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**Abstract**

**Background:** Policy responses to the Global Financial Crisis emphasised wide-ranging fiscal austerity measures, many of which have been found to negatively impact health outcomes. This paper investigates change in patterns of mortality at Local Authority level in England (2010-11 to 2017-18) and the relation with fiscal austerity measures.

**Methods:** Data from official Local Authority administrative records are used to quantify the gap between observed deaths and what was anticipated in the 2010-based subnational population projections. Regression analyses is used to explore the relation between excess deaths, austerity and wider process of population change at Local Authority Level.

**Results:** We estimate 231 707 total excess deaths, the majority of which occurred since 2014-15 (89%) across the majority of Local Authorities (91%). Austerity is positively associated with excess deaths. For working age adults, there is a clear gradient to the impact of austerity whereas for older adults, the impact is more uniform.

**Conclusions:** Fiscal austerity policies contributed to an excess of deaths for older people, and widened social inequalities for younger populations. These results call for an end to all austerity measures, and require further research into areas with the highest total excess deaths as a priority following the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Introduction**

The global financial recession of 2008 heralded a rise of fiscal austerity to reduce national deficits through varying combinations of expenditure cuts. In the UK, post-2010 fiscal policy constrained health and social care budgets, while decimating local government spending 1,2. Deprived people and deprived places suffered most, bearing the brunt of cuts to welfare provision and Local Authority service expenditure 1,3–5.

The erosion of the basic principles of a welfare state, specifically the public responsibility to provide for those in need, has served as the backdrop for debates on recent trends in stalling life expectancy emerging since 2014 6. This was thrown into sharper relief as 2015 saw one of the largest annual increases in mortality since World War II 7, particularly amongst older age groups (70+ and 80+) 8. This spike in older ages has been attributed to austerity measures leading to changes in income support for poor pensioners and spending reductions on social care 9. While many echo concerns over the impacts of such austerity measures 10–12, alternative explanations include statistical artefact, influenza, cold weather, and even new infectious agents 13–16.

We estimate the scale of change in mortality trends culminating in declining life expectancy during this period of fiscal austerity. The aim of our study is to investigate the gap between observed patterns of mortality and what was anticipated in the 2010-based subnational population projections at Local Authority Level. Population projections are core resources informing planning and decision-making across the breadth of public policy 17,18. Any significant changes emerging in the demographic components of population change must then be evaluated, particularly if such changes coincide with the type policy shift as observed in 2010. Local Authorities are a key conduit by which services and resources are channelled into local populations, each of which vary significantly in their age-sex structure and level of socioeconomic deprivation 19,20. Understanding the extent of mortality change and which areas were affected most is key for evaluating the experiences of population groups. We are not aware of any study that has estimated or explored these issues.

**Methods**

Subnational population projections are released every two to three years by the Office for National Statistics (ONS). Using the cohort component method, these model changes in the age-sex structure of the population over time, as well as detailed components of demographic change: births, deaths and migration 21. Using an initial starting population (e.g. 2010 mid-year population estimates), the population is aged forward annually while accounting for new births, deaths, in- and out-migrations.

First, we calculate excess deaths. Excess deaths, as defined in this paper, quantifies the gap between the anticipated vitality of the population according to the 2010-based subnational population projections (SNPPs), and subsequent reality as recorded in the mid-year population estimates. For the latter, we use the mid-2018 release which includes a time series of the components of population change since mid-2001 21. For the former, the ONS release expected counts of deaths according to the SNPPs by Local Authority, sex and single year of age, rather than the projected mortality rate 22. To avoid confounding errors in projected mortality with errors in projected migrations (which can have a significant impact on population size and therefore number of deaths), the projected counts of expected deaths are adjusted, multiplying the expected deaths by the mid-year population estimates over the projected population counts. Hereafter, reference to ‘projected deaths’ relates to our adjusted figure, rather than the raw numbers of deaths projected by the ONS. Excess deaths during the twelve months up to mid-year $y$ are calculated as:

$$ExcessDeaths\_{y}= ObservedDeaths\_{a,s,i,y}- [ProjectedDeaths\_{a, s, i, y}×\frac{MYE\_{a-1, s, i, y-1}}{ProjectedPopulation\_{a-1, s,i,y-1}}]$$

where, $y$ = year, $a$ = age in completed years at mid-year, $s$ = sex and $i$ = location.

