Investigation on dynamic characteristics of a rod fastening rotor-bearing coupling system with fixed-point rubbing

Yang Yang^{1*}, Huajiang Ouyang², Jin Zeng¹, Hui Ma³, Yiren Yang¹, Dengqing Cao⁴

1. School of Mechanics and Engineering, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu 610031, China

2. Centre for Engineering Dynamics, School Engineering, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GH, UK

3. School of Mechanical Engineering and Automation, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110819, China

4. School of Astronautics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to gain insight into nonlinear vibration feature of a dynamic model of a gas turbine. Firstly, a rod fastening rotor-bearing coupling model having fixed-point rubbing is proposed, where the fractal theory and the finite element method are utilized. For contact analysis, a novel contact force model is introduced in this paper. Meanwhile, the Coulomb model is adopted to expound the friction characteristics. Then the governing equations of motion of the rotor system are numerically solved and the nonlinear dynamic characteristics are analysed in terms of bifurcation diagram, Poincaré map, and time histories. After that, the potential effects provided by contact degree of joint interface, distribution position and amount of contact layer are discussed in detail. Finally, the contrast analysis between integral rotor and rod fastening rotor is conducted in the condition of fixed-point rubbing.

Key words: rod fastening rotor, joint interface, fixed-point rubbing, nonlinear dynamic characteristics

1. Introduction

As a typical high-tech large-scale military and civil power equipment, gas turbine is widely used in energy, transportation, aviation and other fields. To satisfy the requirements of performance, processing, maintenance and transportation, the combined structure is designed to replace the traditional integral structure and gradually adopted in the manufacturing process. Under this

^{*}Corresponding author: Yang Yang, <u>181042yy@163.com</u>

circumstance, rod fastening rotors have been one of the generally recognized structure designs of heavy-duty gas turbine because of its advantages of easy assembly and maintenance [1].

Rod fastening rotor system is mainly composed of pull rods, discs and blades. Several discs are integrated by the pressure and the friction force provided by the pull rods [2]. Since the global stiffness of the rotor system is closely related to the contact stiffness of the joint interface, it is of significance for scholars to master its contact mechanism in advance. At present, three main methods for determining the contact stiffness can be concluded as equivalent stiffness method, stiffness matrix correcting method, and virtual material method. In the first one, the equivalent spring element and equivalent damper element are defined to describe the contact mechanism of joint interface. For a bolted beam, Hartwigsen et al. [3] identified the interface mechanical parameters from a transient excitation test. By utilizing the Lagrange's equation, Hu et al. [4] proposed a dynamic model of rod fastening rotor-bearing system, in which the contact effects between the discs of the bolted joint were described by the cubic nonlinear stiffness. Qin et al. [5] developed an analytical model for the bending stiffness of the bolted disc-drum joints and implemented the joint stiffness into the dynamic model of a simple rotor connected through the bolted disc-drum joint. Qin et al. [6,7] investigated the time-varying stiffness at the joint interface with bolt loosening by means of three-dimensional nonlinear finite element (FE) models and provided a general approach for the vibration analysis of a rotating cylindrical shell coupled with an annular plate. What is more, many researchers have made great endeavour on the stiffness matrix correcting method and virtual material method. Wu et al. [8] built a contact stiffness matrix capable of describing the contact effect between discs, where the lateral stiffness, shear stiffness, bending stiffness and torsional stiffness of joint interface were taken account of. Jalali et al. [9] applied the thin-layer element theory to investigate the surface-to-surface contact interface of a compound structure.

Contact stiffness of joint interface plays a crucial role in the vibration behaviours of rod fastening rotor system. The most favourable model for this purpose is the Greenwood-Williamson

statistical model [10]. According to the boundary element method, Pohrt et al. [11] calculated the contact stiffness of the elastomer with fractal rough surface. Taking a two-dimensional fractal rough surface profile as the object, the load-compliance relation for the contact of this profile was estimated in [12]. Liu et al. [13] discussed the influence of friction on the normal contact stiffness of isotropic fractal interface when the elastic-plastic contact happened. When the surface fractal feature and normal loading conditions were considered, a new modeling approach was proposed to represent the tangential frictional stick-slip behaviors of contact interfaces in [14]. Jiang et al. [15] carried out the research on interface stiffness based on the fractal-curve description of the topology. Moreover, the dynamic characteristics of rod fastening rotor system have attracted extensive attention. By the numerical simulation, Rimpel et al. [16] discussed the change law of natural frequency of a real tie bolt rotor system subjected to different preload forces. From the perspective of nonlinear dynamics, Hei et al. [17] observed the rich nonlinear phenomena in the rod fastening rotor system, including quasi period, bifurcation and chaos.

Performance and efficiency of rotating machines can be enhanced by reducing the clearance between components in relative motion. However, this approach greatly increases the risk of rub-impact fault. Rub-impact can accelerate erosion, thermal fatigue and even other catastrophic failures. In the past few decades, the full annular rub and partial rub have attracted a huge amount of interest already. Yu [18] studied the reverse full annular rub occurring in a two degree-of-freedom rotor/seal model, in which the rubbing location was simulated away from the lumped rotor mass. When a modified Jeffcott rotor underwent either forward synchronous whirling or self-excited backward whirling motions with continuous stator contact, Vlajic et al. [19] investigated its complicated vibration behaviours. Jiang [20] gained deep insights into the global response characteristics of a piecewise smooth dynamical system with full annular rub and revealed the influences of parameters, including coefficient of friction and impact stiffness. Yang et al. [21] simulated the dynamic behaviours of a dual-rotor system with partial rub and obtained the fault characteristics, such as combination forms in the frequency domain. Moreover, Yang et al. [22] investigated the fault characteristics of the rotor system with parametric uncertainties based on the Polynomial Chaos Expansion.

Up to now, the contact mechanism of bolted joint interface and dynamic characteristics of rod fastening rotor systems have been studied in depth. Nevertheless, the effects of multiple disc contacts on the vibration behaviours of the rotor system have been rarely studied. Moreover, compared with the integral rotor system, the pioneering contribution to dynamic prediction of rod fastening rotor system with rub-impact fault is not enough. It is more fulfilling to include this feature in a rod fastening rotor model if more realistic and useful results are required.

