1 Comparison of different sampling methods to catch lymphatic filariasis vectors

2 in a Sudan savannah area of Mali

Yaya Ibrahim Coulibaly^{1,5,6*} Moussa Sangare^{1,7}, Housseini Dolo¹, Salif Seriba
Doumbia¹, Siaka Yamoussa Coulibaly¹, Ilo Dicko¹, Abdoul Fatao Diabate¹, Michel
Emmanuel Coulibaly¹, Lamine Soumaoro¹, Abdallah Amadou Diallo¹, Massitan
Dembele², Sekou Fantamady Traore¹, Michelle Stanton⁵, Benjamin Guibehi Koudou⁹,
Amy Deborah Klion³, Thomas B Nutman⁴, Louise Kelly-Hope⁵, Moses John
Bockarie^{5,8}

9

10 Authors' institutions

11 1. International Center of Excellence in Research (ICER-Mali), Filariasis Research

12 and Training Unit, Bamako, Mali;

13 2. National Lymphatic Filariasis Elimination Program, Bamako, Mali;

14 3. National Institutes of Health, Laboratory of Parasitic Diseases, Eosinophil15 Pathology Section, Bethesda, Maryland;

16 4. National Institutes of Health, Laboratory of Parasitic Diseases, Helminth

17 immunology Section, Bethesda, Maryland; World Health Organization, Vectors,

18 Environment and Society Research, Geneva, Switzerland;

19 5. University of Liverpool, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Centre for

- 20 Neglected Tropical Diseases, Liverpool, United Kingdom;
- 21 6. Dermatology Hospital of Bamako, Bamako, Mali.

22 7. Interdisciplinary School of Health Sciences | Faculty of Health Sciences, University

- of Ottawa, 75, av. Laurier Est, Ottawa ON K1N 6N5, Canada
- 24 8. School of Community Health Sciences, Njala University, Bo, Sierra Leone.

- 9. Swiss Centre for Scientific Research in Côte d'Ivoire (CSRS), Abidjan, Côte
 d'Ivoire.
- 27 Authors' email addresses
- 28 Yaya Ibrahim Coulibaly (<u>vicoulibaly@icermali.org</u>)
- 29 Moussa Sangare (<u>mbsangare@icermali.org</u>)
- 30 Housseini Dolo (<u>hdolo@icermali.org</u>)
- 31 Salif Seriba Doumbia (<u>salifdoumbia@icermali.org</u>)
- 32 Siaka Yamoussa Coulibaly (<u>yamoussa@icermali.org</u>)
- 33 Ilo Dicko (<u>ilo@icermali.org</u>)
- 34 Abdoul Fatao Diabate (<u>afatao@icermali.org</u>)
- 35 Michel Emmanuel Coulibaly (<u>michou@icermali.org</u>)
- 36 Lamine Soumaoro (<u>soumla@icermali.org</u>)
- 37 Abdallah Amadou Diallo (<u>abdallahamadoudiallo@icermali.org</u>)
- 38 Massitan Dembele (<u>masdembele@yahoo.fr</u>)
- 39 Sekou Fantamady Traore (<u>cheick@icermali.org</u>)
- 40 Michelle Stanton (<u>Michelle.Stanton@lstmed.ac.uk</u>)
- 41 <u>Benjamin G. Koudou (Benjamin.Koudou@lstmed.ac.uk)</u>
- 42 Amy D. Klion (<u>aklion@niaid.nih.gov</u>)
- 43 Thomas B. Nutman (<u>tnuman@niaid.nih.gov</u>)
- 44 Louise Kelly-Hope (lkhope@liverpool.ac.uk)
- 45 Moses John Bockarie (<u>Moses.Bockarie@lstmed.ac.uk</u>)
- 46 *Correspondence to: Yaya Ibrahim Coulibaly (<u>vicoulibaly@icermali.org</u>).
- 47
- 48
- 49

50 Abstract

51 Background

52 There is a need for better tools to monitor the transmission of lymphatic filariasis and
53 malaria in areas undergoing interventions to interrupt transmission. Therefore,

54 mosquito collection methods other than human landing catch (HLC) are needed. This

study aimed to compare the Ifakara tent trap type C (ITTC) and the Biogents sentinel

trap (BGST) to the HLC in areas with different vector densities.

57 Mosquitoes were collected in two villages in Mali from July to December in 2011

and 2012. The three methods were implemented at each site with one ITTC, one

59 BGST and one HLC unit that consisted of one room with two collectors - one indoor

and the other outdoor. The Anopheles collected in 2011 were individually dissected

61 while those from 2012 were screened in pools using RT-PCR to determine the

62 maximum infection prevalence likelihood (MIPL) for Wuchereria bancrofti and

63 *Plasmodium falciparum*. The dissection of the females also allowed to assess the

64 parity rates, as well its results.

65 Over the 2 years, the HLC method collected 1,019 *Anopheles*, yields that were 34-

and 1.5-fold higher than those with the BGST and ITTC, respectively. None of the

67 dissected Anopheles were infected. The RT-PCR results showed comparable MIPL

68 between HLC and ITTC for *Wuchereria bancrofti* with one infected pool from each

69 trap's yield (respectively 0.03% [0.0009% - 0.2%] and 0.04% [0.001% - 0.2%]). For

70 *Plasmodium falciparum*, no infected pool was recovered from BGST.

