First passage times over stochastic boundaries for subdiffusive processes

C. Constantinescu[*], R. Loeffen[†] and P. Patie[‡]

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{X} = (\mathbb{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the subdiffusive process defined, for any $t \geq 0$, by $\mathbb{X}_t = X_{\ell_t}$ where $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Lévy process and $\ell_t = \inf\{s > 0; \mathcal{K}_s > t\}$ with $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{K}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a subordinator independent of X. We start by developing a composite Wiener-Hopf factorization to characterize the law of the pair $(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\ell)}, (\mathbb{X} - \ell)_{\mathbb{T}_a^{(\ell)}})$ where

$$\mathbb{T}_a^{(\ell)} = \inf\{t > 0; \mathbb{X}_t > a + \ell_t\}$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\ell = (\ell_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a (possibly degenerate) subordinator independent of X and $\mathcal{K}$. We proceed by providing a detailed analysis of the cases where either $\mathbb{X}$ is a self-similar or is spectrally negative. For the later, we show the fact that the process $(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\ell)})_{a \geq 0}$ is a subordinator. Our proofs hinge on a variety of techniques including excursion theory, change of measure, asymptotic analysis and on establishing a link between subdiffusive processes and a subclass of semi-regenerative processes. In particular, we show that the variable $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\ell)}$ has the same law as the first passage time of a *semi-regenerative process of Lévy type*, a terminology that we introduce to mean that this process satisfies the Markov property of Lévy processes for stopping times whose graph is included in the associated regeneration set.

AMS 2010 subject classifications: Primary: 60K15, 60G40. Secondary: 60G51, 60G52, 60G18.

Key words: First passage time problems; subdiffusive diffusions; Wiener-Hopf factorization; Lévy processes, time-changed; inverse subordinator; semi-regenerative processes; long-range dependence; ruin probability; stable processes.

1 Introduction and main results

The recent years have witnessed strong and steady interests in the analysis of subdiffusive dynamics constructed by time-changing a Brownian motion, a Poisson process or any Lévy process, by the inverse of an independent subordinator. On the one hand, this seems to be attributed to the appearance of such dynamics in some important limit theorems such as the scaling limit of continuous-time random walks (in which the i.i.d. jumps are separated by i.i.d. waiting times) [29], the scaling limit of random walks in random environment [5], and also the (surprising)

---

[*]University of Liverpool, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Liverpool, L69 3 BX, UK. E-mail: C.Constantinescu@liverpool.ac.uk

[†]University of Manchester, School of Mathematics, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK. E-mail: ronnie.loeffen@manchester.ac.uk

[‡]Cornell University, School of Operations Research and Information Engineering, 220 Rhodes Hall, Ithaca, NY 14853, U.S.A. E-mail: ppatie@cornell.edu

intermediate time behaviour of some periodic diffusive flows which gives rise to the fractional kinetic process [13]. Moreover, in functional analysis, these processes appear in the stochastic representation of solutions of fractional Cauchy problems defined similarly to the classical Cauchy problem by replacing the time derivative by the fractional one or more generally by some convolution type operators [3, 36, 37]. On the other hand, the statistical properties of these models, e.g. subdiffusive behavior, long-range dependence, fractal properties, see [24], enable to reproduce some complex phenomena that have been observed in physical sciences such as in statistical physics [32], chemical physics [33], see also the paper [18] for an excellent description of the ubiquity of anomalous transport in nature and, also in economy, see [20].

One generic and important theme of research with various applications in this area, and more generally for non-Markovian dynamics, is the first passage time problem. There is indeed a rich and substantial literature devoted to the study and applications of this problem in the context of Gaussian processes, semi-Markov processes, and some self-similar non-Markovian processes, see for instance [12, 11], [1, 15, 17], [26] and the references therein. However, unlike for Markov processes, this literature reveals that the non-Markovian property make the analysis of such objects very difficult and, in general, only very partial statistical information regarding these random variables has been obtained. An interesting feature worth mentioning is the phenomenon of persistency that has been observed for some Gaussian processes and for self-similar non-Markovian processes, meaning that the survival probabilities of the first passage time distribution has a power decay which is independent of the state variable, see [12, 1, 26] and the references therein. We already point out that, as a by-product of our results, we shall also identify, in the case where the subordinator driving the time change is a stable subordinator, a refinement of the persistence phenomena for the distribution of the first passage time for subdiffusive processes, see Proposition 1.9.

The main objective of this paper is to offer a fresh perspective on this issue by establishing a general theory for the first passage time problem over a stochastic boundary, not only a fixed one, of the class of subdiffusive processes that we now introduce. Throughout, we consider the stochastic process $\mathbb{X} = (\mathbb{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ by

$$\mathbb{X}_t = X_{\ell_t}, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\ell_t = \inf\{s > 0; \, \mathcal{k}_s > t\}$ with $\mathcal{k} = (\mathcal{k}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a subordinator, issued from 0, associated with the Bernstein function $\phi_{\mathcal{k}}$ and $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an independent Lévy process with characteristic exponent Ψ, all these notions will be reviewed below. Our first main contribution is to provide, by means of a composite Wiener-Hopf factorization, an explicit characterization of the joint law of $(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})}, (\mathbb{X} - \mathcal{b})_{\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})}})$, where $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})}$ is the first passage time of $\mathbb{X}$ to the stochastic boundary $a + \mathcal{b}$, defined as

$$\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})} = \inf\{t > 0; \, \mathbb{X}_t > a + \mathcal{b}_t\} \tag{1.2}$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{b} = (\mathcal{b}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is another subordinator, associated to the Bernstein function $\phi_{\mathcal{b}}$, defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and assumed to be independent of X and $\mathcal{k}$, and thus of $\mathbb{X}$. We shall also give more insights into the fractional subdiffusive class, that is when $\mathcal{k}$ is a stable subordinator, and also into the case where $\mathbb{X}$ does not have positive jumps.

To the best of our knowledge, up to now only in very few isolated cases, explicit expressions have been found for the Laplace transform or simply about the mass at infinity of $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})}$ and they fall under two categories. One where $\mathcal{k}$ is a stable subordinator, X is a Brownian motion plus drift or a stable process and $\mathcal{b} = 0$, see [15, 17, 21, 26]. The second category corresponds to X being a compound Poisson as then $\mathbb{X}$ becomes a compound renewal process and the first passage times over in particular affine barriers for the latter class of processes have been well studied

in the literature, see [2] for an overview. Our results, which allow for explicit expressions of several quantities of interest for the first passage time problem of general subdiffusive processes, thus provide a vast improvement of the existing literature. Our paper also strengthens their tractability and hence their applicability as models for complex phenomena.

We also point out that the stochastic boundary $a + \theta_t$ boils down to an affine curve, with a positive slope, when $\theta_t = \mathrm{d}_\theta t, \mathrm{d}_\theta > 0$, is a degenerate pure drift subordinator, that is

$$\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathrm{d}_\theta)} = \inf\{t > 0; \, \mathbb{X}_t > a + \mathrm{d}_\theta t\}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

We highlight this case since it corresponds to a generalization of the models that have been used recently in risk theory, where the parameter d_θ corresponds to the constant risk premium, see [8, 10] and the references therein. Note that the stochastic boundary case is also of interest in this context, see the discussion of the example in Section 2.2. We mention that our analysis covers also the dual first passage time

$$\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_a^{(\theta)} = \inf\{t > 0; \, \mathbb{X}_t < -a - \theta_t\} \tag{1.3}$$

by observing that $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_a^{(\theta)} = \inf\{t > 0; \, -\mathbb{X}_t > a + \theta_t\}$ and $-\mathbb{X}$ is simply the time change still by ℓ of the dual Lévy process $\widehat{X} = -X$ which is another Lévy process. Finally anticipating the discussion shortly after, it follows from the definition of $\mathbb{X}$ and the spatial homogeneity of the Lévy process X that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the law of $(\mathbb{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ under $\mathbb{P}_x$ (i.e. $\mathbb{P}_x(X_0 = x) = 1$) is the law of $(\mathbb{X}_t + x)_{t \geq 0}$ under $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_0$. In particular, $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\theta)}$ under $\mathbb{P}_x$ has the same law as $\mathbb{T}_{a-x}^{(\theta)}$ under $\mathbb{P}$.

Let us now recall that ℓ and X as Lévy processes are stochastic processes with stationary and independent increments, with a.s. càdlàg sample paths and their law are fully characterized by their Laplace exponent $\phi_\ell(u) = -\log \mathbb{E}[e^{-u\ell_1}], u \geq 0$, and characteristic exponent, $\Psi(z) = \log \mathbb{E}[e^{izX_1}], z \in \mathbb{R}$, that take respectively the form

$$\phi_\ell(u) = \mathrm{d}_\ell u + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-uy})\mu(dy), \quad u \geq 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathrm{d}_\ell \geq 0$ and μ is a Lévy measure such that $\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge y)\mu(dy) < +\infty$ and

$$\Psi(z) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{2}z^2 + i\mathrm{d}_X z + \int_\mathbb{R} (e^{izy} - 1 - iyz\mathbb{I}_{\{|y|<1\}})\Pi(dy), \tag{1.5}$$

in which $\sigma^2 \geq 0$, $\mathrm{d}_X \in \mathbb{R}$, the coefficient of the drift part and Π is the Lévy measure that characterizes the jumps and satisfies the condition $\int_\mathbb{R} (1 \wedge |y|^2)\Pi(dy) < +\infty$ and $\Pi(\{0\}) = 0$. To avoid having to treat a less interesting case separately, we assume throughout the paper that ℓ is not a compound Poisson process that is

$$\mathrm{d}_\ell > 0 \text{ or } \mu(\mathbb{R}^+) = \infty \text{ in } (1.4). \tag{1.6}$$

This entails that ℓ has a.s. increasing, not just non-decreasing, sample paths and thus the trajectories of ℓ are a.s. non-decreasing, continuous and when $\mathrm{d}_\ell = 0$ they are also singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure as the closure of the range of ℓ has zero Lebesgue measure in this case. Note also that intervals on which ℓ is constant correspond to intervals that ℓ jumps over, assuming that ℓ is not of course a pure drift. Thus, we have, for any $t > 0$ and small $h > 0$, that the value of ℓ_{t+h} clearly depends on whether t is in an interval on which ℓ is constant or not. Since the latter information is known when given the history of the process ℓ up to time

t but not when given just the value of ℓ_t, it follows that ℓ is not a Markov process. Since X and ℓ are independent it follows that $\mathbb{X}$ is also not Markov, unless $X = 0$ or κ is a pure drift. It turns out that the subdiffusive processes we consider in this paper are connected to some substantial classes of non-Markovian processes that have been studied in the literature, namely the semi-regenerative and Cox and renewal processes. In view of the importance of subdiffusive processes, we believe that it is worth mentioning them in the sequel and we emphasize that the connection with semi-regenerative processes will be essential in the proof of our results.

Subdiffusive processes as semi-regenerative processes of Lévy type. The process $\mathbb{X}$ is not Markov and so certainly is not Markov at any stopping time. However, given a suitable filtration, we show in the following that the Markov property still holds for stopping times T that take values in the random set[1]

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{t \geq 0;\ \kappa_{\ell_t} = t\}. \tag{1.7}$$

Before stating this result we introduce some notation. Throughout, we denote by $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the natural filtration of the bivariate Lévy process (X, κ) and by $\mathcal{N} = \{A \in \mathcal{F};\ \mathbb{P}(A) = 0\}$ the collection of null-events, and for all $t \geq 0$, by $\mathcal{F}_t^{\mathbb{P}} = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_t \cup \mathcal{N})$ the smallest σ-algebra containing $\mathcal{F}_t \cup \mathcal{N}$ and write $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t = \mathcal{F}_{\ell_t}^{\mathbb{P}}$, where we recall that for a filtration $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on some measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{G})$ and a $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$-stopping time T the σ-algebra $\mathcal{G}_T$ is defined by $\mathcal{G}_T = \{A \in \mathcal{G};\ A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{G}_t$ for all $t \geq 0\}$. We shall also need the notion of regeneration sets and semi-regenerative processes, whose formal definition in the canonical setting can be found in Maisonneuve [28, Chap. 2]. For our purpose, we consider, within the class of regeneration sets, the right-closed random subsets of $[0, \infty)$ that have the same law as the range of a subordinator. Intuitively, a semi-regenerative process regenerates at every stopping time that belongs to its associated regenerative set, albeit possibly from a different starting position, which is why in the literature it is called semi-regenerative rather than regenerative.

