

Gene Expression Profiles of the Aging Rat Hippocampus Imply Altered Immunoglobulin Dynamics

Panagiotis Giannos^{1,2*} and Konstantinos Prokopidis^{2,3}

¹ Department of Life Sciences, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom, ² Society of Meta-Research and Biomedical Innovation, London, United Kingdom, ³ Department of Musculoskeletal Biology, Institute of Life Course and Medical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

Aging is a process that leads to the deterioration in physiological functioning of the brain. Prior research has proposed that hippocampal aging is accompanied by genetic alterations in neural, synaptic, and immune functions. Nevertheless, interactome-based interrogations of gene alterations in hippocampal aging, remain scarce. Our study integrated gene expression profiles of the hippocampus from young and aged rats and functionally classified network-mapped genes based on their interactome. Hippocampal differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between young (5-8 months) and aged (21-26 months) male rats (Rattus norvegicus) were retrieved from five publicly available datasets (GSE14505, GSE20219, GSE14723, GSE14724, and GSE14725; 38 young and 29 aged samples). Encoded hippocampal proteins of age-related DEGs and their interactome were predicted. Clustered network DEGs were identified and the highestranked was functionally annotated. A single cluster of 19 age-related hippocampal DEGs was revealed, which was linked with immune response (biological process, P = 1.71E-17), immunoglobulin G binding (molecular function, P = 1.92E-08), and intrinsic component of plasma membrane (cellular component, P = 1.25E-06). Our findings revealed dysregulated hippocampal immunoglobulin dynamics in the aging rat brain. Whether a consequence of neurovascular perturbations and dysregulated bloodbrain barrier permeability, the role of hippocampal immunoregulation in the pathobiology of aging warrants further investigation.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Francesco Napolitano, University of Sannio, Italy

Reviewed by:

Ashutosh Kumar, All India Institute of Medical Sciences Patna, India Binglin Zhu, University at Buffalo, United States

> *Correspondence: Panagiotis Giannos

panagiotis.giannos19@imperial.ac.uk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neurogenomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neuroscience

Received: 08 April 2022 **Accepted:** 03 May 2022 **Published:** 25 May 2022

Citation:

Giannos P and Prokopidis K (2022) Gene Expression Profiles of the Aging Rat Hippocampus Imply Altered Immunoglobulin Dynamics. Front. Neurosci. 16:915907. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.915907 Keywords: hippocampus, aging, rat brain, differentially expressed genes, gene expression, immunoglobulins, blood-brain barrier

INTRODUCTION

Aging is a ubiquitous yet inevitable biological phenomenon that drives the transient deterioration in physiological functioning of the brain. Brain aging is associated with cognitive decline including memory and executive function, perturbations which often correlate with age-driven structural alterations that are accompanied by neuronal loss and synaptic dysfunction (López-Otín et al., 2013). Aging also constitutes the primary risk factor for many neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease, of which prevalence increases with advancing age (Kennedy et al., 2014).

The hippocampus is a brain locus fundamental to cognition, with a profound role in learning and memory consolidation (Jack et al., 1999). Prior research has documented that structural and functional changes in the hippocampus correlate with development and disease severity

1

of neurodegenerative disorders linked with cognitive decline. Previous studies have revealed that hippocampal aging is similar in humans and in animal models, with prominent genetic dysregulation in neural, synaptic and immune functions.