71 The ITTC is a good alternative to HLC for xenomonitoring of programme activities.

72 Keywords: Lymphatic filariasis, malaria, Vector collection methods, Anopheles

73 *gambiae*, Sudan savannah area, Mali.

75 Background

76 Lymphatic filariasis (LF) is an important public health problem in

tropical and subtropical areas worldwide due to its chronic manifestations, 77 78 elephantiasis and hydrocele¹. LF is transmitted in West Africa by mosquitoes of the genus Anopheles². Since 2000, the dual goals of the World Health Organization 79 (WHO) and Global Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis (GPELF) have 80 81 been to eliminate LF as a public health problem in endemic areas by stopping transmission primarily using mass drug administration (MDA) and to alleviate the 82 suffering of people already affected by the disease's chronic manifestations ³. One of 83 84 the main challenges of the GPELF has been the monitoring of transmission intensity during and after MDA. Although vector control and the use of xenomonitoring as a 85 monitoring tool hold promise as important components of post MDA surveillance in 86 87 the LF elimination process, xenomonitoring requires a safe and effective way of collecting mosquitoes at the community level that is representative of the vector 88 89 fauna⁴.

The efficiency of human-baited tent traps in comparison to human landing catch 90 (HLC) is well established for Anopheles gambiae sensu lato (An. gambiae s.l)⁵. LF 91 92 is unique because it is transmitted by anopheline and culicine mosquitoes including the genera Anopheles, Culex, Aedes and Mansonia. Anopheles mosquitoes are the 93 principal vectors in rural areas in Africa but the genus *Culex* (*Culex* spp.) play an 94 important role in LF transmission in urban communities in East Africa ⁶. Mansonia 95 has also been incriminated as a vector of LF in Guinea and Ghana. To date, HLC is 96 the most frequently used method for Anopheles collections in many endemic areas of 97 West Africa due in large part to the fact that it mimics the natural situation of 98 mosquitoes trying to bite humans. However, HLC raises ethical concerns, including 99

100 the possibility that the collectors can be bitten by infected mosquitoes 7,8 .

Additionally, HLC is labor intensive, and the mosquito yield is dependent on the collector's attractiveness to mosquitoes, ability and experience ^{7–9}. Thus, despite the fact that most of the existing mosquito data were generated using this method, its use is controversial and many ethics committees are reluctant to approve HLC for sampling mosquitoes.

To overcome these issues, alternative trapping methods have been explored with
regard to ease of use, operator independence, cost of implementation and safety.
Human-baited tent traps, like the ITTC (Ifakara tent trap type C), represent an
alternative collection method that, like HLC, allow fresh specimen collection for live
dissections and adequate storage for PCR or RT-PCR processing. ITTC has been
reported to have yields more similar to those of the HLC as compared to several

112 other methods $^{8,10-12}$.

113 Ideally, examination of vector abundance, distribution, species composition and

infection rate should be assessed prior to initiation and at the end of MDA. Several

115 LF endemic countries have stopped or are about to stop MDA in many

116 implementation units. Given the increasing evidence for the importance of the

117 association between vector competence and outcome of interventions against LF,

118 effective vector sampling is becoming increasingly important ¹³. Most studies

119 conducted on ITTC have evaluated the performance of the traps in sampling malaria

transmitting mosquito populations such as An. gambiae s.l $^{14-17}$. None of the previous

121 studies have compared the collected *Anopheles* infection rates for *Wuchereria*

122 bancrofti (W. bancrofti) and Plasmodium falciparum (P. falciparum), two co-

123 endemic parasites transmitted by the same vectors in some settings. To ascertain the

124 good reported correlation between ITTC yields and that of HLC in West African

- settings, the current study was initiated to evaluate the ITTC and BGST as
- alternative mosquito sampling methods to replace the HLC in two villages in Mali
- 127 that have different mosquito densities.

128 Methods

129 Study site identification and characteristics

130 Kolondieba district has an estimated population of 216.260 inhabitants distributed 131 over 205 villages. The study was conducted in the villages of Bougoula (1,906 inhabitants) (longitude 11.045155758 and latitude -6.982963281) and Boundioba 132 (3,201 inhabitants) (longitude 11.04218429 and latitude -6.984337661) that are 133 134 located ~ 15 km apart, 276 km at the south of Bamako in the district of Kolondieba, region of Sikasso. This area has the highest annual rainfall in the country, ranging 135 from 1,200 to 1,500 mm, with a rainy season that extends from July to December. 136 137 Subsistence agriculture is the main occupation followed by panning for gold and wood harvesting from the forests. The district had already received five consecutive 138 139 annual MDA rounds with ~80% annual epidemiological coverage rate when the present study was initiated in 2011. The endemicity levels of the study villages 140 141 before MDA were unknown, although the neighboring sentinel site representing both 142 villages was highly endemic before MDA initiation with a W. bancrofti antigen prevalence rate of 60% in 2000¹⁸. The two study villages share several important 143 characteristics (climate, vegetation and housing style, ethnic group composition, and 144 145 socio-cultural and health care seeking behaviors), despite the existence of a permanent backwater in Boundioba (but not Bougoula) that is an important potential 146 larval habitat for mosquitoes. 147

148 Study Design

A longitudinal study with monthly mosquito collections was conducted from July to
December in 2011 and 2012 in the two study villages. Mosquito collections were
conducted three times a month in each study village in 2011 and six times a month in
2012 (in order to increase the number of collected mosquitoes). A total of 3 traps of
each kind were used simultaneously per collection round.

