**Alpha entrainment drives pain relief using visual stimulation in a sample of chronic pain patients. A proof-of-concept controlled study.**
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**Abstract:**

One-third of the population in the UK and worldwide struggle with chronic pain. Entraining brain alpha activity through non-invasive visual stimulation has been shown to reduce experimental pain in healthy volunteers. Neural oscillations entrainment offers a potential non-invasive and non-pharmacological intervention for patients with chronic pain, which can be delivered in the home setting and has the potential to reduce use of medications. However, evidence supporting its use in patients with chronic pain is lacking. This study explores whether a) alpha entrainment increase alpha power in patients and b) whether this increase in alpha correlates with analgesia.

28 patients with chronic pain sat in a comfortable position and underwent 4-minute visual stimulation using customised goggles at 10 Hz (alpha) and 7 Hz (control) frequency blocks in a randomised cross-over design. 64-channel Electroencephalography (EEG) and 11-point Numeric Rating Scale (NRS) pain intensity and pain unpleasantness scores were recorded before and after stimulation.

EEG analysis revealed frontal alpha power was significantly higher when stimulating at 10 Hz when compared to 7 Hz. There was a significant positive correlation between increased frontal alpha and reduction in pain intensity (r=0.33, p<0.05) and pain unpleasantness (r=0.40, p<0.05) in the 10 Hz block.

This study provides the first proof of concept that changes in alpha power resulting from entrainment correlate with an analgesic response in patients with chronic pain. Further studies are warranted to investigate dose-response parameters and equivalence to analgesia provided by medications.
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**Introduction:**

Chronic pain is a global health crisis and affects more than one-third of the population in most countries [1]. It has a profound impact on the physical, mental and social well-being of those affected [2; 3]; this is aggravated by the fact that medications currently used to treat it have limited effectiveness and a number of debilitating side effects [4; 5]. There is therefore an urgent need to develop new alternative, non-pharmacological, safe and effective treatments.

Alpha band oscillations (8-12 Hz) is thought to reflect a mechanism of functional inhibition that modulates the processing of irrelevant sensory and task information by gating the information flow across different brain regions [6; 7]. That is, increased alpha power is associated with the inhibition of brain regions processing irrelevant information, which routes the processing of information to task-relevant regions with decreased alpha power. This mechanism has been linked to top-down control and attention, as well as to both experimental and clinical pain processing mechanisms [7]. For example, somatosensory alpha activity is shown to be modulated by attention during the processing and the anticipation of evoked pain [8]; while frontal alpha activity is increased following a placebo-induced expectation of pain relief [9]. Additionally, pre-stimulus somatosensory alpha power has been inversely related to perceived pain intensity, both for experimental [10; 11] and chronic pain [12; 13]. Patients with chronic pain have also shown reduced alpha activity localized in the insula and in the frontal lobes, when compared with healthy controls [14]. More recently, abnormal spontaneous alpha oscillations have also been observed in chronic pain, a phenomenon that has been interpreted as dysfunctional cortical inhibition [13]

Recently, modulation of the brain’s alpha activity (frequency range 8-12 Hz) has emerged as a promising option for pain management[6]. Alpha activity recorded over frontal electrodes increases after a placebo induced expectation of pain relief in healthy volunteers [9], suggesting that increased alpha might be an indicator of pain resilience. This led to the speculation that techniques that increase alpha power might be used to alleviate pain in patients with chronic pain[10–13].

Alpha activity can be entrained in the brain by exposing the person to visual, auditory, tactile, or electrical simulation in the 10Hz frequency range[15]. Entrainment refers to a phenomenon in the brain when it is presented with an external stimulation at a certain frequency. This leads the brain’s oscillatory neural activity to synchronise with the external stimuli, which ultimately results in an increase of brain power at the stimulation frequency[16–19]. To date, alpha entrainment has been successful in reducing experimental pain in healthy volunteers [20–22], but only one study has demonstrated a reduction in pain intensity in patients with chronic pain associated with increased somatosensory alpha power using transcranial current stimulation [11]. To our knowledge, there are no studies so far that have used visual stimulation for frontal alpha entrainment in chronic pain patients and have shown correlation between changes in alpha power and changes in pain intensity.

