ORIGINAL PAPER



Dabigatran versus vitamin K antagonists for atrial fibrillation in clinical practice: final outcomes from Phase III of the GLORIA-AF registry

Menno V. Huisman¹ · Christine Teutsch² · Shihai Lu³ · Hans-Christoph Diener⁴ · Sergio J. Dubner⁵ · Jonathan L. Halperin⁶ · Chang-Sheng Ma⁷ · Kenneth J. Rothman⁸ · Ragna Lohmann⁹ · Venkatesh Kumar Gurusamy¹⁰ · Dorothee B. Bartels¹¹ · Gregory Y. H. Lip¹² · for the GLORIA-AF Investigators

Received: 24 August 2021 / Accepted: 18 October 2021 / Published online: 16 March 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Background Prospectively collected, routine clinical practice-based data on antithrombotic therapy in non-valvular atrial fibrillation (AF) patients are important for assessing real-world comparative outcomes. The objective was to compare the safety and effectiveness of dabigatran versus vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) in patients with newly diagnosed AF.

Methods and results GLORIA-AF is a large, prospective, global registry program. Consecutive patients with newly diagnosed AF and CHA_2DS_2 -VASc scores ≥ 1 were included and followed for 3 years. To control for differences in patient characteristics, the comparative analysis for dabigatran versus VKA was performed on a propensity score (PS)-matched patient set. Missing data were multiply imputed. Proportional-hazards regression was used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) for outcomes of interest. Between 2014 and 2016, 21,300 eligible patients were included worldwide: 3839 patients were prescribed dabigatran and 4836 VKA with a median age of 71.0 and 72.0 years, respectively; > 85% in each group had a CHA_2DS_2 -VASc-score ≥ 2 . The PS-matched comparative analysis for dabigatran and VKA included on average 3326 pairs of matched initiators. For dabigatran versus VKAs, adjusted HRs (95% confidence intervals) were: stroke 0.89 (0.59–1.34), major bleeding 0.61 (0.42–0.88), all-cause death 0.78 (0.63–0.97), and myocardial infarction 0.89 (0.53–1.48). Further analyses stratified by PS and region provided similar results.

Menno V. Huisman and Gregory Y. H. Lip are co-Chairs of the GLORIA-AF Registry Program and joint senior authors.

Menno V. Huisman and Christine Teutsch are joint first authors.

GLORIA-AF investigators are listed in the Supplementary Information.

Menno V. Huisman M.V.Huisman@lumc.nl

- ¹ Department of Thrombosis and Hemostasis, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, Leiden, the Netherlands
- ² Department of CardioMetabolism and Respiratory Medicine, Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH, Ingelheim, Germany
- ³ Biostatistics, Biogen, Cambridge, MA, USA
- ⁴ Institute for Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, Medical Faculty of the University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany
- ⁵ Cardiology Department, Electrophysiology Service, Clínica y Maternidad Suizo Argentina, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 🖄 Springer

- ⁶ The Cardiovascular Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA
- ⁷ Cardiology Department, Atrial Fibrillation Center, Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China
- ⁸ RTI Health Solutions, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA
- ⁹ Clinical Operations, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma and Co. KG, Ingelheim, Germany
- ¹⁰ Global Epidemiology, Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH, Ingelheim, Germany
- ¹¹ Institute for Epidemiology, Social Medicine and Health System, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany
- ¹² Liverpool Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Liverpool and Liverpool Heart and Chest Hospital, Liverpool, UK

Conclusions Dabigatran was associated with a 39% reduced risk of major bleeding and 22% reduced risk for all-cause death compared with VKA. Stroke and myocardial infarction risks were similar, confirming a more favorable benefit-risk profile for dabigatran compared with VKA in clinical practice.

Clinical trial registration https://www.clinicaltrials.gov. NCT01468701, NCT01671007.

Graphical abstract



Keywords Anticoagulation · Atrial fibrillation · Dabigatran · Vitamin K antagonist · Stroke prevention

Introduction

Thromboembolic complications are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation (AF), and while anticoagulation reduces the risk of ischemic stroke, the risk of bleeding is an impediment to broad and sustained implementation [1]. The introduction of the non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs) has profoundly changed anticoagulation management for patients with AF, because NOACs offer greater convenience and net clinical benefit compared with vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) [2]. Four pivotal clinical trials have shown NOACs to be at least non-inferior in the prevention of stroke or systemic embolism in patients with AF and to reduce the risk of intracranial hemorrhage compared with VKA [3–6].

High-quality, practice-based evidence can provide important supplementary data by including patients with characteristics that are under-represented in clinical trials [7]. Retrospective analyses support the safety and effectiveness of dabigatran compared with VKAs or other NOACs in practice [8-10] and a large cohort of Medicare patients exhibited a lower risk of ischemic stroke and intracranial hemorrhage when treated with dabigatran compared with warfarin [10, 11]. To date, prospectively collected observational data are less common.

