SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 7 February 2019 Accepted: 13 June 2019 Published online: 03 July 2019

OPEN An optimised tissue disaggregation and data processing pipeline for characterising fibroblast phenotypes using single-cell RNA sequencing

Sara Waise¹, Rachel Parker¹, Matthew J. J. Rose-Zerilli^{1,2}, David M. Layfield¹, Oliver Wood¹, Jonathan West 1, Christian H. Ottensmeier 1,3, Gareth J. Thomas¹ & Christopher J. Hanley¹

Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-Seq) provides a valuable platform for characterising multicellular ecosystems. Fibroblasts are a heterogeneous cell type involved in many physiological and pathological processes, but remain poorly-characterised. Analysis of fibroblasts is challenging: these cells are difficult to isolate from tissues, and are therefore commonly under-represented in scRNA-seq datasets. Here, we describe an optimised approach for fibroblast isolation from human lung tissues. We demonstrate the potential for this procedure in characterising stromal cell phenotypes using scRNA-Seq, analyse the effect of tissue disaggregation on gene expression, and optimise data processing to improve clustering quality. We also assess the impact of in vitro culture conditions on stromal cell gene expression and proliferation, showing that altering these conditions can skew phenotypes.

In recent years, a number of platforms to perform single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) have been developed. These technologies facilitate analysis of biological processes at a previously unachievable resolution, providing novel insights into the multicellular ecosystems present in normal tissues and pathogenic processes¹⁻⁴. However, to harness the full potential of this approach, a number of technical obstacles must be overcome.

The first consideration for scRNA-seq is the approach used to generate the single-cell suspension for analysis. Suspensions generated using mechanical and/or enzymatic protocols may not accurately mirror the tissue of origin. Lengthy or over-vigorous disaggregation may alter gene expression in cells⁵, confounding downstream analysis. In addition, some populations (e.g. epithelial and stromal cells) are often relatively under-represented in scRNA-seq³. Fibroblasts, almost ubiquitous in human tissues, are embedded within extracellular matrix and are particularly difficult to isolate. This heterogeneous cell population⁶⁻¹⁵ plays a major role in tissue homeostasis and disease pathogenesis, including cancer progression¹⁶⁻¹⁹. However, fibroblasts remain a poorly-defined group: commonly-used fibroblast markers (α -smooth muscle actin, α -SMA; platelet-derived growth factor, PDGFR; and fibroblast activation protein- α , FAP- α) are also expressed on other cell types^{20,21}. While fibroblasts are relatively straightforward to culture ex vivo, it is unclear whether standard approaches^{22,23} retain the distinct fibroblast phenotypes found *in vivo*⁶⁻¹⁵. In order to accurately characterise fibroblast subtypes and investigate functional differences, precise phenotyping at a single-cell resolution is required.

Filtering out low-quality events (such as sequenced cell fragments, or cells sequenced in insufficient depth) is an essential quality-control step prior to downstream analysis of scRNA-seq data. The approach used to perform filtering is not standardised and varies considerably between studies, potentially compromising reproducibility. Variability can be attributable to inherent differences in data generated by different platforms. For example, due to the greater sequencing depth afforded by SMART-seq2²⁴, the lowest acceptable number of genes per cell (nGene) for datasets generated using this platform will be considerably higher than for data generated with

¹Cancer Sciences Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK. ²Institute for Life Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK. ³Cancer Research UK and NIHR Southampton Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre, Southampton, UK. Gareth J. Thomas and Christopher J. Hanley contributed equally. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.J.H. (email: C.J.Hanley@soton.ac.uk)

droplet-based technologies (*e.g.* 10X and Drop-Seq)^{1,3}. The relative affordability and commercial availability of droplet-based platforms have resulted in these approaches becoming increasingly popular. However, their relatively low sequencing depths means that identification of low-quality cells can be challenging, particularly when analysing mixed cell type populations.

Here, we compare previously-described approaches for tissue disaggregation. We determine the optimal method for stromal cell isolation from primary human lung tissue (allowing immediate analysis without *in vitro* culture) and refine the single-cell bioinformatic analysis pipeline for our data. We also examine how *in vitro* culture alters the transcriptomes of isolated primary fibroblasts, and assess the impact of altering culture conditions on fibroblast phenotype.

Results

Disaggregation enzymes and incubation times have a significant impact on stromal cell isolation. Flow cytometry was used to determine the fraction of fibroblasts isolated from lung tissues using different enzyme cocktails. To optimise fibroblast identification, we excluded immune (CD45+), epithelial (EpCAM+) and endothelial (CD31+) cells, and compared the expression of three previously-described fibroblast surface markers (PDGFR- α and - β , CD90) in foetal lung (IMR-90) and skin (HFFF2) fibroblasts and also a lung cancer cell line (H441). CD90 was found to be a robust marker of lung fibroblasts with greater sensitivity and specificity than PDGFR- α alone, or in combination with PDGFR- β , and was therefore used in all further analyses (CD90: 99.2% positivity with 1175-fold increase in mean fluorescence intensity compared to the negative control; PDGFR- α : 4.1% positivity with 4.6-fold increase in mean fluorescent intensity (MFI); and PDGFR- α with - β : 9.2% positivity with 19-fold increase in MFI; Fig. S1).