Proposition 1.1. *The filtration $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is well-defined, right-continuous and $\mathbb{X}$ is adapted to it. Furthermore, for any $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$-stopping time T such that its graph $[T] = \{(t, \omega);\ t = T(\omega) < \infty\} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$ a.s. (i.e. $\{\omega \in \Omega;\ (\omega, t) \in [T] \backslash \mathfrak{R}$ for some $t \in [0, \infty)\}$ has $\mathbb{P}$-measure 0) and satisfying $\mathbb{P}(T < \infty) > 0$, we have that, under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | T < \infty)$, the process*

$$(\mathbb{X}_{T+t} - \mathbb{X}_T)_{t \geq 0} \text{ is independent of } \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_T \text{ and has the same law as } \mathbb{X} \text{ under } \mathbb{P}. \tag{1.8}$$

In particular, $\mathbb{X}$ is a semi-regenerative process associated to the regeneration set $\mathfrak{R}$, that is for any T an $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$-stopping time such that $[T] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$, G a positive and $\mathcal{F}^0 = \sigma(\mathbb{X}_t, t \geq 0)$-measurable function then, for any $t \geq 0$, $\mathbb{E}\left[G(\mathbb{X}_{t+T}) | \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_T\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}_T}[G(\mathbb{X}_t)]$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. on $\{T < \infty\}$.

Remark 1.2. *When $\mathbb{X}$ is a Lévy process, then the property (1.8) is called the strong Markov property of Lévy processes which implies the classical strong Markov property. The situation is similar here in the context of semi-regenerative processes and we call $\mathbb{X}$ a semi-regenerative process of Lévy type.*

The proof of the proposition is postponed to Section 3.1 below. Actually we shall prove this statement in a more general setting where $\mathbb{X}$ in (1.1) can be defined from a bivariate Lévy process (X, κ) with possibly dependent components. This extended version of the connection with semi-regenerative processes will be essential to show, in the spectrally negative case, that the process of passage times $(\mathbb{T}_a^{(b)})_{a \geq 0}$ is, under $\mathbb{P}$, a subordinator, see Proposition 1.6.

---

[1]In what follows we will also, with abuse of notation, occasionally interpret $\mathfrak{R}$ as a (deterministic) subset of $[0, \infty) \times \Omega$ rather than a random subset of $[0, \infty)$.

Subdiffusive Poisson processes as Cox and renewal processes. A Cox process or a doubly stochastic Poisson process is a Poisson process time-changed by an independent stochastic process with non-decreasing and right-continuous sample paths starting at 0, see e.g. [16, p. 11]. On the other hand, a renewal process is a continuous time stochastic process starting at 0, with jumps of size $+1$ and i.i.d. holding times which we assume are $(0, \infty)$-valued. By a result of Kingman, it follows that a Cox process is a renewal process if and only if the underlying time-change process is the inverse of a subordinator with increasing sample paths, see [16, p.35]. In this case the corresponding holding time distribution F of the Cox-renewal process is characterized by

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-ux} F(dx) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \phi(u)}, \quad u \geq 0, \tag{1.9}$$

where ϕ is the Laplace exponent of the subordinator driving the time-change and $\lambda > 0$ is the intensity of the Poisson process. We point out that the probability measure F is the normalized λ-potential measure of the subordinator associated to ϕ. Note also that Yannaros [38, Lemma 2.1] showed that a sufficient condition for a distribution F to be of the form (1.9) is that it is absolutely continuous with a completely monotone density. However, from [35, Remark 11.13] and the discussion above, we deduce readily this refined result.

Proposition 1.3. *Let us assume that X is a Poisson process. Then, the subdiffusive process $\mathbb{X}$ defined in (1.1) is both a Cox and a renewal process. Moreover, F is of the form (1.9) if it has a probability density which is log-convex and therefore the renewal process with holding time distribution F is of the form (1.1).*

A popular example in this literature is when the subordinator is an α-stable subordinator, i.e. $\phi(u) = u^\alpha$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. The resulting Cox-renewal process is referred to as the fractional Poisson process and the holding time distribution F has the Mittag-Leffler distribution given by

$$F(x) = 1 - \mathrm{E}_\alpha(-\lambda x^\alpha), \quad x \geq 0, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\mathrm{E}_\alpha(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{x^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)}$ is the Mittag-Leffler function.

In the remaining part of this section, we state the main results on the first passage time problems. In Section 2, we illustrate our approach by detailing two examples. The last section is devoted to the proof of the results of Section 1.

1.1 The stochastic boundary via a composite of Wiener-Hopf factorizations

Before stating our first main result we recall some information regarding the Wiener-Hopf factorization of Lévy processes and refer to [34, Section 45] for a nice exposition. Throughout $\mathbf{e}_p$ stands for an exponential random variable with parameter $p > 0$ which is independent of the triple of processes $(X, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{b})$. Recall that X is a Lévy process with characteristic exponent Ψ. From the Wiener-Hopf factorization we know that, for any $p > 0$, there exists two functions $\Phi(p; z)$ and $\widehat{\Phi}(p; z)$ such that

$$\frac{p}{p - \Psi(z)} = \Phi(p; z)\widehat{\Phi}(p; z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.11}$$

where, with $\overline{X}_t = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s$ and $\underline{X}_t = \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s$, the Wiener-Hopf factors Φ and $\widehat{\Phi}$ are identified as

$$\Phi(p; z) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{iz\overline{X}_{\mathbf{e}_p}}\right], \quad \widehat{\Phi}(p; z) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{iz\underline{X}_{\mathbf{e}_p}}\right].$$

Note that $z \mapsto \Phi(p; z)$ can be analytically extended to $\Im(z) \geq 0$. Moreover, if X drifts to $-\infty$, i.e. $\lim_{t \to \infty} X_t = -\infty$ a.s., then $\overline{X}_\infty := \lim_{t \to \infty} \overline{X}_t < \infty$ a.s. and it is then not hard to show that $\Phi(0; z) := \lim_{p \downarrow 0} \Phi(p; z) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{iz\overline{X}_\infty}\right]$ for any $\Im(z) \geq 0$. We are now ready to state our first main result.

Theorem 1.4. *For any $q \geq 0$, the mapping*

$$z \mapsto \Psi_{\kappa_b^{\triangleright q}}(z) = \Psi(z) - \phi_{\hat{\kappa}}(\phi_b(iz) + q) + \phi_{\hat{\kappa}}(q) \tag{1.12}$$

is the characteristic exponent of a Lévy process. Moreover, writing $\Phi_{\kappa_b^{\triangleright q}}$ and $\widehat{\Phi}_{\kappa_b^{\triangleright q}}$ for its Wiener-Hopf factors, we have, for any $q > 0$, $p > 0$, $v \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{e}_p}^{(b)} - v(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{e}_p}^{(b)}}^{(b)} - \mathbf{e_P})}\right] = \frac{p}{p - v}\left(1 - \frac{\Phi_{\kappa_b^{\triangleright q}}(\phi_{\hat{\kappa}}(q); ip)}{\Phi_{\kappa_b^{\triangleright q}}(\phi_{\hat{\kappa}}(q); iv)}\right) \tag{1.13}$$

where we have set $\mathbb{X}^{(b)} = (\mathbb{X}_t^{(b)} = \mathbb{X}_t - b_t)_{t \geq 0}$, and, in (1.13) and (1.14), the case $p = v$ is understood in the limiting sense, justified by analytical extension. If the Lévy process with characteristic exponent $\Psi_{\kappa_b^{\triangleright 0}}$ drifts to $-\infty$, then for any $p > 0$, $v \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-v(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{e}_p}^{(b)}}^{(b)} - \mathbf{e_P})} \mathbb{I}_{\{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{e}_p}^{(b)} < \infty\}}\right] = \frac{p}{p - v}\left(1 - \frac{\Phi_{\kappa_b^{\triangleright 0}}(0; ip)}{\Phi_{\kappa_b^{\triangleright 0}}(0; iv)}\right). \tag{1.14}$$

If the Lévy process with characteristic exponent $\Psi_{\kappa_b^{\triangleright 0}}$ does not drift to $-\infty$, then $\mathbb{T}_a^{(b)} < \infty$ a.s. for any $a \geq 0$.

Remark 1.5. *Note that when $b = 0$, the composite Wiener-Hopf factorization (1.13) reduces to a subordinate Wiener-Hopf factorization as in this case $\Psi_{\kappa_b^{\triangleright q}} = \Psi$ and thus we have, for any $q > 0$, $p > 0$, $v \geq 0$ with $p \neq v$ and writing simply $\mathbb{T}_a = \mathbb{T}_a^0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{e}_p} - v(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{e}_p}} - \mathbf{e_P})}\right] = \frac{p}{p - v}\left(1 - \frac{\Phi(\phi_{\hat{\kappa}}(q); ip)}{\Phi(\phi_{\hat{\kappa}}(q); iv)}\right).$$

As explained at the beginning of Section 3.2 this result is obtained rather easily from a classical time change technique whereas the proof of the general case requires a more refined analysis.

We proceed by providing some interesting by-products and refined results of Theorem 1.4 for two substantial classes of semi-regenerative processes of Lévy type, namely the spectrally negative and fractional ones.

1.2 Spectrally negative subdiffusive processes

We start by carrying out an in-depth analysis of the interesting class of semi-regenerative processes that creep upward, that is when the processes hit point above the starting point continuously. We refer to them as the class of spectrally negative semi-regenerative processes of Lévy type. Since ℓ has continuous paths, this class is in bijection with the one of spectrally negative Lévy processes and we refer to [34, Sec. 46], [6, Chap. VII] and [22, Chapter 8] for a thorough account on these processes. In particular, such a Lévy process X does not experience any positive jumps i.e. $\mathbb{P}(\Delta X_t = X_t - X_{t-} > 0, t \geq 0) = 0$ and does not have non-increasing paths, i.e. it is not the negative of a subordinator which is equivalent to $\mathrm{d}_X + \int_{-1}^0 |y|\Pi(dy) \in (0, \infty]$ in (1.5). As

6

a byproduct, the Lévy measure has support on the negative half-line, i.e. $\Pi(0,\infty) = 0$ in (1.5). Then, this yields that Ψ admits an analytical extension to the lower half-plane, still denoted by Ψ, see [34, Theorem 25.17], with $u \mapsto \Psi(-iu)$ a real-valued convex function on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with $\Psi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{u\to\infty} \Psi(-iu) = \infty$ and thus if $i\Psi'(0^+) < 0$ then there exists a unique $\theta > 0$ such that $\Psi(-i\theta) = 0$. As $\Psi(-iu)$ is increasing and continuous for $[\theta_0,\infty)$ where $\theta_0 = \theta\mathbb{I}_{\{i\Psi'(0^+)<0\}}$, it is a bijection and its inverse bijection $\phi : [0,\infty) \mapsto [\theta_0,\infty)$ satisfies $\Psi(-i\phi(p)) = p$ for $p \geq 0$. It is known that ϕ is a Bernstein function, where we recall that ϕ is a Bernstein function if $\phi(u) - \phi(0)$ is of the form (1.4) with $\phi(0) \geq 0$. In this context we obtain the following refined results, where by a subordinator killed at rate $p \geq 0$, we mean a $[0,\infty]$-valued càdlàg process which is in law equal to a subordinator killed (i.e. sent to the absorbing state $+\infty$) at time $\mathbf{e}_p$ with the understanding that $\mathbf{e}_0 = \infty$.

Proposition 1.6. *We have, for some (or equivalently any) $a \geq 0$, that $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\boldsymbol{b})} < \infty) > 0$ if and only if $\sigma^2 > 0$ or $\mathrm{d}_X + \int_{-1}^0 |y|\Pi(dy) - \mathrm{d}_{\boldsymbol{b}}\mathrm{d}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \in (0,\infty]$. Let us assume that this holds. Then, the mapping $\Psi_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{\triangleright q}}$ defined in (1.12) is the characteristic exponent of a spectrally negative Lévy process and we denote by $\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{\triangleright q}}$ the inverse of $u \mapsto \Psi_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{\triangleright q}}(-iu)$. Then, the following hold.*

(1) *The first passage time process $(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\boldsymbol{b})})_{a\geq 0}$ is a subordinator killed at rate $\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{\triangleright 0}}(0)$ with*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\mathbb{T}_a^{(\boldsymbol{b})}}\mathbb{I}_{\{\mathbb{T}_a^{(\boldsymbol{b})}<\infty\}}\right] = e^{-\phi_{\mathbb{T}^{(\boldsymbol{b})}}(q)a}, \quad q \geq 0, \tag{1.15}$$

where $\phi_{\mathbb{T}^{(\boldsymbol{b})}}(q) = \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{\triangleright q}} \circ \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(q)$ is a Bernstein function.

