SALT TOLERANCES IN <u>CLADOPHORA</u> (CHLOROPHYCEAE) : A STUDY OF POPULATIONS AND SPECIES.

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of Liverpool for the degree of Doctor in Philosophy by David Neville Thomas.

November 1987.

CONTAINS PULLOUTS



<u>Cladophora</u> glomerata cells following treatment with seawater (34⁰/00).

SALT TOLERANCES IN <u>CLADOPHORA</u> (CHLOROPHYCEAE): A STUDY OF POPULATIONS AND SPECIES.

The effects of changes in external salt concentration upon two species of <u>Cladophora</u> have been studied: <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u>, a predominantly marine species, and <u>C.</u> <u>glomerata</u>, a freshwater species. Both species, however may be found growing in brackish-water habitats.

Measurements of salt tolerance were made by recording net photosynthesis and respiration following incubation in salinity treatments (0 to $102^{\circ}/00$) for periods of time up to 24h. Salt tolerance measurements were also made by comparing the net photosynthetic activity of the plants in normal salinity conditions following treatment with these media.

The salt tolerances of the two species proved to be quite distinctive, <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> tolerating a broad range of salinities, whereas <u>C. glomerata</u> showed little tolerance to even slight increases in salinity. Salt tolerance was also measured at different temperatures (-9 to 30° C). At extreme temperatures, <u>C. rupestris</u> had a reduced salinity-tolerance range. <u>C. glomerata</u> proved better able to tolerate increased salinity at higher temperatures.

The seasonal variation in external salinity and temperature experienced by the plants was measured. The measured tolerances to temperature and salinity of both species exceeded the extremes of these two variables recorded in their natural environment.

The changes that occur in the protoplast and totalcell volume of both species were recorded using photomicroscopic techniques. The cells of both species plasmolysed in hyperosmotic treatments, and did not fully deplasmolyse over a 24h period. There was no evident increase in cell volume of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> cells in hypo-osmotic media.

Measurements were made of changes that occur in thallus content of Na⁺, K⁺, Cl⁻, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺, during osmotic stress. <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> is able to maintain a fairly constant K⁺/Na⁺ ratio over a wide range of salinities, whereas this ratio is greatly reduced in <u>C.</u> glomerata plants even in 1.5° /oo treatment media.

salt tolerances of Baltic populations of The these two species were compared with those of U.K. plants. The Baltic species showed a convergence of salt tolerance. There were much more pronounced differences between the tolerances of Baltic and U.K. <u>Cladophora</u> glomerata than between the two C. rupestris populations. Measurements of cell, protoplast and thallus ion changes during salinity stress were also made on Baltic plants. The smaller celled Baltic <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u> more maintained constant ionic levels at lower salinities than its U.K. counterpart. Baltic <u>C.</u> glomerata maintained ionic equilibrium higher at salinities than U.K. plants.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to both Dr. G.Russell and Dr. J.C.Collins for their careful supervision of this project, and for their advice, support and encouragement at all stages of the work.

I am also grateful for the technical assistance and advice given by many of the staff and postgrduate students in the Botany Department, Liverpool University, especially that of Mr.S.Birch, Mrs.G.Haynes and Mr.J.Pygott.

The help and hospitality given during the Baltic studies, by the staff and students at the Zoological Station, Tvärminne, SW Finland, is greatly appreciated, particularly that of Dr. G. Hällfors, K.Kivi, K.Pajenen and M.Pohjonen.

I am thankful for the unfailing support shown to me by my family. Above all, I wish to thank my wife, Rosalind, and daughter Rachel for their great patience, and for the sacrifices they have made on my behalf, while I have carried out this work. TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 1.2 1.3	THE TAXONOMY AND ECOLOGY OF THE GENUS <u>CLADOPHORA</u> ALGAE IN SALT STRESSED ENVIRONMENTS AIMS OF STUDY	1 7 13
2	MATERIALS	15
2.1 2.2	PLANT MATERIAL STORAGE CONDITIONS	15 21
3	ENVIRONMENTAL MEASUREMENTS	22
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	INTRODUCTION METHODS MEASUREMENTS 3.3a Temperature 3.3b Salinity 3.3c Ionic variation DISCUSSION	22 24 25 25 27 27 30
4	EFFECT OF SALINITY ON PHOTOSYNTHESIS AND RESPIRATION	34
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	INTRODUCTION SATURATION LIGHT INTENSITY 4.2a Method 4.2b Results EFFECTS OF SALINITY TREATMENT 4.3a Method 4.3b Results DISCUSSION	34 37 37 38 40 40 41 47
5	EFFECT OF SALINITY ON CELL VOLUME	50
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	INTRODUCTION METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION	50 51 55 60
6	EFFECT OF SALINITY ON THALLUS ION CONTENT	64
6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	INTRODUCTION METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION	64 67 68 79

7	COMBINED EFFECTS OF SALINITY AND TEMPERATURE	84			
7.1	INTRODUCTION	84			
7.2	METHODS	85			
7.3	RESULTS	87			
7.4	DISCUSSION	90			
8	POPULATION DIFFERENCES IN SALINITY RESPONSES	95			
8.1	INTRODUCTION	95			
8.2	METHODS	96			
	8.2a Morphological variation	97			
	8.2b Variation in photosynthetic activity	97			
	8.2c Variation in cell volume response	98			
~ ~	8.2d Variation in thallus ion content				
8.3	RESULTS	98			
8.4	DISCUSSION	121			
9	GENERAL DISCUSSION	127			
10	REFERENCES	137			
11	APPENDICES	159			
	APPENDIX i	160			
	APPENDIX ii	163			
	APPENDIX iii	165			
	APPENDIX iv	172			
	APPENDIX v	174			

1. INTRODUCTION.

1.1 THE TAXONOMY AND ECOLOGY OF THE GENUS CLADOPHORA.

The genus Cladophora contains filamentous green algae with thalli that are densely or sparsely branched, and which grow by means of apical and/or intercalary cell divisions. In some species the relative amount of apical and intercalary growth is directly proportional to the degree of water movement, apical cell divisions being more frequent in more turbulent waters. Plants are normally found attached to the substrate by branching rhizoids or by a simple hyaline disciform holdfast, though freefloating forms of certain species are known. The cells are multinucleate, but the number of nuclei present is not species specific. In the species investigated by Wik-Sjöstedt (1970), newly formed cells were generally found to have 5-35 nuclei per cell, whereas older main-filament and basal cells contained up to 200 nuclei per cell. Alternation of generations is almost universal within the genus, although some species multiply only by thallus fragmentation, and a few species reproduce entirely by asexual zoospores. Very detailed descriptions of the morphology, taxonomy and ecology of Cladophora species are given by Hoek (1963 and 1982a), Söderström (1963) and Womersley (1984). Extensive cytogenetic investigations have also been carried out by Wik-Sjöstedt (1970) and Wik-Sjöstedt and Nordqvist (1970).

The taxonomic treatment of the genus has often been very confused and unsatisfactory, primarily due to the

large degree of environmental and age-dependent plasticity shown in many species. The basic chromosome number for all Cladophora species is six, though Wik-Sjöstedt (1970) has identified polyploid series in a number of species, which will contribute to the intraspecific variation recorded in these algae. Hoek (1963) refers to the "900 names given in the course of about 150 years to 37 European species and subspecific taxa of Cladophora", and a similar comment is made by Söderström (1963), "...by the middle of the 19th century more than one hundred names of Cladophora were and so the confusion began". In their published independent attempts to simplify the classification of European species, Hoek (1963) and Söderström (1963)disagreed about the number of species and forms, and in doing so revealed the very real difficulty involved in classifying such a heterogenous assembledge as this. The points of difference between the two authors are discussed by Söderström (1965), but most recent check-lists and floras have followed the classification proposed by Hoek (1963), and therefore species nomenclature and authorities given in this thesis have been also been taken from this manuscript. As a result of experiments on C. glomerata, (1966) has shown that a number of environmental Dean conditions may affect characters used in many of the systematic studies of the genus, therefore illustrating limitations on their taxonomic value. More detailed the cytological studies, such as those by Wik-Sjöstedt (1970) and protein immunological distance ivestigations similar

to those of Olsen-Stojkovich et al. (1986), may do much to clarify the origins of morphological variation within this Olsen-Stojkovich (1987)genus. has shown that morphological characteristics of cladophoroid genera are often conservative, concealing considerable molecular diversity. Molecular data should therefore be a valuable addition to any algal systematic investigation, especially when comprehensive comparative morphological information is difficult to obtain, or when the taxon in question generates few morphological characters (Olsen-Stojkovich 1987).

The genus is cosmopolitan and, unusually among algae, species are found in fresh, brackish and its marine In his study of European Cladophora Hoek (1963) waters. recognises 27 marine species and 11 species occurring in freshwater. Many of these were also reported as being found in brackish-waters, although the range of salinities in which each species may occur is usually quite specific: C. albida, C. sericea and C. vagabunda are found growing over a broad range of salinities, whereas species such as C. prolifera, C. pellucida and C. hutchinsiae have very restricted salinity ranges.

The phytogeographic distribution of 43 marine species of <u>Cladophora</u> in the north Atlantic Ocean have been described by Hoek (1979, 1982b), and eight distinct distribution groups have been described. There is a large number of species restricted to the tropical western Atlantic and warm temperate Mediterranean-Atlantic

regions, which is linked with the prevalence of endeminism waters. According to Hoek in these (1979),the phytogeographic limits are determined by physical barriers, or notably by temperature restrictions on the survival and/or reproduction of the plants. Cambridge et al. (1984) have shown that experimentaly determined temperature limits for growth and survival of five species of Cladophora were consistant with summer and/or winter at the limits of temperatures their geographic distributions. However, much of this phytogeographical analysis is based upon mean temperatures of surface waters, and it is questionable how closely these relate to the fluctuations experienced, especially by intertidal plants in nature.

In this study the responses to salt stress of two species of <u>Cladophora</u> have been investigated, and the variation of salinity tolerance within and between species recorded. The selected species are :

<u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> (L.) Kutz : (a) This is the few species of Cladophora that is easily of one recognised, posing few taxonomic difficulties (Hoek 1963). thallus consists of a pseudodichotomously branching The main axis with branches of different lengths. Growth is mainly by means of intercalary cell division. Newly formed cells initiate branches at their apical poles and so give rise to rows of branches of different ages (Hoek 1963). The characteristic stiffness of the plants is held to be due to the cells having particularly thick, multilayered,

complex cell walls. The cell wall structure of this species has been described in great detail by Hanic and Craigie (1969). The size of the plants is directly related to the degree of exposure of wave action (Hoek 1963), plants up to 20cm being found in sheltered locations.

It has the widest geographical distribution of all <u>Cladophora</u> species (Hoek 1963), growing mainly in the intertidal region of marine rocky shores, often as an understorey plant beneath larger fucoid algae. It is found in estuaries and also in the weakly saline waters $(6^{\circ}/\circ \circ)$ of the Baltic Sea where it is a sublittoral species (Hällfors <u>et al</u>. 1981, Waern 1952). Behre (1961) also reports <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> growing at the mouth of the river Geeste at Bremerhaven in salinities of $3-6^{\circ}/\circ \circ$.

(b) Cladophora glomerata (L.) Kutz : This is most variable morphologically of the probably all Cladophora species (Hoek 1963, Whitton 1970). Plants may have densely branched intricate thalli or may be extremely poorly branched with all possible intermediate states, which may be attached or free-floating. In general the plants are attached, and have pseudodichotomously branched main axes with acropetally branched systems, growth being mainly apical but intercalary growth increasing basipetally. Intensive sporulation often results in the terminal branch systems disintegrating and reducing the thallus to a single axis growing by intercalary cell divisions. In still waters these axes may become detached and grow up to several metres (Hoek 1963). The seasonal

growth characteristics of this species are discussed by Niiyama (1986), Rosemarin (1985) and Whitton (1970).

Cladophora glomerata is predominantly a freshwater species, with a very widespread distribution, which may become troublesome when its growth is prolific (Whitton 1970). It has been found growing in waters of salinity of 15-17⁰/oo near Kiel on the Baltic coast (Hoek 1963) and also at other hydrolittoral sites in the Baltic Sea at salinities of 4-6°/oo (Hällfors et al. 1981, Waern 1952, Wallentinus 1975). It was recorded as growing in the eulittoral zone of the River Tweed Estuary by Norton (1976). Söderström (1963) quotes an upper salinity limit of 20⁰/oo for this species, although Dean (1966) grew C. glomerata for up to 8 months in seawater $(34^{\circ}/\circ\circ)$, and also observed the release and germination of zoospores at this high salinity.

The lack of an isomorphic alternation of generations in <u>Cladophora glomerata</u>, which is usual in other <u>Cladophora</u> species, has been reported by several authors (Hoek 1963, Whitton 1970). These works report the rarity of copulation of gametes, reproduction being effected mainly by asexual zoospores. However Shyam (1980) proposes that there are two lines of life-cycle evolution within this species : one having high ploidy levels and no alternation of generations, and the other low ploidies involving diploid sporophyte and haploid gametophyte stages in a strictly alternating sequence.

1.2 ALGAE AND SALINE ENVIRONMENTS

The global and local distributions of macro-algae are governed by the interaction of abiotic and biotic factors. The former include wave-action, light intensity and quality, photoperiod, salinity and temperature. Biotic factors such as epiphytism, grazing and competition also affect distribution (Lobban <u>et al</u>. 1985). Temperature is widely regarded as the most important determinant of global distribution (Section 1.1), but these other factors may have local importance and so determine small-scale patterns of species distribution. Salinity is likely to operate in this manner.

Except for those living in purely freshwater and subtidal environments, all algae are subjected to brackish water of some form. Brackish water has been defined by Hartog (1970) as ".... water with an unstable salinity, which results from the dilution or concentration of sea This definition has resulted in the author salts." classifying at least nine different types of brackishwater habitat. The factors responsible for such salinity variations are described by Hartog (1967, 1968 and 1970). Although these papers give a very thorough account of the in which different saline environments may arise, ways they do not include the formation of hypersaline conditions in contained water bodies resulting from the freezing out of salt during ice formation (Edelstein and McLachlan 1975, Ganning 1971). It is noteworthy that the ecological significance of salinity variations in certain

Page 7

brackish habitats is thought by some to be overestimated, following very small salinity fluctuations being recorded in physico-chemical studies on rock pool systems (Daniel and Boyden 1975, Ganning 1971), although c.f. Edelstein and McLachlan (1975).

The frequency and magnitude of fluctuations in external salinity is a major factor governing the ability a plant to establish itself in a marine or brackish of environment. Algae need to maintain cell turgor for cell division and growth to occur, and many cellular metabolic processes can only function properly within limited intracellular osmotic conditions. Under salinity stress the ionic equilibrium of algal cells will be altered, and therefore, plants must possess morphological and/or physiological mechanisms to maintain cell ionic homeostasis under such conditions (Bisson and Gutknecht 1980). There is a number of comprehensive works that describe the osmotic responses of algal cells to salinity stress (Bisson and Gutknecht 1980, Cram 1976, Hellebust 1976, Kauss 1978, Zimmermann 1978). The short term responses of algae to hypo- and hypersaline conditions are summarised in Fig. 1.1.

Cram (1976) suggested that the term 'turgor regulation' should be applied to walled cells, and 'volume regulation' to wall-less cells. However, Zimmermann (1978) has shown that these two processes are interrelated by the elastic properties of the cell wall (if present) and plasmalemma. These relationships are described by the



Fig.1.1 Short-term responses of algae to changes in external salinity. (After Russell 1987a). following equation taken from Reed et al. (1980a):

$$\Delta \Psi_{o} = \Delta P - \Delta \pi_{i} = (\varepsilon + \pi_{i}) \frac{\Delta v}{v}$$

 Ψ_{0} : External water potential. ϵ : Elastic modulus. π_{ι} : Internal osmotic pressure. \vee : Volume. P: Hydrostatic (turgor) pressure.

In cells with rigid cell walls, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is large and so volume changes are small, therefore turgor pressure shows the greatest change in response to altered external osmotic pressure. In wall-less cells, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ and P are very low, and volume changes account for most of the physical response to hypo- and hypersaline conditions (Reed 1984).

When exposed to changes in external salinity, and the subsequent increase or decrease in cell volume/turgor, plant cells alter their intracellular osmotic potential by their concentrations of altering osmotically active (inorganic ions and/or organic compounds) particles SO that cell turgor/volume is restored. Very little is known about the mechanisms by which cells detect a need to initiate turgor regulatory processes, although it is thought that membrane deformation may provide the initial signal (Bisson and Gutknecht 1980, Zimmermann 1978). The of conveying this signal and subsequent control means of regulation are also little understood, turgor although and his colleagues working with the Kauss unicell Poterioochromonas malhamensis have described the control and possible mechanisms for the accumulation of isofloridoside during upshock conditions (Kauss 1978. 1983, Kauss and Rausch 1984). A number of studies have shown that in many algae, although they survive an osmotic

١

shock, recovery of turgor/volume is often incomplete (Dickson <u>et al</u>. 1980, Kirst and Bisson 1979, Reed 1983b, Reed <u>et al</u>. 1980a). These would indicate that the cells of these plants can withstand a range of cell turgor potentials (Reed 1983b).

Table 1.1 shows the wide diversity of inorganic ions and organic compounds used by a few of the numerous algae which the osmotica used during turgor regulation have in identified. It is energetically less costly to been regulate ion concentrations than to synthesise and degrade organic solutes, however, normal enzyme activity generally can only take place within narrowly defined intracellular ionic contents (Bisson and Kirst 1979). There is evidence that in some algae enzyme adaptation has occurred, enabling metabolic pathways to function under normally inhibitory intracellular ionic levels. Studies on the respiratory enzyme pyruvate kinase extracted from Porphyra umbilicalis indicate that it has a considerable tolerance to high concentrations of K^+ (Wiencke 1984) which would be encountered under hypersaline conditions. Kirst (1977a,b) shown that when changes in external osmotic pressure has are small the unicellular alga, Platymonas sudcordiformis alters its intracellular ion levels to regulate turgor pressure. However, when exposed to large changes although there is an ionic response, changes in the concentration of mannitol are more important. The major osmotica of Laminaria digitata varies seasonally, NO, and K⁺ being utilised during the winter, but during the summer when the

SPECIES	IDENTIFIED OSMOTICA	DEFENSIV	
CHLOROPHYTA	IDENTITIED USHUTTEA	REFERENCE	
CHAETOMORPHA LINUM	K ⁺ and Ci ⁻	71MMERMANN & STEUDLE 1071	
CODIUM SPP.	K ⁺ , CL ⁻ AND DMSP	RISSON & CUTENEOUT 1977 AND PECO 1992.	
DUNALIELLA SPP.	GLYCEROL. SUCROSE	BEN AMOTZ 1974 AND GENZBERG 1978	
ENTEROMORPHA INTESTINALIS	K ⁺ , CL ⁻ , Sucrose, Glutamic acid, DMSP and Proline	BROWN & HELLEBUST 1980, EDWARDS EI AL 1987, REED 1983A AND YOUNG EI AL 1987B	
LAMPROTHAMNION SPP.	K ⁺ , Cl ⁻ , Na ⁺ and Sucrose	Bisson & Kirst 1980, 1983, Kirst 1977a and Okazaki <u>ei al</u> 1984	
PLATYMONAS SUBCORDIFORMIS	K ⁺ , Na ⁺ , Cl ⁻ , DMSP, Mannitol, Hormarine and Glycine Betaine	Kirst 1977a. 1977b	
SCENEDESMUS OBLIQUUS	Sucrose	WETHERELL 1963	
STICHOCOCCUS SPP.	PROLINE AND SORBITOL	BROWN & HELLEBUST 1980	
ULOTHRIX FIMBRIATA	Sucrose and Glutamic acid	BROWN & HELLEBUST 1980	
ULVA LACTUCA	K ⁺ , Na ⁺ , CL ⁻ AND DMSP	Dickson et al 1980	
CHRYSOPHYTA			
Potrioochromonas Malhamensis	Isofloridoside and K*	Kauss 1978 and Kauss <u>et al</u> 1975	
MONOCHRYSIS LUTHERI	Cyclohexanetetrol	CRAIGIE 1969	
Cyclotella cryptica	PROLINE	LIU & HELLEBUST 1976	
CYANOBACTERIA			
Nostoc Muscorum	Sucrose	Blumwald & Tel-Or 1982	
SYNECHOCOCCUS SPP.	Sucrose	Reed & Stewart 1985	
SYNECHOCYSTIS SPP.	GLUCOSYL GLYCEROL	Reed & Stewart 1985	
PHAEOPHYTA			
ASCOPYLLUM NODOSUM	MANNITOL	Munda 1967 and Reed <u>ei al</u> 1985	
HIMANTHALIA ELONGATA	ALTRITOL	Chudex EI AL 1984	
Eucus spp.	MANNITOL	Munda 1967 and Reed <u>et al</u> 1985	
LAMINARIA DIGITATA	K ⁺ , NO ₃ ⁻ and Mannitol	Davison & Reed 1985	
Pelvetia canaliculata	MANNITOL AND VOLEMITOL	REED <u>EI AL</u> 1985	
PILAYELLA LITTORALIS	K ⁺ , Na ⁺ , Cl ⁻ and Mannitol	Reed 1980 and Reed & Barron 1983	
RHODOPHYTA			
GRIFFITHSIA MONILIS	K ⁺ , Na ⁺ , Cl ⁻ and Digeneaside	BISSON & KIRST 1979	
POLYSIPHONIA LANOSA	K^+ . CL^- and DMSP	Reed 1983b	
Porphyra perforata	FLORIDOSIDE, ISOFLORIDOSIDE AND K*	EPPLEY & CYRUS 1960 AND KAUSS 1968	
PORPHYRA PURPUREA	FLORIDOSIDE, K ⁺ , CL ⁻ , NA ⁺	Reed ei al 1980b. 1981	

DMSP :- B dimethylsulphoniopropionate Floridoside :- ∝ galactosyl-glycerol Isofloridoside :- 0-∝-D-galactopyranosyl-(1→1)-glycerol

Table 1.1 Inorganic ions and organic solutes that have been shown to be osmotically active in a small selection of the many algae in which osmotica have been identified.

NO₃ content of seawater is limited mannitol is accumulated preferentially to generate cell turgor (Davison and Reed 1985).

There have been very few physiological studies conducted to determine the responses of <u>Cladophora</u> species to saline stress and to identify osmotically active ions and/or solutes present within them. This is surprising considering the diversity of saline habitats occupied by this genus.

is well established that there are significantly It different morphological and physiological adaptations certain species of marine algae growing within in different saline environments (Munda 1977, Reed 1983b, Reed and Baron 1983, Russell 1985a,b, Yarish and Edwards 1982). These will be discussed in greater detail in а later section of the thesis. There have been no similar eco-physiological studies conducted on Cladophora species, and very little is known about the incidence and magnitude of variation within these.

1.3 AIMS OF THIS STUDY

Using the two species <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> and <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u> the purpose of this study is :

 To measure salt tolerance, using net photosynthesis and dark respiration as an indirect measure of cell damage caused by salinity stress.

2. To measure the changes in protoplast and total cell volumes that occur when the plants are subject to changed external salinity conditions.

3. To measure the changes in the intracellular concentrations of several major ions, in response to saline change.

4. To investigate eco-physiological variation within species growing in different saline environments.

5. To measure the interactive effect of temperature upon salt tolerance.

7. To correlate the responses measured in the laboratory with the salinity and temperature conditions encountered in the field.

More detailed descriptions of the relevent literature and studies will be given in the sections covering the above, in which the methods employed will also be outlined.

2. MATERIALS.

2.1 PLANT MATERIAL

During this investigation, U.K. and Baltic populations of both <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> and <u>C. glomerata</u> were studied. Experiments on U.K. material were conducted at the Botany Department, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, U.K., and those on Baltic material at Tvärminne Zoological Station, SW Finland.

Cladophora rupestris

U.K. plant material was collected from an exposed shore on the NW side of Hilbre Island which is situated at the mouth of the Dee Estuary, Cheshire, U.K. (Fig.2.1, site 1). Hilbre is an archipelago of three small Bunter sandstone islands connected by low-lying reefs, to form a chain running parallel with the water channel. There is no evidence of salinity stratification at this site in the estuary, water mixing being complete. The water is often turbid with suspended matter showing signs of domestic pollution (Russell 1972, 1973). Plants were taken from a population located in the upper part of the eulittoral zone, where they were growing on a shaded vertical rock face (Plates 2.1 and 2.2). The same population was used throughout the study.

Baltic plants were obtained from two sites in the permanently submerged sublittoral zone from sites in the Tvarminne archipelago (Fig.2.2.). The substrate in this part of the Baltic Sea is mainly bare bedrock (predominantly granite and gneiss) and boulders in exposed



Fig.2.1 A map showing part of the North West coast of England. The course of the western section of the Leeds-Liverpool Canal is also shown. Site (1) Hilbre Island, Cheshire. Site (2) Maghull, Merseyside.

Plate.2.1 The rock face on the NW side of Hilbre Island, Cheshire, U.K. from which <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> was collected. The position of the site used throughout the study is indicated by the arrow.

Plate 2.2 The sampling site on Hilbre Island, taken approximately 2h after high water. The arrow indicates the position of the <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> population used during this study. (Photograph by courtesy of G.Russell)



Plate 2.1



Plate 2.2

locations, with sediment bottoms (mud, sand and gravel) only being found in very sheltered areas (Hällfors <u>et al</u>. 1981, Luther <u>et al</u>. 1975). There is ice cover in this area generally for 4-5 months in the year, and there is thermal and salinity stratification of the water, especially during summer months (Luther <u>et al</u>. 1975). Plants were collected by divers from depths of 2m at site 2, and 1m at site 3 (Fig.2.2). At both sites the <u>Cladophora</u> was growing as understorey plants below <u>Fucus</u> <u>vesiculosus</u>, and at these off-shore sites the plants are often subject to very strong underwater swell.

<u>Cladophora</u> glomerata

U.K. plant material was collected using a grapnel from a benthic population in the Leeds-Liverpool Canal, Maghull, Merseyside (Fig.2.1, site 2). The plants were growing in soft sediments at a depth of approx. 1.5m in an unshaded section of the canal. The water in this part of the canal has a mean annual pH of 8.5 (Howard <u>et al</u>. 1984) and is unpolluted. There is very little water movement, and flow rates are within the range 0.4 to 0.7km day⁻¹ (Howard <u>et al</u>. 1984). This section of the canal is subject to ice cover in the winter.

