

# Sox9 determines translational capacity during early chondrogenic differentiation of ATDC5 cells by regulating expression of ribosome biogenesis factors and ribosomal proteins

4

5 Marjolein M.J. Caron<sup>1†\*</sup>, Maxime Eveque<sup>2†</sup>, Berta Cillero-Pastor<sup>2</sup>, Ron M. A. Heeren<sup>2</sup>, Bas

6 Housmans<sup>1</sup>, Kasper Derks<sup>3</sup>, Andy Cremers<sup>1</sup>, Mandy J. Peffers<sup>4</sup>, Lodewijk W. van Rhijn<sup>1</sup>, Guus

7 van den Akker<sup>1†</sup>, Tim J.M. Welting<sup>1†</sup>

8

- <sup>9</sup> <sup>1</sup>Laboratory for Experimental Orthopedics, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, CAPHRI Care and
- Public Health Research Institute, Maastricht University Medical Center. P.O. Box 5800, 6202 AZ,
   Maastricht, the Netherlands.
- 12 <sup>2</sup>Maastricht MultiModal Molecular Imaging institute (M4I), Division of Imaging Mass Spectrometry,
- 13 Maastricht University Medical Center. P.O. Box 5800, 6202 AZ, Maastricht, the Netherlands.
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Genetics, Maastricht University Medical Center. P.O. Box 5800, 6202 AZ,
   Maastricht, the Netherlands.
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Musculoskeletal Biology, Institute of Life Course and Medical Sciences, University
   of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

# 18 <sup>†</sup> contributed equally

19

## 20 **\* Correspondence:**

- 21 Marjolein M.J. Caron, PhD
- 22 e-mail: marjolein.caron@maastrichtuniversity.nl
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 20
- 27
- 28



#### 30 Abstract

31 <u>Introduction</u>: In addition to the well-known cartilage extracellular matrix-related expression of Sox9, 32 we demonstrated that chondrogenic differentiation of progenitor cells is driven by a sharply defined 33 bi-phasic expression of Sox9: an immediate early and a late (extracellular matrix associated) phase 34 expression. In this study we aimed to determine what biological processes are driven by Sox9 during 35 this early phase of chondrogenic differentiation.

<u>Materials</u>: Sox9 expression in ATDC5 cells was knocked-down by siRNA transfection at the day before chondrogenic differentiation or at day 6 of differentiation. Samples were harvested at 2 hours, and 7 days of differentiation. The transcriptomes (RNA-seq approach) and proteomes (Label-free proteomics approach) were compared using pathway and network analyses. Total protein translational capacity was evaluated with the SuNSET assay, active ribosomes with polysome profiling and ribosome modus with bicistronic reporter assays.

42 <u>Results</u>: Early Sox9 knockdown severely inhibited chondrogenic differentiation weeks later. Sox9 43 expression during the immediate early phase of ATDC5 chondrogenic differentiation regulated the 44 expression of ribosome biogenesis factors and ribosomal protein subunits. This was accompanied by 45 decreased translational capacity following Sox9 knockdown, and this correlated to lower amounts of 46 active mono- and polysomes. Moreover, cap- versus IRES-mediated translation was altered by Sox9 47 knockdown. Sox9 overexpression was able to induce reciprocal effects to the Sox9 knockdown.

48 <u>Conclusion:</u> Here we identified an essential new function for Sox9 during early chondrogenic 49 differentiation. A role for Sox9 in regulation of ribosome amount, activity and/or composition may be 50 crucial in preparation for the demanding proliferative phase and subsequent cartilage extracellular 51 matrix-production of chondroprogenitors in the growth plate *in vivo*.

#### This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

#### 52 Introduction

53 Chondrogenesis, or chondrogenic differentiation, is the differentiation path of progenitor cells via early 54 mesenchymal condensation into chondrocytes that synthesize a cartilaginous extracellular matrix 55 (ECM) (1-3). Aside from formation of articular cartilage and its maintenance, skeletal development 56 also depends on chondrogenic differentiation. Development of the long bones of the mammalian 57 skeleton depends on the activity of growth plates; cartilaginous entities at the ends of developing bones 58 in which chondrocytes differentiate from progenitor cells (1-3). In contrast to articular chondrocytes, 59 differentiating growth plate chondrocytes are predestined to undergo hypertrophic differentiation and 60 apoptosis. The remaining cartilaginous matrix is subsequently remodelled by osteoclastic/osteocytic 61 activity, resulting in *de novo* synthesized bone tissue (1-3). In vivo, chondrogenic differentiation is 62 almost exclusively initiated from local mesenchymal progenitor cells that reside in the cartilaginous 63 tissue (growth plate resting zone (4), articular cartilage superficial layer(5)) or in surrounding fibrous 64 tissues (e.g. periosteum (6, 7)). However, *in vitro* chondrogenic differentiation has been reported from 65 various primary (mesenchymal) progenitor cell sources including synovial membrane/fluid, bone 66 marrow, adipose tissue, fibroblasts, and induced pluripotent stem cells (8-10). In addition to high 67 amounts of oligosaccharides (mostly hyaluronic acid, heparan sulphate, chondroitin sulfate), important 68 cartilage ECM proteins are type II collagen (Col2a1) and aggrecan (Acan)(2, 11, 12).

The master regulator of chondrogenic differentiation is the transcription factor SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 9 (Sox9). Mutations in *SOX9* were originally identified as the cause for campomelic dysplasia (13, 14), a severe skeletal dysplasia associated with XY sex reversal and disproportionally short stature, as well as general lack of cartilaginous tissue formation. *SOX9* was found to be essential for murine early chondrogenic lineage determination (15). Upon nuclear translocation (16, 17), Sox9 binds as a homodimer to its consensus DNA recognition sequence (A/T)(A/T)CAA(A/T)G(18), which includes the highly conserved AACAAT motif recognized by the HMG-box domain shared amongst

76 Sox and Sry protein family members. In chondrogenic differentiation Sox9 drives the transcription of, 77 and cooperates with L-Sox5 and Sox6 for efficient transcription of the COL2A1 and ACAN genes (15, 78 19-22). Other cartilage ECM genes have also been demonstrated as under transcriptional control of 79 SOX9; including COL9A1 (23), COL27A1 (24), and MATN1 (25, 26). Besides L-Sox5 and Sox6, 80 another important factor for Sox9-mediated transcription is Smad3. Smad3 modulates the interaction 81 between Sox9 and CBP (CREB-binding protein)/p300 (27), thereby possibly explaining the pro-82 chondrogenic effect of bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) and transforming growth factor beta 83 (TGF $\beta$ s) on chondrogenic differentiation (28, 29).

84 During chondrogenic differentiation of progenitor cells in vitro, induction of Sox9 expression is 85 biphasic (30). In the first hours after initiation of chondrogenic differentiation Sox9 expression is 86 transiently induced (immediate early Sox9 induction), together with the other members of the "Sox-87 trio". Sox9 expression increases a second time, in parallel with the synthesis of cartilage ECM 88 molecules (late Sox9 induction). Previously, we demonstrated that this immediate early Sox9 89 expression is in part regulated by the immediate early response gene 1 (Egr1) (31) as well as by 90  $NF\kappa B/p65$  (30). Similar expression patterns were also found in growth plate sections (30). The function 91 of the early Sox9 induction itself remains elusive. In the present work we therefore determined the 92 transcriptomic and proteomic consequences of the abrogation of early Sox9 expression during ATDC5 93 chondrogenic differentiation, and uncovered the biological processes that are driven by Sox9 during 94 the early phase of chondrogenic differentiation.

#### 95 Materials and methods

96

#### 97 ATDC5 cell culture

98 ATDC5 cells (RIKEN BRC, Japan, STR profiled)(32) were cultured in a humidified atmosphere at 99 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in culture media consisting of Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM)/F12 100 (Life Technologies, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA), 5% fetal calf serum (Life Technologies), 1% 101 antibiotic/antimycotic (Life Technologies) and 1% non-essential amino acids (NEAA)(Life 102 Technologies). Chondrogenic differentiation was induced by plating the cells in triplicates at 6400 cells/cm<sup>2</sup>, or 20,000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup> in transfection experiments, and the addition to culture media of 103 104 differentiation supplements 10 µg/ml insulin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, United States), 10 105 µg/ml transferrin (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), and 30 nM sodium selenite (Sigma-Aldrich). Media 106 was refreshed every two days.

107

#### 108 Sox9 loss and gain of function

109 interfering RNA (siRNA) duplex for Sox9 ("Sox9 RNAi") А small (sense: 5'-110 GACUCACAUCUCUCCUAAUTT-3', anti-sense: 5'-AUUAGGAGAGAUGUGAGUCTT-3') and a 111 scrambled siRNA duplex ("Control RNAi", Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium) were transfected (100 nM) 112 one day prior to initiation of chondrogenic differentiation or at day 6 of differentiation using 113 HiPerFECT according to manufacturers' protocol (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). A custom made DNA 114 strand containing a start codon and 3xFLAG sequence (derived from p3xFLAG CMV7.1) and the 115 mSox9 coding sequence (NM\_011448.4:376-1899) without start codon was flanked by 5'EcoRI and 116 3'XbaI restriction sites (GeneCust, Boynes, France). This fragment was cloned directionally into the

pLVX-EIF1α-IRES puro MCS (Takara, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France) to generate a pLVX-EIF1αmSox9-IRES puro transfer plasmid. Lentiviral particles were generated according to manufacturer's instructions with the 4<sup>th</sup> generation VSV-G envelope Lenti-X system (Takara, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France). Lentiviral titers were determined by p24 ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; INNOTEST HIV antigen mAb, Fujirebio, Zwijnaarde, Belgium). Viral transductions were performed by incubation of 1 ng lentivirus/cell in the presence of 8 µg/mL polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich) for 8 hours, followed by an overnight incubation with 1.6 µg/mL polybrene.

