

f

JGR Earth Surface

RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2022JF006857

Key Points:

- Contrasting glacier dynamics north and south of 69°N along Greenland's east coast persists under a warming climate
- South of 69°N glaciers underwent multiyear retreat due to overwinter calving, attributed to warmer ocean waters and ice-mélange break-up
- North of 69°N glaciers showed limited retreat with the pattern and magnitude of change strongly influenced by glacier-specific factors

Supporting Information:

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Correspondence to:

S. Brough, stephen.brough@liverpool.ac.uk

Citation:

Brough, S., Carr, J. R., Ross, N., & Lea, J. M. (2023). Ocean-forcing and glacier-specific factors drive differing glacier response across the 69°N boundary, east Greenland. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *128*, e2022JF006857. https://doi. org/10.1029/2022JF006857

Received 27 JUL 2022 Accepted 20 FEB 2023

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: S. Brough, J. R. Carr, N. Ross Data curation: S. Brough Formal analysis: S. Brough Funding acquisition: J. R. Carr, N. Ross Investigation: S. Brough Methodology: S. Brough, J. R. Carr, N. Ross, J. M. Lea Supervision: J. R. Carr, N. Ross, J. M. Lea Visualization: S. Brough Writing – original draft: S. Brough, J. R. Carr, N. Ross Writing – review & editing: S. Brough, J. R. Carr, N. Ross, J. M. Lea

© 2023. The Authors. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Ocean-Forcing and Glacier-Specific Factors Drive Differing Glacier Response Across the 69°N Boundary, East Greenland

S. Brough^{1,2,3} , J. R. Carr¹, N. Ross¹, and J. M. Lea²

¹School of Geography, Politics, and Sociology, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK, ²Department of Geography and Planning, School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK, ³Central Teaching Laboratory, Faculty of Science and Engineering, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

Abstract The Greenland ice sheet has become a significant contributor to global sea level rise over the last 40-50 years. Approximately half of Greenland's mass loss since 1992 was due to increased ice discharge from marine-terminating outlet glaciers. Here, we present high temporal resolution (~monthly) time series of ice frontal positions for 24 marine-terminating outlet glaciers along Greenland's east coast between 2013 and 2020. The glaciers are located north and south of 69°N, which has previously been identified as a potential divide in glacier response to climate forcing. Frontal positions are compared to ice velocity, atmospheric and oceanic data, allowing investigation of change at both seasonal and interannual timescales. Our results reveal 19 of 24 study glaciers underwent net retreat. We find marked differences in interannual patterns of frontal position between glaciers located north and south of 69°N. South of 69°N, glaciers underwent multiyear retreat initiated in 2016, which we attribute to over-winter calving, resulting from warmer ocean waters and repeated ice-mélange break-up. North of 69°N glaciers show either limited or gradual changes in frontal positions and retreat patterns are characterized by more year-on-year variability between glaciers. Although similar atmospheric conditions occur across both regions, glaciers north of 69°N experience minimal change in ocean conditions, and are strongly influenced by glacier-specific factors. Our results show that 69°N continues to represent a boundary between different glacier responses and climate forcing, which is likely to persist under current conditions.

Plain Language Summary The Greenland ice sheet has contributed substantially to global sea level rise over the last 40–50 years. Half of Greenland's mass loss since 1992 is attributed to increased ice discharge from glaciers reaching the ocean. Here we present time series of ice frontal positions for 24 ocean-terminating outlet glaciers along Greenland's east coast between 2013 and 2020. The glaciers are located north and south of 69°N, which has been identified as a potential watershed in glacier behavior. We compared ice front positions to ice velocity and environmental factors, allowing investigation of change at different scales. Our results show 19 of 24 study glaciers underwent overall retreat. We observe different year-to-year patterns of change in frontal position between glaciers located north and south of 69°N. Year-on-year retreat for glaciers south of 69°N began in 2016, coinciding with reduced sea ice and warmer ocean conditions. Glaciers north of 69°N showed more limited change and greater variability between glaciers. Air temperature warming was similar between the two regions, but ocean warming was greater south of 69°N. Overall, these results show 69°N continues to be a boundary in east Greenland glacier behavior, likely due to differing environmental forcing.

1. Introduction

The Greenland Ice Sheet has contributed ~14 mm to global sea level rise between 1972 and 2018 (Mouginot et al., 2019) and is forecast to be the primary cryospheric contributor during the 21st Century (Shepherd et al., 2020). Mass loss has accelerated since the mid-1990s (e.g., Mouginot et al., 2019; Shepherd et al., 2020), coinciding with both elevated atmospheric temperatures (e.g., Hanna et al., 2012) and warmer oceanic waters at marine-terminating glacier margins (e.g., Straneo & Heimbach, 2013). Approximately half of Greenland's mass loss between 1992 and 2018 was due to increased ice discharge from marine-terminating outlet glaciers, with the remaining loss being attributed to reduced surface mass balance (Shepherd et al., 2020). Therefore, quantification of ice discharge from Greenland's marine-terminating outlet glaciers is critical for accurate modeling of future discharge, informing forecasts of sea level rise (e.g., Aschwanden et al., 2019; Fürst et al., 2015).



A number of interlinked controls have driven the recent acceleration in ice discharge, particularly air and ocean temperatures and sea-ice/mélange concentrations (e.g., Amundson et al., 2010; Carr et al., 2013; Catania et al., 2020; Howat et al., 2010; Murray et al., 2010; Wood et al., 2021). First, warming of subsurface waters in contact with calving margins can control retreat rates through enhanced submarine melting and terminus undercutting, and consequently increased calving (e.g., Holland et al., 2008; Rignot et al., 2016; Straneo & Heimbach, 2013; Wood et al., 2021). Retreat of outlet glaciers across Greenland has been linked to warm subsurface water of Atlantic origin (e.g., Holland et al., 2008; Straneo et al., 2012; Straneo & Heimbach, 2013), which is termed Atlantic Water (AW) and located at between ~200 and 700 m in depth (Sutherland et al., 2014).

Second, warmer air temperatures may promote retreat through increased surface melt and runoff leading to: (a) enhanced calving through hydrofracture of crevasses at the later margins and/or near the terminus (e.g., van der Veen, 1998; Vieli & Nick, 2011); (b) increased basal motion via increases in basal water pressure (e.g., Ashmore et al., 2022; Joughin, Das, et al., 2008; Sugiyama et al., 2011); and (c) greater submarine melting at the glacier termini, via buoyant discharge-driven subglacial plumes strongly enhancing submarine melt rates (e.g., Jenkins, 2011; Motyka et al., 2003), highlighting the interconnection between atmospheric and oceanic controls.

Third, the presence of rigid sea ice and/or mélange (a mixture of icebergs and sea ice that may act as a weak, granular ice shelf (e.g., Burton et al., 2018)) can suppress calving through buttressing and/or the pinning of icebergs to the terminus (e.g., Amundson et al., 2010; Robel, 2017; Sohn et al., 1998; Todd & Christoffersen, 2014). Several studies have shown a coincidence between mélange breakup and increased calving (e.g., Bevan et al., 2019; Cassotto et al., 2015, 2021), and subsequent terminus retreat, particularly in north-western Greenland (e.g., Carr et al., 2013; Joughin, Howat, et al., 2008; Moon et al., 2015). Furthermore, substantial winter-time retreats at Kangerlussuaq Gletsjer (herein Kangerlussuaq) in 2016/17 and 2017/18 have been attributed to weaking of the ice mélange, which in turn was linked to the presence of exceptionally warm surface water (termed Polar Surface Water warm, PSWw). Both numerical modeling (Cowton et al., 2016) and observations (Inall et al., 2014; Sutherland et al., 2014) demonstrate that these waters can penetrate far into Kangerlussuaq Fjord and may be the warmest water mass in the fjord, during summer (Inall et al., 2014) Thus, while warm AW has been identified as a key control on subaqueous melt rates and Greenland outlet glacier retreat, PSWw characteristics may also be an important control, via its impact on the ice mélange (Bevan et al., 2019). This has been demonstrated at Kangerlussuaq, but has yet to be assessed more broadly across East Greenland.

The external controls outlined above are modulated by glacier-specific factors, particularly the bed and fjord geometry, which can strongly enhance/suppress glacier response to forcing (e.g., Carr et al., 2015; Moon et al., 2012; Warren & Glasser, 1992). It is important to identify the factors driving enhanced ice discharge and how this varies between glaciers and regions to quantify near-future Greenland Ice Sheet losses and its contribution to sea level rise in response to climate change (e.g., Cowton et al., 2018; Fahrner et al., 2021; King et al., 2018; McFadden et al., 2011; Wood et al., 2021).

Much of the Greenland Ice Sheet underwent widespread and rapid outlet glacier retreat in the 2000 s (e.g., Goliber et al., 2022; King et al., 2018), but glaciers along Greenland's east coast showed markedly different behavior during this time (e.g., Carr et al., 2017; Seale et al., 2011; Walsh et al., 2012). Specifically, Seale et al. (2011) examined patterns of frontal retreat for 32 glaciers along the east Greenland coast between 2000 and 2009 and found a distinct contrast between glaciers located south of 69°N compared to those to the north. The southern glaciers underwent widespread, synchronous retreat between 2001 and 2005, accompanied by acceleration and thinning (e.g., Howat et al., 2008; Moon & Joughin, 2008; Murray et al., 2015; Rignot & Kanagaratnam, 2006), followed by a period of slowdown and stabilization through 2009 (e.g., Murray et al., 2015; Seale et al., 2011). In contrast, the glaciers north of 69°N showed slow and limited terminus retreat or dynamic change during the same time period (e.g., Murray et al., 2015; Seale et al., 2011; Walsh et al., 2012). The transition between these two contrasting retreat regimes occurred at ~69°N, with this latitude marking the northern extent of warm subtropical waters transported within the Irminger Current (Howat et al., 2008; Murray et al., 2010; Seale et al., 2011). Therefore, it was suggested that: (a) variability in coastal heat transport was the dominant control on the difference in glacier dynamics observed in eastern Greenland between 2000 and 2009 (Seale et al., 2011); and (b) glaciers along Greenland's east coast showed a positive correlation between ocean temperatures and terminus retreat (Cowton et al., 2018; Murray et al., 2010). The period of slowdown and stabilization of glaciers in the southeast has persisted until at least 2016, likely resulting from regional frontal positions alternating between net annual retreat and advance since 2010 (e.g., Bunce et al., 2018; King et al., 2018).



A key exception to this pattern was Kangerlussuaq, one of east Greenland's largest glaciers, which entered a new phase of rapid retreat and acceleration from 2016 (Brough et al., 2019). This was triggered by the presence of warm ocean water proximal to Kangerlussuaq, which, along with warm air temperatures, caused multiple mélange break-ups, thus allowing calving to persist through two consecutive winters (Bevan et al., 2019). Given the observed substantive changes in mélange and ocean conditions at Kangerlussuaq and the new phase of accelerated retreat of the glacier, it is timely to reassess whether the dichotomy in glacier behavior around 69°N persists under the recent phase of warmer atmospheric and ocean conditions. In particular, it is vital to assess how far north the influence of these warmer conditions extends (i.e., whether the 69°N boundary has migrated northwards), and the resultant impact on glacier retreat, ice dynamics and ice surface thinning, with potential consequences for global sea level change.

Here, we extend and enhance previous work (i.e., Seale et al., 2011) by assessing, either side of the 69°N dichotomy, whether: (a) glacier response to climate forcing persists under warmer atmospheric and ocean conditions; (b) the dynamic response of east Greenland glaciers differs; (c) patterns of seasonal behavior, and the factors controlling it vary, with particular focus on cold season forcing factors; and (d) glacier-specific factors impact individual glacier behavior and/or contribute to the dichotomous response across the boundary.