Baltic plants were collected from the periodically submerged hydrolittoral zone (Hällfors <u>et al</u>. 1981), at a depth of 0.5m in a sheltered bay on an inshore skerry (Fig.2.2, site 4). The plants were growing on stable large boulders. Also see Plates 2.3 and 2.4.



Fig.2.2 A map of the Tvärminne archipelago, SW Finland. (1) Zoological Station. (2) Langskär. (3) Furuskär. (4) Östra Kvarnskärgrundet

Plate 2.3 The sheltered bay on Östra Kvarnskärgrundet from which Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> was collected. The plant were growing on boulders at a depth of 0.5m.

Plate 2.4 This shows the prolific growth of Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> in the spring, at a site very close to that used during this present study. (Photograph by courtesy of G.Russell).



Plate 2.3



2.2 STORAGE CONDITIONS

U.K. plant material was returned to the laboratory in clean plastic bags and stored at 10° C, under artificial light of approximately 50 µE m⁻² s⁻¹ (8h light : 16h dark). <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> was kept in aerated filtered seawater ($34^{\circ}/_{\circ}$), and <u>C. glomerata</u> in aerated filtered canal water. During storage and before experimentation water was changed at least every 72h.

Baltic plants were returned to the aquarium of the Zoological Station in seawater-filled plastic buckets, and maintained in aerated running Baltic seawater ($6^{\circ}/\circ\circ$) at 10° C, under artificial light of approximately 10 µE m⁻²s⁻¹ (12h light : 12h dark), Experiments with all the species populations were performed within 5 days of collection.

3. ENVIRONMENTAL MEASUREMENTS

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The salinity fluctuations experienced by a plant in intertidal environment during periods of emergence the have been said to be a primary factor influencing the local distribution of algae in the intertidal zone on rocky-shores and in estuaries (Hartog 1968, Wilkinson 1980). However, although the salt tolerances of numerous algal species and the physiological mechanisms underlying such tolerances have been measured (Section 1.2), there have been very few measurements of the actual salinity variation experienced by these plants on the shore, both in the short term (tidal) and seasonally (Davenport 1982). Such measurements are vital, if the results of physiological salt tolerance studies are to be interpreted ecologically.

Temperature has been shown to influence the responses of algae to salinity stress (Dawes et al. 1978, Fralick and Mathieson 1975, Yarish and Edwards 1982, Zavodnik 1975), and therefore it is important that temperature variations in the field are also recorded during investigations into the effects of salinity fluctuations upon plants. In one of the few existing comprehensive studies made, Cawthorne (1979) made monthly measurements of salinity and temperature fluctuations from the lower shore of a well mixed part of the Conwy estuary, N.Wales, and showed there to be markedly different diurnal salinity and temperature profiles due to changing seasonal and

ENVIRONMENTAL MEASUREMENTS

climatic influences (summary by Davenport 1982).

Most other physico-chemical investigations on intertidal rocky shores have been made on rock pool systems (Daniel and Boyden 1975, Edelstein and McLachlan 1975, Ganning 1971). However, due to their unique nature they should be treated as distinct habitat types within intertidal environment, and the the salinity and temperature fluctuations recorded within them should not identified with those experienced by plants growing in be emergent areas within this zone.

The primary purpose of this part of the study was to make measurements of the seasonal variation in salinity and temperature experienced by the Cladophora rupestris plants growing at the Hilbre site. The physiological responses to salinity stress will then be discussed in the context of these measurements in later sections of the thesis. Howard et al. (1984) have already made very detailed measurements of the water chemistry of a number of sites on the Leeds-Liverpool Canal, including one situated very close to that used during this study. Due to the uniformity of water quality in this part of the canal (Howard et al. 1984) the physico-chemical conditions of the site used during this present study are assumed to be the same as those described by these authors. Details of changes in salinity and temperature the seasonal experienced by the Baltic populations at Tvarminne, have been described by Luther et al. (1975).

3.2 METHODS

The temperature and salinity of the surface seawater were recorded at the Hilbre site, for the period October 1985 to May 1987. The temperature and salinity of water retained among emergent <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> plants was also measured over this period. Temperature was measured using a mercury thermometer (accuracy $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C), and salinity measured by means of a refractometer (Aquafauna. Bio-Marine inc.). Water was sampled from emergent <u>Cladophora</u> thalli for salinity measurement with a 1.0cm³ clean plastic hypodermic syringe.

The content of the major cations, Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} and Mg²⁺ present in the water surrounding emergent plants was also measured on several occasions. Pre-weighed glass microfibre filters (7.0cm diameter) were placed onto the plants and samples of thallus-surface water absorbed. Three replicate samples were taken. Each saturated filter was placed in a clean plastic sample jar, sealed and returned to the laboratory. The papers were re-weighed (they were generally found to hold 0.9 to 1.5g of seawater), and then washed in the sample jars in 75 or 100 cm^3 of distilled water for 10-15 min. The ionic content of the washes obtained were then measured using flame emission and atomic adsorption spectrophotometry as appropriate (PYE Unicam SP90A). Similar measurements were made occasionally using samples of surface seawater absorbed by filters.

Access to Hilbre Island on foot is restricted to

ENVIRONMENTAL MEASUREMENTS

periods of mid-tide level and below. All visits to Hilbre were made on falling tides and just before low water. The <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> plants at this site are exposed to terrestial climate conditions at approximately 2h after high water (Plate 2.2) and therefore are emergent for periods of up to 8h during a tidal period. At the times of collection therefore, the plants had been emergent for periods of approximately 4h.

The seaonal variations in water temperature were also recorded at Maghull, and on occasions the ionic content of water samples, collected in clean plastic bottles was measured.

3.3 MEASUREMENTS

3.3a Temperature

seasonal fluctuations in the temperature of The the water at Hilbre and at Maghull are shown by Figs.3.1 and 3.2 respectively. The variation in water temperature of emergent Cladophora rupestris is also shown by Fig.3.1. experience very similar Both sites variations in temperature during the year, though the temperature of canal water is slightly higher than that of Hilbre seawater during summer. The surface water of the canal often freezes during winter, though the benthic С. glomerata, under these conditions experiences temperatures 2-4^oC due to higher temperatures of water under of the ice. Winter temperatures of the thallus-surface water of emergent C. rupestris were generally higher than those of seawater, and the reverse is true during the warmer



Fig.3.1 The seasonal variation in water temperature recorded at Hilbre Island between October 1985 to May 1987. $-\Phi-\Phi-$: Surface seawater. $-\Phi-\Phi-$: Water retained by emergent <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> plants.



Fig.3.2 The seasonal variation in the water temperature of the Leeds-Liverpool Canal at Maghull between October 1985 to May 1987. * : Thick ice cover.

periods of the year.

3.3b Salinity

Fig.3.3 shows the seasonal variation in salinity of the Hilbre seawater and of the water retained among emergent <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> plants. Except for three occasions the salinities experienced by the exposed plants were equal to or, more frequently, greater than that of seawater. On these three occasions at which lower salinities were recorded, the measurements were made following heavy precipitation, and the values given are means of very variable readings, e.g. on 15.04.86, salinity readings ranging from 2 to $31^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ were recorded in a $0.5m^2$ area.

3.3c Ionic variation

The measurements made of the ion content of water retained by emergent <u>Cladophora</u> rupestris plants are given Table 3.1. The ion measurements made on the surface in seawater are also given for a number of sampling dates. The measurements show that the emergent plants are subject to relatively stable ionic conditions, as is the surface seawater. The levels obtained are consistent with values obtained from data given by Riley and Chester (1971) : Na⁺ (468mM), K^+ (10mM), Ca^{2+} (10mM) and Mg^{2+} (53mM). The Na⁺ content recorded on the 28.10.86 is particularly low although the other ions are not as diluted and, since measurements made at the same time did not show any reduction in salinity, this is probably an erroneous


Fig.3.3 The seasonal variation in the salinity of the seawater at Hilbre Island between October 1985 to May 1987. $-\Phi-\Phi-$: Surface seawater. $-\Phi-\Phi-$: Water retained by emergent <u>Cladophora</u> rupestris plants.

		ENV	/IRONMENTAL	MEASUREMENTS
DATE	NA ⁺	К+	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺
12.11.84	A. 445.6 (±9.0) B. 156.7 (±12.1)	11.3 (±0.3) 5.8 (±0.1)	* .	*
23.05.86	÷ *	13.5 (<u>+</u> 0.3)	10.7 (<u>+</u> 0.2)	*
02.10.85	396.9 (<u>+</u> 40.6)	17.3 (<u>+</u> 0.1)	13.4 (<u>+</u> 0.1)	61.2 (<u>+</u> 2.4)
28.10.85	235.7 (<u>+</u> 3.0)	9.9 (<u>+</u> 0.3)	9.2 (<u>+</u> 0.1)	47.2 (±0.2)
11.11.85	502.7 (<u>+</u> 19.4)	15.7 (<u>+</u> 0.9)	11.5 (±0.1)	67.3 (<u>+</u> 2.4)
25.11.85	414.6 (<u>+</u> 6.5)	13.4 (<u>+</u> 0.2)	*	55.6 (<u>+</u> 2.4)
03.02.86	406.2 (<u>+</u> 1.9) <u>372.2</u>	11.2 (<u>+</u> 0.1) 10.2	10.0 (<u>+</u> 0.3) <u>9.1</u>	48.0 (<u>+</u> 0.1) 43.0
03.03.86	373.9 (<u>+</u> 46.7) 559.3	13.8 (±1.0) 21.6	6.6 (<u>+</u> 1.4) 12.7	43.0 (<u>+</u> 5.8) 64.0
16.06.86	506.3 (<u>+</u> 6.6) <u>442.0</u>	15.4 (<u>+</u> 0.2) 14.0	7.6 (<u>+</u> 0.2) 7.0	54.7 (<u>+</u> 2.1) 44.8
07.07.86	456.6 (<u>+</u> 9.7) <u>466.2</u>	15.2 (±0.4) 14.3	8.0 (<u>+</u> 0.2) <u>7.5</u>	46.7 (<u>+</u> 1.5) 48.1
04.08.86	474.6 (±7.8) 428.0	28.2 (<u>+</u> 0.3) 23.7	12.5 (±0.2) 11.3	47.3 (±1.3) 45.9
16.08.86	450.0 (<u>+</u> 5.9)	19.6 (<u>+</u> 0.3)	10.9 (<u>+</u> 0.03)	49.3 (<u>+</u> 1.2)

Table 3.1 The major ion content (mM) of water retained by emergent <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> plants. +/- Standard errors are given in parenthesis. There were 3 replicates. The underlined data are values obtained from single samples of the surface seawater at Hilbre Island.

DATE	Na ⁺	K +	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺
17.05.85	1.4	0.2	1.6	1.7
02.10.85	2.7	0.3	3.4	0.9
14.10.85	1.2	0.3	1.2	0.5
11.11.85	1.0	0.2	1.1	0.03
16.12.85	1.6	0.3	3.2	0.6
16.06.86	2.2	0.2	0.9	0.6
07.07.86	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.6
Howard EI AL 1984	1.7 (0.6)	0.2 (0.02)	1.3 (0.2)	0.6 (0.1)

Table 3.2 The major ion content (mM) of Leeds-Liverpool Canal water at Maghull. The mean annual values measured by Howard <u>et al</u>. (1984) are also given. Standard deviations are given in parenthesis.

reading.

On 12.11.84 measurements were also taken from a site experiencing substantial freshwater run-off following heavy precipitation (12.11.84b.), and there is an obvious dilution of the ionic content of the water surrounding these <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> plants.

The measurements made of the major ion content of the canal water show that there is little seasonal variation in the levels of these ions (Table 3.2), and they are similar to those obtained in the more extensive investigation of Howard <u>et al</u>. (1984). See Table 3.2 for the mean annual values obtained by these authors.

3.4 DISCUSSION

Fig.3.4 shows temperature-salinity hydroclimographs for the Baltic and U.K. sites used in this study. Data collected from an off-shore site for stenohaline surfacewater of the Irish Sea (Slinn and Eastham 1984) are given for comparison. The mean monthly data for the Baltic and Sea are based on readings taken over many years, Trish whereas those for Hilbre are based on measurements made during this study and so are based on only a few readings July only 1 reading taken). The plots show that (in although the Baltic and Hilbre plants are subject to large temperature variation during the year, the salinities at the two sites do not vary much. However both the salinity and temperature variation are considerably greater than recorded for open-Irish Sea water.



Temperature-salinity hydroclimographs for : Fig.3.4 (a) seawater at Tvärminne (Luther et al. 1975). Surface (b) Surface seawater at Hilbre Island. (c) Surface seawater of in the Irish Sea (Slinn an offshore site and Eastham 1984). Data points are monthly mean temperature and salinity readings. N.B. 1 = January 12 = December.

ENVIRONMENTAL MEASUREMENTS

Hilbre Cladophora rupestris during periods of emergence, experiences both hypo- and hypersaline conditions resulting from prevailing climatic conditions. Generally, these salinity changes are not very large, normally $0-5^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ above that of the seawater. These measurements would suggest that it is rare for the plants growing at this site to experience large fluctuations in external salinity. This is supported by the fairly constant ionic contents measured of the water surrounding emergent plants. These low salinity fluctuations may be due partly to the small tuft-like growth form of the C. rupestris plants retaining water, and not presenting a large surface area for dilution and evaporation of this water to take place. Daniel and Boyden (1975) and Ganning (1971) have reported that the salinities of intertidal rockpools are quite stable, and the results obtained in the present study indicate that certain protected emergent intertidal habitats may be exposed to less extreme salinity fluctuations than has often been supposed.

It is important to note that interstitial thallus water samples were taken from <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> plants that had been exposed for only about 1/2 of their total emergence period. It is probable therefore, that at the times of resubmergence these algae had experienced greater changes in salinity than those recorded when visiting the site. The highest increase in salinity recorded was $10^{\circ}/\circ\circ$, and if a linear relationship between salinity and exposure-time is assumed, the plants may infact experience

ENVIRONMENTAL MEASUREMENTS

increases in salinity of approximately 20°/00 over the whole emergent period. However, increases of up to $5^{\circ}/00$ were more usual, which may represent total increases of $10^{\circ}/\circ$ during low tide periods. The summer of 1986 was also particularly poor, and it is likely that had there been a period of very hot, dry weather higher temperatures and salinities would have been recorded. Higher temperatures would also have been recorded for both the surface seawater and canal water. By taking temperature measurements only during the day, the lowest winter temperatures were likewise unrecorded. Therefore, any further studies would have to be much more detailed, and include diurnal fluctuations in temperature to and salinity, measured at regular intervals over many years. Such investigations should also be made in conjunction with more extensive meteorological measurements, such as air temperature, rain-fall and relative humidity.

4. EFFECT OF SALINITY ON PHOTOSYNTHESIS AND RESPIRATION 4.1 INTRODUCTION

Investigations of cellular damage to macroalgae, caused by changes in salinity, have frequently made use of carbon metabolism as an indirect expression of stress. Much of this work has concentrated on the effects of salinity upon net photosynthesis (Gordon et al. 1980, Ogata and Matsui 1965, Penniman and Mathieson 1985, Reed et al. 1980c, Yarish et al. 1979, Zavodnik 1975). Table 4.1 is a summary of the relatively few salt tolerance studies conducted on green algae that have included measurements of net photosynthesis. There have been considerably more similar investigations made on species from the other major algal groups. The data presented in this table show the oxygen production by the plants over a range of salinities. Where possible the units of seawater concentration and photosynthetic rate have been transformed to $^{\circ}/_{\circ\circ}$ and $mgO_{\circ}h^{-1}g^{-1}(dry weight)$ respectively. When it has been necessary to estimate the ratio of fresh weight : dry weight, values of the % water content of Enteromorpha prolifera at different salinities (Young et al 1987a) have been used. The data presented in table 4.1, and those from studies conducted on other species indicate that most marine algae show maximum photosynthetic activity in salinities of $30-40^{\circ}/\circ\circ$, with very reduced activity in extreme hypo- and hypersaline conditions.

A number of salt tolerance investigations have also

SPECIES	Method of Oxygen determination	SALINITY 700	Net Photosynthesis	Reference	Comments
ENTEROMORPHA INTESTINALIS	W	3 44 90	24 21 13	Онно 1976	2,3
ENTEROMORPHA LINZA	W	44 90	11 21 13	KJELDSON & PHINNEY 1972	1
<u>Cladophora aff. albida</u> (C <u>. montagneana</u> Gordon <u>ei al</u> 1985)	E	30 60	376	Gordon <u>ei al</u> 1980	2,6
CLADOPHORA REPENS	м	25 50	0.8* 3.2 1.6	Dawes <u>et al</u> 1978	
CLADOPHORA VAGABUNDA	W	46 62 90	20* 255	Bologa 1979	4
ULVA EXPANSA	Μ	0 22 33	0.7 [*] 5.0 5.0	KJELDSON & PHINNEY 1972	1
ULVA LACTUCA	M?	31• 35 40	0.11 [*] 0.16 0.23	LEGENDRE 1921	
	W	0% S.W.? 100 200	0.08 ⁺ 0.31 0.12	Fromageot 1923	
	?	0% S.W. 100	12:0	Gessner & Hammer 1960	
	W	20 42	0.4 [*] 2.1 3.5	Zavodnik 1975	5.7
ULVA PERIUSA	Μ	0° 33 63	19 [*] 26 19	Ogata & Matsui 1965	3

W : Winkler titration . M : Manometric . E : Oxygen electrode . + $MG0_{2}H^{-1}CM^{-2}$; ++ $CM^{3}N/100 Na_{2}S_{2}O_{3}20MIN^{-1}$

UNITS OF SEAWATER CONCENTRATION HAVE BEEN TRANSFORMED
UNITS OF OXYGEN PRODUCTION HAVE BEEN TRANSFORMED
EXPTS. PERFORMED AT VARIOUS ACCLIMATION PERIODS
EXPTS. PERFORMED AT VARIOUS BICARBONATE CONCENTRATIONS
COMPARED ATTACHED AND DETACHED MATERIAL
COMPARED ATERIAL FROM DIFFERENT SALINE HABITATS
EXPTS. PERFORMED WITH ARTIFICIAL AND NATURAL SEAWATER
EXPTS. PERFORMED WITH DISTILLED WATER AND SPRING WATER AS DILUENTS

Table 4.1 A summary of salt tolerance studies that have been conducted on members of the Chlorophyceae (macroalgae only) that have used net photosynthesis as an indirect measure of cell damage during or following exposure to changes in external salinity. Photosynthetic rates are expressed as $mgO_2h^{-1}g(dry weight)^{-1}$ unless indicated otherwise.

involved measurements of dark respiration in conjunction with photosynthetic measurements (Dawes et al. 1978, Fralick and Mathieson 1975, Kjeldson and Phinney 1972, Mathieson and Burns 1971, Mathieson and Dawes 1974). The respiratory responses to salinity measured by these authors seem to vary between species. Ogata and Takada (1968) have reported that a number of species show increased respiration in hyposaline water, although hypersaline conditions caused supression of respiratory activity. Dawes et al. (1978) also measured high respiratory rates in several species after exposure to extreme hyposaline conditions. However, Mathieson and Burns (1971) showed that respiration rates of Chondrus crispus increase in both hypo- and hypersaline water. Studies on four Eucheuma species (Mathieson and Dawes 1974) have revealed a tendency for there to be low respiration rates at the salinities which give maximum photosynthesis, and vice versa. The results of many authors have led to Wilkinson (1980) in his review of estuarine algae to generalise that photosynthesis decreases and the rates of respiration increase at low salinities, leading to reductions in net photosynthesis.

The ability of plants to photorespire makes direct measurements of photosynthetic activity complicated. However, Bidwell and McLachlan (1985) have shown photorespiration not to occur in a selection of algae representing the major algal groups, and including <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>. These authors suggest that other

reports of the presence of photorespiration in algae may be due to measurement of light respiration unrelated to photorespiration. Such respiration occurred in actively growing meristematic tissue or in sporulating tissue, or when thallus-surface pH was raised, and also as a result of thallus wounding.

This part of the present investigation was undertaken to record photosynthetic and respiratory responses to salinity in both Cladophora rupestris and glomerata as indirect measures of the salt tolerances с. these two species. The work was conducted using U.K. of material only. Photosynthesis and respiration was measured after different incubation times to determine whether or length of exposure to a particular salinity affects not ability of a plant to survive. Measurements of the photosynthesis were made at light intensities slightly greater than that of saturating light intensity, and so it was necessary to make a preliminary study of the effect of light intensity on photosynthesis for both species.

4.2 SATURATION LIGHT INTENSITY

4.2a METHOD

Clean portions (0.019-0.021g) of plant tissue were weighed after careful blotting and then placed in the Clark-type oxygen electrode (Rank) (see chamber of а Walker 1972), containing 2.5cm³ of oxygen Delieu and saturated filtered seawater for Cladophora rupestris or filtered canal water for C. glomerata. The chamber was 12 ±1°C, maintained at a temperature of using а

temperature controlled water jacket around the chamber. A foil reflector was placed behind the electrode to reflect transmitted light back into chamber. The any oxygen production in the sealed chamber was recorded on a flatbed recorder, over a range of light intensities (20-2,000 $\mu E m^{-2} s^{-1}$) obtained by altering the distance between an external light source (Widioscope projector lamp, 250W 24V Halogen type 72) and the chamber. The oxygen production rate at each light intensity was measured until a constant rate was obtained. Three replicate samples of each species were used.

The light intensity (PAR) incident on the inside of the oxygen electrode chamber at each position of the light source had previously been measured by placing a light probe behind a chamber-unit that had been cut in half. The light intensity was recorded using a light meter (Macam Quantum/Radiometer/Photometer Q101).

The rate of oxygen production was measured from the slope of the recording, and expressed as $mgO_2h^{-1}g^{-1}$ (fresh weight). The electrode was calibrated using oxygen saturated media and media deoxygenated with sodium dithionite. The oxygen concentrations of the saturated media at $12^{\circ}C$ was measured using a modified Winkler titration (Strickland and Parsons 1972).

4.2b RESULTS

The photosynthesis versus light intensity curves at 12⁰C for both <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> and <u>C. glomerata</u> are given in Fig.4.1 (see Appendix i). There were very marked



Fig.4.1 The effect of light intensity (at $12^{\circ}C$) upon the net photosynthesis of <u>Cladophora</u> rupestris (- \bullet - \bullet -) and <u>C.</u> glomerata (- \circ - \bullet -). The Standard Error is shown for each sample.

differences in the rates of oxygen production between the two species at this temperature. The data for <u>C. rupestris</u> are quite varied, though it is apparent that light is saturating at intensities above 100 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹. There was inhibition of photosynthesis at the very high light intensities.

The light saturation curve for <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> is less variable than that obtained for <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u>, and light is saturating at 200 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹. At light intensities greater than 800 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹ there was slight inhibition of photosynthesis, although at 2,000 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹ higher rates of oxygen production are obtained.

On the basis of the light saturation curves, a light intensity of 200 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹ was adopted as a suitable intensity at which to make the following measurements of net photosynthesis of both species during saline treatment.

4.3 EFFECTS OF SALINITY TREATMENT

4.3a METHOD

Salinity treatments in these experiments were based upon seawater obtained from the Menai Straits, Anglesey, N.Wales. This water $(34^{\circ}/\circ\circ)$ was diluted to produce a range of hyposaline treatments : 0 to $11^{\circ}/\circ\circ$. By evaporating the water at 80° C two hypersaline treatments were made : 68 and $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$. <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> was treated with media of 0, 6, 11, 34, 68 and $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ and <u>C.</u> <u>glomerata</u> with 0, 6, 11 and $34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$. The $0^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ treatment for <u>C. glomerata</u> was filtered canal water. The net

photosynthesis and dark respiration rates were measured following treatment at intervals over a 24h period (1, 2, 4, 6, 12 and 24h).

Samples of clean plant material were blotted carefully, and 0.019-0.021g portions were weighed. Five replicate samples were used at each salinity treatment. These were placed in 25cm^3 of appropriate treatment media in small beakers, which were aerated and kept at a constant temperature of $11 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C in a thermostatically controlled water bath, and under continuous illumination of 45 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹. After incubation, the samples were treated as described above, and net photosynthesis measured in oxygen saturated treatment media, at 13 $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C at a light intensity incident on the inside of the chamber of 200 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹ (Section 4.2).

Dark respiration rates were then measured after switching off the light source and placing a foil hood over the chamber. Oxygen consumption was recorded until a constant rate was obtained.

4.3b RESULTS

The results for <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> are shown by Figs.4.2 and 4.3, and those for <u>C. glomerata</u> by Figs.4.4 and 4.5 (Appendix i). The values given at each salinity are those obtained from plant material incubated for 24h, since these are the best indications of tolerance by the plant to a particular salinity treatment. The variation in responses with time are illustrated in the smaller figures.



effect of salinity change upon the Fig.4.2 The net Cladophora rupestris. The smaller photosynthesis of incubation effect of time diagrams show the at each 95% confidence limits are shown for salinity treatment. each sample.



Fig.4.3 The effect of salinity change on the respiration of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.

<u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> showed the highest net photosynthetic rates in normal seawater $(34^{\circ}/o_{\circ})$. The plants incubated in the 6, 68 and $102^{\circ}/o_{\circ}$ treatment media show greatly reduced rates of oxygen production (Fig.4.2), however, the rate of oxygen production of the algae in $0^{\circ}/o_{\circ}$ surprisingly was higher than for the plants in the $6^{\circ}/o_{\circ}$ treatment. The only significant difference in photosynthetic response with time was shown by plants incubated in the $0^{\circ}/o_{\circ}$ media, much higher values being obtained after 1h than at any other time.

The dark respiration rates recorded for <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> again, were highest in the $34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ media (Fig.4.3). There were no differences between the respiratory activities of the plants incubated in 0, 6 and $11^{\circ}/\circ\circ$, which is also true for the algae treated with 68 and $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ media. The only variation in respiratory response with time was in the $0^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ media with greatly reduced rates occuring after 1h.

The net photosynthesis of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> was greatest at $0^{\circ}/\circ \circ$ declining with increasing salinity (Fig.4.4), with very low rates being recorded for plants treated with $34^{\circ}/\circ \circ$ media. Length of incubation time did not affect the photosynthetic rates in any of the salinities.

The only significant reductions in the dark respiration rates of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> occurred in the plants incubated in the 34^O/oo seawater (Fig.4.5). The rates obtained at all the salinities were very variable,



The effect of salinity change the net Fig.4.4 upon glomerata. photosynthesis <u>Cladophora</u> The smaller of effect of incubation diagrams show the time at each treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for salinity each sample.



