036

037

038

039

040

041

042

043

044

045

046

047

048

049

050

051

001

Density functions of periodic sequences of continuous events

Olga Anosova¹ and Vitaliy Kurlin^{1*}

^{1*}Computer Science, University of Liverpool, Ashton street, Liverpool, L69 3BX, UK.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): vitaliy.kurlin@gmail.com; Contributing authors: oanosova@liverpool.ac.uk;

Abstract

Periodic Geometry studies isometry invariants of periodic point sets that are also continuous under perturbations. The motivations come from periodic crystals whose structures are determined in a rigid form but any minimal cells can discontinuously change due to small noise in measurements. For any integer $k \geq 0$, the density function of a periodic set S was previously defined as the fractional volume of all k-fold intersections (within a minimal cell) of balls that have a variable radius t and centers at all points of S. This paper introduces the density functions for periodic sets of points with different initial radii motivated by atomic radii of chemical elements and by continuous events occupying disjoint intervals in time series. The contributions are explicit descriptions of the densities for periodic sequences of intervals. The new densities are strictly stronger and distinguish periodic sequences that have identical densities in the case of zero radii.

Keywords: computational geometry, periodic set, periodic time series, isometry invariant, density function

 \mathbf{MSC} Classification: 68U05 , 51K05 , 51N20 , 51F30 , 51F20

1 Motivations for the density functions of periodic sets

This work substantially extends the previous conference paper [3] in Discrete Geometry and Mathematical Morphology 2022. The past work explicitly described the density functions for periodic sequences of zero-sized points. The new work extends these analytic descriptions to periodic sequences whose points have non-negative radii.

The proposed extension to the weighted case is motivated by crystallography and materials chemistry [1] because all chemical elements have different atomic radii. In dimension 1, the key motivation is the study of periodic time series consisting of continuous and sequential (non-overlapping) events represented by disjoint intervals. Any such interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ for $a \leq b$ is the one-dimensional ball with the center $\frac{a+b}{2}$ and radius $\frac{b-a}{2}$.

The point-set representation of periodic crystals is the most fundamental mathematical model for crystalline materials because nuclei of atoms are well-defined physical objects, while chemical bonds are not real sticks or strings but abstractly represent inter-atomic interactions depending on many thresholds for distances and angles.

Since crystal structures are determined in a rigid form, their most practical equivalence is *rigid* motion (a composition of translations and rotations) or *isometry* that maintains all inter-point distances and includes also mirror reflections [22].

Now we introduce the key concepts. Let \mathbb{R}^n be Euclidean space, \mathbb{Z} be the set of all integers. 052 **Definition 1.1** (a *lattice* Λ , a *unit cell*, a *motif*, a periodic point set). For any linear basis v_1, \ldots, v_n 053of \mathbb{R}^n , a *lattice* is $\Lambda = \{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i : c_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The *unit* 054055 *cell* $U(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i : 0 \le c_i < 1\}$ is the 056057 parallelepiped defined by the basis above. A motif 058 $M \subset U$ is any finite set of points $p_1, \ldots, p_m \in U$. 059 A periodic point set [22] is the Minkowski sum 060 $S = M + \Lambda = \{ u + v \mid u \in M, v \in \Lambda \}.$ 061 062

1063 In dimension n = 1, a lattice is defined by any 1064 non-zero vector $v \in \mathbb{R}$, any periodic point set S1065 is a periodic sequence $\{p_1, \ldots, p_m\} + \mathsf{v}\mathbb{Z}$ with the 1066 period v equal to the length of the vector v.

067 **Definition 1.2** (density functions for periodic 068 sets of points with radii). Let a periodic set S =069 $\Lambda + M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ have a unit cell U. For every point 070 $p \in M$, fix a radius $r(p) \geq 0$. For any integer 071 $k \geq 0$, let $U_k(t)$ be the region within the cell U 072 covered by exactly k closed balls $\overline{B}(p; r(p) + t)$ 073 for $t \geq 0$ and all points $p \in M$ and their transla-074tions by Λ . The k-th density function $\psi_k[S](t) =$ 075 $\operatorname{Vol}[U_k(t)]/\operatorname{Vol}[U]$ is the fractional volume of the 076 k-fold intersections of these balls within U. 077 078

In Definition 1.2, the balls are growing at all 079 points of S, because centers $p \in M$ are translated 080 by all lattice vectors $v \in \Lambda$. The initially different 081 radii r_i are motivated by real lengths of continuous 082events in periodic time series for n = 1 and also 083 by atomic radii of different chemical elements for 084n = 3. Another (possibly, non-linear) growth of 085radii lead to more complicated density functions. 086

087The density $\psi_k[S](t)$ can be interpreted as the088probability that a random (uniformly chosen in U)089point q is at a maximum distance t to exactly k090balls with initial radii r(p) and all centers $p \in S$.091For k = 0, the 0-th density $\psi_0[S](t)$ measures the fractional volume of the empty space not093covered by any expanding balls $\bar{B}(p; r(p) + t)$

In the simplest case of radii r(p) = 0, the infi-095nite sequence $\Psi[S] = \{\psi_k(t)\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$ was called in 096 [6, section 3] the *density fingerprint* of a periodic 097 point set S. For k = 1 and small t > 0 while 098 all equal-sized balls $\overline{B}(p; t)$ remain disjoint, the 099 1st density $\psi_1[S](t)$ increases proportionally to t^n 100but later reaches a maximum and eventually drops 101 back to 0 when all points of \mathbb{R}^n are covered of by at 102

least two balls. See the densities ψ_k , $k = 0, \dots, 8$ for the square and hexagonal lattices in [6, Fig. 2].

The original densities helped find a missing crystal in the Cambridge Structural Database, which was accidentally confused with a slight perturbation (measured at a different temperature) of another crystal (polymorph) with the same chemical composition, see [6, section 7].

The new weighted case with radii $r(p) \ge 0$ in Definition 1.2 is even more practically important due to different Van der Waals radii, which are individually defined for all chemical elements.

The key advantage of density functions over other isometry invariants of periodic crystals (such as symmetries or conventional representations based on a geometry of a minimal cell) is their continuity under perturbations, see details in section 2 reviewing the related past work.

The only limitation is the infinite size of densities $\psi_k(t)$ due to the unbounded parameters: integer index $k \ge 0$ and continuous radius $t \ge 0$.

We state the following problem in full generality to motivate future work on these densities.

Problem 1.3 (computation of ψ_k). Verify if the density functions $\psi_k[S](t)$ from Definition 1.2 can be computed in a polynomial time (in the size m of a motif of S) for a fixed dimension n.

The main contribution of this work is the full solution of Problem 1.3 for n = 1. Despite $\psi_k[S](t)$ depends on infinitely many k and t, Theorems 3.2, 4.2, 5.2, 6.2, and Corollary 6.5.

2 Review of related past work

Due to close contacts between bonded atoms, dense packings approximate real crystals. Hence dense periodic packings were studied for various objects including tetrahedra in \mathbb{R}^3 [18] and were optimized for all regular polygons and each of the 17 crystallographic groups in \mathbb{R}^2 [16, 17].

Periodic Geometry was initiated in 2020 by the problem [12, section 2.3] to design a computable metric on isometry classes of lattices, which is continuous under perturbations of a lattice basis.

Though, a Voronoi domain is combinatorially unstable under perturbations, its geometric shape was used to introduce two continuous metrics [12, Theorems 2, 4] requiring approximations due to a minimization over infinitely many rotations.

Similar minimizations over rotations or other continuous parameters are required for the complete invariant isosets [2] and density functions, which can be practically computed in low dimensions [14] whose completeness was proved for generic periodic point sets in \mathbb{R}^3 [6, Theorem 2]. The density fingerprint $\Psi[S]$ turned out to be incomplete [6, section 5] in the example below.