The excess deaths are summed over age, by Local Authority, and sex. The results are expressed as a percentage where the number of excess deaths is divided by the number of projected deaths.

Second, we evaluate the association between total excess deaths (as a percentage of projected deaths) at the Local Authority level and austerity, and wider processes of population change. We hypothesise that areas with greater levels of welfare cuts due to post-2010 fiscal austerity measures will have greater excess deaths. We measured fiscal austerity using Beatty and Fothergill’s 4 estimates of the average impact of welfare reforms (pre- and post-2015) on household finances. This measure provides a clear pathway for how fiscal austerity may impact mortality patterns. The long established importance of income and income inequality as a social determinant of health 23 would suggest that reduced economic resources may directly and indirectly affect health and health behaviours influencing mortality patterns. Although our measure projects financial impacts forward to 2020/21, it is indicative of the severity and differentiation of austerity measures between Local Authorities.

We also hypothesise higher excess deaths in the most deprived areas pre-austerity given the heightened vulnerability of populations living in more deprived areas. Living in a more deprived area is associated with poorer chances of good health, increased risk of negative health-related behaviours, and increased mortality 24–28. The pathways linking poorer health outcomes to deprivation vary, and may include differences in the availability of local resources, or differences in exposure to local hazards or assets. We captured deprivation using the 2010 Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) 29. IMD is the preferred indicator used by government for measuring deprivation and is used in resource allocation decisions. IMD is a multi-dimensional index covering seven domains including employment, income and education. We used the average rank of overall score across the local areas within a Local Authority as our explanatory variable. The more deprived an area is, the lower the average rank.

Finally, we hypothesise that the relationship between area deprivation, fiscal austerity, and excess deaths will vary according to the local age-sex structure of the population, and patterns of population change. The mortality profile of a more youthful population will be significantly different from that of on older population, but this will be complicated by differences in migration patterns between areas 30. The age-profile of migrants into and out of an area between 2010 and 2018 may therefore influence differences in area-level mortality (e.g. high in-migration of older populations may increase mortality rates and excess deaths). Capturing these complex demographic processes is difficult and we have focused on four main elements of population change. Total proportion of the population aged 65 and over in 2010 was included due to established elevated risk of mortality in older ages. Change in net migration for ages 16-29 and ages 65 and over were included to account for potential changes to population composition that may have increased (i.e. in-migration of older groups) or decreased (i.e. in-migration of young populations) mortality rates. Finally, change in the dependency ratio for children relative to working age adults (neontic) and older people relative to working age adults (gerontic) were included to capture changes in age structure of areas. Dependency ratios are calculated as the ratio of the dependent group (whether children or older people) to the working age population (ages 15-64).

Statistical analyses included descriptive statistics and correlation coefficients to summarise overall patterns. We visualised raw estimates, as well as calculated the smoothed conditional mean using LOESS regression to generalise patterns. OLS regression models were used to assess associations between our explanatory variables and excess deaths. Models were stratified by sex. All analyses were undertaken in R.

Ethical approval was not required for the secondary analysis of open datasets.

**Results**

Table 1 summarises average excess deaths as a percentage of the projected deaths since 2010-11, and the annual count of excess deaths for the total population. 231 707 more people died in the period between 2010-11 and 2017-18 than anticipated (Table 1). 89% of these excess deaths have occurred since 2014-15, including 70 140 in 2017-18 (30% of the total excess deaths in the eight years).

[Table 1 here]

The average excess deaths across Local Authorities is 6.04%. 91% of Local Authorities had greater than 0 excess deaths (91% and 87% for females and males respectively). We found no association between excess deaths and deprivation ($r\_{s}$ = 0.02), but a clearer pattern emerged in respect of the average household financial impact of welfare reforms, particularly when stratifying by age. Figure 1 plots total excess deaths (as a percentage of projected deaths) by Local Authority and sex against the financial impact of welfare reforms. There is a weak positive association between levels of excess deaths and the impact of the welfare reforms ($r\_{s}$ = 0.21). This suggests that increases in the average estimated financial household loss at Local Authority level were associated with small increases in the level of excess deaths.

