub-study, making the total sample size of women enrolled in the two sub-studies 448.

**Diagnostic laboratory assessments**

HIV-1 serostatus was diagnosed according to national guidelines [11]. CD4+ T-lymphocyte counts were determined by FACScount (Becton-Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA) and plasma HIV viral load by COBAS Taqman (Roche Diagnostics, Johannesburg, South Africa). All samples were assessed for hrHPV qualitatively by Digene HC-II or CareHPV test (both Qiagen, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA) and by INNO-LiPA HPV Genotyping Extra (Fujirebio, Courtaboeuf, France), classifying 13 types as high-risk (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, and 68; Supplement 1: Methods) [12]. Cervical dysplasia status was assessed by Papanicolaou smear cytology, visual inspection with acetic acid or Lugol’s iodine, and colposcopy. If any of these or qualitative hrHPV testing were positive, histology of four-quadrant cervical biopsies was done using the three-tier CIN classification system [13], and binarised as ≤CIN1 or CIN2+ based on the highest reading. All histological slides from women with CIN2+ and 5-10% of slides from women with ≤CIN1 were reviewed by the HARP Endpoint Committee of five pathologists for consensus classification [14]. BV was diagnosed by Gram stain Nugent scoring [15], and vaginal yeast infection by the presence of yeasts on Gram stain. Women were also screened for other sexually transmitted infections (STIs; Supplement 1: Methods). hrHPV, cervical dysplasia, and CD4+ count assessments were done at both visits, and the other procedures at baseline only.

**Molecular VMB testing**

Vaginal swabs were stored at room temperature in Boonfix medium (a patented fixative containing ethanol, low molecular weight polyethylene glycol, and acetic acid). We first determined that this fixative was suitable for sequencing (Supplement 1: Methods). DNA was extracted from one swab per woman per visit (N=873), using lysozyme lysis combined with the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Manchester, UK) with inclusion of the swab head up to and including the proteinase K step. The 16S rRNA gene V3-V4 region was amplified and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

**Molecular data processing**

Molecular data processing steps are described in Supplement 1 (Methods). Briefly, Swarm v2.1.13 was used to assign reads to operational taxonomic units (OTUs) and taxonomic assignments were made using RDP classifier against the Silva v128 database (Supplement 1: Methods) [16]. Low read count (<100 reads), non-bacterial, and contaminant OTUs were removed. Relative abundances were rarefied at 1,039 reads using the GUniFrac v1.0 package in R v3.4.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing 2015, Vienna, Austria). The rarefied OTU relative abundance table consisted of 1981 OTUs in 871 samples, of which 246 were non-minority OTUs (relative abundance of at least 1% in at least one sample).

