1	Minimal cobalt metabolism in the marine cyanobacterium Prochlorococcus
2	
3	Nicholas J. Hawco ^{1,2,†,*} , Matthew M. McIlvin ¹ , Randelle M. Bundy ^{1,3} , Alessandro Tagliabue ⁴ ,
4	Tyler J. Goepfert ^{1,5} , Dawn M. Moran ¹ , Luis Valentin-Alvarado ^{1,6} , Giacomo R. DiTullio ⁷ and
5	Mak A. Saito ^{1*}
6	
7	¹ Department of Marine Chemistry and Geochemistry, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution,
8	Woods Hole MA, USA
9	² Department of Earth Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles CA, USA
10	³ School of Oceanography, University of Washington, Seattle WA, USA
11	⁴ Department of Earth, Ocean and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool UK
12	⁵ School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe AZ, USA
13	⁶ Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley CA
14	USA
15	⁷ Hollings Marine Lab, College of Charleston, Charleston SC, USA
16	
17	Present address: Department of Oceanography, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu HI
18	
19	* Corresponding Authors. Contact: <u>hawco(a)hawaii.edu</u> , 1000 Pope Road, 808-956-2613;
20	$\underline{\text{msaito}(\underline{a},\text{whoi.edu})}$, 266 woods Hole Road MS#51, 508-289-2393.
21	
22	
23	Classification: Diplogical Sciences Ecology/Environmental Sciences/Evolution
24	Classification. Biological Sciences Ecology/Environmental Sciences/Evolution
25	Reywords. Cobarannin, non, nument minitation, cyanobacteria, biogeochemical cycles
20	
28	Short Title: Prochlorococcus minimum cobalt requirements
29	Short The. Trochiorococcus minimum coour requirements
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	

44 Abstract

46	Despite very low concentrations of cobalt in marine waters, cyanobacteria in the genus
47	Prochlorococcus retain the genetic machinery for the synthesis and use of cobalt-bearing
48	cofactors (cobalamins) in their genomes. We explored cobalt metabolism in a Prochlorococcus
49	isolate from the Equatorial Pacific Ocean (strain MIT9215) through a series of growth
50	experiments under iron and cobalt limiting conditions. Metal uptake rates, quantitative proteomic
51	measurements of cobalamin-dependent enzymes, and theoretical calculations all indicate that
52	Prochlorococcus MIT9215 can sustain growth with less than 50 cobalt atoms per cell,
53	approximately 100-fold lower than minimum iron requirements for these cells (~5100 atoms per
54	cell). Quantitative descriptions of Prochlorococcus cobalt limitation are used to interpret new
55	observations of the cobalt distribution in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean, where surface
56	concentrations are among the lowest measured globally but Prochlorococcus biomass is high. A
57	low minimum cobalt quota ensures that other nutrients, notably iron, will be exhausted before
58	cobalt can be fully depleted, helping to explain the persistence of cobalt-dependent metabolism
59	in marine cyanobacteria.
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	
73	

75 Significance statement

77	Photosynthetic phytoplankton are the foundation of marine ecosystems. Their growth in the
78	sunlit ocean depends on ample supply of over a dozen essential elements. Of these elemental
79	nutrients, the metal cobalt is found at the lowest concentrations in seawater but it is unknown if
80	cobalt scarcity impacts phytoplankton growth. We have measured minimum cobalt requirements
81	of the photosynthetic bacterium Prochlorococcus, which flourishes in nutrient poor regions of
82	the ocean where many other phytoplankton cannot survive. Prochlorococcus can grow with less
83	than 50 cobalt atoms per cell, an extraordinarily small requirement that explains how this
84	organism can persist in low-cobalt environments. These results enable predictions of how marine
85	ecosystems respond to climate-driven changes in nutrient supply.
86	
87	
88	
89	
90	
91	
92	
93	
94	
95	
96	
97	
98	
99	
100	
101	
102	
103	
104	

105

106 Introduction

107 Cobalt is the least abundant elemental nutrient in seawater, but this may not have always been the case. In the modern ocean, cobalt scarcity develops from the oxidation of soluble Co^{2+} 108 109 to insoluble Co^{3+} by Mn-oxidizing bacteria, (1, 2) leaving behind a small pool of cobalt bound to 110 strong organic ligands. Mn- and Co-oxidizing reactions are inhibited at low O₂, leading to the 111 buildup of cobalt observed in oxygen-depleted waters (3–6). Similar reasoning has suggested 112 that much higher cobalt inventories were found prior to the oxygenation of the oceans ~ 300 113 million years ago (7, 8). Greater access to cobalt in the ancient anoxic ocean may have encouraged cobalt use in metalloenzymes, which evolved early in earth's history (9, 10). 114 115 Since the oxidation of the oceans, there has been evolutionary selection against cobalt use

116 over time, consistent with its decreasing availability (7, 11, 12). Eukaryotic genomes use cobalt 117 less frequently than those of bacteria and archaea and all eukaryotic organisms lack the ability 118 for the *de novo* synthesis of cobalamin (vitamin B_{12}) from cobalt ion (13–15). The adoption of 119 cobalamin-independent isoforms of methionine synthase, ribonucleotide reductase and other 120 enzymes has liberated land plants from cobalt dependence altogether (14, 16). Despite its scarcity and the availability of substitutes, there are benefits to cobalt use, exemplified among 121 122 marine phytoplankton. For instance, 100-fold faster catalysis by cobalamin-dependent 123 methionine synthase, MetH, compared to cobalamin-independent methionine synthase, MetE, allows for economization of nitrogen and other limiting resources (15, 17). Furthermore, Co²⁺ 124 125 ions can activate Zn-dependent metalloenzymes like carbonic anhydrase, improving growth 126 under Zn scarcity (18–20).

In the surface ocean, light inhibits bacterial Mn-oxidation (21), but cobalt uptake by
phytoplankton leads to the lowest concentrations at any depth, which can fall below 10⁻¹¹ mol L⁻¹
(10 pM; (3, 6, 22)). There is growing evidence that the addition of cobalt or cobalamin to surface
waters can increase phytoplankton growth rates when added in conjunction with other nutrients
like iron and nitrogen (23–26). Yet, it is difficult to extrapolate these observations because the
cobalt requirements of some key phytoplankton taxa have not been quantified.

Emerging prior to the oxygenation of the oceans, marine cyanobacteria in the *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* lineage differ from eukaryotic phytoplankton in that they possess an absolute requirement for cobalt, independent of other nutrients like Zn (7, 18, 27, 28). 136 Despite their minimal genomes, which contain nearly half as many genes as other cyanobacterial

- 137 species (e.g. *Synechocystis*), all sequenced *Prochlorococcus* genomes have retained pathways for
- 138 the *de novo* biosynthesis of pseudocobalamin (psB_{12}), a structural analog of vitamin B_{12} (29–31).

139 The dominant phytoplankton group in the subtropical and tropical oceans, *Prochlorococcus*

140 contributes a significant fraction of global primary production (32, 33), but the amount of cobalt

141 needed to support this productivity is unknown. Here, we show that *Prochlorococcus* cells can

142 grow with a very small number of cobalt atoms, enabling this organism to thrive in cobalt poor

environments like the Equatorial Pacific Ocean without replacing cobalt-dependent metabolism.

144

145 **Results and Discussion**

146 Low cobalt and iron requirements of Prochlorococcus MIT9215

To quantify cellular cobalt requirements, we conducted growth experiments in
chemically defined media with axenic cultures of *Prochlorococcus* strain MIT9215, a member of
the abundant high-light II clade that was originally isolated from the Equatorial Pacific Ocean
(34). While scarce iron in this region limits the growth of *Prochlorococcus* and other
phytoplankton (35, 36), the tropical Pacific Ocean also hosts low cobalt concentrations (< 10 pM
(3, 23, 37)).

153 The influence of nutrient limitation on fitness is a function of two distinct traits: 1) the 154 ability of a cell to acquire nutrients from seawater and 2) the ability to apply acquired nutrients 155 toward the biosynthesis of compounds needed for growth and cell division (38). In our 156 experiments, division rates of *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 decreased when inorganic cobalt 157 concentrations (Co', see methods) fell below 3 pM and no growth was observed at a Co' 158 concentration below 0.1 pM (Fig. 1A). However, thresholds of Co' in these experiments were 159 highly sensitive to the concentrations of Zn and Mn present in the growth medium, due to 160 competitive inhibition at the uptake site and are difficult to relate to typical oceanic 161 concentrations directly (39). Regardless, we observed a robust relationship between intracellular 162 cobalt contents (the cobalt quota, Q_{Co}) and cell growth rate (μ , Figs. 1B, S1). Growth of 163 Prochlorococcus MIT9215 was well described by the Droop Equation:

- 164 $\mu = \mu_{max} \left(1 \frac{q_{min}}{Q_{Co}} \right) \tag{1}$
- which derives an absolute minimum quota, q_{min} , as growth rate (μ) approaches 0 (38). Least-
- squares fitting of q_{min} yielded extraordinarily low values: 14.8 ± 1.3 atoms per cell (R² = 0.96;

167 equivalent to a cellular concentration of 220 nM). Implicit in this model is the expectation that 168 increasing growth rates must be fueled by higher cobalt quotas, which is supported by our 169 observations (Fig. 1B). From Eq. 1, a growth-limited range ($\mu < 95\% \mu_{max}$, Table S1) can be identified when the cellular cobalt quota is approximately 300 atoms per cell or less, equal to a 170 Co:Carbon ratio of $1.2 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-7}$ (atom:atom). This value is similar to the Co:C ratio of a 171 172 coastal Synechococcus under cobalt limitation $(0.8 \times 10^{-7} (18))$, but an order of magnitude lower 173 than the composite Co:C of eukaryotic phytoplankton $(1.5 \times 10^{-6} (40))$, which is biochemically 174 distinct from the cyanobacterial requirement and reflects variable substitution with Zn (18). Cellular cobalt demands were compared to those of iron, the nutrient that limits 175 176 Prochlorococcus growth in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean (36). Growth limitation occurred when 177 inorganic iron concentrations (Fe') fell below 30 pM (Fig. 1A), similar to dissolved iron 178 concentrations in the Equatorial Pacific (41). To avoid iron limitation, Prochlorococcus MIT9215 required upwards of 31,000 \pm 3400 Fe atoms per cell ($\mu < 95\% \mu_{max}$, R² = 0.96, Table 179 S1) and estimated Fe:C ratios of iron limited cells $(1.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5})$ were similar to Fe:C ratios of 180 181 bulk particulate digestions from the Equatorial Pacific, the closest approximation of 182 Prochlorococcus metal quotas in situ (42). Iron requirements in Prochlorococcus MIT9215 were much lower than those reported for *Prochlorococcus* strain MED4 (Fe:C > 4.1×10^{-5}), which 183 was isolated from the iron-rich Mediterranean Sea (43, 44), suggesting evolutionary pressure 184 within this group to adapt to iron-poor regions of the oceans. The low Fe quotas of 185 186 Prochlorococcus MIT9215 are still 100-fold larger than minimum cobalt requirements measured 187 here (Fig. 1B, Table S1).

188

189 Cobalt use efficiency in Prochlorococcus

190 Raven (1988) originally defined a 'metal use efficiency' by scaling *in vitro* enzyme rates 191 with protein:metal stoichiometries to derive maximum rates of biomass production per atom of 192 catalytic metal (45, 46). For example, given light-saturated rates of O_2 evolution at photosystem 193 II and a stoichiometry of 20 iron atoms per photosynthetic unit (Table S3), a photoautotrophic 194 cell could be expected to grow at a rate of 1 mol C per second, per mol Fe (45). At this iron use 195 efficiency, a cell the size of *Prochlorococcus* growing at a rate 0.4 per day would need ~8200 196 iron atoms per cell, similar to measured iron quotas at this growth rate (5100 ± 1100 atoms per cell, Table S2). This is consistent with photosynthetic and respiratory enzymes being thedominant use for acquired iron in *Prochlorococcus*.

199 We sought to extend this metal use efficiency framework to cobalt. All sequenced 200 *Prochlorococcus* genomes encode two cobalamin-dependent enzymes: 1) the ribonucleotide 201 triphosphate reductase NrdJ, which generates deoxyribonucleotide bases (dNTPs) for DNA 202 replication from ribonucleotide precursors, and 2) the methionine synthase MetH, which 203 methylates homocysteine to generate the amino acid methionine, needed for protein synthesis 204 (30, 47). Unlike plants and many eukaryotic phytoplankton (15), *Prochlorococcus* lack 205 cobalamin-independent versions of ribonucleotide reductase and methionine synthase, indicating 206 that there is no substitute for cobalt requirements associated with NrdJ and MetH. Other 207 characterized cobalamin or Co²⁺ dependent enzymes, such as methylmalonyl CoA mutase and nitrile hydratase, are not present in the genome of Prochlorococcus MIT9215 or other sequenced 208 Prochlorococcus strains. 209

210 Prior to dividing, Prochlorococcus MIT9215 must replicate its genome of 1.7 million 211 base pairs, requiring dNTP synthesis via NrdJ. To grow at a rate of 0.6 per day, the maximum 212 observed in our experiments and similar to *in situ* growth rates in the Equatorial Pacific (36), at 213 least 2.04 million dNTP bases per cell must be synthesized daily. In vitro characterizations of 214 NrdJ show a maximum turnover time of 2 cycles per second: unimpeded, a single enzyme can 215 produce 170,000 dNTP bases per day (48, 49). Assuming that the Prochlorococcus NrdJ can 216 achieve similar rates in vivo, cellular demand for dNTPs would require at least 12 functioning 217 copies of this enzyme to support maximum growth rates (Table S4).

A much faster enzyme, MetH can operate at over 18 cycles per second (17, 50). A single MetH enzyme can produce over 1.5 million methionine molecules per day. While a complex methionine cycle makes it challenging to predict cellular MetH demands (51), the cellular sulfur quota of roughly 12 million atoms (composed of methionine, cysteine and homocysteine thiols, sulfates, and sulfones, etc.) can serve as an upper bound (Table S4). By this calculation, 5 copies of MetH would fulfill even this overestimated demand.

Given a 1:1 protein:cobalt stoichiometry evident from crystal structures of NrdJ and
 MetH, this analysis can account for 17 Co atoms in cobalamin dependent enzymes for
 Prochlorococcus growing maximally, equivalent to a metal use efficiency of 6.6 x 10⁷ mol C per
 day per mol Co. This estimate is 4-fold higher than empirical Co use efficiencies of 1.6 x 10⁷

calculated from growth experiments (Table S1, S4), which may indicate the presence of
additional Co associated with unknown enzymes, chaperones and other transient reservoirs
within the cell. To a first order, however, these calculations suggest that the quotas measured in *Prochlorococcus* cells are biochemically reasonable.

- 232
- 233 Mass balance of cobalt metabolism

To validate the above calculations, we constructed ¹⁵N-labeled peptide standards to quantify intracellular NrdJ and MetH levels by selected reaction monitoring mass spectrometry. From large volume cultures of cobalt-limited *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 ($\mu = 0.2 \text{ day}^{-1}$), measurements of 4 tryptic peptides indicated that there were 15 ± 2 copies of NrdJ per cell (Fig. 1C, Tables S5-S7). In the same sample, MetH was measured to an abundance of 7 ± 2 copies per cell. These measurements are remarkably consistent with predictions of 5 MetH and 12 NrdJ copies per cell based on the above use efficiency calculations at peak growth rates.

241 Still, the maximum amount of cobalt that can be attributed to use in NrdJ and MetH 242 enzymes $(22 \pm 3 \text{ atoms per cell}, \text{ assuming a } 1:1 \text{ stoichiometry between Co and enzyme})$ is 243 significantly less than the total cobalt quota in these cells, as measured by ICP-MS (62 ± 7 atoms 244 per cell; Fig. 1C). Other reservoirs may account for more cobalt than NrdJ and MetH, even at these low intracellular concentrations. Before being used in NrdJ and MetH, acquired Co²⁺ ions 245 246 must be transformed into pseudocobalamin (psB_{12}) cofactors, whose biosynthesis requires over a dozen enzymatic steps, beginning with the insertion of Co^{2+} into the corrinoid ring by the 247 248 cobaltochelatase CbiK (14). Given that many of psB12 biosynthetic intermediates would be present at a steady-state concentration of a few copies per cell or less, the random walks of single 249 250 molecules from the active site of one enzyme in the biosynthetic sequence to the next may be the rate limiting step to Prochlorococcus growth under cobalt limitation. The sheer number of 251 252 enzymatic alterations required to produce pseudocobalamin (>15 cobalt-bearing intermediates 253 (12, 45)) probably acts as a limit to growth efficiency at low cobalt.

Perhaps related to these physical limits, there appears to be a lack of regulatory control on *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 cobalt metabolism, at least by inorganic Co species. The abundance of NrdJ and MetH did not increase significantly at higher Co' abundance, despite a 14-fold increase in cellular Co (54–780 atoms cell⁻¹;Fig. S2A). Cobalt use efficiency calculations imply that the measured cellular inventory of NrdJ and MetH in cobalt-limited cells is sufficient to sustain the flux of dNTP and methionine needed to support maximum growth rates. When
cellular cobalt quotas are growth-limiting, it is likely that these enzymes are unsaturated with
respect to their pseudocobalamin cofactors, decreasing their metabolic production and delaying
cell division.

263 Furthermore, comparisons between the cellular proteomes of replete and cobalt-limited 264 Prochlorococcus MIT9215 did not show large differences in protein abundance, with only 12% 265 of detected proteins significantly different (Fig. S2B). Stress-related proteins – the 266 photosynthetic proteins PsaD, PsbO, and some ribosomal proteins – were somewhat higher in 267 cobalt-limited cells while ATP synthase and sugar metabolism genes were less abundant (Table 268 S8). As these proteins are associated with basic metabolic functions, it is likely that changes in 269 the cell division rate, not cobalt itself, drive differences in the proteome under cobalt-limiting conditions. This is consistent with the absence of many cyanobacterial metal sensing and 270 271 regulatory genes in Prochlorococcus genomes (39, 53).

