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Paediatric surgery is a highly specialised surgical field requiring expertise in caring for children with common and rare conditions. The creation of 26 UK paediatric surgery centres has occurred over recent decades, resulting in a geographical disparity, both in location and number of centres. Accumulation of experience and advances in medical technologies has resulted in a huge success story for the speciality. Many infants and children, hitherto with severe or potentially fatal conditions, now survive and thrive. General surgeons regularly undertake common paediatric elective and emergency procedures, although nationally there now is a steady drift of these operations being undertaken by speciality paediatric surgeons. Furthermore individual paediatric surgeon specific index case-numbers of some complex rare conditions has led in some instances to the transfer of health care to other specialist trusts for multi-disciplinary input and bespoke services.

NHS England and Improvement commissioned a Getting it Right First Time (GIRFT) report into paediatric surgery provision in England in 2018 and its early findings were supported ( in principle ) by the British Association of Paediatric Surgeons2. The report describes the crucial need for concentration of sub-specialist surgical services in fewer (nominally 10) institutions in England for the expert care of children with varied index rare conditions. Sub-specialist centres are expected to have a “critical mass” of sub-specialist paediatric upper and lower gastro-intestinal and urology surgeons in addition to specialist paediatric surgeons in other sub-disciplines eg. surgical oncology. Other paediatric surgical units it is anticipated would continue to care for infants and children in their immediate geographic locality and community who do not have the index rare conditions, many of whom will require care that cannot be provided by a non-specialist team.

A speciality service where this is already well practised is paediatric cardiac surgery and studies clearly show improvement in outcome metrics with a higher volume of complex index cases3. Similarly, concentration of care of infants with biliary atresia and bladder exstrophy has led to marked noteworthy improvements in overall outcomes4,5. While the effects of “super centralisation” on patient clinical outcomes appears perhaps self evident, the “knock on” effect(s) on paediatric surgery speciality training are likely to be very significant. Paediatric surgical training is generically themed and wide-ranging, with surgeons completing their training with a limited ability to immediately sub-specialise. Some trainees will be enthusiastically driven to undertake a sub-speciality fellowship after certification of completion of training (CCT), but the majority currently take up a consultant post without bespoke sub-specialist training.

Centralisation of sub-specialist care for children with rare index surgical disorders gives opportunity to deliver sub-specialist training.

Over the past two decades UK surgical training has changed immeasurably. A reduction in hours due to the European Working Time Directive and a significant diminution in the number of years required to achieve CCT has resulted in fewer operating hours gained6 and less non-operative clinical experience before consultancy. Sweeping changes to the delivery of non-specialist children’s surgical care by adult general surgeons is likely in the wake of the covid-19 pandemic. This may result in a shift of “low-volume” specialist paediatric centres undertaking more “low complexity” elective childhood surgery which has been unduly delayed, with growing waiting times in secondary hospitals, potentially further reducing the experience of the adult general surgeon in delivering “general surgery of childhood.”

There are a range of approaches to be tactfully considered to better provide effective specialist and sub-specialist training for paediatric surgeons. The first option, cited within the GIRFT report, follows ideologically and thematically the American surgical training model where a 5 year general surgery residency is undertaken with licence accreditation and then later competitive nationwide entry into a two year paediatric surgery fellowship programme7. This system resourcefully promotes “the pathway in surgical training” as it crucially allows the resident to grow operative skills in general surgery with a likely higher volume of minimally invasive surgery index case numbers and gives opportunities to learn ‘cutting edge’ innovative techniques rarely deployed in paediatric surgery (e.g. robotic surgery).

The second option that is workable is to mandate that trainees wishing to sub-specialise as a consultant paediatric surgeon must undertake a post-CCT clinical fellowship of 12-24 months duration. This would provide great opportunity for surgical fellowship led training at a designated centre (UK or overseas) before individuals seek substantive consultant appointment(s) with recognised sub-speciality credits. If this approach were to be taken, new UK training fellowship posts need to be created and optimised to enable responsive future workforce planning in paediatric surgery. Fellowships should ideally combine clinical and research training potentially with attainment of a higher degree credential to ensure that such surgeons thereafter become bona-fide sub-specialist consultant(s).

The third option plausible is a ‘ hybrid ‘ of the above themes. At present, UK medical paediatric trainees wishing to sub-specialise do so by applying to the “National Grid System”8. A similar format could be effortlessly considered for paediatric surgery with trainees rotated to both specialist and sub-specialist surgical centres within the first four years of a higher speciality training post and then, if so desired, apply for subspeciality clinical fellowship training within their final two years of training. Future work-force planning could be pragmatically managed to ensure that the ‘ critical mass ‘ required number of sub-specialist UK consultant paediatric surgery posts are actively catered for by a system model focused on personalised training. The UK & Ireland Intercollegiate Speciality Board Fellowship Examinations have set a precedent in General Surgery in that the oral viva voce section of the FRCS(Gen) exam focuses on testing the exam candidate’s knowledge in General Surgery and a well defined sub-speciality interest as identified by the examinees. A similar approach could thus be applied to the Paediatric Surgery FRCS(Paed) examination for surgeon candidates who wish to have credits recognised in sub-specialist training such as Urology, Oncology, Upper and Lower tract gastroenterology.

UK paediatric surgical training needs re-configuration as major changes are emerging on the horizon with the new proposed future delivery of children’s surgical healthcare in the NHS. It is crucial that the paediatric surgeon in training who will be ‘ tomorrow’s surgeons ‘ are wholly confident, competent and suitably experienced for the speciality role including sub-speciality consultant appointment. Consultation and active dialogue with the Surgical Royal Colleges, UK Paediatric Surgical Consultant Workforce, British Association Of Paediatric Surgeons (BAPS), allied professional health care organisations, parents / patients (PPI) and the trainees themselves is key assurance that the new generation of consultant paediatric surgeons will provide the ‘ world-class care ‘ required for children and families whom they will faithfully serve in the future9.
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