Fig.4.5 The effect of salinity change on the respiration of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.

although those at 11 and $34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ were prticularly so. There was no significant effect of time upon the response of respiration to salinity treatment.

4.4 DISCUSSION

the net photosynthesis The inhibition of of Cladophora rupestris at high light intensities and the very low light saturating intensity measured, are factors which govern the characteristic distribution of this species, plants growing in shaded habitats and as (Hoek 1963). understory plants The much greater photosynthetic rates of <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u> compared to those of C. glomerata is in part a reflection of the greater chlorophyll content of the marine species. Following DMSO and methanol (Duncan and extraction by Harrison 1982), C. rupestris was found to contain $3mg(Chl a) g^{-1}$ (wet weight), compared with 0.6mg g^{-1} for <u>C. glomerata</u>.

The responses of the two species to salinity change are guite distinct : Cladophora glomerata shows little tolerance to increased salinity, whereas Cladophora rupestris can tolerate both increases and decreases in external salinity, although the plants have a greater tolerance of hyposaline conditions. The higher rates of net photosynthesis in C. rupestris in the 0°/oo treatments than in those in $6^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ are anomalous. However, these high rates were perhaps caused by changes in rates of oxygen diffusion due to damage to membrane structure following severe hyposaline shock, and may not accurately such express the photosynthetic activities of the plants or

their ecological optima.

Bidwell and McLachlan (1985) have shown that representatives of the three main marine algal groups depend primarily upon HCO_3^{-} as a carbon supply for photosynthesis. The affinity for HCO_3^{-} has been shown to be greater in marine than in freshwater macrophytes, the latter using primarily CO₂ (Sand-Jensen and Gordon 1984). The dilution of this carbon supply (CO_2 and HCO_3^-) is thought to be the reason for the decline in photosynthesis of several marine algae in hyposaline conditions (Hammer 1968, Ogata and Matsui 1965). Dawes and McIntosh (1981) and Zavodnik (1975) have also demonstrated that algae exhibit enhanced photosynthetic activity in seawater diluted with nutrient rich spring water compared with plants in seawater diluted with distilled water.

Respiration in both species was less affected by changes in salinity than photosynthesis. Cladophora rupestris showed only slightly reduced respiration rates in hyposaline treatments, though greater reductions occurred in hypersaline conditions. <u>C.</u> glomerta showed little respiratory response to salinity, although the increased variability of respiration rates at higher salinities, and the few very low values obtained at 34⁰/00, would suggest that disruption to the respiratory mechanisms had occurred. It is interesting to note that the respiration responses recorded for <u>C. rupestris</u> during this study do not follow the trends discussed previously (Section 4.1), in that hyposaline conditions did not cause

increases in respiration, and the highest respiration rates were not associated with the lowest rates of photosynthesis. However, the lower rates obtained in hypersaline waters are similar to those described by other workers.

(1983) has discussed the energy requirements of Yeo the physiological adaptations made by higher plants to increases in external salinity. These exposed regulatory processes bring about an increased demand for energy, which can be supplied either by an increase in respiration rate, or by diverting energy from other cellular processes. The reductions in respiration recorded the experiments on both species mean that, at certain in salinities, the energy requirements for osmotic adjustment can be met only at the cost of other metabolic activity, and subsequent growth.

results indicate salt tolerances that follow These closely the salinity regimes of the two plant populations: glomerata living in stable freshwater Cladophora conditions and C. rupestris being subjected to dilutions thallus surface water during precipitation, and to of а extent, hypersaline conditions produced lesser by evaporation. Although the extent of hypersaline stress experienced by plants on the shore may have been underestimated in Section 3, the salinity tolerance of the \underline{C} . rupestris population is clearly greater than the salinity fluctuations measured, and the plants could be expected to survive short-term salinity changes of greater amplitude.

5. EFFECT OF SALINITY ON CELL VOLUME

5.1 INTRODUCTION

general there is a biphasic physical response In by plant cells to altered external wall-less salinity (Zimmermann 1978). Within a few seconds of exposure to change, swelling or shrinkage of the cells occurs, which is caused almost exclusively by the flow of water into or from the cell depending on the direction of the osmotic The second phase, during which the cell stress. is restored to (or close to) its original volume, takes place over a longer period of time (minutes or hours). This is brought about by the regulation of intracellular levels of osmotically active particles. A similar biphasic response been shown in a number of walled cells (Zimmermann has The plasmolysis and subsequent deplasmolysis that 1978). can be observed taking place in the cells of many algae under hypersaline stress is an indication of this biphasic response taking place.

The nature and extent of plasmolysis and deplasmolysis in the salinity stressed cells of numerous marine macroalgae including several <u>Cladophora</u> species, used criterion in many early salt tolerance was as investigations (Biebl 1937,1939,1952, Hofler 1931). Α short summary of these studies is given by Gessner and (1937, 1939), Biebl (1971).Schramm by making osbservations of plasmolysis, deplasmolysis and cell viability over wide ranges of salinity, determined values of 'osmotic resistance' for many species and used these to

CELL VOLUME

distinguish between ecological groups of marine algae.

It has been reported by several authors that the cells of some algae do not plasmolyse under hypersaline stress, but that swelling of the cell walls occurs instead (Fischer 1984, Gessner and Schramm 1971). This is because normal salinity conditions turgor pressure compresses in the cell wall, but when turgor is reduced the microfibrillar components of the cell wall separate and swells. Turgor regulation by these cells the wall is indicated by a shrinking of the swollen cell walls.

part of the study was undertaken to This make quantitative measurements of the changes that occur in cell and protoplast volume during salinity stress in both <u>Cladophora</u> glomerata and <u>C.</u> rupestris, under controlled light and temperature conditions. Such a method would therefore be a refinement of the more qualitative approach used by Biebl and his co-workers. The photomicroscopic technique employed, only allowed for measurements of area changes of the cell and protoplasm to be made, and it was assumed that such changes are directly proportional to any in cell volume that occur. Also, because changes of possible cell wall thickening (see above), total cell areas were measured from the inner surface of the cell wall.

5.2 METHODS

Single clean filaments were separated carefully from plants and then attached to the bottom of cavity slides using a small amount of molten agar. The slides were then

CELL VOLUME

filled with 1.5-2.0cm³ of seawater for <u>Cladophora</u> rupestris and canal water for <u>C. glomerata</u>, and then transferred to a constant temperature-room at 10°C. This temperature was selected since it is approximately the of the annual temperature range both median plant populations are exposed to. The plant material was examined under a microscope (Zeiss Photomicroscope II) which was also housed in the cold room. For consistancy, it was decided that the responses of the third cell proximal to the apex of C. rupestris filaments, and the fifth cell from the apex of C. glomerata would be measured. Undamaged cells were located that had no or very few epiphytes and these were then photographed. These could be relocated at later stages of the experiment using co-ordinates on the microscope stage. One cell was chosen from each slide, and five slides were used at each treatment salinity.

The water surrounding the plant material was then removed and replaced with $1.5-2.0 \text{ cm}^3$ of a treatment media: 1.5, 6, 34, 68 and $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ for <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>. and 0, 1.5, 6 and $34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ for <u>C. glomerata</u>. Photographs were taken of the cells at : 5min, 30min, 1h, 4h, 6 and 24h, although photographs were only taken at 5min, 1h, 6h and 24h for <u>C. rupestris</u> in $34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ and <u>C. glomerata</u> in $0^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ treatment media. The plants were kept under constant illumination during the experimental period. A standard graduated 1mm scale was also photographed at this magnification to enable subsequent measurements of cell and protoplast area.

Photomicrographs were produced, and prepared for an analytical procedure (developed in the Computer Laboratory, Liverpool University) that incorporated а graphics system to measure areas computer on the photomicrographs. Images of protoplast and total-cell taken with a video camera were digitised, areas and quantified using a computer programme. The system was calibrated with known areas based on the measurements made using suitably enlarged photomicrographs of the 1mm scale. In order to produce clear video images it was necessary to blacken the areas to be measured and to whiten everything else (see Plate 5.1a,b). However, when the data produced using this technique were analysed it was clear that this system produced an unacceptable amount of error. Unfortunately further refinement of the digitising process and computer software were required which could not be made within the time available.

It was decided, therefore to make of use the photomicrographs obtained from the computer study, and quantify the cell area changes by means of a weighing Photocopies of the high-contrast photomicrographs method. were made. The protoplast area (black) was cut out from total cell area and weighed, and the remaining the cell (white) was weighed also. These weights area were transformed to area-measurements by weighing standard areas of paper based on measurements from the micrometer scale. By adding the weights of protoplast area to the

Plate 5.1a Photomicrograph of a <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> cell following 30min incubation in 34 /oo treatment media.

Plate 5.1b A high contrast image produced from the photomicrograph above.

PLATE 5.1a



5.1b



CELL VOLUME

rest of the cell area, a measure of the total cell area was obtained. However, the weight of white photocopied paper was found to be 10% less than for blackened paper, and therefore weights of non-protoplast areas had to be scaled up by 10% to make them comparable with those of protoplast-areas.

5.3 RESULTS

Images produced from the photomicrographs of a <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> cell exposed to $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ treatment media are shown in Fig.5.1, which serves to illustrate the way in which the changes in protoplast and total-cell areas were recorded, and also the nature of the response of this species under severe hypersaline stress.

The results for <u>C.</u> rupestris and <u>C.</u> glomerata are shown in Figs.5.2 and 5.3 respectively (also Appendix ii). The calculated total-cell, and protoplast areas for salinity stressed cells have been expressed as percentages their respective areas before exposure to salinity of treatment. The mean percentage changes of protoplast area are presented as well as the mean percentage changes in plasmolysed areas of the cells (total cell area protoplast area). Since percentage changes have been used it is not statistically valid to calculate the error about mean values, and so the range of values obtained for each sample is shown. Reductions in total-cell area are indicated in the figures when reductions in protoplast area are not associated with an appropriate increase in plasmolysed area. During the experiments some cells were



Fig.5.1 The high contrast images produced from photomicrographs of a <u>Cladophora</u> rupestris cell taken after different periods of incubation in treatment media of $102^{\circ}/00$.



Fig.5.2 The changes in protoplast $(-\bullet-\bullet-)$, and plasmolysed areas $(-\bullet-\bullet-)$ of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> cells exposed to salinity treatments over a 24h period. The range of values for each sample is indicated.



Fig.5.3 The changes in protoplast $(-\bullet-\bullet-)$, and plasmolysed areas $(-\bullet-\bullet-)$ of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> cells exposed to salinity treatments over a 24h period. The range of values for each sample is indicated.

mis-identified and a few of the mean values are based on 2 to 4 cells only (Appendix ii).

There were fluctuations in the areas measured for <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> cells incubated at $34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$, and this variation is attributed to natural fluctuations within the cell and to error introduced by the experimental technique. Salinity-induced changes may therefore be indicated by changes in area that exceed those obtained in the control medium $(34^{\circ}/\circ\circ)$.

Generally the <u>C. rupestris</u> cells (Fig.5.2) in the 1.5 and $6^{\circ}/\circ \circ$ treatment media maintained a constant protoplast volume. However, a number of cells inexplicably shrank in these hyposaline treatments (1.5°/oo at 30min to 24h, and $6^{\circ}/\circ \circ$ at 24h). In the $68^{\circ}/\circ \circ$ treatment there was only slight plasmolysis, and there was no evidence of deplasmolysis occurring. Cells exposed to $102^{\circ}/\circ \circ$ showed a much greater degree of plasmolysis, again with no obvious deplasmolysis taking place.

There was an inverse relationship between salinity increase and degree of plasmolysis in the cells of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> (Fig.5.3), although up to $6^{\circ}/\circ \circ$ there is only slight plasmolysis. Particularly extensive plasmolysis occurs in the $34^{\circ}/\circ \circ$ treatment and there was also a reduction in total-cell area at 6 and 24h at this salinity, which partly accounts for the apparent deplasmolysis at these incubation times. A reduction in the total-cell area also took place in the $1.5^{\circ}/\circ \circ$ treatment.

5.4 DISCUSSION

Because of the technical problems encountered with the analytical procedures proposed and the crudity of the method finally adopted, this section of the overall study not developed to the extent originally planned. was The difficulties encountered in developing and conducting a simple quantiative microscopic method for measuring the plasmolytic behaviour of algal cells have clearly been demonstrated. For continuity, cells were used from the same position on the filaments. However, the cells chosen may not show typical responses for the thalli as a whole, since they may have been very recently formed. However cell age is difficult to determine in algae such as these Cladophora species, in which growth occurs by intercalary as well as apical cell division. The responses of several age classes of cell should be measured in any similar future study.

The experiments were performed at a fairly low it is questionable whether the plants temperature, and would experience the very high salinities used combined, with such low temperatures in the field (Section 3.3). The responses of the cells may therefore be different at higher temperatures, because of changes in metabolic in comprehensive rates. Therefore а more study measurements should be taken over a range of temperatures. effect of light intensity should also be considered The similar reasons. No measurements were made of any for swelling of the cell walls, which would be desirable for a

more complete description of the volume changes that occur within the cells.

There were also a number of sources of error associated with the particular method used : The blackening and whitening of the photomicrographs and the cutting out of the areas to be measured relied often on critical judgements of the extent of cell and protoplast boundaries. If the microscope is not focused in exactly same plane of focus each time a photograph is taken, the further variation may be introduced.

It has therefore, proved difficult in practice to make accurate quantitative refinements of the techniques used by Biebl and his co-workers, although with the rapid development of microscope systems with integrated computerised image analysis, it may be possible to make the large number of precise measurements using microscopic techniques that are required to measure the physical responses of cells exposed to osmotic stress.

Even though the data obtained have a lot of associated error, it is still possible to discuss general trends in the physical responses of the cells of these two species. The response of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> in the hyposaline treatments indicate that the cell walls were able to restrict swelling of the protoplast brought about by the inflow of water. Wiencke (1987) using a pressure probe technique has shown that <u>C. rupestris</u> cells can withstand a wide range of cell turgor pressure (6 to >30 bars) in diluted seawater. He attributes this tolerance to the distinctive composition and construction of the cell wall, which contains cellulose and a complicated arrangement of microfibrils in numerous lamellae (also see Hanic and Craigie 1969). Further water loss from the cells exposed to the hypersaline treatments was probably prevented by the increase in intracellular osmotica at these salinities (investigated later in Section 6).

The responses of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> cells therefore show some resemblence to those of the non-rigid walled <u>Porphyra purpurea</u> cells, in which the primary response to dilution is an increase in turgor, and in concentrated water there is a reduction of protoplast volume that is not subsequently restored (Reed <u>et al</u>. 1980a). However, the rigid cell walls of <u>C. rupestris</u> mean that any protoplast volume changes in hypersaline conditions result in plasmolysis taking place, which was not observed in <u>P.</u> <u>Purpurea</u> due to the very elastic nature of the cell walls.

similar reponse There was a to hyperosmotic conditions in Cladophora glomerata cells, with no apparent restoration of protoplast volume taking place following a saline shock, and it would seem that the complete severe biphasic response to salinity change described in Section 5.1 may also fail to take place in the cells of this in the case of <u>C.</u> rupestris, it is thought species. As that further intracellular water loss did not occur in the saline treatments because of intracellular regulation of osmotica by the cells.

The visual inspection of cells exposd to saline
CELL VOLUME

stress used by Biebl and his co-workers, clearly does not enable the accurate measurement of volume and turgor changes taking place. More detailed studies using established physiological techniques should be made to measure the physical changes that evidently occur within the cells of these two species under changed salinity conditions.

6. EFFECT OF SALINITY ON THALLUS ION CONTENT

6.1 INTRODUCTION

Algal cells can regulate turgor pressure under changed salinity conditions by altering levels of intracellular inorganic ion and/or organic solutes (Section 1.2). The principal ions involved in turgor regulatory processes are K⁺, Na⁺ and Cl⁻, the internal concentrations of which are governed by the activities of ion pumps or membrane permeability of the plasmalemma and tonoplast (Kauss 1978, Kirst and Bisson 1979, Raven 1976). These ions are regulated to varying degrees depending on the nature of the salinity stress, period of incubation and light conditions (Bisson and Gutknecht 1977, Bisson and Kirst 1979). Adaptatation to hypersaline conditions generally involves a major effect on active ion uptake, whereas, during adjustment to low salinities, passive efflux of pumped ions plays a major role (Raven et al. 1980).

Algae with large central vacuoles (notably giantcelled algae) have considerably higher intracellular inorganic ion levels than microalgae which have higher cytoplasm : vacuole ratios. In the former turgor is regulated almost entirely by means of inorganic ions whereas, in the latter, turgor regulation is acheived primarily by accumulation and degradation of low molecular weight organic solutes (Kirst and Bisson 1979). In general, organic solutes and K^+ (plus anion) are accumulated in the cytoplasm of algal cells while

THALLUS ION CONTENT

inorganic salts (NaCl and KCl) are mainly restricted to the vacuole (Reed <u>et al</u>. 1981). Vacuoles produced in cells of <u>Porphyra umbilicalis</u> under hyperosmotic stress have been shown to serve as compartments for the accumulation of Na⁺ and Cl⁻, and K⁺, whereas floridoside and isofloridoside levels increase in the cytoplasm (Wiencke and Läuchli 1981, Wiencke <u>et al</u>. 1984). Similar compartmentalised models of osmotic regulation have been described for higher-plant halophytes (Flowers <u>et al</u>. 1977, Wyn Jones <u>et al</u>. 1977).

Kirst and Bisson (1979) working on seventeen species of algae representing the three major algal groups have distinguished three classes as regards the intracellular content of Na⁺ and K⁺ measured over a range of external salinites ;

1. Plants with high levels of Na^+ and low K^+ .

2. Plants with high levels of K^+ and low Na⁺.

3. Plants with equal amounts of K^+ and Na^+ .

These authors suggest that the Cl⁻ regulation system is primarily responsible for turgor regulation in the algae studied since the the Cl⁻ responses always parallel those of the internal osmotic pressure response. Active Cl⁻ influx is thought to be the major ion pump used by algae for turgor generation, although in some plants active K⁺ influx is more important (Raven <u>et al</u>. 1980). Generally Na⁺ is actively extruded at the plasmalemma of algal cells and, in some species, it is actively transported into the vacuole which may be associated with cytoplasmic turgor regulation (Raven 1976).

The distribution of the major divalent cations, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ suggests that their influx into algal cells is passive (Raven 1976). Kauss and Rausch (1984) have shown that transient increases in the cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ content of Poterioochromonas malhamensis may be important in the initiation of isofloridoside production in hyperosmotic conditions. Ca²⁺ is also thought to be important in maintaining membrane selectivity towards K⁺ and Na⁺ in Porphyra perforata (Eppley and Cyrus 1960). Munns et al. (1983) have suggested that monovalent/divalent cation ratios (K^+/Ca^{2+}) and Na^+/Ca^{2+} and their effects on the stability of biological membranes, may be an important feature of salinity tolerance in plants. It is possible therefore that cells regulate Ca^{2+} levels to maintain these ratios, so that membrane damage is avoided. Transient increases in cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ have also been shown to take place in the internodal cells of Lamprothamnium succinctum during hyposaline stress (Okazaki and Tazawa 1987).

This part of the study was undertaken in order to measure the variation in ion content of the two species exposed to different salinities, to determine whether or not the regulation of intracellular ions has a role in the maintanence of turgor under changed external osmotic conditions in these plants. Measurements were made on plants incubated under the same conditions, and at the same time intervals described in Section 4.3a.

6.2 METHODS

Treatment media were prepared as described previously in Section 4.3a. <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> was treated with media of 1.5, 6, 11, 34, 68 and $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$, and <u>C.glomerata</u> with 0, 1.5, 6, 11 and $34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$. The $0^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ treatment for <u>C.</u> <u>glomerata</u> was filtered canal water. The major-ion content of plant tissue was measured following treatment at intervals over a 24h period : 1, 2, 4, 6, 12 and 24h.

Clean plant material was incubated in 500 cm^3 of treatment media under continuous illumination at approximately 45 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹ and a controlled temperature of 12 ±1^OC. After incubation samples were removed and blotted carefully using a standard blotting procedure. Five replicate tissue portions were weighed (0.0100-0.0125g), and each washed for $5 \min in 25 \text{ cm}^3$ of sucrose solution isotonic with the treatment medium (Appendix iii). These were blotted and then ashed in porcelain crucibles at 450°C for 2h in a muffle furnace. The ashed material was dissolved in 10% (v/v) HCl, and the Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ concentrations measured using flame-emission and atomic absorption spectrophotometry as appropriate (PYE Unicham SP90A).

Determination of tissue Cl⁻ concentrations were made on plant material that had been blotted, weighed, washed in isotonic sucrose and finally extracted in hot water (approx. 85^oC) for 1h. The concentration of Cl⁻ was measured by electrometric titration with AgNO₂.

6.3 RESULTS

The results for <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> are shown in Figs.6.1 to 6.5, and those for <u>C. glomerata</u> in Figs.6.6 to 6.10 (Appendix iii). All of the ion contents are expressed as mmol kg^{-1} (fresh weight). The results have been presented in the same way as the net photosynthesis and respiration rates in Section 4.3b.

There was an increase in Na⁺ levels with increased salinity in <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> plants (Fig.6.1). These levels did not alter markedly over the 24h period in any of the salinities. The K⁺ levels did not increase in salinities up to $34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$, but rose with increasing salinity in the hypersaline treatments (Fig.6.2). The levels of K⁺ for the first 6h in $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ appear to be very low, especially when compared with values obtained at $68^{\circ}/\circ\circ$. However, after 6h these increased greatly to levels similar to those in the $68^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ media. Cl⁻ levels showed similar responses to those of K⁺ (Fig.6.3).

There was no evident effect of salinity upon the Mg^{2+} levels in <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> (Fig.6.4). There were increases in Ca²⁺ in the 0 and 6^o/oo treatments with very slight increases in the hypersaline media (Fig.6.5). Length of incubation does not appear to affect the levels of the Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ in the tissues.

The Na⁺ levels increased with increasing salinity in <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> (Fig.6.6). There was a reduction in K^+ levels in the $34^{O}/oo$ media (Fig.6.7), and also slight reductions in Cl⁻ levels in saline treatments (Fig.6.8).



Fig.6.1 The variation in the thallus content of Na⁺ of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> plants exposed to changes in external salinity. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.6.2 The variation in the thallus content of K^+ of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> plants exposed to changes in external salinity. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.6.3 The variation in the thallus content of Cl⁻ of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> plants exposed to changes in external salinity. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.6.4 The variation in the thallus content of Mg^{2+} of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> plants exposed to changes in external salinity. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.6.5 The variation of the thallus content of Ca^{2+} of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> plants exposed to changes in external salinity. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.6.6 The variation in the thallus content of Na⁺ of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> plants exposed to increase in external salinity. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.6.7 The variation in the thallus content of K^+ of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> plants exposed to increases in external salinity. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.6.8 The variation in the thallus content of Cl⁻ of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> plants exposed to increases in external salinity. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.6.9 The variation in the thallus content of Mg^{2+} of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> plants exposed to increases in external salinity. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.6.10 The variation in the thallus content of Ca²⁺ of <u>Cladophora</u> glomerata plants exposed to increases in external salinity. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.

THALLUS ION CONTENT

There were slightly lower levels of Mg^{2+} in <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> plants at 0[°]/oo than at the other salinities (Fig.6.9). The Ca²⁺ values obtained were particularly varied (Fig.6.10), and there does not appear to be any change in Ca²⁺ in response to salinity. Length of incubation time did not greatly effect any of the ion levels measured in <u>C. glomerata</u>.

6.4 DISCUSSION

The limitations of using isotonic sucrose as an agent for removing extracellular ions in plant tissue have been discussed by Ritchie and Larkum (1984). Sucrose, a nonelectrolyte will not remove ions bound by fixed negative charges on the cell wall (cation exchange capacity), and so may lead to over-estimates of intracellular ion content, especially of Na⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺. However, other available washing agents have additional disadvantages, e.g. Ca(NO₃)₂ is not suitable when Ca²⁺ content is being measured.

Adjustment of the levels of K^+ , Na^+ and Cl^- in general occurs within the first hour of treatment. However, the adjustment of K^+ and Cl^- in <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> at $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ happens only after 6h, and may indicate that during this period there is some inhibition of regulatory processes.

In both <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> and <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u> the levels of K^+ are higher than those of Na⁺ in all salinities. The Cl⁻ levels are of a similar magnitude to those of K^+ in <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u>, but are lower in the <u>C.</u> <u>glomerata</u> plants. In both species the levels of Cl⁻ vary in a similar way to those of K⁺. The combined K⁺ and Na⁺ content of both species is not balanced by Cl⁻, this imbalance of charge is likely to be accounted for by the excess negative charges associated with cellular proteins or anions such as SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^{-} (Reed 1983b).

The maintenance of a steady equilibrium between K⁺ Na⁺ is an indication that ionic regulation has and occurred within cells during the restoration of turgor pressure following osmotic shock. Figs.6.11 and 6.12 show how the K^+/Na^+ ratios vary during these experiments in Cladophora rupestris and C. glomerata respectively (see Appendix iii). Any reductions in very low levels of Na⁺, will cause there to be dramatic increases in the K^+/Na^+ ratio, which are not a true reflection of the variation of the individual ions. This is the reason for the greatly increased values at 12 and 24h in $0^{\circ}/00$ and to a lesser extent in the 1.5°/oo media for the <u>C.</u> glomerata plants (c.f. Figs.6.6 and 6.7). However, the K^+/Na^+ ratio of <u>C.glomerata</u> is clearly reduced even in the $1.5^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ treatment media, and falls further with increasing salinity. C. rupestris is able to maintain a fairly constant K^+/Na^+ ratio over a wide range of salinities (11 to $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ)$, significant increases only occuring in 0 and $6^{\circ}/\circ\circ$. The increases in the ratio after 6h in $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$, are due to the higher levels of K^+ measured which have been discussed above. It should be noted that these ratios will be underestimates of actual intracellular equilibria,



Fig.6.11 The variation due to salinity change of the K/Na ratio in <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> plants. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.6.12 The variation due to increasing salinity in the K^{+}/Na^{+} ratio of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.

THALLUS ION CONTENT

especially at the higher salinities due to the overestimation of Na^+ (see above) and possible underestimation of K^+ through loss from the cytoplasm.

The differences in Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} levels in both species are probably largely accounted for by adsorption onto the cell walls. There are large increases in the Ca^{2+} levels in <u>C. rupestris</u> in 0 and 6°/00 treatment media. It is possible that Ca^{2+} may be involved in some capacity in the initiation of a turgor regulatory process in these conditions, as has been reported in <u>Poterioochromonas</u> <u>malhamensis</u> in hyperosmotic conditions (Kauss and Rausch 1984).