154 Vector collection methods

Local teams were trained to set up the traps and collect the mosquitoes. The three collection tools were:

(i) The all-night HLC method - Mosquitoes attempting to feed were captured by
adults seated on benches, with their feet and legs bared to the knee, using mechanical
mouth aspirators type *colluzzi* and handheld battery-operated lamps. One collector
operated indoors and the other outdoors at each collection point. The two collectors
operated from 6 p.m to midnight before being replaced by two others who operated
from midnight to 6 a.m.

(ii) The BGST- This is a simple suction trap constructed to use upward-directed 163 air currents as well as visual cues to attract mosquitoes. The trap was used with a 164 165 dispenser system (BG-Lure) that releases artificial human skin outdoors and needs no CO2¹⁹. Biogents sentinel traps (BGST) have been included in this study because 166 of their efficiency in sampling culicine mosquitoes. The BGST is essentially a 167 collapsible, white fabric container with an opening covered by white gauze, a 168 169 diameter of 36 cm (14 inches), a height of 40 cm (1.3 feet), and a fan that sucks air into the trap through a black catch pipe. The airflow draws approaching mosquitoes 170 into a catch bag. 171

172 (iii) The ITTC – This trap does not require electricity or moving parts and has
173 been found to be able to collect well correlated numbers of *Anopheles* with the HLC

yields in rural and urban settings in Tanzania (5,8). An attractant, a villager, slept
under each ITTC and was responsible for collecting the trapped mosquitoes using a
mechanical mouth aspirator every two hours.

177 Logistics

Vectors were collected during the last two weeks of each collection month (from 178 July to December). To control for random effects, the three trapping methods were 179 180 implemented simultaneously at each of the three collection Zones, first in one village for three consecutive days and then in the other village for another three days in 2011 181 182 and every other day in each village in 2012. All of the collections occurred between 183 6:00 pm and 6:00 am at each of the three collection sites in the two villages. These 184 sites were selected according to the village environmental characteristics and separated from each other by ≥ 200 m. Overall, the three areas we named 'Zones' 185 186 were at the Northern side (Zone A), at the middle (Zone B) and at the Southern side 187 of the village (Zone C). Zone A was close to the main breeding site in the village, Zone B was close to the original settlement area corresponding to the middle of the 188 village, and Zone C was located close to the recently occupied area of the village. In 189 190 each area, the locations of the three sampling methods were separated by 191 approximately 100 m due to the relatively small size of the villages. Collections were 192 set monthly (12 days collection in total) from July to December. During the same month, mosquito collection methods and location were fixed. The following month, 193 194 the traps and methods were rotated. The collectors worked in two teams (a first team working from 6:00 p.m. to midnight and a second team from midnight to 6:00 a.m.) 195 196 for both the HLC and the ITTC. Only An. gambiae s.l were further processed because the other species were of little epidemiological importance (do not transmit 197 disease or were present in very low numbers). Collected mosquitoes were stored in 198

labelled screw top tubes containing a solution of 70% ethanol in 2011 or 199

200 RNALater® solution in 2012 after sorting according to morphologically identifiable

species, collection site and method. Whereas the specimens from 2012 were freshly 201

202 stored and frozen the next day, those from 2011 were freshly dissected for parity rate

and W. bancrofti infection status in the field before preservation of the carcass in 203

204 alcohol and storage at room temperature thereafter.

205 **Processing of specimens**

206 Infection status and species identity were determined for the 2011 specimens stored

in alcohol using the hemalum staining technique ²⁰. They were later dissected to look 207

- 208 for W. bancrofti larval stages. In 2012, the mosquitoes were sorted and directly
- stored in RNA Later® solution for subsequent screening using PCR in the laboratory 209 21
- 210

211 Given the fact that no infective mosquito was recovered in 2011 using the dissection,

the 2012 collected mosquitoes tested using PCR provided an opportunity to test the 212

213 same mosquito pools for the co-endemic malaria parasite P. falciparum. This not

only provided an independent measure of the quality of the DNA extraction but 214

215 allowed comparison of the yields of the three collection methods as related to the

216 infection rate for one or both of these co-endemic parasites.

217 Parity rates and survival estimation

Mosquitoes to be dissected were kept fresh (about 100 per day per collection 218

219 method) or preserved in 70% ethanol for future staining for W. bancrofti larval stage

identification using Mayer's acid hemalum technique before individually dissection 220

- under a dissecting microscope ²⁰. Female Anopheles were individually placed on a 221
- slide into a drop of saline and dissected using a dissecting needle to remove the 222
- ovaries from the abdomen. A stereomicroscope (X40) was used to observe the 223

tracheole structure. Parity was determined by checking tracheole structure according
to the method described by Detinova and Gillies²².