To achieve this, we present high temporal resolution (~monthly) time series of ice frontal positions (excluding winter) and velocity for 24 tidewater glaciers along Greenland's east coast between 2013 and 2020. The glaciers studied extend between 67 and 75°N (Figure 1), but exclude the majority of tidewater glaciers along the Blosseville Kyst due to a preponderance of surge type glaciers (Jiskoot et al., 2003; Walsh et al., 2012), and further north (e.g., Zachariae Isstrøm and Nioghalvfjerdsfjorden), which have already been investigated (e.g., An et al., 2021). Specifically, we assess how spatial and temporal patterns of change in glacier dynamics correspond to atmospheric and oceanographic forcing. We subsequently assess whether significant differences in glacier-specific forcing factors exist across the 69°N boundary, which could potentially account for observed differences in glacier retreat rates and dynamic response to forcing.

2. Methods

2.1. Glacier Frontal Positions

Terminus positions were manually digitized from all available Level 1T pansharpened (15 m) Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI) satellite imagery between 2013 and 2020 using the Google Earth Digitization Tool (GEEDiT; Lea, 2018). Each Landsat 8 image was visualized within a web-browser and the glacier termini were manually digitized where an ice front was visible. Where multiple images were available for the same day as a result of overlap in imaging tracks, we measured the ice front using the first image acquired unless there was any discernible change, in which case both were included. The presence of cloud and/or year-round mélange also precluded the mapping of part or all of the glacier terminus in some images, with 40%-80% of all images available for each glacier being mapped. We only include in our analysis fully mapped termini. Specifically, we obtained an average of 239 ($\sigma = 94$) terminus traces for each of the 24 glaciers in our region of interest (ROI; Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). On average, 30 ($\sigma = 7$) terminus traces were obtained per year, with an average sampling frequency of 13 days ($\sigma = 6$) for each glacier. Owing to poor solar illumination, no observations were made during late-November through mid-February. In general, glaciers in the northern part of our ROI (above 69°N) had between two and three times the number of observations than those in the southern part of our ROI (below 69°N), due in part to the convergence of the satellite flight path. Following the approach of Brough et al. (2019), we attribute uncertainty in ice front position mapping to error in both geolocational accuracy of imagery and precision in manual digitization of the ice fronts and was ± 4.4 m, which is less than the pixel resolution (15 m) of our imagery.

Changes in frontal position were assessed using the curvilinear box method in the Margin change Quantification Tool (MaQiT; Lea, 2018). This method used a reference box of fixed width and upstream extent that intersects with contiguously mapped glacier termini, and mean retreat was calculated by dividing the change in reference box area by its width. This method therefore captured spatially asymmetric retreat and advance of a calving margin (Moon & Joughin, 2008). Mapped glacier termini were subsequently exported from GEEDiT in vector format as GeoJSON files and converted to ESRI Shapefiles using the MaQiT (Lea, 2018). To factor out the absolute magnitude of individual glacier terminus change, changes in frontal position were also normalized using min-max feature scaling (Equation 1). All glaciers are therefore normalized between 0 (most retreated terminus position) and 1 (most advance terminus position), thus facilitating a more direct comparison in the pattern and timing of frontal position fluctuation between glaciers (e.g., Fahrner et al., 2021).







$$X_{\text{normalised}} = \frac{X - X_{\min}}{X_{\max} - X_{\min}}$$
(1)

2.2. Outlet Glacier Velocities

Ice surface velocities were compiled from ice-sheet-wide products from the Making Earth Science Data Records for Use in Research Environments (MEaSUREs) program and the Programme for the Monitoring of the Greenland



Ice Sheet (PROMICE) (Table S1 in Supporting Information S1). Monthly velocity mosaics were available from December 2014 onwards for the MEaSUREs product and provided a total of 60 velocity maps (Joughin, 2020; Joughin et al., 2010, 2018). These ice velocity products were derived from a combination of Synthetic Aperture Radar data measured by TerraSAR-X (TSX), TanDEM-X (TDX), Sentinel-1A and Sentinel-1B satellites, and optical satellite imagery from Landsat 8, and have a nominal spatial resolution of 200 m. The PROMICE data set was produced from compositing all 6 and 12-day Sentinel-1A and Sentinel-1B image pairs over a 24-day period, and had a nominal spatial resolution of 500 m (Solgaard et al., 2021; Solgaard & Kusk, 2021). A total of 164 velocity maps were available from September 2016 onwards, providing a sampling frequency between 6 and 12 days.

To increase the temporal coverage of the ice-sheet-wide velocity datasets, particularly at the start of our study period, we also used velocity fields provided by the Global Land Ice Velocity Extraction from Landsat 8 (GoLIVE) data set (Fahnestock et al., 2016; Scambos et al., 2016) and selected glacier site velocity maps from Interferometric SAR (InSAR) data set (Joughin et al., 2010, 2020). MEaSUREs and GoLIVE have been used successfully in previous work to create a consistent velocity time series for Greenland outlet glaciers (e.g., Catania et al., 2018). GoLIVE velocity maps were generated from pairs of Landsat 8 panchromatic images acquired from May 2013 onward, and had a nominal spatial resolution of 300 m. To maximize temporal coverage, we used all 33 Landsat-8 scenes which covered our study area and used all velocity fields generated from scenes separated by 16 days. To improve accuracy in the vector displacements, we used the masked velocity product and accounted for significant cloud cover and undetected offset errors in the data set (Scambos et al., 2016) by removing scenes where: (a) the maximum velocity was \geq 40 m d⁻¹ (e.g., faster flow than observed at Sermeq Kujalleq [Jakobshavn Isbræ]); and/or (b) the average velocity of all valid pixels within the scene corresponded to a value that was greater than 10% of the maximum recorded pixel velocity in the scene. After this filtering process, we were left with 1,386 velocity maps.

The InSAR-derived data set was produced from 11- to 33-day image pairs measured by the TSX and TDX satellites, and had a nominal spatial resolution of 100 m (Joughin et al., 2020). A total of 253 unique velocity maps were available from January 2013 onwards.

Following previous studies, velocities were sampled at a central location ~5 km up the glacier from the most retreated frontal position of each glacier (e.g., McFadden et al., 2011; Walsh et al., 2012). Following data extraction, data time series were postprocessed to remove poor quality or unrealistically high/low velocity measurements where: (a) velocity errors > velocity magnitude; and/or (b) velocity magnitude was either larger than twice the mean of the time series or smaller than the mean of the time series divided by two. This postprocessing removed an average of 11 ($\sigma = 3$) measurements per glacier ($3 \pm 1\%$). Velocity time series were also normalized using the method outlined in Section 2.1 and Equation 1. We obtained an average of 361 ($\sigma = 88$) velocity measurements for each of the 24 glaciers in our ROI (Figure S2 in Supporting Information S1), with an average of 45 ($\sigma = 25$) velocity measurements obtained per year. Where provided, relative velocity errors were calculated using the data set error values for each velocity field, and resulted in a mean error of $\pm 5.0\%$ ($\sigma = 4.5\%$) for our study glaciers (Table S2 in Supporting Information S1).

2.3. Atmospheric and Oceanic Data

2.3.1. Surface Air Temperature

Records of daily surface air temperature (SAT) were determined for Aputiteeq (67°47′N, 32°18′W), Mitt. Nerlerit Inaat (70°45′N, 22°39′W) and Daneborg (74°18′N, 20°13′W) meteorological stations (Figure 2). Data were provided by the Danish Meteorological Institute at hourly resolution (Cappelen, 2021), and were only used to calculate daily averages where: (a) no more than six consecutive records were missing in a day; and/or (b) no more than nine records in total were missing in a day (e.g., Cappelen, 2011; Carr et al., 2013). Meteorological stations are located between 14 and 277 km from each glacier (Figure 2), length scales over which surface temperatures are generally consistent in Greenland (Box et al., 2009; Schild & Hamilton, 2013). For regional analysis, monthly SAT was calculated if there were more than 22 daily average measurements per month (Carr et al., 2013). Subsequently, anomalies in monthly mean temperatures were calculated relative to the 2002–2020 baseline period, 2002 being the earliest common date for all three meteorological stations.

2.3.2. Meltwater Runoff

To compare glacier behavior and meltwater availability, runoff for each study glacier catchment was determined using modeled output, with a 20-km horizontal resolution, from the Modèle Atmosphérique Régionale (MAR)





Figure 2. Locations of glacier drainage basins (a), meteorological stations (b) and ocean temperature survey locations (c). In panel (a) drainage basins are from Mouginot and Rignot (2019) and basins are labeled with the study glaciers that terminate within their boundary. In panels (b, c) the glaciers are color coded to match the meteorological station or oceanic survey location from where data were assigned. Meteorological stations are labeled by their World Meteorological Organization station identifiers: 04351—Aputiteeq; 04341—Mitt. Nerlerit Inaat; 04330—Daneborg. Overlain in panel (c) is 5 m ocean reanalysis temperature for August 2016 (Xie et al., 2017).

v3.11 (Tedesco & Fettweis, 2020) and individual glacier catchment basins (Mouginot & Rignot, 2019) (Figure 2). For each glacier, total daily runoff was calculated by summing the values at each grid point across the glacier basin. For regional analysis, we also calculated cumulative annual runoff for each basin. Where glaciers are part of compound glacier catchments (Unnamed A and Unnamed B, Polaric B and Apuliliip Apusiia, Roligre Bræ and Eielson), catchment wide runoff was assigned to each of these glaciers as no definitive split could be determined, but were only included once for regional analysis.

2.3.3. Ocean Temperature

Records of monthly ocean potential temperatures were extracted from the Level 4 TOPAZ4 Arctic Ocean Physics Reanalysis product (Xie et al., 2017), supplied by the Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service (https://marine.copernicus.eu). The reanalysis product assimilates a combination of satellite and in situ observations using the Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM) with a coupled sea ice model and has a spatial resolution of 12.5 km (Xie et al., 2017). As data do not extend into fjord waters, they were sampled from 50 by 50 km boxes that were located on the continental shelf (Figure 2c). As the data provide information on ocean temperatures on the continental shelf and do not account for the complex processes within the glacier fjords or at the calving front, they are instead used to give a broad-scale indication of temperature change with depth in the water column on the continental shelf (Carr et al., 2017). Ocean potential temperatures were sampled for depths of 5 and 200 m in order to capture changes within the different water masses, specifically the 5 m depth data were chosen to represent the surface mixed layer, which is primarily composed of Polar Surface Waters (PSW) and is thus referred to as PSW henceforth, and 200 m depth data were chosen to include the upper layers of AW (e.g., Straneo et al., 2012; Sutherland et al., 2014). The PSW can impact glacier dynamics via its impact on the ice mélange (e.g., Bevan et al., 2019) and/or undercutting of the calving front, leading to calving at the water line (Benn et al., 2007), while warmer ocean temperatures at 200 m depth can cause ice loss via enhanced subaqueous melt across the calving face and/or via their interaction with subglacial plumes (e.g., Straneo et al., 2012; Straneo & Heimbach, 2013).



Ocean potential temperature root-mean-squared-errors range between 0.32 and 1.04°C at depths of 0, 100, 300, 800, and 2,000 m (Xie & Bertino, 2020). For regional analysis, anomalies in monthly mean temperatures were subsequently calculated relative to the 1991–2019 baseline period, 1991 being the earliest available date in the TOPAZ record and 2019 being the last available date. To provide confidence in the use of modeled TOPAZ data, these are compared to observed temperature profile data obtained from Airborne eXpendable Conductivity Temperature Depth (AXCTD) casts from NASA's Oceans Melting Greenland mission (Fenty et al., 2016; OMG, 2019). Though the modeled and observed data represent different spatial and temporal scales, a significant correlation (r = 0.61, p = <0.01) between these two independent datasets is observed (Figures S3–S11 in Supporting Information S1). For the specific depths used in this study both show a significant correlation (5 m r = 0.89, p = <0.01; 200 m r = 0.52, p = <0.01; Figures S3b and S3f in Supporting Information S1), and have a mean (standard deviation) error of 0.8° C (0.6° C) for 5 m depths and 1.9° C (1.6° C) for 200 m depths, respectively. While the differences in spatial and temporal scales preclude a more direct comparison of the datasets, these results provide confidence that the use of modeled TOPAZ data is appropriate for East Greenland.