This work has shown, that <u>C. rupestris</u> is able to regulate its intracellular ionic levels over a wide range of salinities, whereas <u>C. glomerata</u> does not appear to be able to maintain ionic homeostasis when exposed to even slight changes in external salinity. However, much more detailed studies are needed, to determine precise intracellular levels of these and other osmotically active components, and to measure the transport of these across the plasmalemma and tonoplast under modified salinity conditions.

7. COMBINED EFFECTS OF SALINITY AND TEMPERATURE

7.1 INTRODUCTION

Detailed investigations have been made of the independent effects of temperature and salinity upon the rates of net photosynthesis of many macro-algae. Often, such measurements are made as separate parts of the same study (Chock and Mathieson 1979, Gordon et al. 1980, Penniman and Mathieson 1985), but the interactive effects the two external factors are not discussed. of As mentioned in Section 3.1, other studies in which the influence of temperature upon the photosynthetic activity and growth of algae subject to saline stress have been measured, indicate that algae may have markedly different abilities to withstand changes in their external osmotic conditions when treated at different temperatures (Dawes et al. 1978, Fralick and Mathieson 1975, Kjeldson and Phinney 1971, Yarish and Edwards 1982, Zavodnik 1975). Dawes et al. (1976) and Lehnberg (1978) have also the complex interactive effects demonstrated of temperature, salinity and light intensity upon the photosynthetic activity of <u>Hypnea</u> <u>musciformis</u> and Delessaria sanguinea respectively. All of these authors have demonstrated that external pressures such as salinity should not be treated as distinct and unrelated in their upon the growth and/or reproduction, influence and ultimately the distribution of a particular species or ecotype within a species.

This part of the study was therefore undertaken in

SALINITY AND TEMPERATURE

order to measure the effects of temperature upon the responses to saline stress of net photosynthesis in both <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> and <u>C. glomerata</u>. The measured responses of the plants under laboratory conditions could then be correlated to the environmental conditions experienced in the field (Section 3). A method was used which measured the net photosynthesis of plants in recovery conditions following a period of saline stress, since it was felt that this gives a more realistic measure of the damage resulting from the stress (Section 4.4). This approach is similar to that used by Gessner (1969), Dring and Brown (1982) and Russell (1987b).

7.2 METHODS

A range of salinity treatments was prepared as previously described in Section 4.3a. <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> was treated with : 0, 6, 11, 34, 68 and $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ and <u>C</u>. <u>glomerata</u> with 0, 1.5, 6, 11 and $34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$. Experiments were performed at the following temperatures : $-9\pm3^{\circ}C$, $4\pm1^{\circ}C$, $10\pm1^{\circ}C$, $15\pm1^{\circ}C$, $20\pm1^{\circ}C$, $25\pm1^{\circ}C$ and $30\pm1^{\circ}C$.

Similar-sized clean portions of thallus were incubated in 150 cm^3 of the treatment media at the experimental temperature for 48h. Due to the freezing of media at -9° C, and the subsequent need for thawing out of plants before further stages of of the experiment, this material was incubated in smaller volumes (15 to 20 cm^3) contained in shallow Petri dishes. Three replicates of each treatment were used in a random block design. Following treatment at the various experimental temperatures, all treatment flasks were allowed to stabilise at 10[°]C for approximately 2h before experimentation proceeded.

plant material was then removed from The the treatments and blotted carefully to remove any residual surface water. The plants were then placed into 125cm^3 recovery vessels filled with water natural to the locality which the plants normally grow. Thus Cladophora in rupestris recovered in sawater $(34^{\circ}/\circ\circ)$ and C.glomerata in filtered canal water. These waters had first been boiled to remove oxygen, and then enriched with NaHCO, as a carbon source. These flasks were then stoppered without introducing any air and incubated at 10°C under artificial light (60 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹) for 2h in a random block design. Three flasks containing only de-oxygenated water were incorporated as controls. Flasks containing dead Cladophora thalli would have been better controls, although in practice no measurable amounts of oxygen were introduced into the flasks containing salinity treatments that killed plant material.

Plants were removed from the flasks without introducing any air, and the oxygen that had been produced by the samples was determined using a modified Winkler titration method (Strickland and Parsons 1972). The mean oxygen concentration of the three control flasks was subtracted from the values obtained from the treatment flasks to give the net amount of oxygen produced by the plant tissue. The plant material was oven-dryed at 50[°]C to

SALINITY AND TEMPERATURE

constant weight and weighed so that the net photosynthetic rate could be expressed as : $mgO_2h^{-1}g^{-1}$ (dry weight). This method is similar to that described by Russell (1987b).

7.3 RESULTS

The combined effects of temperature and salinity upon <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> and <u>C. glomerata</u> are shown in Fig.7.1 and Fig. 7.2 respectively (also Appendix iv). The data were analysed by one-way ANOVA to test for a significant response to salinity at each temperature (see Table 7.1). A two-way ANOVA showed the responses of both species to salinity and to temperature to be significant (Table 7.2). The interaction between salinity and temperature was also found to be significantly different in both species (Table 7.2).

In the case of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>, the most marked inhibition of net photosynthesis was obtained with a combination of low salinity $(0^{\circ}/00)$ and high temperature (25 and 30° C). At the highest temperature, this species was also damaged greatly by the highest salinity $(102^{\circ}/00)$. The lowest temperature $(-9^{\circ}$ C) proved to be more damaging when associated with low salinities (0, 6 and $11^{\circ}/00)$. At all other combinations of salinity and temperature, the plants were capable of oxygen production, though this was usually significantly reduced at the saline extremes (0 and $102^{\circ}/00$).

After treatment at -9° C <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> produced no oxygen at any salinity except at $0^{\circ}/00$ in which extremely low levels were obtained (Fig.7.2). At all other



Fig.7.1 The combined effect of salinity and temperature upon the net photosynthesis of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u>.



Fig.7.2 The combined effect of temperature and salinity upon the net photosynthesis of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u>. N.B. The salinity scale is different to that used in Fig.7.1.

SPECIES	TEMPERATURE ^O C						
	-9	4	10	15	20	25	30
<u>C. rupestris</u>	***	N.S	**_	**_	N.S	***	***
C. glomerata	N.S	N.S	***	*	***	***	*

Table 7.1 The level of significance of the net photosynthetic responses to salinty treatment shown by <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> and <u>C. glomerata</u> at different experimental temperatures, following one-way ANOVA. (N.S P>0.05, *-- $P \leqslant 0.05$, **- $P \leqslant 0.01$, *** $P \leqslant 0.001$).

		<u>C. rupestris</u>	<u>C.</u> glomerata
(A)	SALINITY	***	***
(B)	TEMPERATURE	***	* * *
(C)	INTERACTION OF AXB	***	***

Table 7.2 The level of significance of the net photosynthetic responses to the combined effects of salinity and temperature of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> and <u>C.</u> <u>glomerata</u> following two-way ANOVA. (see Table 7.1 for notation used).

SALINITY AND TEMPERATURE

temperatures, treatment in $34^{\circ}/\circ o$ significantly reduced oxygen production. The most productive sector of Fig.7.2 is clearly that determined by the salinities 0 to $11^{\circ}/\circ o$ and temperatures 17 to 30° C. The levels of oxygen production under normal salinity conditions ($0^{\circ}/\circ o$) showed no significant response to temperature increase, although the net photosynthesis of the plants in the $34^{\circ}/\circ o$ treatments increased with temperature.

7.4 DISSCUSION

From investigations of <u>Cladophora</u> rupestris in growth culture, Cambridge et al. (1984) have concluded that this is tolerant of temperatures from below -5 up to species 25-30[°]C. The results obtained during this study indicate a higher survival tolerance limit, the plants not being killed at $30^{\circ}C$ under normal salinity conditions ($34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$). Biebl (1959) also recorded <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u> surviving temperatures between -8 and 30°C for at least 12h. However, the activity of the plants was significantly reduced at this high temperature, and longer periods of incubation might cause greater cell damage and subsequent plant mortality. The temperature tolerance shown by C. glomerata agrees with that reported by Whitton (1970), the plants being most active photosynthetically at the high temperatures, but not tolerating the very low temperature of -9°C. The temperature extremes measured at both sampling sites (Section 3.3a) fall well within the tolerance limits for the two species, and clearly C. rupestris can survive at lower temperatures, and С.

Page 90

SALINITY AND TEMPERATURE

<u>glomerata</u> considerably higher temperatures than were recorded in the field. However, it is important to remember that the field temperature extremes may have been underestimated due to the sampling procedure adopted and also the climatic conditions during 1984 - 1986 (Section 3.4).

combining the values of net By photosynthesis obtained at each salinity for all seven temperatures it is possible to produce generalised salt tolerance curves for species (Fig.7.3). These curves both suggest that glomerata tolerates only slightly Cladophora saline waters, net photosynthesis being significantly reduced in salinities of 11⁰/00 and above. <u>C.rupestris</u> is more euryhaline, oxygen production being significantly depressed only in the extremes of 0 and 102°/00. The results show a similar salt tolerance for C. glomerata to that obtained using an oxygen electrode method to measure net photosynthesis (Section 4). However, the measurements made previously on C. rupestris using the oxygen electrode showed a narrower range of salinity tolerance.

The ability of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> to withstand much lower temperatures than <u>C. glomerata</u>, is possibly due to the former having a much higher intracellular ionic content (Section 5) and possibly higher levels of organic solutes, which may confer a greater degree of protection against damage caused by freezing (Russell 1987b). The greater oxygen production of <u>C.rupestris</u> following higher salinity treatment at $-9^{\circ}C$, may also be a result of the



Fig.7.3 Generalised salt tolerance curves for <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> (- \bullet - \bullet -) and <u>C. glomerata</u> (-0-0-). The bars represent the Least Significant Difference for each species.

increases in intracellular osmotica at these salinities, protecting the cells from freezing effects.

The damage caused to <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> by a combination of high temperature and salinity extremes, implies that its cell physiology is susceptable to osmotic imbalance at temperatures close to the upper temperature limits of the plant. On the other hand, optimum oxygen production by C. glomerata in 34⁰/oo was obtained at higher temperatures, which would suggest that its cells are better able to make osmotic adjustments in warm water. However, Russell (1987b) discusses problems involved in extrapolating the results obtained from short-term experiments, to conditions experienced in nature. It is thought that the 48h incubation periods used in this study sufficient to show trends in the responses of are these two species, but caution should be used in interpreting and more long-term experiments such results, are desirable.

is evidently an Temperature important factor the ability of both species of <u>Cladophora</u> governing to withstand salinity stress, and the results therefore the importance of interactions between confirm environmental variables in determining the distribution of macroalgae in nature.

<u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> results resemble those otained by Russell (1987b) from <u>Fucus</u> <u>vesiculosus</u>. Both these algae have similar geographical distributions (Hoek 1982b, Powell 1963, Russell 1987b), and they are ecologically similar in that they occupy the same eulittoral zone of tidal Atlantic shores, <u>C.</u> rupestris often growing as an understorey plant below <u>F. vesiculosus</u>.

8. POPULATION DIFFERENCES IN SALINITY RESPONSES

8.1 INTRODUCTION

number of salt tolerance studies have led to the Δ identification of genetically distinct ecotypes within several species of algae. These ecotypes have evolved in osmotically stressed environments in response to strong selection pressure. This work has been confined largely to compared responses of algae to changes in external salinity by marine and brackish water populations (Reed 1987a). The distinction between 1983b. Russell physiological (plastic) and genetic (ecotypic) differences in the responses of these plants has been emphasised (Reed 1984), although it has been argued that such distinctions may prove difficult to make in practice (Russell 1985b).

Reed (1983b) and Reed and Barron (1983) showed that there are differences in the osmotically active components the cells of marine and estuarine isolates of in Polysiphonia lanosa and Pilayella littoralis, which, coupled with differences in cell morphology, explain the variation in salt tolerance between the two isolates. Young <u>et al</u>. (1987b), have also shown there to be morphological differences between marine, rockpool and estuarine populations of Enteromorpha intestinalis, and differences in the content of K^+ (the major also osmotically active ion), Na⁺ and Cl⁻. Such investigations of the physiological processes underlying intraspecific variation in salt tolerance in algae are important in the understanding of the evolutionary adaptations that have

POPULATION DIFFERENCES

occurred in conspecific populations growing in different saline habitats.

Nellen (1966) and Russell (1985a,b) have shown that salt tolerances of a number of Baltic algae the have diverged considerably from those of North Atlantic shores. The Baltic Sea, with its water of very reduced salinity (Section 3.4), is reported to contain populations with greater tolerance to low salinities coupled with an susceptibility to higher salinities increased in comparison with U.K. populations (op.cit.). There are few similar comparative studies on salt tolerances of the Baltic flora, and how these differ from conspecific marine and freshwater populations from Western Europe.

aim of this work was to measure ways The in which Baltic and U.K. populations of Cladophora glomerata and C. rupestris differ in their salt tolerances, and to relate to intraspecific variation differences in any physiological responses to salinity change. Most of the results for U.K. populations have been presented and discussed in previous sections of this study, however, for ease of comparison, data collected for U.K. plants has been reproduced in this section.

8.2 METHODS

Because of time limitations on work at Tvärminne Zoological Station, some economies in experiment design were necessary, which are listed below. Since incubation conditions for Baltic plants were determined by those available at the Baltic laboratory, some of the

POPULATION DIFFERENCES

experiments were conducted under different conditions than those used in U.K. experiments. These differences are also given.

8.2a MORPHOLOGICAL VARIATION

Measurements of the cell length and width of both Baltic and U.K. species populations were made using plant material that had been preserved in 5% (v/v) formalin in seawater of the appropriate salinity. U.K. <u>C. glomerata</u> was preserved in a modified Transeau's solution (Prescott 1951). The cellular dimensions were measured by direct microscopy (magnification : x100) using a calibrated eyepiece scale. Measurements were made of ten randomly chosen cells from each of five different plants to give a total sample of 50 cells for both populations of the two species. Herbarium specimens of each species population were also prepared, which have been deposited in Liverpool City Museum.

8.2b VARIATION IN PHOTOSYNTHETIC ACTIVITY

The method used in this part of the study was the same as that employed in Section 7.2, although experiments were conducted at $10^{\circ}C$ only. During treatment with saline media Baltic plants were kept at a light intensity of approximately $10 \ \mu E \ m^{-2}s^{-1}$ (12h light : 12h dark) which is different to that used in experiments with U.K. material (Section 7.2). Both Baltic species recovered in deoxygenated Baltic water ($6^{\circ}/\circ\circ$) enriched with NaHCO₃, under lighting conditions that were also different to

those used in U.K. experiments (<u>C. rupestris</u> : 50 μ Em⁻²s⁻¹ and <u>C.glomerata</u> : 95 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹).

8.2c VARIATION IN CELL VOLUME RESPONSE

The method used is outlined in Section 5.2. However, photographs were taken of Baltic plants at longer time intervals, i.e at 30min, 1, 6 and 24h of treatment, and at 30min, 6 and 24h only for control plant material (incubated at $6^{\circ}/00$).

8.2d VARIATION IN IONIC RESPONSE

Plants were treated in the same way as described in Section 6.2, but in experiments on Baltic species the 11[°]/oo treatment medium was not used, and samples were only taken after 2, 6 and 24h incubation. The Baltic experiments were conducted at a lower light intensity than used in the U.K. (Baltic at 10 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹ and U.K. at 45 $\mu \text{Em}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), and also at a slightly lower temperature (Baltic at 10 $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C and U.K. at 12 $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C). Due to restrictions on time and resources the ion contents of the Baltic samples were determined on returning to the U.K., using the same techniques outlined in Section 6.2. Therefore, the samples were blotted following washing in isotonic sucrose and then dryed to constant dryness at 50°C before being stored in sealed containers for return to Liverpool.

8.3 RESULTS

Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> plants are generally larger than Hilbre plants (Plates 8.1a,b), which are
unusually small compared with other U.K. populations. The appearance the thalli of both populations are very similar. The two populations of C. glomerata show guite different overall morphologies (Plates 8.2a,b). Baltic plants grow as long richly-branched filaments, whereas the U.K. material showed two morphological types : Plants were branched only when young and subsequently developed into long unbranched filaments. These two forms and intermediate stages are shown in Plate 8.2a. The younger plants were used in U.K. experiments during this study.

There are also morphological differences at the cellular level between Baltic and U.K. populations of both species. The cells of U.K. Cladophora rupestris are generally bigger than the cells of Baltic plants (Fig.8.1). This difference is emphasised if a crude estimate of cell volume is made using the measurements made and assuming cell volume is equivalent to the volume of a cylinder (Fig.8.3). Baltic C. glomerata has a greater proportion of small cells compared with U.K. material, but Baltic plants also have a number of cells that the are much larger than any cells from the U.K. population (Fig.8.2). This difference is clearly shown by the estimates of cell volume given in Fig.8.3.

The effect of salinity upon the net photosynthesis of the two populations of both <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> and <u>C.</u> <u>glomerata</u> are shown in Figs.8.4 and 8.5 respectively. The responses were shown to be significant (P \leq 0.05) following one-way ANOVA, and the Least Significant Difference is Plate 8.1a Herbarium specimens of the U.K. <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>. The scale is the same as that in Plate 8.1b.

Plate 8.1b Herbarium specimens of the Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> rupestris population.

PLATE 8.1a







Plate 8.2a Herbarium specimens of the U.K. <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> population. Shows branched and unbranched forms of this species.

Plate 8.2b Herbarium specimens of the Baltic Cladophora glomerata population.

PLATE 8.2a





Fig.8.1 The variation in the cell length and width of U.K. and Baltic populations of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>. (n = the sample size).



Fig.8.2 The variation in the cell length and width of U.K. and Baltic populations of <u>Cladophora</u> glomerata. (n =the sample size).



Fig.8.3 The variation in the cell volumes (μm^3) of U.K. and Baltic populations of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> and <u>C.</u> <u>glomerata</u>. The size classes are : A = 0 to 5×10^5 ; $B = 5 \times 10^5$ to 10×10^5 ; $C = 10 \times 10^5$ to 15×10^5 ; $D = 15 \times 10^5$ to 20×10^5 ; $E = 20 \times 10^5$ to 25×10^5 ; $F = 25 \times 10^5$ to 30×10^5 ; $G = 30 \times 10^5$ to 35×10^5 .



Fig.8.4 Effect of salinity upon the net photosynthetic rate of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>. -O-O- : U.K. plants. -O-O- : Baltic plants. The Least Significant Difference is shown for both populations.



Fig.8.5 Effect of salinity upon the net photosynthetic rate of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u>. $-\Phi-\Phi-$: U.K. plants. $-\Phi-\Phi-$: Baltic plants. The Least Significant Difference is shown for both populations.

given for each of the populations.

The U.K. <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> was tolerant over a broad range of salinities (6 to $34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$), though it was less tolerant of severe hypo- and hypersaline treatments. Baltic <u>C. rupestris</u> showed a slightly wider salt tolerance (0 to $34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$), only having significant reductions in oxygen production in the extreme hypersaline media.

U.K. <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> proved to have very little salt tolerance, showing a marked decrease in activity even in the $1.5^{\circ}/\circ$ treatment, whereas its Baltic counterpart was tolerant of salinities up to $11^{\circ}/\circ$, although these plants were unable to tolerate normal seawater of $34^{\circ}/\circ$.

There were very large differences in the rates of production of the two populations of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u>, which were probably due to the very different morphologies of the plants. The more intricate thalli of the Baltic plants (see above) tend to have a greater surface area exposed to the incident light. However, strict comparisons of the rates of photosynthesis are not feasible, since it was not possible to obtain exactly the same light conditions during the experiments in both the Finnish and Liverpool laboratories.

The effect of salinity change upon the cell and protoplast volume of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> was similar in both populations (Fig.8.6), with marked changes occurring in the 68 and $102^{\circ}/_{\circ\circ}$ treatments only. The cells of U.K. plants showed a greater degree of plasmolysis in the $68^{\circ}/_{\circ\circ}$ medium than the Baltic plants. There was, however,



Fig.8.6 The changes in protoplast $(-\bullet-\bullet-)$, and plasmolysed areas $(-\bullet-\bullet-)$ of U.K. and Baltic populations of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> exposed to salinity treatments over a 24h period. The range of values for each sample is indicated.







Fig.8.7 The changes in protoplast $(-\bullet-\bullet-)$, and plasmolysed areas $(-\bullet-\bullet-)$ of U.K. and Baltic populations of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> cells exposed to increases in salinity over a 24h period. The range of values for each sample is indicated.

a great reduction in the total-cell volume of Baltic plant cells in the $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ treatment, which did not occur in U.K. cells.

Baltic <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> showed very little change in protoplast volume, except at $34^{\circ}/\circ$ in which there was very marked plasmolysis, although total-cell volume remained quite constant over the whole salinity range (Fig.8.7). In contrast, the cells of U.K. material plasmolysed at $1.5^{\circ}/\circ$ and the degree of plasmolysis rose with increased salinity. The total-cell volume was also reduced in the U.K. cells in the 1.5 and $34^{\circ}/\circ$ treatment media.

In both <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> populations there was an increase in Na⁺ levels with increased salinity (Fig.8.8). K^+ levels did not vary greatly in treatments up to $34^{\circ}/\circ\circ$, and then rose in the $68^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ salinity (Fig.8.9). There was a reduction in the K⁺ content of Baltic plants in the $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ media. Low levels of K⁺ were recorded for U.K. plants in $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ and Baltic plants in both 68 and $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ during the first 6h of treatment. Cl⁻ levels showed a similar response in both populations to those of K⁺ (Fig.8.10), although there was no great reduction of Cl⁻ levels after 24h in Baltic material. The levels of K⁺ and Cl⁻ were higher in the U.K. plants over the whole range of salinity treatments, whereas levels of Na⁺ were higher in the Baltic plants.

Levels of Mg²⁺ reach slightly higher values in U.K. <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> than in Baltic plants (Fig.8.11), and



Fig.8.8 The effect of salinity on the thallus content of Na⁺ of U.K. (- \bullet - \bullet -), and Baltic (-0-0-) populations of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.8.9 The effect of salinity on the thallus content of K^+ of U.K. (-O-O-), and Baltic (-O-O-) populations of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.8.10 The effect of salinity on the thallus content of Cl of U.K. $(-\bullet-\bullet-)$, and Baltic $(-\bullet-\bullet-)$ populations of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.8.11 The effect of salinity on the thallus content of Mg^2 of U.K. (- \bullet - \bullet -), and Baltic (-O-O-) populations of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.8.12 The effect of salinity on the thallus content of Ca^{2+} of U.K. (- \bullet - \bullet -), and Baltic (-0-0-) populations of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.

also increase following treatment at $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$. There were higher levels of Ca²⁺ in the Baltic population (Fig.8.12), although the importance of this difference is not clear due to the great variation in values obtained from the Baltic samples.

Length of incubation appears not to have an effect on the Na⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ in the tissue in both populations of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u>. However, there was variation in the K⁺ and Cl⁻ levels with time in both Baltic and U.K. material subjected to hypersaline stress.

The levels of Na⁺, K⁺ and Cl⁻ were higher in Baltic <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> than in U.K. plants (Figs.8.13 to 8.15). The tissue content of Na⁺ rose in both populations with increasing salinity (Fig.8.13), with incubation time having no effect. The levels of K⁺ increased slightly with salinity in the Baltic plants, which compares with the, slight decrease in the U.K. material (Fig.8.14). There was no significant change in Cl⁻ levels in Baltic plants (Fig.8.15), though there was considerable variation in these values. U.K. plants showed only a slight decrease in levels of Cl⁻ with increasing salinity.

The amount of Mg^{2+} recorded in both populations of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> was very similar, and both had lower levels of this ion in the 0[°]/oo treatment (Fig.8.16). Very high levels of Ca²⁺ were recorded in the U.K. population (Fig.8.17), although these values were very varied. There was no apparent change in Ca²⁺ levels in response to salinity change. The length of incubation does not have



Fig.8.13 The effect of salinity on the thallus content of Na⁺ of U.K. $(-\bullet-\bullet-)$, and Baltic $(-\bullet-\bullet-)$ populations of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.8.14 The effect of salinity on the thallus content of K of U.K. $(-\Phi-\Phi-)$, and Baltic (-O-O-) populations of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.8.15 The effect of salinity on the thallus content of Cl of U.K. (----), and Baltic (----) populations of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.8.16 The effect of salinity on the thallus content of Mg^{2+} of U.K. (-O-O-), and Baltic (-O-O-) populations of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.8.17 The effect of salinity on the thallus content of Ca²⁺ of U.K. (- \bullet - \bullet -), and Baltic (-0-0-) populations of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.

any effect on the levels of Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ in both <u>C.</u> glomerata populations.

The results for the experiments conducted using Baltic plants are given in Appendix v, and those for U.K. plants in Appendices ii to iv.

8.4 DISCUSSION

The net photosynthetic responses to changes in salinity, of the two U.K. species were very distinctive : <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> was tolerant over a wide band of salinities, whereas <u>C. glomerata</u> showed little tolerance to salinity. The responses of the two Baltic species were less distinct. Except when treated with freshwater the two populations of <u>C. rupestris</u> showed similar tolerance over the range of saline treatments. The responses of Baltic <u>C.</u> <u>glomerata</u> were very different from those of U.K. material. It is possible that selection pressures imposed by the brackish waters of this part of the Baltic Sea have led to a convergence of salt tolerance in these two species.

Although the measurements of cell and protoplast volume changes have a lot of associated error because of the experimental method used (Section 5), population differences in the responses of the cells were observed. The changes in protoplast and cell volume reflect quite closely the salinity tolerances described above: the cells of both <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> populations plasmolysed in hypersaline conditions, and reductions in the total-cell volumes of Baltic cells also took place in the $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$

in U.K. plants than in Baltic material at $68^{\circ}/00$, was also reflected by a greater degree of plasmolysis that occurred in U.K. cells compared with the responses of Baltic cells in this salinity. Plasmolysis of Baltic <u>C. glomerata</u> cells only occurred in the $34^{\circ}/00$ treatment, which was the only salinity to cause a significant reduction in photosynthetic activity in this population. Plasmolysis occurred in all salinity treatments in U.K. plants, which corresponded with a loss of photosynthetic activities after exposure to these treatments.