This paper aims at studying the nonlinear dynamic characteristics of a rod fastening rotor-bearing coupling system with rub-impact fault. The law of influences of joint interface in disc-disc contact is revealed in depth. On this basis, the comparison of fault response between integral rotor and rod fastening rotor is conducted as well.

2. Mathematical model

In this section, the dynamic model of a circumferential rod fastening rotor system with rub-impact fault is established based on the rough fractal theory and finite element method. Meanwhile, a novel contact force model considering coating effects is adopted to solve the rub-impact fault.

Fig. 1(a) shows the actual structure of a circumferential rod fastening rotor system. By extracting the main structure features, the simplified physical model is given in Fig. 1(b). The model is formed by a flexible shaft, a disc group composed of eight discs with blades, eight long pull rods and two pedestals. In the process of modelling, some basis assumptions are given as follows:

(1) The rotating shaft is considered as the Rayleigh beam, in which the shear effects and torsion deformation are neglected.

(2) All the discs with blades are assumed to be rigid and their deformations are ignored.



(b) simplified physical model

Fig. 1 Diagram of a circumferential rod fastening rotor system: (a) actual structure and (b) simplified physical model.

(3) The balls of the bearing model are only rolling and the bearing deformation is mainly considered as contact deformation between rolling balls and raceway.

(4) All the long pull rods are evenly distributed on the discs along the circumference.

(5) Contact phenomenon exists in the joint interface between any two discs.

(6) In the process of rub-impact, the thermal effect and friction torque are ignored. In addition, the thermal effect caused by the joint interface is not considered.

2.1 Contact mechanism of joint interface

As shown in Fig. 2, two rough surfaces of joint interface contact each other, which can be regarded as an equivalent rough surface in contact with a rigid flat surface. By utilizing the rough fractal theory given in [23], the contour curve of the nth asperity before elastic deformation can be

expressed as

$$Z_n(x) = G^{D-1} l_n^{2-D} \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{l_n}\right)$$
(1)

where *G* denotes the feature parameter of rough surface profile, *D* denotes the fractal dimension of two dimensional fractal rough surface, l_n is the sampling length of the *n*th asperity, which obeys $l_n = 1/\gamma^n$.



Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of G-W contact model.

After obtaining Eq. (1), the curvature radius of the nth asperity shown in Fig. 2 can be further derived as

$$R_{n} = \left| \frac{\left(1 + \left(Z_{n} \left(x \right)^{''} \right)^{2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{Z_{n} \left(x \right)^{''}} \right|_{x=0} = \frac{1}{\pi^{2} G^{D-1} \gamma^{nD}}$$
(2)

Then the expression of the height of the *n*th asperity is given by

$$\delta_n = Z_n(0) = G^{D-1} \gamma^{-n(2-D)}$$
(3)

Correspondingly, the actual deformation of the *n*th asperity is approximately equal to

$$w_{n} = \delta_{n} - Z_{n} \left(\frac{l_{n}'}{2} \right) = G^{D-1} l_{n}^{2-D} \left[1 - \cos \left(\frac{\pi l_{n}'}{2 l_{n}} \right) \right]$$
(4)

According to the Hertz contact theory, the relation between the contact area and the contact force of the *n*th asperity takes the following forms

$$\begin{cases} a_{nl} = \pi R_n w_n \\ F_{ne} = \frac{4}{3} E R_n^{\frac{1}{2}} w_n^{\frac{3}{2}} \end{cases}$$
(5)

In the elastic deformation process of the *n*th asperity, the maximum value under the critical condition can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} w_{nec} = \left(\frac{\pi \kappa H}{2E}\right)^2 R_n \\ E = \frac{1}{\frac{1 - v_1^2}{E_1} - \frac{1 - v_2^2}{E_2}} \end{cases}$$
(6)

where *E* denotes the equivalent elastic modulus, E_1 and E_2 denotes the elastic modulus of hard and soft materials, κ denotes the coefficient that is related to the Poisson's ratio.

The above derivations show the deformation mechanism of the *n*th asperity in the contact process. For a joint surface, the different asperities are distributed in the contact surface by referring to a certain probability density. For this case that the rough surface is in contact with the rigid plane, the area distribution function of the asperity conforms to the law of ocean area distribution [24], namely

$$n_n(a) = \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}a} \right| = \frac{D}{2} a_l^{D/2} a^{-(1+D/2)}$$
(7)

where a_i denotes the maximum contact area of the asperity, *a* denotes the contact area of the asperity.

By integrating the above expression, the actual contact area of the whole rough surface can be obtained as

$$A_r = \int_0^{a_l} n(a) a \mathrm{d}a = \frac{D}{2 - D} a_l \tag{8}$$

Due to the multi-scale characteristics of the rough surface, it is assumed that the area distribution probability of different asperities in the rough plane is $n_n(a)$, and its value depends on the scale ordinal number *n*. When defining $n_n(a) = Cn(a)$, the actual contact area of the whole rough surface is also expressed as

$$A_{r} = \sum_{n=n_{\min}}^{n_{\max}} \int_{0}^{a_{nl}} n_{n}(a) a da = \frac{CD}{2-D} \sum_{n=n_{\min}}^{n_{\max}} a_{nl}$$
(9)

By comparing Eq. (8) with Eq. (9), the expression of the variable $_C$ is

$$C = \frac{a_l}{\sum_{n=n_{\min}}^{n_{\max}} a_{nl}}, \ a_l = \max\left\{a_{nl}\right\}$$
(10)