We next examined the effect of disaggregation time and different protease cocktails (Collagenase P, Liberase DL, TL and TM) on fibroblast isolation from lung tissues. Shorter disaggregation times (15 minutes) and lower protease-strength enzymes (Liberase DL, TL and TM) were insufficient to isolate stromal cells; instead yield-ing high proportions of immune cells (CD45+). In contrast, tissue digestion with Collagenase P for 60 minutes resulted in a greater diversity of cell types isolated (Fig. 1a), with a significantly lower proportion (p = 0.03) of CD45+ cells and a significantly higher proportion (p = 0.01) of CD45-EpCAM-CD31-CD90+ cells (*i.e.* fibroblasts; Fig. 1b,c). There was no significant change in the fractions of CD45-EpCAM+ (epithelial) or CD45-EpCAM-CD31+ (endothelial) cells (Fig. S2a,b). Dissociation enzyme and duration did not significantly affect cell yield or viability (Fig. S2c,d).

Unexpectedly, analysis also revealed that epithelial cells represented a low proportion of the cells isolated. We hypothesised that this was due to tight intercellular interactions between these cells, with resulting cell aggregates removed during filtration or at FACS analysis. To test this, following Collagenase P incubation for 60 minutes, single cell suspensions were additionally incubated with TrypLE (Thermo Fisher) at 37 °C for 10 minutes. This yielded a significantly higher proportion of epithelial cells (Fig. 1d), accompanied by a reduced immune cell fraction (Fig. S3a). Percentages of endothelial cells and fibroblasts were not significantly affected (Fig. S3b,c).

Lung tissue disaggregation with Collagenase P for sixty minutes is compatible with single-cell RNA sequencing using the Drop-seq platform. Development of scRNA-Seq has facilitated identification of novel mesenchymal sub-populations in, for example, murine lung⁴. To determine the compatibility of our optimised disaggregation protocol with this approach to gene expression profiling, we processed primary human tissue (from a lung affected by granulomatous inflammation) and captured single-cell transcriptomes using Drop-seq²⁵. Quantification and fragment analysis of PCR-amplified cDNA (Fig. S4) in these samples confirmed the successful capture of full-length transcripts (up to 5 Kb, suggesting that mRNA integrity was unaffected).

Identifying and removing low-quality droplets. There are currently no standardised approaches for this pre-processing step, and filtering thresholds vary between studies^{1,3}. We therefore sought to develop a generalisable approach to pre-processing droplet-based scRNA-Seq data, in order to improve filtering and thus cell type identification by unsupervised clustering. Baseline clustering quality was assessed using unfiltered data. This identified 17 clusters, with an average silhouette width of 0.45 (Fig. 2a). We also applied previously-described pre-processing steps (removing cells with more than 15000 or fewer than 200 nUMIs, over 5000 or below 100 genes, or over 20% of reads mapping to mitochondrial genes)¹⁻³, finding that this improved clustering quality (average silhouette width 0.51; Fig. 2b).

Due to the lower read depth associated with droplet-based sequencing platforms, distinguishing low-quality droplets from true cells with a low nGene (*e.g.* lymphocytes³) is challenging. This is further complicated by the absence of 'ground truth' labels for low- and high-quality events for these technologies. To determine the optimal approach, we examined the variation of a range of QC metrics between events assumed to be low-quality (nGene less than 100) and those likely to represent true cells (nGene between the median and 2.5 MAD above the median; Fig. S5a). QC metrics comprised: nUMI, the percentage of reads mapping to mitochondrial (percent mito) or ribosomal genes (percent ribo), the ratio between these two values, and housekeeping gene expression. We additionally defined an algorithm to estimate the contribution of both reads and genes detected due to encapsulation of 'ambient' RNA (described in the Materials and methods section). Each of these metrics showed a significant difference between the low-quality droplets and true cells (Bonferroni corrected p < 0.0001 Fig. S5b–h).

To harness the predictive power of each variable, we trained a machine learning (random forest²⁶) model to distinguish between the low-quality droplet and true cell groups. The data was divided at random into 'training' and 'test' datasets (in a ratio of 3 to 1). When applying the trained classifier to the 'test' dataset, 'cells' were detected at a sensitivity and specificity of 100%. Analysing the relative importance of each metric in the classifier²⁷ showed that the ratio between the number of the sample specific highly expressed genes detected and total number of genes detected (ssHEGs:nGene.genes) was the most important variable in distinguishing between cells and



Figure 1. Disaggregation enzymes and incubation times have a significant impact on stromal cell isolation. (a) Representative pie charts for each disaggregation time and enzyme cocktail. (**b**-**d**) Dot plots showing cell-type fractions isolated by different disaggregation procedures across human patient samples (n = 5): fibroblasts (CD45-EpCAM-CD31-CD90+: **b**), Immune cells (CD45+: **c**), and epithelial cells (CD45-EpCAM+: **d**; *p < 0.05, unpaired two-tailed *t*-test). Further data associated with this figure can be found in Figs S1-4.

