Multi-decadal trends in biomarkers in harp seal teeth from the North Atlantic reveal the influence of prey availability on seal trophic position

Camille de la Vega^{ab}* Joanna Kershaw^c Garry B. Stenson^d Anne Kirstine Frie^e Martin Biuw^e Tore Haug^e Louisa Norman^a Claire Mahaffey^a Sophie Smout^{c1} Rachel M. Jeffreys^{a1}

^aSchool of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, L69 3BX, Liverpool, UK

^bUniversity of Rostock, Institute of Biological Sciences, Chair of Ecology, Albert-Einstein-Str. 3, D-18051 Rostock, Germany

^cSea Mammal Research Unit, Scottish Oceans Institute, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews, Fife KY16 8LB, UK

^dNorthwest Atlantic Fisheries Centre, Science Branch, Fisheries & Oceans Canada, St. John's, NL, A1C 5X1, Canada; Department of Biology, Memorial University, St. John's, NL A1B 3Y1, Canada

^eInstitute of Marine Research, Fram Centre, PO Box 6606, 9296 Tromsø, Norway

*Corresponding author:

Camille.De-La-Vega@liverpool.ac.uk ; cam.delavega@gmail.com; ; +33 683766716

¹Joined last author

Key words

Stable nitrogen isotopes, amino acids, Barents Sea, Greenland Sea, Labrador Sea, trophic position, multidecadal trends, harp seals

Paper type: Original Research

Abstract

Arctic food webs are being impacted by borealisation and environmental change. To quantify the impact of these multiple forcings, it is crucial to accurately determine the temporal change in key ecosystem metrics, such as trophic position of top predators. Here, we measured stable nitrogen isotopes (δ 15N) in amino acids from harp seal teeth from across the North Atlantic spanning a period of 60 years to robustly assess multi-decadal trends in harp seal trophic position, accounting for changes in δ ¹⁵N at the base of the food web. We reveal long-term variations in trophic position of harp seals which are likely to reflect fluctuations in prey availability, specifically fish or invertebrate dominated diets. We show that the temporal trends in harp seal trophic position differ between the Northwest Atlantic, Greenland Sea and Barents Sea, suggesting divergent changes in each local ecosystem. Our results provide invaluable data for population dynamic and ecotoxicology studies.

Introduction

Marine ecosystem-based management is crucial for effective marine conservation and resource management (Pikitch et al. 2004). Top predators can act as indicators of ecosystem change, reflecting the status of the ecosystem on which they depend (Sydeman et al. 2015). Trophic position of marine top predators, which can be used as an expression of food chain length, is a fundamental property of ecological communities, reflecting direct and indirect effects of changes in food web dynamics (Post 2002a, Post and Takimoto 2007). Trophic position, reflecting the trophic ecology of predators, is also utilised in population dynamic studies, as body condition and reproduction of marine predators are linked to their diet (Arnould et al. 2011, Øigård et al. 2013, Ronconi et al. 2014). In addition, trophic position is one of the key drivers of contaminant burdens (Borgå et al. 2004, Carravieri et al. 2014, Braune et al. 2015, Brown et al. 2016) and trophically derived parasite loads (Vestbo et al. 2019, Couch et al. 2022) in predators. It is therefore crucial to accurately detect decadal changes in trophic position of predators in order to understand how food webs are being reshaped and the consequences of these modifications on population dynamics and contaminant bioaccumulation, which could, in turn, be used in ecosystem-based management.

Here, we examined multi-decadal trends in trophic position of a generalist near-top marine predator, the harp seal (*Pagophilus groenlandicus*), across three locations in the North Atlantic. The North

Atlantic food web is under a period of tremendous changes, including ocean warming, change in ocean circulation, and change in fisheries, impacting all trophic levels from phytoplankton to predators (Meredith et al. 2019). The Barents Sea, Greenland Sea and Baffin Bay connect the Atlantic to the Arctic Ocean and have undergone several shifts in fish and zooplankton abundance and species composition resulting from fishing and changes in environmental conditions (Fossheim et al. 2015, Nöthig et al. 2015, Dalpadado et al. 2016, Dempsey et al. 2017, Pedersen et al. 2017, Koen-Alonso et al. 2018, Oziel et al. 2020, Pedersen et al. 2020). These changes in community structure have resulted in changes to predator-prey interactions in recent decades (Dwyer et al. 2010, Stenson 2012, Kortsch et al. 2015, Haug et al. 2017, Pecuchet et al. 2020), and are likely to indirectly impact marine top predators, such as harp seals, through changes in foraging ecology (e.g. Kovacs and Lydersen (2008), Laidre et al. (2008), Hoover et al. (2013)).

Stable nitrogen isotopes are commonly used as a biomarker to reconstruct food webs and estimate trophic position of predators. The ratio between heavy (¹⁵N) and light (¹⁴N) stable nitrogen isotopes in bulk tissue (hereafter $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$) undergoes a fractionation between each trophic level estimated to be on average 3.4 0.2 ‰ (Vander Zanden and Rasmussen 2001), providing a measure of trophic position (Post 2002b). However, $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$ values in consumers are heavily dependent on $\delta^{15}N$ at the base of the food web, or baseline. Nitrate, underpinning the baseline of marine food web (Mariotti et al. 1981), is supplied to the Arctic Ocean by Atlantic water entering through the Barents Sea and Fram Strait, and by Pacific water crossing the Bering Strait (Torres-Valdes et al. 2013). The $\delta^{15}N$ values of nitrate in Atlantic water are depleted in ¹⁵N compared to the δ^{15} N values of nitrate in Pacific water and water masses present in the high Arctic, as a result of the biological processing within the Atlantic, and Pacific oceans (Knapp et al. 2008, Somes et al. 2010, Marconi et al. 2015, de la Vega et al. 2020, Tuerena et al. 2021a, Buchanan et al. 2022). The Arctic is experiencing changes in ocean circulation, including a simultaneous increase in the rates of Atlantic and Pacific inflows (Woodgate 2018, Polyakov et al. 2020). Thus, spatial trends in water mass influence, alongside temporal changes in circulation, lead to a heterogenous and evolving $\delta^{15}N$ baseline across the Arctic and sub-Arctic, that needs to be accounted for to reliably detect changes in trophic position of predators (de la Vega et al. 2020, Buchanan et al. 2022, de la Vega et al. 2022).

Compound-specific stable nitrogen isotopes of amino acids ($\delta^{15}N_{AA}$) can overcome this issue. $\delta^{15}N_{AA}$ is a powerful biomarker approach that disentangles baseline and fractionating trophic effects when using $\delta^{15}N$ values to estimate trophic position. The $\delta^{15}N$ of the "source" amino acid (AA) phenylalanine ($\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$), can conservatively trace the $\delta^{15}N$ at the baseline as it experiences minimal fractionation (<1 ‰) during trophic transfer (McMahon and McCarthy 2016). The $\delta^{15}N$ of "trophic" AAs ($\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$), e.g., glutamic acid, undergoes significant fractionation (>3‰) resulting in ¹⁵N enrichment between each trophic step (McMahon and McCarthy 2016). This approach simultaneously fingerprints both the $\delta^{15}N$ baseline and trophic information in a predator from the analysis of predator tissue alone. This allows accurate estimation of changes in relative trophic position while accounting for variation in $\delta^{15}N$ baseline, using baseline-corrected $\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ (cor- $\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$; de la Vega et al. (2020)).

In this study, we used harp seals as an indicator species of changes in the North Atlantic sub-Arctic food web (Laidre et al. 2008). Harp seals are the most abundant pinniped in the North Atlantic (Stenson et al. 2020). They are found in three populations, in the Barents and White Seas (hereafter, Barents Sea population), in the Greenland Sea (hereafter, Greenland Sea population), and in the Northwest Atlantic off Newfoundland and Labrador (hereafter, Northwest Atlantic population, Fig. 1, Sergeant (1991), Carr et al. (2015)). Harp seals undertake substantial annual migrations between sub-Arctic breeding and molting areas in winter and spring, and Arctic feeding grounds in summer and autumn (Fig. 1; Stenson and Sjare (1997), Lacoste and Stenson (2000), Folkow et al. (2004), Nordøet al. (2008)). Harp seal diets consist of a wide variety of fish and invertebrates, with substantial seasonal and geographical variations in their diet composition associated with changes in local prey availability and abundance (e.g., Lawson and Stenson (1995), Lawson et al. (2017)). Seal diet is therefore expected to be a strong indicator of variation in prey availability and changes in fish communities. Here, we analysed $\delta^{15}N_{AA}$ in harp seal teeth from 1949 to 2012, from the Barents Sea, the Greenland Sea and the Northwest Atlantic (Fig. 1) to assess multidecadal trends in harp seal relative trophic position across the North Atlantic sub-Arctic. To relate

temporal trends in prey availability and harp seal trophic position, we collated published temporal data on biomass of seal's prey species, including fish and invertebrates, from the Barents Sea and Northwest Atlantic. We predicted that: 1) $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Phe}}$ values, reflecting the $\delta^{15}N$ baseline, will vary temporally reflecting changes in water mass circulation occurring across the North Atlantic, and 2) cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$, representing the relative trophic position of harp seals, will vary temporally reflecting changes in prey species availability across the North Atlantic.