272

273 Prochlorococcus Co nutrition in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean

All sequenced *Prochlorococcus* isolates harbor genes for psB₁₂ synthesis, as well as *nrdJ* and *metH*. It is expected that the cobalt requirements defined for the MIT9215 strain are broadly representative of all *Prochlorococcus* cells – at least as a lower limit – and can be used to investigate whether wild *Prochlorococcus* populations can meet their nutritional cobalt requirements.

279 To this end, we mapped the distribution of cobalt in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean – the 280 region where Prochlorococcus MIT9215 was originally isolated - from samples collected on the Metzyme expedition in October 2011 (Fig. 2A). Dissolved cobalt was depleted in the surface 281 282 ocean and accumulated in mesopelagic waters, consistent with the distribution of other 283 phytoplankton nutrients like phosphate (Fig. 2B-D). In the subsurface, cobalt concentrations greater than 100 pmol L⁻¹ were associated with very low oxygen waters (<50 μ M; Fig. 2E, S3A) 284 285 that extend all the way to the eastern margin (3). Sustained anoxia along the continental shelves 286 of Peru and Mexico promotes the dissolution of cobalt bound in sedimentary Mn-oxides (54, 55), 287 and, at the basin scale, cobalt input from these reducing sediments is balanced by its removal onto Mn-oxides formed in oxic waters (56). Inhibition of Mn and Co oxidation at low O₂, 288

combined with significant regeneration of phytoplankton-derived cobalt, leads to plumes of highcobalt within low oxygen waters in both the North and South Pacific (Fig. 2C).

291 At the equator, upwelling of subsurface waters from the Equatorial Undercurrent (EUC) leads to high nutrient concentrations and productivity. Originating in the oxygenated waters of 292 293 the western Pacific, the EUC transports a large iron source from Papua New Guinea into the 294 equatorial upwelling region (41, 57). As it travels eastward, the EUC splits low oxygen water 295 masses, leading to a downward deflection of O₂ contours between 2°N and 2°S (Fig. 2B) (58). 296 Despite its importance as an iron source, cobalt concentrations within the EUC were not elevated 297 $(30 \pm 2 \text{ pM} \text{ between } 100-250 \text{ m})$, especially compared to the surrounding low oxygen waters 298 (Fig. 2C). Low cobalt in the EUC probably reflects the high O₂ concentrations near Papua New 299 Guinea and the predominance of oxic (rather than reducing) sedimentary sources (57, 59). 300 Instead, entrainment of low oxygen, high cobalt waters into the EUC leads to elevated cobalt 301 concentrations in the euphotic zone at the equator $(23 \pm 6 \text{ pM over } 0-100 \text{ m}; \text{ Fig. 2C})$.

302 As upwelled equatorial waters are advected poleward, nutrient concentrations throughout 303 the euphotic zone decrease due to uptake by phytoplankton. Interestingly, cobalt is more strongly 304 depleted in the South Pacific Gyre than in the North Pacific, opposite to the trend in phosphate (compare, for instance, the 5 pM contour for cobalt in Fig. 2B and the 0.25 µM contour for PO₄ 305 306 in Fig. 2C). Greater contact with coastal sources from East Asia, a larger oxygen minimum zone, 307 as well as orders of magnitude greater deposition of desert dust in the northern hemisphere 308 probably contribute to higher cobalt inventories in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre (60–62). 309 These sources are weaker in the South Pacific, allowing surface concentrations to fall below the 2 pM detection limit of our electrochemical method at both 9.2°S and 12°S (Fig. 3A). These 310 311 stations also contained the largest populations of *Prochlorococcus* observed on the transect, evident in divinyl chlorophyll a concentrations (which are specific to Prochlorococcus (63)) that 312 313 exceeded chlorophyll *a* produced by other phytoplankton (Fig. S4). At a threshold growth rate of 314 95% µmax, cobalt requirements of Prochlorococcus MIT9215 are estimated to be 300 atoms cell-¹, equivalent to a biomass Co:P ratio of 26×10^{-6} (Table S1). Thus, in order to take up the 300 315 316 nM of surface PO₄ found at 9.2°S and 12°S, Prochlorococcus must also acquire at least 7.8 pM 317 Co in order to avoid cobalt-limited growth rates: more than triple the dCo concentration found at 318 these stations. Indeed, dissolved Co:PO₄ ratios in the euphotic zone (0–100 m) fall below this

threshold for all stations south of the Equator (Fig. 3E), suggesting that the PO₄ reservoir here
cannot be depleted without forcing cobalt-limited growth rates.

321 However, elemental standing stocks do not always reflect their availability to organisms, 322 many of which are adept at recycling trace nutrients. In the South Pacific, depletion of cobalt 323 from the dissolved phase of seawater observed on the Metzyme expedition is mirrored by a 324 significant accumulation of cobalt in particulate matter, often exceeding 1 pM (Fig. 3C). 325 Correlation between pCo and particulate phosphate (pP) indicates that pCo is primarily 326 associated with biomass (Fig. 3D, S3B). In both the North and South Pacific, the Co:P composition of particulate matter $(110 \pm 30 \times 10^{-6})$ exceeds both dissolved Co:PO₄ ratios and 327 328 cobalt limitation thresholds of *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 identified in culture (26 x 10⁻⁶; Fig. 3E). To the extent that the Co:P composition of the total particulate pool reflects the composition 329 330 of *Prochlorococcus* cells, it would appear that wild *Prochlorococcus* populations in the South 331 Pacific have accumulated enough cobalt to satisfy their cobalamin metabolism and avoid cobalt 332 limitation.

333 To understand how phytoplankton are able to accumulate Co in this region, we analyzed a global model of the marine cobalt cycle (37), which reproduces the very low dissolved cobalt 334 335 concentrations observed in the South Pacific but does not contain explicit parameterizations for 336 Co limitation of phytoplankton growth. In the model, the South Pacific Gyre is the only region 337 where dissolved Co:PO₄ ratios fall below the 95% µmax threshold for *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 (excluding the Southern Ocean where waters are too cold to support *Prochlorococcus* growth 338 339 (64)). Similar to our observations, the model also simulates elevated phytoplankton Co:P ratios in the South Pacific Gyre (>100 x 10⁻⁶), along with low dissolved Fe concentrations and Fe 340 341 limitation of phytoplankton growth (Fig. S5, S6). Conceptually, iron-limited growth would allow the same number of cobalt transporters to complete more transport cycles prior to cell division, 342 343 leading to a build-up of cellular Co. This effect is responsible for elevated phytoplankton Co:P 344 ratios in the model, and was also observed during our iron limitation experiments with 345 Prochlorococcus MIT9215 in culture (Fig. S1, Table S2). High levels of iron transporters and 346 other protein biomarkers observed during the Metzyme expedition support model-based 347 conclusions that iron limits *Prochlorococcus* growth in the South Pacific Gyre (Fig. S7; (35)). 348 Thus, cobalt accumulation afforded by iron-limited growth may be responsible for the high 349 particulate Co:P ratios also observed on this transect.

350 Analysis of the measurements within the GEOTRACES intermediate data product (65) 351 yields a similar conclusion: all waters with low Co:PO₄ ratios also contain comparably lower 352 Fe:PO₄ ratios (with respect to q_{min} values of Fe and Co determined from culture experiments, Fig. 353 4). If *Prochlorococcus* growth thresholds for cobalt and iron are assumed to be independent of 354 one another (as in Liebig's law of the minimum), then cobalt limitation will be blocked by iron limitation (Fig. 4). Still, this scenario suggests that iron addition on short timescales (e.g. dust 355 356 deposition) may drive Prochlorococcus and other phytoplankton toward cobalt limitation, 357 consistent with observations of iron and cobalt co-limitation in bottle incubations (23, 25).

358 There are two factors that complicate this interpretation. First, some *Prochlorococcus* 359 lineages may retain a higher Co requirement than Prochlorococcus MIT9215, due to a reliance 360 on other putative Co-dependent metalloenzymes, such as the PhoA alkaline phosphatase (30, 361 66). Second, in addition to *Prochlorococcus*, the pool of particulate matter in the surface ocean is 362 also composed of small eukaryotic phytoplankton, mixotrophs, and grazers, as well as 363 heterotrophic bacteria and detritus. Differences in cobalt (or P) accumulation between these 364 reservoirs will distort comparisons with axenic *Prochlorococcus* cultures. Indeed, the cobalt 365 requirements of eukaryotic phytoplankton like the diatom Thalassiosira oceanica and the haptophyte *Emiliana huxleyi* can be much greater than *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 (Co:C >10⁻⁶ 366 367 for both species) because these organisms substitute cobalt into their Zn-dependent enzymes 368 (cambialism) when grown under low zinc concentrations found in open ocean environments (18, 369 19). Under conditions of Zn scarcity, the Co requirements of E. huxleyi can be more than 10-fold 370 higher than *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215: equivalent to a biomass Co:P ratio greater than 300 x 10⁻ 371 6 (67). While the degree of Co-Zn inter-replacement in the open ocean is currently unknown, 372 dissolved Zn in the South Pacific Gyre can fall below 0.1 nM (65), and free Zn' concentrations 373 are likely orders of magnitude lower due to complexation by organic ligands (69). When 374 analyzing the Co:P ratio of a mixed assemblage, the potentially high Co:P ratios associated with 375 cambialistic requirements may mask lower Prochlorococcus Co:P. Such high Co requirements 376 must also make eukaryotic phytoplankton more susceptible to Co-Zn limitation. At the Co:P 377 stoichiometry observed in *E. huxleyi*, the severity of Co depletion would exceed Fe throughout 378 large areas of the North and South Pacific Oceans (Fig. 4, S8), possibly leading to Co-Zn 379 limitation of growth. The simultaneous depletion of iron, nitrogen, cobalt, zinc, and other

nutrients in the South Pacific and other subtropical gyres may prevent large scale increases in
ecosystem biomass, even if sources of the 'limiting' nutrient are increased.

382

383 Evolutionary drivers of minimized cobalt metabolism

384 High cobalt concentrations in the Equatorial Pacific are associated with oxygen-poor 385 water masses, matching observations elsewhere in the Pacific and Atlantic (3, 6, 70). The 386 worldwide association between Co and O₂ supports thermodynamic predictions that the anoxic 387 ocean found during the Proterozoic and Archaean also contained much higher cobalt (7). As 388 cyanobacteria evolved during this time, high cobalt availability probably facilitated the 389 incorporation of (pseudo)cobalamin-dependent enzymes like NrdJ and MetH instead of other, 390 cobalamin-independent versions of these proteins. However, genome-based timelines suggest 391 that the *Prochlorococcus* lineage did not emerge until the late Paleoproterozoic or early 392 Phanerozoic (28, 71). Although marine oxygen concentrations during this period are uncertain, 393 the subsequent diversification of *Prochlorococcus* ecotypes throughout the Phanerozoic probably 394 occurred in an oxygenated ocean (28, 72), with cobalt concentrations that were probably lower 395 than when the cyanobacteria first evolved. As the evolution of Prochlorococcus ecotypes is 396 characterized by metabolic streamlining and genome minimization (73, 74), it is interesting to 397 note that, because all DNA bases in *Prochlorococcus* are synthesized by the NrdJ enzyme, 398 decreasing genome size also helps to decrease cobalt requirements.

399 Our analysis suggests that Prochlorococcus cells can continue to function with a very 400 small number of cobalt atoms, as low as 17 atoms per cell. While some lineages of 401 Prochlorococcus may maintain a broader suite of cobalt-dependent or cambialistic enzymes (and 402 therefore a higher cobalt requirement), the very low cobalt requirement of *Prochlorococcus* 403 MIT9215 minimizes its exposure to cobalt-limiting conditions (Fig. 4), likely explaining the 404 retention of psB₁₂ biosynthesis in *Prochlorococcus* genomes. Similarity in proteomes between 405 cobalt-replete and cobalt-limited growth suggests that Prochlorococcus MIT9215 does not 406 actively monitor its cobalt inventory (Fig. S2), nor does it make significant efforts to increase Co 407 uptake by upregulating Co' transport systems. Indeed, Co' uptake into Prochlorococcus 408 MIT9215 cells appears to be relegated to the periplasmic Mn transport system MntABC, rather 409 than a transporter specific to cobalt (39). These adaptations may reflect efforts to decrease the 410 number of transporters and regulatory systems needed within the cell, a resource and energy

savings that may offset the resource/energy expenditure associated with the production of psB₁₂
biosynthetic enzymes.

413 While all sequenced *Prochlorococcus* genomes have retained the genes for psB₁₂ 414 biosynthesis, there are other cyanobacteria that have lost this functionality. For example, the 415 benthic cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* PCC7002 has lost its cobalamin biosynthetic pathway 416 and replaced its ancestral *nrdJ* with horizontally-acquired *nrdAB* genes, which encode a B_{12} -417 independent ribonucleotide reductase (75, 76). As a result, Synechococcus PCC7002 depends on exogenous B₁₂ to power the MetH methionine synthase. Genetic replacement of the metH gene 418 419 with B_{12} -independent methionine synthase, *metE*, is sufficient to relieve *Synechococcus* 420 PCC7002 of its B₁₂ dependence (75). Several other cyanobacteria (e.g. Synechococcus 421 PCC73109, Crocosphaera watsonii) have also acquired B₁₂-independent versions of both 422 methionine synthase and ribonucleotide reductase. Given pervasive signals of horizontal gene 423 acquisition in *Prochlorococcus* genomes (74), it is surprising that *metE* and *nrdAB* genes are 424 absent from sequenced Prochlorococcus isolates.

Prochlorococcus appears to retain its psB₁₂-dependent enzymes out of physiological 425 426 benefit rather than an insufficient access to B₁₂-independent alternatives. The cobalamin-427 independent ribonucleotide reductase NrdAB is an iron-dependent enzyme (77), whose 428 incorporation would require an increase in *Prochlorococcus*'s iron requirement, making it less 429 competitive in iron-limited waters. Similarly, B₁₂-independent MetE can only operate at ~1% the 430 rate of MetH, and would therefore be needed at much higher quantity (15, 17), increasing 431 demand for photosynthate (and therefore for Fe). Eukaryotic phytoplankton with both *metE* and 432 *metH* genes clearly prefer to use MetH when B_{12} is present (15). The sheer abundance of 433 *Prochlorococcus* throughout the subtropics and tropics implies that it is the major synthesizer of 434 cobalamins throughout the sunlit ocean (29, 78, 79), and therefore supports the B₁₂ dependencies 435 of other phytoplankton (the pseudocobalamin produced by *Prochlorococcus* being convertible to 436 conventional B_{12} by many species (29, 80)). The persistence of psB_{12} biosynthesis in 437 Prochlorococcus not only makes its own metabolism more efficient, but potentially the entire 438 marine ecosystem.

Partially degraded B₁₂ and psB₁₂ molecules are inferred to be the major source of
dissolved cobalt ligands found in seawater, whose stability over hundreds of years protects cobalt
in the ocean interior from scavenging by Mn-oxidizing bacteria and burial in marine sediments

442 (23, 37, 56). Therefore, minimization – rather than replacement – of *Prochlorococcus*443 cobalamin-dependent metabolism may have a global effect on the marine cobalt cycle.

444

445 Methods

Axenic cultures of *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 were grown, harvested and analyzed as
described previously (39). Growth rates were determined in multiple 30 mL polycarbonate tubes
and pooled to measure cellular metal quotas and protein content. Large (8L) non-axenic cultures
were used to grow biomass for quantitative protein measurements.

450 Global and targeted protein measurements were measured following previously described 451 protocols (35, 81). Significantly different protein abundance was determined by the Fisher exact 452 test using a cut-off of p<0.01. Concentrations of *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 ribonucleotide 453 reductase (NrdJ) and methionine synthase (MetH) were measured in soluble protein extracts 454 using ¹⁵N labeled peptide standards generated from *Prochlorococcus* NrdJ and MetH peptides in 455 an *E. coli* overexpression system. Labeled peptides were co-expressed with a peptide sequences 456 for horse myoglobin which were calibrated relative to a commercially available horse myoglobin 457 protein (Fisher). Presence/absence of nrdA, nrdJ, metE, and metH, was determined by BLASTp searches with E-value cutoffs of 10⁻²⁰. 458

Dissolved cobalt concentrations (operationally defined as passing through a 0.2 µm filter)
from the Metzyme expedition (KM1128) were measured by cathodic stripping voltammetry (3,
35) and available at the Biological and Chemical Oceanography Data Management Office
repository (BCO-DMO, Dataset #647250). Sampling procedures and analysis of particulate
metals is described by Saito et al. 2014 (35). Equations governing the Co biogeochemical model
can be found elsewhere (37). Expanded methodological details can be found in the
supplementary information.