low-quality droplets (Fig. 2d). Highlighting the droplets identified as low-quality by the classifier, on a log(nUMI) *vs.* log(nGene) plot (Fig. 2e), illustrates that this machine learning approach to filtering provides greater sensitivity than filtering using hard thresholds for individual QC metrics. Cell-cell doublets were identified on an nUMI *vs.* nGene plot (Fig. S2f) and removed from downstream analysis. Dead or dying cells can also impact downstream analysis; percent mito is known to be an effective measure of this process in scRNA-Seq data²⁸. We removed cells with a percent mito greater than 2.5 MAD above the median (Fig. 2g). This combined approach further improved the quality of clustering (average silhouette width 0.58; Fig. 2c).

Assessing the impact of extended enzymatic disaggregation times on transcriptomic data. Analysis of the scRNA-seq data confirmed that disaggregation for 60 minutes yields a greater fraction of stromal cells (as well as more even coverage of other cell types) than disaggregation for 15 minutes (Fig. 3a). However, previous authors have shown that enzymatic disaggregation can lead to changes in gene expression, describing a disaggregation-associated gene signature (derived using murine stem cells)⁵. We assessed the effect of applying this signature to our data: as expected, its expression was increased in samples disaggregated for 60 minutes



Figure 2. Standardised quality-control metrics improve clustering quality of scRNA-seq data. tSNE plots showing principle component-based clustering and average silhouette width for: (**a**) unfiltered data, (**b**) data filtered using widely-used quality-control metrics and (**c**) the optimised approach described here (shown in **d**–**g**). Each point represents an individual cell, groups of cells with similar transcriptomes are referred to as a 'cluster' and distinguished by colour. Fibroblast populations are encircled in black. (**d**–**g**) Cell filtering using our optimised processing pipeline: (**d**) Multi-way importance plot showing the relative importance of each metric in distinguishing between low-quality events and droplets, (**e**) Plot of log(nGene) *vs.* log(nUMI). Data points identified as low-quality events are highlighted in red, (**f**) Plot of nUMI *vs.* nGene. The red line indicates the upper nGene threshold (defined as 2.5 MAD above the median) and (**g**) Plot of nGene *vs.* percent mito. The red line indicates the upper threshold (defined as 2.5 MAD above the median). Further data associated with this figure can be found in Fig. S5.

compared to those processed for 15 minutes (Fig. 3c). However, we found that this signature did not appear to impact cell clustering, and was not a prominent feature of any individual cluster (Fig. S6a).

As the gene signature proposed by van den Brink *et al.* was derived from murine experiments and includes previously-described markers for human cell types, we sought to refine this signature based on our analysis. We therefore cross-referenced the genes in this signature with those upregulated (p < 0.001, average $\log_{10}(\text{fold change}) > 1$) following disaggregation for 60 minutes *vs.* 15 minutes, excluding those identified as cell type markers. This identified a list of eleven genes which were consistently upregulated following extended disaggregation, and were not upregulated by a particular cell type (Fig. 3b). We applied this list to our data as a refined disaggregation signature.

The distribution of expression of this signature in our dataset is shown in Figs 3d and S6b. Clusters 0, 4 and 9 (representing T cells, B cells and mast cells) show higher expression, indicating that some cell types may be more sensitive to extended enzymatic disaggregation than others. However, none of the principle components used for clustering correlated with this gene signature ($\rho < 0.23$). It is therefore unlikely that disaggregation-associated gene changes have impacted cell clustering. Removal of cells with high expression (greater than 2.5 MAD above the median) of this signature did not improve clustering quality (maximum average silhouette width 0.56 *vs*. 0.58 prior to filtering). However, the potential impact of extended enzymatic disaggregation on cellular transcriptomes should be considered when analysing such data: in particular, where particular cell populations appear to be defined by high expression of a disaggregation-associated signature.



Figure 3. Longer tissue disaggregation enables detection of more cell types, with concomitant increases in disaggregation-associated changes in gene expression. (**a**) Stacked barplot showing cell type fractions generated by disaggregation for 15 and 60 minutes. (**b**) Venn diagram showing overlap between murine disaggregation-associated signature⁵ (Murine DAG), human disaggregation-associated signature (Lung DAG) and cell type markers. The 11 genes comprising the refined signature (highlighted in bold) are shown on the right. (**c**) and (**d**) Density plots showing percentage expression of the murine disaggregation signature (**c**) and the refined disaggregation signature (**d**), in human lung tissue disaggregated for 15 and 60 minutes. Further data associated with this figure can be found in Fig. S6.

In vitro culture alters transcriptomes. Recent studies have shown that the gene expression profiles of cancer-associated fibroblasts are significantly altered by culture *in vitro*^{1,2}. We cultured primary fibroblasts isolated from tumour and non-involved tissue *in vitro* for one passage and compared gene expression profiles to those of fibroblasts analysed immediately following disaggregation ("*ex vivo*"), and a lung foetal fibroblast cell line (IMR-90). We found that fibroblasts isolated from tumour or non-involved tissues show differential gene expression following culture *in vitro* for one passage (Fig. 4a). Gene expression by these cells more closely resembles IMR-90 cells than *ex vivo* fibroblasts (Fig. 4b), showing that culture on plastic surfaces causes significant transcriptomic changes in primary lung fibroblasts.