Material and Methods

Seal sampling

Teeth of harp seals from the Barents Sea (n=72), Greenland Sea (n=55) and Northwest Atlantic (n=48; Table 1, Fig. 1) were taken from archives in the Institute of Marine Research (IMR), Norway and Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) St John's, Canada. The teeth were prepared following the method described in Kershaw et al. (2021). In brief, the teeth were sectioned along two planes: transverse and sagittal using a precision low speed diamond saw (Buehler, IsometTM). The transverse sections were used to identify the different annual growth layer groups (GLG) based on the structure, width, and opacity of individual layers (Bowen et al. 1983). Each GLG corresponds to one year of life of the individual. A 700 µm sagittal section was cut as close as possible to the central plane of the tooth and de-mineralised with 0.25M HCl for between 12 and 24 hours. Once softened, any remaining gum tissue and cementum was cut away from the outer edge of the tooth. The dentine samples representing the individual GLG for the second (GLG2) and third years (GLG3) of life of the seal individuals were freeze-dried and stored in plastic vials prior to stable isotope analysis. Material from GLG2 and GLG3 was combined (GLG2+3) in order to provide sufficient material for compound specific stable isotope analyses for each individual (Kershaw et al. 2021). Thus, each data point represents the isotopic signal averaged over the second and third year of life of each individual. Knowing the sampling year and the age of the individual, we were able to assign specific years represented by GLG2 and GLG3 for each individual (S1). GLG2+3 of teeth from the Barents Sea and Greenland Sea represented years from 1949-1950 to 2011-2012 and from 1945-1946 to 2007-2008 respectively (Table 1). GLG2+3 of teeth from the Northwest Atlantic covered years from 1975-1976 to 2010-2011 (Table 1).

Stable isotope analyses of seal teeth samples

For $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$, ~ 0.5 mg of sample was precisely weighed (± 1 µg) and sealed in a tin capsule. For $\delta^{15}N_{AA}$, ~ 15 mg of dentine was hydrolyzed and propylated. Amino acid methyl esters were then acetylated, dissolved in dichloromethane and stored at -20°C until analysis. A detailed outline of the method is provided in S1.

All seal δ^{15} N analyses were carried out at the Liverpool Isotope Facility for Environmental Research (LIFER), University of Liverpool, and reported in standard δ -notation (‰) relative to atmospheric N₂ (Mariotti 1983). δ^{15} N_{Bulk} was determined using a Costech elemental analyser coupled to Thermo Fisher Delta V isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS). Samples were corrected using international reference materials USGS40 and USGS41a, analysed throughout each run with a reproducibility of < 0.1 ‰. An internal standard of ground prawn (*Penaeus vannamei*) with a well characterized δ^{15} N value (6.8‰) was analysed every 10 samples to monitor precision, which was <0.2‰.

 $\delta^{15}N_{AA}$ were determined using a Trace Ultra gas chromatograph (GC) coupled to a Thermo Fisher Delta V Advantage IRMS with a ConFlo IV interface. A liquid nitrogen trap was added after the reduction oven to remove CO₂ from the sample stream. The separation of AA was achieved using a HP Innowax capillary column (Agilent). Samples were analyzed in duplicate. A mixed standard prepared from 8 AA with known $\delta^{15}N$ values (University of Indiana, USA and SI Science, Japan) was used for calibration and analyzed every 4 injections. Details of methods, precision, accuracy and $\delta^{15}N$ values of all identified AA are provided in S1.

Seal trophic position estimation

We used the $\delta^{15}N$ values of phenylalanine ($\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$) to track the $\delta^{15}N$ of the base of the food web in

seals, and the δ^{15} N values of two main trophic amino acids (glutamic acid and aspartic acid) to estimate relative seal trophic positions. The uncertainty regarding trophic fractionation factors between source and "trophic amino acids" across taxa in entire food webs (Nielsen et al. 2015) prevents accurate estimation of an organism's absolute trophic position (Matthews et al. 2020). To estimate the relative trophic position of each seal individual, we used the mean δ^{15} N values of glutamic acid and aspartic acid (δ^{15} N_{Trophic}), that was baseline-corrected using δ^{15} N_{Phe} (Eq. 1), providing baseline-corrected δ^{15} N_{Trophic} (hereafter Cor- δ^{15} N_{Trophic}). Cor- δ^{15} N_{Trophic} = δ^{15} N_{Trophic} - δ^{15} N_{Phe} (Eq. 1)

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed in R version 3.5.1 (R Core Team 2018). We assessed the relationship between $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$, $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ and $Cor-\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ using simple linear regression models (hereafter linear models). To analyse temporal variation in $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ and $Cor-\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ in harp seals, linear and general additive models (GAMs) models were fitted with $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ and $Cor-\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$, respectively, as a function of year for each site separately. Model fit was checked by residual analyses (Zuur et al. 2009). Linear models provided best fit for $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$. GAM models using the restricted maximum likelihood estimation (REML) method, cubic regression spline smoothing functions and Gaussian error distributions with no data transformation provided the best fit for $Cor-\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$. Model significance was assessed with p-values, degrees of freedom, R² (linear models) and deviance explained (GAM models), and *F*-statistics (linear models).

Fish biomass

To qualitatively compared the relative temporal variation of fish biomass and seal trophic position, we collated estimates of fish biomass from the Barents Sea, and fish and invertebrate biomass from the Northwest Atlantic from published sources. There were no time series of fish stock assessments from the Greenland Sea.

For the Barents Sea, biomass of capelin (Mallotus villosus; more than 1 year old) and juvenile Atlantic herring (Clupea harengus; 1 and 2 years old) from 1972 to 2010, and biomass of Arctic cod (Boreogadus saida; more than 1 year old) from 1986 to 2010 were taken from Johannesen et al. (2012). Biomass of Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua; more than 3 years old), haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus; more than 3 years old) and Greenland halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*; more than 5 years old) from 1960 to 2020 was taken from ICES (2020). The capelin and Arctic cod biomass were based on annual acoustic fish stock surveys covering both the Southern and Northern Barents Sea (Fig. 1; van der Meeren and Prozorkevich (2021)). The biomass of Atlantic herring, Atlantic cod, Greenland halibut, and haddock were from ICES stock assessment (ICES (2010, 2020); S2). Fish species were grouped into "demersal fish" (in thousand tonnes), including Atlantic cod, haddock, Greenland halibut, and "pelagic schooling fish" (in million tonnes), including capelin and Atlantic herring. Arctic cod, capelin and juvenile herring are the most abundant pelagic fish, and cod and haddock dominate the biomass of demersal fish in the Barents Sea (Johannesen et al. 2012). These species are important prey species for harp seals in the Barents Sea (Nilssen et al. 1995a, Nilssen et al. 1995b, Lindstrøm et al. 2013), and the interactions between capelin, cod, and herring are key processes in the Barents Sea ecosystem (Hamre 1994, Ushakov and Prozorkevich 2002, Wassmann et al. 2006, Haug et al. 2017a).

For the Northwest Atlantic, biomass of capelin (in million tonnes) from 1957 to 2018 was taken from Buren et al. (2019). Biomass of planktivore-piscivore and demersal piscivore fish species (in million tonnes) from 1981 to 2017, and crustaceans (in thousand tonnes) from 1995 to 2017 were taken from Koen-Alonso and Cuff (2018). All biomass data were from surveys conducted by the Fisheries and Ocean Canada (DFO) along the Newfoundland shelf (Fig. 1; Pepin et al. (2014), Koen-Alonso and Cuff (2018)) in autumn (September to December) and/or spring (May). The biomass of capelin which dominated the biomass of pelagic fish, was determined from acoustic surveys (Buren et al. (2019); S2). The biomass of demersal piscivore and planktivore-piscivore fish species and crustaceans was estimated from multi-species surveys using bottom trawls (S2). Demersal piscivores consisted of 33 species and were dominated by Atlantic cod and Greenland halibut. Planktivore-piscivores consisted of 11 species and were dominated

by Arctic cod and redfish (DFO 2006). Crustaceans included snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*) and Northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*). Atlantic cod, Greenland halibut, Arctic cod and redfish are important prey species for harp seals on the Newfoundland shelf, in addition to invertebrates such as shrimp (Lawson et al. (1995), Lawson and Stenson (1997), Lawson and Hobson (2000), Tucker et al. (2009), Stenson (2012)).

Results

Temporal trend in isotopic baseline ($\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$)

 $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ values in harp seal teeth ranged from 7.9 ‰ to 13.2 ‰ in the Barents Sea population (Fig. 2a), from 8.3 ‰ to 12.0 ‰ in the Greenland Sea population (Fig. 2b) and from 10.2 ‰ to 14.9 ‰ in the Northwest Atlantic population (Fig. 2c).

 $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ values decreased by -0.036 0.005 ‰ y⁻¹ in harp seals from the Barents Sea population, declining from 11.7 ± 1.0 ‰ in 1951-1952 to 10.0 ± 0.2 ‰ in 2011-2012 (linear model: p < 0.01, R² = 43.1 %, Fig. 2a, Table 2). There was no temporal trend in $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ values in harp seals from the Greenland Sea and from the Northwest Atlantic populations (Fig. 2b and c, Table 2).

Temporal trend in Cor- $\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ of harp seals

Cor- δ^{15} N_{Trophic} values of harp seal teeth ranged from 8.8 ‰ to 13.6 ‰ in the Barents Sea population (Fig. 3a), 7.8 ‰ to 11.2 ‰ in the Greenland Sea population (Fig. 3b) and 5.8 ‰ to 10.7 ‰ in the Northwest Atlantic population (Fig. 3c).

Cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ values of harp seals from the Barents Sea, Greenland Sea and Northwest Atlantic populations varied over time, alternating between periods of increasing and decreasing cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ (Fig. 3a-c). In the Barents Sea population, cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ of harp seals decreased in the 1980s to a minimum cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ annual value of 9.3 0.4 ‰ in 1983, followed by an increase in the early 2000s of 2 ‰, to a maximum cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ annual value of 12.9 1.3 ‰ in 2003, and another decrease in the late 2000s (GAM: edf = 6.42, s(year): p < 0.01; Fig. 3a; Table 3). Cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ of harp seals from the Greenland Sea population did not vary with time (Fig. 3b; Table 3). Cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ of harp seals from the Northwest Atlantic population increased slightly from the mid-1970s to mid-1980s of 1.5 ‰, then decreased from the mid-1980s to the early 2000s of 2 ‰, to a minimum cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ annual value of 7.3 0.7 ‰ in 2002, and increased again from early 2000s to early 2010s of 2 ‰, to a maximum cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ annual value of 9.4 1.1 ‰ in 2012 (GAM: edf = 3.4, s(year) p < 0.01; Fig. 3c; Table 3).