(2) *If the inverse of the continuous and increasing function $q \mapsto \phi_{\mathbb{T}^{(\boldsymbol{b})}}(q) = \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{\triangleright q}} \circ \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(q)$ is the Laplace exponent of a spectrally negative Lévy process $\widetilde{X}$ starting from 0, then we have the identity in law*

$$(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\boldsymbol{b})})_{a\geq 0} \stackrel{(d)}{=} (\widetilde{T}_a)_{a\geq 0}, \tag{1.16}$$

where $\widetilde{T}_a = \inf\{t > 0; \widetilde{X}_t > a\}$ is also the first passage time above a of $\widetilde{X}$. This condition holds for instance, if $\phi_{\boldsymbol{b}} \equiv 0$ (i.e. $\boldsymbol{b} = 0$ and thus $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\boldsymbol{b})} = \mathbb{T}_a$), $\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(u) = u^\alpha$ and $\Psi(-iu) = u^\beta, u \geq 0$, with $0 < \alpha < 1 < \beta \leq 2\alpha$, see the example of Section 2.1 below.

(3) *For any $a, q \geq 0$ and $0 < x \leq a$, we have, recalling that $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0 = \inf\{t > 0; \mathbb{X}_t < 0\}$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{-q\mathbb{T}_a}\mathbb{I}_{\{\mathbb{T}_a<\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0\}}\right] = \frac{W^{(\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(q))}(x)}{W^{(\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(q))}(a)},$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{-q\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0}\mathbb{I}_{\{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0<\mathbb{T}_a\}}\right] = Z^{(\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(q))}(x) - \frac{Z^{(\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(q))}(a)}{W^{(\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(q))}(a)}W^{(\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(q))}(x),$$

where for $p \geq 0$ and $u > 0$ large enough such that $\Psi(-iu) > p$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-ux}W^{(p)}(x)dx = \frac{1}{\Psi(-iu) - p},$$

and for $p, x \geq 0$, $Z^{(p)}(x) = 1 + p\int_0^x W^{(p)}(y)dy$.

Remark 1.7. *Since in item (1) the Bernstein function $\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{\triangleright q}}$ depends on the argument of $\phi_{\mathbb{T}^{(\boldsymbol{b})}}$, it follows that the function $\phi_{\mathbb{T}^{(\boldsymbol{b})}}$ is not, in the case $\boldsymbol{b} \neq 0$, a simple composition of Bernstein functions which would provide the Bernstein property. In fact, we could not find an analytical or a direct proof of this property. Instead, we resort to the theory of semi-regenerative processes to show that the process $(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\boldsymbol{b})})_{a\geq 0}$ is a (possibly killed) subordinator with Laplace exponent $\phi_{\mathbb{T}^{(\boldsymbol{b})}}$ from which the Bernstein property follows.*

Remark 1.8. *We point out that, in item (3), we consider only the case $\mathit{b} = 0$ as our proof requires that the stopping times we consider should have their graph included in $\mathfrak{R}$ a.s., which is the case for $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathit{b})}$ or $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_a^{(\mathit{b})}$. However, excluding trivial cases, we have, for all $x > 0$, that $\mathbb{P}_x(\inf\{t > 0; \, \mathbb{X}_t^{(\mathit{b})} < 0\} \notin \mathfrak{R} \cup \{\infty\}) > 0$ for any $\mathit{b} \neq 0$.*

1.3 The fractional subdiffusive processes

We proceed with the study of another interesting class of subdiffusive processes which are defined by using the inverse of a stable subordinator as the time change. When the Lévy process is a Brownian motion, it has been intensively studied, see e.g. [3, 13], where it is called the fractional kinetic process. In this spirit, we name such a generalization a fractional subdiffusive process.

Proposition 1.9. *Let us assume that k is an α-stable subordinator with $\phi_{\mathit{k}}(u) = u^{\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then, under $\mathbb{P}_{-x}$, $x > 0$, the following holds.*

(1) We have

$$\mathbb{T}_0 \stackrel{(d)}{=} \mathit{k}_1 \times T_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \tag{1.17}$$

where $\times$ stands for the product of independent variables and we have set $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0; \, X_t > 0\}$. Consequently, $\mathbb{P}_{-x}(T_0 = \infty) = \mathbb{P}_{-x}(\mathbb{T}_0 = \infty)$ and on $[0, \infty)$ the law of $\mathbb{T}_0$ is absolutely continuous with a density denoted by $f_{\mathbb{T}_0}$.

(2) Moreover, $\mathbb{E}_{-x}[\mathbb{T}_0^{\alpha}] = \infty$ but

$$\mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[\mathbb{T}_0^{\delta}\right] < \infty \text{ for some } 0 < \delta < \alpha \Leftrightarrow \int_0^1 \exp\left(\int_1^{\infty} e^{-qt}\mathbb{P}(X_t \leq 0)\frac{dt}{t}\right) q^{-\frac{\delta}{\alpha}} dq < \infty. \tag{1.18}$$

If one of these equivalent conditions holds, then,

$f_{\mathbb{T}_0}$ admits an analytic extension to the sector $\mathbb{C}_{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\frac{\pi}{2}} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}; \, |\arg z| < \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\frac{\pi}{2} \right\}$.

Moreover, $f_{\mathbb{T}_0} \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, the space of infinitely continuously differentiable functions vanishing at infinity, and its successive derivatives $f_{\mathbb{T}_0}^{(n)}, n = 0, 1, \ldots$, admit the Mellin Barnes representation, for any $1 < a < \alpha\delta + 1$,

$$f_{\mathbb{T}_0}^{(n)}(t) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} t^{-z-n} \frac{\Gamma(z+n)}{\Gamma(z)} \frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{z}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(2-z)} \mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{\frac{z-1}{\alpha}}\right] dz,$$

where the integral is absolutely convergent for any $t \in \mathbb{C}_{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\frac{\pi}{2}}$.

(3) If $\mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{\delta+1}\right] < \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$, then, for any $n = 0, 1, \ldots$,

$$f_{\mathbb{T}_0}^{(n)}(t) \sim (-1)^n \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\alpha\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+1+n)\mathbb{E}_{-x}[T_0] \, t^{-\alpha-1-n} \text{ as } t \to \infty, \tag{1.19}$$

and thus $\mathbb{P}_{-x}(\mathbb{T}_0 > t) \sim \frac{\mathbb{E}_{-x}[T_0]}{\alpha\Gamma(1-\alpha)} t^{-\alpha}$ as $t \to \infty$.

Remark 1.10. *The factorization (1.17) and the item (2) reveal that the time-change smooths out the law of the first passage time $\mathbb{T}_0$ compared to the equivalent one for the Lévy process. Indeed, first, the law of T_0 is well known to do not be necessarily absolutely continuous (for instance when X is a compound Poisson process plus a positive drift), and the exponential decay along imaginary lines of the Mellin transform of k_1, see the proof of this proposition, improves the regularity of the law of $\mathbb{T}_0$ compared to the one of T_0.*

Remark 1.11. *Although the condition in* (1.18) *is not completely explicit, one can get, using the discussion following [14, Theorem 2], that, when* $\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_t < 0) = 1 - \rho \in [0,1]$, $\mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[\mathbb{T}_0^\delta\right] < \infty$ *for* $0 < \delta < \min(\alpha, \rho)$.

2 Examples

In this section, we explore in detail two different examples that illustrate the main results on the first passage time problems. We emphasize that one could generate a variety of additional examples as most of our results are expressed in terms of the positive Wiener-Hopf factor Φ which admits an explicit expression in quite a lot of cases, e.g. for any Lévy process which has positive jumps of finite intensity with a rational Laplace transform, see [25].

2.1 Self-similar subdiffusive processes

We proceed by investigating the case when X is a $\mathfrak{a}$-stable process, $\mathfrak{a} \in (0,2)$ with positivity parameter $\rho = \mathbb{P}(X_1 > 0) \in (0,1)$ and $\mathcal{k}$ is, as in Proposition 1.9, an α-stable subordinator, with $0 < \alpha < 1$. We exclude the case when X is a subordinator, that is $\mathfrak{a} \in (0,1)$ and $\rho = 1$ and recall that if $\mathfrak{a} \in (1,2)$ then $\mathfrak{a}\rho \geq \mathfrak{a} - 1$. By [6, Chapter VIII], we know that the Lévy measure of X is absolutely continuous and takes the form

$$\Pi(dy) = (c_+ y^{-\mathfrak{a}-1}\mathbf{1}_{\{y>0\}} + c_- |y|^{-\mathfrak{a}-1}\mathbf{1}_{\{y<0\}})dy, \; y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

for some positive constants $c_-, c_+ > 0$. Recall that ℓ has a.s. continuous and non-decreasing paths and inherits the $\frac{1}{\alpha}$-self-similarity property from $\mathcal{k}$ and thus as X and ℓ are independent, one easily gets that $\mathbb{X}$ is a $\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\alpha}$-self-similar process which means that the identity

$$(\mathbb{X}_{c^{\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\alpha}}t}, \mathbb{P}_{cx})_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{(d)}{=} (c\mathbb{X}_t, \mathbb{P}_x)_{t \geq 0}$$

holds in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions for any $c > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We point out that this example is not treated in the recent paper [26] where an in-depth analysis of the absorption time of a general class of self-similar non-Markovian processes is carried out. In order to derive the expression of the Mellin transform of $\widehat{T}_0 = \inf\{t > 0; X_t < 0\}$, one uses the fact that X killed upon entering the negative half-line is a positive self-similar Markov process of index $\mathfrak{a}$ and thus according to Lamperti, we have the identity in law

$$\widehat{T}_0 \stackrel{(d)}{=} x^\mathfrak{a} \int_0^\infty \exp(\mathfrak{a}Y_t)dt < \infty \tag{2.1}$$

where $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Lévy process whose Lévy-Khintchine exponent is expressed in terms of its Wiener-Hopf factors as follows

$$\Psi_{\mathfrak{a}Y}(z) = -\frac{\Gamma(1+\mathfrak{a}z)}{\Gamma(1-\mathfrak{a}(1-\rho)+\mathfrak{a}z)}\frac{\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{a}z)}{\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}(1-\rho)-\mathfrak{a}z)} = -\phi_\mathfrak{a}^-(z)\phi_\mathfrak{a}^+(-z), \tag{2.2}$$

see e.g. [23][Theorem 2.3] and [26, Section 2] where thereout $\mathfrak{a} = \alpha$ for more details. Note that $\mathbb{P}_{-x}(\inf\{t > 0; \widehat{X}_t > 0\} \leq t) = \mathbb{P}_x(\widehat{T}_0 \leq t)$ where $\widehat{X} = -X$, as the dual of X, is also a $\mathfrak{a}$-stable process with positivity parameter $1 - \rho$. Then, recalling that the Barnes gamma function G is the unique log-convex solution to the functional equation, for $u, \tau > 0$, $G_\tau(u+1) = \Gamma\left(\frac{u}{\tau}\right) G_\tau(u)$ see [4], we get that the mapping

$$W_{\mathfrak{a},\rho}^-(z+1) = \frac{G_{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}}(1+\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}+\rho-1)}{G_{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}}(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}+1)}\frac{G_{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}}(z+\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}+1)}{G_{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}}(z+\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}+\rho)} \tag{2.3}$$

(resp. $W_{\mathfrak{a},\rho}^+(z+1) = \frac{G_{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}}(1-\rho)}{G_{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}}(1)} \frac{G_{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}}(z+2)}{G_{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}}(z+2-\rho)}$) is the unique log-convex on $\mathbb{R}^+$ solution to $f(z+1) = \phi_{\mathfrak{a}}^-(z)f(z), \Re(z) > 1 - \rho - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}$ (resp. $f(z+1) = \phi_{\mathfrak{a}}^+(z)f(z), \Re(z) > \rho - 1), f(1) = 1$, see again [30] for a study of these functional equations for general Bernstein functions. Next, using the identity (2.1) and the expression of the Mellin transform of the so-called exponential functional which is found in [30, Theorem 2.4] (note that the exponential functional in this paper is defined with the Lévy process $\xi = -\mathfrak{a}Y$) we get in this case that, for any $-1 < \Re(z) < 1 - \rho$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\widehat{T}_0^z\right] = x^{\mathfrak{a}z} \frac{\Gamma(\mathfrak{a})}{\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}(1-\rho))} \frac{\Gamma(z+1)W_{\mathfrak{a},\rho}^+(-z)}{W_{\mathfrak{a},\rho}^-(z+1)}.$$