2.3.4. Mélange and Sea Ice Conditions

Given the difficulties in distinguishing between sea ice and ice mélange purely from remote sensing measurements, we followed previous studies (e.g., Fried et al., 2018; Moon et al., 2015) and referred to all ice immediately seaward of the terminus as mélange, even though in some scenarios this mélange may be more akin to seasonal sea ice. Following Moon et al. (2015), we characterized the timing of mélange coverage by tracking mélange conditions in each fjord throughout our observational period using our Landsat imagery. For each image, the near-terminus region of each glacier (typically within 5 km) was classified as: (a) (likely) rigid when there was (near-)complete mélange coverage and little relative motion of the mélange between near-time images; (b) potentially rigid (mixed) when there was evidence of fractures in the mélange and/or some mélange motion between near-time images; or (c) unlikely rigid (open) when there was open water adjacent to the calving front and/or extensive mélange motion between near-time images (e.g., Davison et al., 2020; Moon et al., 2015).

As well as these individual measurements, periods of consistent mélange conditions were classified where the observed conditions persisted for at least 14 days and there were three or more observations during the time period (Moon et al., 2015). It was assumed that if two observations of the same mélange condition were made, the condition was maintained between observations. This method allows for the tracking of mélange conditions and corresponding terminus change, irrespective of the time of year. From our classification of consistent mélange conditions, we compiled a total 412 mélange/terminus observation intervals, with an average of 17 ($\sigma = 3$) intervals per glacier. The data set comprises of 167 rigid periods, 72 mixed periods and 173 open periods. Following Moon et al. (2015), we remove observation windows that coincide with no noticeable terminus change, set to twice our uncertainty in ice front position (<10 m, i.e., >2 σ), which removes 10%, 17%, and 4% of our observations for rigid, mixed and open conditions, respectively.

To better constrain broad regional sea ice patterns, this visual record was augmented with data from sea ice charts provided by the US National Ice Center (NIC) (https://usicecenter.gov/Products/ArcticData). The sea ice charts are compiled from a range of remotely sensed and directly measured data sources, have a spatial resolution of up to 50 m, and are provided at subweekly to biweekly temporal resolution (e.g., Carr et al., 2013). The estimated accuracy of sea ice concentrations is $\pm 10\%$ (Partington et al., 2003). Sea ice fraction values were sampled as close to the glacier terminus as possible from a polygon mirroring the width of the glacier terminus and, depending on fjord length, up to 5 km perpendicular to it (e.g., Carr et al., 2013). For regional analysis, data were resampled to a monthly resolution by taking the average of all measurements within the monthly time period (two to four measurements). These data were subsequently used to calculate the number of ice-free months, defined here as months with sea-ice concentrations of <10% (e.g., Carr et al., 2017).

2.4. Glacier-Specific Factors

We analyzed differences in glacier-specific factors across the 69°N boundary, specifically catchment area; width; ice thickness at the grounding line; mean ice velocities over the 8 years study period; mean ice flux over the 8 years study period; ice surface slope; bed slope; access of AW to the glacier terminus; and the change in fjord width between the least and most retreated terminus position. Catchment area was calculated using the basin outlines of Mouginot and Rignot (2019). Glacier width was calculated from the average of all terminus positions





Figure 3. Overview maps of east coast marine-terminating glaciers in the study showing (a) total frontal position change between 2013 and 2020; (b) mean 8-year annual terminus range; and (c) mean 8-year glacier velocity.

for each glacier, except for Kangerlussuaq, where we used the average width across the fjord, between the least and most retreated frontal positions during the study period, as terminus traces did not reach the fjord walls. We calculated the mean ice thickness, bed depth, ice surface slope and bed slope within the area occupied by the glacier termini during the study period (i.e., March 2013—October 2020). Ice thickness and bed depth were calculated from the thickness and basal topography layers of BedMachine v3 (Morlighem et al., 2017a, 2017b), respectively, while the ice surface slope and bed slope were calculated from BedMachine's surface and bed layers, in degrees. Mean velocities were averaged for the 8-year study period using the monthly data described in Section 2.2. Mean ice flux was calculated by multiplying glacier width, grounding line thickness and mean ice velocity. Within the envelope of frontal positions occupied by each glacier during the study period, we identified whether a reverse (i.e., inland) sloping bed was present using BedMachine v3 data, and the inland change in fjord width, using the categorization of Carr et al. (2014) and Bunce et al. (2018). Finally, we categorized the study glaciers based on fjord geometry and water properties, following Wood et al. (2021): where DW indicates the presence of AW; CR indicates shallow ridges; SC indicates a shallow cold fjord with polar water; and NC indicates noncatergorized, due to lack of data (Wood et al., 2021).

3. Results

3.1. Terminus Change

Between 2013 and 2020, 19 of 24 study glaciers underwent retreat (Figure 3a). The magnitude of retreat varied between glaciers, ranging from 65 m at Courtauld to more than 3,500 m at Unartit and Kangerlussuaq. Five glaciers underwent advance during the study period, with the largest advance of \sim 100 m occurring at Vestfjord (Table 1).

We observed marked differences in interannual patterns of frontal position changes between glaciers located to the north and the south of 69°N (Figure 4a). Glaciers to the south of 69°N showed a coherent regional pattern



Table 1

Terminus Change Data for Studied Glaciers

						Annual retreat (m)						
Glacier	Latitude	Longitude	8-year mean annual terminus range (m)	Total retreat (m)	Retreat rate (m a ⁻¹)	2013– 2014	2014– 2015	2015– 2016	2016– 2017	2017– 2018	2018– 2019	2019– 2020
KRU	67.22	-33.77	705	957	138	301	-199	117	33	328	571	-193
UN	67.43	-33.53	1,562	3,867	553	1,345	225	987	-298	328	1,447	-167
UA	67.56	-33.46	455	130	19	281	-16	-338	23	314	78	-210
UB	67.62	-33.38	902	1,474	211	382	-903	823	-192	553	528	283
PB	67.85	-32.64	255	1,244	178	159	-30	131	249	434	209	92
APU	67.89	-32.48	183	-14	-2	153	-166	48	31	27	-13	-95
FRE	68.28	-31.51	556	271	38	79	-195	52	-61	165	63	168
CIV	68.38	-30.12	204	-41	-6	22	-50	34	5	54	7	-112
COU	68.51	-32.21	80	65	9	40	-17	56	19	-50	39	-22
KAN	68.62	-32.97	3,142	3,604	519	551	-1,506	794	1,199	2,841	66	-341
STY	68.63	-32.44	181	575	82	65	-33	-14	212	143	87	116
Mean	-	-	748	1,103	158	307	-263	244	111	467	280	-44
Median	-	-	455	575	82	159	-50	56	23	314	78	-95
Sum	-	-	-	12,132	-	3,378	-2,890	2,689	1,219	5,135	3,081	-481
Retreat %	-	-	-	82	-	100	9	82	73	91	91	36
VES	70.38	-29.12	357	-102	-15	-19	-27	110	-73	-40	148	-201
ROL	70.58	-28.31	483	306	43	-191	494	-16	-324	35	87	221
ESN	71.14	-27.88	109	547	-78	24	64	77	82	115	111	75
DAU	71.90	-28.63	1,334	423	60	23	-112	101	143	550	202	-484
CHR	72.05	-28.89	119	-18	-3	-61	80	-15	-26	22	23	-42
FGR	72.12	-28.70	194	242	35	131	62	-69	82	18	-30	48
HIS	72.84	-27.45	201	352	50	-1	94	81	60	-10	46	82
NOR	73.13	-27.75	168	111	16	74	-39	-59	1	-56	113	76
JAT	73.45	-27.45	147	338	49	317	3	48	-42	15	31	-34
GDG	73.51	-27.24	291	-13	-2	-98	85	-80	55	-98	87	37
WTH	73.84	-24.25	122	87	13	41	12	14	18	-59	58	3
NUN	73.95	-25.84	234	169	24	79	-4	15	159	-111	8	23
HKL	75.17	-22.51	107	287	41	45	27	68	47	-57	48	110
Mean	-	-	297	210	18	28	57	21	14	25	72	-7
Median	-	-	194	242	24	24	27	15	47	-10	58	37
Sum	-	-	-	2,729	-	365	740	275	180	325	931	-87
Retreat %	-	-	-	77	-	62	69	62	69	46	92	69

Note. Glaciers are ordered from most southern to most northern latitude. Late melt season imagery was used to calculate total retreat (30 September \pm 23 days) and annual retreat (30 September \pm 30 days), dependent upon image availability. Negative values indicate glacier advance.

of behavior between 2013 and 2019. Terminus retreat in 2014 was offset by terminus advance in 2015, before entering a period of persistent retreat between 2016 and 2019 (Figures 4 and 5). During the 2016-19 period of high retreat, mean regional retreat rates exceeded 100 m a⁻¹ and peaked at 467 m a⁻¹ in 2018 (Figure 5; Table 1). In 2020, the 11 glaciers south of 69°N showed greater variability than in previous years, with 63% of glaciers advancing and 36% retreating, but regionally net advanced occurred (Figure 5; Table 1). As a result of these interannual patterns of behavior between 2013 and 2020, the 11 glaciers south of 69°N retreated on average ~1100 m, with an average retreat rate of ~160 m a⁻¹ (Table 1). Two southern glaciers, Apuliliip Apusiia and Christian IV, showed minor advanced (Table 1). In contrast, glaciers above 69°N exhibited much lower average retreat rates





Figure 4. Patterns of terminus retreat and velocity for all tidewater glaciers. (a) Full record of terminus positions time series, relative to 8-year mean. (b) Mean terminus position of glaciers $<69^{\circ}$ N (blue) and $>69^{\circ}$ N (green) from weekly interpolated data of (a). (c) Weekly averaged normalized terminus positions. (d–f) as in (a–c) but for velocity. (See Figures S38–S41 in Supporting Information S1 for further detail on regional variability and normalization).

(30 m a⁻¹) for the study period than those in the south (~160 m a⁻¹), but showed higher variability between individual glaciers, meaning there was no clear, coherent pattern of behavior for glaciers north of 69°N (Figure 5; Table 1). Furthermore, in some years, such as 2018, the overall retreat signal was dominated by a single glacier (Daugaard-Jensen), which masked small advances of multiple glaciers across the region (Figures 4c and 5c; Table 1). Above 69°N, retreat rates were highest in 2019, where 11 out of 13 glaciers showed net retreat (Nunatak-gletscher changed by less than 2 σ of observational uncertainty), with a regional average retreat rate of ~70 m a⁻¹. Between 2013 and 2020, the 13 glaciers above 69°N retreated on average ~210 m, with an average retreat rate of ~30 m a⁻¹ (Table 1). Three glaciers, Vestfjord, Charcot, and Gerard de Geer, showed minor advance.

Intra-annual or seasonal variations were evident on all glaciers (Figure 4; Figures S12–S35 in Supporting Information S1), although there were differences in the timings of these variations between glaciers and years. For glaciers above 69°N, the onset of retreat tended to occur between May and July, and the onset of advance tended to occur between August and October (Figure 4 and Figure S28 in Supporting Information S1). For glaciers





Figure 5. Annual frontal change observed for (a) all glaciers, (b) glaciers $<69^{\circ}$ N, and (c) glaciers $>69^{\circ}$ N. Note differing *y*-axis between plots. Orange and red lines represent the annual regional change for glaciers $<69^{\circ}$ N and glaciers $>69^{\circ}$ N, respectively.

below 69°N retreat onset occurred over a broader period of April to August, and was often coincided with the weakening or removal of the mélange (Figure 4 and Figure S27 in Supporting Information S1). The onset of advance occasionally started in August for a small number of glaciers, such as Unnamed A and Courtauld, but tended to occur between September and November for glaciers below 69°N, although we may miss the retreat/ advance transition if it occurred during the winter season where no margins were detected due to poor solar illumination. The magnitude of seasonal frontal position variations also varied by glacier, with seasonal cycles ranging from 80 m to over 3,000 m with a mean of 477 m for all glaciers (Figure 3c; Table 1). There was no significant difference at the 95% level in the means of the seasonal cycles between glaciers north and south of 69°N. Rather, the magnitude of seasonal advance and retreat cycles showed significant correlation at the 99% level to glacier velocity (Figure 6), indicating that seasonal cycles are broadly similar between glaciers and regions.