466

467 Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the Captain and Crew of the R/V Kilo Moana for their assistance on the
KM1128 expedition, co-chief scientist Carl Lamborg. We thank John Waterbury for allowing us
access to incubators, as well as Alison Coe and the Chisholm lab at MIT for providing *Prochlorococcus* cultures. We also thank Elizabeth Kujawinski, Mick Follows, Phoebe Lam and
three anonymous reviewers for constructive feedback on this work. This work was supported by

473	the Go	ordon and Betty Moore Foundation grants 3782 and 3934 to MAS, and National Science						
474	Found	lation awards OCE-1031271, OCE-1220484, OCE-1337780 to MAS. The writing of the						
475	manus	script was supported by a Simons Foundation Life Sciences Postdoctoral Fellowship to						
476	NJH (602538).							
477								
478	Autho	or Contribution Statement						
479	NJH N	MRM, RMB and MAS designed laboratory experiments, which were performed by NJH,						
480	MRM, RMB, and LVA. NJH, MRM, GRD, DMM, MAS and TJG collected samples and							
481	measured parameters from the KM1128 cruise. AT, NJH, RMB, and MAS analyzed model							
482	results	s. This manuscript was written by NJH and MAS with contributions from all authors.						
483								
484 485	Refer	ences						
486	1.	Moffett JW. Ho J (1996) Oxidation of cobalt and manganese in seawater via a common						
487		microbially catalyzed pathway. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 60(18):3415-3424.						
488	2.	Lee B, Fisher NS (1993) Microbially mediated cobalt oxidation in seawater revealed by						
409	3	Hawco NI Obnemus DC Resing IA Twining BS Saito MA (2016) A cobalt plume in						
491	5.	the oxygen minimum zone of the Eastern Tropical South Pacific. <i>Biogeosciences</i>						
492	1	13:309/-3/1/.						
495 494	4.	communities associated with marine oxygen deficient zones. <i>Limnol Oceanogr</i> 62(1):3–						
495		25.						
496	5.	Johnson KS, Coale KH, Berelson WM, Michael Gordon R (1996) On the formation of the						
497 408		manganese maximum in the oxygen minimum. <i>Geochim Cosmochim Acta</i> 60(8):1291–						
498	6	Noble AE et al. (2012) Basin-scale inputs of cobalt iron and manganese from the						
500		Benguela-Angola front to the South Atlantic Ocean. <i>Limnol Oceanogr</i> 57(4):989–1010.						
501	7.	Saito MA, Sigman DM, Morel FM. (2003) The bioinorganic chemistry of the ancient						
502		ocean: the co-evolution of cyanobacterial metal requirements and biogeochemical cycles						
503		at the Archean–Proterozoic boundary? Inorganica Chim Acta 356:308–318.						
504	8.	Swanner ED, et al. (2014) Cobalt and marine redox evolution. <i>Earth Planet Sci Lett</i>						
505	0	390:253–263.						
506	9.	weiss MC, et al. (2016) The physiology and nabitat of the last universal common						
507	10	Lundin D. Berggren G. Logan DT. Siöherg B (2015) The Origin and Evolution of						
509	10.	Ribonucleotide Reduction <i>Life</i> 5(1):604–636						
510	11.	Dupont CL, Butcher A, Valas RE, Bourne PE, Caetano-Anollés G (2010) History of						
511		biological metal utilization inferred through phylogenomic analysis of protein structures.						
512		Proc Natl Acad Sci 107(23):10567–10572.						
513	12.	da Silva JJRF, Williams RJP (1991) The Biological Chemistry of the Elements: The						

514		Inorganic Chemistry of Life (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991).
515	13.	Dupont CL, Yang S, Palenik B, Bourne PE (2006) Modern proteomes contain putative
516		imprints of ancient shifts in trace metal geochemistry. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
517		103(47):17822–17827.
518	14.	Rodionov D a. Vitreschak AG, Mironov A a. Gelfand MS (2003) Comparative genomics
519		of the vitamin B12 metabolism and regulation in prokarvotes. <i>J Biol Chem</i>
520		278(42):41148–59.
521	15.	Bertrand EM, et al. (2013) Methionine synthase interreplacement in diatom cultures and
522		communities Implications for the persistence of B 12 use by eukarvotic phytoplankton
523		Limnol Oceanogr 58(4):1431–1450.
524	16	Zhang Y Rodionov DA Gelfand MS Gladyshev VN (2009) Comparative genomic
525	101	analyses of nickel cobalt and vitamin B12 utilization <i>BMC Genomics</i> 10:78
526	17	Baneriee R V Frasca V Ballou DP Matthews RG (1990) Participation of cob(I) alamin
527	17.	in the reaction catalyzed by methionine synthase from <i>Escherichia coli</i> : a steady-state and
528		ranid reaction kinetic analysis <i>Biochemistry</i> 29(50):11101–9
529	18	Sunda WG Huntsman SA (1995) Cobalt and zinc interreplacement in marine
530	10.	nhytonlankton. Biological and geochemical implications <i>Limnol Oceanogr</i> 40(8):1404–
531		1417
532	19	Yee D Morel FMM (1996) In vivo substitution of zinc by cobalt in carbonic anhydrase of
533	17.	a marine diatom <i>Limnol Oceanogr</i> 41(3):573–577
534	20	Price NM Morel FMM (1990) Cadmium and cobalt substitution for zinc in a marine
535		diatom <i>Nature</i> 344(6267):658–660
536	21	Sunda WG Huntsman S A (1988) Effect of sunlight on redox cycles of manganese in the
537		southwestern Sargasso Sea Deen Sea Res Part A Oceanogr Res Pap 35(8):1297–1317
538	22.	Noble AE. Saito MA. Maiti K. Benitez-Nelson CR (2008) Cobalt, manganese, and iron
539		near the Hawaiian Islands: A potential concentrating mechanism for cobalt within a
540		cyclonic eddy and implications for the hybrid-type trace metals. <i>Deep Sea Res Part II Top</i>
541		Stud Oceanogr 55(10–13):1473–1490.
542	23.	Saito MA, Rocap G, Moffett JW (2005) Production of cobalt binding ligands in a
543		Synechococcus feature at the Costa Rica upwelling dome. Limnol Oceanogr 50(1):279–
544		290.
545	24.	Bertrand EM, et al. (2007) Vitamin B 12 and iron colimitation of phytoplankton growth in
546		the Ross Sea. Limnol Oceanogr 52(3):1079–1093.
547	25.	Browning TJ, et al. (2017) Nutrient co-limitation at the boundary of an oceanic gyre.
548		<i>Nature</i> 551:242.
549	26.	Martin JH, Gordon RM, Fitzwater S, Broenkow WW (1989) Vertex: phytoplankton/iron
550		studies in the Gulf of Alaska. Deep Sea Res Part A Oceanogr Res Pap 36(5):649-680.
551	27.	Saito MA, Moffett JW, Chisholm SW, Waterbury JB (2002) Cobalt limitation and uptake
552		in Prochlorococcus. Limnol Oceanogr 47(6):1629–1636.
553	28.	Sánchez-Baracaldo P (2015) Origin of marine planktonic cyanobacteria. Sci Rep 5:17418.
554	29.	Heal KR, et al. (2016) Two distinct pools of B ₁₂ analogs reveal community
555		interdependencies in the ocean. Proc Natl Acad Sci 114(2):201608462.
556	30.	Biller SJ, et al. (2014) Genomes of diverse isolates of the marine cyanobacterium
557		Prochlorococcus. Sci Data 1:1–11.
558	31.	Rocap G, et al. (2003) Genome divergence in two Prochlorococcus ecotypes reflects
559		oceanic niche differentiation. Nature 424(6952):1042-7.

- 32. Rii YM, Karl DM, Church MJ (2016) Temporal and vertical variability in
 picophytoplankton primary productivity in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 562:1–18.
- 563 33. Liu H, Nolla HA, Campbell L (1997) *Prochlorococcus* growth rate and contribution to
 564 primary production in the equatorial and subtropical North Pacific Ocean. *Aquat Microb* 565 *Ecol* 12(1):39–47.
- 566 34. Kettler GC, et al. (2007) Patterns and implications of gene gain and loss in the evolution
 567 of *Prochlorococcus*. *PLoS Genet* 3(12):e231.
- Saito MA, et al. (2014) Multiple nutrient stresses at intersecting Pacific Ocean biomes
 detected by protein biomarkers. *Science (80)* 345(6201):1173–1177.
- Mann EL, Chisholm SW (2000) Iron limits the cell division rate of *Prochlorococcus* in the eastern equatorial Pacific. *Limnol Oceanogr* 45(5):1067–1076.
- 572 37. Tagliabue A, et al. (2018) The Role of External Inputs and Internal Cycling in Shaping the
 573 Global Ocean Cobalt Distribution: Insights From the First Cobalt Biogeochemical Model.
 574 *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 32(4):594–616.
- 575 38. Droop MR (1973) Some thoughts on nutrient limitation in algae. *J Phycol* 9(3):264–272.
- Hawco NJ, Saito MA (2018) Competitive inhibition of cobalt uptake by zinc and
 manganese in a pacific *Prochlorococcus* strain : Insights into metal homeostasis in a
 streamlined oligotrophic cyanobacterium. *Limnol Oceanogr* 63:2229–2249.
- 40. Ho T, et al. (2003) The elemental composition of some marine phytoplankton. *J Phycol* 39(6):1145–1159.
- 581 41. Coale KH, Fitzwater SE, Gordon RM, Johnson KS, Barber RT (1996) Control of
 582 community growth and export production by upwelled iron in the equatorial Pacific Ocean
 583 Kenneth. *Nature* 379(January):1994–1997.
- 584 42. Twining BS, et al. (2011) Metal quotas of plankton in the equatorial Pacific Ocean. *Deep*585 *Sea Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr* 58(3–4):325–341.
- 586 43. Cunningham BR, John SG (2017) The effect of iron limitation on cyanobacteria major nutrient and trace element stoichiometry. *Limnol Oceanogr* 62(2):846–858.
- 588 44. Shire DM, Kustka AB (2015) Luxury uptake, iron storage and ferritin abundance in
 589 *Prochlorococcus marinus (Synechococcales)* strain MED4. *Phycologia* 54(4):398–406.
- 45. Raven JA (1990) Predictions of Mn and Fe use efficiencies of phototrophic growth as a function of light availability for growth and of C assimilation pathway. *New Phytol* 116(1):1–18.
- 46. Raven JA (1988) The iron and molybdenum use efficiencies of plant growth with different energy, carbon and nitrogen sources. *New Phytol* 109(3):279–288.
- 47. Goulding CW, Postigo D, Matthews RG (1997) Cobalamin-dependent methionine
 synthase is a modular protein with distinct regions for binding homocysteine,
 methyltetrahydrofolate, cobalamin, and adenosylmethionine. *Biochemistry* 36(26):8082–
 8091.
- 48. Licht SS, Lawrence CC, Stubbe J (1999) Class II ribonucleotide reductases catalyze
 carbon-cobalt bond reformation on every turnover. *J Am Chem Soc* 121(33):7463–7468.
- 49. Booker S, Licht S, Broderick J, Stubbe J (1994) Coenzyme B12-Dependent
 Ribonucleotide Reductase: Evidnce for the Participation of Five Cysteine Residues in
 Ribonucleotide Reduction. *Biochemistry* 33(42):12676–12685.
- 604 50. Goulding CW, Matthews RG (1997) Cobalamin-dependent methionine synthase from
 605 *Escherichia coli:* Involvement of zinc in homocysteine activation. *Biochemistry*

606		36(50):15749–15757.
607	51.	Sauter M, Moffatt B, Saechao MC, Wirtz M (2013) Methionine salvage and S -
608		adenosylmethionine : essential links between sulfur, ethylene and polyamine biosynthesis.
609		<i>Biochem J</i> 154:145–154.
610	52.	Moore SJ, et al. (2013) Elucidation of the anaerobic pathway for the corrin component of
611		cobalamin (vitamin B12). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110(37):14906–11.
612	53.	Held NA, McIlvin MR, Moran DM, Laub MT, Saito MA (2019) Unique Patterns and
613		Biogeochemical Relevance of Two-Component Sensing in Marine Bacteria. MSystems
614		4(1):e00317-18.
615	54.	Johnson KS, Stout PM, Berelson WM, Sakamoto-Arnold CM (1988) Cobalt and copper
616		distributions in the waters of Santa Monica Basin, California. Nature 332(6164):527-530.
617	55.	Böning P, et al. (2004) Geochemistry of Peruvian near-surface sediments. Geochim
618		Cosmochim Acta 68(21):4429–4451.
619	56.	Hawco NJ, et al. (2018) Cobalt scavenging in the mesopelagic ocean and its influence on
620		global mass balance : Synthesizing water column and sedimentary fluxes. Mar Chem
621		201(March 2017):151–166.
622	57.	Slemons LO, Murray JW, Resing J, Paul B, Dutrieux P (2010) Western Pacific coastal
623		sources of iron, manganese, and aluminum to the Equatorial Undercurrent. Global
624		Biogeochem Cycles 24(3):n/a-n/a.
625	58.	Stramma L, Johnson GC, Firing E, Schmidtko S (2010) Eastern Pacific oxygen minimum
626		zones: Supply paths and multidecadal changes. <i>J Geophys Res</i> 115(C9):C09011.
627	59.	Radic A, Lacan F, Murray JW (2011) Iron isotopes in the seawater of the equatorial
628		Pacific Ocean: New constraints for the oceanic iron cycle. Earth Planet Sci Lett 306(1-
629		2):1–10.
630	60.	Mahowald NM, et al. (2005) Atmospheric global dust cycle and iron inputs to the ocean.
631		Global Biogeochem Cycles 19(4).
632	61.	Kwon EY, et al. (2014) Global estimate of submarine groundwater discharge based on an
633		observationally constrained radium isotope model. Geophys Res Lett 41(23):8438-8444.
634	62.	Karstensen J, Stramma L, Visbeck M (2008) Oxygen minimum zones in the eastern
635		tropical Atlantic and Pacific oceans. Prog Oceanogr 77(4):331–350.
636	63.	Ditullio GR, et al. (2003) Phytoplankton assemblage structure and primary productivity
637		along 170 ° W in the South Pacific Ocean. 255:55–80.
638	64.	Zinser ER, et al. (2007) Influence of light and temperature on <i>Prochlorococcus</i> ecotype
639		distributions in the Atlantic Ocean. Limnol Oceanogr 52(5):2205–2220.
640	65.	Schlitzer R, et al. (2018) The GEOTRACES Intermediate Data Product 2017. Chem Geol
641		493:210–223.
642	66.	Saito MA, et al. (2017) The acceleration of dissolved cobalt's ecological stoichiometry
643		due to biological uptake, remineralization, and scavenging in the Atlantic Ocean.
644		Biogeosciences 14:4637–4662.
645	67.	Xu Y, Tang D, Shaked Y, Morel FMM (2007) Zinc, cadmium, and cobalt
646		interreplacement and relative use efficiencies in the coccolithophore <i>Emiliania huxleyi</i> .
647		<i>Limnol Oceanogr</i> 52(5):2294–2305.
648	68.	John SG, Helgoe J, Townsend E (2018) Biogeochemical cycling of Zn and Cd and their
649		stable isotopes in the Eastern Tropical South Pacific. Mar Chem 201:256–262.
650	69.	Bruland KW (1989) Complexation of zinc by natural organic ligands in the central North
651		Pacific. Limnol Oceanogr 34(2):269–285.

- 652 70. Noble AE, Ohnemus DC, Hawco NJ, Lam PJ, Saito MA (2017) Coastal sources, sinks and 653 strong organic complexation of dissolved cobalt within the US North Atlantic 654 GEOTRACES transect GA03. *Biogeosciences* 14(11):2715–2739.
- 655 71. Braakman R, Follows MJ, Chisholm SW (2015) Metabolic evolution and the selforganization of ecosystems. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 114(15), 3091-3100. 656 657 doi:10.1073/pnas.1619573114.
- 658 72. Sperling EA, et al. (2015) Statistical analysis of iron geochemical data suggests limited 659 late Proterozoic oxygenation. Nature 523(7561):451-454.
- Partensky F, Garczarek L (2010) Prochlorococcus: Advantages and Limits of 660 73. 661 Minimalism. Ann Rev Mar Sci 2:305–331.
- 74. Biller SJ, Berube PM, Lindell D, Chisholm SW (2015) Prochlorococcus: the structure and 662 funciton of collective diversity. Nat Rev Micro 13(1):13-27. 663
- Perez AA, Liu Z, Rodionov DA, Li Z, Bryant DA (2016) Complementation of Cobalamin 664 75. 665 Auxotrophy in Synechococcus sp. Strain PCC 7002 and Validation of a Putative Cobalamin Riboswitch In Vivo. J Bacteriol 198(19):2743-2752. 666
- 667 76. Wilhelm SW, Trick CG (1995) Effects of Vitamin B12 Concentration on Chemostat Cultured Synechococcus Sp Strain PCC 7002. Can J Microbiol 41(2):145-151. 668
- Kolberg M, Strand KR, Graff P, Andersson KK (2004) Structure, function, and 669 77. 670 mechanism of ribonucleotide reductases. Biochim Biophys Acta (BBA)-Proteins Proteomics 1699(1-2):1-34. 671
- Doxey AC, Kurtz DA, Lynch MDJ, Sauder LA, Neufeld JD (2015) Aquatic metagenomes 672 78. 673 implicate Thaumarchaeota in global cobalamin production. ISME J 9(2):461.
- 674 79. Flombaum P, et al. (2013) Present and future global distributions of the marine Cyanobacteria Prochlrococcus and Synechococcus. Proc Natl Acad Sci 110(24):9824-675 9829. 676
- Helliwell KE, et al. (2016) Cyanobacteria and Eukaryotic Algae Use Different Chemical 677 80. Variants of Vitamin B12. Curr Biol 26(8):999-1008. 678
- Saito MA, et al. (2011) Iron conservation by reduction of metalloenzyme inventories in 679 81. 680 the marine diazotroph Crocosphaera watsonii. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108(6):2184–9.
- Gouretski V, Koltermann KP (2004) WOCE global hydrographic climatology. Berichte 681 82. *des BSH* 35:1–52. 682
- 683
- 684
- 685
- 686
- 687
- 688
- 689
- 690
- 691
- 692
- 693
- 694
- 695



699



700 701

702 Figure 1. Growth experiments with *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215, originally isolated from the 703 Equatorial Pacific Ocean. A) The exponential growth rate of *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 as a 704 function of iron (white) and cobalt (blue) concentrations (calculated as the sum of inorganic 705 metal species, Fe' and Co', in equilibrium with EDTA, see methods). B) Dependence of specific 706 growth rate on acquired Fe and Co (i.e. the cell quota), measured by ICPMS. Lines show best fit curves for Eq. 1 and derived values of the minimum cell quota, q_{min} (R² = 0.96 for both 707 708 elements). C) Cell quotas (± 1SD) of cobalt, the cobalamin-dependent ribonucleotide reductase 709 (NrdJ, blue), and the cobalamin-dependent methionine synthase (MetH, pink). Proteins were 710 quantified by selected reaction monitoring of four tryptic peptides (colored bars) in large volume cultures of cobalt-limited *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 ($\mu = 0.20$ day⁻¹; see Table S7). Grey lines 711 show predicted levels of these enzymes needed to support maximum growth rates (0.6 day^{-1}) 712 713 observed in these experiments via use efficiency calculations (Table S4).