Fig.8.18 shows the ratio of K^+/Na^+ for the two populations of C. rupestris. The Baltic plants appear to have maintained a fairly constant ratio of approximately 1.5 between the salinities of 1.5 to $68^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ with a reduction only occurring at 102⁰/oo. U.K. plants, however, maintained a higher ratio (approx. 3.0) consistently over the range 11 to $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$, there being a slight increase in and an even larger increase in the $1.5^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ $6^{\circ}/00$ treatment. There were lower K^+/Na^+ ratios in Baltic C. glomerata plants (approx. 5.0) compared with U.K. material (10.0 - 20.0), in 0 and 1.5 salinity treatments (Fig.8.19), although during the first 6h of incubation at these salinities this ratio was very varied in Baltic The K⁺/Na⁺ ratios of both populations plants. fall slightly with increases in salinity above 6°/00, larger reductions taking place in the U.K. plant material.

There appear to be no marked population differences in the Mg^{2+} content in either of the two species. The



Fig.8.18 The effect of salinity on the K^+/Na^+ ratio of U.K. (- \bullet - \bullet -), and Baltic (-O-O-) populations of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity treatment. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.



Fig.8.19 The effect of salinity on the K^+/Na^+ ratio of U.K. (- \bullet - \bullet -), and Baltic (-O-O-) populations of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u>. The smaller diagrams show the effect of incubation time at each salinity. 95% confidence limits are shown for each sample.

variation in Ca^{2+} levels within and between species may be a reflection of the different Ca^{2+} contents of the three water bodies studied, and differences in cation adsorption properties between the two species.

Reed and Barron (1983), have shown that estuarine Pilayella littoralis has smaller cells than marine plants. The subsequent increase in surface area : volume in the estuarine population, is advantageous if turgor is regulated mainly by ion fluxes at the plasmalemma. These authors also showed there to be population differences in cell wall thickness, estuarine cells having thinner walls which enabled them to accomodate greater changes in cell the smaller celled Baltic Cladophora Thus volume. rupestris similarly may have been selected for by the brackish Baltic Sea. However, the salinity conditions of this part of the Baltic Sea are fairly stable, unlike, those of the estuaries from which the P. littoralis was collected. Measurements of cell wall thicknesses should be made in future studies of these conspecific populations. The differences in cell sizes of the two C. glomerata populations were not as distinct as those of C. rupestris, although the Baltic population did have a number of considerably larger cells than its U.K. counterpart. This may be a result of the cell size measurements being made on the branched forms of U.K. plants, and larger cells are probably more numerous on the older unbranched forms of this population. There were clear differences in the general morphologies of the two C. glomerata populations,

which was not true of the <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u> plants. Hilbre <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u> plants are probably so small because of the very exposed nature of the site from which they were collected.

It does seem, therefore, that there are morphological and physiological differences between Baltic and U.K. populations of these two <u>Cladophora</u> species, accompanying the differences in salt tolerance recorded by measurements of net photosynthesis and cell volume change. At risk of over-simplification, these differences may be summarised as follows :

1. The smaller-celled Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> is able to maintain constant ionic levels at lower salinities than its U.K. counterpart.

2. Baltic <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> appears to maintain ionic equilibrium at higher salinities than U.K. plants.

It is probable that some of the observed differences within these species have resulted from acclimation (plastic) responses. However, the greatly increased ability of the Baltic <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> to withstand changes in external osmotic conditions would imply that ecotypic evolution may have occurred within this population. Further experiments are needed to measure the acclimation capacity of these populations in order to deduce the extent of genotypic differentiation (Russell and Bolton 1975, Yarish <u>et al</u> 1979).

The aims of this investigation were to measure the salt tolerances of two <u>Cladophora</u> species, and to identify physiological processes involved in the maintenance of cell homeostasis under changed external salinity conditions. C. rupestris and C. glomerata were selected because of their normally quite distinct distributions, which converge only in certain brackish water habitats. It has been shown that the salinity tolerancess of these two species follow the salinity regimes experienced in the field, the intertidal C. rupestris tolerating a broad band of salinities, and the freshwater C. glomerata showing little tolerance to salinity change. Interspecific differences in tolerance were measured in populations of both species growing in the brackish Baltic Sea. Ideally this study should be developed further to include other Cladophora species, especially as several of these are able to grow in a range of saline habitats (Hoek 1963, 1982a).

In the field, algae are exposed to a multitude of environmental factors that together exert complex interactive effects upon the plants. Plants living in estuarine and intertidal sites are exposed particularly to complicated interactions of physical and chemical conditions, both diurnally and seasonally (Hartog 1967, 1968 and 1970). It is unlikely that the ability of a plant to survive in a particular habitat is limited solely by its response to changes in a single factor, and several

experimental studies have shown that factors such as salinity, light and temperature have interactive effects on the physiology of algae (Dawes et al. 1976 and Lehnberg 1978). The effects of temperature upon the salinity responses of the two Cladophora species clearly indicate importance of considering environmental interactions the in ecophysiological studies. However, there are many other variables that need to be incorporated in the experiment designs of such investigations, including photoperiod, exposure to wave action, nutrient status, dehydration, length of exposure to particular stresses. Of particular importance in studies of estuarine and intertidal algae, the accurate simulation of the diurnal fluctuations in is salinity (Davenport 1982 and Reed et al. 1980c). Obviously experimental procedures required to carry out such comprehensive investigations would be complicated, but results based on responses to variations of an individual variable should be treated with caution, especially if such measurements are to be interpreted ecologically.

studies like those described above are to be If undertaken, it is a prerequesite that the natural environmental influences exerted on the plants are known. this reason that the environmental It for was measurements were made during the course of this study, in particular those at Hilbre Island. However, it is quite clear that this study was not sufficiently extensive to fully the magnitude and frequency of the describe environmental variation experienced by the plants. More

detailed measurements of the changes that occur over longer periods of time are needed, and should include diurnal and seasonal measurements of fluctuations of light intensity, nutrient status, pH, temperature, salinity and exposure to wave action. The differences recorded in salinity and temperature experienced by exposed plants from that of the surface seawater were of interest. although these were under-estimated because of restricted Hilbre Island. Further studies would have to access to record the changes that occur over complete periods of emergence under different types of climatic conditions. These observations should be related to metereological conditions prevalent at the sampling sites and should include measurements of rain fall, air temperature, relative humidity and wind speed. Information about the nature of tidal fluctuations at a particular site should also be used in conjunction with such studies. Because of the heterogenous nature of intertidal shorelines, comprehensive studies would require measurements to be taken at a number of positions on the shore. Again investigations of this nature clearly require a lot of resources and time, but these are certainly necessary if the responses measured under laboratory conditions are to be related meaningfully to the experiences of the plants in nature.

Two different approaches were used to measure salt tolerance in these algae: the first recorded photosynthetic activity while the plants were exposed to

salinity stress, and the second measured photosynthesis under recovery conditions following incubation with а particular salinity treatment. Strict comparison of the two approaches is not possible because of the different techniques used to measure oxygen production and the slightly different incubation times and conditions used. However, the first approach gave more narrow tolerance limits than were evident from the results obtained from plants in recovery conditions, especially in the case of Cladophora rupestris. The second experimental approach probably gives a better measure of plant viability following salinity stress, since the effects of transitory physiological traumas caused by a salinity change are not recorded. It would seem that future investigations may obtain more reliable indications of the actual salinity tolerance of a particular species if the measurement of plant survival is made under normal salinity conditions following salinity treatment. A similar rationale for experimental measurement of desiccation damage has been argued by Dring and Brown (1982).

There were changes in intracellular ionic levels in response to changed external salinities in both <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> and <u>C. glomerata</u>. The techniques used to measure these changes did not provide precise measures of the ion movements taking place, and due to the washing procedure used, the levels of certain ions were probably overestimated. Future studies should concentrate on more precise measurements of the intracellular concentrations

of ions, especially Na^+ , K^+ and Cl^- , and the distribution of these within the cell. Radioisotope techniques could be used for the measurement of ion fluxes across cell membranes at different salinities (Reed et al. 1981. al. 1987a,b), and Young et intracellular ion compartmentation could be measured using using electron probe X-ray microanalysis (Wiencke et al. 1984). Further studies also require the measurement of any variation occurring in levels of other ions such as NO_3^{-} and SO_4^{2-} , as well as in amino acid levels and in levels of organic osmotica such as mannitol, sucrose, DMSP and glycine These compounds have been shown to be involved betaine. in the osmotic responses of algae (Table 1.1), and one of more of them may play a role in the regulation of cell turgor in Cladophora species. Recent work has shown that certain amino acids and glycine betaine may be osmoticaly active when Cladophora rupestris plants are exposed to hyposaline stress (C.Wiencke. pers.comm.).

More accurate measurements of cell volume changes than were possible during this present study should also included as part of the more detailed physiological be would include investigations. These precise more photomicroscopic measurements, and also the use of radioisotope labelling experiments to partition the total tissue water into cellular and extracellular fractions so giving estimates of the apparent osmotic volume (Reed et 1980a). Experimental procedures similar to those <u>al</u>. described by Reed et al. 1980a would be used.

There were clear morphological and physiological differences associated with differences in salt tolerance in Baltic and U.K. populations of both species. However, the experiments on which these observations were based were performed over only short periods of time, and the extent to which the observed differences can be removed by more prolonged acclimation is not clear, nor is it possible to state with certainty that ecotypic variation involved. The distinction between genotypic is and phenotypic differences is not possible using short term studies of the kind described in these investigations. laboratory growth experiments performed Long-term in conjunction with field transplant studies are desirable, if the extent to which ecotypic differentiation has taken place is to be found. Investigations of this nature, and especially the transplant experiments, would expose the seasonal fluctuations in environmental to plants conditions encountered at the Baltic and U.K. sites, so that the plants would be exposed to the full range of selection pressures encountered in nature. Given sophisticated and carefully controlled culture facilities should be possible to simulate these environmental it variations during long term growth experimentation.

In algal population studies, it is important to note the fact that the degree of phenotypic plasticity exhibited within a plant population is ultimately governed by the genotype of those plants. It is also possible that the plastic responses of a plant are limited to only
certain characteristics, and that other morphological and physiological features may be more stable. The suitability of the widely used terms ecotype and ecophene (ecad), first proposed by Turesson (1922), is more questionable in light of considerations such as these, although they the continue to be useful descriptive will for terms population ecologists. Turesson regarded ecotypes as sharply distinguishable entities, although he identified ecotypes only from few, and very contrasting environments, and therefore, could not adequately consider the situation that occurrs in transitory habitats (Faegri 1937). Bolton shown there to be a clinal variation in (1983) has the temperature tolerance of Ectocarpus siliculosus associated with similar rates of change in ambient temperature in а isolates from Arctic to warm temperate sites. range of There may be similar variation in salt tolerance of algal populations found along the salinity gradient between the salinities of the Baltic proper through to the North low Atlantic.

Although the Baltic Sea is brackish in nature, and its surface waters are subject to dilution during ice melt in the spring, the plants living in the Baltic generally experience quite stable salinities. It is probable that populations will the Baltic show quite different adaptations from those exhibited by the algae from a tidal estuarine population, since the latter are subject to salinity of diurnal changes in and seasonal very It would be valuable to compare considerable magnitude.

the responses of estuarine isolates of these two species which are subject to regular salinity fluctuations with isolates from the Baltic. Since the Baltic is not strictly comparable with tidal estuaries, its population may be characterised by tolerances which are not identical with those of estuarine ecotypes, as reported by Reed (1983b), Reed and Barron (1983) and Young <u>et al.</u> (1987b).

It is possible that some of the experimental obtained during these studies due variation is to different life history stages or plants of different ploidy being used : there is a high degree of polyploidy in Cladophora glomerata which is thought to account for the large variation in morphology within the species (Wik-Sjöstedt 1970). Also the sporophytes and gametophytes of Cladophora rupestris are not distinguishable morphologically (Wik-Sjöstedt 1970). Yarish et al. (1986), in experiments designed to show the relationships between global distributional boundaries and temperature responses of algae, exposed several red algal species to different temperature, light intensitv combinations of and photoperiod. They showed that the tetrasporophyte stage of Polyneura hilliae is able to survive under conditions similar to those of the northern limit for this species, whereas the gametophyte stage is not. Dixon (1965) also reports that for many red algae it is usually the tetrasporic plants which are reported from further north than sexual stages, whilst at extreme northern limits completely sterile plants only are found. Whittick (1977),

has also shown that only triploid parasporangial Plumaria elegans plants are found at the northern limits of this species in N.America. Therefore there is some evidence to suggest that differences in ploidy may be linked with in physical tolerances, and differences although temperature is thought to be the predominant regulatory Ι feel that future salinity tolerance factor. investigations need to take ploidy as well as natural selection pressures into account. It would be of interest conduct cytological studies on Baltic and U.K. to populations of both Cladophora species, to look for differences in ploidy associated with the marked variation in salt tolerance of the two species populations.

Burrows (1964) and Khfaji and Norton (1979) have demonstrated that algal distribution is not necessarily governed by the tolerances of the adult plants to environmental conditions. Both of these studies reveal earliest stages of development are more the that vulnerable to salinity stress than mature tissue. Therefore, it is important that the tolerances of all history stages to changes in salinity and other life external fluctuations, are measured.

It follows from this discussion that, if algal ecophysiology is to illuminate algal distribution patterns in nature, then detailed physico-chemical measurements of the conditions in which the algae grow are needed. In the past such measurements have been made of few factors in a restricted number of habitat types. Physiological studies

have been similarly narrowly based. Future studies will have to to involve measurements of responses to many different stress factors, and not just single parameters. It will also become necessary to measure interactive responses of these. There is a need for more knowledge of algal genetics to compliment the physiological investigations. The factors that influence the distribution of algae are many and varied, as are the physiological responses. Together they present a complex and intriguing challenge for the algal ecophysiologist.

References

10. REFERENCES

Behre, K., 1961. Die Algenbesiedlung der Unterweser unter Berucksichtigung ihrer Zuflusse Veroffentl.

Inst. Meeresf.Bremerhaven. 7 : 71-263.

- Ben Amotz,A., 1974. Osmoregulation mechanisms in the halophillic alga <u>Dunaliella parva</u>. In <u>Membrane</u> <u>transport in plants</u>. (Eds. U.Zimmermann and J.Dainty). pp. 95-100. Springer-Verlag, Berlin -Heidelberg - New York.
- Bidwell,R.G.S. and J.McLaclan, 1985. Carbon nutrition of seaweeds: Photosynthesis, Photorespiration and respiration.

<u>J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 86</u> : 15-46.

Biebl,R., 1937. Oekologische und zellphysiolgische Studien an Rotalgen der englischen Sudkuste.

Beih. Z. Bot. Zentralbl. Bd. 57 : 381-424.

Biebl,R., 1939. Protoplasmatische Okologie der Meeresalgen.

Ber. d. Deutsch. Bot. Ges. Bd. 57 : 78-90.

Biebl,R., 1952. Ecological and non-environmental constitutional resistance of the protoplasm of marine algae.

<u>J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K. 31</u> : 307-315.

Biebl,R., 1959. Temperatur und osmotische Resistenz von Meeresalgen der bretonischen Kuste.

Protoplasma 50 : 217-242.

Bisson, M.A. and J.Gutknecht, 1977. Osmotic regulation in the marine alga <u>Codium</u> <u>decorticatum</u>.

J. <u>Membrane Biol. 37</u>: 85-98.

- Bisson,M.A. and J.Gutknecht, 1980. Osmotic regulation in algae. In <u>Plant membrane transport : Current</u> <u>conceptual issues</u>. (eds. W.J.Lucas, R.M.Spanswick and J.Dainty). pp131-142. North-Holland,Amsterdam.
- Bisson,M.A. and G.O.Kirst, 1979. Osmotic adaptation in the marine alga <u>Griffithsia monilis</u> (Rhodophyceae) : The role of ions and organic compounds.

Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 6 : 523-538.

Bisson,M.A. and G.O.Kirst, 1980. Lamprothamnium, a euryhaline charophyte. 1. Osmotic relations and membrane potential at steady state.

J. Exp. Bot. <u>31</u>: 1223-1235.

- Bisson, M.A. and G.O.Kirst, 1983. Osmotic adaptations of charophyte algae in the Coorong, South Australia and other Australian lakes. <u>Hydrobiologia</u> <u>105</u> : 45-51.
- Blumwald, E. and E.Tel-Or, 1982. Osmoregulation and cell composition in salt-adaptation of <u>Nostoc muscorum</u>. Archs. <u>Microbiol</u>. <u>132</u> : 168-172.
- Bologa,A.S., 1979. Experiments on the photosynthesis rate in the algae <u>Cladophora vagabunda</u> L. under modified salinity and ionic ratios.

Rev. Roum. Biol. -Biol. Veg. 24(2) : 127-131.

References

Bolton,J.J., 1983. Ecoclinal variation in <u>Ectocarpus</u> <u>siliculosus</u> (Phaeophyceae) with respect to temperature growth optima and survival limits. Mar. Biol. <u>73</u>: 131-138.

Brown,L.M. and J.A.Hellebust, 1980. The contribution of organic solutes to osmotic balance in some green and

eustigmatophyte algae.

J. Phycol. 16 : 265-270.

- Burrows, E.M., 1964. Ecological experiments with <u>Fucus</u>. Proc. Int. <u>Seaweed Symp.</u> <u>4</u>. : 166-170.
- Cambridge, M., A.M.Breeman, R. van Oosterwijk and C. van den Hoek, (1984). Temperature responses of some North Atlantic <u>Cladophora</u> species (Chlorophyceae) in relation to their geographic distribution.

Helgoländer Meeresunters. 38 : 349-363.

Cawthorne, D.F., 1979. Some effects of fluctuating temperature and salinity upon cirripedes.

Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wales.

Chock,J.S. and A.C.Mathieson, 1979. Physiological ecology of <u>Ascophyllum nodosum</u> (L.) Le Jolis and its detached ecad <u>scorpoides</u> (Hornemann) Hauck (Fucales, Phyaeophyta).

Bot. Mar. 22 : 21-26.

Chudek, J.A., R.Foster, I.R.Davison and R.H.Reed, 1984. Altritol in the brown alga <u>Himanthalia elongata</u>. <u>Phytochemistry 23</u> : 1081-1082. Craigie,J.S., 1969. Some salinity-induced changes in growth, pigments and cyclohexanetetrol content of Monochrysis lutheri.

J. Fisheries Res. Board Can. 26 : 2959-2967.

- Cram,W.J., 1976. Negative feedback regulation of transport in cells. The maintenance of turgor, volume and nutrient supply. In <u>Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology.</u> <u>New Ser. 2(A)</u> (Eds. U.Luttge and M.G.Pitman) pp. 284-316. Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York.
- Daniel, M.J. and C.R.Boyden, 1975. Diurnal variations in physico-chemical conditions within intertidal rockpools.

Field Studies 4(2) : 161-176.

Davenport, J., 1982. Environmental simulation experiments on marine and estuarine animals.

Adv. Mar. Biol. 19 : 133-256.

Davison, I.R. and R.H.Reed, 1985. Osmotic adjustment in <u>Laminaria</u> <u>digitata</u> (Phaeophyta) with particular reference to seasonal changes in internal solute concentrations.

J. Phycol. 21 : 41-50.

Dawes,C.J. and R.P.McIntosh, 1981. The effect of organic material and inorganic ions on the photosynthetic rate of the red alga <u>Bostrychia</u> <u>binderi</u> from a Florida estuary.

Mar. Biol. 64 : 213-219.

Dawes,C.J., R.E.Moon and M.A.Davis, 1978. The photosynthetic and respiratory rates from a mangrove and salt marsh estuary: a comparative study. Est. Coast. Mar. Sci. 6 : 175-185.

Dawes,C.J. R.E.Moon and J.LaClaire, 1976. Photosynthetic responses of the red algae <u>Hypnea</u> <u>musciformis</u> (Wulfen) Lamouroux (Gigartinales).

Bull. mar. Sci. 26 : 467-473.

Dean,V., 1966. An experimental approach to the biology and taxonomy of a freshwater member of the genus <u>Cladophora</u>.

M.Sc. Thesis. Liverpool University.

Delieu,T. and D.A.Walker, 1972. An improved cathode for the measurement of photosynthetic oxygen evolution by isolated chloroplasts.

New Phytol. 71 : 201-225.

Dickson,D.M.J. and G.O.Kirst, 1986. The role of Bdimethylsulphoniopropionate, glycine betaine and homarine in the osmoacclimation of <u>Platymonas</u> <u>subcordiformis</u>.

<u>Planta 167</u> : 536-544.

Dickson, D.M., R.G.Wyn Jones and J.Davenport, 1980. Steady state osmotic adaptation in <u>Ulva lactuca</u>.

<u>Planta. 150</u> : 158-165.

Dixon, P.S., 1965. Perennation, vegetative propagation and algal life histories, with special reference to <u>Asparagopsis</u> and other Rhodophyta.

Bot. gothoburg. 3 : 67-74.

Dring, M.J. and F.A.Brown, 1982. Photosynthesis of intertidal brown algae during and after periods of emersion: A renewed search for physiological causes of zonation.

Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 8 : 301-308.

- Duncan, M.J. and P.J.Harrison, 1982. Comparison of solvents for extracting Chlorophylls from marine macrophytes. Bot. Mar. 25 : 445-447.
- Edelstein, T. and J. Mclachlan, 1975. Autecology of <u>Fucus</u> <u>distichus</u> ssp <u>distischus</u> (Phyaeophyceae : Fucales) in Nova Scotia, Canada.

Mar. Biol. 30 : 305-324.

Edwards, D.M., R.H.Reed, J.A.Chudek, R.Foster and W.D.P.Stewart, 1987. Organic solute accumulation in osmotically-stressed <u>Enteromorpha</u> <u>intestinalis</u>.

Mar. Biol. (in press).

Eppley,R. and C.Cyrus, 1960. Cation regulation and survival of the red alga <u>Porphyra</u> <u>perforata</u> in diluted and concentrated seawater.

Biol. Bulit. 118 : 55-64.

Faegri,K., 1937. Some fundamental problems of taxonomy and phylogenetics.

Bot. Rev. 3 : 400-423.

Fischer, H., 1984. Turgor regulation in <u>Caloglossa</u> <u>leprieurii</u> (Montagne) J. Agardh (Delesseriaceae: Rhodophyta).

J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 81 : 235-239.

Flowers, T.J., P.F.Troke and A.R.Yeo, 1977. The mechanism of salt tolerance in halophytes.

Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 28: 89-121

Fralick,R.A. and A.C.Mathieson, 1975. Physiological ecology of four <u>Polysiphonia</u> species (Rhodophyta, Ceremiales).

Mar. Biol. 29 : 29-36.

- Fromageot,D., 1923. Influence de la concentration en sels
 de l'eau de mer sur l'assimilation des algue vertes.
 C.r. hebd. Séanc. Acad. Sci. Paris. 177 : 779-780.
- Ganning,B., 1971. Studies on chemical, physical and biological conditions in Swedish rockpool ecosystems. <u>Ophelia 9</u>: 51-105.
- Gessner,F., 1969. Photosynthesis and ion loss in the brown algae <u>Dictyopteris membranacea</u> and <u>Fucus virsoides</u>. Mar. <u>Biol. 4</u>: 349-351.
- Gessner,F. and L.Hammer, 1960. Die photosynthese von Meerespflanzen in ihrer Beziehung zum Salzgehalt. <u>Planta. 55</u>: 306-312.
- Gessner,F. and W. Schramm, 1971. Salinity Plants. In <u>Marine Ecology</u>. Vol. 1 (2). (Ed. O.Kinne). pp.705-820. London: Wiley-Interscience.
- Ginzburg,B.Z., 1978. Regulation of cell volume and osmotic pressure in <u>Dunaliella</u>. In <u>Energetics and structure</u> <u>of halophillic microorganisms</u>. (Eds. S.Caplan and M.Ginzburg) pp.543-560. Elsevier North Holland. Amsterdam.

Gordon, D.M., P.B.Birch and A.J.McComb, 1980. The effects of light, temperature and salinity on photosynthetic rates of an estuarine <u>Cladophora</u>.

Bot. Mar. 23 : 749-755.

Gordon,D.M., C.van den Hoek and A.J.McComb, 1985. An aegagropiloid form of the green alga <u>Cladophora</u> <u>montagneana</u> Kutz. (Chlorophyta, Cladophorales) from southwestern Australia.

Bot. Mar. <u>27</u> : 57-65.

- Hällfors,G., Ä.Niemi, H.Ackefors, J.Lassig and E.Leppäkoski, 1981. Biological Oceanography. In <u>The</u> <u>Baltic Sea</u>. (ed.A.Voipio) pp. 219-274. Elsevier Amsterdam.
- Hammer,L., 1968. Salzgehalt und Photosynthese bei marinen Pflanzen.

<u>Mar. Biol. 1</u> : 185-190.

Hanic,L.A. and J.S.Craigie, 1969. Studies on the algal cuticle.

J. Phycol. 5: 89-102.

Hartog, C. den., 1967. Brackish water as an environment for algae.

Blumea 15 : 29-43.

Hartog,C. den., 1968. The littoral environment of rocky shores as a border between the sea and the land and between the sea and freshwater.

<u>Blumea</u> <u>16</u> : 375-393.

Hartog, C. den., 1970. Some aspects of Brackish-water biology.

Comm. biol. Soc. Scient. fenn. 31(9) : 1-15.

Hellebust, J.A., 1976. Osmoregulation.

Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 27: 485-505.

- Hoek,C. van den., 1963. <u>Revision of the Eurpean species of</u> <u>Cladophora</u>. Brill, Leiden, (Reprint Koeltz, Koenigstein, 1976). 248 pp.
- Hoek,C. van den., 1979. The phytogeography of <u>Cladophora</u> (Chlorophyceae) in the northern Atlantic Ocean, in comparison to that of other benthic algal species. Helgoländer wiss. <u>Meeresunters</u>. <u>32</u> : 374-393.
- Hoek,C. van den., 1982a. <u>A taxonomic revision of the</u> <u>American species of Cladophora (Chlorophyceae) in</u> <u>the North Atlantic Ocean and their geographic</u> <u>distribution</u>. North Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam, 236 pp.
- Hoek,C. van den., 1982b. The distribution of benthic marine algae in relation to the temperature regulation of their life histories.

Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 18: 81-144.

Hofler, K., 1931. Hypotonietod und osmotische Resistenz einiger Rotalgen.

Oest. Bot. Zeitschr. Bd. 80 : 51-71.

Howard, J.R., G.Skirrow and W.A.House, 1984. Major ion and carbonate system chemistry of a navigable canal. Freshwater Biol. 14 : 515-532. Kauss, H., 1968. Galaktosylglyzeride und osmoregulation in Rotalgen.