Example 2.1 (periodic sequences $S_{15}, Q_{15} \subset \mathbb{R}$). Widdowson et al. [22, Appendix B] discussed homometric sets that can be distinguished by the invariant AMD (Average Minimum Distances) and not by diffraction patterns. The sequences

$$\begin{split} S_{15} &= \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12\} + 15\mathbb{Z}, \\ Q_{15} &= \{0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 14\} + 15\mathbb{Z} \end{split}$$

have the unit cell [0, 15] shown as a circle in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1 Circular versions of the periodic sets S_{15}, Q_{15} .

These periodic sequences [7] are obtained as Minkowski sums $S_{15} = U + V + 15\mathbb{Z}$ and $Q_{15} =$ $U - V + 15\mathbb{Z}$ for $U = \{0, 4, 9\}, V = \{0, 1, 3\}.$

For rational-valued periodic sequences, [7, Theorem 4] proved that r-th order invariants (combinations of r-factor products) up to r = 6are enough to distinguish such sequences up to a shift (a rigid motion of \mathbb{R} without reflections).

The AMD invariant was extended to the Pointwise Distance Distribution (PDD), whose generic completeness [20, Theorem 4.4] was proved in any dimension $n \geq 1$. However there are finite sets in \mathbb{R}^3 [13, Fig. S4] with the same PDD, which were distinguished by more sophisticated distance-based invariants in [19, 21].

The subarea of Lattice Geometry developed continuous parameterizations for the moduli spaces of lattices considered up to isometry in dimension two [5, 11] and three [8].

For 1-periodic sequences of points in \mathbb{R}^n , complete isometry invariants with continuous and computable metrics appeared in [10], see related results for finite clouds of unlabeled points [9, 15].

3 The 0-th density function ψ_0

This section proves Theorem 3.2 explicitly describing the 0-th density function $\psi_0[S](t)$ for any periodic sequence $S \subset \mathbb{R}$. All intervals are considered closed and called *disjoint* if their open interiors (not endpoints) have no common points.

For convenience, scale any periodic sequence S to period 1 so that S is given by points $0 \leq p_1 < \cdots < p_m < 1$ with radii r_1, \ldots, r_m , respectively. Since the expanding balls in \mathbb{R} are growing intervals, volumes of their intersections linearly change with respect to the variable radius t. Hence any density function $\psi_k(t)$ is piecewise linear and uniquely determined by *corner* points (a_i, b_i) where the gradient of $\psi_k(t)$ changes.

To prepare the proof of Theorem 3.2, we first consider Example 3.1 for the simple sequence S.

Example 3.1 (0-th density function ψ_0). Let the periodic sequence $S = \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\} + \mathbb{Z}$ have three points $p_1 = 0$, $p_2 = \frac{1}{3}$, $p_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ of radii $r_1 = \frac{1}{12}$, $r_2 = 0$, $r_3 = \frac{1}{12}$, respectively. Fig. 2 shows each point p_i and its growing interval

$$L_i(t) = [(p_i - r_i) - t, (p_i + r_i) + t] \text{ of the length } 2r_i + 2t$$

for i = 1, 2, 3 in its own color: red, green, blue.

By Definition 1.2 each density function $\psi_k[S](t)$ measures a fractional length covered by exactly k intervals within the unit cell [0, 1]. It is convenient to periodically map the endpoints of each growing interval to the unit cell [0, 1].

For instance, the interval $\left[-\frac{1}{12} - t, \frac{1}{12} + t\right]$ of the point $p_1 = 0 \equiv 1 \pmod{1}$ maps to the red intervals $\left[0, \frac{1}{12} + t\right] \cup \left[\frac{11}{12} - t, 1\right]$ shown by solid red lines in Fig. 2. The same image shows the green interval $[\frac{1}{3} - t, \frac{1}{3} + t]$ by dashed lines and the blue interval $[\frac{5}{12} - t, \frac{7}{12} + t]$ by dotted lines.

At the moment t = 0, since the starting intervals are disjoint, they cover the length $l = 2(\frac{1}{12} +$ 145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152



187 **Fig. 3** Left: the 0-th density function $\psi_0(t)$ for the 1-188 period sequence $S = \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\} + \mathbb{Z}$ with radii 0. **Right**: the 189 0-th density $\psi_0(t)$ for the 1-period sequence S whose points 0, $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}$ have radii $\frac{1}{12}, 0, \frac{1}{12}$, respectively, see Example 3.1. 190

191 192 At the first critical moment $t = \frac{1}{24}$ when the 193 green and blue intervals collide at $p = \frac{3}{8}$, only the 194 intervals $\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{7}{24}\right] \cup \left[\frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{8}\right]$ of total length $\frac{5}{12}$ remain 195 uncovered. Hence $\psi_0(t)$ linearly drops to the point 196 $\left(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12}\right)$. At the next critical moment $t = \frac{1}{8}$ when 197 the red and green intervals collide at $p = \frac{5}{24}$, only 198 the interval $\left[\frac{17}{24}, \frac{19}{24}\right]$ of length $\frac{1}{12}$ remain uncovered, 199 so $\psi_0(t)$ continues to $\left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{12}\right)$.

200 The graph of $\psi_0(t)$ finally returns to the *t*-axis 201 at the point $(\frac{1}{6}, 0)$ and remains there for $t \ge \frac{1}{6}$.

- 203
- 204

The piecewise linear behavior of $\psi_0(t)$ can be described by specifying the *corner* points in Fig. 3: $(0, \frac{2}{3}), (\frac{1}{24}, \frac{5}{12}), (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{12}), (\frac{1}{6}, 0).$

Theorem 3.2 extends Example 3.1 to any periodic sequence S and implies that the 0-th density function $\psi_0(t)$ is uniquely determined by the ordered gap lengths between successive intervals.

Theorem 3.2 (description of ψ_0). Let a periodic sequence $S = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\} + \mathbb{Z}$ consist of disjoint intervals with centers $0 \leq p_1 < \cdots < p_m < 1$ and radii $r_1, \ldots, r_m \geq 0$. Consider the total length $l = 2\sum_{i=1}^m r_i$ and gaps between successive intervals $g_i = (p_i - r_i) - (p_{i-1} + r_{i-1})$, where $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $p_0 = p_m - 1, r_0 = r_m$. Put the gaps in increasing order: $g_{[1]} \leq g_{[2]} \leq \cdots \leq g_{[m]}$.

Then the 0-th density $\psi_0[S](t)$ is piecewise linear with the following (unordered) corner points: (0, 1-l) and $(\frac{g_{[i]}}{2}, 1-l-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}g_{[j]}-(m-i+1)g_{[i]})$ for $i=1,\ldots,m$, so the last corner is $(\frac{g_{[m]}}{2}, 0)$.

If any corners are repeated, e.g. when $g_{[i-1]} = g_{[i]}$, these corners are collapsed into one corner.

Proof By Definition 1.2 the 0-th density function $\psi_0(t)$ measures the total length of subintervals in the unit cell [0, 1] that are not covered by any of the growing intervals $L_i(t) = [p_i - r_i - t, p_i + r_i + t], i = 1, ..., m$. For t = 0, since all initial intervals $L_i(0)$ are disjoint, they cover the total length $2\sum_{i=1}^m r_i = l$.

Then the graph of $\psi_0(t)$ at t = 0 starts from the point (0, 1 - l). So $\psi_0(t)$ linearly decreases from the initial value $\psi_0(0) = 1 - l$ except for m critical values of t where one of the gap intervals $[p_i + r_i + t, p_{i+1} - r_{i+1} - t]$ between successive growing intervals $L_i(t)$ and $L_{i+1}(t)$ shrinks to a point. These critical radii t are ordered according to the gaps $g_{[1]} \leq g_{[2]} \leq \cdots \leq g_{[m]}$.