By means of the identity in law (1.17) and the expression of the (shifted) Mellin transform of the stable subordinator recalled in (3.11), we get that, for any $-\alpha < \Re(z) < \alpha(1-\rho)$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0^z\right] = x^{\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\alpha}z} \frac{\Gamma(\mathfrak{a})}{\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}(1-\rho))} \frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{z}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1-z)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{z}{\alpha}+1)W_{\mathfrak{a},\rho}^+(-\frac{z}{\alpha})}{W_{\mathfrak{a},\rho}^-(\frac{z}{\alpha}+1)} \tag{2.4}$$

where we recall that $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0 = \inf\{t > 0; \mathbb{X}_t < 0\}$. Moreover, applying the (complex) Stirling formula for the gamma function recalled in (3.13) below together with the asymptotic expansion of the Barnes gamma functions found in [9, formula (4.5)] to both $W_{\mathfrak{a},\rho}^+$ and $W_{\mathfrak{a},\rho}^-$ one gets that, for any fixed $-\alpha < a < \alpha(1-\rho)$ and with $z = a + ib$,

$$\left|\mathbb{E}_x\left[\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0^z\right]\right| \leq C_a e^{-|b|(2-\alpha+\mathfrak{a}(2\rho-1))\frac{\pi}{2\alpha}}$$

for some $C_a > 0$ and where $2 - \alpha + \mathfrak{a}(2\rho - 1) > 0$. This combined with the analyticity property of $z \mapsto \mathbb{E}_x\left[\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0^z\right]$ on the strip $-\alpha < \Re(z) < \alpha(1-\rho)$ allows us to use Mellin inversion techniques combined with a dominated convergence argument to get that the law of $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0$ is absolutely continuous with a density $f_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and which admits an analytical extension to the sector $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |\arg z| < (\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}} + (2\rho-1))\frac{\pi}{2}\}$ given, along with its successive derivatives, by the following Mellin Barnes integral, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 - \alpha < a < 1 + \alpha(1-\rho)$,

$$f_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0}^{(n)}(t) = \frac{(-1)^n\Gamma(\mathfrak{a})}{\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}(1-\rho))} \frac{x^{\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\alpha}z}}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} t^{-z-n} \frac{\Gamma(z+n)}{\Gamma(z)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{z}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(2-z)} \frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}+\frac{z}{\alpha})W_{\mathfrak{a},\rho}^+(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{z}{\alpha})}{W_{\mathfrak{a},\rho}^-(\frac{z-1}{\alpha}+1)} dz \tag{2.5}$$

where the integral is absolutely convergent for any $t > 0$, see e.g. [31, Section 1.7.4] for a review of some basic facts on Mellin transform.

Let us now focus to the case when X is spectrally negative that is its Lévy measure takes the form $\Pi(dy) = c_-|y|^{-\mathfrak{a}-1}\mathbf{1}_{\{y<0\}}dy$. In this case, $\rho = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}$ with $1 < \mathfrak{a} \leq 2$ and $\Psi(-iu) = u^{\mathfrak{a}}, u \geq 0$, where the case $\mathfrak{a} = 2$ corresponds to a (scaled) Brownian motion. It is easy to check, from Proposition 1.6(1), that under $\mathbb{P}$, the process of first passage times $(\mathbb{T}_a)_{a\geq0}$, where we recall that $\mathbb{T}_a = \mathbb{T}_a^0$, is a $\frac{\alpha}{\mathfrak{a}}$-stable subordinator which is, in the case when $\mathfrak{a} \leq 2\alpha$, the law of the process of first passage times of the spectrally negative stable Lévy process with index $1 < \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\alpha} \leq 2$. Next, the recurrence relation of the Barnes function given above and the identity $G_\tau(z+\tau) = (2\pi)^{\frac{\tau-1}{2}}\tau^{-z+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(z)G_\tau(z)$ found in [4, bottom of p.371], give from (2.2) that for any $-1 < \Re(z) < 1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0^z\right] = x^{\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\alpha}z} \frac{\sin(\pi/\mathfrak{a})}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{z}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1-z)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{z}{\alpha})\Gamma(\frac{z}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}})\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}-\frac{z}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1+\frac{\mathfrak{a}z}{\alpha})}.$$

Then, a classical application of the Cauchy theorem to the Barnes integral of the form (2.5) which is obtained by Mellin inversion yields that the density of $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0$ when $\mathbb{X}$ is starting from 1 has the series representation, for any $t > 0$,

$$f_{\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0}(t) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sin(\alpha\pi(n+1))a_n + \sin(\alpha\pi(n+1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}))b_n t^{\frac{\alpha}{\mathfrak{a}}}) t^{-\alpha(n+1)-1}$$

where the coefficients $a_n = -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha(n+1))}{\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}(n+1))}$ and $b_n = -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha(n+1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}))}{\Gamma(\mathfrak{a}(n+1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}}))}$ define two entire power series.

2.2 A Cox-renewal process

We assume here that X is a compound Poisson process with intensity $\lambda > 0$ and positive exponentially distributed jumps with parameter $p > 0$, i.e. X is a subordinator without drift, i.e. $\mathrm{d}_X = 0$, and Lévy measure $\Pi(dy) = \lambda p e^{-py}\mathbf{1}_{\{y>0\}}dy$, which implies that $\Psi(z) = \frac{i\lambda z}{p-iz}$, $\Im(z) > -p$. As indicated in Section 1, a Poisson process time-changed by ℓ is a renewal process and so $\mathbb{X}$ is a compound renewal process with positive exponentially distributed jumps. If $\mathcal{b}$ is just a drift, i.e. $\mathcal{b}_t = \mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{b}} t$ with $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{b}} > 0$, then $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})}$ corresponds to the ruin time in the so-called renewal risk or Sparre-Andersen model with exponentially distributed claims, initial capital a, premium rate $\mathrm{d}_{\mathcal{b}}$ and interarrival distribution whose Laplace transform is given by (1.9) with $\phi = \phi_{\mathcal{k}}$. With $\mathcal{b}$ a general non-zero subordinator, $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})}$ can be seen as the ruin time of a risk process where the size and arrival of the claims are as in the aforementioned renewal risk model but the inflow of capital is more general than a deterministic premium rate as it includes random capital injections that are modelled by the jumps of $\mathcal{b}$. Within this setting we have that $\Psi_{\mathcal{k}_{\mathcal{b}}^{\triangleright q}}$ given by (1.12) is the characteristic exponent of a Lévy process with non-monotone sample paths whose Lévy measure coincides with Π on the positive half-line and so we can use [25, Theorem 2.2] to get,

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{k}_{\mathcal{b}}^{\triangleright q}}(\varrho; iu) = \frac{u+p}{p}\frac{R(\varrho)}{u+R(\varrho)}, \quad \varrho > 0 \text{ and } u, q \geq 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where $R(\varrho)$ is the unique positive solution to $\Psi_{\mathcal{k}_{\mathcal{b}}^{\triangleright q}}(-iR(\varrho)) = \varrho$, see [25, Lemma 1.1]). Hence by Theorem 1.4, for $u, v, q > 0$ with $u \neq v$,

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-ua}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})} - v(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})}}^{(\mathcal{b})} - a)}\right] da = \frac{1}{u-v}\left(1 - \frac{u+p}{u+R(\phi_{\mathcal{k}}(q))}\frac{v+R(\phi_{\mathcal{k}}(q))}{v+p}\right)$$

$$= \frac{p - R(\phi_{\mathcal{k}}(q))}{p+v}\frac{1}{u+R(\phi_{\mathcal{k}}(q))}.$$

By Laplace inversion, we get for $a \geq 0$, $q > 0$ and $y > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})}}\mathbb{I}_{\{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})}}^{(\mathcal{b})} - a \in dy\}}\right] = \frac{p - R(\phi_{\mathcal{k}}(q))}{p}e^{-R(\phi_{\mathcal{k}}(q))a}pe^{-py}dy. \tag{2.7}$$

We see that, for any $a \geq 0$, the overshoot $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})}}^{(\mathcal{b})} - a$ is exponentially distributed with parameter p and independent of the first passage time $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathcal{b})}$, a property which was known for the corresponding Lévy process with positive exponential jumps and carries over for the time-changed version. Note that the Lévy process $X^{(\mathcal{b}_{\mathcal{k}})}$ as defined in (3.2) below is the one with characteristic exponent $\Psi_{\mathcal{k}_{\mathcal{b}}^{\triangleright 0}}$. Since $\mathbb{E}[X_1^{(\mathcal{b}_{\mathcal{k}})}] = \mathbb{E}[X_1] - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{b}_{\mathcal{k}_t}] = \frac{\lambda}{p} - \phi_{\mathcal{k}}'(0)\phi_{\mathcal{b}}'(0) \in [-\infty, \infty)$, the Lévy process $X^{(\mathcal{b}_{\mathcal{k}})}$ drifts to $-\infty$ if and only if $\phi_{\mathcal{k}}'(0)\phi_{\mathcal{b}}'(0) > \frac{\lambda}{p}$, see [34, theorems 36.5 and 36.6]. If this is the case,

11

then (2.6) also holds for $p = q = 0$ and $u \geq 0$, see again [25], and so by Theorem 1.4 the ruin probability is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\text{\it{6}})} < \infty) = \frac{p - R(0)}{p} e^{-R(0)a}, \quad a \geq 0. \tag{2.8}$$

To make the link with some of the existing literature, we consider the so-called fractional Poisson risk model with exponential claims for which $\phi_{\text{\it{6}}}(u) = \text{d}_{\text{\it{6}}} u, \text{d}_{\text{\it{6}}} > 0$ and $\phi_{\text{\it{k}}}(u) = u^{\alpha}, \alpha \in (0, 1)$, which implies that the inter-arrival distribution is given by (1.10). In that case $X^{(\text{\it{6}}_{\text{\it{k}}})}$ always drifts to $-\infty$ as $\phi'_{\text{\it{k}}}(0) = \infty$ and for any $q \geq 0$, $R_q := R(\phi_{\text{\it{k}}}(q))$ is the unique positive solution to

$$\frac{\lambda R_q}{p - R_q} - (\text{d}_{\text{\it{6}}} R_q + q)^{\alpha} = 0.$$

We see that (2.7) and (2.8) are consistent with [8, Propositions 2 and 3] and [10, Example 4.3], though note there is a typo in [8, Proposition 2], namely the left-hand side of the main identity there equals one minus the right-hand side.

3 Proofs

3.1 Proof of Proposition 1.1

As announced after Proposition 1.1, we state and proof the following more general version, where $\text{\it{k}}$ still satisfies the condition 1.6, that is it has increasing sample paths. We point out that most of the arguments of its proof are standard but, for sake of completeness, we detail it.