124

#### 125 <u>RNA isolation</u>

RNA was isolated using TRIzol (Life Technologies), collecting the aqueous phase after centrifugation.
RNA was precipitated with isopropanol (VWR International, Radnor, Pennsylvania, USA) (-80°C) and
pellet by centrifugation. RNA pellets were washed in 80% ethanol (VWR International) and dried.
RNA was dissolved in DNase/RNase-free pure water. RNA quantity and purity were determined
spectrophotometrically (Biodrop, Isogen Life Sciences, Utrecht, The Netherlands).

131

#### 132 Quantitative real time PCR

Total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using standard procedures and random hexamer priming as previously described (33). Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed using Mesagreen qPCR master mix plus for SYBR Green (Eurogentec, Liège, Belgium). A CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Biorad, Hercules, California, United States) was used for amplification: initial denaturation 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of amplification (denaturing 15 seconds at 95°C and annealing 1 minute at 60°C). Validated primer sequences are shown in Supplemental Table

139 1. Data were analyzed using the standard curve method, mRNA expression was normalized to a
reference gene and gene expression was calculated as fold change as compared to control conditions
141 or t=0.

142 <u>RNA-sequencing and analysis</u>

143 Isolated RNA was checked for quality and integrity on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Santa Clara, 144 California, USA) via 2100 an Expert Eukaryote Total RNA Nano chip according manufacturer's 145 protocol. The mRNA sequencing library was generated using TruSeq mRNA sample preparation kit 146 (Illumina, Eindhoven, the Netherlands). In short, mRNA was enriched using magnetic beads coated 147 with poly-dT, followed by fragmentation. The fragmented mRNA enriched samples were subjected to 148 cDNA synthesis by reverse transcriptase, followed by dA-tailing and ligation of specific double-149 stranded bar-coded adapters. Next, the library was amplified and following cleanup the sizes of the 150 libraries were determined on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer via a DNA 1000 chip according 151 manufacturer's protocol. Pooled libraries consisting of equal molar samples were sequenced on a high-152 output 75bp single read on the NextSeq500 (Illumina). For each sample, the number of reads covering 153 one or more exons of a given transcript were extracted. Triplicates of samples that were treated with 154 either Scrambled or Sox9 siRNAs, at two different time points, were grouped separately. A transcript 155 was defined as expressed when all replicates of a group had at least 5 reads extracted within the 156 transcript's region. The grouped data were then compared to one another. The fold-change difference 157 and the p-value were calculated using R-package edgeR (34, 35), after which the p-value was corrected 158 for multiple testing (false discovery rate (FDR)-corrected). Transcripts having an FDR-corrected p-159 value <0.05, and a fold change of at least 1.5 were considered differentially expressed transcripts. 160 RNA-seq data have been deposited in the ArrayExpress database at EMBL-EBI 161 (www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) under accession number E-MTAB-10333. EnrichR (36) software was 162 used to display the pathways of interest obtained from the enrichment of down or up-regulated proteins. 163 The top three pathways of interest were considered from both Wikipathways and KEGG software 164 (version 2019, Mouse) based on the combined score of the p-value and the adjusted p-value scores.

165 Label-free proteomics

166 At indicated time points, plates were rinsed 3 times with 1% phosphate buffered saline (PBS). A 167 mixture containing complete Mini Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) in 25 mM 168 ammonium bicarbonate (ABC) buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands) and 6M urea 169 (GE Healthcare, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) was added to the plates. Cells were collected by scraping 170 with a rubber policeman and the samples were transferred to Eppendorf tubes. Triplicates were pooled 171 and sonicated for 10 minutes and centrifuged at 12.000g for 10 min in 4°C. The supernatant containing 172 proteins was transferred into new tubes and a Bradford assay (Biorad, Lunteren, the Netherlands) was 173 performed to assess protein concentration. The concentrations were adjusted to  $0.2\mu g/\mu L$  in order to 174 normalize for the following steps. Samples were reduced with 20mM of Dithiothreitol (DTT) (Sigma-175 Aldrich) for 45 minutes and alkylated with 40 mM of iodoacetamide (IAM; Sigma-Aldrich) for 45 176 minutes in the dark. The alkylation step was stopped by adding 20 mM of DTT. Samples were then 177 digested using LysC and trypsin (Promega, Leiden, The Netherlands) added at a ratio of 1:25 178 (enzyme:protein) and incubated for 2 hours at 37°C in a water bath. Finally, 200 µL of 25 mM ABC 179 buffer was added to the samples before overnight incubation at 37°C. The digestion was stopped by 180 adding formic acid (FA; Sigma-Aldrich) and acetonitrile (Biosolve) at a final concentration of 1% and 181 2%, respectively. 200 ng of each sample were injected in duplicate for liquid-chromatography mass 182 spectrometry (LC-MSMS) analysis. The separation of the peptides was performed on a Thermo Fisher 183 Scientific Dionex Ultimate 3000 Rapid Separation ultrahigh-performance liquid-chromatography 184 (HPLC) system (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA) equipped with an Acclaim PepMap C18 analytical 185 column (2µm, 75µm\*150 mm, 100Å). The samples were first trapped on an online C18 column for 186 desalting. The peptides were then separated on the analytical column with a 90-minutes linear gradient

187 from 5% to 35% acetonitrile/0.1% FA and a flow rate set at 300 nL/min. The HPLC system was 188 coupled to a high mass resolution Orbitrap MS instrument (Q-Exactive HF, Thermo Scientific, 189 Waltham, MA). The mass spectrometer was operated in data-dependent acquisition (DDA) mode with 190 the following settings: Full MS scan of the mass range m/z 350-1,650 at a resolution of 120,000 at m/z191 400, followed by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) scans for the fragmentation of the 15 most 192 intense ions at a resolution of 30,000. The ions already selected for fragmentation were dynamically 193 excluded for 20s. External calibration of the instrument was performed using a standard calibration 194 solution for positive ion mode (Thermo Scientific). For protein identification, raw files were processed 195 within the Proteome Discoverer software version 2.2 (Thermo Scientific) using the search engine 196 Sequest with the Swiss-Prot database *Mus musculus* version 2017-10-25 (TaxID 10090). The following 197 parameters were used for the database search: carbamidomethylation of Cysteine for fixed 198 modifications; oxidation of Methionine and acetylation of protein N-terminal for variable 199 modifications; trypsin for enzyme with a maximum of two missed cleavages; y and b for the ion types 200 with a mass tolerance of 10 ppm and 0.02 Da for the precursors and the fragments, respectively; 201 minimum and maximum peptide length of 6 and 144, respectively. Normalization of the data was 202 performed on the total peptide amount. Percolator was used for the decoy database search and the FDR 203 was fixed at 1% maximum. Finally, a list of 23 commonly detected contaminants were removed 204 manually (data not shown). For protein quantitation, the Minora Feature Detector node in the 205 processing step and the Feature Mapper node combined with the Precursor Ions Quantifier node in the 206 consensus step were used with default settings. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been 207 deposited to the ProteomeX change Consortium via the PRIDE (37) partner repository with the dataset 208 identifier PXD024715. ANOVA test and principal component analysis (PCA) were performed within 209 the Proteome Discoverer software. PCA was performed to visualize protein abundance changes 210 between groups in an unsupervised manner. ANOVA test was used to analyze the statistical 211 significance of variation observed in protein abundances between the conditions. The proteins were

#### Sox9 influences translational capacity

considered modulated with a p-value  $\leq 0.05$  and a fold change (FC)  $\geq 2$ . The modulated proteins were then imported within the EnrichR software (36) to display the top 3 pathways of down or up-regulated proteins ranked by the combined score. WikiPathways and KEGG were used as databases (version 2019, Mouse).

216

#### 217 <u>Immunoblotting</u>

218 Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% Sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 219 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 5.0 mM Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) pH 8.0, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol 220 (DTT) supplemented with cOmplete Mini Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) and PhosSTOP 221 phosphatase inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich)). Extracts were sonicated and protein concentrations were 222 determined with a bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA) assay (Sigma-Aldrich). Proteins were separated by 223 SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl (lauryl) sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) and transferred to 224 nitrocellulose membranes by electroblotting. Primary antibodies for immunodetection were anti-Sox9 225 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; ab3697) and anti-Tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich; T6074). Bound primary anti-226 bodies were detected using immunoglobulins conjugated with HRP (horseradish peroxidase; 227 DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark) and visualized by enhanced chemoluminescence (ECL). ECL 228 signals were quantified using Image J 1.46f software (Figure 1B). Relative differences in Sox9 levels, 229 corrected for background and Tubulin levels, were determined as compared to t=0 conditions.