The first critical radius is $t = \frac{1}{2}g_{[1]}$, when a shortest gap interval of the length $g_{[1]}$ is covered by the growing successive intervals. At this moment $t = \frac{1}{2}g_{[1]}$, all m growing intervals $L_i(t)$ have the total length $l + mg_{[1]}$. Then the 0-th density $\psi_0(t)$ has the first corner points (0, 1 - l) and $(\frac{g_{[1]}}{2}, 1 - l - mg_{[1]})$.

The second critical radius is $t = \frac{g_{[2]}}{2}$, when all intervals $L_i(t)$ have the total length $l+g_{[1]}+(m-1)g_{[2]}$, i.e. the next corner point is $(\frac{g_{[2]}}{2}, 1-l-g_{[1]}-(m-1)g_{[2]})$

1) $g_{[2]}$). If $g_{[1]} = g_{[2]}$, then both corner points coincide, so $\psi_0(t)$ will continue from the joint corner point.

The above pattern generalizes to the *i*-th critical radius $t = \frac{1}{2}g_{[i]}$, when all covered intervals have the total length $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} g_{[j]}$ (for the fully covered intervals) plus $(m-i+1)g_{[i]}$ (for the still growing intervals).

For the final critical radius $t = \frac{g_{[m]}}{2}$, the whole unit cell [0, 1] is covered by the grown intervals because $\sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{[j]} = 1 - l$. The final corner is $(\frac{g_{[m]}}{2}, 0)$.

Example 3.3 applies Theorem 3.2 to get ψ_0 found for the periodic sequence S in Example 3.1.

Example 3.3 (using Theorem 3.2). The sequence $S = \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\} + \mathbb{Z}$ in Example 3.1 with points $p_1 = 0, p_2 = \frac{1}{3}, p_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ of radii $r_1 = \frac{1}{12}, r_2 = 0, r_3 = \frac{1}{12}$, respectively, has $l = 2(r_1 + r_2 + r_3) = \frac{1}{3}$ and the initial gaps between successive intervals $g_1 = p_1 - r_1 - p_3 - r_3 = (1 - \frac{1}{12}) - (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{12}) = \frac{1}{3}, g_2 = p_2 - r_2 - p_1 - r_1 = (\frac{1}{3} - 0) - (0 + \frac{1}{12}) = \frac{1}{4}, g_3 = p_3 - r_3 - p_2 - r_2 = (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{12}) - (\frac{1}{3} + 0) = \frac{1}{12}.$ Order the gaps: $g_{[1]} = \frac{1}{12} < g_{[2]} = \frac{1}{4} < g_{[3]} = \frac{1}{3}.$ $1 - l = 1 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}, 1 - l = 1 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}, 1 - l = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{3}{12} = \frac{5}{12}, 1 - l - g_{[1]} - 2g_{[2]} - g_{[3]} = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{3} = 0.$ By Theorem 3.2 $\psi_0(t)$ has the corner points $(0, 1 - l) = (0, \frac{2}{3}), (\frac{1}{2}g_{[1]}, 1 - l - 3g_{[1]}) = (\frac{1}{24}, \frac{5}{12}), (\frac{1}{2}g_{[2]}, 1 - l - g_{[1]} - 2g_{[2]}) = (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{12}), 1 - l - g_{[1]} - 2g_{[2]} - 2g_{[2]}) = (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{12}), 1 - l - g_{[1]} - 2g_{[2]} - 2g_{[2]} = 1 - \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{12}, 1 - l - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{18}, 1 - l - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{18}, 1 - l - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{18}, \frac{1}{18},$

 $(\frac{1}{2}g_{[3]}, 1 - l - g_{[1]} - g_{[2]} - g_{[3]}) = (\frac{1}{6}, 0).$ See the graph of the 0-th density $\psi_0(t)$ in Fig. 3.

By Theorem 3.2 any 0-th density function $\psi_0(t)$ is uniquely determined by the (unordered) set of gap lengths between successive intervals. Hence we can re-order these intervals without changing $\psi_0(t)$. For instance, the periodic sequence $Q = \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\} + \mathbb{Z}$ with points $0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}$ of weights $\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, 0$ has the same set ordered gaps $g_{[1]} = \frac{1}{12}, d_{[2]} = \frac{1}{3}, d_{[3]} = \frac{1}{2}$ as the periodic sequence $S = \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\} + \mathbb{Z}$ in Example 3.1.

The above sequences S, Q are related by the mirror reflection $t \mapsto 1 - t$. One can easily construct many non-isometric sequences with $\psi_0[S](t) = \psi_0[Q](t)$. For any $1 \leq i \leq m-3$, the sequences $S_{m,i} = \{0, 2, 3, \ldots, i+2, i+4, i+5, \ldots, m+2\} + (m+2)\mathbb{Z}$ have the same interval lengths $d_{[1]} = \cdots = d_{[m-2]} = 1$, $d_{[m-1]} = d_{[m]} = 2$ but are not related by isometry (translations and reflections in \mathbb{R}) because the intervals of length 2 are separated by i-1 intervals of length 1 in $S_{m,i}$.

4 The 1st density function ψ_1

This section proves Theorem 4.2 explicitly describing the 1st density function $\psi_1[S](t)$ for any periodic sequence S of disjoint intervals. To prepare the proof of Theorem 4.2, Example 4.1 finds $\psi_1[S]$ for the sequence S from Example 3.1.



Fig. 4 Left: the trapezoid functions η_R, η_G, η_B and the 1st density function $\psi_1(t)$ for the 1-period sequence S whose points $0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}$ have radii $\frac{1}{12}, 0, \frac{1}{12}$, see Example 4.1. Right: The trapezoid functions $\eta_{GB}, \eta_{BR}, \eta_{RG}$ and the 2nd density function $\psi_2(t)$ for the 1-period sequence S whose points $0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}$ have radii $\frac{1}{12}, 0, \frac{1}{12}$, see Example 5.1.

256 **Example 4.1** (ψ_1 for $S = \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\} + \mathbb{Z}$). The 257 1st density function $\psi_1(t)$ can be obtained as a 258 sum of the three *trapezoid* functions η_R , η_G , η_B , 259 each measuring the length of a region covered by 260 a single interval of one color, see Fig. 2.

261 At the initial moment t = 0, the red intervals 262 $[0, \frac{1}{12}] \cup [\frac{11}{12}, 1]$ have the total length $\eta_R(0) = \frac{1}{6}$. 263 These red intervals $[0, \frac{1}{12} + t] \cup [\frac{11}{12} - t, 1]$ for 264 $t \in [0, \frac{1}{8}]$ grow until they touch the green interval 265 $[\frac{7}{24}, \frac{3}{8}]$ and have the total length $\eta_R(\frac{1}{8}) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{8} = \frac{5}{12}$ in the second picture of Fig. 2. So the graph of 267 the red length $\eta_R(t)$ linearly grows with gradient 268 2 from the point $(0, \frac{1}{6})$ to the corner point $(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{5}{12})$.

For $t \in [\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{6}]$, the left red interval is shrinking at the same rate (due to the overlapping green interval) as the right red interval continues to grow until $t = \frac{1}{6}$, when it touches the blue interval $[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}]$. Hence the graph of $\eta_R(t)$ remains constant for $t \in [\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{6}]$ up to the corner point $(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{12})$.

276 After that, the graph of $\eta_R(t)$ linearly 277 decreases (with gradient -2) until all red intervals 278 are fully covered by the green and blue intervals 279 at moment $t = \frac{3}{8}$, see the 6th picture in Fig. 2.