Neurobiological alterations seen in the aging brain can precede apparent histopathological degeneration of the brain, suggesting that analysis of brain gene expression may offer unique insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying age-related changes (Lu et al., 2004). At present, interactome mapping and network-based interrogations of gene-specific targets bearing functional significance in hippocampal aging, remain largely unexplored. In this study, we integrated publicly available gene expression profiles of the hippocampus from young and aged rats to identify putative alterations in hippocampal processes underlying aging.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of Microarray Data

We screened the literature through the Gene Expression Omnibus for relevant peer-reviewed datasets based on organism type (*Rattus norvegicus*), expression profiling (microarray), sample type (brain hippocampal tissue), and condition (aging), using the search terms "aged" or "aging" or "old" or "young" and "brain" or "hippocampus." No restrictions based on language and geographic origin were used in our search, while no exclusion criteria in the baseline characteristics of animals from which tissue sections were obtained, were applied. Duplicate gene expression sample and series or those lacking expression data for controls or with incompatible platforms, were excluded. Author (PG) formulated the search strategy and in conjunction with a second author (KP), the yielded datasets were screened and any discrepancies in the literature search were resolved.

Identification of Differentially Expressed Genes

Brain hippocampal samples from healthy young and aged rat models were compared and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were retrieved using ImaGEO (Toro-Domínguez et al., 2019). Significance analysis and integration were conducted using the fixed effect model to identify those DEGs with the strongest average effect across the collected datasets. This approach was followed as both the treatment (i.e., aging) and expression array platforms were orthogonal between datasets. Genes with a P < 0.05 corrected by the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) false discovery rate (FDR) were regarded as significant. DEGs with Z score > 1.96 were classified as upregulated, while those with Z score < 1.96 as downregulated (corresponding to a significance level of 5%).

Prediction of Network-Based Protein Interactions

Encoded hippocampal proteins of the aging DEGs and their interactome were predicted into a protein-protein interaction (PPI) network using The Search Tool for the Retrieval of

Interacting Genes *via* a medium probabilistic confidence score of >0.4 and mapped with Cytoscape (Szklarczyk et al., 2019). A reasonably moderate cut-off score was ensued to amplify the coverage of all potential protein interactions without inflating their precision. Proteins lacking any interactions were excluded from the network.

Identification of Clustering Modules and Characterization of Gene Hubs

Central gene elements of the aging rat hippocampal network were inferred by measuring network features from their complex interactome and by identifying sub-networks and hub objects (Giannos et al., 2021a,b, 2022). Highly clustered DEGs or densely connected modules in the PPI network were identified using the molecular complex detection (Bader and Hogue, 2003). Cut-off selection was ensued based on the default network scoring parameters: degree cut-off = 2, haircut cluster finding, node score cut-off = 0.2, K-core = 2, and max depth = 100. The interactome interference of module DEGs in the PPI network was quantified using CytoHubba (Chin et al., 2014). Module DEGs were ranked based on the intersection of 11 established topological algorithms, as previously described: Degree, Closeness, Betweenness, Radiality, Stress, EcCentricity, BottleNeck, Edge Percolated Component, Maximum Neighborhood Component, Density of Maximum Neighborhood Component, and Maximal Clique Centrality.

Functional Annotation Enrichment Analysis

Enrichment of aging DEGs in the rat hippocampus was predicted using Gene Ontology (GO) annotations from the Molecular Signatures Database (Liberzon et al., 2015). Functional classification was ensued using a probability density P < 0.05 following BH FDR correction and categorized into three groups of GO terms: biological process (BP), molecular function (MF), and cellular component (CC).

RESULTS

Overview of Microarray Datasets

The search of the GEO database resulted in 4811 datasets, of which 3981 contained gene expression data from organisms other than rats. From these, 111 microarray datasets were retrieved after exclusion of 717 datasets which included non-profiling by array expression data from samples other than tissues. Further exclusion of 106 studies with either duplicate gene expression samples or series and incompatible gene expression platform resulted in 5 eligible datasets: GSE14505 (Nagahara et al., 2009), GSE20219 (Blalock et al., 2010), GSE14723 (Haberman et al., 2011), GSE14724 (Haberman et al., 2011), and GSE14725 (Haberman et al., 2011; Figure 1). The retrieved datasets consisted of 38 young (5–8 months) and 29 aged (21–26 months) male rat hippocampal tissue samples (Supplementary Table 1).