[Figure 1 here]

Figure 2 stratifies by age, differentiating between working ages (15-64), older ages (65-84) and elderly (85+). Since excess deaths are expressed as a percentage, its greater size at older ages indicates that those already vulnerable to mortality experience a greater increase of risk than others. For working age adults, there is a clear gradient ($r\_{s}$ = 0.52) with higher excess deaths in areas where the financial impact of the welfare reforms has been greater. The relationship for the older age groups was weaker ($r\_{s}$ = 0.14 for both groups). More than 85% of Local Authorities saw greater than 0 excess deaths for ages 65-84, rising to around 90% for ages 85+. For working age adults, 38% of Local Authorities saw greater than 0 excess deaths for women compared to 28% for men.

[Figure 2 here]

Figure 3 maps total excess deaths (as a percentage of projected deaths) between 2010-11 and 2017-18, illustrating the consistency to excess deaths across England. Despite few distinct geographical patterns, coastal areas have a tendency towards higher excess deaths. Excess deaths also increase radiating out from Greater London, notwithstanding some London-boroughs with higher excess levels.

[Figure 3 here]

Next, we examined the area-level determinants of the spatial distribution of total excess deaths through linear regression. Table 2 presents the final analytical model (model building process outlined in the Appendix 1) Deprivation is not included in the final model due to the strength of the inter-relationships with the financial impact of the welfare reforms 1,4. This was indicated by a high Variance Inflation Factor > 5 for financial impact of the welfare reforms (both men and women), and > 6 for deprivation (both men and women) in model 5 (Appendix 1). Excluding deprivation from the final analytical model minimised multicollinearity issues.

[Table 2 here]

For men and women, as the financial impact of welfare reforms increases, so does excess deaths (p < 0.001). Adjusting for wider indicators of population change (Models 1-5) was found to elevate rather than negate the influence of welfare reforms. As the proportion of the population aged 65 and over increases, so too does excess deaths (p < 0.001). However, as net migration of older adults increases, excess deaths decreases (p < 0.001 for men, p < 0.05 for women). Preliminary modelling suggested a similar relationship between net migration of younger people and excess deaths for men (model 3), this relationship disappeared when controlling for changes in dependency ratios (model 4). For women, increases in the neontic dependency ratio are associated with decreases in excess deaths (p < 0.05). In the final model, change in the proportion of the population aged 65 and over exerts the greatest influence on differences in excess deaths at Local Authority level for both men and women, though the effect is greater for men. For example, predicted excess deaths at the 5th and 95th percentile for the population aged 65 and over varies from 1.73% to 9.83% for men and 4% to 9.7% for women. Change in the financial impact of welfare reform saw similar variability for men and women across Local Authorities (3.19% to 8.7% for males, 4.56% to 9.69% for women). There was far less variability in the influence of either change in net migration of older adults or the dependency ratio for women, though the influence of net migration change on differences in excess deaths at Local Authority level for men was more marked.

**Discussion**

*Main finding of this study*

Since 2010-11, we estimate that 231 707 more people died compared to what was anticipated in the 2010-based projections. The majority of excess deaths have occurred since 2014-15 (89%) and have occurred in most Local Authorities (91%). We find evidence that the impact of austerity is positively associated with total excess deaths, with more deaths in areas where the financial impact on households was, on average, greater. While deaths were also greater in areas with older populations, changes in the age-structure of the areas over the time period were important.

Though deprivation matters for some (e.g. working age adults), estimates of average household financial loss attributed to changes in welfare provision are significant in shaping differences in excess deaths. Average household financial loss may reflect a more direct pathway as to how austerity policies matter for changing mortality profiles than wider socioeconomic deprivation reflecting resources and assets available to individuals in local areas 31. Our results suggest the continuation of or introduction of new fiscal austerity reforms will simply reinforce inequalities and cannot be justifiable on welfare grounds.