230 sGAG assay

The sulphated glycosaminoglycan (GAG) content was measured using a modified dimethyl methylene blue (DMB) assay (38). The absorbance of samples was read at 540 and 595 nm using a spectrophotometer (Multiskan FC, Life Technologies) and GAG concentrations were calculated using

#### This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

a chondroitin sulfate standard curve (Sigma-Aldrich) and corrected for total protein content using a
BCA assay.

236

237 SUnSET assay

238 Protein translational capacity of ATDC5 cultures (in sextuplicates) was assessed with the SUnSET 239 assay (39, 40). 5.4 µM puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich) was incubated for 15 minutes in the cell culture 240 medium, immediately followed by washing in PBS and fixation for 20 minutes with 10% formalin 241 (VWR, Radnor, Pennsylvania, United States). Permeabilization was performed for 10 minutes with 242 PBS supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100. Wells were rinsed with PBS with 0.1% Tween (PBS-T) 243 and blocked for 1.5 hour with 1% (m/v) skimmed milk powder (ELK, Campina, Zaltbommel, the 244 Netherlands) in PBS-T, followed by overnight incubation at 4°C with the primary anti-puromycin 245 antibody 12D10 (Sigma-Aldrich). After washing with PBS-T, wells were incubated for 1 hour at room 246 temperature with the secondary goat anti-mouse Alexa488 antibody (Life Technologies). The 247 fluorescent signal intensity was determined using a TriStar<sup>2</sup> LB942 (Berthold, Bad Wildbad, Germany) 248 equipped with excitation filter F485 and emission filter F535. Fluorescent data was normalized to 249 DNA-content from the same well (41). To this end, wells were washed with HEPES-Buffered Saline 250 (HBS), followed by 1 hour incubation with 5 µg/mL DAPI (Life Technologies) plus 5 µg/mL 251 HOECHST 33342 (Life Technologies) in HBS. After subsequent washing steps with HBS, fluorescent signal intensity was determined using a TriStar<sup>2</sup> LB942 (Berthold), using the excitation filter F355 and 252 253 emission filter F460.

254

255

#### 256 Polysome fractionation

257 Polysome fractionation was performed as described previously (42). Three 15 cm plates with ATDC5 258 cells were used to generate a single sample. At the day of sample collection, cells were differentiated 259 for 2 hours, then pre-treated for 5 minutes with 100 µg/ml Cycloheximide (Sigma), washed twice in 260 0.9% NaCl with Cycloheximide and collected by scraping with a rubber policeman in cold 0.9% NaCl. 261 Pelleted cells were lysed for 10 minutes in 1.8 ml polysome extraction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl 262 (pH7.5), 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 100 µg/ml Cycloheximide, complete 263 protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and RNasin (Promega, 40U/ml)) on ice. Nuclei and cellular debris 264 were removed by centrifugation at 12.000x g for 10 minutes at 4<sup>o</sup>C and 9/10th of the total volume was 265 transferred to fresh tubes and measured spectrophotometrically. Total yield was the same for siCtrl and 266 siSox9 treated cells. Sucrose gradients (linear 10-50%) were made using the Gradient Master 267 (BioComp) in ultracentrifuge tubes (Seton, SW41 tubes). Cytoplasmic extracts (250 µg/sample) were 268 loaded to each gradient in a fixed volume (400 µl). Gradients were run on an ultra-centrifuge (Beckman L60, Brea, California, USA) at 39,000 rpm for 1.5 hours at 4<sup>o</sup>C with max acceleration and deceleration 269 270 9. Samples were fractionated into 24 x 0.5 ml fractions using a Piston Gradient fractionator (BioComp, 271 Fredericton, Canada) and fraction collector (Gilson FC203B, Middleton, Wisconsin, USA) with 272 continuous A260 monitoring (Triax FC-1).

273

#### 274 <u>Bicistronic reporter assay</u>

275 Reporter constructs for the CrPv IGR IRES, the CrPv CCGG IGR IRES mutant, the HCV and the P53 276 IRES were a kind gift of Dr. S. Thompson (UAB, USA). One day post plating, maxi-prep DNA (0.5 277  $\mu$ g/well) and 100 nM siRNA were transfected into 24-wells wells (n=3/group) using Mirus Transit-X2 278 according to manufacturer's instructions. The next day differentiation was induced for 24 hours,

#### This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

samples were collected by washing cells with 0.9% NaCl and incubation in 100 µl passive lysis buffer for 15 minutes (Promega). Subsequently, samples were transferred to Eppendorf tubes and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 12.000x g in a tabletop centrifuge. Next, 50 µl lysate was used for dual luciferase measurements (Promega) using a Berthold injection system (Reeuwijk, the Netherlands;10 seconds counting time per cistron). Data is represented as fold change of the ratio Fluc/Rluc in control cells for each IRES.

#### 285 <u>Statistics in other than proteomics or transcriptomic analysis</u>

Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed student t-tests using Graphpad PRISM 5.0 (La Jolla, CA, USA). Error bars in graphs represent mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. Significance for all tests was set at p $\leq$ 0.05.

289 **Results** 

290

#### 291 Early Sox9 peak in ATDC5 chondrogenic differentiation

292 Induction of Sox9 expression is biphasic during chondrogenic differentiation of progenitor cells in 293 vitro (30, 31). In the first (2-4) hours after initiation of chondrogenic differentiation of ATDC5 cells 294 Sox9 expression was transiently induced on mRNA (Figure 1A) and protein level (Figure 1B). Sox9 295 expression increased a second time around day 7 in differentiation (Figure 1A), in parallel with the 296 expression of the important Sox9 transcriptional target Col2a1 (21) (Figure 1C) and gain of sulphated 297 glycosaminoglycan (GAG) content (2, 19) (Figure 1D). The immediate early transient Sox9 expression 298 peak at 2 hours in differentiation did not correlate with the induction of expression of well-known Sox9 299 transcriptional targets such as Col2a1. Hence, we questioned what the function of the early Sox9 300 expression peak (2 hours) was and how it differs from the later Sox9 activity (day 7). We approached 301 this by performing a loss-of-function experiment and comparing the Sox9-dependent transcriptome 302 and proteome in an unbiased manner.

# 303 <u>Transcriptome and proteome analysis at 2 hours and 7 days in ATDC5 chondrogenic differentiation</u> 304 under Sox9 knockdown

To target early Sox9 expression, a siRNA for Sox9 or scrambled control siRNA were transfected prior to initiation of differentiation (t = -1 day) (Figure 2A). At t=0 chondrogenic differentiation was induced and cells were differentiated for 14 days. We established effective knockdown of Sox9 at t=0 and t=2 hours in differentiation, while at day 5, 7 and 14 in differentiation Sox9 mRNA levels returned to scrambled siRNA control conditions (Figure 2B; black bars and Figure 2C). In parallel, ATDC5 cells were differentiated and the siRNA for Sox9 or scrambled siRNA was transfected at day 6 in differentiation, to specifically target expression of "late" Sox9 induction. Effective knockdown of Sox9

312 at day 7 and day 14 was observed at mRNA as well as (Figure 2B; grey bars) at the protein level (Figure 313 2C). Knockdown of the early Sox9 expression peak resulted in decreased Col2a1 expression, and 314 increased Col10a1 expression at early time-points. At day 7 and 14 in ATDC5 differentiation, Sox9 315 siRNA treatment was not effective anymore (Figure 2B; white versus black bars), however, a major 316 induction of Col2a1 mRNA expression at day 7 and 14 in differentiation was prevented in this 317 condition (Figure 2D). A similar but opposite effect was seen for Col10a1 expression in the early Sox9 318 peak knockdown condition (Figure 2E). Knockdown of the "late" Sox9 peak (grey bars) also resulted 319 in a decreased Col2a1 and increased Col10a1 expression at day 7 and 14 in differentiation, with a 320 smaller magnitude. These data indicate that the early Sox9 expression peak is paramount for successful 321 chondrogenic differentiation of ATDC5 cells (see Supplemental Figure 1 for confirmation with 322 independent Sox9 siRNA). Differential expression of mRNAs and proteins was determined between 323 the scrambled versus Sox9 siRNA at 2 hours as well as at 7 days in ATDC5 differentiation, to target 324 the early and late Sox9 expression peaks (Figure 2D).

