Brief Announcement: New Clocks, Fast Line Formation and Self-Replication Population Protocols Leszek Gasieniec 🖂 🏠 💿 University of Liverpool, United Kingdom Paul Spirakis 🖂 🗅 University of Liverpool, United Kingdom Grzegorz Stachowiak 🖂 🗈 Uniwersytet Wrocławski, Poland – Abstract -10 In this paper we consider a known variant of the standard population protocol model in which agents 11 can be connected by edges, referred to as the network constructor model. During an interaction 12 between two agents the relevant connecting edge can be formed, maintained or eliminated by the 13 transition function. The state space of agents is fixed (constant size) and the size n of the population 14 15 is not known, i.e., not hard-coded in the transition function. Since pairs of agents are chosen uniformly at random the status of each edge is updated every 16 $\Theta(n^2)$ interactions in expectation which coincides with $\Theta(n)$ parallel time. This phenomenon provides 17 a natural lower bound on the time complexity for any non-trivial network construction designed 18 for this variant. This is in contrast with the standard population protocol model in which efficient 19 protocols operate in $O(\text{poly} \log n)$ parallel time. 20 The main focus in this paper is on efficient manipulation of linear structures including formation, 21 self-replication and distribution (including pipelining) of *complex information* in the adopted model. 22 We propose and analyse a novel edge based phase clock counting parallel time $\Theta(n \log n)$ in the 23 network constructor model, showing also that its leader based counterpart provides the same 24 25 time guaranties in the standard population protocol model. Note that all currently known phase clocks can count parallel time not exceeding $O(\operatorname{poly} \log n)$. 26 27 The new clock enables a nearly optimal $O(n \log n)$ parallel time spanning line construction (a key component of universal network construction), which improves dramatically on the best currently 28 known $O(n^2)$ parallel time protocol, solving the main open problem in the considered model [9]. 29 We propose a new *probabilistic bubble-sort* algorithm in which random comparisons and transfers 30 _ 31 are allowed only between the adjacent positions in the sequence. Utilising a novel potential function reasoning we show that rather surprisingly this probabilistic sorting (via conditional 32 pipelining) procedure requires $O(n^2)$ comparisons in expectation and whp, and is on par with 33 its deterministic counterpart. 34 We propose the first population protocol allowing self-replication of a strand of an arbitrary 35 length k (carrying a k-bit message of size independent of the state space) in parallel time 36 $O(n(k + \log n))$. The pipelining mechanism and the time complexity analysis of the strand 37 self-replication protocol mimic those used in the probabilistic bubble-sort. The new protocol 38 permits also simultaneous self-replication, where l copies of the strand can be created in time 39 $O(n(k + \log n) \log l)$. Finally, we discuss application of the strand self-replication protocol to 40 pattern matching. 41 Our protocols are always correct and provide time guaranties with high probability defined as 42 $1 - n^{-\eta}$, for a constant $\eta > 0$. 43 2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation Distributed algorithms; Theory of compu-44 45 tation \rightarrow Distributed algorithms

Keywords and phrases Population protocols, network constructors, probabilistic bubble-sort, self replication

© L. Gąsieniec, P. Spirakis, and G. Stachowiak; licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY 4.0 36th International Symposium on Distributed Computing (DISC 2022). Editor: Christian Scheideler; Article No. 15; pp. 15:1–15:3

Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics LIPICS Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

- 48 Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.DISC.2022.15
- 49 Category Brief Announcement
- 50 Related Version Full Version: https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.10822

1 Introduction

The model of *population protocols* originates from the seminal paper of Angluin et al. [1]. 52 This model provides tools for the formal analysis of *pairwise interactions* between simple 53 indistinguishable entities referred to as *agents*. The agents are equipped with limited storage, 54 communication and computation capabilities. When two agents engage in a direct interaction 55 their states are amended according to the predefined transition function. The weakest possible 56 assumptions in population protocols, also adopted here, limit the state space of agents to a 57 fixed (constant) size disallowing utilisation of the size of the population n in the transition 58 function. In the *probabilistic variant* of population protocols adopted in this paper, in each 59 step the *random scheduler* selects from the whole population an ordered pair of agents formed 60 of the *initiator* and the *responder*, uniformly at random. The lack of symmetry in this pair 61 is a powerful source of random bits often used by population protocols. In this variant, in 62 addition to state utilisation one is also interested in the time complexity of the proposed 63 solutions. In more recent work on population protocols the focus is on *parallel time* defined 64 as the total number of pairwise interactions (sequential time) leading to the solution divided 65 by the size n of the whole population. For example, a core dissemination tool in population 66 protocols known as one-way epidemic [2] distributes simple (e.g., 0/1) messages to all agents 67 in the population utilising $\Theta(n \log n)$ interactions or equivalently $\Theta(\log n)$ parallel time. The 68 parallel time is meant to reflect on massive parallelism of simultaneous interactions. While 69 this is a simplification [4], it provides a good estimation on locally observed time expressed in 70 the number of interactions each agent was involved in throughout the computation process. 71 Unless stated otherwise we assume that any protocol starts in the predefined *initial* 72 configuration with all agents being in the same *initial state*. A population protocol terminates 73 with success if the whole population stabilises eventually, i.e., it arrives at and stays indefinitely 74

⁷⁵ in the *final configuration* of states representing the desired property of the solution.