Daily survival rates were calculated by Davidson's method based on the parity at the
power of one divided by the duration of the gonotrophic cycle in days and were
equal to the cubic root of the parity rate because the gonotrophic cycle occupies
three days²³. We used the gonotrophic cycle duration of three days observed in our
insectary at the Faculty of Medicine of Bamako for *Anopheles* females collected in
the study villages and reared for other experimental purposes (unpublished data).

232 Fresh specimen and dissection techniques

Hemalum staining is a standardized mosquito staining procedure that involves a

series of 30 min immersions of the mosquitoes in 70%, 55% and 25% alcohol

solutions²⁴. Tubes containing approximately 20 mosquitoes are then stained in

hemalum (Mayer's) stain (VWR, West Chester, PA) following a modification of

Nelson (1958) for seven days before immersion in distilled water for three days²⁴.

238 The stained mosquitoes were then stored in glycerol before dissection to identify

239 larvae of *W. bancrofti*. The dissection was done using a dissecting microscope by

240 macerating the head, thorax and abdomen of the individual mosquito on a slide and

241 covering it with a coverslip for observation under a stereomicroscope 25 .

242 For W. bancrofti larval stage recovery from the female Anopheles specimens

collected in 2011, the head, thorax and abdomen were examined separately in three

drops of saline water using a stereomicroscope at X 200. The larval stages were

identified according to the criteria of Nelson ²⁶. The mosquitoes collected in 2012

were stored in pools of one to 20 females in RNA later® solution ²¹ before

247 processing using PCR for parasite DNA identification as previously described by

Rao et al in 2014 ²⁷. The pooling was done per village, month, collection method and

249 mosquito morphology (considering An. gambiae s.l and An. funestus species).

250 Ethics statement

A collective village-wide oral consent was obtained from village elders, and all
mosquito collectors signed an individual written consent. The study protocol and
consent forms were approved by both the IRB of the Liverpool School of Tropical
Medicine (LSTM) (reference#10.88RS) and that of the Malian National Institute of
Research in Public Health, Bamako, Mali (reference #9/11/CE-INRSP).

256 Data management and analysis

In the field, mosquito identification and dissection results were noted on specific data
recording sheets. The recorded data were later entered into Microsoft Access and
analysed using SPSS version 14 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and GraphPad Prism

software version 5 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). The collection methods were

261 compared in terms of correlation between collection methods' mosquito yields using

262 Spearman correlation test and the number of mosquitoes collected per night per trap

263 over the study period. The parity rates and overall proportions of *An. gambiae*

complex members were compared using their 95% confidence intervals.

A generalized linear mixed model, also called the random effects model ^{28,29}, was

used to assess the relative collection rates of the different collection methods as

267 compared to the HLC 30,31 . Village and trap type were included as fixed effects in the

268 model, and collection date was included as a random effect. A negative binomial

269 model was fitted as there was evidence of overdispersion in the data. The confidence

270 level was set at 95% for all statistical tests. For the vector infection level assessment,

the PoolScreen software version 2 was used to determine the maximum infection

prevalence likelihood (MIPL) and its 95% confidence interval ³².

273

274 **Results**

275 Characteristics of the collected mosquitoes

- Based on the yields of individual collection rounds in 2011, *Culex* spp. had a
- significantly higher vector density, expressed in mean number of mosquitoes per
- 278 person per night, (13 with 95% CI [5.24-20.85]) than An. gambiae s.l (2 with 95% CI
- [0.82-2.99]) in Boundioba. In Bougoula, a different scenario was observed with
- comparable mean densities for the two species with 8 [5.05-10.6] versus 11 [5.89-
- 16.73], respectively for *Culex* spp. and *An. gambiae s.l.*
- 282 The percentage of *An. gambiae s.l.* in the total collected mosquitoes varied
- significantly by capture method. In 2011, An. gambiae complex mosquitoes
- represented 58.3% [55.92-60.55] of the total collected by HLC followed by 40%
- 285 [37.75-42.35] by ITTC and only 1.7% [1.18-2.41] by BGST. The same trend was
- observed in 2012 with 54.5% [53.40-56.61], 44.9% [43.82-46.03] and 0.6% [0.42-0.]
- of the *Anopheles* captured by the HLC, the ITTC and the BGST, respectively.
- 288 Overall, for the two villages combined, the BGST collected more *Culex* spp. each
- year than the two other methods, while HLC collected more An. gambiae s.l. than
- 290 ITTC and BGST each year (Table 1).

291 Comparison of the Mosquito Collection Traps' yields

- 292 There was a strong and significant positive correlation between the HLC and ITTC
- 293 yields of *An. gambiae s.l.* in both villages and over the two collection years. The
- correlation coefficients ranged from 0.66 to 0.84 and all p values were less than
- 295 0.007 (Table 2). The BGST yields were never significantly correlated with those of
- the HLC in the two villages over the two collection years with all correlation
- 297 coefficients less than or equal to 0.28 (Table 2). The entire collected *Anopheles* using

the three collection methods in 2011 were dissected, and none was found infected(data not shown).