Therefore, the total elastic contact force and contact stiffness of the joint surface can be respectively expressed as

$$F_{r} = \sum_{n=n_{\min}}^{n_{ec}} \int_{0}^{a_{nl}} CF_{ne} n_{n}(a) da + \sum_{n=n_{ec}+1}^{n_{\max}} \int_{0}^{a_{nec}} CF_{ne} n_{n}(a) da$$

$$= \frac{4CDE\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}G^{D-1}}{3(3-D)} \sum_{n=\min}^{n_{ec}} \gamma^{nD} a_{nl}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{4CDE\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}G^{D-1}}{3(3-D)} \sum_{n=n_{ec}+1}^{n_{\max}} \gamma^{nD} a_{nec}^{\frac{(3-D)}{2}} a_{nl}^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$K_{r} = \frac{dF_{r}}{dw_{n}} = \sum_{n=n_{\min}}^{n_{ec}} \int_{0}^{a_{nl}} Ck_{ne} n_{n}(a) da + \sum_{n=n_{ec}+1}^{n_{\max}} \int_{0}^{a_{nec}} Ck_{ne} n_{n}(a) da$$

$$= \frac{2CDE\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{1-D} \sum_{n=\min}^{n_{ec}} a_{nl}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2CDE\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{1-D} \sum_{n=n_{ec}+1}^{n_{\max}} a_{nec}^{\frac{1-D}{2}} a_{nl}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(11)
(12)

in which, the critical elastic contact area and critical elastic frequency index are given by

$$\begin{cases}
a_{nec} = \pi \left(\frac{\pi \kappa H}{2E} \right) R_n^2 \\
n_{ec} = \operatorname{int} \left\{ \frac{\ln \left[\left(\frac{\kappa H}{2E} \right) \left(\frac{1}{G} \right)^{2D-2} \right]}{(2D-2) \ln \gamma} \right\}
\end{cases}$$
(13)

After analysing the normal contact mechanism of joint interface, the bending stiffness of rough contact layer shown in Fig. 3(a) is written as

$$K_b = K_r I_d \left(\gamma^{n_{\min}} \gamma^n \right)^2 \tag{14}$$

where I_d denotes the second moment of contact area.



(a) diagram of rough contact



(b) diagram of bending deformation

Fig. 3 Contact interface between any two discs: (a) rough contact diagram and (b) bending deformation diagram.

According to the description given in [25], the tangential contact stiffness of contact layer can also be obtained as

$$K_t = \frac{2K_r \left(1 - V_d\right)}{2 - V_d} \tag{15}$$

where V_d denotes the Poisson's ratio of disc. It is noted that Eq. (15) can be used to modify the stiffness characteristic of disc-disc contact segment.

Because the long rods are distributed in the different positions of the disc set, their distances from the neutral layer of the rotating shaft are not the same. The distance between the neutral layer and the *i*th rod is assumed to d_i .

For the rod fastening rotor system, the angle between two discs in the process of whirling motion is set to θ (see Fig. 3(b)). Therefore, the moment acting on the *i*th rod can be expressed as

$$M_i = E_r \pi r_r^2 \frac{\left(\Delta l_i + d_i \theta\right)}{l_i} d_i \tag{16}$$

in which r_r denotes the radius of cross section of the *i*th rod, Δl_i denotes the initial axial deformation caused by the preload, l_i denotes the initial length of the *i*th rod, E_r denotes the elastic modulus of the rod.

After that, the total moment of all the rods can be calculated by summation. The bending stiffness provided by the rods can be further given by

$$K_{br} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \frac{\partial M_i}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \frac{E_r \pi r_r^2 d_i^2}{l_i}$$
(17)

Due to the parallel connection between rough contact layer and rods, the total bending stiffness of the disc-contact segment is modified as

$$K_b^* = K_b + K_{br} \tag{18}$$

2.2 Finite element discretization of rotor system

The flexible shaft is divided into several segments and the disc set with long rods is seen as three parts, including equivalent element of left discs, disc-disc contact element and equivalent element of right discs.

Combining with the whirling motion of the rotor system, the flexible shaft is modeled as the Timoshenko beam. According to the vibration theory, there are four degrees of freedom at each node, including two translations and two rotations. Therefore, the generalized displacement vectors of beam element in the coordinate plane of xoz and yoz can be respectively expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_{1s} = \begin{bmatrix} x_A, \theta_{yA}, x_B, \theta_{yB} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{u}_{2s} = \begin{bmatrix} y_A, -\theta_{xA}, y_B, -\theta_{xB} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{cases}$$
(19)

where x_A , x_B , y_A , y_B represent four translational degrees of freedom at node A and node B separately. Meanwhile, θ_{yA} , θ_{yB} , θ_{xA} , θ_{xB} represent four rotational degrees of freedom at the two nodes.

On this basis, the translational inertial matrix of the beam element is given by

$$\mathbf{M}_{st} = \frac{\rho_s A_s l_s}{\left(1 + \phi_s\right)^2} \begin{bmatrix} m_{st1} & m_{st2} & m_{st3} & m_{st4} \\ m_{st5} & -m_{st4} & m_{st6} \\ m_{st1} & -m_{st2} \\ sym. & m_{st5} \end{bmatrix}$$
(20)

where ρ_s denotes the density of beam element, l_s denotes the length of beam element, A_s denotes the area of cross section. The expressions of coefficients used in Eq. (20) are

$$\begin{cases} \phi_s = \frac{12E_s I_s}{\mu_s A_s G_s l_s^2} \\ m_{st1} = \frac{13}{35} + \frac{7\phi_s}{10} + \frac{\phi_s^2}{3} \\ m_{st2} = l_s \left(\frac{11}{210} + \frac{11\phi_s}{120} + \frac{\phi_s^2}{24} \right) \\ m_{st3} = \frac{9}{70} + \frac{3\phi_s}{10} + \frac{\phi_s^2}{6} \\ m_{st4} = -l_s \left(\frac{13}{420} + \frac{3\phi_s}{40} + \frac{\phi_s^2}{24} \right) \\ m_{st5} = l_s^2 \left(\frac{1}{105} + \frac{\phi_s}{60} + \frac{\phi_s^2}{120} \right) \\ m_{st6} = -l_s^2 \left(\frac{1}{140} + \frac{\phi_s}{60} + \frac{\phi_s^2}{120} \right) \end{cases}$$
(21)

where μ_s denotes the shear coefficient of cross section, $G_s = E_s/(2(1+v_s))$ denotes the shear modulus, E_s denotes the elastic modulus of beam element, I_s denotes the area moment of inertia.