Data reduction for biostatistical modelling was done in three different ways. First, the Simpson diversity index (1-D) was calculated for each sample, ranging from zero (no diversity) to one (infinite diversity). Second, each OTU was assigned to one of four ‘bacterial groups’ based on the published literature (Supplement 2) as follows: 1) lactobacilli; 2) BV-anaerobes; 3) pathobionts (bacteria with higher intrinsic pathogenicity than BV-anaerobes that are not typically associated with BV; also includes STI pathogens); and 4) ‘other bacteria’ (skin and Bifidobacteria). The proportion of sequencing reads assigned to a specific OTU in a sample is called the relative abundance of that OTU. For each sample, relative abundances of OTUs belonging to the same bacterial group were summed (Supplement 1: Methods). This resulted in four relative abundances (one for each bacterial group) per sample, which sum to one. Third, we used hierarchical clustering based on Euclidean distance to pool samples into seven VMB types (Supplement 1: Figure S1): 1) *Lactobacillus iners*-dominated (Li; >70% lactobacilli of which *L. iners* was the most common); 2) *L. crispatus* or *L. jensenii*-dominated (Lcj; also >70% lactobacilli of which *L. crispatus* or *L. jensenii* were the most common); 3) lactobacilli and anaerobes (LA; >10% lactobacilli with the remainder BV-anaerobes); 4) high diversity BV-anaerobes (BV), 5) BV-anaerobe-dominated (AD; >50% *Gardnerella vaginalis* or *Atopobium vaginae*); 6) pathobionts-characterized (PB; >25% pathobionts) and 7) *Bifidobacterium*-dominated (BD). An increased Nugent score, Simpson index, or BV-anaerobes relative abundance, a reduced lactobacilli relative abundance, and VMB types LA, BV, and AD were considered markers of (transition to/from) anaerobic vaginal dysbiosis. The pathobionts and ‘other bacteria’ bacterial groups, and PB and BD VMB types, represent poorly characterised VMB states and were uncommon.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed in R v3.4.1 and Stata v13 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). Baseline characteristics between the parent study and sub-studies were compared by Kruskal Wallis test for continuous and Chi-squared or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Unadjusted multinomial logistic regression models were carried out for three multi-category outcome variables: the hrHPV and CIN outcomes as described above and in Figure 1, and a combined hrHPV/CIN outcome (4 mutually exclusive groups; N=448) to optimise statistical power: ≤CIN1 and no hrHPV at both visits (n=37), ≤CIN1 at both visits and hrHPV at one visit (n=108), ≤CIN1 and hrHPV at both visits (n=159), and CIN2+ regardless of hrHPV status at one or both visits (n=144). Each unadjusted model included one outcome and one baseline or endline VMB variable but yielded multiple relative risk ratios (RRRs) due to the multi-category nature of the outcomes. For example, models with the combined outcome yielded three RRRs: case group 1 versus controls, case group 2 versus controls, and case group 3 versus controls. Multivariable models were carried out in the same manner but for the combined outcome only, and were adjusted for age, CD4+ count at baseline or endline, and hormonal contraceptive use at baseline or during the study. Potential confounders were selected a priori based on the published literature and the above-mentioned confounders were consistently associated with hrHPV/CIN outcomes and VMB variables in our data.

**Ethical statement**

All participants provided written informed consent. The study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration, and approved by the research ethics committees of Witwatersrand University, London School for Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, and University of Liverpool.

**RESULTS**

The 448 women selected for the sub-studies did not differ from those not selected (N=175), except that they were more likely to be on antiretroviral therapy (70.1% versus 52.6%) (Supplement 1: Table S1). The median age was 34 (interquartile range 30-39) (Table 1). At baseline, the majority of women reported to have a regular male sex partner and one sex partner in the last three months. Vaginal cleansing was practiced at least weekly by 41.5% of women. A quarter (25.2%) reported current hormonal contraceptive use, which consisted predominantly of intramuscular injections of medroxyprogesterone acetate or norethindrone enanthate. The median CD4+ count was 423 (interquartile range 317-566). STIs other than HIV and HPV were common, especially herpes simplex virus type 2 (95.3%) and *Trichomonas vaginalis* (15.6%), but vaginal yeast infections were less common (7.5%). None of these characteristics differed between the hrHPV and CIN comparison groups, except for injectable contraceptive use, which was significantly more common in the cured, cleared, and persistent CIN2+ groups (34.0, 57.1, and 36.0%) compared to the other groups (4.6-24.3%) (Supplement 1: Table S2).

The majority of women had at least one hrHPV type at baseline (79.7%) and endline (70.8%), and 42.9% had BV by Nugent score at baseline. The mean Simpson index was 0.54, and the mean bacterial group relative abundances 0.48 for lactobacilli, 0.49 for BV-anaerobes, 0.03 for pathobionts, and 0.01 for ‘other bacteria’, for all women combined at baseline (Table 1). The most common VMB types at baseline were Li (26.3% of women), LA (25.3%), and BV (33.8%) (Table 1). The Lcj (6.2%) and AD (6.6%) types were less common, and the PB and BD types were rare. As expected, the median Simpson index and mean bacterial group relative abundances differed per VMB type (Supplement 1: Figure S1). The overall proportions of women with each VMB type were similar at endline, but only 143/414 (35%) of individual women with two VMB assessments had the same VMB type at both visits. Women with the Lcj or BV type at baseline were most likely to have the same VMB type at endline (50.7% and 44.4%, respectively) while those with Li (25.5%), LA (26.2%), or AD types (14.8%) were less stable. The median Bray-Curtis similarities between baseline and endline samples were: Lcj 33%, Li 44%, LA 40%, BV 22%, BD 19%, and PB 6.7%.