325 The extracted RNA was used for RNA sequencing and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the 326 transcriptome confirmed that samples from the 4 groups separated (Supplementary Figure 2). 327 Noteworthy, the separation between the scrambled siRNA and Sox9 siRNA conditions was evident at 328 2 hours, while separation between the scrambled siRNA and Sox9 siRNA conditions at 7 days was less 329 clear. At 2 hours in ATDC5 chondrogenic differentiation, knockdown of Sox9 led to the differential 330 expression of 2422 genes, with 1235 upregulated genes and 1187 downregulated genes (Figure 3A/B). 331 At 7 days in differentiation 493 genes were differentially expressed (203 up and 290 down) due to 332 knockdown of Sox9. From these differentially expressed genes, 203 genes were upregulated (only 15 333 overlapped with the 2 hour time point) and 290 genes were downregulated (49 genes overlapped with 334 the 2 hours condition) (Figure 3A/B). All genes that were differentially expressed (FC $\geq$ 2; p<0.05) at 2

15

hours and at 7 days in ATDC5 chondrogenic differentiation following Sox9 knockdown, are shown in
Supplementary Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

337 Proteins from control conditions and Sox9 knockdown conditions at 2 hours and 7 days in ATDC5 338 differentiation were quantified using a label-free proteomics approach. PCA plotting confirmed that 339 control samples at 2 hours clearly separated from the Sox9 knockdown samples at 2 hours in ATDC5 340 differentiation. Separation between control and Sox9 knockdown conditions was also confirmed at 7 341 days in chondrogenic differentiation. However, and in concert with the PCA plot of the RNA 342 sequencing data (Supplementary Figure 2), the separation between control and Sox9 knockdown 343 conditions appeared to be most obvious at 2 hours in differentiation (Supplementary Figure 3A). At 2 344 hours in differentiation, knockdown of Sox9 caused the differential expression of 90 proteins (29 up 345 and 61 down)(Figure 3C/D and Supplemental Figure 3B). At 7 days in differentiation, the knockdown 346 of Sox9 induced differential expression of 19 proteins (9 up and 10 down). There was no overlap 347 between the Sox9-dependent differentially expressed proteins at 2 hours or at 7 days in differentiation 348 (Figure 3C/D and Supplemental Figure 3B). The proteins that were differentially expressed (FC≥2; 349 p<0.05) at 2 hours and at 7 days in ATDC5 chondrogenic differentiation following Sox9 knockdown, 350 are shown in Supplementary Tables 4 and 5, respectively.

351 These data indicate that at 2 hours in chondrogenic differentiation the knockdown of Sox9 induced 352 different changes in the ATDC5 transcriptome and proteome when compared to knockdown of Sox9 353 at 7 days in differentiation. In addition, the consequences of Sox9 siRNA treatment appears to be 354 stronger at 2 hours than at 7 days of differentiation, as indicated by larger separation in the PCA plots 355 and larger number of differentially expressed genes and proteins. The role of the immediate early Sox9 356 expression was further investigated by comparing the Sox9-dependent differentially expressed mRNAs 357 and proteins at 2 hours in differentiation. Four overlapping mRNAs and proteins are upregulated in the 358 early Sox9 knockdown condition (Rps30/Fau, Avan, Eefsec, Rpl38; Figure 3E and 3F, Supplementary

Table 6) and 3 overlapping mRNAs and proteins downregulated in the early Sox9 knockdown condition (Ube2d3, Dclk1, Svil; Supplementary Table 6). Except for Rpl38, these overlapping targets are unique for the 2 hour in differentiation time point. The relative low number of overlapping genes and proteins (Figure 3E and F) might be explained by the different control of expression regulation at different levels for RNA and protein (43) and the notion that not all mRNAs are instantaneously translated into protein. Overlapping targets might be involved in a biological process which reacts fastest in a way that is visible in both RNA and protein expression.

366

#### 367 Immediate early Sox9 expression is involved in ribosomal protein expression

368 To determine which prominent pathways link to the Sox9-dependent differential transcriptome and 369 proteome at 2 hours in differentiation, we performed pathway analyses. Two independent Pathway 370 analyses revealed that "Cytoplasmic Ribosomal Proteins" and "Ribosome" pathways were in the top 371 three of identified enriched pathways (Figure 4). This strong overrepresentation of the "Cytoplasmic 372 Ribosomal Proteins" and "Ribosome" pathways was not obvious in the Sox9-dependent differential 373 transcriptome and proteome at day 7 in differentiation (Supplementary Table 7). Further analysis 374 revealed the differential expression of 29 ribosomal protein encoding genes from the large (60S) 375 ribosomal subunit (Rpls) and 10 ribosomal proteins from the small (40S) ribosomal subunit (Rpss) in 376 the Sox9 knockdown condition at 2 hours in ATDC5 chondrogenic differentiation (Figure 5A and 377 Supplementary Table 2). In addition, the 2 hours proteomics datasets demonstrated the differential 378 expression of 5 ribosomal Rpl and Rps proteins (Figure 5A and Supplementary Table 4). Notably, the 379 4 overlapping mRNAs and proteins (Rps30/Fau, Avan, Eefsec, Rpl38) that were upregulated in the 380 Sox9 knockdown condition at 2 hours in ATDC5 chondrogenic differentiation (Figure 3E and Figure 381 5B, Supplementary Figure 4A) are all linked to protein translation and represent either ribosomal

#### Sox9 influences translational capacity

382 protein subunits or factors with a known function in ribosome biogenesis. Additional factors involved 383 in ribosome biogenesis, but only differentially expressed in either the 2 hours transcriptomics or 384 proteomics datasets were SBDS, Nop10 and Brix1 (Figure 5B. Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). Where 385 expression of Sox9 was significantly increased at two hours in ATDC5 differentiation, expression of 386 Rpl38, Rps30/Fau, Nop10 and SBDS was significantly decreased compared to t0 (Supplementary 387 Figure 4C). Since ribosomes do not only consist of proteins, but depend on structural and catalytically 388 active ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), we investigated whether rRNA levels were affected by the Sox9 389 knockdown at 2 hours in differentiation in ATDC5. Expression of 18S rRNA, 28S rRNA and 5.8S 390 rRNA was not significantly different between the conditions (Figure 5C) (see Supplemental Figure 4B 391 for confirmation with independent Sox9 siRNA). Together, these data indicate that the Sox9 expression 392 during early ATDC5 chondrogenic differentiation is involved in expression of ribosomal proteins and 393 proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis.

#### 394 Early Sox9 expression regulates protein translation capacity and ribosome translation modus

395 Since we identified the differential expression of ribosomal protein subunits and ribosome biogenesis 396 factors, combined with unaltered rRNA expression levels, we hypothesized that ribosomes of early 397 Sox9 knockdown ATDC5 cells are functionally distinct. To address this, we measured total 398 translational capacity, performed polysome fractionation and evaluated ribosome translation modus in 399 Sox9 knockdown and control ATDC5 cells. Following the knockdown of immediate early Sox9 400 expression a reduction of the total protein translational capacity was observed at 2 hours in 401 chondrogenic differentiation (Figure 6A). The abrogation of early Sox9 expression also caused a 402 reduction of total protein translational capacity at day 7 in differentiation (while Sox9 levels normalized 403 at 7 days following the early knockdown (Figure 2B)). This impact on translation capacity was lost at 404 day 14 in differentiation (Figure 6A). In contrast, late knockdown of Sox9 expression did not affect 405 ATDC5 translational capacity at 7 days in chondrogenic differentiation. This is consistent with

#### This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

406 transcriptome and proteome data. To assess if Sox9 knock-down had a specific effect on polysomal 407 distribution of ribosomes, we performed sucrose density gradient separation of ribosomal subunits. 408 Knockdown of the immediate early Sox9 expression resulted in an overall lower abundance of 409 ribosomal subunits, which is in agreement with reduced translational activity (Figure 6B). In addition 410 to total ribosome translation capacity (Figure 6A), the modus of translation is also subject to regulation. 411 Thus, we evaluated the activity of IRES (internal ribosome entry site)- over cap-mediated protein 412 translation using well-characterized bicistronic reporter constructs (CrPv IGR, HCV and P53 IRES) 413 (44-46). We observed a 1.5-fold induction of the ITAF (IRES trans-acting factor) independent CrPv 414 IGR IRES activity and 5-fold down regulation of both the HCV and P53 IRES activity in Sox9 415 knockdown ATDC5 cells compared to controls (Figure 6C, and Supplementary Figure 5). We next 416 investigated whether overexpression of Sox9 levels during early ATDC5 differentiation may have a 417 reciprocal effect on the translation capacity as opposed to the Sox9 knockdown conditions. 418 Overexpression of Sox9 was confirmed (Figure 7A) and the mRNA expression of the Sox9 419 transcriptional target Col2a1 was significantly induced by Sox9 overexpression (Figure 7A). The 420 overexpression of Sox9 resulted in a significant increase in translational capacity at 2 hours in ATDC5 421 differentiation (Figure 7B). This increase in translational capacity in the Sox9 overexpression condition 422 was not accompanied by an increase in expression of rRNAs (Figure 7C). Contrary to the knockdown 423 of Sox9 (Figure 5B), expression of ribosomal protein subunits Rpl38 and Rps30/Fau was significantly 424 downregulated when Sox9 was overexpressed (Figure 7D). This was also the case for ribosome 425 biogenesis factors Nop10 and SBDS (Figure 7D).

426 Taken together, knockdown of early Sox9 expression during ATDC5 chondrogenic differentiation 427 reduced protein translational activity over a seven-day time period, which was reflected by a general 428 reduction of  $A_{260}$  signal in a polysome fractionation experiment at 2 hours in differentiation. This was 429 associated with a differential effect on ribosome translation modus during the first day of

19

- 430 differentiation. Sox9 overexpression during early ATDC5 differentiation was able to induce reciprocal
- 431 effects of the Sox9 knockdown on total protein translation.