Differentially Expressed Genes in Hippocampal Aging

Integration analysis revealed a total of 527 aging DEGs, of which 278 showed significantly increased hippocampal expression while 249 DEGs decreased expression in the rat brain (**Supplementary Table 2**).

Protein-Protein Interaction Network and Functional Modules in Hippocampal Aging

A PPI network of DEGs in the aging rat hippocampus was constructed and consisted of a total of 474 encoded proteins with 1,862 interactions. A single highest-ranked clustering module was retrieved and consisted of 19 upregulated aging DEGs with 362 interactions among 92 genes: allograft inflammatory factor 1 (AIF1), complement C1q A chain (C1QA), complement C1q B chain (C1QB), complement C1q C chain (C1QC), CD53 molecule (CD53), CD74 molecule (CD74), colony stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R), cathepsin S (CTSS), Fc epsilon receptor Ig (FCER1G), Fc gamma receptor IIa (FCGR2A), Fc gamma receptor IIb (FCGR2B), Fc gamma receptor IIIa (FCGR3A), fibrinogen like 2 (FGL2), integrin subunit beta 2 (ITGB2), lysosomal protein transmembrane 5 (LAPTM5), macrophage expressed 1 (MPEG1), pleckstrin (PLEK), triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2), and transmembrane immune signaling adaptor TYROBP (TYROBP; **Figure 2, Table 1**, and **Supplementary Table 3**). Multi-algorithmic topological analysis revealed MPEG1 as the highest ranked hub gene (**Supplementary Table 4**). DEGs in the highest-ranked clustering module were most enriched with immune response (BP, P = 1.71E-17), immunoglobulin G binding (MF, P = 1.92E-08), and intrinsic component of plasma membrane (CC, P = 1.25E-06; **Supplementary Table 5**).

DISCUSSION

Analysis of DEGs from hippocampal tissues from young (5– 8 months) and aged (21–26 months) rats, identified a single highly clustered gene module consisted of 19 upregulated DEGs: AIF1, C1QA, C1QB, C1QC, CD53, CD74, CSF1R, CTSS, FCER1G, FCGR2A, FCGR2B, FCGR3A, FGL2, ITGB2, LAPTM5, MPEG1, PLEK, TREM2, and TYROBP. Functional annotations of these DEGs revealed an association with ontology processes of immune response and immunoglobulin G binding, and properties of an intrinsic component of plasma membrane. Our findings revealed dysregulated hippocampal immunoglobulin dynamics both in terms of expression and interactions in the aging rat brain, rendering these DEGs as a potential marker of putative neurogenetic alterations during aging.



Dysfunctions of the blood brain barrier (BBB) are often linked with increased peripheral uptake of immunoglobulins and other plasma proteins in the brain (Bake et al., 2009). Post-mortem insights have demonstrated that breakdown of BBB in response to neurodegeneration is characterized by accumulation in hippocampal blood-derived immunoglobulins. Dysregulated BBB permeability is characterized by perturbations in the crosstalk among brain endothelial cells, pericytes, and astrocytes of the neurovascular microenvironment (Montagne et al., 2015). Aging related neurovascular unit dysfunction may arise from normal cell senescence or pathologically under agerelated distress, such as cerebrovascular accident or reperfusion **TABLE 1** Characteristics of the highest-ranked clustering gene module in the protein-protein interaction network of differentially expressed genes of the hippocampus between young (5–8 months) and aged (21–26 months) rats.