3D *in vitro* culture significantly alters gene expression and can be used to skew fibroblast phenotypes towards previously described sub-types. To determine whether *ex vivo* fibroblast phenotypes can be recapitulated or retained by manipulation of *in vitro* conditions, we cultured primary fibroblasts either on plastic or in collagen-Matrigel[®] 3D gels. Cells were harvested after five days and changes in gene expression measured using real-time PCR.

Alteration of culture conditions had a significant impact on the expression of genes associated with previously-described fibroblast subtypes (Fig. 4c–f; these genes were also found to be differentially expressed between *ex vivo* and *in vitro* fibroblasts; Fig. 4a and Table 1). Expression of *ACTA2*, *COL1A1* and *COL3A1* (markers of myofibroblast transdifferentiation^{29,30}) were significantly increased in fibroblasts grown in 2D, whereas expression of *IL6* (a marker of 'inflammatory' fibroblasts³¹) was significantly increased in 3D. However, 3D culture conditions were not sufficient to recover *ex vivo* fibroblast gene expression: *CFD* and *MGP* (genes which were upregulated in *ex vivo* fibroblasts) showed significant downregulation and a non-significant reduction in expression, respectively (Fig. 4f,g). None of the investigated genes showed significant differential expression between fibroblasts from normal (NOF) or tumour (CAF) samples or across patients (Fig. S8). The changes in expression of COL1A1, SMA and IL6 were found to be maintained at the protein level (Fig. S9).

Discussion

Fibroblasts are a heterogeneous population in both normal and disease states^{16,30,32,33}. Here, we describe a protocol for isolation of stromal cells by disaggregation of primary human lung tissues, enabling these cells to be analysed directly *ex vivo*. In addition, this protocol may be modified to isolate other cell types: addition of TrypLE generates a significantly higher fraction of epithelial cells without affecting the proportions of stromal cells. We also examine the effect of tissue disaggregation on gene expression, and optimise pre-processing of scRNA-Seq data. Having applied these approaches, we additionally demonstrate that culturing fibroblasts *in vitro* significantly impacts molecular phenotype: this has important consequences for functional analysis of these cells from normal and pathological tissues.





Gene	Average log(fold change)	Adjusted <i>p</i> value
ACTA2	-1.51	$5.93 imes10^{-9}$
COL1A1	-1.60	2.60×10^{-15}
COL3A1	-1.81	3.94×10^{-20}
IL6	1.51	1.88×10^{-13}
CFD	2.41	$2.65 imes 10^{-13}$
MGP	2.92	2.20×10^{-25}

Table 1. Summary of key genes differentially expressed between ex vivo and in vitro fibroblasts.

In keeping with recent findings³, our results show that extended Collagenase incubation times are required to release fibroblasts from tissue samples. In contrast, non-adherent cells (such as immune cells) are readily and rapidly isolated by enzymatic disaggregation. Although prolonged incubation with bacterial Collagenases has been reported to cause cellular damage through proteolysis³⁴, we did not observe any significant differences in cell viability between the conditions investigated here. The described protocol is therefore likely to be a superior

method for cell isolation compared with mechanical disaggregation alone: although mechanical disaggregation preserves surfaces markers, this approach has been reported to give lower cell yield and viability^{35,36}.

Previous authors have described a disaggregation-associated gene signature, based on the changes in gene expression induced by prolonged enzymatic disaggregation⁵. As this signature was derived from murine muscle stem cells, we sought to determine its' applicability to human lung tissues. We found eleven genes from this signature that were both upregulated in cells isolated from human lung tissues following prolonged disaggregation, and not identified as cell type markers. As these genes were conserved across both species and tissues, we suggest that this refined gene list represents a robust disaggregation-associated signature. We propose that this signature will assist in distinguishing true clusters from those arising due to disaggregation-induced changes in gene expression. Accounting for this phenomenon is likely to be critical when attempting to identify cell subpopulations. This signature may be used either to filter out all cells with high expression, or to exclude principle components which correlate with disaggregation-associated gene expression changes from downstream cell clustering.

Enzymatic disaggregation can impact surface marker expression through indiscriminate protease activity³⁵⁻³⁷. This may limit its use for certain downstream assays (*e.g.* immunophenotyping by flow cytometry) if the markers of interest are susceptible to proteolytic cleavage by the Collagenase P enzyme cocktail. However, changes in the expression of surface proteins are not reflected by a corresponding change in mRNA, and are unlikely to significantly impact single-cell RNA sequencing or longer-term tissue culture. For example, expression of *CDH1* (the gene encoding E-cadherin, a surface protein susceptible to protease cleavage³⁸) is detectable in our epithelial cell populations.

