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#### Abstract

This thesis presents the results of an experiment into the automatic selection of concordance lines from very large corpora.

Corpora now exist which are in excess of 100 million words in size, but the increase in size of corpora brings with it certain problems. These problems are discussed in the light of information obtained from professional corpus users and the continuing centrality of the concordance as the main means of interpreting the contents of the corpus is highlighted.

A possible means of overcoming the problems associated with the use of large corpora is presented. This solution is based upon software which was designed for the purposes of textual abridgement, this being carried out via an automatic analysis of lexico-cohesive bonds within the text. An analogy is drawn between conventional text and concordances; this analogy is then further explored by processing sets of concordance lines with the modified abridgement software.

In order to determine the success of the approach in identifying concordance lines which illustrate key features of the node word, an evaluation exercise is carried out, involving expert corpus users as respondents.
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## Introduction

## Introduction

This thesis concerns itself with the problems of using today's large-scale corpus resources, from which the primary output is still the keyword-in-context concordance. The increase in size of modern corpora has led to the situation where the corpus researcher is no longer able to retain an overview of the entire concordance for a growing proportion of the word forms contained in the corpus. Existing analytical tools alleviate this problem to some extent, but what is required is software which will present a condensed or 'abridged' version of the concordance, highlighting those lines that are most useful. The approach presented here draws upon work in the creation of automatic abridgements of conventional text and draws parallels between the concordance and other recognised text types, with a view to establishing the applicability of abridgement techniques to concordance data.

This thesis is structured in a manner which reflects the design of the underlying research, which on the whole is similar in form to a chemistry experiment in which the software is the apparatus and the linguistic data, the concordances, take the part of the chemicals processed and transformed using the apparatus. An initial description of the Apparatus and the chemicals thus leads into a discussion of the Method by which the chemicals are transformed, which in due course brings us to the presentation of the Results of this transformation. The latter stages of the writing-up of this experiment naturally include a discussion of the validity of the apparatus and method and present a series of Conclusions as to whether the goal of the experiment has been achieved.

The ultimate goal of the research described herein is to justify the processing a set of concordance lines using the software described. This is the most difficult part of the exercise and unfortunately one which has no equivalent in the chemistry experiment analogy, being largely dependent on individual judgements by human beings based on their linguistic intuitions.

The presentation of the research adheres largely to the structure suggested by the experimental nature of the work. The first few chapters cover the 'Apparatus', examining first the ingredients and then the design of the apparatus itself. Chapter 1 describes the form of concordance lines, mentioning briefly how they are created and outlining the rôle that they play in the use of online corpora. It examines the uses to which concordance lines can be put and the type of information that can be extracted from them. Chapter 2 presents a summary of the means by which large corpora can be exploited and introduces the problems that can be involved in this, based on the views of expert users of one of the large corpora. Chapters 3 and 4 introduce the theme of lexical cohesion and examine the feasiblity of using cohesion-based analytical methods on corpus data. Chapter 5 devotes itself to the description of software which has been developed to perform cohesion analysis automatically, firstly with the aim of performing automated abridgements of texts and latterly for the purpose of analysing concordance lines. In Chapters 6 and 7, the 'Method' of the experiement is introduced by means of a description of the parameters to the software, cohort, used in the course of my research and of the effects of combining them in various ways. Chapter 8 contains several sets of output from the system - the raw results of the experiment, to continue with that analogy - and it is not until Chapter 9 that an account is presented of the detailed evaluation process which was carried out on the results of the automatic system, correlating these with the intuitions of a group of experts and arriving at conclusions as to the optimal set of parameters for the selection of concordance lines fitting various criteria. It is this chapter which attempts to bridge the gap between the Results and the Conclusions, with the latter half of the chapter most strongly resembling the 'Conclusions' section familiar to chemistry scholars. In the final chapter, several further avenues of research are introduced, some closely related to the research described herein and others representing a considerable abstraction from the core principles of cohesion.

## A Note on Terminology

One of the main concepts with which this thesis concerns itself is the concordance line. A set of such lines is generally referred to as a concordance, although for some corpus users "a concordance" would be a single line and a concordance set, or simply "some concordances", would be used to refer to a number of concordance lines. This thesis will adhere to the former convention, so that 'concordance' may always be understood to mean a set of concordance lines.

## References to Other Work

This work combines research in several different areas of linguistics: concordances, collocation, lexical cohesion and abridgement, whilst also covering some of the computational aspects which have been involved. The use of separate literature reviews for each of these various strands would detract considerably from the readability and logical structuring of the thesis and so external references have been incorporated into the individual chapters which relate to the different themes.

## Chapter 1

The Concordance

## 1. The Concordance

### 1.1. The Development of the Concordance

Concordances are not a recent development. As early as the thirteenth century, concordances to the Bible were being created in paper form. Cruden, in the Preface to the 9th Edition of his Bible concordance (Cruden 1828), tells us of one such concordance, undertaken by Hugo de S Charo with the assistance of 500 monks. The size of Hugo's army of amanuenses gives a fair impression of the scale of this undertaking and a brief glance at the extent of a modern biblical concordance such as (Even-Shoshan 1977), running to three volumes, only serves to reinforce this impression.

Hugo's completed work listed all the 'common' words found in the Bible in alphabetical order, giving book, chapter and verse references alongside a single line of context. The approach and format used for these early biblical concordances survives to this day, although few scholars can call upon so many assistants. The basic idea is that all the uses of a particular word are gathered together in one 'list' with a certain amount of context visible to one or both sides of the word and, usually, a reference to the source location. By this means, the concordance user is able to gain an insight into the importance or behaviour of, for example, a given biblical character. It was the intention of the creators of such concordances that they be consulted alongside the original text of the Bible, the references allowing the reader to refer back to the full text. In exactly the same way, the modern concordance, drawn from an online corpus of text, tells us about the behaviour and importance of a particular word or phrase and allows us a window of context onto the text which surrounds this key or 'node' word. As an interesting parallel, most corpus retrieval packages allow the user to 'refer back' to the full text of the corpus from which the concordance was built and see the contents of a particular line in an expanded context. Quite apart from the scale of their enterprise, the creators of early concordances were faced with a difficult task. The medium in which they worked was comparatively
inflexible. The book which they wished to concordance was in printed or even written form; their only means of accessing it was to read it sequentially, unless they were able to call upon an intimate knowledge of its contents. Dealing with material the size of the Bible or the complete works of Shakespeare was a daunting task though, however good one's knowledge of the text. Given this 'input' to the process of concordancing, what of the process itself and the 'output'? The concordance's author would need to decide at the outset which words were to be included in the concordance (the 'targets') and in what format they were to be presented (whether to include left and right context, how much context, any references, such as chapter or page number, to the origin of the concordance line). The author would then have to locate manually all the instances of each of the words, transcribing each one before finally gathering together all the instances of each word and deciding how best to present them in the final concordance.

This approach is one which might be called a 'total concordance', since a set of concordance lines is produced for each of the target set of words. The concordance sets are then presented one after the other in some alphabetical or thematic order. An analogous approach is followed in some of the early uses of computerised concordance generation. In the latter half of the 1970s, McCarren (1977) produced a computer-generated concordance to Catullus using some of the first corpus-processing software ever. This used the now-familiar keyword in context or KWIC format, whereby the node word was presented in a central position with an equal amount of context on either side. The pioneering corpora such as LOB (Hofland \& Johansson 1982) and Brown (Kucera \& Francis 1967) were likewise sometimes made available as a 'total concordance'. This means that a concordance was generated for each word in the corpus and that all these concordances were then printed out or stored on magnetic tape for further computational processing. It should be borne in mind that computational resources were scarce and that by pre-processing the corpus in this way, no special computationally-intensive software was required by the corpus user; all the intensive work had been done in creating the
concordances. The concordance could then be examined simply in its printed form or, in its electronic version, by the use of rudimentary text display and search software. This approach persisted until the 1980s. The original Birmingham Collection of English Texts (BCET), on which the early Cobuild publications (Sinclair 1987a, 1990) were based and at that time the biggest corpus project, was also pre-processed in this fashion, with the resulting concordance sets being stored both on micro-fiche and in hardcopy form.

The implications which this kind of approach has for the form of the concordance are substantial. The effect of this process is to render the concordance immutable, almost as fixed as the paper concordances of the sixteenth century, more so in the case of the BCET, which ultimately contained twenty million running words. No wonder, then, that a microfiche version was created. The computational effort of creating such output was immense, given the resources available at the time. Clear (1987) tells us how the total concordance was created in batches, one letter of the alphabet at a time, on a mainframe computer, using up nearly its entire capacity during the weekend periods when there were few other users. The task was complicated by the additional job of sorting each word's concordance according to its right-hand context, so that, for example, lines for 'apple' where 'apple' occurred to the left of 'cart' came before those where it occurred adjacent to 'pie'. The result, however, as stated previously, was then as fixed as its thirteenth century manuscript predecessors. It is perhaps thanks to this fixedness of form that the concordance has endured. Even with access to modern sophisticated corpus searching software packages (WordSmith Tools (PC Windows), HUM (Unix), TACT (DOS), SARA (PC Windows/ Unix), Free Text Browser (Mac) or MonoConc (PC) to name but a few) which allow access to the full source text of a concordance line at the touch of a key, corpus researchers instantly understand the traditional format and are able to extract information from it. This has been a major factor in determining the input to the system described in this thesis. For reasons which will become apparent later, though, the concordance is not always as willing to render up its secrets as one might expect.

The last decade has seen a dramatic increase in the processing power of computers and a corresponding decrease in the price of processors and disk storage. New programming techniques based upon database technology have greatly streamlined the task of creating a concordance, be it for a single word, a phrase, or all the words in a corpus. This has given far greater flexibility to the form in which concordance data can be created and presented. It is now possible to pre-index a corpus so that concordance lines of any given length and context can be created almost instantly for any word or combination of words. Markers can be added to the lines to indicate the individual text of the corpus from which they came or any other textual or meta-textual information which has been included in the corpus. Lines can be re-sorted instantly to examine recurrent patterns in the environment of the node word in question. With this range of facilities at their fingertips, what uses can corpus researchers make of concordance data?

### 1.2. Direct Uses of Concordance Lines

It was mentioned earlier that a concordance can tell us about the behaviour of the node word, that is, the word which was used to generate the concordance and which in the modern KWIC format stands centrally in the concordance line, as can be seen in the following sample concordance, where the node word is 'frog'.
that we could have thought she was a frog. (C) Was it Dave who said that. I ot bobbing up and down like a demented frog saying, take it to the United Nat mprecations, "eye of newt, and toe of frog"", contemplation and silent prayer ed and for a second $I$ thought it was a frog. I'd never seen a fish like that b more times and it still looked like a frog, but it didn't have any legs. Then termediate forms which could be called frog or toad with equal accuracy. Inste The biggest anuran of all, the goliath frog from West Africa, is able to jump in effect, a small parachute. When the frog leaps off the branch of a tree, th losive, so surprising, that catching a frog can be a difficult business, wheth nsect larvae. In Brazil, another small frog builds its own ponds on the margin

Figure 1.1: Sample Keyword-in-context Concordance
From a simple inspection of a concordance there are a number of things which can be learned. Assuming that we are dealing with all the available concordance lines, rather
than just a sample, then without even reading a single word of the concordance, it is possible to gain an overview of the frequency of the node word. Obviously, since every occurrence of the node word generates one line of the concordance, a word which occurs many times will occupy several pages. We therefore instantly know how much evidence is at our disposal. Following this through, we can gain an impression of how representative the concordance data might be; no corpus is all-encompassing (i.e. it cannot contain the entire linguistic output of the universe) $\dagger$, thus if only a few concordance lines are present we have to take it on trust that this is a rare word, a fact which we must bear in mind when we try to make any generalisations about the behaviour of the node.

By closer inspection of the concordance, it is possible to draw conclusions about the behaviour of the node word on the basis of its near neighbours or 'collocates'. Firth (1957) tells us that it is this very set of collocates, 'the company which a word keeps', which defines its meaning. Since the concordance is generally sorted on one of the words in the environment of the node, instances of repeated collocates will tend to cluster together. Thus in a concordance of the node word 'zone', if the concordance is sorted on the word to the left of the node, patterns of behaviour can be observed as the recurrent neighbours cluster in adjacent lines:

```
he was almost at the edge of his drop zone he was momentarily unable to do an
than five miles from the nearest drop zone. During, who commanded a heavy mac
s garden and started towards his drop zone north of Ste. Mere-Eglise, he hear
ad landed on the east side of the drop zone. Between him and Varaville were no
he 12th Battalion, miles from his drop zone, the first sound of war was a moan
llivan set out to reconnoitre the drop zone. Within minutes he was hit by fire
t instead of landing in a lighted drop zone he was heading for the centre of a
```

In a case like this, where the node is primarily used as a noun (exclusively so, in this selection of lines), we have chosen to sort by the word to the left of the node in order to establish which words can be used to premodify 'zone'. It is easy to imagine that patterns

[^0]of collocation such as 'drop zone' in the above concordance lines leap out at the corpus user and that the collocational profile which ultimately defines the behaviour of a given node can thus be easily extracted from the corpus data. This would be a logical extension to the point mentioned earlier that the number of concordance lines is an important feature of the concordance: 'drop zone' occurs, as can be seen instantly from the concordance lines, seven times, which should indicate to us that 'drop' forms a significant part of the collocational profile of 'zone'.

Sadly, the exercise is not always this simple. Let us now choose some more lines for 'zone', again left-sorted, but this time where the word immediately to the left is 'exclusion':
$76>$ group close to the total exclusion zone and closing on elements of our tas
nverging at speed. The total exclusion zone, however, was 'not relevant in thi
t begins by referring to the exclusion zone and ends by claiming it wasn't rel
thirty-six miles outside the exclusion zone we had publicly set, and asked how

In this set of lines, two of the four occurrences of 'exclusion zone' are further premodified by the word 'total'. In this instance they happen to occur adjacently, making the pattern easily identifiable. In order to guarantee that such pre-premodifiers would occur together, it would be necessary to modify the sorting algorithm for the corpus retrieval software so that it performs a compound sort, sorting first on one word to the left, then two to the left and so on. This is described by the shorthand $-1,-2$, meaning sort on the word one position away from the node, the minus sign signifying to the left, and then within this, sort on the word two positions from the node, again to the left. This operation is quite feasible technically, but the approach which it implies is based on the assumption that, in this instance, 'exclusion' is the key word of the premodifying group 'total exclusion'. Compare this case with the following set of lines, sampled from a concordance of 'wrong':

[^1]```
ay, in Graham's case, is that right or wrong I wish he hadn't gone. However, p
    'Whatever else you conclude, right or wrong, don't make any mistake about Hal
nge their mind. The ideas of right and wrong that their parents taught them ha
are still too young to know right from wrong, will enter the land - the childr
    woman who had taught Ginny right from wrong, the woman who had repeatedly ass
```

These were sorted on the word two to the left of the node word 'wrong'. There were many more occurrences of the pattern 'right $X$ wrong' ( 50 for 'right or wrong', 54 for 'right and wrong', 4 for 'right from wrong' and just one 'right the wrong'), but these few will serve to illustrate the problem. As we would expect, the occurrences of 'right' cluster conveniently together, making this an easily identifiable pattern, but what of the word between 'right' and 'wrong'? There is obviously a sub-pattern in this slot, but it depends upon the presence of the word 'right' as the key word of some larger pattern and would require a $-2,-1$ sort in order to identify it. Simple resorting or compound sorting is a laborious means of identifying such patterns, and since the corpus researcher may not always be aware of their existence, it is entirely possible that patterns may be overlooked altogether. Of course, the degree to which this occurs is difficult to measure, as one cannot ask a corpus analyst to count something which they have failed to recognise! There is, however, some evidence to be gleaned from the testimony of the users of large corpora encountered in the course of this study that the growth in corpus size is increasing the likelihood of this problem occurring.

### 1.3. Other Uses of Concordance Data

### 1.3.1. Collocates Revisited

In the previous section the concept of the collocate was introduced. It was shown that the set of collocates which belong to a given node word can be of help in the process of defining its meaning and that sorting and re-sorting of a concordance could be used to discover the collocates which regularly occurred in particular positions in the environment of the node. It is possible, however, to retrieve the collocational profile of a node
word automatically without first investigating its concordance. This is achieved by examining those words which occur within a pre-defined span or context of the node, noting any which re-occur with greater than random frequency. Various statistical measures, all based on the frequency of the node word, the size of the span and the corpus and the overall frequency of the collocate in the corpus as a whole, have been applied in order to identify the strength of association between a node word and its collocates.

One way in which this can be visualised is the $2 \times 2$ contingency table. For two words, X and Y , the table lists the four (hence 2 x 2 ) possible ways in which the occurrences of X and $Y$ can 'overlap':

|  | Y occurs | Y does not occur |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| X occurs | A | B |
| X does not |  |  |
| occur | C | D |

Figure 1.2
$2 \times 2$ Contingency Table
In this table, A represents the number of times X and Y co-occur, while the total numner of words in the corpus is given by summing A, B, C \& D. The figures B and D show how often X and Y respectively occur independently of each other. Once the co-occurrence of $X$ and $Y$ are expressed in this way, they can be manipulated using measures such as Chisquare or log-likelihood. See Daille (1995) for a discussion of the relative merits of these. Another often-used measure of significance is the Z Score (Butler 1985). It is based on comparing the number of times words X and Y co-occur (the observed co-occurrence) with the number of times that they ought to co-occur, given the frequencies of $X$ and $Y$, the size of the corpus, and the amount of context around X and Y that is examined (the expected co-occurrence). This Z Score is calculated as a ratio of observed:expected.

Where the observed co-occurrence is more than about twice the expected, X and Y are deemed to co-occur significantly.

The Mutual Information (MI) Score (Church and Hanks 1989) and T Score (Church et al 1990) have also been used in identifying strongly associated collocates, although neither of these provides a measure of significance, but rather seeks to rank the collocates of a word in order of similarity (or dissimilarity in the case of the T Score).

Any of these approaches can be exploited to derive a list of words which regularly occur in the environment of a node word. The measure used in this study is the Z Score and the discussion which follows will use the term 'significant collocate' to mean one which has been selected on the basis of its significant co-occurrence with its node word as measured using the Z Score.

It is stressing here that the way in which cohesion analysis works is substantially different from any measures of collocation based on strength of association or significance. Such tests 'reward' what they regard to be unusual, in that the co-occurrence of $X$ and $Y$ more frequently than would be expected by chance is flagged in some way (high significance, strong association). To do this, these statistical measures have to make some recourse to outside data - generally the corpus (independent) frequencies of X and Y . The cohesionbased algorithm used by cohort, on the other hand, simply measures what is there. It looks for the presence of one or more 'collocates' in a concordance line and attempts to find other lines where those collocates are also present. In contrast to some of the measures outlined here, it does not impose an arbitrary cut-off based on an ill-fitting statistical model (see Drawbacks of Using Collocates, below). The identification of statistically significant collocates is not, therefore, a possible alternative to cohesion, but it will be used later on in helping to evaluate the effectiveness of cohesive analysis. From time to time in the thesis, reference will be made to 'collocates' that cohort has identified. This must not be confused with the significant/strong collocates highlighted by the statistical measures mentioned above. When using cohesion analysis to look at concordance lines, those
words which are identified on the basis that they are taking part in the formation of links between the lines of the concordance are referred to as collocates. The process of link formation is described in full in Chapters 3 and 4.

A list of the significant collocates of a node word can give corpus researchers clues as to which features of the concordance line they should be looking for in order to identify those lines which represent the best examples of the typical collocational behaviour of that node word. Suppose, for example, that the list of significant collocates for the node word 'zone' contained the word 'outside'. It would then be advisable for the corpus researchers to examine concordance lines for 'zone' in which 'outside' occurs in order to gain a fuller picture of the behaviour of the node 'zone'.

Several factors influence the contents of the collocate list, most notably the span and the significance level, and some care needs to be exercised in selecting these. If a large span is chosen, then the number of collocates identified will be greater, perhaps yielding a list which is unwieldy or diluted by uninteresting collocates. If too small a span is employed, then important collocational information may be missed. The optimal size of span is a topic of some debate and it is a topic to which we shall return later.

Let us now instead move on to the significance level. This is simply a threshold, based on established statistical models, which defines how high a Z Score for a given collocate must be in order to prove that the collocate is present in the environment of the node more frequently than would be attributable to chance alone. The score is based on determining the number of times a collocate occurs independently of the node word and extrapolating from this the number of times that it ought to occur with the node word. This figure is then compared with the frequency of co-occurence of the collocate and the node to arrive at a statistical measure of significance.

### 1.3.2. Drawbacks of Using Collocates

We have seen how a list of significant collocates, derived from the set of words within a closely-defined environment of a node word, can be of some assistance in identifying and typifying the recurrent features of a concordance. Such a list does not, however, tell the whole story.

Since the collocate list is an amalgamation of information based on all the positions (relative to the node word) inside the specified span, the precise location of each collocate is lost. Unfortunately, this cannot be overcome simply by using first a span of one word either side, then two words and so on, as we soon find ourselves in the same predicament that we encountered in the sorting examples above, where patterns of collocation can span several positions to either side of the node. Since a span of one has, by definition, no knowledge of the contents of a span of two, any inter-relationship between the columns is thereby lost. There are a number of other definitions of collocation which can take more information into account, but these do not tend to be applied directly to concordance data. Schütze and Pedersen (1993), for example, employ a much larger amount of context anything up to 40 words - which could not feasibly be provided by a standard KWIC concordance and WordSmith offers the facility to analyse collocates up to 25 words either side of the node. The problem of the inter-relationships between the collocates is addressed by Brown et al (1992), who record the individual sequences of collocates by means of an $n$-gram model. While this goes some way, it still relies on a $n$ being sufficiently large to encompass all the patterns present in the node word's context and may therefore not be successful where, for instance, a pattern straddles the node word. For large values of $n$, furthermore, the complexity of the approach increases significantly, resulting in the 'large parameter space' problem, noted by Stolcke \& Segal:

An $n$-gram grammar is a set of probabilities $\mathrm{P}\left(w_{\mathrm{n}} \mid w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$, giving the probability that $w_{n}$ follows a word string $w_{1} w_{2} \ldots w_{\mathrm{n}-1}$, for each possible combination of the $w^{n} s$ in the vocabulary of the language. So for a 5000 word vocabulary, a bigram grammar would have approximately $5000 \times 5000=$ $25,000,000$ free parameters, and a trigram grammar would have
$125,000,000,000$. This is what we mean when we say $n$-gram grammars have many parameters. (Stolcke \& Segal 1994, p 1)

As we have just seen, most methods of collocational analysis obscure the relationship between individual collocates within the context of the node word. Another problem arises because the link between the collocates and the original contexts is also lost. Let us assume for a moment that the collocate list informs us that 'war' is a significant collocate of 'zone'. Making use of this information is not simply a question of extracting from the corpus all concordance lines for 'zone' which contain 'war'. The fact that 'war' is an interesting collocate of 'zone' in the phrase 'war zone', does not exclude the possibility that there exist other lines where 'zone' and 'war' co-occur, but this may be as part of some other phrase or even in a phrase which is unique to a particular line. This is exemplified in the next figure, which lists the concordance lines for 'zone' which contain 'war' as a collocate.