Gene ID	P-value	Z-score	Gene name
AIF1	1.32E-02	3.64	Allograft inflammatory factor 1
C1QA	3.47E-09	7.00	Complement C1q A chain
C1QB	5.43E-04	4.60	Complement C1q B chain
C1QC	2.90E-06	5.79	Complement C1q C chain
CD53	3.98E-07	6.15	CD53 molecule
CD74	1.36E-08	6.75	CD74 molecule
CSF1R	4.79E-03	3.98	Colony stimulating factor 1 receptor
CTSS	8.61E-09	6.83	Cathepsin S
FCER1G	4.80E-10	7.34	Fc epsilon receptor Ig
FCGR2A	2.85E-02	3.35	Fc gamma receptor lla
FCGR2B	4.78E-09	6.93	Fc gamma receptor IIb
FCGR3A	3.36E-02	3.29	Fc gamma receptor Illa
FGL2	1.25E-05	5.46	Fibrinogen like 2
ITGB2	2.48E-03	4.18	Integrin subunit beta 2
LAPTM5	3.70E-06	5.73	Lysosomal protein transmembrane 5
MPEG1	5.75E-04	4.58	Macrophage expressed 1
PLEK	2.67E-03	4.16	Pleckstrin
TREM2	7.34E-04	4.52	Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2
TYROBP	9.92E-09	6.80	Transmembrane immune signaling adaptor TYROBP

injury (i.e., ischemic stroke) and predisposition to neurogeneticdriven degeneration (i.e., Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease), with peripheral immune penetration leading disease progression (Cai et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019).

Genomic stability is an essential requirement for the maintenance of functional and structural integrity of the BBB. Accumulation of DNA damage in terms of DNA breaks, crosslinks, and bases mismatches (McKinnon, 2013; Lodato et al., 2018) and aberrations across the DNA repair machinery (Santos et al., 2013; Chow and Herrup, 2015; Zhao et al., 2017), have all been described during aging (Li et al., 2019). In this way, altered DNA damage responses and repair signaling likely underlie the penetration of blood-derived substances and the dysregulation of immunity in the aging hippocampus, both of which are intimately linked with neurodegenerative progression (Lucin and Wyss-Coray, 2009). Whether a consequence of neurovascular dysfunction and dysregulated blood-brain barrier permeability, the role of hippocampal immunoregulation in the pathobiology of aging warrants further investigation.

Strengths and Limitations

This is the first study that comprehensively examined the potential role of DEGs and their interactome as gene biomarkers in hippocampal aging using 5 publicly available datasets with almost 70 included rat samples and using a multialgorithmic protein-interaction based functional approach that was dependent on different levels of annotation. Nevertheless, certain conceptual and methodological limitations exist. Hippocampal tissue distribution from which gene expression was derived in the included studies was sparsely heterogeneous, ranging from whole hippocampus samples to those from dorsal and ventral areas, the CA1/CA3 regions or the dentate gyrus. Although histologically distinguishable subfields, these likely share common genomic organizations and thus, aging related accumulation of DNA damage from these subregions may be overlapping (Van der Meer et al., 2020). Moreover, despite that the expression profiling platforms employed by the included datasets were indifferent, lab effects due to experimental variation are known to hinder statistical power in DEGs detection, a challenge which remains even after normalization (Johnson et al., 2007; Roberts et al., 2011; Hansen et al., 2012; Dillies et al., 2013; Lyu and Li, 2016). Yet, an attempt to address unknown cross-study heterogeneity was made by integrating gene expression rather than considering their overlap between datasets.