An older population at baseline, as well as net migration patterns for older people, were also important in explaining total excess deaths, though variation in the proportion of the older population at baseline exerted greater influence on excess deaths than differences in net migration by Local Authority. This raises questions as to the differential vulnerability of older populations to significant changes in welfare provision. Similar findings have been highlighted elsewhere 9,12. While our findings evidence the impact on older populations, we demonstrate a clear social gradient in how patterns of total excess deaths have affected working age populations (Figure 2).

*What is already known on this topic*

Despite more than a century of sustained progress, improvements in life expectancy have stalled in the UK, coincident with a marked spike in mortality for older people in 2015. Though part of this spike is attributable to the mismatch between the circulating influenza strain in 2014-15 and the available vaccine, this was otherwise a mild flu season32. Similarly, while many European countries have also experienced slowdowns to annual life expectancy improvements 33, these were not as severe as that experienced in England. This suggests the importance of the context of England (and the UK). Such dramatic changes are typically seen in times of crisis, whether war, famine or pandemic. Yet, for 2017-18, excess mortality equated to 70 140 deaths, exceeding the current estimates of lives lost to COVID-10 (as of 9 July 2020). Our results follow an emerging body of evidence demonstrating the negative impacts of constrained health and social care budgets, welfare reforms, and the precarity induced by the economic recession and recovery 10,34–36. While austerity policies pursued since 2010 have been identified as one contribution to changing mortality patterns, the scale of change in mortality compared to what was anticipated in the 2010-based subnational population projections has not previously been established.

*What this study adds*

Constant update and evaluate of population forecasts is a core tenet in public policy decision making, especially in light of substantial changes to recent mortality trends 37. Our findings help unpack important mechanisms for evaluating the context of policy development and explore whether and how gaps between expectations and reality arise. The significance of our estimated 231 707 excess deaths is clearly underlined in that 91% of Local Authorities saw an increase in observed deaths. Social inequalities have widened between 2010-11 and 2017-18 for younger people, even if they constitute a smaller proportion of total number of excess deaths. This follows years of narrowing inequalities 38, suggesting the gains of considerable policy investment have been lost. Though the weaker association between average estimated household financial loss at Local Authority level and degree of excess deaths for people aged 65 and over may reflect some protection offered by the triple lock policy on pensions implemented in 2011 – a guarantee for an annual increase in the basic state pension – there is still evidence of a harmful relationship between austerity and old-age mortality as previous research has suggested9.

If there is a causal relationship between the post-2010 austerity measures and changing patterns of mortality, it is clear that the impact stretches beyond vulnerable places or vulnerable older people alone (although both appear correlated to the scale of impacts). The relatively weak relationship between deprivation and total excess deaths is indicative of a mechanism operating at the population level, rather than through more expected routes via social and spatial determinants of health.

*Limitations of this study*

Our study utilises longitudinal data on official Local Authority administrative records to calculate excess deaths. However, these data are ecological and do not relate to individuals. While our regression analyses help tease out the correlating factors to excess deaths, as a cross-sectional study it cannot tease out casual relationships. To identify and inform future policy approaches, we must move beyond our descriptive approach to formally test hypotheses, as well as developing more holistic measures capturing the impacts of austerity on people and places. While we look at total excess deaths we do not investigate (due to data availability) how excess deaths varied by cause of death. This is important to unpack the pathways and drivers of inequalities. Finally, the validity of population projections decrease over time and some degree of divergence is to be expected 39. Typically, life expectancy is consistently under projected in official projections 40, suggesting that our estimates may actually under-estimate the true divergence in trends.

**Conclusions**

We estimate a total of 231 707 excess deaths have occurred in England between 2010-11 and 2017-18. The scale of these excess deaths is almost equivalent to having a global pandemic in Covid-19 annually. The commencement of our study period coincides with the end of policies explicitly targeting health inequality 2,38 and a significant shift in fiscal policies considered necessary to reduce the national deficit 1. This period has been characterised by a marked lack of progress relative to Marmot’s landmark review of health inequalities in and poorer performance on measures of mortality relative to our socioeconomic peers 41. As England and others seek to recover from the devastation of COVID-19 and grapple with an impending recession 42, these results must inform decisions as to the nature of any fiscal recovery package. Reversing these worrying mortality trends through ending austerity policies and investing in areas with highest total excess deaths should become the government’s priority following the Covid-19 pandemic.
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