714



715

Figure 2. The distribution of dissolved cobalt (dCo) in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean. A) Station 716 locations (pink circles) from the Metzyme expedition (KM1128) relative to low oxygen water 717 masses originating on the Peruvian and Mexican margins. Contours mark 25 µM intervals in 718 dissolved oxygen (O_2) between 25-100 μ M at 200m depth from the WOCE gridded atlas (82). 719 720 Blue shading highlights low dissolved oxygen. B) A latitudinal section of dissolved oxygen 721 concentrations from the Metzyme expedition. C) Dissolved cobalt concentrations (operationally 722 defined as the concentration passing 0.2 µm filter) and D) dissolved phosphate (PO₄) along the 723 same section. E) The full depth distribution of dissolved cobalt overlain with dissolved oxygen 724 contours at 25 µM intervals between 0-100 µM O₂, which highlights their correlation throughout the water column (Fig. S3). 725







Figure 3. Cobalt and phosphate profiles in the South Pacific Ocean from the Metzyme expedition. Removal of dissolved cobalt (A) and dissolved phosphate (B) in the surface ocean is matched by surface maxima of particulate cobalt (C) and particulate phosphorus (D). For A-D, colors and shapes indicate station locations. E) The ratio of cobalt to phosphorus in the euphotic zone (0-100 m) along the Metzyme transect in both dissolved (pink) and particulate (blue) phases. Dotted black lines indicate the Co:P composition of cobalt-limited Prochlorococcus MIT9215 biomass at threshold growth rates of 0 and 95% μ_{max} via Eq. 1 (see Table S1).







757 Figure 4. Global analysis of cobalt depletion in the marine habitat of *Prochlorococcus*, defined 758 as waters with temperature >12°C. Colors represent the relative pixel density of modelled 759 stoichiometry of total Co:PO₄ and Fe:PO₄ (the sum of dissolved and particulate phases) in the 760 surface ocean, with pink colors indicating a large number of pixels (i.e. model grid cells). 761 Crosses indicate measurements of dissolved Co, Fe, and PO₄ from the GEOTRACES 762 Intermediate Data Product 2017 (65) from the surface mixed layer (0–30 m). Minimum Co and 763 Fe requirements of *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 are used to define growth limitation domains for 764 Co and Fe (solid black lines). Dashed lines mark the shift in nutrient limitation domains if cobalt requirements increased by 10-fold (a Co:P ratio of 260 x10⁻⁶ at 95% μ_{max}), which corresponds to 765 766 Co:P ratios of *Emiliana huxleyi* cultures grown in low Zn media (67). 767 768

769

Supplemental Information for

Minimal cobalt metabolism in the marine cyanobacterium Prochlorococcus

Nicholas J. Hawco^{1,2,†,*}, Matthew M. McIlvin¹, Randelle M. Bundy^{1,3}, Alessandro Tagliabue⁴, Tyler J. Goepfert^{1,5}, Dawn M. Moran¹, Luis Valentin-Alvarado^{1,6}, Giacomo R. DiTullio⁷ and Mak A. Saito^{1*}

¹ Department of Marine Chemistry and Geochemistry, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole MA, USA

² Department of Earth Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles CA, USA

³ School of Oceanography, University of Washington, Seattle WA, USA

⁴ Department of Earth, Ocean and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool UK

⁵ School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe AZ, USA

⁶ Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley CA USA

⁷ Hollings Marine Lab, College of Charleston, Charleston SC, USA

[†]Present address: Department of Oceanography, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu HI

* Corresponding Authors. Contact: <u>hawco@hawaii.edu</u>, 1000 Pope Road, 808-956-2613; <u>msaito@whoi.edu</u>, 266 Woods Hole Road MS#51, 508-289-2393.

This PDF file includes:

- 1. Supplemental Methods
- 2. Supplemental References
- 3. Supplemental Figures S1- S11
- 4. Supplemental Tables S1 to S8

1. Supplemental methods

Culturing procedures

Prochlorococcus strain MIT9215 was originally isolated from the Equatorial Pacific in Oct. 1992 (1). Axenic cultures were provided by the Chisholm lab at MIT and grown at 27°C in a custom incubator under a 14:10 light:dark cycle at a peak light intensity of 75 µmol photon m⁻² s⁻¹. For all experiments, cells were inoculated at 1% media volume or lower from cultures in mid-late exponential phase. Growth was monitored by *in vivo* chlorophyll fluorescence. Cultures were grown in a modified ProTM media (2) where concentrations of inorganic metal species (denoted as metal') are stabilized by equilibrium with ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) (3). At an EDTA concentration of 11.7 µM, the ratio of Fe' to total iron is 10^{-1.94} and the ratio of Co' to total cobalt is $10^{-2.81}$ (4). Background iron and cobalt concentrations were determined to be 0.3 and 0.01 nM, respectively. For all experiments, Fe' (maximum 135 pM) was below Fe hydroxide solubility thresholds (~500 pM (5)).

Cells were harvested by centrifugation, digested in 5% nitric acid, and analyzed by ICP-MS (4). Samples were corrected for matrix effects using a 1ppb In internal standard and calibrated relative to a 1-100 ppb standard curve made from a certified multielement standard (Spex Certiprep). Duplicate analyses of cell digests agreed within 5% for both Co and Fe, except at Co levels below 30 atoms per cell which agreed to ~20%. Process blanks were subtracted from measured concentrations. The mean blank for this dataset was 0.022 pmol for Co and 12.4 pmol for Fe. Detection limits (as 3-times the standard deviation of the blank, n=12) were 0.044 pmol for Co and 30 pmol for Fe. Phosphorus concentrations were also measured by ICP-MS and calibrated to a separate standard curve ranging from 100–1500 ppb sodium phosphate, which was cross-calibrated to a certified 1 ppm P standard (Alfa Aesar Specpure). Metal and P concentrations in digestions were scaled to original culture volume and divided by cell number to derive per cell quotas. Harvested cells were not washed with chelating solutions (6) to remove extracellularly-bound metals, but low Fe' concentrations used in these experiments suggest minimal Fe precipitation onto cell surfaces, consistent with laboratory validation studies (7).

For all samples where quotas were measured, cell number was determined by flow cytometry on a Guava Easycyte HT instrument with Incyte 3.1 software. Cultures were serially diluted in filtered oligotrophic seawater and counted using a red fluorescence gate until 5000 events were recorded. This instrument was calibrated monthly with beads. Dilutions with cell concentrations in the range of $0.5-2 \times 10^5$ cells per ml were used to calculate original cell number. No difference was observed in cell number of nutrient replete, exponential phase cultures preserved with 5% paraformaldehvde, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C (cobalt-limitation series, 5.3 x 10⁷ cells/ml) versus cells that were frozen directly at -80 °C (iron-limitation series, 5.5×10^7 cells/ml). Cell density of media supernatant following centrifugation was also measured to evaluate harvesting efficiency by this method. In general, harvesting efficiency by centrifugation was >95%, except at low cell densities where harvesting efficiency was still >80%. Agreement between cellular P quotas $(1.1 \pm 0.4 \times 10^7)$ atoms per cell, Table S2) and literature data for this strain $(0.4-0.7 \times 10^7 \text{ atoms per cell (8)})$ and *Prochlorococcus* Med4 (0.2–2 x 10^7 atoms per cell (9)), indicate that ICPMS and flow cytometry determinations are robust. The 1.7 M bp genome of Prochlorococcus MIT9215 alone accounts for 0.3×10^7 P atoms per cell, a considerable fraction of the cellular P quota. Metal:C ratios were

estimated as the mean of two approaches: 1) a conversion of metal cell⁻¹ quotas using a C cell⁻¹ quota $(1.85 \pm 0.23 \times 10^9 \text{ C} \text{ atoms cell}^{-1})$ and 2) the product of the metal:P ratio and the P:C ratio $(325 \pm 74 \text{ mol:mol})$. Both conversion factors reflect published measurements of the *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 strain (8) and uncertainties are propagated throughout.

Additional culturing protocols, plasticware cleaning procedures, media preparation, and measurement of growth rates and cell quotas are identical to those described by Hawco and Saito (2018) and methodological details can be found there (4). All curve fitting was performed with using least-squares routines in Sigma Plot 12.

Protein Extraction and Global Proteomic Analysis

Soluble proteins were extracted from cell pellets and digested using a detergent-free method (10). Biomass was resuspended in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate and sonicated on ice at 70% duty for two 4-minute intervals and then centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C. 200 μ l of supernatant was precipitated in 800 μ l acetone at -20°C for several days. The supernatant solution was removed after spinning at 14,000 rpm at 4°C and precipitated proteins were dried on a speed-vac (Thermo) for several minutes.

Extracted protein was resuspended with 125 μ l of 6M urea in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate and heated to 95°C to dissolve. 100 μ l of this sample was then incubated with 5 μ l of 200 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) for 1 hour at 56°C, 20 μ l of 200 mM iodoacetamide for 1 hour at room temperature, and finally for 1 hour at room temperature with an additional 20 μ l of 200 mM DTT. Samples were diluted to 1 ml in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate and trypsin (Promega Gold) was added at a 1:50 ratio to total protein, quantified by a detergent compatible (DC) colorimetric assay (Bio-Rad) at 750 nm on a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu). Samples were digested overnight at 37°C. Peptides were concentrated by speed-vac and then diluted with an LC-MS buffer containing 98% water, 2% acetonitrile, and 0.1% formic acid to a final protein concentration of 0.1 μ g/ μ l.

Global proteome composition was analyzed by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS) following previously described procedures (11). Protein extracts were analyzed in duplicate. Raw mass spectrometry files were searched using *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 genome (downloaded from NCBI) and scored through the Sequest algorithm using Proteome Discoverer software (Thermo). Mass tolerance settings of 10 ppm for parent ions and 0.02 Da for fragments were used with fixed cysteine carbamidomethylation (+57), variable methionine oxidation (+16), and a maximum of 2 missed trypsin cleavages. Processed data were assembled and spectra were counted using Scaffold 4.7.3 with 95% minimum peptide thresholds and 99.9% minimum protein thresholds applied. False discovery rates for the cobalt gradient experiment (10, 3, 1 and 0.6 nM Co) was calculated to be 0.2% for decoy peptides and 5.5% for decoy proteins.

A Fisher's Exact test was applied to 584 detected proteins to compare spectral counts between cobalt-limited samples (0.6 and 1 nM Co) and cobalt replete samples (3 and 10 nM Co) with significance being determined at p<0.01. Prior to plotting, this dataset was reduced by eliminating proteins that were not identified in each of the 4 samples (2 treatments with 2 technical replicates) within the cobalt-limited or cobalt-replete groups. Figure S2B shows the 121 proteins that meet these criteria, 20 of which were significantly more abundant and 14 of which were significant less abundant (Table S9), with error bars in Fig. S2B reflecting the standard deviation between 4 samples.

Quantitative Protein Measurements of NrdJ and MetH

Due to their low abundance, neither NrdJ nor MetH were detected using the strict settings needed to interpret global proteomes. Instead, *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 methionine synthase (*metH*, P9215_10151) and ribonucleotide reductase (*nrdJ*, 9215_07641) peptides were quantified by selected reaction monitoring (SRM) by targeted liquid chromatography mass spectrometry, which required additional *Prochlorococcus* biomass and the generation of isotopically labeled standards.

Large volume cultures of cobalt-limited *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 cultures (non-axenic) were grown in 8 L polycarbonate carboys and sampled over several days during exponential growth. Four 30 mL aliquots were taken at the start of exponential phase and grown in 28 mL polycarbonate tubes alongside larger carboys to measure growth rate under similar light and media conditions. Growth rates increased when additional cobalt was added to two of these aliquots to validate that cells were indeed limited by cobalt.

Chlorophyll fluorescence of aliquots collected in 8 L carboys during sample harvesting agreed with levels in 28 mL cultures, suggesting that growth in both bottles grew similarly over these short timescales (<7 days). Biomass for metal and protein measurements was harvested simultaneously. In addition to measuring cellular cobalt quotas in these samples (described above), the cobalt content of the acetone supernatant during protein extraction was measured after evaporating to dryness by speed-vac and digesting in 5% nitric acid. The cobalt concentration in these aliquots indicated that between 30-70% of the cobalt quota was released during sonication and, by inference, a similar percentage of cobalt-bearing proteins. It is likely that a greater fraction of NrdJ and MetH were extracted. Biochemical studies with homologous enzymes have demonstrated that both proteins are soluble in aqueous solution, suggesting that the protein extraction procedure employed is able to recover these enzymes (12, 13). Because detergent-free protein extractions discriminate against lipophilic proteins associated with plasma or photosynthetic membranes, cobalt bound to these proteins may account for the 'missing' cobalt quota. This may include cobalt-bearing intermediates of the B₁₂ biosynthetic pathway, which is probably membrane bound (14). However, the higher reagent blanks associated with measuring cobalt in protein extracts compared to acid digestions make the former determinations more uncertain.

Isotope labeled peptide standards were generated by overexpression of a pET30-a plasmid with sequences for *Prochlorococcus nrdJ*, *metH* and horse myoglobin peptides (Fig. S9) in competent *E. coli* cells (Novagen Tuner(DE3) pLysS). Individual colonies were selected and grown in 10 mL of ¹⁵N-labeled media (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Bioexpress Cell Growth Media U-15N, 98%) amended with 100 mg L⁻¹ kanamycin, overnight at 34°C. Afterwards, 100 µL of cells were inoculated into 10 mL of ¹⁵N labeled media and induced with 1 mM IPTG after 3 hours at 34°C. Induced cells were harvested after 24 hours at 20°C by centrifugation at 6500 g for 20 min at 4°C and frozen at -20°C. Cell pellets were then lysed with 1 mL soluble lysis reagent (Novagen Bug Buster protein extraction reagent amended with 25 units of Benzonase Nuclease) and centrifuged at 6500 g for 20 min at 4°C, after which the supernatant solution was decanted from the pellet of inclusion bodies. Inclusion bodies were dissolved in 6M urea and digested with trypsin according to the above protocol. Labeled peptides were analyzed by LC-MS to ensure complete trypsin digestion and the absence of detectible peptides with natural isotope abundance. A manuscript describing this method in detail is forthcoming (McIlvin and Saito, *in prep.*)

Labeled peptides were calibrated relative to commercial horse myoglobin (Fischer), which was resuspended in ammonium bicarbonate to 100 nM and digested overnight with 6 µg trypsin at room temperature. Addition of a known quantity of commercial horse myoglobin peptides (unlabeled) was used to quantify the concentration of ¹⁵N-labeled myoglobin peptides that were overexpressed in E. coli (Table S6). Because ¹⁵N-labeled Prochlorococcus peptides and ¹⁵N- labeled myoglobin peptides were cloned from a single sequence, the measured concentration of ¹⁵N-labeled myoglobin peptides is equal to the concentration of ¹⁵N-labeled Prochlorococcus NrdJ and MetH peptides. Digested, ¹⁵N-labeled peptides were added to digested Prochlorococcus peptides (0.1 µg/µl total protein) to a final concentration of 3.3 fmol/µl of labeled peptide. 10 µl of sample was injected onto a 5 µm C18 peptide trap connected to a 3 um C18 column. Peptides were separated with a Microhm Advance liquid chromatography system over a gradient of 0-95% acetonitrile over a 40 minute window. This gradient increased linearly from 2 to 40% over the first 30 minutes and increased to 95% acetonitrile over the next 5 minutes. Secondary mass spectra (MS²) were collected with a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo) using an inclusion list containing both heavy and light peptide masses. The ratio of labeled and unlabeled peptides was then used to determine the concentration of NrdJ and MetH in protein digests (fmol/µg protein digested, Table S7, Figs. S10, S11). Skyline targeted proteomics software (https://skyline.ms/project/home/begin.view?) was used to process MS² spectra and calculate peptide ratios.

Peptide concentrations were corrected for dilution and sample splitting during protein digestion to arrive at a cellular quota. We excluded two of the six peptides that were cloned for each enzyme due to anomalously low sensitivity of labeled standards or poor peak resolution in samples. The concentration of remaining peptides agreed to a reasonable extent (~20%) with relative abundance trends between samples being more consistent.

Following measurement of these proteins in large volume *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 cultures, NrdJ and MetH abundance was determined in protein extracts from the cobalt-gradient experiment (10, 3, 1, 0.6 nM Co). The relative abundance of NrdJ and MetH in these samples was quantified (e.g. Fig. S2A) but could not be reliably related to a cellular quota due to low extraction/retention efficiency at low protein concentration in these samples. The ratio of NrdJ to MetH peptides was similar between large volume cobalt-limited cultures (2.3) and cobalt-limited cultures from the Co gradient experiment (2.9), suggesting that relative abundance measurements for this dataset are robust (Table S7)

Dissolved and particulate cobalt distributions in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean.

The Metzyme cruise (KM1128) was conducted during October 2011, between Honolulu, Hawai'i and Apia, Western Samoa. The transect followed a North-South line at 155 °W to the equator and proceeded to the southwest to 15°S, 170°W (Fig. 2A). Trace metal clean sampling procedures and nutrient analyses have been fully described by Saito et al., 2014 (15). Samples for dissolved cobalt determinations were 0.2 μ m filtered with 47 mm Supor filters (saved for particulate analyses) in a clean space maintained by HEPA air filtration. Filtered samples were stored in 60 ml acid-clean polyethylene bottles at 4 °C and preserved with metal-free gas absorbing satchels (Mitsubishi Gas and Chemical) in heat sealed bags, with 3 satchels and 6 samples per bag.