Similarly, the rotational inertial matrix of the beam element can also be derived as

$$\mathbf{M}_{sr} = \frac{\rho_{s} I_{s}}{\left(1 + \phi_{s}\right)^{2} l_{s}} \begin{bmatrix} m_{sr1} & m_{sr2} & -m_{sr1} & m_{sr2} \\ m_{sr3} & -m_{sr2} & m_{sr4} \\ m_{sr1} & -m_{sr2} \\ sym. & m_{sr3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(22)

in which the inertial coefficients obey the following forms, namely

$$\begin{cases} m_{sr1} = \frac{6}{5} \\ m_{sr2} = l_s \left(\frac{1}{10} - \frac{\phi_s}{2} \right) \\ m_{sr3} = l_s^2 \left(\frac{2}{15} + \frac{\phi_s}{6} + \frac{\phi_s^2}{3} \right) \\ m_{sr4} = l_s^2 \left(-\frac{1}{30} - \frac{\phi_s}{6} + \frac{\phi_s^2}{6} \right) \end{cases}$$
(23)

Gyroscopic effect is a special feature of rotating machinery which can directly affect the dynamic characteristics of the system. Therefore, it should be paid attention to in the process of dynamic modelling. The gyroscopic effect is not only related to the structure size, but also related to the rotational speed of the system. In the following part, the gyroscopic matrix of the beam element can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{G}_{s} = \frac{2\rho_{s}I_{s}\omega}{\left(1+\phi_{s}\right)^{2}l_{s}} \begin{bmatrix} m_{sr1} & m_{sr2} & -m_{sr1} & m_{sr2} \\ m_{sr3} & -m_{sr2} & m_{sr4} \\ m_{sr1} & -m_{sr2} \\ sym. & m_{sr3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(24)

where ω denotes the rotational speed of the rotor system.

Moreover, according to the deformation characteristics of the Timoshenko beam, the stiffness matrix of the beam element obeys

$$\mathbf{K}_{s} = \frac{E_{s}I_{s}}{l_{s}^{3}\left(1+\phi_{s}\right)} \begin{bmatrix} k_{s1} & k_{s2} & -k_{s1} & k_{s2} \\ k_{s3} & -k_{s2} & k_{s4} \\ & k_{s1} & -k_{s2} \\ sym. & & k_{s3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(25)

where the coefficients of the stiffness matrix are

$$\begin{cases}
k_{s1} = 12 \\
k_{s2} = 6l_s \\
k_{s3} = l_s^2 (4 + \phi_s) \\
k_{s4} = l_s^2 (2 - \phi_s)
\end{cases}$$
(26)

When dealing with the disc set shown in Fig. 1(b), it can be treated as two kinds of types,

including disc-disc contact element and equivalent element of left/right discs. From Fig. 4(a), it can be seen that the rough contact layer is sandwiched between two single discs. In this condition, the force transmission and deformation relationship of the series structure should be considered. Then the stiffness matrix of the disc-disc contact element can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{K}_{dc} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{dc1} & k_{dc2} & -k_{dc1} & k_{dc2} \\ & k_{dc3} & -k_{dc2} & k_{dc4} \\ & & k_{dc1} & -k_{dc2} \\ sym. & & & k_{dc3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(27)

where the coefficients used in Eq. (27) obey the following forms

$$\begin{cases} \phi_{d} = \frac{12E_{d}I_{d}}{\mu_{d}A_{d}G_{d}l_{d}^{2}} \\ k_{dc1} = \left(\frac{l_{d}^{3}\left(1+\phi_{d}\right)}{12E_{d}I_{d}} + \frac{l_{d}^{3}\left(1+\phi_{d}\right)}{12E_{d}I_{d}} + \frac{1}{K_{t}}\right)^{-1} \\ k_{dc2} = \frac{6E_{d}I_{d}}{l_{d}^{2}\left(1+\phi_{d}\right)} \\ k_{dc3} = \left(\frac{l_{d}\left(1+\phi_{d}\right)}{E_{d}I_{d}\left(4+\phi_{d}\right)} + \frac{l_{d}\left(1+\phi_{d}\right)}{E_{d}I_{d}\left(4+\phi_{d}\right)} + \frac{1}{K_{b}^{*}}\right)^{-1} \\ k_{dc4} = \left(\frac{l_{d}\left(1+\phi_{d}\right)}{E_{d}I_{d}\left(2-\phi_{d}\right)} + \frac{l_{d}\left(1+\phi_{d}\right)}{E_{d}I_{d}\left(2-\phi_{d}\right)} - \frac{1}{K_{b}^{*}}\right)^{-1} \end{cases}$$
(28)

where μ_d denotes the shear coefficient of cross section of single disc, $G_d = E_d/(2(1+v_d))$ denotes the shear modulus of single disc, E_d denotes the elastic modulus of single disc, I_d denotes the area moment of inertia of single disc.

Except for the disc-disc contact element, both the left discs and the right discs are equivalent to the lumped mass model, as shown in Fig. 4(b). Because the elastic deformation of the structure is ignored, each lumped mass element has only one node. Thus the mass matrix and gyroscopic matrix of the equivalent element of left/right discs are respectively written in a 2×2 form in the coordinate plane of xoz, namely

$$\mathbf{M}_{d} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{d} & \\ & J_{dd} \end{bmatrix}$$
(29)

$$\mathbf{G}_{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \\ & \omega J_{pd} \end{bmatrix}$$
(30)

where m_d denotes the mass of the lumped mass model, J_{dd} and J_{pd} denote the diametric inertia and polar inertia, respectively.



(a) disc-disc contact element(b) equivalent element of left/right discsFig. 4 Schematic diagram of disc discrete element: (a) disc-disc contact element and (b) equivalent element of left/right discs.

According to the design of element division, the global mass, stiffness and gyroscopic matrices of the rod fastening rotor system can be integrated. It should be noted that due to the existence of elastic support boundary, the global stiffness matrix needs to be modified. Consequently, the governing equations of motion of the rod fastening rotor system can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{C}\dot{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{Q} \tag{31}$$

where **u** denotes the generalized displacement vector, **M** denotes the mass matrix, **c** denotes the damping matrix, **k** denotes the stiffness matrix, \mathbf{Q} denotes the generalized force vector.

2.3 Nonlinear constraint boundary

The nonlinear force provided by the constraint condition is studied in this section. The rolling bearing model is mainly composed of rolling balls, inner ring, outer ring and bearing housing. The bearing housing is fixed on the foundation.