300	In 2011, Anopheles parity and daily survival rates were comparable between
301	mosquitoes collected by HLC and those collected using the other methods in
302	Bougoula and Boundioba, as evidenced by overlapping 95% confidence intervals.
303	The entire collected mosquitoes were characterized by high parity (from 79.4 % to
304	94.4 %) and survival rates (from 92% to 98%) (Table 3).
305	A significant difference was observed in the collection rates for An. gambiae s.l
306	between villages (60% less for the village of Boundioba) and between the collection
307	methods (29% and 98% less for the ITTC and BGST, respectively, as compared to
308	the HLC) (Table 4).
309	Mosquito collection traps' yields infection rates
310	The Anopheles pools collected using BGST were not found to be infected. No W.
311	bancrofti infected pool was recovered in the village of Boundioba among the 49, 47
312	and 5 pools tested from the HLC, ITTC and BGST, respectively (data not shown).

- As shown in table 5, *P. falciparum* was found in several pools from each study
- village in 2012 with comparable overall MIPL of 2% [95%CI (1.6% 2.4%)] and
- 1.3% [95%CI (0.7% 2.1%)] respectively in Bougoula and Boundioba. In
- Bougoula, a significantly higher MIPL was observed for the HLC collected
- Anopheles 3% [95%CI (2.3% 3.8%)] as compared to that for ITTC, which was 1%
- 318 [95%CI (0.9%-1.4%)]. In Boundioba, the HLC reported the highest MIPL but the
- three methods showed comparable 95% confidence intervals for *P. falciparum* MIPL
- 320 (Table 5).
- 321

322 **Discussion**

Vector species composition varied between the two villages. An. gambiae s.l were 323 324 more frequent in the village of Bougoula in both collection years (Table 1), at each assessment point and using all three collections methods as previously shown ^{33–35} 325 (data not shown). Such a dramatic difference in mosquito density between two 326 villages separated by only 17 km in the same region could be due to several factors, 327 including differences in the villages' ecological conditions, breeding site dispersal 328 and features, housing characteristics, and the frequency and abundance of rain ^{36,37}. 329 The level of education, behaviors and occupations (type of crops and agricultural 330 methods used) of the population can also impact vector density, although these 331 332 characteristics are very likely to be similar between the populations of the two study 333 villages. Regardless of the reason for the observed differences in vector density, this type of variability requires further study as it may impact both the success of MDA 334 335 and the implementation of post-MDA surveillance strategies in villages that are part of the same LF evaluation unit. 336

Over the two years of the study, BGST yields were composed of *Culex* spp. more 337 338 frequently than those of the other two collection methods. Given the fact that *Culex* spp. are not a vector of LF in West Africa, they are unimportant in the assessment of 339 340 LF transmission. Nonetheless, given the high number of *Culex* spp. collected, even if they do not transmit LF, they may constitute a useful source for monitoring vector-341 human contact, especially in areas where few Anopheles species exist (urban areas of 342 343 most endemic African countries) and where several rounds of MDA have lowered both the LF infection and microfilaraemia rates. Finding *Culex* spp. infected with 344 any stage of W. bancrofti DNA may presage an increase or re-emergence of LF 345 transmission in areas where MDA has already reduced or stopped LF transmission ³⁸. 346

347 Collection methods' comparison

The ability to follow the impact of entomological interventions or the re-emergence 348 349 of an infection previously interrupted or dramatically reduced requires repeated assessments over a period of time. However, since vector density has important 350 351 implications with respect to the determination of most transmission parameters, the 352 use of different mosquito collection methods can make such comparisons difficult. This especially applies to collection methods that do not collect mosquitoes trying to 353 354 bite humans. Of the two trapping methods tested, the ITTC showed better correlation with the HLC than the BGST with respect to total yields of An. gambiae s.l. over the 355 356 transmission season. In fact, the BGST collected predominantly Culex spp., which do 357 not transmit LF or malaria in the study region.

Both the HLC and ITTC collected relatively old mosquitoes, which are more likely to have participated in disease transmission, with a survival rate >92% and a parity rate at least 79.4%. The high parity and survival rates of mosquitoes captured with these two methods indicate the suitability of the collected fauna for transmission assessment ^{39,40}.

363 In terms of infected mosquito identification, HLC showed a higher MIPL for *P*.

364 *falciparum* in Bougoula as compared to the ITTC. For *W. bancrofti* and in the village

365 of Boundioba, the collection methods were still comparable with respect to the MIPL

overlapping 95% CI. With the pool screening, there seems to be an underestimation

367 of *P. falciparum* when infection prevalence as well as vector densities are high. Such

368 a scenario is likely to be more common for malaria than LF due to the high impact of

the MDA on LF endemicity levels in the study areas.