#### 432 **Discussion**

#### 433

434 Early chondrogenic lineage commitment during mesenchymal condensation is driven by Sox9 (15, 47, 435 48). Following lineage commitment, Sox9 is a key regulator of cartilage ECM synthesis, by driving 436 expression of key ECM molecules such as Acan, Col2a1 and others (15, 19-26). Sox9 also safeguards 437 maintenance of articular cartilage homeostasis by influencing chondrocyte Nkx3-2 levels, a 438 transcriptional repressor of chondrocyte hypertrophy (49, 50). This dual action of Sox9 is recapitulated 439 in *in vitro* models of chondrogenic differentiation, as we have previously reported on bi-phasic 440 expression dynamics of Sox9 in ATDC5 and bone marrow derived stem cells (BMSC) chondrogenic 441 differentiation (30, 31). In this earlier work we demonstrated that early (hours) transient induction of 442 Sox9 expression driven by NFkB/p65 or Egr1 in ATDC5 chondrogenic differentiation is a prerequisite 443 for late-stage (days) expression of cartilage ECM genes. As a key transcription factor for cartilage, the 444 vast majority of investigations on the downstream functions of Sox9 have mainly focussed on its role 445 in the transcriptional regulation of cartilage ECM genes (15, 19-26). In addition, a function in 446 epigenetic reprogramming has been suggested for early Sox9 (31), as well as a role for Sox9 in 447 activating super-enhancers in chondrocytic cells (51). However, its downstream cell biological 448 consequences during early chondrogenic differentiation are incompletely understood. The present 449 study demonstrates that Sox9 expression during the very early phase of ATDC5 chondrogenic 450 differentiation regulates the expression of ribosomal protein subunits, as well as proteins that are 451 involved in ribosome biogenesis that together modulate ribosome activity and translation modus. These 452 data, for the first time, connect the Sox9 transcription factor to regulation of protein translation during 453 chondrogenic differentiation.

Ribosomopathies are severe genetic diseases caused by mutations in genes involved in ribosome
biogenesis and -function and are, amongst others, associated with developmentally-related skeletal

456 malformations, caused by impairment of chondrogenic development of the growth plates (52, 53). This 457 indicates that chondrogenic differentiation is particularly susceptible to disturbances in ribosome 458 protein translation activity. Indeed, during chondrogenesis, a large amount of cartilage ECM is 459 produced by the developing growth plate and disturbances in ribosome activity are likely to impair 460 ECM synthesis, with consequences for the development of skeletal elements. It should however be 461 noted that the link between Sox9 and chondrocyte translation activity in the current work was 462 particularly present during early rather than late differentiation. This is highlighted by the deregulated 463 expression of ribosomal subunits following Sox9 knockdown in early ATDC5 chondrogenic 464 differentiation (Figure 4 and 5). In addition, the knockdown of Sox9 specifically impacted total protein 465 translation throughout differentiation upon early knockdown, while not having an effect on protein 466 translation when knocked-down during later in chondrogenic differentiation (Figure 6). The link 467 between early Sox9 and chondrocyte protein translation suggests that protein translation is likely to be 468 paced through Sox9 during early chondrogenic differentiation. However, it remains to be determined 469 how early Sox9 is specifically able to influence chondrocyte translational capacity. Our present data 470 suggest that expression of ribosomal protein subunits, ribosome biogenesis factors and ancillary 471 ribosomal factors (such as IRES trans-acting factors (ITAFs)) depends on Sox9 during early 472 chondrogenic differentiation, with downstream consequences for translation in the later differentiation 473 program. Since we found these ribosomal genes and proteins differentially expressed after Sox9 474 knockdown, we studied the supplementary data of previously published Sox9 ChIP-seq and Sox9<sup>-/-</sup> 475 mouse studies (48, 51). In supplementary data of a Sox9 ChIP-seq study we found 24 Rps and Rpl 476 genes that were enriched in Sox9 occupancy and 14 with Sox6 occupancy of which 10 overlapped (51). 477 Notably, this included Rpl38, which we found to be differentially expressed at the mRNA and protein 478 level in our present Sox9 knockdown condition.

479 Aside from ribosome core components, we identified Sox9-dependent differential expression of 480 several factors regulating the mode of protein translation. The rate-limiting step of cap-mediated 481 translation is eukaryotic initiation factor 4 (54). Interestingly, EIF4BP2 was downregulated at the 482 protein level at 2 hours of differentiation in Sox9 knockdown cells. EIF4 binding proteins were shown 483 to regulate cell proliferation, but not cell size (55). Unexpectedly, we found strong differences in IRES 484 activity upon early Sox9 knockdown after 24 hours of differentiation. Of note, the CrPv IGR IRES (a 485 type IV IRES (56)) does not require ITAFs and is able to recruit the ribosome directly for translation 486 (57). The activity of this IRES was increased and might be regulated by specific Rps/Rpls that 487 transiently interact with the core ribosome components. The HCV (a type III IRES) and P53 IRES do 488 require additional ITAF co-factors (58). Based on the increased expression of the ITAF Rpl38 (59), it 489 is tempting to speculate that other ITAFs that facilitate HCV/P53 IRES translation are down regulated 490 and may contribute to alternative use of ribosome translation modus. Rpl10a, Rpl11, Rpl38 and Pdcd4 491 were shown to regulate IRES-mediated translation (58). Rpl10a is known to activate the IGF2, APP, 492 Chmp2A and Bcl-2 IRESs. Gain- and loss-of-function studies in Drosophila showed that Rpl10a 493 regulates insulin signaling (60). Moreover, *Rpl10a* was found to be preferentially translated by a sub 494 pool of ribosomes in embryonic stem cells that required ribosome-associated Rpl10a (61). Insulin and 495 insulin-like growth factor I (IGF1) signaling are crucial for ATDC5 differentiation (32). Rpl11 induced 496 the BAG1, CSDE1 and LamB1 IRESs, while Rpl38 activated a Hox gene IRES (59, 62). Upregulation 497 of Rpl11 led to stabilization of P53 and reduced proliferation of breast cancer cell-lines (63). In contrast, we found a reduction in P53 IRES activity. Of note, P53 and ribosome biogenesis were 498 499 recently coupled through SBDS (Ribosome Maturation Factor)(63). In our dataset, SBDS was down 500 regulated at the protein level at 2 hours in differentiation in Sox9 siRNA treated cells, which matches 501 the observed reduction in ribosomes and/or ribosome activity. Pdcd4 activated or inhibited the P53, 502 INR, IGF1R, BcL-XL and XIAP IRESs (58). The IGF1R IRES might again be relevant for the ATDC5 503 differentiation model. Finally, Rpl38 was the only ITAF that was found to be upregulated at both the

504 mRNA and protein level. It was found to control HOX gene translation during murine embryonic 505 development (59) and knockout led to ectopic mineralization in certain soft tissues (64).

506 We identified multiple connections between immediate early Sox9 expression during ATDC5 507 chondrogenic differentiation and downstream consequences for expression of ribosomal protein 508 subunits, ribosome biogenesis factors and ITAFs. The connection between Sox9 expression and protein 509 translation appears to be centered in early chondrogenic differentiation, with consequences for protein 510 translation in later stage of chondrogenic differentiation. This suggests a role for early Sox9 in the 511 priming of progenitor cells in the chondrogenic differentiation program for cartilaginous ECM 512 production later in differentiation. This provides a new level of understanding how Sox9 controls the 513 fate of chondrogenic differentiation at the level of protein synthesis. In this respect it is tempting to 514 speculate on the classification of campomelic dysplasia (OMIM #114290) (65), as links between Sox9 515 and genes involved in ribosomopathies (52, 66) were identified in the present work (SBDS, Rpl11, 516 Rps26). In conclusion, we collected essential new data on the regulation by Sox9 during early 517 chondrogenic differentiation, uncovering an unanticipated role of Sox9 in ribosome biogenesis and 518 protein translational capacity.

#### 519 Acknowledgements

520 This work was financially supported by the Dutch Arthritis Association (grant LLP14) and by the 521 Dutch Province of Limburg through the "LINK" program and by the MUMC institutional grant for 522 clinical collaborative research. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, and analysis, 523 decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

524

#### 525 **Contribution to the Field Statement**

In our previous research, we demonstrated that chondrogenic differentiation of progenitor cells is 526 527 driven by a sharply defined bi-phasic expression of Sox9: an immediate early and a late phase 528 expression. The late phase expression of Sox9 is associated with cartilage extracellular matrix 529 formation, while the function of the early Sox9 induction itself remained elusive. In this study we 530 aimed to determine what biological processes are driven by Sox9 during the early phase of 531 chondrogenic differentiation. We identified an essential new function for Sox9 during early 532 chondrogenic differentiation. A role for Sox9 in regulation of ribosome amount, activity and 533 composition was found and may be crucial in preparation for the demanding proliferative phase and 534 subsequent cartilage extracellular matrix-production of chondroprogenitors in the growth plate in vivo.

535

#### 536 **Conflict of interest**

537 MMJ Caron and TJM Welting are inventor on patents WO2017178251 and WO2017178253 (licensed
538 to Chondropeptix). LW van Rhijn and TJM Welting have shares in Chondropeptix and are CDO and
539 CSO of Chondropeptix. All other authors have no competing interests to declare.

25

#### 540 Funding

This work was financially supported by the Dutch Arthritis Association (grant LLP14) and by the Dutch Province of Limburg through the "LINK" program and by the MUMC institutional grant for clinical collaborative research. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

545

#### 546 Data Availability Statement

547 have been deposited in the ArrayExpress database EMBL-EBI RNA-seq data at 548 (www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) under accession number E-MTAB-10333. Proteomics data can be found 549 in the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE (37) partner repository with the dataset identifier 550 PXD024715. All other data used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the 551 corresponding author on reasonable request.