To describe the point-to-point contact mechanism between rolling balls and raceway, the Hertz contact theory is adopted here. Obviously, the contact forces are nonlinear and their expressions in the two directions of o-x and o-y are

$$F_{rx} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} Hk_h \left(\Delta \delta_b(i) \right)^{1.5} \sin \varphi_b(i) + c_b \dot{x}_b$$
(32)

$$F_{ry} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} Hk_h \left(\Delta \delta_b(i)\right)^{1.5} \cos \varphi_b(i) + c_b \dot{y}_b$$
(33)

where H represents the Heaviside function, k_h denotes the point-point contact stiffness, c_b denotes the support damping of bearing.

The contact displacement is determined by the initial gap of bearing model and the whirling motion of the rotor system. Therefore, the contact displacement of the *i*th rolling ball is written as

$$\Delta \delta_b(i) = x_b \sin \varphi_b(i) + y_b \cos \varphi_b(i) - \delta_{b0}$$
(34)

where δ_{b0} denotes the initial gap of bearing model, x_b and y_b respectively denote the vertical and lateral displacements of shaft node at the bearing position.

According to the motion trajectory, it is easy to calculate the specific position of the *i*th rolling ball, namely

$$\varphi_b(i) = \frac{\omega r_b}{R_b + r_b} t + \frac{2\pi}{N_b} (i-1)$$
(35)

where R_b and r_b denote the outer and inner radii of bearing model, N_b denotes the number of rolling balls.

2.4 Mechanical mechanism of rub-impact fault

Due to the complicated external suspension, such as fuel tank, cooler bin and pipeline, the convex point is more likely to appear in the stator. Fixed-point rubbing denotes that rotor contacts a fixed point of stator once in one cycle. When the eccentricity exists in the equivalent element of left discs, to a remarkable extent delivered, the fixed-point rubbing may be induced. More specifically, if

the whirling amplitude of the disc is larger than the initial gap, the rub-impact fault happens. Otherwise, the fault never happens.

According to [26], a contact force model is adopted to reveal the mechanical mechanism of rub-impact under the influences coatings. The effectiveness of the contact model is verified in an impact experiment at different rotational speed on a rotor test rig. Therefore, the rotor-stator impact force can be expressed as

$$F_{N} = \begin{cases} \frac{k_{s}k_{l}\delta_{l}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k_{s} + k_{l}\delta_{l}^{\frac{1}{2}}} (\delta_{N} - \delta_{0}) & \text{(Stage 3)} \\ \frac{k_{s} + k_{l}\delta_{l}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{3\sqrt{27}(4 - \chi) + 2(4^{3/2} - \chi^{3/2})} k_{s}(\delta_{N} - \delta_{0}) & \text{(Stage 2)} \\ \frac{2\sqrt{27}}{\sqrt{\chi}} - \frac{54}{\chi} \ln(\sqrt{27} + \sqrt{\chi}) + \frac{54}{\chi} \ln(\sqrt{27}) k_{l}(\delta_{N} - \delta_{0})^{\frac{3}{2}} & \text{(Stage 1)} \\ 0 & \text{(No rub-impact)} \end{cases}$$

where k_s denotes the structure stiffness of stator, k_l denotes the local contact stiffness of coatings. In the above expression, the local deformation of coatings δ_l and the global impact deformation δ_N obey the following relation

$$\delta_{l} = \left(\frac{1.59\left[\left(k_{s}\left(27\left(\delta_{N}-\delta_{0}\right)k_{l}^{2}-2k_{s}^{2}+3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{\left(\delta_{N}-\delta_{0}\right)\left(27\left(\delta_{N}-\delta_{0}\right)k_{l}^{2}-4k_{s}^{2}\right)k_{l}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)}{6k_{l}}{+} \left(\frac{2.52k_{s}^{2}}{6k_{l}\left(\left(k_{s}\left(27\left(\delta_{N}-\delta_{0}\right)k_{l}^{2}-2k_{s}^{2}+3\sqrt{3}\sqrt{\left(\delta_{N}-\delta_{0}\right)\left(27\left(\delta_{N}-\delta_{0}\right)k_{l}^{2}-4k_{s}^{2}\right)k_{l}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}\right)^{-}\frac{k_{s}}{3k_{l}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)$$

$$(37)$$

At the same time, the Coulomb law is adopted to describe the friction characteristics between rotor and stator, so that

$$F_T = \mu F_N \operatorname{sgn}(\omega + \dot{x}_d \cos\theta_s - \dot{y}_d \sin\theta_s)$$
(38)

where μ denotes the coefficient of Coulomb friction, θ_s denotes the angular position of stator, \dot{x}_d and \dot{y}_d denote the vertical and lateral velocity of disc, respectively.

3. Simulation and discussion

For a multi degree of freedom system with strong nonlinear factors, it is relatively difficult to analytically study its nonlinear characteristics. In this paper, the integral rotor system has 12 degrees of freedom and the rod fastening rotor system has 16 degrees of freedom. The sources of nonlinearity are mainly reflected in the two aspects: on the one hand, when the radial displacement of the rotor is greater than the initial gap, the rub-impact fault happens. Obviously, this leads to a clearance-type nonlinearity. On the other hand, the rolling bearing can bring stiffness nonlinearity to the system. Then the combination of Runge-Kutta method and linear interpolation method is chosen. The structure parameters of rod fastening rotor-bearing coupling system are given in Table 1.

The flow chart of dynamic prediction of rod fastening rotor system is given in Fig. 5, in which there are four aspects: dynamic modelling, numerical simulation, dynamic characteristic analysis and rub-impact fault investigation.