Hence the trapezoid function η_R has the piecewise linear graph through the corner points $(0, \frac{1}{6})$, $(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{5}{12}), (\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{12}), (\frac{3}{8}, 0)$. After that, $\eta_R(t) = 0$ remains constant for $t \ge \frac{3}{8}$. Fig. 4 shows the graphs of η_R, η_G, η_B and $\psi_1 = \eta_R + \eta_G + \eta_B$.

Theorem 4.2 extends Example 4.1 and proves that any $\psi_1(t)$ is a sum of trapezoid functions whose corners are explicitly described. We consider any index $i = 1, \ldots, m$ (of a point p_i or a gap g_i) modulo m so that $m + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

Theorem 4.2 (description of ψ_1). Let a periodic 293sequence $S = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\} + \mathbb{Z}$ consist of disjoint 294intervals with centers $0 \leq p_1 < \cdots < p_m < 1$ 295and radii $r_1, \ldots, r_m \geq 0$, respectively. Consider 296the gaps $g_i = (p_i - r_i) - (p_{i-1} + r_{i-1})$, between 297successive intervals, where $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $p_0 =$ 298 $p_m - 1, r_0 = r_m$. Then the 1st density $\psi_1(t)$ is the 299sum of *m* trapezoid functions η_i , $i = 1, \ldots, m$, with 300 the corners $(0, 2r_i), (\frac{g_i}{2}, g + 2r_i), (\frac{g_{i+1}}{2}, g + 2r_i),$ 301 $(\frac{g_i+g_{i+1}}{2}+r_i,0)$, where $g=\min\{g_i,g_{i+1}\}$. 302

303 304 305 Hence $\psi_1(t)$ is determined by the unordered set of unordered pairs $(g_i, g_{i+1}), i = 1, ..., m$. ■ 306 Proof The 1st density $\psi_1(t)$ equals the total length of subregions covered by exactly one of the intervals $L_i(t) = [p_i - r_i - t, p_i + r_i + t], i = 1, \ldots, m$, where all intervals are taken modulo 1 within [0, 1].

Hence $\psi_1(t)$ is the sum of the functions η_{1i} , each measuring the length of the subinterval of $L_i(t)$ not covered by other intervals $L_j(t), j \in \{1, \ldots, m\} - \{i\}$.

Since the initial intervals $L_i(0)$ are disjoint, each function $\eta_{1i}(t)$ starts from the value $\eta_{1i}(0) = 2r_i$ and linearly grows (with gradient 2) up to $\eta_i(\frac{1}{2}g) = 2r_i+g$, where $g = \min\{g_i, g_{i+1}\}$, when the growing interval $L_i(t)$ of the length $2r_i+2t = 2r_i+g$ touches its closest neighboring interval $L_{i\pm 1}(t)$ with a shortest gap g.

If (say) $g_i < g_{i+1}$, then the subinterval covered only by $L_i(t)$ is shrinking on the left and is growing at the same rate on the right until $L_i(t)$ touches the growing interval $L_{i+1}(t)$ on the right. During this growth, when t is between $\frac{1}{2}g_i$ and $\frac{1}{2}g_{i+1}$, the trapezoid function $\eta_i(t) = g$ remains constant.

If $g_i = g_{i+1}$, this horizontal line collapses to one point in the graph of $\eta_i(t)$. For $t \ge \max\{g_i, g_{i+1}\}$, the subinterval covered only by $L_i(t)$ is shrinking on both sides until the neighboring intervals $L_{i\pm 1}(t)$ meet at a mid-point between their initial closest endpoints $p_{i-1} + r_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+1} - r_{i+1}$. This meeting time is

$$t = \frac{p_{i+1} - r_{i+1} - p_{i-1} - r_{i-1}}{2} = \frac{g_i + 2r_i + g_{i+1}}{2}$$

which is also illustrated by Fig. 5. So the trapezoid function η_i has the corners $(0, 2r_i), (\frac{g_i}{2}, 2r_i + g), (\frac{g_{i+1}}{2}, 2r_i + g), (\frac{g_i + g_{i+1}}{2} + r_i, 0)$ as expected. \Box



Fig. 5 The distances g, s, g' between line intervals used in the proofs of Theorems 4.2 and 5.2, shown here for k = 3.

Example 4.3 applies Theorem 4.2 to get ψ_1 found for the periodic sequence S in Example 4.1.

Example 4.3 (using Theorem 4.2 for ψ_1). The sequence $S = \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\} + \mathbb{Z}$ in Example 4.1 with points $p_1 = 0$, $p_2 = \frac{1}{3}$, $p_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ of radii $r_1 = \frac{1}{12}$, $r_2 = 0$, $r_3 = \frac{1}{12}$, respectively, has the initial gaps between successive intervals $g_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $g_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, $g_3 = \frac{1}{12}$, see all the computations in Example 3.3.

Case (R). In Theorem 4.2 for the trapezoid function $\eta_R = \eta_1$ measuring the fractional length

covered only by the red interval, we set i = 1. Then $r_i = \frac{1}{12}$, $g_i = \frac{1}{3}$ and $g_{i+1} = \frac{1}{4}$, so

$$\frac{g_i + g_{i+1}}{2} + r_i = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{3}{8},$$

$$g = \min\{g_i, g_{i+1}\} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad g + 2r_i = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2}{12} = \frac{5}{12}.$$

Then $\eta_R = \eta_1$ has the following corner points:

$$(0, 2r_i) = (0, \frac{1}{6}), \quad (\frac{g_i}{2}, g + 2r_i) = (\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{12}),$$
$$(\frac{g_{i+1}}{2}, g + 2r_i) = (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{5}{12}),$$
$$(\frac{g_i + g_{i+1}}{2} + r_i, 0) = (\frac{3}{8}, 0),$$

where the two middle corners are accidentally swapped due to $g_i > g_{i+1}$ but they define the same trapezoid function as in the first picture of Fig. 4.

Case (G). In Theorem 4.2 for the trapezoid function $\eta_G = \eta_2$ measuring the fractional length covered only by the green interval, we set i = 2. Then $r_i = 0$, $g_i = \frac{1}{4}$ and $g_{i+1} = \frac{1}{12}$, so

$$\frac{g_i + g_{i+1}}{2} + r_i = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12}\right) + 0 = \frac{1}{6},$$

 $g = \min\{g_i, g_{i+1}\} = \frac{1}{12}, \ g + 2r_i = \frac{1}{12} + 0 = \frac{1}{12}.$

Then $\eta_G = \eta_2$ has the following corner points exactly as shown in the second picture of Fig. 4 (left):

$$(0, 2r_i) = (0, 0), \quad \left(\frac{g_i}{2}, g + 2r_i\right) = \left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{12}\right),$$
$$\left(\frac{g_{i+1}}{2}, g + 2r_i\right) = \left(\frac{1}{24}, \frac{5}{12}\right),$$
$$\left(\frac{g_i + g_{i+1}}{2} + r_i, 0\right) = \left(\frac{1}{6}, 0\right).$$

Case (B). In Theorem 4.2 for the trapezoid function $\eta_B = \eta_3$ measuring the fractional length covered only by the blue interval, we set i = 3. Then $r_i = \frac{1}{12}$, $g_i = \frac{1}{12}$ and $g_{i+1} = \frac{1}{3}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{g_i + g_{i+1}}{2} + r_i = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{3} \right) + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{7}{24}, \\ & g = \min\{g_i, g_{i+1}\} = \frac{1}{12}, \quad g + 2r_i = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{2}{12} = \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\eta_B = \eta_3$ has the following corner points:

$$\begin{aligned} (0,2r_i) &= (0,\frac{1}{6}), \quad (\frac{g_i}{2},g+2r_i) = (\frac{1}{24},\frac{1}{4}), \\ (\frac{g_{i+1}}{2},g+2r_i) &= (\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{4}), \\ (\frac{g_i+g_{i+1}}{2}+r_i,0) &= (\frac{7}{24},0) \end{aligned}$$

exactly as shown in the third picture of Fig. 4. \blacksquare

5 Higher density functions ψ_k

This section proves Theorem 5.2 describing the kth density function $\psi_k[S](t)$ for any $k \ge 2$ and a periodic sequence S of disjoint intervals.