552

#### 553 Email addresses of all authors:

- 554 marjolein.caron@maastrichtuniversity.nl
- 555 <u>m.eveque@maastrichtuniversity.nl</u>
- 556 <u>b.housmans@maastrichtuniversity.nl</u>
- 557 kasper.derks@mumc.nl
- 558 <u>a.cremers@maastrichtuniversity.nl</u>
- 559 <u>b.cilleropastor@maastrichtuniversity.nl</u>
- 560 <u>r.heeren@maastrichtuniversity.nl</u>
- 561 <u>m.j.peffers@liverpool.ac.uk</u>
- 562 <u>l.van.rhijn@mumc.nl</u>
- 563 g.vandenakker@maastrichtuniversity.nl

564 <u>t.welting@maastrichtuniversity.nl</u>

#### 565 **References**

- 566 1. H. M. Kronenberg: Developmental regulation of the growth plate. *Nature*, 423(6937), 332-6 567 (2003)
- V. Lefebvre and P. Smits: Transcriptional control of chondrocyte fate and differentiation. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today*, 75(3), 200-12 (2005) doi:10.1002/bdrc.20048
- 570 3. E. J. Mackie, Y. A. Ahmed, L. Tatarczuch, K. S. Chen and M. Mirams: Endochondral 571 ossification: how cartilage is converted into bone in the developing skeleton. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol*, 572 40(1), 46-62 (2008)
- V. Abad, J. L. Meyers, M. Weise, R. I. Gafni, K. M. Barnes, O. Nilsson, J. D. Bacher and J.
  Baron: The role of the resting zone in growth plate chondrogenesis. *Endocrinology*, 143(5), 1851-7
  (2002) doi:10.1210/endo.143.5.8776
- 576 5. C. Karlsson and A. Lindahl: Articular cartilage stem cell signalling. *Arthritis research & therapy*, 11(4), 121 (2009) doi:10.1186/ar2753
- 578 6. H. Nakahara, S. P. Bruder, V. M. Goldberg and A. I. Caplan: In vivo osteochondrogenic
  579 potential of cultured cells derived from the periosteum. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*(259), 223-32 (1990)
- 7. P. J. Emans, M. M. J. Caron, L. W. van Rhijn, V. P. Shastri and T. J. M. Welting: Cartilage
  Tissue Engineering; Lessons Learned From Periosteum. *Tissue Science & Engineering*, S2:002 (2011)
  doi:doi:10.4172/2157-7552.S2-002
- 583 8. F. P. Barry and J. M. Murphy: Mesenchymal stem cells: clinical applications and biological
  584 characterization. *The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology*, 36(4), 568-84 (2004)
  585 doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2003.11.001
- 586 9. M. M. French, S. Rose, J. Canseco and K. A. Athanasiou: Chondrogenic differentiation of adult 587 dermal fibroblasts. *Ann Biomed Eng*, 32(1), 50-6 (2004) doi:10.1023/b:abme.0000007790.65773.e0
- S. P. Medvedev, E. V. Grigor'eva, A. I. Shevchenko, A. A. Malakhova, E. V. Dementyeva, A.
  A. Shilov, E. A. Pokushalov, A. M. Zaidman, M. A. Aleksandrova, E. Y. Plotnikov, G. T. Sukhikh and
  S. M. Zakian: Human induced pluripotent stem cells derived from fetal neural stem cells successfully
  undergo directed differentiation into cartilage. *Stem cells and development*, 20(6), 1099-112 (2011)
  doi:10.1089/scd.2010.0249
- 593 11. B. de Crombrugghe, V. Lefebvre and K. Nakashima: Regulatory mechanisms in the pathways
  594 of cartilage and bone formation. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*, 13(6), 721-7 (2001)
- H. Mankin, V. Mow and J. Buckwalter: Articular cartilage structure, composition, and function.
   AAOS, Rosemont (2000)
- J. W. Foster, M. A. Dominguez-Steglich, S. Guioli, C. Kwok, P. A. Weller, M. Stevanovic, J.
  Weissenbach, S. Mansour, I. D. Young, P. N. Goodfellow and et al.: Campomelic dysplasia and autosomal sex reversal caused by mutations in an SRY-related gene. *Nature*, 372(6506), 525-30 (1994) doi:10.1038/372525a0
- T. Wagner, J. Wirth, J. Meyer, B. Zabel, M. Held, J. Zimmer, J. Pasantes, F. D. Bricarelli, J.
  Keutel, E. Hustert, U. Wolf, N. Tommerup, W. Schempp and G. Scherer: Autosomal sex reversal and
  campomelic dysplasia are caused by mutations in and around the SRY-related gene SOX9. *Cell*, 79(6),
  1111-20 (1994)
- 605 15. H. Akiyama, M. C. Chaboissier, J. F. Martin, A. Schedl and B. de Crombrugghe: The 606 transcription factor Sox9 has essential roles in successive steps of the chondrocyte differentiation

- 607 pathway and is required for expression of Sox5 and Sox6. *Genes Dev*, 16(21), 2813-28 (2002) 608 doi:10.1101/gad.1017802
- A. Argentaro, H. Sim, S. Kelly, S. Preiss, A. Clayton, D. A. Jans and V. R. Harley: A SOX9
  defect of calmodulin-dependent nuclear import in campomelic dysplasia/autosomal sex reversal. *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 278(36), 33839-47 (2003) doi:10.1074/jbc.M302078200
- D. R. Haudenschild, J. Chen, N. Pang, M. K. Lotz and D. D. D'Lima: Rho kinase-dependent
  activation of SOX9 in chondrocytes. *Arthritis and rheumatism*, 62(1), 191-200 (2010)
  doi:10.1002/art.25051
- 615 18. S. Mertin, S. G. McDowall and V. R. Harley: The DNA-binding specificity of SOX9 and other
  616 SOX proteins. *Nucleic acids research*, 27(5), 1359-64 (1999)
- Y. Han and V. Lefebvre: L-Sox5 and Sox6 drive expression of the aggrecan gene in cartilage
  by securing binding of Sox9 to a far-upstream enhancer. *Mol Cell Biol*, 28(16), 4999-5013 (2008)
  doi:10.1128/MCB.00695-08
- V. Lefebvre, R. R. Behringer and B. de Crombrugghe: L-Sox5, Sox6 and Sox9 control essential
  steps of the chondrocyte differentiation pathway. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*, 9 Suppl A, S69-75 (2001)
- V. Lefebvre, W. Huang, V. R. Harley, P. N. Goodfellow and B. de Crombrugghe: SOX9 is a
  potent activator of the chondrocyte-specific enhancer of the pro alpha1(II) collagen gene. *Mol Cell Biol*, 17(4), 2336-46 (1997)
- V. Lefebvre, P. Li and B. de Crombrugghe: A new long form of Sox5 (L-Sox5), Sox6 and Sox9
  are coexpressed in chondrogenesis and cooperatively activate the type II collagen gene. *EMBO J*,
  17(19), 5718-33 (1998) doi:10.1093/emboj/17.19.5718
- 628 23. M. A. Genzer and L. C. Bridgewater: A Col9a1 enhancer element activated by two 629 interdependent SOX9 dimers. *Nucleic acids research*, 35(4), 1178-86 (2007) doi:10.1093/nar/gkm014
- E. Jenkins, J. B. Moss, J. M. Pace and L. C. Bridgewater: The new collagen gene COL27A1
  contains SOX9-responsive enhancer elements. *Matrix biology : journal of the International Society for Matrix Biology*, 24(3), 177-84 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.matbio.2005.02.004
- C. D. Oh, S. N. Maity, J. F. Lu, J. Zhang, S. Liang, F. Coustry, B. de Crombrugghe and H.
  Yasuda: Identification of SOX9 interaction sites in the genome of chondrocytes. *PLoS One*, 5(4),
  e10113 (2010) doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010113
- 636 26. O. Rentsendorj, A. Nagy, I. Sinko, A. Daraba, E. Barta and I. Kiss: Highly conserved proximal
  637 promoter element harbouring paired Sox9-binding sites contributes to the tissue- and developmental
  638 stage-specific activity of the matrilin-1 gene. *The Biochemical journal*, 389(Pt 3), 705-16 (2005)
  639 doi:10.1042/BJ20050214
- T. Furumatsu, M. Tsuda, N. Taniguchi, Y. Tajima and H. Asahara: Smad3 induces
  chondrogenesis through the activation of SOX9 via CREB-binding protein/p300 recruitment. *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 280(9), 8343-50 (2005) doi:10.1074/jbc.M413913200
- 643 28. W. Wang, D. Rigueur and K. M. Lyons: TGFbeta signaling in cartilage development and 644 maintenance. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today*, 102(1), 37-51 (2014) doi:10.1002/bdrc.21058
- B. S. Yoon and K. M. Lyons: Multiple functions of BMPs in chondrogenesis. *J Cell Biochem*,
  93(1), 93-103 (2004) doi:10.1002/jcb.20211