Z. Planzenphysiol. 58 : 428-433.

Kauss, H., 1978. Osmotic regulation in algae.

Prog. in Phytochem. 5: 1-27.

- Kauss,H., 1983. Volume regulation in <u>Poterioochromonas</u>. Involvement of Calmodulin in the Ca²⁺-stimulated activation of isofloridoside-phosphate synthase. Plant Physiol. 71 : 169-172.
- Kauss,H., U.Luttge and R.M.Kirchbaum, 1975. Anderung im kalium und isofloridosidgehalt während der osmoregulation bei <u>Ochromonas malhamensis</u>.

Z. Pflanzenphysiol. <u>76</u>: 109-113.

- Kauss,H. and U.Rausch, 1984. Compartmentation of Ca²⁺ and its possible role in volume regulation of <u>Poterioochromonas</u>. In <u>Compartments in algal cells and</u> <u>their interaction</u>. (Eds. W.Wiessner, D.Robinson and R.C.Star) pp.147-156. Springer-Verleg Berlin -Heidelberg - New York.
- Khfaji,A.K. and T.A.Norton, 1979. The effects of salinity
 on the distribution of <u>Fucus ceranoides</u>.
 <u>Est. Coast. Mar. Sci. 8</u>: 433-439.
- **Kirst,G.O.**, 1977a. Ion composition of unicellular marine and freshwater algae, with special reference to <u>Platymonas</u> <u>subcordiformis</u> cultivated in media with different osmotic strengths.

<u>Oecologia</u> <u>28</u> : 177-189.

Kirst,G.O., 1977b. Coordination of ionic relations and mannitol concentrations in the euryhaline unicellular alga, <u>Platymonas subcordiformis</u> (Hazen) after osmotic shocks.

Planta 135 : 69-75.

Kirst,G.O. and M.A.Bisson, 1979. Regulation of turgor pressure in marine algae : Ions and low molecular weight organic compounds.

Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 6 : 539-556.

Kjeldson,C.K. and K.H.Phinney, 1972. Effects of variations in salinity and temperature on some estuarine macroalgae.

Proc. Int. Seaweed Symp. 7 : 301-308.

Legendre,R., 1921. Influence de la salinité de l'eau de mer sur l'assimilation chlorophyllienne des algue.

C.r. Séanc. Soc. Biol. 85 : 222-224.

Lehnberg,W., 1978. Die Wirkung eines Licht-Temperatur-Salzgehalt Komplexes auf den Gaswechsel von <u>Delessaria sanguinea</u> (Rhodophyta) aus der westlichen Ostsee.

Bot. Mar. 21 : 485-497.

Liu,M.S. and J.A.Hellebust, 1976. Effects of salinity and osmolarity of the medium on amino acid metabolism in <u>Cyclotella</u> cryptica.

Can. J. Bot. 54 : 938-948.

Lobban,C.S., P.J.Harrison and M.J.Duncan, 1985. <u>The</u> <u>physiological ecology of seaweeds</u>. Cambridge University Press. 242 pp. Luther, H., G.Hällfors, A.Lappalainen and P.Kangas, 1975. Littoral benthos of the Northern Baltic Sea. 1. Introduction.

Int. Revue. ges. Hydrobiol. 60(3) : 289-296.

Mathieson,A.C. and C.J.Burns, 1971. Ecological studies of economic red algae .1. Photosynthesis and respiration of <u>Chondrus</u> <u>crispus</u> Stackhouse. and <u>Gigartina</u> <u>stellata</u> (Stackhouse) Batters.

J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 7 : 197-206.

Mathieson,A.C. and C.J.Dawes, 1974. Ecological studies of Florida <u>Eucheuma</u> (Rhodophyta, Gigartinales) .ii. Photosynthesis and respiration.

Bull. Mar. Sci. Gulf Caribb. 27 : 274-285.

Munda,I., 1967. Der Einfluß der salinitat auf die chemische zusammensetzung das wachstum und die Fruktifikation einiger Fucacean.

Nov<u>a</u> <u>Hedwigia</u> <u>13</u> : 471-508.

Munda, I., 1977. Differences in amino acid composition of estuarine and marine Fucoids.

Aquat. Bot. 3 : 273-280.

Munns,R., H.Greenway and G.O.Kirst, 1983. Halotolerant eukaryotes. In <u>Physiological plant ecology II</u>. (Eds. O.L.Lange, P.S.Noble, C.B.Osmond and H.Zeigler). pp. 59-135. Encylopedia of plant physiology, New Series 12c. Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York. Nellen,U.R., 1966. Über den Einfluß des Salzgehaltes auf die photosynthetische Leistung verschiedener Standort-formen von <u>Delesseria sanguinea</u> und <u>Fucus</u> <u>serratus</u>.

Helgoländer wiss Meeresunters. 13: 288-313.

Niiyama,Y., 1986. Morphological phenology of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> (L.) Kutzing in Hokkaido.

Jap. J. Phycol.34 : 216-224.

Norton,T.A., 1976. The marine algae of the eastern border counties of Scotland.

Br. Phycol. J. 11 (1) : 19-27.

Ogata,E and T.Matsui, 1965. Photosynthesis in several marine plants as affected by salinity, drying and pH, with attention to their growth habitats.

Bot. Mar. 7/8 : 199-217

Ogata, E and H.Takada, 1968. Studies in the relationship between respiration and the the changes in salinity in some marine plants in Japan.

J. Shimonoseki Univ. Fish. 16: 67-88.

Ohno,M., 1976. Some observations on the influence of salinity on photosynthetic activity and chloride ion loss in several seaweeds.

Int. Revue ges. Hydrobiol. 61 : 665-672.

Okazaki,Y. and M.Tazawa, 1987. Increase in cytoplasmic calcium content in internodal cells of <u>Lamprothamnium</u> upon hypotonic treatment. : Short communication. <u>Plant, Cell and Environment. 10</u> : 619-621.

References

Okazaki,Y., T.Shimmen and M.Tazawa, 1984. Turgor regulation in a brackish charophyte, <u>Lamprothamnium</u> <u>succinctum</u>. II. Changes in K⁺, Na⁺ and Cl⁻ concentrations, membrane potential and membrane resistance during turgor regulation.

Plant and Cell Physiol. 25 : 573-581.

Olsen-Stojkovich, J., 1987. Protein phylogenies and convergent groundplans among tropical algal genera in the Cladophorales (Chlorophyta) : why molecular data are so important in algal systematics.

<u>Abstracts: 14th International Botanical Congress</u> Berlin (West), Germany.

Olsen-Stojkovich, J., J.A.West and J.M.Lowenstein, 1986. Phylogenetics and biogeography in the Cladophorales complex (Chlorophyta) : some insights from immunological distance data.

Bot. Mar. 29 (3) : 239-251.

- Penniman,C.A. and A.C.Mathieson, 1985. Photosynthesis of <u>Gracilaria</u> <u>tikvahiae</u> McLaclan (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) from Great Bay Estuary, New Hampshire). Bot. Mar. <u>28</u> : 427-435
- Powell,H.T., 1963. Speciation in the genus <u>Fucus</u> L. and related genera. In <u>Speciation in the sea</u> (Eds. J.P.Harding and N.Tebble) pp. 63-77. Systematics Association, London.
- Prescott, G.W., 1951. <u>Algae</u> of the <u>Western Great Lakes</u> <u>area.</u> Cranbrook press. pp. 946.

Raven,J., 1976. Transport in algal cells. In <u>Transport in</u>
<u>plants. II. Part A : Cells</u>. (Eds. U.Luttge and
M.G.Pitman) pp. 129-188. Encyclopedia of plant
physiology, New series, Vol.2A. Springer-Verlag,
Berlin - Heildelberg - New York.

- Raven,J., F.A.Smith and S.E.Smith, 1980. Ions and Osmoregulation. In <u>Genetic Engineering of</u> <u>osmoregulation</u>. (Eds. D.W.Rains, R.C.Valentine and A.Hollaender) pp. 101-118. Basic Life Sciences, Plenum Press.
- Reed,R.H., 1980. The influence of salinity upon cellular mannitol concentration of the euryhaline marine alga <u>Pilayella littoralis</u> (L.) Kjellm. (Phaeophyta, Ectocarpales) : Preliminary observations.

Bot. Mar. 23 : 603-605.

- Reed,R.H., 1983a. Measurement and osmotic significance of B-dimetylsulphonioproprionate in marine macroalgae. <u>Mar. Biol. Letts. 4</u>: 173-181.
- Reed,R.H., 1983b. The osmotic responses of <u>Polysiphonia</u> <u>lanosa</u> (L.) Tandy. from marine and estuarine sites : Evidence for incomplete recovery of turgor.

J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 68 : 169-193.

Reed, R.H., 1984. Use and abuse of osmo-terminology.

Plant, Cell and Environment 7 : 165-170.

Reed,R.H. and J.A.Barron, 1983. Physiological adaptation to salinity change in <u>Pilayella</u> <u>littoralis</u> from marine and estuarine sites.

Bot. Mar. 26 : 409-416.

Reed,R.H., J.C.Collins and G.Russell, 1980a. The effects of salinity upon cellular volume of the marine red alga <u>Porphyra purpurea</u> (Roth) C.Ag.

<u>J. Exp. Bot. 31</u> : 1521-1537.

Reed,R.H., J.C.Collins and G.Russell, 1980b. The effects of salinity upon Galactosyl-Glycerol content of the marine red alga <u>Porphyra purpurea</u> (Roth) C.Ag.

<u>J. Exp. Bot. 31</u> : 1539-1554.

Reed,R.H., J.C.Collins and G.Russell, 1980c. The influence of variations in salinity upon photosynthesis in the marine red alga <u>Porphyra</u> <u>purpurea</u> (ROTH) C.Ag. (Rhodophyta, Bangiales).

Z. Planzenphysiol. Bd. 98 S : 183-187.

Reed,R.H., J.C.Collins and G.Russell, 1981. The effects of salinity upon ion content and ion transport of the marine red alga <u>Porphyra purpurea</u> (Roth) C.Ag.

J. Exp. Bot. 32 : 347-367.

Reed,R.H., I.R.Davison, J.A.Chudek and R.Foster, 1985. The osmotic role of mannitol in the Phaeophyta : an appraisal.

Phycologia 24 : 35-47.

- Reed,R.H. and W.D.P.Stewart, 1985. Osmotic adjustment and organic solute accumulation in unicellular cyanobacteria from freshwater and marine habitats. <u>Mar. Biol. 88</u>: 1-9.
- Riley, J.P. and R.Chester, 1971. <u>Introduction to marine</u> <u>chemistry</u>. Academic Press, London and New York. 465 pp.

Ritchie,R.J. and A.W.D.Larkum, 1984. Sodium transport in <u>Enteromorpha intestinalis</u> (L.) Link.

New Phytol. 97 : 347-362.

Rosemarin, A.S., 1985. Reproductive strategy in the filamentous green alga <u>Cladophora</u> glomerata.

Verh. Internat. Verein. Limnol. 22 : 2872-2877.

Russell,G., 1972. Phytosocialogical studies on a two-zone shore I. Basic pattern.

<u>J. Ecol. 60</u> : 539-545.

Russell,G., 1973. Phytosociological studies on a two zone shore II. Community structure.

<u>J. Ecol. 61</u> : 525-536.

Russell,G., 1985a. Some anatomical and physiological differences in <u>Corda filum</u> (L.) Stackh. from coastal waters of Finland and Great Britain.

J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K. 65 : 343-349.

Russell,G., 1985b. Recent evolutionary changes in the algae of the Baltic Sea.

Br. phycol. J. 20 : 87-104.

- Russell,G., 1987a. Salinity and seaweed vegetation. In <u>Plant life in aquatic and amphibious habitats</u>. Special publication 5. of the British Ecological Society. (Ed. R.M.M. Crawford). pp 35-52. Blackwell Sci.Pub. Oxford.
- Russell,G., 1987b. Spatial and environmental components of evolutionary change. <u>Helgolander wiss. Meeresunters. 41</u> : (in press).

Russell,G. and J.J.Bolton, 1975. Euryhaline ecotypes of Ectocarpus siliculosus (Dillw.) Lyngb.

Est. Coast. Mar. Sci. 3 : 91-94.

Sand-Jensen,K. and D.M.Gordon, 1984. Differential ability of marine and freshwater macrophytes to utilise HCO₃⁻ and CO₂.

Mar. Biol. 80 : 247-254.

Slinn,D.J. and J.F.Eastham, 1984. Routine hydrographic observations in the Irish Sea off Port Erin, Isle of Man, during 1972-1981 inclusive.

Ann. Biologiques. 38: 42-44.

Söderström, J., 1963. Studies in Cladophora.

Botanica Gothoburgensia I. Goteborg. 148 pp.

Söderström, J., 1965. Remarks on some species of <u>Cladophora</u> in the sense of van den Hoek and Soderstrom.

<u>Bot. Mar. 8</u>: 169-182.

Shyam,R., 1980. On the life cycle, cytology and taxonomy of Cladophora callicoma from India.

Amer. J. Bot. 67 : 619-624.

Strickland, J.D.H. and T.R.Parsons, 1972. A practical hand book to seawater analysis.

Bull. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada. 167 : 21-26.

Turesson,G., 1922. The genotypical response of the plant species to the habitat.

<u>Hereditas.</u> <u>3</u> : 211-350.

Waern, M., 1952. Rocky shore algae in the Oregrund Archipelago.

Act. Phytogeogr. Suecica. 30 : 1-298.

Wallentinus, I., 1975. Productivity studies on <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> (L.) Kutzing in the northern Baltic proper.

10th European Symposium on Marine Biology.2 :631-651.

Wetherell, D.F., 1963. Osmotic equilibrium and growth of Scenedesmus obliquus in saline media.

Physiol. Plantarum. 16: 82-91

Whittick,A., 1977. The reproductive ecology of <u>Plumaria</u> <u>elegans</u> (Bonnen.) Schmitz (Ceramaceae : Rhodophyta) at its northern limits in the western Atlantic.

J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 29 : 223-230.

- Whitton,B.A., 1970. Biology of <u>Cladophora</u> in freshwaters. Water <u>Research</u>. <u>4</u>: 457-476.
- Wiencke,C., 1984. The response of Pyruvate Kinase from the intertidal red alga <u>Porphyra umbilicalis</u> to sodium and potassium ions.

J. Plant Physiol. 116 : 447-453.

Wiencke,C., 1987. Adaptation of algae under osmotic stress conditions.

<u>Abstracts: 14th International Botanical Congress</u> Berlin (West), <u>Germany</u>.

- Wiencke,C. and A.Läuchli, 1981. Inorganic ions and floridoside as osmotic solutes in <u>Porphyra</u> <u>umbilicalis</u>.
 - Z. Pflanzenphysiol. 103 : 247-258.

Wiencke,C., A.Knoth and R.Steizer, 1984. Changes of proto plasmic and vacuolar volume during osmotic adaptation and ion compartmentation in <u>Porphyra</u> <u>umbilicalis</u>.

<u>Hydrobiologia</u>. <u>116/117</u> : 481-484.

Wik-Sjöstedt,A., 1970. Cytogenetic investigations in <u>Cladophora</u>.

Hereditas <u>66</u> : 233-262.

Wik-Sjöstedt,A. and T.Nordqvist, 1970. Preliminary observations on the ultrastructure of some <u>Cladophora</u> and <u>Spongomorpha</u> species.

Bot. Mar. <u>13</u>: 6-12.

- Wilkinson, M., 1980. Estuarine benthic algae and their environment: a review. In <u>The shore environment</u>, <u>Vol.2: Ecosystems</u>. (Eds. J.H.Price, D.E.G.Irvine and W.F.Farnham) pp. 425-486. Academic Press, London and New York.
- Womersley, H.B.S., 1984. <u>The marine benthic flora of</u> <u>Southern Australia. Part 1</u>. Government Printer, South Australia. 329 pp.
- Wyn Jones,R.G., R.Storey, R.A.Leigh, N.Ahmed and A.Pollard, 1977. A hypothesis on cytoplasmic osmoregulation. In <u>Regulation of cell membrane</u> <u>activities in plants</u>. (Eds. E.Marre and O.Ciferri) pp. 121-136. Elsevier/North Holland Biomedical Press, Amsterdam.

Yarish, C., A.M.Breeman and C.van den Hoek, 1986. Survival strategies and temperature responses of seaweeds belonging to different biogeographic distribution groups.

Bot. Mar. 29 : 215-230.

- Yarish, C. and P.Edwards, 1982. A field and cultural investigation of the horizontal and seasonal distribution of estuarine red algae of New Jersey. Phycologia <u>21</u>: 112-124.
- Yarish, C.P., P.Edwards and S.Casey, 1979. Acclimation responses to salinity of three estuarine red algae from New Jersey.

Mar. Biol. 51 : 289-294.

Yeo,A.R., 1983. Salinity resistance : Physiologies and prices.

Physiol. Plant. 58 : 214-222.

Young, A., J.C.Collins and G.Russell, 1987a. Solute regulation in the euryhaline marine alga <u>Enteromorpha</u> <u>prolifera</u> (O.F.Mull) J. Ag.

J. Exp. Bot. 38 : 1298-1308.

Young, A., J.C.Collins and G.Russell, 1987b. Ecotypic variation in the osmotic responses of <u>Enteromorpha</u> intestinalis (L.) Link.

J. Exp. Bot. 38 : 1309-1324.

Zavodnik, N., 1975. Effects of temperature and salinity variations on photosynthesis of some littoral seaweeds of the North Adriatic Sea. Bot. Mar. 18 : 245-250. Zimmermann, U., 1978. Physics of turgor and osmoregulation. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 29 : 121-148.

Zimmermann,U. and E.Steudle, 1971. Effects of potassium concentration and osmotic pressure of sea water on cell-turgor pressure of <u>Chaetomorpha linum</u>. <u>Mar. Biol. 11</u>: 132-137.

APPENDICES

11. APPENDICES

For reference the data presented and discussed in the experimental sections of this study are listed in the following appendices. The corresponding appendix for each section is given below.

Appendix	i	:-	Section 4. Effect of salinity on photosynthesis and respiration.
Appendix	ii	:-	Section 5. Effect of salinity on cell volume.
Appendix	iii	:-	Section 6. Effect of salinity on thallus ion content.
Appendix	iv	:-	Section 7. Combined effects of salinity and temperature.
Appendix	v	:-	Section 8. Population differences in salinity responses.

LIGHT INTENSITY	NET PHOTOSYNTHESIS	(MGO ₂ H ⁻¹ G ⁻¹)
(µЕ м ⁻² s ⁻¹)	C.RUPESTRIS	C.GLOMERATA
20	1.05 (±0.09)	0.31 (±0.10)
50	1.62 (<u>±</u> 0.07)	0.80 (±0.08)
100	3.53 (±0.47)	0.97 (±0.05)
200	3.52 (±0.75)	1.04 (±0.05)
400	3.69 (±0.87)	1.06 (±0.03)
600	3.30 (±0.58)	1.17 (±0.16)
800	2.79 (±0.45)	0.92 (±0.03)
1000	2.54 (±0.43)	0.73 (±0.01)
2000	2.69 (±0.29)	0.99 (<u>+</u> 0.06)

Table Ai.1 Net photosynthetic rates of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> and <u>C. glomerata</u> at different light intensities, at 12 °C.

SALINITY	ТІ МЕ (н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN NET PHOTOSYNTESIS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
0	1 4 5 12 24	455555	5.70 1.42 2.06 2.52 2.02 2.00	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
6	1 4 12 24	55555	0.68 1.60 1.86 1.44 1.12 1.24	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
11	12 46 12 24	55555	2.00 2.02 0.78 1.56 2.08	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
34	1 4 12 24	555555	2.78 2.14 0.88 1.98 1.64 3.16	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
68	1 4 6 12 24	555555	1.60 1.92 2.18 1.62 0.90 1.22	0.99 - 2.21 1.45 - 2.39 1.79 - 2.57 0.77 - 2.47 0.67 - 1.13 1.11 - 1.32
102	1 4 6 12 24	554555	1.02 1.54 1.30 0.52 0.40 0.34	0.52 - 1.51 1.02 - 2.06 1.17 - 1.43 0.00 - 1.04 0.02 - 0.78 0.00 - 0.68

Table Ai.2 The net photosynthesis $(mgO_2h^{-1}g^{-1})$ of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> exposed to salinity treatments for different incubation periods.

	SALINITY	TIME N (H) R	UMBER OF EPLICATES F	MEAN RESPIRATION	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS	
	0	1 4 12 24		0.32 0.56 0.68 0.76 0.68 0.82	-0.05 - 0.69 0.45 - 0.67 0.52 - 0.84 0.59 - 0.83 0.52 - 0.84 0.58 - 1.06	
	6	12 4 12 24	555555	0.76 0.80 0.82 0.74 0.86 0.88	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
	11	1 4 12 24	55555	0.84 0.84 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.78 0.90	0.63 - 1.05 0.67 - 1.01 0.61 - 1.19 0.52 - 0.84 0.90 - 0.90	
	34	1 4 12 24	55555	0.82 0.94 0.86 0.80 1.12 1.18	0.38 - 1.25 0.68 - 1.25 0.68 - 1.25 0.68 - 0.92 0.68 - 0.92 1.12 - 1.24	
	68	1 4 6 12 24	55555	0.56 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.54 0.78 0.66	0.49 - 0.63 0.43 - 0.65 0.52 - 0.80 0.35 - 0.73 0.39 - 1.7 0.39 - 0.89	
	102	1 4 12 24	554555	0.54 0.60 0.53 0.52 0.50 0.62	0.43 - 0.65 0.38 - 0.82 0.29 - 0.76 0.36 - 0.68 0.35 - 0.68 0.35 - 0.88	
.3 <u>a</u>	The rupe	dark <u>stris</u>	respir expos	ation r ed to sa	rates (mg0 ₂ h ⁻¹ g ⁻¹ alinity treatments) of for

Table Ai Cladophor different incubation periods.

SALINITY	ТIME (н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN NET PHOTOSYNTHESIS	95% CONFIDENCE
0	1 4 6 12 24	55555	2.20 1.44 1.80 1.44 1.68 2.08	1.70 - 2.71 1.25 - 1.63 1.50 - 2.10 1.11 - 1.76 1.22 - 2.14 1.63 - 2.53
6	1 4 12 24	いいいい	1.14 0.48 1.50 1.04 1.28 1.60	0.70 - 1.58 0.25 - 0.70 0.70 - 2.31 0.70 - 1.43 0.70 - 1.77 1.01 - 2.19
11	1 4 6 12 24	ふらうららら	1.48 0.72 1.92 1.12 1.60 0.94	0.97 - 2.00 0.40 - 1.04 1.72 - 2.12 0.88 - 1.36 1.36 - 2.07 0.50 - 1.38
34	1 2 4 12 24	5555	0.18 0.58 0.44 0.00 0.28 0.22	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

Table Ai.4 The net photosynthetic rates $(mgO_2h^{-1}g^{-1})$ of <u>Cladophora</u> glomerata exposed to salinity treatments for different incubation periods.

SALINITY	TIME (H)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN RESPIRATION	95% CONFIDENCE
0	124	555555	0.62 0.50 0.46 0.48 0.58	0.46 - 0.80 0.38 - 0.62 0.10 - 0.82 0.26 - 0.70 0.41 - 0.59 0.48 - 0.68
6	1 4 12 24	~~~~	0.34 0.60 0.30 0.66 0.44 0.46	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
11	12 4 12 24	55545	0.34 0.58 0.64 0.46 1.03 0.58	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
34	124	55555	0.22 0.14 0.56 0.36 0.36 0.24	-0.05 - 0.49 -0.13 - 0.41 0.03 - 1.09 -0.06 - 0.78 -0.01 - 0.73 -0.01 - 0.47

Table Ai.5 The dark respiration rates $(mgO_2h^{-1}g^{-1})$ of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> exposed to salinity treatments for different incubation periods.

SALINIT	Y TIME	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN % PROTOPLASM AREA	RANGE OF ¥ VALUES
1.5	0 5min 30min 1H 4H 6H 24H	5555444	99.6 101.2 100.8 97.1 96.5 101.2	98.4 - 100.0 98.9 - 102.5 95.7 - 104.0 87.4 - 102.4 84.7 - 101.4 85.2 - 100.8 94.1 - 105.1
6	0 5min 30min 4H 24H	5 4 5 4 4 4	100-0 100-2 99-7 100-2 96-4 96-4 91-3	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
34	0 5мтн 30мтн 4н 6н 24н	4 * * 4 4	99.2 98.4 * 98.0 97.1	96.7 - 100.0 94.0 - 100.4 95.4 - 100.4 95.0 - 100.0
£	0 Smin 30min 44 44 244	くらららとら	100.0 96.5 94.0 94.0 92.1 91.3	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
*52	6 5мтн 30мтн 4н 6н 24н	5 5 5 4 5 5	99.9 81.4 82.4 83.7 88.2 84.7 85.7	99.5 - 100.0 75.8 - 85.7 77.6 - 86.5 80.3 - 87.9 77.9 - 94.3 78.4 - 92.2 74.6 - 93.6

Table Aii.1 The percentage change in the protoplast area of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> cells incubated at different salinities over a 24h period. * :- measurements not made.

SALINITY	TIME	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN \$ TOTAL- CELL AREA	RANGE OF * VALUES
1.5	0 5min 30min 1H 2H 6H 24H	5555444	100.0 101.2 101.6 97.1 96.6 97.1 101.8	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
6	0 5min 30min 2H 2H 24H	5 4 5 5 4 4 4	100.0 100.2 99.7 100.3 97.0 97.0 91.8	100.0 - 100.0 99.7 - 100.6 98.5 - 101.7 97.9 - 101.7 95.4 - 97.9 87.5 - 100.5
34	0 5min 30min 2H 6H 24H	4 • • 4 4	100.0 98.4 98.3 97.1	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
68	0 5m1n 30m1n 2H 6H 24H		100.0 98.8 96.1 94.7 97.3 97.3 96.7	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
102	0 5m1n 30m1n 2H 2H 2H	5555455	100-0 98-0 97-0 95-6 96-9 98-1	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

Table Aii.2 The percentage change in the total-cell area of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> cells incubated at different salinities over a 24h period. * : measurements not made.