⁷⁶ 1.1 Our results and their significance

We study here several central problems in distributed computing by focusing on the adopted 77 variant of population protocols. These include the concept of *phase clocks*, a distributed 78 synchronisation tool with good space and accuracy guarantees. The first study of leader based 79 O(1) space phase clocks can be found in the seminal paper by Angluin *et al.* in [2]. Further 80 extensions including the junta based clock and nested clocks counting any $\Theta(\text{poly}\log n)$ 81 parallel time were analysed in [6]. In a very recent work [5] Doty et al. study constant 82 resolution phase clocks utilising $O(\log n)$ states as the main engine in the optimal majority 83 computation protocols. In this work we propose and analyse a new phase clock based on a 84 matching allowing to count $\Theta(n \log n)$ parallel time. This is the first clock able to confirm the 85 conclusion of the slow leader election protocol based on direct duels between the (remaining) 86 leader candidates. We also propose an edge-less variant of this clock based on the computed 87 leader. This clock powers a nearly optimal $O(n \log n)$ parallel time spanning line construction 88 (a key component of universal network construction), improving dramatically on the best 89 currently known $O(n^2)$ parallel time protocol, solving the main open problem from [9]. 90

L. Gąsieniec, P. Spirakis, and G. Stachowiak

We also consider a probabilistic variant of the classical bubble-sort algorithm, in which 91 any two consecutive positions in the sequence are chosen for comparison uniformly at random. 92 We show that rather surprisingly this variant is on par with its deterministic counterpart 93 as it requires $\Theta(n^2)$ random comparisons whp. While this new result is of an independent 94 algorithmic interest, together with the edge-less clock they conceptually power the strand 95 (line-segment carrying information) self-replication protocol studied at the end of this paper. 96 In a wider context, *self-replication* is a property of a dynamical system which allows 97 reproduction. Such systems are of increasing interest in biology, e.g., in the context of how 98 life could have begun on Earth [8], but also in computational chemistry [10], robotics [7] and 99 other fields. In our case a larger chunk of information (well beyond the limited state capacity) 100 is stored collectively in a strand (line-segment) of agents. Such strands may represent strings 101 in pattern matching or a large value, or a code in more complex distributed process. In such 102 cases the replication mechanism facilitates an improved accessibility to this information. We 103 propose the first strand self-replication protocol allowing to reproduce a strand of size k in 104 parallel time $O(n(k + \log n))$. This protocol permits simultaneous replication, where l copies 105 of a strand can be generated in parallel time $O(n(k + \log n) \log l)$. The parallelism of this 106 protocol is utilised in efficient pattern matching. 107

¹⁰⁸ The full version of this paper including definitions, algorithms and formal proofs is ¹⁰⁹ available on arXiv.org [3].

110 2 Open Problems

We conjecture that our line formation protocol is optimal, i.e., no population protocol can construct a line containing all agents in parallel time $o(n \log n)$ whp. Going beyond the proposed strand self-replication protocol one could investigate whether other network structures can self-replicate and at what cost.

¹¹⁵ — References –

116	1	D. Angluin, J. Aspnes, Z. Diamadi, M.J. Fischer, and R. Peralta. Computation in networks of
117		passively mobile finite-state sensors. In Proc. PODC 2004, pages 290–299, 2004.
118	2	D. Angluin, J. Aspnes, and D. Eisenstat. Fast computation by population protocols with a
119		leader. Distributed Comput., 21(3):183–199, 2008.
120	3	L. Gąsieniec, P.G. Spirakis, and G. Stachowiak. New clocks, optimal line formation and efficient
121		replication population protocols (making population protocols alive). CoRR, abs/2111.10822,
122		2021. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.10822, arXiv:2111.10822.
123	4	A. Czumaj and A. Lingas. On truly parallel time in population protocols. CoRR,
124		abs/2108.11613, 2021.
125	5	D. Doty, M. Eftekhari, L. Gąsieniec, E.E. Severson, G. Stachowiak, and P. Uznański. A time
126		and space optimal stable population protocol solving exact majority. In $\mathit{62nd}\ \mathit{IEEE}\ \mathit{Annual}$
127		Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS 2021, pages 1044–1055, 2021.
128	6	L. Gąsieniec and G. Stachowiak. Enhanced phase clocks, population protocols, and fast space
129		optimal leader election. J. ACM, 68(1):2:1–2:21, 2021.
130	7	R.A. Freitas Jr and R.C. Merkle. Kinematic Self-Replicating Machines. Landes Bioscience,
131		Georgetown, TX, 2004.
132	8	T.A. Lincoln and G.F. Joyce. Self-sustained replication of an rna enzyme. In Science, Vol 323,
133		Issue 5918, pages 1229–1232. American Association for the Advancement of Science, 2009.
134	9	O. Michail and P. Spirakis. Simple and efficient local codes for distributed stable network
135		construction. Distributed Computing, 29(3):207–237, 2016.

 E. Moulin and N. Giuseppone. Dynamic combinatorial self-replicating systems. In *Constitutional Dynamic Chemistry*, pages 87–105. Springer, 2011.