- M. M. Caron, P. J. Emans, D. A. Surtel, A. Cremers, J. W. Voncken, T. J. Welting and L. W.
  van Rhijn: Activation of NF-kappaB/p65 Facilitates Early Chondrogenic Differentiation during
  Endochondral Ossification. *PloS one*, 7(3), e33467 (2012) doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033467
- F. Spaapen, G. G. van den Akker, M. M. Caron, P. Prickaerts, C. Rofel, V. E. Dahlmans, D. A.
  Surtel, Y. Paulis, F. Schweizer, T. J. Welting, L. M. Eijssen and J. W. Voncken: The immediate early
  gene product EGR1 and polycomb group proteins interact in epigenetic programming during
  chondrogenesis. *PLoS One*, 8(3), e58083 (2013) doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058083
- T. Atsumi, Y. Miwa, K. Kimata and Y. Ikawa: A chondrogenic cell line derived from a
  differentiating culture of AT805 teratocarcinoma cells. *Cell Differ Dev*, 30(2), 109-16 (1990)
- T. J. Welting, M. M. Caron, P. J. Emans, M. P. Janssen, K. Sanen, M. M. Coolsen, L. Voss, D.
  A. Surtel, A. Cremers, J. W. Voncken and L. W. van Rhijn: Inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 impacts
  chondrocyte hypertrophic differentiation during endochondral ossification. *Eur Cell Mater*, 22, 42036; discussion 436-7 (2011)
- M. D. Robinson, D. J. McCarthy and G. K. Smyth: edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
  differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*, 26(1), 139-40 (2010)
  doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616
- 35. D. J. McCarthy, Y. Chen and G. K. Smyth: Differential expression analysis of multifactor
  RNA-Seq experiments with respect to biological variation. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 40(10), 4288-97 (2012)
  doi:10.1093/nar/gks042
- 666 36. E. Y. Chen, C. M. Tan, Y. Kou, Q. Duan, Z. Wang, G. V. Meirelles, N. R. Clark and A.
  667 Ma'ayan: Enrichr: interactive and collaborative HTML5 gene list enrichment analysis tool. *BMC*668 *Bioinformatics*, 14, 128 (2013) doi:10.1186/1471-2105-14-128
- 37. Y. Perez-Riverol, A. Csordas, J. Bai, M. Bernal-Llinares, S. Hewapathirana, D. J. Kundu, A.
  Inuganti, J. Griss, G. Mayer, M. Eisenacher, E. Perez, J. Uszkoreit, J. Pfeuffer, T. Sachsenberg, S.
  Yilmaz, S. Tiwary, J. Cox, E. Audain, M. Walzer, A. F. Jarnuczak, T. Ternent, A. Brazma and J. A.
  Vizcaino: The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for
  quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 47(D1), D442-D450 (2019) doi:10.1093/nar/gky1106
- 674 38. R. W. Farndale, C. A. Sayers and A. J. Barrett: A direct spectrophotometric microassay for 675 sulfated glycosaminoglycans in cartilage cultures. *Connective tissue research*, 9(4), 247-8 (1982)
- 676 39. C. A. Goodman and T. A. Hornberger: Measuring protein synthesis with SUnSET: a valid 677 alternative to traditional techniques? *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*, 41(2), 107-15 (2013) 678 doi:10.1097/JES.0b013e3182798a95
- 679 40. C. J. Henrich: A Microplate-Based Nonradioactive Protein Synthesis Assay: Application to
  680 TRAIL Sensitization by Protein Synthesis Inhibitors. *PLoS One*, 11(10), e0165192 (2016)
  681 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165192
- 41. T. A. McCaffrey, L. A. Agarwal and B. B. Weksler: A rapid fluorometric DNA assay for the
  measurement of cell density and proliferation in vitro. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol*, 24(3), 247-52 (1988)
  doi:10.1007/bf02623555
- 42. A. C. Panda, J. L. Martindale and M. Gorospe: Polysome Fractionation to Analyze mRNA
  Distribution Profiles. *Bio-protocol*, 7(3), e2126 (2017) doi:10.21769/BioProtoc.2126
- 687 43. C. Vogel and E. M. Marcotte: Insights into the regulation of protein abundance from proteomic
  688 and transcriptomic analyses. *Nat Rev Genet*, 13(4), 227-32 (2012) doi:10.1038/nrg3185

- 44. N. Deniz, E. M. Lenarcic, D. M. Landry and S. R. Thompson: Translation initiation factors are
  not required for Dicistroviridae IRES function in vivo. *Rna*, 15(5), 932-46 (2009)
  doi:10.1261/rna.1315109
- 45. A. J. Collier, S. Tang and R. M. Elliott: Translation efficiencies of the 5' untranslated region
  from representatives of the six major genotypes of hepatitis C virus using a novel bicistronic reporter
  assay system. *J Gen Virol*, 79 (Pt 10), 2359-66 (1998) doi:10.1099/0022-1317-79-10-2359
- 695 46. P. S. Ray, R. Grover and S. Das: Two internal ribosome entry sites mediate the translation of 696 p53 isoforms. *EMBO Rep*, 7(4), 404-10 (2006) doi:10.1038/sj.embor.7400623
- 47. V. Lefebvre, M. Angelozzi and A. Haseeb: SOX9 in cartilage development and disease. *Curr*698 *Opin Cell Biol*, 61, 39-47 (2019) doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2019.07.008
- 699 48. C. F. Liu, M. Angelozzi, A. Haseeb and V. Lefebvre: SOX9 is dispensable for the initiation of
  700 epigenetic remodeling and the activation of marker genes at the onset of chondrogenesis. *Development*,
  701 145(14) (2018) doi:10.1242/dev.164459
- M. M. Caron, P. J. Emans, D. A. Surtel, P. M. van der Kraan, L. W. van Rhijn and T. J. Welting:
  BAPX-1/NKX-3.2 acts as a chondrocyte hypertrophy molecular switch in osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*, 67(11), 2944-56 (2015) doi:10.1002/art.39293
- 50. S. Yamashita, M. Andoh, H. Ueno-Kudoh, T. Sato, S. Miyaki and H. Asahara: Sox9 directly
  promotes Bapx1 gene expression to repress Runx2 in chondrocytes. *Exp Cell Res*, 315(13), 2231-40
  (2009) doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2009.03.008
- 51. C. F. Liu and V. Lefebvre: The transcription factors SOX9 and SOX5/SOX6 cooperate
  genome-wide through super-enhancers to drive chondrogenesis. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 43(17), 8183-203
  (2015) doi:10.1093/nar/gkv688
- 52. P. A. Trainor and A. E. Merrill: Ribosome biogenesis in skeletal development and the
  pathogenesis of skeletal disorders. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 1842(6), 769-78 (2014)
  doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2013.11.010
- 53. G. Venturi and L. Montanaro: How Altered Ribosome Production Can Cause or Contribute to
  Human Disease: The Spectrum of Ribosomopathies. *Cells*, 9(10) (2020) doi:10.3390/cells9102300
- 54. Y. V. Svitkin, B. Herdy, M. Costa-Mattioli, A. C. Gingras, B. Raught and N. Sonenberg:
  Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E availability controls the switch between cap-dependent and
  internal ribosomal entry site-mediated translation. *Mol Cell Biol*, 25(23), 10556-65 (2005)
  doi:10.1128/MCB.25.23.10556-10565.2005
- 720 55. R. J. Dowling, I. Topisirovic, T. Alain, M. Bidinosti, B. D. Fonseca, E. Petroulakis, X. Wang,
  721 O. Larsson, A. Selvaraj, Y. Liu, S. C. Kozma, G. Thomas and N. Sonenberg: mTORC1-mediated cell
  722 proliferation, but not cell growth, controlled by the 4E-BPs. *Science*, 328(5982), 1172-6 (2010)
  723 doi:10.1126/science.1187532
- 56. A. G. Johnson, R. Grosely, A. N. Petrov and J. D. Puglisi: Dynamics of IRES-mediated translation. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, 372(1716) (2017) doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0177
- 57. E. Jan and P. Sarnow: Factorless ribosome assembly on the internal ribosome entry site of cricket paralysis virus. *J Mol Biol*, 324(5), 889-902 (2002) doi:10.1016/s0022-2836(02)01099-9
- 58. A. C. Godet, F. David, F. Hantelys, F. Tatin, E. Lacazette, B. Garmy-Susini and A. C. Prats:
  IRES Trans-Acting Factors, Key Actors of the Stress Response. *Int J Mol Sci*, 20(4) (2019)
  doi:10.3390/ijms20040924