GLORIA-AF (Global Registry on Long-Term Oral Antithrombotic Treatment in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation) [12] is one of the first large, global, prospective registry programs to provide comparative outcome data for dabigatran versus VKA in routine practice [12, 13]. We describe herein the antithrombotic treatment for stroke prevention in Phase III of GLORIA-AF and report the comparative outcomes of dabigatran versus VKA from the final 3-year follow-up, which was the main objective of GLORIA-AF Phase III.

Baseline visit	Baseline visit	Baseline visit		
	3 m 6 m 1 y 2 y	6 m 1 y 2 y 3 y		
	Patients on dabigatran	All patients		
Phase I Cross-sectional	Phase II Cross-sectional 2-year follow-up for dabigatran	Phase III Cross-sectional and comparative analyses (dabigatran vs. VKA) 3-year follow-up		
End of enrollment: January 2013	End of enrollment: December 2014	End of enrollment: December 2016		
Initiated before introduction of NOAC	Initiated after approval of dabigatran	Initiated once baseline characteristics of dabigatran and VKA patients are comparable in Phase II		
I	Europe, Asia, North America, Latin America, Africa/Middle East	Europe, Asia, North America, Latin America		

Fig. 1 Design of GLORIA-AF. m, months; NOAC non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulant; VKA vitamin K antagonist; y, years

Methods

Study design and setting

GLORIA-AF was an international, multi-center, noninterventional registry program, based on prospectively collected data for patients with newly diagnosed AF. Participating centers were selected to achieve a countryspecific balance of health care settings. The three-phased design of the GLORIA-AF Registry Program has previously been published (Fig. 1) [12]. To reduce confounding, Phase III of the program only started once relevant baseline characteristics of patients initiating dabigatran and VKA in Phase II were sufficiently similar to allow for comprehensive comparative analysis, as determined by propensity score (PS) methodology. All patients in GLORIA-AF were managed according to local clinical practice and treatment decisions were solely at the discretion of the treating physician. Patients included in Phase III were followed for 3 years, regardless of prescribed antithrombotic therapy.

GLORIA-AF was conducted in accordance with the principles of Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Epidemiological Practice and Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices, and the protocol was approved by the European Medicines Agency and institutional review boards at each participating site. Patients provided written informed consent. An independent, academic steering committee oversaw the design, execution, and study conduct, and was responsible for manuscript development. Extensive measures were undertaken to ensure accurate and complete reporting of outcomes and minimize loss to follow-up. Clinical data and site characteristics were captured using a web-based system over a secure network to ensure confidentiality and data integrity. The Data Sharing Statement is included in the Supplementary Information.

Patients

Physicians were encouraged to enroll consecutive patients who met the inclusion criteria. Eligible patients had a recent diagnosis of AF (<3 months; except in Latin America, where <4.5 months was used due to referral patterns), were aged \geq 18 years, had a risk of stroke (CHA₂DS₂-VASc score \geq 1), and provided written informed consent. Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are in Methods 1 in the Supplementary Information.

Clinical outcomes

Key outcomes (without ranking) were stroke (hemorrhagic, ischemic, and uncertain classification); major bleeding (defined using International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis criteria); myocardial infarction; all-cause death; life-threatening bleeding; and the composite of stroke, systemic embolism, myocardial infarction, vascular death, and life-threatening bleeding (definitions in Methods 2 in the Supplementary Information).

Statistical methods

The Statistical and Epidemiological Analysis Plan was finalized before database lock. Demographics and baseline characteristics were summarized descriptively. Baseline characteristics were compared between dabigatran and VKA patients within different patient sets in terms of standardized differences. For outcome analyses regarding dabigatran and VKAs, missing data for baseline covariates and cause of death were handled using multiple imputation (Methods 3 in the Supplementary Information). All outcome analyses were performed separately for each imputed patient set, and results combined to provide estimates under the missing-at-random assumption. Analyses were performed using SAS® software version 9.4 or later (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

Patient sets Per protocol, two patient sets were predefined to adjust for unbalanced baseline characteristics in the dabigatran and VKA patient arms.

The PS-trimmed set consisted of a subset of patients obtained after excluding those in the non-overlapping tails of the PS distribution (PS trimming) within each geographic region (Methods 4 in the Supplementary Information). Excluding these patients from the tails of the PS distribution addresses channeling bias and improves the validity of comparisons. The PS-matched set was generated from the PS-trimmed patient set by 1:1 greedy nearest-neighbor matching of dabigatran patients to VKA patients, with a predefined caliper, within region (Methods 4 in the Supplementary Information). Descriptive analyses for the PS-trimmed and PS-matched sets are based on the PS calculated using the first of the multiple imputation patient sets, i.e., the first trimmed and matched sets.