Fig. 5 Flow chart of dynamics prediction of the rotor system.

| Physical parameter | Value |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Radius of disc (mm) | 60 |
| Density of disc (kg/m ³) | 7800 |
| Thickness of single disc (mm) | 30 |
| Elastic modulus of virtual contact layer (GPa) | 210 |
| Radius of shaft (mm) | 20 |
| Density of shaft (kg/m ³) | 7800 |
| Elastic modulus of shaft (GPa) | 210 |
| Length of shaft (mm) | 280 |
| Poisson ratio of shaft | 0.3 |
| Normal stiffness of contact layer (MN/m) | 280000 |
| Tangential stiffness of contact layer (MN/m) | 2600000 |
| Initial gap of rolling bearing (μm) | 0.4 |
| Contact stiffness of rolling bearing (MN/m) | 13340 |
| Elastic modulus of coatings painted on components (GPa) | 200 |
| Poisson ratio of coatings painted on components | 0.3 |
| Coefficient of friction | 0.1 |

Table 1 Structure parameters of rod fastening rotor-bearing coupling system

The motion state is checked to find if there has been a change of contact condition from nor rubbing to rubbing or from rubbing to no rubbing. If this change has happened during a time step, linear interpolation is used repeatedly to determine the time instant when this change happens, so that the tolerance value of rub-impact region is met. A case of 'no rub to rub' is analyzed, in which δ_0 denotes the initial gap, Δt denotes the original time step, γ is defined to describe the rub tolerance zone. Then the case of 'no rub to rub' can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \delta_k - \delta_0 < 0\\ \delta_{k+1} - \delta_0 \ge 0 \end{cases}$$
(39)

where δ_k and δ_{k+1} represent the radial displacement of the disc at the previous and following moment, respectively.

If the condition of $|\delta_{k+1} - \delta_0| \le \gamma$ is satisfied, the time step remains the same. Otherwise, the new time step should be adopted by the linear interpolation, so that

$$\Delta t^* = \frac{\delta_0 - \delta_k}{\delta_{k+1} - \delta_k} \Delta t \tag{40}$$

Thus, the radial displacement of the disc δ_{k+1}^* is recalculated by utilizing the new time step Δt^* and the initial condition of δ_k . If the radial displacement δ_{k+1}^* satisfies the rub tolerance condition, the iteration is finished.

3.1 Nonlinear responses of rotor system

In this section, the fixed-point rubbing is not accounted provisionally and the contact effect of rough joint interface between two discs is paid more attention. As one of useful ways of observing nonlinear vibration behaviour, the bifurcation diagram is used to provide a summary of the essential dynamics.

For the case of no disc-disc contact and long rods, the rotor system can be seen as a common integral model to a great extent. At this time, the response bifurcation diagram of the system is depicted in Fig. 6(a). From this figure, it can be observed that the system responses are exhibited as 1T-periodic motion in the range of rotational speed [300, 2000]rad/s. At ω =1430rad/s, the first-order natural frequency of the rotor system is equal to the imbalance excitation frequency. After that, due to the nonlinear constraint boundary provided by the rolling bearings, the nonlinear jump phenomenon happens. With the increase of rotational speed, the integral rotor system always keeps the regular periodic motion.

Under the same structure parameters and operating conditions, the disc-disc contact behaviour

and long rods are introduced into the system. The bifurcation diagram of the rod fastening rotor is shown in Fig. 6(b). Through the comparative analysis, the vibration response of the rotor system has changed significantly. The first-order resonance frequency of the system decreases to ω =1340rad/s. The main reason for this phenomenon is that the rough joint interface between discs can weaken the global stiffness of the system. Moreover, the region of complicated vibration forms, such as quasi period and even chaos, is observed in the region of high rotational speed. This just proves that for the rod fastening rotor system, the vibration response is obviously determined by the contact condition of discs.





(b) a rod fastening rotor

Fig. 6 Bifurcation diagram of rotor-bearing coupling system: (a) an integral rotor and (b) a rod fastening rotor.

To fully understand the vibration characteristic of the rod fastening rotor system at different rotational speeds, the time histories and Poincaré map are used, respectively. As shown in Figs. 7(a) and (b), the 1*T*-periodic motion happens around a position which is almost zero, and the vibration amplitude is about 9.38×10^{-6} m. Meanwhile, only an isolated point is found in the Poincaré map. When the rotational speed mounts up to $\omega = 1600$ rad/s, the original regular periodic motion will be broken and evolve into quasi-periodic motion. At this moment, the Poincaré map includes a closed petal curve constructed by discrete points, as shown in Figs. 7(c) and (d). According to the theory of nonlinear dynamics, the phenomenon in the Poincaré map illustrates the occurrence of quasi-periodic motion.

Additionally, the more complex nonlinear vibration behaviours can be observed in the response of rod fastening rotor system. When the rotational speed is ω =1700rad/s, the rotor system enters the window of chaotic motion. Under this circumstance, the Poincaré map is composed of a large number of irregular scattered points.



(a) time histories at $\omega = 1340$ rad/s



(c) time histories at $\omega = 1600 \text{ rad/s}$







(b) Poincaré map at $\omega = 1340$ rad/s



(d) Poincaré map at $\omega = 1600 \text{ rad/s}$





Fig. 7 Nonlinear response of rod fastening rotor system at different rotational speeds: (a) time

histories at $\omega = 1340$ rad/s, (b) Poincaré map at $\omega = 1340$ rad/s, (c) time histories at $\omega = 1600$ rad/s, (d) Poincaré map at $\omega = 1600$ rad/s, (e) time histories at $\omega = 1700$ rad/s and (f) Poincaré map at $\omega = 1700$ rad/s.

Next, the effects of distribution position on the nonlinear dynamic behavior of rod fastening rotor system are discussed. As shown in Fig. 8, there are four work conditions for describing the distribution position of the rough contact layer, including '2-6' distribution, '4-4' distribution, '5-3' distribution and '6-2' distribution. In this paper, the '2-6' working condition is different from '6-2' working condition. The main reason is that the disc group is not installed in the middle part of the rotating shaft, and thus the system is not an asymmetric structure with respect to the mid-point of the shaft. Then the comparative analysis of rod fastening rotor system under the above four work conditions is conducted.



Fig. 8 Schematic diagram of distribution position of rough contact layer: (a) '2-6' distribution, (b) '4-4' distribution, (c) '5-3' distribution and (d) '6-2' distribution.

From Fig. 9, it appears clear that the first-order resonance frequency of the system is obviously affected by the distribution position of the rough contact layer. For example, the first-order resonance frequency of the system under '2-6' distribution is about $\omega = 1300$ rad/s. While keeping the structure parameters unchanged, the resonance phenomenon happens at $\omega = 1410$ rad/s under '6-2' distribution. Not only that, affected by the distribution state, the rotational speed range of complicated vibration response turns to be narrow, which means that the motion stability of the system is improved.