Regardless of the platform used, scRNA-seq data requires quality-control measures to remove low-quality events. There are currently no standardised approaches for this pre-processing step, and filtering thresholds vary between studies^{1,3}. Routinely-applied quality control metrics used for filtering low-quality events include exclusion of cells with a low number of genes sequenced (nGene; removing sequenced cell fragments) or a high percentage of reads mapping to mitochondrial genes ('percent mito', indicative of burst cells that have lost cyto-plasmic contents and dead or dying cells). Single-cell platforms are also known to be affected by cell doublets: where libraries are sequenced from co-isolated, rather than single, cells^{24,25}. These events are easily identified as outliers for nGene on a plot of nUMI *vs.* nGene.

We developed a standardised, generalisable method using a machine learning (random forest) approach to identify low-quality droplets based on a number of metrics. This enables removal of low-quality events from further analysis and improved clustering quality when compared with the most commonly-used current approaches.

A number of studies have demonstrated that mechanical changes to tissue culture substrates can impact fibroblast phenotypes³⁹⁻⁴², yet the vast majority of research analysing these cells is still performed on plastic. We found that culturing fibroblasts *in vitro* causes a significant shift in phenotype compared to that seen immediately following isolation, consistent with previous studies^{1,2}. This emphasises the importance of profiling cells directly from tissues to characterise accurately *in vivo* phenotypes.

Notably, we also show that fibroblasts show differential expression of genes associated with previously-described phenotypes when cultured in 2D or 3D. For example, primary human fibroblasts cultured on plastic surfaces showed increased expression of *COL1A1* and *COL3A1*, which are associated with a 'myofibroblastic' phenotype. In contrast, culturing these cells in 3D resulted in significantly increased expression of *IL6*, which may be indicative of an 'inflammatory' CAF phenotype³¹. The skewing of phenotypes induced by culture conditions needs to be taken into account when drawing conclusions from *in vitro* studies of fibroblast function.

Recent technological advances, such as Drop-seq, have enabled precise transcriptomic analysis of tissues at a single-cell level. Here, we describe a robust method for the isolation of fibroblasts. The protocols described address some of the challenges associated with isolating and analysing fibroblasts from lung tissue. These results will help to inform future studies into the phenotype and function of this poorly-defined cell type.

Methods

Human samples. Lung samples were received fresh from patients undergoing surgery at Southampton General Hospital (TargetLung study; approved by NRES Committee South Central: Hampshire A, REC number 14/SC/0186. All research was performed in accordance with the appropriate regulations. Informed consent was obtained from patients or their legal guardians). Samples were transported in 5 ml serum-free Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; Sigma-Aldrich) on ice directly (within 1 hour) to the laboratory for tissue disaggregation.

Tissue disaggregation. Tissue samples were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove excess blood, then incubated for five minutes in PBS with Amphotericin B (250 pg/ml; Gibco). Samples were incised 10–15 times to relax the tissue and added to 5 ml of 'complete' DMEM (supplemented with L-glutamine (1% v/v; Sigma), foetal calf serum (10% v/v; Biosera) and penicillin-streptomycin (1% v/v; Sigma)). DNase (0.4 U/ml; Sigma) and the appropriate enzymatic mixture (Collagenase P, 3 U/ml; Sigma, Liberase DL, 0.25 U/ml; Sigma, Liberase TL, 1 U/ml; Roche, or Liberase TM, 0.25 U/ml; Sigma) were then added and samples were incubated at 37 °C with agitation (200 rpm) for 15 or 60 minutes.

To disrupt larger pieces of tissue during the incubation period, sequential pipetting (using 25 ml, 10 ml and 5 ml pipettes) was performed at 15, 30 and 60 minutes. The resulting suspension was strained through a 40 μ m-pore filter (Corning), washed with 10 ml serum-free DMEM, and centrifuged at 450 g for 5 minutes. The pellet was re-suspended in 2 ml red cell lysis buffer (BioLegend) and incubated at 4 °C for 10 minutes. 'Complete' DMEM (10 ml) was added, and the samples centrifuged at 450 g for 5 minutes. The resulting pellet was re-suspended in 1 ml of either cell suspension buffer (90% ddH₂O; 9% Optiprep, Sigma; 1% PBS with 0.1% bovine serum albumin; BSA) for use in Drop-Seq, or fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer (PBS with 0.5% w/v BSA and 0.05% w/v sodium azide) for analysis by flow cytometry.

Flow cytometry. Flow cytometry was used to determine the relative proportions of cell types in single-cell suspensions. Cells were re-suspended in FACS buffer at a concentration of 1×10^6 /ml and stained with PE anti-CD31 (5µg/µl; WM59), FITC anti-CD45 (10µg/µl; H130), APC anti-CD90 (10µg/µl; RPA-T4), Pacific Blue anti-EpCAM (5µg/µl; 9C4), and 7-AAD (200µg/µl) (BioLegend). Stained cells were analysed using a FACS Canto II (BD Biosciences). For all analyses, gating was performed as follows: 7-AAD *vs.* forward scatter area for live cells, forward scatter area *vs.* forward scatter height to exclude cell doublets, and side scatter area *vs.* forward scatter area to exclude debris. Gating to identify populations positive for each antibody was performed using forward scatter area *vs.* the relevant fluorescent channel in comparison to fluorescence minus one controls. All data were analysed using the FlowJo software package (version 10.2; FlowJo, LLC).