Clinical outcome analyses Incidence rates with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the key outcome events were calculated for all treatment groups within the eligible patients (Supplementary Table S1). For dabigatran and VKA, incidence rates with 95% CI of the key outcomes were additionally calculated in the PS-trimmed and PS-matched patient sets. The initial analysis comparing effects of dabigatran with VKA was conducted using a multivariable Cox regression model within the PS-trimmed patient set. The model included treatment, age, sex, and risk factors for stroke and bleeding as core variables in the model. Further variables were included based on covariate selection procedures (Methods 5 in the Supplementary Information). Hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% CIs were presented for outcomes considered. The comparative analyses were also conducted in the PS-matched patient set by Cox regression with a shared frailty factor to adjust the matching [14]. Among the matched patients, the degree of balance between dabigatran and VKA for the individual, prespecified covariates (Supplementary Table S2) was assessed. Any covariate with a standardized difference > 10% was considered unbalanced and was also included as a separate variable in the final proportional-hazards regression model. Kaplan-Meier curves were plotted based on the matched patients for a graphical comparison (Methods 6 in the Supplementary Information). Additionally, we conducted a PS stratification analysis, based upon strata formed by deciles

of an extended PS and geographic region (Methods 6 in the Supplementary Information).

Longitudinal outcomes were based on an as-treated approach, censoring patients after permanent discontinuation of initial treatment or study termination (definition of permanent discontinuation in Methods 2 in the Supplementary Information).

Results

Study patients and baseline characteristics

Between January 2014 and December 2016, 21,591 patients were enrolled at 935 sites from 38 countries, of whom 21,300 were eligible for analysis. Their baseline characteristics are in Supplementary Table S3. Approximately 48% were from Europe, while 24%, 20%, and 8% were from North America, Asia, and Latin America, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S1). Of the 21,300 eligible patients, a total of 17,140 (80.5%) patients completed the planned 3 years of observation time. If a patient did not complete the planned observation time, vital status information was collected where possible. At the end of the study, vital status was available for all but 997 (4.7%) eligible patients who did not complete the planned observation time and had no information on vital status available (i.e., alive or dead).

The 20,860 "treated" patient population comprised eligible patients who were prescribed an antithrombotic agent and received at least 1 dose of the treatment (19,718 patients) and patients not prescribed an antithrombotic who had no antithrombotic started at baseline (1142 patients). Of the 20,860 patients, 12,577 patients (60.3%) received a NOAC, 4788 (23.0%) VKA, 2140 (10.3%) ace-tylsalicylic acid alone, 213 (1.02%) antiplatelet other than acetylsalicylic acid, and 1142 (5.5%) had no antithrombotic treatment (Fig. 2).

Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of the eligible patients treated with dabigatran (n = 3839) or VKA (n = 4836).

Baseline characteristics and incidence rates of PS-trimmed patients

In the PS-trimmed patient set, there were 3609 patients treated with dabigatran and 4421 treated with VKAs. Their baseline characteristics are in Supplementary Table S4. In the dabigatran group, 52.6% received 150 mg twice daily (BID), 45.1% received 110 mg BID, and 1.4% 75 mg BID. A total of 3040 (84.2%) dabigatran and 3538 (80.0%) VKA patients completed the 3-year follow-up. The mean (SD) duration of exposure was 25.2 (14.3) months for dabigatran and 24.3 (14.2) months for



Fig. 2 Patient flow. Data are from the patient set determined by the first of the 20 imputed datasets. *ASA* acetylsalicylic acid; *OAC* oral anticoagulant; *PS* propensity score; *VKA* vitamin K antagonist. ^aEligible patient set includes patients who were prescribed but did not take the antithrombotic therapies. This includes dabigatran (n = 32) and VKA (n = 52). These patients are excluded from the subsequent outcome analyses. ^bNs from individual treatment groups do not add up

to the total treated N as we do not show all treatments and treatment combinations. ^cIn the dabigatran and VKA groups, patients with a PS less than the 1.5th percentile of the PS distribution for the dabigatranexposed group and those with PS larger than the 98.5th percentile of the PS distribution for the VKA-exposed group were excluded. ^dLoss to follow-up is defined as not completed planned observation time and no information on vital status available Table 1Baseline characteristicsof the eligible set treated withdabigatran or VKA