Stacked bar graphs of the proportions of women with each VMB type at baseline and endline by outcome group are shown in Figure 2, and data for all VMB characteristics by outcome group in Supplement 1 (Table S3). In the hrHPV sub-study, unadjusted multinomial logistic regression models showed that baseline VMB compositions of women who acquired or cleared hrHPV during the study did not significantly differ from the baseline VMB compositions of the persistent hrHPV-negative controls (Table 2). However, women who acquired hrHPV were much less likely to have an optimal Lcj VMB type at endline (RRR=0.125, p=0.019), and women who cleared hrHPV were more likely to have anaerobic dysbiosis markers at endline (reaching significance for Simpson diversity: RRR=3.856, p=0.034). Women in the type-swap group were more likely to have anaerobic dysbiosis markers at baseline (significantly increased Nugent score, Simpson diversity, and BV-anaerobes relative abundance, and significantly decreased Lactobacilli relative abundance), and women in the type-swap and type-specific persistence groups had non-significant trends towards a lower likelihood of having an optimal Lcj VMB type at endline (Table 2).

In the CIN sub-study, unadjusted multinomial logistic regression models showed that women who had CIN2+ at least once during the study were more likely to have anaerobic dysbiosis markers at baseline and endline than persistently hrHPV-negative controls (Table 2). However, when compared to persistent hrHPV-positive controls, the endline – but not the baseline – VMB compositions of women with incident or persistent/recurrent CIN2+ were more dysbiotic.

The impact of potential confounders on these associations was assessed in multinomial logistic regression models with the combined hrHPV/CIN outcome (Table 3). Women who had CIN2+ at least once during the study, compared to persistently hrHPV-positive controls, were younger (RRR=0.954; p=0.021), more likely to use hormonal contraception at baseline (RRR 2.358; p=0.001) and during the study (RRR=1.317; p=0.008), and had a higher log10 HIV-1 plasma viral load (RRR=1.200, p=0.050). These same women compared to persistently hrHPV-negative controls were younger (RRR=0.881; p<0.001) and had a trend towards lower CD4+ count (RRR=0.998, p=0.053). Smoking, sexual behaviour, vaginal cleansing, bacterial/protozoal STIs, and yeasts on vaginal Gram stain were not significantly associated with any of the outcomes. The associations between the combined hrHPV/CIN outcome and individual VMB composition variables one at a time were similar to those in Table 2 for the more detailed separate hrHPV and CIN outcomes: hrHPV at one visit compared to persistent hrHPV-negative controls was associated with none of the VMB variables, hrHPV at both visits compared to persistent hrHPV-negative controls was associated with baseline anaerobic dysbiosis, and CIN2+ at least once compared to persistent hrHPV-positive controls was associated with endline anaerobic dysbiosis (Table 3). In addition, CIN2+ at least once compared to persistent hrHPV-negative controls was associated with anaerobic dysbiosis at baseline and endline. All multivariable models were controlled for age, hormonal contraceptive use, and CD4+ count at baseline or endline, but this did not change the results (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Our results support the associations between vaginal dysbiosis, hrHPV, and high-grade CIN that have been reported previously [7] and are most consistent with changes in hrHPV infection and CIN status preceding changes in VMB composition. However, we compared data from two visits only, about 16 months apart, and bidirectional relationships can therefore not be ruled out. Women who did not have any hrHPV at baseline and acquired hrHPV during follow-up were similar to persistent hrHPV-negative controls at baseline but not at endline, suggesting that hrHPV acquisition altered the VMB rather than vice-versa. Women with hrHPV type-swap were more dysbiotic at baseline than women with persistent type-specific hrHPV infection, suggesting that frequency of hrHPV acquisition may determine the likelihood of anaerobic dysbiosis to a larger extent than type-specific hrHPV persistence. However, women who have increased exposure to hrHPV types most likely also have exposure to a higher number of male sex partners and/or sex acts, which in themselves are risk factors for vaginal dysbiosis [17]. We assessed multiple sexual behaviour characteristics for potential confounding but residual confounding may have been present.