Relationships between $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{AA}$

There was a strong positive correlation between $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ in harp seals from the Barents Sea (linear model: p < 0.01, R² = 61.2 %; Fig. 4a), Greenland Sea (linear model: p < 0.01, R² = 49.3 %; Fig. 4b) and Northwest Atlantic (linear model: p < 0.01, R² = 30 %; Fig. 4c, Table 4) populations. $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$ was not correlated with cor- $\delta 15N_{Trophic}$ in all populations studied (Fig. 4d-f, Table 4).

Temporal variation of fish biomass

In the Barents Sea, the biomass of capelin, dominating the pelagic schooling fish stock, fluctuated with time, with peaks of biomass in 1975, 1980, 1991, 2000 and 2008 (Fig. 5a). The years 1980, 1991 and 2000 were followed by the collapse of the capelin stock (Fig. 5a). The herring biomass also fluctuated with time, with the highest biomass in 1984, 1993, 2000 and 2004 (Fig. 5a). The biomass of Atlantic cod, dominating demersal fish stock, decreased from 1968 to 1983, and increased again in the last decades (Fig. 5a). The biomass of Arctic Cod, fluctuated with time, with the lowest values in 1988 and 2003, and the highest values in 2001 and 2006 (Fig. 5a).

In the Northwest Atlantic, the biomass of capelin was the highest in 1988, followed by an abrupt collapse of capelin stock (Fig. 5b). The capelin biomass slightly increased again after 2010 (Fig. 5b). The biomass of demersal piscivore and planktivore-piscivore fish species followed similar temporal variation. Their biomass was the highest in the early and mid 1980s and the lowest in the mid 1990s-early 2000s

(Fig. 5b). The biomass for both functional groups slowly increased again until the mid-2010s, reaching a biomass values remaining well below the previous high (Fig. 5b). The biomass of crustaceans, mainly consisting in shrimp, increased in the1990s, reaching its maximum value in 2006, and strongly decline after the mid-2000s (Fig. 5b).

Discussion

Multi-decadal trends in the $\delta^{15}N$ baseline

The Arctic is experiencing simultaneous changes in water mass circulation and in the rate of Atlantic and Pacific inflows (Woodgate 2018, Polyakov et al. 2020), influencing the δ^{15} N baseline across the Arctic and sub-Arctic (de la Vega et al. 2022). The overall volume and speed of Atlantic water flowing northward has increased in the last decades (Oziel et al. 2016, Oziel et al. 2020), bringing more ¹⁵N-depleted water into the Eastern Greenland Sea via the West Spitsbergen Current, and the southern Baffin Bay via the Irminger Current (Fig. 1). The inflow of Pacific water through the Bering Strait has increased by more than 50% (Woodgate 2018), in turn enhancing the export of ¹⁵N-enriched water from the Arctic via the East Greenland Current in the Western Greenland Sea (Håvik et al. 2017), and through the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, influencing the surfce waters as far South as the Labrador Sea via the Labrador Current (Fig. 1; Rice (2002), McGeehan and Maslowski (2012)). The strong correlations between $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ and the absence of correlations between $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ in the three harp seal populations demonstrated that variations in $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$ were mainly driven by changes in the $\delta^{15}N$ baseline, which were likely impacted by changes in water circulation.

The Barents Sea, which is the main gateway between the Arctic and adjacent Atlantic Ocean in the northeast, is experiencing changes in the rate of Atlantic water inflow, nitrogen sources and in situ biogeochemical processes, influencing the δ^{15} N baseline. The range of the Barents Sea harp seal population is restricted within the Barents Sea (Haug et al. 1994, Nordøy et al. 2008), harp seals migrating between their breeding and moulting areas in the southern Barents Sea in winter and spring, and Arctic

feeding grounds in the northern Barents Sea in summer and autumn (Haug et al. 1994, Nordøy et al. 2008). The decreasing temporal trend in $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ values of harp seals from the Barents Sea therefore reflects environmental changes occurring within the Barents Sea, and has been described in de la Vega et al. (2022) as the result of the combination of increased anthropogenic atmospheric ¹⁵N-depleted nitrogen deposition in the Atlantic (Duce et al. 2008, Yang and Gruber 2016, Jickells et al. 2017, Buchann et al. 2022) and subsequent increased northward transport of ¹⁵N-depleted Atlantic water through the Barents Sea Opening (Oziel et al. 2016, Oziel et al. 2020).

The absence of temporal trend in $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Phe}}$ in the Greenland Sea and Northwest Atlantic harp seal populations could be explained by the combined influences of different water masses having different $\delta^{15}N$ baseline within the range of both harp seal populations. Harp seals from the Greenland Sea gather into large and dense aggregations on the pack ice off the east coast of Greenland during the breeding and moulting periods in winter and spring (Folkow et al. 2004). The Greenland Sea has a complex hydrography hosting multiple water masses from different origins (Brakstad et al. 2019, Tuerena et al. 2021b). The Western Greenland Sea receives ¹⁵N-enriched Pacific origin water exiting the Arctic on the Western side of Fram Strait which is transported southward via the East Greenland Current system, and the Eastern Greenland Sea is influenced by the West Spitsbergen current flowing northward, which consists of ¹⁵N-depleted Atlantic Water (Fig. 1; Håvik et al. (2017)). In summer, most of the Greenland Sea harp seals travel eastward to the Barents Sea, which is mainly influenced by Atlantic water, where the larger parts of the Greenland Sea and Barents populations share feeding grounds during most of the autumn (Folkow et al. 2004). Harp seals from the Greenland Sea population therefore integrate over the year a δ^{15} N baseline influenced by both ¹⁵N-enriched Pacific origin water during the breeding and moulting period, and ¹⁵Ndepleted Atlantic water during summer and autumn. The absence of trend in $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ in the Greenland Sea harp seal population could thus reflect the increased influence of both ¹⁵N-enriched Pacific and ¹⁵Ndepleted Atlantic waters within their migration area.

Harp seals from the Northwest Atlantic are found on both the Canadian and Greenland continental

shelves (Stenson et al. 2020). They spend winter and spring on their breeding and moulting grounds on the Labrador shelf, and migrate northward into Arctic waters in the Baffin Bay and across the Labrador Sea to the coast of Greenland in summer and autumn (Stenson and Sjare 1997). The Canadian shelf is mainly influenced by the Labrador Current flowing southward (Rice 2002), which transports ¹⁵N-enriched derived Pacific waters exiting the Arctic through the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (Azetsu-Scott et al. 2010, Woodgate 2013, Roy et al. 2015). The West Greenland shelf receives a mix of East Greenland current and ¹⁵N-depleted Atlantic water advected via the Irminger current flowing northward along the East coast of Greenland (Myers et al. 2007, Hansen et al. 2012b). Like Greenland Sea harp seals, harp seals from the Northwest Atlantic therefore integrate a $\delta^{15}N$ baseline influenced by both ¹⁵N-enriched Pacific water and ¹⁵N-depleted Atlantic water over the year. de la Vega et al. (2021) observed an increasing decadal trend in $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ in ringed seals from the Canadian Arctic Archipelago and Baffin Bay, that has been explained by the increasing influence of ¹⁵N-enriched Pacific derived water in these regions, and the effect of increasing primary production and in turn benthic denitrification. The increasing trend in $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ in ringed seals from the Baffin Bay was less pronounced than in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago, likely reflecting a dilution effect of the Pacific water influence when reaching southern Baffin Bay (de la Vega et al. 2021). Here, the absence of trend in $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ in harp seals from the Northwest Atlantic population could result from the increased influence of both ¹⁵N-enriched Pacific water and ¹⁵N-depleted Atlantic water, counterbalancing each other in the migration area of this population.

In addition to changes in water mass influence, other factors, such as increasing primary production and in turn benthic denitrification (Arrigo and van Dijken 2015), or increasing bacterial activity with increasing temperature (Vaqué et al. 2019) that tend to increase the $\delta^{15}N$ baseline (Morata et al. 2008, Granger et al. 2011), might also have influenced the $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Phe}}$ in harp seals from these areas. These results suggest that migratory predators, as they forage within different food webs, assimilate spatially varying isotopic baselines, which complicates the use of single-site baselines that are common in analyses of bulk isotope values. Not accounting for this change in the $\delta^{15}N$ at the baseline would lead to misestimation of harp seal trophic position using $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$ alone (de la Vega et al. 2020). This highlights the power of using cor- $\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ values to assess temporal trend in trophic position of harp seals across the North Atlantic, especially when the rates of change in $\delta^{15}N$ at the baseline vary spatially.

Multi-decadal trends in harp seal trophic position

Harp seals diet consists in various prey items, including invertebrate and fish species. During summer and autumn, their diet is dominated by invertebrate species such as krill (*Thysanoessa spp.*) and sea ice associated amphipods (e.g. Themisto libellula), and Arctic cod (Nilssen et al. 1995b, Nilssen et al. 2000, Lindstrøm et al. 2013, Ogloff et al. 2019, Haug et al. 2021). During winter, forage fish, such as Atlantic herring, Arctic cod and especially capelin, are the primary prey, although the proportion of capelin in the diet varies among years reflecting the local abundance of other prey species, such as Atlantic cod, Greenland halibut, haddock, sand eels (Ammodytes sp.), sculpins, redfish (Sebastes spp.), gadoids, mysids, and shrimp (Lawson and Stenson 1995, Lawson et al. 1995, Nilssen et al. 1995a, Lawson and Stenson 1997, Nilssen et al. 2000, Ogloff et al. 2019). In general, demersal species such as Atlantic cod, Greenland halibut and haddock are at a higher trophic position, and have higher $\delta^{15}N$ values than schooling fish such as capelin and Atlantic herring, which in turn are at a higher trophic position and have higher δ^{15} N values than crustacean species such as amphipods and shrimp (Lawson and Hobson 2000, Sherwood and Rose 2005, Tamelander et al. 2006, Hansen et al. 2012a, Haug et al. 2017b, Haug et al. 2021). However, fish species often undergo ontogenetic shift (Sánchez-Hernández et al. 2019), for example juvenile Atlantic cods are at a lower trophic level and have lower δ^{15} N values than adults (Hansen et al. 2012a, Holt et al. 2019, Haug et al. 2021). In addition, the δ^{15} N values of fish can vary between inshore and offshore which makes it difficult to differentiate the trophic position of e.g., Atlantic herring, Atlantic cod, capelin and Arctic cod, based on their δ^{15} N values alone (Lawson and Hobson 2000, Sherwood and Rose 2005, Hansen et al. 2012a). Nevertheless, we assumed overall that a change from a diet dominated by invertebrate species to a diet dominated by fish, or a change from a pelagic to a demersal diet would result in an increase in δ^{15} N values in predators, and conversely.