370 Overall, in each village, the three methods had comparable MIPL except in

Bougoula where the HLC had significantly higher MIPL than ITTC. This may be

due to the sample sizes that certainly may need to be higher to achieve statistical 372 373 significance for the observed phenomenon especially in the village of Boundioba. In most endemic areas, LF elimination programs have been ongoing for several years 374 and there is an increased need for surveillance prior to, during and after stopping 375 376 MDA. This assessment is important in Anopheles mosquitoe transmission areas where MDA impact seems low. Although the ideal package for surveillance has not 377 378 yet been determined, it will likely be a combination of blood and vector surveillance on a regular basis with sustained community participation and ideally embedded into 379 380 the routine health care activities. The identification of the most cost-effective, safe 381 and reliable vector surveillance method is, therefore, of high importance. Whereas 382 the yield of Anopheles using HLC was twice that of the ITTC over the two years of the study, the ITTC uses one collector per collection point as compared to two for 383 384 the HLC- one indoor and the other outdoor. Additionally, the cost of operation is 385 higher for the HLC because of the need for training and expertise, especially in the 386 setting of a community monitoring system that would be part of an integrated vector management system in endemic areas ⁴¹. Despite the initial cost of the tents, which 387 388 can pose a challenge, the ease of implementation, the possibility of using another 389 type of bait in the tent (natural or artificial) (12,32), the lack of operator impact on 390 the efficiency of the method, the capacity to collect both *Culex* spp. and *An. gambiae* s.l. for xenomonitoring purposes, and the absence of ethical issues, are also 391 392 important factors in favor of the ITTC as compared to the HLC⁴¹. Despite these advantages, ITTC has some limitations as an entomological and 393 epidemiological surveillance tool because of its limited sensitivity, particularly in 394 395 high mosquito density settings. This problem is exacerbated when rain can enter the trap when it is set up during the rainy season. In addition, the bulky nature of the trap 396

397 makes it impractical for indoor use and thus unsuitable for studying indoor biting

398 mosquitoes. The bulkiness of the trap also poses particular problems in densely

399 populated informal settlements in urban areas. The materials making up the trap

400 make it too heavy and difficult to move between sampling sites. Lighter materials

401 can be used to overcome this problem 14,44 .

402 Conclusion

Our data suggest that ITTC appears to be a good alternative to HLC. Further studies 403 404 in different endemicity settings are needed. Collection of An. gambiae s.l. using the ITTC provides numbers of specimens that are well correlated with those from the 405 HLC, independent of the vector density. Similarly, the infection rates, as observed 406 for malaria parasites, were comparable for the yields of these two mosquito 407 collection methods. Consequently, ITTC provides an ethically acceptable alternative 408 to HLC for use in monitoring mosquito vectors as part of entomological surveillance 409 during and following interventions targeting LF or malaria elimination such as MDA 410 411 and seasonal malaria chemoprevention. The bulkiness of the ITTC remains an issue 412 that could be addressed by using different materials and comparing the new design to the HLC. 413

414 **References**

World Health Organization, 2020. Weekly Epidemiological Record, 23 October 2020. : 509–524

417 2. De Souza DK, Koudou B, Kelly-Hope LA, Wilson MD, Bockarie MJ, Boakye
418 DA, 2012. Diversity and transmission competence in lymphatic filariasis
419 vectors in West Africa, and the implications for accelerated elimination of
420 Anopheles-transmitted filariasis. *Parasit Vectors 5*: 259

421 3. Ramaiah KD, Ottesen EA, 2014. Progress and impact of 13 years of the global

- 422 programme to eliminate lymphatic filariasis on reducing the burden of filarial
 423 disease. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 8: e3319
- 424 4. Pedersen EM, Stolk WA, Laney SJ, Michael E, 2009. The role of monitoring
 425 mosquito infection in the Global Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis.
 426 *Trends Parasitol 25*: 319–327
- Govella NJ, Chaki PP, Mpangile JM, Killeen GF, 2011. Monitoring mosquitoes
 in urban Dar es Salaam: evaluation of resting boxes, window exit traps, CDC
 light traps, Ifakara tent traps and human landing catches. *Parasit Vectors 4*: 40
- 430 6. Ughasi J, Bekard HE, Coulibaly M, Adabie-Gomez D, Gyapong J, Appawu M,
 431 Wilson MD, Boakye DA, 2012. Mansonia africana and Mansonia uniformis are
 432 vectors in the transmission of Wuchereria bancrofti lymphatic filariasis in
 433 Ghana. *Parasit Vectors 5*: 89
- 434 7. Sikaala CH, Killeen GF, Chanda J, Chinula D, Miller JM, Russell TL, Seyoum
 435 A, 2013. Evaluation of alternative mosquito sampling methods for malaria
 436 vectors in Lowland South East Zambia. *Parasit Vectors 6*: 91
- 437 8. Govella NJ, Moore JD, Killeen GF, 2010. An exposure-free tool for monitoring
 438 adult malaria mosquito populations. *Am J Trop Med Hyg 83*: 596–600
- 439 9. Casulli A, 2021. New global targets for NTDs in the WHO roadmap 2021–
 440 2030. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis 15*: e0009373
- Wong J, Bayoh N, Olang G, Killeen GF, Hamel MJ, Vulule JM, Gimnig JE,
 2013. Standardizing operational vector sampling techniques for measuring
- 443 malaria transmission intensity: Evaluation of six mosquito collection methods
 444 in western Kenya. *Malar J 12*: 143
- Krajacich BJ, Slade JR, Mulligan RF, LaBrecque B, Alout H, Grubaugh ND,
 Meyers JI, Fakoli LS, Bolay FK, Brackney DE, Burton TA, Seaman JA, Diclaro