Dissolved cobalt concentrations were determined by cathodic stripping voltammetry (CSV) using dimethylglyoxime (DMG) as a competing ligand following UV-oxidation to destroy organic cobalt ligands (16). Cobalt profiles from stations 1 (17°N), 3 (8°N), and 5 (0°N)

were published previously (15). All other samples were measured concurrently with dissolved cobalt for samples for that GEOTRACES GP16 dataset, following identical analytical procedures (17). During this time, stations 1, 3, and 5 were re-run and agreed with published values for these samples, except for samples from the oxygen minimum zone in Station 3 (8°N), where some cobalt (~20 pM) seemed to have been lost over ~2 years since removal from O₂-free bags, an effect that has been documented in the oxygen minimum zone of the North Atlantic (18). A blank of 4.6 pM \pm 0.7 pM Co was determined from 19 analyses of Chelex-100 treated, UV oxidized seawater (16). This blank reflects cobalt added from reagents and other sources during analyses. The detection limit of this dataset, calculated as 3-times the standard deviation of the blank, was 2.1 pM. Ocean sections in Figure 2 made using Ocean Data View version 4.7.10 (Schlitzer, R., https://odv.awi.de).

Particulate cobalt and particulate phosphate were measured on 0.2 µm Supor filters (47 mm) used for filtering seawater collected in 8 L X-Niskin bottles (15). Filters returned to the lab frozen and were digested in 50% trace metal grade nitric acid with 1ppb Indium at 90 °C for 3 hours, diluted to 5% nitric acid with ultrapure water (Milli-Q), and analyzed on an Element 2 mass spectrometer in the WHOI ICP-MS facility. Indium was used as an internal standard to correct for sample injection and dilution. The instrument was calibrated using external standards diluted from certified standards (SPEX Certiprep) and filter blanks were subtracted from measured values.

Analyses with the PISCES Cobalt Biogeochemical Model

Field observations of seawater Co:P stoichiometry were extrapolated to the global ocean using a recently developed biogeochemical model of the marine cobalt cycle (19). This model operates within the PISCES biogeochemical framework (20). All cobalt-related parametrizations are added without feedback to the base model (i.e. no feedbacks on phytoplankton growth, macronutrient uptake etc.). The cobalt model was rerun following an update to the underlying biogeochemical descriptions of the PISCES model to incorporate new descriptions of POC flux and remineralization (21). Despite no new changes to Co-related parametrizations, the new simulations represent an improved fit to observations compared to the published model and produce lower cobalt concentrations in the surface of the South Pacific Ocean, consistent with measured values.

To determine the total metal:phosphorus ratio for surface ocean grid cells, cobalt, iron and P pools across dissolved and particulate phases were summed. Grid cells with mean annual temperatures below 12°C were excluded from analysis because culture and field evidence suggest *Prochlorococcus* is not found in these waters (22). Model data are plotted in Figs. 4, S5, S6, and S8.

The fidelity of modelled Co:P and Fe:P ratios was assessed by comparison to highquality cobalt, iron and phosphate measurements compiled in the GEOTRACES Intermediate Data Product 2017 (23). Co-located Fe, Co, and P concentrations from the upper 30 m of the ocean were extracted (n = 231). This depth range was chosen to maximize the number of samples while avoiding upwelling signals derived from the nutricline. Of these measurements, 33 had Co:PO4 ratios below 26 μ mol:mol, mostly from the GP16 transect in the Eastern Tropical South Pacific (17). Because of significant uncertainty in these parameters at very low concentrations, minimum concentrations of 3 pM Co, 50 pM Fe, and 20 nM P were imposed. Note that because this database only includes PO₄ measurements, this threshold helps to acknowledge the presence of bioavailable dissolved organic phosphorus that supports phytoplankton growth at extremely low PO₄. The choice of these lower limits does not have a meaningful impact on the distribution of data in Figure 4.

4. Supplemental references

- 1. Moore LR, Chisholm SW (1999) Photophysiology of the marine cyanobacterium *Prochlorococcus*: ecotypic differences among cultured isolates. *Limnol Oceanogr* 44(3):628–638.
- 2. Moore LR, et al. (2007) Culturing the marine cyanobacterium *Prochlorococcus*. *Limnol Oceanogr Methods* 5:353–362.
- 3. Sunda W, Price N, Morel F (2005) Trace metal ion buffers and their use in culture studies. *Algal Culturing Techniques* (Academic Press), pp 35–63.
- 4. Hawco NJ, Saito MA (2018) Competitive inhibition of cobalt uptake by zinc and manganese in a pacific *Prochlorococcus* strain : Insights into metal homeostasis in a streamlined oligotrophic cyanobacterium. *Limnol Oceanogr* 63:2229–2249.
- 5. Sunda WG, Huntsman SA (1997) Interrelated influence of iron, light and cell size on marine phytoplankton growth. *Nature* 390(6658):389–392.
- 6. Tang D, Morel FMM (2006) Distinguishing between cellular and Fe-oxide-associated trace elements in phytoplankton. *Mar Chem* 98(1):18–30.
- 7. Ho T, et al. (2003) The elemental composition of some marine phytoplankton. *J Phycol* 39(6):1145–1159.
- 8. Martiny AC, Ma L, Mouginot C, Chandler JW, Zinser ER (2016) Interactions between thermal acclimation, growth rate, and phylogeny influence *Prochlorococcus* elemental stoichiometry. *PLoS One* 11(12):e0168291.
- 9. Bertilsson S, Berglund O, Karl DM, Chisholm SW (2003) Elemental composition of marine *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*: Implications for the ecological stoichiometry of the sea. *Limnol Oceanogr* 48(5):1721–1731.
- 10. Cox AD, Saito MA (2013) Proteomic responses of oceanic Synechococcus WH8102 to phosphate and zinc scarcity and cadmium additions. *Front Microbiol* 4(DEC):1–17.
- 11. Mackey KRM, et al. (2015) Divergent responses of Atlantic coastal and oceanic *Synechococcus* to iron limitation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 112(32):9944–9949.
- Goulding CW, Matthews RG (1997) Cobalamin-dependent methionine synthase from Escherichia coli: Involvement of zinc in homocysteine activation. *Biochemistry* 36(50):15749–15757.
- 13. Licht SS, Lawrence CC, Stubbe J (1999) Class II ribonucleotide reductases catalyze carbon-cobalt bond reformation on every turnover. *J Am Chem Soc* 121(33):7463–7468.
- 14. Patterson CJ, et al. (2013) Co(ii)-detection does not follow Kco(ii) gradient: channelling in Co(ii)-sensing. *Metallomics* 5(4):352–362.
- 15. Saito MA, et al. (2014) Multiple nutrient stresses at intersecting Pacific Ocean biomes detected by protein biomarkers. *Science (80)* 345(6201):1173–1177.
- 16. Saito MA, Moffett JW (2001) Complexation of cobalt by natural organic ligands in the Sargasso Sea as determined by a new high-sensitivity electrochemical cobalt speciation method suitable for open ocean work. *Mar Chem* 75(1–2):49–68.
- 17. Hawco NJ, Ohnemus DC, Resing JA, Twining BS, Saito MA (2016) A cobalt plume in the oxygen minimum zone of the Eastern Tropical South Pacific. *Biogeosciences*

13:5697–5717.

- 18. Noble AE, Ohnemus DC, Hawco NJ, Lam PJ, Saito MA (2017) Coastal sources, sinks and strong organic complexation of dissolved cobalt within the US North Atlantic GEOTRACES transect GA03. *Biogeosciences* 14(11):2715–2739.
- 19. Tagliabue A, et al. (2018) The Role of External Inputs and Internal Cycling in Shaping the Global Ocean Cobalt Distribution: Insights From the First Cobalt Biogeochemical Model. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 32(4):594–616.
- 20. Aumont O, Ethe C, Tagliabue A, Bopp L, Gehlen M (2015) PISCES-v2 : an ocean biogeochemical model for carbon and ecosystme studies. *Geosci Model Dev* 8:2465–2513.
- 21. Aumont O, et al. (2017) Variable reactivity of particulate organic matter in a global ocean biogeochemical model. *Biogeosciences* 14(9):2321–2341.
- 22. Zinser ER, et al. (2007) Influence of light and temperature on *Prochlorococcus* ecotype distributions in the Atlantic Ocean. *Limnol Oceanogr* 52(5):2205–2220.
- 23. Schlitzer R, et al. (2018) The GEOTRACES Intermediate Data Product 2017. *Chem Geol* 493:210–223.
- 24. Rii YM, Karl DM, Church MJ (2016) Temporal and vertical variability in picophytoplankton primary productivity in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 562:1–18.
- 25. Malmstrom RR, et al. (2010) Temporal dynamics of *Prochlorococcus* ecotypes in the Atlantic and Pacific oceans. *ISME J* 4(10):1252.
- 26. Xu Y, Tang D, Shaked Y, Morel FMM (2007) Zinc, cadmium, and cobalt interreplacement and relative use efficiencies in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*. *Limnol Oceanogr* 52(5):2294–2305.
- 27. Webb EA, Moffett JW, Waterbury JB (2001) Iron stress in open-ocean cyanobacteria (*Synechococcus, Trichodesmium,* and *Crocosphaera spp.*): Identification of the idia protein. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 67(12):5444–5452.
- 28. Raven JA (1990) Predictions of Mn and Fe use efficiencies of phototrophic growth as a function of light availability for growth and of C assimilation pathway. *New Phytol* 116(1):1–18.
- 29. Raven JA (1988) The iron and molybdenum use efficiencies of plant growth with different energy, carbon and nitrogen sources. *New Phytol* 109(3):279–288.
- 30. Sintchak MD, Arjara G, Kellogg BA, Stubbe J, Drennan CL (2002) The crystal structure of class II ribonucleotide reductase reveals how an allosterically regulated monomer mimics a dimer. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 9(4):293.
- 31. Kettler GC, et al. (2007) Patterns and implications of gene gain and loss in the evolution of *Prochlorococcus*. *PLoS Genet* 3(12):e231.
- 32. Drennan CL, Huang S, Drummond JT, Matthews RG, Ludwig ML (1994) How a protein binds B₁₂: a 3.0 Å X-ray structure of B₁₂-binding domains of methionine synthase. *Science* 266(5191):1669–74.
- 33. Banerjee R V, Frasca V, Ballou DP, Matthews RG (1990) Participation of cob(I) alamin in the reaction catalyzed by methionine synthase from *Escherichia coli*: a steady-state and rapid reaction kinetic analysis. *Biochemistry* 29(50):11101–9.
- 34. Heldal M, Scanlan DJ, Norland S, Thingstad F, Mann NH (2003) Elemental composition of single cells of various strains of marine *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* using X-ray microanalysis. *Limnol Oceanogr* 48(5):1732–1743.

3. Supplemental figures



Figure S1. A) Growth of *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 cultures as a function of the cellular Co:P ratio. Blue points show results from experiments where Co' concentration was varied but all other metals were held constant. The blue line shows a fit of the Droop Curve for these data ($R^2 = 0.96$, see Table S1). Yellow circles show results from metal perturbation experiments under a constant, limiting concentration of Co' (4). Media with additional Mn and Zn depressed growth rates while media with less added Mn increased growth rates. Additional treatments (+Ni, -Ni, +Cu) did not strongly affect growth and are unlabeled. Note the similarity between Co:P ratio and growth rate in both sets of experiments implies that Zn/Mn effects are primarily associated with competitive inhibition at the transporter site and not intracellular toxicity (see Hawco and Saito 2018). B) Evidence for additional Co uptake by *Prochlorococcus* under Fe limitation. Blue circles show linear relationship between cellular Co:P and the media Co' concentration ($R^2 > 0.99$). Red circles show Co:P ratio as cells become more Fe limited. Note that Co' in these experiments is held constant.



Figure S2. Proteomic measurements of cobalt-limited metabolism of *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215. A) Relative abundance of cobalamin-dependent ribonucleotide reductase (NrdJ, blue circles) and cobalamin-dependent methionine synthase (MetH, red circles) as a function of growth rate and cobalt concentration, determined by selected reaction monitoring of four tryptic peptides. White circles show relative change in the cellular cobalt quota, Q_{Co}, which has a maximum value of 780 atoms per cell. B) Whole cell proteomes under cobalt limitation. Vertical axis reflects the difference in assigned spectral counts in cobalt-limited samples (0.3 and 1.6 pM Co') relative to cobalt-replete samples (4.7 and 15.5 pM Co'), with positive values reflecting upregulation under cobalt limitation. Red lines follow trajectories of a two-fold up- or down-regulation. Select up-regulated proteins are annotated (see Table S8 for a complete list).



Figure S3. A) Relationship between dissolved cobalt and dissolved oxygen concentration for samples from 0–500 m from the Metzyme cruise (KM1128). B) Relationship between particulate cobalt and particulate phosphorus, also from samples between 0–500 m (note samples with elevated concentrations of both elements are all from the upper 100 m). The slope of this relationship is 110 x 10^{-6} (R² = 0.92).



Figure S4. Profiles of divinyl chlorophyll a (A) and monovinyl chlorophyll (B) during the Metzyme cruise, October 2011 (KM1128) for stations in the South Pacific. The divinyl chlorophyll *a* pigment is unique to *Prochlorococcus* and exceeds monovinyl chlorophyll *a* (synthesized by other phytoplankton) for each of these stations. Symbols and color scheme match those in Figure 3A-D. Note that the increase of both chlorophyll types with depth represents an increase in chlorophyll:C ratios (as a response to decreasing light), and not an increase in biomass. Studies in the North Pacific subtropical gyre have emphasized that *Prochlorococcus* growth rates are fastest in the mixed layer (24), where HLII *Prochlorococcus* ecotypes are most abundant (25).



Figure S5. Investigations of surface ocean Co, Fe and P stoichiometry in the PISCES biogeochemical model over the *Prochlorococcus* habitat (defined as waters with temperatures >12C). A) Modelled distribution of total cobalt (sum of dissolved and particulate fields). B) The ratio of total cobalt (panel A) to total phosphorus (the sum of seawater phosphate and particulate fields). C) The ratio of total Fe to total phosphorus. Note that this does not include refractory Fe pools from dust, which are not explicitly modelled here. D) the ratio of total cobalt to total Fe.



Figure S6. A) Distribution of cobalt poor environments within the PISCES biogeochemical model in the surface ocean. Purple shading indicates surface waters that are depleted in cobalt, having a Co:PO₄ ratio below the 95% μ_{max} threshold of *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 (26 x10⁻⁶, Table S1). Blue shading indicates regions where Co:PO₄ ratios are less than a factor of 10 above the 95% μ_{max} threshold (i.e. 26 < Co:PO₄ < 260 x10⁻⁶). The value of 260 x10⁻⁶ corresponds to Co:P ratios in zinc-starved *Emiliana huxleyi* (26). B) Distribution of iron poor environments. Purple and blue regions are defined as in A, representing 95% μ_{max} threshold of *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215.



Figure S7. Profiles of *Prochlorococcus*-specific proteins in the South Pacific Gyre from the Metzyme expedition (October 2011). Peptides corresponding to the nickel-dependent superoxide dismutase (NiSOD), the iron deficiency induced protein (IdiA), and Glutamine Synthetase (GlnA) are shown. The abundance of the IdiA protein, which may be an inorganic iron transporter, is indicative of Fe stress (27). These profiles also suggest that *Prochlorococcus* activity is greater near the mixed layer than in the deep chlorophyll maximum layer (75–125 m; Fig. S4). Original data are described by Saito et al. (2014) (15) and can be accessed at https://www.bco-dmo.org/dataset/646115.



Figure S8. Predicted regions of *Prochlorococcus* cobalt limitation if minimum cobalt requirements were 10-fold higher than measured values, as found in *Emiliana huxleyi* grown in low Zn media. Under these conditions, cobalt limitation is expected to exceed iron and macronutrient limitation for ~12% of surface waters >12°C. The distribution of cobalt depleted waters at this threshold is considerably larger (~55%, Figure S6) but Fe is more strongly depleted in most of that area.

<mark>ggatcc<mark>atg</mark>acaccagaatttctccgg<mark>agcgtgagcgaagtgggcattaccccgaaa</mark>acaccagaattccttcgc<mark>ggc</mark></mark>
TTTGGCGGCATGGCGAACCCGATTAAAAACTCCTGAATTTCTGCGT <mark>GTGGCGAGCCTGCTGGGCAAA</mark> ACACCAGAATT
TCTCCGG <mark>GTGTATCATACCAAACCGAGCTATCAGACCATTCTGGATGCGGTGACCAAA</mark> ACTCCTGAATTCCTTCGC <mark>G</mark>
AAAACGAAATTGAAGATCTGAGCCGCACACCAGAGTTCCTCCGG <mark>TTTAGCGCGAACGCGACCTTTCCGCGC</mark> ACTCCT
GAATTCCTCCGG <mark>TTTGTGGCGGGCAGCATTGGCCCGACCACCAAA</mark> ACACCAGAGTTTCTGCGT <mark>GCGGGCCTGGATAG</mark>
CGCGATTATTGCGCCGAACAAAAACTCCGGAATTCCTGCGT <mark>GCGTTTCAGGATCTGAGCATTCAGGATTTTAAA</mark> ACCC
CCGAATTCCTTCGC <mark>ATTAGCCAGTTTAACTTTCCGCGC</mark> ACTCCCGAATTCCTGCGC <mark>GTGAGCGATAGCAACATTCAG</mark>
CTGAGCCTGCTGGATGCGAAAACCCCCCGAATTTCTCCGG <mark>GTGGATCTGAGCACCATGGAAGAAAGCAGCTGGACCGC</mark>
GAGCCTGACCAAAAACGCCGGAGTTCCTCCGT <mark>TTTCTGGAAGTGAACGGCGATTGGATGGCGACCCATCGC</mark> ACCCCCG
AATTTCTGCGT <mark>CATAGCGAATTTAACATTAACCCGCTGTTTCGC</mark> ACCCTGGAATTTCTGCGCGTGGAAGCGGATATT
GCGGGCCATGGCCAGGAAGTGCTGATTCGC <mark>ACCCCTGAGTTTCTTCGT</mark> CTGTTTACCGGCCATCCGGAAACCCTGGA
AAAAACACCAGAGTTTCTGCGTGGCCATCATGAAGCGGAACTGAAACCGCTGGCGCAGAGCCATGCGACCAAAACTC
CGGAATTCCTGCGTCATCCGGGCGATTTTGGCGCGGGATGCGCAGGGCGCGATGACCAAAACTCCTGAATTTCTGCGT
GCGCTGGAACTGTTTCGCACCCCTGAGTTTCTTCGTGAACTGGGCTTTCAGGGCACTCCTGAATTCCTTCGC <mark>TTA</mark> CTC
GAG

Figure S9. Plasmid Sequence used for cloning ¹⁵N-labeled peptides for *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 NrdJ and MetH enzymes. Color scheme: Yellow: Restriction enzymes (5'BamH1, 3'Xho1), Blue: Start/Stop codons, Green: NrdJ peptides, Cyan: MetH peptides, Pink: peptides associated with a *Prochlorococcus* protein of unknown function, Grey: myoglobin, spacer peptides (colorless).