The multi-decadal trends detected in $cor-\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ values in the Barents Sea and Northwest Atlantic harp seal populations likely reflected changes in prey community. In the Barents Sea, historical data on fish stocks showed that schooling fish, such as capelin and Atlantic herring, demersal fish, such as Atlantic Cod, haddock and Greenland halibut, and Arctic cod fluctuated with decadal periodicities during the last 40 years (Johannesen et al. 2012, ICES 2020, Stenson et al. 2020). The 1960s and 1970s were characterized by high demersal fish (Fig. 5a) and Arctic cod stocks (Johannesen et al. 2012), which coincides with the relatively high cor- $\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ values in harp seal teeth. In the mid-1980s, 1990s, and 2000s, the capelin stock collapsed, and subsequently rebuilt (Fig. 5a). In the mid-1980s, Atlantic herring and Arctic cod stocks collapsed simultaneously to the capelin stock, leading to an almost complete collapse of fish stock in the Barents (Fig. span style="font-family:'Times New Roman'">5a; Johannesen et al. (2012)). This period of low fish biomass corresponds to the low cor- $\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ values indicating a decrease in trophic position of harp seals (Fig. 5a), which could reflect an increased proportion of invertebrates in their diet. During the capelin collapse in the 2000s, the overall biomass of fish in the Barents Sea remained high and was characterised by high abundance of Atlantic herring and Arctic cod, which increased slowly from the mid-1990s to 2010s, rebuilding the pelagic fish stock (Fig. 5a; Øigård et al. (2013)). The demersal stock also increased during this period (Fig. 5a), mainly driven by the increase in Atlantic cod biomass, and the northward shift of subarctic and temperate species, such as blue whiting (Micromesistius poutassou; Johannesen et al. (2012)), a process known as borealisation (Fossheim et al. 2015, Nöthig et al. 2015, Dalpadado et al. 2016, Oziel et al. 2020). A change towards an invertebratedominated diet during years of low fish abundance might explain the low cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ values indicating a decrease in trophic position in the late-1980s, compared to the high cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ values indicating an increase in trophic position before 1980 and after 2000, that could reflect a diet more dominated by fish (Fig. 5a). This is consistent with the higher contribution of amphipods, krill and shrimps in the diet of Atlantic cod in the late 1980and early 1990s compared to early 2000s (Holt et al. 2019). Overall, the harp seal trophic position was higher during periods of species-rich fish community, i.e., in the mid-1970s and mid-2000s when both the demersal and pelagic stocks were high (Fig. 5a).

In the Northwest Atlantic, the diets of harp seals before the 1990s were dominated by fish (Lawson and Stenson 1995). The slight increase in cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ values in harp seals in the mid-1980s could reflect a change from a diet dominated by capelin in the early 1980s, to a diet dominated by Arctic cod in the midand late 1980s (Lawson and Stenson 1995, Stenson 2012). The decrease in cor- δ^{15} NT_{rophic} values in harp seals after the late 1980s (Fig. 2f) coincides with a shift from an ecosystem dominated by demersal fish species, to an ecosystem dominated by crustaceans such as shrimp and snow crab that occurred in the late 1980s and early 1990s in the Newfoundland and Labrador shelves (Fig. 5b; Dawe et al. (2012), Pedersen et al. (2017), Koen-Alonso and Cuff (2018), Stenson et al. (2020)). This shift in community structure, concomitant with the decline in biomass of capelin (Fig. 5b) and multiple demersal species, such as Atlantic cod (Buren et al. 2014a, Buren et al. 2019), resulted from a history of overfishing combined with environmental change (Buren et al. 2014a, Koen-Alonso et al. 2018, Stenson et al. 2020). A change from a fish-dominated diet to an invertebrate-dominated diet could explain the lower cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ values, indicating a lower trophic position of harp seals in the mid-1990s compared to the mid-1980s (Fig. 3c). This is consistent with the increased proportion of invertebrate relative to fish observed in the diet of Greenland halibut, one of the top fish predators in the Northwest Atlantic (Dwyer et al. 2010). Presently, this ecosystem appears to have returned to communities dominated by demersal fish, although the total fish biomass remains very low compared to levels prior the 1990s (Koen-Alonso and Cuff 2018, Stenson et al. 2020). Harp seals returning to a more fish-dominated diet in the recent years could explain the increase in $cor-\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ values from the 2000s to the 2010s, reaching similar values to those before the ecosystem shift (Fig. 3c), suggesting that harp seals were feeding on prey species having similar trophic levels in the 1980s and in the 2010s.

The stable $cor-\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ values of harp seals in the Greenland Sea since the mid-1940s (Fig. 3b) indicate that harp seals remained feeding on prey species having similar trophic levels in the past six decades. Greenland Sea harp seals rely on an Arctic diet dominated by pelagic invertebrates all year long, in contrast to harp seals from the Barents Sea and the Northwest Atlantic. Harp seals from the Barents Sea and the Northwest Atlantic. Harp seals from the Barents Sea and the Northwest Atlantic populations rely mainly on pelagic invertebrates, such as krill (*Thysanoessa*)

spp.) and sea ice associated amphipods (e.g. Themisto libellula), and Arctic cods in summer and autumn in Arctic feeding grounds, but fish, such as capelin, dominate their diet in winter and spring in sub-Arctic breeding and molting areas (Nilssen et al. 1995a, Nilssen et al. 1995b, Nilssen 1995, Lawson and Stenson 1997, Lacoste and Stenson 2000, Folkow et al. 2004, Nordøy et al. 2008, Lindstrøm et al. 2013, Stenson 2013, Enoksen et al. 2017, Ogloff et al. 2019). Most of Greenland Sea harp seals spend summer and autumn in the northern Barents Sea (Folkow et al. 2004), where they share feeding ground with Barents Sea harp seals, mainly feeding on pelagic invertebrates and Arctic cods. However, unlike the Barents Sea and Northwest Atlantic populations, Greenland Sea harp seals continue feeding on a pelagic invertebrate dominated diet, mainly consisting of krill and amphipods (*Themisto sp.*), during the breeding and moulting seasons in winter and spring in Arctic ice-filled areas in the Western Greenland Sea (Potelov et al. 2000, Haug et al. 2002, Enoksen et al. 2017). This is supported by the overall lower cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ values of Greenland Sea harp seals (9.2 0.8 ‰), indicating a lower trophic position, compared to the Barents Sea harp seals (10.6 1.1 %). The absence of temporal trend in relative trophic position of harp seals from the Greenland Sea could reflect their more specialised Arctic diet dominated by pelagic invertebrates all year long, compared to the two other harp seal populations relying part of the year on boreal diets dominated by fish in more southern areas.

Overall, these results suggest that harp seals modify their diets to adapt to change in prey availability (Lawson and Stenson 1995, Øigård et al. 2013, Buren et al. 2014b), switching between invertebrate- and fish-dominated diet. The temporal trends in harp seal trophic position agrees with the temporal variations in the main fish stocks in the Barents Sea and the Northwest Atlantic, suggesting that changes in trophic position of harp seals likely reflect decadal changes in the fish community and the entire ecosystem. This would make harp seal trophic position a good indicator of ecosystem status. However, the lack of time series on fish stock at the species level, especially for non-commercial stocks, prevented quantitative exploration of the temporal variation observed in harp seal trophic position. Harp seals can exploit local resources when these are concentrated, even when the fish or invertebrate stock overall is low (Lawson et al. 1998, Lindstrøm et al. 1998, Marshall et al. 2010, Lindstrøm et al. 2013, Haug et al. 2021). Long time series on every important prey species, at relevant spatial scales, are therefore

needed to improve our understanding about how changes in prey availability influence harp seal diet and in turn trophic position.

The plasticity in harp seal diet could render them less sensitive to borealisation (Clavel et al. 2011) and their flexible response would improve their chances of adapting to abrupt changes in environmental (Ogloff et al. 2019) and prey (McMahon et al. 2015) conditions. However, despite their generalist trophic behaviour, harp seals in the Barents Sea displayed a clear positive preference for Arctic cod and negative preference for amphipods and krill (Haug et al. 2021), and the nutritional content of prey can have an impact on harp seal body condition with consequences for reproduction (Frie et al. 2003, Øigård et al. 2013, Stenson et al. 2016, Stenson et al. 2020). For example, in the Barents Sea harp seal population, the mean age at sexual maturity increased and the growth rate decreased from the 1960s to the early 1990s (Kjellqwist et al. 1995, Frie et al. 2003) coinciding with indications of reduced body condition (Frie et al. 2003), and corresponding to the low relative trophic position of harp seals in the late 1980s-early 1990s (Fig. 3a). The age at sexual maturity in Greenland Sea harp seals did not vary from the 1960s to the early 1990s, and was lower in the late 1980s-early 1990s than for Barents Sea harp seals (Frie et al. 2003). This suggests that Greenland Sea harp seals had access to higher quality prey items (Frie et al. 2003), and is consistent with the absence of temporal variation in the relative trophic position of harp seals from the Greenland Sea (Fig. 3b). In the Northwest Atlantic, Stenson et al. (2016) observed a decrease in harp seal pregnancy rate from the mid-1980s to the early 2000s and an increase in late term abortions correlated with poor capelin years, which corresponds to the decrease in harp seal relative trophic position (Fig. 3c). This supports that the temporal variations in body condition and reproduction rates of harp seals from the Barents Sea and Northwest Atlantic observed in the last decades may be associated with changes in prev availability, in addition to other factors such as population density and environmental conditions e.g. ice cover (Frie et al. 2003, Stenson et al. 2016, Stenson et al. 2020).