	Eligible patient set ^a		Standard-	
	Dabigatran $N = 3839$	VKA <i>N</i> =4836	ized differ- ence	
Age, y				
Median (IQR)	71.0 (64.0–77.0)	72.0 (65.0–79.0)	-0.1064	
Mean (SD)	70.1 (10.2)	71.2 (10.3)		
Female sex, n (%)	1718 (44.8)	2152 (44.5)	0.0051	
Creatinine clearance, mL/min				
Median (IQR)	75.9 (60.2–96.5)	72.2 (53.4–95.2)	0.0769	
Mean (SD)	83.5 (117.4)	76.8 (35.4)		
Type of AF, <i>n</i> (%)				
Paroxysmal	2082 (54.2)	2174 (45.0)	0.1864	
Persistent	1309 (34.1)	1977 (40.9)	-0.1405	
Permanent	448 (11.7)	685 (14.2)	-0.0744	
Medical history, n (%)				
Congestive heart failure	695 (18.1)	1284 (26.6)	-0.2039	
History of hypertension	2890 (75.3)	3652 (75.5)	-0.0055	
Diabetes mellitus	828 (21.6)	1233 (25.5)	-0.0927	
Previous stroke	441 (11.5)	462 (9.6)	0.0631	
Coronary artery disease	511 (13.3)	916 (18.9)	-0.1535	
Prior bleeding	138 (3.6)	251 (5.2)	-0.0779	
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score, mean (SD)	3.1 (1.4)	3.3 (1.5)	-0.1364	
HAS-BLED score, mean (SD)	1.2 (0.8)	1.3 (0.9)	0.0207	
Previous OAC use within 3 months, n (%)	1699 (44.3)	2646 (54.7)	-0.2103	
Chronic concomitant medications, n (%)				
Antiplatelet	508 (13.2)	913 (18.9)	-0.1543	
Drugs with higher bleeding risk (HAS-BLED) ^b	569 (14.8)	998 (20.6)	-0.1527	
Region, <i>n</i> (%)				
Asia	930 (24.2)	793 (16.4)	0.1955	
Europe	2066 (53.8)	2758 (57.0)	-0.0647	
North America	432 (11.3)	736 (15.2)	-0.1172	
Latin America	411 (10.7)	549 (11.4)	-0.0206	
Dabigatran dose, n (%)				
150 mg BID	2005 (52.2)	-	_	
110 mg BID	1728 (45.0)	_	_	
75 mg BID	55 (1.4)	-	-	
Other dose	51 (1.3)	_	_	

AF atrial fibrillation; BID twice daily

^a Eligible patient set includes patients who were prescribed but not treated with dabigatran (n=32) or VKA (n=52). These patients are excluded from the subsequent outcome analyses

^b Concomitant use of drugs associated with higher bleeding risk, as defined in the HAS-BLED score (i.e., antiplatelet agent, Cox-2 inhibitor or other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug)

VKA. The incidence rates for dabigatran and VKA for stroke, major bleeding, and all-cause death are in Table 2.

Baseline characteristics and incidence rates of the PS-matched patients

In the PS-matched patient set, 3327 patients were included in each of the 2 treatment groups; their baseline characteristics are shown in Supplementary Table S4. Specifically, the PS-matched patient set led to the dabigatran and VKA cohorts being closely balanced for all covariates. Table 2 shows incidence rates for dabigatran and VKA in the PS-matched patient set, while the incidence rates from the eligible patient population (before multiple imputation) are in Supplementary Table S5.

Comparative analysis of the PS-trimmed and PS-matched patients

Cox regression analysis within the PS-trimmed patient set (Fig. 3A) shows that patients treated with dabigatran had reduced risk for major bleeding (HR 0.52; 95% CI 0.38–0.73), all-cause death (HR 0.66; 95% CI 0.54–0.80), and the composite of stroke, systemic embolism, myocardial infarction, vascular death, and life-threatening bleeding (HR 0.74; 95% CI 0.60–0.90) compared with VKAs. Stroke and myocardial infarction risks were similar (HR 0.81; 95% CI 0.57–1.14 and HR 0.97; 95% CI 0.60–1.57, respectively).

Outcomes for dabigatran versus VKA within the PSmatched set adjusting for unbalanced variables in the Cox model (Fig. 3B) showed that patients treated with dabigatran had reduced risk of major bleeding (HR 0.61; 95% CI 0.42–0.88) and all-cause death (HR 0.78; 95% CI 0.63–0.97) compared with VKA. HR was 0.85 (95% CI 0.67–1.07) for the composite outcome.

Similar risks for stroke and myocardial infarction were observed, which is consistent with the results from the Cox regression analysis within the PS-trimmed set. Figure 4 shows Kaplan–Meier curves of outcomes in the PSmatched set.

Further sensitivity analyses with stratification using a post hoc PS extended sensitivity analysis (Supplementary Fig. S2) showed that the pattern of results was again consistent with the above-described prespecified analyses.

Discussion

This is the largest prospective, global cohort of consecutive dabigatran- and VKA-treated patients to report longterm follow-up of routine practice-based data comparing the safety and effectiveness of dabigatran with VKAs in patients newly diagnosed with AF. Our principal findings are that, when compared with VKA patients over 3 years of followup, dabigatran-treated patients were at reduced risk for major bleeding and all-cause death, with a similar risk of stroke and myocardial infarction. To date, there are only assessments of clinical trial and retrospective analyses comparing dabigatran with VKAs, but GLORIA-AF now provides highquality data from a prospective global registry.

Prospectively collected, routine, clinical-practice-based data on antithrombotic therapy in non-valvular AF patients are important for assessing real-world comparative outcomes. One specific challenge of comparative analyses in observational studies is differences in patient characteristics based on prescribing information for the drugs of interest as well as physicians' prescribing preferences that could introduce bias. The design of GLORIA-AF helped to limit bias because Phase III of the study only started in a specific region once relevant baseline characteristics of patients initiating dabigatran and VKA therapy in Phase II showed substantial overlap in the PS distributions [12].

We addressed some of the observed differential prescribing patterns of dabigatran and VKAs using two defined patient sets (PS-trimmed and PS-matched), leading to a better balance for important baseline characteristics. The main drawback of matching is discarding some of the available data; to address this our other analyses, using methods that retain all the patients in the trimmed dataset, preserved precision with otherwise similar findings.