Retention Time (min)

Figure S10. Peptide chromatograms for the ribonucleotide triphosphate reductase from *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 (NrdJ, 9215_07641). Upper panels show light (unlabeled) peptides deriving from *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 biomass. Lower panel shows chromatograms for heavy (labeled) peptides. Different lines represent prominent ms² fragments. Color coding is consistent between upper and lower panels.



Retention Time (min)

Figure S11. Peptide chromatograms for the methionine synthase from *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 (MetH, P9215_10151). Upper panels show light (unlabeled) peptides deriving from *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 biomass. Lower panel shows chromatograms for heavy (labeled) peptides. Different lines represent prominent ms² fragments. Color coding is consistent between upper and lower panels.

3. Supplemental Tables

Table S1. Cobalt and iron limitation thresholds of *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215. Values of q_{min} are derived from least-squares regressions to the Droop Equation (Eq. 1 in main text) using the data in Table S2. Separate regressions were derived for cell quota (atom cell⁻¹), metal:P and metal:C ratios (resulting in different R²). Empirical metal use efficiencies, in mol C day⁻¹ (mol metal)⁻¹, are calculated as the maximum ratio of growth rate (u) over the metal:C ratio

are calculated as			$\frac{1}{2}$		<u>.C 100.</u>	P <i>G</i>
	Co cell ⁻¹	Co:P	Co:C	Fe cell ⁻¹	Fe:P	Fe:C
q_{\min}	14.8 ±	1.34 ±	6.05 ± 0.49	$1550 \pm$	0.26 ± 0.04	0.81 ±0.10
$(\mu = 0)$	1.3	0.09 x 10 ⁻⁶	x 10 ⁻⁹	170	x 10 ⁻³	x 10 ⁻⁶
20x q _{min}	296	$26.7 \pm$	121± 9.83 x	$31,000 \pm$	5.17 ± 0.79	16.2 ± 2.05
$(\mu = 0.95 \ \mu_{max})$	± 26	1.83 x 10 ⁻⁶	10-9	3400	x 10 ⁻³	x 10 ⁻⁶
\mathbb{R}^2	0.955	0.972	0.961	0.956	0.916	0.943
Use efficiency			1.55			1.52
(empirical)**			x 10 ⁷			x 10 ⁵

* μ_{max} Fe= 0.57; μ_{max} Co = 0.55

** = $max(\mu/metal:C)$.

are	85 ±				ь	.22E6	.87E6	.17E6	.51E6	.14E6		.92E6	.34E6	.18E6	.38E6	
alues	a of 1.5			S		7 2.	6 1.	7 2.	7 2.	7 4.		6 1.	6 1.	6 1.	6 1.	
lded v	C quota		Р	atom	cell ⁻¹	1.08E	9.25E	1.07E	1.25E	1.15E		9.61E	6.70E	5.91E	6.21E	
lon. Bo	ning a C				ь	7.0	6.7	7.5	10.3	27.6		5.4	3.5	2.19	0.91	
I limitat	d assun	raged).	Fe:C	ratio	x10 ⁻⁶	21.1	20.1	22.6	31.4	68.2		16.4	10.6	6.66	2.64	
and iror	alculate	are ave			ь	10439	9333	11129	15984	43739		7657	4258	2514	1140	
r cobalt	ios are c	proaches	Fe	atoms	cell ⁻¹	51307	46202	54760	79901	66841		38258	21283	12568	5108	
IIs unde	al:C rat	two app			ь	106	34	9.9	7.0	4.19		48	44	42	48	
ished ce	S1. Met	nol (the	Co:C	ratio	x10 ⁻⁹	321	103	39.8	21.2	6.84		147	132	127	141	
in unwa	n Table	74 mol:r			ь	157.8	47.3	14.6	10.8	7.0		68.8	53.1	48.1	56.0	
C1261	encies in	325 ± 7	Co	atoms	cell ⁻¹	<i>779.9</i>	236.4	72.2	54.0	16.7		341.0	264.6	240.4	272.8	
cus MI	e efficie	atio of			ь	0.042	0.039	0.048	0.021	0.012	0.018	0.018	0.019	0.036	0.025	0.055
lorococ	ietal use	a P:C ₁		ಗ	day ⁻¹	0.549	0.548	0.429	0.329	0.086	0.026	0.561	0.526	0.477	0.402	0.234
t Proch	irical m	ell-1 and		[Fe']	Mq	134.7	134.7	134.7	134.7	134.7	134.7	115.7	37.1	14.6	6.7	4.5
tents of	te emp	oms ce	[Fe]	total	Mn	12	12	12	12	12	12	10.3	3.3	1.3	0.6	0.4
2. Con	calcula	0^9 C at		[Co']	Mq	15.50	4.66	1.56	0.95	0.48	0.17	7.76	7.76	7.76	7.76	7.76
Lable 2	used to	0.23 x 1	[Co]	total	Mn	10.01	3.01	1.01	0.61	0.31	0.11	5.01	5.01	5.01	5.01	5.01

ble S2	. Contents of Prochlorococcus	MIT9215 in 1	unwashed cells ı	inder cobalt an	d iron limitation.	Bolded valu
d to ci	alculate empirical metal use efi	ficiencies in T	able S1. Metal:C	ratios are calo	culated assuming	a C quota o
3 x 10	⁹ C atoms cell ⁻¹ and a P:C ratic	of $325 \pm 74_1$	mol:mol (the two	approaches at	e averaged).	I
[0]	[Fe]	Co	Co:C	Fe	Fe:C	Ь

Quantity		Units	Reference, Derivation
Iron atoms in Photosynthetic	20	Atoms per photosynthetic	Raven 1990 (28)
chain	(23*)	complex	
Turnover time of PSII	250	Electrons per s	Raven 1988 (29)
Electrons per CO2 fixed	4	Electrons	$C(+4)O2 \rightarrow C(0)H2O$
Ratio CO2 fixed per C biomass	1.333	Mol : mol	Raven 1988 (29)
Iron Use efficiency,	0.427	Mol Fe per (mol C per s)	= 1.333 x 4 x 20 / 250
photosynthesis (24 hour light)	(0.491*)		
Iron Use efficiency,	0.731	Mol Fe per (mol C per s)	= 0.427 x 24 / 14
photosynthesis (14:10)	(0.841*)		
Iron atoms in respiration	42	Atoms per respiratory	Raven 1988 (29)
		complex	
Turnover time respiratory	250	Electrons per s	Raven 1988 (29)
cytochrome C oxidase			
Iron Use efficiency, respiration	0.224	Mol Fe per (mol C per s)	$=(1.333-1) \times 4 \times 42 / 250$
Total Iron use efficiency	0.955	Mol Fe per (mol C per s)	= 0.731 + 0.224
	(1.065*)		
	9.04 x 10 ⁴	Mol C per day per mol Fe	= 86400 / 0.955
	(8.11 x 10 ⁴ *)		
C per cell	1.85 x 10 ⁹		Martiny et al. 2016 (8)
Growth Rate (arbitrary)	0.4	Per day	
Fe quota, predicted	8200	Fe Atoms Per cell	$= 0.4 \text{ x} 1.85 \text{ x} 10^9/9.04 \text{ x} 10^4$
	(9140*)		
Fe quota, measured	5108 ± 1140	Fe Atoms Per cell	Table S2

Table S3. Iron Use efficiency c	calculations	for	Prochloroc	occus
--	--------------	-----	------------	-------

*including Fe use in Ferredoxin and Cytochrome C (versus substitution by Flavodoxin and Plastocyanin); assumes equimolar photosynthetic stoichiometry (i.e. PSI:PSII = 1)

Quantity	-	Units	Reference or derivation
Co:NrdJ stoichiometry	1	Mol Co : mol NrdJ	Sintchak et al. 2002 (30)
NrdJ Turnover time	2	Bases Per second	Licht et al. 1999 (13)
NrdJ output, daily	1.73 x 10 ⁵	Bases per day per NrdJ	$= 2 \ge 60 \ge 60 \ge 24$
Genome size	3.4 x 10 ⁶	dNTP bases*	Kettler et al. 2007 (31)
NrdJ demand	20.1	Copies NrdJ per cell per day	$= 3.4 \text{ x } 10^6 / 1.7 \text{ x } 10^5$
Co demand, nrdJ	20.1	Co per cell per day	
Co:MetH stoichiometry	1	Mol Co:mol MetH	Drennan et al. 1994 (32)
MetH turnover time	18	Methionine molecules per second	Banerjee et al. 1990 (33)
MetH output, daily	1.6 x 10 ⁶	Methionine molecules per day	= 18 x 60 x 60 x 24
Maximum methionine	1.2 x 10 ⁷	Sulfur atoms per cell	Assuming P:S ratio ~ 1 Heldal et al. 2003 (34)
Methionine demand	77	Copies met H per cell per day	$= 1.2 \times 10^7 / 1.6 \times 10^6$
Co demand, metH	7.7	Co per cell per day	
C per cell	1.85 x 10 ⁹	Atoms per cell	Martiny et al. 2016 (8)
Co Use efficiency, calculated	6.6 x 10 ⁷	Mol C per day per mol Co	$= 1.85 \times 10^9 / (20.1 + 7.7)$
Co Use efficiency, empirical	1.55 x 10 ⁷	Mol C per day per mol Co	Table S1
Growth Rate	0.20	Per day	Table S2
(μ_{max})	(0.6)		
NrdJ quota, predicted	4.0	Copies per cell	$= 0.2 \ge 20.1$
	(11.8)		
NrdJ quota, measured	15 ± 2	Copies per cell	
MetH quota predicted	1.5	Copies per cell	= 0.2 x 7.7
	(4.8)		
MetH quota measured	7 ± 2	Copies per cell	
Co quota, predicted	5.5	Atoms per cell	= 4 + 1.5
	(16.6)		
Co quota, measured	65 ± 8	Atoms per cell	

Table S4. Cobalt Use Efficiency calculations for *Prochlorococcus*

*1.7 million base pairs

Ribonucleotide reduct	ase NrdJ
SVSEVGITPK	AGCGTGAGCGAAGTGGGCATTACCCCGAAA
GFGGMANPIK	GGCTTTGGCGGCATGGCGAACCCGATTAAA
VASLLGK	GTGGCGAGCCTGCTGGGCAAA
VYHTKPSYQTILDAVTK	GTGTATCATACCAAACCGAGCTATCAGACCATTCTGGATGCGGTGACCAAA
SLLTGAAPGWHPPK	AGCCTGCTGACCGGCGCGGCGCGGGCTGGCATCCGCCGAAA
ENEIEDLSR	GAAAACGAAATTGAAGATCTGAGCCGC
FSANATFPR	TTTAGCGCGAACGCGACCTTTCCGCGC
YDVGELSEAGPAGCDSDK	TATGATGTGGGCGAACTGAGCGAAGCGGGCCCGGCGGGCTGCGATAGCGATAAA

Table S5. Peptide and DNA sequences of used in plasmid construction.

Methionine synthase MetH

ELEGCNENLVLSSPNVVER	GAACTGGAAGGCTGCAACGAAAACCTGGTGCTGAGCAGCCCGAACGTGGTGGAACGC
FVAGSIGPTTK	TTTGTGGCGGGCAGCATTGGCCCGACCACCAAA
AGLDSAIIAPNK	GCGGGCCTGGATAGCGCGATTATTGCGCCGAACAAA
AFQDLSIQDFK	GCGTTTCAGGATCTGAGCATTCAGGATTTTAAA
INLNSIFLDECIK	ATTAACCTGAACAGCATTTTTCTGGATGAATGCATTAAA
ISQFNFPR	ATTAGCCAGTTTAACTTTCCGCGC
YSFGYPACPK	TATAGCTTTGGCTATCCGGCGTGCCCGAAA
VSDSNIQLSLLDAK	GTGAGCGATAGCAACATTCAGCTGAGCCTGCTGGATGCGAAA

Myoglobin

VEADIAGHGQEVLIR	GTGGAAGCGGATATTGCGGGCCATGGCCAGGAAGTGCTGATTCGC
LFTGHPETLEK	CTGTTTACCGGCCATCCGGAAAACCCCTGGAAAAA
GHHEAELKPLAQSHATK	GGCCATCATGAAGCGGAACTGAAACCGCTGGCGCAGAGCCATGCGACCAAA
HPGDFGADAQGAMTK	CATCCGGGCGATTTTGGCGCGGATGCGCAGGGCGCGATGACCAAA
ALELFR	GCGCTGGAACTGTTTCGC
ELGFQG	GAACTGGGCTTTCAGGGC

	Injection	Peptide Peak	Peptide Retention	Ratio To
Peptide Sequence	Number	Found Ratio	Time (minutes)	Standard
VEADIAGHGQEVLIR	1	1	21.83	2.4049
VEADIAGHGQEVLIR	2	1	21.68	2.3136
LFTGHPETLEK	1	1	19.71	2.6456
LFTGHPETLEK	2	1	19.47	2.7566
GHHEAELKPLAQSHATK	1	1	15.33	2.5027
GHHEAELKPLAQSHATK	2	1	14.87	2.1853
ALELFR	1	1	25.98	2.7962
ALELFR	2	0.94	25.92	2.9433
HPGDFGADAQGAMTK	1	1	18.9	4.1635
HPGDFGADAQGAMTK	2	1	18.6	4.1598
Median				2.701

Table S6. Calibration of ¹⁵N labeled myoglobin peptides to 100 fmol ¹⁴N myoglobin peptides.

 Duplicate injections shown.

Table S7. Quantitation of MetH and NrdJ peptides in large volume *Prochlorococcus* cultures and comparison to cobalt quotas measured by ICP-MS. Measurements of strongly limited cells $(\mu = 0.2 \text{ day}^{-1})$ are shown in Figure 1C.

\ •	, ,		<u> </u>										
Growth	Abundance	Cobalt		Ribonucleotide reductase NrdJ (copies per cell \pm 1SD)									
Rate	(cells	(atoms											
(day^{-1})	ml ⁻¹)	cell ⁻¹)	SVSEVO	GITPK	GFGGMA	NPIK	VASL	LGK	FSANAI	FPR	Mean	±	
0.2	5.7E+07	98	13.5	0.1	12.6	0.2	15.4	0.1	14.3	0.2	13.9	1.2	
0.2	6.9E+07	86	15.6	0.3	12.5	0.2	17.8	1.0	14.3	0.3	15.0	2.2	
0.2	1.0E+08	72	11.6	0.0	13.5	0.2	15.2	1.7	12.3	0.1	13.2	1.6	
0.32	4.6E+07	70	11.3	0.1	8.7	0.4	12.0	0.1	11.3	0.3	10.8	1.5	
0.32	9.7E+07	65	10.8	0.3	9.4	0.1	12.6	0.4	10.4	0.4	10.8	1.4	
0.32	1.3E+08	62	15.1	0.2	12.5	0.4	16.2	0.6	14.5	0.6	14.6	1.6	

			Methionine Synthase MetH (copies per cell \pm 1SD)									
			FVAGSI	GPTTK	AGLDSAI	IAPNK	ISQFI	NFPR	VSDSNIQI	SLLDAK	Mean	±
0.2	5.7E+07	98	4.2	0.2	7.8	0.1	5.0	0.0	5.4	0.1	5.6	1.6
0.2	6.9E+07	86	5.7	0.4	9.5	0.3	6.7	0.7	7.3	0.8	7.3	1.6
0.2	1.0E+08	72	4.3	0.4	6.9	0.1	4.7	0.5	5.6	0.2	5.4	1.1
0.32	4.6E+07	70	5.6	0.1	8.9	0.1	6.1	0.0	7.4	0.4	7.0	1.5
0.32	9.7E+07	65	5.2	0.2	9.1	1.0	5.7	0.3	7.0	0.6	6.8	1.8
0.32	1.3E+08	62	8.2	0.5	14.8	0.3	9.1	0.2	12.4	0.4	11.2	3.0

Table S8. Spectral counting of *Prochlorococcus* MIT9215 proteomes from the cobalt gradient experiment. Only proteins identified in all cobalt-limited (0.6 and 1 nM) or in all cobalt-replete (10 and 3 nM) samples are shown. Fisher exact tests compare Cobalt Replete and Cobalt Limited groups.