Cell culture. Primary fibroblasts were isolated from the single-cell suspension generated following tissue disaggregation: the suspension was plated to the largest possible surface area at 100,000 cells/cm² and incubated at 37 °C for 2 hours to allow cells to adhere. Following this, cells were washed in PBS (x3) to remove non-adherent (predominantly immune) cells. Adherent cells were then cultured in 'Complete' DMEM in a humidified incubator at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Details of tissue culture substrate coating experiments are given in the supporting information.

RNA extraction. Cell pellets (from gel disaggregation or cell trypsinisation) underwent RNA extraction with DNase digestion using ReliaprepTM RNA Cell Miniprep System (Promega). RNA quantitation was performed using the NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

cDNA synthesis and quantitative real-time PCR. One microgram of RNA was reverse transcribed in a 20 μ l reaction using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems), according to the kit's protocol. cDNA was diluted to 2 ng/ μ l with UV-treated ddH₂O and stored at -80 °C. Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed using TaqMan Real-Time PCR Assays (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the QuantStudio 7 Flex Real-Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using two nanograms of cDNA.

Western blotting. Cells were lysed on ice in fibroblast lysis buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton-X, 20 mM NH₄OH) with 1% protease inhibitor cocktail (Set 1; Calbiochem, Merck). An equal amount of protein from each sample was electrophoresed in 8% SDS-PAGE gels and electro-blotted to PVDF membranes (Millipore) as previously described⁴³. Bound antibodies were detected using Supersignal West Pico or Femto Chemiluminescent Substate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and visualised with the ChemiDoc-It Imaging System (UVP, LLC). Relative densitometry was performed using the Fiji software package. Antibodies used for Western blotting were to COL1A1 (NBP1-30054, 1:500, Novus Biologicals), Hsc-70 (sc-7298, 1:2000, Santa Cruz), SMA (A2547, 1:1000, Sigma) and IL-6 (ab6672, 1:500, abcam).

Single-cell RNA sequencing and analysis. Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) was performed using a custom microfluidic platform⁴⁴, as *per* Macosko *et al.*²⁵ with slight adjustments. Filtering and clustering were predominantly carried out using standard functions included in the R Seurat package⁴⁵. Further details are provided in the supporting information.

To filter low-quality events, a number of quality-control (QC) metrics were applied. The majority of these, including the number of unique molecular identifiers (nUMI) and percentage of reads mapping to mitochondrial genes (percent mito) have been described elsewhere previously^{1,3}.

In addition, we calculated *per*-droplet estimates of ambient RNA capture. These calculations were based on the assumption that genes most highly expressed across each individual sample are, by probability, those most likely to account for prominent components of ambient RNA. Therefore, low-quality or empty droplets will show enrichment for these genes and a low total number of genes (nGene). To calculate these estimates, we first estimated a lower threshold for nGene, based on the distribution of nGene across all events. This was taken as 2.5 median absolute deviations (MADs) below the median for log₁₀(nGene)⁴⁶ (64 genes in this dataset). Using the raw DGE matrix for each sequenced sample, the top 64 highly expressed genes (by total number of reads) were identified across all cells as sample-specific Highly-Expressed Genes (ssHEGs). The percentage of total genes detected (ssHEGs:nGene.genes) or reads (ssHEGs:nGene.counts) per cell composed of these ssHEGs was calculated and used as a relative measure of ambient RNA incorporation.

Comparing gene expression of *exvivo* **and** *invitro* **fibroblasts.** *Exvivo* **fibroblasts** were filtered by *DCN* expression (identified as a pan-fibroblast marker; cells with a *DCN* expression level of less than 1 were excluded) to exclude any misclassified cells. *In vitro* fibroblasts were expanded (as described in the "Cell Culture" section above) for 1 passage, then cultured in DMEM supplemented with L-glutamine (1% v/v) and FCS (1% v/v) for 5 days, following which they were collected by trypsinisation for Drop-Seq analysis. Cell lines (IMR-90 and H441) were grown to confluence in DMEM supplemented with L-glutamine (1% v/v) and FCS (1% v/v), then collected by trypsinisation for Drop-seq analysis. Cell filtering and clustering was then performed as above on each dataset prior to merging. This resulted in 559 cells for analysis (374 *ex vivo* fibroblasts, 46 *in vitro* NOF, 79 *in vitro* cancer-associated fibroblasts; CAFs, 185 cells from cell lines).

Statistics. Continuous data with a normal distribution were analysed using the unpaired two-tailed *t*-test. Where normalisation resulted in zero variance in the control sample, *p* values were adjusted using Welch's correction to account for heteroscedasticity. Comparisons across multiple categories were performed using the ordinary one-way ANOVA. Prism (GraphPad) or R was used to perform statistical analysis and prepare figures. Unless otherwise stated, graphs show mean values +/- the standard error of the mean (S.E.M.). Significance values are denoted as follows: $p \ge 0.05$: ns, $0.01 \le p < 0.05$: *, $0.001 \le p < 0.01$: ***, $0.0001 \le p < 0.001$: ****. Where appropriate, Bonferroni correction was applied to control for multiple testing.