The GLORIA-AF results can be set in context with those using retrospective claims databases to compare dabigatran versus warfarin in various populations. A study of 134,414 elderly US Medicare AF patients treated with dabigatran versus warfarin found lower risk of ischemic stroke (HR 0.80; 95% CI 0.67-0.96), intracranial hemorrhage (HR 0.34; 95% CI 0.26-0.46), and death (HR 0.86; 95% CI 0.77-0.96). Risk of major bleeding was similar (HR 0.97; 95% CI 0.88–1.07) [10]. An analysis of US Department of Defense claims [8] also found risk of stroke (HR 0.73; 95% CI 0.55-0.97), intracranial bleeding (HR 0.49; 95% CI 0.30-0.79), and death (HR 0.64; 95% CI 0.55–0.74) associated with dabigatran treatment were lower compared with warfarin treatment, while major bleeding (HR 0.87; 95% CI 0.74-1.03) was similar. It needs to be considered that these US-based analyses mainly include dabigatran 150 mg BID and a small minority of 75 mg BID, as dabigatran 110 mg BID is not approved in USA. This might also explain the better safety of dabigatran that was observed in GLORIA-AF, in which 45% of dabigatran patients received dabigatran 110 mg BID, a dose that physicians can select for patients at risk of bleeding.

Comparable results, albeit with shorter mean duration of follow-up compared with GLORIA-AF, have also been reported from Danish prescription and patient registries (13 months) [9]. The Danish registry presented data separately for different dabigatran doses. Risk for major bleeding in the VKA-naïve patients was lower versus VKAs for dabigatran 150 mg BID (HR 0.67; 95% CI 0.53–0.85) and similar for dabigatran 110 mg BID (HR 0.91; 95% CI 0.73–1.14); intracranial bleeding risk was lower with both doses of dabigatran versus VKAs (HR 0.32; 95% CI 0.16–0.63 for 150 mg BID and HR 0.31; 95% CI 0.17–0.55 for 110 mg BID) [9].

Two other large registries assessing treatment patterns from routine clinical practice and outcomes in newly diagnosed patients with AF are the Global Anticoagulant Registry in the Field (GARFIELD)-AF and Outcomes Registry for Better Informed Treatment of AF (ORBIT-AF) [15, 16]. In the GARFIELD-AF registry, major bleeding and all-cause mortality were lower with NOACs than VKAs (0.79 [0.70–0.89]; 0.77 [0.61–0.98]), respectively. However, GARFIELD-AF was not a global registry, as North American patients were not included and follow-up was limited to 2 years [16]. Therefore, GLORIA-AF is the only

	Dabigatra	an $N=3$	611	VKAN=	:4413		Dabigatra	N = 3	326	VKA N =	3326	
	Pts with event, <i>n</i>	ΡΥ	IR/100 PY (95% CI)	Pts with event, <i>n</i>	ΡY	IR/100 PY (95% CI)	Pts with event, n	ΡY	IR/100 PY (95% CI)	Pts with event, n	ΡY	IR/100 PY (95% CI)
Major bleeding	51	7440	0.69 (0.51–0.89)	126	8696	1.44 (1.20–1.70)	49	6953	0.70 (0.52–0.91)	79	6526	1.22 (0.93–1.52)
ife-threatening bleeding	35	7444	0.47 (0.32-0.63)	93	8717	1.07 (0.85–1.30)	33	6957	0.48 (0.32-0.65)	59	6537	0.90 (0.66–1.16)
stroke (all) ^b	57	7425	0.77 (0.58–0.97)	83	8724	0.95(0.76 - 1.16)	52	6942	0.74 (0.55–0.95)	58	6541	$0.88 \ (0.64{-}1.13)$
schemic stroke	40	7432	$0.54\ (0.38-0.71)$	49	8729	0.56(0.41 - 0.73)	36	6949	0.52 (0.35-0.71)	35	6545	0.54 (0.37-0.73)
Hemorrhagic stroke	7	7460	$0.09\ (0.03-0.16)$	28	8750	0.32 (0.21–0.44)	9	6972	0.08 (0.03-0.16)	18	6559	0.28 (0.15-0.43)
Myocardial infarction	30	7449	0.40(0.27 - 0.55)	46	8710	0.53(0.38-0.68)	29	6962	0.41 (0.26–0.57)	33	6528	0.50(0.32 - 0.69)
All-cause death ^c	161	7465	2.16 (1.84–2.49)	312	8751	3.56 (3.18–3.94)	157	6976	2.24 (1.89–2.59)	209	6560	3.18 (2.74–3.63)
Composite outcome ^d	164	7396	2.21 (1.88–2.57)	279	8646	3.23 (2.83–3.62)	155	6915	2.24 (1.87–2.60)	184	6484	2.83 (2.41–3.27)
<i>CI</i> confidence interval; <i>IR</i> As the PS was calculated ased on the average of th	incidence 1 using imp ose sets	rate; <i>PS</i> uted bas	propensity score; <i>Pts</i> paseline covariates by mu	atients; <i>PY</i> Itiple impu	patient-	years; <i>VKA</i> vitamin K <i>i</i> very patient had 20 est	intagonist timated PS	s, leadir	ng to 20 different PS-tr	immed pati	ent sets.	Results presented are
Stroke type was classified	l as uncerté	ain or ur	known in 10 patients ir	n the dabig	atran gro	oup and 6 patients in th	e VKA gr	oup in th	ne PS-trimmed set and	in 10 patien	tts in the	dabigatran group and