These results could be included in studies of harp seal population dynamics to improve their predictive power given the known importance of trophic position and diet composition for body condition and reproduction. Population models that can include wider ecosystem effects have much potential to suggest future directions of change for predator populations (Smout et al. 2022). In addition, these results

are highly valuable for ecotoxicology studies, as trophic position is a key factor influencing contaminant bioaccumulation (Borgå et al. 2004). This study provides a unique 60-year record of harp seal trophic position across the North Atlantic.

Acknowledgments

This work resulted from the ARISE project (NE/P006035 awarded to RJ and CM at Liverpool University, NE/P00623X awarded to SS at St. Andrews), part of the Changing Arctic Ocean programme, funded by the UKRI Natural Environment Research Council (NERC). We thank Jim Ball for his help in the LIFER lab in Liverpool University. We declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Arnould, J., Y. Cherel, J. Gibbens, J. White, and C. Littnan. 2011. Stable isotopes reveal inter-annual and interindividual variation in the diet of female Australian fur seals. Marine Ecology Progress Series 422:291-302.
- Arrigo, K. R., and G. L. van Dijken. 2015. Continued increases in Arctic Ocean primary production. Progress in Oceanography **136**:60-70.
- Azetsu-Scott, K., A. Clarke, K. Falkner, J. Hamilton, E. P. Jones, C. Lee, B. Petrie, S. Prinsenberg, M. Starr, and P. Yeats. 2010. Calcium carbonate saturation states in the waters of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago and the Labrador Sea. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 115.
- Bogstad, B., T. Haug, and S. Mehl. 2000. Who eats whom in the Barents Sea. Citeseer.
- Borgå, K., A. T. Fisk, P. F. Hoekstra, and D. C. Muir. 2004. Biological and chemical factors of importance in the bioaccumulation and trophic transfer of persistent organochlorine contaminants in arctic marine food webs. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry: An International Journal **23**:2367-2385.
- Bowen, W., D. E. Sergeant, and T. Øritsland. 1983. Validation of age estimation in the harp seal, Phoca groenlandica, using dentinal annuli. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences **40**:1430-1441.
- Brakstad, A., K. Våge, L. Håvik, and G. Moore. 2019. Water mass transformation in the Greenland Sea during the period 1986–2016. Journal of Physical Oceanography **49**:121-140.
- Braune, B. M., A. J. Gaston, K. A. Hobson, H. Grant Gilchrist, and M. L. Mallory. 2015. Changes in trophic position affect rates of contaminant decline at two seabird colonies in the Canadian Arctic. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety **115**:7-13.
- Brown, T. M., A. T. Fisk, X. Wang, S. H. Ferguson, B. G. Young, K. J. Reimer, and D. C. Muir. 2016. Mercury and cadmium in ringed seals in the Canadian Arctic: influence of location and diet. Science of The Total Environment **545**:503-511.
- Buchanan, P. J., A. Tagliabue, C. de la Vega, and C. Mahaffey. 2022. Oceanographic and biogeochemical drivers cause divergent trends in the nitrogen isoscape in a changing Arctic Ocean. Ambio **51**:383-397.
- Buren, A. D., M. Koen-Alonso, P. Pepin, F. Mowbray, B. Nakashima, G. Stenson, N. Ollerhead, and W. A. Montevecchi. 2014a. Bottom-up regulation of capelin, a keystone forage species. PLoS One 9.
- Buren, A. D., M. Koen-Alonso, and G. B. Stenson. 2014b. The role of harp seals, fisheries and food availability in driving the dynamics of northern cod. Marine Ecology Progress Series **511**:265-284.
- Buren, A. D., H. M. Murphy, A. T. Adamack, G. K. Davoren, M. Koen-Alonso, W. A. Montevecchi, F. K. Mowbray, P. Pepin, P. M. Regular, and D. Robert. 2019. The collapse and continued low productivity of a keystone forage fish species. Marine Ecology Progress Series 616:155-170.
- Carr, S. M., A. T. Duggan, G. B. Stenson, and H. D. Marshall. 2015. Quantitative phylogenomics of withinspecies mitogenome variation: Monte Carlo and non-parametric analysis of phylogeographic structure among discrete transatlantic breeding areas of harp seals (Pagophilus groenlandicus). PLoS ONE

10:e0134207.

- Carravieri, A., P. Bustamante, S. Tartu, A. Meillere, P. Labadie, H. Budzinski, L. Peluhet, C. Barbraud, H. Weimerskirch, and O. Chastel. 2014. Wandering albatrosses document latitudinal variations in the transfer of persistent organic pollutants and mercury to Southern Ocean predators. Environmental Science & Technology 48:14746-14755.
- Clavel, J., R. Julliard, and V. Devictor. 2011. Worldwide decline of specialist species: toward a global functional homogenization? Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 9:222-228.
- Couch, C., J. Sanders, D. Sweitzer, K. Deignan, L. Cohen, H. Broughton, S. Steingass, and B. Beechler. 2022. The relationship between dietary trophic level, parasites and the microbiome of Pacific walrus (Odobenus rosmarus divergens). Proceedings of the Royal Society B 289:20220079.
- Dalpadado, P., H. Hop, J. Rønning, V. Pavlov, E. Sperfeld, F. Buchholz, A. Rey, and A. Wold. 2016. Distribution and abundance of euphausiids and pelagic amphipods in Kongsfjorden, Isfjorden and Rijpfjorden (Svalbard) and changes in their relative importance as key prey in a warming marine ecosystem. Polar Biology 39:1765-1784.
- Dawe, E., M. Koen-Alonso, D. Chabot, D. Stansbury, and D. Mullowney. 2012. Trophic interactions between key predatory fishes and crustaceans: comparison of two Northwest Atlantic systems during a period of ecosystem change. Marine Ecology Progress Series **469**:233-248.
- de la Vega, C., P. J. Buchanan, A. Tagliabue, J. E. Hopkins, R. M. Jeffreys, A. K. Frie, M. Biuw, J. Kershaw, J. Grecian, and L. Norman. 2022. Multi-decadal environmental change in the Barents Sea recorded by seal teeth. Global Change Biology 28:3054-3065.
- de la Vega, C., C. Mahaffey, R. E. Tuerena, D. J. Yurkowski, S. H. Ferguson, G. B. Stenson, E. S. Nordøy, T. Haug, M. Biuw, and S. Smout. 2020. Arctic seals as tracers of environmental and ecological change. Limnology and Oceanography Letters.
- de la Vega, C., C. Mahaffey, D. J. Yurkowski, L. Norman, E. Simpson, S. Smout, S. H. Ferguson, and R. M. Jeffreys. 2021. Biomarkers in ringed seals reveal recent onset of borealization in the high-compared to the mid-latitude Canadian Arctic. Frontiers in Marine Science:1256.
- Dempsey, D., M. Koen-Alonso, W. Gentleman, and P. Pepin. 2017. Compilation and discussion of driver, pressure, and state indicators for the Grand Bank ecosystem, Northwest Atlantic. Ecological Indicators **75**:331-339.
- DFO. 2006. Final Report of the Fisheries Oceanography Committee 2006 Annual Meeting. Proceedings Series:033.
- Duce, R. A., J. LaRoche, K. Altieri, K. R. Arrigo, A. R. Baker, D. G. Capone, S. Cornell, F. Dentener, J. Galloway, R. S. Ganeshram, R. J. Geider, T. Jickells, M. M. Kuypers, R. Langlois, P. S. Liss, S. M. Liu, J. J. Middelburg, C. M. Moore, S. Nickovic, A. Oschlies, T. Pedersen, J. Prospero, R. Schlitzer, S. Seitzinger, L. L. Sorensen, M. Uematsu, O. Ulloa, M. Voss, B. Ward, and L. Zamora. 2008. Impacts of Atmospheric Anthropogenic Nitrogen on the Open Ocean. Science 320:893-897.
- Dwyer, K., A. Buren, and M. Koen-Alonso. 2010. Greenland halibut diet in the Northwest Atlantic from 1978 to 2003 as an indicator of ecosystem change. Journal of Sea Research **64**:436-445.
- Enoksen, S., T. Haug, U. Lindstrøm, and K. T. Nilssen. 2017. Recent summer diet of hooded Cystophora cristata and harp Pagophilus groenlandicus seals in the drift ice of the Greenland Sea. Polar Biology **40**:931-937.
- Folkow, L. P., E. S. Nordøy, and A. S. Blix. 2004. Distribution and diving behaviour of harp seals (*Pagophilus groenlandicus*) from the Greenland Sea stock. Polar Biology **27**:281-298.
- Fossheim, M., R. Primicerio, E. Johannesen, R. B. Ingvaldsen, M. M. Aschan, and A. V. Dolgov. 2015. Recent warming leads to a rapid borealization of fish communities in the Arctic. Nature climate change 5:673.
- Frie, K. A., V. A. Potelov, M. C. Kingsley, and T. Haug. 2003. Trends in age-at-maturity and growth parameters of female Northeast Atlantic harp seals, Pagophilus groenlandicus (Erxleben, 1777). ICES Journal of Marine Science 60:1018-1032.
- Granger, J., M. Prokopenko, D. M. Sigman, C. Mordy, Z. Morse, L. Morales, R. Sambrotto, and B. Plessen. 2011. Coupled nitrification-denitrification in sediment of the eastern Bering Sea shelf leads to 15N enrichment of fixed N in shelf waters. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 116.
- Hamre, J. 1994. Biodiversity and exploitation of the main fish stocks in the Norwegian-Barents Sea ecosystem. Biodiversity & Conservation 3:473-492.
- Hansen, J. H., R. B. Hedeholm, K. Sünksen, J. T. Christensen, and P. Grønkjær. 2012a. Spatial variability of carbon (δ^{13} C) and nitrogen (δ^{15} N) stable isotope ratios in an Arctic marine food web. Marine Ecology

Progress Series 467:47-59.