Figure 6. Scatterplot showing relationships between mean 8-year glacier velocity and mean 8-year annual terminus range.

Notwithstanding the broad seasonal patterns, there was a noticeable change in seasonal behavior between summer 2016 and spring 2017 for several glaciers below 69°N (Figures 4b and 4c). During this period, seven of the 11 glaciers showed over winter retreat and/or a reduced readvance through the early part of 2017. A similar pattern was also observed in 2017/2018 in four of the 11 glaciers below 69°N. These changes were particularly marked at Kangerlussuaq, which retreated by ~7.5 km between June 2016 and June 2018 and accelerated by 15% during this period (Figure 4 and Figure S21 in Supporting Information S1). Glaciers above 69°N showed no noticeable change in their seasonal behavior during this period, where winter through spring advance occurred (Figures 4b and 4c).

3.2. Ice Velocity Change

The difference in patterns of terminus change above and below 69°N is reflected in the regional velocity records (Figures 4e and 4f). Ice velocities on glaciers south of 69°N generally decreased between 2013 and 2015, coincident with limited terminus position changes (Figures 4b and 4c). Ice velocities

then increased during late 2016 and through to 2020, as glaciers began to retreat more rapidly (Figures 4b and 4c). Summer (July) velocities in 2020 were between \sim 5 and \sim 60% higher than in 2016 for seven out of the 11 glaciers. The remaining four glaciers located south of 69°N showed either negligible change (Apuliliip Apusiia, Styrtegletsjer) or a velocity decrease (Christian IV and Courtauld) for the same period. For glaciers above 69°N, there was a regional increase in velocity between 2013 and mid 2019, coinciding with the general retreat of these glaciers (Figures 4b and 4c). Starting in late 2019, surface velocities decreased north of 69°N and in 2020, velocities are comparable to those in 2014/2015 (Figures 4e and 4f).

3.3. Climatic and Oceanic Forcing

3.3.1. Air Temperatures and Runoff

Here, we evaluate whether the interannual contrasts in glacier dynamics on either side of 69°N are influenced by trends in environmental forcing factors. Air temperatures and their anomalies are statistically correlated at the 99% level for the three meteorological stations across our study period (Figures 7b and 7c), indicating that air temperature changes are regional. A period of anomalously warm air temperature from March 2016 through to December 2016 occurred at all three stations, with anomalies relative to the 2002–2020 mean (2002 being the earliest common date for all three meteorological stations) peaking at +2.9°C in October at Aputiteeq (furthest south) and +7.1°C in November at Daneborg (furthest north). Air temperatures were also anomalously warm at Aputiteeq in February (+4.4°C) and March (+0.8°C) 2017 and at Mitt. Nerlerit Inaat (+3.6°C) and Daneborg (+2.0°C) in February 2017. Similarly, anomalously warm air temperatures were noted between September 2017 and March 2018, peaking in February 2018 between +3.7°C and +7.9°C at Aputiteeq and Daneborg, respectively. Earlier in the record, there was a period of anomalously warm air temperatures between December 2013 and March 2014, with anomalies of +5.0°C and +7.8°C observed in January 2014 at Aputiteeq and Daneborg, respectively.

Relative cumulative runoff patterns are similar between glaciers north and south of 69° N, but vary in magnitude (Figures 7d and 7e). For glaciers below 69° N runoff was high in 2014 (~16 Gt), 2016 (~18 Gt), and 2019 (~19 Gt) and reduced (~10–13 Gt) in the remaining years. Runoff was lowest in 2018 (~10 Gt). For glaciers above 69° N, cumulative annual runoff increased from ~10–11 Gt in 2013 through 2015 to ~16 Gt in 2016. Cumulative runoff reduced to ~6–8 Gt in 2017 and 2018 before peaking at 18 Gt in 2019. Cumulative runoff was again reduced in 2020 at 10 Gt (Figures 7d and 7e).

3.3.2. Ocean Temperatures

Near-surface waters on the continental shelf were exceptionally warm in 2016 (Figures S47 in Supporting Information S1). Modeled ocean potential temperatures at a depth of 5 m for waters below 69°N were above average between May 2016 and February 2017: they were over +3.6°C warmer than the 1991–2019 mean between July and August 2016 (Figures 8b and 8c). Similar anomalously warm periods of ocean temperature at 5 m depth occurred between June 2018 and December 2018 and between April 2019 and November 2019 for glaciers below





Figure 7. Regional glacier frontal position and atmospheric forcing factors. (a) Weekly averaged terminus position of glaciers $<69^{\circ}N$ (blue) and $>69^{\circ}N$ (green) (from Figure 4b). (b) Monthly mean surface air temperatures at Aputiteeq, Mitt. Nerlerit Inaat and Daneborg meteorological stations. (c) Anomalies (baseline 2002–2020) in monthly mean surface air temperatures of meteorological stations shown in (b). (d) Daily runoff totals, smoothed by a 31-day running mean, for glaciers $<69^{\circ}N$ (navy) and $>69^{\circ}N$ (teal). (e) Cumulative daily runoff for regions shown in (d). (See Figure S42 in Supporting Information S1 for individual glacier records for (d)).

69°N, with temperatures peaking at +2.7°C and +2.8°C warmer than average respectively. A less intense period of anomalously warm water at 5 m depth also occurred between July 2014 and December 2014 for glaciers below 69°N. For waters at 5 m depth above 69°N, there was also a period of above average temperature between June 2016 and December 2016, peaking at +3.9°C above average temperature in August 2016 (Figures 8b and 8c). Shorter and less intense periods of anomalously warm water at 5 m depth also occurred in spring through summer in all years apart from 2015 for glaciers below 69°N.

Unlike the modeled ocean potential temperatures at a depth of 5 m, modeled continental shelf waters at 200 m depth show little deviation from their 1991–2019 average (1991 being the earliest available and 2019 being the last available date in the TOPAZ record) for waters above 69°N between 2013 and 2016 (Figure 8c). These waters have increased in temperature since 2017, peaking at +0.8°C warmer than average in September 2019. However, absolute temperatures are consistently below 0°C (Figure 8c and Figure S35 in Supporting Information S1). In contrast, modeled continental shelf waters at 200 m depth below 69°N have been increasing since 2015, warming by ~2°C during this period (Figure 8b; Figure S35 in Supporting Information S1), with a peak absolute temperature of +1.9°C occurring in December 2018. This general temperature increase has resulted in several months of anomalously warm water at 200 m depth between 2016 and 2019 (Figure 8c and S48 in Supporting





Figure 8. Regional glacier frontal position and oceanic forcing factors. (a) Weekly averaged terminus position of glaciers $<69^{\circ}N$ (blue) and $>69^{\circ}N$ (green) (from Figure 4b). (b) Average monthly 5 and 200 m modeled ocean potential temperatures for glaciers $<69^{\circ}N$ (pink) and $>69^{\circ}N$ (blue). (c) Anomalies (baseline 1991–2019) in monthly 5 and 200 m mean sea surface temperatures for regions shown in (b). (d) Average monthly sea ice fraction for glaciers $<69^{\circ}N$ (gray) and $>69^{\circ}N$ (brown). (e) Number of ice-free months for regions shown in (d). (See Figures S43–S45 in Supporting Information S1 for individual glacier records for (b), and (d)).

Information S1). A particularly extensive period of anomalously warm water has occurred between May 2018 through to the end of our record in November 2019, peaking at +3.1°C above average in January 2019 (Figure 8c).

3.3.3. Terminus Correspondence to Mélange and Sea Ice

We first assessed whether our monthly sea ice data showed a difference in the seasonality of regional sea ice patterns above and below 69°N (Figure 8d). For glaciers above 69°N, sea ice usually reaches 100% coverage between November and January, before starting to decline between May and July. Sea ice coverage generally reaches a minimum in September and October when ice free (<10% ice coverage) periods occur in six out of the 8 years (2013, 2014, 2016, 2018, 2019, and 2020). For glaciers below 69°N sea ice generally reaches 100% coverage between February and April, before starting to decline between May and June. Sea ice coverage generally reaches a minimum in August and September, but regional ice-free conditions only occur for two (2016 and 2018) out of the 8 years (Figure 8e). Between 2016 and 2020 there is a noticeable reduction in regional sea ice below 69°N, where winter maximum ice coverage occurs for shorter periods and summer minima has less sea ice coverage (Figures 8d and 8e; Figure S45 in Supporting Information S1).

Following Moon et al. (2015), we also assessed the correspondence between terminus position change and the ice mélange by classifying intervals of consistent (\geq 14 days) rigid, mixed and open mélange between our





Figure 9. Frontal change observed during consistent mélange conditions for (a) all glaciers, (b) glaciers <69°N, and (c) glaciers >69°N. Note differing *y*-axis between plots. (See Figure S46 in Supporting Information S1 for break-down of frontal change data for individual glaciers).

satellite images (see Section 2.3.4). Most commonly, we recorded one period of rigid and one period of open conditions per year (Figure 9; Figures S36 and S37 in Supporting Information S1). Mixed conditions were observed intermittently at most glaciers. For all glaciers in the study area, during rigid conditions with terminus change above the error (change >10 m), 10% of the duration of rigid conditions were associated with terminus retreat, while 90% of the time were associated with glacier advance (Figure 9). On average, the terminus advanced 195 m over the average observation window of 81 days of rigid mélange conditions. During mixed mélange, 28% of the time mixed conditions lasted for 30 days and the termini advanced by an average of 164 m (Figure 9). For open mélange, 96% of the time open conditions were characterized by glacier retreat, and 4% of the time by glacier advance. The average length of our observation window for open conditions was



95 days with mean retreat of 271 m (Figure 9). Regionally, glaciers showed the same type of terminus response to rigid and open conditions and only vary in their magnitude of change, with glaciers below 69°N advancing/ retreating further than those above 69 °N (Figure 9). However, some differences occurred in terminus behavior under mixed conditions for glaciers located north versus south of 69°N. For glaciers below 69°N during mixed conditions, 16% of observation intervals coincided with glacier retreat and 84% of observation intervals with glacier advance, with the terminus advancing 289 m on average. For glaciers above 69°N, 45% of mixed condition intervals coincided with periods of retreat and 55% of observation intervals with periods of advance, with the terminus retreating 9 m on average. Thus, glaciers located south of 69°N were far more likely to advance under mixed mélange conditions than those to the north and the magnitude of their terminus position change was far higher (Figure 9).

3.4. Glacier-Specific Factors

We observed no significant differences between our northern and southern study glaciers for catchment area, terminus width, ice thickness, bed depth, ice surface, or bed slope (Table 2). However, we did observe a significant difference in 8-year mean ice velocities, and hence ice flux, with glaciers south of 69°N flowing significantly faster than those to the north (Table 2). A greater proportion of glaciers south of 69°N were located on reverse bed slopes (5 out of 11) versus north of 69°N (3 out of 13). Overall, reverse bed slopes were associated with greater glacier retreat: of the five largest glacier retreats, four glaciers retreated down reverse slopes (Table 2). However, this was not universal, as glaciers on reverse slopes also underwent moderate to limited retreat and Vestfjord had the greatest advance, despite being on a reverse slope (Table 2). The fjord bathymetry/water properties categorization was very similar either side of 69°N and the glaciers that underwent the greatest retreat were categorized as either "non-categorized" or terminating in "deep warm water", while those that advanced were "calving ridges," "shallow cold" or "noncategorized" (Table 2). Inland changes in fjord width were very similar on either side of 69°N and showed no clear relationship to glacier retreat magnitude, with almost all glaciers retreating between parallel fjord walls (Table 2).