447	JW, Dabiré RK, Foy BD, 2015. Sampling host-seeking anthropophilic mosquite
448	vectors in west Africa: comparisons of an active human-baited tent-trap against
449	gold standard methods. Am J Trop Med Hyg 92: 415–21

- Batista EPA, Ngowo H, Opiyo M, Shubis GK, Meza FC, Siria DJ, Eiras AE,
 Okumu FO, 2018. Field evaluation of the BG-Malaria trap for monitoring
 malaria vectors in rural Tanzanian villages. *PLoS One 13*: e0205358
- Gambhir M, Bockarie M, Tisch D, Kazura J, Remais J, Spear R, Michael E,
 2010. Geographic and ecologic heterogeneity in elimination thresholds for the
 major vector-borne helminthic disease, lymphatic filariasis. *BMC Biol 8*: 22
- 456 14. Govella NJ, Chaki PP, Geissbuhler Y, Kannady K, Okumu F, Charlwood JD,
 457 Anderson RA, Killeen GF, 2009. A new tent trap for sampling exophagic and
 458 endophagic members of the Anopheles gambiae complex. *Malar J* 8: 157
- 459 15. Govella NJ, Moore JD, Killeen GF, 2010. An exposure-free tool for monitoring
 460 adult malaria mosquito populations. *Am J Trop Med Hyg 83*: 596–600
- 461 16. Govella NJ, Chaki PP, Mpangile JM, Killeen GF, 2011. Monitoring mosquitoes
 462 in urban Dar es Salaam: Evaluation of resting boxes, window exit traps, CDC
 463 light traps, Ifakara tent traps and human landing catches. *Parasites Vectors*
- **464** *2011 41 4*: 1–12
- 17. Chaki PP, Mlacha Y, Msellemu D, Muhili A, Malishee AD, Mtema ZJ, Kiware
 SS, Zhou Y, Lobo NF, Russell TL, Dongus S, Govella NJ, Killeen GF, 2012.
 An affordable, quality-assured community-based system for high-resolution
 entomological surveillance of vector mosquitoes that reflects human malaria
 infection risk patterns. *Malar J 11*: 172
- 470 18. Dembélé M, Bamani S, Dembélé R, Traoré MO, Goita S, Traoré MN, Sidibe
 471 AK, Sam L, Tuinsma M, Toubali E, Macarthur C, Baker SK, Zhang Y, 2012.

- Implementing preventive chemotherapy through an integrated National
 Neglected Tropical Disease Control Program in Mali. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis 6*:
 e1574
- 475 19. Krockel U, Rose A, Eiras AE, Geier M, 2006. New tools for surveillance of
 476 adult yellow fever mosquitoes: comparison of trap catches with human landing
 477 rates in an urban environment. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc 22*: 229–38
- Laurence BR, Pester FRN, 1961. The behaviour and development of Brugei
 patei (Buckley, Nelson and Heisch, 1958) in a mosquito host, Mansonia
 uniformis (Theobald). *J Helminthol 35*: 285–300
- Laney SJ, Ramzy RMR, Helmy HH, Farid HA, Ashour AA, Weil GJ, Williams
 SA, 2010. Detection of Wuchereria bancrofti L3 larvae in mosquitoes: a reverse
- transcriptase PCR assay evaluating infection and infectivity. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis 4*: e602
- 485 22. Detinova TS, Gillies MT, 1964. Observations on the determination of the age
 486 composition and epidemiological importance of populations of anopheles
 487 gambiae giles and anopheles funestus giles in Tanganyika. *Bull World Health*488 *Organ 30*: 23–28
- 23. Draper CC, Davidson G, 1953. A new method of estimating the survival-rate of
 anopheline mosquitoes in nature. *Nature 172*: 503
- 491 24. Nelson GS, 1958. Staining of filarial larvae in insects before dissection. *Bull*492 *World Health Organ 19*: 204
- 493 25. Service MW, Service MW, 1993. Sampling the Adult Resting Population.
 494 *Mosquito Ecology*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 210–290
- 495 26. Nelson GS, 1959. The identification of infective filarial larvae in mosquitoes:
 496 with a note on the species found in "wild" mosquitoes on the Kenya coast. J