- Hansen, M. O., T. G. Nielsen, C. A. Stedmon, and P. Munk. 2012b. Oceanographic regime shift during 1997 in Disko Bay, western Greenland. Limnology and Oceanography **57**:634-644.
- Haug, T., M. Biuw, H. Gjøsæter, T. Knutsen, U. Lindstrøm, K. M. MacKenzie, S. Meier, and K. T. Nilssen. 2021. Harp seal body condition and trophic interactions with prey in Norwegian high Arctic waters in early autumn. Progress in Oceanography 191:102498.
- Haug, T., B. Bogstad, M. Chierici, H. Gjøsæter, E. H. Hallfredsson, Å. S. Høines, A. H. Hoel, R. B. Ingvaldsen, L. L. Jørgensen, and T. Knutsen. 2017a. Future harvest of living resources in the Arctic Ocean north of the Nordic and Barents Seas: a review of possibilities and constraints. Fisheries Research 188:38-57.
- Haug, T., S. Falk-Petersen, M. Greenacre, H. Hop, U. Lindstrøm, S. Meier, K. T. Nilssen, and A. Wold. 2017b. Trophic level and fatty acids in harp seals compared with common minke whales in the Barents Sea. Marine Biology Research 13:919-932.
- Haug, T., K. Nilssen, P. Corkeron, and L. Lindblom. 2002. Diets of harp and hooded seals in drift ice waters along the east coast of Greenland. Working paper SC/10/16 to the NAMMCO Scientific Committee meeting, 17–19
- Haug, T., K. T. Nilssen, N. Øien, and V. Potelov. 1994. Seasonal distribution of harp seals (*Phoca groenlandica*) in the Barents Sea. Polar Research **13**:163-172.
- Håvik, L., R. Pickart, K. Våge, D. Torres, A. Thurnherr, A. Beszczynska-Möller, W. Walczowski, and W. J. von Appen. 2017. Evolution of the E ast G reenland C urrent from F ram S trait to D enmark S trait: Synoptic measurements from summer 2012. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 122:1974-1994.
- Holt, R. E., B. Bogstad, J. M. Durant, A. V. Dolgov, and G. Ottersen. 2019. Barents Sea cod (Gadus morhua) diet composition: long-term interannual, seasonal, and ontogenetic patterns. ICES Journal of Marine Science 76:1641-1652.
- Hoover, C., T. Pitcher, and V. Christensen. 2013. Effects of hunting, fishing and climate change on the Hudson Bay marine ecosystem: II. Ecosystem model future projections. Ecological Modelling **264**:143-156.
- ICES. 2010. Report of the Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE), 28 August-3 September 2010, Vigo, Spain. ICES CM.
- ICES. 2020. Arctic Fisheries Working Group (AFWG). ICES Scientific Reports. 2:52. 577 pp. http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.6050.
- Jickells, T., E. Buitenhuis, K. Altieri, A. Baker, D. Capone, R. Duce, F. Dentener, K. Fennel, M. Kanakidou, and J. LaRoche. 2017. A reevaluation of the magnitude and impacts of anthropogenic atmospheric nitrogen inputs on the ocean. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 31:289-305.
- Johannesen, E., R. B. Ingvaldsen, B. Bogstad, P. Dalpadado, E. Eriksen, H. Gjøsæter, T. Knutsen, M. Skern-Mauritzen, and J. E. Stiansen. 2012. Changes in Barents Sea ecosystem state, 1970–2009: climate fluctuations, human impact, and trophic interactions. ICES Journal of Marine Science 69:880-889.
- Kershaw, J. L., C. de la Vega, R. M. Jeffreys, A. K. Frie, T. Haug, C. Mahaffey, C. Mettam, G. Stenson, and S. Smout. 2021. Compound-specific isotope analyses of harp seal teeth: tools for trophic ecology reconstruction. Marine Ecology Progress Series 678:211-225.
- Kjellqwist, S. A., T. Haug, and T. Øritsland. 1995. Trends in age-composition, growth and reproductive parameters of Barents Sea harp seals, Phoca groenlandica. ICES Journal of Marine Science **52**:197-208.
- Knapp, A. N., P. J. DiFiore, C. Deutsch, D. M. Sigman, and F. Lipschultz. 2008. Nitrate isotopic composition between Bermuda and Puerto Rico: Implications for N2 fixation in the Atlantic Ocean. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 22.
- Koen-Alonso, M., H. Bourdages, D. Chabot, T. D. Tunney, J. McDermid, A. Rondeau, A. Bundy, C. Gomez, D. Clark, and C. Regnier-McKellar. 2018. Fish and invertabrate communities, in Bernier, R.Y., Jamieson, R.E., and Moore, A.M. (eds.), State of the Atlantic ocean synthesis report, Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 3167, pp 52-71.
- Koen-Alonso, M., and A. Cuff. 2018. Status and Trends of the Fish Community in the Newfoundland Shelf (NAFO Div 2J3KL), Grand Bank (NAFO Div. 3LNO) and Southern Newfoundland Shelf (NAFO Div. 3Ps) Ecosystem Production Units. Dartmouth: NAFO. NAFO SCR Doc. 18/70.
- Kortsch, S., R. Primicerio, M. Fossheim, A. V. Dolgov, and M. Aschan. 2015. Climate change alters the structure of arctic marine food webs due to poleward shifts of boreal generalists. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 282:20151546.

Kovacs, K. M., and C. Lydersen. 2008. Climate change impacts on seals and whales in the North Atlantic Arctic

and adjacent shelf seas. Science Progress 91:117-150.

- Lacoste, K. N., and G. B. Stenson. 2000. Winter distribution of harp seals (*Phoca groenlandica*) off eastern Newfoundland and southern Labrador. Polar Biology **23**:805-811.
- Laidre, K. L., I. Stirling, L. F. Lowry, Ø. Wiig, M. P. Heide-Jørgensen, and S. H. Ferguson. 2008. Quantifying the sensitivity of Arctic marine mammals to climate-induced habitat change. Ecological Applications 18.
- Lawson, J. W., J. T. Anderson, E. L. Dalley, and G. B. Stenson. 1998. Selective foraging by harp seals Phoca groenlandica in nearshore and offshore waters of Newfoundland, 1993 and 1994. Marine Ecology Progress Series 163:1-10.
- Lawson, J. W., and K. A. Hobson. 2000. Diet of harp seals (Pagophilus groenlandicus) in nearshore northeast Newfoundland: Inferences from stable-carbon (δ13C) and nitrogen (δ15N) isotope analyses. Marine Mammal Science 16:578-591.
- Lawson, J. W., and G. B. Stenson. 1995. Historic variation in the diet of harp seals (Phoca groenlandica). Whales, seals, fish and man:261.
- Lawson, J. W., and G. B. Stenson. 1997. Diet of northwest Atlantic harp seals (Phoca groenlandica) in offshore areas. Canadian Journal of Zoology **75**:2095-2106.
- Lawson, J. W., G. B. Stenson, and D. G. McKinnon. 1995. Diet of harp seals (Phoca groenlandica) in nearshore waters of the northwest Atlantic during 1990–1993. Canadian Journal of Zoology **73**:1805-1818.
- Lindstrøm, U., A. Harbitz, T. Haug, and K. Nilssen. 1998. Do harp seals Phoca groenlandica exhibit particular prey preferences? ICES Journal of Marine Science **55**:941-953.
- Lindstrøm, U., K. T. Nilssen, L. M. Pettersen, and T. Haug. 2013. Harp seal foraging behaviour during summer around Svalbard in the northern Barents Sea: diet composition and the selection of prey. Polar Biology **36**:305-320.
- Marconi, D., M. A. Weigand, P. A. Rafter, M. R. McIlvin, M. Forbes, K. L. Casciotti, and D. M. Sigman. 2015. Nitrate isotope distributions on the US GEOTRACES North Atlantic cross-basin section: Signals of polar nitrate sources and low latitude nitrogen cycling. Marine Chemistry 177:143-156.
- Mariotti, A. 1983. Atmospheric nitrogen is a reliable standard for natural 15N abundance measurements. Nature **303**:685-687.
- Mariotti, A., J. Germon, P. Hubert, P. Kaiser, R. Letolle, A. Tardieux, and P. Tardieux. 1981. Experimental determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: some principles; illustration for the denitrification and nitrification processes. Plant and soil **62**:413-430.
- Marshall, H., K. Hart, E. Yaskowiak, G. Stenson, D. McKinnon, and E. Perry. 2010. Molecular identification of prey in the stomach contents of Harp Seals (Pagophilus groenlandicus) using species-specific oligonucleotides. Molecular Ecology Resources 10:181-189.
- Matthews, C. J., R. I. Ruiz-Cooley, C. Pomerleau, and S. H. Ferguson. 2020. Amino acid δ15N underestimation of cetacean trophic positions highlights limited understanding of isotopic fractionation in higher marine consumers. Ecology and Evolution **10**:3450-3462.
- McGeehan, T., and W. Maslowski. 2012. Evaluation and control mechanisms of volume and freshwater export through the Canadian Arctic Archipelago in a high-resolution pan-Arctic ice-ocean model. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 117.
- McMahon, K. W., and M. D. McCarthy. 2016. Embracing variability in amino acid δ15N fractionation: mechanisms, implications, and applications for trophic ecology. Ecosphere 7.
- McMahon, K. W., M. J. Polito, S. Abel, M. D. McCarthy, S. R. J. E. Thorrold, and evolution. 2015. Carbon and nitrogen isotope fractionation of amino acids in an avian marine predator, the gentoo penguin (Pygoscelis papua). 5:1278-1290.
- Meredith, M., M. Sommerkorn, S. Cassotta, C. Derksen, A. Ekaykin, A. Hollowed, G. Kofinas, A. Mackintosh, J. Melbourne-Thomas, M. M. C. Muelbert, G. Ottersen, H. Pritchard, and E. A. G. Schuur. 2019. Polar Regions. *in:* IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate. H.O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (eds.). In press.
- Morata, N., P. E. Renaud, S. Brugel, K. A. Hobson, and B. J. Johnson. 2008. Spatial and seasonal variations in the pelagic-benthic coupling of the southeastern Beaufort Sea revealed by sedimentary biomarkers. Marine Ecology Progress Series **371**:47-63.
- Myers, P. G., N. Kulan, and M. H. Ribergaard. 2007. Irminger water variability in the West Greenland Current. Geophysical Research Letters **34**.