```
1 Arab Republic of the Red Sea as a war zone, and the closure of the Straits of
2 an operation he'd just been on in War zone C, above Cu Chi. "There were a lot
3 only neutral countries within the War Zone were Sweden and Finland. The Swede
4 that the Western Approaches was a War zone, into which shipping of any kind e
5 . include 50,000 refugees from the war zone Be- tween Ethiopia and Somalia, an
6 ant ships approaching the declared War Zone to turn back. The next was to cons
7 \text { compliance. The declaration of the War Zone was not regarded by the Soviet Uni}
8 a and flew missions, mostly around War zone C, along the Cambodian border, and
9 ern Ireland is another ideological war zone she prefers to skirt around; yet l
10 he 12th Battalion, miles from his drop zone, the first sound of war was a moan
11 of 13,000 people just north of the war zone, the hospital is taking a stead
12 ol prior to the declaration of the War zone. Third, two diversionary fast conv
13 plements of war, and to create a peace zone in the Indian Ocean. But for every
14 thin the West Nile to escape the war zone. Only one hospital, at Angal, i
```

Figure 1.3: Concordance Lines for 'zone' which contain 'war'
The problem mentioned previously is demonstrated in Figure 1.3 by lines 10 and 13, where 'war' is not part of any pattern which might be said to be collocationally related to the node word. Such lines serve to dilute the subset of authentic lines which contain the features which we are trying to identify. What is required is a method of analysis which only operates on lines which are known to contain a repeated feature, yet those features can only be identified by calculating their significance as collocates, which has to carried
out on the entire concordance, which means that the important information has already been lost because of the amalgamation of all collocate positions and the loss of the relationship to their original context. This approach is therefore circular and as such unworkable.

The actual means of creating the collocate list are also not beyond criticism. All statistical measures of significance rely upon comparing observed behaviour (the fact that words $a$ and $b$ co-occur) with some expected pattern of behaviour, generally based upon a model of normal distribution. Unfortunately, collocation, and language in general, do not adhere to any such model; thus any attempt to ascribe to them normal behaviour can only ever be an approximation to their true nature and the need for human interpretation will generally be required. This is echoed by Stubbs (1995: 48):

We always start with intuitions about what is interesting to study, and intuition re-enters, in designing procedures and in interpreting findings.

### 1.3.3. Columns

Another means by which concordance data can be used to access the collocational profile of a node word is to build up a picture of the words which surround it on a column-bycolumn basis. To go back to 'zone' for a moment, this would give us something like this:

| Node |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 in | 27 the | 63 the | 13 the | 18 the | 31 the | 15 the | 13 of |
| 7 to | 27 in | 34 a | 12 war | 17 of | 8 a | 4 of | 11 the |
| 7 and | 18 of | 11 in | 9 a | 16 and | 5 to | 4 in | 11 and |
| 6 the | 12 a | 6 this | 7 free | 8 in | 4 was | 4 and | 5 up |
| 6 of | 6 to | 5 an | 7 drop | 6 where | 4 of | 3 zone | 4 is |
| 5 was | 6 into | 4 that | 5 nuclear-free | 5 is | 3 they | 3 would | 4 a |
| 4 a | 4 on | 3 total | 5 landing | 4 was | 3 on | 3 to | 3 not |
| 3 it | 4 from | 3 his | 5 exclusion | 4 to | 3 is | 3 not | 3 had |
| 3 ia | 4 and | 2 within | 5 danger | 4 for | 31 | 2 wells | 3 be |
| 3 east | 3 zurich | 2 u | 3 three-mile | 4 between | 3 all | 2 that | 2 which |
| 2 within | 3 zone | 2 to | 3 this | 4 a | 2 which | 2 ste | 2 to |
| 2 those | 3 was | 2 its | 3 free-fire | 3 or | 2 what | 2 regarded | 2 on |
| 2 they | 3 through | 2 airport | 3 erotic | 3 on | 2 that | 2 on | 2 mere-glise |
| 2 separating | 3 outside |  | 3 erogenous | 3 he | 2 refroze | 2 mouth | 2 line |

Collier

|  |  | $-19$ |  |  | The Concordance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 poured | 3 now | 3 enterprise | 2 were | 2 one | 2 miles | 2 in |
| 2 out | 2 were | 3 combat | 2 we | 2 not | 2 is | 2 he |
| 2 on | 2 is | 3 buffer | 2 they | 2 no | 2 heat | 2 have |
| 2 my | 2 inside | 3 british | 2 then | 2 lava | 2 had | 2 genitals |
| 2 miles | 2 create | 2 tropic | 2 such | 2 into | 2 four | 2 by |
| 2 found | 2 beyond | 2 temperate | 2 so | 2 interval | 2 blakiston | 2 at |
| 2 declaration | 2 becomes | 2 taboo | 2 she | 2 had | 2 a | 2 axny |
| 2 countries |  | 2 splash | 2 named | 2 for |  |  |
| 2 at |  | 2 soviet | 2 molten | 2 as |  |  |
| 2 as |  | 2 smokeless | 2 into |  |  |  |
| 2 area |  | 2 rift | 2 i |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 private | 2 c |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 one | 2 but |  |  |  |
|  |  | $2 n$ | 2 at |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 impact | 2 as |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 dry | 2 almost |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 demilitaris |  |  |  |  |

Here, each position relative to the node word is represented by one of the eight columns, the first four columns standing for left-hand collocates and the last four for right-hand ones. This format gives a very clear picture of the most frequent collocates in each of the positions, with each one being ranked in descending order of frequency. As such it provides a fuller picture than the simple collocate list, which lacks positional information.

The disadvantage of this type of output is that, as was demonstrated with the collocate list, the relationship across the columns is lost. Thus, although it is obvious that 'war' is one of the most frequently used premodifiers of 'zone', there is no way of discovering (short of going back to the raw corpus data) whether it is 'the war zone' or ' $a$ war zone' which is more typical.

### 1.4. A Note on Tagging

No work on part-of-speech (POS) tagged concordances has been carried out in the course of this study. There are several reasons for this.

Firstly, there are nowadays several sources of POS-tagged corpus data. You may buy your corpus pre-cooked, as in the case of the British National Corpus, or you may prepare it yourself, combining one of several publicly available POS taggers (qv Chapter 2) with
your corpus ingredient of choice (see Section 2.4 for suggestions). When this study was begun, the situation was somewhat different - little (reliably) tagged corpus data was available and so no attempt was made to integrate tagging information into the system.

Secondly, the aim of this study is to examine how the change in size of corpora has affected their usability. Since most of the respondents in the user survey originally used an untagged corpus (in the days when it was only 20 million words in size), the simplest and fairest comparison to make was with untagged data drawn from a much larger corpus. Of course, this raises the question of whether a large tagged corpus is easier to use than a large untagged one, but this would be a difficult avenue of research to follow, since who, having tagged their large corpus, would set about ignoring the tags?

Thirdly, no use of POS tagging was ever made in the automatic abridgement system on which cohort is based. Since one aim of this study is to compare the handling of text and the handling of concordances using similar techniques (i.e. cohesion analysis), the additional complication added by the introduction of POS tagging as a further parameter to the system is best avoided. If it were to be introduced, one would certainly want to compare the results obtained when POS was used as part of the analysis with those achieved when it was not. This would increase the number of possible parameter combinations (see Chapters 6 \& 7) even further. In addition, since this study is to a large degree an evaluation of the cohort system, the addition of POS information would require the inclusion of a validation process of the tagging software and the tagset which it implements. This would go beyond the scope of the current study.

## Chapter 2

## Issues of Using Large Corpora

## 2. Issues of Using Large Corpora

### 2.1. Introduction

In this chapter we shall discuss the growing use of large corpora and examine the reasons why they have grown in scale and popularity in recent years. My interest in this area started while I was engaged in the creation of a large textual database for the ACRONYM Project (Renouf 1996). This corpus database was mainly required to underpin a database of collocational relationships, but was also, to all intents and purposes, a corpus, and was accessible using the corpus facilities outlined in the previous chapter. The amount of text in this database exceeded 400 milion words and I had noticed that the concordance line output from it was often unwieldy, since so many lines were produced by queries involving frequently occurring items. To ascertain whether this was a common problem, I sought the opinions of other users of large corpora.

What is presented in the sections which follow includes an example of a large-scale corpus system and a synopsis of the opinions of users of this corpus relating to the issues surrounding its continued growth. The effectiveness of some commonly-used tools will also be discussed in relation to the use of very large corpora. Finally, a concrete example of the implications of expanding corpora still further will be shown.

### 2.2. Increasing Corpus Size

The last three decades have witnessed a growing use of large collections of electronic text (corpora):

Thirty years ago when this research started it was considered impossible to process texts of several million words in length. Twenty years ago it was considered marginally possible but lunatic. Ten years ago it was considered quite possible but still lunatic. Today it is very popular. (Sinclair 1991, p. 1)
and while growing in popularity, the corpora themselves are becoming larger:

The one-million word corpus, which was long a standard size, has already been replaced by much larger corpora (witness, for example, the British National Corpus), dynamic monitor corpora, online textual databases, etc., and this trend is bound to continue. (Svartvik 1996, p. 9)

As the popularity of a corpus-based approach to language study has grown, corpora have become larger and larger, assisted by the increasingly powerful computing resources which have become available. While huge corpora are not necessary for many types of linguistic research, the current opinion among corpus builders, as evidenced by examples which will be introduced later in this chapter, would seem to be that the bigger the corpus one can make, the better the results obtained from it will be. The latter part of this chapter will, however, put forward an argument which contradicts this opinion to some extent, showing that current methods of accessing corpus data will ultimately fail to deliver the hoped-for improvement in results.

### 2.3. Why Increase Corpus Size?

The creation of any corpus is a considerable undertaking and no corpus exists for its own sake, but is rather built and maintained with a some purpose in mind, even if that purpose is to be as generally useful to as many users as possible, as in the case of the British National Corpus (Burnard 1995). Many corpora are still created today which are of a comparable size to the early (sub-million-word) corpora. This may be because the 'text' of the corpus is difficult to obtain, as is ofen the case with spoken corpora. The COLT corpus (Stenström \& Haslerud 1995) of 'teen-speak' is one example of this, with a size of half a million words, transcribed from 50 hours of audio recordings. It may also be the case that a corpus is highly focussed on a particular, rare linguistic feature, as is the case with Marco's 36,442-word corpus of rhetorical functions (Marco 1999). Constructing a huge collection of text is in cases such as these either impossible or unnecessary. At the other end of the spectrum, there are corpus users who need vast amounts of data, for reasons which will be made clear later in this chapter. Given the existence of a user group for ever larger corpora and bearing in mind the considerable resources which are brought
to bear in creating a large-scale corpus, it would be useful to examine some of the benefits of making corpora as large as possible.

### 2.3.1. Maximising Accuracy

One of the things which the use of corpus data allows us to do is to arrive at an accurate description of particular features of the language in a way that is less influenced by our own, possibly idiosyncratic, intuitions, in as far as accuracy can be defined as corresponding to the representation of language given by a particular corpus. Imagine that lexicographers are attempting to decide whether 'rely' + 'on' is a more likely phrasal verb than 'rely' + 'upon'. They would like to use the more frequent form as the headword of a dictionary entry, with the less frequently occurring form incorporated into the entry as an alternative usage, yet intuition cannot tell them for certain which occurs with the higher frequency. By examining the corpus, it will become instantly clear which is the more frequent, and the lexicographer can create the appropriate definition, safe in the knowledge that they have accurately reflected the commoner linguistic practice.

The corpus-based approach typified by the 'rely on/upon' example above is representative of the modern trend amongst writers of linguistic reference works. Whereas earlier works sought to prescribe 'correct' usage (Murray 1851), or document the language of the day to protect it from change (Johnson 1755), recent ones tend to be more data-driven, that is, they seek to describe the most typical features of the language (Sinclair 1987a). Corpus evidence provides a means of supporting this kind of analysis, since it avoids excessive reference to one's intuitions and can be updated to represent the most recent changes in the language.

Of course, any interpretation of the evidence from a corpus has to take into account the contents of that corpus. The points discussed here relate to corpora which are large enough and sufficiently balanced to provide a view of the language which is not skewed towards a particular style, genre or mode (spoken/written/written to be spoken etc). Any
corpus is only an approximation to linguistic reality, since no corpus may contain the whole language, especially where spoken data is concerned. The larger the corpus, it might be argued, the closer the approximation, as the corpus-subset becomes an everlarger proportion of the universal set, language. It is for this reason that corpus builders are keen to create the largest corpus they possibly can. They can then claim to have the most accurate representation of real language.

By increasing the size of the corpus, it is also possible to achieve greater accuracy in any statistical processes which are applied to it, since a larger amount of data tends to yield more reliable results. This is applicable in cases such as the measurement of the statistical significance of collocation, or the comparison of the lexis used in different sub-corpora, where the more evidence one has, the more confidence one can have in the results obtained.

The goal of increased accuracy has always been a major factor in the creation of corpora, as well as in their exploitation. Early corpora were painstakingly checked so that any errors introduced when the text was typed or scanned from the printed page into the computer were corrected. Some corpora were manually tagged with part-of-speech, clause structure or prosodic markers, but such corpora have tended to be measured in terms of thousands of tokens. An example of this would be the London-Lund spoken corpus, in which the prosodic information was manually inserted, but which contained only 500,000 words. One might interpret this to mean that the size had to be limited because of the intensive task of adding the mark-up, but seen from the other perspective, it could be said that this was a justifiable means of extracting as much information as possible from the data, given its size; the corpus of the day represented a substantial investment of resources and it seems only natural to want to get the biggest possible return on that investment.

As the size of corpora began to increase, however, this perfectionist approach was no longer feasible, since hand-tagging or even comprehensive correction proved to be too
time-consuming. Even a corpus the size of BCET, some twenty million words, contained substantial numbers of errors, mainly introduced when the printed text was scanned into the computer via an optical character recognition system; thus there are dozens of occurrences of the word 'thc', caused by the OCR's failure to detect the cross-stroke in the ' $e$ ' of 'the'. Errors such as this can be fairly easily corrected automatically; there were, however, no resources available to manually check and edit every text in the corpus, and so many errors remained uncorrected. Despite the presence of these errors, however, BCET became a role model for future corpus production, since whatever errors it contained were outweighed by the sheer scale of the corpus as a whole. Given the large amount of corpus text which was free of error and the amount of human intervention that would have been required to correct the corpus, the effort of eliminating the errors could not be justified.

### 2.3.2. Maximising Completeness

Accuracy is not the only goal of corpus study - corpus users also seek to gain as complete a description of the language as possible. For as long as the corpus does not contain the entire language, a totally corpus-based description is not possible, but, as with the goal of increased accuracy, the larger the corpus gets, it could be argued, the closer it comes to the 'universal' corpus which was discussed in Chapter 1. As we shall see in the following section, where the working methods of professional corpus users are discussed, some intuition and interpretation will continue to be required in order to exploit the corpus to its best potential, however large it gets, since even the universal corpus, were it to exist, would simply be language and not a description of language. This relates to the point made earlier that no corpus can exist in its own right, but rather has to be created with some idea in mind as to how it can be exploited - it is otherwise just a collection of bytes on a disk drive.

The desire to create the most complete description of the language by means of the most complete corpus possible contrasts with the use of the pioneering corpora, the size of which limited their exploitation to the examination of frequently-occurring, largely grammatical, features. While even a modestly-sized corpus can tell us about many of the common grammatical and lexical features of the language, it will often prove unable to offer up any examples of the rarer phenomena. This shortcoming has fuelled the recent enthusiasm for ever-larger corpora - the bigger the corpus, the greater the chance that it will represent every possible feature of a language, always assuming that the corpus users are sufficiently well-equipped to find these features, which, as will be illustrated later in this chapter, is not necessarily the case.

The development of software (taggers and parsers) which will automatically assign part-of-speech or sentence-structure tags to corpus text has further increased the popularity of large corpora. Examples of these are CLAWS (Garside 1987), Brill (Brill 1992) and Helsinki (Voutilainen \& Heikkilä 1994). None of these taggers and parsers can attain perfect accuracy (where perfection is equivalent to a manually-tagged/parsed text). Their usefulness comes to the fore in that they can be run over huge amounts of corpus data in a relatively short space of time. The effect of this is that although a few examples of a particular grammatical pattern may be missed because the software has assigned the wrong tags to it, the vast amount of correctly tagged data should more than compensate for the small number of incorrect ones. This is similar in principle to the argument which was mentioned in the discussion on accuracy: that a few errors are acceptable since they dwindle into insignificance in proportion to the overall size of the corpus, which is still able to deliver the hoped-for description of the language. The difference here, though, is that the added degree of inaccuracy is directly attributable to the shortcomings of the tagging or parsing system: if a grammatical structure is incorrectly analysed once, it is likely that this will occur at every one of its occurrences, while the correct analysis of previously unseen lexical items is dependent on the success of the tagger's morphological and
contextual rule matching. The mis-recognition by an OCR system of 'the' as 'thc', whilst certainly not random, is influenced by many more factors, such as the quality of the paper, age of the print and skill of the OCR operator. Naturally, the mis-analysis of a grammatical feature has important implications if one's motivation for increasing the size of the corpus is to identify new grammatical features, since if they do not correspond to the parser's idea of 'grammar', then they will probably be incorrectly analysed and therefore never recognized as new.

### 2.3.2.1. Corpora and Lexicography

Modern lexicographers and grammarians, using a corpus-based approach, no longer rely entirely on their own intuitions when attempting to decide what a word means, what patterns it forms with other words and in what circumstances it may be replaced by a synonym. Instead they call up some or all of the occurrences of the word in concordance form, drawn from a large body of text which is intended to represent real language. Intuition and evidence can interact in a variety of ways: at one extreme, it is possible that the researcher will have their intuitions confirmed by the information retrieved from the corpus; at the other, the corpus may not correspond at all to the user's conception of a particular linguistic feature. When this happens, the questions of corpus completeness, faith in the corpus and faith in one's own linguistic awareness arise, and users then have to exercise their judgement, or confer with others as to their impressions, until finally either their initial intuitions are deemed to be inaccurate or the corpus is judged to be unrepresentative, either through incompleteness or inaccuracy. Based upon the information passed on by the Cobuild corpus users and on personal experience, it would seem that it is possible to discover in the corpus some highly unexpected phenomenon, or to be surprised by the absence of an expected one, and that when this does happen it is not always attributable to the fact that one of the corpus text sources is somehow unusual in terms of its vocabulary usage or collocational profile, as might happen, for example, in texts drawn from a
technical or other specialised genre. We find this sentiment echoed by Leech who describes corpus examination thus:

More detailed quantitative analyses (requiring large corpuses and the aid of computers) can be expected to produce results beyond the insight of a native speaker. (Leech 1966, p. 73)

The reference to the need for large corpora is an interesting one, being closely bound up with the central issue of this chapter. It begs the question, of course, of what was considered 'large' at the time Leech was writing.

With the corpus information at hand, the skill of the corpus lexicographer is put to work identifying the patterns exhibited in the concordance lines. As we saw in the previous chapter, this process can be automated to some extent; for example by providing a list of all the words which occur in the environment of the node word (the word to be defined), or by providing the facility to sort on various columns of words to the right or left of the node word.

When the corpus being used contains only ten or twenty million words, the evidence presented via the concordance lines is generally manageable enough, unless a very frequent word is being examined. Unfortunately, a corpus of such a size is coming to be regarded as too small for the satisfactory description of 'real language'. In addition to the issue of size, there is the question of currency to be addressed; the language is evolving constantly ' and any good dictionary or language teaching material must seek to reflect this. Since the dictionary is based on a corpus, the corpus too must be dynamic, kept up-to-date so that new words and usages can filter through into the publications which draw upon it as a source. While it could be argued that corpus builders should drop older material from the corpus as new material is added, so maintaining the corpus at roughly the same size and avoiding the problem of too much data, it has to be borne in mind that much effort was expended in putting together the corpus and simply to discard it might seem an imprudent waste of resources. This would also work against those analytical methods, such as the
statistical measures mentioned earlier, which operate more successfully as the corpus gets larger.

A corpus which is maintained at a (roughly) fixed size and contains only the most recently available material (e.g. the current years output of a daily newspaper) is known as a snapshot corpus. Of course, a number of snapshots may be created, each covering a different chronological period, in which case the overall amount of corpus data will still increase over time. Another means of limiting the size of a dynamic corpus is to use a monitor corpus approach (see Clear 1988), so that only interesting elements of the corpus are retained. An example might be to only store information (frequencies, collocates, word-class, context etc.) for those words which appeared for the first time in the most recent data. This is similar to the approach described in (Renouf 1993).

### 2.4. Existing Large Corpora

The perceived advantages of the corpus-based approach, coupled with the availability of large amounts of electronic text and the willingness of academic funding bodies to encourage this kind of research, have led us to the point where there are now corpusbuilding initiatives underway which are aiming to produce corpora containing in excess of 100 million words. The British National Corpus, advertised as being a balanced corpus of speech and writing, 100 million words in extent and fully tagged for parts-ofspeech, was created as part of a UK government project within the Speech and Language Technology programme. It has recently been made available to the public on a set of CDROMs and occupies several gigabytes of disk space in its fully unpacked and indexed form. The software which accompanies it, while able to utilise the sophisticated SGML metalinguistic mark-up embedded in the corpus, provides only the simplest facilities for accessing the linguistic data. Other institutions have built up electronic text collections which exceed even this in scale. The ACL's Data Collection Initiative has already gathered hundreds of millions of words (although it makes no claims as to the balanced nature
of its holdings) and in the commercial sphere, HarperCollins Publishers have established a corpus system, the Bank of English (BoE), storing in excess of two hundred million words. Given sufficient disk space, it is reasonably simple to gather together vast amounts of textual data. The Research and Development Unit for English Studies at the University of Liverpool, for example, established online access to over 400 million words of newspaper text as part of the ACRONYM Project. All of this text was transferred from CDROM and frequency statistics from this corpus are included later in this chapter, in order to aid further extrapolation of the effects of increasing corpus size.

### 2.5. Using a Large Corpus

Although there are several large collections of electronic text available, investigating their exploitation is somewhat problematic, especially if one wishes to elicit information on how the increase in their size has affected the researchers who examine them. The problems stem from two main sources: firstly, most of the corpora are static, making it difficult to carry out a thorough-going investigation of the effects of their increase in size and secondly, there is no easily identifiable user base for these resources - the BNC for example is readily available in the UK, yet few researchers have succeeded in exploiting it because of the technical difficulties involved in setting it up. What is required, then, is a group of people who regularly use corpus data in a professional capacity and where the amount of corpus material has significantly increased in size. One such group of users is to be found at Cobuild, HarperCollins' Birmingham-based EFL reference book production unit. Cobuild belongs to one of the largest publishing empires in the world, since HarperCollins is in turn part of Rupert Murdoch's collection of companies. This gives the corpus builders at Cobuild access to vast amounts of text of many different varieties and has enabled them to build up a corpus of around two hundred million words, which HarperCollins has named the 'Bank of English'. Eight of the Cobuild staff kindly agreed to assist this study and the following sections provide an insight into the tools and
working methods of the lexicographers and grammarians, based on personal communications over several months in early 1995, when the Bank of English stood at around 170 million words.

### 2.5.1. About the Respondents

Since Cobuild is in the business of producing up-to-date dictionaries, the corpus continues to grow, as more recent material is added to it. The corpus users at Cobuild are in effect witnessing on a regular basis the phenomenon of corpus growth $\dagger$ and as such are in an ideal situation to describe the advantages and disadvantages of manipulating such large amounts of corpus data. Many of them worked using the corpus when it had attained only a fraction of its current size, around twenty million words, and so, it was hoped, would have an interesting perspective on the comparative usefulness of the corpus as it has grown in size over the past few years.

All the respondents used the corpus every day and three quarters of them were lexicographers, engaged in dictionary or dictionary-related projects; the remaining two were grammarians, working on the Cobuild 'Verbs' Pattern Grammar. For the lexicographers, the motivation for using the corpus differed depending on whether the task in hand was compiling a dictionary entry from scratch or revising an existing one or editing an entry which had just been compiled by another lexicographer. All the corpus users understandably made reference to the need to reflect 'what really happens in language' (i.e. instead of relying on intuition) and to the need to provide suitable examples for inclusion in dictionaries.

When asked about the issue of examining large numbers of concordance lines, the majority of respondents stated numbers in the hundreds as being a manageable amount, with two going up to 1,000 and just one considering $3,000-4,000$ still feasible. There was a general trend not to look at all the available data, but rather to take a randomly-selected

[^2]subset of the concordance lines. The response to this question seems to depend to a large degree on the working habits of the individual corpus user. One respondent made the point that if one is just looking at the node word, then it is preferable to look at more lines (in order to get an overview), whereas if one has already narrowed down the concordance by searching for a node plus collocate, then fewer lines are required (since one is probably seeking confirmation of the existence of a feature, rather than searching for new linguistic phenomena).

For the Pattern Grammar team, the main task was to verify whether a pattern exists at all, rather than to see how frequent it is. This therefore involved searching for specific verb plus complement combinations. This 'existential' approach was particular to this project, however, since the dictionary editors' aim is to identify the patterns, not to check for the existence of predetermined ones.

### 2.5.2. Overview of Methods

The Cobuild team has been using corpus data for many years now, which has resulted in the evolution of some carefully refined software and techniques for extracting information from the corpus. All the respondents use a combination of these techniques in order to achieve their aims, so let us first describe the individual techniques.

Sorting
This technique was discussed in the previous chapter as one of the direct uses of concordance lines. It involves taking a set of concordance lines and resorting them on a particular column of words, relative to the node word. This might be 'one to the right' or 'two to the left', for example. The effect of this is to place all occurrences of particular word types together.

Exactly which column is selected depends to a considerable extent on the type of word being examined. For a verb, one might want to sort on the word to the right of the node, in order to determine which are the most common complements, whereas for a
noun, sorting to the left might be preferable in order to identify the most frequent premodifiers.

This is frequently the first type of corpus analysis that is applied, since it can quickly offer a overview of the more obvious features of the node word, more so because the efficiency of the corpus software means that the sort column can be rapidly changed, allowing the experienced corpus user to briefly scan the different columns, looking for interesting phenomena.

Random
In a large corpus, where it is quite likely that the word under investigation occurs many hundreds of times, one possible approach is to look at only a subset of the occurrences, randomly selected from the total. The advantage of this method is that frequentlyoccurring phenomena will be more easily identifiable, since the less significant phenomena are unlikely to be present in the random sample. This has the effect of making the frequent patterns stand out more prominently, especially when this technique is combined with sorting. The disadvantage of this approach is that it is quite possible to lose a particular phenomenon altogether, since the selection of lines is entirely random. Supposing that a collocate occurs with its node 10 times and that the node occur 5000 times - if the corpus user takes a ten per cent sample, 500 lines, then, according to probability, there will only be one occurrence of the collocate in the randomly selected lines. Since the selection is random, there may be more than one, but there may also be none at all. It is thus possible that a reasonably significant pattern would be missed entirely using this method.

## Collocate List

Cobuild's corpus retrieval software offers the facility of generating a list of the most significant collocates of the node word under scrutiny. The methodology for this kind of analysis was described in the chapter on the use of concordances in section 1.3.1. The result is a list of words which regularly occur in the context of the node word more
frequently than would be expected by chance alone. This list acts as a useful pointer towards the collocational patterns in which the node is involved, but as stated in the description of this facility in the previous chapter, it has several drawbacks which make it nothing more than an approximation to the collocational behaviour of the node.

## Picture

This approach, developed in part by the author, was introduced in Chapter 1 under the heading 'Columns'. It has been included as a facility in the Cobuild software suite, where it has acquired the name 'picture'. This means of analysis attempts to address the shortcomings related to the random sampling and collocate list methods. It is an automatic methodology for identifying the most significant collocates of the node word in question, where each column is differentiated, resulting in a list of the significant collocates of the node for each position in its context - referred to as the node's picture. If one were considering a span of four words either side of the node, then the picture software would produce eight lists of collocates, one list per slot to the left and right. These lists can be ordered on various statistical measures of significance or association.

To recap, the advantage of 'picture' is that it is far more comprehensive than random sampling, making it far harder for the corpus user to miss significant collocates. The major drawback of it, however, is that it makes no attempt to look for patterns across the columns of collocates. This means that a collocational phenomenon can only be identified by means of one of its constituent collocates.

## Regexp

Regexp or 'regular expression' is a means of specifying a search pattern in order to reduce the number of concordance lines to be analysed. It is usually used as as followup method after having looked at either a randomly-selected subset of lines or output from picture. The implication is that the corpus user already has an idea that a particular phenomenon exists and wants to confirm this. For example, picture output might
indicate that 'drop' is a significant collocate of 'zone'. It would then be possible to look at only those lines where 'drop' and 'zone' occur together. It is equally possible that a particular node-plus-collocate pattern has been identified in a random sample and that the corpus user then wants to see all the occurrences of it.

Regexp search may also be used inversely in order to exclude lines which match a particular pattern. In this way it is possible to perform an analysis of part of a set of concordance lines and then remove the analysed lines in order to concentrate on the remainder. An example of this might be that one calls up the lines for 'zone', analyses those lines containing 'drop zone' and then eliminates them by means of an inverted regexp.

This method can also help to overcome picture's lack of ability to recognise patterns which span several columns. If picture is run on a set of concordance lines which were selected on the basis of the node plus a collocate, an implicit cross-column feature (the node and its collocate) is identified, which can then possibly be expanded upon by the outcome of picture. To return to the 'zone' example, let us suppose that the corpus analyst has identified the pattern 'exclusion zone' (possibly even by means of picture). By limiting the lines passed to picture through a regexp search for 'exclusion zone', it should become apparent that there is a significant collocation with 'total', thus revealing the larger feature 'total exclusion zone'.

Word + Word
This method reduces the number of lines presented to the corpus user by stipulating that at least two target words should be present in each concordance line. This is generally used to isolate a node and one of its collocates, so one might search for 'war + zone', instead of simply 'zone'. This method usually makes use of the corpus indexing system to automatically find locations where the two words co-occur, and is therefore generally slightly faster in response than the regexp method, which searches already-
existing concordance lines for occurrences of the search term. The two search words are not necessarily adjacent: one might also search for "'blow' within four words of 'up'", in order to find examples of a phrasal verb such as 'blow up'.

### 2.5.3. Strengths and Weaknesses of the Corpus Access Methods

### 2.5.3.1. Random Sampling

The issue of greatest concern among the Cobuild corpus users was that the application of analytical methods which in any way reduce or summarise phenomena present in the corpus raises the possibility of some features being overlooked. This might happen, for example, if they fall outside a random sample or below an established statistical threshold. The facility which is most prone to this shortcoming would appear to be the random sampling method, for the reason described earlier: a concordance line which is not included in the sample might as well not exist.

The advantage of random sampling is that it can save much time, since it can reduce an unmanageably large number of concordance lines to an arbitrary size to suit the preferences of the user. These preferences might be expressed in terms of the amount of time available to perform the analysis, or the maximum number of lines which the corpus user can manipulate directly.

It can happen that the concordance lines which are presented as the result of a random selection do contain some feature which the corpus user wishes to exemplify, yet none of the lines are suitable, for one or more of the reasons which are discussed in Section 2.6. It is then necessary to perform an explicit search for the feature in a larger sample or in the corpus as a whole in order to find an example which is suitable for inclusion. Naturally, this takes time and detracts from the time-saving aspect of the sampling approach.

### 2.5.3.2. Picture \& Collocates

When using those tools which transform the concordance lines into some kind of summary, such as 'picture' or 'collocates', a threshold of statistical significance is applied which tends to disallow features which have a low frequency. While this is very useful for gaining an overview of the key features of a node word, it can be a disadvantage if one is looking for new phenomena, which tend to occur infrequently when they first appear in the corpus. Since one of Cobuild's aims is to be as up-to-date as possible, this drawback is of some concern to their corpus researchers.

An issue related to this has to do with the fact that these tools summarise what is happening at the lexical level only. Because of this, 'picture' may fail to detect paradigms, where many words may fill a particular slot in the node word's context, since each word may not occur frequently enough to be shown as significant.

Analytical methods which present an abstract or summarised version of corpus data can also be information-losing in a way which clouds the corpus users' perspective on a particular feature. This can happen when a phenomenon occurs mainly in a sub-corpus, such as 'spoken material' or 'newspaper data'; it is difficult to convey this directly in 'picture' or 'collocates' - the corpus user has to actually call up the lines containing the feature, at which point the source is revealed.

### 2.5.4. Characteristics of a concordance line affecting its inclusion as a dictionary example

### 2.5.4.1. Positive Features

When the respondents were asked why they selected particular concordance lines in preference to others, they put most emphasis on the concrete attributes of the concordance line, attributes which, to a large extent, could be automatically isolated. The majority of respondents, lexicographers and grammarians alike, identified the presence of strong
collocational patterns as the major key in selecting concordance lines. Several of the respondents favoured lines which were brief, two of them adding that they preferred ones which were conceptually or syntactically self-contained. Clarity was an attribute which was valued by three respondents, this being manifested in a preference for those lines which clearly showed a particular syntax or collocate pattern and did not need to be edited before being included in the reference text. The need to exemplify a syntactic pattern was raised explicitly by three respondents.

### 2.5.4.2. Negative Features

The negative features tended, interestingly, to be concerned with more meta-textual and extra-textual features, which contrasts markedly with the more physical characteristics identified as being positive in nature. The feature most likely to cause a line to be rejected is if it is offensive in some way, in that it contains either obscenities or offensive or contentious references to real people. Indeed, references of any nature to people or places will generally be ruled out, not only because of the possibility of causing offence, but also because these tend to set the example in a particular cultural or chronological framework. Since Cobuild products sell in many countries of the world, cultural references are generally avoided, and proper names can easily cause the text to date rapidly, if, for example, a prime minister's name is used in a definition and then there is a change of government. The above features are largely extra-textual, depending upon the sensitivity and realworld knowledge of the corpus user to identify them. Several negative features which depend on the concordance lines and the corpus which contains them were also mentioned and included the use of obscure vocabulary, where obscure means low in frequency in the corpus as a whole, or limited in some way to particular genres or varieties of English. This was made more explicit by some respondents, who said that they rejected lines which contained nonce formations. However expressed, though, this is obviously indicating that an evaluation of the typicality of the context represented in the
concordance line is being carried out by the corpus researchers.

### 2.5.5. Issues Relating to Increased Corpus Size

Some corpus users felt that the increase in corpus size would continue to be beneficial, citing the advantages it would bring in being able to find more and better examples of low frequency items and to separate out specialist meanings from slightly more frequent words where perhaps there is currently insufficient evidence to create a dictionary entry for a new sense. One respondent went as far as to theorize that a corpus of three or four times the current size would be capable of yielding up any desired example, presumably meaning that a corpus of around a thousand million tokens would approximate to the 'universal' corpus discussed in Chapter 1. Along with the sense of the benefits of increasing corpus size however, came an awareness among the users that the expanded corpus would require even more time to analyse.

Several respondents expressed concern regarding the configuration of the corpus, stating that the corpus as a whole would be unwieldy (since it already is to some extent) and that some kind of useable sub-division was needed. The content of the corpus would also be important. The balance of text-types might be affected if the corpus were to be enlarged using the more readily available types such as newspaper data, at the cost of the more labour-intensive data like spoken material.

Another major implication of the increase in size of corpora would be the impact on corpus access. Specifically, the speed of access, the time taken by the software to retrieve and display the information requested by the user, may be degraded, especially for complex searches on frequent items. In addition to the response time issue, reservations were expressed regarding the ability of existing methods to deal with the large amounts of output that would be generated by a much-expanded corpus. It was felt that there would be a need for new methods of looking at large numbers of lines and new sampling methods which worked more intelligently than the current system. As one respondent pointed out,
with growing corpus size, a fixed-length sample of, say, 500 lines, becomes increasingly less representative as the ratio between the size of the sample and the total frequency of the word being sampled decreases.

### 2.6. Conclusions

### 2.6.1. The Rôle of Collocates

In the responses which addressed analytical methods and positive features of concordance lines, much evidence was presented supporting the importance of the collocate profile of the node word, firstly in determining its typical behaviour and secondly in assessing whether a specific line adhered to that behaviour. This is of direct relevance to the work in hand, since the analytical approach employed by the software described herein relies entirely on information extracted from the context of the node word. In as much as they provide a summary of the contexts of the node word, collocates are an accessible, if somewhat simple, abstraction. To a large extent this is due to the limitations of the computational and statistical approaches involved in collocate identification. The fact that the KWIC format has persisted from printed to VDU form and the extensive manual analysis that has been carried out on it suggest that the concordance is not just a computationally convenient format, but rather that it sufficiently encompasses the context of its node word in its own right.

We have, however, seen that the current automated methods of establishing the collocate profile of a node word entail certain compromises, in particular with regard to the identification of collocational patterns which cross several columns or which can occur in a variety of positions. The ability of any analytical software to identify and record the fact that a feature occurs in specific positions would therefore seem to be a crucial facet of its design if it is to perform automatically a successful analysis of a node word's collocational behaviour.

### 2.6.2. Representativeness of the Corpus

Since one of the most important reasons for using corpus data is to provide an accurate description of the language, the corpus itself should be as representative as possible, so that the value of any analysis based on it, either manual or automatic, is maximised.

### 2.6.3. The Need for Filtering

Several respondents stated explicitly that there is already a definite need for more sophisticated filtering software. As the size of corpora continues to increase, this need will be even greater. The effect of the continued growth of corpora will be illustrated in the next section with concrete numerical examples of the problems which face the users of the large-scale corpus, set against a historical background of earlier, smaller corpora.

### 2.7. Problems with Large Corpora

The huge amounts of corpus data which are now available will inevitably lead to problems for those charged with turning it into useful information. Corpus researchers, who generally work online, can look at a screenful of roughly thirty concordance lines at a time. From that they need to gain a sufficient overview, if not of the entire node word, then at least of some subset of its occurrences. Let us suppose that for a given corpus, a screenful (or a few screenfuls) delivers a sufficiently representative impression of the behaviour of the node word under scrutiny. The growth of any corpus has the effect of increasing the frequency of many of the word types that it contains. When examining a corpus consisting of many million of tokens, therefore, the number of lines to be analysed can grow from hundreds to thousands. When this happens the corpus user's window on the data becomes smaller, since the window is never enlarged, but the amount of data to be considered grows constantly, meaning that the user needs to look at more screenfuls and the difficulty of gaining an overview becomes greater. One consequence of this development is that corpus software must be made more powerful and complex with each
generation of corpora. This is noted by McEnery and Wilson, who highlight 'the pressure put on retrieval software forced to deal with presenting thousands of answers' (McEnery \& Wilson 1996 p 174) and go on to underline the need for 'smart' software, as well as mentioning the system described in this thesis as a further aid in using large corpora. The problems associated with the ever-increasing size of textual databases have not gone unnoticed by those researching the techniques of using corpora; Biber et al remark:

Concordances are an important aid to lexicographers in identifying the various senses of a given word, and they represent a major advance over the manual sorting of citation index cards (still practiced in some lexicographic organizations). Since manual techniques depend on skill and coverage of human readers, there is no assurance that all major senses of a word will be represented; further, manual techniques provide no reliable basis for assessing the relative frequency of different word uses. In contrast, concordances based on large corpora can provide too much information, so that lexicographers are overwhelmed by the amount of data. For example, the concordance for certain extracted from a 10 -million word sample of the Longman/ Lancaster Corpus contains approximately 3,000 entries. Simply identifying the major patterns in a database of this size is a daunting task; to group different uses accurately and rank them in order of importance is not really feasible without the use of additional tools. (Biber et al 1994 p 172)

As we saw in Chapter 1, the working methods of the researchers have evolved to take advantage of the technological benefits now available, such as on-the-fly concordance generation and instant re-sorting of the concordance lines. It is now expected that these operations take place online at the computer screen, even though this may display far fewer lines at a time than the original hardcopy concordances. As we move into the age when corpora are measured in thousands of millions, the feasibility of looking at the data through such a small window will be diminished even further. In Clear (1995) we find this very problem expressed by one of the builders of the Bank of English, the corpus referred to here:

One obvious side-effect of using such a large corpus is that if lexicographers are to describe comprehensively the English which is evidenced there they will require ever more sophisticated software to assist with the sorting, sifting and evaluation of the mass of data. (ibid p. 1)

The author quoted here was also, incidentally, involved with the design and creation of the BNC, and therefore has much experience in large-scale corpus building projects.

In order to illustrate more concretely the problem posed by ever-larger corpora, let us look at a specific example. In the previous section, the results of a survey of regular users of corpus data were presented. One of the goals of that survey was to ascertain the maximum number of concordance lines which could be examined without the corpus users losing their overview. The responses ranged from several hundred lines up to around 3,000 , or between ten and a hundred screenfuls. If we take as our maximum a figure of 1,000 lines, based on the responses from the Cobuild corpus users, and apply it to the frequency list of some well-known corpora (see Glossary for details), we may begin to get an impression of one of the disadvantages of very large corpora.

The table below lists, for each corpus, the number of types which occur more than 1,000 times.

| Corpus | Total <br> tokens $(\mathrm{M})$ | Total <br> types | N types <br> $\mathrm{F}>1000$ | $\%$ <br> types | Equiv <br> tokens | \% total <br> tokens |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOB | 1 | 57,420 | 106 | 0.18 | 570,350 | 53 |
| BCET | 20 | 217,508 | 1,784 | 0.82 | $14,311,798$ | 71 |
| BNC | 99 | $679,525 \dagger$ | 7,623 | 1.12 | $86,192,376$ | 87 |
| 100 M | 105 | 628,151 | 7,812 | 1.24 | $94,084,063$ | 89 |
| BoE | $211 \ddagger$ | 638,901 | 12,432 | 1.90 | $196,704,042$ | 93 |
| ACR | 435 | $1,127,021$ | 19,210 | 1.70 | $413,090,408$ | 95 |

Table 2.1
Number of Types with Frequency over 1,000
The first thing to notice here is that as the corpus gets larger, the 'percentage of frequent types increases. This is not unsurprising, since as the number of tokens grows, one would expect to find more occurrences of already-known types, many of which will pass through the 1,000 threshold. This is emphasised by the fact that the introduction of new types slows down as the corpora get bigger; thus although BCET is twenty times the size LOB, it only contains about four times as many types; BoE likewise is ten times larger

[^3]than BCET, yet its type count is only three times greater. ACR differs slightly from this pattern, having twice as many tokens as BoE and also nearly twice as many types. It must be borne in mind, however, that ACR is composed entirely of newspaper text and is therefore likely to contain a very large number of types, since the language in newspapers is arguably the most rapidly evolving, generally being the first printed medium to present references to new real-world entities.

More striking are the columns relating to the total tokens which the frequent types represent. This is calculated by finding all those types which occur 1,000 times or more and summing their frequencies. In the BCET, 1,784 types have a frequency greater than 1,000 , which corresponds to only 0.82 per cent of all the types $(217,508)$. In terms of tokens, however, this corresponds to $14,311,798$ running words, or 71 per cent of the entire corpus.

The situation worsens as the corpus size expands: the massive size of the 211 millionword Bank of English ( BoE ) pushes 93 per cent of its content over the frequency threshold and the 435 million-word database of newspaper text (ACR) raises this to 95 per cent. In terms of useability of the corpus, this phenomenon means that the majority of the evidence stored in the corpus is not available to corpus researchers using straightforward concordancing as a means of analysis. They must therefore find other means to get at the information embedded in the corpus. To return to Clear:
... it is clear that for many words in the central core of English vocabulary items the sheer frequency of occurrence of these words makes it very time-consuming to carry out an analysis of concordances without further software assistance.(Clear 1995 p. 10)

Several different methods for the automatic analysis of output from a corpus were introduced in the Chapter 1. It was stated then that they each have particular shortcomings, although the majority of them served a useful purpose in reducing the amount of information presented to the corpus user, so that some kind of overview could be obtained of the behaviour of a given node word. The way in which the reduction is carried out, however,
is the key to the problem with these analytical methods, since it is is not performed intelligently, being based instead on random numbers, or the occurrence of a particular collocate. This chapter has shown, based on evidence from full-time professional users of a large corpus, that the potential shortcomings of the various analytical methods are a reality.

What is required, then, is a corpus tool which uses a valid method of determining the characteristic features of 'good' concordance lines, applies that method to a set of lines in order to select the most useful ones and presents the selected lines to the corpus user in a manner which they can easily understand. In the next two chapters, some of the features of concordance lines will be examined and a comparison will be drawn between the concordance 'text' and natural-language text with a view to establishing whether an automatic analytical method developed for use on text is applicable to concordances.
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## Chapter 3

## Concordances and Abridgement

## 3. Concordances and Abridgement

### 3.1. Introduction

The previous chapter outlined some of the major difficulties facing corpus researchers as they attempt to analyse the substantial amounts of concordance data which are retrievable from today's large corpora. In order to overcome the problem of information overload, it would be desirable to process a word's concordance set automatically, identifying the lines which are most indicative of the node word's behaviour and then presenting only those lines to the corpus user. One analogy to this exists in software systems which are capable of creating an abridgement of a text by extracting from it sentences which are in some way 'core' or 'key', thereby reducing the amount of information presented to the user of the system. This chapter will focus on the techniques developed for the abridgement of mainstream text, while the next chapter will examine the parallels between conventional texts and concordances and consider the possibility of extending the abridgement analogy to the point where one might literally abridge a concordance. This might lead us to think that the concordance is in fact a kind of text and that by abridging it we remove the extraneous, peripheral lines, leaving only the core, representative lines and thereby reduce the amount of redundant material confronting the corpus user.

One methodology for creating automatic abridgements of conventional texts was developed by Michael Hoey, as described in Hoey (1991) and is outlined in Section 3.3 below. His research, based on the analysis of lexical cohesion within the text, has been exploited to produce systems, both manual and computer-based, for the automatic abridgement of natural-language texts. There are a number of reasons why Hoey's system has been used in preference to any other. Foremost is the fact that the analytical techniques are in the public domain and can be and have been replicated, as in (Benbrahim and Ahmad 1995), by reference to Hoey's published research. This contrasts markedly with other abridgement systems, which, largely for commercial reasons, keep the algorithms used in their
processing hidden from the user. Examples of this are BT's ProSum service on WWW (http://www.labs.bt.com/pressoffice/archive/1997/prosum.html) and the AutoSummarize tool found in Microsoft Word. Cohesion analysis of various kinds has also been used in the creation of textual abridgements independently of Hoey's work, as in the studies by Reimer et al (1990), who examine the repetition of particular keywords and also in the work of Morris and Hirst (1991), who establish 'lexical chains' in the text which are related by cohesion.

Further advantages of Hoey's system are that it has been shown to be very flexible in its application to text of different types and languages and that the technique does not involve any complicated statistical measurements, but rather relies on counting of features in the text. This contrasts with the approach used by Salton et al (1994), who employ extra-textual word frequency data to drive statistical measures aimed at identifying the core segments of the text. In addition, Hoey's system uses sentences as input and produces a subset of those sentences as output, whereas other 'abridgement' systems in fact produce output in the form of keywords (Källgren 1988) or some higher-level abstraction of the text structure (Morris \& Hirst 1991).

The identification of cohesive features is not simple, but the simplicity of the overall technique developed by Hoey makes it more readily implementable and verifiable on a computer, even if the range of automatically identifiable features, as we shall later, is somewhat reduced. No reference is needed to external sources of information or to characteristics of the text other than the degree of cohesion, such as sentence length or type-token ratio. In addition, no thesaural expansion is carried out on the text, in contrast to the methods used by Benbrahim \& Ahmad (1995) and Källgren (op cit), which further assists in the verification of the results.

The remainder of this chapter will examine the ways in which lexical cohesion has been used to produce automatic abridgements of normal text and in the subsequent chapter we will investigate the feasibility of applying this methodology to the concordance by means
of a comparison of some of the key features common to concordance lines and sentences within a text.

### 3.2. Text and Lexical Cohesion

Lexical cohesion was identified by Halliday and Hasan (1976) as one of five classes of cohesive ties, the others being conjunction, reference, substitution and ellipsis. These 'ties' represent those relationships between features of the text which help to organise it and make it coherent and cohesive. (See Hoey, 1991, p. 11 ff for a discussion of the important distinction between coherence and cohesion). It may be of interest to note here some of the observations which Halliday and Hasan made concerning the nature of 'text'. They define it as
... any passage, spoken or written, of whatever length, that does form a unified whole. (Halliday \& Hasan, 1976, p. 1)

Furthermore, a text is said to be
a unit not of form but of meaning (ibid p. 2)
The point to note here is that if we follow the analogy presented earlier then the 'text', that is, a concordance, does not correspond to either of these definitions.

A concordance forms no 'unified whole', since its content depends firstly on the presence of a particular lexical item (the node word) and secondly, and more indirectly, on the constitution of the corpus from which it is drawn. This second factor enables the 'text' of the concordance potentially to include both spoken and written material simultaneously, given that both types are present in the corpus as a whole.

As to the second definition, it is clear that a concordance is not a unit of meaning - it cannot, for example, be read as a whole - but rather that it is circumscribed by the number of characters the corpus user has chosen to include in each line. It is in fact defined in terms of its form, having, in the case of the KWIC format, the node word in the middle of each line, with a fixed number of characters of context either side of the node.

Halliday and Hasan go on to define what is meant by cohesion. They say that it refers to relations of meaning that exist within the text, and define it as a text. (ibid p. 4)

As we saw earlier, there is no semantic relation between the constituent elements (the lines) of a concordance, only a formal one. Is it, then, possible to refer to the existence of cohesion in a concordance? Using the definitions we have seen so far it is probably not. One conclusion to be drawn from this is that we are dealing with a new type of text, one which stretches known definitions. As far as lexical cohesion is concerned, the current work does rather more than stretch its definition. In later chapters it will be shown that the ties present between the elements of the concordance have much in common with the cohesive relations between the items of a 'text' as it has been defined above.

### 3.3. Abridgement

Halliday and Hasan sub-divided lexical cohesion into reiteration and collocation and it is this former sub-class which Hoey adopted for use in his work on textual analysis. This work eventually led him to develop the system for creating abridged versions of a text which is introduced in Hoey (1991).

Hoey noticed that the sentences of a text which had a large degree of cohesion with other sentences could be used to create an abridgement of the text. He developed a methodology which enabled him to record and utilise the presence of cohesive ties, which he termed links, to apply a measure to each sentence as to how much it contributed to the cohesiveness of the text. This measure was expressed in terms of the number of bonds the sentence obtained. Hoey defined a bond as 'a connection which exists between a pair of sentences by virtue of there being an above-average number of links relating them'.

At the simplest level, the cohesive relationship between any pair of sentences was expressed in terms of the number of links between them, with several different kinds of link being possible. In summary, these were:

## Simple (Lexical) Repetition

The link exists between two instances of the same word, for example 'dream' $\leftrightarrow$ 'dream'.

Complex Lexical Repetition
Here a link is made between members of a lemma, e.g. 'dream' $\leftrightarrow$ 'dreaming'. This category also includes morphologically related antonyms of the 'happy $\leftrightarrow$ unhappy' variety.

Simple Paraphrase
This instantiates a link between synonyms which are coreferential within the text ('clever’ $\leftrightarrow$ 'intelligent').

Complex Paraphrase
This covers some cases of repetition by antonym not covered by complex lexical repetition, such as 'light $\leftrightarrow$ dark'.

Hyponymy
Here, superordinates are included as repetitions, e.g. 'tulip $\leftrightarrow$ flower'.
Pronominal Repetition
In this case, reference is made to a lexical item by means of a pronoun and a link is therefore made between the pronoun and the lexical item, e.g. 'dream' $\leftrightarrow$ 'it'.

Substitution
Where a phrase such as 'the first one' or 'the above' is used to refer back to a previous clause, sentence or even larger section of the text, this must also be considered as a link.

Hoey's approach involved the creation of a two-dimensional matrix, of which only the lower half, below the diagonal from top-left to bottom-right, was used. The cells of the matrix were referenced by two numbers, each referring to the numbers of the sentences in the text. Thus cell $(2,5)$ would contain information pertaining to the relationship between
sentence 2 of the text and sentence 5 . Hoey analysed the text by identifying instances of each of the phenomena listed above. Whenever he discovered a link between two sentences, he incremented the appropriate cell of the matrix. A sample matrix, constructed by this means and based on Hoey's own example, is given below. The figures in parentheses identify sentence numbers; cell values in square brackets indicate questionable links.
(1)


Figure 3.1: Sample Matrix (from Hoey 1991 p 90)
Once the entire text had been processed in this fashion, a link threshold was applied to the matrix. This was generally set to the value 3 and was applied to the connectivity data recorded in each cell of the matrix in turn. Any cell which contained a value greater than or equal to the link threshold was deemed to have established a bond. That is, if two sentences $S 1$ and $S 2$ had three or more links, then those sentences were said to be bonded. The value of three as the link threshold was determined heuristically by Hoey on the basis that it is high enough to prevent 'accidental' bonds from being formed where sentences happen to have words in common, yet low enough to allow the majority of valid bonds to occur.

Having applied a link threshold in order to translate links into bonds, the next step was to use the bonds to decide which sentences were to be included in the abridgement. This was achieved by reference to the number of bonds acquired by the sentences of the text.

Because of the triangular shape of the matrix, it was necessary to read both across the row and down the column for a given sentence number; by identifying the number of sentences with which a bond had been formed, based on the link threshold discussed previously, a bond score was established for each sentence. In order to determine which sentences to include in the abridgement a further threshold, the bond threshold, was implemented, such that any sentence whose bond score attained the threshold would be included in the abridgement. Supposing a bond threshold of 3, any sentence which shared a bond with three or more other sentences would be selected to form part of the abridgement. In the sample matrix above, sentence (12) shows an example of this: reading across the matrix row it forms two bonds, one with sentence (1), the other with sentence (4), both of which have at least 3 links to sentence (12). Reading down the matrix column we find one further bond, formed with sentence (14), since it also has three links to sentence (12).

In this chapter we have examined one means of creating abridgements from conventional texts by selecting key sentences from them according to the number of bonds that they acquire when analysed for lexical repetition. If this same methodology is to be applied to the concordance 'text', then it would be appropriate to identify any features of conventional text and concordances which may be common to the two text types. In the next chapter, therefore, the suitability of concordances as candidates for abridgement will be explored.

Chapter 4
Concordance Lines as Text

## 4. Concordance Lines as Text

### 4.1. Introduction

In the previous chapter we introduced the hypothesis that the concordance could be treated as a new kind of text and that it would be reasonable to apply a text-based abridgement technique, Hoey's lexical cohesion analysis, to the concordance as though it were a conventional text, the aim being to select from the concordance those lines which contained features which are in some way key or central to the characteristics of the node word under scrutiny. What is proposed, then, is to apply the abridgement methodology to sets of concordance lines, such that the lines are treated as the 'sentences' within the concordance set 'text'. As a means of testing the hypothesis that concordances have text-like features and can thus be 'abridged' it seems appropriate to look at some of the features which are common to sentences and concordance lines.

In the sections which follow, the umbrella term elements will be used to refer to both sentences and concordance lines. When we are dealing with a natural-language text, an element corresponds to an orthographic sentence. When concordances are the target of the analysis, an element consists of a single concordance line. There are a number of parallels between these different types of element, which will now be discussed.

### 4.2. Size

There is a strong relationship between an element's size and the number of lexico-cohesive links which it can potentially form with other elements.

### 4.2.1. Sentences

The size of a sentence, defined in terms of the number of tokens (running words) it contains, varies considerably. A preliminary analysis of a sample of the Financial Times from January to March 1994 inclusive indicated that there is a great variation in the possible
length of sentences. Sentences containing between one and forty tokens accounted for about 95 per cent of all the sentences, with the most common length being six words, although several sentences were found to contain over one hundred tokens. The sample was 6.91 million tokens in size and contained approximately 364,000 sentences, giving an average sentence length of around 19 words. More recently, the analysis has been repeated using the written component of the British National Corpus (BNC), which includes sentence delimiters as part of its SGML markup. The written texts account for approximately 90 million out of the total 100 million tokens in the corpus and are divided into $5,188,373$ sentences, or 'S-units' to use the BNC terminology, giving a mean sentence length of 17.3 words or 'W-units' (Burnard, p 7). My own analysis, based upon the SGML tagging in the written texts, indicates that the most commonly-occurring length of an S-unit is five tokens, although the difference in frequency among the commonest sentence lengths is minimal. While the scope of an S-unit in the BNC includes text which occurs in headlines and titles, an examination of these does not seem to indicate that the length distribution is significantly different to that found in the texts themselves. The figures for the top ten sentence lengths can be seen in the next table.

| Rank | Sentence <br> Length | Number of <br> Occurrences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 193,565 |
| 2 | 3 | 193,377 |
| 3 | 2 | 192,807 |
| 4 | 4 | 191,583 |
| 5 | 6 | 191,554 |
| 6 | 7 | 188,435 |
| 7 | 8 | 181,904 |
| 8 | 1 | 176,259 |
| 9 | 9 | 174,717 |
| 10 | 10 | 170,819 |

Table 4.1
Commonest Sentence Lengths in the BNC
At just over 10 million words in total, these sentences account for $1,855,020$ out of the 5.2 million sentences, or about $36 \%$. In terms of tokens, they represent approximately $11 \%$ of the corpus. While the most common sentences are relatively short, several surprisingly long sentences were also found in the BNC, with 3,302 S-units containing 100 W-units or more, equivalent to about $0.5 \%$ of the written component. In total, 300 different sentence lengths were found.

It is interesting to note the closeness in size of the frequencies of occurrence of the most frequent sentence lengths, such that from the most frequent sentence length to the 10 thranked length there is a fall-off of only $12 \%(193,565-170,819)$.

The next table shows how the frequencies for the $10 \%$ centile ranges of sentence length are distributed. This type of analysis is intended to convey an impression of the fall-off in frequency as the sentence length increases. The table was arrived at by building a list of all the sentence lengths present in the corpus and sorting the list into descending order of the frequency; in effect this would resemble the above table with all the items from rank 11 to rank 300 added. The 300 items on the list were then divided into ten sets, such that
the first set, the 90th centile, contained enough items to account for $10 \%$ of all the sentences. Referring back to the previous table, this would involve only the first three items, which have a combined frequency of 579,749 , equivalent to $11.1 \%$ (rounded to $10 \%$ ) of the $5,183,719$ total sentences. The remainder of the table which follows was built up by adding more items until $20 \%$ of the sentences were accounted for, resulting in the 80th centile score and so on until all 300 items have been included, giving the ' 0 th' centile. The slightly unusual feature to note here is that the first six centile ranges all add the same number of items, three. This reflects the closeness in frequency of the commonest sentence lengths which was noted earlier, indicating that the trend exemplified in the first ten items continues at least as far as the 18 th item and it is not until the range below the 20th centile is entered that more than 5 items need to be added to account for the next $10 \%$ of the total number of sentences.

| Centile | No. of <br> Items | No. of <br> Sentences |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 90th | 3 | 579,749 |
| 80th | 6 | $1,151,321$ |
| 70th | 9 | $1,684,201$ |
| 60th | 12 | $2,188,974$ |
| 50th | 15 | $2,675,579$ |
| 40th | 18 | $3,138,652$ |
| 30th | 22 | $3,686,422$ |
| 20th | 27 | $4,218,558$ |
| 10th | 34 | $4,690,411$ |
| 0th | 300 | $5,183,719$ |

Table 4.2
Centile Analysis of BNC Sentence Lengths
The frequency distribution can also be visualised by plotting sentence length against frequency. The following graph shows the frequency of sentences containing up to 100 tokens. Note the convex nature of the plot at around 20 words and compare this with the concave shape further to the right.


### 4.2.2. Concordance Lines

Concordance lines in Keyword-in-Context (KWIC) format also vary in the number of tokens that comprise them, but, due largely to their fixed width they vary far less than sentences. The concordance lines analysed for this study are 80 characters wide and contain between eight and twenty-nine running words, the most common length being fifteen words. There are several reasons for the use of a fixed-size concordance line. Firstly, cohort was intended to be as general-purpose as possible - most concordancing packages are capable of producing a text file containing the user-selected concordance lines, which could then be fed directly into cohort. Secondly, much of the data on which cohort was trialled was only made available as text files of the concordance and had to be transferred across several different computer systems of varying platforms in the course of this study. There was therefore no scope to make use of the extended contextual information which is provided by interactive concordancers such as WordSmith Tools or TACT. And finally, most corpus users' point of initial contact with a word is the simple, unexpanded
concordance, one line of context per occurrence, as wide as the VDU will allow. The word count characteristics of several concordances are summarised in the following table, which contains figures for the number of tokens found in each line of all the concordance sets analysed, a total of 29,233 lines.

| Number of <br> tokens in line | Raw <br> Frequency | $\%$ of <br> total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 8 | 2 | 0.007 |
| 9 | 38 | 0.130 |
| 10 | 160 | 0.547 |
| 11 | 755 | 2.583 |
| 12 | 2,183 | 7.468 |
| 13 | 4,369 | 14.945 |
| 14 | 6,670 | 22.817 |
| 15 | 6,843 | 23.408 |
| 16 | 4,755 | 16.266 |
| 17 | 2,362 | 8.080 |
| 18 | 785 | 2.685 |
| 19 | 178 | 0.609 |
| 20 | 42 | 0.144 |
| 21 | 12 | 0.041 |
| 22 | 22 | 0.075 |
| 23 | 18 | 0.062 |
| 24 | 15 | 0.051 |
| 25 | 8 | 0.027 |
| 26 | 7 | 0.024 |
| 27 | 8 | 0.027 |
| 29 | 1 | 0.003 |

Table 4.3
Number of tokens in concordance lines
The 29,233 lines contain a total of 426,004 words, giving a mean length of 14.6 words, corresponding closely to the observed mode (most frequently occurring length) of 15. This would seem to indicate that the number of tokens in concordance lines lies within a smaller range than in sentences and this can be verified by calculating the variance (the mean squared deviation) $\dagger$ for each set of data. The results of this calculation are shown
$\dagger$ Establishing the variance for a set of data involves subtracting the mean length from each member of the set, squaring this value and adding it to the total. This total is then divided by the population (number of members) to give the variance. The result is a measure of the variation or range of values in the items and is generally represented by the formula:

$$
v=\frac{\Sigma(x-\mu)^{2}}{N}-1
$$

in the table which follows, which, to aid comparison, also includes figures for the single concordance set for the node word 'black':

| Data | Population | Mean Length | Variance |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| BNC Sentences | $5,188,373$ | 16.3 | 169.6 |
| FT Sentences | 364,144 | 19.0 | 13.4 |
| All Concordances | 29,233 | 14.6 | 3.0 |
| 'black' Concordance | 6,096 | 14.5 | 1.6 |

Table 4.4
Variance for Sentence/Concordance Length
From these calculations it is clear that the variation in sentence length is considerably greater, two orders of magnitude in fact, than that for concordance line length.

The most important consequence of this scope for difference in size has to do with the likelihood of an element acquiring links. These are the means by which lexical cohesion is established and their existence relies upon the presence of lexical items which are repeated in various ways across sentences of a text. The maximum number of links which any element can achieve is limited by the number of words which it can contain and as we have seen, a sentence, with between one and, potentially, several hundred words has empirically a higher chance of acquiring a link than a concordance line, which is constrained by its physical size to less than thirty words. In addition to this, the node word is present in every line, thereby further reducing the potential for linking, since it is not eligible as a link.

It is hard to say whether one type of element is more likely to gain links than the other, since there is so much overlap between the ranges of the length of each element. If we take the mean concordance line length, 14.5, and compare it against all the possible lengths for a sentence in the BNC, we find that just under half (48.6\%) of the sentences are shorter and slightly more than half (51.4\%) are longer. The action of the node's

[^4]collocational $\dagger$ preferences must also be considered, but their overall effect will be to increase the likelihood of items occurring repeatedly across concordance lines and thereby creating links.

On the other hand, the definition of a link varies considerably, depending on which elements are being analysed. In Hoey's manual system and the abridgement software which was derived from it, only lexical words were available as candidates for linkage, but if we are dealing with concordance data, then the possibility of grammatical (i.e. non-lexical) items forming links has to be considered. If they are included as candidates for linkage, then this broadens the definition of links for concordances, since almost any repeated item would potentially take part in the linking process. To this must be added the fact that although sentences can be longer than concordance lines, a large proportion are not. $36 \%$ of the BNC sentences, for example, contain ten words or less; furthermore, of those words a good many will be excluded from the analysis because they are non-lexical.

What conclusions, then, can be drawn from these various comparisons? Firstly, we have established that concordance lines are more consistent in length. This is advantageous to the identification of links, since the low variance in length provides a level playing field for each line, relative to the others. This contrasts with textual abridgement, where long sentences have a greater likelihood of selection. Secondly, it would appear that the number of possible links in a concordance line is difficult to define in terms which are comparable to sentence length; the chances of a concordance line being longer or shorter than a sentence are roughly equal.

### 4.3. Nature of Elements

A sentence is a fully-formed unit of natural language, created with a specific communicative purpose in mind. A concordance line in KWIC format, on the other hand, is artificially truncated to a certain number of characters, possibly even severed partway through

[^5]a token, depending on how it was generated. Expressed differently, one might describe the sentence as a functional element, whereas the concordance line, as we shall see in the chapter on the basics of lexical cohesion which follows, is a formal one; that is, the concordance line is defined by its form, while the sentence is defined by the communicative intent of its author.

The nature of the concordance line is that it contains a particular word, the node word, in its central position. Setting aside the issue of the format for a second, it might be said that concordance lines are the linked elements of a very large text (the corpus), where the links have been limited so that they exist solely on the basis of one lexical item - the node word. What must be borne in mind, however, is that the corpus does not consist of a series of concordance lines, rather the concordance line's KWIC format is imposed on the corpus at those locations where the node word occurs. The concordance line is not a naturally occurring unit, in contrast with the sentence.

In addition to the pre-defined link between each of the members of the concordance set created by its node word, we know that certain other links are likely, because of the existence of collocational patterns associated with the node. In the case of sentences in a text, this phenomenon is not present; there is no one feature, corresponding to the node word, which occurs in every sentence and thus it seems unlikely that the equivalent of collocates would be found there either. Where a node word does occur in a concordance line with one or more of its common collocates, the probability is high that this will contribute to the acquisition of links for that line, since the collocates are, by definition, present within the contexts of a number of occurrences of the node word. As an example, if we were to examine the concordance lines for 'black' and identified within them several lines which exemplify the phrase 'black and white' then each of these lines would be linked to the other lines containing 'black and white'.

### 4.4. Order of Elements

The sentences of a text must normally appear in a particular order; to present them in any other order would seriously detract from the readability of the text. Concordance lines, conversely, may appear in any order, as corpus users' understanding of one line does not depend on their having absorbed the information presented in the lines which come before it. This is perhaps attributable to the fact that they are never 'read' or 'understood' as text, but rather analysed or scanned by the corpus researcher for individual features. Perhaps the convention of displaying concordance lines sorted on a particular word to the right or left of the node is the closest approximation we have to the concept of a 'required' order of presentation. It is certainly true that an unsorted set of concordance lines is far harder to analyse than one which can be sorted and re-sorted on particular positions.

### 4.5. Conclusions

In this chapter the size, nature and ordering of sentences and concordance lines have been considered with a view to evaluating the applicability of a cohesion-driven abridgement approach to the problem of over-abundant corpus data.

It has been shown that there is a certain degree of overlap in terms of the number of words that each type of element can contain, although the number of links which may be derived from this is affected by other factors, related to the individual characteristics of the elements. Any automatic analysis of concordance data would therefore need to be able to take account of these differences and, where possible, exploit them in order to improve the quality of analysis.

In the next chapter we shall look at specific computational implementations based on cohesion analysis as applied to the abridgement of natural-language texts and the selection of concordance lines.

## Chapter 5

## The Software

## 5. The Software

### 5.1. Abridgement Software

In 1989, I implemented a software version of Hoey's abridgement procedure. This initial version was a prototype written using Unix ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ tools and lacked the ability to identify any but the simplest form of link defined by Hoey, being only able to handle simple repetition, but it performed in seconds an analysis which would take a human being several hours to complete. The prototype was accepted by British Telecom Research Labs, who funded a slightly more sophisticated version, to be developed and tested in the Research and Development Unit for English Studies (RDUES) at the University of Birmingham. It was hoped that the software would become an integral part of Telecom's online textual database service, so that users would be able to see abridgements of the texts they have selected rather than having to read the whole document. The new version, written in the 'C' programming language, included the facility to identify complex lexical repetition and ran rather more quickly, but could only deal with texts of up to 256 sentences in length, because it implemented the matrix as a $256 \times 256$ integer array in the computer's memory, this being the largest array which could be created with the hardware available $\dagger$. Since the abridgement software was initially designed and fine-tuned to work well with texts such as newspaper articles, the limitation on the number of sentences which could be processed was not considered a serious one, since few news articles are long enough to exceed the sentence limit. In the next version of the software, however, the limitation was eventually overcome by replacing the fixed-size array with a dynamically allocated memory structure which dispensed with the need to store every single cell of the matrix. A major drawback of the original matrix design, when implemented as an array in the computer's memory, was that a large proportion of the cells in the array were in fact zero, but recording the zero still required as much storage space as any non-zero value. In the

[^6]sample matrix given in Hoey (1991: 90), for example, 53 of the 120 cells are zero; since this is a manually created matrix, based upon all the link types identified by Hoey, we can expect that an automatically created matrix would have had even more zero cells. The use of the dynamic storage approach brought two advantages. Firstly it allowed all the zero values to be ignored, because only those cells which contained a value greater than zero needed to be stored and thus memory usage was decreased considerably. Secondly, the upper limit on the number of sentences which could be processed was removed, as the 256-item limit on the matrix no longer applied.

### 5.2. Selecting Concordance Lines

Once our collaboration with British Telecom had ended, the software was re-written completely, resulting in a faster, more adaptable program called abr. The increased capacity of the abridgement software meant that it could be run on much larger texts than had hitherto been considered as candidates for abridgement. It became possible to abridge entire books, amounting to several thousand sentences, in a few minutes.

In 1991 RDUES embarked on the AVIATOR Project (Renouf 1994, Collier 1993), the goal of which was to identify and store collocational 'profiles' for words in a large diachronic corpus and to record changes in those profiles over time. The profiles consist of a comprehensive record of the co-occurrence of the types in the corpus, storing span and frequency information from which statistically significant collocates can be derived.

It was at around this time that the 100 -million word British National Corpus (BNC) was released and HarperCollins' 200-million Bank of English (BoE) began to be publicised. Informal discussions with several users of the BoE revealed some of the drawbacks of using the larger corpus and these were covered in detail in Chapter 2. As a result of these discussions, I began to contemplate ways in which the difficulties presented by evergrowing corpus size might be overcome and this, together with the increased capacity of the abridgement software and the experience of collocational behaviour in the AVIATOR

Project, led me to consider the possibility of applying the techniques of lexical cohesion analysis to concordance lines and ultimately to create a program, cohort, to put the theory to the test.

The primary objective of this kind of analysis was to assign to concordance lines a score of 'typicality' and present them in order, with the most 'typical' first. The need for this type of analysis is pointed out by Patrick Hanks:

The words of English do not, typically, combine and recombine freely and randomly. Not only can typical grammatical structures and form classes be observed, but also typical collocates. The distinction between the possible and the typical is of the greatest importance. It is possible, given a reasonably lively imagination, to use a particular word in any number of different ways. But when we ask how the word is typically used, rather than how it might possibly be used, we can generally discover a relatively small number of distinct patterns, which may be used as a basis for explanations after being grouped together in appropriate ways. (Hanks 1987 p. 121)

One means of addressing the issue of typicality was created by John Sinclair and Jeremy Clear at the University of Birmingham in the late 1980s. They analysed concordances using a program called typical, which operates by extracting a set amount of context (generally four words from either side of the node word) from the concordance lines and creating a list of all the words which occur in those contexts (the raw collocates) from these. The frequency of occurrence of each word within the fixed context is then compared with its overall corpus frequency in order to generate a Z -score based on the observed to expected frequency. By applying a threshold to the resultant Z-scores, a list of collocates which are statistically significant is created. Once the list of significant collocates has been derived, it is matched against the original concordance and each line is thereby assigned a score on the basis of the presence of significant collocates within it.

Sadly, no written record seems to exist of typical, but on the basis of some minor developmental work which I did on the program, I am aware that the fundamental difference between cohesion analysis and the collocate analysis used by typical lies in the fact that the former system treats the concordance as a self-contained text, while typical functions by compiling a frequency list of all the types within a fixed context of the node and then
comparing this with the frequencies derived from an external corpus wordlist in order to identify significant collocates. It is therefore prone to the weaknesses relating to the determination of statistical significance mentioned in Section 1.3.1. In addition, typical takes no account of the positioning of collocates within the line, whereas cohort is able to do this, if required. If run on a concordance for the word 'kin', for example, typical might determine that 'kith' is a significant collocate. It would then apply a certain score of typicality to all lines which contained the collocate 'kith', even if it were used in an atypical phrase, such as 'kin and kith'.

In Chapter 4, a comparison of some of the characteristics of sentences and concordance lines was carried out, with a view to determining the validity of applying cohesion analysis to concordance lines. We saw how both texts and concordances are divisible into discrete elements. In terms of the approach to automatic processing described earlier, this division has two functions; firstly it defines the unit of analysis for the respective system, and secondly it defines the unit of selection. This means that each element in the input to one of the systems is analysed separately in its own right. Once all the units have been analysed, and the results collated and merged into the matrix, it is the same element which is used to generate the output, which is itself entirely made up of those elements. While the characteristics of the two elements do differ, it would seem that concordances are generally compatible with this type of approach. It is worth reminding ourselves that, as was noted in Chapter 3, there are some systems of abridgement which produce output that does not consist of the original sentences. They perform a more deep-level analysis of the input text and produce output in the form of keywords or possibly synthesised sentences. The benefit of the system employed by cohort is that its output is formed as a subset of its input, making it relatively simple to substitute concordance lines for sentences and produce 'abridgements' of concordances. This being the case, how might a set of concordance lines be analysed?

The starting point will be the extraction of a set of concordances for the word which we wish to analyse. Below is a sample of lines for the word 'kin', taken from BCET. This is presented as an example because it has two very strong patterns and is fairly low in frequency, while at the same time containing a line which does not fit either of the main patterns. The various stages in the automatic analysis are therefore easy to comprehend, although of course, the program would be more productively utilised on a much larger set of concordance lines.
(1) barrier excuses. As for the "kith and kin" appeal, to quote the Reverend Arth
(2) id. "And I'm sure the cattle's next of kin have been informed but is that quit
(3) ted backing of France for her kith and kin in Algeria and for her Army protec
(4) earted commitment towards our kith and kin overseas." Identity of "race, langu
(5) $f$ they do lecture their white kith and kin rather than the guerrillas, it is $p$
(6) e a narrow view of who is our kith and kin. Religion very properly tends to em
(7) been seeing to that. The only next of kin seems to be a cousin in Droitwich.
(8) I kindness toward him, they're not his kin.... That's exactly the feeling. old
(9) and her property passes to her next of kin under the intestacy rules. That me

Figure 5.1

## Selection of Concordance Lines for 'kin'

The two main patterns represented in this sample are 'kith and kin' in lines (1), (3), (4), (5) \& (6) and 'next of kin', which is present in lines (2), (7) \& (9). Line (8) stands alone in that it contains neither of these. Any automatic analysis of these lines should therefore be capable of isolating the two main features and filtering out any lines which do not contain them.

In order to establish that the above analysis could be carried out automatically, a version of the abridgement software was used in which the sentence recognition component had been modified so that, in effect, it treated each concordance line as a sentence. This component forms the first stage in the program's set of procedures and is known as the tokeniser, as it carries out the identification of all the individual words, or tokens, in the text, distinguishing them from punctuation, white space etc. It is also responsible for recognising the sentence boundaries. The output from this stage therefore normally consists of tokens, each one of which has attached to it the sentence number in which the token occurs. When processing a set of concordance lines then, each token is instead given the number of the line in which it occurred. The above concordance sample is therefore tokenised as:

| barrier 1 <br> excuses 1 | is 2 <br> that 2 | ```identity 4 of 4``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { our } 6 \\ & \text { kith } 6 \end{aligned}$ | kindness 8 toward 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| as 1 | quit 2 | race 4 | and 6 | him 8 |
| for 1 | ted 3 | langu 4 | kin 6 | they're 8 |
| the 1 | backing 3 | f 5 | religion 6 | not 8 |
| kith 1 | of 3 | they 5 | very 6 | his 8 |
| and 1 | france 3 | do 5 | properly 6 | kin 8 |
| kin 1 | for 3 | lecture 5 | tends 6 | that's 8 |
| appeal 1 | her 3 | their 5 | to 6 | exactly 8 |
| to 1 | kith 3 | white 5 | em 6 | the 8 |
| quote 1 | and 3 | kith 5 | been 7 | feeling 8 |
| the 1 | kin 3 | and 5 | seeing 7 | old 8 |
| reverend 1 | in 3 | kin 5 | to 7 | and 9 |
| arth 1 | algeria 3 | rather 5 | that 7 | her 9 |
| id 2 | and 3 | than 5 | the 7 | property 9 |
| and 2 | for 3 | the 5 | only 7 | passes 9 |
| i'm 2 | her 3 | guerrillas 5 | next 7 | to 9 |
| sure 2 | army 3 | it 5 | of 7 | her 9 |
| the 2 | protec 3 | is 5 | kin 7 | next 9 |
| cattle's 2 | earted 4 | p 5 | seems 7 | of 9 |
| next 2 | commitment 4 | e 6 | to 7 | kin 9 |
| of 2 | towards 4 | a 6 | be 7 | under 9 |
| kin 2 | our 4 | narrow 6 | a 7 | the 9 |
| have 2 | kith 4 | view 6 | cousin 7 | intestacy 9 |
| been 2 | and 4 | of 6 | in 7 | rules 9 |
| informed 2 | kin 4 | who 6 | droitwich 7 | that 9 |
| but 2 | overseas 4 | is 6 | 18 | me 9 |

Figure 5.2
Tokenised Output for 'kin'
Here the numbers simply refer to the concordance line in which each word occurs. Note that every word is converted to lower case, so that different case forms of the same word can form links. If the resulting list is sorted alphabetically, the numbers of the now adjacent identical tokens can be collated. Any word which only occurs once, that is, only has one number in the collated list, is thrown away together with its number, since any such word cannot be forming a part of any recurrent pattern. Once this has been done, what remains is:

```
a 67
and 1
been 2 7
for 1 3
her 39
in 37
is 256
kin 1 2 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 9
kith 1 3 4 5 6
next 2 }7
Of 2 3 3 4 6 7 9
our 4 6
that 2 7 9
the 1 2 2 5 7 8 8 9
to 1 6 7 9
```

Figure 5.3
Collated Output for 'kin'
This is the basic information which we require in order to identify lexical cohesion patterns, since it tells us which words occur in which lines. In this instance, the words are allowed to occur anywhere in the line, relative to the node, but this need not be the case, as we shall see in the next chapter. To look at the information for the word 'kith' as an example, the numbers following the word inform us that there is a link between lines (1), (3), (4), (5) and (6), instantiated by the presence of 'kith' in each of these lines.

In abr, the word types 'the', ' $a$ ' and other very frequent closed-set words were expected to be present in almost every sentence and were not therefore valid candidates for establishing lexical links. Since they otherwise contributed nothing but a processing overhead they were removed. In cohort, also, such words can be given the same treatment, although, as we shall see subsequently, it is arguable that some grammatical words should be included when concordance lines are being processed, since they may participate in repeated phrases and therefore become valid links. In such cases, this might be regarded as an example of colligation (qv Hoey 1997). The contribution of the stopwords to the identification of bonded lines will be covered fully in the following chapter, which describes the various parameters which can be specified to the software.

One other item is also automatically removed and that is the node word, since it occurs in every line and would create an unnecessary link to each member of the concordance. The node and stopwords are thus discarded from the collated list, leaving the remainder shown below:

```
kith 1 3 4 5 6
next 2 7 9
```

Figure 5.4
Collated Output for 'kin' - Stopwords Removed
This list is known as the wordlist and the numbers following each word on the list are used to generate the contents of the matrix referred to earlier. Each pair of numbers represents one link, that is, one word in common between the lines in question. The numbers are entered into the matrix a pair at a time, thus 'kith 13456 ' would be broken down into all its constituent pairs $-(1,3),(1,4),(1,5),(1,6),(3,4),(3,5),(3,6),(4,5),(4,6)$ and $(5,6)$ - and for each pair the element of the matrix with the corresponding address is incremented. Note that this creates a triangular matrix (as seen in Figure 3.1), since each pair is only entered in the order in which they occur in the wordlist; so for example, the pairs $(3,1),(4,1),(5,1)$ and $(6,1)$ are not used. This means that the same information is not stored twice, which has implications for the way in which the matrix is read later on. Once all the lines in the wordlist have been processed, the following matrix is created:

|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |  |  |
| 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |  |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Figure 5.5
Matrix for 'kin' Concordance Lines
The bold numbers down the left-hand side and the diagonal represent the line numbers and the numbers in the cells display a count of the links between the lines. Reading
across from 3 and down from 1 there is the number 1 , which means that there is 1 link between lines 1 and 3. This is the link established by the word 'kith', as it occurred in both lines (1) and (3).

The next stage is to decide how many links must exist between two lines in order for a bond to be established. In the example matrix, however, there is no pair of lines which has more than one link. If we are to get any bonds at all, therefore, we must use a threshold of one link per bond. This means that the number of bonds for a line, in this instance, will equal the number of links it has with other lines. Because we did not enter all the reversed pairings into the matrix and are therefore dealing with a triangular matrix, to get the total number of bonds it is necessary to read across and down. So, reading across from, for instance, 5 , we find three links, i.e. three bonds (since one bond here equals one link), and reading down from the 5 on the diagonal we find one further link, that is one bond. This gives line 5 a total score of four bonds.

The bond scores obtained in this way are accumulated in a list external to the matrix and, when the entire matrix has been read, are attached to the original concordance line to which they refer by number. The lines are then sorted by their score, in descending order. Those lines which contain repeated features should by this method be sorted to the top. If a line does not score anything then it is disregarded, that is, it will not be included in the output. Based on the above matrix, the original sample of lines is ranked as follows:

```
41 barrier excuses. As for the "kith and kin" appeal, to quote the Reverend Arth
4 3 ted backing of France for her kith and kin in Algeria and for her Army protec
44 earted commitment towards our kith and kin overseas." Identity of "race, lang
45 f they do lecture their white kith and kin rather than the guerrillas, it is
46 e a narrow view of who is our kith and kin. Religion very properly tends to e
2 2 id. "And I'm sure the cattle's next of kin have been informed but is that qui
27 been seeing to that. The only next of kin seems to be a cousin in Droitwich.
2 9 and her property passes to her next of kin under the intestacy rules. That me
```

Here, the first number on each line gives the count of bonds and the second number is the original line number. It should be noted that line 8 did not score anything and has
therefore not been displayed, although this is entirely a presentational issue - lines scoring zero bonds can optionally be retained in the output. The fact that line 8 is not present means that it does not exhibit bonding with any of the other lines. As mentioned previously, this is the only line from the original set of concordances which does not have either of the recurrent 'next of kin' or 'kith and kin' features. Conversely, the lines containing the phrase 'kith and kin' have been placed together in first position, since this is the most frequent pattern, scoring four bonds. They are followed by the lines for 'next of kin', which score two bonds. Naturally, if there had been equal numbers of lines for each feature, the lines for 'next' might have been intermingled with those for 'kith'. If required, however, they could be differentiated either by a simple sorting of the concordance or by more sophisticated methods such as cluster analysis (qv Kaufman \& Rousseeuw 1990) using the bond information stored in the matrix to derive similarity measures.

In this configuration of the software, where only one feature per line is being used to identify links, the bond scores can be interpreted as the number of other lines which share the feature in question. Thus the 'kith and kin' lines all score four, since from the point of view of a given line there are four other lines which exemplify the same phrase.

### 5.3. Other Facilities of the Software

### 5.3.1. Stopword List

As stated earlier, the role of the stopwords in the abridgement software was to exclude the closed-set grammatical items from the wordlist so that only lexical items could qualify as links. It was also mentioned that these higher frequency words might however be of use when concordances were being processed. In order to investigate this possibility, additional stopword lists were created and a mechanism was provided whereby a specific list could be selected and a set of output created on the basis of that list. To accommodate
this, the software automatically includes the name of the stopword list in the filename assigned to the output file. This allows several runs to be made of the program without fear of overwriting the output from the previous run and means that successive runs can be compared more easily. The various stopword lists and the effect of using each one is covered in detail in the following chapter.

### 5.3.2. Positional Specification

It was noted in an earlier chapter that the concordances used as input to the selection process are formally defined. This allows certain assumptions to be made about their format and introduces the possibility of new link types uniquely applicable to this type of 'text'. To exploit the format of the concordance line, a tailored version of the tokeniser can be used which attaches not only the line number to each token, but also its position relative to the node word, be it a loose before-vs-after distinction or a more precise numerical distance expressed in terms of the number of words separating the word from the node. In the wordlist, this positional information is separated from the word itself by a colon, so that other routines which act upon the wordlist such as the stopword functions (see below) can recognise the characters which comprise the word. In the case of the 'relative' specification, line (1) of the 'kin' concordance barrier excuses. As for the "kith and kin" appeal, to quote the Reverend Arth would be tokenised as:

```
barrier:- 1
excuses:- 1
as:-, 1
for:- 1
the:- 1
kith:- 1
and:- 1
appeal:+ 1
to:+ 1
quote:+ 1
the:+ 1
reverend:+ 1
arth:+ 1
```

whereby all words before the node word ('barrier' ... 'and') have a ' $:-$ ' appended and all the words following the node word ('appeal' ... 'arth') have ': + ' added to them. In addition, of course, each word is labeled with the line number from which it came; in this instance the presence of the ' 1 ' at the end of each line indicates that the words are all from line (1). The positionally-exact tokenisation would be:

```
barrier:-7 1
excuses:-6 1
as:-5 1
for:-4 1
the:-3 1
kith:-2 1
and:-1 1
appeal:1 1
to:2 1
quote:3 1
the:4 1
reverend:5 1
arth:6 1
```

which has the same format as just described, except that the simple $+/-$ positional distinction is replaced with a figure explicitly stating the exact distance between the node word and each token. This is similar in function, and inspired by, the columnar display format of collocates provided by the picture software described in Chapter 2. The additional information attached to each token can be used by the next stage of the process. This is described fully in the next chapter.

### 5.3.3. Link Threshold

In the 'kin' example given above, one link was allowed to generate a bond. Under some circumstances, it is desirable to increase the number of links required and so the Link Threshold parameter used in the abridgement software is retained. Its default value, however, is decreased from three links to just one. The role of this threshold in the selection of concordance lines will be discussed in the next chapter and the way in which it combines with other parameters will be covered in Chapter 7.

### 5.4. Span Size

An obvious characteristic of concordance lines, but one which sets them apart from the sentences of a normal text, is that they all contain the node word. As well as allowing links to be positionally defined, as we saw above, this facility enables the software to impose a limit on the maximum distance between the node and a link word, to specify, for example, that only words within four words either side of the node are allowed to enter into links. This concept of a restricted context or span around the node word is borrowed from collocational analysis, for which four words has often been cited as a usable value (Sinclair 1987b, Collier 1993).

In the software used for this study, the span size is a binary option, in that it is either set, in which case the default span of four words is used, or it is unset, which allows potentially all words in the line to form links. The reason for this parameter and the justification for the choice of four words of context will be put forward in the chapter which follows.

### 5.5. Conclusion

This concludes the introduction to the facilities of the abridgement software and the concordance line selection software, cohort, derived from it. The two subsequent chapters will expand upon the nature of the individual parameters and examine the ways in which they interact.

## Chapter 6

## Parameters to the Software

## 6. Parameters to the Software

### 6.1. Introduction

In the current software system, several parameters, or variables, are involved in the process of calculating bond scores for a set of concordance lines, via the intermediate stages of the matrix and wordlist. This chapter sets out to define the nature and effect of each of the parameters and will explore how the parameters operate in isolation, while the following chapter will cover the interaction of these parameters. Where any parameter differs considerably from those utilised in the abridgement system, this too will be mentioned.

It will be noted that different parameters come into play at different stages in the process. Some affect the creation of the wordlist, while others come into effect later in the process and may therefore influence the contents of the matrix or the assignment of bond scores. The fact that the various parameters operate at different stages means that altering the value of a single parameter can bring about a substantial change in the nature or extent of the output. The remainder of this chapter will therefore describe how and when each parameter is applied in the process, the exact influence which it exerts independently and, by means of example, the overall effect of modifying it.

### 6.2. Stopwords

### 6.2.1. Definition

In the automated abridgement system, links were established on the basis of the repetition of lexical items across sentences. In order to limit the forming of links to lexical items only, a stoplist was implemented, this being a mechanism whereby a list of word types could be specified which would be excluded by the software from the link analysis. This list consisted largely of closed-set items such as articles, prepositions, modal and auxiliary verbs and proforms. The members of this list were selected on the basis of their non-
lexical nature, but they are also among the most frequently-occurring word types in the language. The justification for their exclusion from the analytical process was therefore twofold. Firstly, they were not lexical in nature and should not properly form part of any profile of the lexical-cohesive characteristics of the target text. Secondly, because of their high frequency, many of these items might be expected to occur with near-random distribution in any given text and thus to contribute links where none would otherwise be found. As far as creating the profile of lexical links within a text is concerned, it is as if the members of the list do not exist at all within the text. The forms which are thus excluded are termed 'stopwords', since they are entirely 'stopped' or excluded from the analytical process.

The concept of stopwords is found in many information retrieval applications. Perhaps the most frequently encountered of these are the World Wide Web search engines (http://www.altavista.com, http://www.excite.com, http://www.searchuk.com), where a search for 'fish and chips' is generally translated into a Boolean query such as 'fish AND and AND chips'; the stopword 'and' is then deleted, resulting in a search for 'fish AND chips' (AND being the logical operator, not the original search term 'and'!). This makes searching the Internet for references to your favourite pop groups 'And And And' and 'The The' nearly impossible. AltaVista, in particular, reports how many occurrences of each of the search words it encountered, and can be seen to flag particular, very frequent words as 'ignored'. The same stopword mechanism is in operation in search applications which run on CDROM databases, examples being Personal Librarian (Independent, Financial Times) and BRS (Northern Echo).

In the concordance line selection process, any item on the stopword list is entirely excluded from the process of link identification. That is to say that as a particular concordance line is examined, any occurrence of an item from the list of stopwords is 'skipped', is not included in the wordlist and from then on plays no further part in the analysis. As far as the content of the stopword list is concerned, the appropriate treatment of
stopwords is not as clear cut as in abr. Certainly, many items which appeared in the original stopword lists can be expected to contribute to the identification of links, since they will undoubtedly play an important part in the collocational patterns of particular node words. As an example, imagine trying to identify the important collocates of the word 'ante', when neither 'up' nor 'the' may be included in the analysis because they are on the stopword list. The cohort program retains the stopword mechanism, although the stopword list, as will be described below, can be varied in order to tailor the system to the task in hand and to allow for comparison of the effects of various sets of stopwords.

### 6.2.2. Values and Effect

Seven different lists of stopwords have been employed in the testing procedures. Six of the lists fall into two quite distinct categories, with three lists in each category. The seventh list is quite simple to describe, since it has no members at all.

The category containing the first three lists is defined functionally, that is, in a similar fashion to the original stopword list used in the automatic abridgement system; it contains items which are for the most part considered to be grammatical.

Original List This list consists of the items mentioned earlier: articles, prepositions, modal and auxiliary verbs and proforms. It is unaltered from the list used by the textual abridgement software developed for British Telecom and is therefore called bt.

There are two major reasons for the use of an alternative stopword list. Firstly, the aims of the analysis are quite different; there seems to be little justification in continuing to employ stopword lists which were identified on the grounds that they helped to produce satisfactory abridgements when the purpose of the exercise is not to create abridgements. Secondly, the input to the current system is no longer naturally-occurring text, but rather concordance lines, which, as seen in the previous chapter, have characteristics of length and cohesiveness very different to those of sentences. Two alternative versions of the
stopword list were therefore explored.
Original List minus Prepositions We know that the collocational behaviour of certain words will tend to 'pull in' items which may have been regarded as stopwords in the textual system. Examples of this would be phrasal verbs which would naturally attract certain particles 'moon about' or 'mourn for', or prepositional phrases such as 'over the wall' or 'under the counter'. If the original list were to be used, then the possibility of these prepositions forming a link would be excluded. It is obvious, however, that in the phrases cited above they are forming part of a recurrent pattern and a new list, devoid of prepositions, was therefore created. Being derived from the original list bt, it was named btb.

Articles and Pronouns This list is a still smaller subset of the original list and consists solely of articles and pronouns. It is intended to stop only the most frequentlyoccurring 'noise' words from being included in the analysis, while still being functionally defined. It is referred to as arts-prons.

The stopword lists which have so far been described are defined in terms of the type of closed-set items that they contain. In contrast to this, a second set of stopword lists has been defined on the basis of word frequency. These lists, top50, top100 and top150, are made up of the most frequent word types in the BCET corpus, containing fifty, one hundred and one hundred and fifty items respectively.

The purpose of selecting stopword lists on the basis of frequency is to draw away from the purely grammatical definition of the original system. Since the nature of the input has changed so substantially, it might be argued that function of the stopword list should also be modified. Since we are no longer interested solely in lexical items as links, the inclusion of non-lexical items as stopwords can no longer be justified on a functional basis. Just as with natural-language texts, however, the possibility of randomly occurring noise words still exists, and the stopword mechanism is retained in order to compensate for this phenomenon. By eliminating the highest-frequency words from the analysis, simply on
the basis of their frequency and without explicit regard to their grammatical class, the system is better able to accommodate the existence of the 'noise' words in the concordances. The exact level to which these randomly occurring high-frequency words are filtered out can be controlled by the choice of size of stopword list. The inclusion of more items in the list results in a greater suppression of the 'noise', but can also remove valid items from the process if too large a list is used. The words 'explicit regard' in the phrase 'without explicit regard to their grammatical class' are used advisedly, for it is a fact of the language that many of the most common word types in a corpus are indeed closed-set items. Removing these items from the input will thus implicitly remove a number of nonlexical items, but as mentioned previously, the effect of this can be monitored by employing a range of frequency-defined stopword lists. If too many links are being detected, that is, a large number of lines are receiving similar bond scores, then a stopword list containing more items can be selected; too few, and a shorter list can be brought into play.

The zero stopword list causes all items in the active concordance line (i.e. any item not screened out by any of the other parameters) to become potential participants in the formation of links. In addition to being of interest in its own right, this represents a useful means of determining the exact effects of a given (non-zero) stopword list. This can be achieved by running a set of concordances through the process with a particular stopword list in place and then re-running the same set with the empty stopword list and with all other parameters unchanged. Any change in the contents of the wordlist, the matrix or the output can then be identified and, since no other parameters are modified, attributed to the influence of the stopword list.

Depending on its size and nature, the stopword list will interact with the other parameters in different ways, but on the whole a list containing few or no items will be more likely to allow many links, while a long list of stopwords will probably result in fewer links being created. This supposition will be tested in due course - see Table $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{H} 1.2$ for details.

The various stopword lists are summarised in the table below and can be found listed fully in Appendix 1.

| Name | Number of <br> items | Number of <br> non-lexical items | Number of <br> lexical items |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bt | 271 | 267 | 4 |
| btb | 222 | 218 | 4 |
| arts-prons | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| top150 | 150 | 140 | 10 |
| top100 | 100 | 98 | 2 |
| top50 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
| zero | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 6.1
Summary of Stopword Features
It is worth noting that some items which might be regarded as lexical have been included in the stopword lists. These tend to be high-frequency, discourse-organising words ('time', 'day' 'people') or homographs in which the non-lexical form is by far the commonest, such as 'can', 'being' or 'mine'.

There is no question that some of the ambiguity of these items could be resolved by the addition of part-of-speech (POS) information, but, as noted in Chapter 1, POS tagged data was not readily available when this study was initiated, nor was any POS-tagged text ever processed using the original abridgement software. POS tagging is certainly something which could be incorporated into the cohort software to resolve certain ambiguities and decrease the number of spurious links - this is discussed in more detail in the final chapter.

As noted above, the stopword list directly affects the contents of the wordlist - those words which are candidates for the creation of links - and as such it is the first of the parameters to come into play. This gives the stopword parameter a particular importance and it is worth taking some time to explore fully the impact of varying the stopword list
on the overall results of the software. To illustrate the effect of the various lists, the link and bond analysis was carried out using each list, running on a set of 176 concordance lines for the node word 'exchange'. For each stopword list, the number of members of the list of link words (the wordlist) and the total number of links was recorded. As an example, given the following wordlist, the number of link words would be four and the total links eleven, since eleven line numbers are mentioned in the wordlist.

```
commission 2 130
control 35 65
controls 32 75 173
corn 10 16 49 160
```

The results from the various runs of the program are presented in the table below. The number of items in each stopword list is also repeated from the previous table to aid comparison.

| Stopword <br> List | No. of Link <br> Words | Total <br> Links | Number of <br> Stopwords |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| bt | 145 | 422 | 271 |
| btb | 159 | 571 | 222 |
| arts-prons | 221 | 1,031 | 15 |
| top150 | 128 | 350 | 150 |
| top100 | 147 | 410 | 100 |
| top50 | 188 | 561 | 50 |
| zero | 236 | 1,361 | 0 |

Table 6.2
Effect of Stopwords on Links
An interesting point to note is that relative to their size, the frequency-based lists restrict the formation of links to a greater degree than the original, word-class-defined ones. The two lists bt and top100 allow approximately the same number of link words ( 145 vs 147), yet bt has nearly three times as many members as top 100 . If a plot is made of the
number of stopwords against the number of link words, another interesting fact emerges:


Figure 6.1
Stopword List Size vs Number of Link Words
Notice how the frequency-based lists, as well as zero, fall within a regular curve, while btb and bt stand alone. This is perhaps attributable to the fact that bt and btb contain a high proportion of relatively low-frequency items, such as enclitic versions of personal pronouns, 'we've', 'he'll' etc, which did not occur in the 'exchange' concordance and will therefore have played no part in limiting the formation of links. The arts-prons list fits the curve quite well since, although based on word-class, it is actually a subset of top50, that is, it contains no items not found in the frequency-based lists. It does however contain the pronouns ' $I$ ' and 'we', which are not present in bt.

Moving on to bonds now, the following table shows how many of the total 176 lines achieved bonds using each of the stopword lists and a link threshold of one. The total number of bonds which were formed is also shown:

| Stopword <br> List | Number of <br> Bonded Lines | Total <br> Bonds | Number of <br> Stopwords |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| bt | 158 | 1,226 | 271 |
| btb | 172 | 4,618 | 222 |
| arts-prons | 176 | 12,404 | 15 |
| top150 | 153 | 902 | 150 |
| top100 | 162 | 1,090 | 100 |
| top50 | 172 | 1,588 | 50 |
| zero | 176 | 21,602 | 0 |

Table 6.3
Effect of Stopwords on Bonds
The effect represented here is similar to the one observed for link formation. The similarity can be clearly seen by plotting the number of bonded lines against the stopword list size:


Figure 6.2
Stopword List Size vs Number of Bonded Lines
Again, the frequency-based stopword lists, including arts-prons, display a uniform relationship between the number of stopwords and the number of lines which enter into
bonds, while the remaining lists form a separate cluster. Compared with the same points in the previous plot, a slightly shallower slope is presented, since the lowest number of bonds achieved using any stopword list is 153 (for top150), a reduction of only 23 or $13 \%$ from the maximum 176 achieved using zero. The change in link formation described earlier, however, displayed a greater change: from 236 link words (zero) down to 128 (top150), a reduction of $46 \%$. This would seem to indicate that the increase in the number of stopwords has a somewhat smaller effect on bond creation than on link formation. Interestingly, on this plot zero and arts-prons both allow all the lines to acquire bonds, although the number of bonds received by each line is somewhat higher for the zero list.

As regards the total number of bonds allowed by each list, the two shortest lists, zero and arts-prons, allow several thousand bonds to be formed, whereas the three frequencybased lists cause the greatest reduction in bond formation relative to their size. The fifteen items in arts-prons bring about a reduction of 9,198 bonds ( $21,602-12,404$ ), the 50 -item list causes a further drop of 10,816 ( $12,404-1,588$ ), yet the 100 -item list reduces the total bonds by only 498 and top 150 eliminates only another 188 bonds. It would thus appear that for the frequency-based lists (if one also includes zero as a list of the 'top zero' items) a diminishing-returns situation arises. Given the nature of the words on the stopword lists, this is not a surprising result. The word types on the frequencybased lists are so common that they can be expected to eliminate a large percentage of the possible bonds. This can be demonstrated by calculating the total corpus frequency of all the items on each stopword list and then expressing it as a proportion of the total tokens in the corpus. In the table which follows, this calculation has been performed on the basis of the frequencies in the written component of the BCET, from which the frequencybased lists were originally derived. The lists are this time presented in ascending order of size.

| List | \% Corpus Tokens | No. of Stopwords |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| zero | 0.0 | 0 |
| arts-prons | 18.4 | 15 |
| top50 | 41.4 | 50 |
| top100 | 49.6 | 100 |
| top150 | 53.8 | 150 |
| btb | 43.9 | 222 |
| bt | 50.6 | 271 |

Table 6.4
Proportion of Corpus accounted for by Stopword Lists
It is noticeable that firstly, these lists do indeed account for a large proportion of the corpus, but that also there is a fairly rapid levelling off in the percentages at around the $50 \%$ level after the first three items in the table. It was noted earlier that relative to their size, the word-class-derived stopword lists, bt and btb, allowed more bonds to be formed than the frequency-based lists. In the above table the reason for this becomes clear, since the percentages show that bt and btb do not account for substantially more tokens than any of the other lists, despite the fact that these two lists contain the most stopwords.

This concludes the discussion of the stopword list as an independent parameter. Its interaction with the other parameters of the system will, however, be discussed more fully in Chapter 7.

### 6.3. Positional Specification

### 6.3.1. Definition

In the automatic system of textual abridgement, the existence of a link was entirely independent of its position within the sentence, so a lexical item present near the beginning of sentence $S$ could form a link with a lexical item at the end of sentence $T$. For the purposes of forming an abridgement, the positioning of the links within the sentence was immaterial, since the text was naturally-occurring language, and no a priori assumption
about the relationship among lexical items established as links could be made.
In the case of concordance lines, however, certain expectations can be made of the 'text', since it is known that, firstly, every line contains the node word and that, secondly, the collocational behaviour of the node word will determine that other items - the node's collocates - will be present within the concordance. In addition to the fact that these items are likely to be present, there is also a strong likelihood that their position relative to the node word will be narrowly defined. In order to identify the influence of this phenomenon and, if possible, to exploit it, the Positional Specification parameter is employed. It enables the software to make use of the regularity of form of the concordance line, chiefly that each line is exactly the same size (in characters), contains approximately the same number of tokens and includes the node word in roughly central position. As we shall see in the section on 'Values', this last attribute makes it possible to specify the location of a link relative to the node word. Sentences in a text, however, do not exhibit this kind of consistency, and so this means of positional definition is not possible in either of the abridgement systems.

The Positional Specification must be defined in relation to the consistency with which a given item occurs in the same position relative to the node word within the concordance line. If a strict value is used, then items must occur consistently in the same position in order to register a link. A less strict value implies a looser definition of what constitutes a link. The exact values which can be applied to this variable, and the relative strictness of these values, are described in the following section.

### 6.3.2. Values

The kinds of feature which can act as a link are more numerous in Hoey's original manual analysis than in the abr or cohort systems. This is for the most part due to the difficulty of getting a computer to recognise features such as pronominal reference, paraphrase or substitution. Such subtle distinctions, which rely upon human perception or
real-world knowledge, are (as yet) entirely intractable computationally.
Given these limitations, the automatic abridgement system concentrated on just two kinds of repetition: simple and complex (lemmatised) repetition. This basically involved reducing each lexical item to its base (uninflected) form so that, for example, 'planets' would link with 'planet'.

The concordance line system makes use of an even smaller range of the link types identified by Hoey; indeed there is only one type of link which is present in the other two systems, namely that of simple repetition, that is, a word may only link with other occurrences of itself in identical form. This is not to say that this could not be extended to include, say, lemmatised repetition, but for the purposes of this exercise it has been decided to exclude this kind of link, given the large number of possible parameter combinations already present. This decision can be further justified by the argument that in this scenario lemmatisation would be inherently information-losing, since so many of the collocational patterns which we wish to detect involve only a limited subset of a lemma (Renouf 1986). The addition of lemmatisation would also call into question the validity of using a single set of concordance lines, that is, the contexts of a single type, since it might then be argued that one ought to examine the corpus evidence for all parts of the lemma.

Whilst there is only one kind of link common to all three systems, there exist link types which are unique to cohort and their use is controlled by means of the Positional Specification parameter. The concept of positionally defining links does not form part of either of the abridgement systems, being entirely novel to the concordance line system. The nearest equivalent to this type of link is to be found in the doctoral research of Peng Wangheng at the University of Liverpool (Wangheng 1998), who is investigating the positioning of the links within the sentences of a text with a view to establishing the correspondence between link position and the theme-rheme constituents of the sentence.

The Positional Specification parameter may currently take one of three values, which vary in the strictness of their definition of what constitutes a link. These are defined as follows:

## Raw

This is the least strict and implies that no limitations exist on where in the concordance line an item must occur. It is therefore the closest equivalent of Hoey's Simple Repetition class of link, although it must be remembered that, depending on the stopword list in operation, it is possible for non-lexical as well as lexical items to form links. This is a significant divergence from the definition of links in either of the abridgement systems and is exemplified by the two lines for the node word 'exchange':
(1) ate a small plot on the worst land, in exchange for agricultural and even dome
(2) $r$ thought of applying for the Euphoria exchange: "Not really, Gordon. It would

Assuming an empty stopword list is in use, the item 'for' in (1) would link with the same item 'for' in (2). The usefulness or otherwise of this is discussed in the 'Effects' section which follows.

Absolute
This is the strictest level of positional specification. At this setting, an item must occur in exactly the same position relative to the node word in two lines in order for it to form a link. In lines (3)-(7) an instance of this can be seen, again using 'exchange' as the node. Here the item 'in' at position $\mathbf{- 1}$ (one to the left) would be identified as a link between the three lines (3)-(5). This would not be the case for line (6), where 'in' occurs at position $\mathbf{- 2}$ and is therefore not linked with the instances of 'in' where it occurs in the $\mathbf{- 1}$ slot. Similarly, 'for' in the $\mathbf{+ 1}$ (one to the right) position is available to form a link in lines (3)-(5), but the 'for' in line (7) is not allowed to link with these. The presence of 'in' or 'for' in any other position, then, is not regarded as a potential link.
(3) e disposed of, and offered for sale in exchange for cash - and when cash is no
(4) , price controls and food subsidies in exchange for voluntary wage restraint,
(5) hat the offence might be overlooked in exchange for a consideration: they woul
(6) imise our clients' exposure in foreign exchange. We tell them what's happening
(7) for how they would live. She would not exchange her solitude for anything. Nev

## Relative

This value implements an intermediate level of rigidity between the 'raw' and 'absolute' link types. It allows the linked item to 'float' slightly, decreeing a link to have occurred between two lines if an item is repeated on the same side (left or right) of the node word in the two lines. This is exemplified in lines (8)-(10) below, where a link can be established between all the occurrences of the item 'ideas', even though it occurs one to the right of the node in (8), three to the right in (9) and two to the right in (10). The important feature is that 'ideas' occurs in the right-hand context in each case. (8) and see me again. It'll do us good to exchange ideas." She could have been gl (9) came from Berlin and abroad, eager to exchange the new ideas that were racing (10) d enjoyment. The justification is the exchange of ideas, and the value of thi

### 6.3.3. Effect

The relationship between Positional Specification and the presence of collocational patterning in the concordance lines has already been mentioned. The effect of setting this parameter at any value other than 'raw' is to accentuate those lines which exhibit the collocational characteristics most frequently repeated in other lines. If, for example, lines (3)-(5) were to be processed with a Positional Specification of 'raw', then they would be merged in with all the other lines which contained the items 'in' and 'for' anywhere in the line. They would, therefore, receive a link score on the basis of the presence of these two items, but so would all the other lines containing 'in' and 'for', regardless of the positioning of these items relative to the node word. If a Positional Specification of 'absolute' is employed, however, all the lines in which 'in' and 'for' appear 'randomly', i.e. not forming part of a repeated positionally-constant collocational pattern, are filtered out, since they fail to achieve any links. Those lines such as (3)-(5) however, because they exhibit
consistency in the position of the collocates, do receive links.
The type of collocational patterns which can be isolated in this fashion will depend on the particular value of the parameter. This relates to the 'fixedness' of the collocates in relationship to the node word.

Collocational characteristics consisting of items which regularly occur at the same location will be better identified by using a value of 'absolute', viz the 'in exchange for' examples shown above.

Collocational patterns whose individual constituents are more free to drift, on the other hand, will benefit from processing with this parameter set at the intermediate value, 'relative', as can be seen in lines (8)-(10). In the examples given, 'ideas' is identified as a link, even though it occurs across a range of positions in the right-hand context of the node word. Any occurrence of this item in the left-hand context, however, is rejected as a link. The set of links detected using the 'absolute' setting will naturally always be a subset of the links identified using the 'relative' setting, but using the less strict value will cause the selected lines to be 'watered down' by the addition of lines exemplifying patterns which are more variable.

Setting this parameter to 'raw' will tend to identify patterns which are perhaps too variable to be detected using one of the stricter settings. This might be the case if one were analysing the concordances of a very common type, a preposition, for example. In this instance it might be expected that the node word would be taking part in a large number of collocational patterns, some of which may be quite loosely defined positionally. If we take a particular phrasal verb particle, 'up', as an instance of this, it can be shown that links would be lost by using a strict value for this parameter. Supposing that, for example, lines containing the sequence 'put up prices' are present in a set of concordance lines exemplifying the node 'up', then we would sensibly want these to link to lines which contained the sequence 'put prices up'. 'Put', though, would fail to form a link using the absolute setting and 'prices' would be excluded from linkage using either of the other
settings, since it is free to 'swap sides' around the node word 'up'. The 'raw' value, however, permits both 'put' and 'prices' to form links.

### 6.4. Link Threshold

### 6.4.1. Definition

To recap briefly on the terminology of textual abridgement, a sentence $S$ is said to have acquired a bond with another sentence $T$ if the two sentences have a specified number of lexical items in common. Each of these lexical items common to $S$ and $T$ is referred to as a link. Thus if three lexical items occur both in $S$ and $T$, then it can be said that three links exist between $S$ and $T$, or, seen from the perspective of the individual sentences, that each sentence has three links. What the link threshold does is to define the number of links that a sentence must have in order to acquire a bond. This means that if, for example, the link threshold is set at three, and sentences $S$ and $T$ are related by three (or more) links, then those sentences can be said to be bonded. As described earlier, this link information is stored in a matrix which lists the number of links between all possible pairs of sentences. Once the matrix is built, a bond score can be established for each sentence according to the number of sentences with which it has a sufficient number of links. If the link threshold is still three and sentence $S$ has three links with $T$, four links with $U$ and three links with $V, S$ can be said to have three bonds. Notice that even if four links are present, only one bond is established; the same two sentences are never bonded to each other more than once.

In a set of concordance lines, the relationship between links and bonds is identical to that just described: the matrix is first constructed and then the bonds are analysed on the basis of the prevailing link threshold. The definition of the link, as seen in the previous section, is substantially modified however, as is the basic element of analysis, of course. In exactly the same way as for textual abridgement, each concordance line (the equivalent
element to the sentence in textual abridgement) is examined and the number of items it shares with other lines is calculated. This data is stored in the matrix and then, once the matrix is complete, i.e. all the possible pairs of lines have been compared, each line can be assigned a bond score. Any line which receives a bond score greater than zero is said to be selected, that is, it will be presented to the user of the software as preferable to any lines which do not acquire any bonds.

### 6.4.2. Values

In constructing abridgements of natural-language texts, Hoey's manual system and the automatic system commonly used a link threshold of 3 as a starting point. Where the abridgement was required to be shorter, this was raised to 4 , making it correspondingly harder for a sentence to acquire enough links to achieve a bond. The reasons for the choice of these values was discussed in some detail in Section 3.3. For textual abridgement, a further mechanism, the bond threshold, came into effect. This restricted the sentences included in the abridgement to those which had acquired the requisite number of bonds. Setting a higher bond threshold generally resulted in fewer sentences attaining it, thereby reducing the length of the abridgement.

When using the system on concordance lines, only the link threshold is specified when the process is started. This contrasts with the textual abridgement procedure, where an explicit bond threshold needs to be set. This is because the ultimate aim of cohort is to calculate a bond score for every line. Since the lines are presented in descending order of bond score, it could be said that it is the user who implements the bond threshold, albeit as a mental process, since there is a point in the bond score range at which the user will decide that lines any lower in the ranking are unlikely to be usable. In order to reduce slightly the number of lines selected, the software can, in fact, suppress any line which fails to acquire any bonds whatsoever. This is the nearest approximation to a bond threshold in the system.

The values used for the link threshold in the concordance line selection system have so far been in the range of $\mathbf{1}$ to 6 inclusive; any value exceeding this has, for the words examined to date, failed to select any lines at all. This differs somewhat from the values used in textual abridgement, where $\mathbf{3}$ was regarded as a minimum 'safe' value, that is, the value below which the risk of generating spurious bonds rose sharply. The reasons for this distinction are twofold: firstly, in the way in which a link is defined in this system and secondly in the special nature of the concordances themselves.

In discussing the construction of the wordlist it was mentioned that each line of the concordance contains at least one link to all others in the form of the node word. On this basis alone, it would be safe to lower the threshold to two (since the node is never counted in the link analysis). The influence of collocational patterning must also be considered. A threshold of two implies that there must be at least two repeated items in the environment of the node word, but if, for example, a stopword list is being used which disallows closed-set, non-lexical items as links, this is still quite a strict criterion. The link threshold for the analysis of concordance data is therefore allowed to be as low as one.

In Chapter 4 it was noted that the order of presentation of the lines does not need to be preserved. All the concordance lines in the input to the software can therefore be included in the output, but they are re-ordered to reflect the number of bonds which they attract. This being the case, it is less harmful to employ a low link threshold, since the lines will be further filtered when they are sorted, presenting the highest-scoring lines first. In the case of textual abridgement, conversely, weakly linked sentences have to be excluded completely, as they will otherwise intrude on the key sentences; they cannot be simply reordered to the bottom of the text.

As to the maximum possible values for the link threshold parameter, the only theoretical limit for textual abridgement is that the number of links cannot be higher than the number of tokens in the sentence. As mentioned earlier, however, sentences can contain large
numbers of words. Despite this, it has never been necessary to raise the link threshold higher than six in order to create an abridgement, although using a higher value would probably still result in an abridged version of the text in some cases. In the case of concordances, the maximum depends to a great extent on the stopword list and type of link being used. Experience with several concordance sets has shown that if only lexical items are being considered as candidates for linking and a strict (i.e. relative or absolute) link type is used, then only duplicate lines or lines containing very fixed phrases acquire any bonds. Given a balanced combination of the other parameters, the link threshold should probably not be set any higher than three, but where few or no stopwords are in action, this has been raised as high as six.

### 6.4.3. Effect

The end effect of the link threshold is to limit the number of lines which are included in the output from the system. The mechanism by which this is achieved is quite simple, but the effect is not completely predictable, in as much as the relationship between the threshold and the number of lines selected does not adhere to any rule other than the general observation that a higher threshold usually results in the selection of fewer lines.

When a concordance set is being processed, the link threshold is initially set at 1 . At this setting, just a single shared feature can establish a bond between two sentences. This value therefore decrees that a line will acquire a bond for each line with which it shares one or more links. When this threshold value of 1 is used, a large proportion of the lines will receive a bond score, since the probability that each line has at least one link to another line is quite high. If the threshold is increased to 2 , then it is likely, although not certain, that the number of lines which receive a bond score and are thereby selected for output will be reduced. The uncertainty stems from the possibility that all the lines selected for output when the threshold is 1 in fact have two or more links with at least one other line. This can occasionally be the case when a small set of concordances is used,
the node word of which forms part of an idiom or other fixed phrase, or if a small or empty stopword list is being used.

Naturally, if the threshold is raised even higher, then the likelihood of a line acquiring a bond is reduced further still. The exact nature of the lines that are selected depends to a great extent on the way in which the link is defined, and is thus heavily influenced by the choice of stopword list and by the positional specification which is in effect.

As an example, let us return to the 'exchange' concordance. In the table which follows are the results of analysing the bonds created using a range of different link thresholds with the 'raw' link type and the 'zero' stopword list.

| Link <br> Threshold | No. of Bonded <br> Lines |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 176 |
| 2 | 176 |
| 3 | 158 |
| 4 | 108 |
| 5 | 22 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 2 |

Table 6.5
Effect of Link Threshold on Bond Formation
A number of points are raised by these results. Firstly, the original threshold selected all of the 176 lines in the concordance and increasing the link threshold from one to two has no effect. All the lines therefore have at least two links. Secondly, for this concordance raising the threshold above six produces no linguistically useful output. The two lines selected at a threshold of seven are in fact near-duplicates:

```
(124) ng deposits). <P 8> As with all Stock Exchange investment price can go down
(156) t bearing deposits). As with all Stock Exchange investment prices can go down
```

Numbers in parentheses refer to the original line number in the concordance.

The lines selected at the six link threshold, with the exception of the two lines above which are of course also included, are of some interest in that they exemplify fixed phrases:
(43) W YORK - Prices on the New York Stock Exchange staged a blue- chip rally $F$ (105) ly escalation into a strategic nuclear exchange between the Soviet Unon and $t$
(137) ous abbreviation on the New York Stock Exchange. A stock listed as Spud appare
(168) ut escalation into a strategic nuclear exchange. On the other hand, an observe

These lines each receive one bond each, due to the fact that they form pairs of bonded lines, linked by the words ' $a$ ', 'New', 'on', 'Stock', 'the' and 'York' in the case of lines (43) and (137) and by 'a', 'escalation', 'into', 'nuclear', 'strategic' and 'the' for (105) and (168).

When the threshold of five links is used, the 22 lines selected are:

> 923 he flat and go to a hotel. The rate of exchange in Denmark is heavily against
> 1122 means of production, distribution, and exchange is profitability; that any dep 1132 means of production, distribution, and exchange", meant something quite differ 1142 means of production, distribution, and exchange". The prose style of the notor 542 and manufactures to the third world in exchange for raw materials and food, is 461 a our Unit Trusts then we have a Share Exchange Scheme whereby you can obtain
> 691 der our range of Unit Trusts. (( Share Exchange. )) If you already own some st 1241 ng deposits). <P 8> As with all Stock Exchange investment price can go down 1561 t bearing deposits). As with all Stock Exchange investment prices can go down
> 431 W YORK - Prices on the New York Stock Exchange staged a blue- chip rally $F$ 1371 ous abbreviation on the New York Stock Exchange. A stock listed as Spud appare
> 71 endeavoured to heal the wounds. In an exchange of letters with Mansholt he de
> 1171 mpete if we are to earn enough foreign exchange to buy the primary goods we st
> 1651 tries will fail to earn enough foreign exchange to maintain our primary base $t$
> 711 ds over her identity to her husband in exchange for a small portion of his, sh
> 521 amental right to adequate treatment in exchange for being deprived of his libe
> 1071 man gives food, care and protection in exchange for the different services the
> 1051 ly escalation into a strategic nuclear exchange between the Soviet Unon and $t$
> 1681 ut escalation into a strategic nuclear exchange. On the other hand, an observe
> 901 hat is, to establish the exact rate of exchange at which mechanical energy is
> 1421 pproached the defense table, hoping to exchange a few words with them. The gu
> 1611 the night officer and the sister would exchange a few words with us. In my fir

The first figure on each concordance line gives the line number and the second the number of bonds acquired. In this set of output, lines with the same bond score have been sorted on the first word to the left. This set of output highlights an important issue. It will be noted that there is little variation in the number of bonds: only one line achieves three
bonds, four get two bonds, and the remainder only one. This is a result of the high link threshold, as it allows few lines to acquire any bonds - in addition to those shown here there are 154 lines which received no bonds at all - and those lines which do enter into bonds do not score highly. Since the aim of the system is to rank the lines, this is not a desirable state of affairs; it would be preferable to have many lines acquiring bonds which should give a larger range of scores and allow a more fine-grained ranking of the lines. When selecting a link threshold, then, this issue must also be taken into consideration.

### 6.5. Span Size

### 6.5.1. Definition

Some use has already been made in this chapter of the word context, although no formal definition has yet been put forward for the term. It is the function of the Span Size parameter to define the context. The span is a term borrowed from collocational analysis and refers to the number of items around the node word which can be regarded as forming part of its environment. Seen in collocational terms, this defines the size of a 'window' onto the items which regularly occur with the node word. The implication of this is that all the significant collocational patterns associated with a particular node word will occur within that window, the corollary of which is that only items falling within the window, whatever its size, will be recognised in the course of the collocational analysis. The optimum size of this window, or context, has been the subject of some debate.

### 6.5.2. Values

The primary motivation for the inclusion of this parameter has been to allow a distinction to be made between a fixed span and a span which encompasses the entire concordance line, referred to here as the 'open' span. For the fixed span, four words either side of the node word were used. This was chosen on the basis of several years of collocational
analysis which have shown that a large proportion, although by no means all, of the collocational behaviour of a given node word can be identified within four words either side. This accords with the findings of other researchers, such as Sinclair (1987b). This span size also offers a reasonable trade-off between accuracy and processing overheads. The use of the open value is mandated by the fact that the raw input to the analysis is in the form of concordance lines and it is this Keyword in Context (KWIC) format which is familiar to many corpus researchers. If a comparison is to be made between the evaluation of the concordances by human beings and the analysis provided by the automatic system, then the open span needs to be an option in the specification of the parameters to the system.

Two major factors influence the choice of span size: the desire to capture a comprehensive picture of the collocational behaviour of the node word and the computational burden of recording the contents of the window. As might be expected, these two factors tend to come into conflict with each other. By setting the span size to a small value, recurrent items may well be missed from the analysis, since there is a greater risk that they will fall outside the span, resulting in an incomplete account of the node word's collocational behaviour or 'profile'. The smaller the span, the more distorted the picture. If the span is made larger, then more items can be included, increasing the likelihood that the analysis will be a comprehensive one. At the same time, however, there is an increase in the overhead, be it manual or computational, of processing the items encompassed by the span.

In the current system, the Span Size is specified in terms of the number of items to the left and to the right of the node which will be considered for inclusion in the process of identifying links. Thus, setting the Span Size as 4 will allow only items which occupy the four slots either side of the node word to form links; any items which occur more than four slots away from the node are excluded. Expressed otherwise, this is equivalent to a context of eight words and, since the same number of words are examined before and after the node, is sometimes referred to as a $\pm 4$ span. While it is possible to conduct
collocational analysis on the basis of unbalanced spans, two words to the left and six to the right for example, this has not been pursued in this study, since this type of analysis tends to be slanted towards the investigation of particular word classes, such as phrasal verbs, and it is the goal of this study to cover only those facets of the cohort program which are as generic as possible.

A further reason for the choice of four words as the fixed span size is the fact that the majority of concordance lines can be expected to provide at least this much context. As noted in the chapter where we compared the characteristics of sentences and concordance lines, the most likely length of the fixed width concordance lines analysed for this study is between twelve and seventeen words, with $93 \%$ of lines falling within this range. Given that one of the words is the node word, a span of anything greater than five is likely to be unapplicable to an increasingly large proportion of lines, while anything over eight will probably only be suitable for a small minority of lines. This is compounded by the fact that in an 80 -character concordance, as used in this study and discussed in Section 4.2.2., the node word starts at character 40 , so the amount of available left context tends to be slightly larger than the right, viz:
(62) blushing, as the following therapeutic exchange demonstrates: Therapist: "Why
which has eight words of context available, but five of them are on the left of the node and only three on the right. If a span of $\pm 4$ were to be applied to this line, no collocate information would be available from the +4 slot, as no word exists four slots to the right of the node; this would in effect cause a $-4 /+3$ span to be used, which could compromise any statistical analyses one might wish to perform. Obviously the likelihood of a mismatch between the desired span and the amount of context actually available in the line will increase as the span size is raised, which further militates against attempting to extract spans larger than $\pm 4$ from the concordance lines. In the next section, the effect of various span sizes will be examined. The main focus will be on the variation in link and bond formation brought about by different spans, but an analysis is also included of the
applicability, in terms of the amount of available context, of each of the span sizes to a trial set of concordance lines.

### 6.5.3. Effect

The effect of setting a fixed span is to limit the number of items which can be included in the process of link identification. For the 'open' span all items present in the concordance line are candidates for linkage with other lines, whereas if a fixed span is employed then any items occurring outside the specified span will be ignored.

In a similar way to Positional Specification, this parameter is closely bound up with the type of collocational behaviour which it will identify. If one accepts the view that a $\pm 4$ span is sufficient to encompass the significant collocational patterns of a particular node, then the use of a fixed span of this size should not be a cause of concern that relevant items may be excluded from the analysis. If, on the other hand, such a span is regarded as insufficient in its scope, then the use of the entire concordance line will provide a form of control by which the inadequacies of the fixed-size span may be identified.

In order to investigate the effect of span size more closely, a comparative analysis was carried out, examining the effect on link and bond formation of using spans of various sizes. Fixed-size spans from one to eight words either side of the node were tried, as well as the open span, and the other parameters were:
node word: 'exchange' (176 lines)
stopword list: 'zero'
positional specification: 'raw'
link threshold: 1
The results are given in the table below, which shows figures for the same metrics (link words, total links, bonded lines and total bonds) that were applied in the stopword list section above.

| Span <br> Size | Number of <br> Link Words | Total No. <br> of Links | Number of <br> Bonded Lines | Total No. <br> of Bonds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | 44 | 259 | 165 | 2,816 |
| 2 | 78 | 456 | 174 | 7,780 |
| 3 | 117 | 664 | 176 | 11,386 |
| 4 | 154 | 883 | 176 | 15,556 |
| 5 | 190 | 1,075 | 176 | 18,682 |
| 6 | 212 | 1,224 | 176 | 20,738 |
| 7 | 229 | 1,320 | 176 | 21,358 |
| 8 | 236 | 1,356 | 176 | 21,474 |
| open | 236 | 1,361 | 176 | 21,602 |

Table 6.6
Effect of Span Size on Link and Bond Formation
The most striking feature of this table is that all but the smallest two span sizes result in all the concordance lines being bonded (Number of Bonded Lines $=176$ ). This is no doubt attributable to the looseness of the other parameters and will be addressed in a later chapter on the interaction of parameters. There is, however, a good range of variation in the other columns, certainly enough to indicate that the $\pm 4$ span is far from comprehensive in its coverage of potential repeated features. In terms of the total number of links, if one takes the 'open' value as $100 \%$, the span of four achieves only $65 \%$. Looking at the total number of bonds, it does slightly better, accounting for $72 \%$ of the possible bonds represented by the 'open' total.

It is clear from the above table that the larger spans are causing more links and bonds to be created. As to the value of the extra links established by increasing the span size, however, few strongly recurrent patterns seem to be identified by the addition of the extra context. The qualitative effect of the span is investigated more thoroughly in the evaluation excercise which is described later. A quantative analysis is presented here, however, in the form of a list of the additional link words which are gained by increasing the span from four to open. The figures in the second column refer to the original line numbers in
the 'exchange' concordance, which can be found in Appendix 2, while the final column records whether the words have formed an intuitively valid link. The entries in the last column other than simply 'yes' or 'no' are defined as:
truncated
The link word occurred at the beginning or end of the concordance and was thereby truncated.
marginal
The link word could be considered to be valid under certain circumstances, but not as a cohesive item. This category is explained in greater detail for individual words after the list below.

## duplicate

The link word occurred in a context which appears more than once in the corpus, resulting in a duplicate concordance line. This can be attributed to lines which have only minor typographical differences between them, since completely identical lines are filtered out by the software.

| Link <br> Word | Line <br> Numbers | Acceptable <br> as Link |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| al | 4849 | truncated |
| already | 1269 | no |
| also | 28121 | no |
| another | 154173 | no |
| b | 64171 | truncated |
| back | 93125 | no |
| become | 110151 | no |
| been | 42257 | no |
| berlin | 585 | no |
| best | 152377 | no |
| britain | 212 | no |
| came | 1513 | no |
| chairman | 2131 | marginal |
| changed | 64132 | no |
| com | 88140 | truncated |
| countries | 921 | no |
| currency | 65148 | marginal |


| Link <br> Word | Line Numbers | Acceptable as Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d | 66676870 | truncated |
| decent | 3094 | no |
| deposits | 124156 | duplicate |
| did | 4250101 | no |
| down | 50124156 | duplicate |
| e | 727374 | truncated |
| each | 4961 | no |
| er | 3580133 | no |
| escalation | 105168 | yes |
| exchanges | 836 | marginal |
| f | 134381115 | truncated |
| food | 3254107 | marginal |
| found | 10131 | no |
| full | 6170 | no |
| give | 39129 | no |
| hadn't | 3031 | no |
| hand | 125168 | no |
| hat | 899091 | truncated |
| his | 527196 | no |
| home | 1223 | no |
| it's | 88126 | no |
| know | 15133 | no |
| land | 60141 | marginal |
| like | 3078 | no |
| ly | 105106 | truncated |
| maintain | 53165 | no |
| market | 6376 | yes |
| means | 112113114 | yes |
| miss | 1049 | no |
| n | 40118119120 | no |
| nev | 2283 | truncated |
| ng | 124125126 | truncated |
| out | 123154 | no |
| over | 71172 | no |
| papers | 81140 | no |
| people | 41174176 | no |
| price | 32124 | no |
| prices | 43156 | yes |
| raw | 5467 | no |
| re | 1017145146 | truncated |
| recently | 130170 | no |
| s | 93153 | truncated |
| see | 433174 | no |


| Link Word | Line <br> Numbers | Acceptable as Link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| since | 151176 | no |
| some | 2269132 | no |
| st | 69110117 | truncated |
| t | 967105127156157160 | truncated |
|  | 165166 |  |
| th | 16143 | truncated |
| then | 46162176 | no |
| thought | 132144 | no |
| told | 3385 | no |
| trip | 115171 | no |
| unit | 4669 | yes |
| up | 394870111 | no |
| us | 450161 | no |
| value | 1897 | no |
| very | 1163 | no |
| wa | 31169 | truncated |
| well | 8193 | no |
| who | 171174 | no |
| will | 70165 | no |
| wo | 82103 | truncated |
| y | 173174 | truncated |
| yes | 3593 | no |
| your | 3109141 | no |

Of the 82 total link words in the above list, 52 are definitely not valid links while a further eighteen are truncated because they occurred at the beginning or end of the line and so have to be ignored. The remaining twelve vary in the degree to which they could be said to be linked; some are obvious collocates, but others have a more oblique connection to the node word:
chairman These lines exemplify noun phrases of the form 'chairman of some institution' and so are acceptable as links:

```
(2) Ruder, chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, said Britain, the (131) olas Goodison, ? Chairman of the Stock Exchange, was asked if he found the lar
```

currency There is certainly a real-world connection between 'exchange' and 'currency' and so the link is a valid one. No paradigmatic or syntactic regularity is present however:

```
(65) currency accounts was established when Exchange Control Regulations were lifte
(148) riday. You cannot cash a bank draft or exchange foreign currency when the bank
```

down (50)
Line 50 does not fit at all and lines (124) and (156) are linked through duplication. Definitely spurious, therefore:
(50) all of us if we did not calm down. Our exchange was heated. Within a matter of
(124) ing deposits). <P 8> As with all Stock Exchange investment price can go down
(156) $t$ bearing deposits). As with all Stock Exchange investment prices can go down
escalation This forms part of a long repeated string which does not appear to be attributable to duplication and so is acceptable as a link:
(105) ly escalation into a strategic nuclear exchange between the Soviet Unon and $t$
(168) ut escalation into a strategic nuclear exchange. On the other hand, an observe
exchanges This item, like the node word, changes its word class and sense in these examples, so this seems an implausible link:
(8) exchanges; genes within bacteria can exchange. But, in the past at least, it
(36) Copies were burned on the London Stock Exchange and destroyed at exchanges in
food No pattern is observable here other than, perhaps, the fact that food is something which may be exchanged for other commodities, making it a 'real-world' collocate rather than a linguistic one. It nevertheless has some value as a link:
(32) , price controls and food subsidies in exchange for voluntary wage restraint, (54) and manufactures to the third world in exchange for raw materials and food, is (107) man gives food, care and protection in exchange for the different services the
land This is a similar case to 'food' in that it is something which is used as a means of exchange:
(60) ate a small plot on the worst land, in exchange for agricultural and even dome (141) pawn your land for five years or so in exchange for the cash. The moneylender
market This has strong associations with trade and exchange and so might be a useful link to include:
(63) ce economies (where very little market exchange takes place), this form is on (76) ee market, for which you need an equal exchange between equal parties. Even wh
means is used here as the head of a long noun phrase and seems acceptable as a link. The closeness in value of the line numbers is, however, almost certainly a sign that these citations are drawn from the same source. An experienced corpus user would therefore be wary of making generalisations on the basis of these lines:
(112) means of production, distribution, and exchange is profitability; that any dep
(113) means of production, distribution, and exchange". meant something quite differ
(114) means of production, distribution, and exchange". The prose style of the notor
prices Both occurrences refer to the prices of shares on a stock exchange, so a definite link:
(43) W YORK - Prices on the New York Stock Exchange staged a blue- chip rally $F$
(156) $t$ bearing deposits). As with all Stock Exchange investment prices can go down
unit This forms part of the nominal group 'Unit Trusts', which is closely connected with share exchange. Incidentally, 'Trusts' occurs elsewhere within a $\pm 4$ span, but without
'Unit' and so is not identified as an additional link here.
(46) a our Unit Trusts then we have a Share Exchange Scheme whereby you can obtain
(69) der our range of Unit Trusts. (( Share Exchange. )) If you already own some st

The three words now identified as spurious links - 'currency', 'down' and 'exchanges' plus the original 52 give a total of 55 spurious links. If the 18 truncated words are ignored, this represents $86 \%$ of the additional links created by raising the span size from four to open.

The next analysis is based on using a span of five and compares the results obtained from using a span of four.

| Link <br> Word | Line <br> Numbers | Acceptable <br> as Link |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| already | 1269 | no |
| back | 93125 | no |
| become | 110151 | no |
| been | 42257 | no |
| berlin | 585 | no |
| came | 113 | no |
| chairman | 2131 | marginal |
| countries | 921 | no |
| currency | 65148 | marginal |
| did | 4250 | no |
| down | 50124156 | duplicate |
| er | 35133 | no |
| escalation | 105168 | yes |
| exchanges | 836 | marginal |
| food | 3254107 | marginal |
| like | 3078 | no |


| Link <br> Word | Line <br> Numbers | Acceptable <br> as Link |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| means | 112113114 | yes |
| miss | 1049 | no |
| people | 41174 | no |
| raw | 5467 | no |
| recently | 130170 | no |
| since | 151176 | no |
| some | 2269132 | no |
| then | 46176 | no |
| told | 3385 | no |
| trip | 115171 | no |
| up | 4870 | no |
| us | 4161 | no |
| value | 1897 | no |
| very | 1163 | no |
| well | 8193 | no |

Twenty four (77\%) of the thirty one $\dagger$ items are definitely not acceptable as links and of the remaining marginal links examined earlier another two can be rejected, as we have seen, giving a total of 26 spurious links, or $84 \%$ of the total 31 words. If we compare the results just presented with those given for the open context, we find that the words 'land', 'market', 'prices' and 'unit' are now excluded.

Having looked at the effects of increasing the fixed span size from four words, it makes sense to carry out a contrastive analysis of the effect of reducing the context in which links must occur. In the table which follows a list is presented of those link words which would be lost if the span were to be reduced from $\pm 4$ to $\pm 3$ words.

| Link <br> Word | Line <br> Numbers | Acceptable <br> As Link |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| about | .3351 | no |
| again | 20120135 | no |
| any | 308688112 | no |
| bank | 148158 | yes |
| because | 56162 | no |
| children | 144 | no |
| even | 607476 | no |
| genes | 889 | yes |

[^7]| given | 94171 | no |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| go | 124156 | no |
| goods | 64145 | yes |
| gray | 1049 | yes |
| had | 96127 | no |
| how | 4775 | no |
| into | 105168 | yes |
| it | 142126121144153 | no |
| london | 2736 | no |
| man | 2280 | no |
| materials | 5467 | yes |
| most | 122129 | no |
| old | 4079101 | no |
| own | 69108 | no |
| primary | 117165 | yes |
| public | 88157 | no |
| received | 170171 | no |
| risk | 47143 | no |
| services | 64107 | yes |
| severe | 116118 | no |
| takes | 663 | no |
| them | 7498 | no |
| things | 18174 | no |
| through | 101110 | no |
| together | 119150 | no |
| various | 53145 | no |
| where | 101963157 | no |

For the $\pm 3$ span, 27 of the 35 links which would be lost compared to the $\pm 4$ span are actually spurious. This corresponds to $77 \%$ of the total.

If an even smaller span is used, it can be expected that more links, some of which will be spurious, will be lost. The following list, based on a $\pm 2$ span, shows that this is indeed the case. Items which are also in the previous list are suppressed.

| Link <br> Word | Line <br> Numbers | Acceptable <br> As Link |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| bacteria | 889 | yes |
| by | 7779111154 | no |
| cash | 73141 | yes |
| could | 42231164 | yes |
| don't | 89126 | no |
| earn | 117165 | yes |
| floor | 119167 | yes |


| from | 5589157164171 | no |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| has | 1257106115 | no |
| have | 41246149 | no |
| her | 7183 | no |
| him | 45115 | no |
| if | 69131 | no |
| little | 6380 | no |
| me | 337778154 | no |
| meet | 82150 | yes |
| money | 80145158 | yes |
| need | 6776 | yes |
| new | 543137 | yes |
| often | 69 | no |
| one | 12166486149 | no |
| only | 61138159 | no |
| other | 2182168 | no |
| place | 1563 | no |
| production | 112113114 | yes |
| regulations | 3565 | yes |
| said | 224120 | no |
| she | 483 | no |
| should | 1258 | no |
| small | 71116 | no |
| soviet | 10514 | yes |
| their | 8284122125155 | no |
| vows | 7995 | yes |
| way | 22133 | no |
| were | 133465103169171 | no |
| why | 5862 | no |
| within | 85053 | no |
| words | 22142161 | yes |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | 1226 | yes |

Here, 24 out of the 39 items, $62 \%$, are false links. If this result is combined with the comparison of the four-word and three-word spans, then the overall number of links lost by reducing the span from four to two is 74 , of which 51 (69\%) are spurious.

Although very little evidence is available, there does seem to be a relationship between the span size and the number of valid links which are gained as the context is increased. This is summarised in the table below, which indicates that if the span is increased from 4 to open, then $86 \%$ of the additional links will be spurious, compared with $69 \%$ if the span is changed from two to four. From this it would appear that the words closest to the node
are more likely to provide valid links. Of course, these figures hold true only for this particular concordance, as evidence from the evaluation exercise, presented in Chapter 9, will highlight. In addition, the fact that a wider span produces a larger number of spurious links does not change the fact that valid links are still being added as the span is increased; it is simply the proportion of spurious to valid links which is changing.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
4 \rightarrow \text { open } & 86 \% \\
4 \rightarrow 5 & 84 \% \\
3 \rightarrow 4 & 77 \% \\
2 \rightarrow 4 & 69 \%
\end{array}
$$

Table 6.7
Effect of Span Change on Valid Link Formation

## Availability of Context

It should be borne in mind that many of the 'exchange' concordance lines do not provide enough context for the larger spans and that this could account, at least to some extent, for the decrease in the number of extra bonds identified as the span is increased (Table 6.6). This mismatch can be examined in greater detail by identifying which slots are actually available in each line and then collating the results:

| Slot <br> (left) | No. of <br> Lines | Slot <br> (right) | No. of <br> Lines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -8 | 64 | +8 | 5 |
| -7 | 122 | +7 | 31 |
| -6 | 162 | +6 | 78 |
| -5 | 175 | +4 | 174 |
| -4 | 176 | +4 | 174 |
| -3 | 176 | +3 | 176 |
| -2 | 176 | +2 | 176 |
| -1 | 176 | +1 | 176 |

Table 6.8
Available Slots in 'exchange' concordance
This table tells us that there are only 64 lines which supply sufficient context for there to be a word eight slots to the left ( -8 ) of the node word, only 122 lines which have a word seven slots to the left, and so on, up to eight slots to the right ( +8 ), which is to be found in a mere 5 lines. The range of slots from -4 through +3 are to be found in all 176 lines, however, and in only two lines is the +4 slot missing. This adds weight to the argument, expressed above, that the majority of lines will provide a $\pm 4$ span and might also be a factor in the popularity of $\pm 4$ span collocate analysis, since early work in this field will also have been done on fixed-span concordances. As evidenced by the link word list for the open span, the number of truncated words present in the concordances is not inconsiderable. When the entire line is used, it is obvious that if partial words are present in the context then they will be candidates for linkage, but they can also be expected to fall within the context provided by a fixed-size span, if it is large enough, and can therefore create spurious links for span sizes other than open. A cursory inspection of the link word list for $\pm 7$ words confirms this expectation, as it contains fifteen obviously truncated entries. An example is ' f ', which is present as the last 'word' in these lines:

```
(13) horses, beads and cloth came south in exchange. These societies were so far f
(43) W YORK - Prices on the New York Stock Exchange staged a blue- chip rally F
(81) f papers. "Well, there is the Rummidge exchange, but you wouldn't be intereste
```

(115) mena's trip has sparked a sharxpublic exchange between him and Velasco. The $F$

An additional potential problem, although no cases have so far been observed, is that the truncated form is itself a valid word which occurs on the wordlist and that a false link is therefore instantiated. While this is going to be a rare occurrence, it nevertheless represents another drawback of using a formally defined element as input to the software.

A Note on Stopwords It is worth noting here how the fixed span interacts, on a purely mechanical basis, with the stopword facility. In procedural terms, the span is applied to the concordance line first and then the stopwords are removed. This has ramifications for the type of link which can be detected, as can be seen in the lines for 'exchange' in Figure 6.3 below, which all additionally contain the item 'goods'. Using the strategy outlined above, only lines (1) and (3) will be linked via the item 'goods' (and only then if raw or relative link type is used), since 'goods' in line (2) lies outside the $\pm 4$ span delimited by the > and < signs.
1: conomy changed from> one based upon the exchange of goods and servicese to one b
2: mpete if we are> to earn enough foreign exchange to buy the primary< goods we st
3: re businessmen of various sorts met to exchange goods, property or money<. Afte
Figure 6.3
'exchange' plus 'goods' Concordance: stopwords included in span
If the alternative strategy were used, that is, the stopwords were removed first, and then the spans were extracted, the non-stopword items could be allowed to 'shuffle up'. Assuming that 'and', 'of', 'or', 'the' and 'to' are stopwords, the alternative procedure would allow 'goods' to form an absolute link between lines (1) and (3) (as 'goods:1') and a relative link for all three lines as 'goods: + ', since it would then fall within the specified span delimited by the $<$ sign. This can be seen in Figure 6.4, where all the words in bold type are now included in the span.


Figure 6.4
'exchange' plus 'goods' Concordance: stopwords excluded from span

The justification for including the stopwords in determining the span contains two main threads. Firstly, as stated in the above section on Span Size, the purpose of the fixed span is to provide a contrast to the results achieved using the open span, yet the alternative methodology just described would have the effect of reducing any difference between the two span types since it would potentially allow any 'fixed' span to encompass the entire concordance line. Secondly, one of the verification methods, described in a later chapter, which has been applied to the output of cohort involves the identification of significant collocates within the lines selected on the basis of observed:expected frequencies of cooccurrence, an analysis which is far more complicated to perform and error-prone if the collocational context of the node is not (truly) fixed.

As an alternative to identifying the links within KWIC concordances, it would be possible, if the entire text of the corpus were accessible, to extract spans of any desired length straight from the corpus or even to use the same unit as for textual abridgement - the sentence. In order to do this it would be necessary to integrate the abridgement algorithm into a corpus retrieval system and, in the latter case, to use a corpus which had been parsed into sentences. Sadly, for the reasons presented in Sections 1.4 and 4.2.2., neither of these resources were available when this study was initiated. The benefit of using fullsentence concordances would be that the sentence is a naturally-occurring 'span' and that it would be preferable to use this instead of applying an artificial window of $n$ words either side of the node. The task of selecting examples for use in dictionaries might also be simplified if the examples were in fact entire sentences.

Since the main motivation for using a fixed span is to compare the results with those obtained using the open span, the choice of a span of four seems the most logical, as it is known to be a reasonable compromise between comprehensive coverage and processing overheads and, if we take the 'exchange' concordance to be representative, a span of $\pm 4$ can be extracted from most concordance lines.

### 6.6. Conclusion

In this chapter we have dealt with each of the parameters to the concordance line selection system in turn. Each one has been defined, its possible values explored and the effects of altering it investigated. It was mentioned earlier that certain combinations of parameters might interact more usefully than others and in the following chapter this factor will be more fully examined.

## Chapter 7

## Interaction of Parameters

## 7. Interaction of Parameters

### 7.1. Introduction

The number of possible combinations of the parameters described in the previous chapter is not inconsiderable. Assuming that the set of possible values given earlier is used, the potential number of combinations can be determined by multiplying together the number of possible values of each variable, as follows:

| Parameter | Possible Values | No. of Possible Values |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Link Threshold | $1,2,3,4,5,6$ | 6 |
| Link Type | Raw, Absolute, Relative | 3 |
| Span Size | $\pm 4$, open | 2 |
| Stopword List | bt, btb, arts-prons, top 50, top 100, | 7 |
|  | top 150, zero |  |

Table 7.1
Combination of Parameters
This gives $(6 \times 3 \times 2 \times 7=) 252$ potential combinations, which does not include the possibility of using sentence-length concordances or fixed-size context of any size other than $\pm 4$. If these two further possible values of the Span Size variable are included in the calculation, then the total increases to 504. Had POS tagging been included (see Section 1.4 for reasons why it was not) as a binary (on/off) option, this would have brought the total to 1,008 different parameter combinations.

Complete scientific rigour would demand that a set of outputs should be created and assessed for each combination. Since four variables are involved, though, the results would be hard to interpret and more difficult still to present. In addition, to make this test fully rigorous it would need to be applied to several sets of concordance lines, adding yet another dimension. It therefore seems more sensible to direct one's efforts towards establishing the most generally applicable guidelines for the optimal combinations of parameters. It has already been demonstrated that the data is not just a seemingly random set of numbers obtained from a laboratory experiment and it is clear that the individual
parameters can interact in ways which favour particular combinations. It is reasonable then to assume that the predictable characteristics of the concordance lines can be exploited in order to exclude some of the many possible combinations of parameters. There would be little value, linguistically speaking, in setting a high link threshold, using a large stopword list, a fixed-size span and absolute positioning, since intuition would inform us that few, if any, concordance lines would be selected, although this configuration of parameters would be a means of identifying lines which become bonded solely because they are near or perfect duplicates. The fact that some combinations are unlikely to prove useful is attributable to the existence of collocational 'profiles' $\dagger$ for each word examined. Were it not for this feature of the language, the approach described herein would be of no linguistic or practical interest.

Collocational profiles represent one of the predictable features of the language and make the analytical methodology described herein workable, but in contrast to them there is a degree of randomness in the input (the concordance) in the form of those items, lexical and grammatical, which do not form part of the collocational profile of the node word in question. These constitute a 'wildcard' factor in the input, since there is no way to predict their presence. Such items, because they are not expected to collocate with the node, are unlikely to contribute significantly to the link score of the particular line in which they appear, since, by definition, collocates occur repeatedly and significantly with their node word. Of course, such wildcard items only need to occur twice in order to form a link, but this level of occurrence would be far too low for these items to be classed as collocates. The effect of such words on the bond score will depend on other factors: they may be removed entirely if the link type (positional specification) is strict enough, or cancelled out by the action of the link threshold, if this is raised above one, or they may even be caught by the stopword list.

Through an awareness of certain characteristics of the input, it becomes possible to predict, despite the partially random nature of the input, particular combinations of parameters which might be used to detect other linguistic phenomena. These characteristics can be concrete, such as the fixed size of the concordances, or more abstract, such as collocational patterning. One such example of this has already been introduced in the section on the Link Threshold in the previous chapter, where it was shown that setting a high link threshold could highlight lines containing idioms or other fixed phrases.

### 7.2. Effect of Parameters

In calculating a score for individual concordance lines, two components of the cohort system are centrally involved prior to the final calculation of the number of bonds: the wordlist and the matrix. As was noted at the beginning of the previous chapter, the various parameters come into play at different stages and thus have an effect on either the wordlist, the matrix or the final bond score. Let us now look briefly at the influence exerted by each of the parameters on these components:

|  | Affects Wordlist | Affects Matrix | Affects Bonds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link Threshold | No | No | Yes |
| Positional Specification | Yes | via wordlist | via matrix |
| Stopword List | Yes | via wordlist | via matrix |
| Span | Yes | via wordlist | via matrix |

Figure 7.1: Range of Effect of Parameters
From this it can be seen that all but one of the parameters, the Link Threshold, have an influence on the creation of the wordlist. Since the Link Threshold acts upon the matrix to produce the list of bonded lines, it follows that once a given wordlist has been created there is only one possible matrix which can be built from it, that is, none of the parameters can affect the transformation of the wordlist into the matrix.

In the introduction to this chapter, the possibility was mentioned of running the software using every possible permutation of parameters and this has indeed been done. For the reasons stated earlier, however, no detailed analysis of each single result will be presented here, but there is just sufficient room to present the now-familiar metrics of links and bonds for each combination. In addition, a standard deviation has been calculated on the bond scores obtained using each set of parameters. This is intended to convey an idea of the variation in bond score values across the lines, which ties in with the point made in the Parameters chapter about the desirability of obtaining a wide range of scores. The metrics were obtained by iteratively running the program over the 'exchange' concordance, incrementing, or making stricter, each parameter in turn in a series of nested loops, which might be represented symbolically as:

FOR each value of Link Threshold
FOR each value of Positional Specification
FOR each value of Span Size
FOR each value of Stopword List
RUN with these values
The data were then sorted on the Link Type, Span Size, Stopword List and Link Threshold (in that order of priority) to produce a table 252 lines in length which, for the sake of brevity here, can be found in Appendix 3.

### 7.3. Focusing on Links

### 7.3.1. Ignoring the Link Threshold

What emerges from the table in Appendix 3 is that the number of link words and total links never changes for a given combination of Link Type, Span Size and Stopword List. As an example, examine the link information for all the cases of 'Abs 4 artsprons', i.e. the first six lines of the table. In all instances, 135 link words and 437 total links are
identified, amplifying the point made earlier that the link threshold is applied to the completed matrix and does not therefore have any effect on the formation of links. Since this consistency holds for all the other combinations of the three remaining parameters, we can infer that there are actually far fewer than 252 possible wordlist and matrix configurations. The real number is arrived at by ignoring the influence of the six possible values of Link Threshold and is therefore $(3 \times 2 \times 7=) 42 \dagger$ (or $252 \div 6$ ). This insight enables us to strip away a layer from this rather unwieldy table and, for the time being, to ignore the role of the link threshold. By removing the columns relating to the threshold and the bonds, a far more tractable table, 7.2 below, is created, containing only 42 items and based on the three-way relationship between Stopwords, Span and Link Type. Since these are the parameters which are active during the creation of the wordlist, they will be henceforth referred to as the wordlist parameters.

| Table 7.2: Combination of Parameters - Link Threshold removed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Link | Span | Stopword |  | Total |
| No. | Type | Size | List | Link Words | Links |
| 1 | Raw | open | zero | 236 | 1361 |
| 2 | Rel | open | zero | 251 | 1306 |
| 3 | Raw | open | arts-prons | 221 | 1031 |
| 4 | Abs | open | zero | 265 | 944 |
| 5 | Rel | open | arts-prons | 225 | 936 |
| 6 | Raw | 4 | zero | 154 | 883 |
| 7 | Rel | 4 | zero | 166 | 843 |
| 8 | Abs | 4 | zero | 177 | 690 |
| 9 | Raw | 4 | arts-prons | 140 | 638 |
| 10 | Abs | open | arts-prons | 197 | 598 |
| 11 | Rel | 4 | arts-prons | 145 | 584 |
| 12 | Raw | open | btb | 159 | 571 |
| 13 | Raw | open | top50 | 188 | 561 |
| 14 | Rel | open | btb | 140 | 488 |
| 15 | Rel | open | top50 | 166 | 448 |
| 16 | Abs | 4 | arts-prons | 135 | 437 |
| 17 | Raw | open | bt | 145 | 422 |
| 18 | Raw | open | top100 | 147 | 410 |
| 19 | Raw | open | top150 | 128 | 350 |
| 20 | Raw | 4 | btb | 92 | 345 |

[^8]| Table 7.2: Combination of Parameters - Link Threshold removed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item <br> No. | Link <br> Type | Span <br> Size | Stopword <br> List | Number of <br> Link Words | Total <br> Links |
| 21 | Rel | open | bt | 125 | 339 |
| 22 | Rel | open | top100 | 123 | 328 |
| 23 | Abs | open | btb | 107 | 317 |
| 24 | Raw | 4 | top50 | 108 | 308 |
| 25 | Rel | 4 | btb | 81 | 302 |
| 26 | Rel | open | top150 | 103 | 279 |
| 27 | Rel | 4 | top50 | 93 | 252 |
| 28 | Abs | 4 | btb | 73 | 238 |
| 29 | Raw | 4 | top100 | 84 | 236 |
| 30 | Raw | 4 | bt | 83 | 233 |
| 31 | Abs | open | top50 | 93 | 227 |
| 32 | Raw | 4 | top150 | 72 | 200 |
| 33 | Rel | 4 | top100 | 71 | 195 |
| 34 | Abs | open | bt | 76 | 193 |
| 35 | Rel | 4 | bt | 69 | 189 |
| 36 | Abs | open | top100 | 73 | 186 |
| 37 | Abs | 4 | top50 | 67 | 172 |
| 38 | Rel | 4 | top150 | 60 | 166 |
| 39 | Abs | open | top150 | 64 | 164 |
| 40 | Abs | 4 | top100 | 51 | 139 |
| 41 | Abs | 4 | bt | 51 | 138 |
| 42 | Abs | 4 | top150 | 43 | 119 |

The lines of Table 7.2 have been sorted in descending order of total links in order to make any correlation which exists between total links and link words more apparent. On the whole, it is indeed observable that the lower the number of link words, the fewer total links will be generated and this is confirmed by the Pearson's Correlation Coefficient of 0.92 , which is indicative of a highly $\dagger$ significant correlation between link words and total links. The closeness of the correlation can be seen graphically in the next figure:

[^9]Collier


Figure 7.2
Total Links vs Link Words for each Wordlist Parameter Combination
As might be expected, the greatest number of total links are formed when the least strict parameters, Raw, Open and Zero, are used. Item 2 on the list, of course, produces fewer total links, but the number of link words is higher than for item 1 . This can be attributed to the use of the stricter positional specification, Rel, for item 2, since either of the link types other than raw will tend to increase the number of types in the wordlist, because of the attachment of the positional information to each token in the concordance. Because the occurrences of the word are being diluted by the addition of the positional marker, however, their chances of forming links are reduced. In effect, several types are created from the one Urtype, differing only in their positional specification. As an example, here is the wordlist entry for the word 'information', extracted from the 'exchange' concordance using raw positioning:
information 255687152155

Notice that it establishes a link between five lines. If this is now compared with the entries for the same word from a wordlist which has been built using the absolute link
type:
information:1 87155
information:2 25152
it can be seen that the stricter positional specification has caused one of the links (56) to be lost because 'information' did not occur in either the +1 or +2 slot in line 56. This behaviour is confirmed by item 4 of Table 7.2, which reveals that the absolute link type has increased the number of link words relative to the two other items ( $1 \& 2$ ) which use the same span and stopword list, but that the number of total links has gone down.

### 7.3.2. Stopwords and Link Type

The observation that the use of a stricter positional specification increases the number of link words holds for all combinations of wordlist parameters which use the 'zero' stopword list (items 1, 2, 4, 6, $7 \& 8$ in Table 7.2). If a different stopword list is substituted, however, this relationship no longer holds. Using the 'arts-prons' list, for instance, results in the most link words being identified when the relative link type is employed, with the absolute positional specification resulting in the fewest link words. If a larger stopword list is used, then the situation changes again. The 'top50' list, and all subsequent stopword lists in fact, cause raw links to form the greatest number of link words, followed by relative links and then absolute links. This is summarised in Table 7.3 below which presents the stopword lists in ascending order of size:

| Stopword <br> List | No. of Link Words <br> greatest $\rightarrow$ smallest |
| :---: | :---: |
| zero | Abs, Rel, Raw |
| arts-prons | Rel, Raw, Abs |
| top50 | Raw, Rel, Abs |
| top100 | Raw, Rel, Abs |
| top150 | Raw, Rel, Abs |
| btb | Raw, Rel, Abs |
| bt | Raw, Rel, Abs |

Table 7.3
Effect of Stopwords and Positional Specification on Link Formation

Naturally, this raises the question of why this should be so. The answer would seem to lie in the relationship between the likelihood of a word changing its position relative to the node word and whether it is a stopword. The next table summarises, for each type of link, the number of link words which remain in the wordlist as each stopword list in turn is applied. In addition, it supplies a percentage figure, calculated by dividing the number of remaining words by the original total as represented by the figures for the 'zero' stopword list. Thus for 'arts-prons' and raw links, 140 link words remain, which is $91 \%$ of the 154 words allowed by the 'zero' list.

| Stopword <br> List | Span | Raw <br> Links |  | Relative <br> Links |  | Absolute <br> Links |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| zero | 4 | 154 | 100 | 166 | 100 | 177 | 100 |
| arts-prons | 4 | 140 | 91 | 145 | 87 | 135 | 76 |
| top50 | 4 | 108 | 70 | 93 | 56 | 67 | 38 |
| top100 | 4 | 84 | 55 | 71 | 43 | 51 | 29 |
| top150 | 4 | 72 | 47 | 60 | 36 | 43 | 24 |
| btb | 4 | 92 | 60 | 81 | 49 | 73 | 41 |
| bt | 4 | 83 | 54 | 69 | 42 | 51 | 29 |
| zero | open | 236 | 100 | 251 | 100 | 265 | 100 |
| arts-prons | open | 221 | 94 | 225 | 90 | 197 | 74 |
| top50 | open | 188 | 80 | 166 | 66 | 93 | 35 |
| top100 | open | 147 | 62 | 123 | 49 | 73 | 28 |
| top150 | open | 128 | 54 | 103 | 41 | 64 | 24 |
| btb | open | 159 | 67 | 140 | 56 | 107 | 40 |
| bt | open | 145 | 61 | 125 | 50 | 76 | 29 |
| Average |  | - | 71 | - | 62 | - | 48 |
| $\%$ fall-off |  | - | 0 | - | 13 | - | 32 |

Table 7.4
Proportion of each Link Type retained using different Stopword Lists
In the numerical ( n ) columns, the different orderings presented in Table 7.2 are reiterated. The percentage columns, by comparison, indicate that the proportion of link words retained is consistently lower for positionally-restricted link types than for raw links and that absolute links are affected to a greater extent than relative ones. The increased downward pressure on link words as the link type becomes stricter is summarised in the 'Average' row, which shows a fall-off $13 \%$ and $32 \%$ from the raw link average of $71 \%$ to the
relative and absolute averages, $62 \%$ and $48 \%$ respectively.
It is interesting to note that the span parameter appears to have little influence on the proportion of the link words which are retained, although, as might be expected, the number of link words is generally lower for the smaller span. The interaction between the span and the link type parameter is worthy of investigation, however, and this will be undertaken in the next section.

### 7.3.3. Span and Link Type

Since the relationship between the number of link words and the number of total links has already been explored in a previous section and the role of the stopword and span type parameters in that relationship has been identified, this and subsequent sections will concentrate on the changes in the total number of links which are brought about by various wordlist parameter combinations. Of course, if any important effects on the link words are uncovered these will still be treated separately.

If the information about the total links obtained using the different wordlist parameter combinations is rearranged so that the number of links for the two different spans are adjacent, a more detailed picture of the contribution of the span parameter can be obtained, focusing in particular on its interaction with the link type. In Table 7.5 below, this comparison has been made by setting side by side the total number of links allowed by each span value for the various combinations of link type and stopword list. The value for the $\pm 4$ span has then been divided by the figure for the open span to arrive at a percentage of the links which are retained, such that a low figure will indicate that a large proportion of links are lost when the span size is reduced. As shown by the first line of the table, for instance, using the absolute link type and top50 stopword list results in 227 links when the open span is used and 172 when the fixed span is used, giving a ratio of $75.8 \%(100 \times 172 \div 227)$ of links retained. The table entries are then arranged in descending order of the percentage score.

| Other <br> Parameters | No. of Total Links <br> Open |  | \% <br> Retained |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abs top50 | 227 | 172 | 75.8 |
| Abs btb | 317 | 238 | 75.1 |
| Abs top100 | 186 | 139 | 74.7 |
| Abs arts-prons | 598 | 437 | 73.1 |
| Abs zero | 944 | 690 | 73.1 |
| Abs top150 | 164 | 119 | 72.6 |
| Abs bt | 193 | 138 | 71.5 |
| Raw zero | 1361 | 883 | 64.9 |
| Rel zero | 1306 | 843 | 64.5 |
| Rel arts-prons | 936 | 584 | 62.4 |
| Raw arts-prons | 1031 | 638 | 61.9 |
| Rel btb | 488 | 302 | 61.9 |
| Raw btb | 571 | 345 | 60.4 |
| Rel top100 | 328 | 195 | 59.5 |
| Rel top150 | 279 | 166 | 59.5 |
| Raw top100 | 410 | 236 | 57.6 |
| Raw top150 | 350 | 200 | 57.1 |
| Rel top50 | 448 | 252 | 56.2 |
| Rel bt | 339 | 189 | 55.8 |
| Raw bt | 422 | 233 | 55.2 |
| Raw top50 | 561 | 308 | 54.9 |

Table 7.5
Effect of Varying Span and Link Type on Total Links
The most obvious feature of the table is that the seven parameter combinations involving the absolute positional restriction have the highest ratio scores, occupying all the first seven slots with an average score of $73.7 \%$, compared with $64.2 \%$ for the overall average, $60 \%$ for relative links and $58.9 \%$ for raw link types. As suggested by the closeness of their average values, these other link types are interspersed fairly evenly throughout the remainder of the table. This would seem to indicate that the number of links is affected less by the span parameter when absolute links are being used.

Not unsurprisingly, the parameter combinations involving the smaller stopword lists also figure more strongly near the top of the table, but this will be covered in greater detail in the next section.

It was demonstrated in the previous chapter that the $\pm 4$ span accounted for approximately $65 \%$ of the total links found in the open span when the other parameters were set at their
least strict values, raw links and no stopwords. Given that a stricter link type is being used here, it seems likely that more of the links will have occurred within the $\pm 4$ context of the node word, because the stricter setting has made it more difficult for them to be repeated unless they are part of the collocational profile of the node word and are therefore occurring repeatedly in the same slot. Put another way, the links are unlikely to be present at the same absolute position unless they are collocates and if they are collocates they are likely to have occurred within four words of the node word. This hypothesis is borne out by the figures in the above table for the parameter combination 'Abs zero', which indicate that $73 \%$ out of the 944 total links are to be found within the four-word span, a marked increase on the $65 \%$ value for unrestricted link position. This can be seen diagrammatically in the following graph, which plots each position of the span against the number of links which were established in that position.


Figure 7.3
Link Position vs Frequency
This plot shows the expected fall-off in the number of links in the slots further away from the node word, but also reiterates the point made earlier that many links are occurring
outside the $\pm 4$ span.

### 7.3.4. Stopwords and Span

The final side of the triangle of parameters which act upon the wordlist is completed by the relationship between the Stopword List and the Span. In order to isolate the effect of this combination of variables on the number of links, the figures for the individual link types from Table 7.5 were averaged together to produce Table 7.6 which is presented in ascending order of the number of links.

| Stopword List | Total Links Span |  | \% Links Retained |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Open | 4 |  |
| top150 | 264 | 162 | 61.4 |
| top100 | 308 | 190 | 61.7 |
| bt | 318 | 187 | 58.8 |
| top50 | 412 | 244 | 59.2 |
| btb | 459 | 295 | 64.3 |
| arts-prons | 855 | 553 | 64.7 |
| zero | 1204 | 805 | 66.9 |
| Average |  |  | 62.4 |

Table 7.6
Effect of Stopwords and Span on Total Links - Average of all link types
Other than the obvious difference in the number of links established for each list there is little to highlight any particular entry in the table and on the whole it follows the trend, identified in the 'Stopwords' section of the previous chapter, that a smaller stopword list allows more links, with the caveat regarding the bt and btb lists. As the total number of links grows, there is only a slight increase in the percentage of links retained when the span is reduced.

Comparing the results for the different spans, the figures echo the earlier conclusion regarding the contribution of the span parameter, with the average percentage retained being 62.4.

### 7.3.5. Summary of Effects

The comparative effect on the link words and total links of the wordlist parameter combinations can be seen in the graph in Figure 7.4. It differs from Figure 7.1, which was in descending order of total links, in that it presents the link data in related groups of parameter combinations and is therefore labelled along the horizontal axis with the appropriate link type and span parameters, labelling of stopwords being omitted for clarity. Thus the seven points at and to the right of the Raw/4 label all represent results obtained using the raw link type with a $\pm 4$ span; each point then corresponds to one of the seven stopword lists, which are presented in ascending order of size, namely zero, arts-prons, top50, top100, top150, btb and bt. After these come the seven points for raw links and open span starting at the label Raw/O and so on.


Figure 7.4
Effect of Wordlist Parameters on Links
The regular effect of the different stopword lists is clearly visible, especially in the upper Total Links plot, as six repeated patterns of two peaks, one large, one small, caused by the decrease in links as the frequency-based stopword lists increase in size with a final blip
caused by the btb and bt lists which are restrict link formation less, relative to their size. In addition, the contrast between the two different spans can be easily seen by comparing pair-wise the six patterns mentioned above, i.e. Raw/4 against Raw/O etc.

As one would expect, overall the graph shows the close correlation between the number of link words and the total number of links first seen in Figure 7.1. In this arrangement of the data it is possibly even clearer, with the troughs and peaks coinciding exactly, although the amplitude of the Total Links plot is considerably greater, especially where the open span is used.

### 7.4. Focusing on Bonds

So far, this chapter has concentrated on the interaction of those parameters which are involved in the creation of the wordlist. It has been demonstrated that for a given wordlist there is only one possible matrix, since the process of creating the matrix from the wordlist is independent of all the variables discussed here. By examining the point in the process at which each parameter comes into play, it was possible to remove the Link Threshold from consideration temporarily and thereby discuss a less complicated set of combinations of parameters in terms of their effect on the number of link words and total links created. In the remainder of this chapter, we shall reintroduce the Link Threshold and look at its effect upon the process of transforming links into bonds.

To recap on the role of the link threshold, it is applied to the contents of the matrix in order to determine whether a particular pair of lines share sufficient links for a bond to be established between them. If this condition is met, then the bond score for each of the lines, stored on a list which is external to the matrix, is incremented by one. Once the whole matrix has been scanned for potential bonds, the scores from the bond list are attached to the original concordance lines which are then ranked according to the number of bonds acquired by each line.

Since a link threshold as low as one is allowable in the concordance line selection system, intuition suggests that a large number of total links will result in many bonds being established, since if the link threshold is one, then each link creates a bond. The correlation between total links and bonded lines should therefore be a strong one. It is unlikely ever to be a perfect correspondence, since there will always be bonds made up of more than one link, otherwise there would be a direct relationship between the number of links present in the wordlist and the number of non-zero matrix cells. In Table 7.7 below, the Total Links figures for the 42 wordlist parameter combinations are shown in ascending order. Alongside these are the figures relating to the number of lines which are bonded when link thresholds of between one and six links are applied to the 'exchange' concordance.

| Total | Link Threshold |  |  |  |  |  | Wordlist |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Links | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Parameters |
| 119 | 88 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Abs 4 top150 |
| 138 | 98 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Abs 4 bt |
| 139 | 99 | 18 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Abs 4 top100 |
| 164 | 102 | 18 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Abs open top150 |
| 166 | 115 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Rel 4 top150 |
| 172 | 112 | 20 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | Abs 4 top50 |
| 186 | 111 | 18 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | Abs open top100 |
| 189 | 122 | 19 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Rel 4 bt |
| 193 | 112 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | Abs open bt |
| 195 | 126 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Rel 4 top100 |
| 200 | 122 | 27 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Raw 4 top150 |
| 227 | 122 | 20 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 2 | Abs open top50 |
| 233 | 132 | 25 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Raw 4 bt |
| 236 | 134 | 27 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Raw 4 top100 |
| 238 | 134 | 44 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Abs 4 btb |
| 252 | 142 | 27 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | Rel 4 top50 |
| 279 | 144 | 28 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Rel open top150 |
| 302 | 154 | 51 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Rel 4 btb |
| 308 | 151 | 33 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | Raw 4 top50 |
| 317 | 145 | 45 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 0 | Abs open btb |
| 328 | 154 | 30 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | Rel open top100 |
| 339 | 152 | 29 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | Rel open bt |
| 345 | 159 | 61 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Raw 4 btb |
| 350 | 153 | 35 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | Raw open top150 |
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| Total | Link Threshold |  |  |  |  |  | Wordlist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Links | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Parameters |
| 410 | 162 | 38 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | Raw open top100 |
| 422 | 158 | 40 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | Raw open bt |
| 437 | 173 | 74 | 21 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Abs 4 arts-prons |
| 448 | 164 | 37 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 2 | Rel open top50 |
| 488 | 168 | 77 | 28 | 10 | 0 | 0 | Rel open btb |
| 561 | 172 | 53 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 2 | Raw open top50 |
| 571 | 172 | 95 | 34 | 8 | 0 | 0 | Raw open btb |
| 584 | 175 | 107 | 35 | 4 | 4 | 4 | Rel 4 arts-prons |
| 598 | 174 | 83 | 27 | 11 | 4 | 4 | Abs open arts-prons |
| 638 | 176 | 132 | 37 | 4 | 4 | 2 | Raw 4 arts-prons |
| 690 | 175 | 127 | 59 | 16 | 6 | 4 | Abs 4 zero |
| 843 | 176 | 162 | 90 | 29 | 8 | 4 | Rel 4 zero |
| 883 | 176 | 173 | 108 | 31 | 8 | 2 | Raw 4 zero |
| 936 | 176 | 152 | 65 | 20 | 4 | 4 | Rel open arts-prons |
| 944 | 176 | 140 | 63 | 19 | 11 | 6 | Abs open zero |
| 1031 | 176 | 166 | 92 | 19 | 4 | 2 | Raw open arts-prons |
| 1306 | 176 | 176 | 142 | 68 | 26 | 8 | Rel open zero |
| 1361 | 176 | 176 | 158 | 108 | 22 | 6 | Raw open zero |

Table 7.7

## Effect of Link Threshold on Bond Formation

There appears to be a high degree of correlation between several of the Link Threshold columns and the Total Links column and this is confirmed by the Pearson's Correlation Coefficient scores shown in Table 7.8, which are all highly significant, although the worth of the lines selected when the link threshold is six is questionable, since the degree of bonding is so low and there are so many combinations which result in no lines being bonded.

| Link <br> Threshold | r |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 0.778832 |
| 2 | 0.950493 |
| 3 | 0.9468 |
| 4 | 0.818683 |
| 5 | 0.860199 |
| 6 | 0.84777 |

Table 7.8
Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r) for Total Links vs Bonded Lines

Collier

### 7.5. Conclusions

In this chapter the important distinction between those parameters which affect the contents of the wordlist, and thereby the matrix, and the remaining parameter which controls bond formation has been established. It has been shown that there is a strong correlation between the number of link words found in the wordlist and the total number of links formed. In addition to this, the relationship between links and bonds has been explored in depth.

On the basis of the above findings, what, then, can be said of the optimal settings for the four parameters described here? If the intention is to identify the most generally applicable settings, then it is necessary to select values which will bring about the highest degree of bonding, since this will be most likely to provide sufficient information to rank the concordance lines. If the parameters are so strict that the majority of lines are not bonded, then the selection will be coarse-grained; basically a line will be selected or not, according to whether it is bonded. Ideally there should be a wide range of bond scores assigned, possibly with zero bonds forming part of that range, so that a fine-grained distinction can be made and the lines can ultimately be selected by the human user, who can decide how far down the ranked concordance to proceed. If the range of bond scores is limited and many lines score no bonds, on the other hand, then the final selection is essentially made by the computer on the basis of whether a line is bonded or not. The fine-grainedness of the bond scores is not, then, in itself a reflection of the typicality/ centrality/ representativeness of the lines which are forming bonds, but is rather a means to the end of providing the corpus researcher with a tool which is as finely calibrated as possible.

To achieve the largest number of bonded lines and the greatest variation in bond scores, the results presented so far suggest that a fairly liberal link threshold should be used, combined with a small stopword list. This can be confirmed by calculating standard deviations for the scores assigned by each set of parameters and in the table which follows, the ten parameter combinations with the highest standard deviations are listed. The mean
number of bonds per line (total bonds over number of bonded lines) is also given.

| Parameters | Total Bonds | Mean Bonds | Bonded Lines | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| zero 1 fixed raw | 15,556 | 88.3864 | 176 | 34.3318 |
| zero 1 open rel | 16,462 | 93.5341 | 176 | 31.2 |
| zero 1 open raw | 21,602 | 122.739 | 176 | 31.162 |
| arts-prons 1 open raw | 12,404 | 70.4773 | 176 | 29.5764 |
| zero 2 open raw | 9,208 | 52.3182 | 176 | 29.3662 |
| zero 1 fixed rel | 10,732 | 60.9773 | 176 | 28.3098 |
| arts-prons 1 open rel | 8,164 | 46.3864 | 176 | 23.119 |
| btb 1 open raw | 4,618 | 26.2386 | 172 | 21.9576 |
| arts-prons 1 fixed raw | 7,100 | 40.3409 | 176 | 21.9425 |
| zero 2 open rel | 5,282 | 30.0114 | 176 | 20.3207 |

Table 7.9
Top ten Parameter Combinations by Standard Deviation
The strength of the standard deviation scores (SD) $\dagger$ indicates that there is a wide range of bond scores present in the output for the parameters shown. In addition, nearly all the sets of parameters shown here have caused all 176 lines to be selected. These conditions hold for many more of the parameter sets, even those with link thresholds higher than two. It should therefore be possible to retain the necessary granularity, while at the same time focussing on features which call for higher link thresholds such as compounds, phrasal verbs and other fixed strings.

This concludes the examination of the effect of the different parameter combinations. In a subsequent chapter, the various automatic analyses will be compared and contrasted with the manual analysis carried out by a group of experienced corpus users, but first we present some examples of the output from cohort.

The SD score gives a measure of the variation across the bond scores in a better way than the mean bond score. If $A$ is the set of scores (12345678910) and B the set of scores ( 5555555555 ), then mean $(A)$ is 5.5 and mean $(B)$ is 5 , i.e. they are close in value. $S D(A)$, however is around 3 , while $S D(B)$ is 0 because there is no variation in the values of $B$.

These are population standard deviation scores calculated using N .

## -142-

Chapter 8
Output from the Software

## 8. Output from the Software

### 8.1. Introduction

In Chapter 7, the interactions of the various parameters to the cohort system were explored, providing some useful insights into the combinations of parameters which might prove most useful in generating a fine-grained ranking of the elements of the concordance. These parameter combinations resulted in the majority of the lines being selected (i.e. achieving bonds with other lines) and also allowed a good range of bond scores, as measured by the Standard Deviation across all the bond scores in the concordance. In the next chapter, a full evaluation of the output from cohort is carried out, based on comparisons with a manual analysis of concordance data. For now, however, we will examine the output from the parameter combinations listed in Table 7.9. This will also serve to reacquaint the reader with the output from the software, as it has been some time since this was first presented.

### 8.2. The Output

At the end of the previous chapter, ten sets of parameters were identified which fulfilled the criteria of having many bonded lines and good variation in bond scores. In general, these combinations employed liberal link thresholds, raw or relative link types and small stopword lists, these being factors which were isolated in the course of Chapters 6 and 7 as being likely to generate output with the desired characteristics. The remainder of this chapter will present the output for each of the ten settings.

The format of the output from cohort is very similar to the conventional concordances which formed the input to the process. Each line in the output is prefixed with two figures, the first of which is simply the line number in the original concordance. It is zeropadded to four digits in order to help preserve the formatting of the concordance lines, so that line 99 is labeled as 0099 . The second figure shows the number of bonds which the
line acquired. It is also zero-padded (to three digits) and it is this value which is used in deciding the order of presentation of the lines, in that they are ranked in descending order of the number of bonds.

For each combination of parameters, the 25 lines that scored the most bonds are presented, along with the bond and standard deviation (SD) figures given in Table 7.9. A short commentary on the results is also given. This is not intended to be a thorough-going evaluation, but rather an exploration of the features which are highlighted as interesting by the software.

### 8.2.1. zero/1/fixed/raw

## 15,556 total bonds, 176 bonded lines, SD: 34.3

0007145 endeavoured to heal the wounds. In an exchange of letters with Mansholt he de 0011144 here was unbearable. And he wanted to exchange the unbearable for the very ba 0152139 rovides a national focal point for the exchange of information, ideas and expe 0037139 Flanders. The printer was entranced to exchange a few of the place-names which 0060138 ate a small plot on the worst land, in exchange for agricultural and even dome 0054138 and manufactures to the third world in exchange for raw materials and food, is 0107137 man gives food, care and protection in exchange for the different services the 0099136 inder of the session was devoted to an exchange on the compatibility of religi 0161135 the night officer and the sister would exchange a few words with us. In my fir 0092134 he flat and go to a hotel. The rate of exchange in Denmark is heavily against 0028132 when its shares are introduced to the Exchange, probably in January. He also 0006131 could utter silence. In practice, the exchange of letters often takes a full 0143129 pt to minimize the risk of a strategic exchange with the Soviet Unio with th 0123129 ne was out of order; on the second the exchange was closed for a religious hol 0102129 ld be impossible for the "fighters" to exchange roles so freely. They would be 0005129 came from Berlin and abroad, eager to exchange the new ideas that were racing 0140128 papers presented to the Securities and Exchange Com- mission, the multinationa 0130128 oco had reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Recently, Texaco 1 0097128 id enjoyment. The justification is the exchange of ideas, and the value of thi 0025127 to freer, cheaper and more widespread exchange of information between the ric 0150127 rld have a chance to meet together and exchange ideas. The Vegetarian Federal 0018127 of value, the proposition that things exchange in accordance with the amount 0064127 conomy changed from one based upon the exchange of goods and services to one b 0002125 Ruder, chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, said Britain, the 0114124 means of production, distribution, and exchangen. The prose style of the notor

This concordance illustrates a number of features of the node word that correspond well with linguistic intuition. Firstly, several strong collocates of 'exchange' are exemplified here: 'letters' (two occurrences), 'information' (twice), 'words' (one occurrence), 'rate' (once), 'ideas' (four times), 'goods' (once). There are also a number of phrases containing 'exchange' as an element, both lexical: 'rate of exchange', 'Securities and Exchange Commission' and grammatical: 'an exchange of $X$ ', 'in exchange for'.

The degree of bonding exhibited in the lines is reasonably high, which is to be expected given the low level of strictness imposed by the parameters used to generate this output. There are, nevertheless, some lines which are not entirely satisfactory. Line 11 (0011), for example, seems to contain very little that could be creating bonds with other lines; perhaps its high bond score is attributable to the presence of the presence of the 'to exchange ... for' construction, bolstered possibly by the use of 'wanted to...'; in terms of the lexical items in the context it is remarkably atypical - 'unbearable' is by no stretch of the imagination a common collocate of 'exchange'. A similar criticism might be levelled at line 37, which contains the unlikely collocates 'printer' and 'entranced'. The lines which contain the capitalised form 'Exchange' are also worthy of comment. Although several such lines exist, three of which contain strong phrasal constructions, the degree of bonding is far too high for this to be caused by the lexical items alone and so, since no stopwords are employed in creating this ranking, it has to be concluded that the grammatical items are largely responsible for the high bond scores for these lines.

### 8.2.2. zero/1/open/rel

16,462 total bonds, 176 bonded lines, SD: 31.2
0099148 inder of the session was devoted to an exchange on the compatibility of religi
0092146 he flat and go to a hotel. The rate of exchange in Denmark is heavily against
0007146 endeavoured to heal the wounds. In an exchange of letters with Mansholt he de
0054143 and manufactures to the third world in exchange for raw materials and food, is
0143142 pt to minimize the risk of a strategic exchange with the soviet Union with th
0084142 for the walls of their private bars in exchange for a few pints of beer. Or, i
0037138 flanders. The printer was entranced to exchange a few of the place-names which
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0140136 papers presented to the Securities and Exchange Com- mission, the multinationa 0066136 d an expert in Round Tableip and the Exchange of Unpleasantries. Last certai 0055136 and other statues from the first Royal Exchange). The Library is a first-class 0167135 ush to sell. This hit the floor of the Exchange with torrential force. The mac 0068135 d , "is not the way to begin a cultural exchange." The incident caused the trai 0142134 pproached the defense table, hoping to exchange a few words with them. The gu 0163132 tinued to a imported, and there was an exchange of "light" North Sea oil for " 0044132 a and are the same kind of judgemental exchange these ladies, as children, ove $0173131 y$ another name. In the absence of real exchange controls, however, the tax aut 0040131 N A SINGLE IMAGINATIVE GESTURE. AT THE EXCHANGE WE GET THE OLD SPECTACLE OF A 0060130 ate a small plot on the worst land, in exchange for agricultural and even dome 0002130 Ruder, chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, said Britain, the 0087129 gned to work with the local service to exchange information, to train the loca 0053129 and maintain the correct rate of fluid exchange within the various fluid compa 0152128 rovides a national focal point for the exchange of information, ideas and expe 0097128 id enjoyment. The justification is the exchange of ideas, and the value of thi 0038127 Lou Darrow Carrington runs the foreign exchange desk for the bank's corporate 0101126 kbrokers did by the pillars of the old Exchange. Through the west gate of this

This sample contains more uses of the 'conversation' meaning of 'exchange' (lines 99 \& 44) than were seen in the previous set and also appears to represent the financial sense more strongly. The bond scores are just as high as in the previous sample, indeed the total number of bonds is higher. In general, there is good representation of strong collocates and phrases, although the value of line 66 seems questionable, apart from the fact that it exemplifies the 'the exchange of $X$ ' paradigm.

### 8.2.3. zero/1/open/raw

21,602 total bonds, 176 bonded lines, SD: 31.2
0092169 he flat and go to a hotel. The rate of exchange in Denmark is heavily against 0007164 endeavoured to heal the wounds. In an exchange of letters with Mansholt he de 0161163 the night officer and the sister would exchange a few words with us. In my fir 0152160 rovides a national focal point for the exchange of information, ideas and expe 0084160 for the walls of their private bars in exchange for a few pints of beer. Or, i 0060160 ate a small plot on the worst land, in exchange for agricultural and even dome 0054160 and manufactures to the third world in exchange for raw materials and food, is 0011159 here was unbearable. And he wanted to exchange the unbearable for the very ba 0163157 tinued to a imported, and there was an exchange of "light" North sea oil for " 0066157 d an expert in Round Tableip and the Exchange of Unpleasantries. Last certai 0086156 ght to harvest any farmer's fields. In exchange, they get one ninth of the cro 0146155 re of the enormous destruction such an exchange would cause, and this awarenes 0006155 could utter silence. In practice, the exchange of letters often takes a full
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0049155 al Festival, which is held in the Corn Exchange each May. Miss Gray and I had 0044155 a and are the same kind of judgemental exchange these ladies, as children, ove 0023154 the bes't way in an emergency. The SIS exchange called Boyd stuart's home and 0036154 Copies were burned on the London Stock Exchange and destroyed at exchanges in 0172153 wine later, and frolicketwith an oral exchange of that, laughing over the hyd 0143153 pt to minimize the risk of a strategic exchange with the Soviet Unio with th 0107153 man gives food, care and protection in exchange for the different services the 0037153 Flanders. The printer was entranced to exchange a few of the place-names which 0028152 when its shares are introduced to the Exchange, probably in January. He also 0025152 to freer, cheaper and more widespread exchange of information between the ric 0123152 ne was out of order; on the second the exchange was closed for a religious hol 0125151 ng to hand back all their conquests in exchange for the tiny border enclaves

A similar range of good collocates and phrases is shown here, although both lines 11 and 66, with the unlikely collocates 'unbearable' and 'Unpleasantries', are far from typical, in terms of their lexical content, although they do exhibit typical grammatical constructions.

### 8.2.4. arts-prons/1/open/raw

12,404 total bonds, 176 bonded lines, SD: 29.6
0092135 he flat and go to a hotel. The rate of exchange in Denmark is heavily against 0007133 endeavoured to heal the wounds. In an exchange of letters with Mansholt he de 0071133 ds over her identity to her husband in exchange for a small portion of his, sh 0052132 amental right to adequate treatment in exchange for being deprived of his libe 0163130 tinued to a imported, and there was an exchange of "light" North Sea oil for " 0086127 ght to harvest any farmer's fields. In exchange, they get one ninth of the cro 0073125 e disposed of, and offered for sale in exchange for cash - and when cash is no 0099119 inder of the session was devoted to an exchange on the compatibility of religi 0067118 d raw materials naturally need foreign exchange to buy these, but because of $t$ 0153117 s of bacteria and mammalian gnes may exchange in nature. So it may be that 0136116 ound 80. Bear in mind that the rate of exchange while 1 was there was 11.20 fr 0090116 hat is, to establish the exact rate of exchange at which mechanical energy is 0018114 of value, the proposition that things exchange in accordance with the amount 0123114 ne was out of order; on the second the exchange was closed for a religious hol 0109114 mber of units in any of our Trusts in exchange for your securities - this exc 0084114 for the walls of their private bars in exchange for a few pints of beer. or, i 0143113 pt to minimize the risk of a strategic exchange with the Soviet Unio~ with th 0167112 ush to sell. This hit the floor of the Exchange with torrential force. The mac 0025108 to freer, cheaper and more widespread exchange of information between the ric 0145107 re businessmen of various sorts met to exchange money, property or goods. Afte 0064107 conomy changed from one based upon the exchange of goods and services to one b 0037107 Flanders. The printer was entranced to exchange a few of the place-names which 0132105 ome s"pose" and so on. There is a sure exchange :CHANGED of thought and some p 0054105 and manufactures to the third world in exchange for raw materials and food, is

In this set of output, which differs from the previous sets in that it was created using a non-empty stopword list, it is interesting that the grammatical constructions are still heavily represented, although the 'in exchange for' phrase is now present more frequently than in the earlier sets. This is undoubtably due to the suppression of the 'the/an exchange' link, introduced by the use of the 'arts-prons' stopword list, since this construction occurs most often in the company of a strong lexical item, as in line 64, 'the exchange of goods.... As might be expected from the use of a non-empty stopword list, the number of bonds formed tails off rather more quickly than with the 'zero' stopword list and the total number of bonds is correspondingly lower.

### 8.2.5. zero/2/open/raw

9,208 total bonds, 176 bonded lines, SD: 29.4
0092134 he flat and go to a hotel. The rate of exchange in Denmark is heavily against 0152116 rovides a national focal point for the exchange of information, ideas and expe 0084114 for the walls of their private bars in exchange for a few pints of beer. Or, i 0060109 ate a small plot on the worst land, in exchange for agricultural and even dome 0044107 a and are the same kind of judgemental exchange these ladies, as children, ove 0025105 to freer, cheaper and more widespread exchange of information between the ric 0007105 endeavoured to heal the wounds. In an exchange of letters with Mansholt he de 0064105 conomy changed from one based upon the exchange of goods and services to one b 0054105 and manufactures to the third world in exchange for raw materials and food, is 0123102 ne was out of order; on the second the exchange was closed for a religious hol 0066101 d an expert in Round Tableip and the Exchange of Unpleasantries. Last certai 0163100 tinued to a imported, and there was an exchange of "light" North Sea oil for " 0143099 pt to minimize the risk of a strategic exchange with the Soviet Unio with th 0037098 Flanders. The printer was entranced to exchange a few of the place-names which 0161096 the night officer and the sister would exchange a few words with us. In my fir 0011096 here was unbearable. And he wanted to exchange the unbearable for the very ba 0086094 ght to harvest any farmer's fields. In exchange, they get one ninth of the cro 0150093 rld have a chance to meet together and exchange ideas. The Vegetarian Federal 0090093 hat is, to establish the exact rate of exchange at which mechanical energy is 0099092 inder of the session was devoted to an exchange on the compatibility of religi 0006091 could utter silence. In practice, the exchange of letters often takes a full 0146090 re of the enormous destruction such an exchange would cause, and this awarenes 0172086 wine later, and frolicketwith an oral exchange of that, laughing over the hyd 0138083 ouse production a main item of foreign exchange or moneyearning, only the simp

0097083 id enjoyment. The justification is the exchange of ideas, and the value of thi
This set of output is the first to be presented here which uses a link threshold higter than one. This causes an overall lower degree of bonding and highlights lines which contain strong grammatical features, especially the 'in exchange for' and 'the/an exchange of'.

### 8.2.6. zero/1/fixed/rel

10,732 total bonds, 176 bonded lines, SD: 28.3
0007121 endeavoured to heal the wounds. In an exchange of letters with Mansholt he de 0140115 papers presented to the Securities and Exchange Com- mission, the multinationa 0097115 id enjoyment. The justification is the exchange of ideas, and the value of thi 0038112 Lou Darrow Carrington runs the foreign exchange desk for the bank's corporate 0087107 gned to work with the local service to exchange information, to train the loca 0006106 could utter silence. In practice, the exchange of letters often takes a full 0002106 Ruder, chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, said Britain, the 0060105 ate a small plot on the worst land, in exchange for agricultural and even dome 0027104 two marine insurance firms, the Royal Exchange Insurance and the London Assur 0055104 and other statues from the first Royal Exchange). The Library is a first-class 0054104 and manufactures to the third world in exchange for raw materials and food, is 0167103 ush to sell. This hit the floor of the Exchange with torrential force. The mac 0018103 of value, the proposition that things exchange in accordance with the amount 0079102 eneral impression. He was moved by the exchange of vows, the old clear words, 0130100 oco had reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Recently, Texaco 1 0015100 know where they gave the best rate of exchange. The whole place was reflected 0029099 which we have witnessed on the stock exchange this week, does the team agree 0161099 the night officer and the sister would exchange a few words with us. In my fir 0173098 y another name. In the absence of real exchange controls, however, the tax aut 0102098 ld be impossible for the "fighters" to exchange roles so freely. They would be 0152097 rovides a national focal point for the exchange of information, ideas and expe 0101097 kbrokers did by the pillars of the old Exchange. Through the west gate of this 0028095 when its shares are introduced to the Exchange, probably in January. He also 0123095 ne was out of order; on the second the exchange was closed for a religious hol 0064095 conomy changed from one based upon the exchange of goods and services to one $b$

This set contains lines with strong lexical collocates - 'information', 'vows', while also featuring the grammatical patterns seen previously.

### 8.2.7. arts-prons/1/open/rel


#### Abstract

8,164 total bonds, 176 bonded lines, SD: 23.1 0084106 for the walls of their private bars in exchange for a few pints of beer. Or, i 0099104 inder of the session was devoted to an exchange on the compatibility of religi 0007103 endeavoured to heal the wounds. In an exchange of letters with Mansholt he de 0071101 ds over her identity to her husband in exchange for a small portion of his, sh 0052101 amental right to adequate treatment in exchange for being deprived of his libe 0163099 tinued to a imported, and there was an exchange of "light" North Sea oil for " 0086095 ght to harvest any farmer's fields. In exchange, they get one ninth of the cro 0073091 e disposed of, and offered for sale in exchange for cash - and when cash is no 0090088 hat is, to establish the exact rate of exchange at which mechanical energy is 0092085 he flat and go to a hotel. The rate of exchange in Denmark is heavily against 0143083 pt to minimize the risk of a strategic exchange with the Soviet Unio~ with th 0054082 and manufactures to the third world in exchange for raw materials and food, is 0125081 ng to hand back all their conquests in exchange for the tiny border enclaves 0167080 ush to sell. This hit the floor of the Exchange with torrential force. The mac 0039080 MALA TO GIVE UP ITS CLAIM TO BELIZE IN EXCHANGE FOR CERTAIN ECONOMIC CONCESSIO 0037080 Flanders. The printer was entranced to exchange a few of the place-names which 0154079 sion. Another sent to me by the Labour Exchange presumably out of sheer kindne 0050079 all of us if we did not calm down. Our exchange was heated. Within a matter of 0025078 to freer, cheaper and more widespread exchange of information between the ric 0123077 ne was out of order; on the second the exchange was closed for a religious hol 0014077 it ahead of her, how it would be. The exchange of witty letters, fewer as tim 0024075 them (as used to be said on the Stock Exchange), cast no doubt envious glance 0145075 re businessmen of various sorts met to exchange money, property or goods. Afte 0136073 ound 80. Bear in mind that the rate of exchange while 1 was there was 11.20 fr 0109073 mber of units in any of our Trusts in exchange for your securities - this exc


The combination of 'arts-prons' stopwords with the relative link type forefronts the 'in exchange for' construction.

### 8.2.8. btb/1/open/raw

4,618 total bonds, 172 bonded lines, SD: 21.9
0060080 ate a small plot on the worst land, in exchange for agricultural and even dome 0036076 Copies were burned on the London Stock Exchange and destroyed at exchanges in 0009074 foreign services usually press for an exchange, and often in poor countries $t$ 0129072 ocal shop. We can give you sterling in exchange for most foreign notes but coi 0084067 for the walls of their private bars in exchange for a few pints of beer. or, i 0045067 a for them, and I keep him supplied in exchange for plenty fires and troubles 0109065 mber of units in any of our Trusts in exchange for your securities - this exc 0125064 ng to hand back all their conquests in exchange for the tiny border enclaves 0080064 er was an indeterminate little man. In exchange for our money, they were suppo

0104063 ltivation can be surprisingly high, in exchange for no investment in fertilize 0073063 e disposed of, and offered for sale in exchange for cash - and when cash is no 0107062 man gives food, care and protection in exchange for the different services the 0094062 hey would be given decent treatment in exchange for "honest labor." zob iasued 0091062 hat the offence might be overlooked in exchange for a consideration: they woul 0071062 ds over her identity to her husband in exchange for a small portion of his, sh 0048062 al - and end up with failed degrees in exchange for a phenomenal understanding 0039062 MALA TO GIVE UP ITS CLAIM TO BELIZE IN EXCHANGE FOR CERTAIN ECONOMIC CONCESSIO 0032062 , price controls and food subsidies in exchange for voluntary wage restraint, 0141061 pawn your land for five years or so in exchange for the cash. The moneylender 0054061 and manufactures to the third world in exchange for raw materials and food, is 0122060 nd ack- nowledged him as their Lord in exchange for whatever they most desired 0052060 amental right to adequate treatment in exchange for being deprived of his libe 0034060 And priests were extracting "gifts" in exchange for burying non-churchgoers in 0123058 ne was out of order; on the second the exchange was closed for a religious hol 0098057 imise our clients' exposure in foreign exchange. We tell them what's happening

The use of the 'btb' stopword list, which contains no prepositions, boosts the 'in exchange for' pattern enormously in this set of output, almost to the exclusion of all other immediate collocational features. Only the presence of strong lexical items serves to differentiate the lines in this set: 'land', 'money', 'materials', although there is little variation across the bond scores in this output, suggesting that the heavily repeated grammatical features are largely responsible for the bonding.

### 8.2.9. arts-prons/1/fixed/raw

## 7,100 total bonds, 176 bonded lines, SD: 21.9

0052110 amental right to adequate treatment in exchange for being deprived of his libe 0109095 mber of units in any of our Trusts in exchange for your securities - this exc 0007094 endeavoured to heal the wounds. In an exchange of letters with Mansholt he de 0099091 inder of the session was devoted to an exchange on the compatibility of religi 0092091 he flat and go to a hotel. The rate of exchange in Denmark is heavily against 0152084 rovides a national focal point for the exchange of information, ideas and expe 0006083 could utter silence. In practice, the exchange of letters often takes a full
0037083 Flanders. The printer was entranced to exchange a few of the place-names which 0077082 ehall but best remembered by me for an exchange of Jack Buchanan's signed ciga 0136069 ound 80 . Bear in mind that the rate of exchange while 1 was there was 11.20 fr 0071069 ds over her identity to her husband in exchange for a small portion of his, sh 0166068 unting, president of the Toronto Stock Exchange. Mr Walker acknowleged that $t$ 0159068 tein, but it would only precipitate an exchange of feelings on a subject which 0039068 MALA TO GIVE UP ITS CLAIM TO BELIZE IN EXCHANGE FOR CERTAIN ECONOMIC CONCESSIO 0143067 pt to minimize the risk of a strategic exchange with the Soviet Unio~ with th

0131067 olas Goodison, ? Chairman of the Stock Exchange, was asked if he found the lar 0167065 ush to sell. This hit the floor of the Exchange with torrential force. The mac 0112065 means of production, distribution, and exchange is profitability; that any dep 0082064 ferent workplaces and jobs can meet to exchange their experiences. In other wo 0138063 ouse production a main item of foreign exchange or moneyearning, only the simp 0028062 when its shares are introduced to the Exchange, probably in January. He also 0017062 new house (the 10 per cent deposit on exchange of contracts) before you've re 0014062 it ahead of her, how it would be. The exchange of witty letters, fewer as tim 0132061 ome s"pose" and so on. There is a sure exchange :CHANGED of thought and some p 0048061 al - and end up with failed degrees in exchange for a phenomenal understanding

This set of output shows a combination of lexical and grammatical features, similar to the earlier 'arts-prons' example.

### 8.2.10. zero/2/open/rel

## 5,282 total bonds, 176 bonded lines, SD: 20.3

0084088 for the walls of their private bars in exchange for a few pints of beer. Or, i 0099086 inder of the session was devoted to an exchange on the compatibility of religi 0054086 and manufactures to the third world in exchange for raw materials and food, is 0040080 N A SINGLE IMAGINATIVE GESTURE. AT THE EXCHANGE WE GET THE OLD SPECTACLE OF A 0092078 he flat and go to a hotel. The rate of exchange in Denmark is heavily against 0037078 Flanders. The printer was entranced to exchange a few of the place-names which 0143076 pt to minimize the risk of a strategic exchange with the Soviet Unio~ with th 0068069 d , "is not the way to begin a cultural exchange." The incident caused the trai 0060067 ate a small plot on the worst land, in exchange for agricultural and even dome 0101064 kbrokers did by the pillars of the old Exchange. Through the west gate of this 0097064 id enjoyment. The justification is the exchange of ideas, and the value of thi 0007064 endeavoured to heal the wounds. In an exchange of letters with Mansholt he de 0167063 ush to sell. This hit the floor of the Exchange with torrential force. The mac 0066062 d an expert in Round Tableip and the Exchange of Unpleasantries. Last certai 0142060 pproached the defense table, hoping to exchange a few words with them. The gu 0055060 and other statues from the first Royal Exchange). The Library is a first-class 0079059 eneral impression. He was moved by the exchange of vows, the old clear words, 0140058 papers presented to the Securities and Exchange Com- mission, the multinationa $0173057 y$ another name. In the absence of real exchange controls, however, the tax aut 0123057 ne was out of order; on the second the exchange was closed for a religious hol 0053057 and maintain the correct rate of fluid exchange within the various fluid compa 0131056 olas Goodison, $?$ Chairman of the Stock Exchange, was asked if he found the lar 0002056 Ruder, chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, said Britain, the 0163055 tinued to a imported, and there was an exchange of "light" North Sea oil for " 0152054 rovides a national focal point for the exchange of information, ideas and expe

This final set of output, only the second set presented here with a link threshold of two, contains an interesting mix of grammatical patterns and strong collocational features. There is also a good range of bond scores across the lines and the total number of bonds remains quite high, while the standard deviation reflects a useful degree of differentiation across all 176 lines in the output.

### 8.3. Remarks

The samples from the output of cohort given above exemplify many contextual features of the node word 'exchange' which seem to correspond to (my) linguistic intuitions of what is typical and as such they are very encouraging. The most obvious grammatical patterns are 'in exchange for' and 'the/an exchange of $X$ ' and 'to exchange $X$ ' and these are seen most clearly in output where the majority of grammatical items have been suppressed, leaving only the prepositions and lexical items, most notably in the 'btb/1/open/raw' set.

There are numerous instances of strong (lexical) collocates ('rates', 'information', 'letters', 'foreign', 'Royal', 'money', 'cash' for example), all of which are intuitively satisfying, especially where these also occur in conjunction with the aforementioned grammatical constructions. Examples of this can be seen in lines such as 54: 'in exchange for raw materials and food', 152 'the exchange of information, ideas and expe[riences]' and 142 'to exchange a few words'.

Not all the results are entirely satisfactory - there are several lines present in the samples which seem somewhat unusual; lines $11,37 \& 66$, containing the rather untypical collocates 'unbearable', 'entranced' and 'Unpleasantries' have scored quite highly in some of the sets of output. This can only be due to the grammatical constructions within the line, as these lexical items do not occur elsewhere and so cannot be responsible for the formation of links.

Collier

The presence of not-so-desirable lines in the top-scoring section of the output brings us to the difficult issue of the evaluation of the output from cohort. Deciding which of the many different sets of output is optimal is a difficult and subjective task and the analysis presented here is not intended to be anything more than an illustration of the capabilities of the software. What is required is a systematic evaluation exercise which is capable firstly of determining whether the results of the selection system are at all acceptable and secondly of identifying the combinations of parameters which produce the best results. In the chapter which follows, the methodology and results of such an exercise, carried out with the aid of a group of experienced corpus users, are presented.

## Chapter 9

Evaluation

## 9. Evaluation of the Automatic System

### 9.1. Introduction

In order to determine whether the results produced by the automatic system correspond to the intuitions of corpus users, an evaluation exercise was carried out which involved comparing the lines selected by corpus users as representative with the scores applied by the system. This chapter will present the results of this comparison.

### 9.2. Need for Evaluation

The cohort software operates by identifying the links and bonds present between the lines which make up the concordance text. While the means by which the bonds are established is relatively simple, the large number of configurations made possible by varying different parameters creates a considerable task in terms of the validation of the results.

The software has been in use for some time now and appears to be (in software engineering terms) correct. That is, for a given set of input, it produces a matrix of interrelations between the elements of the input which corresponds to expectations, which is to say that it is the same as would be produced by a manual analysis, if this were to be limited to the forms of link allowed under the automatic system. Analysing a concordance automatically can be carried out much more quickly than manually and thus the possibility exists of producing many sets of output, each based on a different configuration of parameters. The point of this would be to attempt to establish which configuration created the best set of results.

The evaluation of a large number of outputs poses a problem however. It has already been shown that corpus users are not able to make full use of the information contained in concordance lines, since they are unable to retain an overview once the number of lines gets very large (more than about 1,000 ). It is therefore not feasible for them to evaluate the output from the automatic system, since this simply consists of concordance lines and
would therefore be no more easily tractable than concordance lines drawn straight from the corpus.

An alternative strategy would be to impose a numerical cutoff and ask the corpus users to evaluate only those lines which achieved a high bond score. The disadvantage of this approach is that it would disregard the fact that there may be 'good' lines which did not receive a high score. Consequently, all lines in all the sets of output would need to be examined (a one-off evaluation of the 200 lines would not be sufficient) since each configuration of parameters will theoretically identify different characteristics of the concordance. If every one of the 252 possible configurations of parameters were used, however, this would result in $2,825,424$ lines to be examined for the word 'date' alone. As it is impracticable to verify this many concordance lines, it is likely that this strategy would bias the results in favour of the software, because the omission of 'good' lines from automatic selection would not be detectable.

Earlier, it was mentioned that the goal of this exercise was to establish which set of parameters produced the best results. This naturally raises the question of what is 'best'. The solution presented here is to compare the automatically-produced sets of output with human intuition. Naturally, it would be preferable to have access to many concordance users' intuitions about many sets of output for several different node words. Unfortunately, however, it is not practicable for large numbers of experienced corpus users to inspect and evaluate all the various output options and so a less time-consuming method had to be employed.

The evaluation process was complicated further by the disappearance of most of the respondents, following staff cutbacks at Cobuild. This has made it impossible to use any other evaluation methods as a means of comparison or to repeat the evaluation using different data. Another alternative might have been to compare the results obtained from using a different analysis tool, typical, mentioned in Section 5.2, but this is not publicly available and, in contrast to cohort, is dependent on information derived from the source
corpus of the concordances, making it difficult to use outside of the Cobuild context, which is where the concordances were generated. No other tool exists which has similar functionality to typical and cohort.

### 9.3. Scope of the Evaluation

The material used in the evaluation consisted of a random selection of 200 concordance lines for the word 'date', extracted from the BoE, which at the time contained approximately 16,000 occurrences of the word. 'Date' was chosen because it occurs frequently (16 times more so than the 1,000 occurrences which represents 'too much' for most corpus analysts interviewed); it crosses word classes (noun, verb) and is also mildly polysemous within each word class. As such, it was thought to be a useful test of the capabilities of the analytical software. In addition, 'date' has a number of strong collocational patterns, which, it is to be hoped, will be identified by the software.

Twelve Cobuild lexicographers and grammarians were asked to select from the 200 lines up to twenty which they considered to be representative of the usage of the word. As a secondary exercise, they then had to choose up to twenty lines which they considered most usable as examples in one of their reference texts. The definitions of 'representative' and 'usable', as presented to the respondents, are as shown in sections 9.4.1 and 9.4.2 respectively.

It was noted in Section 2.5.2.2 that many of the factors influencing the usability of a concordance line (as an example in a reference work) were external or meta-textual, real world references, contentious issues etc. There should therefore be no means by which cohort could identify usable lines. The usability data was nevertheless collected from the respondents, in order to test whether the cohesive patterns of the concordance might in some way correlate with usability. Since the issue of usability is a secondary one, intermediate results will not be presented for all the tests involving a comparison of the output of cohort with the lines selected as usable, but these can be found in summary in the final
section of this chapter. A full set of results is presented for the comparisons of automatic and manual, representative lines, however.

No time restriction was placed on the selection exercise and the corpus users were encouraged to carry it out at whatever speed represented their normal working methods. It was hoped that this approach would provide the most realistic setting for the selection process and so produce more authentic results. In order to obtain an overall impression of which concordance lines were preferred, the selections from each of the respondents were collated. This method allowed a score to be assigned to each line on the basis of the number of informants who chose it; that is, if four respondents considered a particular line to be representative, then it received a score of four. The advantage of this method was that each respondent was only presented with 200 lines to evaluate, albeit according to the two different criteria of representativeness and usability, and had no contact with the results of the automatic system. It is conceivable that, had they received lines which had been pre-analysed by the cohort software, the informants' judgement might have been influenced, since, as seen in Figure 5.6, the automatic analysis adds extra information to the concordance, resulting in a slightly different format. The bond score attached by the software might also have influenced their selection, as they may have been tempted to choose lines in accordance with or even counter to the analysis made by the software.

The automatic system was run over the same 200 lines using several different sets of parameters, producing nine different rankings, based on the number of bonds each line attained. A Pearson's Correlation Coefficient score was then calculated for each of the automatically ranked sets, comparing the number of bonds identified by the system with the scores derived from the corpus users' selections.

### 9.4. The Concordance Questionnaire

The evaluation was carried out using a combination of methods, one hardcopy and one electronic, within the framework of a simple questionnaire which firstly asked the
respondents to choose concordance lines which they felt to be representative or usable as examples and secondly required them to introspect on the mental processes which they performed in order to make the selection.

Prior to the evaluation, the corpus users were canvassed as to their preference for the format of presentation of the concordance evidence. Most responded that they would prefer to have online access to the corpus data, as this would be most similar to their everyday experience of using the corpus. Given that this approach would be expected to yield the most realistic results, a feature of the Cobuild corpus software system was exploited which enabled each user to be presented with the same random set of lines. It was thus possible to provide all the usual corpus analysis tools to those who wished to make use of them. For those corpus users who were content to work with hardcopy data, the same set of lines was printed out using various sort options: $-1,-2$ and +1 , relative to the node word. The 'columns' and 'collocates' analyses (see Section 1.3 for details) of the 200 lines were also provided.

### 9.4.1. Selection of Representative Lines

The respondents were set the following task:
Examine the citations and select the twenty lines which you think are most representative of the behaviour of the node word. Feel free to make use of all the versions of the data. If you are unable to identify twenty lines, select as many as you think are representative.

Please enter the numbers of the citations you select in the boxes below. You do not need to rank the citations, so the order in which you enter them is not important.

Twenty boxes were then provided in which the respondents could enter the numbers of those lines which they held to be representative. Each of the votes cast by means of these boxes was added to the total for each line.

### 9.4.1.1. Summary of Results

The twelve informants made a total of 211 selections. The shortfall from the possible maximum of 240 selections $(12 \times 20)$ is accounted for by the fact that six respondents were not able to identify twenty representative citations. The responses can be summarised as:

Total votes cast: 211
Number of lines selected: 92
Maximum votes for any one line: 9 ( 1 case)
Minimum votes for any one line: 1 (39 cases)
50th centile (actually 50.2) of votes accounted for by 23 lines ( $26 \%$ ).
75 th centile (actually 74 ) of votes accounted for by 9 lines ( $10 \%$ ).
From this summary it can be seen that a quarter of the lines ( 23 out of 92 ) received over half the votes (106 out of 211). The ratio is higher still if one examines the values above the 75th centile, the top-scoring nine lines, which account for over a quarter of the votes. This can be expressed as percentages as follows: $(55: 211)$ vs $(9: 92)=26 \%$ vs $10 \%$, a ratio of two and a half to one.

It should be noted that the centile calculations have been rounded to 50 and 75 for the purposes of comparison with the results from the 'usable' section which follows. In the 75th centile set, for example, line 174 should not be excluded on the basis of its ranking in the list; it is simply the last item in a range of lines which achieved a score of 5 .

### 9.4.1.2. Results in Detail

The scores for each manually-selected line are presented in columns in Table 9.1, ranked in descending order of score. The ' $S$ ' columns give the score and the ' $L$ ' columns give the concordance line number. The actual concordance lines selected by the respondents can be found in Appendix 4.

| S | L | S | L | S | L | S | L | S | L | S | L | S | L | S | L | S | L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 159 | 4 | 30 | 3 | 125 | 2 | 28 | 2 | 115 | 2 | 198 | 1 | 33 | 1 | 107 | 1 | 141 |
| 7 | 57 | 4 | 75 | 3 | 133 | 2 | 35 | 2 | 116 | 2 | 199 | 1 | 38 | 1 | 110 | 1 | 142 |
| 6 | 4 | 4 | 84 | 3 | 137 | 2 | 52 | 2 | 124 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 119 | 1 | 144 |
| 6 | 6 | 4 | 121 | 3 | 172 | 2 | 54 | 2 | 126 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 49 | 1 | 122 | 1 | 155 |
| 6 | 55 | 4 | 151 | 3 | 190 | 2 | 56 | 2 | 129 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 58 | 1 | 127 | 1 | 169 |
| 6 | 143 | 4 | 162 | 3 | 200 | 2 | 67 | 2 | 132 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 68 | 1 | 128 | 1 | 178 |
| 5 | 25 | 3 | 23 | 2 | 21 | 2 | 98 | 2 | 154 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 72 | 1 | 130 | 1 | 180 |
| 5 | 65 | 3 | 42 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 105 | 2 | 164 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 82 | 1 | 131 | 1 | 183 |
| 5 | 87 | 3 | 77 | 2 | 24 | 2 | 106 | 2 | 188 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 83 | 1 | 135 | 1 | 187 |
| 5 | 174 | 3 | 96 | 2 | 26 | 2 | 108 | 2 | 191 | 1 | 29 | 1 | 97 | 1 | 136 | 1 | 189 |
| 4 | 10 | 3 | 123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.1: Manual Scores for Representative lines

### 9.4.1.3. Conclusions

What we find, then, is that as far as a few lines are concerned, there is a high degree of agreement among the respondents as to which lines are the most representative. The somewhat lower scores further down the ranking suggest that there was rather less agreement, since 27 out of the 92 lines selected ( $29 \%$ ) scored two, while 39 lines ( $42 \%$ ) were only chosen by one informant.

### 9.4.2. Selection of Usable Lines

The respondents were asked to do the following:
Re-examine the citations and select twenty which you would be feel would be suitable for use as examples in a dictionary. You may assume that the citations could be edited to some extent, that is, it is possible that only a part of a citation would be used, or that the citation would be expanded to a full sentence. As above, if you feel that there are not twenty usable examples, you may select fewer.

The citations you choose here do not have to overlap with the lines you selected in the previous section, but it does not matter if they do. Please enter the numbers of your selected lines into the boxes below. Here too, order is not important.

Collier

A further twenty boxes were provided for the numbers of those lines thought to be most usable.

### 9.4.2.1. Summary of Results

When asked to select concordance lines on the basis of usability, only four of the respondents chose a full twenty. This reflects the issues relating to the suitability of concordance lines which were raised in Chapter 2 and contrasts with the results in the 'representative' section above, where six corpus users felt able to select twenty lines.

The reason for the difference is probably that it is easily possible for a concordance line to contain one or more regular features of the node word which might make it a representative line, but there are many textual and non-textual criteria to be applied before it can be said to be a usable line.

Total votes cast: 191
Number of lines selected: 82

Maximum votes for any one line: 8 (3 cases)
Minimum votes for any one line: 1 (33 cases)
50th centile (actually 50.5) of votes accounted for by 20 lines ( $25 \%$ ).
75 th centile (actually 74.9) of votes accounted for by 7 lines ( $9 \%$ ).

### 9.4.2.2. Results in Detail

The scores for each line are given in the columns below, ranked in descending order of score:

| S | L | S | L | S | L | S | L | S | L | S | L | S | L | S | L |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 57 | 4 | 58 | 3 | 183 | 2 | 75 | 2 | 172 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 83 | 1 | 129 |
| 8 | 143 | 4 | 115 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 108 | 2 | 178 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 85 | 1 | 132 |
| 8 | 159 | 4 | 133 | 2 | 19 | 2 | 116 | 2 | 189 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 89 | 1 | 135 |
| 7 | 162 | 4 | 198 | 2 | 24 | 2 | 121 | 2 | 190 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 96 | 1 | 142 |
| 7 | 174 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 32 | 2 | 125 | 2 | 191 | 1 | 38 | 1 | 105 | 1 | 155 |
| 5 | 55 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 33 | 2 | 136 | 2 | 199 | 1 | 49 | 1 | 107 | 1 | 160 |
| 5 | 65 | 3 | 26 | 2 | 35 | 2 | 137 | 2 | 200 | 1 | 56 | 1 | 109 | 1 | 161 |
| 5 | 84 | 3 | 30 | 2 | 42 | 2 | 147 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 61 | 1 | 110 | 1 | 169 |
| 5 | 87 | 3 | 76 | 2 | 52 | 2 | 154 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 74 | 1 | 123 | 1 | 187 |
| 4 | 6 | 3 | 77 | 2 | 67 | 2 | 164 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 79 | 1 | 126 | 1 | 188 |
| 4 | 25 | 3 | 151 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.2: Scores for Usable lines

### 9.4.2.3. Conclusions

As was the case with the scores from the 'representative' analysis, a rapid fall-off of scores is noticeable, but it is slightly less marked than it was in Table 9.1. In this set, $50 \%$ of the votes were cast for only 20 lines, with slightly more lines being selected twice ( 26 or $32 \%$ as opposed to $29 \%$ ) and marginally fewer selected just once: 33 ( $40 \%$ ) compared with $42 \%$ previously.

### 9.5. Additional Questions

Since the input to this exercise consisted of randomly sampled lines, it was felt that this would be an ideal opportunity to collect more information on various aspects of using this type of data. As was seen in the chapter on using large corpora, random sampling is quite often used by users of large textual databases to assist them in dealing with the huge amount of evidence with which they are confronted. As noted previously, the major drawback of the random sample is that it creates the possibility that some feature of the node word will be missed by the corpus analyst, simply because it is not included in the sample. The first set of additional questions therefore attempts to establish whether this happened in this instance.

### 9.5.1. Section i)

The data with which you were provided was only a sample of the occurrences of the word 'date', of which there are over 16,000 in total. Do you feel that the sample adequately represented the characteristics of the node word?

Only one out of the twelve respondents replied unequivocally yes to this question. All others pointed out that the verb usage was severely under-represented.

You may now check your intuitions against the corpus if you wish. Were they correct?
All of those who did check against the full corpus confirmed the general consensus that the verb uses were not sufficiently represented. In fact, there are 3,066 instances of 'date' as a verb, which is a considerable proportion of the 16,818 total occurrences, yet this sample, albeit a rather small one, all but ignored this usage, with only eight lines involving 'date' used as a verb: $4 \%$ for the sample of 200 lines as opposed to $19 \%$ in the corpus as a whole.

What size sample would you have chosen for an initial examination of this node word and why would you choose this size?

Responses here ranged from ' 30 to 50 ' up to as much as 2,000 , but several respondents drew attention to the fact that they would choose to examine the node word in the context of all the forms of its lemma, for example, selecting 100 lines per part of the verb.

### 9.5.2. Section ii)

How many senses of the node word were you able to identify from the 200 citations?
Here too there was a wide range of answers. One respondent identified as many as eighteen senses, another as few as four. This is firstly attributable to the interpretation of the word 'sense'. Those corpus users who gave a high figure were possibly thinking in terms of dictionary entries or sub-entries, so that 'up to date' would be included as a separate 'sense' from the main 'specific point in time' sense.

The second factor in the number of senses identified has to do with the characteristics of the corpus analyst. It is generally stated (at least among Cobuild corpus users) that there are two basic types of concordance analysts: lumpers and splitters. As the names suggest, the former are more likely to identify fewer senses in a given set of concordance lines than the latter. The variation in the number of senses found in the sample set suggests that the respondents included both lumpers and splitters.

Briefly list which senses you identified.
The senses identified by more than one respondent were:

- A point in time
- A romantic meeting, the person that you romantically meet, also the verb
- To identify the time of an event
- A pre-arranged event
- A performance (e.g. on a concert tour)
- The phrase 'to date', meaning 'until now'
- To 'date back to' or 'date from' a point in history
- The fruit
- To mark the date on something

Interestingly, the sense of 'go out of fashion' is not included by a single respondent. This is for the simple reason that this sense is not present in the sample set - further proof of the shortcomings of the random selection.

Do you feel that these are all the senses of this node word?
Only two of the respondents were able to agree unequivocally that the senses shown in the sample represented all the possible ones for this node word. Some referred loosely to the lack of verb senses, while one or two gave additional senses, specifically 'go out of fashion' and 'show you to be older than the people around you'.

### 9.5.3. Section iii)

When you are beginning your analysis of a word (any word), on what grounds (e.g. total frequency, number of senses expected, distribution across sub-corpora) do you choose the number of sample lines to examine?

There was great variety in the responses to this question. They are therefore presented separately, albeit in summarised form. The bracketed figures following each response identify which informant made the response.
'If there are less than 500 total occurrences of the node word, look at them all, otherwise take a 500 line sample.' (11)
'Depends on: the number of senses, the total frequency and the number of grammatical possibilities expected; an unexpected distribution across the sub-corpora or surprising collocates would be grounds for closer scrutiny.' (1)
'100 line sample regardless, as an initial examination; for including or dropping a given sense, use the whole corpus + search/picture etc.' (2)
'If there are less than 2,000 occurrences, look at them all, otherwise start with $10 \%$ of the lines.' (5)
'Up to 100 occurrences, look at them all; for 100-500, take 100; for more than 1,000 , use 200.' (8)
'For words with higher frequency or more senses, take a larger sample.' (4)
'The number of senses/patterns/collocates expected.' (3)
'Take $10 \%$ sample unless word is very high or low frequency. Also depends on lexicographical task in hand: editing existing text or compiling new.' (6)
'Small initial samples to identify senses and syntax, followed by more detailed
analysis of collocates, lemma and sub-corpus distribution.' (10)
'Frequency and number of senses expected.' (9)
It can be seen that a wide range of criteria are applied by corpus users as they set about the analysis of a set of concordance lines.

### 9.6. General Comments on the Manual Analyses

The 200 lines analysed by the corpus users and processed by the automatic system represented only a $1.3 \%$ sample of the more than 16,000 total occurrences of the node word 'date'. It is interesting to note that of the 200 concordance lines which the respondents could have chosen, only 105 lines across the two sets of results were actually selected, corresponding to $44 \%$ (average $\pm 3 \%$ ) of all possible lines. While this figure only relates to a small random sample of one word, a sample which has already been shown to be under-representative, it seems worth speculating as to whether it is illustrating a more generally-applicable principle. This principle would state that only a limited proportion of the contexts of a node word contain enough features for them to be recognised by corpus users as being representative of that node's environment. The corollary of this would be that there are occurrences of a node word, a considerable proportion in fact, which are far from typical. This is confirmed by the opinion of many Cobuild corpus users that a substantial part of the evidence which the corpus supplies is unusable, on the grounds that it is not representative. In a later section, some alternatives to comparison with intuition are explored and an analysis is carried out which attempts to underpin this principle with evidence from the full set of concordance lines for 'date'.

As was illustrated in Chapter 2, those features of a concordance line which make it suitable for use as an example in a reference work tend to be concrete, surface characteristics such as strong collocational patterns or syntax. We can take it for granted that a line which is judged to be 'usable' is also 'representative', although the converse does not apply, a representative line being subject to examination for the extra-textual 'negative'
features such as offensiveness or cultural-specificity, or the presence of rare lexical items, before it can be deemed usable. Confirmation of this is to be found in the figures for the number of lines selected by the respondents for the two criteria: 211 votes for 92 lines were cast for the representative group, but when it came to choosing usable lines, only 191 votes for 82 lines were cast. The fact that only 105 lines out of the 200 received any votes implies a considerable overlap between the two sets, suggesting that the respondents were being more strict in the selection of usable examples. This can be confirmed by examination of the selection data, which indicate that of the 82 lines rated as usable, 69 were also regarded as representative.

### 9.7. Comparison of Manual and Automatic Analyses

We shall begin with an examination of the number of lines which are selected by the program when it is run on the concordance for 'date' using various sets of parameters. In the table which follows, the parameters are listed in roughly ascending order of strictness, that is, the number of lines which form bonds decreases fairly consistently. These tests were run using the 'btb' stopword list $\dagger$ and did not impose a span restriction on the links, i.e. they were free to occur at any distance from the node word within the bounds of the concordance line. The stopword list and span represent two of the parameters to the automatic selection process and they remained relatively fixed (with only the substitution of one stopword list for another to be considered). Two other parameters, however, the link threshold and the link type were varied to a greater degree. For this initial comparison, link thresholds of 1,2 and 3 were tried, and these are represented by the numbers in the 'Link Threshold' column of the table. The various link types (raw, relative and absolute) were used and these are shown under 'Link Type' as 'raw', 'rel' and 'abs' respectively.

[^10]| Link <br> Threshold | Link <br> Type | No. of lines <br> Selected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | raw | 199 |
| 1 | rel | 197 |
| 1 | abs | 188 |
| 2 | raw | 150 |
| 2 | rel | 150 |
| 2 | abs | 38 |
| 3 | raw | 46 |
| 3 | rel | 41 |
| 3 | abs | 9 |

Table 9.3: Number of lines selected using various parameters
It was noted above that only 105 lines out of the possible 200 were chosen by the respondents as either usable or representative. This contrasts with the results for one link shown here, where nearly all the lines are selected, suggesting that the majority of concordance lines have at least one significant feature. This is corroborated by evidence from the full set of 16,818 concordances for 'date', provided in the section 'Alternative Evaluation Methods' below.

As the first stage in the comparison of the automatic and manual results, a simple matching process can be performed on the lines selected by the two different methods. The two tables which follow show how many lines each of the automatic selections had in common with the two manual analyses. The entries are ranked in descending order of the number of common lines.

For the 'representative' comparison, the maximum possible number of common lines is 92 and for the 'usable' test it is 82 .

| Links | Type | common |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | raw | 92 |
| 1 | rel | 92 |
| 1 | abs | 88 |
| 2 | rel | 72 |
| 2 | raw | 68 |
| 3 | raw | 26 |
| 2 | abs | 22 |
| 3 | rel | 21 |
| 3 | abs | 5 |

Table 9.4: Common lines between representative and automatic

| Links | Type | common |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | raw | 82 |
| 1 | rel | 81 |
| 1 | abs | 80 |
| 2 | rel | 66 |
| 2 | raw | 64 |
| 3 | raw | 27 |
| 3 | rel | 21 |
| 2 | abs | 20 |
| 3 | abs | 3 |

Table 9.5: Common lines between usable and automatic
The above figures can be easily misinterpreted, however. The score of $92 / 92$ for one raw link in the 'representative' test fails to convey the fact that all but one line was present in that particular set of output. It is for this reason that only a small subset of the possible parameter permutations have been exemplified here.

For the full analysis of all the possible sets of output, a more rigorous method of comparison is required, one which takes into account the negative correlation as well as the positive. One suitable statistical test is the Pearson's Correlation Coefficient, or Pearson's Product-moment correlation coefficient. In this test, the scores for the manual and automatic analyses including zero scores are compared side by side. The mean score is
deducted from each one and the results are then multiplied. Where strong positive scores co-occur, a large positive product will result; similarly if strong negative scores co-occur again a strong positive product is produced. Where one score is negative and the other positive, the result is a negative product. An overall score is produced by summing the products and then scaling them to lie between -1 (strong negative correlation) and 1 (strong positive correlation). A score close to zero indicates little or no correlation. The tables below present a sample of the results of this test when used to compare each automatic analysis with the two manual ones. The items are presented in descending order of correlation as denoted by the ' $r$ ' score. The first table shows the ten parameter combinations which achieved the highest Pearson scores.

| Stopword <br> List | Link <br> Threshold | Link <br> Type | Compared <br> with | r |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| zero | 4 | Raw | Repr | 0.160472 |
| zero | 5 | Raw | Repr | 0.158715 |
| arts-prons | 3 | Raw | Usable | 0.151627 |
| zero | 3 | Raw | Repr | 0.140843 |
| arts-prons | 4 | Raw | Usable | 0.139588 |
| arts-prons | 2 | Raw | Usable | 0.137518 |
| zero | 2 | Raw | Repr | 0.137459 |
| zero | 3 | Abs | Repr | 0.134821 |
| zero | 3 | Abs | Usable | 0.133738 |
| btb | 2 | Rel | Usable | 0.13083 |

Table 9.6a
Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r) for Automatic vs Manual Analyses Top Ten Items

144 lines are omitted here. A table containing all the items from which the three lists shown here have been sampled can be found in Appendix 5a. The next table is drawn from the middle of the range of scores, which was largely occupied by combinations which showed no correlation, that is, received a score of 0.0 . There were 44 such combinations.

| Stopword <br> List | Link <br> Threshold | Link <br> Type | Compared <br> with | r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bt | 6 | Raw | Repr | 0.0 |
| bt | 6 | Raw | Usable | 0.0 |
| bt | 6 | Abs | Repr | 0.0 |
| bt | 6 | Abs | Usable | 0.0 |
| bt | 6 | Rel | Repr | 0.0 |
| bt | 6 | Rel | Usable | 0.0 |
| btb | 6 | Raw | Repr | 0.0 |
| btb | 6 | Raw | Usable | 0.0 |
| btb | 6 | Abs | Repr | 0.0 |
| btb | 6 | Abs | Usable | 0.0 |

Table 9.6b
Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r) for Automatic vs Manual Analyses Mid-range Items

78 lines are omitted here, leaving ten combinations which displayed a weak negative correlation:

| Stopword <br> List | Link <br> Threshold | Link <br> Type | Compared <br> with | r |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bt | 4 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| bt | 5 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| bt | 5 | Rel | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| btb | 4 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| btb | 5 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| btb | 5 | Rel | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| top100 | 4 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| top150 | 4 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| top50 | 4 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| zero | 6 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |

Table 9.6c
Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r) for Automatic vs Manual Analyses Bottom Ten Items

For 200 objects, a statistically significant value of $r$ would be greater than 0.14 or less than $-0.14 \dagger$. What this indicates then is that there is almost no statistically significant

[^11]correlation between either of the manual analyses and any of the automatic analyses, although certain sets of automatically selected lines do have a stronger correlation than others.

The lack of significant correlation between the manual and automatic analyses would at first glance appear rather disappointing. There are however two major factors to be considered when attempting to assess the worth of the automatically-selected sets of lines.

### 9.7.1. Correlation between Manual Analyses

On the whole, the manual analyses displayed very little consistency in the lines selected, although some sets correlated more closely than others, One way of visualising this is to create a matrix showing how many items were common to the 12 sets of selected lines. In the figure which follows, such a matrix is presented. The numbers in bold at the left and bottom represent the number of the set. The first 'cell' therefore compares set two with set one, conveying the information that they have three items in common.