To prepare the proof of Theorem 5.2, Example 5.1 computes $\psi_2[S]$ for S from Example 3.1.

Example 5.1 $(\psi_2 \text{ for } S = \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\} + \mathbb{Z})$. The density $\psi_2(t)$ can be found as the sum of the *trape-zoid* functions $\eta_{GB}, \eta_{BR}, \eta_{RG}$, each measuring the length of a double intersection, see Fig. 2.

For the green interval $\left[\frac{1}{3}-t,\frac{1}{3}+t\right]$ and the blue interval $\left[\frac{5}{12}-t,\frac{7}{12}+t\right]$, the graph of the function $\eta_{GB}(t)$ is piecewise linear and starts at the point $\left(\frac{1}{24},0\right)$ because these intervals touch at $t=\frac{1}{24}$.

The green-blue intersection $\left[\frac{5}{12}-t,\frac{1}{3}+t\right]$ grows until $t = \frac{1}{6}$, when the resulting interval $\left[\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}\right]$ touches the red interval on the left. At the same time, the graph of $\eta_{GB}(t)$ is linearly growing (with gradient 2) to the corner $\left(\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{4}\right)$, see Fig, 4.

For $t \in [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{7}{24}]$, the green-blue intersection interval becomes shorter on the left, but grows at the same rate on the right until $t = \frac{7}{24}$ when $[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{5}{8}]$ touches the red interval $[\frac{5}{8}, 1]$ on the right, see the 5th picture in Fig. 2. So the graph of $\eta_{GB}(t)$ remains constant up to the point $(\frac{7}{24}, \frac{1}{4})$.

For $t \in [\frac{7}{24}, \frac{5}{12}]$ the green-blue intersection interval is shortening from both sides. So the graph of $\eta_{GB}(t)$ linearly decreases (with gradient -2) and returns to the *t*-axis at the corner $(\frac{5}{12}, 0)$, then remains constant $\eta_{GB}(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \frac{5}{12}$.

Fig. 4 shows all trapezoid functions for double intersections and $\psi_2 = \eta_{GB} + \eta_{BR} + \eta_{RG}$.

Theorem 5.2 (description of ψ_k for $k \ge 2$). Let a periodic sequence $S = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\} + \mathbb{Z}$ consist of disjoint intervals with centers $0 \le p_1 < \cdots < p_m < 1$ and radii $r_1, \ldots, r_m \ge 0$, respectively. Consider the gaps $g_i = (p_i - r_i) - (p_{i-1} + r_{i-1})$ between the successive intervals of S, where $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $p_0 = p_m - 1$, $r_0 = r_m$.

For $k \geq 2$, the density function $\psi_k(t)$ equals the sum of *m* trapezoid functions $\eta_{k,i}(t)$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, each having the following corner points:

 $(\frac{s}{2},0), (\frac{g+s}{2},g), (\frac{s+g'}{2},g), (\frac{g+s+g'}{2},0), \text{ where } g,g'$ 359 are the minimum and maximum values in the pair $\{g_i + 2r_i, g_{i+k} + 2r_{i+k-1}\}, \text{ and } s = \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k-1} g_j + \frac{362}{363}$ $2\sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k-2} r_j$. For k = 2, we have $s = g_{i+1}$.

365 Hence $\psi_k(t)$ is determined by the unordered 366 set of the ordered tuples $(g, s, g'), i = 1, \dots, m$.

367 Proof The k-th density function $\psi_k(t)$ measures the 368 total fractional length of k-fold intersections among m 369 intervals $L_i(t) = [p_i - r_i - t, p_i + r_i + t], i = 1, ..., m.$ 370 Now we visualize all such intervals $L_i(t)$ in the line \mathbb{R} 371 without mapping them modulo 1 to the unit cell [0, 1].

372 Since all radii $r_i \ge 0$, only k successive inter-373 vals can contribute to k-fold intersections. So a k-fold 374 intersection of growing intervals emerges only when 375 two intervals $L_i(t)$ and $L_{i+k-1}(t)$ overlap because 376 their intersection should be also covered by all the 377 intermediate intervals $L_i(t), L_{i+1}(t), \ldots, L_{i+k-1}(t)$.

378 Then the density $\psi_k(t)$ equals the sum of the m 379 trapezoid functions $\eta_{k,i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, each equal to 380 the length of the k-fold intersection $\bigcap_{j=i}^{i+k-1} L_j(t)$ not 381 covered by other intervals. Then $\eta_{k,i}(t)$ remains 0 until 382the first critical moment t when 2t equals the distance between the points $p_i + r_i$ and $p_{i+k-1} - r_{i+k-1}$ in \mathbb{R} , see Fig. 5, so $2t = \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k-1} g_j + 2 \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k-2} r_j = s$. Hence 383 384385 386 $t = \frac{s}{2}$ and $(\frac{s}{2}, 0)$ is the first corner point of $\eta_{k,i}(t)$. 387

At $t = \frac{s}{2}$, the interval of the k-fold intersection $\cap_{j=i}^{i+k-1}L_j(t)$ starts expanding on both sides. Hence $\eta_{k,i}(t)$ starts increasing (with gradient 2) until the k-fold intersection touches one of the neighboring intervals $L_{i-1}(t)$ or $L_{i+k}(t)$ on the left or on the right.

393 The left interval $L_{i-1}(t)$ touches the k-fold inter-394 section $\bigcap_{j=i}^{i+k-1} L_j(t)$ when 2t equals the distance from 395 $p_{i-1} + r_{i-1}$ (the right endpoint of L_{i-1}) to $p_{i+k-1} - r_{i+k-1}$ (the left endpoint of L_{i+k-1}), see Fig. 5, so

$$\begin{array}{c} 397\\ 398\\ 399 \end{array} \qquad 2t = \sum_{j=i}^{i+k-1} g_j + 2 \sum_{j=i}^{i+k-2} r_j = g_i + 2r_i + s. \end{array}$$

The right interval $L_{i+k-1}(t')$ touches the k-fold intersection $\cap_{j=i}^{i+k-1} L_j(t')$ when 2t' equals the distance from $p_i + r_i$ (the right endpoint of L_i) to $p_{i+k} - r_{i+k}$ (the left endpoint of L_{i+k}), see Fig. 5, so

$$\begin{array}{l}
404 \\
405 \\
406 \\
\end{array} \qquad 2t' = \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k} g_j + 2 \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+k-1} r_j = s + g_{i+k} + 2r_{i+k-1}.
\end{array}$$

407 If (say) $g_i + 2r_i = g < g' = g_{i+k} + 2r_{i+k-1}$, the 408 k-fold intersection $\bigcap_{j=i}^{i+k-1} L_j(t)$ first touches L_{i-1} at the earlier moment t before reaching $L_{i+k}(t')$ at the later moment t'. At the earlier moment, $\eta_{k,i}(t)$ equals $2(t-\frac{s}{2}) = g_i + 2r_i = g$ and has the corner $(\frac{g+s}{2},g)$.

After that, the k-fold intersection is shrinking on the left and is expanding at the same rate on the right. So the function $\eta_{k,i}(t) = g$ remains constant until the k-fold intersection touches the right interval $L_{i+k}(t')$. At this later moment $t' = \frac{s+g_{i+k}}{2} + r_{i+k-1} = g'$, $\eta_{k,i}(t')$ still equals g and has the corner $(\frac{s+g'}{2}, g)$.