Proposition 3.1. *Proposition 1.1 holds with $\mathbb{X}$ in (1.1) being defined from a two-dimensional Lévy process $(X, \text{\it{k}})$ with possibly dependent components.*

Proof. Recall that a filtration $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is called right-continuous if $\cap_{\epsilon > 0} \mathcal{G}_{t+\epsilon} = \mathcal{G}_t$ for all $t \geq 0$. Since $(X, \text{\it{k}})$ is a Lévy process, the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t^{\mathbb{P}})_{t \geq 0}$ is right-continuous, see e.g. [6, Proposition I.4]. Moreover, the fact that $\text{\it{k}}$ is adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t^{\mathbb{P}})_{t \geq 0}$ entails that for each $t \geq 0$, ℓ_t is a stopping time with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t^{\mathbb{P}})_{t \geq 0}$, see e.g. [19, Lemmas 7.6 and 7.2]. Hence for any $t \geq 0$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t = \mathcal{F}_{\ell_t}^{\mathbb{P}}$ is well-defined. As ℓ is non-decreasing, it is easy to see that $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a filtration. Since X is adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and has right-continuous sample paths, for each $t \geq 0$, $\mathbb{X}_t = X_{\ell_t}$ is $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$-measurable, see e.g. [19, p. 122]. Using again that ℓ is non-decreasing and continuous, we observe that for any $A \in \cap_{\epsilon > 0} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t+\epsilon}$ and $s \geq 0$,

$$A \cap \{\ell_t \leq s\} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{m \geq 1} A \cap \{\ell_{t+1/m} \leq s + 1/n\} \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_{s+1/n}^{\mathbb{P}} = \mathcal{F}_s^{\mathbb{P}},$$

which shows that $A \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ and thus the filtration $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is right-continuous. In order to prove the second statement, let T be an $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$-stopping time such that its graph $[T] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$ a.s. and satisfying $\mathbb{P}(T < \infty) > 0$. We first show that ℓ_T is also an $(\mathcal{F}_t^{\mathbb{P}})_{t \geq 0}$-stopping time. If T has a countable or finite range, say $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots\}$, then, for any $t \geq 0$, $\{\ell_T \leq t\} = \cup_{k \geq 1} \{T = s_k\} \cap \{\ell_{s_k} \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t^{\mathbb{P}}$ since T is an $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$-stopping time and thus ℓ_T is an $(\mathcal{F}_t^{\mathbb{P}})_{t \geq 0}$-stopping time. The general case then follows by an approximation of T by a sequence of non-increasing stopping times with a countable or finite range combined with the facts that ℓ is non-decreasing and both ℓ and $(\mathcal{F}_t^{\mathbb{P}})_{t \geq 0}$ are right-continuous, see [19, Lemmas 7.3(ii) and 7.4]. By the same arguments one can show that $\mathcal{F}_{\ell_T}^{\mathbb{P}} \supset \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_T$. Next, since $(X, \text{\it{k}})$ is a Lévy process it follows, for any $t \geq 0$, that the process $(X_{t+s} - X_t, \text{\it{k}}_{t+s} - \text{\it{k}}_t)_{s \geq 0}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_t$. Since $\mathcal{N}$ consists of

12

null-events the aforementioned process is also independent of $\mathcal{F}_t \cup \mathcal{N}$ and since $\mathcal{F}_t \cup \mathcal{N}$ is closed under finite intersections, it follows by a monotone class argument that $(X_{t+s} - X_t, \mathcal{k}_{t+s} - \mathcal{k}_t)_{s \geq 0}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_t^{\mathbb{P}}$. Hence, $(X, \mathcal{k})$ is also a (two-dimensional) Lévy process with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t^{\mathbb{P}})_{t \geq 0}$. Now, let $n \geq 1$ and $t_i, r_i, a_i \geq 0$, B_i a Borel set in $\mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $H \in \mathcal{F}_{\ell_T}^{\mathbb{P}}$. Then, writing $\mathbf{B}_n = \cap_{i=1}^n \{X_{r_i + \ell_T} - X_{\ell_T} \in B_i, \ell_{t_i + T} - \ell_T > a_i\} \cap H$ and simply $\mathbb{P}^T(\cdot) = \mathbb{P}(\cdot | T < \infty)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}^T(\mathbf{B}_n) &= \mathbb{P}^T \left(\cap_{i=1}^n \{X_{r_i + \ell_T} - X_{\ell_T} \in B_i, \mathcal{k}_{a_i + \ell_T} < T + t_i\} \cap H \right) \\
&= \mathbb{P}^T \left(\cap_{i=1}^n \{X_{r_i + \ell_T} - X_{\ell_T} \in B_i, \mathcal{k}_{a_i + \ell_T} - \mathcal{k}_{\ell_T} < t_i\} \cap H \right) \\
&= \mathbb{P} \left(\cap_{i=1}^n \{X_{r_i} \in B_i, \mathcal{k}_{a_i} < t_i\} \right) \mathbb{P}^T(H) \\
&= \mathbb{P} \left(\cap_{i=1}^n \{X_{r_i} \in B_i, \ell_{t_i} > a_i\} \right) \mathbb{P}^T(H) \tag{3.1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used for the first and last equality the fact that $\mathcal{k}$ is increasing to get that $\{\ell_a > t\} = \{\mathcal{k}_t < a\}$ for any $a, t \geq 0$, for the second one that $\mathbb{P}(T \in \mathfrak{R} \cup \{\infty\}) = 1$, whereas for the third one the strong Markov property for Lévy processes (see e.g. [6, Proposition I.6]) which can be applied as $(X, \mathcal{k})$ is a Lévy process with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t^{\mathbb{P}})_{t \geq 0}$, ℓ_T is an $(\mathcal{F}_t^{\mathbb{P}})_{t \geq 0}$-stopping time and $\{T < \infty\} = \{\ell_T < \infty\}$. Equation (3.1) with $H = \Omega$ shows that $(X_{t+\ell_T} - X_{\ell_T}, \ell_{t+T} - \ell_T)_{t \geq 0}$ under $\mathbb{P}^T$ has the same finite-dimensional distributions, and thus law, as (X, ℓ) under $\mathbb{P}$. Then using this established fact in (3.1), we further conclude that $(X_{t+\ell_T} - X_{\ell_T}, \ell_{t+T} - \ell_T)_{t \geq 0}$ and the σ-algebra $\mathcal{F}_{\ell_T}^{\mathbb{P}}$ are independent under $\mathbb{P}^T$. Consequently, writing for some $n \geq 1, \mathbb{B}_n = \cap_{i=1}^n \{\mathbb{X}_{t_i + T} - \mathbb{X}_T \in B_i\} \cap H$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}^T(\mathbb{B}_n) &= \int_{[0,\infty)^n} \mathbb{P}^T \left(\cap_{i=1}^n \{X_{\ell_T + r_i} - X_{\ell_T} \in B_i\} \cap H \,|\, \cap_{i=1}^n \{\ell_{t_i + T} - \ell_T = r_i\} \right) \\
&\quad \times \mathbb{P}^T (\cap_{i=1}^n \{\ell_{t_i + T} - \ell_T \in dr_i\}) \\
&= \int_{[0,\infty)^n} \mathbb{P} \left(\cap_{i=1}^n \{X_{r_i} \in B_i\} \,|\, \cap_{i=1}^n \{\ell_{t_i} = r_i\} \right) \mathbb{P}^T(H) \mathbb{P}(\cap_{i=1}^n \{\ell_{t_i} \in dr_i\}) \\
&= \mathbb{P} \left(\cap_{i=1}^n \{\mathbb{X}_{t_i} \in B_i\} \right) \mathbb{P}^T(H).
\end{aligned}
$$

From this equation we can conclude that under $\mathbb{P}^T$ the process $(\mathbb{X}_{T+t} - \mathbb{X}_T)_{t \geq 0}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{\ell_T}^{\mathbb{P}} \supset \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_T$ and has the same law as $\mathbb{X}$ under $\mathbb{P}$. So (1.8) is proved. Finally, observe, since ℓ is continuous, that $\mathfrak{R} = \{t \geq 0; \ \mathcal{k}_{\ell_t} = t\} = \{t \geq 0; \ \mathcal{k}_y = t \text{ for some } y \geq 0\}$, that is $\mathfrak{R}$ is the range of the subordinator $\mathcal{k}$ and thus it is a regeneration set in the sense of [28, Chap. 2]. Next, let T be an $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$-stopping time such that $[T] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$, G a positive and $\mathcal{F}^0 = \sigma(\mathbb{X}_t, t \geq 0)$-measurable function then, for any $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[G(\mathbb{X}_{t+T}) | \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_T \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[G(\mathbb{X}_{t+T} - \mathbb{X}_T + \mathbb{X}_T) | \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_T \right] \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[G(\mathbb{X}_{t+T} - \mathbb{X}_T + y) | \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_T \right] \mathbb{P}(X_T \in dy) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \left[G(\mathbb{X}_t + y) \right] \mathbb{P}(X_T \in dy) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. on } \{T < \infty\} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{X}_T} \left[G(\mathbb{X}_t) \right] \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. on } \{T < \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used for the second identity that X_T is $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_T$-measurable, for the third one the regenerative property of Lévy type (1.8) of $\mathbb{X}$ and finally that $\mathbb{E}\left[G(\mathbb{X}_t + y)\right] = \mathbb{E}_y\left[G(\mathbb{X}_t)\right]$, which completes the proof. $\qquad \square$

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.4

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is split into several intermediate steps which may be of independent interests. The plan behind the proof is to reduce, via the time change and a change of measure, the problem of characterizing the distribution of the first passage time $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathit{b})}$ of $\mathbb{X}$ over the stochastic boundary $a + \mathit{b}_t$ to the one involving only first passage times of Lévy processes over the constant boundary a. We remark that the proof is substantially easier in the special case where the boundary $a + \mathit{b}_t$ is a constant, i.e. $\mathit{b} = 0$. Indeed, in that case both the second half of Lemma 3.2 as well as Lemma 3.4 below are trivial (whereas the first half of Lemma 3.2 is not needed), Lemma 3.3 is somewhat easier to prove and for the final step one can use the independence of the processes involved instead of resorting to a change of measure. We emphasize that the case $\mathit{b} \neq 0$ does not readily follow from the case $\mathit{b} = 0$ since the process $\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}$, which recall is defined by $\mathbb{X}_t^{(\mathit{b})} = \mathbb{X}_t - \mathit{b}_t$, is not in general a Lévy process time-changed by ℓ. Though we will show that we can study $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathit{b})}$ by considering a particular time-changed Lévy process, it is interesting to note that the case $\mathit{b} \neq 0$ creates a dependence between the time change process and the underlying Lévy process, provided we are in the non-trivial case where the subordinator k has jumps. We also point out that, in lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, the fact that the Lévy process b has non-decreasing sample paths is crucial.

We start the proof by introducing two additional processes, namely the process $X^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})} = (X_t^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})})_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$X_t^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})} = X_t - \mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_t}, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{3.2}$$

which is easily seen to be a Lévy process with characteristic exponent $\Psi_{\mathit{k}_{\mathit{b}}^{\triangleright 0}}$ (see Section 30 of [34] or Lemma 3.4 below) and the time-changed Lévy process $\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_\ell})} = (\mathbb{X}_t^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_\ell})})_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$\mathbb{X}_t^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_\ell})} = X_{\ell_t}^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})} = \mathbb{X}_t - \mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_{\ell_t}}.$$

Further, for any process $Z = (Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$, we denote, from now on, by $\mathbb{T}_a(Z)$ its first passage time above the level a, that is

$$\mathbb{T}_a(Z) = \inf\{t > 0; \ Z_t > a\}.$$

Observe that $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathit{b})} = \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})$. When $\mathit{b} \neq 0$ and the subordinator k has jumps, the processes $\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}$ and $\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_\ell})}$ are not equal (unless k has no jumps), but clearly we do have that $\mathbb{X}_T^{(\mathit{b})} = \mathbb{X}_T^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_\ell})}$ for any random variable T such that $[T] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$ where we recall that $\mathfrak{R}$ is the regeneration set defined in (1.7). The next lemma shows that $[\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., which implies that $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) = \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_\ell})})$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. and so we can reduce the problem of characterizing the law of $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})$ to that of the first passage time of a (dependently) time-changed Lévy process.