```
2 3
3 3 3
4232
54321
6 15532
7}
8061121 94
9062 3 2 6 5 5
10}106434410888
11}1122\mp@code{3}22\mp@code{3
12
    124 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
```


## Figure 9.1: Common Items for Representative Lines

Some reasonably high values do appear in the matrix, but the level of overall correlation is not high. It is also worth considering that even if the lines had been selected completely randomly there would still have been some overlap, since it is impossible to choose twelve sets of twenty items out of 200 without some items being present in more than one

[^12]set.
A similar situation exists for the lines which were rated as usable. The matrix of shared items looks like this:

```
27
302
442
5 3 3 2 5
636364
7 364676
8 0 5 1 4 3 3 4
936132433
10364 8 4 9 6 3 5
11}303643444%67%644
12
    1234567881011
```

Figure 9.2: Common Items for Usable Lines
Again, there are a few pairings which do demonstrate quite a high degree of overlap, but overall there is little consistency.

The two matrices above are concerned with looking at lines which are shared across each possible pair of manually-selected sets of lines. This type of analysis presents a problem which is similar to the comparison of the automatic analyses with the manual ones in that any negative correlation is not taken into account. In order to perform the test more rigorously therefore, the manual selections were processed using vector analysis methods, resulting in a dissimilarity matrix for each set (representative and usable). This matrix contains a cell for each possible pairing of the manual analyses. Each cell contains a score, indicating the degree of dissimilarity between the pair of items. This score lies in the range of ' 0 ' to ' 1 ', with ' 1 ' representing the strongest possible dissimilarity and ' 0 ' being equivalent to no dissimilarity. The significance of intermediate values is hard to define as it depends on the type of data being compared, but in this instance any score higher than 0.78 is indicative of a strong dissimilarity. To illustrate how this works, if the two lists (1 3579 ) and ( 246810 ) were compared by this method, a single cell would result (since there is only one pair of lists to be compared) containing the value ' 1 ', as
there are no values in common. If the two lists were identical, however, the score would be zero, signifying minimum dissimilarity.

The method used is known as vectorisation and involves representing each list in a consistent format called a vector. If we call the two lists above A and B, the following vectors would be produced from them:

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Figure 9.3: Vectorised Form of Lists A and B
Each slot in the vector represents the presence or absence of a particular item in the original list, hence vector $A$ has a ' 1 ' in the odd-numbered slots and a ' 0 ' in the even-numbered ones, since the list on which it is based contains only odd numbers. In the case of the lists of manually-selected concordance lines, therefore, each vector would be 200 items long, as there were 200 lines from which to choose, and it would contain up to 20 ' 1 ' entries, with the remainder being ' 0 '.

In order to test the dissimilarity of the manual analyses, the respondents' lists of concordance lines were vectorised as described above and then compared pair-wise using a standard dissimilarity measure which is based upon determining the angle between two vectors. The maximum dissimilarity would be that the two vectors are at right-angles to each other. When this angle $\left(90^{\circ}\right)$ is converted to its sine, the result is 1.0 , the maximum possible dissimilarity score. Less dissimilar vectors would lie at smaller angles to each other and would receive a lower score, since the sine of the angle would be smaller. The vectorisation algorithm is described in detail in Kaufman \& Rousseeuw (1990).

The results of the pair-wise comparison of the vectors were put into a diagonal matrix, such that the comparison of vectors 2 and 1 was presented first, then of vectors 3 and 1 , then 3 and 2 and so on in a similar manner to the method used for the simple measure of common items in the matrices shown earlier. The set of vectors derived from the lines
chosen as representative produces the following matrix:

```
20.989
3 0.981 0.981
4 0.993 0.985 0.989
5
6 0.999 0.968 0.946 0.985 0.995
7 0.985 0.973 0.975 0.964 0.910 0.938
8 1.000 0.954 0.998 0.993 0.999 0.893 0.973
9}1.0000.915 0.985 0.972 0.990 0.915 0.921 0.941
10 0.999 0.951 0.980 0.984 0.978 0.858 0.881 0.912 0.875
11 0.999 0.995 0.981 0.993 0.988 0.989 0.973 0.954 0.979 0.979
12 1.000 0.917 0.992 0.938 0.979 0.937}00.957 0.93770.882 0.933 0.989
\begin{tabular}{lllllllllll}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11
\end{tabular}
```

Figure 9.4: Dissimilarity Matrix for Representative Lines
The lowest value found in this matrix is 0.858 (row 10 column 6), which is still indicative of strong dissimilarity. This suggests that there was very little agreement among the respondents as to which lines were representative. This impression is reinforced by the presence of entries with maximal dissimilarity (1.000), indicating that there was no agreement whatsoever between the two sets of lines selected, although these do all occur in column ' 1 ', that is, in comparisons involving the first respondent's selections, indicating that this respondent's concept of representative lines differed entirely from many of the others.

A similar matrix was created for the usable lines:

```
0.908
3 1.000 0.991
0.984 0.980 0.991
0.978 0.985 0.988 0.957
0.983 0.951 0.978 0.951 0.972
0.984 0.954 0.963 0.954 0.915 0.951
1.000 0.946 0.996 0.966 0.975 0.980 0.966
0.959 0.880 0.994 0.971 0.983 0.946 0.971 0.952
0.984}00.954 0.979 0.917 0.973 0.887 0.954 0.981 0.919
0.983 0.951 0.978 0.979 0.972 0.94490.933 0.918 0.946 0.951
1.000 0.992 0.996 0.983 0.977 0.982 0.969 0.987 0.981 0.969 0.992
```

Figure 9.5: Dissimilarity Matrix for Usable Lines
In this matrix, the smallest value is 0.88 (row 9 column 2), which again indicates a large degree of dissimilarity. As above, three cells indicate maximal dissimilarity and, again, all refer to the same respondent.

As a final test, the process described above was carried out for twelve sets of completely random numbers in the range of 1 to 200. The resulting matrix is shown below:

```
2 0.999
30.968 0.995
40.989 0.989 0.980
5 0.980 0.995 0.989 0.980
6 0.995 0.954 0.999 0.980 0.995
7
8 0.995 0.989 0.989 0.989 0.968 0.995 0.989
9 0.999 0.980 0.999 0.980 0.989 0.999 1.000 0.989
```




```
12 0.980 0.995 0.968 0.995 0.989 0.989 0.989 0.995 1.000 0.995 0.995
```

Figure 9.6: Dissimilarity Matrix for Random Numbers A straightforward visual comparison of the random matrix with the representative or usable matrix will indicate that there is very little difference in the values. This can be simply confirmed by looking at the totals for all the cells in each matrix:

| Matrix | Total | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| representative | 63.67 | 0.964697 |
| usable | 63.739 | 0.965742 |
| random | 65.172 | 0.987455 |

Table 9.7: Summary of Matrix Contents

### 9.7.2. Additional Correlation Testing

The lack of correlation between the manual analyses, demonstrated in the previous section, makes it difficult to identify the set of software parameters which provides the closest correlation to either manual analysis. Two possible solutions to this problem present themselves; one means would be employ an alternative method of evaluating the automatic analyses, while the other solution would be to reconfigure the correlation test so that it is capable of producing valid, informative results. In the next two sections, both these avenues will be explored.

### 9.8. Alternative Evaluation Methods

If we accept the proposition, put forward in the section 9.6, that representativeness is largely a surface phenomenon, then it should be possible to analyse automatically various aspects of a particular concordance line and so arrive at an objective measure of its representativeness. Given the currently available computational tools, two means of investigation spring to mind. The first would involve determining the proportion of citations which contained no significant collocates of the node word in question. The second would measure the percentage of lines with unusual syntactic structure.

While no testing has been undertaken using syntactically analysed data, the cohort software relies heavily upon the presence of repeated contextual features in order to produce its results. This is analogous to collocational analysis, although some configurations use a stricter definition of collocation than is generally accepted, in that they demand that the collocates be in a specific position relative to the node word. If the loosest definition of
contextual feature is used (collocates may appear anywhere within a fixed context of the node word), this will provide the closest parallel to conventional collocational analysis. The proportion of lines which we might expect to become linked can then be established on the basis of the density of significant collocates in concordance lines. To test this hypothesis, an analysis of this kind was carried out on the full concordance ( 16,818 lines) of 'date'.

### 9.8.1. Identifying Collocates

For each word in the context provided by the concordance line, a Z score was calculated on the basis of the ratio between word's observed and expected $\dagger$ co-occurrence with the node word 'date'. The significance level was defined as an observed:expected co-occurrence ratio of 2.0 or greater; that is, the collocates had to occur with the node at least twice as frequently as would be expected by chance. Using this minimum Z score of 2.0 , it was noted that 16,803 lines ( $99.91 \%$ ) contained at least one significant collocate. This corresponds very closely to the results obtained using a link threshold of one and the raw link type (199/200 or $99.5 \%$ ), suggesting that the similarity proposed earlier between traditional collocates and raw links is a close one. The results of the collocational analysis can be found in the table which follows:

[^13]frequency of collocate in corpus $\times$ context size + corpus size
where the size of the context and the corpus is defined in terms of the number of running words (tokens) that they contain.

| Significant <br> Collocates | Number <br> of lines | Per <br> Cent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 15 | 0.10 |
| 1 | 66 | 0.39 |
| 2 | 190 | 1.13 |
| 3 | 514 | 3.06 |
| 4 | 962 | 5.72 |
| 5 | 1400 | 8.32 |
| 6 | 1862 | 11.07 |
| 7 | 2051 | 12.20 |
| 8 | 2055 | 12.22 |
| 9 | 1986 | 11.81 |
| 10 | 1553 | 9.23 |
| 11 | 1285 | 7.64 |
| 12 | 974 | 5.79 |
| 13 | 720 | 4.28 |
| 14 | 565 | 3.36 |
| 15 | 293 | 1.74 |
| 16 | 173 | 1.03 |
| 17 | 75 | 0.45 |
| 18 | 59 | 0.35 |
| 19 | 16 | 0.10 |
| 20 | 2 | 0.01 |
| 22 | 2 | 0.01 |
| Total | 16,818 | 100.01 |
|  | Table 9.8 |  |

Count of Significant Collocates in 16,818 Complete Concordance lines
Compare this now with the results using just the 200 lines which were presented to the respondents.

| Significant <br> Collocates | Number <br> of lines | Per <br> Cent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 3 | 9 | 4.5 |
| 4 | 18 | 9 |
| 5 | 23 | 11.5 |
| 6 | 27 | 13.5 |
| 7 | 22 | 11 |
| 8 | 29 | 14.5 |
| 9 | 12 | 6 |
| 10 | 22 | 11 |
| 11 | 12 | 6 |
| 12 | 8 | 4 |
| 13 | 6 | 3 |
| 14 | 2 | 1 |
| 15 | 4 | 2 |

Table 9.9
Count of Significant Collocates in 200 Complete Concordance lines
To see how the two sets of collocates compared, the percentages from the above two tables were plotted on a graph:


Figure 9.7
Comparison of Collocate Counts: 16,818 lines vs 200 lines

On the whole, the two plots follow a similar line, with the " 16,818 " plot tailing off slightly later and the " 200 " plot showing slightly greater variation, especially around the higher values. The greater variation on the " 200 " plot is no doubt due to the size of the samples involved, since in the 200 -line sample a change of only one line is enough to produce a $0.5 \%$ variation, whereas a change of this magnitude would represent over 80 lines in the full 16,818 -line concordance. The fact that the " 16,818 " plot tails off more gradually is explained by the low probability of the occurrence of lines containing more than 15 significant collocates - this set is 80 times the size of the $200-$ line sample and the likelihood of it containing lines with this many significant collocates is correspondingly greater.

For the purposes of comparison, the same exercise was carried out using a collocational context of $\pm 4$ words. In the table below we see a summary of the number of significant collocates that occur in each line in the complete concordance of 'date', drawn from the BoE. The table indicates that there are 2,659 lines out of the total 16,818 which contain no significant collocates at all, 456 which contain only one significant collocate and so on. With a restricted span being used in identifying the collocates, we can obtain a better picture of the density of collocation for a given line, i.e. what proportion of the words in its environment are significant collocates.

| Significant <br> Collocates | Number <br> of lines | Per <br> Cent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 2,659 | 15.81 |
| 1 | 456 | 2.71 |
| 2 | 1,507 | 8.96 |
| 3 | 2,893 | 17.20 |
| 4 | 3,412 | 20.29 |
| 5 | 2,912 | 17.31 |
| 6 | 1,859 | 11.05 |
| 7 | 820 | 4.88 |
| 8 | 300 | 1.78 |
| - | 16,818 | 100 |

Table 9.10: Count of Significant $\pm 4$ Collocates in Concordance lines

### 9.8.2. Collocates in the Automatic Analyses

Using the list of significant collocates established for the unrestricted span in the previous section, a score was assigned to each line in the 'date' sample on the basis of the number of significant collocates which it contained. Across the sample as a whole, a total of 1,494 significant collocates were found, giving a mean significant collocate count of 7.47 across the 200 lines. The maximum score for any one line was 15 and the minimum 1.

The scores obtained in this manner were applied to the lines selected by the respondents. For the 82 lines rated as usable, 661 significant collocates were counted, representing an average of 8.06 significant collocates per line and for the 92 representative lines 741 were found, giving a mean of 8.05 . The fact that both these averages are higher than the overall mean for the full 200 lines would seem to indicate that the presence of significant collocates is playing a part in the manual selection of concordance lines.

The same comparison was then made for each set of automatically-selected lines; a sample of the results are shown in the table which follows.

| No. of <br> Links | Link <br> Type | Number of <br> Collocates | No. of <br> Lines | Max <br> Collocates | Min <br> Collocates | Average <br> Collocates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | raw | 1,488 | 199 | 15 | 1 | 7.48 |
| 1 | abs | 1,424 | 188 | 15 | 1 | 7.57 |
| 1 | rel | 1,477 | 197 | 15 | 1 | 7.50 |
| 2 | raw | 1,206 | 150 | 15 | 1 | 8.04 |
| 2 | abs | 353 | 38 | 15 | 3 | 9.29 |
| 2 | rel | 1,205 | 150 | 15 | 1 | 8.03 |
| 3 | raw | 440 | 46 | 15 | 2 | 9.57 |
| 3 | abs | 97 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 10.78 |
| 3 | rel | 388 | 41 | 15 | 3 | 9.46 |

Table 9.11: Significant Collocates for Automatic Analyses
In all cases, the automatically-selected lines achieve an average collocate count which is higher than the overall mean (7.47). Comparing these figures with the results from the manual selections, the closest match to the average for the lines selected by the informants occurs when a link threshold of 2 is used with the raw or relative link type.

This data gives a clear indication that lines containing a higher number of links have a higher average number of significant collocates. The link type is also a factor in this relationship, as for each link threshold value it is always the absolute link type which attracts the highest average collocate count, while there is little to distinguish the raw and relative figures.

The evidence presented in this section would seem to support the hypothetical link between cohesion and representativeness, since it has been shown that collocation plays a part in the manual selection of lines and also that there is a correlation between the presence of significant collocates and certain software parameters. This chain of reasoning reinforces the possibility of a connection between the manual selections and the set of parameters supplied to the automatic system, a connection which we attempted to identify in Section 9.7, but failed to do because of the lack of a suitable correlation measure. In the section which follows, a range of measures will be introduced which aim to address this lack.

### 9.9. Re-evaluation of the Sample

The fact that the automatic system was used to analyse only 200 lines is not a fair means of assessing its usefulness, since the intention is to run the software over amounts of corpus evidence that are in fact too large for a human being to be able to manipulate. A fairer test would therefore be to analyse all the available concordance lines for 'date' and then examine the scores for the 200 lines included in the sample on the basis of evidence from all the lines. This is, after all, closer to the task which the corpus users perform, since they will not have evaluated the 200 lines solely on the evidence presented by the sample set, but rather in accordance with external knowledge which they have of the node word's behaviour. This is evidenced by the fact that several respondents suggested that there were senses missing from the sample.

The application of this approach necessitated the automatic analysis of the original full 'date' concordance. Before the analysis could proceed, these lines had to be 'sanitised', which mainly involved the removal of duplicate or near-duplicate lines (e.g. those which differed only in their punctuation), but also required the re-insertion of some of the sample lines, where these had not been included in the original. $\dagger$ The sanitised concordance set consisted of 16,761 lines and the 200 lines which made up the sample were placed at the start, so that their line numbers in the full set and the sample would correspond. The concordance was then processed using the range of parameters described previously, resulting in the customary 252 sets of output. In the following sections, several methods for evaluating these sets of output relative to the manual analyses will be introduced.

### 9.9.1. Simple Ranking

As we saw at the end of Section 9.7, there is no statistically identifiable correlation between the various sets of manually selected lines, yet it has already been noted, in sections (9.4.1.3 and 9.4.2.3), that there is a visible degree of overlap between the respondents' selections in the case of the most popular lines. To resolve this paradox, a less complicated approach was taken to the evaluation of the new sets of automaticallyselected lines, one which made use of the simple questionnaire data presented in condensed form in the 'Results in Detail' sections (9.4.1.2 and 9.4.2.2).

In Tables 9.1 and 9.2 the lines selected manually were presented in descending order of the number of votes they received from the respondents. In order to evaluate the success of the automatic selection system, a measure was devised which applied the ranking of the top-scoring items from each of the tables to the various automatic analyses. If the lines chosen by several respondents appeared near the top of a set of automatic output, this would indicate that the set of parameters used to generate it was successfully identifying lines which would be preferred by a corpus user. This measure also incorporates the

[^14]position of the manually-selected lines within the automatic analyses; since the concordance lines presented by the software are ranked in descending order of the bonds that they have acquired, a stronger match between automatic and manual analyses will be achieved when more top-ranking manually-selected lines occur nearer to the top of the automatic output. The strongest match would therefore occur when all the manuallyselected items occurred at the very top of the automatically-ranked set of lines.

The means by which the match between manual and automatic analyses was calculated is as follows. For each set of automatically-selected lines, a raw score was calculated based on the rank of the highest-scoring manually-selected items within the set. Supposing that the top ten manual lines were being used, then the lowest possible score would be achieved if these ten lines appeared as the first ten lines of the automatic output, representing the best possible match and resulting in a raw score of $55(1+2+3+4+5+6+$ $7+8+9+10)$. In cases where a line chosen manually is not selected by the software, a score of 201 is given (because there are 200 lines), making the maximum raw score 2,010 , which would indicate that none of the ten lines were selected by the software. Since we wish to have a measure of the strength of similarity between the two analyses, where the best match attracts the highest score, the raw scores were rescaled and inverted so that a score of 1 would indicate a perfect match and a score of zero would be indicative of the poorest match possible. This new, 'simple ranking' score was calculated according to the formula:

$$
\text { score }=1-\frac{x-\min }{\max -\min }
$$

where $x$ represents the raw score, max the maximum possible raw score and min the minimum possible raw score.

Collier

### 9.9.1.1. Comparison with Representative Lines $\dagger$

Let us start by reviewing the lines selected by the respondents as being most representative. The following table gives the manual scores received by the top ten lines, which all received five or more votes:

| Line No. | No. of Votes |
| :---: | :---: |
| 159 | 9 |
| 57 | 7 |
| 6 | 6 |
| 55 | 6 |
| 4 | 6 |
| 143 | 6 |
| 87 | 5 |
| 65 | 5 |
| 25 | 5 |
| 174 | 5 |

and the lines themselves are shown below, in the same order:


#### Abstract

cers so they can keep their members up to date with what is happening in the industry. 2 periods of deep loneliness and grief.Her date of birth has been placed somewhere aroun $s$ had declared their willingness to set a date for starting stage two of economic and $m$ dents had slept with a man on their first date and 39 per cent admitted to being unfait ontinue to try to get you into bed. <LTH> Date rape is at the forefront of all our mind jor is expected to confirm April 9 as the date of the election. <h> Tories pin election $r$ information was always six hours out of date. I get an update from the senior forecas tempt the same operation again at a later date. He may even, some analysts say,risk the ese words and so we will see that sell-by date is no longer associated with perishable In India where we've got reasonably up to date statistics on population. Er there's bee


In the three tables which follow, a sample of the simple ranking scores for the various sets of output is presented. The tables are drawn from the top, middle and bottom of the total results, sorted by descending score, hence the parameter combinations which achieved the best match will be found in the first table. The other tables are provided to give an impression of the range of scores and the full listing of all the parameter combinations is located in Appendix 5b $\dagger$.

[^15]| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arts-prons | 2 | open | abs | 0.786701 |
| arts-prons | 4 | open | raw | 0.781586 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | raw | 0.781074 |
| arts-prons | 2 | open | raw | 0.776471 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | abs | 0.765729 |
| arts-prons | 2 | open | rel | 0.764706 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | rel | 0.760614 |
| top50 | 1 | open | abs | 0.757033 |
| arts-prons | 1 | open | raw | 0.755499 |
| bt | 1 | open | abs | 0.748849 |

Table 9.12a
Simple Rank Scores based on Top 10 Representative Lines
Top Ten Items

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| btb | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.313555 |
| zero | 4 | fixed | abs | 0.308951 |
| arts-prons | 4 | fixed | rel | 0.299744 |
| top100 | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.287468 |
| top100 | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.287468 |
| zero | 6 | open | abs | 0.286957 |
| arts-prons | 5 | open | abs | 0.280818 |
| top100 | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.273657 |
| bt | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.2711 |
| top150 | 3 | open | rel | 0.258824 |

Table 9.12b
Simple Rank Scores based on Top 10 Representative Lines
Middle Ten Items

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| top150 | 6 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top50 | 4 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top50 | 4 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top50 | 4 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top50 | 5 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top50 | 5 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top50 | 5 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top50 | 6 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top50 | 6 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top50 | 6 | fixed | rel | 0 |

Table 9.12c
Simple Rank Scores based on Top 10 Representative Lines
Bottom Ten Items

A cursory glance at the highest-scoring items in the list suggests that the 'arts-prons' stopword list performs well, especially in combination with the open span, relative or raw links and a mid-range link threshold. This can be confirmed by calculating the proportion of the total score achieved by each possible value of each parameter. The results from this are shown in the table below, which indicates, for example, that parameter combinations which include the 'arts-prons' stopword list make up $21.6 \%$ of the total score (85.6952) and therefore account for the largest proportion of the total, closely followed by the 'zero' stopword list at $21.5 \%$.

| Parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Stopword List |  | Link Threshold |  | Span |  | Link Type |  |
| bt | 10.6 | 1 | 31.0 | open | 63.2 | Abs |  |
| btb | 13.8 | 2 | 25.4 | fixed | 36.8 | Rel |  |
| btb | 21.6 | 3 | 18.6 |  |  | Raw |  |
| arts-prons | 21.5 | 4 | 11.7 |  |  |  |  |
| top150 | 9.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| top100 | 10.2 | 5 | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |
| top50 | 12.8 | 6 | 5.7 |  |  |  |  |
| zero | 21.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.13: Summary of Scores: Representative Lines
In the link threshold column it can be seen that it is actually ' 1 ' which dominates, although ' 2 ' and ' 3 ' are also strong and occupy most of the top seven positions in Table 9.14a.

Let us now move on to look at the output for the highest scoring parameter combination: 'arts-prons' stopword list, 2 absolute bonds and an open span, or 'arts-prons/2/open/abs', to use a convenient shorthand. The next table repeats the ranked listing of the top ten representative lines, with the addition of their raw position, rank and bond score from the automatic analysis. Since some lines acquired the same scores, the 'Position' column does not give a complete picture of the relative rank. To circumvent this problem, the 'Rank' scores (manual and automatic) are based on putting all lines with the same score into one 'bucket'. In the case of the automatically-derived ranks, bucket 1 lines score 1688 bonds and bucket 149 lines score no bonds (although it so happens that both the first
and last buckets contain only one line).
It can be seen that all of the manually-selected lines are also selected by the software when using this parameter combination, although the automatically-derived ranking bears little resemblance to the manual ranking by which the items have been ordered.

| Line No. | Manual Rank | Auto. Rank | No. of Bonds | Position |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 159 | 1 | 7 | 1550 | 7 |
| 57 | 2 | 42 | 276 | 44 |
| 6 | 3 | 40 | 302 | 42 |
| 55 | 3 | 65 | 174 | 68 |
| 4 | 3 | 83 | 116 | 90 |
| 143 | 3 | 76 | 140 | 80 |
| 87 | 4 | 25 | 590 | 25 |
| 65 | 4 | 36 | 325 | 38 |
| 25 | 4 | 63 | 179 | 66 |
| 174 | 4 | 12 | 1483 | 12 |

Table 9.14
Comparative Ranks: Representative vs arts-prons/2/open/abs
The ten lines which scored most highly using parameter combination artsprons/2/open/abs, shown here with their line numbers and bond score, were:

```
168 1688 to be different. <LTH> As we come up to date, people # do it"' to be the same. L
177 1651 lining my 'firm grasp of the most up-to date trauma procedures". <t> The referen
156 1629 ve to enable the returner to keep up to date with developments.<t> Various other
1 5 7 1 6 1 7 \text { ought of her man \# <SO> Very much up to date, only been in service with our own}
154 1615 nd he said he would bring Mr Bush up to date on the issue:If we were forced to r
152 1581 retary of state, brought Franklin up to date on the bloodshed in his beloved Fra
159 1550 rs so they can keep their members up to date with what is happening in the indus
188 1548 rld, and though this is the first up-to date survey of its politics, it does not
153 1512 ut adequate nuclear weapons, kept up to date and based forward in Europe, our de
173 1495 really because er it's just been up-to date and it <MO1> Mhm.<M02> I mean that'
```

The most obvious characteristic of these lines is that they all exemplify the same phrase, 'up to date' (where this occurs with the optional hyphen, the software has split it into two words). The bond scores for these lines suggest that this is a very frequent phrase and this is confirmed by the concordance data, which contains 738 occurrences of 'up to/up-to date', 15 of which occupy the top positions in the ranking. Furthermore, the wordlist for this concordance tells us that 'up' occurs 1,419 times in the -2 position and that 'to' is to be found in the -1 slot 4,622 times.

The obvious pattern in the next most high-scoring positions in the output from artsprons/2/open/abs is the phrase 'out of/out-of date', occupying positions 16-25 in the ranking and occurring 461 times in the total concordance. All the lines from the sample are presented in descending order of bond score in Appendix 6.

More generally, the parameter combination delivers a fine-grained ranking, with 149 discrete bond scores ranging from the values seen above right down to zero, which is applied to just one line.

### 9.9.2. Pearson Correlation 1

By using only the top few manually-selected lines, which were chosen by more than one respondent, it was hoped that the problem of the lack of correlation among the manual sets would be circumvented. One drawback of this approach, however, is that it does not take account of the relative ranking of the top-scoring lines.

As noted earlier, the Pearson Correlation Coefficient test can be used to measure the degree of correlation between the relative ranking of items on two lists. The correlation tests were therefore repeated using only the subset of items just described. This gave rise to some highly significant $\dagger$ results, which are presented below.

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span | Link Type | r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| arts-prons | 6 | fixed | abs | 0.845154 |
| arts-prons | 6 | fixed | raw | 0.845154 |
| arts-prons | 6 | fixed | rel | 0.845154 |
| arts-prons | 4 | open | rel | 0.82091 |
| arts-prons | 4 | open | abs | 0.801658 |
| arts-prons | 3 | fixed | abs | 0.783591 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | rel | 0.75107 |
| arts-prons | 4 | fixed | abs | 0.750098 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | abs | 0.749034 |
| arts-prons | 5 | fixed | abs | 0.742307 |
| arts-prons | 5 | open | rel | 0.731502 |
| arts-prons | 5 | open | abs | 0.724418 |

[^16]Evaluation

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span | Link Type | r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| arts-prons | 4 | fixed | raw | 0.703508 |
| arts-prons | 6 | open | abs | 0.698923 |
| top50 | 2 | open | abs | 0.686517 |
| arts-prons | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.6744 |
| zero | 5 | open | abs | 0.661859 |
| zero | 5 | fixed | abs | 0.651644 |
| arts-prons | 5 | fixed | rel | 0.633866 |
| zero | 6 | open | abs | 0.617854 |
| top50 | 2 | open | rel | 0.616413 |
| arts-prons | 1 | open | abs | 0.608489 |
| arts-prons | 3 | fixed | rel | 0.602194 |
| arts-prons | 4 | fixed | rel | 0.592667 |
| arts-prons | 5 | fixed | raw | 0.582955 |
| arts-prons | 6 | open | raw | 0.578729 |
| arts-prons | 5 | open | raw | 0.576099 |
| arts-prons | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.56551 |

Table 9.15
Pearson Scores for Top 10 Representative Lines vs Automatic
On the basis of this data, the parameter combinations which contain the 'arts-prons' stopword list appeared to perform best, taking part in 19 out of the 28 correlating analyses. Tempting as it is to accept these results at face value, the fact that lines acquiring six links within a fixed span achieved the closest correlation ought to be a source of concern, since the implications that this would have for the supposed behaviour of the node word in question do not correspond at all with linguistic intuition, simply because of the implausibility of these lines forming links via six out of the possible eight words in the node's context.

Upon closer examination it became clear that, with only one exception, none of the 'top' manually selected ten lines actually acquired any bonds; that exception was the top-scoring line (159), which acquired two bonds, as can be seen in the following figure, which gives the numnber of bonds generated using the arts-prons/abs/6/span parameters:

| Line <br> Number | Manual <br> Score | Automatic <br> Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 159 | 9 | 2 |
| 57 | 7 | 0 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 55 | 6 | 0 |
| 143 | 6 | 0 |
| 25 | 5 | 0 |
| 65 | 5 | 0 |
| 87 | 5 | 0 |
| 174 | 5 | 0 |

This phenomenon has a profound effect on the calculation of correlation, since the method used relies on comparing the difference in rank across the two sets of data. Where there is virtually no variation in the ranking within one of the sets, the method fails to function, although there is no way of detecting this, other than checking the raw figures, before the correlation is calculated. Several other parameter sets fell victim to this problem and unfortunately, the presence of these zero values invalidates this type of correlation test, since all items must have a non-zero score in both the sets under comparison. Those parameter combinations which were not found to be invalid for this reason are shown in the next table:

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span | Link Type | $\mathbf{r}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| arts-prons | 4 | open | rel | 0.82091 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | rel | 0.75107 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | abs | 0.749034 |
| arts-prons | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.6744 |
| top50 | 2 | open | rel | 0.616413 |
| arts-prons | 1 | open | abs | 0.608489 |
| arts-prons | 5 | open | raw | 0.576099 |
| arts-prons | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.56551 |

Table 9.16
Validated Pearson Scores for Top 10 Representative Lines vs Automatic
These results correspond more closely to what one might expect. The 'arts-prons' stopwords still predominate, but the other parameters appear in less strict combinations than was seen in the previous table. The restricted span appears only twice and combines either with the loosest link type and three links, or with the absolute link type but only
one link.
Examination of the raw scores for the most strongly correlating pair of analyses (manual vs arts-prons/4/open/rel) reveals a correlation which is apparent even without statistical analysis:

| Line <br> Number | Manual <br> Score | Automatic <br> Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 6 | 55 |
| 6 | 6 | 148 |
| 25 | 5 | 89 |
| 55 | 6 | 70 |
| 57 | 7 | 76 |
| 65 | 5 | 52 |
| 87 | 5 | 31 |
| 143 | 6 | 82 |
| 159 | 9 | 270 |
| 174 | 5 | 82 |

The ten top-scoring lines using arts-prons/4/open/rel are given below:
75484 ministry denies there is a hold-up, no date has been set for a new round of talks 163479 o teach has not been very productive to date, nor is it likely to become more so $i$ 79472 not letting us go to work.<t> Dugan: No date has been set for the resumption of co 161444 oy In New Cross \# their greatest hit to date, is nowhere to be seen; but they do $s$ 157424 ought of her man \# <SO> very much up to date, only been in service with our own fo 168410 to be different. <LTH> As we come up to date, people \# do it'' to be the same. Lon 76408 n population is a minority in Serbia.No date has been set for elections and there
175387 vities in which you've been involved to date? $<\mathrm{MO1>} \mathrm{Er}$ the spectrum of that would r 188301 rld , and though this is the first up-to date survey of its politics, it does not $p$ 191282 unt of ownership.<t> Levinson: No trial date has been set yet for the Janis lawsui

As might be expected from the use of the relative link type, the patterns represented here are more diverse than those seen for the arts-prons/2/open/abs combination which we examined earlier. In addition to the 'up to/up-to date' pattern, there is also 'no date has been set', as well as 'to date' in the 'so far/until now' sense. Line 75 may well be the product of over-rich bonding, since it incorporates the pattern 'date has been set', but will also have acquired bonds on the basis of the 'up' in slot -2 , which will have linked to lines with the 'up to date' pattern.

As far as the range of the bond scores achieved by this parameter combination is concerned, 100 different scores were applied across the 200 line sample, which is an even coarser ranking than we saw for arts-prons/2/fixed/abs. Additionally, 19 lines received no bonds at all.

### 9.9.3. Pearson Correlation 2

Having established that the Pearson test was capable of producing results which were statistically significant and corresponded to linguistic intuition, the test was extended to include all the items from the 200 -line sample which were chosen by more than one respondent.

54 lines remained once the singleton items had been removed. Using this set of lines as the basis for comparison, nine of the automatic analyses correlated significantly with the manual selection, scoring more than the threshold of 0.271 . These are given in the next table. As before, the statistically invalid combinations have been included in the lower half of the table, as these still have some linguistic interest.

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span | Link Type | r | Valid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | raw | 0.487403 | y |
| arts-prons | 2 | open | raw | 0.432735 | y |
| arts-prons | 2 | open | rel | 0.358678 | y |
| arts-prons | 1 | open | rel | 0.338473 | y |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | rel | 0.327846 | y |
| arts-prons | 1 | open | raw | 0.324546 | y |
| arts-prons | 4 | open | raw | 0.447633 | n |
| arts-prons | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.33147 | n |
| arts-prons | 3 | fixed | abs | 0.328121 | n |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | abs | 0.320494 | n |
| arts-prons | 5 | open | raw | 0.273281 | n |

Table 9.17: Pearson Scores for Representative Lines vs Automatic
In this set of data, the 'arts-prons' stopword list is the only one present and combines exclusively (for the valid items) with the open span and with link thresholds and types that are far from strict.

Since the number of items being correlated is somewhat higher, the raw figures for manual and automatic scores are not given here, as no correlation would be manually identifiable. We shall instead move on to the lines which acquired most bonds with artsprons/3/open/raw:

```
1 5 7 5 3 0 6 ~ h t ~ o f ~ h e r ~ m a n ~ \# ~ < S O > ~ V e r y ~ m u c h ~ u p ~ t o ~ d a t e , ~ o n l y ~ b e e n ~ i n ~ s e r v i c e ~ w i t h ~ o u r ~ o w n
163 4708 each has not been very productive to date, nor is it likely to become more so
1754703 ies in which you've been involved to date?<M01> Er the spectrum of that would
934187 e next three weeks # The NBL cut-off date for the finalisation of imports is
793947 letting us go to work.<t> Dugan: No date has been set for the resumption of
75 3806 nistry denies there is a hold-up, no date has been set for a new round of tal
152 3776 ary of state, brought Franklin up to date on the bloodshed in his beloved Fra
58 3679 that it is vital.<p> Dr Salk's ideas date back a long way, but he has linked
1403565 the--on the-petrified tree and the date # And in all of my trips out to Mon
189 3045 kes it the No. 1 film of the year to date and the biggest April release in th
```

In these lines, the '(up) to date' pattern is still present to some extent, but is diluted by other patterns, introduced by the use of the raw link type. The overall level of bonding is still high, as evidenced by the values shown in these few lines and confirmed by the fact that there are 193 different bond scores in the analysis, with the lowest score being 2.

### 9.9.3.1. Usable Lines

Of the lines selected as usable, 49 were chosen by more than one respondent. Only three of the automatic analyses were found to correlate significantly ( $r>=0.284$ ) with this subset of lines; these analyses are listed in the table below, with an indication of their validity according to the criterion mentioned earlier.

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span | Link Type | r | Valid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arts-prons | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.306408 | y |
| arts-prons | 2 | open | abs | 0.29841 | y |
| arts-prons | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.306152 | n |

Table 9.18: Pearson Scores for Usable Lines vs Automatic
Once again, it is the 'arts-prons' list which provides the best correlation to the manual results. In the two valid combinations shown here, it combines with other parameters which represent a compromise of span and link type, while the link threshold remains
constant.
The top ten lines using the arts-prons/2/fixed/rel combination are:
1881887 ld, and though this is the first up-to date survey of its politics, it does not
1571853 ught of her man \# <SO> Very much up to date, only been in service with our own
1591840 s so they can keep their members up to date with what is happening in the indus
1761831 e now available but ask somebody up-to date.<MO1> Mm. <FO1> And of course comput
1521761 etary of state, brought Franklin up to date on the bloodshed in his beloved Fra
1681703 o be different. <LTH> As we come up to date, people \# do it" to be the same. L
1841677 en though the home loan was paid up to date. Few would ever have imagined they
1531632 t adequate nuclear weapons, kept up to date and based forward in Europe, our de
1771598 ining my firm grasp of the most up-to date trauma procedures". <t> The referen
1541595 d he said he would bring Mr Bush up to date on the issue:If we were forced to r

The lines shown here bear a strong resemblance to the set shown earlier for the artsprons/2/open/abs combination, with eight out of ten items in common. This parameter set, arts-prons/2/open/abs, is coincidentally the second most strongly correlated combination for this comparison. In both the sets in this test, the dominating pattern is 'up to/up-to date', which occupies the first 15 positions in arts-prons/2/fixed/rel. Strong patterns further down the ranking include 'out of date', 'date of birth', 'to date' and 'date ... set'. A good range of bond scores are attached to the lines, with 154 discrete values and only four zero-bonded lines.

### 9.10. Conclusions

In the course of re-evaluating the concordance line sample, we performed three correlation tests on the two sets of manually-selected lines. With a view to summarising the effects of the various parameter combinations, let us examine again the combinations which achieved the best match for each test and data set. In the table which follows, 'Repr' refers to the concordance lines chosen as representative (or some subset thereof as defined earlier) and 'Use' denotes the lines selected as usable.

| Test | Compared with | Parameter Set |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Stopwords | Links | Span | Link Type |
| Ranking | Repr | arts-prons | 2 | open | abs |
| Ranking | Use | arts-prons | 2 | open | abs |
| Pearson 1 | Repr | arts-prons | 4 | open | rel |
| Pearson 1 | Use | zero | 1 | fixed | abs |
| Pearson 2 | Repr | arts-prons | 3 | open | raw |
| Pearson 2 | Use | arts-prons | 2 | fixed | rel |

Table 9.19: Summary of Best-Match Parameter Combinations
On the evidence of this summary, it seems safe to conclude that in general the 'artsprons' stopword list produces the best correlation between the manual and automatic analyses. To some extent, this echoes the Summary of Scores tables presented in the sections on simple ranking comparison, where 'arts-prons' accounted for the highest proportion of the scores, closely followed by 'zero', which is also represented here, albeit only once.

The open span is generally superior, but the fixed span seems slightly better when usability is the issue. The ratio of open to fixed shown here, $2: 1$, is very similar to that seen in the Summary of Scores tables, where the fixed span performed marginally better for usability than for representativeness.

The remaining parameters seem to combine to create a compromise in terms of strictness, so that if the absolute link type is employed then a low link threshold is used, for example. Similarly, high link thresholds are avoided where the fixed span is used and when the fixed span is combined with the absolute link type, the lowest possible link threshold is used.

It is interesting and encouraging to note that these combinations of parameters display a high degree of overlap with the ten identified as candidates at the end of Chapter 7 and presented in Chapter 8, more so because these related to a different set of concordance lines (for the node word 'exchange'). All but one of the 'exchange' combinations made use of either the 'arts-prons' or 'zero' stopword lists and seven of the ten used the open span. In addition, a number of the top 'exchange' combinations were present amongst the
highest scoring items in the simple rank and Pearson correlation tests for 'date'. These are detailed in the Table 9.20.

| cccc. <br> Parameter <br> Combination | Comparison | Table <br> Reference | Position <br> in Table |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arts-prons/1/open/raw | Simple Rank vs Representative | 9.12 a | 9 |
| zero/1/fixed/raw | Pearson 1 vs Usable | 9.20 | 2 |
| zero/1/fixed/rel | Pearson 1 vs Usable | 9.20 | 3 |
| arts-prons/1/open/rel | Pearson 2 vs Representative | 9.22 | 4 |
| arts-prons/1/open/raw | Pearson 2 vs Representative | 9.22 | 6 |

Table 9.20: Results for high-scoring 'exchange' combinations
As a final summary of the relative performance of the various parameters, the next two tables show the number of times that each parameter value appears in each of the outputs from the Pearson tests as a valid, significant correlation. This is similar in principle to the Summary of Scores tables seen earlier, but this time simply records the frequency of occurrence of each parameter value, since adding Pearson scores across different sets of results is not a valid operation. The first table shows the results for the two Pearson tests which were used to correlate the automatic analyses with the lines manually-selected as representative.

| Parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Stopword List |  | Link Threshold |  | Span |  | Link Type |  |
| bt | 0 | 1 | 4 | open | 12 | Abs | 3 |
| btb | 0 | 2 | 3 | fixed | 2 | Rel | 6 |
| arts-prons | 13 | 3 | 5 |  |  | Raw | 5 |
| top150 | 0 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| top100 | 0 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| top50 | 1 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| zero | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.21
Occurrences of each parameter value in Pearson $1 \& 2-$ Representative
In the two Pearson tests for representativeness, a total of 14 parameter combinations were found to correlate with the manual analysis. The summary given above confirms the earlier suggestion that the 'arts-prons' list provides the closest match, occurring in all but one of the correlating analyses. The 'open' span, similarly, occurs in all but two of the
combinations and this also reinforces the conclusions drawn earlier on the basis of the Summary of Best-match data. With so little variation in their values, it is difficult to see a significant pattern in the link threshold figures, although values under four would appear to perform best. Similarly, the link type data suggests that the looser values are to be preferred over the absolute type.

Moving on now to look at the summary for the Pearson tests for correlation with the usable lines:

| Parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Stopword List | Link Threshold |  | Span |  | Link Type |  |  |
| bt | 0 | 1 | 7 | open | 4 | Abs |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| btb | 0 | 2 | 5 | fixed | 8 | Rel |  |
| arts-prons | 6 | 3 | 0 |  |  | Raw |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ar150 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| top10 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| top100 | 0 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| top50 | 0 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| zero | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.22
Occurrences of each parameter value in Pearson 1 \& 2 - Usable
This set of data presents quite a different picture of the most suitable parameters from the results for representativeness. The 'zero' stopword list is placed on an equal footing with the 'arts-prons' one and the fixed span moves into first place with twice the occurrences of the open span. The link threshold now shows a definite tendency towards the lower values and the preferred link types have shifted toward greater strictness.

The conclusions that can be drawn from this summary data go some considerable way towards determining the optimal initial settings for the identification of representative or usable lines and provide strong clues as to how the two categories might be distinguished. In particular, the high incidence of a minimal or empty stopword list and a fixed span in the parameter combinations which correlate best with the lines regarded as usable, suggests that the respondents, in selecting usable lines, were favouring paradigmatically and syntagmatically defined patterns which incorporated high frequency items and occurred at close range to the node word.
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In addition, we have seen how altering the parameters can be used to isolate lines with particular features, such as increasing the link threshold and reducing the span if the corpus user's aim is to identify lines which exemplify fixed phrases. Sadly, this may prove to be an unrepeatable experiment, since the editorial team which assisted me with the manual evaluations of the sample concordance has now been dissolved and it will be some time before such a high concentration of professional corpus users with so much experience is reconstituted.

## Chapter 10

Future Research

## Collier

## 10. Future Research

### 10.1. Summing Up

The motivation for this study grew out of the author's interest in two strands of linguistic research which, up until now, might have been regarded as quite distinct from each other. The first strand, corpus-based analysis, deals most commonly with substantial amounts of text and seeks to derive generalisations from the (increasingly) large body of evidence which the corpus presents; with regard to the storage, display and, to some extent, automatic analysis of its underlying data, the corpus-based approach is highly amenable to computerisation, indeed would never have achieved the scale and popularity which it now enjoys without the use of computers. The other strand, the study of lexical cohesion, operates at the level of the individual text and concerns itself with phenomena which are difficult or impossible to identify using computational means; only in the area of automatic abridgement has this analytical approach proved itself to be automatable, but even then it employs only a small subset of the cohesive features which would be recognised by a human analyst.

This thesis has attempted to interweave these strands with the aim of creating a means of dealing with the information overload which users of large corpora now face and which threatens to undermine the usefulness of continued corpus expansion. In Chapter 1 we saw that the keyword-in-context concordance is still a centrally important tool for corpus users, while the drawbacks involved in its use on very large corpora were introduced in Chapter 2. Chapter 3 examined the parallel features between a concordance and a conventional text and introduced the idea that the identification of cohesive ties between concordance lines and the subsequent creation of an 'abridgement' of them would be a reasonable and possibly productive line of research in which to engage. Chapter 4 outlined the basic methodology of lexical cohesion analysis and its applicability to automatic abridgement.

Chapter 5 covered the functionality of the concordance line selection software, cohort, and demonstrated its relationship to the original abridgement software, with a special focus on the additional parameters which had been included in its design in order to allow the software to be run on data derived from concordance lines. The two following chapters described firstly the individual parameters to the software and secondly the ways in which these interact, as well as suggesting that certain combinations of parameters might be more useful than others. A sample of the output produced by cohort using these candidate parameter combinations was then presented in Chapter 8.

Having established a system for selecting concordance lines, it is, of course, essential that it should be validated in some way. This issue was addressed in the rather substantial Chapter 9, in which the opinions of expert corpus analysts were employed to identify the optimal combination of parameters for identifying concordance lines which met either of the criteria of representativeness or usability. It is unfortunate that the difficulties involved in soliciting expert assistance in the manual evaluation of the concordance lines, coupled with the vastness of the results that are generated, even when varying just the handful of parameters which we have examined in the previous chapters, were such a limiting factor on the scope of the results presented in Chapter 9 - just one concordance from one corpus. There is nothing to suggest that the insights which have been gained from analysing this one concordance will not be applicable to others, even if other words show up other facets which enlarge upon the conclusions which we have been able to draw about the 'date' concordance. This is corroborated by the high degree of overlap demonstrated with a second concordance set, for the node word 'exchange'. Given the obvious, and necessary, limitations of the evaluation process, there is no guarantee that the system will work with all words and that the network of bonds might become overly complex for very frequent words with complex collocational profiles. In such instances, it might be profitable to see what improvement could be made by the integration of related analytical techniques such as lexical clustering (Phillips 1989) or text colonies (Rammell
1988).

As to the representativeness of the corpus, the BoE is certainly still among the largest in regular use for lexicographical purposes, but this may not always be the case, since there will always be a demand among some members of the corpus-using community for ever bigger corpora, additionally fuelled by the constantly growing capabilities of the hardware. This being the case, the application of filtering techniques such as the approach described herein will become more and more crucial to the successful exploitation of these ever-larger corpora. In terms of its content, BoE is likely to be as balanced as any other corpus of similar size, but it is interesting to dwell for a moment on the issue of corpus construction and the effects that this might have on the performance of the analytical software. It seems likely that a less balanced corpus, for example a genre-specific collection of texts, would exhibit less variation in the collocational patterning presented in the concordances, possibly resulting in concordance lines which contained a higher density of link words. This should not be a cause for concern, however, since by adjusting the parameters, it ought to be possible to accommodate data with a higher degree of linking, either through raising the link threshold or by incorporating new items into the stopword list.

The results of the evaluation would seem to indicate that the application of cohesive analysis is a useful means of providing such a filtering mechanism, since a high degree of correlation with the manual analyses was achieved for several sets of software parameters. Comparing the parameters with those used in automatic abridgement for a moment, it is interesting to note that the most successful stopword lists, in terms of how often they figured in highly-correlated parameter combinations, were those which contained fewest items. This is very different from the extensive stopword list employed in the abridgement process, which excludes all grammatical words, reinforcing the point made during the discussion of stopwords in Chapter 6, that the cohesion between concordance lines is operating at a level beyond that of the lexis alone and that something which might be
called 'contextual cohesion' is taking place between the individual lines of the concordance.

Apart from the hoped-for correlation between the human experts' and the computer's analyses, another striking result to come out of Chapter 9 was the marked differentiation between the parameter combinations required in order to identify representative lines and those needed for usable ones. The fact that a fixed span and a small or empty stopword list provided the best correlation with the respondents' selection of usable lines appears to indicate that the informants are making use of features which are more closely-defined positionally and heavier in grammatical items than those which occur in the lines which are chosen as representative. There are a number of lines of enquiry which might be pursued in order to investigate this dichotomy more closely, as well as other points relating to possible future enhancements to the cohort software. The final sections of this chapter will briefly touch on these.

### 10.2. Extending the Node Word

One variation on the system, which would be applicable to the further study of the distinction between usable and representative lines, would be to extend the concept of the concordance's node word. As noted in Chapter 3, the node word is automatically excluded from the link analysis, but it would also be possible to filter out particular grammatical words which were forming links. Suppose, for instance, that we were to examine only those lines in the 'date' concordance where 'to' occurred to the left of the node word, but that we also excluded the item 'to' from the link analysis. This approach would allow a more focused study to be made of any other features of this subset of lines which were contributing to link formation - in this case, the various phrases and other features which involve the item 'to' such as 'to date' (until now), 'to date' (infinitive) $+x$, 'to this/that date', 'up to date', 'keep up to date'. Of course, this method could also be applied to lexical items within the context of the node word, e.g. 'postponed', 'set' or
'fixed', but the results from the previous chapter suggest that this would probably identify representativeness rather than usability and would require one of the less strict positional definitions, in contrast to the grammatical items, which successfully form links even when the absolute link type is used, because they are being used in stereotypical constructions with a fixed positional relationship to the node word.

### 10.3. Flexible Positioning

The issue of positional specification leads into another possible line of enquiry. While there are phrases, composed of grammatical items, which are entirely fixed, there are others which are more free to vary, within fairly tight constraints, in their form. A simple example of this in the 'date' concordance would be the determiners, where it would be useful to know whether 'the' or ' $a$ ' is preferred. These items always occur (obviously) in the left-hand context and so would not be differentiated by the relative link type, while the absolute type would not allow the freedom of movement caused by the insertion of premodifiers between the determiner and the node word, as in 'set a date', 'set a new date' etc. In order to accommodate this variation, a more flexible approach to positional specification might be introduced, which divided the context into 'bands' several words wide rather than using single-word slots; links would then be formed between occurrences of any item in the same band. Alternatively, some kind of fuzzy match might be applied to the absolute positioning information, so that items could still be linked, even though they did not occur in exactly the same slot. This approach could be employed to identify any item which always occurs on the same side of the node word, but which is free to drift within one or two positions and so might be useful in identifying lexical links as well as grammatical ones.

### 10.4. Link Word Frequency

As an introduction to a further possible enhancement to the cohort software, let us refer back to the discussion of Figure 7.1, where it was noted that no parameter in the current software system has an effect on the transformation of the wordlist into the matrix. A possible extension to the software, which would bring about this transformation, would be the addition of a parameter which defined a minimum frequency for individual link words. This would actually be a progression from an existing rule in the program which states that a wordlist item must occur in at least two sentences (since it could not possibly form a link otherwise). By raising this threshold, some of the low-level links would be eliminated, removing some of the 'noise' associated with low frequency collocates and also enabling the software to execute more quickly, as fewer pairs of lines would need to be recorded in the matrix. Applying this to the 'date' concordance wordlist built with the raw link type, over half of the nearly 12,000 links would be eliminated if the frequency threshold were raised to five.

### 10.5. New Link Classes

It was noted in Section 9.8 that no attempt has been made to incorporate part-of-speech information into the cohesion analysis - this currently functions solely on the basis of the word string as it appears in the wordlist. If it were possible to obtain a syntacticallytagged version of the 'date' concordance, then the analyses could be repeated using a method which took into account the part-of-speech information. Given the concordance fragment below:
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the entry for the word 'set' in the wordlist would normally just reflect the link type in effect, either 'set' or 'set:-' or for the absolute link type:
set:-2 12
set:-3 47
set:-4 6
set:-5 35
If word-class data were to be available, the wordlist information could be augmented, resulting in something like:
set_INFINITIVE 1245
set_PAST-PARTICIPLE 37
set_SIMPLE-PAST 6
The positional information attached to the wordlist items could be retained (e.g. set_INFINITIVE:-2) and would serve its normal purpose of restricting the placement of the (word+)tag in relation to the node word. As an alternative, the word string could be suppressed completely and the entire process carried out entirely on the basis of the part-of-speech tags, thus identifying links on the basis of shared grammatical context.

This type of approach might enable us to shed more light on the usability/representativeness distinction. Given a set of lines in which strong bonding was identified when using parameters most strongly correlated with usability, it would be interesting to note the degree of overlap with a set of lines whose bonds were generated on the presence of particular repeated grammatical items using a similar set of parameters. The occurrence of a significant number of lines shared between the two sets would tend to support the proposition that the informants' choice of usable lines was indeed being influenced by the presence of grammatical items within the context of the node word.

The availability of part-of-speech or phrase structure information within the concordance would open up other attractive avenues of research. If the position of each word within the concordance could be defined in terms of its role within the sentence structure from which it was drawn, then the analysis of the concordance could be carried out along rather different lines. The presence of the metatextual tags would make it possible to
create links between conventional items in the concordance line and the metatextual data, so that, for example, a line could become linked because of the presence of the subject noun phrase within it or or because the node word was part of the right-hand context of an adjective. The links thus created would contribute to the overall bonding of each line in exactly the same fashion as we have seen previously. The result, however, would be a set of lines selected on the basis of the typicality of their grammatical construction, always supposing, naturally, that tools are available which will recognise the word class and phrase structure for each word in the corpus to a satisfactory degree of accuracy. The possibility exists, however, that the POS information could cause valid links across word classes to be lost. By looking for a certain number of link words within the context of the node, a degree of disambiguation is already carried out. This requires further investigation with POS-tagged data.

### 10.6. Putting the Software to Work

In conclusion, it is perhaps worth considering some of the contexts in which the analytical approach described herein might be brought to bear on the problems associated with the use of today's large-scale corpus resources. It is undeniably true that the users of these corpora need more sophisticated tools: as we saw in Chapter 5, Sinclair and Clear felt the need to develop their typical program even though their corpus amounted to only twenty million words at the time, while in Chapter 2 Biber pointed out the overwhelming amount of evidence produced by a mere ten million words, yet these corpus sizes are only a fraction of the amount of material which is now available to corpus researchers. The approach I have described is not intended to stand alone as the means of dealing with the corpus information overload, but rather it should be seen as a prelude to normal corpus inspection, so that other tools such as sorting and collocate analysis, described in Chapter 2, would be applied once the number of lines had been reduced to more manageable dimensions. Ideally, the analytical engine of cohort would be incorporated into a suite of
corpus software, as this would introduce even greater flexibility in the choice of span size, the use of word-class tags, sentence-length concordances and so on.

The computer hardware capable of accessing large-scale corpus resources is already widely available, but the technological advances which have enabled the creation and distribution of such extensive collections of electronic text must be mirrored by the development of software that is able to exploit those resources to their full potential. This will ultimately be of benefit not just to a small group of professional users of bespoke corpora but also to the growing number of researchers and teachers who are making use of any of the available corpus resources.
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Glossary

## Glossary

100M A corpus built by the author at the University of Birmingham in the late 1980s. It contained BCET and a collection of British national daily newspapers, amounting to just under 100 million tokens. It was mainly used to test corpus retrieval software and verify projections of corpus growth.

ACR The corpus database which was utilised in the SERC-DTI funded ACRONYM Project at the University of Liverpool (1994-97). It was entirely composed of newspaper data (Independent and Financial Times) and at the end of the project totalled approximately 435 million tokens.

Bank of English or BoE A balanced collection of corpus data in excess of two hundred million words in size. Containing speech and written material, it was built by HarperCollins Publishers in the mid 1990s in order to replace the BCET ( $q v$ ) as the basis for the EFL reference works produced by Cobuild.

BCET The Birmingham Collection of English Texts. A twenty-million word corpus of $25 \%$ speech/ $75 \%$ writing established at the University of Birmingham in the early 1980s and used in the first Cobuild dictionaries and other reference works until superseded by the Bank of English. See Renouf 1987.

British National Corpus or BNC. A balanced corpus funded as a SERC-DTI project. One hundred million words in extent, tagged for part of speech and made available on CDROM in SGML format. Produced through collaboration of the Universities of Oxford and Lancaster and Longman publishers.

Brown The Brown Corpus. A one-million word corpus of samples of text taken from a selection of genres of American printed matter, built at Brown University in the 1960s. See Kucera and Francis 1967.

Collocational density The relationship between the number of times a word occurs and the number of significant collocates it has.

LOB The London Oslo Bergen Corpus, built on the same model as the American Brown corpus ( $q v$ ). See Hofland \& Johansson 1982.

London-Lund A 500,000-word corpus of spoken material, built in collaboration between UCL and Lund University. See Svartvik 1990.
parser A piece of software which analyses the phrase structure of a corpus text (which will often have been previously passed through a tagger) and produces a version of the text which is marked-up accordingly.
tagger A piece of software which automatically assigns part-of-speech labels (tags) to each word in a corpus text.
token A single word in a corpus. Also called 'running word'. Generally used in measurements of corpus size. Cf type.
tokeniser The part of a software program which breaks a text into individual words or tokens, separating them out from punctuation, white space etc and attaching any extra information such as the current sentence number.
type or word type A discrete word form
wordlist The list of all the word types which have occurred in a set of concordances. After each word type are listed the line numbers of the concordance lines in which they were found.

## Appendix 1 <br> Stopword Lists <br> arts-prons

| a | an | and | he | her | his |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| i | it | she | the they this |  |  |
| we | which | you |  |  |  |


| a | about | above | across | after | against |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| all | along | alongside | als | although | always |
| am | amid | amidst | among | amongst | an |
| and | any | anybody | anyone | anything | anywhere |
| propos | are | aren't |  |  | atop |
| be | because | been | before | behind | being |
| below | beneath | beside | besides | between | beyond |
| oth | but | by | can | can't | cannot |
| cos | could | couldn't | coz | dare | daren't |
| espite | did | didn't | do | does | doesn't |
| doing | don't | done | dr | during | each |
| 崖 | else | every | everybody | everyone | everything |
| erywhere | exce | few | for | from | go |
| going | had | hadn't | has | hasn't | have |
| haven't | having | he | he'd | he'll | he's |
| her | here | hers | herself | him | himself |
| his | how | however | if | in | inside |
| into | is | isn't | it | it'd | it'll |
| its | itself | less | like | make | many |
| may | mayn | me | migh | mine | minus |
| ore | most | mr | mrs | much | must |
| ustn't | my | myself | needn't | neither | never |
| evertheless | no | no-one | nobody | none | nonetheless |
| oone | nor | not | nothing | notwithstanding |  |
| off | often | on | one | only | or |
| er | ought | oughtn't | our | ours | ourselves |
| out | outside | over | par | per | plus |
| rather | shal | shan' | she | she'd | she'll |
| she's | should | shouldn't | since | so | some |
| somebody | someone | someplace | something | sometime | sometimes |
| somewhere the | than thee | that their | that'd theirs | that'll them | that's themselves |
| en | there | there' | there'll | there's | there've |
| erefore | therewith | thes | they | they'd | they'll |
| they're | they've | thine | this | those | thou |
| though | through | throughout | thus | thy | till |
| to | 100 | toward | towards | under | underneath |
| until | up | upon | us | very | via |
| as | wasn't | well | were | what | what'd |
| what'll | what's | what've | whatever | when | whenever |
| ere | wherever | whether | which | whichever | while |
| whilst | who | whom | whose | why | will |
| with | within | without | won't | would | wouldn't |
| ye | yeah | yes | you | you'd | you'll |

you're you've your yours yourself yourselves
btb

| a | all | also | although | always | am |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| an | and | any | anybody | anyone | anything |
| anywhere | apropos | are | aren't | as |  |
| because | been | being | besides | both | but |
| by | can | can't | cannot | cos | could |
| couldn't | coz | dare | daren't | did | didn't |
| do | does | doesn't | doing | don't | done |
| dr | each | either | else | every | everybody |
| everyone | everything | everywhere | few | go | going |
| had | hadn't | has | hasn't | have | haven't |
| having | he | he'd | he'll | he's | her |
| here | hers | herself | him | himself | his |
| how | however | if | is | isn't | it |
| it'd | it'll | its | itself | less | make |
| many | may | mayn't | me | might | mine |
| minus | more | most | mr | mrs | much |
| must | mustn't | my | myself | needn't | neither |
| never | nevertheless | no | no-one | nobody | none |
| nonetheless | noone | nor | not | nothing | of |
| often | one | only | or | other | ought |
| oughtn't | our | ours | ourselves | per | plus |
| rather | shall | shan't | she | she'd | she'll |
| she's | should | shouldn't | so | some | somebody |
| someone | someplace | something | sometime | sometimes | somewhere |
| than | that | that'd | that'll | that's | the |
| thee | their | theirs | them | themselves | then |
| there | there'd | there'll | there's | there've | therefore |
| therewith | these | they | they'd | they'll | they're |
| they've | thine | this | those | thou | though |
| thus | thy | to | too | us | very |
| was | wasn't | well | were | what | what'd |
| what'll | what's | what've | whatever | when | whenever |
| where | wherever | whether | which | whichever | while |
| whilst | who | whom | whose | why | will |
| with | within | without | won't | would | wouldn't |
| ye | yeah | yes | you | you'd | you'll |
| you're | you've | your | yours | yourself | yourselves |

top50

| a | all | an | and | are | as |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at | be | been | but | by | for |
| from | had | have | he | her | his |
| i | if | in | is | it | my |
| no | not | of | on | one | or |
| p | said | she | so | that | the |
| their | there | they | this | to | was |
| we | were | what | when | which | with |
| would | you |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | a 100 | about | after | all | an | and |
| any | are | as | at | back | be |  |
| because | been | but | by | can | could |  |
| did | do | don't | down | even | first |  |
| for | from | get | had | has | have |  |
| he | her | him | his | how | i |  |
| if | in | into | is | it | its |  |
| just | know | like | man | me | more |  |
| most | much | my | no | not | now |  |
| of | on | one | only | or | other |  |
| our | out | over | p | people | said |  |
| see | she | so | some | than | that |  |
| the | their | them | then | there | these |  |
| they | think | this | time | to | two |  |
| up | very | was | way | we | well |  |
| were | what | when | which | who | will |  |
| with | would | you | your |  |  |  |

top 150

| a | about | after | again | all | also |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| an | and | another | any | are | as |
| at | away | back | be | because | been |
| before | being | between | but | by | came |
| can | come | could | day | did | do |
| don't | down | even | first | for | from |
| get | go | going | good | got | had |
| has | have | he | her | here | him |
| his | how | i | if | in | into |
| is | it | it's | its | just | know |
| life | like | little | long | made | make |
| man | many | may | me | might | more |
| most | much | must | my | never | new |
| no | not | now | of | off | old |
| on | one | only | or | other | our |
| out | over | own | p | people | put |
| right | said | same | say | see | she |
| should | so | some | something | still | such |
| take | than | that | the | their | them |
| then | there | these | they | think | this |
| those | thought | through | time | to | too |
| two | up | us | very | was | way |
| we | well | went | were | what | when |
| where | which | who | will | with | work |
| world | would | years | yes | you | your |

zero
This contain no stopwords.

## Appendix 2

Concordance of 'exchange' from BCET
001 Condorcet in Paris under an "au pair" exchange when two French children came Ruder, chairman of the Securities and Exchange Comission, said Britain, the a no-fault situation. I advise you to exchange names and be on your own way. and see me again. It'll do us good to exchange ideas." she could have been gl came from Berlin and abroad, eager to exchange the new ideas that were racing could utter silence. In practice, the exchange of letters often takes a full endeavoured to heal the wounds. In an exchange of letters with Mansholt he de exchanges; genes within bacteria can exchange. But, in the past at least, it foreign services usually press for an exchange, and often in poor countries $t$ he found him to Miss Gray at the Corn Exchange, where he would be suitably re here was unbearable. And he wanted to exchange the unbearable for the very ba home Britain already has one System $X$ exchange working, and should have eight horses, beads and cloth came south in exchange. These societies were so far $f$ it ahead of her, how it would be. The exchange of witty letters, fewer as tim know where they gave the best rate of exchange. The whole place was reflected market-place. There, outside the Corn Exchange which dominates one side of th now house (the 10 per cent deposit on exchange of contracts) before you've re of value, the proposition that things exchange in accordance with the amount require garages where you can simply exchange a battery pack for a fully cha smiled and again indicated the corner exchange. "The bridge phone hangs just solution would be for it to lower its exchange rate vis-a-vis other countries that there had been some way he could exchange words with this man he had nev the best way in an emergency. The SIS exchange called Boyd Stuart's home and them (as used to be said on the stock Erchange), cast no doubt envious glance to freer, cheaper and more widespread exchange of information between the ric to recapture that lead with a digital exchange called system $x$. It is not goi two marine insurance firms, the Royal Exchange Insurance and the London Assur when its shares are introduced to the Exchange, probably in January. He also which we have witnessed on the stock exchange this week, does the team agree why the etranger hadn't completed the exchange of names like any other decent , because he hadn't a card to offer in exchange. Mothersole, he could feel, wa , price controls and food subsidies in exchange for voluntary wage restraint, - Because (Nora?) told me about school exchange and I went along to see for m And priests were extracting "gifts" in exchange for burying non-churchgoera in CF Yes. RB Due to import licencing or, exchange er control regulations. CF Uhm Copies were burned on the London Stock Exchange and destroyed at exchanges in Flanders. The printer was entranced to exchange a few of the place-names which Lou Darrow Carrington runs the foreign exchange desk for the bank's corporate MALA TO GIVE UP ITS CLAIM TO BELIZE IN EXCHANGE FOR CERTAIN ECONOMIC CONCESSIO N A SINGLE IMAGINATIVE GESTURE. AT THE EXCHANGE WE GET THE OLD SPECTACLE OF $A$ ONAL. IT"S A RATHER INTENSE PIECE, AN EXCHANGE BETWEEN TWO PEOPLE, AND IT"S A Thomas traveled inside; but he did not exchange two remarks with Fanny during, $W$ YORK - Prices on the New York Stock Exchange staged a blue-chip rally $p$ a and are the same kind of judgemental exchange these ladies, as children, ove a for them, and I keep him supplied in exchange for plenty fires and troubles a our Unit Trusts then we have a Share Exchange Scheme whereby you can obtain aily basis and there wll always be an exchange risk. <p 5> ( (How to open an al - and end up with failed degrees in exchange for a phenomenal understanding al Festival, which is held in the Corn Exchange each May. Miss Gray and I had all of us if we did not calm down. Our exchange was heated. Within a matter of ambling clubs or drinking at the Royal Exchange Pub and talking about politics amental right to adequate treatment in exchange for being deprived of his libe
and maintain the correct rate of fluid exchange within the various fluid compa and manufactures to the third world in exchange for raw materials and food, is and other statues from the first Royal Exchange). The Library is a first-clasa ange of biographical information. This exchange atrengthens the bnd because 1 any. Often their beginning has been an exchange of corrempondence between <p 1 ar's nominee for the Rumaidge-Euphoria exchange mcheme. Why should Morris zapp at was not old at all. "The Merchants" Exchange is a ruin . . . is that pleasa ate a small plot on the worst land, in exchange for agricultural and even dome ay from each other. As they talk, they exchange only the briefest of glances. blushing, as the following therapeutic exchange demonstrates: Therapist: why ce economies (where very little market exchange takes place), this form is on conomy changed from one based upon the exchange of goods and servicea to one $b$ currency accounts was established when Exchange Control Regulations were Iifte
d an expert in Round Tableip and the Exchange of Unpleasantries. Last certai d raw materials maturally need foreign exchange to buy these, but becauge of $t$ d, wis not the way to begin a cultural exchange." The incident caused the trai der our range of Unit Trusts. ( ( Share Exchange. ) ) If you already own some st ders shoot up? Sometimes a good verbal exchange and a bit of arm waving will $d$ ds over her identity to her husband in exchange for a small portion of his, sh - between the target telephone and the exchange) are more advisable- There are - disposed of, and offered for sale in exchange for cash - and when cash ia no e to understand what they say and even exchange complex messages with them. So ecting <p 226> investment and imposing exchange controls. I ondered how he pr ee market, for which you need an equal exchange between equal parties. Even wh ehall but best remembered by me for an exchange of Jack Buchanan's signed ciga elt like a Dwarf - Like me - Was he an exchange, Betty, is Alan going? - No, $A$ eneral impression. He was moved by the exchange of vows, the old clear words, er was an indeterminate 1ittle man. In exchange for our money, they were auppo f papers. "Well, there is the Rumidge exchange, but you wouldn't be intereste ferent workplaces and jobs can meet to exchange their experiences. In other wo for how they would live. she would not exchange her molitude for anything. Nev for the walls of their private bars in exchange for a few pints of beer. or, 1 get a quicker reactionfrom the Berlin Exchange. The exchange would be told to ght to harvest any farmer's fields. In exchange, they get one ninth of the cro gned to work with the local service to exchange information, to train the loca h it's not legally binding). The stock Exchange itself reguires any public com hat genes from bacteria don't normally exchange with genes from humans or gen hat is, to establish the exact rate of exchange at which mechanical energy is hat the offence might be overlooked in exchange for a consideration: they woul he flat and go to a hotel. The rate of exchange in Denmark is heavily against hem absolutely and Alan's supposed to exchange back there? - Yes, woll as $I$ : hey would be given decent treatment in exchange for "honest labor." zob iasued hip, instant engagement, and immediate exchange of marriage vows. Thus it has his beneficence I drew fro the Labour Exchange for six months had to be oked id enjoyment. The justification is the exchange of ideas, and the value of thi imise our cliente' exposure in foreign exchange. We tell them what's happening inder of the session was devoted to an exchange on the compatibility of religi ist, the business tycoon (on the stock Exchange), two mathematicians, three fa kbrokers did by the pillars of the old Exchange. Through the west gate of this Id be impossible for the "fighters" to exchange roles so freely. They would be llowing guestions were overheard in an exchange between two five-year-olds: Wo Itivation can be surprisingly high, in exchange for no investment in fertilize Iy escalation into a strategic nuclear exchange between the Soviet Unon and $t$ Iy the Young Comunist League has made exchange visits with Komsomol, the sovi
man gives food, care and protection in exchange for the different services the markets, with its own model of digital exchange as its mandard-bearer, comple mber of units in any of our Trusts in exchange for your securities - this exc me had become an international rate of exchange. As he wandered through the th me up," Dixon said. He settled a brief exchange with the taxidiver by refusing means of production, distribution, and exchange is profitability; that any dep means of production, distribution, and exchange", meant something guite differ means of production, distribution, and exchangen. The prose style of the notor mena's trip has sparked a sharxpublic exchange between him and Velasco. The F mals. Even a relatively mall nuclear exchange would cause severe after-effec mpete if we are to earn enough foreign exchange to buy the primary goods we st $n$ and averts severe atrains on foreign exchange. A nation with stockpiled rese $n$ they were seen together on the stock Exchange floor, are joining forces agal $n$ which every shared bite is a sensual exchange. So $I$ said again, "Come on, no nch is a forum which allows members to exchange views and opinions. It is also nd ack- nowledged him as their Lord in exchange for whatever they most desired ne was out of order; on the second the exchange was closed for a religious hol ng deposits). <P 8> As with all Stock Exchange investment price can go down ng to hand back all their conguests in exchange for the tiny border enclavea ng unles: you're fiddling on the stock Exchange, which I don't recomend. It's nician at the city's central telephone exchange, and that he had often tried $t$ nso Lopez Michelmon, to visit Quito to exchange experiences and to promote pLp ocal shop. We can give you sterling in exchange for most foreign notes but col oco had reported to the Securities and Exchange Comission. Recently, Texaco 1 olas Goodison, ? Chaimman of the stock Exchange, was asked if he found the lar ome s"pose" and so on. There is a sure exchange sCHANGED of thought and some $p$ onseguently, er you know, there's free exchange in that way - mm - though orated unconvincingly intoa telephone exchange). The headquarters of the Anti ould tart to fall on the foreign exchange markets, particularly again ound 80. Bear in mind that the rate of exchange while 1 was there was 11.20 fr ous abbreviation on the New York stock Exchange. A stock listed as Spud appare ouse production a main item of foreign exchange or moneyearning, only the aimp own by the passenger's window. A brief exchange. Hogan waved, cilpping on a se papers presented to the Securities and Exchange Com-mission, the multinationa pawn your land for five years or so in exchange for the cash. The moneylender pproached the defense table, hoping to exchange a fow words with them. The gu pt to minimize the risk of a strategic exchange with the Soviet Unio~ with th $r$ thought of applying for the Euphoria exchange: "Not really, Gordon. It would re businessmen of various sorts met to exchange money, property or goods. Afte re of the enormous destruction such an exchange would cause, and this awarenes responded Jimmy. Mrs Waites heard this exchange, and was torn between pity and riday. You cannot cash a bank draft or exchange foreign currency when the bank rille at Calcutta's central employment exchange, which must have one of the la rld have a chance to meet together and exchange ideas. The Vegetarian Federal riy since the introduction of floating exchange rates its become more importan rovides a national focal point for the exchange of information, ideas and expe
a of bacteria and mamalian gnes may exchange in nature. So it may be that sion. Another sent to me by the Labour Exchange presumably out of sheer kindne son operations and their purpose is to exchange information, mount joint opera t bearing deposits). As with all Stock Exchange investment prices can go down $t$ is that, apart from public telephone exchange equipment, pabxs are where the te set for central bank money, but the exchange rate. The critical test is tha tein, but it would only precipitate an exchange of feelings on a subject which the cool under-water gloom of the Corn Exchange. Thankfully, I realized that $t$


Appendix 3
Parameter Combinations

| Link Threshold | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Link } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Span } \\ \text { Size } \end{gathered}$ | Stopword List $\qquad$ | Number of Link Words | Total Links | Number of Bonded Lines | Total Bonds | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{St} \\ \mathrm{Dev} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Abs | 4 | arts-prons | 135 | 437 | 173 | 1960 | 8.34266 |
| 2 | Abs | 4 | arts-prons | 135 | 437 | 74 | 522 | 6.43428 |
| 3 | Abs | 4 | arts-prons | 135 | 437 | 21 | 26 | 0.447214 |
| 4 | Abs | 4 | arts-prons | 135 | 437 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | Abs | 4 | arts-prons | 135 | 437 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | 4 | arts-prons | 135 | 437 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 1 | Abs | 4 | bt | 51 | 138 | 98 | 410 | 4.31277 |
| 2 | Abs | 4 | bt | 51 | 138 | 16 | 20 | 0.447214 |
| 3 | Abs | 4 | bt | 51 | 138 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 4 | Abs | 4 | bt | 51 | 138 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Abs | 4 | bt | 51 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | 4 | bt | 51 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Abs | 4 | btb | 73 | 238 | 134 | 1092 | 8.53815 |
| 2 | Abs | 4 | btb | 73 | 238 | 44 | 462 | 7.18331 |
| 3 | Abs | 4 | btb | 73 | 238 | 10 | 10 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Abs | 4 | btb | 73 | 238 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Abs | 4 | btb | 73 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | 4 | btb | 73 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Abs | 4 | top100 | 51 | 139 | 99 | 410 | 4.15933 |
| 2 | Abs | 4 | top100 | 51 | 139 | 18 | 22 | 0.547723 |
| 3 | Abs | 4 | top100 | 51 | 139 | 6 | 6 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Abs | 4 | top100 | 51 | 139 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Abs | 4 | top100 | 51 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | 4 | top100 | 51 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Abs | 4 | top150 | 43 | 119 | 88 | 376 | 4.3359 |
| 2 | Abs | 4 | top150 | 43 | 119 | 18 | 22 | 0.547723 |
| 3 | Abs | 4 | top150 | 43 | 119 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 4 | Abs | 4 | top150 | 43 | 119 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Abs | 4 | top150 | 43 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | 4 | top150 | 43 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Abs | 4 | top50 | 67 | 172 | 112 | 440 | 4.01248 |
| 2 | Abs | 4 | top50 | 67 | 172 | 20 | 24 | 0.447214 |
| 3 | Abs | 4 | top50 | 67 | 172 | 8 | 8 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Abs | 4 | top50 | 67 | 172 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | Abs | 4 | top50 | 67 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | 4 | top50 | 67 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Abs | 4 | zero | 177 | 690 | 175 | 4018 | 10.7564 |
| 2 | Abs | 4 | zero | 177 | 690 | 127 | 792 | 6.29285 |
| 3 | Abs | 4 | zero | 177 | 690 | 59 | 100 | 1 |
| 4 | Abs | 4 | zero | 177 | 690 | 16 | 20 | 0.316228 |
| 5 | Abs | 4 | zero | 177 | 690 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | 4 | zero | 177 | 690 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 1 | Abs | open | arts-prons | 197 | 598 | 174 | 2292 | 8.68332 |
| 2 | Abs | open | arts-prons | 197 | 598 | 83 | 540 | 6.43428 |
| 3 | Abs | open | arts-prons | 197 | 598 | 27 | 34 | 0.447214 |
| 4 | Abs | open | arts-prons | 197 | 598 | 11 | 14 | 0.316228 |
| 5 | Abs | open | arts-prons | 197 | 598 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | open | arts-prons | 197 | 598 | 4 | 4 | 0 |


| Link Threshold | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Link } \\ & \text { Type } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Span } \\ \text { Size } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Stopword List | Number of Link Words | Total Links | Number of Bonded Lines | Total Bonds | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { St } \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Abs | open | bt | 76 | 193 | 112 | 470 | 4.34741 |
| 2 | Abs | open | bt | 76 | 193 | 16 | 20 | 0.447214 |
| 3 | Abs | open | bt | 76 | 193 | 11 | 14 | 0.447214 |
| 4 | Abs | open | bt | 76 | 193 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | Abs | open | bt | 76 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | open | bt | 76 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Abs | open | btb | 107 | 317 | 145 | 1194 | 8.61394 |
| 2 | Abs | open | btb | 107 | 317 | 45 | 464 | 6.97854 |
| 3 | Abs | open | btb | 107 | 317 | 15 | 18 | 0.447214 |
| 4 | Abs | open | btb | 107 | 317 | 8 | 8 | 0.316228 |
| 5 | Abs | open | btb | 107 | 317 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | open | btb | 107 | 317 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Abs | open | top100 | 73 | 186 | 111 | 456 | 4.15933 |
| 2 | Abs | open | top100 | 73 | 186 | 18 | 22 | 0.447214 |
| 3 | Abs | open | top100 | 73 | 186 | 11 | 14 | 0.447214 |
| 4 | Abs | open | top100 | 73 | 186 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | Abs | open | top100 | 73 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | open | top100 | 73 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Abs | open | top150 | 64 | 164 | 102 | 420 | 4.28952 |
| 2 | Abs | open | top150 | 64 | 164 | 18 | 22 | 0.447214 |
| 3 | Abs | open | top150 | 64 | 164 | 9 | 12 | 0.447214 |
| 4 | Abs | open | top150 | 64 | 164 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Abs | open | top150 | 64 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | open | top150 | 64 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Abs | open | top50 | 93 | 227 | 122 | 492 | 4.03733 |
| 2 | Abs | open | top50 | 93 | 227 | 20 | 24 | 0.447214 |
| 3 | Abs | open | top50 | 93 | 227 | 11 | 14 | 0.447214 |
| 4 | Abs | open | top50 | 93 | 227 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 5 | Abs | open | top50 | 93 | 227 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 6 | Abs | open | top50 | 93 | 227 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | Abs | open | zero | 265 | 944 | 176 | 4590 | 11.2339 |
| 2 | Abs | open | zero | 265 | 944 | 140 | 888 | 6.38749 |
| 3 | Abs | open | zero | 265 | 944 | 63 | 114 | 1.09545 |
| 4 | Abs | open | zero | 265 | 944 | 19 | 24 | 0.447214 |
| 5 | Abs | open | zero | 265 | 944 | 11 | 14 | 0.316228 |
| 6 | Abs | open | zero | 265 | 944 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 1 | Raw | 4 | arts-prons | 140 | 638 | 176 | 7100 | 21.9431 |
| 2 | Raw | 4 | arts-prons | 140 | 638 | 132 | 894 | 7.34847 |
| 3 | Raw | 4 | arts-prons | 140 | 638 | 37 | 52 | 0.632456 |
| 4 | Raw | 4 | arts-prons | 140 | 638 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | Raw | 4 | arts-prons | 140 | 638 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | 4 | arts-prons | 140 | 638 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | Raw | 4 | bt | 83 | 233 | 132 | 682 | 4.42719 |
| 2 | Raw | 4 | bt | 83 | 233 | 25 | 30 | 0.547723 |