**Methods**

The current study is an extension of a preliminary study by Arendsen [23] with eight new participants added (28 participants in total). All participants had a diagnosis of chronic musculoskeletal pain (pain present for at least three months). The mean age of participants was 44.68 (SD = 17.03); 20 participants were female and 8 were male.

The study used a within-subject design and alpha stimulation (10 Hz) was compared to a control stimulation (7 Hz) in a sitting position. Participants were visually stimulated using custom-made goggles with 10 Hz frequency flashing lights for 5 minutes, the first minute consisted of a non-rhythmic stimulation which was used as a baseline for the remaining 4 minutes of rhythmic visual stimulation.

Behavioural response was analysed by asking participants to evaluate their pain intensity and unpleasantness using an 11-point Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) for pain intensity (0=no pain, 10=extreme pain) and an 11-point NRS for pain unpleasantness (0= no unpleasantness, 10= extreme unpleasantness). Participants were asked to rate their pain intensity and unpleasantness level before and after each stimulation condition.

EEG was recorded using 64 Ag/AgCl electrodes attached to a cap according to the extended standard 10-20 system, using the BrainCap MR, BrainAmp DC/MR amplifiers, and the EEG data recording software BrainVision Recorder (Brain Products GmbH, Germany). The reference was set as the FCz electrode and the AFz was used as the ground electrode. EEG was recorded with a sampling rate of 500 Hz and band-pass filter settings of DC-100 Hz.

EEG recordings were pre-processed using the EEGLAB toolbox[24], running in MATLAB (The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA, MATLAB versions R2017a). Bad channels were interpolated (spherical interpolation) before re-referencing to the common average. Next high-pass (0.05 Hz) and low-pass (30 Hz) bands were filtered, and the continuous data were segmented into 2-second consecutive epochs to enable later visual inspection of the data. The data were decomposed into independent signals using Independent Component Analysis (ICA) to remove components reflecting artefactual sources. The Runica algorithm was used and the number of components to be calculated was adjusted for the number of interpolated channels (Number of channels – Number of interpolated channels). The median number of components that were projected out was 2.3 with a range between 1 to 6. Components that reflected eye blinks and movement were removed as well as any large muscle artifacts.

In this analysis we looked at the spectral mass of the signal between 8 and 12 Hz instead of averaging across the range or using a single frequency peak. Frequency analysis was performed using the Matlab-based Fieldtrip Toolbox[25]. Average alpha power across all 2 second windows was calculated for each rhythmic visual stimulation condition (7 and 10 Hz) and its corresponding baselines. It was then averaged across the electrodes corresponding to the frontal Region of Interest (ROI) (Fp1, Fp2, Fpz, AF3, AF4, F1, F2, FZ)[23] to obtain a spectrum of frontal alpha power for each condition. It was not averaged over frequency, but instead we kept the averaged alpha power for each individual frequency within this range. The spectral mass was then calculated by computing the area under the spectrum along this range (8 to 12 Hz) in each case. The same was done for 7 and 10 Hz stimulation conditions baselines. The spectral mass of the response was then divided by the spectral mass of its corresponding baseline to eliminate any constant present and obtain a Spectral Mass Ratio (SMR) for every individual in each condition, which was then log transformed.

Statistical analysis was performed using MATLAB. Behavioural responses were analysed for each experimental condition by comparing pain intensity and unpleasantness differences (NRS 0-10) before and after each session. A one-way ANOVA was performed to see if there was a significant difference in the log-SMR between the two stimulation frequencies. Pearson’s correlations were then run between log-SMR and behavioural responses**.**

**Results**

The mean and standard deviation of the log-SMR for both stimulation conditions were: 7 Hz (mean: -0.2417, std: 0.3458) and 10Hz (mean: 0.3943, std: 0.5571). There was a significant difference between the log-SMR observed within the two conditions (F=26.35, p<0.01). The distributions of the log-SMR values obtained are shown in **Figure 1**.