- 497 *Helminthol 33*: 233–56
- 498 27. Rao RU, Nagodavithana KC, Samarasekera SD, Wijegunawardana AD,
 499 Premakumara WDY, Perera SN, Settinayake S, Miller JP, Weil GJ, 2014. A
 500 Comprehensive Assessment of Lymphatic Filariasis in Sri Lanka Six Years
- after Cessation of Mass Drug Administration. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 8: e3281
- 502 28. Boussari O, Moiroux N, Iwaz J, Djènontin A, Bio-Bangana S, Corbel V, Fonton
 503 N, Ecochard RR, 2012. Use of a Mixture Statistical Model in Studying Malaria
 504 Vectors Density. *PLoS One 7*: e50452
- 505 29. Breslow N, Leroux B, Platt R, 1998. Approximate hierarchical modelling of
 506 discrete data in epidemiology. *Stat Methods Med Res* 7: 49–62
- 30. Bates DM, DebRoy S, 2004. Linear mixed models and penalized least squares. *J Multivar Anal 91*: 1–17
- Meyers JI, Pathikonda S, Popkin-Hall ZR, Medeiros MC, Fuseini G, Matias A,
 Garcia G, Overgaard HJ, Kulkarni V, Reddy VP, Schwabe C, Lines J,
 Kleinschmidt I, Slotman MA, 2016. Increasing outdoor host-seeking in
 Anopheles gambiae over 6 years of vector control on Bioko Island. *Malar J 15*:
 239
- 514 32. Katholi CR, Unnasch TR, 2006. Important experimental parameters for
 515 determining infection rates in arthropod vectors using pool screening
 516 approaches. *Am J Trop Med Hyg 74*: 779–85
- Salar Sal

- 522 34. Yaya I. Coulibaly, Salif S. Doumbia, Lamine Soumaoro, Ilo Dicko,
 523 MassitanDembele, Sekou F. Traore, Louise Kelly-Hope MJB, 2013.
 524 Comparison of the ifakara tent trap and the human landing catch for mosquito
 525 collection in a sudan savannah area of Mali. *Abstr number 400, 62th Annu Meet*526 *Am Soc Trop Med Hyg*
- 35. Yaya Ibrahim Coulibaly, Salif Seriba Doumbia, Ilo Dicko, Lamine Soumaoro,
 Massitan Dembele, Sekou Fantamady Traore, Joseph Kubofick, Amy Klion,
 Louise Kelly-Hope TBN and MJB, 2014. Lymphatic filariasis elimination:
 assessment of two villages with different endemicity levels in a previously
 highly endemic region (Sikasso) of Mali. *Abstr number 1696, 63th Annu Meet Am Soc Trop Med Hyg*
- 36. Animut A, Balkew M, Lindtjørn B, 2013. Impact of housing condition on
 indoor-biting and indoor-resting Anopheles arabiensis density in a highland
 area, central Ethiopia. *Malar J 12*: 393
- Munhenga G, Brooke BD, Spillings B, Essop L, Hunt RH, Midzi S, Govender
 D, Braack L, Koekemoer LL, 2014. Field study site selection, species
 abundance and monthly distribution of anopheline mosquitoes in the northern
 Kruger National Park, South Africa. *Malar J 13*: 27
- S40 38. Chadee DD, Williams SA, Ottesen EA, 2002. Xenomonitoring of Culex
 quinquefasciatus mosquitoes as a guide for detecting the presence or absence of
 lymphatic filariasis: a preliminary protocol for mosquito sampling. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol 96*: S47–S53
- Jensen T, Dritz DA, Fritz GN, Washino RK, Reeves WC, 1998. Lake Vera
 revisited: Parity and survival rates of Anopheles punctipennis at the site of a
 malaria outbreak in the Sierra Nevada foothills of California. *Am J Trop Med*

547 *Hyg* 59: 591–594

- 40. Lindsay SW, Wilkins HA, Zieler HA, Daly RJ, Petrarca V, Byass P, 1991.
 Ability of Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes to transmit malaria during the dry
 and wet seasons in an area of irrigated rice cultivation in The Gambia. *J Trop Med Hyg 94*: 313–24
- 552 41. Sikaala CH, Chinula D, Chanda J, Hamainza B, Mwenda M, Mukali I,
 553 Kamuliwo M, Lobo NF, Seyoum A, Killeen GF, 2014. A cost-effective,
 554 community-based, mosquito-trapping scheme that captures spatial and
 555 temporal heterogeneities of malaria transmission in rural Zambia. *Malar J 13*:
 556 225
- Jawara M, Smallegange RC, Jeffries D, Nwakanma DC, Awolola TS, Knols
 BGJ, Takken W, Conway DJ, 2009. Optimizing odor-baited trap methods for
 collecting mosquitoes during the malaria season in The Gambia. *PLoS One 4*:
 e8167
- 43. Mukabana WR, Mweresa CK, Otieno B, Omusula P, Smallegange RC, van
 Loon JJA, Takken W, 2012. A novel synthetic odorant blend for trapping of
 malaria and other African mosquito species. *J Chem Ecol 38*: 235–44
- 44. Chaki PP, Mlacha Y, Msellemu D, Muhili A, Malishee AD, Mtema ZJ, Kiware
 SS, Zhou Y, Lobo NF, Russell TL, Dongus S, Govella NJ, Killeen GF, 2012.
 An affordable, quality-assured community-based system for high-resolution
 entomological surveillance of vector mosquitoes that reflects human malaria
 infection risk patterns. *Malar J 11:172*.
- 569