Table 2 Incidence rates of outcomes in the PS-trimmed patient set and the PS-matched patient set treated with dabigatran or VKA^a

Propensity-score-trimmed set

Propensity-score-matched set

4 patients in the VKA group in the PS-matched set

^cUnknown cause of death imputed by multiple imputation

^dComposite outcome: stroke, systemic embolism, myocardial infarction, vascular death, and life-threatening bleeding

Fig. 3 Comparison of outcomes in patients treated with dabigatran or VKA at year 3: (a) in the PS-trimmed patient set (primary analysis); (b) in the PS-matched patient set with adjustment for unbalanced variables. CI confidence interval; CrCl creatinine clearance; HR hazard ratio; PS propensity score; VKA vitamin K antagonist. ^aAs the PS was calculated using baseline covariates with missing baseline covariates handled by multiple imputation, every patient had 20 estimated PSs, so there were 20 different PS-trimmed patient sets. Results presented are based on the average of the results from those sets. ^bCensoring patients after permanent discontinuation of initial treatment or study termination. ^cMultivariable Cox regression models were used to analyze comparative outcomes of dabigatran versus VKAs, along with a covariate selection procedure (see statistical methods section). ^dComposite outcome: stroke, systemic embolism, myocardial infarction, vascular death, and lifethreatening bleeding, eTreatment, along with unbalanced parameters, are considered in the Cox regression model with a shared frailty factor. CrCl, previous oral anticoagulant use, and type of atrial fibrillation were adjusted in the model, as their standardized difference was > 10% in the matched datasets

а

PS-trimmed so	eta
---------------	-----

	Patients with event, <i>n</i>	Patients censored ^ь			HR (95%	CI)⁰
Major bleeding Dabigatran VKA	51 126	3560 4288			0.52 (0.38-	—0.73)
Stroke (all) Dabigatran VKA	57 83	3554 4330			0.81 (0.57-	—1.14)
Myocardial infa Dabigatran VKA	rction 30 46	3581 4368			⊣ 0.97 (0.60-	—1.57)
All-cause death Dabigatran VKA	161 312	3450 4102 [⊢]			0.66 (0.54-	—0.80)
Composite outc Dabigatran VKA	:ome ⁴ 164 279	3447 4134			0.74 (0.60-	—0.90)
		0.2 0.4 0 Favors d	.6 0.8 1 HR, 95 abigatran	1.2 1.4 % CI Favors V	1.6 1.8 /KA	

b

PS-matched set with adjustment for unbalanced variables^a

	Patients with event, <i>n</i>	Patients censored ^₅		HR (95% CI)º
Major bleeding Dabigatran √KA	49 79	3278 3247	·	0.61 (0.42—0.88)
Stroke (all) Dabigatran √KA	52 58	3275 3269		0.89 (0.59—1.34)
Myocardial infar Dabigatran √KA	ction 29 33	3298 3294	· •	⊣ 0.89 (0.53—1.48)
All-cause death Dabigatran √KA	157 209	3170 3118	⊢− −−−4	0.78 (0.63—0.97)
Composite outco Dabigatran √KA	ome ^d 155 184	3172 3143	⊢ ⊸–	0.85 (0.67—1.07)
		0.2 0	.4 0.6 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 HR, 95% CI	4 1.6 1.8

Favors dabigatran

global study providing long-term comparative data complementing the outcomes of pivotal trials through the use of unselected real-world populations and conditions. GLORIA-AF highlights the value of routine practice data where the clinical outcomes are based on the actual use of dabigatran, including dose selection. The rates of clinical outcomes in this population from the GLORIA-AF

Favors VKA





3326 2941 2681 2515 2402 2280 2212 2155 2085 2001 1959 1912 1423

3326 2942 2654 2433 2299 2151 2072 1997 1912 1791 1726 1663 1190

No. at risk Dabigatran 3326 2942 2678 2514 2406 2284 2219 2160 2090 2007 1965 1918 1429 3326 2941 2649 2430 2295 2143 2064 1989 1905 1783 1720 1658 1181 VKA



d All-cause death

b Stroke

0.03



3326 2945 2686 2522 2414 2294 2228 2169 2100 2016 1974 1928 1436 3326 2946 2658 2438 2305 2156 2078 2004 1919 1797 1734 1673 1197

No. at risk

Dabigatran 3326 2943 2682 2516 2409 2289 2224 2165 2095 2011 1966 1920 1433 VKA 3326 2939 2650 2432 2296 2147 2067 1992 1905 1782 1718 1658 1189



Fig. 4 Kaplan-Meier plots of outcomes with dabigatran and VKAs in the PS-matched patient set. VKA vitamin K antagonist

Deringer

study were low and showed a favorable benefit-risk profile for dabigatran compared with VKAs. When comparing the results of GLORIA-AF with the pivotal Phase III trial RE-LY, where patients were randomly assigned to receive either dabigatran 150 mg BID, dabigatran 110 mg BID, or VKAs, dabigatran patients compared with VKA patients in RE-LY had similar or lower rates of major bleeding, while patients taking dabigatran 150 mg BID had lower ischemic stroke rates [6, 17].