(c) '5-3' distribution



Fig. 9 Bifurcation diagram of rod fastening rotor system under different distribution positions of rough contact layer.

Different from Figs. 9(a) and (b), the system responses given in Figs. 9(c) and (d) are exhibited as the alternate forms of periodic motion, quasi-periodic motion and chaos at the interval of 1650–2000rad/s. Generally speaking, for rod fastening rotor system, the motion state and resonance characteristic are closely related to the distribution position of rough contact layer.

3.2 Effect of rough joint interface

This part focuses on the study of the contact characteristics of the rough joint interface by discussing the variation of first-order resonance frequency. For convenient analysis, the stiffness influence coefficient is defined as e_k , where its value is set to [1/3, 1/2, 2, 3].

Different from the integral rotor system, the inherent characteristics of the rod fastening rotor system are not only related to the rotating shaft and constraint boundary, but also affected by the contact state of joint interface between discs.



Fig. 10 Variation of first-order resonance frequency of the rod fastening rotor system: (a) $e_k = 1/2$, (b) $e_k = 1/3$, (c) $e_k = 2$ and (d) $e_k = 3$.

Through the forward sweep frequency analysis, the first-order resonance frequency of the rotor system with different stiffness influence coefficients is depicted in Fig. 10. The case of $e_k = 1/3$

means that the contact degree between two discs is relatively light and the contact stiffness of the rough contact layer is reduced. Therefore, the first-order resonance frequency of the system decreases from $\omega = 1340$ rad/s to $\omega = 1230$ rad/s, as shown in Fig. 10(a). When the stiffness influence coefficient is $e_k = 1/2$, the first-order resonance frequency is modified as $\omega = 1300$ rad/s (see Fig. 10(b)). On this basis, the natural characteristics of the rotor system are further investigated in the conditions of $e_k = 2$ and $e_k = 3$. It can be seen that the first-order resonance frequency could increase from $\omega = 1300$ rad/s to $\omega = 1360$ rad/s.

3.3 Effect of multi disc contacts

In previous work, for the rod fastening rotor system, the influence mechanism of a contact layer between two discs is mainly discussed. However, the contact analysis of multiple discs is rare in the existing research. Actually, the multi disc contacts may occur during the operation of rod fastening rotor. It is worth studying in order to improve the operation safety of the system. In the following work, the nonlinear characteristics of the rotor system affected by multi disc contacts will be discussed by means of bifurcation diagram.



(a) two contact layers



Fig. 11 Schematic diagram of multi disc contacts: (a) two contact layers and (b) three contact layers.

Fig. 11 shows the different contact conditions of multi disc contacts, including two contact layers and three contact layers. When the two contact layers are considered in the dynamic model, the bifurcation diagram of the rotor system is given in Fig. 12(a). By comparing Fig. 12(a) with Fig. 6(b), it is clear that the first-order resonance frequency decreases from $\omega = 1340$ rad/s to

 $\omega = 1320$ rad/s. The system enters the window of quasi-periodic motion in the rotational speed range [380,395] rad/s. Moreover, the nonlinear vibration zone is shifted to the left as a whole.



(a) two contact layers

(b) three contact layers

Fig. 12 Bifurcation diagram of rod fastening rotor system containing different contact layers: (a) two contact layers and (b) three contact layers.

When the rod fastening rotor system contains three contact layers, the bifurcation diagram is shown in Fig. 12(b). In this condition, the first-order resonance frequency is about $\omega = 1150$ rad/s. The complicated vibration region composed of periodic, quasi-periodic and chaotic motion will occur in the lower speed region.

Based on the above analysis, it can be concluded that multiple contact layers could weaken the global stiffness of the rotor system, reduce the natural frequency, and lead to nonlinear vibration of the system at low rotational speed, which is not conducive to the smooth operation of the system to a certain extent.

3.5 Effect of fixed-point rubbing

In the above work, the fixed-point rubbing considering coatings effects is temporarily ignored. When the amplitude of whirling motion of the rotor system is larger than the rotor-stator initial gap, the fixed-point rubbing happens synchronously. Thus, the rotor-stator rub-impact is taken into consideration, and the rub-impact response differences between integral rotor and rod fastening rotor at different speeds are under contrastive analysis. Fig. 13 shows the rub-impact state of integral rotor and rod fastening rotor at different rotational speeds. At the interval of [300, 580]rad/s, the rub-impact fault never occurs due to the smaller whirling motion. With the increase of rotational speed, the whirling amplitude of disc becomes larger than the rotor-stator initial gap and the fixed-point rubbing happens. When the rotational speed is smaller than the first-order resonance frequency, the existence of the rough contact layer can strengthen the rub-impact degree. However, as the rotational speed continues to increase, the more serious rub-impact occurs in the integral rotor system. Actually, these phenomena are closely related to the resonance characteristics of the rotor system, considering the effects of the contact layer in a disc group. Due to the disc-disc contact, the first-order critical speed of the rotor system tends to decrease, that is, the peak in the amplitude-frequency response of the system shifts to the left and the amplitude increases. Under this circumstance, the rod fastening rotor has the most serious rub-impact when the rotational speed is relatively low. A partial enlarged figure for describing the critical condition of 'no rub to rub' is given in Fig. 13(b). It proves that due to the rough contact layer, the rub-impact is more likely to happen at the lower rotational speed.





(b) partial enlarged figure

Fig. 13 Change law of fixed-point rubbing at different rotational speeds: (a) maximum impact force and (b) partial enlarged figure.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, a dynamic model of rod fastening rotor-bearing coupling system is established, in

which the contact mechanism of rough joint interface between any two discs is analytically described through the fractal theory. After that, the nonlinear dynamic characteristics of the rod fastening rotor system are analysed in terms of bifurcation diagram, time histories and Poincaré map. After that, the parameter analysis is carried out around the contact degree of joint interface, distribution position of contact layer and multi disc contacts. Finally, aiming at the fixed-point rubbing, the fault response characteristics of rod fastening rotor and integral rotor are analysed. According to the calculation results, the following conclusions can be obtained.

(1) Different from the integral rotor system, the resonance characteristics of rod fastening rotor system are affected not only by the structural design and support boundary, but also by the contact condition of the joint interface in the disc set. Due to the contact behavior of joint interface, the first-order resonance frequency decreases from $\omega = 1430$ rad/s to $\omega = 1340$ rad/s.