If $g_i + 2r_i = g' > g = g_{i+k} + 2r_{i+k-1}$, the growing intervals $L_{i-1}(t)$ and $L_{i+k-1}(t)$ touch the k-fold intersection $\bigcap_{j=i}^{i+k-1} L_j(t)$ in the opposite order. However, the above arguments lead to the same corners $(\frac{g+s}{2},g)$ and $(\frac{s+g'}{2},g)$ of $\eta_{k,i}(t)$. If g = g', the two corners collapse to one corner in the graph of $\eta_{k,i}(t)$.

The k-fold intersection $\bigcap_{j=i}^{i+k-1} L_j(t)$ becomes fully covered when the intervals $L_{i-1}(t), L_{i+k}(t)$ touch. At this moment, 2t equals the distance from $p_{i-1} + r_{i-1}$ (the right endpoint of L_{i-1}) to $p_{i+k} - r_{i+k}$ (the left endpoint of L_{i+k}), see Fig. 5, so $2t = \sum_{j=i}^{i+k} g_j + 2\sum_{j=i}^{i+k-1} r_j = g_i + 2r_i + s + g_{i+k} + 2r_{i+k-1} = g + s + g'$.

The graph of $\eta_{k,i}(t)$ has the corner $(\frac{g+s+g'}{2}, 0)$.

Example 5.3 applies Theorem 5.2 to get ψ_2 found for the periodic sequence S in Example 3.1.

Example 5.3 (using Theorem 5.2 for ψ_2). The sequence $S = \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\} + \mathbb{Z}$ in Example 4.1 with points $p_1 = 0$, $p_2 = \frac{1}{3}$, $p_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ of radii $r_1 = \frac{1}{12}$, $r_2 = 0$, $r_3 = \frac{1}{12}$, respectively, has the initial gaps $g_1 = \frac{1}{3}$, $g_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, $g_3 = \frac{1}{12}$, see Example 3.3.

In Theorem 5.2, the 2nd density function $\psi_2[S](t)$ is expressed as a sum of the trapezoid functions computed via their corners below.

Case (GB). For the function η_{GB} measuring the double intersections of the green and blue intervals centered at $p_2 = p_i$ and $p_3 = p_{i+k-1}$, we set k = 2 and i = 2. Then we have the radii $r_i = 0$ and $r_{i+1} = \frac{1}{12}$, the gaps $g_i = \frac{1}{4}$, $g_{i+1} = \frac{1}{12}$, $g_{i+2} = \frac{1}{3}$, and the sum $s = g_{i+1} = \frac{1}{12}$. The pair $\{g_i + 2r_i, g_{i+2} + 2r_{i+1}\} = \{\frac{1}{4} + 0, \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{12}\}$ has the minimum value $g = \frac{1}{4}$ and maximum value $g' = \frac{1}{2}$.

Then $\eta_{2,2}[S](t) = \eta_{GB}$ has the following corners as in the top picture of Fig. 4 (right):

Case (BR). For the trapezoid function η_{BR} measuring the double intersections of the blue and red intervals centered at $p_3 = p_i$ and $p_1 = p_{i+k-1}$, we set k = 2 and i = 3. Then we have the radii $r_i = \frac{1}{12} = r_{i+1}$, the gaps $g_i = \frac{1}{12}$, $g_{i+1} = \frac{1}{3}$, $g_{i+2} = \frac{1}{4}$, and $s = g_{i+1} = \frac{1}{3}$. The pair $\{g_i + 2r_i, g_{i+2} + 2r_{i+1}\} = \{\frac{1}{12} + \frac{2}{12}, \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2}{12}\}$ has the minimum $g = \frac{1}{4}$ and maximum $g' = \frac{5}{12}$. Then $\eta_{2,3}[S](t) = \eta_{BR}$ has the following corners as expected in the second picture of Fig. 4 (right):

Case (RG). For the trapezoid function η_{RG} measuring the double intersections of the red and green intervals centered at $p_1 = p_i$ and $p_2 = p_{i+k-1}$, we set k = 2 and i = 1. Then we have the radii $r_i = \frac{1}{12}$ and $r_{i+1} = 0$, the gaps $g_i = \frac{1}{3}$, $g_{i+1} = \frac{1}{4}$, $g_{i+2} = \frac{1}{12}$, and $s = g_{i+1} = \frac{1}{4}$. The pair $\{g_i + 2r_i, g_{i+2} + 2r_{i+1}\} = \{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{12}, \frac{1}{12} + 0\}$ has the minimum $g = \frac{1}{12}$ and maximum $g' = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\eta_{2,1}[S](t) = \eta_{RG}$ has the following corners:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{s}{2}, 0\right) = \left(\frac{1}{8}, 0\right), \\ & \left(\frac{g+s}{2}, g\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{4}\right), \frac{1}{12}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12}\right), \\ & \left(\frac{s+g'}{2}, g\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right), \frac{1}{12}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{12}\right), \\ & \left(\frac{g+s+g'}{2}, 0\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right), 0\right) = \left(\frac{5}{12}, 0\right). \end{aligned}$$

as expected in the third picture of Fig. 4 (right). \blacksquare

6 Properties of new densities

This section proves the periodicity of the sequence ψ_k with respect to the index $k \ge 0$ in Theorem 6.2, which was a bit unexpected from original Definition 1.2. We start with the simpler example for the familiar 3-point sequence in Fig. 2.

Example 6.1 (periodicity of ψ_k in the index k). Let the periodic sequence $S = \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\} + \mathbb{Z}$ have three points $p_1 = 0$, $p_2 = \frac{1}{3}$, $p_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ of radii $r_1 = \frac{1}{12}$, $r_2 = 0$, $r_3 = \frac{1}{12}$, respectively. The initial intervals $L_1(0) = [-\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}]$, $L_2(0) = [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}]$, $L_3(0) = [\frac{5}{12}, \frac{7}{12}]$ have the 0-fold intersection measured by $\psi_0(0) = \frac{2}{3}$ and the 1-fold intersection measured by $\psi_1(0) = \frac{1}{3}$, see Fig. 3 and 4.

By the time $t = \frac{1}{2}$ the initial intervals will grow to $L_1(\frac{1}{2}) = [-\frac{7}{12}, \frac{7}{12}], L_2(\frac{1}{2}) = [-\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}], L_3(\frac{1}{2}) = [-\frac{1}{12}, \frac{13}{12}]$. The grown intervals at the radius $t = \frac{1}{2}$ have the 3-fold intersection $[-\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}]$ of the length $\psi_3(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{2}{3}$, which coincides with $\psi_0(0) = \frac{2}{3}$.

With the extra interval $L_4(\frac{1}{2}) = [\frac{5}{12}, \frac{19}{12}]$ centered at $p_4 = 1$, the 4-fold intersection is $L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3 \cap L_4 = [\frac{5}{12}, \frac{7}{12}]$. With the extra interval $L_5(\frac{1}{2}) = [\frac{5}{6}, \frac{11}{6}]$ centered at $p_5 = \frac{4}{3}$, the 4-fold intersection $L_2 \cap L_3 \cap L_4 \cap L_5$ is the single point $\frac{5}{6}$. With the extra interval $L_6(\frac{1}{2}) = [\frac{11}{12}, \frac{13}{12}]$ centered at $p_6 = \frac{3}{2}$, the 4-fold intersection is $L_3 \cap L_4 \cap L_5 \cap L_6 = [\frac{11}{12}, \frac{13}{12}]$. Hence the total length of the 4-fold intersection at $t = \frac{1}{2}$ is $\psi_4(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{3}$, which coincides with $\psi_1(0) = \frac{1}{3}$.