4. Discussion

4.1. Contrasting Glacier Dynamics North and South of 69°N

Our high temporal resolution data enable us to quantify the seasonal cycle in glacier frontal position for glaciers located north and south of 69°N. Our findings demonstrate that all east Greenland glaciers exhibit seasonal advance and retreat cycles proportional to glacier velocity (Figure 6), but there is a distinct difference between the interannual trends in frontal position north and south of 69°N throughout our study period (Figure 4). We find that glaciers above 69°N showed either limited or gradual changes in frontal position and ice velocity over time (e.g., Figures 4b and 4c; Table 1). This contrasts with glaciers south of 69°N, where widespread retreat and glacier acceleration was observed between 2016 and 2019, following a period of relative stability in mean annual frontal position between 2013 and 2015 (Figure 4; Table 1). The observed contrasting behavior in glacier dynamics between glaciers above and below 69°N demonstrates a persistent difference in regional glacier behavior and dynamics from at least 2000 along Greenland's east coast (e.g., Howat et al., 2008; Murray et al., 2010; Murray et al., 2015; Rignot & Kanagaratnam, 2006; Seale et al., 2011). Furthermore, our results indicate that the recent observed retreat at Kangerlussuaq (e.g., Bevan et al., 2019; Brough et al., 2019) was not isolated, and was part of a wider regional phase of retreat suggesting that these glaciers may have been responding to regional changes in environmental forcing(s). Similar observations have also been recently observed at peripheral glaciers along Greenland's south east coast (Liu et al. (2022)).

4.2. Climatic and Oceanic Controls on Glacier Changes

Previous work (Seale et al., 2011) identified ocean forcing as a primary control on outlet glacier retreat in east Greenland and the underlying driver of the boundary in glacier behavior either side of 69°N. Our high temporal resolution data enable us to assess the exact timings of the various forcing factors and the resultant changes in glacier dynamics, and hence to determine how observed interannual ocean work drives glacier change in east Greenland. Specifically, our work highlights the role of winter-time conditions in driving net glacier retreat and acceleration: the onset of retreat south of 69°N was coincident with a change in the seasonal pattern of glacier advance and retreat, with seven of the 11 glaciers showing over-winter retreat and/or a reduced terminus readvance through the early part of 2017 (Figure 4c; Figure S36 in Supporting Information S1). Furthermore, these glaciers showed over-winter increases in velocity (Figure 4f; Figure S36 in Supporting Information S1).



Table 2

Glacier Specific Factors for Studied Glaciers

Glacier	Total retreat (m)	Catchment area (km ²)	Width (km)	Ice thickness (m)	Bed depth (m)	8-year velocity mean (m d ⁻¹)	8-year mean ice flux (km a ⁻¹)	Ice surface slope (°)	Bed slope (°)	Reverse sloping bed?	Bathymetry/ water properties	Inland width change
KRU	957	1561.69	2.15	86.77	-68.06	4 7	0.320	2.93	5.03	Y	NC	5
UN	3.867	3049.83	3.59	260.25	-167.04	8.9	3 035	3.68	9.24	Y	NC	3
UA	130	N/A	2.6	168.70	-352.75	4.0	0.640	11.24	14.72	Y	NC	5
UB	1.474	N/A	3.52	232.40	-309.99	6.9	2.060	2.82	8.68	Y	NC	5
PB	1.244	N/A	4.01	19.07	32.75	2.1	0.059	3.80	3.89	Ν	NC	5
APU	-14	N/A	8.90	97.28	-181.80	3.3	1.043	6.80	5.54	Ν	CR	5
FRE	271	2820.54	2.05	56.13	-32.66	3.8	0.160	3.89	4.63	Ν	SC	5
CIV	-41	11585.53	10.53	62.97	-210.05	5.5	1.331	5.47	8.27	Ν	CR	5
COU	65	319.19	1.71	13.71	5.71	0.3	0.003	8.10	5.72	Ν	NC	5
KAN	3,604	50714.48	7.32	368.30	-706.42	17.1	16.827	1.90	13.16	Y	DW	2
STY	575	472.73	1.42	9.30	44.43	1.1	0.005	5.12	5.10	Ν	NC	5
VES	-102	11215.17	3.41	51.79	-104.33	4.3	0.277	8.45	3.97	Y	CR	5
ROL	306	N/A	2.96	103.98	-160.44	1.9	0.213	6.33	4.84	Ν	NC	5
ESN	547	N/A	2.64	0.99	46.84	0.3	0.000	3.34	3.34	Ν	NC	5
DAU	423	49925.92	5.31	385.83	-461.28	7.5	5.608	2.04	8.89	Y	CR	5
CHR	-18	1152.19	1.71	9.56	8.44	0.7	0.004	5.26	4.66	Ν	NC	5
FGR	242	5507.33	2.39	325.31	-441.67	2.9	0.823	6.57	13.84	Y	DW	5
HIS	352	2871.22	1.93	10.00	40.75	0.4	0.003	3.63	3.62	Ν	NC	5
NOR	111	4076.63	2.42	51.08	-23.60	0.9	0.041	5.30	11.27	Ν	NC	5
JAT	338	5528.91	2.46	48.94	-20.64	0.7	0.031	3.57	10.78	Ν	NC	5
GDG	-13	13,468.32	3.86	35.58	-31.65	2.1	0.105	2.90	2.34	Ν	SC	5
WTH	87	24,130.82	10.63	15.91	2.89	0.4	0.057	3.28	3.19	Ν	SC	5
NUN	169	9096.43	3.12	6.72	21.90	1.0	0.008	4.30	3.89	Ν	NC	5
HKL	287	9879.34	2.05	0.94	27.79	0.3	0.000	3.87	3.87	Ν	NC	5
Wilcoxon test <i>p</i> -value	_	0.1791	0.6849	0.1178	0.2024	0.0160	0.0455	0.7721	0.0874	_	-	_

Note. Catchment area was calculated from the basins of Mouginot and Rignot (2019). Glacier width was calculated from the average of all terminus positions for each glacier apart from Kangerlussuaq (KAN), where an average across-fjord width between the most advanced and retreated terminus position is used, as terminus traces do not extend the full fjord width. Mean ice thickness, bed depth, ice surface slope and bed slope were calculated within the area occupied by our terminus positions during the study period and ice and bed geometry data were obtained from BedMachine v3 (Morlighem et al., 2017a, 2017b). Mean 8-year velocity was calculated using monthly interpolated velocity data (Figure 4) and mean 8-year ice flux was calculated by multiplying glacier width, grounding line thickness and mean ice velocity. Following Carr et al. (2014), we categorized the bed slope and fjord width change experience by each glacier during the study period. Glaciers were also categorized by fjord geometry and water properties, following Wood et al. (2021): where DW indicates the presence of AW; CR indicates shallow ridges; SC indicates a shallow cold fjord with polar water and; NC indicates noncatergorized. The final row shows the *P*-Value for the Wilcoxon test, which was used to identify significant differences between glaciers above and below 69°N. A *P*-value of ≤ 0.05 indicates a significant difference and are highlighted bold.

Changes in terminus position and velocity south of 69°N were coincident with a period of marked climatic and oceanic changes that persisted through winter, including anomalously warm atmospheric temperatures and modeled near-surface PSW (5 m depth) and AW (200 m depth) oceanic temperatures; high runoff; and a marked decline in sea ice/mélange (Figure 8). These conditions differed from previous periods when atmospheric and/or modeled near-surface (5 m depth) ocean temperatures were similarly high (e.g., 2013/2014) because warm conditions continued throughout the winter months (December/January/February; Figure 8). The continuously warm atmospheric and ocean temperatures appear to have delayed and/or disrupted the formation of mélange, which was typically associated with the seasonal onset of glacier advance (Figure 9 and Figure S36 in Supporting Information S1). Thus, delayed mélange formation may have allowed retreat to continue throughout winter, and into early spring (Figure 4c). This corresponds to the proposed mechanisms



driving retreat at Kangerlussuaq over winters 2016/17 and 2017/18 (Bevan et al., 2019) and suggests that delayed and/or weakened mélange formation in response to warmer near-surface ocean temperatures (5 m) is a persistent control on ice loss for the glaciers located south of 69°N. Furthermore, warmer near-surface ocean temperatures and reduced ice mélange occurred after high air temperatures and runoff in the summer of 2016. These may have preconditioned the glaciers to retreat through surface melt driven thinning and/or increased terminus melt due to increased subglacial discharge and enhanced plume flow (e.g., Christoffersen et al., 2012; Jenkins, 2011; Motyka et al., 2003)—the latter of which may have also contributed to the weakening/melting of mélange. Therefore, a combination of forcings may have acted together to decrease terminus stability and promote above average seasonal retreat in winter 2016/17, in turn leading to net terminus retreat. Our seasonal data highlight the importance of winter conditions in driving glacier retreat, which has received much less scientific attention than summer forcing, and should be investigated elsewhere on the Greenland Ice Sheet.

In contrast to the reduced advance in winter 2016 through to spring 2017, the majority of glaciers south of 69°N experienced sustained spring-time readvances in 2018 and 2019 (Figure 4c). High annual retreat rates in 2018 and 2019 resulted from large summer through autumn retreat (Figure 4c) that coincided with periods of anomalously warm ocean temperatures near the surface and at depth, and with reduced sea ice coverage (Figure 8). Thus, we suggest that retreat in 2018 and 2019 was driven by warmer ocean temperatures. Surface runoff south of 69°N was also high during 2019, ranking second highest on record within the 1948–2019 period (Tedesco & Fettweis, 2020). However, it was at a minimum for our study period in 2018, which coincides with the period of maximum annual retreat observed in our study area (Figure 5a), suggesting that surface runoff is not the primary driver of the 2016-19 retreat.

One noticeable exception to this pattern of summer through autumn retreat was observed at Kangerlussuaq. As previously reported (Bevan et al., 2019; Brough et al., 2019), the glacier underwent a further phase of sustained calving during winter 2017 and summer 2018, with calving coinciding with mélange break-up and dispersal (Figure S21 in Supporting Information S1; Bevan et al., 2019). Between 2018 and 2020, the annual terminus position remained roughly stable and the glacier returned to winter advance and summer retreat cycles. The glacier also stopped accelerating in 2018, but velocities remained elevated relative to their preretreat values (Figure S21 in Supporting Information S1). The return to multimonth terminus advance over winter in 2018 and 2019 coincided with the mélange remaining in place over winter (Figure S21 in Supporting Information S1) and has lead to the suggestion that the behavior of Kangerlussuaq is predominantly controlled by the presence, or lack thereof, of mélange (Bevan et al., 2019). Furthermore, the observed retreat between 2016 and 2018 left the ice front/grounding line at the base of a bedrock ridge (Bevan et al., 2019; Brough et al., 2019), which may have acted to, at least temporarily, promote stability (e.g., Gudmundsson et al., 2012; Schoof, 2007; Weertman, 1974) and dampen the glacier's response to subsequent environmental forcing.

In contrast to glaciers below 69°N, interannual retreat of glaciers above 69°N was more modest, with an average retreat of ~200 m. Despite experiencing similar atmospheric conditions, modeled oceanic temperature increases and changes in sea ice/mélange above 69°N were not as marked or prolonged, and deeper ocean temperatures rarely exceeded 0°C (Figures 7 and 8; Figure S44 in Supporting Information S1). The more gradual and subdued glacier changes observed above 69°N relative to glaciers further south may therefore reflect the fact that these glaciers were not as exposed to the inflow of warmer oceanic water from the North Atlantic (e.g., Straneo & Heimbach, 2013). Furthermore, despite being subject to similar forcing, our high temporal resolution frontal position data show that retreat patterns were asynchronous and were characterized by more year-on-year variability between glaciers (Figure 5c; Table 1). Rather than showing a regional response to environmental forcing, retreat patterns observed on glaciers above 69°N appear to be more closely governed by glacier-specific factors which act to enhance/ suppress the glacier response to external forcings (e.g., McFadden et al., 2011; Walsh et al., 2012). However, a clear exception was observed in 2019, where 12 out of the 13 glaciers underwent annual retreat (Figure 5c; Table 1). As noted previously, ice-sheet-wide runoff was the second highest on record within the 1948–2019 period in 2019 (Tedesco & Fettweis, 2020); thus, it is plausible that enhanced surface runoff in 2019 (e.g., Figure 7) may have been sufficient to overcome glacier-specific factors, resulting in the observed region-wide retreat. Nonetheless, our overall findings affirm the ongoing importance of oceanic forcing in driving the difference in behavior of east Greenland glaciers above and below 69°N and emphasize the greater role of glacier-specific factors in modulating the response of glaciers north of 69°N to external forcing. We expand on these glacier-specific influences below.