Lemma 3.2. *We have, for any $a \geq 0$, $[\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. and consequently $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) = \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_\ell})})$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.*

Proof. Note that throughout this proof all identities/inequalities are in the $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. sense and for sake of clarity we shall not mention it. Since $\mathit{k}_{\ell_t} \geq t$ and b has non-decreasing sample paths we have $\mathbb{X}_t^{(\mathit{b})} - \mathbb{X}_t^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_\ell})} = \mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_{\ell_t}} - \mathit{b}_t \geq 0$. This implies that $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) \leq \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_\ell})})$ and we can therefore assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) < \infty$. We next prove that $[\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$. To this end, let us introduce the process $\mathit{k}_\ell^- = (\mathit{k}_{\ell_t}^- = \mathit{k}_{\ell_t} - t)_{t \geq 0}$. According to [6, Exercise IV.6.2], k_ℓ^- is a recurrent Markov process with 0 as a recurrent and regular point and ℓ is, up to a normalizing constant, its local time at 0. Its zero set is equal to $\mathfrak{R}$ and coincides with the range of k, i.e. $\mathfrak{R} = \{t \geq 0; \ \mathit{k}_y = t \text{ for some } y \geq 0\}$. Let $(\mathtt{g}, \mathtt{d})$ with $0 < \mathtt{g} < \mathtt{d}$ be an excursion

interval by which we mean that $\kappa_\ell^- > 0$ for all $t \in (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{d})$ and there exists no larger open interval on which κ_ℓ^- is (strictly) positive. Note that the number of excursion intervals is countable, the union of all excursion intervals equals $[0, \infty) \setminus \overline{\mathfrak{R}}$, where the random set $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}$ denotes the closure of $\mathfrak{R}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{R}} \setminus \mathfrak{R}$ consists precisely of the left-endpoints of the excursion intervals or equivalently the jump times of $\kappa_\ell = (\kappa_{\ell_t})_{t \geq 0}$, see e.g. [7, Section 1.4]. Since b has non-decreasing sample paths and ℓ is constant on $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{d})$ and thus on $[\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{d}]$ by continuity, we have $\mathbb{X}_t^{(\mathit{b})} \leq \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(\mathit{b})}$ for any $t \in [\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{d}]$. Hence $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) \in (\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{d}]) = 0$, which implies that $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) \in \overline{\mathfrak{R}}$. Further, denoting $\Delta Z_t = Z_t - \lim_{s \uparrow t} Z_s$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) = \mathbf{g}) &= \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) = \mathbf{g}, \Delta \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{g}} = 0) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) = \mathbf{g}, \Delta \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(\mathit{b})} \leq 0) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) = \mathbf{g}, \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(\mathit{b})} = a) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where (i) the first equality follows because $\mathbf{g}$ is a jump time of κ_ℓ and thus $\ell_{\mathbf{g}}$ is a jump time of κ by continuity of ℓ and so since κ and X jump at different times by independence, $\ell_{\mathbf{g}}$ is not a jump time of X and thus $\mathbf{g}$ is not a jump time of $\mathbb{X}$ by continuity of ℓ, (ii) the second equality follows because $\Delta \mathit{b}_{\mathbf{g}} \leq 0$ and (iii) the last equality is due to $\mathbb{X}_t^{(\mathit{b})} \leq \mathbb{X}_{\mathbf{g}}^{(\mathit{b})}$ for $t \in [\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{d}]$. We conclude that $[\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$. As $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) = \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b}_\ell)})$ for all $a > 0$ implies the equality for $a = 0$ by right-continuity of $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})$ and $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b}_\ell)})$ in a, we can assume without loss of generality that $a > 0$. Now consider the event $A = \{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) < \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b}_\ell)})\}$. As we already showed $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}) \leq \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b}_\ell)})$, the proof is finished once we show that $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$. As $[\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$, we have $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})}^{(\mathit{b})} = \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})}^{(\mathit{b}_\ell)}$ and thus we have on A, $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})}^{(\mathit{b})} = \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})}^{(\mathit{b}_\ell)} = a$ and there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbb{X}_{s + \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})}^{(\mathit{b}_\ell)} \leq a$ for all $s \in [0, \epsilon]$. If the Lévy measure of κ has finite mass, in which case $\mathrm{d}_\kappa > 0$, then $\mathfrak{R}$ consists of intervals that are right-open and thus there exists $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ such that $\mathbb{X}_{s + \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})}^{(\mathit{b})} = \mathbb{X}_{s + \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})}^{(\mathit{b}_\ell)} \leq a$ for all $s \in [0, \delta]$ on A. By definition of $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})$ this cannot happen and thus $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$. Hence we assume now without loss of generality that the Lévy measure of κ has infinite mass. As $[\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$ and $\mathfrak{R}$ does not have isolated points from the right (since 0 is regular for the Markov process κ_ℓ^- as κ is not a compound Poisson process by assumption), there exists $s' \in (0, \epsilon)$ such that $s' + \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})$ belongs to the random set $\mathfrak{R}$, which implies, by definition of $\mathfrak{R}$, that $\ell_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})} < \ell_{s' + \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})}$. Hence, since $\mathbb{X}_{s + \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})}^{(\mathit{b}_\ell)} \leq a$ for all $s \in [0, \epsilon]$ and ℓ has continuous and non-decreasing sample paths, $X_{t + \ell_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})}}^{(\mathit{b}_\kappa)} \leq a$ for all $t \in \left[0, \ell_{s' + \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})} - \ell_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})}\right]$ on the event A. Thus, if $\mathbb{P}(A) > 0$, then the Lévy process $X^{(\mathit{b}_\kappa)}$ (which recall was defined in (3.2)) must have the property that $\inf\{t > 0; X_t^{(\mathit{b}_\kappa)} = a\} < \inf\{t > 0; X_t^{(\mathit{b}_\kappa)} > a\}$ with (strictly) positive probability. This means, as we assumed $a > 0$, that the ascending ladder height process of $X^{(\mathit{b}_\kappa)}$ must be a compound Poisson process with some atom(s) in its Lévy measure. Following the arguments of [22, p.215], this can only be the case when $X^{(\mathit{b}_\kappa)}$ is a compound Poisson process. Since $\mathit{b}_\kappa = (\mathit{b}_{\kappa_t})_{t \geq 0}$ and X are independent, this must mean that both b_κ and X are the sum of a compound Poisson process and a possible drift. As we could assume that κ has a Lévy measure with infinite mass, b is a compound Poisson process as otherwise the Lévy measure of b_κ would have infinite mass. This also means that b_κ has no drift and thus X has no drift as well because otherwise $X^{(\mathit{b}_\kappa)}$ is not a compound Poisson process. But if both X and b are compound Poisson processes, then $\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})}$ cannot creep over the level a because it has to stay in a given state for a (strictly) positive

amount of time. Hence $\mathbb{P}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{X}^{(\flat)}_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\flat)})} = a) = 0$ which completes the proof. $\qquad\square$

In the following, we express $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\flat_\kappa \ell)})$ in terms of the subordinator κ and the first passage time over a of the Lévy process $X^{(\flat_\kappa)}$ by using that $\mathbb{X}^{(\flat_\kappa \ell)}$ is a time-changed Lévy process.

Lemma 3.3. *For any $a \geq 0$, $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\flat_\kappa \ell)}) = \kappa_{T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})}$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. where, using (3.2), we have set*

$$T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)}) = \inf\{t > 0; \ X_t^{(\flat_\kappa)} > a\}.$$

Proof. Since the equality trivially holds if $T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)}) = \infty$ as $\kappa_\infty = \infty$, we assume from now on that $T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)}) < \infty$. Next, observe, from its definition, that

$$\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\flat_\kappa \ell)}) = \inf\left\{s > 0; \ \ell_s \in \{t > 0; \ X_t^{(\flat_\kappa)} > a\}\right\}.$$

By right-continuity of κ and the definition of ℓ, it is not hard to show that for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\inf\{s > 0; \ \ell_s > t\} = \kappa_t. \tag{3.3}$$

These two observations combined with the continuity of ℓ yield that

$$\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\flat_\kappa \ell)}) = \begin{cases} \inf\{s > 0; \ \ell_s = T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})\} & \text{if } X^{(\flat_\kappa)}_{T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})} > a, \\ \inf\{s > 0; \ \ell_s > T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})\} = \kappa_{T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})} & \text{if } X^{(\flat_\kappa)}_{T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})} = a. \end{cases}$$

It remains to show that $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$, where

$$A = \left\{X^{(\flat_\kappa)}_{T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})} > a \text{ and } \inf\{s > 0; \ \ell_s = T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})\} \neq \inf\{s > 0; \ \ell_s > T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})\}\right\}.$$

Recalling the notation $\Delta Z_t = Z_t - \lim_{s \uparrow t} Z_s$, note that $A \subset \{\Delta \kappa_{T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})} > 0\}$, i.e. $T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})$ is a jump time of κ on the event A. Since X and κ are independent, they jump at different times which means that $\Delta X_{T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})} = 0$ on A and in combination with $\flat$ having non-decreasing sample paths, we deduce that $\mathbb{P}(\Delta X^{(\flat_\kappa)}_{T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})} \leq 0, A) = \mathbb{P}(A)$. But since $\{\Delta X^{(\flat_\kappa)}_{T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})} \leq 0\} \cap \{X^{(\flat_\kappa)}_{T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})} > a\} = \emptyset$, we must have $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$. $\qquad\square$

Next we show that the bivariate process $(X^{(\flat_\kappa)}, \kappa)$ is a Lévy process, which is crucial for defining our change of measure in order to determine the law of $\kappa_{T_a(X^{(\flat_\kappa)})}$ and thus $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\flat)}$. In what follows below $\langle ., . \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Lemma 3.4. *The two-dimensional stochastic process $\mathbf{X}^\kappa = (X^{(\flat_\kappa)}, \kappa) = (X_t^{(\flat_\kappa)}, \kappa_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a two-dimensional Lévy process whose characteristic exponent is given, for any $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $t \geq 0$, by*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{X}_t^\kappa \rangle}\right] = e^{\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{z})t}$$

where $\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{z}) = \Psi(z_1) - \phi_\kappa(\phi_\flat(iz_1) - iz_2)$.

Proof. Clearly, $(\mathit{b}_t, t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a bivariate Lévy process and since k is independent of $(\mathit{b}_t, t)_{t \geq 0}$, it follows that $(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_t}, \mathit{k}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is also a Lévy process, see e.g. [34, Theorem 30.1]. Since X, k and b are independent and the sum of two independent Lévy processes is a Lévy process, we conclude that $(X^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})}, \mathit{k}) = (X_t - \mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_t}, \mathit{k}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Lévy process. Regarding its characteristic exponent, using the independence of X, b and k, we get, that for any $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{X}_t^{\mathit{k}}\rangle}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{iz_1 X_t^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})} + iz_2 \mathit{k}_t}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{iz_1 X_t}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-iz_1 \mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}_t} + iz_2 \mathit{k}_t}\right] \\
&= e^{\Psi(z_1)t} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-iz_1 \mathit{b}_s + iz_2 s}\right] \mathbb{P}(\mathit{k}_t \in ds) = e^{\Psi(z_1)t} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-(\phi_{\mathit{b}}(iz_1) - iz_2)\mathit{k}_t}\right] \\
&= e^{(\Psi(z_1) - \phi_{\mathit{k}}(\phi_{\mathit{b}}(iz_1) - iz_2))t},
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. $\qquad\square$

We continue with the proof of Theorem 1.4. Recalling that $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathit{b})} = \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\mathit{b})})$ and using the identity (3.3), we observe by combining Lemma 3.2 with Lemma 3.3, that, for any $q, v \geq 0$ and $a > 0$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathit{b})} - v\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathit{b})}}^{(\mathit{b})}} \mathbb{I}_{\{\mathbb{T}_a^{(\mathit{b})} < \infty\}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\mathit{k}_{T_a(X^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})})} - vX_{T_a(X^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})})}^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})}} \mathbb{I}_{\{T_a(X^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})}) < \infty\}}\right]. \tag{3.4}
$$

In order to prepare for the change of measure, let us denote by $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the natural filtration of $\mathbf{X}^{\mathit{k}}$ and let $\mathcal{G}_t^+ = \cap_{s > t} \mathcal{G}_s$. Further, let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^2 \cap i\mathbb{R}^2$ (i.e. $\Re(\xi) = 0$) be such that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|e^{i\langle \xi, \mathbf{X}_1^{\mathit{k}}\rangle}\right|\right] < \infty$. Then we can define $\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\xi)$ via analytical continuation. We now fix $t > 0$ and define the probability measure $\mathbb{P}_t^{(\xi)}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_t^+)$ by

$$
\mathbb{P}_t^{(\xi)}(A) = \mathbb{E}[M_t \mathbb{I}_A], \quad A \in \mathcal{G}_t^+, \tag{3.5}
$$

where $(M_t = e^{i\langle \xi, \mathbf{X}_t^{\mathit{k}}\rangle - \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\xi)t})_{t \geq 0}$ is plainly a martingale with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{G}_t^+)_{t \geq 0}$. This is an Esscher change of measure and it is well-known that under $\mathbb{P}_t^{(\xi)}$, $(\mathbf{X}_s^{\mathit{k}})_{s \in [0,t]}$ is a Lévy process and its characteristic function is given by, for $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$
\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_t^{(\xi)}}\left[e^{i\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{X}_s^{\mathit{k}}\rangle}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\langle \mathbf{z} + \xi, \mathbf{X}_s^{\mathit{k}}\rangle - \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\xi)s}\right] = e^{(\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{z} + \xi) - \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\xi))s}, \quad s \in [0, t].
$$