Data Availability

Single-cell RNA sequencing data analysed in this study are available from Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE126111).

References

- Tirosh, I. *et al.* Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metastatic melanoma by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science* 352, 189–196 (2016).
 Puram, S. V. *et al.* Single-Cell Transcriptomic Analysis of Primary and Metastatic Tumor Ecosystems in Head and Neck. *Cancer. Cell*
- 171, 1611–1624 (2017).
- 3. Lambrechts, D. *et al.* Phenotype molding of stromal cells in the lung tumor microenvironment. *Nature Medicine* **28**, 1277–1289 (2018).
- Zepp, J. A. *et al.* Distinct Mesenchymal Lineages and Niches Promote Epithelial Self-Renewal and Myofibrogenesis in the Lung. *Cell* 170, 1134–1148 (2017).
- 5. van den Brink, S. C. et al. Single-cell sequencing reveals dissociation-induced gene expression in tissue subpopulations. Nature Methods 14, 935 (2017).
- 6. Driskell, R. R. & Watt, F. M. Understanding fibroblast heterogeneity in the skin. Trends Cell Biol 25, 92-99 (2015).
- 7. Sorrell, J. M. & Caplan, A. I. Fibroblast heterogeneity: more than skin deep. J Cell Sci 117, 667–675 (2004).
- Sriram, G., Bigliardi, P. L. & Bigliardi-Qi, M. Fibroblast heterogeneity and its implications for engineering organotypic skin models in vitro. Eur J Cell Biol 94, 483–512 (2015).
- 9. Hiraoka, C. *et al.* Two clonal types of human skin fibroblasts with different potentials for proliferation and tissue remodeling ability. J Dermatol Sci 82, 84–94 (2016).
- Kotaru, C. et al. Regional fibroblast heterogeneity in the lung: implications for remodeling. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 173, 1208–1215 (2006).
- Preobrazhenska, O., Wright, J. L. & Churg, A. Regional heterogeneity in murine lung fibroblasts from normal mice or mice exposed once to cigarette smoke. *PLoS One* 7, e39761, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039761 (2012).
- Sempowski, G. D., Derdak, S. & Phipps, R. P. Interleukin-4 and interferon-γ discordantly regulate collagen biosynthesis by functionally distinct lung fibroblast subsets. *Journal of Cellular Physiology* 167, 290–296 (1996).
- Sempowski, G. D., Borrello, M. A., Blieden, T. M., Barth, R. K. & Phipps, R. P. Fibroblast heterogeneity in the healing wound. Wound Repair and Regeneration 3, 120–131 (1995).
- Roulis, M. & Flavell, R. A. Fibroblasts and myofibroblasts of the intestinal lamina propria in physiology and disease. *Differentiation* 92, 116–131 (2016).
- Kurahashi, M. et al. A novel population of subepithelial platelet-derived growth factor receptor α-positive cells in the mouse and human colon. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 304, G823–834 (2013).
- Desmoulière, A., Guyot, C. & Gabbiani, G. The stroma reaction myofibroblast: a key player in the control of tumor cell behavior. Int J Dev Biol 48, 509–517 (2004).
- Hanley, C. J. et al. Targeting the Myofibroblastic Cancer-Associated Fibroblast Phenotype Through Inhibition of NOX4. JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute 110, 109–120 (2018).
- Mellone, M. et al. Induction of fibroblast senescence generates a non-fibrogenic myofibroblast phenotype that differentially impacts on cancer prognosis. Aging (Albany NY) 9, 114–131 (2017).
- 19. Orimo, A. *et al.* Stromal fibroblasts present in invasive human breast carcinomas promote tumor growth and angiogenesis through elevated SDF-1/CXCL12 secretion. *Cell* **121**, 335–348 (2005).
- Sugimoto, H., Mundel, T. M., Kieran, M. W. & Kalluri, R. Identification of fibroblast heterogeneity in the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Biol Ther 5, 1640–1646 (2006).
- 21. Rupp, C. et al. IGFBP7, a novel tumor stroma marker, with growth-promoting effects in colon cancer through a paracrine tumor-stroma interaction. Oncogene 34, 815 (2014).
- Barkauskas, C. E. *et al.* Type 2 alveolar cells are stem cells in adult lung. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* 123, 3025–3036 (2013).
 Mackay, L. S. *et al.* Isolation and characterisation of human pulmonary microvascular endothelial cells from patients with severe
- emphysema. Respiratory Research 14, 23-23 (2013).
- 24. Ziegenhain, C. et al. Comparative Analysis of Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Methods. Molecular Cell 65, 631–643 (2017).
- Macosko, E. Z. et al. Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of Individual Cells Using Nanoliter Droplets. Cell 161, 1202–1214 (2015).
- 26. Liaw, A. & Wiener, M. Classification and Regression by randomForest. R News 2, 18–22 (2002).
- 27. Paluszyńska, A. Understanding random forests with randomForestExplainer. *The Comprehensive R Archive Network*, https://cran.r-project.org/package=randomForestExplainer (2017).
- 28. Alles, J. et al. Cell fixation and preservation for droplet-based single-cell transcriptomics. BMC Biology 15, 44 (2017).
- Hanley, C. J. et al. A subset of myofibroblastic cancer-associated fibroblasts regulate collagen fiber elongation, which is prognostic in multiple cancers. Oncotarget 7, 6159–6174 (2016).
- 30. Kalluri, R. The biology and function of fibroblasts in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 16, 582-598 (2016).
- Öhlund, D. et al. Distinct populations of inflammatory fibroblasts and myofibroblasts in pancreatic cancer. The Journal of Experimental Medicine 214, 579–596 (2017).
- Servais, C. & Erez, N. From sentinel cells to inflammatory culprits: cancer-associated fibroblasts in tumour-related inflammation. The Journal of pathology 229, 198–207 (2013).
- 33. Anderberg, C. & Pietras, K. On the origin of cancer-associated fibroblasts. Cell Cycle 8, 1461–1465 (2009).
- Rittié, L. & Fisher, G. J. Isolation and Culture of Skin Fibroblasts in Fibrosis Research: Methods and Protocols (eds John Varga, David A. Brenner, & Sem H. Phan) 83–98 (Humana Press, 2005).
- Grange, C. et al. Phenotypic characterization and functional analysis of human tumor immune infiltration after mechanical and enzymatic disaggregation. J Immunol Methods 372, 119–126 (2011).
- Quatromoni, J. G. et al. An optimized disaggregation method for human lung tumors that preserves the phenotype and function of the immune cells. Journal of Leukocyte Biology 97, 201–209 (2015).
- Gray, D. H. D., Chidgey, A. P. & Boyd, R. L. Analysis of thymic stromal cell populations using flow cytometry. Journal of Immunological Methods 260, 15-28 (2002).
- McCusker, C. D. & Alfandari, D. Life after proteolysis: Exploring the signaling capabilities of classical cadherin cleavage fragments. Communicative & Integrative Biology 2, 155–157 (2009).
- Hinz, B. Masters and servants of the force: The role of matrix adhesions in myofibroblast force perception and transmission. European Journal of Cell Biology 85, 175–181 (2006).
- 0. Achterberg, V. F. *et al.* The Nano-Scale Mechanical Properties of the Extracellular Matrix Regulate Dermal Fibroblast Function. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology* **134**, 1862–1872 (2014).
- Kessler, D. et al. Fibroblasts in Mechanically Stressed Collagen Lattices Assume a "Synthetic" Phenotype. Journal of Biological Chemistry 276, 36575–36585 (2001).
- 42. Balestrini, J. L., Chaudhry, S., Sarrazy, V., Koehler, A. & Hinz, B. The mechanical memory of lung myofibroblasts. *Integrative Biology* 4, 410–421 (2012).