- Nielsen, J. M., B. N. Popp, and M. Winder. 2015. Meta-analysis of amino acid stable nitrogen isotope ratios for estimating trophic position in marine organisms. Oecologia **178**:631-642.
- Nilssen, K., T. Haug, V. Potelov, V. A. Stasenkov, and Y. K. Timoshenko. 1995a. Food habits of harp seals (Phoca groenlandica) during lactation and moult in March-May in the southern Barents Sea and White Sea. ICES Journal of Marine Science **52**:33-41.
- Nilssen, K., T. Haug, V. Potelov, and Y. Timoshenko. 1995b. Feeding habits of harp seals (Phoca groenlandica) during early summer and autumn in the northern Barents Sea. Polar Biology **15**:485-493.
- Nilssen, K. T. 1995. Seasonal distribution, condition and feeding habits of Barents Sea harp seals (Phoca groenlandica). Pages 241-254 Developments in Marine Biology. Elsevier.
- Nilssen, K. T., T. Haug, T. ØRitsland, L. Lindblom, and S. A. Kjellqwist. 1998. Invasions of harp seals Phoca groenlandica Erxleben to coastal waters of nor way in 1995: Ecological and demographic implications. Sarsia **83**:337-345.
- Nilssen, K. T., O.-P. Pedersen, L. P. Folkow, and T. Haug. 2000. Food consumption estimates of Barents Sea harp seals. NAMMCO Sci. Publ **2**:9-27.
- Nordøy, E. S., L. P. Folkow, V. Potelov, V. Prischemikhin, and A. S. Blix. 2008. Seasonal distribution and dive behaviour of harp seals (*Pagophilus groenlandicus*) of the White Sea–Barents Sea stock. Polar Biology **31**:1119.
- Nöthig, E.-M., A. Bracher, A. Engel, K. Metfies, B. Niehoff, I. Peeken, E. Bauerfeind, A. Cherkasheva, S. Gäbler-Schwarz, and K. Hardge. 2015. Summertime plankton ecology in Fram Strait—a compilation of long-and short-term observations. Polar Research **34**:23349.
- Ogloff, W. R., D. J. Yurkowski, G. K. Davoren, and S. H. Ferguson. 2019. Diet and isotopic niche overlap elucidate competition potential between seasonally sympatric phocids in the Canadian Arctic. Marine Biology **166**:103.
- Øigård, T. A., U. Lindstrøm, T. Haug, K. T. Nilssen, and S. Smout. 2013. Functional relationship between harp seal body condition and available prey in the Barents Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series **484**:287-301.
- Oziel, L., A. Baudena, M. Ardyna, P. Massicotte, A. Randelhoff, J.-B. Sallée, R. B. Ingvaldsen, E. Devred, and M. Babin. 2020. Faster Atlantic currents drive poleward expansion of temperate phytoplankton in the Arctic Ocean. Nature communications 11:1-8.
- Oziel, L., J. Sirven, and J.-C. Gascard. 2016. The Barents Sea frontal zones and water masses variability (1980–2011). Ocean Science 12:169-184.
- Pecuchet, L., M. A. Blanchet, A. Frainer, B. Husson, L. L. Jørgensen, S. Kortsch, and R. Primicerio. 2020. Novel feeding interactions amplify the impact of species redistribution on an Arctic food web. Global change biology 26:4894-4906.
- Pedersen, E. J., M. Koen-Alonso, and T. D. Tunney. 2020. Detecting regime shifts in communities using estimated rates of change. ICES Journal of Marine Science 77:1546-1555.
- Pedersen, E. J., P. L. Thompson, R. A. Ball, M.-J. Fortin, T. C. Gouhier, H. Link, C. Moritz, H. Nenzen, R. R. Stanley, and Z. E. Taranu. 2017. Signatures of the collapse and incipient recovery of an overexploited marine ecosystem. Royal Society open science 4:170215.
- Pepin, P., J. Higdon, M. Koen-Alonso, M. Fogarty, and N. Ollerhead. 2014. Application of ecoregion analysis to the identification of Ecosystem Production Units (EPUs) in the NAFO Convention Area. NAFO Sci. Counc. Res. Doc 14:069.
- Pikitch, E., C. Santora, E. Babcock, A. Bakun, R. Bonfil, D. Conover, P. Dayton, et al., P. Doukakis, D. Fluharty, and B. Heneman. 2004. Ecosystem-based fishery management. Science(Washington) **305**:346-347.
- Polyakov, I. V., M. Alkire, B. Bluhm, K. Brown, E. C. Carmack, M. Chierici, S. L. Danielson, I. H. Ellingsen, E. Ershova, and K. Gardfeldt. 2020. Borealization of the Arctic Ocean in response to anomalous advection from sub-Arctic seas.
- Post, D. M. 2002a. The long and short of food-chain length. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 17:269-277.
- Post, D. M. 2002b. Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: models, methods, and assumptions. Ecology **83**:703-718.
- Post, D. M., and G. Takimoto. 2007. Proximate structural mechanisms for variation in food-chain length. Oikos v. 116:pp. 775-782-2007 v.2116 no.2005.
- Potelov, V., K. T. Nilssen, V. Svetochev, and T. Haug. 2000. Feeding habits of harp (Phoca groenlandica) and hooded seals (Cystophora cristata) during late winter, spring and early summer in the Greenland Sea. NAMMCO Scientific Publications 2:40-49.

- R Core Team. 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R foundation for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>.
- Rice, J. 2002. 3 Changes to the large marine ecosystem of the Newfoundland-Labrador shelf. Pages 51-103 Large marine ecosystems. Elsevier.
- Ronconi, R. A., R. J. Steenweg, P. D. Taylor, and M. L. Mallory. 2014. Gull diets reveal dietary partitioning, influences of isotopic signatures on body condition, and ecosystem changes at a remote colony. Marine Ecology Progress Series 514:247-261.
- Roy, V., K. Iken, M. Gosselin, J.-É. Tremblay, S. Bélanger, and P. Archambault. 2015. Benthic faunal assimilation pathways and depth-related changes in food-web structure across the Canadian Arctic. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 102:55-71.
- Sánchez-Hernández, J., A. D. Nunn, C. E. Adams, and P. A. Amundsen. 2019. Causes and consequences of ontogenetic dietary shifts: a global synthesis using fish models. Biological Reviews **94**:539-554.
- Sergeant, D. E. 1991. Harp seals, man and ice. Canadian special publication of fisheries and aquatic sciences/Publication speciale canadienne des sciences halieutiques et aquatiques. 1991.
- Sherwood, G. D., and G. A. Rose. 2005. Stable isotope analysis of some representative fish and invertebrates of the Newfoundland and Labrador continental shelf food web. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science **63**:537-549.
- Smout, S., K. Murray, G. Aarts, M. Biuw, S. Brasseur, A. Buren, F. Empacher, A. K. Frie, J. Grecian, and M. Hammill. 2022. Report of the NAMMCO-ICES Workshop on Seal Modelling (WKSEALS 2020). NAMMCO Scientific Publications 12.
- Somes, C. J., A. Schmittner, E. D. Galbraith, M. F. Lehmann, M. A. Altabet, J. P. Montoya, R. M. Letelier, A. C. Mix, A. Bourbonnais, and M. Eby. 2010. Simulating the global distribution of nitrogen isotopes in the ocean. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 24.
- Stenson, G. 2012. Estimating consumption of prey by harp seals, Pagophilus groenlandicus. NAFO Divisions 2J3KL. Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Research Document **2012/156**.
- Stenson, G., and B. Sjare. 1997. Seasonal distribution of harp seals, Phoca groenlandica, in the Northwest Atlantic. ICES. CM.
- Stenson, G. B. 2013. Estimating consumption of prey by Harp Seals, *Pagophilus groenlandicus*, in NAFO Divisions 2J3KL. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc. 2012/156. iii + 26 pp.
- Stenson, G. B., A. D. Buren, and M. Koen-Alonso. 2016. The impact of changing climate and abundance on reproduction in an ice-dependent species, the Northwest Atlantic harp seal, Pagophilus groenlandicus. ICES journal of marine science 73:250-262.
- Stenson, G. B., T. Haug, and M. O. Hammill. 2020. Harp Seals: Monitors of Change in Differing Ecosystems. Frontiers in Marine Science 7:738.
- Sydeman, W. J., E. Poloczanska, T. E. Reed, and S. A. Thompson. 2015. Climate change and marine vertebrates. Science **350**:772-777.
- Tamelander, T., P. E. Renaud, H. Hop, M. L. Carroll, W. G. Ambrose Jr, and K. A. Hobson. 2006. Trophic relationships and pelagic-benthic coupling during summer in the Barents Sea Marginal Ice Zone, revealed by stable carbon and nitrogen isotope measurements. Marine Ecology Progress Series **310**:33-46.
- Torres-Valdes, S., T. Tsubouchi, S. Bacon, A. C. Naveira-Garabato, R. Sanders, F. A. McLaughlin, B. Petrie, G. Kattner, K. Azetsu-Scott, and T. E. Whitledge. 2013. Export of nutrients from the Arctic Ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans 118:1625-1644.
- Tucker, S., W. D. Bowen, S. J. Iverson, W. Blanchard, and G. B. Stenson. 2009. Sources of variation in diets of harp and hooded seals estimated from quantitative fatty acid signature analysis (QFASA). Marine Ecology Progress Series 384:287-302.
- Tuerena, R. E., J. Hopkins, R. S. Ganeshram, L. Norman, C. de la Vega, R. Jeffreys, and C. Mahaffey. 2021a. Nitrate assimilation and regeneration in the Barents Sea: insights from nitrate isotopes. Biogeosciences **18**:637-653.
- Tuerena, R. E., C. Mahaffey, S. F. Henley, C. de la Vega, L. Norman, T. Brand, T. Sanders, M. Debyser, K. Dähnke, and J. Braun. 2021b. Nutrient pathways and their susceptibility to past and future change in the Eurasian Arctic Ocean. Ambio:1-15.
- Ushakov, N. G., and D. V. Prozorkevich. 2002. The Barents Sea capelin–a review of trophic interrelations and fisheries. ICES Journal of Marine Science **59**:1046-1052.
- van der Meeren, G. I., and D. Prozorkevich. 2021. Survey report from the joint Norwegian/Russian ecosystem