The differences between GLORIA-AF and RE-LY are likely due to different study designs such as the randomization of dabigatran doses and differences in the patient populations. For example, GLORIA-AF included only newly diagnosed AF patients, whereas in RE-LY, two-thirds of the patients had pre-existing AF. Furthermore, in GLORIA-AF, the mean CHADS₂ and HAS-BLED scores were 1.8 and 1.2, compared with mean scores of 2.1 and 1.3, respectively, in RE-LY. Regarding concomitant diseases, while median age, history of hypertension, and diabetes mellitus were similar, history of heart failure was 32% in RE-LY and 21% in GLORIA-AF. Prior stroke/transient ischemic attack history was 20% in RE-LY, while prior stroke/transient ischemic attack/systemic embolism was 14% in GLORIA-AF.

In the RE-LY trial, numerically more myocardial infarction events were observed with dabigatran compared with VKAs [18]. A meta-analysis including > 300,000 patients from retrospective analyses, indicated that the risk for myocardial infarction was similar between dabigatran- and VKAtreated patients [19]. These data are now complemented by the prospective data from GLORIA-AF, in which a similar myocardial infarction risk for dabigatran compared with VKA was also observed. Importantly, follow-up for the GLORIA-AF cohorts was 3 years, the longest described so far in a comparative assessment.

Limitations and strengths

The generalizability of our study results may be limited by the fact that the study population was restricted to those with a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score \geq 1, though this is similar to other contemporary AF registries. Furthermore, nearly 50% of the cohort was enrolled in Europe. Sites included in GLORIA-AF had to have access to and be able to prescribe both dabigatran and VKAs. Consequently, treatment patterns could be influenced by site selection. To minimize selection bias at the patient level, physicians were encouraged to enroll consecutive consenting patients who met the inclusion criteria.

With no randomization, the study may be subject to confounding by factors not adjusted for in the analysis. Cause of death was unknown in approximately 20% of deaths; for these patients, multiple imputation was used for comparative analysis. Thus, bias may be introduced if the assumptions behind the imputation procedure were not held. To assess the potential impact of this procedure, a sensitivity analysis was performed where unknown death was imputed as vascular cause and a second where unknown death was imputed by non-vascular cause (data on file). The data obtained by these sensitivity analyses were in line with the results observed in the primary analysis.

Strengths of the GLORIA-AF study include the fact that it is the largest prospective global cohort of consecutive dabigatran- and VKA-treated patients reported thus far. Over the 3-year observation period, regular follow-up with physicians, alongside 10% on-site monitoring, and multiple standards for data quality assurance and review ensured event capture. As such, the data quality is strong for an observational setting, with low percentage of eligible patients for whom no information on vital status was available (4.7%). The prospective study design complements other published studies based on retrospective data sources.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this is the largest, global, prospective cohort of consecutive dabigatran and VKA patients, to report clinical practice-based data comparing the safety and effectiveness of dabigatran with VKAs for stroke prevention in a broad AF patient population. After 3 years' follow-up, the risks of major bleeding and all-cause death for dabigatran were lower compared with VKAs, while the risks for stroke and myocardial infarction were similar. These results confirm a more favorable benefit-risk profile for dabigatran compared with VKAs in routine clinical practice and add to the evidence available for dabigatran in newly diagnosed AF patients, by complementing results from the pivotal RE-LY trial and retrospective analyses performed on various patient populations.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00392-021-01957-1.

Acknowledgements Medical writing support was provided by Keith Day, PhD, of Parexel, with funding from Boehringer Ingelheim. Dr Miney Paquette and Laurie Baker are acknowledged for supporting the study conduct for many years. For programming and data management, Ralf Minkenberg, Paul Allison, Pol Mac-an-Mhaoir, and Holger Jaumann are acknowledged. The authors thank the patients who participated in this trial, their families, the investigators, study coordinators, study teams, and nurses.

Funding This study was supported by Boehringer Ingelheim.

Data availability Please see Data Sharing Statement in the Supplementary Information.