(2) The existence of contact layer could lead to the occurrence of complicated nonlinear vibration, and the rotational speed range of nonlinear vibration is closely related to the distribution position and amount of contact layer.

(3) The contact behaviour of the joint interface could promote the fixed-point rubbing to occur at a lower rotational speed, and aggravate the degree of rotor-stator rub in the range of [300, 1300]rad/s.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12172307), Key Laboratory of Vibration and Control of Aero-Propulsion System, Ministry of Education, Northeastern University (VCAME202103), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Southwest Jiaotong University (2682021ZTPY036).

References

[1] LIU, S.G., MA, Y.H., ZHANG, D.Y., and HONG, J. Studies on dynamic characteristics of the joint in the aero-engine rotor system. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 29, 120-136

(2012)

- [2] WANG, L., WAMG A., JIN M., HUANG Q., and YIN, Y. Nonlinear effects of induced unbalance in the rod fastening rotor-bearing system considering nonlinear contact. *Archive of Applied Mechanics*, 90(5), 917-943 (2020)
- [3] HARTWIGSEN, C.J., SONG, Y., MCFARLAND, D.M., BERGMAN, L.A., and VAKAKIS, A.F. Experimental study of non-linear effects in a typical shear lap joint configuration. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 277(1-2), 327-351 (2004)
- [4] HU, L., LIU, Y., ZHAO, L., and ZHOU, C. Nonlinear dynamic behaviors of circumferential rod fastening rotor under unbalanced pre-tightening force. *Archive of Applied Mechanics*, 86(9), 1621-1631 (2016)
- [5] QIN, Z,Y., HAN, Q,K., and CHU, F,L. Analytical model of bolted disk-drum joints and its application to dynamic analysis of jointed rotor. Archive Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part C Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science, 228(4), 646-663 (2014)
- [6] QIN, Z.Y., HAN, Q.K., and CHU, F.L. Bolt loosening at rotating joint interface and its influence on rotor dynamics. *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 59, 456-466 (2016)
- [7] QIN, Z.Y., YANG, Z.B., ZU, J., and CHU, F.L. Free vibration analysis of rotating cylindrical shells coupled with moderately thick annular plates. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 142-143, 127-139 (2018)
- [8] WU, X.L., JIAO, Y.H., CHEN, Z.B., and MA, W.S. Establishment of a contact stiffness matrix and its effect on the dynamic behavior of rod-fastening rotor bearing system. *Archive of Applied Mechanics*, 91, 3247-3271 (2021)
- [9] JALALI, H., HEDAYATI, A., and AHMADIAN, H. Modelling mechanical interfaces experiencing micro-slip/slap. *Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering*, 19(6), 751-764 (2011)

- [10]GREEWOOD, J.A., and WILLIAMSON, J.B.P. Contact nominally flat surfaces. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, 295(1442), 300-319 (1966)
- [11]POHRT, R., and POPOV, V.L. Normal contact stiffness of elastic solids with fractal rough surfaces. *Physical Review Letters*, 108(10), 104301 (2012)
- [12]CIAVARELLA, M., MUROLO, G., DEMELIO, G., and BARBER, J.R. Elastic contact stiffness and contact resistance for the Weierstrass profile. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 52(6), 1247-1265 (2004)
- [13]LIU, P., ZHAO, H., HUANG, K., and CHEN, Q. Research on normal stiffness of rough surface considering friction based on fractal theory. Applied Surface Science, 349(15), 43-48 (2015)
- [14] ZHONG, K., QIN, Z.Y., and CHU, F.L. Modeling of frictional stick-slip of contact interfaces considering normal fractal contact. Journal of Applied Mechanics, 89, 031003-1-12 (2022)
- [15] JIANG, S., ZHENG, Y., and ZHU, H. A contact stiffness model of machined plane joint based on fractal theory. *Journal of Tribology*, 132(1), 011401 (2010)
- [16]RIMPEL, A.M., and LEOPARD, M. Simple contact stiffness model validation for tie bolt rotor design with butt joints and pilot fits. *Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines & Power*, 142, 011014 (2020)
- [17]HEI, D., LU, Y., ZHANG, Y., LU, Z., GUPTA, P., and Müller N. Nonlinear dynamic behaviors of a rod fastening rotor supported by fixed-tilting pad journal bearings. *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 69, 129-150 (2014)
- [18]YU, J.J. On occurrence of reverse full annular rub. Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines & Power, 134(1), 012505-012505-8 (2011)
- [19] VLAJIC, N., CHAMPNEYS, A.R., and BALACHANDRAN, B. Nonlinear dynamics of a Jeffcott with torsional deformations and rotor-stator contact. *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, 92, 102-110 (2017)

[20] JIANG, J. Determination of the global responses characteristics of a piecewise smooth

dynamical system with contact. Nonlinear Dynamics, 57, 351-361 (2009)

- [21]YANG, Y., OUYANG, H.J., YANG, Y.R., CAO, D.Q., and WANG, K. Vibration analysis of a dual-rotor-bearing-double casing system with pedestal looseness and multi-stage turbine blade-casing rub. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 143, 106845 (2020)
- [22] YANG, Y.F., WU, Q.Y., WANG, Y.L., QIN, W.Y., and LU, K. Dynamic characteristics of cracked uncertain hollow-shaft. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 124, 36-48 (2019)
- [23] MAJUMDAR, A., and BHUSHAN, B. Role of fractal geometry in roughness characterization and contact mechanics of surfaces. *Journal of Tribology*, 112(2), 205-216 (1990)
- [24] WANG, S., and KOMVOPOULOS, K. A fractal theory of the interfacial temperature distribution in the slow sliding regime: Part I-elastic contact and heat transfer analysis. *Journal of Tribology*, 116(4), 812-822 (1994)
- [25]KROLIKOWSKI, and J., SZCZEPEK, J. Assessment of tangential and normal stiffness of contact between rough surfaces using ultrasonic method. *Wear*, 160(2), 253-258 (1993)
- [26] YANG, Y., CAO, D.Q., and WANG, D.Y. Investigation of dynamic characteristics of a rotor system with surface coatings. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 84, 469-484 (2017)