4.3. Influence of Glacier-Specific Factors

For the majority of glacier-specific factors, we see no significant differences between glaciers located either side of 69°N (Table 2). This suggests that glacier specific-factors are not a major driver of this divide in glacier behavior and that it is primarily due to differences in external oceanic forcing, particularly the northern extent of warm waters within the Irminger Current (e.g., Howat et al., 2008; Murray et al., 2010; Seale et al., 2011). However, we do see significant differences in mean ice velocities, with the southern glaciers (mean: $5.3 \text{ m} \text{ d}^{-1}$) flowing three times faster than those in the north (mean: $1.8 \text{ m} \text{ d}^{-1}$). A greater proportion of glaciers south of 69°N was located on reverse bed slopes (Table 2), which is consistent with theory suggesting that such a scenario should facilitate more rapid retreat via a series of ice dynamic feedbacks when moving into deeper water. However, reverse bed slopes were associated with a range of changes in terminus position, including glaciers that underwent the most retreat and advance (Table 2). Thus, the impact of a reverse bed slope on glacier dynamics is variable within our study area, as has been observed elsewhere in Greenland (e.g., Bunce et al., 2018), and cannot alone account for observed differences in glacier dynamics across $69^{\circ}N$ (e.g., Schoof et al., 2017; Sergienko, 2022).

Fjord bathymetry and water characteristics were comparable on either side of 69°N, but may explain the retreat patterns observed at specific glaciers. For example, three of the five glaciers (Apuliliip Apusiia, Christian IV and Vestfjord) that showed small advances between 2013 and 2020 terminate on stabilizing bedrock/basal ridges (Wood et al., 2021). In these configurations, the glaciers are protected from warmer waters at depth until they are forced from these ridges, and undercutting by the oceans only affects the short floating extension of ice beyond the ridge (e.g., Wood et al., 2021). As well as variations in basal topography, changes in fjord geometry may also enhance/suppress glacier response to forcing (e.g., Jamieson et al., 2012; O'Neel et al., 2005; Raymond, 1996). This may explain the limited terminus retreat observed at Unnamed A, Frederiksborg and Courtauld: despite following similar interannual patterns of advance and retreat to other glaciers below 69°N (Figure 4c), their magnitude of retreat was smaller and none of these glaciers retreated beyond their average seasonal fluctuations (Table 1). All three of these glaciers remained on lateral pinning points in their respective fjords throughout our study period. A similar pattern of reduced inter-annual retreat for glaciers confined to lateral pinning points has also been observed in northwest Greenland (e.g., Carr et al., 2013). Variations in local bed and fjord geometry may therefore help explain the observed variability of glacier terminus change, and corresponding changes in velocity, for glaciers in close proximity. These observations corroborate the hypothesis that glacier retreat, especially when viewed over short timescales, is at least in part controlled by local variation in glacier-specific factors (e.g., Bartholomaus et al., 2016; Bunce et al., 2018; Carr et al., 2015; Catania et al., 2018; Cowton et al., 2018; Fahrner et al., 2021; Moon et al., 2012; Warren & Glasser, 1992).

4.4. Impact of Changes in Glacier Dynamics on Ice-Sheet-Scale Mass Loss and Sea Level Rise Contribution

Variability in terminus position, ice velocity and therefore ice discharge have major implications for ice sheet mass loss and hence contribution to sea level rise. For example, during the phase of rapid retreat and acceleration observed on south and central eastern Greenland glaciers between 2001 and 2005, Greenland-wide ice discharge increased from ~440 Gt a⁻¹ to between ~500 and 520 Gt a⁻¹ in 2005 (King et al., 2018; Mankoff et al., 2020). However, for the decade following this increase, ice discharge has been steady to declining in these regions (King et al., 2018; Mankoff et al., 2020). Much of this increase in ice discharge between 2001 and 2005 resulted from the retreat, corresponding doubling in speed, and subsequent diffusive thinning of Helheim Gletsjer and Kangerlussuaq (Howat et al., 2007; Luckman et al., 2006; Rignot & Kanagaratnam, 2006; Stearns & Hamilton, 2007). Although we see similar frontal position change at Kangerlussuaq between 2016 and 2018 as occurred between 2004 and 2005, increases in ice velocity (~15–30%) and ice discharge (~12%) were not as marked, with peak 2005 velocities and ice discharge remaining the highest observed at Kangerlussuaq (Bevan et al., 2019; Mankoff & Solgaard, 2020).

The changes observed at Kangerlussuaq also occur at the basin scale, where ice discharge from the central east basin of the ice sheet increased from \sim 76 Gt a⁻¹ in July 2016 to \sim 82 Gt a⁻¹ in July 2018 and was \sim 81 Gt a⁻¹ in July 2020 (Mankoff & Solgaard, 2020). Whilst recent changes in ice dynamics have resulted in a phase of increased sector ice discharge, they are below the peak ice discharge of \sim 88 Gt a⁻¹ recorded for the central east region during July 2005 (Mankoff et al., 2020). Nonetheless, these recent increases in ice discharge from the central east region, coupled with similar increases in ice discharge observed in the south east (e.g.,



Mankoff et al., 2020; Mouginot et al., 2019) appear to have offset declining ice discharge in the central west region—largely due to declining ice discharge from Sermeq Kujalleq (Jakobshavn Isbræ) likely resulting from ocean cooling (Khazendar et al., 2019)—and since 2018, the north west region (Mankoff et al., 2020). The annual rate of ice discharge sharply increased between 2000 and 2005, and has since then stayed at the elevated rate of discharge observed in 2005, which is ~10% above the 2000 discharge rate (King et al., 2020; Mankoff et al., 2020). This sustained period of enhanced ice discharge has resulted in the ice sheet losing mass even in years where surface mass balance is high (e.g., King et al., 2020; Mouginot et al., 2019; Sasgen et al., 2020). However, rather than indicating stability in ice-sheet-wide dynamics, these changes highlight that the centers of dynamic mass loss show spatial and temporal variability in response to past and current oceanic and atmospheric forcing (e.g., King et al., 2020; Moon & Joughin, 2008; Murray et al., 2015; Porter et al., 2018). Nonetheless, while centers of ice loss shift (e.g., the south east), some regional pattens persist, such as the divide observed at 69°N since at least 2000. Overlain on these regional signals, we have the response of individual glaciers, which can be modulated due to glacier specific factors (Section 4.3). Thus, it is important to assess patterns of glacier response to forcing at a range of scales from individual glaciers to the entire ice sheet.

5. Conclusions

Our intraannual time series of 24 east Greenland marine-terminating glaciers shows that while all glaciers exhibit seasonal advance and retreat cycles proportional to glacier velocity, there are distinct differences in behavior between those located north and south of 69°N. Glaciers south of 69°N underwent substantial multiyear retreat between 2016 and 2019, while those to the north showed minimal or gradual change in terminus position and velocity. The retreat to the south of 69°N coincides with warming of the ocean water column, while no substantial oceanic warming was observed to the north. Our data demonstrate that the contrasting glacier behavior observed either side of 69°N, first noted in the 2000s (e.g., Seale et al., 2011; Walsh et al., 2012), has persisted, most likely due to oceanic conditions, and impacts glacier dynamics at seasonal and interannual timescales.

South of 69°N, winter calving is a key control on multiyear retreat. Specifically, the delayed/disrupted formation of mélange that coincides with warm atmospheric and ocean temperatures in winter 2016 allowed termini to retreat throughout the 2016 winter instead of experiencing a seasonal readvance. By spring 2017, termini south of 69°N were therefore in a more retreated position as temperatures warmed, allowing continued retreat during summer/autumn. This forced the termini of multiple glaciers into regions of their fjords that were topographically conducive to retreat. This resulted in a multiyear terminus response, despite the majority of glaciers experiencing seasonal readvances in 2017/18 and 2018/19. North of 69°N, retreat patterns appeared to be more closely governed by glacier-specific factors, which acted to enhance/suppress the glacier response to external forcings. Overall, glaciers south of 69°N experienced multiyear retreat likely initiated by warm winter conditions, and those to the north of 69°N exhibited gradual terminus response, modulated by topography.

Data Availability Statement

Terminus data generated in this study are archived for download via Brough (2023), https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.6904219. We also used a number of openly available datasets used in this study (also summarized in Table S1 in Supporting Information S1). Ice velocity maps of Scambos et al. (2016) can be accessed from https://doi.org/10.7265/N5ZP442B; Joughin (2020) can be accessed from https://doi.org/10.5067/11MJZGPB-K3ZF; Joughin et al. (2020) can be accessed from https://doi.org/10.5067/YXMJRME5OUNC; and Solgaard and Kusk (2021) can be accessed from https://doi.org/10.22008/promice/data/sentine11icevelocity/greenlandicesheet. Surface air temperature data of Cappelen (2021) can be accessed from https://www.dmi.dk/publikationer/. Runoff data from Modèle Atmosphérique Régional (MAR) v3.11 (Tedesco & Fettweis, 2020) can be accessed from ftp://ftp.climato.be/fettweis/MARv3.11. Ocean potential temperatures from TOPAZ4 (Xie et al., 2017) can be accessed from https://doi.org/10.48670/moi-00007. Ocean temperature profiles from Oceans Melting Greeland (OMG, 2019) can be accessed from https://doi.org/10.5067/OMGEV-AXCT1. Bed topography from BedMachine v3 (Morlighem et al., 2017a, 2017b) can be accessed from https://nsidc.org/data/idbmg4/versions/3. Sea ice charts of the US National Ice Center (NIC) can be accessed from https://usicecenter.gov/Products/Arctic-Data. Glacier catchments of Mouginot and Rignot (2019) can be accessed from https://doi.org/10.7280/D1WT11.



Acknowledgments

SB, JRC and NR gratefully acknowledge support from Newcastle University through its Research Excellence Academy funding scheme. JML and SB were supported by a UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship (Grant MR/S017232/1). Ice velocity maps of Solgaard and Kusk (2021) were produced as part of the Programme for Monitoring of the Greenland Ice Sheet (PROMICE) using Copernicus Sentinel-1 SAR images distributed by ESA, and were provided by the Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland (GEUS). We thank the Editor (Olga Sergienko), Associate Editor, Michael Woods, Adrien Wehrlé and an anonymous reviwer for providing helpful and insightful comments.