Since $\mathbf{X}^{\mathit{k}} = (X^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})}, \mathit{k})$ with k a subordinator we have that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|e^{i\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{X}_1^{\mathit{k}}\rangle}\right|\right] < \infty$ for all $\{\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2; \Im(z_1) = 0 \text{ and } \Im(z_2) > 0\}$. Hence, fixing $q > 0$, we can choose $\xi = \xi_q := (0, iq)$ in (3.5) and deduce that under $\mathbb{P}_t^{(\xi_q)}$, $(\mathbf{X}_s^{\mathit{k}})_{s \in [0,t]}$ is a Lévy process with characteristic exponent equals $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\xi_q}(\mathbf{z}) = \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{z} + \xi_q) - \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\xi_q)$, $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, since $T_a(X^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})})$ is a $(\mathcal{G}_t^+)_{t \geq 0}$-stopping time, writing simply here $T_a = T_a(X^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})})$, we have, by Doob's optional sampling theorem, for any $v \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\mathit{k}_{T_a} - vX_{T_a}^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})}} \mathbb{I}_{\{T_a \leq t\}}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[M_{T_a}^{(\xi_q)} e^{-\phi_{\mathit{k}}(q)T_a - vX_{T_a}^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})}} \mathbb{I}_{\{T_a \leq t\}}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[M_t^{(\xi_q)} e^{-\phi_{\mathit{k}}(q)T_a - vX_{T_a}^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})}} \mathbb{I}_{\{T_a \leq t\}}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}_t^{(\xi_q)}}\left[e^{-\phi_{\mathit{k}}(q)T_a - vX_{T_a}^{(\mathit{b}_{\mathit{k}})}} \mathbb{I}_{\{T_a \leq t\}}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\phi_{\mathit{k}}(q)T_a(Y) - vY_{T_a(Y)}} \mathbb{I}_{\{T_a(Y) \leq t\}}\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Y = (Y_s)_{s \geq 0}$ is a one-dimensional Lévy process whose characteristic exponent takes, for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$, the form $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\xi_q}((z,0)) = \Psi(z) - \phi_{\not k}(\phi_{\not b}(iz) + q) + \phi_{\not k}(q) = \Psi_{\not k_{\not b}^{\rhd q}}(z)$ and $T_a(Y) = \inf\{t > 0; Y_t > a\}$. Letting $t \to \infty$ in (3.6) we have by the dominated convergence theorem and (3.4),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)} - v\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)}}^{(\not b)}} \mathbb{I}_{\{\mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)} < \infty\}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\phi_{\not k}(q)T_a(Y) - vY_{T_a(Y)}} \mathbb{I}_{\{T_a(Y) < \infty\}}\right].$$

The identity (1.13) then follows from the Pecherskii-Rogozin identity stated in [34, Theorem 49.2]. By letting $q \downarrow 0$ in (1.13), we obtain (1.14). Finally, if $X^{(\not k)}$ does not drift to $-\infty$, then acording to [34, Proposition 37.10]), $T_a(X^{(\not k)}) < \infty$ a.s. and thus $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)} < \infty$ a.s. by lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.

Remark 3.5. *An interesting feature of the measure* $\mathbb{P}_t^{(\xi_q)}$ *is that it changes the law of* $X^{(\not k)}$ *by altering only the law of* $\not k$ *but not of* X *or* $\not b$. *The transformation looks like a classical Esscher change of measure performed to the one-dimensional Lévy process* $\not k$ *since the corresponding Radon-Nikodym derivatives are the same. But because we needed to work with a larger filtration than (the right-continuous augmentation of) the natural filtration of* $\not k$ *in order for* $T_a(X^{(\not k)})$ *to be a stopping time, we introduced the measure* $\mathbb{P}_t^{(\xi_q)}$ *which is the result of a two-dimensional Esscher change of measure disguised as a one-dimensional one.*

3.3 Proof of Proposition 1.6

First, observe that for some (or equivalently any) $a \geq 0$, that $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)} < \infty) > 0$ if and only if the process $\mathbb{X}^{(\not b)}$ does not have non-increasing sample paths. These conditions are equivalent to the process $\mathbb{X}^{(\not b_{\not k_\ell})}$, or equivalently, the process $X - \not b_{\not k}$, not having non-increasing sample paths either. However, since the latter process is a Lévy process, the condition will be satisfied whenever it is not the negative of a subordinator. This is the case if and only if $\sigma^2 > 0$ or $\int_1^0 |y| \Pi(dy) = \infty$ or its drift, which is easily computed to be $\mathrm{d}_X + \int_{-1}^0 |y| \Pi(dy) - \mathrm{d}_{\not b} \mathrm{d}_{\not k}$, is positive, which completes the proof of the first claim. Regarding the item (1), observe that by monotonicity we have, a.s. $\lim_{v \downarrow a} \mathbb{T}_v^{(\not b)} = \mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)}$ and $\lim_{v \uparrow a} \mathbb{T}_v^{(\not b)} = \inf\{t > 0; \mathbb{X}_t^{(\not b)} \geq a\}$ for all $a \geq 0$ and so the process $(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)})_{a \geq 0}$ has right-continuous sample paths with left limits. Then, in order for $(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)})_{a \geq 0}$ to be a subordinator killed at rate $\phi_{\not k_{\not b}^{\rhd 0}}(0)$, we need to show, see [6, Section III.1], that, for any $a \geq 0$, $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)} < \infty) = \exp(-\phi_{\not k_{\not b}^{\rhd 0}}(0)a)$ and for any $h \geq 0$, under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | \mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)} < \infty)$, $\mathbb{T}_{a+h}^{(\not b)} - \mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)}$ is independent of $(\mathbb{T}_v^{(\not b)})_{0 \leq v \leq a}$ and has the same law as $\mathbb{T}_h^{(\not b)}$ under $\mathbb{P}$. Note that by Lemma 3.2, $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)} = \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\not b_{\not k_\ell})})\right) = 1$ for all $a \geq 0$, which implies, as both $\mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)}$ as well as $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\not b_{\not k_\ell})})$ are right-continuous in a that, $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{T}_a^{(\not b)} = \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\not b_{\not k_\ell})}), \; \forall a \geq 0\right) = 1$. Hence we are done if we show that $\left(\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\not b_{\not k_\ell})})\right)_{a \geq 0}$ is a subordinator killed at rate $\phi_{\not k_{\not b}^{\rhd 0}}(0)$. To this end, for any $a \geq 0$, by Lemma 3.3 and a well-known result for spectrally negative Lévy processes, see e.g. [22, Corollary 3.13], one has that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\not b_{\not k_\ell})}) < \infty) = \mathbb{P}(\not k_{T_a(X^{(\not b_{\not k})})} < \infty) = \mathbb{P}(T_a(X^{(\not b_{\not k})}) < \infty) = e^{-\phi_{\not k_{\not b}^{\rhd 0}}(0)a}.$$

Then, since $(X^{(\not b_{\not k})}, \not k)$ is a bivariate Lévy process by Lemma 3.4 we are in the setting of Proposition 3.1 where with the notation therein $(X, \not k) = (X^{(\not b_{\not k})}, \not k)$. Since the filtration $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$, as defined again in Proposition 1.1, is right-continuous and $\mathbb{X}^{(\not b_{\not k_\ell})}$ is $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$-adapted (recall that $\mathbb{X}_t^{(\not b_{\not k_\ell})} = X_{\ell_t}^{(\not b_{\not k})}$), we have that, for any $a \geq 0$, $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\not b_{\not k_\ell})})$ is an $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$-stopping time, see [19,

Lemmas 7.6 and 7.2]. Moreover, by Lemma 3.2, one has that $[\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})})] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$ a.s. for any $a \geq 0$, and, by lack of upward jumps $\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})})} = a$ if $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}) < \infty$. Therefore, one gets, given $\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}) < \infty$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{T}_{a+h}(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}) - \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}) &= \inf\{t > \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}); \ \mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}_t > a + h\} - \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}) \\
&= \inf\left\{t > \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}); \ \mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}_t - \mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})})} > h\right\} - \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}) \\
&= \inf\left\{t > 0; \ \mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}_{t+\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})})} - \mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})})} > h\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

This combined with Proposition 3.1 yield that, for any $a, h \geq 0$, under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}) < \infty)$, $\mathbb{T}_{a+h}(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}) - \mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})})$ is independent of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})})}$ and has the same law as $\mathbb{T}_h(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})})$ under $\mathbb{P}$. As $\mathbb{T}_v(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})})$ is $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})})}$-measurable for all $0 \leq v \leq a$ since $\mathbb{T}_v(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})})$ is increasing in v and an $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t\geq0}$-stopping time, we conclude that $(\mathbb{T}_a(\mathbb{X}^{(\theta_{\kappa_\ell})}))_{a\geq0}$ is a subordinator killed at rate $\phi_{\kappa_\theta^{\triangleright 0}}(0)$. Since, for any $q \geq 0$, $z \mapsto \Psi_{\kappa_\theta^{\triangleright q}}(z)$ is the characteristic exponent of a spectrally negative Lévy process we have that its positive Wiener-Hopf factor $\Phi_{\kappa_\theta^{\triangleright q}}(p; z)$ is given by $\Phi_{\kappa_\theta^{\triangleright q}}(p; z) = \frac{\phi_{\kappa_\theta^{\triangleright q}}(p)}{\phi_{\kappa_\theta^{\triangleright q}}(p)-iz}$ for $p > 0$ and $\Im(z) \geq 0$, see e.g. [22, Equation (8.4)]. Hence, performing a simple Laplace inversion to the composite Wiener-Hopf identity (1.13), one has, for any $q > 0$ and $a \geq 0$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\mathbb{T}_a^{(\theta)}}\mathbb{I}_{\{\mathbb{T}_a^{(\theta)}<\infty\}}\right] = e^{-\phi_{\kappa_\theta^{\triangleright q}}(\phi_\kappa(q))a} = e^{-\phi_{\mathbb{T}^{(\theta)}}(q)a}.
$$

The case $q = 0$ follows by taking the limit on both sides. Since $\phi_{\mathbb{T}^{(\theta)}}$ is the Laplace exponent of a subordinator, it must be a Bernstein function, which completes the proof of item (1). The first part of item (2) follows easily from the previous one whereas the second part is obtained after observing that the mapping $\Psi_\kappa(-iu) = u^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$, $u \geq 0$, with $0 < \alpha < 1 < \beta \leq 2\alpha$, is indeed on the one hand the Laplace exponent of a spectrally negative Lévy process, namely the one of a $\frac{\beta}{\alpha}$-stable Lévy process with $1 < \frac{1}{\alpha} < \frac{\beta}{\alpha} < 2$, and, on the other hand the inverse of $\phi \circ \phi_\kappa(u) = u^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}$, where ϕ is the inverse of $\Psi(-iu) = u^\beta$, $u \geq 0$. This provides the proof of this item. Finally, from lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we get that, under $\mathbb{P}$, for any $a \geq 0$, $\mathbb{T}_a = \kappa_{T_a(X)}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{-a} = \kappa_{\widehat{T}_{-a}(X)}$ where $\widehat{T}_a(X) = \inf\{t > 0; \ X_t < a\}$. Hence by spatial homogeneity and the fact that κ is increasing, we have, for $0 \leq x \leq a$ and $q \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{-q\mathbb{T}_a}\mathbb{I}_{\{\mathbb{T}_a<\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_0\}}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\mathbb{T}_{a-x}}\mathbb{I}_{\{\mathbb{T}_{a-x}<\widehat{\mathbb{T}}_{-x}\}}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\kappa_{T_{a-x}(X)}}\mathbb{I}_{\{\kappa_{T_{a-x}(X)}<\kappa_{\widehat{T}_{-x}(X)}\}}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{-q\kappa_{T_a(X)}}\mathbb{I}_{\{T_a(X)<\widehat{T}_0(X)\}}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{-\phi_\kappa(q)T_a(X)}\mathbb{I}_{\{T_a(X)<\widehat{T}_0(X)\}}\right] \\
&= \frac{W^{(\phi_\kappa(q))}(x)}{W^{(\phi_\kappa(q))}(a)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where for the penultimate equality we used the independence of X and κ and for the last one we used [22, Theorem 8.1(iii)]. Similarly one proves the last identity.