CONCLUSION

Immunoglobulin dysregulation distinguishes hippocampal gene expression between aged and young rat brains. Increased awareness of the potential role of hippocampal-focused immunity in the pathobiology of aging, may reveal whether immunoglobulin dysregulation forms the culprit of hippocampal aging and not merely an epiphenomenon of aging-related alterations in BBB.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. These are available in the Gene Expression Omnibus repository, under the following accession numbers: GSE14505, GSE20219, GSE14723, GSE14724, and GSE1472.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PG conceived and designed the study. PG and KP acquired, collated, analyzed the data, and drafted and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. Both authors gave final approval of the version to be published and have contributed to the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Imperial Open Access Fund for funding the article processing charges of this manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2022. 915907/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Bader, G. D., and Hogue, C. W. (2003). An automated method for finding molecular complexes in large protein interaction networks. *BMC Bioinform*. 4:2. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-4-2
- Bake, S., Friedman, J. A., and Sohrabji, F. (2009). Reproductive age-related changes in the blood brain barrier: expression of IgG and tight junction proteins. *Microvasc. Res.* 78, 413–424. doi: 10.1016/j.mvr.2009.06.009
- Blalock, E. M., Phelps, J. T., Pancani, T., Searcy, J. L., Anderson, K. L., Gant, J. C., et al. (2010). Effects of long-term pioglitazone treatment on peripheral and central markers of aging. *PLoS One* 5:e10405. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0010405
- Cai, W., Zhang, K., Li, P., Zhu, L., Xu, J., Yang, B., et al. (2017). Dysfunction of the neurovascular unit in ischemic stroke and neurodegenerative diseases: an aging effect. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 34, 77–87. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2016.09.006
- Chin, C.-H., Chen, S.-H., Wu, H.-H., Ho, C.-W., Ko, M.-T., and Lin, C.-Y. (2014). cytoHubba: identifying hub objects and sub-networks from complex interactome. *BMC Syst. Biol.* 8:S11. doi: 10.1186/1752-0509-8-S4-S11
- Chow, H. M., and Herrup, K. (2015). Genomic integrity and the ageing brain. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 16, 672–684. doi: 10.1038/nrn4020
- Dillies, M.-A., Rau, A., Aubert, J., Hennequet-Antier, C., Jeanmougin, M., Servant, N., et al. (2013). A comprehensive evaluation of normalization methods for Illumina high-throughput RNA sequencing data analysis. *Brief. Bioinform.* 14, 671–683. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbs046
- Giannos, P., Kechagias, K. S., Bowden, S., Tabassum, N., Paraskevaidi, M., and Kyrgiou, M. (2021a). PCNA in Cervical Intraepithelial Neoplasia and Cervical Cancer: an Interaction Network Analysis of Differentially Expressed Genes. *Front. Oncol.* 11:779042. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.779042
- Giannos, P., Kechagias, K. S., and Gal, A. (2021b). Identification of Prognostic Gene Biomarkers in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Progression by Integrated Bioinformatics Analysis. *Biology* 10:1200. doi: 10.3390/biology10111200
- Giannos, P., Triantafyllidis, K. K., Giannos, G., and Kechagias, K. S. (2022). SPP1 in infliximab resistant ulcerative colitis and associated colorectal cancer: an analysis of differentially expressed genes. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 34, 598–606. doi: 10.1097/MEG.00000000002349
- Haberman, R. P., Colantuoni, C., Stocker, A. M., Schmidt, A. C., Pedersen, J. T., and Gallagher, M. (2011). Prominent hippocampal CA3 gene expression profile in neurocognitive aging. *Neurobiol. Aging* 32, 1678–1692. doi: 10.1016/j. neurobiolaging.2009.10.005
- Hansen, K. D., Irizarry, R. A., and Wu, Z. (2012). Removing technical variability in RNA-seq data using conditional quantile normalization. *Biostatistics* 13, 204–216. doi: 10.1093/biostatistics/kxr054
- Jack, C. R., Petersen, R. C., Xu, Y. C., O'Brien, P. C., Smith, G. E., Ivnik, R. J., et al. (1999). Prediction of AD with MRI-based hippocampal volume in mild cognitive impairment. *Neurology* 52, 1397–1397. doi: 10.1212/wnl.52.7.1397
- Johnson, W. E., Li, C., and Rabinovic, A. (2007). Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. *Biostatistics* 8, 118–127. doi: 10.1093/biostatistics/kxj037
- Kennedy, B. K., Berger, S. L., Brunet, A., Campisi, J., Cuervo, A. M., Epel, E. S., et al. (2014). Geroscience: linking aging to chronic disease. *Cell* 159, 709–713. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.039
- Li, Y., Xie, L., Huang, T., Zhang, Y., Zhou, J., Qi, B., et al. (2019). Aging neurovascular unit and potential role of DNA damage and repair in combating vascular and neurodegenerative disorders. *Front. Neurosci.* 13:778. doi: 10.3389/ fnins.2019.00778
- Liberzon, A., Birger, C., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Ghandi, M., Mesirov, J. P., and Tamayo, P. (2015). The molecular signatures database hallmark gene set collection. *Cell Syst.* 1, 417–425. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2015.12.004
- Lodato, M. A., Rodin, R. E., Bohrson, C. L., Coulter, M. E., Barton, A. R., Kwon, M., et al. (2018). Aging and neurodegeneration are associated with increased mutations in single human neurons. *Science* 359, 555–559. doi: 10.1126/science. aao4426