References

- Amundson, J. M., Fahnestock, M., Truffer, M., Brown, J., Lüthi, M. P., & Motyka, R. J. (2010). Ice mélange dynamics and implications for terminus stability, Jakobshavn Isbræ, Greenland. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115(F1), F01005. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JF001405
- An, L., Rignot, E., Wood, M., Willis, J. K., Mouginot, J., & Khan, S. A. (2021). Ocean melting of the Zachariae Isstrøm and Nioghalvfjerdsfjorden glaciers, northeast Greenland. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 118(2), e2015483118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2015483118
- Aschwanden, A., Fahnestock, M. A., Truffer, M., Brinkerhoff, D. J., Hock, R., Khroulev, C., et al. (2019). Contribution of the Greenland Ice Sheet to sea level over the next millennium. *Science Advances*, 5(6), eaav9396. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav9396
- Ashmore, D. W., Mair, D. W. F., Higham, J. E., Brough, S., Lea, J. M., & Nias, I. J. (2022). Proper orthogonal decomposition of ice velocity identifies drivers of flow variability at Sermeq Kujalleq (Jakobshavn Isbræ). *The Cryosphere*, 16(1), 219–236. https://doi.org/10.5194/ tc-16-219-2022
- Bartholomaus, T. C., Stearns, L. A., Sutherland, D. A., Shroyer, E. L., Nash, J. D., Walker, R. T., et al. (2016). Contrasts in the response of adjacent fjords and glaciers to ice-sheet surface melt in West Greenland. Annals of Glaciology, 57(73), 25–38. https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2016.19
- Benn, D. I., Warren, C. R., & Mottram, R. H. (2007). Calving processes and the dynamics of calving glaciers. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 82(3–4), 143–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2007.02.002
- Bevan, S. L., Luckman, A. J., Benn, D. I., Cowton, T., & Todd, J. (2019). Impact of warming shelf waters on ice mélange and terminus retreat at a large SE Greenland glacier. *The Cryosphere*, 13(9), 2303–2315. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-2303-2019
- Bjørk, A. A., Kruse, L. M., & Michaelsen, P. B. (2015). Brief communication: Getting Greenland's glaciers right—A new data set of all official Greenlandic glacier names. *The Cryosphere*, 9(6), 2215–2218. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-2215-2015
- Box, J. E., Yang, L., Bromwich, D. H., & Bai, L.-S. (2009). Greenland ice sheet surface air temperature variability: 1840–2007. Journal of Climate, 22(14), 4029–4049. https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2816.1
- Brough, S. (2023). Ocean-forcing and glacier-specific factors drive differing glacier response across the 69°N boundary, east Greenland [Dataset]. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6904219
- Brough, S., Carr, J. R., Ross, N., & Lea, J. M. (2019). Exceptional retreat of Kangerlussuaq glacier, east Greenland, between 2016 and 2018. Frontiers of Earth Science, 7, 123. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00123
- Bunce, C., Carr, J. R., Nienow, P. W., Ross, N., & Killick, R. (2018). Ice front change of marine-terminating outlet glaciers in northwest and southeast Greenland during the 21st century. *Journal of Glaciology*, 64(246), 523–535. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2018.44
- Burton, J. C., Amundson, J. M., Cassotto, R., Kuo, C.-C., & Dennin, M. (2018). Quantifying flow and stress in ice mélange, the world's largest granular material. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115(20), 5105–5110. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.1715136115
- Cappelen, J. (2011). DMI monthly climate data collection 1768–2010, Denmark, the Faroe Islands and Greenland, DMI Report 11-05. Danish Meteorological Institute.
- Cappelen, J. (2021). Weather observations from Greenland 1958–2020: Observation data with description, DMI report 21-08. Danish Meteorological Institute.
- Carr, J. R., Stokes, C. R., & Vieli, A. (2014). Recent retreat of major outlet glaciers on Novaya Zemlya, Russian Arctic, influenced by fjord geometry and sea-ice conditions. *Journal of Glaciology*, 60(219), 155–170. https://doi.org/10.3189/2014JoG13J122
- Carr, J. R., Stokes, C. R., & Vieli, A. (2017). Threefold increase in marine-terminating outlet glacier retreat rates across the Atlantic Arctic: 1992–2010. Annals of Glaciology, 58(74), 72–91. https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2017.3
- Carr, J. R., Vieli, A., & Stokes, C. R. (2013). Influence of sea ice decline, atmospheric warming, and glacier width on marine-terminating outlet glacier behavior in northwest Greenland at seasonal to interannual timescales. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 118(3), 1210–1226. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrf.20088
- Carr, J. R., Vieli, A., Stokes, C. R., Jamieson, S. S. R., Palmer, S. J., Christoffersen, P., et al. (2015). Basal topographic controls on rapid retreat of Humboldt Glacier, northern Greenland. Journal of Glaciology, 61(225), 137–150. https://doi.org/10.3189/2015JoG14J128
- Cassotto, R., Fahnestock, M., Amundson, J. M., Truffer, M., & Joughin, I. (2015). Seasonal and interannual variations in ice melange and its impact on terminus stability, Jakobshavn Isbræ, Greenland. *Journal of Glaciology*, 61(225), 76–88. https://doi.org/10.3189/2015JoG13J235
- Cassotto, R. K., Burton, J. C., Amundson, J. M., Fahnestock, M. A., & Truffer, M. (2021). Granular decoherence precedes ice mélange failure and glacier calving at Jakobshavn Isbræ. *Nature Geoscience*, 14(6), 417–422. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00754-9
- Catania, G. A., Stearns, L. A., Moon, T. A., Enderlin, E. M., & Jackson, R. H. (2020). Future evolution of Greenland's marine-terminating outlet glaciers. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 125(2), e2018JF004873. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JF004873
- Catania, G. A., Stearns, L. A., Sutherland, D. A., Fried, M. J., Bartholomaus, T. C., Morlighem, M., et al. (2018). Geometric controls on tidewater glacier retreat in central Western Greenland. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 123(8), 2024–2038. https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JF004499
- Christoffersen, P., O'Leary, M., Van Angelen, J. H., & Van Den Broeke, M. (2012). Partitioning effects from ocean and atmosphere on the calving stability of Kangerdlugssuaq Glacier, East Greenland. Annals of Glaciology, 53(60), 249–256. https://doi.org/10.3189/2012AoG60A087
- Cowton, T., Sole, A., Nienow, P., Slater, D., Wilton, D., & Hanna, E. (2016). Controls on the transport of oceanic heat to Kangerdlugssuaq glacier, east Greenland. *Journal of Glaciology*, 62(236), 1167–1180. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.117
- Cowton, T. R., Sole, A. J., Nienow, P. W., Slater, D. A., & Christoffersen, P. (2018). Linear response of east Greenland's tidewater glaciers to ocean/atmosphere warming. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 115(31), 7907–7912. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1801769115
- Davison, B. J., Sole, A. J., Cowton, T. R., Lea, J. M., Slater, D. A., Fahrner, D., & Nienow, P. W. (2020). Subglacial drainage evolution Modulates seasonal ice flow variability of three tidewater glaciers in Southwest Greenland. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 125(9), e2019JF005492. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JF005492
- Fahnestock, M., Scambos, T., Moon, T., Gardner, A., Haran, T., & Klinger, M. (2016). Rapid large-area mapping of ice flow using Landsat 8. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 185, 84–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.11.023
- Fahrner, D., Lea, J. M., Brough, S., Mair, D. W. F., & Abermann, J. (2021). Linear response of the Greenland ice sheet's tidewater glacier terminus positions to climate. *Journal of Glaciology*, 67(262), 193–203. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2021.13
- Fenty, I., Willis, J., Khazendar, A., Dinardo, S., Forsberg, R., Fukumori, I., et al. (2016). Oceans Melting Greenland: Early results from NASA's ocean-ice mission in Greenland. *Oceanography*, 29(4), 72–83. https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2016.100
- Fried, M. J., Catania, G. A., Stearns, L. A., Sutherland, D. A., Bartholomaus, T. C., Shroyer, E., & Nash, J. (2018). Reconciling drivers of seasonal terminus advance and retreat at 13 central west Greenland tidewater glaciers. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 123(7), 1590– 1607. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JF004628



- Fürst, J. J., Goelzer, H., & Huybrechts, P. (2015). Ice-dynamic projections of the Greenland ice sheet in response to atmospheric and oceanic warming. *The Cryosphere*, 9(3), 1039–1062. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-1039-2015
- Goliber, S., Black, T., Catania, G., Lea, J. M., Olsen, H., Cheng, D., et al. (2022). TermPicks: A century of Greenland glacier terminus data for use in scientific and machine learning applications. *The Cryosphere*, 16(8), 3215–3233. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-3215-2022
- Gudmundsson, G. H., Krug, J., Durand, G., Favier, L., & Gagliardini, O. (2012). The stability of grounding lines on retrograde slopes. *The Cryosphere*, 6, 1497–1505. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-1497-2012
- Hanna, E., Mernild, S. H., Cappelen, J., & Steffen, K. (2012). Recent warming in Greenland in a long-term instrumental (1881–2012) climatic context: I. Evaluation of surface air temperature records. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(4), 045404. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/7/4/045404
- Holland, D. M., Thomas, R. H., de Young, B., Ribergaard, M. H., & Lyberth, B. (2008). Acceleration of Jakobshavn Isbræ triggered by warm subsurface ocean waters. *Nature Geoscience*, 1(10), 659–664. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo316
- Howat, I. M., Box, J. E., Ahn, Y., Herrington, A., & McFadden, E. M. (2010). Seasonal variability in the dynamics of marine-terminating outlet glaciers in Greenland. *Journal of Glaciology*, 56(198), 601–613. https://doi.org/10.3189/002214310793146232
- Howat, I. M., Joughin, I., Fahnestock, M., Smith, B. E., & Scambos, T. A. (2008). Synchronous retreat and acceleration of southeast Greenland outlet glaciers 2000–06: Ice dynamics and coupling to climate. *Journal of Glaciology*, 54(187), 646–660. https://doi. org/10.3189/002214308786570908
- Howat, I. M., Joughin, I., & Scambos, T. A. (2007). Rapid changes in ice discharge from Greenland outlet glaciers. Science, 315(5818), 1559– 1561. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1138478
- Inall, M. E., Murray, T., Cottier, F. R., Scharrer, K., Boyd, T. J., Heywood, K. J., & Bevan, S. L. (2014). Oceanic heat delivery via Kangerdlugssuaq Fjord to the south-east Greenland ice sheet. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 119(2), 631–645. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009295
- Jamieson, S. S. R., Vieli, A., Livingstone, S. J., Cofaigh, C. Ó., Stokes, C., Hillenbrand, C.-D., & Dowdeswell, J. A. (2012). Ice-stream stability on a reverse bed slope. *Nature Geoscience*, 5(11), 799–802. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1600
- Jenkins, A. (2011). Convection-driven melting near the grounding lines of ice Shelves and tidewater glaciers. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 41(12), 2279–2294. https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-11-03.1
- Jiskoot, H., Murray, T., & Luckman, A. (2003). Surge potential and drainage-basin characteristics in East Greenland. Annals of Glaciology, 36, 142–148. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756403781816220
- Joughin, I. (2020). MEaSUREs Greenland monthly ice sheet velocity mosaics from SAR and Landsat, version 2 [Dataset]. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. https://doi.org/10.5067/11MJZGPBK3ZF
- Joughin, I., Das, S. B., King, M. A., Smith, B. E., Howat, I. M., & Moon, T. (2008). Seasonal Speedup along the Western flank of the Greenland ice sheet. Science, 320(5877), 781–783. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1153288
- Joughin, I., Howat, I., Alley, R. B., Ekstrom, G., Fahnestock, M., Moon, T., et al. (2008). Ice-front variation and tidewater behavior on Helheim and Kangerdlugssuaq glaciers, Greenland. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113(F1), F01004. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JF000837
- Joughin, I., Howat, I., Smith, B., & Scambos, T. (2020). MEaSUREs Greenland ice velocity: Selected glacier site velocity maps from InSAR, version 3 [Dataset]. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. https://doi.org/10.5067/YXMJRME5OUNC
- Joughin, I., Smith, B. E., & Howat, I. (2018). Greenland ice mapping project: Ice flow velocity variation at sub-monthly to decadal timescales. *The Cryosphere*, 12(7), 2211–2227. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-2211-2018
- Joughin, I., Smith, B. E., Howat, I. M., Scambos, T., & Moon, T. (2010). Greenland flow variability from ice-sheet-wide velocity mapping. Journal of Glaciology, 56(197), 415–430. https://doi.org/10.3189/002214310792447734
- Khazendar, A., Fenty, I. G., Carroll, D., Gardner, A., Lee, C. M., Fukumori, I., et al. (2019). Interruption of two decades of Jakobshavn Isbrae acceleration and thinning as Regional Ocean cools. *Nature Geoscience*, 12(4), 277–283. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0329-3
- King, M. D., Howat, I. M., Candela, S. G., Noh, M. J., Jeong, S., Noël, B. P. Y., et al. (2020). Dynamic ice loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet driven by sustained glacier retreat. *Commun Earth Environ*, 1, 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-020-0001-2
- King, M. D., Howat, I. M., Jeong, S., Noh, M. J., Wouters, B., Noël, B., & van den Broeke, M. R. (2018). Seasonal to decadal variability in ice discharge from the Greenland Ice Sheet. *The Cryosphere*, 12, 3813–3825. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3813-2018
- Lea, J. M. (2018). The Google Earth Engine Digitisation Tool (GEEDiT) and the Margin change Quantification Tool (MaQiT) Simple tools for the rapid mapping and quantification of changing Earth surface margins. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 6(3), 551–561. https://doi.org/10.5194/ esurf-6-551-2018
- Liu, J., Enderlin, E., Marshall, H., & Khalil, A. (2022). Synchronous retreat of southeast Greenland's peripheral glaciers. Geophysical Research Letters, 49(13), e2022GL097756. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL097756
- Luckman, A., Murray, T., de Lange, R., & Hanna, E. (2006). Rapid and synchronous ice-dynamic changes in East Greenland. Geophysical Research Letters, 33(3), L03503. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL025428
- Mankoff, K. D., & Solgaard, A. (2020). Greenland ice sheet solid ice discharge from 1986 through last month: Discharge [Dataset]. GEUS Dataverse. https://doi.org/10.22008/promice/data/ice_discharge/d/v02
- Mankoff, K. D., Solgaard, A., Colgan, W., Ahlstrøm, A. P., Khan, S. A., & Fausto, R. S. (2020). Greenland Ice Sheet solid ice discharge from 1986 through March 2020. Earth System Science Data, 12(2), 1367–1383. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-1367-2020
- McFadden, E. M., Howat, I. M., Joughin, I., Smith, B. E., & Ahn, Y. (2011). Changes in the dynamics of marine terminating outlet glaciers in west Greenland (2000–2009). Journal of Geophysical Research, 116(F2). https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JF001757
- Moon, T., & Joughin, I. (2008). Changes in ice front position on Greenland's outlet glaciers from 1992 to 2007. Journal of Geophysical Research, 113(F2), F02022. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JF000927
- Moon, T., Joughin, I., & Smith, B. (2015). Seasonal to multiyear variability of glacier surface velocity, terminus position, and sea ice/ice mélange in northwest Greenland: NW glacier variability. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 120(5), 818–833. https://doi. org/10.1002/2015JF003494
- Moon, T., Joughin, I., Smith, B., & Howat, I. (2012). 21st-Century evolution of Greenland outlet glacier velocities. Science, 336(6081), 576–578. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1219985
- Morlighem, M., Williams, C. N., Rignot, E., An, L., Arndt, J. E., Bamber, J. L., et al. (2017a). IceBridge BedMachine Greenland, version 3. Boulder, Colorado USA. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. https://doi.org/10.5067/2CIX82HUV88Y
- Morlighem, M., Williams, C. N., Rignot, E., An, L., Arndt, J. E., Bamber, J. L., et al. (2017b). BedMachine v3: Complete bed topography and ocean bathymetry mapping of Greenland from Multibeam echo Sounding combined with mass conservation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(21), 11051–11061. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074954
- Motyka, R. J., Hunter, L., Echelmeyer, K. A., & Connor, C. (2003). Submarine melting at the terminus of a temperate tidewater glacier, LeConte Glacier, Alaska. U.S.A. Ann. Glaciol., 36, 57–65. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756403781816374