For the larger t = 1, the six grown intervals

$$L_1(1) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{13}{12}, \frac{13}{12} \end{bmatrix}, \ L_2(1) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$L_2(1) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{7}{3}, \frac{19}{3} \end{bmatrix}, \ L_3(1) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{25}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-3(1)$$
 [12, 12], $-4(1)$ [12, 12]

$$L_5(1) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3}, \frac{7}{3} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad L_6(1) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5}{12}, \frac{31}{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

have the 6-fold intersection $\left[\frac{5}{12}, \frac{13}{12}\right]$ of length $\psi_6(1) = \frac{2}{3}$ coinciding with $\psi_0(0) = \psi_3(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{2}{3}$.

Corollary 6.2 proves that the coincidences in Example 6.1 are not accidental. The periodicity of ψ_k with respect to k is illustrated by Fig. 6.

Theorem 6.2 (periodicity of ψ_k in the index k). The density functions $\psi_k[S]$ of a periodic sequence $S = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\} + \mathbb{Z}$ consisting of disjoint intervals with centers $0 \le p_1 < \cdots < p_m < 1$ and radii

481



479 Fig. 6 The densities ψ_k , k = 0, ..., 9 for the 1-period sequence S whose points $0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}$ have radii $\frac{1}{12}, 0, \frac{1}{12}$, respectively. The 480 densities ψ_0, ψ_1, ψ_2 are described in Examples 3.1, 4.1, 5.1 and determine all other densities by periodicity in Theorem 6.2.

482 $r_1, \ldots, r_m \ge 0$, respectively, satisfy the *periodicity* 483 $\psi_{k+m}(t+\frac{1}{2}) = \psi_k(t)$ for any $k \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$. 484

485 486 Proof When the grown intervals have a radius $t + \frac{1}{2}$, 486 their (k+m)-fold intersection has the fractional length 487 equal to $\psi_{k+m}(t+\frac{1}{2})$ and can be a union of several 488 intervals. Let *I* be one of these intervals, *p* be the mid-489 point of *I*. Collapsing the interval $[p - \frac{1}{2}, p + \frac{1}{2}]$ of 490 length 1 to *p* removes exactly *m* points from *S*.

491 If we decrease by $\frac{1}{2}$ the radius $r_i + t + \frac{1}{2}$ of any 492 interval J_i centered at a point to the left of p, the right 493 endpoint of J_i remains at the same position, because 494 the center of J_i moved by $\frac{1}{2}$ closer to p. Similarly, 495 the collapse above preserves the left endpoint of any 496 interval centered at a point to the right of p.

497 Hence the interval I around p remains between 498 its original endpoints and now belongs to the k-fold 499 intersection of all intervals without considering the 500 removed m intervals whose endpoints were within the 501 interval $[p - \frac{1}{2}, p + \frac{1}{2})$ that was collapsed to p. 502

Taking all intervals I that form the (k + m)fold intersection, we get the k-fold intersection of the shorter intervals, so $\psi_{k+m}(t+\frac{1}{2}) = \psi_k(t)$.

506 Example 6.3 (Theorem 6.2 for m = 1 in Fig. 7). 507 Let a 1-period sequence S have one point $p_1 = 0$ 508 of a radius $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$. The grown interval $[-r - t - \frac{1}{2}, r + t + \frac{1}{2}]$ around 0 has the 1-fold intersection $I = [r+t-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-r-t]$ centered at p = 0 and not covered by the adjacent intervals centered at ± 1 , so $\psi_1(t+\frac{1}{2}) = 1-2(t+r)$.

After collapsing $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ to 0, which is excluded from *S*, the periodic sequence has new points $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ of the smaller radius r + t. The new shorter intervals have the same endpoints $-\frac{1}{2} + (r + t)$ and $\frac{1}{2} - (r+t)$ around p = 0. Now $I = [r+t-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - r - t]$ is not covered by any shorter intervals, so the get the same length of the 0-fold intersection: $\psi_0(t) = 1 - 2(t + r)$.



Fig. 7 Top: Example 6.3 illustrates the proof of Theorem 6.2 for m = 1. Bottom: the density functions ψ_k of $S = \mathbb{Z}$ whose points have a radius $0 < r < \frac{1}{4}$ satisfy the periodicity $\psi_{k+1}(t+\frac{1}{2}) = \psi_k(t)$ for any $k \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$.



Fig. 8 The densities ψ_k , k = 0, ..., 10, distinguish (already for $k \ge 2$) the sequences (scaled down by period 15) $S_{15} = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12\} + 15\mathbb{Z}$ (top) and $Q_{15} = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 14\} + 15\mathbb{Z}$ (bottom), where the radius r_i of any point is the half-distance to its closest neighbor. These sequences with zero radii have identical ψ_k for all k, see [3, Example 10].

The symmetry $\psi_{m-k}(\frac{1}{2}-t) = \psi_k(t)$ for $k = 0, \ldots, [\frac{m}{2}]$, and $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ from [3, Theorem 8] no longer holds for points with different radii. For example, $\psi_1(t) \neq \psi_2(\frac{1}{2}-t)$ for the periodic sequence $S = \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\} + \mathbb{Z}$, see Fig. 4, 4. If all points have the same radius r, [3, Theorem 8] implies the symmetry after replacing t by t + 2r.

The main results of [3] implied that all density functions cannot distinguish the non-isometric sequences $S_{15} = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12\} + 15\mathbb{Z}$ and $Q_{15} = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 14\} + 15\mathbb{Z}$ of points with zero radii. Example 6.4 shows that the densities for sequences with non-zero radii are strictly stronger and distinguish the sequences $S_{15} \not\cong Q_{15}$. 550

551 552

553

554

555

556

557

558

562 **Example 6.4** (ψ_k for S_{15}, Q_{15} with neighbor 563 radii). For any point p in a periodic sequence $S \subset$ 564 \mathbb{R} , define its *neighbor* radius as the half-distance 565 to a closest neighbor of p within the sequence S.

566 This choice of radii respects the isometry in the 567 sense that periodic sequences S, Q with zero-sized 568 radii are isometric if and only if S, Q with neighbor 569 radii are isometric. Fig. 8 shows that the densi-570 ties ψ_k for $k \ge 2$ distinguish the non-isometric 571 sequences S_{15} and Q_{15} scaled down by factor 15 572 to the unit cell [0, 1], see Example 2.1.

574 Corollary 6.5 (computation of $\psi_k(t)$). Let 575 $S, Q \subset \mathbb{R}$ be periodic sequences with at most m576 motif points. For $k \geq 1$, one can draw the graph 577 of the k-th density function $\psi_k[S]$ in time $O(m^2)$. 578 One can check in time $O(m^3)$ if $\Psi[S] = \Psi[Q]$.

580*Proof* To draw the graph of $\psi_k[S]$ or evaluate the k-581th density function $\psi_k[S](t)$ at any radius t, we first 582use the periodicity from Theorem 6.2 to reduce k to 583the range $0, 1, \ldots, m$. In time $O(m \log m)$ we put the 584points from a unit cell U (scaled to [0,1] for conve-585nience) in the increasing (cyclic) order p_1, \ldots, p_m . In 586time O(m) we compute the gaps $g_i = (p_i - r_i) - (p_{i-1} + p_i)$ 587 r_{i-1}) between successive intervals.

588 For k = 0, we put the gaps in the increasing order 589 $g_{[1]} \leq \cdots \leq g_{[m]}$ in time $O(m \log m)$. By Theorem 3.2 590 in time $O(m^2)$, we write down the O(m) corner points 591 whose horizontal coordinates are the critical radii 592 where $\psi_0(t)$ can change its gradient.

593 We evaluate ψ_0 at every critical radius t by sum-594 ming up the values of m trapezoid functions at t, which 595 needs $O(m^2)$ time. It remains to plot the points at all 596 O(m) critical radii t and connect the successive points 597 by straight lines, so the total time is $O(m^2)$.