Identified proteins n = 484										
Significant proteins n = 56 (Fischer exact p<0.01)	(Cobalt	Replet	e	Cobalt Limited					
	10	nM	3 r	ηM	1 r	ηM	600	pМ		
Upregulated proteins (n=20, Fisher exact p<0.01)	Α	В	Α	В	Α	В	Α	В		
50S ribosomal protein L20	3	8	6	2	15	33	4	13		
Photosystem II manganese-stabilizing protein	20	48	34	39	60	88	73	62		
60 kDa chaperonin 2	113	142	73	66	172	157	134	148		
50S ribosomal protein L2	71	111	85	80	176	169	119	136		
Helix-hairpin-helix DNA-binding protein containing motif	5	2	4	0	21	16	11	3		
class 1										
Thioredoxin	36	60	38	46	69	85	79	77		
50S ribosomal protein L7/L12	25	28	34	29	56	50	58	51		
ATP synthase subunit b	7	13	16	13	19	35	29	26		
Photosystem I protein PsaD	37	40	24	21	81	70	44	24		
Putative Branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase	24	20	12	14	37	39	33	31		
Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain	150	120	99	129	113	161	95	131		
50S ribosomal protein L 16	17	120	6	10	29	32	18	17		
Ferritin	17	12	13	0	32	17	35	18		
Histone like DNA-binding protein	62	12	7/	130	121	178	1/3	153		
50S ribesemal protein L 10	45	67	/4	24	00	74	75	133		
50S ribosomal protein L19	43	16	41	24	00	27	13	47		
Single stranded DNA hinding protein	23	10	13	25	32	37	20 12	42		
Single-stranded DNA-binding protein	/	11	10	14	12	10	13	23		
Nucleoside diphosphate kinase	18	12	10	0	25	18	24	14		
Putative DNA-directed RNA polymerase (omega chain)	19	47	24	35	49	62	46	47		
Protein GrpE	30	41	46	21	66	59	62	32		
Downregulated proteins (n=14 Fisher exact p<0.01)										
D-fructose 1 6-bisphosphatase class 2/sedobentulose 1 7-	42	110	24	14	65	33	40	16		
hisphosphatase	72	110	44	17	05	55	40	10		
Uncharacterized protein	75	52	77	64	63	48	63	55		
Ribulose hisphosphate carboxylase large chain	22	32 44	16	16	28	12	2	8		
Transketolase	75	59	45	44	30	45	6	3		
20S ribosomal protein S16	13	3) 18	-13 -18	46	30	4J 51	12	7		
30S ribosomal protein S4	13	25	20 18	12	13	6	12	ó		
ATD supplies a gamma shain	13	23 10	10	12	15	7	2	0		
Putativa niaotinamida nualaotida transhudraganaga, suhunit	0	7	10	11	2	2	5	0		
slubba 1 (A 1)	9	/	3	4	3	2	0	0		
ATD symthese subunit bets	100	101	70	00	104	124	61	70		
20S ribosomal protain S12	24	141	/0	09	104	124	04	6		
308 ribosomal protein \$13	24	14	10	0	10	9	8	0		
308 ribosomal protein 83	0	5 21	5	2	5	0	0	0		
SUS ribosomal protein L11	10	21	0	11		5 17	10	3		
305 ribosomal protein S10	15	11	5	13	9	15	2	0		
i nioredoxin peroxidase	16	14	U	3	/	3	3	0		
Not significantly regulated proteins (n=87. p>0.01)										
Ferredoxin-NADP oxidoreductase (FNR)	36	39	19	25	31	41	28	8		
50S ribosomal protein L17	25	29	24	21	51	43	37	27		

Not significantly regulated proteins (continued)ABABABABABABABABABABABCBCC<		10	nM	3 r	ηΜ	1 r	ıМ	600	pМ
ABC-type Fe3+ transport system, periplasmic component 21 25 12 16 42 32 24 S0S ribosomal protein L35 9 7 8 13 15 11 21 15 22 Uncharacterized protein 8 8 10 7 11 21 15 22 Uncharacterized protein 8 8 8 10 7 11 21 15 32 S0S ribosomal protein L23 8 7 8 5 8 6 4 0 Possible cAMP phosphodiesterase class-II 6 6 0 9 9 7 3 30 33 33 34 91 11 10 12 10 14 10 12 10 14 10 12 14 10 12 14 10 14 10 13 30 14 10 12 14 10 13 10 14 10 12 14 10 14 10 12 14 10 14 10 11 </td <td>Not significantly regulated proteins (continued)</td> <td>Α</td> <td>В</td> <td>Α</td> <td>В</td> <td>Α</td> <td>В</td> <td>Α</td> <td>В</td>	Not significantly regulated proteins (continued)	Α	В	Α	В	Α	В	Α	В
508 ribosomal protein 1.18'111553363149734045508 ribosomal protein L359781315171522Uncharacterized protein881071121247505 ribosomal protein L278785867010 kDa chaperonin91376191973335349111112205 ribosomal protein L372939333353491811111230510510122464181111123051053510044101113224264130234410111113232426413023242627111113242827111434372623242828271114529233434232428282711162324282827111623242828271116232428282711162324282827111625511714550343437<	ABC-type Fe3+ transport system, periplasmic component	21	25	12	16	42	35	22	24
50S ribosomal protein L35 9 7 8 13 15 17 15 22 Uncharacterized protein 8 7 8 5 8 6 4 0 Protoporphyrin LX Magnesium chelatase, ChII subunit 22 10 4 3 16 6 7 0 NI ND achapteronin 9 13 7 6 0 9 9 7 3 Sop sirbosomal protein L23 8 13 9 3 33 33 40 18 11 Sop sirbosomal protein S7 29 39 33 33 53 40 18 12 Signal pertide peridias SpA (Protease IV) 10 3 2 4 4 8 7 2 7 8 14 10 Signal peridic peridias SpA (Protease IV) 10 3 2 4 6 23 24 28 11 7 6 23 18 10 10 14 48 7 2 7 18 10 15 17 1	50S ribosomal protein L18	15	53	36	31	49	73	40	45
Uncharacterized protein 8 8 9 10 7 11 21 24 7 50S ribosomal protein L27 8 7 8 7 8 5 8 6 4 0 10 kDa chaperonin 9 13 7 6 19 19 17 30S ribosomal protein L33 8 13 9 33 33 33 34 9 18 11 17 10 12 30S ribosomal protein S7 29 39 33 33 33 33 34 9 18 11 17 10 12 20 2 21 20 2 31 30 0 4 10 13 7 8 14 10 13 12 20 2 21 12 23 24 26 14 10 13 11 17 14 10 15 11 17 4 18 10	50S ribosomal protein L35	9	7	8	13	15	17	15	22
508 ribosomal protein L2787858640Protoporphyrin LX Magnesium chelatase, ChII subunit913761919197ATP synthase subunit alpha6567364267733639Sobs ribosomal protein L238139321171012Sob ribosomal protein S72939333335491811Elongation factor Tu99133521007491111137Triosephosphate isomerase2129202313004Uncharacterized protein1819232424231410241811Elongation factor Tu9913352100749111113713004181724232314141010122314141024241811111414101014181410101414141015171511745511745511745511745511745511745511745511745<	Uncharacterized protein	8	8	10	7	11	21	24	7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50S ribosomal protein L 27	8	7	8	5	8	6	4	Ó
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Protonorphyrin IX Magnesium chelatase Chll subunit	22	10	4	3	16	6	7	Ő
ATP synthase subunit alpha 65 73 74 77 36 39 Possible cAMP phosphodiesterase class-II 6 6 0 9 9 7 35 S0S ribosomal protein L23 8 13 9 33 33 34 91 11 137 Triosephosphate isomerase 21 29 20 2 31 30 0 4 Uncharacterized protein 18 19 23 24 16 8 9 4 10 4 10 4 10 4 10 11 137 17 11 26 27 11 14 16 8 9 4 10 13 2 4 16 8 9 4 10 13 2 4 16 8 9 6 11 7 11 26 23 18 10 12 25 5 11 7 4 20 23 18 10 15 11 7 45 39 9 14 18	10 kDa chaneronin	9	13	7	6	10	19	19	7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ATP synthese subunit alpha	65	67	26	42	67	73	36	20
Forsing example exists 6 6 0 0 0 9 9 9 7 7 3 S0S ribosomal protein L23 8 13 9 33 33 33 34 18 11 20S ribosomal protein S7 29 39 33 33 33 33 35 16 111 137 Trissephosphate isomerase 21 29 20 2 31 30 0 4 Uncharacterized protein 48 7 2 7 8 14 10 Signal peptide peptidase SpA (Protease IV) 10 3 2 4 16 8 9 4 24 28 17 11 26 23 18 10 Carboxyoom shell protein CsOS2 37 41 49 29 73 47 6 11 7 4 5 9 11 15 17 14 5 9 11 15 17 14 15 10 15 17 14 15 16 11	Describle of MD phosphodiostores along U	6	6	50	42	07	0	50	2
Side Thosonial protein L2356139513111012Slos Thosonial protein S729393333534991111137Elongation factor Tu99133521007491111137Triosephosphate isomerase2129202313004Uncharacterized protein1819232426413923Signal pertide peptidase SppA (Protease IV)1032416894Photosystem I iron-sulfur center1719202346232428Chaperone protein DaK34372694126231810Carboxysome shell protein CsS23741492973476239Putative nickel-containing superoxide dismutase (NISOD)10125511745Pyridoxice 5-phosphate synthase9611915101517SOS ribosomal protein L318181811724231520Ornithine carbamoyltransferase, catabolic8101521418159Dihydrolipopid dehydrogenase171188161222Gutamine synthetase581425676141012 <td>FOSSIBLE CAMIF phosphoulesterase class-11</td> <td>0</td> <td>12</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>9</td> <td>9 17</td> <td>10</td> <td>5 10</td>	FOSSIBLE CAMIF phosphoulesterase class-11	0	12	0	0	9	9 17	10	5 10
JOS model protein S/2939333535353536491811Triosephosphate isomerase21292022313004Uncharacterized protein1819232426413923Signal peptide peptidase SpA (Protease IV)1032446894Photosystem I iron-suffur center1719202346232428Chaperone protein Dnak343726941262711Ribosomal protein S1242424171126231810Carboxysome shell protein CS2374149297347455Pyridoxine 5'-phosphate synthase961191510151750S ribosomal protein L13181811724231520Ornithine carbamoytransferase, eatabolic8101521418159Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase1711831513622Eloase25267611410125511745Optidin factor P121115416101222Eloase2526364022 <td>200 ribeserval materia 97</td> <td>8</td> <td>13</td> <td>9</td> <td>22</td> <td>21 52</td> <td>1/</td> <td>10</td> <td>12</td>	200 ribeserval materia 97	8	13	9	22	21 52	1/	10	12
Elongation factor 1u99133521007491111137Triosephosphate isomerase2129202313004Uncharacterized protein1819232426413923NifU-like protein4872781410Signal peptide peptidase SppA (Protease IV)10322416894Photosystem 1 iron-sulfur center1719202318101023242828271126231810Chaperone protein DnaK34372694129231810102316231810Carboxysome shell protein CsoS2374149297347623939131623181011745Pyridoxine 5"-phosphate synthase961191510151711831622016182016182016182016182016181612221618216022216182161012221618216161612221616182 <td>30S ribosomal protein S/</td> <td>29</td> <td>39</td> <td>33</td> <td>33</td> <td>55</td> <td>49</td> <td>18</td> <td>11</td>	30S ribosomal protein S/	29	39	33	33	55	49	18	11
Irrosephosphate isomerase 21 29 20 2 21 31 30 0 4 Wincharacterized protein 18 19 23 24 26 41 39 23 NifU-like protein 4 8 7 2 7 8 14 10 Signal peptide peptidase SppA (Protease IV) 10 3 2 4 8 9 4 Photosystem linon-suffur center 17 19 20 23 46 23 24 28 Carboxysome shell protein CsoS2 37 41 49 29 73 47 62 39 Putative nickel-containing superoxide dismutase (NISOD) 10 14 6 8 9 6 11 9 15 10 15 17 Sor ibosomal protein L13 18 18 11 7 24 23 15 20 Ornithine carbamoyltransferase, catabolic 8 16 15 11 8 15 16 12 2 Iohydrolpoyl dehydrogenase 17 <td>Elongation factor Tu</td> <td>99</td> <td>133</td> <td>52</td> <td>100</td> <td>74</td> <td>91</td> <td>111</td> <td>137</td>	Elongation factor Tu	99	133	52	100	74	91	111	137
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Triosephosphate isomerase	21	29	20	2	31	30	0	4
NitU-like protein4872781410Signal peptide peptidase SppA (Protease IV)1032416894Photosystem 1 iron-sulfur center1719202346232428Chaperone protein DnaK343726941262711Ribosomal protein S12428171126231810Carboxysome shell protein CsoS23741492973476239Putative nickel-containing superoxide dismutase (NISOD)1014689611745Pyridoxine 5'-phosphate synthase961191510151750S ribosomal protein L13181811724231520Ornithine carbamoyltransferase, catabolic8101521418159Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase171183151362Eloagation factor P12111541610122Glutamate-field protein125636402Eloayostin61641511101269Flavdoxin6164151110129Obs ribosomal protein L120127	Uncharacterized protein	18	19	23	24	26	41	39	23
Signal peptide peptidase SppA (Protease IV)1032416894Photosystem I iron-sulfur center1719202346232428Chaperone protein DnaK343726941262711Ribosomal protein S12428171126231810Carboxysome shell protein CsoS23741492973476239Putative nickel-containing superoxide dismutase (NISOD)1014689611745Pyridoxine 5'-phosphate synthase9611915101517750505052211418159Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase1711831513622201618Uncharacterized protein25636402228Elongation factor P12111541610122563640228Photosystem I PsaF protein (Subuni III)201273251600055161012551616415111015141016614151111111110<	NifU-like protein	4	8	7	2	7	8	14	10
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Signal peptide peptidase SppA (Protease IV)	10	3	2	4	16	8	9	4
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Photosystem I iron-sulfur center	17	19	20	23	46	23	24	28
Ribosomal protein S1242428171126231810Carboxysome shell protein CsoS23741492973476239Putative inckel-containing superoxide dismutase (NISOD)1014689611745Pyridoxine S'-phosphate synthase961191510151750505051724231520Ornithine carbamoyltransferase, catabolic8101521418159Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase171183151362Enolase256364022Glutamine synthetase58142567611410112694Flavodoxin61641511201615Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase870581428Photosystem I PsaF protein L11290207742Uncharacterized protein141171410101615SoS ribosomal protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein1411714101616SoS ribosomal protein L47221210797 </td <td>Chaperone protein DnaK</td> <td>34</td> <td>37</td> <td>26</td> <td>9</td> <td>41</td> <td>26</td> <td>27</td> <td>11</td>	Chaperone protein DnaK	34	37	26	9	41	26	27	11
Carboxysone shell protein CsoS23741492973476239Putative nickel-containing superoxide dismutase (NISOD)10146896117Glutamate-1-semialdehyde 2.1-aminomutase10125511745Pyridoxine 5'-phosphate synthase9611915101521418181520Ormithice carbamoyltransferase, catabolic81181811724231520Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase171183151362Elongation factor P2256364022Glutamine synthetase58142567611410112694Flavodoxin61641511201615Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase870581428Photosystem I PsaF protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein1415662016168Polytribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase201389<	Ribosomal protein S1	24	28	17	11	26	23	18	10
Putative nickel-containing superoxide dismutase (NISOD)10146896117Glutamate-1-semialdehyde 2,1-aminomutase10125511745Pyridoxine 5'-phosphate synthase9611915101517SOS ribosomal protein L13181811724231520Ornithine carbamoyltransferase, catabolic8101521418159Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase171183151362Enolase252210639201618Uncharacterized protein25636402Elongation factor P121111241610122Glutamine synthetase581425676114101129Flavodoxin61641511201615Detta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase870581428Photosystem I PsaF protein L120127325160050S ribosomal protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS12433291422	Carboxysome shell protein CsoS2	37	41	49	29	73	47	62	39
Glutamate-1-semialdehyde 2, 1-aminomutase10125511745Pyridoxine 5'-phosphate synthase961191510151750S ribosomal protein L13181811724231520Ornithine carbamoyltransferase, catabolic8101521418159Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase171183151362Enolase252210639201618Uncharacterized protein25636402Glutamine synthetase58142567611410112694Flavodoxin61641511201615Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase870581428Photosystem I PasF protein (Subunit III)20127325160050S ribosomal protein L112981115910550S ribosomal protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsOS1243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein141566 <t< td=""><td>Putative nickel-containing superoxide dismutase (NISOD)</td><td>10</td><td>14</td><td>6</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>6</td><td>11</td><td>7</td></t<>	Putative nickel-containing superoxide dismutase (NISOD)	10	14	6	8	9	6	11	7
Pyridoxine 5'-phosphate synthase961191510151750S ribosomal protein L13181811724231520Ornithine carbamoyltransferase, catabolic8101521418159Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase171183151362Enolase252210639201618Uncharacterized protein25636402Elongation factor P12111541610122Glutamine synthetase58142567611410112694Flavodoxin61641511201615Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase870581428Photosystem I PasF protein (Subunit III)20127325160050S ribosomal protein L112981115910550S ribosomal protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein141511111111 </td <td>Glutamate-1-semialdehyde 2 1-aminomutase</td> <td>10</td> <td>12</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>11</td> <td>7</td> <td>4</td> <td>5</td>	Glutamate-1-semialdehyde 2 1-aminomutase	10	12	5	5	11	7	4	5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pyridoxine 5'-nhosphate synthase	9	6	11	9	15	10	15	17
Sobs indocum E15101011 17 12 12 12 13 15 10 Drinthine carbamoyltransferase, catabolic8101521418159Dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase171183151362Enolase252210639201618Uncharacterized protein25636402Glutamine synthetase58142567611410112694Flavodoxin61641511201615Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase8705814428Photosystem I PasF protein (Subunit III)20127325160050S ribosomal protein L112981115910550S ribosomal protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein1415662016168Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase2031201550297650S ribosomal protein L472212 </td <td>50S ribosomal protein I 13</td> <td>18</td> <td>18</td> <td>11</td> <td>7</td> <td>24</td> <td>23</td> <td>15</td> <td>20</td>	50S ribosomal protein I 13	18	18	11	7	24	23	15	20
Onlimite carbonic carbonicaabb <td>Ornithine carbamovitransferase, catabolic</td> <td>10 Q</td> <td>10</td> <td>15</td> <td>2</td> <td>14</td> <td>18</td> <td>15</td> <td>20</td>	Ornithine carbamovitransferase, catabolic	10 Q	10	15	2	14	18	15	20
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Dihudrolinovi dohudrogonoso	0	10	13	2	14	10	15	9
Enolase 23 22 10 6 39 20 16 18 Uncharacterized protein 2 5 6 3 6 4 0 2 Elongation factor P 12 11 15 4 16 10 12 2 Glutamine synthetase 58 142 56 76 114 101 126 94 Flavodoxin 6 16 4 15 11 20 16 15 Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase 8 7 0 5 8 144 2 Photosystem I PsaF protein (Subunit III) 20 12 7 3 25 16 0 $50S$ ribosomal protein L24 9 0 2 0 7 7 4 2 Uncharacterized protein 14 11 7 12 19 18 14 13 Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1 243 329 142 243 326 307 249 245 Uncharacterized protein 14 15 6 6 20 16 16 8 Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase 20 31 20 15 50 29 7 6 $50S$ ribosomal protein L4 72 212 107 97 193 153 129 92 Uncharacterized protein 20 31 20 15 50 23 10 7 So ribosomal pro	Emplose	17	11	0	5	13	15	0	2 10
Uncharacterized protein25636402Elongation factor P12111541610122Glutamine synthetase58142567611410112694Flavodoxin61641511201615Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase870581428Photosystem I PsaF protein (Subunit III)20127325160050S ribosomal protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein1415662016168Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase2031201550297650S ribosomal protein L4722121079719315312992Uncharacterized protein2036252032243124DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta'241450181565S-adenosylmethionine synthase1523<	Enolase	25	22	10	0	39	20	16	18
Elongation factor P12111541610122Glutamine synthetase58142567611410112694Flavodoxin61641511201615Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase870581428Photosystem I PsaF protein (Subunit III)20127325160050S ribosomal protein L112981115910550S ribosomal protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein1415662016168Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase2031201550297650S ribosomal protein L472212107971931512992Uncharacterized protein2036252032243124DNA-directd RNA polymerase subunit beta'241450181565S-adenosylmethionine synthase152313102523107Cell division protein L320 <td< td=""><td>Uncharacterized protein</td><td>2</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>3</td><td>6</td><td>4</td><td>0</td><td>2</td></td<>	Uncharacterized protein	2	5	6	3	6	4	0	2
Glutamine synthetase58142567611410112694Flavodoxin61641511201615Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase870581428Photosystem I PsaF protein (Subunit III)20127325160050S ribosomal protein L112981115910550S ribosomal protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein1415662016168Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase2031201550297650S ribosomal protein L4722121079719315312992Uncharacterized protein2036252032243124DNA-directd RNA polymerase subunit beta'241450181565S-adenosylmethionine synthase15231310252310750S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein L32022	Elongation factor P	12	11	15	4	16	10	12	2
Flavdoxin616141511201615Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase870581428Photosystem I PsaF protein (Subunit III)20127325160050S ribosomal protein L112981115910550S ribosomal protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein2913652414106Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein1415662016168Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase2031201550297650S ribosomal protein L4722121079719315312992Uncharacterized protein2036252032243124DNA-directd RNA polymerase subunit beta'241450181565S-adenosylmethionine synthase15231310252310750S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein L32022 <td>Glutamine synthetase</td> <td>58</td> <td>142</td> <td>56</td> <td>76</td> <td>114</td> <td>101</td> <td>126</td> <td>94</td>	Glutamine synthetase	58	142	56	76	114	101	126	94
Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase870581428Photosystem I PsaF protein (Subunit III)20127325160050S ribosomal protein L112981115910550S ribosomal protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein1415662016168Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase2031201550297650S ribosomal protein L4722121079719315312992Uncharacterized protein2036252032243124DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta'2414150181565S-adenosylmethionine synthase15231310252310750S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein S5 <td< td=""><td>Flavodoxin</td><td>6</td><td>16</td><td>4</td><td>15</td><td>11</td><td>20</td><td>16</td><td>15</td></td<>	Flavodoxin	6	16	4	15	11	20	16	15
Photosystem I PsaF protein (Subunit III) 20 12 7 3 25 16 0 0 $50S$ ribosomal protein L1 12 9 8 11 15 9 10 5 $50S$ ribosomal protein L24 9 0 2 0 7 7 4 2 Uncharacterized protein 29 13 6 5 24 14 10 6 Uncharacterized protein 14 11 7 12 19 18 14 13 Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1 243 329 142 243 326 307 249 245 Uncharacterized protein 14 15 6 6 20 16 16 8 Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase 20 31 20 15 50 29 7 6 $50S$ ribosomal protein L4 72 212 107 97 193 153 129 92 Uncharacterized protein 20 36 25 20 32 24 31 24 DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta' 24 14 5 0 18 15 6 5 S-adenosylmethionine synthase 15 23 13 10 25 23 10 7 $50S$ ribosomal protein L32 9 7 8 9 7 4 14 8 $50S$ ribosomal protein L3 20 22 8 7 2	Delta-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase	8	7	0	5	8	14	2	8
50S ribosomal protein L112981115910550S ribosomal protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein2913652414106Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein1415662016168Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase20138914151111Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase2031201550297650S ribosomal protein L4722121079719315312992Uncharacterized protein2036252032243124DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta'241450181565S-adenosylmethionine synthase152313102523107Cell division protein FtsZ324924185241383250S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein S51712610202430Soluble hydrogenase small subunit9	Photosystem I PsaF protein (Subunit III)	20	12	7	3	25	16	0	0
50S ribosomal protein L2490207742Uncharacterized protein2913652414106Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein1415662016168Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase20138914151111Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase2031201550297650S ribosomal protein L4722121079719315312992Uncharacterized protein2036252032243124DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta'241450181565S-adenosylmethionine synthase15231310252310750S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein L915198182124172050S ribosomal protein L2941123898550S ribosomal protein L63326<	50S ribosomal protein L1	12	9	8	11	15	9	10	5
Uncharacterized protein2913652414106Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1243329142243326307249245Uncharacterized protein1415662016168Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase20138914151111Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase2031201550297650S ribosomal protein L4722121079719315312992Uncharacterized protein2036252032243124DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta'241450181565S-adenosylmethionine synthase15231310252310750S ribosomal protein L3297897414850S ribosomal protein FtsZ324924185241383250S ribosomal protein S51712610202430Soluble hydrogenase small subunit9505687850S ribosomal protein L915198182124172050S ribosomal protein L633<	50S ribosomal protein L24	9	0	2	0	7	7	4	2
Uncharacterized protein141171219181413Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1 243 329 142 243 326 307 249 245 Uncharacterized protein 14 15 6 6 20 16 16 8 Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase 20 13 8 9 14 15 11 11 Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 20 31 20 15 50 29 7 6 $50S$ ribosomal protein L4 72 212 107 97 193 153 129 92 Uncharacterized protein 20 36 25 20 32 24 31 24 DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta' 24 14 5 0 18 15 6 5 S-adenosylmethionine synthase 15 23 13 10 25 23 10 7 $50S$ ribosomal protein L32 9 7 8 9 7 4 14 8 $50S$ ribosomal protein FtsZ 32 49 24 18 52 41 38 32 $50S$ ribosomal protein L3 20 22 8 7 26 26 16 11 $30S$ ribosomal protein S5 17 12 6 10 20 24 3 0 Soluble hydrogenase small subunit 9 5 0 5 6 <td>Uncharacterized protein</td> <td>29</td> <td>13</td> <td>6</td> <td>5</td> <td>24</td> <td>14</td> <td>10</td> <td>6</td>	Uncharacterized protein	29	13	6	5	24	14	10	6
Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1 243 329 142 243 326 307 249 245 Uncharacterized protein1415662016168Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase20138914151111Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase2031201550297650S ribosomal protein L4722121079719315312992Uncharacterized protein2036252032243124DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta'241450181565S-adenosylmethionine synthase15231310252310750S ribosomal protein L3297897414850S ribosomal protein FtsZ324924185241383250S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein L915198182124172050S ribosomal protein L915198898550S ribosomal protein L2941123898550S ribosomal protein L633 <td< td=""><td>Uncharacterized protein</td><td>14</td><td>11</td><td>7</td><td>12</td><td>19</td><td>18</td><td>14</td><td>13</td></td<>	Uncharacterized protein	14	11	7	12	19	18	14	13
Uncharacterized protein1415662016168Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase20138914151111Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase2031201550297650S ribosomal protein L4722121079719315312992Uncharacterized protein2036252032243124DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta'241450181565S-adenosylmethionine synthase15231310252310750S ribosomal protein L3297897414850S ribosomal protein FtsZ324924185241383250S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein L39505687850S ribosomal protein L915198182124172050S ribosomal protein L2941123898550S ribosomal protein L63326272142302226	Carboxysome shell protein CsoS1	243	329	142	243	326	307	249	245
Polyribonucleotid Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase20138914151111Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase2031201550297650S ribosomal protein L4722121079719315312992Uncharacterized protein2036252032243124DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta'241450181565S-adenosylmethionine synthase15231310252310750S ribosomal protein L3297897414850S ribosomal protein L14211415112513207Cell division protein FtsZ324924185241383250S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein S51712610202430Soluble hydrogenase small subunit9505687850S ribosomal protein L915198182124172050S ribosomal protein L63326272142302226	Uncharacterized protein	14	15	6	6	20	16	16	8
Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 20 31 20 15 50 29 7 6 $50S$ ribosomal protein L4 72 212 107 97 193 153 129 92 Uncharacterized protein 20 36 25 20 32 24 31 24 DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta' 24 14 5 0 18 15 6 5 S-adenosylmethionine synthase 15 23 13 10 25 23 10 7 $50S$ ribosomal protein L32 9 7 8 9 7 4 14 8 $50S$ ribosomal protein L14 21 14 15 11 25 13 20 7 Cell division protein FtsZ 32 49 24 18 52 41 38 32 $50S$ ribosomal protein L3 20 22 8 7 26 26 16 11 $30S$ ribosomal protein S5 17 12 6 10 20 24 3 0 Soluble hydrogenase small subunit 9 5 0 5 6 8 7 8 $50S$ ribosomal protein L9 15 19 8 18 21 24 17 20 $50S$ ribosomal protein L29 4 11 2 3 8 9 8 5 $50S$ ribosomal protein L6 33 26 27 21 42 30 <td>Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase</td> <td>20</td> <td>13</td> <td>8</td> <td>9</td> <td>14</td> <td>15</td> <td>11</td> <td>11</td>	Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase	20	13	8	9	14	15	11	11
1 Statustic and label10<	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase	20	31	20	15	50	29	7	6
12^{-1} 12^{-1} 12^{-1} 13^{-1} 13^{-1} 12^{-1} 13^{-1} 12^{-1} 12^{-1} 13^{-1} 12^{-1} <td>50S ribosomal protein I 4</td> <td>72</td> <td>212</td> <td>107</td> <td>97</td> <td>193</td> <td>153</td> <td>129</td> <td>92</td>	50S ribosomal protein I 4	72	212	107	97	193	153	129	92
DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta' 26 36 25 26 32 24 51 24 DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta' 24 14 5 0 18 15 6 5 S-adenosylmethionine synthase 15 23 13 10 25 23 10 7 $50S$ ribosomal protein L32 9 7 8 9 7 4 14 8 $50S$ ribosomal protein L14 21 14 15 11 25 13 20 7 Cell division protein FtsZ 32 49 24 18 52 41 38 32 $50S$ ribosomal protein L3 20 22 8 7 26 26 16 11 $30S$ ribosomal protein S5 17 12 6 10 20 24 3 0 Soluble hydrogenase small subunit 9 5 0 5 6 8 7 8 $50S$ ribosomal protein L9 15 19 8 18 21 24 17 20 $50S$ ribosomal protein L29 4 11 2 3 8 9 8 5 $50S$ ribosomal protein L6 33 26 27 21 42 30 22 26	Uncharacterized protein	20^{72}	36	25	20	32	24^{133}	31	24
DivA-uncerted RivA polymetase subunit octa 24 14 3 6 13 13 6 3 S-adenosylmethionine synthase 15 23 13 10 25 23 10 7 $50S$ ribosomal protein L32 9 7 8 9 7 4 14 8 $50S$ ribosomal protein L14 21 14 15 11 25 13 20 7 Cell division protein FtsZ 32 49 24 18 52 41 38 32 $50S$ ribosomal protein L3 20 22 8 7 26 26 16 11 $30S$ ribosomal protein S5 17 12 6 10 20 24 3 0 Soluble hydrogenase small subunit 9 5 0 5 6 8 7 8 $50S$ ribosomal protein L9 15 19 8 18 21 24 17 20 $50S$ ribosomal protein L29 4 11 2 3 8 9 8 5 $50S$ ribosomal protein L6 33 26 27 21 42 30 22 26	DNA directed DNA polymerose subunit bete	20	14	23 5	20	19	15	6	2 4 5
S-adenosymetrionine synthase132313102323107 $50S$ ribosomal protein L32978974148 $50S$ ribosomal protein L14211415112513207Cell division protein FtsZ3249241852413832 $50S$ ribosomal protein L320228726261611 $30S$ ribosomal protein S51712610202430Soluble hydrogenase small subunit95056878 $50S$ ribosomal protein L9151981821241720 $50S$ ribosomal protein L29411238985 $50S$ ribosomal protein L63326272142302226	C adapaged mathianing synthese	24 15	14	5 12	10	10	13	10	5
50S ribosomal protein L3297897414850S ribosomal protein L14211415112513207Cell division protein FtsZ324924185241383250S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein S51712610202430Soluble hydrogenase small subunit9505687850S ribosomal protein L915198182124172050S ribosomal protein L2941123898550S ribosomal protein L63326272142302226	S-adenosylmethionine synthase	15	23	13	10	25	23	10	/
50S ribosomal protein L14211415112513207Cell division protein FtsZ 32 49 24 18 52 41 38 32 50S ribosomal protein L3 20 22 8 7 26 26 16 11 $30S$ ribosomal protein S5 17 12 6 10 20 24 3 0 Soluble hydrogenase small subunit 9 5 0 5 6 8 7 8 $50S$ ribosomal protein L9 15 19 8 18 21 24 17 20 $50S$ ribosomal protein L29 4 11 2 3 8 9 8 5 $50S$ ribosomal protein L6 33 26 27 21 42 30 22 26	50S ribosomal protein L32	9	/	8	9	25	4	14	8
Cell division protein FtsZ 32 49 24 18 52 41 38 32 50S ribosomal protein L3 20 22 8 7 26 26 16 11 $30S$ ribosomal protein S5 17 12 6 10 20 24 3 0 Soluble hydrogenase small subunit 9 5 0 5 6 8 7 8 $50S$ ribosomal protein L9 15 19 8 18 21 24 17 20 $50S$ ribosomal protein L29 4 11 2 3 8 9 8 5 $50S$ ribosomal protein L6 33 26 27 21 42 30 22 26	50S ribosomal protein L14	21	14	15	11	25	13	20	1
50S ribosomal protein L32022872626161130S ribosomal protein S51712610202430Soluble hydrogenase small subunit9505687850S ribosomal protein L915198182124172050S ribosomal protein L2941123898550S ribosomal protein L63326272142302226	Cell division protein FtsZ	32	49	24	18	52	41	38	32
30S ribosomal protein S5 17 12 6 10 20 24 3 0 Soluble hydrogenase small subunit 9 5 0 5 6 8 7 8 $50S$ ribosomal protein L9 15 19 8 18 21 24 17 20 $50S$ ribosomal protein L29 4 11 2 3 8 9 8 5 $50S$ ribosomal protein L6 33 26 27 21 42 30 22 26	50S ribosomal protein L3	20	22	8	7	26	26	16	11
Soluble hydrogenase small subunit9505687850S ribosomal protein L915198182124172050S ribosomal protein L2941123898550S ribosomal protein L63326272142302226	30S ribosomal protein S5	17	12	6	10	20	24	3	0
50S ribosomal protein L915198182124172050S ribosomal protein L2941123898550S ribosomal protein L63326272142302226	Soluble hydrogenase small subunit	9	5	0	5	6	8	7	8
50S ribosomal protein L2941123898550S ribosomal protein L63326272142302226	50S ribosomal protein L9	15	19	8	18	21	24	17	20
50S ribosomal protein L6 33 26 27 21 42 30 22 26	50S ribosomal protein L29	4	11	2	3	8	9	8	5
	50S ribosomal protein L6	33	26	27	21	42	30	22	26