- López-Otín, C., Blasco, M. A., Partridge, L., Serrano, M., and Kroemer, G. (2013). The hallmarks of aging. *Cell* 153, 1194–1217.
- Lu, T., Pan, Y., Kao, S.-Y., Li, C., Kohane, I., Chan, J., et al. (2004). Gene regulation and DNA damage in the ageing human brain. *Nature* 429, 883–891. doi: 10. 1038/nature02661
- Lucin, K. M., and Wyss-Coray, T. (2009). Immune activation in brain aging and neurodegeneration: too much or too little? *Neuron* 64, 110–122. doi: 10.1016/j. neuron.2009.08.039
- Lyu, Y., and Li, Q. (2016). A semi-parametric statistical model for integrating gene expression profiles across different platforms. *BMC Bioinform*. 17:5. doi: 10.1186/s12859-015-0847-y
- McKinnon, P. J. (2013). Maintaining genome stability in the nervous system. Nat. Neurosci. 16, 1523–1529. doi: 10.1038/nn. 3537
- Montagne, A., Barnes, S. R., Sweeney, M. D., Halliday, M. R., Sagare, A. P., Zhao, Z., et al. (2015). Blood-brain barrier breakdown in the aging human hippocampus. *Neuron* 85, 296–302. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.12.032
- Nagahara, A. H., Merrill, D. A., Coppola, G., Tsukada, S., Schroeder, B. E., Shaked, G. M., et al. (2009). Neuroprotective effects of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in rodent and primate models of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Med.* 15, 331–337. doi: 10.1038/nm.1912
- Roberts, A., Trapnell, C., Donaghey, J., Rinn, J. L., and Pachter, L. (2011). Improving RNA-Seq expression estimates by correcting for fragment bias. *Genom. Biol.* 12:R22. doi: 10.1186/gb-2011-12-3-r22
- Santos, R. X., Correia, S. C., Zhu, X., Smith, M. A., Moreira, P. I., Castellani, R. J., et al. (2013). Mitochondrial DNA oxidative damage and repair in aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 18, 2444–2457. doi: 10.1089/ars. 2012.5039
- Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A. L., Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., et al. (2019). STRING v11: protein–protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D607–D613. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky 1131
- Toro-Domínguez, D., Martorell-Marugán, J., López-Domínguez, R., García-Moreno, A., González-Rumayor, V., Alarcón-Riquelme, M. E., et al. (2019). ImaGEO: integrative gene expression meta-analysis from GEO database. *Bioinformatics* 35, 880–882. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty721
- Van der Meer, D., Rokicki, J., Kaufmann, T., Córdova-Palomera, A., Moberget, T., Alnæs, D., et al. (2020). Brain scans from 21,297 individuals reveal the genetic architecture of hippocampal subfield volumes. *Mol. Psychiatry* 25, 3053–3065. doi: 10.1038/s41380-018-0262-7
- Zhao, Z., Ong, L. K., Johnson, S., Nilsson, M., and Walker, F. R. (2017). Chronic stress induced disruption of the peri-infarct neurovascular unit following experimentally induced photothrombotic stroke. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 37, 3709–3724. doi: 10.1177/0271678X17696100

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Giannos and Prokopidis. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.