SALINITY	TIME	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN X PROTOPLASM AREA	RANGE OF \$ VALUES
0	0 5min 30min	2 * 2	100.0 97.9	100.0 <u>-</u> 100.0 97.7 - 98.1
	2H 6H 24H	* 2 2	96.2 94.3	96.2 - 96.2 93.7 - 94.8
1.5	0 5min 30min	5555	99.3 96.4 95.8	97.7 - 100.0 94.3 - 100.4 92.2 - 100.5
	2H 6H 24H	555	92.7 92.7 89.6	86.4 - 97.0 84.7 - 97.4 75.9 - 94.5
6	0 5min 30min 1H	5555	97.3 99.5 93.0 93.2	96.1 - 100.0 96.3 - 101.9 90.8 - 93.8 89.7 - 97.2
	2н 6н 24н	555	94.2 95.8 98.6	88.9 - 97.8 94.3 - 97.5 90.6 - 110.6
34	0 5min 30min 1H	4 4 4	100.0 80.0 74.5 74.1	100.0 - 100.0 51.3 - 97.5 57.8 - 85.1 67 - 84.5
	2н 6н 2 4 н	4 4 4	76.6 77.0 81.7	72.4 - 84.3 71.8 - 88.7 73.1 - 91.1

Table Aii.3 The percentage change in the proplast area of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> cells incubated at different salinities over a 24h period. * : measurements not made.

SALINITY	TIME	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN & TOTAL- CELL AREA	RANGE OF % VALUES
0	0 5min 30min	2 2 *	100.0 98.9	100.0 , 100.0 98.8 <u>-</u> 99.0
	2н 6н 2 4 н	* 2	96.2 94.3	96.2 - 96.2 93.7 - 94.8
1.5	0 5min 30min 2H 2H 24H	555555	100.0 97.1 96.6 95.8 94.0 93.2	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
6	0 5min 30min 2H 2H 2H 2H	555555	100.0 101.4 97.0 97.4 96.6 97.1 99.9	100.0 - 100.0 96.3 - 107.8 93.5 - 100.2 93.1 - 98.9 94.3 - 99.3 94.2 - 110.6
34	0 5m1n 30m1n 1H 2H 6H 24H	4 4 4 4 4 4 4	100.0 95.5 95.6 95.6 92.0	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

Table Aii.4 The percentage change in the total-cell areas of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> cells incubated at differnt salinities over a 24h period. * : measurements not made.



increase in osmolarity with increasing The Fig.Aiii.1 concentration of sucrose solutions (M). normal seawater (34⁰/00) was also r The osmolarity of was also measured and is indicated. These data were used to produce isotonic sucrose washes for plants prior to thallus-ion analysis, following salinity treatment.

SALINITY	TIME H	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN NA* LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
1.5	12 4 12 24	55555	2535.66 35535.88 2535.88 2535.88 2535.88 2535 29 2535 29 2535 29 2535 29 2535 29 2535 29 2535 29 2535 29 2535 29 2535 29 2535 29 2535 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
6	12 4 12 24	ちちちらくろ	43.8 41.1 38.7 41.8 47.2 42.4	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
11	1 4 12 24	らんどうらん	70-1 66-4 57-8 59-4 54-6 58-0	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
34	1 4 12 24	ふろろろろろ	83.4 74.2 71.3 69.6 64.4 64.7	74.7 - 92.1 67.8 - 80.6 64.2 - 78.3 62.3 - 76.9 56.4 - 72.4 56.1 - 73.3
68	1 4 6 12 24	5555	79.3 73.5 77.6 89.0 76.8 78.1	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
102	1 4 12 24	554 555	106.7 113.4 108.6 137.9 98.0 99.0	95.4 - 118.1 91.5 - 137.2 79.3 - 137.9 114.8 - 161.0 87.5 - 108.6 93.7 - 106.2

Table Aiii.1 The thallus content of Na^+ (mmol kg⁻¹) of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at differnt salinities.

SAL IN 20700	ITY TIME)) (н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN K* LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE	
1.5	12	5555	198.0 206.7 214.3 185.5 206.4 205.9	169.3 - 226.6 193.7 - 219.8 189.8 - 238.8 168.1 - 203.5 175.9 - 238.8 183.1 - 228.6	
6	124	55555	158.2 151.6 137.4 151.6 195.9 202.1	138.8 - 177.6 140.4 - 162.9 121.8 - 153.0 145.2 - 158.0 187.6 - 204.2 185.0 - 219.3	
11	1 4 12 24		194.7 202.2 186.2 192.4 185.8 194.2	180.7 - 208.6 182.9 - 221.6 174.1 - 198.2 179.5 - 205.2 162.2 - 205.4 173.6 - 214.8	
34	1 4 12 24		199.7 197.8 196.5 194.3 216.4 206.1	178.4 - 220.9 175.4 - 220.2 186.5 - 206.5 186.3 - 202.2 199.5 - 233.3 193.5 - 218.7	
68	1 4 12 24	55555	258.8 283.9 273.0 299.6 292.3 298.4	221.8 - 295.8 259.2 - 306.7 239.4 - 306.7 278.6 - 320.5 259.5 - 355.0 267.7 - 329.1	
102	12 4 12 24	554555	161.5 173.0 170.7 191.6 306.6 320.3	145.7 - 177.3 157.6 - 188.4 155.4 - 186.0 171.1 - 212.1 285.3 - 365.3	
.2	The	thallus	conten	t of K^+ (mmol	+

Table Aiii.2 The thallus content of K^+ (mmol kg⁻¹) of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	TIME (н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN CL - LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
1.5	1 4 12 24	545555	177.5 192.7 169.3 153.4 188.0 180.2	112.8 - 242.3 145.2 - 240.1 109.6 - 228.9 123.4 - 183.4 134.3 - 241.8 170.1 - 190.3
6	12 46 12 24	5555	211.6 191.6 168.1 156.4 178.0 160.0	190.4 - 232.8 158.9 - 224.3 125.4 - 210.8 102.0 - 210.7 137.9 - 218.2 127.0 - 193.1
11	12 46 12 24	55554	220.5 187.4 200.6 174.2 210.3 190.2	201.1 - 240.0 165.8 - 208.9 175.9 - 225.4 149.6 - 198.9 204.7 - 215.8 142.9 - 237.5
34	1 2 4 12 24	55555	197.2 216.7 197.6 200.9 223.4 219.7	146.0 - 248.4 200.4 - 233.0 178.0 - 215.2 170.7 - 231.1 197.5 - 249.3 194.8 - 244.5
68	1 4 6 12 24	345555	321.2 234.2 308.3 293.3 315.1 352.2	172.5 - 469.8 126.6 - 341.8 281.8 - 334.9 265.7 - 320.8 265.4 - 361.8 307.2 - 397.3
102	12	5 5 5 4 5	229.3 256.0 255.4 327.0 374.6 429.8	213.5 - 245.1 221.2 - 284.8 234.5 - 276.4 282.0 - 371.9 339.1 - 410.1 405.1 - 454.5

Table Aiii.3 The thallus content of Cl^{-} (mmol kg⁻¹) of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY (700)	ТІМЕ (н)	NUMBER OF Replicates	MEAN MG ²⁺ LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
1.5	1 24 12 24	55555	77.4 80.5 79.3 84.1 71.0 74.6	65.9 - 88.9 72.2 - 88.8 76.8 - 82.7 72.8 - 95.5 65.3 - 84.0
6	1 4 12 24	ちららちち	79.9 74.1 71.5 75.8 69.6 71.1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
11	1 4 6 12 24	いいい	70.0 64.9 69.4 69.4 72.5 73.0	62.4 - 77.6 56.9 - 72.8 64.7 - 74.2 60.3 - 78.6 60.1 - 85.0 68.2 - 77.8
34	1 4 12 24	55555	64.2 66.4 73.1 70.4 68.4 72.0	62.8 - 65.6 57.6 - 75.2 67.5 - 78.8 66.2 - 74.7 61.1 - 75.8 70.8 - 73.2
68	1 4 12 24	いいいい	70.7 56.4 63.4 63.5 74.7 73.3	56.9 - 84.6 51.5 - 61.4 59.7 - 67.0 56.8 - 70.3 64.5 - 84.9 67.4 - 79.3
102	1 4 6 12 24	554555	80-5 92-6 82-5 91-3 73-2 71-7	60.1 - 101.0 79.3 - 105.9 71.6 - 93.4 83.3 - 78.2 67.8 - 75.7

Table Aiii.4 The thallus content of Mg^{2+} (mmol kg⁻¹) of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities

SALINITY D	T ME	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN CA ²⁺ LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
•	· 2462 124	らららら	15.4 15.2 14.5 15.6 20.3 16.1	13.9 - 16.9 12.1 - 18.3 11.2 - 17.8 11.6 - 19.6 15.3 - 25.4 14.1 - 18.1
Ŕ	12 46 12 24	5000000	8.9 8.2 8.2 16.4 13.3	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
11	1 4 12 24		4.1 4.2 4.4 4.3 4.4 4.0	3.6 - 4.7 3.6 - 4.8 3.7 - 5.0 4.2 - 4.5 3.5 - 4.5
- 4	1-24	ראישישראיש	4.3 3.7 4.1 4.5 4.1	3.6 - 4.9 3.5 - 4.7 3.5 - 4.7 3.2 - 4.2 3.2 - 5.0
ξ. K	1 4 12 24	55555	14.8 13.9 15.7 19.1 5.8 6.4	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
•	12 46 12 24	554555	67.68 67.66 67.22	4.8 - 8.7 5.1 - 9.3 5.4 - 8.4 5.8 - 8.1 5.8 - 6.7 3.8 - 10.5

Table Aiii.5 The thallus content of Ca^{2+} (mmol kg⁻¹) of <u>Cladophora</u> rupestris incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	ТІМЕ (н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN K ⁺ /NA ⁺ RATIOS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
1.5	1 4 12 24	55555	7.95 6.24 6.54 8.80 7.30	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
6	1 4 6 12 24		3.61 3.57 3.63 4.30 4.79	3.37 - 3.86 3.30 - 4.11 3.02 - 4.11 3.41 - 3.82 3.16 - 5.44 4.32 - 5.30
11	1 2 4 6 12 24		2.78 3.05 3.23 3.25 3.25 3.35	2.57 - 2.99 2.68 - 3.43 3.01 - 3.45 3.03 - 3.46 2.69 - 3.46 3.13 - 3.60
34	1 4 6 12 24	55555	2.42 2.67 2.81 3.38 3.20	1.98 - 2.85 2.33 - 2.99 2.43 - 3.12 2.51 - 3.73 2.93 - 3.50
68	1 4 12 24	55555	3.25 3.60 3.60 3.60 3.60 3.60 3.60 3.60 3.60	3.01 - 3.50 3.07 - 4.89 2.84 - 4.36 2.75 - 4.12 3.21 - 4.34 3.40 - 4.30
102	1 4 12 24	54555	1.52 1.55 1.61 1.42 3.13 3.21	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

Table Aiii.6 The K⁺/Na⁺ ratio of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> incubated for up to 24h at different salinities.
SALINITY	TIME (h)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN NA* LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
0	12 46 12 24	55555	4.0 4.4 4.8 4.4 2.5 2.3	3.3 - 4.6 4.1 - 4.7 4.1 - 5.4 4.2 - 4.6 1.7 - 3.3 1.5 - 3.1
1.5	12 46 12 24	うらいろうろう	11.5 12.2 12.5 14.7 8.2	9.3 - 13.8 9.5 - 14.9 9.7 - 15.28 11.2 - 9.2 1.2 - 9.9
6	1 4 6 12 24	ちららら	14.2 17.5 19.4 17.7 17.0 15.5	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
11	1 4 6 12 24	ららら	24.1 25.7 22.1 23.0 19.3	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
34	1 4 6 12 24	5555	3268 326 328 326 328 326 32 32 32 52 32 52 32 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	30.9 - 34.7 35.0 - 37.5 34.2 - 42.2 33.1 - 34.6 28.5 - 34.7

Table Aiii.7 The thallus content of Na^+ (mmol kg⁻¹) of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	ТІМЕ (н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN K* LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
0	1 4 12 24		76.3 87.5 85.7 89.4 88.3	61.6 - 90.9 83.6 - 91.4 77.7 - 93.8 83.3 - 95.4 83.3 - 95.6 84.3 - 92.3
1.5	1 4 6 12 24		73.1 71.5 73.6 74.5 78.8	67.1 - 79.2 64.2 - 78.8 71.7 - 75.6 68.2 - 80.8 75.9 - 81.9 80.7 - 87.0
6	1 4 12 24		74.7 75.2 76.6 75.5 82.0	66.8 - 82.7 72.6 - 77.8 66.6 - 75.8 70.3 - 87.9 73.3 - 97.7 71.0 - 93.1
11	1 4 12 24	455555	80.5 82.0 89.0 79.6	73.0 - 87.9 76.0 - 89.9 72.5 - 91.6 81.7 - 96.2 73.6 - 80.2 72.1 - 87.1
34	1 2 4 12 24	55555	50.0 48.2 46.6 43.9 42.5	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

Table Aiii.8 The thallus content of K_+ (mmol kg⁻¹) of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	Ţ IM E Н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN CL- LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
0	1 4 12 24	らららら	39.6 41.6 44.4 46.4 44.4 45.2	33.2 - 46.0 33.3 - 49.8 40.9 - 47.9 37.3 - 55.5 39.4 - 49.3 40.3 - 50.1
1.5	1 4 12 24	555555	25.7 21.9 24.4 27.1 30.3 30.7	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
6	12 4 12 24	555555	27.5 22.0 29.2 31.4 35.8	25.0 - 30.0 15.8 - 28.2 23.0 - 35.4 22.0 - 37.1 26.0 - 36.7 32.3 - 39.3
11	12 4 12 24	4 55555	26.4 26.1 25.0 28.4 26.7	24.0 - 28.7 19.4 - 26.7 20.2 - 32.0 23.4 - 26.6 22.4 - 26.6 24.7 18.7 - 34.7
34	1 4 6 12 24	55555	32.2 37.5 29.0 41.6 34.4 31.6	26.0 - 38.4 33.5 - 41.6 19.9 - 38.1 31.4 - 51.9 13.6 - 55.3 27.9 - 35.4

Table Aiii.9 The thallus content of Cl^{-1} (mmol kg⁻¹) of <u>Cladophora</u> glomerata incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	TIME (h)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN MG ²⁺ LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE
0	1 4 12 24	55555	17.1 20.5 20.6 19.9 19.9 23.5	15.3 - 19.0 18.4 - 22.6 17.5 - 23.6 18.1 - 21.6 17.9 - 21.6 21.8 - 25.1
1.5	124		31.3 30.5 29.2 32.6	29.8 - 32.8 29.1 - 31.8 28.0 - 31.8 27.6 - 34.8 30.2 - 35.0
6	1 4 12 24		30.9 29.2 30.7 28.4 30.5	28.5 - 33.3 28.0 - 30.5 27.5 - 33.9 26.4 - 30.5 28.7 - 32.3 28.7 - 37.3
11	1 4 6 12 24	ちちちち	36.4 375.8 355.8 31.7 30.7	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
34	1 4 6 12 24	5555	38.8 38.0 38.6 35.4 33.6	37.9 - 39.6 35.6 - 40.9 37.29 - 38.9 36.9 - 40.4 37.29 - 38.9 36.9 - 37.7 31.7 - 35.4

Table Aiii.10 The thallus content of Mg^{2+} (mmol kg⁻¹) of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

\$4,1NITY	Ţ IME ^{°н} Э	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN CA ²⁺ LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
C	1 4 12 24	55555	6632 989 75.3 989 75.3	45.1 - 90.2 49.6 - 81.0 55.0 - 109.5 75.8 - 121.5 47.0 - 72.9 56.2 - 94.4
1.5	12 4 12 24	55555	99.0 105.1 112.1 72.6 52.3 44.1	51.6 - 146.4 57.8 - 152.5 61.1 - 163.1 60.1 - 85.1 24.6 - 81.8 24.6 - 63.5
6	1 4 6 12 24	555555	73.6 59.1 49.5 56.4 51.1 47.3	57.8 - 89.5 39.9 - 78.3 28.5 - 70.5 32.0 - 80.8 10.3 - 91.8 14.4 - 80.3
11	1 4 12 24	555555	62.9 23.8 68.4 55.6 42.5	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
34	1 24 124 24	55 4 455	78.9 106.0 90.5 102.9 70.0 51.7	46.2 - 111.6 66.8 - 145.2 55.7 - 125.2 54.7 - 190.2 54.6 - 85.2 29.3 - 74.2

Table Aiii.11 The thallus content of Ca^{2+} (mmol kg⁻¹) of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	ТІМЕ (н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN K*/NA* RATIOS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
()	1 4 12 24	55544	19.18 19.80 18.13 20.32 31.80 35.88	16.59 - 21.78 18.15 - 21.45 15.75 - 20.52 18.82 - 21.84 29.09 - 34.50 26.13 - 45.63
1.5	1 4 12 24	55555	6.47 6.01 6.05 5.16 9.01 10.22	5.01 - 7.93 4.58 - 7.45 4.81 - 7.29 4.07 - 6.26 8.69 - 9.51 9.04 - 11.40
6	12 4 12 24	5	7.17 4.31 3.79 4.32 4.45 5.30	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
11	124	45555	3.36 3.24 3.71 3.70 3.34 4.13	2.70 - 4.03 2.84 - 3.65 3.25 - 4.17 3.78 - 3.91 2.80 - 4.47
<u></u> }4	1 2 4 12 24	55555	1 • 53 1 • 22 1 • 22 1 • 22 1 • 32 1 • 33	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

Table Aiii.12 The K^+/Na^+ ratio for <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> incubated for differnt periods up to 24h at different salinities.

TEMPERATURE	SALINITY (700)	MEAN NET PHOTOSYNTHESIS	STANDARD ERROR (+/-)
- 9	0 6 34 68 102	25.3 10.7 24.2 32.4 31.8 34.1	2.0 3.3 1.9 0.7 1.8 4.6
4	0 11 34 68 102	45.2 50.2 61.1 46.8 30.7	2.3 7.0 10.2 10.7 10.7 5.3
10	0 6 3 4 68 102	15.4 86.4 89.3 44.4 48.7	9.3 17.5 10.1 10.5 19.6 4.0
15	0 11 34 68 102	42.2 67.6 56.7 47.8 31.1	2.4 3.0 7.8 3.1 1.5
20	0 6 11 34 68 102	47.4 75.6 72.2 65.0 57.4 37.3	9.3 12.2 15.0 3.7 7.2 8.9
25	0 11 34 68 102	4.9 40.1 44.1 43.8 42.9 25.2	2.4 3.6 1.4 1.8 2.8
30	0 11 34 68 102	1.3 1.1 0.0 27.0 19.0 6.4	1.3 0.7 0.0 7.8 2.4 1.4

Table Aiv.1 The net photosynthetic rates $(mgO_2h^{-1}g^{-1})$ of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> following incubation in salinity treatments at different temperatures.

TEMPERATURE	SALINITY	MEAN NET PHOTOSYNTHESIS	STANDARD ERROR
- 9	1.5 6 11 34	52. 4 22. 7 2.1	1.59 0.05 0.70 1.31
4	1.5 6 11 34	77.6 64.4 76.8 44.8 26.7	18.80 9.21 19.90 9.19 3.32
10	1.5 6 11 34	105.7 62.2 41.0 35.0 9.9	3.0 6.1 2.3 2.0 3.1
15	1.5 6 11 34	76.7 87.2 71.4 63.9 30.8	9.0 9.3 15.1 5.6
20	1.5 6 11 34	89.8 80.9 61.1 57.3 21.8	10.5 5.8 1.8 2.8
25	0 1-5 11 34	81.6 87.8 75. 4 22.5	1.0 0.8 6.8 6.5
30	1.5 6 11 34	81.1 86.9 74.1 74.3 38.5	5.5 9.7 13.2 4.7 6.5

Table Aiv.2 Net photosynthetic rates $(mgO_2h^{-1}g^{-1})$ of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> following incubation in salinity treatments at different temperatures.

APPENDIX v

(A)			(B)		
CELL LENGTH س	FREQ U.K.	UENCY BALTIC	CELL WIDTH M	FREC U.K.	UENCY BALTIC
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	0 1 64 12 1 1 3 1 0 00 1	0 1 18 13 14 0 0 0 0 0	20 - 24 25 - 29 30 - 34 355 - 34 455 - 49 555 - 59 605 - 64 705 - 74 705 - 79 80 - 84	0 0 9 17 17 0 14 10	0 0 8 24 18 0 0 0 0

Table v.1 The size class frequency of cell length (A) and width (B) of U.K. and Baltic populations of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u>.

(A)			(B)		
CELL LENGTH سر	FREQUEN U.K.	CY BALTIC	CELL WIDTH سM	FREQ U.K.	UENCY BALTIC
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	0172992000000000000000000000000000000000	0 6 8 12 1 3 1 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	20 - 24 25 - 29 30 - 34 35 - 39 40 - 44 50 - 59 50 - 59 60 - 69 70 - 74 75 - 84	0 2 0 13 24 0 11 0	0 0 13 15 8 0 7 0 4

Table A v.2 The size class frequency of cell length (A) and width (B) of U.K. and Baltic populations of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u>.

CELL VOLUME (μ m ³)	FREQUENCY	
	U.K	BALTIC
0 - 499999	11	34
500000 - 999999	20	16
1000000 - 1499999	13	0
1500000 - 1999999	4	0
2000000 - 2499999	1	0
2500000 - 2999999	0	0
3000000 - 3499999	1	0

Table A v.3 The size class frequency of cell volume estimates of U.K. and Baltic populations of <u>Cladophora</u> rupestris.

CELL VOLUME (Jum ³)	FREQ	UENCY
7	U.K.	BALTIC
0 - 499999	20	29
500000 - 999999	27	10
1000000 - 1499999	3	4
1500000 - 1999999	0	1
2000000 - 2499999	0	5
2500000 - 2999999	0	0
3000000 - 3499999	0	2

Table A v.4 The size class frequency of cell volume estimates of U.K. and Baltic populations of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u>.

SALINITY	MEAN NET PHOTOSYNTHESIS	STANDARD ERROR
0	45.0	7.34
6	44.8	4.52
11	47.5	2.08
34	40.4	6.03
68	21.9	0.66
102	21.4	2.40

Table A v.5 The net photosynthetic rates $(mgO_2h^{-1}g^{-1})$ of Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> following incubation in salinity treatments at 10°C.

SALINITY	MEAN NET PHOTOSYNTHESIS	STANDARD ERROR
1.5 11	151.6 158.4 190.5 194.0	13.00 10.40 20.60 14.30

Table A v.6 The net photosynthetic rates $(mgO_2h^{-1}g^{-1})$ of Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> following incubation in salinity treatments at 10°C.

SALINITY	TIME	NUMBER OF	MEAN %	RANGE OF
1001		REPLICATES	PROTOPLASM AREA	¥ VALUES
1.5	0 30min 1h 6h 24h	55544	100.0 96.8 95.8 98.9 98.4	100.0 - 100.0 84.3 - 103.0 82.5 - 101.8 96.2 - 101.0 97.1 - 100.1
6	0 30min 1h	55 *	100.0 98.5	100.0 - 100.0 95.7 - 102.8
	бн 24н	5	97.4 97.4	94.4 - 102.5 93.4 - 101.1
34	0 30min 1h 6h 24h	55554	100.0 98.6 97.9 96.0 97.5	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
68	0 30min 1h 6h 24h	5 5 5 4 5	100.0 93.4 96.0 93.4	99.5 - 100.0 88.9 - 97.3 92.6 - 99.2 92.3 - 108.3 85.8 - 105.9
102	0 30min 1h 6h 24h	55554	100.0 82.8 83.1 84.1 86.8	100.0 - 100.0 71.2 - 87.8 71.3 - 87.8 80.7 - 87.2 80.2 - 91.1

Table A v.7 The percentage change in the cell protoplast area of Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> incubated at different salinities over a 24h period. * : measurements not made.

SALINITY	TIME	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN ¥ TOTAL- CELL AREA	RANGE OF X VALUES
1.5	0 30min 1h 6h 24h	5554	100.0 96.8 95.8 98.9 98.4	100.0 - 100.0 84.3 - 103.0 82.5 - 101.8 96.2 - 101.0 97.1 - 100.1
6	0 30mtn 1H	55	100.0 98.5	100.0 - 100.0 95.7 - 102.8
	бн 2 4 н	3	97: 7	94.4 - 102.5 93.4 - 101.3
34	0 30min 1H 6H 24H	55555	100.0 98.6 97.9 96.0 94.2	100.0 - 100.0 98.0 - 99.1 96.9 - 98.8 94.4 - 99.6 96.3 - 100.0
68	0 30min 1H 6H 24H	55545	100.0 95.5 96.8 96.6 96.2	100.0 - 100.0 92.7 - 99.0 93.1 - 99.7 91.3 - 108.3 89.9 - 106.6
102	0 30min 1H 6H 24H	55554	100.0 90.4 90.7 88.8 92.8	100.0 - 100.0 78.8 - 94.4 80.5 - 95.2 85.1 - 93.4 84.9 - 100.3

Table A v.8 The percentage change in the total-cell area of Baltic <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> incubated at different salinities over a 24h period. * : measurements not made.

SALINITY	TIME	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN % PROTOPLASM AREA	RANGE OF X VALUES
0	0 30min 1h 6h 24h	55554	100-0 100-5 98-6 95-9 93-9	100.0 - 100.0 98.2 - 102.9 93.1 - 107.3 93.7 - 97.2 87.6 - 97.7
1.5	0 30min 1н 6н 24н	4 4 4 4	100.0 98.9 99.1 97.9 97.9	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
6	0 30min 1H 6H 24H	\$ 4 5	100.0 99.2 98.4	100.0 - 100.0 97.7 - 100.8 96.6 - 102.4 94.2 - 106.1
34	0 30min 1H 6H 24H	54555	100.0 73.8 76.3 78.1	100.0 - 100.0 65.8 - 83.6 67.4 - 83.2 68 - 85.7 70.6 - 88.7

Table A v.9 The percentage change in cell protoplast area of Baltic <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> incubated at different salinities over a 24h period. * : measurements not made.

SALINITY	TIME	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN % TOTAL- CELL AREA	RANGE OF X VALUES
0	0 30min 1H 6H 24H	5554	100-0 100-5 98-6 93-9	100.0 - 100.0 93.2 - 102.9 93.1 - 107.3 93.7 - 97.2 87.6 - 97.7
1.5	0 30min 1h 6h 24h	4 4 4	100-0 100-0 97-9	100.0 - 100.0 99.2 - 101.7 97.8 - 102.2 94.8 - 101.3 94.4 - 102.0
6	0 30min 1H 6H 24H	557	100.0 99.2 99.1 98.4	100-9 - 100-8 97-7 - 100-8 84-2 - 182-1
34	0 30min 1H 6H 24H	54555	100.0 99.6 99.0 100.7	100.0 - 100.0 97.9 - 103.2 96.0 - 102.5 95.0 - 103.5 94.4 - 111.7

Table A v.10 The percentage change in the total-cell area of Baltic <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> incubated at different salinities over a 24h period. * : measurements not made.