	10 nM		3 nM		1 nM		600	pМ
Not significantly regulated proteins (continued)	ins (continued) A B		Α	В	Α	В	Α	В
Ribosome-recycling factor	7	0	3	4	9	2	6	5
60 kDa chaperonin 1	31	18	8	8	32	19	9	11
Putative IMP dehydrogenase	49	60	42	70	71	92	51	41
30S ribosomal protein S15	10	15	9	3	19	28	5	0
30S ribosomal protein S6	17	17	28	9	36	19	23	0
Two-component response regulator	20	14	11	11	24	17	21	14
3-oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] synthase 2	9	8	6	6	12	8	6	4
Two-component response regulator	8	7	2	3	10	5	3	2
ATP-dependent zinc metalloprotease FtsH	7	11	6	3	15	12	5	6
50S ribosomal protein L28	9	6	4	3	15	11	2	3
Photosystem II lipoprotein Psb27	11	5	7	4	12	7	8	2
ATP synthase subunit b'	14	8	8	3	15	5	9	7
Light-harvesting complex protein	12	10	4	6	11	13	11	8
Putative GTP cyclohydrolase I	11	9	7	9	15	14	8	11
Photosystem II D2 protein	5	5	4	4	6	13	0	0
Phosphate-binding protein	11	16	16	11	23	15	18	14
30S ribosomal protein S14	22	42	14	12	37	29	23	16
30S ribosomal protein S18	8	7	9	13	16	15	13	5
ATP-dependent zinc metalloprotease FtsH	14	8	7	7	15	15	7	10
Actin-like ATPase involved in cell morphogenesis	15	21	10	16	11	20	18	30
Photosystem II reaction center Psb28 protein	15	29	17	40	29	35	32	30
Biotin carboxyl carrier protein	9	16	6	11	11	20	9	9
Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	14	10	3	4	17	9	7	7
Uncharacterized protein	11	8	9	6	11	14	7	8
50S ribosomal protein L5	17	19	17	14	23	25	13	19
Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase	36	41	26	25	38	54	35	31
Putative potassium channel, VIC family	6	7	4	0	6	3	9	4
DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit alpha	66	62	33	53	85	61	70	46
Pentapeptide repeat-containing proteins	20	24	6	11	16	33	16	10
Cysteine synthase	5	9	2	0	5	5	4	5
DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta	12	9	6	0	11	8	8	6