- Marsh, D. et al. Stromal features are predictive of disease mortality in oral cancer patients. The Journal of pathology 223, 470–481 (2011).
- 44. Fischlechner, M., West, J. & Zauner, K.-P. DropletKitchen. Github, https://dropletkitchen.github.io/ (2016).
- Satija, R., Farrell, J. A., Gennert, D., Schier, A. F. & Regev, A. Spatial reconstruction of single-cell gene expression data. Nature biotechnology 33, 495–502 (2015).
- Leys, C., Ley, C., Klein, O., Bernard, P. & Licata, L. Detecting outliers: Do not use standard deviation around the mean, use absolute deviation around the median. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 49, 764–766 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Cancer Research UK and Medical Research Council Clinical Research Training Fellowships and a Pathological Society Trainee's Small Grant to SW. Implementation of Drop-seq was supported by a Medical Research Council Discovery award (MC_PC_15078) and a Southampton Cancer Research UK Centre Development Fund Award to M.J.J.R.Z., C.H.O., J.J.W., C.J.H. & G.J.T. R.P. was supported by a John Goldman Fellowship for Future Science (2016/JGF/0003; Leuka Charity) awarded to MJJRZ. The authors thank Evan Macosko, Melissa Goldman and Steve McCarroll for their helpful advice, Dr. Serena Chee (University Hospital Southampton), Benjamin Johnson, Carine Fixmer and Maria Lane (TargetLung Clinical Trials Associates) for enabling access to clinical samples, and the patients involved in this study.

Author Contributions

C.J.H. and G.J.T. conceived and supervised the study; C.J.H., M.J.J.R.Z. and S.W. designed experiments; D.M.L., J.J.W. and O.W. assisted with experimental design; S.W. and R.P. performed experiments; C.H.O. provided access to clinical samples; C.J.H., G.J.T., M.J.J.R.Z. and S.W. analysed data; C.J.H., G.J.T. and S.W. wrote the manuscript; C.H.O. and M.R.Z. made manuscript revisions.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45842-4.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019