Women with incident CIN2+ were more likely to have anaerobic dysbiosis at endline, but not baseline, when compared to women with hrHPV infection but no CIN2+ at both visits. Anaerobic dysbiosis risk therefore seems to increase concurrently with CIN2+ development, suggesting that VMB composition does not play a role in CIN2+ development over-and-above the presence of a persistent hrHPV infection. CIN2+ at least once compared to persistent hrHPV-negative controls was associated with anaerobic dysbiosis at baseline and endline, which is similar to findings from previous studies to date that compared women with and without CIN2+ regardless of hrHPV status [7]. hrHPV status should therefore be taken into account when evaluating the VMB-CIN relationship, as we had hypothesised.

An additional important finding was that hormonal contraceptive use (which consisted predominantly of intramuscular injections of medroxyprogesterone acetate or norethindrone enanthate) increased CIN2+ risk over-and-above persistent hrHPV infection. Hormonal contraception is known to decrease anaerobic dysbiosis risk [18], and current use was associated with decreased likelihood of anaerobic dysbiosis in this study (data not shown). The association with CIN2+ risk is therefore unlikely to be mediated by VMB composition. In the overall South African HARP cohort of 623 women, CIN2+ prevalence at baseline was higher among current injectable users compared to never or past users of any hormonal contraception (odds ratio 2.75, adjusted for condom use, antiretroviral therapy status, and CD4+ count) [19]. No association was observed for CIN2+ prevalence and combined oral contraceptive use. The potential roles of different progestin-only injectable contraceptives on CIN2+ development by hrHPV and HIV status should be evaluated urgently.

Only three other studies have assessed associations between molecular VMB assessments and hrHPV outcomes longitudinally, and none for CIN outcomes. Brotman et al reported that lactobacilli-dominance, and particularly *L. gasseri*-dominance, was associated with decreased HPV incidence and increased HPV remission rates in 32 HIV-negative women [20]. Reimers et al (N=68) found that high *L. crispatus* relative abundance reduced HPV detection during follow-up (after controlling for HIV-status), but found no associations with lactobacilli as a group or for other individual *Lactobacillus* species [21]. Di Paola et al compared baseline samples from 27 women who had cleared their HPV infection one year later with 28 women with persistent infection (all HIV-negative), and found a difference in anaerobic dysbiosis prevalence (7.4% and 43.0%, respectively) [22]. None of these studies contradict our findings, but they do suggest that a bidirectional relationship between VMB and HPV status is likely.

HPV causes alterations in cell physiology as well as innate immune response suppression of infected cervicovaginal mucosal cells [23]. Neoplastic cells have a drastically increased glucose demand compared to healthy cells, and ferment glucose into lactate instead of carbon dioxide. These altered mucosal environments likely facilitate BV-anaerobe growth at the expense of *Lactobacillus* growth which causes cervicovaginal dysbiosis [24]. However, cervicovaginal dysbiotic states (which could be caused by multiple factors in addition to HPV infection or neoplastic cells) reduce cervicovaginal barrier function [25] and alter metabolic profiles [24], and these may in turn facilitate HPV acquisition and CIN/cancer development, respectively.

Strengths of our study included a much larger sample size than similar previous studies, high quality longitudinal hrHPV, CIN, and VMB assessments, and adjustments for several known confounders. However, an even larger sample size and more frequent follow-up assessments would have been preferable. The study lacked quantitative HPV and VMB data at both time points, and HIV viral load at endline, and a full assessment of the HPV virome would have added value [26]. Some associations may have been detected due to chance because of the high dimensionality of our data and multiple testing. Finally, our results may not be generalisable to HIV-negative women, HIV-positive women on ART with sustained undetectable virus, and women in other world regions.

In conclusion, hrHPV exposure (and/or increased sexual risk-taking) may cause vaginal dysbiosis, but a bidirectional relationship is possible. At-risk women may therefore benefit from interventions that promote vaginal lactobacilli. In our population, vaginal dysbiosis does not increase CIN2+ risk, but CIN2+ increases vaginal dysbiosis risk, when hrHPV status is taken into account. Interventions that promote vaginal lactobacilli may therefore not prevent CIN2+ development after a persistent hrHPV infection has taken hold. The potential association between progestin-only injectable contraceptive use and CIN2+ development deserves urgent attention.
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