- 59. S. Xue, S. Tian, K. Fujii, W. Kladwang, R. Das and M. Barna: RNA regulons in Hox 5' UTRs
  confer ribosome specificity to gene regulation. *Nature*, 517(7532), 33-38 (2015)
  doi:10.1038/nature14010
- N. Chaichanit, M. Wonglapsuwan and W. Chotigeat: Ribosomal protein L10A and signaling
  pathway. *Gene*, 674, 170-177 (2018) doi:10.1016/j.gene.2018.06.081
- 736 61. Z. Shi, K. Fujii, K. M. Kovary, N. R. Genuth, H. L. Röst, M. N. Teruel and M. Barna:
  737 Heterogeneous Ribosomes Preferentially Translate Distinct Subpools of mRNAs Genome-wide. *Mol*738 *Cell*, 67(1), 71-83.e7 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.05.021
- R. Horos, H. Ijspeert, D. Pospisilova, R. Sendtner, C. Andrieu-Soler, E. Taskesen, A. Nieradka,
  R. Cmejla, M. Sendtner, I. P. Touw and M. von Lindern: Ribosomal deficiencies in Diamond-Blackfan
  anemia impair translation of transcripts essential for differentiation of murine and human erythroblasts. *Blood*, 119(1), 262-72 (2012) doi:10.1182/blood-2011-06-358200
- D. Tong, J. Zhang, X. Wang, Q. Li, L. Y. Liu, J. Yang, B. Guo, L. Ni, L. Zhao and C. Huang:
  MeCP2 facilitates breast cancer growth via promoting ubiquitination-mediated P53 degradation by
  inhibiting RPL5/RPL11 transcription. *Oncogenesis*, 9(5), 56 (2020) doi:10.1038/s41389-020-0239-7
- K. Noben-Trauth and J. R. Latoche: Ectopic mineralization in the middle ear and chronic otitis
  media with effusion caused by RPL38 deficiency in the Tail-short (Ts) mouse. *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 286(4), 3079-3093 (2011) doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.184598
- 65. G. R. Mortier, D. H. Cohn, V. Cormier-Daire, C. Hall, D. Krakow, S. Mundlos, G. Nishimura,
  S. Robertson, L. Sangiorgi, R. Savarirayan, D. Sillence, A. Superti-Furga, S. Unger and M. L. Warman:
  Nosology and classification of genetic skeletal disorders: 2019 revision. *Am J Med Genet A*, 179(12),
  2393-2419 (2019) doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.61366
- 66. H. Nakhoul, J. Ke, X. Zhou, W. Liao, S. X. Zeng and H. Lu: Ribosomopathies: mechanisms of
  disease. *Clin Med Insights Blood Disord*, 7, 7-16 (2014) doi:10.4137/CMBD.S16952
- H. Heberle, G. V. Meirelles, F. R. da Silva, G. P. Telles and R. Minghim: InteractiVenn: a webbased tool for the analysis of sets through Venn diagrams. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 16, 169 (2015)
  doi:10.1186/s12859-015-0611-3
- 68. D. N. Slenter, M. Kutmon, K. Hanspers, A. Riutta, J. Windsor, N. Nunes, J. Melius, E. Cirillo,
  S. L. Coort, D. Digles, F. Ehrhart, P. Giesbertz, M. Kalafati, M. Martens, R. Miller, K. Nishida, L.
  Rieswijk, A. Waagmeester, L. M. T. Eijssen, C. T. Evelo, A. R. Pico and E. L. Willighagen:
  WikiPathways: a multifaceted pathway database bridging metabolomics to other omics research. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 46(D1), D661-D667 (2018) doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1064
- M. Kutmon, M. P. van Iersel, A. Bohler, T. Kelder, N. Nunes, A. R. Pico and C. T. Evelo:
  PathVisio 3: an extendable pathway analysis toolbox. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 11(2), e1004085 (2015)
  doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004085
- 766

767

#### 768 Figure legends

#### 769

Figure 1: Sox9 expression has a bi-phasic peak expression during chondrogenic differentiation of
 ATDC5 cells

772 A: Sox9 mRNA expression showed bi-phasic peak pattern during ATDC5 differentiation (h=hours, 773 d=days) as measured by RT-qPCR (30, 31). Results were normalized to  $\beta$ -Actin mRNA expression 774 and presented relative to t=0. **B**: Sox9 protein expression peak during early ATDC5 differentiation as 775 measured by immunoblotting. a-Tubulin was used as loading control. Molecular weight markers (in 776 kDa) are shown on the left and relative quantifications are depicted on top of immunoblot. C: In similar 777 samples from (A), Col2a1 mRNA expression was measured during ATDC5 differentiation by RT-778 qPCR and showed an increase in expression from day 6 onwards. D: GAG content (by Alcian Blue 779 staining and corrected for total protein expression) was determined during ATDC5 differentiation. 780 Experiments were performed in triplicate, bars represent mean±SEM. ns= not significant, \*=p<0.05, 781 \*\*=p<0.01, \*\*\*=p<0.0001.

782

### 783 Figure 2: Elucidating the function of early Sox9 expression by transcriptome and proteome analyses

784A: Schematic representation of experimental set-up for Sox9 knockdown experiment. Specific Sox9785RNAi (100 nM) or scrambled control RNAi (100 nM) were transiently transfected "early" at t=-1d or786"late" t=6d. ATDC5 cells were differentiated from day 0 onwards and harvested for transcriptome and787proteome analysis at at t=0, 2h, 5d, 7d, 14d. B: Sox9 mRNA expression during ATDC5 differentiation788in Control and Sox9 RNAi conditions (h=hours, d=days) as measured by RT-qPCR. Results were789normalized to β-Actin RNA expression and presented relative to t=0. Bars represent mean±SEM. ns=

not significant, \*=p<0.05, \*\*=p<0.01, p=<0.0001. **C**: Sox9 protein expression at t=2h (for "early knockdown condition) and t=7d (for "late" knockdown conditions) time point in Control and Sox9 RNAi conditions as measured by immunoblotting.  $\alpha$ -Tubulin was used as loading control. Molecular weight markers (in kd) are shown on the left. **D**: Col2a1 mRNA expression in similar samples from (B). **E**: Col10a1 mRNA expression in similar samples from (B). **F**: Schematic representation of time points and comparisons for transcriptomics and proteomics analysis.

796

798

#### 797 Figure 3: Changes in transcriptome and proteome after Sox9 knockdown

799 A: Venn diagram (67) showing the numbers of genes whose expression was significantly upregulated 800 upon Sox9 knockdown at 2 hours versus 7 day condition. B: Venn diagram showing the numbers of 801 genes whose expression was significantly downregulated upon Sox9 knockdown at 2 hours versus 7 802 day condition. C: Venn diagram showing the numbers of proteins whose expression was significantly 803 upregulated upon Sox9 knockdown at 2 hours versus 7 day condition. D: Venn diagram showing the 804 numbers of proteins whose expression was significantly downregulated upon Sox9 knockdown at 2 805 hours versus 7 day condition. E: Venn diagram showing the upregulated genes versus proteins at 2 806 hours in differentiation. F: Venn diagram showing the downregulated genes versus proteins at 2 hours 807 in differentiation.

808

#### 809 Figure 4: Early Sox9 expression is involved in ribosomal pathways

Top 3 identified enriched pathways from WikiPathway 2019 and KEGG2019 pathway analysis in control RNAi compared to Sox9 RNAi at 2 hours condition in ATDC5 differentiation for transcriptome and proteome data sets.

813

#### This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

#### 814 Figure 5: Early Sox9 regulates ribosomal protein expression

815 A: Schematic representation of significantly differentially expressed ribosomal proteins from 816 transcriptome and proteome datasets based on Wikipathways (68): WP163 using PathVisio 3 (69). B: 817 Rpl38, Rps30/Fau, Nop10 and SBDS expression at 2 hours in ATDC5 differentiation in Control and 818 Sox9 RNAi conditions as measured by RT-qPCR. Results were normalized to β-Actin RNA expression 819 and presented relative to t=0. C: In similar samples from B; 18S rRNA, 28S rRNA and 5.8S rRNA 820 expression. Bars represent mean±SEM. ns= not significant, \*=p<0.05, \*\*=p<0.01, \*\*\*=p<0.0001. 821 822 Figure 6: Knockdown of early Sox9 expression leads to a reduced translational capacity, lower amount 823 of ribosomes and alters ribosome modus 824 A: Total protein translation measurements based on puromycin incorporation was normalized to total

DNA content per well (Mean $\pm$ SEM, n=6/group) at indicated time points. **B**: Polysome fractionation of control and Sox9 knock-down cells (Mean only, n=3/group) after 2 hours of differentiation. **C**: Ribosome modus was assessed for the CrPv IGR CCGG mutant IRES, the intact CrPv IGR IRES, the HCV IRES and the P53 IRES after 24 hours of differentiation. Bars represent mean $\pm$ SEM. ns= not significant, \*=p<0.05, \*\*=p<0.01, p=<0.0001.

830

#### 831 Figure 7: Overexpression of early Sox9 leads to an increased translational capacity

A: Sox9 mRNA expression at 2 hours in ATDC5 differentiation in Control and Sox9 overexpression (OE) conditions as measured by RT-qPCR. Results were normalized to  $\beta$ -Actin RNA expression and presented relative to t=0. **B**: Total protein translation measurements based on puromycin incorporation was normalized to total DNA content per well (Mean±SEM, n=6/group) at indicated time points. **C**:

- 836 In similar samples from A; 18S rRNA, 28S rRNA and 5.8S rRNA expression at 2 hours in ATDC5
- 837 differentiation in Control and Sox9 overexpression conditions. **D:** In similar samples from A; *Rpl38*,
- 838 Rps30/Fau, Nop10 and SBDS expression at 2 hours in ATDC5 differentiation in Control and Sox9
- 839 overexpression conditions. Bars represent mean±SEM. ns= not significant, \*=p<0.05, \*\*=p<0.01,
- 840 p=<0.0001.