(Fig 1)

The average reduction in pain intensity for 7 Hz stimulation was 0.38 (std:1.22) and for 10 Hz stimulation was 0.53 (std: 1.84). The average unpleasantness increased for 7 Hz stimulation (-0.11, std: 1.22) but there was also an average decrease in unpleasantness for 10 Hz (0.18, std: 2.42)

The relationships between pain intensity and unpleasantness differences to baseline, and the EEG log-SMR, are shown in **Figure 2**. Correlation analysis showed that in the 10 Hz stimulation condition, there was positive correlation between frontal alpha increase and pain reduction (r=0.33, p<0.05); and also a positive correlation between frontal alpha increase and unpleasantness reduction (r=0.40, p<0.05). There were no significant correlations observed between alpha power and pain intensity or unpleasantness in the 7 Hz stimulation condition.

(Fig 2)

**Discussion:**

This is the first study to show a correlation between increased frontal alpha power from visual stimulation and reduction of pain in chronic pain patients. Frontal alpha spectral mass was significantly higher during the 10 Hz stimulation compared to the 7 Hz control stimulation. It was observed that despite the brief stimulation time (4 minutes), there was a positive correlation observed between frontal alpha spectral mass and a perceived reduction in pain and unpleasantness levels.

Entrainment was successfully achieved, as the 10 Hz stimulation condition showed a significant increase in log-SMR at the stimulation frequency. In the context of the present experiment, it is difficult however to relate this alpha increase to an induced psychological state which might be related to the observed behavioural response of reduced subjective pain ratings. It could be hypothesized that this induced state would last beyond a pure entrainment effect, but this kind of study cannot be done with the present data since no follow-up study was done after the external stimulation frequency was over.

As in previous analysis, we showed the possibility of modulating alpha activity in patients with long term chronic pain by using rhythmic visual stimulation [20]. Unlike previous results however, we studied modulations of the total spectral mass in the whole alpha band (8-12Hz), rather than focussing on the amplitude of a specific peak within the band (usually at 10Hz). This type of analysis allowed for considering possible individual variations of the specific frequency at which the modulation occurs. Using this approach, we added to the existing literature on the therapeutic treatment of chronic pain via visual stimulation by demonstrating a specific effect in the frontal ROI.

It also builds on other studies that demonstrated that visual alpha stimulation can increase alpha power and reduce experimental pain settings [20]. An increase in frontal and somatosensory alpha power through electrical stimulation using tACS has also been associated with pain reduction [13].

This study faced several limitations since it was an exploratory investigation. First, it consisted of a very brief stimulation time (four minutes), which might be the reason for the small effect size found. Longer time stimulation might result in a bigger effect. Second, since the analysis was based on correlations, it prevented us from assessing any causal relationship, which would have been interesting to see. However, such analysis would require a much bigger sample size and longer stimulation times than the ones used here. Future studies could also benefit from seeing whether the entrainment effect lasts beyond the stimulation period and if so, for how long.

The results of this exploratory study are very promising since it observes a correlational effect between increased alpha levels in the 8-12 Hz range and pain/unpleasantness reduction in actual chronic pain patients. The results justify further research into this field, as this procedure seems to be a promising alternative to pain medication in chronic pain relief; for example, further research is warranted focusing on optimising stimulation times (dose) and protocols.
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**Figure 1**: Boxplot of log-SMR during 7 and 10 Hz visual stimulation standardized against their respective baseline period, i.e. the change in frontal alpha spectral mass during stimulation compared to baseline.

**Figure 2**. Scatter plot of log-SMR on the y-axis and the difference in pain intensity and unpleasantness ratings comparing pre- and post-stimulation for the 7 and 10 Hz stimulation.