598 For any larger fixed index k = 1, ..., m, in time 599 $O(m^2)$ we write down all O(m) corner points from 500 Theorems 4.2 and 5.2, which leads to the graph of 501 $\psi_k(t)$ similarly to the above argument for k = 0.

 $\begin{array}{ll} & \text{To decide if the infinite sequences of density func-} \\ & \text{fons coincide: } \Psi[S] = \Psi[Q], \text{ by Theorem 6.2 it suffices} \\ & \text{for check only if } O(m) \text{ density functions coincide:} \\ & \psi_k[S](t) = \psi_k[Q](t) \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, [\frac{m}{2}]. \end{array}$

607To check if two piecewise linear functions coincide,608it remains to compare their values at all O(m) critical609radii t from the corner points in Theorems 3.2, 4.2, 5.2.610Since these values were found in time $O(m^2)$ above,611the total time for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, [\frac{m}{2}]$ is $O(m^3)$.

612

All previous examples show densities with a single local maximum. However, the new R code [4] helped us discover the opposite examples.



Fig. 9 For the periodic sequence $S = \{0, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\} + \mathbb{Z}$ whose all points have radii 0, the 2nd density $\psi_2[S](t)$ has the local minimum at $t = \frac{1}{4}$ between two local maxima.



Fig. 10 For the sequence $S = \left\{0, \frac{1}{81}, \frac{1}{27}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{3}\right\} + \mathbb{Z}$ whose all points have radii 0, $\psi_2[S]$ equal to the sum of the shown five trapezoid functions has three maxima.

Example 6.6 (densities with multiple maxima). Fig. 9 shows a simple 4-point sequence S whose 2nd density $\psi_2[S]$ has two local maxima. Figs. 10 and 11 show complicated sequences whose density functions have more than two maxima. Fig. 12 shows that two local maxima are more common than one maximum for random sequences.



Fig. 11 For the sequence $S = \left\{0, \frac{1}{64}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right\} + \mathbb{Z}$ whose all points have radii 0, $\psi_3[S]$ has 5 local maxima.

7 Conclusions and future work

In comparison with the past work [3], the key contributions of this paper are the following.

- Definition 1.2 extended the density functions ψ_k to any periodic sets of points with radii $r_i \ge 0$.
- Theorems 3.2, 4.2, 5.2 explicitly described all ψ_k and allowed us to justify a quadratic algorithm for computing any ψ_k for any periodic sequence S of points with radii in Corollary 6.5, illustrated by new Examples 3.1, 3.3, 4.1, 4.3, 5.1, 5.3, 6.1, 6.3.
- Theorem 6.2 now proves the periodicity of the density functions ψ_k with respect to k in much greater detail than its simpler analog [3, Theorem 8], which was stated only for points with radii 0.

• The code [4] helped us distinguish the sequences $S_{15} \not\cong Q_{15}$ in Example 6.4 and quantify frequencies of random sequences whose density functions have multiple local maxima, see Example 6.6.

Here are the open problems for future work.

- Verify if density functions $\psi_k[S](t)$ for small values of k distinguish all non-isometric periodic point sets $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ at least with radii 0.
- Characterize the periodic sequences $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ whose all density functions ψ_k for $k \geq 1$ have a unique local maximum, not as in Example 6.6.

• Similar to Theorems 3.2, 4.2, 5.2, analytically describe the density function $\psi_k[S]$ for periodic point sets $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in higher dimensions n > 1.

• Design an incremental algorithm to compute all $\psi_k[S]$ when a new point is added to a motif of S.

This research was supported by the grants of the UK Engineering Physical Sciences Research Council (EP/R018472/1, EP/X018474/1) and the Royal Academy of Engineering Industrial Fellowship (IF2122/186) of the last author. We thank all reviewers for their time and helpful advice.

References

- [1] Anosova, O., Kurlin, V.: Introduction to periodic geometry and topology. arxiv:2103.02749 (2021)
- [2] Anosova, O., Kurlin, V.: An isometry classification of periodic point sets. In: Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Proceedings of DGMM). vol. 12708, pp. 229–241 (2021)
- [3] Anosova, O., Kurlin, V.: Density functions of periodic sequences. In: Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Proceedings of DGMM). vol. 13493, pp. 395–408 (2022)
- [4] Anosova, O.: R code for density functions of periodic sequences (2023), https://github. com/oanosova/DensityFunctions1D
- [5] Bright, M., Cooper, A.I., Kurlin, V.: Geographic-style maps for 2-dimensional lattices. Acta Cryst A 79(1), 1–13 (2023)
- [6] Edelsbrunner, H., Heiss, T., Kurlin, V., Smith, P., Wintraecken, M.: The density fingerprint of a periodic point set. In: SoCG. vol. 189, pp. 32:1–32:16 (2021)
- [7] Grünbaum, F., Moore, C.: The use of higherorder invariants in the determination of generalized patterson cyclotomic sets. Acta Cryst. A 51, 310–323 (1995)
- [8] Kurlin, V.: A complete isometry classification of 3D lattices. arXiv:2201.10543 (2022)
- [9] Kurlin, V.: Computable complete invariants for finite clouds of unlabeled points.

613





683 Fig. 12 Percentages of cases when the density functions $\psi_k(t)$, k = 1, ..., 5 (shown in five different colors) have one or 684 multiple local maxima for 1000 sequences of 10 points with zero radii, which are uniformly sampled in the internal [0, 1].

```
arxiv:2207.08502 (2022)
```

- 687
 688 [10] Kurlin, V.: Exactly computable and contin689 uous metrics on isometry classes of finite
 690 and 1-periodic sequences. arXiv:2205.04388
 691 (2022)
- [11] Kurlin, V.: Mathematics of 2-dimensional lattices. Foundations of Computational Mathematics pp. 1–59 (2022)
- 696
 697 [12] Mosca, M., Kurlin, V.: Voronoi-based similarity distances between arbitrary crystal lattices. Crystal Research and Technology 55(5), 1900197 (2020)
- [13] Pozdnyakov, S., et al.: Incompleteness of atomic structure representations. Phys. Rev. Let. 125, 166001 (2020)
- 705 [14] Smith, P., Kurlin, V.: A practical algo706 rithm for degree-k Voronoi domains of three707 dimensional periodic point sets. In: Lecture
 708 Notes in Computer Science (Proceedings of
 709 ISVC). vol. 13599, pp. 377–391 (2022)
 710
- 711 [15] Smith, P., Kurlin, V.: Families of point
 712 sets with identical 1-dimensional persistence,.
 713 arxiv:2202.00577 (2022)

- [16] Torda, M., Goulermas, J., Púček, R., Kurlin, V.: Entropic trust region for densest crystallographic symmetry group packings. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing (2023)
- [17] Torda, M., Goulermas, J.Y., Kurlin, V.A., Day, G.M.: Densest plane group packings of regular polygons. Physical Review E 106, 054603 (2022)
- [18] Torquato, S., Jiao, Y.: Dense packings of the platonic and archimedean solids. Nature 460(7257), 876–879 (2009)
- [19] Widdowson, D., Kurlin, V.: Pointwise distance distributions of periodic sets. arXiv:2108.04798 (version 1) (2021)
- [20] Widdowson, D., Kurlin, V.: Resolving the data ambiguity for periodic crystals. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35 (2022)
- [21] Widdowson, D., Kurlin, V.: Recognizing rigid patterns of unlabeled point clouds by complete and continuous isometry invariants with no false negatives and no false positives. In: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (2023)

714

685

[22] Widdowson, D., et al.: Average minimum distances of periodic point sets. MATCH Comm. Math. Comp. Chemistry 87, 529–559 (2022)