Mouginot, J., & Rignot, E. (2019). Glacier catchments/basins for the Greenland ice sheet [Dataset]. Dryad. https://doi.org/10.7280/D1WT11



- Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., Bjørk, A. A., van den Broeke, M., Millan, R., Morlighem, M., et al. (2019). Forty-six years of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance from 1972 to 2018. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 116(19), 9239–9244. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904242116
- Murray, T., Scharrer, K., James, T. D., Dye, S. R., Hanna, E., Booth, A. D., et al. (2010). Ocean regulation hypothesis for glacier dynamics in southeast Greenland and implications for ice sheet mass changes. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115(F3), F03026. https://doi. org/10.1029/2009JF001522
- Murray, T., Scharrer, K., Selmes, N., Booth, A. D., James, T. D., Bevan, S. L., et al. (2015). Extensive retreat of Greenland tidewater glaciers, 2000–2010. Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research, 47(3), 427–447. https://doi.org/10.1657/AAAR0014-049
- OMG. (2019). OMG AXCTD profiles. Version 1. PO.DAAC. https://doi.org/10.5067/OMGEV-AXCT1
- O'Neel, S., Pfeffer, W. T., Krimmel, R., & Meier, M. (2005). Evolving force balance at Columbia Glacier, Alaska, during its rapid retreat. Journal of Geophysical Research, 110(F3), F03012. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JF000292
- Partington, K., Flynn, T., Lamb, D., Bertoia, C., & Dedrick, K. (2003). Late twentieth centuryNorthern Hemisphere sea-ice record from U.S. National Ice Center ice charts. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(C11), 3343. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JC001623
- Porter, D. F., Tinto, K. J., Boghosian, A. L., Csatho, B. M., Bell, R. E., & Cochran, J. R. (2018). Identifying spatial variability in Greenland's outlet glacier response to ocean heat. Frontiers of Earth Science, 6, 90. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2018.00090
- Raymond, C. (1996). Shear margins in glaciers and ice sheets. *Journal of Glaciology*, 42(140), 90–102. https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000030550
 Rignot, E., & Kanagaratnam, P. (2006). Changes in the velocity Structure of the Greenland ice sheet. *Science*, 311(5763), 986–990. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1121381
- Rignot, E., Xu, Y., Menemenlis, D., Mouginot, J., Scheuchl, B., Li, X., et al. (2016). Modeling of ocean-induced ice melt rates of five west Greenland glaciers over the past two decades: Modeling of glacier melt in Greenland. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(12), 6374–6382. https://doi. org/10.1002/2016GL068784
- Robel, A. A. (2017). Thinning sea ice weakens buttressing force of iceberg mélange and promotes calving. Nature Communications, 8(1), 14596. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14596
- Sasgen, I., Wouters, B., Gardner, A. S., King, M. D., Tedesco, M., Landerer, F. W., et al. (2020). Return to rapid ice loss in Greenland and record loss in 2019 detected by the GRACE-FO satellites. *Commun Earth Environ*, 1, 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-020-0010-1
- Scambos, T., Fahnestock, M., Moon, T., Gardner, A., & Klinger, M. (2016). Global Land ice velocity extraction from Landsat 8 (GoLIVE), version 1 [Dataset]. NSIDC: National Snow and Ice Data Center. https://doi.org/10.7265/N5ZP442B
- Schild, K. M., & Hamilton, G. S. (2013). Seasonal variations of outlet glacier terminus position in Greenland. Journal of Glaciology, 59(216), 759–770. https://doi.org/10.3189/2013JoG12J238
- Schoof, C. (2007). Ice sheet grounding line dynamics: Steady states, stability, and hysteresis. Journal of Geophysical Research, 112(F3), F03S28. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000664
- Schoof, C., Davis, D. D., & Popa, T. V. (2017). Boundary layer models for calving marine outlet glaciers. *The Cryosphere*, 11(5), 2283–2303. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-2283-2017
- Seale, A., Christoffersen, P., Mugford, R. I., & O'Leary, M. (2011). Ocean forcing of the Greenland Ice Sheet: Calving fronts and patterns of retreat identified by automatic satellite monitoring of eastern outlet glaciers. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116(F3), F03013. https://doi. org/10.1029/2010JF001847
- Sergienko, O. V. (2022). Marine outlet glacier dynamics, steady states and steady-state stability. *Journal of Glaciology*, 68, 946–960. https://doi. org/10.1017/jog.2022.13
- Shepherd, A., Ivins, E., Rignot, E., Smith, B., van Den Broeke, M., Velicogna, I., et al. (2020). Mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet from 1992 to 2018. *Nature*, 579(7798), 233–239. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1855-2
- Sohn, H.-G., Jezek, K. C., & van der Veen, C. J. (1998). Jakobshavn Glacier, west Greenland: 30 years of spaceborne observations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(14), 2699–2702. https://doi.org/10.1029/98GL01973
- Solgaard, A., & Kusk, A. (2021). Greenland ice velocity from Sentinel-1 edition 2, vesrion 11 [Dataset]. GEUS Dataverse. https://doi. org/10.22008/promice/data/sentinel1icevelocity/greenlandicesheet
- Solgaard, A., Kusk, A., Merryman Boncori, J. P., Dall, J., Mankoff, K. D., Ahlstrøm, A. P., et al. (2021). Greenland ice velocity maps from the PROMICE project. *Earth System Science Data*, 13(7), 3491–3512. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-3491-2021
- Stearns, L. A., & Hamilton, G. S. (2007). Rapid volume loss from two East Greenland outlet glaciers quantified using repeat stereo satellite imagery. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34(5), L05503. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL028982
- Straneo, F., & Heimbach, P. (2013). North Atlantic warming and the retreat of Greenland's outlet glaciers. *Nature*, 504(7478), 36–43. https://doi. org/10.1038/nature12854
- Straneo, F., Sutherland, D. A., Holland, D., Gladish, C., Hamilton, G. S., Johnson, H. L., et al. (2012). Characteristics of ocean waters reaching Greenland's glaciers. Annals of Glaciology, 53(60), 202–210. https://doi.org/10.3189/2012AoG60A059
- Sugiyama, S., Skvarca, P., Naito, N., Enomoto, H., Tsutaki, S., Tone, K., et al. (2011). Ice speed of a calving glacier modulated by small fluctuations in basal water pressure. *Nature Geoscience*, 4(9), 597–600. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1218
- Sutherland, D. A., Straneo, F., & Pickart, R. S. (2014). Characteristics and dynamics of two major Greenland glacial fjords. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 119(6), 3767–3791. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009786
- Tedesco, M., & Fettweis, X. (2020). Unprecedented atmospheric conditions (1948–2019) drive the 2019 exceptional melting season over the Greenland ice sheet. *The Cryosphere*, 14(4), 1209–1223. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1209-2020
- Todd, J., & Christoffersen, P. (2014). Are seasonal calving dynamics forced by buttressing from ice mélange or undercutting by melting? Outcomes from full-Stokes simulations of Store glacier, west Greenland. *The Cryosphere*, 8(6), 2353–2365. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-2353-2014
- van der Veen, C. J. (1998). Fracture mechanics approach to penetration of bottom crevasses on glaciers. Cold Regions Science and Technology, 27(3), 213–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-232X(98)00006-8
- Vieli, A., & Nick, F. M. (2011). Understanding and modelling rapid dynamic changes of tidewater outlet glaciers: Issues and implications. Surveys in Geophysics, 32(4–5), 437–458. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-011-9132-4
- Walsh, K. M., Howat, I. M., Ahn, Y., & Enderlin, E. M. (2012). Changes in the marine-terminating glaciers of central east Greenland, 2000–2010. *The Cryosphere*, 6(1), 211–220. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-211-2012
- Warren, C. R., & Glasser, N. F. (1992). Contrasting response of south Greenland glaciers to recent climatic change. Arctic and Alpine Research, 24(2), 124. https://doi.org/10.2307/1551532
- Weertman, J. (1974). Stability of the junction of an ice sheet and an ice shelf. *Journal of Glaciology*, 13(67), 3–11. https://doi.org/10.3189/ S0022143000023327
- Wood, M., Rignot, E., Fenty, I., An, L., Bjørk, A., van den Broeke, M., et al. (2021). Ocean forcing drives glacier retreat in Greenland. Science Advances, 7(1), eaba7282. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba7282



- Xie, J., & Bertino, L. (2020). *Quality information document: Arctic physical multi year product ARCTIC_MULTIYEAR_PHY_002_003*. Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service. Retrieved from https://catalogue.marine.copernicus.eu/documents/QUID/CMEMS-ARC-QUID-002-003.pdf
- Xie, J., Bertino, L., Counillon, F., Lisæter, K. A., & Sakov, P. (2017). Quality assessment of the TOPAZ4 reanalysis in the Arctic over the period 1991–2013. Ocean Science, 13(1), 123–144. https://doi.org/10.5194/os-13-123-2017