survey in the Barents Sea and adjacent waters, august-November 2020. IMR/PINRO joint report series.

- Vander Zanden, M. J., and J. B. Rasmussen. 2001. Variation in δ15N and δ13C trophic fractionation: implications for aquatic food web studies. Limnology and Oceanography **46**:2061-2066.
- Vaqué, D., E. Lara, J. M. Arrieta, J. Holding, E. L. Sà, I. E. Hendriks, A. Coello-Camba, M. Alvarez, S. Agustí, and P. F. Wassmann. 2019. Warming and CO2 enhance Arctic heterotrophic microbial activity. Frontiers in Microbiology 10:494.
- Vestbo, S., C. Hindberg, M. R. Forbes, M. L. Mallory, F. Merkel, R. J. Steenweg, P. Funch, H. G. Gilchrist, G. J. Robertson, and J. F. Provencher. 2019. Helminths in common eiders (Somateria mollissima): sex, age, and migration have differential effects on parasite loads. International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 9:184-194.
- Wassmann, P., M. Reigstad, T. Haug, B. Rudels, M. L. Carroll, H. Hop, G. W. Gabrielsen, S. Falk-Petersen, S. G. Denisenko, and E. Arashkevich. 2006. Food webs and carbon flux in the Barents Sea. Progress in Oceanography 71:232-287.
- Woodgate, R. 2013. Arctic Ocean circulation: Going around at the top of the world. Nature Education Knowledge **4**:8.
- Woodgate, R. A. 2018. Increases in the Pacific inflow to the Arctic from 1990 to 2015, and insights into seasonal trends and driving mechanisms from year-round Bering Strait mooring data. Progress in Oceanography **160**:124-154.
- Yang, S., and N. Gruber. 2016. The anthropogenic perturbation of the marine nitrogen cycle by atmospheric deposition: Nitrogen cycle feedbacks and the 15N Haber-Bosch effect. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 30:1418-1440.
- Zuur, A., E. N. Ieno, N. Walker, A. A. Saveliev, and G. M. Smith. 2009. Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R. Springer Science & Business Media.

Tables

Table 1: Stable nitrogen isotopes analyses and sampling site for harp seal teeth; Ranges of the sampling years and ages of seal individuals, and year(s) represented in the tissue analysed for δ^{15} N; n = number of analysed samples (= data points); All δ^{15} N values represent the years assigned to GLG2 and GLG3, corresponding to the foraging at 2 and 3 years old for all individuals.

Sampling site	Samp	ling year	Age	Year repres	n		
Barents Sea	1963	2018	3 - 15	1951-1952	2011-2012	72	
Greenland Sea	1953	2014	7 - 12	1945-1946	2007-2008	55	Table2:
Northwest Atlantic	1979	2016	4 - 6	1975-1976	2011-2012	48	Statistical
							parameters

of the linear models assessing the temporal trend in $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ in harp seal teeth from each Arctic region; DF = degree of freedom, n = number of samples, SD = standard deviation, lines in bold are considered significant.

Site	Response variable	Explanatory variable	n	Intercept (± SD)	p value	Slope (± SD)	p value	R ² (%)	F value (DF)
Barents Sea	$\delta^{15}N_{phe}$	year	72	81.89 ± 9.66	< 0.01	-0.036 ± 0.005	< 0.01	43.1	54.8 (70)
Greenland Sea	$\delta^{15}N_{\text{phe}}$	year	55	18.85 ± 15.20	0.220	-0.004 ± 0.008	0.606	0.0	26.9 (53)

4.7 (46)

0.035

7.5

Table 3:	Statist	ical j	parame	eters	of the	genera	al addit	ive 1	nodels	(GAM	(Is) as	sessing	the g the	tempor	al t	trend	in
$\delta^{15}N_{trophic}$	in harp	seal	l teeth	from	each	Arctic	region;	edf	= effec	tive de	egree	of free	dom;	lines i	n b	old a	ire
consider	ed signi	fican	t.														

Site	n	Deviance	Parametric c	oefficient	smooth function, s(year)			
	п	explained (%)	Intercept	p value	edf	p value		
Barents Sea	72	43.7	10.60	< 0.01	6.5	< 0.01		
Greenland Sea	55	16.2	9.18	< 0.01	1.8	0.012		
Northwest Atlantic	48	27.6	8.37	< 0.01	3.4	< 0.01		

Table 4: Statistical parameters of the linear models assessing the relationships between $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$, $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ and Cor- $\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ in harp seal teeth from each Arctic regions; the response variable was $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$ for all models; DF = degree of freedom, n = number of samples, SD = standard deviation, linesin bold are considered significant.

Site	Explanatory variable	n	Intercept (± SD)	p value	Slope (± SD)	p value	R ² (%)	F value (DF)
Barents Sea	δ ¹⁵ N _{Phe}	72	6.13 ± 0.79	< 0.01	0.795 ± 0.075	< 0.01	61.2	113.2 (70)
Greenland Sea	$\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$	55	5.98 ± 0.95	< 0.01	$\boldsymbol{0.628 \pm 0.086}$	< 0.01	49.3	53.6 (53)
Northwest Atlantic	$\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$	48	10.51 ± 0.92	< 0.01	$\textbf{0.338} \pm \textbf{0.073}$	< 0.01	30.0	21.1 (46)
Barents Sea	$\delta^{15} N_{trophic}$	72	16.43 ± 1.69	< 0.01	$\textbf{-0.187} \pm 0.159$	0.241	0.0	1.4 (70)
Greenland Sea	$\delta^{15} N_{trophic}$	55	15.66 ± 1.05	< 0.01	-0.113 ± 0.159	0.010	10.3	7.2 (53)
Northwest Atlantic	$\delta^{15} N_{trophic}$	48	15.49 ± 0.56	< 0.01	-0.092 ± 0.065	0.168	2.0	2.0 (46)
Figure captions								

Fig. 1: Map depicting the seal sampling sites, their population range, the areas from which the fish data were taken, and the main ocean circulation

Fig. 2: $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ values per year in harp seals teeth from (a) the Barents Sea, (b) the Greenland Sea and (c) the Northwest Atlantic; Solid line shows linear models fit on the $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ values and dashed lines show 95% confidence interval of the linear models; $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ values in harp seal teeth from the Barents Sea were already published in de la Vega et al. 2022.

Fig. 3: Baseline-corrected $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ (Cor- $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$) values per year in harp seals teeth from (a) the Barents Sea, (b) the Greenland Sea and (c) the Northwest Atlantic; Solid line shows general additive models (GAMs) fit on $\delta^{15}N_{\text{Trophic}}$ values and dashed lines show 95% confidence interval of the GAMs.

Fig. 4: Relationship between $\delta^{15}N_{Phe}$ in per mil (‰) and $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$ in harp seals from (a) the Barents Sea, (b) the Greenland Sea and (c) the Northwest Atlantic, and relationship between Cor- $\delta^{15}N_{Trophic}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{Bulk}$ in harp seals from (d) the Barents Sea, (e) the Greenland Sea and (f) the Northwest Atlantic. Solid lines show linear model, dashed lines show 95% confidence interval of the linear model.

Fig. 5: (a) Temporal variation of fish biomass in the Barents Sea, as available; Pelagic schooling fish (in million tonnes; $t.10^6$) from 1972 to 2010, includes capelin and Atlantic herring (Atl. herring) and were taken from Johannesen et al. (2012); Arctic cod (in thousand tonnes; $t.10^3$) from 1986 to 2010 were taken from Johannesen et al. (2012); demersal fish (in thousand tonnes; $t.10^3$) from 1960 to 2020 includes

Atlantic cod (Atl. cod), haddock and Greenland halibut (Gr. halibut) and were taken from ICES (2020). (b) Temporal variation of capelin biomass (in million tonnes; $t.10^6$) from 1957 to 2018, biomass of demersal piscivore and planktivore-piscivore fish species (in million tonnes) from 1981 to 2017, and crustaceans biomass (in thousand tonnes; $t.10^3$) from 1995 to 2017 in the Northwest Atlantic, as available; demersal piscivores are dominated by Atlantic cod and Greenland halibut; planktivore-piscivores are dominated by Atlantic cod and Greenland halibut; planktivore-piscivores are dominated by Arctic cod and redfish; Crustaceans include Northern shrimps and snow crabs; data were taken from Buren et al. (2019) and Koen-Alonso and Cuff (2018). The shaded grey areas represent the 95% confidence intervals of the GAM models shown on Figure 3a and c and indicate the temporal trends in trophic position (TP) of harp seals.