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest MVH reports grants from ZonMW Dutch Healthcare Fund, and grants and consultation honoraria from Boehringer Ingelheim, Pfizer/Bristol-Myers Squibb, Bayer Health Care, Aspen, and Daiichi-Sankyo. H-CD reports honoraria for participation in clinical trials, contribution to advisory boards, or oral presentations from Abbott, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi Sankyo, Medtronic, Pfizer, Portola, and WebMD Global. Financial support for research projects was provided by Boehringer Ingelheim. SJD reports consultancy fees for serving as a Steering Committee member for Boehringer Ingelheim and research grants from Abbott (St Jude Medical). JLH reports consulting fees/ honoraria or research support from Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Boehringer Ingelheim, Boston Scientific, Daiichi Sankyo Pharma, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Johnson & Johnson, Medtronic, Pfizer, and Sanofi Aventis. C-SM reports consultancy fees/honoraria from Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Johnson & Johnson, and Pfizer. KJR reports employment by RTI Health Solutions, an independent, non-profit research organization that does work for government agencies and pharmaceutical companies. RL, VKG, and CT report employment by Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH. DBB reports past employment by Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH and current employment by UCB pharma. SL reports past employment by Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH. GYHL reports consultancy for Bayer/Janssen, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer, Medtronic, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, Verseon, and Daiichi Sankyo; and speaking for Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Pfizer, Medtronic, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Daiichi Sankyo; no fees are directly received personally.

Ethics approval GLORIA-AF was conducted in accordance with the principles of Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Epidemiological Practice and Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices, and the protocol was approved by the European Medicines Agency and institutional review boards at each participating site.

Consent to participate Patients provided written informed consent.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Hindricks G, Potpara T, Dagres N et al (2020) 2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European Association of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). Eur Heart J. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa612
- Lip G, Freedman B, De Caterina R, Potpara TS (2017) Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: past, present and future. Comparing the guidelines and practical decision-making. Thromb Haemost 117(7):1230–1239. https://doi.org/10.1160/th16-11-0876
- Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J et al (2011) Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 365(10):883–891. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1009638

- Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJ et al (2011) Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 365(11):981–992. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1107039
- Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Braunwald E et al (2013) Edoxaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 369(22):2093–2104. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1310907
- Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S et al (2009) Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 361(12):1139–1151. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0905561
- Franklin JM, Glynn RJ, Martin D, Schneeweiss S (2019) Evaluating the use of nonrandomized real-world data analyses for regulatory decision making. Clin Pharmacol Ther 105(4):867–877. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpt.1351
- Villines TC, Schnee J, Fraeman K et al (2015) A comparison of the safety and effectiveness of dabigatran and warfarin in non-valvular atrial fibrillation patients in a large healthcare system. Thromb Haemost 114(6):1290–1298. https://doi.org/10.1160/th15-06-0453
- Larsen TB, Gorst-Rasmussen A, Rasmussen LH, Skjoth F, Rosenzweig M, Lip GY (2014) Bleeding events among new starters and switchers to dabigatran compared with warfarin in atrial fibrillation. Am J Med 127(7):650-656.e655. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2014.01.031
- Graham DJ, Reichman ME, Wernecke M et al (2015) Cardiovascular, bleeding, and mortality risks in elderly Medicare patients treated with dabigatran or warfarin for nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. Circulation 131(2):157–164. https://doi.org/10.1161/ circulationaha.114.012061
- Graham DJ, Baro E, Zhang R et al (2019) Comparative stroke, bleeding, and mortality risks in older Medicare patients treated with oral anticoagulants for nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. Am J Med 132(5):596-604.e511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2018.12.023
- 12. Huisman MV, Lip GY, Diener HC et al (2014) Design and rationale of Global Registry on Long-Term Oral Antithrombotic Treatment in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: a global registryprogram on long-term oral antithrombotic treatment in patients with atrial fibrillation Am Heart J 167(3):329–334. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ahj.2013.12.006
- Mazurek M, Teutsch C, Diener HC et al (2019) Safety and effectiveness of dabigatran at 2 years: final outcomes from phase II of the GLORIA-AF registry program. Am Heart J 218:123–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2019.08.012
- 14. Duchateau L, Janssen P (2008) The frailty model. Springer
- Jackson LR 2nd, Kim S, Fonarow GC et al (2018) Stroke risk and treatment in patients with atrial fibrillation and low CHA2DS2-VASc scores: findings from the ORBIT-AF I and II registries. J Am Heart Assoc 7(16):e008764. https://doi.org/10. 1161/JAHA.118.008764
- Camm AJ, Fox KAA, Virdone S et al (2021) Comparative effectiveness of oral anticoagulants in everyday practice. Heart. https:// doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2020-318420
- Connolly SJ, Wallentin L, Yusuf S (2014) Additional events in the RE-LY trial. N Engl J Med 371(15):1464–1465. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJMc1407908
- Hohnloser SH, Oldgren J, Yang S et al (2012) Myocardial ischemic events in patients with atrial fibrillation treated with dabigatran or warfarin in the RE-LY (Randomized Evaluation of Long-Term Anticoagulation Therapy) trial. Circulation 125(5):669–676. https://doi. org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.055970
- Ntaios G, Papavasileiou V, Makaritsis K, Vemmos K, Michel P, Lip GYH (2017) Real-world setting comparison of nonvitamin-K antagonist oral anticoagulants versus vitamin-K antagonists for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Stroke 48(9):2494–2503. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA. 117.017549