SALINITY	TIME (H)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN NA* LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE
1.5	2 24 24	555	52.8 76.2 70.7	40.0 - 65.6 69.3 - 83.2 58.6 - 82.7
6	2 6 24	5 5 5	72.3 63.1 67.3	54.2 - 90.4 56.9 - 69.4 53.1 - 81.5
34	2 24	55	102.5 132.1 109.3	89.3 - 115.8 91.8 - 172.5 98.9 - 119.7
68	2 24	55	101.4 114.4 126.0	95.3 - 107.5 102.1 - 126.7 93.0 - 159.0
102	2 24	55	132.5 152.6 160.1	110.0 - 154.9 126.4 - 178.9 130.8 - 189.5

Table A v.11 The thallus content of Na⁺ (mmol kg⁻¹) of Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> rupestris incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	ТІМЕ (н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN K* LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE
1.5	2 24	5	155.7 135.6 127.3	138.9 - 172.5 119.2 - 152.1 109.2 - 145.5
6	2 24	555	131-3 156-3 153-8	120.0 - 142.6 148.1 - 164.5 145.9 - 161.8
34	2 24	555	143.6 131.3 166.5	128.2 - 159.0 114.1 - 148.6 151.8 - 181.2
68	2 24	55	152.1 164.5 234.7	129.4 - 174.8 151.7 - 177.3 217.0 - 252.5
102	2 24	55	105.3 110.3 145.8	100.4 - 110.2 89.8 - 130.8 113.1 - 178.4

Table A v.12 The thallus content of K^+ (mmol kg⁻¹) of Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> rupestris incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	ТІМЕ (н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN CL LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE
1.5	2 24	555	146.8 127.6 125.8	123.7 - 170.0 115.8 - 139.3 85.2 - 166.3
6	2 24	Ş	127.3 19.0 116.4	107-0 - 147-6 110-7 - 27-3 111-4 - 121-3
34	24 24	ş	133.0 147.2 145.5	106-8 - 159-2 126-0 - 168-4 131-0 - 160-1
68	24 24	554	169.7 193.1 210.4	130.9 - 208.6 173.6 - 212.5 104.3 - 316.5
102	24	554	109-2 137-7 240-2	92.0 - 126.3 77.6 - 197.9 192.8 - 287.5

Table A v.13 The thallus content of Cl^{-1} (mmol kg⁻¹) of Baltic <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	TIME (h)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN MG ²⁺ LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
1.5	2 24	555	78-3 76-7	73.0 - 83.6 69.3 - 83.2 58.6 - 82.7
6	2 6 24	555	69.6 70.2 73.3	67.1 - 72.0 62.8 - 77.5 66.7 - 80.0
34	2 6 24	555	55.1 57.6 60.3	51.1 - 59.0 56.6 - 58.5 55.0 - 65.6
68	2 6 24	555	60.8 61.5 65.5	56.7 - 64.8 56.1 - 67.0 59.0 - 72.0
102	26	555	65-6 62-3 67.7	57.7 - 73.5 56.5 - 68.0 48.8 - 86.5

Table A v.14 The thallus content of Mg^{2+} (mmol kg⁻¹) of Baltic <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY (700)	ТЕМЕ (н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN CA ²⁺ LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE
1.5	2 24	5 5 5	67.6 38.3 25.2	9.8 - 125.4 0.5 - 76.2 3.3 - 47.2
6	2 24	5 5	32.8 29.8 57.1	9.5 - 56.2 7.8 - 51.8 -7.2 - 121.5
34	24 24	55	16.9 24.9 49.4	7.1 - 26.7 9.9 - 39.8 0.0 - 98.8
68	2 24	555	27.0 25.6 51.9	1.6 - 52.3 11.3 - 39.9 10.4 - 93.3
102	26	555	27.6 15.8 42.3	17.7 - 37.6 4.0 - 27.5 8.1 - 76.6

Table A v.15 The thallus content of Ca^{2+} (mmol kg⁻¹) of Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	TIME (H)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN K*/NA* RATIOS	95% CONFIDENCE
1.5	2 24	555	3.06 1.79 1.82	2.10 - 4.02 1.47 - 2.12 1.49 - 2.16
6	2 6 24	555	1.89 2.49 2.33	1.35 - 2.43 2.17 - 2.81 1.89 - 2.77
34	2 6 24	555	1.41 1.04 1.53	1.32 - 1.49 0.69 - 1.40 1.28 - 1.79
68	26	555	1.51 1.45 1.92	1.22 - 1.79 1.27 - 1.62 1.50 - 2.33
102	2 6 24	555	0.81 0.72 0.92	0.66 - 0.96 0.67 - 0.77 0.73 - 1.11

Table A v.16 The K^+/Na^+ ratio of Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY (700)	TIME (h)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN NA ⁺ LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS	
0	2 24	4 4 5	21.8 11.6 26.8	12.2 - 31.4 3.6 - 19.5 14.9 - 38.7	
1.5	2 6 24	4 5 5	8.2 21.3 26.2	4.5 - 11.9 5.8 - 36.7 14.3 - 38.1	
6	2 24	555	22.7 29.3 28.9	13.7 - 31.7 21.2 - 37.4 19.9 - 37.9	
34	2 24	5 5 4	45.6 41.0 48.7	25.3 - 65.9 35.0 - 47.1 34.8 - 62.5	

Table A v.17 The thallus content of Na⁺ (mmol kg⁻¹) of Baltic <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	TIME (H)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN K ⁺ LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
0	2 24	5 5 5	104.3 105.0 92.9	89.5 - 119.1 98.5 - 111.6 83.7 - 102.1
1.5	2 24	555	109.2 118.8 108.1	94.6 - 123.9 104.5 - 133.1 96.3 - 119.8
6	2 6 24	555	120.4 143.6 113.8	111.6 - 129.2 120.1 - 167.0 109.3 - 118.3
34	2 24	555	85.3 93.1 115.7	66.6 - 104.0 69.6 - 116.7 85.1 - 146.3

Table A v.18 The thallus content of K^+ (mmol kg⁻¹) of Baltic <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	ТIME (н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN CL ⁻ LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE
0	2 24	555	72.9 86.7 67.0	67.3 - 78.6 45.9 - 127.5 63.3 - 70.8
1.5	2 24	555	73.0 100.8 70.0	29.6 - 116.4 52.6 - 149.1 57.6 - 82.4
6	2 24	55	73.1 92.1 67.6	56.2 - 90.1 53.5 - 130.7 43.7 - 91.5
34	2 24	555	50.5 74.6 95.6	30.5 - 70.6 53.5 - 91.7 68.1 - 123.2

Table A v.19 The thallus content of Cl^{-1} (mmol kg⁻¹) of Baltic <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

APPENDICES

SALINITY	TIME	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN CA ²⁺ LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE	APPENDICES
0	2 2 2 4	555	4.8 3.3 4.3	2.2 - 7.4 2.9 - 3.7 2.9 - 5.7	
1.5	2 6 24	5 5 5	2.5 2.0 2.2	2.0 - 3.0 1.8 - 2.3 1.8 - 2.7	
6	2 6 2 4	5 5 5	2.0	1.5 - 2.4 2.2 - 2.4 1.6 - 2.0	
34	2 6 24	5 5 5	2.4 2.6 2.4	1.9 - 3.0 1.8 - 3.5 2.1 - 2.7	

Table A v.20 The thallus content of Ca^{2+} (mmol kg⁻¹) of Baltic <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	ТІМЕ (н)	NUMBER OF REPLICATES	MEAN Mg ²⁺ LEVELS	95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS
0	2 24	555	23.3 23.6 21.4	18.7 - 27.9 20.4 - 26.8 18.9 - 24.0
1.5	2 24	555	33.0 28.3 28.2	27.2 - 38.8 24.3 - 32.3 25.3 - 31.0
6	2 24	5 5 5	32.3 33.6 26.1	27.6 - 36.9 29.1 - 38.2 24.1 - 28.1
34	26 24	555	39.1 40.9 36.7	36.6 - 41.6 39.2 - 42.7 35.0 - 38.4

Table A v.21 The thallus content of Mg^{2+} (mmol kg⁻¹) of Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> glomerata incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

SALINITY	TIME	NUMBER OF	MEAN K*/Na*	95% CONFIDENCE
	(н)	REPLICATES	RATIOS	LIMITS
0	2	4	5.23	2.16 - 8.29
	6	4	11.65	-1.59 - 24.90
	24	5	3.80	2.21 - 5.39
1.5	2	4	14.25	4.69 - 23.81
	6	5	9.15	-2.33 - 20.63
	24	5	4.45	2.96 - 5.95
6	2 24	555	5.82 4.97 4.14	3.28 - 8.36 4.39 - 5.54 2.85 - 5.42
34	26	5 5	1.94 2.28 2.63	1.57 - 2.31 1.70 - 2.86 1.53 - 3.73

Table A v.22 The K^+/Na^+ ratio of Baltic <u>Cladophora</u> <u>glomerata</u> incubated for periods up to 24h at different salinities.

Manuscript accepted for publication in Botanica Marina.

Interactive effects of temperature and salinity upon net photosynthesis of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> (L.) Kütz. and <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u> (L.) Kütz.

D.N.Thomas , J.C.Collins and G.Russell.

Department of Botany, The University, Liverpool L69 3BX, England.

Abstract

Rates of net photosynthesis of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> and <u>C. rupestris</u> have been measured following treatment for 48h in media of different salinities (0 to $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$) and at different temperatures (-9 to 30° C). At extreme temperatures, <u>C. rupestris</u> had a reduced salinity-tolerance range. <u>C.</u> <u>glomerata</u> proved better able to tolerate increased salinity at higher temperatures. The measured tolerances to temperature and salinity of both species exceeded the extremes of these two variables recorded in their natural environment.

Introduction

Detailed investigations have been made of the independent effects of temperature and salinity upon the rates of net photosynthesis of many macro-algae. Often, such measurements are made as separate parts of the same study (Chock and Mathieson 1979, Gordon et al. 1980, Penniman and Mathieson 1985), but the interactive effects of the two external factors are not discussed. Other studies in which the influence of temperature upon the photosynthetic activity and growth of algae subject to saline stress have been measured, indicate that algae may have markedly different abilities to withstand changes in their osmotic conditions when external treated at different temperatures (Dawes et al. 1978, Fralick and Mathieson 1975, Kjeldson and Phinney 1972, Yarish and Edwards 1982, Zavodnik 1975). Dawes et al. (1976) and Lehnberg (1978) have also demonstrated the complex interactive effects of temperature, salinity and light intensity upon the photosynthetic activity of Hypnea musciformis (Wulfen) Lamour. and Delessaria sanguinea (Huds.) Lamour. respectively. All of these authors have demonstrated that external pressures such as salinity and temperature should not be treated as distinct and unrelated in influence upon the growth and/or reproduction, and their ultimately the distribution of a particular species or ecotype within a species.

The purpose of the present study was to measure the effects of temperature upon the reponses to saline stress of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> and <u>C. glomerata</u>, the former being predominantly a marine species and the latter being mostly restricted to freshwater. Both species are also found in brackish water

conditions (Hoek 1963), and therefore, measurements of salt tolerance and the effects of temperature upon salinity responses are of interest. Net photosynthesis was used as an indirect measure of the extent of physiological damage following salinity treatment at various temperatures.

Materials and Methods

Cladophora rupestris was collected from an exposed site in the upper eulittoral zone on Hilbre Island, Cheshire, U.K., which is located at the mouth of the Dee estuary (Russell 1973). C. glomerata was obtained from a benthic population in the Leeds-Liverpool Canal at Maghull, Merseyside, U.K., which is a freshwater site. Plant material was returned to the laboratory and maintained at 10⁰C in aerated filtered seawater (<u>C.rupestris</u>) and filtered canal water (C. glomerata), which was changed at least every 72h. The plants were kept under artificial light at a light intensity of approximately 50 μ E m⁻² s⁻¹ (8h light : 16h dark). All experimental material was used within 5 days of collection.

The temperature and salinity of the surface seawater were recorded at the Hilbre site, for the period October 1985 - May 1987. The temperature and salinity of water retained among emergent Cladophora rupestris plants was also measured over this period. Temperature was measured using a mercury thermometer and salinity measured by means of a refractometer (Aquafauna. Bio-Marine inc.). Water was sampled from emergent Cladophora thalli with a 1.0cm³ clean plastic syringe for salinity measurement. Access to Hilbre Island by foot is restricted to periods of midtide level and below, and all visits were made on falling tides and just before low water. The <u>C.</u> rupestris plants at this site

are exposed to terrestial climate conditions at approximately 2h after high water, and are emergent for periods of up to 8h during a tidal period. At the times of sampling therefore, the plants had been emergent for periods of approximately 4h. Seasonal variations in water temperature were also measured at the <u>C.</u> glomerata site. There is no significant seasonal variation in the salinity conditions at this freshwater site (Howard et al. 1984).

A range of salinity treatments $(0 - 102^{\circ}/00)$ was prepared by dilution or evaporation of seawater $(34^{\circ}/00)$ collected from the Menai Straits, Anglesey, N.Wales, U.K. <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> was treated with the following media : 0, 6, 11, 34, 68 and $102^{\circ}/00$. <u>C. glomerata</u> was treated with : 0, 1.5, 6, 11 and $34^{\circ}/00$. Experiments were performed at the following temperatures: $-9\pm3^{\circ}c$, $4\pm1^{\circ}c$, $10\pm1^{\circ}c$, $15\pm1^{\circ}c$, $20\pm1^{\circ}c$, $25\pm1^{\circ}c$ and $30\pm1^{\circ}c$.

Similar-sized clean portions of thallus were incubated in 150 cm^3 of the treatment media at the experimental period for 48h. Due to the freezing of the media at -9° c, and the subsequent need for thawing out of plants before further stages of the experiment, this material was incubated in smaller volumes (15 - 20 cm^3) contained in shallow Petri dishes. Three replicates of each treatment were used in a random block design. Following treatment in at the various experimental temperatures, all treatment flasks were allowed to stabilise at 10° c for approximately 2h before experimentation proceeded.

The plant material was then removed from the treatments and blotted carefully to remove any residual surface water. The plants were then placed into 125cm^3 recovery vessels filled with water natural to the locality in which the plants normally grow. Thus <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> recovered in seawater ($34^{\circ}/_{00}$) and C.

glomerata in filtered canal water. These waters had first been boiled to remove oxygen, and then enriched with $NaHCO_{2}$ (0.42gl⁻¹) as a carbon source. These flasks were then stoppered without introducing any air and incubated at 10°C under artificial light (60 μ E m⁻² s⁻¹) for 2h in a random block design. Three flasks containing only de-oxygenated water were incorporated as controls. Flasks containing dead Cladophora plants would have been better controls, although in practice no measurable amounts of oxygen were introduced into the flasks containing salinity treatments that killed plant material. The experimental light intensities used were lower than the saturating light intensities of both species, however, the plants were collected from very light-limited sites ; C. rupestris from the roof of a large crevice on a south facing rock face, and C. glomerata from a submerged population (1.5m) in the canal.

Plants were removed from the flasks without introducing any air, and the oxygen that had been produced by the samples was was determined using a modified Winkler titration technique (Strickland and Parsons 1972). The mean oxygen concentration of the three control flasks was subtracted from the values obtained from the treatment flasks to give the net amount of oxygen produced by the plant tissue. The plant material was oven-dryed at 50° C to constant weight and weighed so that the net photosynthetic rate could be expressed as: $mgO_2h^{-1}g^{-1}(dry)$ weight). This method is similar to that described by Russell 1987).

Results

The combined effects of temperature and salinity upon <u>Cladophora glomerata and C. rupestris</u> are shown in Figure 1. and Figure 2. respectively. The data were analysed by one-way ANOVA to test for a significant response to salinity at each temperature (Table I.). A two-way ANOVA showed the responses of both species to salinity and to temperature to be significant (Table II.). The interaction between salinity and temperature was also found to be significantly different in both species (Table II.).

After treatment at -9° C <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> produced no oxygen at any salinity except at $0^{\circ}/00$ in which extremely low levels were obtained (Fig.1.). At all other temperatures, treatment in $34^{\circ}/00$ salinity significantly reduced oxygen production. The most productive sector of Figure 3 is clearly that determined by salinities $0-11^{\circ}/00$ and temperatures $17-30^{\circ}$ C. The levels of oxygen production under normal salinity conditions $(0^{\circ}/00)$ showed no significant response to temperature increase, although the net photosynthesis of the plants in the $34^{\circ}/00$ treatments increased with temperature.

In the case of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u>, the most marked inhibition of net photosynthesis was obtained with a combination of low salinity $(0^{\circ}/oo)$ and high temperature $(25-30^{\circ}C)$. At the highest temperature, this species was also greatly damaged by the highest salinity $(102^{\circ}/oo)$. The lowest temperature $(-9^{\circ}C)$ proved to be more damaging when associated with low salinities (6 and $11^{\circ}/oo$). At all other combinations of salinity and temperature, the plants were capable of oxygen production, though this was usually significantly reduced at the saline extremes (0 and

Table I. The level of significance of the responses to salinity treatment shown by <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> and <u>C. glomerata</u> at different experimental temperatures, following one-way ANOVA. (N.S.= P> 0.05; *-- = P \leq 0.05; **- = P \leq 0.01; *** = P \leq 0.001.)

	Temperature ^o c						
	-9	4	10	15	20	25	30
<u>Cladophora</u> rupestris	***	N.S.	**_	**-	N.S.	***	***
<u>Cladophora</u> glomerata	N.S.	N.S.	***	*	***	***	*

Table II. The level of significance of the net photosynthetic responses to the combined effects of salinity and temperature of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> and <u>C. glomerata</u> following two-way ANOVA. (see Table I. for notation used).

<u>C. rupestris</u> <u>C. glomerata</u>

(a). Salinity.	* * *	***
(b). Temperature.	* * *	***
(c).Interaction of axb.	***	***

102⁰/00).

Discussion and Conclusions

Growth measurements of Cladophora rupestris in culture have led Cambridge et al. (1984) to conclude that this species is tolerent of temperatures from below -5 up to 25-30°C. The results obtained during this study indicate a higher upper temperature limit, the plants not being killed at 30° C under normal salinity conditions (34⁰/oo). Biebl (1959) also, recorded C. rupestris surviving temperatures between -8 and 30°C for at least 12h. However, the activity of the plants was significantly reduced at this high temperature, and longer periods of incubation might cause greater cell damage and subsequent plant mortality. The temperature tolerance shown by C. glomerata agrees with that reported by Whitton (1970), the plants being most active photosynthetically at the high temperatures but not tolerating the very low temperature of -9° c. Russell (1987) discusses problems involved in extrapolating the results obtained from short-term experiments, to conditions experienced in nature. It is thought that the 48h incubation periods used in this study are sufficient to show trends in the responses of these two species, but caution should be used in interpreting such results, and further long-term experiments are desirable.

By combining the values of net photosynthesis obtained at each salinity for all seven temperatures it is possible to produce generalised salt tolerance curves for both species (Fig.3.). These curves suggest that <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> is only tolerant of very slightly saline waters, net photosynthesis being significantly reduced in salinities of $11^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ and above. <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u> is tolerant of a wide salinity range, oxygen production

being significantly lower only in the extremes of 0 and $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$.

The ability of <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> to withstand much lower temperatures than <u>C. glomerata</u>, is possibly due to the former having a higher intracellular ionic content and possibly higher levels of organic solutes, which may confer a greater degree of protection against damage caused by freezing (see Russell 1987). The greater oxygen production of <u>C. rupestris</u> following higher salinity treatment at -9° C, may also be a result of the increases in intracellular osmotica at these salinities, protecting the cells from freezing effects.

The damage caused to <u>Cladophora rupestris</u> by a combination of high temperature salinity extremes (0 and $102^{\circ}/\circ\circ$), implies that its cell physiology is susceptible to osmotic imbalance at temperatures close to the upper temperature limits of the plant. On the other hand, optimum oxygen production by <u>C. glomerata</u> in 34^o/oo was obtained at higher temperatures, which would suggest that its cells are better able to make osmotic adjustments in warm water.

The salinity of onshore Hilbre seawater was quite constant over the period readings were taken (27 to $35^{\circ}/\circ\circ$). <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u> during periods of emergence, experiences both hypo- and hypersaline conditions resulting from prevailing climatic conditions. Generally these salinity changes have proved to be small ($5^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ above and below that of the seawater), although salinities as low as $2^{\circ}/\circ\circ$ were recorded on a few occasions following very heavy precipitation. These observations would suggest that it is rare for the plants growing at this site to experience large fluctuations in external salinity, although it is important to note that samples were taken from <u>C.</u> <u>rupestris</u>

plants that had been exposed for only about 1/2 of their total emergence period. It is probable therefore, that at times of resubmergence these algae had experienced greater changes in salinity than those recorded when visited the site. The temperature of the seawater varied seasonally between 2 and 20°C. Winter temperatures of emergent C. rupestris were generally higher (1 to 5⁰C) than those of seawater, and the reverse was true of the warmer periods of the year. There was no period of very hot, dry weather during the summer 1986, which may have led underestimation of the upper temperature and salinity to extremes. Also by taking temperature measurements only during the day, the lowest winter temperatures were likewise unrecorded. The canal water experiences similar variations in temperature during the year, though the maximum recorded temperature of canal water was slightly higher than that of Hilbre seawater during the summer (22°C).

The measured salt tolerances therefore follow closely the salinity regimes of the two plant populations: <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> living in stable freshwater conditions and <u>C. rupestris</u> being subjected to dilutions of thallus surface water during precipitation, and to a lesser extent, hypersaline conditions produced by evaporation. The temperature extremes recorded at both sampling sites fall well within the tolerance limits measured for the two species. The salinity tolerances of both species, although clearly affected by temperature, are not significantly altered over the range of temperatures encountered in the field.

Evidently, temperature is an important factor governing the ability of both species of <u>Cladophora</u> to withstand salinity $\frac{10}{10}$

stress, and the results emphasize the importance of considering interactions between environmental variables in studies concerned with the distribution of macro-algae in nature.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a N.E.R.C. research studentship awarded to D.N.T.

Biebl,R. 1959. Temperatur und osmotische Resistenz von Meeresalgen der bretonischen Kuste.

<u>Protoplasma</u>. <u>50</u> : 217-242.

Cambridge, M., A.M.Breeman, R.van Oosterwijk and C.van den Hoek. 1984. Temperature responses of some North Atlantic <u>Cladophora</u> species (Chlorophyceae) in relation to their geographic distribution.

Helgoländer Meeresunters. 38 : 349-363.

- Chock,J.S. and A.C.Mathieson. 1979. Physiological ecology of
 <u>Ascophyllum nodosum</u> (L.) Le Jolis and its detached ecad
 <u>scorpoides</u> (Hornemann) Hauck (Fucales, Phyaeophyta).
 <u>Botanica Mar. 22. : 21-26.</u>
- Dawes,C.J., R.E.Moon and M.A. Davis. 1978. The photosynthetic and respiratory rates from a mangrove and salt marsh estuary : A comparative study.

Est. Coast. Mar. Sci. 6 : 175-185.

Dawes,C.J., R.E.Moon and J.LaClaire. 1976. Photosynthetic responses of the red algae <u>Hypnea musciformis</u> (Wulfen) Lamouroux (Gigartinales).

Bull. mar. Sci. 26 : 467-473.

- Fralick,R.A. and A.C.Mathieson. 1975. Physiological ecology
 of four <u>Polysiphonia</u> species (Rhodophyta, Ceremiales).
 <u>Mar. Biol. 29</u> : 29-36.
- Gordon,D.M., P.B.Birch and A.J.McComb. 1980. The effect of light, temperature and salinity on photosynthetic rates of an estuarine <u>Cladophora</u>.

Botanica Mar. 23. : 749- 755.

- Hoek,C.van den. 1963. <u>Revision of the European Species of</u> <u>Cladophora</u>. Brill, Leiden, 248pp. (Reprint Koeltz, Koenigstein, 1976).
- Howard, J.R., G.Skirrow and W.A.House. 1984. Major ion and carbonate system chemistry of a navigable canal. <u>Freshwater Biol.</u> <u>14</u>: 515-532.

Kjeldson,C.K. and K.H.Phinney. 1972. Effects of variations in salinity and temperature on some estuarine macro-algae. Proc. Int. Seaweed Symp. 7 : 301-308.

Lehnberg,W. 1978. Die Wirkung eines Licht-Temperatur-Salzgehalt Komplexes auf den Gaswechsel von <u>Delessaria</u> <u>sanguinea</u> (Rhodophyta) aus der westlichen Ostsee.

Botanica Mar. 21 : 485-497.

Penniman,C.A. and A.C.Mathieson. 1985. Photosynthesis of <u>Gracilaria tikvahiae</u> McLachlan (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) from the Great Bay Estuary, New Hampshire).

Botanica Mar. 28. : 427-435.

Russell,G. 1973. Phytosociological studies on a two zone shore II community structure.

J. Ecol. 61. : 525-536.

Russell,G. 1987. Spatial and environmental components of evolutionary change.

Helgolander wiss. Meeresunters. 41. (in press).

Strickland,J.D.H. and T.R.Parsons. 1972. A practical hand book to seawater analysis.

Bull. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada. 167 : 21-26.

Whitton,B.A. 1970. Biology of <u>Cladophora</u> in freshwaters : Review paper.

Water Res. 4 : 457-476.

Yarish, C. and P.Edwards. 1982. A field and cultural investigation of the horizontal and seasonal distribution of estuarine red algae of New Jersey.

Phycologia 21 : 112-124.

Zavodnik, N. 1975. Effects of temperature and salinity variations on photosynthesis of some littoral seaweeds of the North Adriatic Sea.

Botanica. Mar. 18 : 245-250.

Fig.1. The effect of temperature and salinity upon the net photosynthesis of <u>Cladophora</u> glomerata.

Fig.2. The effect of temperature and salinity upon the net photosynthesis of <u>Cladophora</u> <u>rupestris</u>. N.B. The scale used is different than that used in Fig.1.

Fig. 3. Salt tolerance curves for <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> (-0-0-)and <u>C. rupestris</u> (-0-0-). Obtained by adding the responses at each salinity together for all 7 temperatures. The bars represent the Least Significant Difference for each data set.