3.4 Proof of Proposition 1.9

Since it is assumed that $\mathcal{k}$ is an α-stable subordinator, we have, in particular, that for any $s > 0$, $\mathcal{k}_s \overset{(d)}{=} s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \mathcal{k}_1$. Then, using Lemma 3.3 with $\mathcal{b} = 0$, by conditioning and using the independence of the involved random variables, we obtain that, for any $t \geq 0$ and $x > 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_{-x}(\mathbb{T}_0 \in dt) &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{k}_s \in dt)\mathbb{P}_{-x}(T_0 \in ds) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(s^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \mathcal{k}_1 \in dt)\mathbb{P}_{-x}(T_0 \in ds) \\
&= \mathbb{P}_{-x}(\mathcal{k}_1 T_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \in dt)
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.7}
$$

which completes the proof of the first identity in law, that is

$$
\mathbb{T}_0 \overset{(d)}{=} \mathcal{k}_1 \times T_0^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.
\tag{3.8}
$$

The second claim follows readily from (3.7) and the fact that the law of $\mathcal{k}_s$ is absolutely continuous for all $s > 0$, see [34, Chap. 3.14]. Next, the fact that $\mathbb{P}_{-x}(T_0 > 0) > 0, x > 0$, combined with the identity (3.8) and $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{k}_1^\alpha] = \infty$, see (3.11) below, imply the first claim of item (2) that is $\mathbb{E}_{-x}[\mathbb{T}_0^\alpha] = \infty$. Next, we recall that Doney and Maller [14, Theorem 2] showed that the condition $\int_0^1 e^{\int_1^\infty e^{-qt}\mathbb{P}(Z_t \leq 0)\frac{dt}{t}}\frac{dq}{q^\delta} < \infty$ for some $0 < \delta < 1$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{E}_{-x}[T_0^\delta] < \infty$. Then, classical results on Mellin transform yield that, for any $x > 0$, the mapping

$$
z \mapsto \mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{\frac{z}{\alpha}}\right] \text{ is holomorphic in the strip } \mathbb{S}_{(0,\alpha\delta)} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \ 0 < \Re(z) < \alpha\delta\}
\tag{3.9}
$$

with

$$
\left|\mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{\frac{z}{\alpha}}\right]\right| \leq \mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{\frac{\Re(z)}{\alpha}}\right] < \infty \text{ for any } z \in \mathbb{S}_{(0,\alpha\delta)}.
\tag{3.10}
$$

Hence, recalling, from e.g. [34, (25.5)], that, with $\phi(u) = u^\alpha$,

$$
z \mapsto \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{k}_1^z] = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{z}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1 - z)} \text{ is holomorphic in the left half-plane } \mathbb{S}_{(-\infty,\alpha)},
\tag{3.11}
$$

we deduce, by means of the identity (3.8), the property (3.9) and since $\delta \in (0, 1)$, that

$$
z \mapsto \mathbb{E}_{-x}[\mathbb{T}_0^z] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{k}_1^z]\mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{\frac{z}{\alpha}}\right] \text{ is holomorphic in the strip } \mathbb{S}_{(0,\alpha\delta)}.
\tag{3.12}
$$

Moreover, recalling the Stirling formula of the gamma function, that for fixed $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
|\Gamma(a + ib)| \sim C|b|^{a-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|b|} \text{ as } |b| \to \infty,
\tag{3.13}
$$

with $C = C(a) > 0$, simple algebra entails that for any $z = a + ib \in \mathbb{S}_{(0,\alpha\delta)}$ there exists $C(a, \alpha) > 0$ such that

$$
|\mathbb{E}_{-x}[\mathbb{T}_0^z]| \leq C(a, \alpha)\mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{\frac{a}{\alpha}}\right]|b|^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}a}e^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\frac{\pi}{2}|b|} \text{ as } |b| \to \infty,
\tag{3.14}
$$

where we used the upper bound (3.10). Hence, (3.12) combined with (3.14) justifies that one can use Mellin inversion formula, see [31, Section 1.7.4], to get, for any $1 < a < 1 + \alpha\delta$, the following Mellin-Barnes representation of the density

$$
f_{\mathbb{T}_0}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} t^{-z}\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{z}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(2 - z)}\mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{\frac{z-1}{\alpha}}\right] dz
$$

where the integral is absolutely convergent for any $t > 0$. Using this representation and the bound (3.14) combined with a dominated convergence argument yields that $f_{\mathbb{T}_0}$ admits an analytical extension to the sector $\mathbb{C}_{\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\frac{\pi}{2}\right)} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \, |\arg z| < \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\frac{\pi}{2}\}$. Since from (3.14) again, we get that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the mapping $z \mapsto (z+n)^n \mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[\mathbb{T}_0^z\right]$ is absolutely integrable and uniformly decaying along the complex lines of the strip $\mathbb{S}_{(0,\alpha\delta)}$ and hence for any $t > 0$ and $1 < a < \alpha\delta + 1$,

$$f_{\mathbb{T}_0}^{(n)}(t) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} t^{-z-n} \frac{\Gamma(z+n)}{\Gamma(z)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{z}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(2-z)} \mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{\frac{z-1}{\alpha}}\right] dz. \tag{3.15}$$

Moreover, a dominated convergence argument gives that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_{\mathbb{T}_0}^{(n)} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Next, assuming that $\mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{1+\delta}\right] < \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$. As above this yields that now $z \mapsto \mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{\frac{z}{\alpha}}\right]$ is holomorphic in the strip $\mathbb{S}_{(1,\alpha(1+\delta)+1)} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \, 1 < \Re(z) < \alpha(1+\delta)+1\}$ and thus the representation (3.15) also holds for $f_{\mathbb{T}_0}^{(n)}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $1 < a < \alpha+1 = \min(\alpha+1, \alpha(\delta+1)+1)$. By shifting the contour to the imaginary line $\Re(z) = a_\alpha$ where $\alpha + 1 < a_\alpha < \alpha(\delta+1)+1$, one gets by an application of the Cauchy's residue Theorem, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\mathbb{T}_0}^{(n)}(t) &= \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(\alpha+1+n)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0\right] t^{-\alpha-1-n} \\
&\quad + \frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi i} \int_{a_\alpha-i\infty}^{a_\alpha+i\infty} t^{-z-n} \frac{\Gamma(z+n)}{\Gamma(z)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{z}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(2-z)} \mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{\frac{z-1}{\alpha}}\right] dz.
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, since plainly $z \mapsto H_n(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z+n)}{\Gamma(z)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{z}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(2-z)} \mathbb{E}_{-x}\left[T_0^{\frac{z-1}{\alpha}}\right]$ is absolutely integrable on $\Re(z) = a_\alpha$, the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma and the fact that $a_\alpha > \alpha$ yield that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} t^{\alpha+n} \int_{a_\alpha-i\infty}^{a_\alpha+i\infty} t^{-z-n} H_n(z) dz = \lim_{t\to\infty} t^{\alpha-a_\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ib\ln t} H_n(a_\alpha+ib) db = 0.$$

Then, by means of the Euler reflection formula for the gamma function, we obtain the asymptotic (1.19) and we complete the proof by integrating the case $n = 0$ in (1.19).

References

[1] G. Ascione, E. Pirozzi and B. Toaldo. On the exit time from open sets of some semi-Markov processes. *Annals of Applied Probability*, 30(3): 1130 - 1163, 2020.

[2] S. Asmussen and H. Albrecher. *Ruin Probabilities*. 2nd edition, World Scientific, 2010, Singapore.

[3] B. Baeumer, M. Meerschaert, and E. Nane. Brownian subordinators and fractional Cauchy problems. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society,* 361(7), 3915-3930, 2009.

[4] E.W. Barnes. The genesis of the double gamma function, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 31, pp. 358-381, 1899.

[5] G. Ben Arous and J. Černỳ. Scaling limit for trap models on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. *Ann. Probab.* 35, no. 6, 2356-2384, 2007.

[6] J. Bertoin. *Lévy Processes.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[7] J. Bertoin. Subordinators: examples and applications. *Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1997)*, Vol. 1717, pp. 1-91, Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[8] R. Biard and B. Saussereau. Fractional Poisson process: long-range dependence and appli-
     cations in ruin theory. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 51(3):727–740, 2014.

[9] J. Billingham and A.C. King. Uniform asymptotic expansions for the Barnes double gamma
     function, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A,* 453, pp. 1817-1829, 1997.

[10] C.D. Constantinescu, J.M. Ramirez and W.R. Zhu. An application of fractional differential
     equations to risk theory, *Submitted*, 2018.

[11] L. Decreusefond and D. Nualart. Hitting times for Gaussian processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 36
     (1), 319-330, 2008.

[12] A. Dembo and S. Mukherjee. Persistence of Gaussian processes: non-summable correlations.
     Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 169, Issue 3-4, pp 1007-1039, 2017.

[13] M. Hairer, G. Iyer, L. Koralov, A. Novikov, and Z. Pajor-Gyulai. A fractional kinetic process
     describing the intermediate time behaviour of cellular flows. *Ann. Probab.*, 46(2), 897-955,
     2018.

[14] R. A. Doney and R. A. Maller. Moments of passage times for Lévy processes. *Ann. Inst.
     H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 40(3):279–297, 2004.

[15] W. Deng, X. Wu and W. Wang. Mean exit time and escape probability for the anomalous
     processes with the tempered power-law waiting times. *Europhysics Letters*, Volume 117,
     Number 1, 2017.

[16] J. Grandell. *Doubly stochastic Poisson processes.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.

[17] G. Guoa, B. Chena, X. Zhaoc, F. Zhaoc and Q. Wang. First passage time distribution of a
     modified fractional diffusion equation in the semi-infinite interval. *Physica A*, 433, 279-290,
     2015.

[18] F. Höfling, T. Franosch. Anomalous transport in the crowded world of biological cells. *Rep
     Prog Phys.* 2013.

[19] O. Kallenberg. *Foundations of Modern Probability.* Springer, 2nd edition, 2001.

[20] A. Kerss, N. Leonenko and A. Sikorskii. Fractional Skellam processes with applications to
     finance. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* 17(2), pp. 532-551, 2014.

[21] T. Koren, J. Klafter and M. Magdziarz. First passage times of Lévy flights coexisting with
     subdiffusion. *Physical review E*, 76, 031129, 2007.

[22] A. E. Kyprianou. *Fluctuations of Lévy processes with applications.* Universitext. Springer,
     Heidelberg, second edition, 2014. Introductory lectures.

[23] A. E. Kyprianou. Stable Lévy processes, self-similarity and the unit ball. *ALEA Lat. Am.
     J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 15, no. 1, 617-690, 2018.

[24] N. Leonenko, M. Meerschaert, R. Schilling, and A. Sikorskii. Correlation structure of time-
     changed Lévy processes. *Communications In Applied And Industrial Mathematics*, 6(1),
     22p., 2014.

[25] A.L. Lewis and E. Mordecki. Wiener-Hopf factorization for Lévy processes having positive
     jumps with rational transforms. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 45(1):118–134, 2008.

[26] R.L. Loeffen, P. Patie and M. Savov. Extinction time of non-Markovian self-similar processes, persistence, annihilation of jumps and the Fréchet distribution. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 175(5), 1022-1041, 2019.

[27] M. Magdziarz and R. L. Schilling. Asymptotic properties of Brownian motion delayed by inverse subordinators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 143, 4485-4501, 2015.

[28] B. Maisonneuve. Entrance-exit results for semi-regenerative processes. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete* 32, 81-94, 1975.

[29] M. M. Meerschaert and H.-P. Scheffler. Triangular array limits for continuous time random walks. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* 118, 1606-1633, 2008.

[30] P. Patie and M. Savov. Bernstein-gamma functions and the exponential functional of Lévy processes. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 23(75):1–101, 2018.

[31] P. Patie and M. Savov. Spectral expansion of non-self-adjoint generalized Laguerre semigroups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear*, 179pp., 2018.

[32] A. Piryatinska, A.I. Saichev, W.A. Woyczynski. Models of anomalous diffusion: the subdiffusive case. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications,* Volume 349, Issues 3-4, 2005

[33] A. Stanislavsky, K. Weron, and A. Weron. Anomalous diffusion with transient subordinators: A link to compound relaxation laws. *J. Chem. Phys.* 140, 054113, 2014.

[34] K. Sato. *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[35] R.L. Schilling, R. Song and Z. Vondraček. *Bernstein functions.* Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2012.

[36] B. Toaldo. Convolution-type derivatives, hitting-times of subordinators and time-changed C_0-semigroups. *Potential Anal.*, 42 (1), 115–140, 2015.

[37] B. Toaldo and M. Savov. Semi-Markov processes, integro-differential equations and anomalous diffusion-aggregation *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 56(4): 2640-2671, 2020.

[38] N. Yannaros. Weibull renewal processes. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, 46(4):641–648, 1994.