| 3 | Raw | 4 | bt | 83 | 233 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 4 | Raw | 4 | bt | 83 | 233 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Raw | 4 | bt | 83 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | 4 | bt | 83 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Raw | 4 | btb | 92 | 345 | 159 | 2910 | 17.0646 |
| 2 | Raw | 4 | btb | 92 | 345 | 61 | 534 | 7.18331 |
| 3 | Raw | 4 | btb | 92 | 345 | 10 | 10 | 0.316228 |


| Link Threshold | Link Type | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Span } \\ \text { Size } \end{gathered}$ | Stopword List | Number of Link Words | Total <br> Links | Number of Bonded Lines | Total <br> Bonds | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{St} \\ \mathrm{Dev} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Raw | 4 | btb | 92 | 345 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Raw | 4 | btb | 92 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | 4 | btb | 92 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Raw | 4 | top100 | 84 | 236 | 134 | 710 | 4.32435 |
| 2 | Raw | 4 | top100 | 84 | 236 | 27 | 32 | 0.547723 |
| 3 | Raw | 4 | top100 | 84 | 236 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 4 | Raw | 4 | top100 | 84 | 236 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Raw | 4 | top100 | 84 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | 4 | top100 | 84. | 236 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Raw | 4 | top150 | 72 | 200 | 122 | 598 | 4.30116 |
| 2 | Raw | 4 | top150 | 72 | 200 | 27 | 32 | 0.547723 |
| 3 | Raw | 4 | top150 | 72 | 200 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 4 | Raw | 4 | top150 | 72 | 200 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Raw | 4 | top150 | 72 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | 4 | top150 | 72 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Raw | 4 | top50 | 108 | 308 | 151 | 874 | 4.58258 |
| 2 | Raw | 4 | top50 | 108 | 308 | 33 | 38 | 0.547723 |
| 3 | Raw | 4 | top50 | 108 | 308 | 8 | 8 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Raw | 4 | top50 | 108 | 308 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | Raw | 4 | top50 | 108 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | 4 | top50 | 108 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Raw | 4 | zero | 154 | 883 | 176 | 15556 | 34.3322 |
| 2 | Raw | 4 | zero | 154 | 883 | 173 | 3832 | 17.4184 |
| 3 | Raw | 4 | zero | 154 | 883 | 108 | 434 | 3.08221 |
| 4 | Raw | 4 | zero | 154 | 883 | 31 | 40 | 0.547723 |
| 5 | Raw | 4 | zero | 154 | 883 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | 4 | zero | 154 | 883 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | Raw | open | arts-prons | 221 | 1031 | 176 | 12404 | 29.577 |
| 2 | Raw | open | arts-prons | 221 | 1031 | 166 | 2330 | 11.9708 |
| 3 | Raw | open | arts-prons | 221 | 1031 | 92 | 258 | 2.28035 |
| 4 | Raw | open | arts-prons | 221 | 1031 | 19 | 22 | 0.316228 |
| 5 | Raw | open | arts-prons | 221 | 1031 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | open | arts-prons | 221 | 1031 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Raw | open | bt | 145 | 422 | 158 | 1226 | 5.95819 |
| 2 | Raw | open | bt | 145 | 422 | 40 | 62 | 0.774597 |
| 3 | Raw | open | bt | 145 | 422 | 15 | 18 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Raw | open | bt | 145 | 422 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | Raw | open | bt | 145 | 422 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | open | bt | 145 | 422 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Raw | open | btb | 159 | 571 | 172 | 4618 | 21.8403 |
| 2 | Raw | open | btb | 159 | 571 | 95 | 674 | 7.27324 |
| 3 | Raw | open | btb | 159 | 571 | 34 | 48 | 0.632456 |
| 4 | Raw | open | btb | 159 | 571 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 5 | Raw | open | btb | 159 | 571 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | open | btb | 159 | 571 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Raw | open | top100 | 147 | 410 | 162 | 1090 | 5.39444 |
| 2 | Raw | open | top100 | 147 | 410 | 38 | 56 | 0.707107 |
| 3 | Raw | open | top100 | 147 | 410 | 15 | 18 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Raw | open | top100 | 147 | 410 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | Raw | open | top100 | 147 | 410 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | open | top100 | 147 | 410 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Link Threshold | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Link } \\ & \text { Type } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Span } \\ & \text { Size } \end{aligned}$ | Stopword List | Number of Link Words | Total <br> Links | Number of Bonded Lines | Total <br> Bonds | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{St} \\ \mathrm{Dev} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Raw | open | top150 | 128 | 350 | 153 | 902 | 5.17687 |
| 2 | Raw | open | top150 | 128 | 350 | 35 | 52 | 0.707107 |
| 3 | Raw | open | top150 | 128 | 350 | 13 | 16 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Raw | open | top150 | 128 | 350 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Raw | open | top150 | 128 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | open | topl50 | 128 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Raw | open | top50 | 188 | 561 | 172 | 1588 | 6.23699 |
| 2 | Raw | open | top50 | 188 | 561 | 53 | 82 | 0.83666 |
| 3 | Raw | open | top50 | 188 | 561 | 15 | 18 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Raw | open | top50 | 188 | 561 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 5 | Raw | open | top50 | 188 | 561 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 6 | Raw | open | top50 | 188 | 561 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | Raw | open | zero | 236 | 1361 | 176 | 21602 | 31.1625 |
| 2 | Raw | open | zero | 236 | 1361 | 176 | 9208 | 29.3666 |
| 3 | Raw | open | zero | 236 | 1361 | 158 | 2126 | 11.4848 |
| 4 | Raw | open | zero | 236 | 1361 | 108 | 306 | 3.16228 |
| 5 | Raw | open | zero | 236 | 1361 | 22 | 28 | 0.447214 |
| 6 | Raw | open | zero | 236 | 1361 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 1 | Rel | 4 | arts-prons | 145 | 584 | 175 | 4422 | 14.3388 |
| 2 | Rel | 4 | arts-prons | 145 | 584 | 107 | 672 | 6.44981 |
| 3 | Rel | 4 | arts-prons | 145 | 584 | 35 | 48 | 0.632456 |
| 4 | Rel | 4 | arts-prons | 145 | 584 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | Rel | 4 | arts-prons | 145 | 584 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | 4 | arts-prons | 145 | 584 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
|  | Rel | 4 | bt | 69 | 189 | 122 | 532 | 4.06202 |
| 2 | Rel | 4 | bt | 69 | 189 | 19 | 24 | 0.447214 |
| 3 | Rel | 4 | bt | 69 | 189 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 4 | Rel | 4 | bt | 69 | 189 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Rel | 4 | bt | 69 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | 4 | bt | 69 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Rel | 4 | btb | 81 | 302 | 154 | 1942 | 12.2882 |
| 2 | Rel | 4 | btb | 81 | 302 | 51 | 480 | 6.7897 |
| 3 | Rel | 4 | btb | 81 | 302 | 12 | 12 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Rel | 4 | btb | 81 | 302 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Rel | 4 | btb | 81 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | 4 | btb | 81 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Rel | 4 | top 100 | 71 | 195 | 126 | 572 | 4.07431 |
| 2 | Rel | 4 | top 100 | 71 | 195 | 21 | 26 | 0.447214 |
| 3 | Rel | 4 | top100 | 71 | 195 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 4 | Rel | 4 | top100 | 71 | 195 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Rel | 4 | top100 | 71 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | 4 | top100 | 71 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Rel | 4 | top150 | 60 | 166 | 115 | 484 | 3.97492 |
| 2 | Rel | 4 | top150 | 60 | 166 | 21 | 26 | 0.547723 |
| 3 | Rel | 4 | top150 | 60 | 166 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 4 | Rel | 4 | top150 | 60 | 166 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Rel | 4 | top150 | 60 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | 4 | top150 | 60 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Rel | 4 | top50 | 93 | 252 | 142 | 668 | 4.12311 |
| 2 | Rel | 4 | top50 | 93 | 252 | 27 | 32 | 0.447214 |
| 3 | Rel | 4 | top50 | 93 | 252 | 8 | 8 | 0.316228 |


| Link <br> Threshold | Link Type | Span Size | Stopword List | Number of Link Words | Total <br> Links | Number of Bonded Lines | Total <br> Bonds | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{St} \\ \mathrm{Dev} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Rel | 4 | top50 | 93 | 252 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | Rel | 4 | top50 | 93 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | 4 | top50 | 93 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Rel | 4 | zero | 166 | 843 | 176 | 10732 | 28.309 |
| 2 | Rel | 4 | zero | 166 | 843 | 162 | 2166 | 10.139 |
| 3 | Rel | 4 | zero | 166 | 843 | 90 | 250 | 1.84391 |
| 4 | Rel | 4 | zero | 166 | 843 | 29 | 36 | 0.447214 |
| 5 | Rel | 4 | zero | 166 | 843 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | 4 | zero | 166 | 843 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 1 | Rel | open | arts-prons | 225 | 936 | 176 | 8164 | 23.1193 |
| 2 | Rel | open | arts-prons | 225 | 936 | 152 | 1284 | 8.07465 |
| 3 | Rel | open | arts-prons | 225 | 936 | 65 | 148 | 1.61245 |
| 4 | Rel | open | arts-prons | 225 | 936 | 20 | 24 | 0.447214 |
| 5 | Rel | open | arts-prons | 225 | 936 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | open | arts-prons | 225 | 936 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 1 | Rel | open | bt | 125 | 339 | 152 | 854 | 4.79583 |
| 2 | Rel | open | bt | 125 | 339 | 29 | 42 | 0.632456 |
| 3 | Rel | open | bt | 125 | 339 | 13 | 16 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Rel | open | bt | 125 | 339 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | Rel | open | bt | 125 | 339 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | open | bt | 125 | 339 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Rel | open | btb | 140 | 488 | 168 | 2982 | 15.2381 |
| 2 | Rel | open | btb | 140 | 488 | 77 | 566 | 6.67832 |
| 3 | Rel | open | btb | 140 | 488 | 28 | 42 | 0.632456 |
| 4 | Rel | open | btb | 140 | 488 | 10 | 10 | 0.316228 |
| 5 | Rel | open | btb | 140 | 488 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | open | btb | 140 | 488 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Rel | open | top100 | 123 | 328 | 154 | 804 | 4.58258 |
| 2 | Rel | open | top100 | 123 | 328 | 30 | 42 | 0.632456 |
| 3 | Rel | open | top100 | 123 | 328 | 13 | 16 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Rel | open | top100 | 123 | 328 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5 | Rel | open | top100 | 123 | 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | open | top100 | 123 | 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Rel | open | top150 | 103 | 279 | 144 | 692 | 4.40454 |
| 2 | Rel | open | top150 | 103 | 279 | 28 | 40 | 0.632456 |
| 3 | Rel | open | top150 | 103 | 279 | 11 | 14 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Rel | open | top150 | 103 | 279 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | Rel | open | top150 | 103 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | open | top150 | 103 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Rel | open | top50 | 166 | 448 | 164 | 1064 | 4.78539 |
| 2 | Rel | open | top50 | 166 | 448 | 37 | 52 | 0.632456 |
| 3 | Rel | open | top50 | 166 | 448 | 13 | 16 | 0.316228 |
| 4 | Rel | open | top50 | 166 | 448 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 5 | Rel | open | top50 | 166 | 448 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 6 | Rel | open | top50 | 166 | 448 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | Rel | open | zero | 251 | 1306 | 176 | 16462 | 31.1994 |
| 2 | Rel | open | zero | 251 | 1306 | 176 | 5282 | 20.3199 |
| 3 | Rel | open | zero | 251 | 1306 | 142 | 1056 | 6.67083 |
| 4 | Rel | open | zero | 251 | 1306 | 68 | 136 | 1.34164 |
| 5 | Rel | open | zero | 251 | 1306 | 26 | 32 | 0.447214 |
| 6 | Rel | open | zero | 251 | 1306 | 8 | 8 | 0 |

## Appendix 4 <br> Concordance Questionnaire

Thanks for taking the time to take part in this survey. I would like you to apply your corpus-linguistic skills to a short analytical task. On the pages which follow are 200 citations of the word 'date', taken from the Bank of English. These have been numbered to aid identification and sorted in several ways to make the task of analysis easier. Common collocates and 'picture' output are also attached. If you would like to use the corpus online to look at this data in other ways (re-sorting, regexp search etc), I am assured that you will get the same set of citations if you use the entire corpus and select 200 lines, but please do not do this yet!
The task that I would like you to perform is as follows:

1) Examine the citations and select the twenty lines which you think are most representative of the behaviour of the node word. Feel free to make use of all the versions of the data. If you are unable to identify twenty lines, select as many as you think are representative.

Please enter the numbers of the citations you select in the boxes below. You do not need to rank the citations, so the order in which you enter them is not important.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2) Re-examine the citations and select twenty which you would be feel would be suitable for use as examples in a dictionary. You may assume that the citations could be edited to some extent, that is, it is possible that only a part of a citation would be used, or that the citation would be expanded to a full sentence. As above, if you feel that there are not twenty useable examples, you may select fewer.

The citations you choose here do not have to overlap with the lines you selected in the previous section, but it does not matter if they do. Please enter the numbers of your selected lines into the boxes below. Here too, order is not important.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3) Finally, please briefly answer the following questions:
i) The data with which you were provided was only a sample of the occurrences of the word 'date', of which there are over 16,000 in total. Do you feel that the sample adequately represented the characteristics of the node word?

You may now check your intuitions against the corpus if you wish. Were they correct?

What size sample would you have chosen for an initial examination of this node word and why would you choose this size?
ii) How many senses of the node word were you able to identify from the 200 citations?

Briefly list which senses you identified.

Do you feel that these are all the senses of this node word?
iii) When you are beginning your analysis of a word (any word), on what grounds (eg total frequency, number of senses expected, distribution across sub-corpora) do you choose the number of sample lines to examine?

If you have any questions relating to this questionnaire, please contact me at: acollier@liverpool.ac.uk. Thank you once again for your help. I would be grateful if you could return the completed questionnaire to me by Friday 28th July, so that I have enough time to collate the results, which I will present at the Cobuild Seminar on August 9th.

## 'date' Sample Concordance

001 .. <LTH> Opening up for Metallica on a 65 date US tour. The Cult banged on with their 002 Mead </pres> <prod> Francis Mead </prod> Date Rec: 16 October 1990 Prog No: 90r/32k/0 003 ing details of each match you play. <LTH> DATE COMPETITION OPPONENT VENUE SCORE RESULT 004 ontinue to try to get you into bed. <LTH> Date rape is at the forefront of all our min 005 atre Schedule </h> Playing this weekend:A Date With Judy (1948) Jane Powell plays a vi 006 s had declared their willingness to set a date for starting stage two of economic and 007 he no longer enjoys the preparation for a date. 'Getting ready is part of the fun of i 008 formance Group, who will present We Got A Date, Can't Take Johnny To The Funeral and I 009 July they flew to Fort Worth to perform a date at the Dallas Hilton. Tina was wearing a 010 ate? Almost half thought she should set a date for stepping down; 35 per cent that she 011 and her husband like to sometimes go on a date and spend the night in a hotel.Mrs. Cla 012 a lot of water \# At one point he forgot a date that was sort of a simple date on which 013 eggs and flour when he stood her up for a date. But what has been the nature of the fr 014 back to America for the Brando film and a date she wants to keep with Michelle Pfeiffe 015 David Hogan, SM, has been adjourned to a date to be fixed.<dt> 930414 </dt> Cairns at 016 ho has been lined up as his dating agency date unbeknown to wife Alison Steadman who $n$ 017 scope given here is set for this time and date, and for the capital, paramaribo.<t> <F 018 e . The next regularly slated announcement date is Sept. 18. A brand new directorate wa 019 time. <LTH> JULIAN COPE has added another date to his ''Head On'" tour. It's at Bradfo 020 on, however, with the addition of another date at the London Camden Falcon on Septembe 021 lanation ready for critics of the bizarre date-rape story, 'What Actually Happened \# 8 022 g wheels, and as an adult his first blind date.<t> Unidentified Woman (From Radio Ad): 023 oduced banner headlines about his \# blind date'" escapade. <t> It was that sense of de 024 HH> LISTINGS <LTH> Concerts are listed by date, then by city \# Classical Listings comp 025 ese words and so we will see that sell-by date is no longer associated with perishable 026 n hoarded for decades, only an expert can date a garment. When a skirt length changes, 027 orrect entries selected after the closing date of Tuesday, August 10 will win the new 028 s . The first name drawn after the closing date on October 22 , will receive a free Ladd 029 mford Street, London sel 9LS. The closing date is Tuesday August 31, 1993 and the edit 030 es drawn will each receive a kit. Closing date for entries is August 14. Standard rule 031 . Winner to be notified by phone. Closing date? box 29661 <LTH> CZECH 42, passive, liv 032 ied as soon as possible after the closing date. <LTH> 9 Send entries to: AP/Image Hong 033 AB , to reach us no later than the closing date, July 31, 1993. <LTH> 1: TOGECAT <LTH> 034536 Kings Road, London swlo OTE. Closing date is August 13. Normal rules apply. <h> p 035 iars Street, London ec88 2NG. <t> Closing date for the contest is January 7, 1992. Sen 036 er pulled from our postbag on the closing date. <t> Over-18's only. News International 037 e than 1500 immediately after the closing date \# DAMIEN MARSH .. hard work has paid of 038 s hotel (about $\$ 1.2$ million \# The closing date for inclusion of properties is July $6 . \mathrm{B}$ 039 s or call 008812772 for details.CLOSING DATE: August 13.DRAWN: August 20.MOBILE PHON 040 the opening rounds. A mutually convenient date should then be set and green fees share 041 FOX> MX.<MOX> Er communications. The copy date for the next issue of Foreword is this 042 :Scotland Yard says the children's deaths date back to 1984. Reports suggest that betw 043 wo years ahead of its intended deployment date, <t> Only ten navigators had been train 044 hy the new Germany has chosen a different date \# October 3 \# Reunification Day to be a 045 s May 8. You may get a slightly different date by this short cut method than by adding 04611 begin to accumulate with each dividend date. drps really do serve an important func 047 position figure said the distant election date will give the ruling family time to man 048 ber of parties in parties in the Election date election parliament Albania Mar 23 rd 19 049 non-partisan. In announcing the election date, President Roh Tae Woo said there was ' 050 peals. <LTH> Make sure you know the final date for accepting a place. Decline unwanted 051 Frank and Barbara Sinatra after the final date of Mr Sinatra's London season. Today he 052 s in Dublin on Saturday should set a firm date for an inter-governmental conference on 053 crowd--don't pick Red Lobster for a first date. Great lunch deals. Hours: Mon. -Thur. a

054 <LTH> Do you play it cool after the first date? <LTH> Sarah If it was left that we wou 055 dents had slept with a man on their first date and 39 per cent admitted to being unfai 056 ed agreement with the Chinese on a formal date for the resumption of diplomatic ties, 057 periods of deep loneliness and grief. Her date of birth has been placed somewhere arou 058 gued that it is vital. <p> Dr Salk's ideas date back a long way, but he has linked them 059 11-wishers, July 26 is the most important date in the year. It marks the anniversary o 060 te, as sensible of the priority of one in date. It was AD 450, that they beat the Scot 061 of (heb.] shows that the poem is late in date. However, Phoenician inscriptions early 062 t . 1960. pp. 181-8). An early inauguration date for the material product concept is ind 063 SENTER: Sue Waldram PRODUCER: Ferri Jahed DATE REC: 10 July 1990 TAPE NO: 90r/32k/028/ 064 poser, Sir Andrew Lloyd Webber. <t> Janet Date, a guide and former actress, is in her 065 tempt the same operation again at a later date. He may even, some analysts say, risk th 066 ieved by September 1993 and at some later date the US authorities will declare the sys 067 e people will return to church at a later date. I would like to invite everyone to atte 068 it and its disposition, and of the likely date when the accumulated treasure, with a $g$ 069 e Gulf grows stronger, even if the likely date seems to recede towards the edge of the 070 Franks (S) on design problems (location \# date). Contract for Snabl already done, pse i 0711 progressive-punks play a one-off London date with Poisoned Electrick Head at New Cro 072 at 10 cent a share \# There is no meeting date set as yet.Pacarc said the issue to WT 073 e has portrayed." <t> <h> Benetton's new date; Motor Racing </h> <dt> 25 August 1994 < 074 ork to finish the record, before the next date of the tour in Lisbon. <LTH> The Edge $h$ 075 gn ministry denies there is a hold-up, no date has been set for a new round of talks \# 076 ian population is a minority in Serbia.No date has been set for elections and there is 077 ot mention a place. And so far there's no date fixed for the meeting. Until that happe 078 file, no proof, no dossier, no names, no date, no body. And as happens in all hostage 079 y not letting us go to work. <t> Dugan: No date has been set for the resumption of cont 080 by others.<t> 1 Indefinite exclusion: no date is fixed for a return. Consideration of 081 entral government were swiftly put out of date yesterday by the President of Kazakhsta 082 luding them, is discriminating and out of date? They have had some support from leading 083 that the S.E. 2000 would be already out of date even before it first flew, and a new de 084 criticisms that their magazine is out of date or has lost its edge \# Editor zanne zak 085 j <ZFO> a newspaper it is slightly out of date but erm <FOX> Anything at all it'll giv 086 uly 9) about British tennis are as out of date as the Dunlop Maxply in the attic. <t> $087 r$ information was always six hours out of date. I get an update from the senior foreca 088 erial. Just as computers overwrite out-of date files on their disks, monks used to scr 089 etting data from instruments years out of date. Small craft allow the use.of up-to-dat 090 hioned administration,traditional, out-of date, a group of elderly men smoking cigars, 091 had a puritan streak, and the concept of date" or 'acquaintance" rape reveals just ho 092 anagers announced the April 5th blast-off date following a flight review at the Kenned 093 in the next three weeks \# The NBL cut-off date for the finalisation of imports is next 094 complained that Iraq is offering only one date for a meeting, while he has offered 15 095 al exhaustion of a new mother. An opening date in June would have given her two precio 096 properly revised at the earliest possible date. <LTH> It is also unfortunate that a re 097 this page May 3). Federal credit programs date back to the New Deal, and were meant to $098>$ you do you have a sort of a prospective date for having the whole thing up and runni 099 in the Autumn Triangle. <LTH> Provisional date and venue for National Council 1992: 4100 FX and FX that therefore the official pub date for the U K <FOX> <ZGY> <FOX> would be 101 res. These measurements also give a quick date for that segment of the whole ice core, 102 o do the 'artwork' for him at the Reading date), going on to waltz until dawn with an 103 ithdrew it and began again, with a record date of Aug. 29. Amdura has challenged the 1 104 shares of Winners on June 20 , the record date for Friday's special meeting to conside 105 <ZZO> Twenty years on from their release date, two albums look set to make this month 106 <ZZO> <t> Mark Keenan, 28, whose release date had been delayed by 28 days, was found 107 ed in vaults and galleries. Those in Rome date mainly from the third and early fourth

108 sed the 11th hour cancellation of Suede's date at the venue, and the closure of the Br 109 rsonally signed and predated with today's date), his eyeglasses, a Koran, a Bible. Fro 110 at your chance of life was someone else's date with </h> death?; Steve Hyett; Part 2 <bl 111 g article ('Crime made easy') of the same date seems to have that problem. Can you exp 112 ill follow their previously announced six date tour, are priced <KPD> 8.50. Fans will 113 proposed October the 30 th as the starting date \# Mrs \# Mandela's lawyer argued success 114 e of a visit with my son on such and such date else I would have been there. Probation 115 e Nile, the village is surrounded by tall date palms and lush green farmland. Its narr 116 reed last night to set 2000 as the target date for stabilising emissions of carbon dio 117 ng Board and set an implementation target date of January 1. <t> The working party hop is <CES> <t> So it would seem from the date of his birth \# <t> My God \# <t> He's no 128 of work on a regular basis and is not the date his family or household goods and effec Evans has two weeks from his termination date to appeal the decision. As for Randall, the hatching of meadow birds. After that date the mechanical cultivation of fields wi , however, always say that he shares that date with my wife. <LTH> Dr L Keith France < $h$ the Council by 1 April 1993. After that date, it will be an offence to run an unregi er the first use of their cards from that date. They have until March 1, 1993, to clea decided Tuesday to have a meeting on that date, the judge ordered the meeting held and e lever. <CQO> <t> Leap-horn gave him the date of the death of Pointed Shoes.<t> <CQ1> Ted was a model patient, remembering the date of every appointment, and following a lo terward, Leo asked her for a date, and the date led to this. This deal has to be cash, that Tunisia has implicitly accepted the date of the 27 th, so that would suggest that d, accompanied by a printed report of the date, time and number of the attempted conne hecking my face again when he came to the date of birth, turning to the back to see th ers, like the driver of a Hansom cab. The date is 29 March 1920.<t> <FCH> Above left < rsvermehrung beim Umbau," which bears the date December 13, 1932 at the end. 39 Ibid. 40 than the exact calendar months after the date the loan was opened. <LTH> Written quot if you post your order and payment by the date on the enclosed form. <LTH> <FCH> But w dvert and your order \# with a note of the date you sent it. Don't forget to give your ably about 13 \# I can't remember what the date on that is--about 1773 or so \# She--she round war against Iraq \# He also said the date is imminent and that a ground war can't is in the--on the--petrified tree and the date \# And in all of my trips out to Montana tain intervention until September 20, the date of the referendum, if necessary, and wh Mrs Thatcher acted, bringing forward the date for a possible leadership election in o jor is expected to confirm April 9 as the date of the election. <h> Tories pin electio will debate the issue. <t> But setting the date is seen as little more than a palliativ rybody else appears to have forgotten the date. Others feel the need to discuss the em nt Assembly. The elections, such as their date and the voters' roll and even that meet know. Leah claimed she knew by the third date that she wanted to become Mrs Winter. B $s$, he asks you out again despite no third date action), you know you've built a founda 39 (London,1981). Berlitz associated this date with the dire predictions given in Grib ndependence, and Chart 91 is set for this date for Helsinki, the capital, for 12.00 no kend Edition \# I'm Neal Conan. <t> On this date in 1956 the Republican Party nominated ecretary of state, brought Franklin up to date on the bloodshed in his beloved France. hout adequate nuclear weapons, kept up to date and based forward in Europe, our defenc and he said he would bring Mr Bush up to date on the issue:If we were forced to resor o you work in the city?" and so on.<t> To date no machine has successfully fooled an e eave to enable the returner to keep up to date with developments.<t> Various other sch thought of her man \# <SO> Very much up to date, only been in service with our own forc miles with all the service records up to date. Abandoned only because arthritis had $g$ cers so they can keep their members up to date with what is happening in the industry. contributed just less than dollars 3 m to date. Most big state campaigns cost about do Boy In New Cross \# their greatest hit to date, is nowhere to be seen; but they do squ

162 th their good work. Their achievements to date are quite amazing: land reclaimed; gard 163 to teach has not been very productive to date, nor is it likely to become more so in 164 anwhile, the multi-national menu is up-to date without being trendy: strikingly fresh 165 <LTH> Sir-We are almost there, having to date raised <KPD> 92,000 in aid of lifeboat 166 most notable two year-old performances to date \# writes Dean Bailey <LTH> RESPONDING g 167 three billion bases, or coding units. To date, fossilised DNA has been extracted from 168 t to be different. <LTH> As we come up to date, people \# do it'' to be the same. Long 169 ting financial climate in the country. To date $I$ have only received five applications 170 rame the most impassioned Vedder vocal to date. He creates an opening mood of loneline ficant clubs, this is Brainiak's story to date \# B Sides"' features three God-blessed at' rhythm, 'Love \# is his biggest hit to date. Chang's brand of lyrics label him as a ng really because er it's just been up-to date and it <M01> Mhm.<M02> I mean that's it In India where we've got reasonably up to date statistics on population. Er there's be tivities in which you've been involved to date? <MO1> Er the spectrum of that would rea are now available but ask somebody up-to date.<MO1> Mm.<F01> And of course computeriz utilning my 'firm grasp of the most up-to date trauma procedures". <t> The references and we are encouraged by our progress to date." In New York, John S. Reidy, analyst $f$ agements. In one of the few such moves to date, KGF recently moved the management of $B$ \# Mrs Milosevic's only live appearance to date came on one of its interview shows \# an e full potential has not been realised to date owing to the ground.<t> In the Temple $S$ decriminalising of breaches in the law to date.<t> The ruling Christian Democrats and ov has won almost all their encounters to date.<t> Short emerged from the candidates' even though the home loan was paid up to date. Few would ever have imagined they coul possible \# says Bremner. The evidence to date, he says, suggests that men given a comp ery 3 months; none has become infected to date. In more than 70 incidents worldwide in verns, and to considerable depths, but to date no detailed studies have been made of $t$ world, and though this is the first up-to date survey of its politics, it does not pro at makes it the No. 1 film of the year to date and the biggest April release in the hi ei where tier upon tier of rock-cut tombs date from as long ago as the thirteenth cent mount of ownership.<t> Levinson: No trial date has been set yet for the Janis lawsuit eaplane 2222 No built <FCH> Aircraft Type Date Purpose of Design No built <FCH> Anson meet the announced 20 March maiden voyage date. On 10 October the company released a $n$ ents occur in the group of kouroi that we date the earliest.<t> JAFFE: The torso, on $t$ er-finals in Filderstadt. <t> <h> Wembley date; Rugby League </h> <dt> 15 October 1994 trying to block a money-spinning Wembley date.Edwards hopes to convince FA Cup semi-f rs \# It's not stated clearly back to what date this is effective \# The decrees come on and we started restoring our murals which date back to the Portuguese era in the 14th ember after ordering the reactors \# which date back to the 1950 s \# to be shut. They po $h$, blossomed in the presence of women who date act ors and princes, dine in Milan and

## Appendix 5a <br> Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r) for Automatic vs Manual Analyses

Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r) for Automatic vs Manual Analyses

| Stopword List | Link Threshold | Link Type | Compared with | r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zero | 4 | Raw | Repr | 0.160472 |
| zero | 5 | Raw | Repr | 0.158715 |
| arts-prons | 3 | Raw | Usable | 0.151627 |
| zero | 3 | Raw | Repr | 0.140843 |
| arts-prons | 4 | Raw | Usable | 0.139588 |
| arts-prons | 2 | Raw | Usable | 0.137518 |
| zero | 2 | Raw | Repr | 0.137459 |
| zero | 3 | Abs | Repr | 0.134821 |
| zero | 3 | Abs | Usable | 0.133738 |
| btb | 2 | Rel | Usable | 0.13083 |
| zero | 5 | Raw | Usable | 0.12586 |
| zero | 4 | Raw | Usable | 0.125596 |
| btb | 2 | Raw | Usable | 0.124091 |
| arts-prons | 2 | Raw | Repr | 0.120067 |
| arts-prons | 1 | Raw | Repr | 0.119728 |
| arts-prons | 2 | Abs | Usable | 0.11948 |
| top50 | 1 | Rel | Usable | 0.11899 |
| zero | 6 | Raw | Usable | 0.118291 |
| top50 | 1 | Raw | Usable | 0.116674 |
| btb | 1 | Abs | Usable | 0.116009 |
| btb | 1 | Abs | Repr | 0.115263 |
| arts-prons | 1 | Raw | Usable | 0.113599 |
| arts-prons | 2 | Rel | Usable | 0.113581 |
| bt | 1 | Raw | Repr | 0.112679 |
| arts-prons | 4 | Raw | Repr | 0.112003 |
| zero | 6 | Raw | Repr | 0.111595 |
| top50 | 1 | Abs | Usable | 0.107549 |
| zero | 1 | Raw | Repi | 0.106711 |
| btb | 2 | Rel | Repr | 0.103401 |
| arts-prons | 3 | Raw | Repr | 0.102863 |
| btb | 2 | Abs | Usable | 0.101283 |
| btb | 3 | Raw | Usable | 0.100125 |
| btb | 2 | Raw | Repr | 0.0952839 |
| zero | 2 | Abs | Repr | 0.0937013 |
| zero | 3 | Raw | Usable | 0.0933104 |
| zero | 2 | Abs | Usable | 0.0920593 |
| zero | 2 | Raw | Usable | 0.0919059 |
| bt | 5 | Raw | Repr | 0.0914492 |
| btb | 5 | Raw | Repr | 0.0914492 |
| top50 | 6 | Raw | Repr | 0.0914492 |
| top50 | 2 | Raw | Repr | 0.0900972 |
| arts-prons | 1 | Rel | Usable | 0.089265 |
| btb | 2 | Abs | Repr | 0.0863372 |
| arts-prons | 1 | Abs | Usable | 0.0862142 |
| arts-prons | 3 | Rel | Usable | 0.0860917 |
| btb | 3 | Raw | Repr | 0.0848565 |
| zero | 1 | Raw | Usable | 0.084021 |

Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r) for Automatic vs Manual Analyses

| Stopword List | Link Threshold | Link <br> Type | Compared with | r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arts-prons | 2 | Abs | Repr | 0.0810827 |
| bt | 1 | Rel | Repr | 0.0809557 |
| bt | , | Raw | Usable | 0.0807244 |
| top50 | 1 | Abs | Repr | 0.0773212 |
| zero | 4 | Abs | Usable | 0.0772738 |
| top150 | 1 | Raw | Repr | 0.0762544 |
| top50 | 5 | Raw | Repr | 0.0743581 |
| zero | 4 | Rel | Repr | 0.0743539 |
| top100 | 1 | Raw | Repr | 0.0741777 |
| bt | 4 | Raw | Repr | 0.0737642 |
| top50 | 1 | Raw | Repr | 0.0721254 |
| top50 | 2 | Raw | Usable | 0.0717595 |
| top50 | 1 | Rel | Repr | 0.0717154 |
| bt | 1 | Abs | Usable | 0.0698631 |
| top100 | 2 | Raw | Usable | 0.0684238 |
| zero | 1 | Rel | Repr | 0.0669735 |
| arts-prons | 6 | Raw | Usable | 0.0663645 |
| bt | 5 | Raw | Usable | 0.0656034 |
| btb | 5 | Raw | Usable | 0.0656034 |
| top50 | 6 | Raw | Usable | 0.0656034 |
| top100 | 1 | Raw | Usable | 0.0642593 |
| btb | 1 | Raw | Usable | 0.0634492 |
| arts-prons | 1 | Rel | Repr | 0.0612989 |
| topl50 | 2 | Raw | Usable | 0.0608767 |
| zero | 2 | Rel | Repr | 0.0599399 |
| bt | 4 | Raw | Usable | 0.0597867 |
| bt | 1 | Rel | Usable | 0.0595384 |
| btb | 4 | Raw | Repr | 0.0580086 |
| btb | 4 | Raw | Usable | 0.0575427 |
| zero | 3 | Rel | Repr | 0.057217 |
| topl50 | 1 | Raw | Usable | 0.05598 |
| top50 | 4 | Raw | Usable | 0.0559443 |
| top50 | 2 | Abs | Usable | 0.055499 |
| arts-prons | 2 | Rel | Repr | 0.0552844 |
| bib | 3 | Rel | Repr | 0.0548648 |
| bt | 2 | Raw | Usable | 0.0547751 |
| btb | 1 | Rel | Usable | 0.0532424 |
| arts-prons | 4 | Abs | Usable | 0.0520662 |
| arts-prons | 5 | Abs | Usable | 0.0520662 |
| top50 | 2 | Rel | Repr | 0.0517323 |
| zero | 4 | Rel | Usable | 0.050919 |
| btb | 3 | Rel | Usable | 0.0469044 |
| top100 | 4 | Raw | Usable | 0.0460898 |
| top150 | 4 | Raw | Usable | 0.0460898 |
| top100 | 2 | Rel | Repr | 0.0460015 |
| arts-prons | 5 | Rel | Usable | 0.0457302 |
| arts-prons | 5 | Raw | Usable | 0.0453004 |
| top100 | 2 | Raw | Repr | 0.0451467 |
| zero |  | Rel | Usable | 0.0443776 |
| top100 | 2 | Rel | Usable | 0.0427069 |

Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r) for Automatic vs Manual Analyses

| Stopword List | Link <br> Threshold | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Link } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Compared with | r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arts-prons | 6 | Rel | Usable | 0.041473 |
| zero | 5 | Abs | Usable | 0.0387049 |
| top50 | 4 | Raw | Repr | 0.038473 |
| art-prons | 6 | Raw | Repr | 0.0380452 |
| topl00 | 4 | Raw | Repr | 0.0379376 |
| topl50 | 4 | Raw | Repr | 0.0379376 |
| topl50 | 2 | Raw | Repr | 0.0376191 |
| top50 | 5 | Raw | Usable | 0.0358538 |
| arts-prons | 1 | Abs | Repr | 0.0355865 |
| topl50 | 2 | Rel | Repr | 0.0348022 |
| bt | 3 | Rel | Repr | 0.0323498 |
| topl50 | 2 | Rel | Usable | 0.0314265 |
| bt | 3 | Raw | Repr | 0.031341 |
| top50 | 3 | Rel | Repr | 0.0308943 |
| arts-prons | 3 | Abs | Usable | 0.0295721 |
| bib | 1 | Raw | Repr | 0.0293713 |
| zcro | 2 | Rel | Usable | 0.0288602 |
| bt | 2 | Abs | Repr | 0.0285911 |
| arts-prons | 5 | Raw | Repr | 0.0284467 |
| top50 | 2 | Rel | Usable | 0.0269155 |
| top50 | 3 | Rel | Usable | 0.0267172 |
| bt | 1 | Abs | Repr | 0.0266652 |
| topl00 | 1 | Rel | Usable | 0.0260982 |
| zero | 3 | Rel | Usable | 0.0254732 |
| bt | 2 | Raw | Repr | 0.0247631 |
| zero | 5 | Rel | Repr | 0.0238316 |
| zcro | 5 | Rel | Usable | 0.0227609 |
| arts-prons | 3 | Rel | Repr | 0.0206558 |
| topl00 | 3 | Raw | Repr | 0.0200875 |
| topl50 | 3 | Raw | Repr | 0.0200875 |
| topl00 | 2 | Abs | Repr | 0.0190385 |
| topl50 | 2 | Abs | Repr | 0.0190385 |
| bt | 2 | Abs | Usable | 0.0186653 |
| topl50 | 1 | Abs | Usable | 0.0185879 |
| bt | 3 | Raw | Usable | 0.0176936 |
| top100 | 1 | Abs | Usable | 0.0168762 |
| topl00 | 1 | Rel | Repr | 0.0152197 |
| zero | 1 | Abs | Usable | 0.0152132 |
| zcro |  | Abs | Repr | 0.0125578 |
| $t o p 100$ | 3 | Raw | Usable | 0.012112 |
| topl50 | 3 | Raw | Usable | 0.012112 |
| btb | 1 | Rel | Repr | 0.0116549 |
| arts-prons |  | Rel | Usable | 0.010805 |
| top50 |  | Rel | Repr | 0.0089975 |
| top150 | 1 | Rel | Repr | 0.00780905 |
| bt | 3 | Rel | Usable | 0.00610162 |
| top100 | 2 | Abs | Usable | 0.00586106 |
| top150 | 2 | Abs | Usable | 0.00586106 |
| top50 | 4 | Rel | Usable | 0.00573766 |
| bt | 4 | Rel | Usable | 0.00494328 |

Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r) for Automatic vs Manual Analyses

| Stopword List | Link Threshold | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Link } \\ & \text { Type } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Compared with | r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| top100 | 4 | Rel | Usable | 0.00494328 |
| top150 | 4 | Rel | Usable | 0.00494328 |
| top50 | 2 | Abs | Repr | 0.00491112 |
| top150 | 1 | Rel | Usable | 0.00410956 |
| top50 | 3 | Raw | Usable | 0.0009486 |
| zero | 1 | Abs | Repr | 0.000846477 |
| zero | 6 | Rel | Repr | 0.000771992 |
| bt | 6 | Raw | Repr | 0.0 |
| bt | 6 | Raw | Usable | 0.0 |
| bt | 6 | Abs | Repr | 0.0 |
| bt | 6 | Abs | Usable | 0.0 |
| bt | 6 | Rel | Repr | 0.0 |
| bt | 6 | Rel | Usable | 0.0 |
| btb | 6 | Raw | Repr | 0.0 |
| btb | 6 | Raw | Usable | 0.0 |
| btb | 6 | Abs | Repr | 0.0 |
| btb | 6 | Abs | Usable | 0.0 |
| btb | 6 | Rel | Repr | 0.0 |
| btb | 6 | Rel | Usable | 0.0 |
| top100 | 5 | Raw | Repr | 0.0 |
| top100 | 5 | Raw | Usable | 0.0 |
| top100 | 5 | Abs | Repr | 0.0 |
| top100 | 5 | Abs | Usable | 0.0 |
| top100 | 5 | Rel | Repr | 0.0 |
| top100 | 5 | Rel | Usable | 0.0 |
| top100 | 6 | Raw | Repr | 0.0 |
| top100 | 6 | Raw | Usable | 0.0 |
| top100 | 6 | Abs | Repr | 0.0 |
| top100 | 6 | Abs | Usable | 0.0 |
| top100 | 6 | Rel | Repr | 0.0 |
| top100 | 6 | Rel | Usable | 0.0 |
| top150 | 5 | Raw | Repr | 0.0 |
| top150 | 5 | Raw | Usable | 0.0 |
| top150 | 5 | Abs | Repr | 0.0 |
| top150 | 5 | Abs | Usable | 0.0 |
| top150 | 5 | Rel | Repr | 0.0 |
| top150 | 5 | Rel | Usable | 0.0 |
| top150 | 6 | Raw | Repr | 0.0 |
| topl50 | 6 | Raw | Usable | 0.0 |
| top150 | 6 | Abs | Repr | 0.0 |
| topl50 | 6 | Abs | Usable | 0.0 |
| top150 | 6 | Rel | Repr | 0.0 |
| top150 | 6 | Rel | Usable | 0.0 |
| top50 | 5 | Abs | Repr | 0.0 |
| top50 | 5 | Abs | Usable | 0.0 |
| top50 | 5 | Rel | Repr | 0.0 |
| top50 | 5 | Rel | Usable | 0.0 |
| top50 | 6 | Abs | Repr | 0.0 |
| top50 | 6 | Abs | Usable | 0.0 |
| top50 | 6 | Rel | Repr | 0.0 |

Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r) for Automatic vs Manual Analyses

| Stopword List | Link Threshold | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Link } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Compared with | r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| top50 | 6 | Rel | Usable | 0.0 |
| bt | 2 | Rel | Usable | -0.000902095 |
| bt | 2 | Rel | Repr | -0.00149611 |
| zero | 6 | Rel | Usable | -0.00276863 |
| arts-prons | 3 | Abs | Repr | -0.00469006 |
| arts-prons | 4 | Abs | Repr | -0.00529693 |
| arts-prons | 5 | Abs | Repr | -0.00529693 |
| bt | 3 | Abs | Repr | -0.0060907 |
| bt | 4 | Rel | Repr | -0.0060907 |
| btb | 3 | Abs | Repr | -0.0060907 |
| top100 | 3 | Abs | Repr | -0.0060907 |
| top100 | 4 | Rel | Repr | -0.0060907 |
| top150 | 3 | Abs | Repr | -0.0060907 |
| top150 | 4 | Rel | Repr | -0.0060907 |
| top50 | 3 | Abs | Repr | -0.0060907 |
| zero | 5 | Abs | Repr | -0.0062203 |
| arts-prons | 6 | Rel | Repr | -0.00666516 |
| top100 | 3 | Rel | Repr | -0.00722152 |
| top150 | 3 | Rel | Repr | -0.00722152 |
| arts-prons | 5 | Rel | Repr | -0.00798018 |
| top150 | 1 | Abs | Repr | -0.0102665 |
| top50 | 3 | Raw | Repr | -0.0181693 |
| top100 | 1 | Abs | Repr | -0.0183122 |
| top100 | 3 | Rel | Usable | -0.0201881 |
| top150 | 3 | Rel | Usable | -0.0201881 |
| btb | 4 | Rel | Usable | -0.0261382 |
| arts-prons | 4 | Rel | Repr | -0.0270862 |
| bt | 3 | Abs | Usable | -0.0316736 |
| btb | 3 | Abs | Usable | -0.0316736 |
| top 100 | 3 | Abs | Usable | -0.0316736 |
| topl50 | 3 | Abs | Usable | -0.0316736 |
| top50 | 3 | Abs | Usable | -0.0316736 |
| btb | 4 | Rel | Repr | -0.0392034 |
| arts-prons | 6 | Abs | Usable | -0.0599534 |
| bt | 4 | Abs | Usable | -0.0599534 |
| bt | 5 | Abs | Usable | -0.0599534 |
| bt | 5 | Rel | Usable | -0.0599534 |
| btb | 4 | Abs | Usable | -0.0599534 |
| btb | 5 | Abs | Usable | -0.0599534 |
| btb | 5 | Rel | Usable | -0.0599534 |
| top100 | 4 | Abs | Usable | -0.0599534 |
| top150 |  | Abs | Usable | -0.0599534 |
| top50 | 4 | Abs | Usable | -0.0599534 |
| zero | 6 | Abs | Usable | -0.0599534 |
| arts-prons | 6 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| bt | 4 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| bt | 5 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| bt | 5 | Rel | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| btb | 4 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| btb | 5 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |

Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (r) for Automatic vs Manual Analyses

| Stopword <br> List | Link <br> Threshold | Link <br> Type | Compared <br> with | $\mathbf{r}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| btb | 5 | Rel | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| top100 | 4 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| top150 | 4 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| top50 | 4 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |
| zero | 6 | Abs | Repr | -0.0667674 |

## Appendix 5b <br> Simple Rank Scores based on Top 10 Representative Lines from 'date' Sample

Simple Rank Scores based on Top 10 Representative Lines from 'date' Sample

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arts-prons | 2 | open | abs | 0.786701 |
| arts-prons | 4 | open | raw | 0.781586 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | raw | 0.781074 |
| arts-prons |  | open | raw | 0.776471 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | abs | 0.765729 |
| arts-prons | 2 | open | rel | 0.764706 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | rel | 0.760614 |
| top50 | 1 | open | abs | 0.757033 |
| arts-prons | 1 | open | raw | 0.755499 |
| bt | 1 | open | abs | 0.748849 |
| arts-prons | 5 | open | raw | 0.742199 |
| arts-prons | 1 | open | rel | 0.741176 |
| arts-prons | 4 | open | rel | 0.73913 |
| top150 | 1 | open | abs | 0.729412 |
| top100 | 1 | open | abs | 0.714066 |
| zero | 3 | open | abs | 0.698721 |
| top50 | 3 | open | raw | 0.686957 |
| arts-prons | 1 | open | abs | 0.680818 |
| zero | 2 | open | abs | 0.670588 |
| zero | 5 | open | raw | 0.667008 |
| btb | 2 | open | abs | 0.662916 |
| btb | 3 | open | raw | 0.66087 |
| bt | 2 | open | rel | 0.659847 |
| top50 | 1 | open | rel | 0.658824 |
| arts-prons | 5 | open | rel | 0.65422 |
| bt | 1 | open | rel | 0.652685 |
| zero | 4 | open | raw | 0.652174 |
| zero | 3 | open | raw | 0.650128 |
| btb |  | open | abs | 0.648593 |
| zero | 6 | open | raw | 0.648082 |
| btb | 3 | open | rel | 0.646547 |
| zero | 4 | open | abs | 0.636829 |
| top50 | 2 | open | raw | 0.632737 |
| arts-prons | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.631714 |
| arts-prons | 1 | fixed | raw | 0.631714 |
| arts-prons | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.631714 |
| arts-prons | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.631714 |
| arts-prons | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.631714 |
| arts-prons | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.631714 |
| arts-prons | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.631714 |
| bt | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.631714 |
| bt | 1 | fixed | raw | 0.631714 |
| bt | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.631714 |
| btb | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.631714 |
| btb | 1 | fixed | raw | 0.631714 |
| btb | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.631714 |
| top100 | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.631714 |
| top100 | 1 | fixed | raw | 0.631714 |

Simple Rank Scores based on Top 10 Representative Lines from 'date' Sample

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| topl00 | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.631714 |
| top150 | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.631714 |
| top150 | 1 | fixed | raw | 0.631714 |
| top150 | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.631714 |
| top50 | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.631714 |
| top50 |  | fixed | raw | 0.631714 |
| top50 | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.631714 |
| zero | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.631714 |
| zero | 1 | fixed | raw | 0.631714 |
| zero | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.631714 |
| zero | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.631714 |
| zero | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.631714 |
| zero | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.631714 |
| zero | 3 | fixed | abs | 0.631714 |
| zero | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.631714 |
| zero | 3 | fixed | rel | 0.631714 |
| zero | 2 | open | raw | 0.630691 |
| top50 | 2 | open | rel | 0.626598 |
| bt | 3 | open | raw | 0.625064 |
| btb | 2 | open | raw | 0.623529 |
| top50 | 1 | open | raw | 0.618926 |
| btb | 2 | open | rel | 0.615345 |
| bt | 2 | open | raw | 0.613811 |
| top100 | 2 | open | rel | 0.605627 |
| zero | 5 | open | rel | 0.605115 |
| zero | 1 | open | raw | 0.603069 |
| zero | 4 | open | rel | 0.602046 |
| top50 | 2 | open | abs | 0.6 |
| bt | 1 | open | raw | 0.597954 |
| zero | 3 | open | rel | 0.595396 |
| top50 | 3 | open | rel | 0.594373 |
| top100 | 1 | open | rel | 0.58977 |
| top150 | 1 | open | rel | 0.589258 |
| zero | 1 | open | rel | 0.585166 |
| zero | 2 | open | rel | 0.582609 |
| top150 | 2 | open | rel | 0.578005 |
| btb |  | open | rel | 0.571867 |
| btb | 1 | open | raw | 0.569821 |
| btb | 4 | open | raw | 0.569821 |
| top100 | 2 | open | raw | 0.568286 |
| arts-prons | 4 | open | abs | 0.555499 |
| zero | 1 | open | abs | 0.551407 |
| arts-prons | 6 | open | raw | 0.550384 |
| zero | 6 | open | rel | 0.549872 |
| top50 | 4 | open | raw | 0.543223 |
| top50 | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.541688 |
| top50 | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.541688 |
| top100 | 3 | open | raw | 0.536573 |
| arts-prons | 3 | fixed | rel | 0.530946 |
| zero | 4 | fixed | raw | 0.530946 |
| btb | 3 | open | abs | 0.517136 |

Simple Rank Scores based on Top 10 Representative Lines from 'date' Sample

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| top150 | 2 | open | raw | 0.511509 |
| top100 | 1 | open | raw | 0.502302 |
| btb | 4 | open | rel | 0.498721 |
| arts-prons | 6 | open | rel | 0.491049 |
| zero | 4 | fixed | rel | 0.472634 |
| top150 | 1 | open | raw | 0.470077 |
| btb | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.468031 |
| btb | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.468031 |
| btb | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.468031 |
| top50 | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.468031 |
| bt | 3 | open | rel | 0.466496 |
| arts-prons | 3 | fixed | abs | 0.457289 |
| bt | 2 | open | abs | 0.437852 |
| top100 | 2 | open | abs | 0.414834 |
| top150 | 3 | open | raw | 0.374936 |
| bt | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.371867 |
| zero | 5 | open | abs | 0.363683 |
| top150 | 2 | open | abs | 0.345269 |
| top100 | 3 | open | rel | 0.344246 |
| btb | 5 | open | raw | 0.341688 |
| top50 | 4 | open | rel | 0.340153 |
| arts-prons | 4 | fixed | raw | 0.329412 |
| btb | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.313555 |
| zero | 4 | fixed | abs | 0.308951 |
| arts-prons | 4 | fixed | rel | 0.299744 |
| top100 | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.287468 |
| topl00 | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.287468 |
| zero | 6 | open | abs | 0.286957 |
| arts-prons | 5 | open | abs | 0.280818 |
| top100 | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.273657 |
| bt | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.2711 |
| top150 | 3 | open | rel | 0.258824 |
| arts-prons | 4 | fixed | abs | 0.209719 |
| top150 | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.204092 |
| top150 | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.204092 |
| top150 | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.204092 |
| bt | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.198977 |
| top150 | 4 | open | raw | 0.194373 |
| top100 | 4 | open | raw | 0.193862 |
| arts-prons | 6 | open | abs | 0.193862 |
| top150 | 6 | open | raw | 0.192839 |
| top100 | 6 | open | raw | 0.192327 |
| top150 | 4 | open | rel | 0.191816 |
| bt | 5 | open | raw | 0.190793 |
| top150 | 5 | open | raw | 0.190793 |
| top50 | 6 | open | raw | 0.190793 |
| top100 | 4 | open | rel | 0.18977 |
| top150 | 5 | open | rel | 0.18977 |
| top100 | 5 | open | raw | 0.188747 |
| top100 | 5 | open | rel | 0.188235 |
| top50 | 5 | open | rel | 0.186701 |


| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bt | 4 | open | rel | 0.184655 |
| top50 | 5 | open | raw | 0.184143 |
| btb | 3 | fixed | abs | 0.18312 |
| btb | 3 | fixed | rel | 0.18312 |
| bt | 4 | open | raw | 0.181074 |
| top50 | 3 | open | abs | 0.175448 |
| zero | 5 | fixed | abs | 0.150895 |
| zero | 5 | fixed | raw | 0.150895 |
| zero | 5 | fixed | rel | 0.150895 |
| top50 | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.143223 |
| bt | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.129412 |
| top 100 | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.129412 |
| top150 | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.129412 |
| arts-prons | 5 | fixed | abs | 0.121228 |
| arts-prons | 5 | fixed | raw | 0.121228 |
| arts-prons | 5 | fixed | rel | 0.121228 |
| zero | 6 | fixed | abs | 0.121228 |
| zero | 6 | fixed | raw | 0.121228 |
| zero | 6 | fixed | rel | 0.121228 |
| top50 | 3 | fixed | rel | 0.113555 |
| bt | 6 | open | abs | 0.100256 |
| bt | 6 | open | raw | 0.0997442 |
| btb | 6 | open | raw | 0.0997442 |
| bt | 3 | fixed | abs | 0.0997442 |
| bt | 3 | fixed | rel | 0.0997442 |
| bt | 6 | open | rel | 0.0997442 |
| btb | 4 | fixed | abs | 0.0997442 |
| btb | 4 | fixed | raw | 0.0997442 |
| btb | 4 | fixed | rel | 0.0997442 |
| btb | 6 | open | abs | 0.0997442 |
| top100 | 3 | fixed | abs | 0.0997442 |
| top100 | 3 | fixed | rel | 0.0997442 |
| top150 | 3 | fixed | abs | 0.0997442 |
| top150 | 3 | fixed | rel | 0.0997442 |
| top50 | 3 | fixed | abs | 0.0997442 |
| btb | 5 | open | rel | 0.0992327 |
| btb | 6 | open | rel | 0.0992327 |
| top50 | 6 | open | abs | 0.0992327 |
| top50 | 6 | open | rel | 0.0992327 |
| bt | 5 | open | rel | 0.0987212 |
| top100 | 5 | open | abs | 0.0987212 |
| top150 | 5 | open | abs | 0.0987212 |
| top50 | 5 | open | abs | 0.0987212 |
| bt | 5 | open | abs | 0.0982097 |
| bt | 4 | open | abs | 0.0976982 |
| btb | 5 | open | abs | 0.0971867 |
| top100 | 4 | open | abs | 0.0971867 |
| top150 | 4 | open | abs | 0.0971867 |
| top50 | 4 | open | abs | 0.0971867 |
| top100 | 6 | open | rel | 0.0966752 |
| top150 | 6 | open | rel | 0.0966752 |

Simple Rank Scores based on Top 10 Representative Lines from 'date' Sample

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bt | 3 | open | abs | 0.0961637 |
| btb | 4 | open | abs | 0.0961637 |
| top150 | 3 | open | abs | 0.0961637 |
| top100 | 3 | open | abs | 0.0951407 |
| top150 | 6 | open | abs | 0.0936061 |
| top100 | 6 | open | abs | 0.0925831 |
| arts-prons | 6 | fixed | abs | 0.0214834 |
| arts-prons | 6 | fixed | raw | 0.0214834 |
| arts-prons | 6 | fixed | rel | 0.0214834 |
| bt | 4 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| bt | 4 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| bt | 4 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| bt | 5 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| bt | 5 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| bt | 5 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| bt | 6 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| bt | 6 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| bt | 6 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| btb | 5 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| btb | 5 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| btb | 5 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| btb | 6 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| btb | 6 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| btb | 6 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top100 | 4 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top100 | 4 | fixed | raw | . 0 |
| top100 | 4 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top100 | 5 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top100 | 5 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top100 | 5 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top100 | 6 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top100 | 6 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top100 | 6 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top150 | 4 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top150 | 4 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top150 | 4 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top150 | 5 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top150 | 5 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top150 | 5 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top150 | 6 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top150 | 6 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top150 | 6 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top50 | 4 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top50 | 4 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top50 | 4 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top50 | 5 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top50 | 5 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top50 | 5 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top50 | 6 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top50 | 6 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top50 | 6 | fixed | rel | 0 |

Appendix 5c
Simple Rank Scores based on Top 9 Usable Lines from 'date' Sample

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arts-prons | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.818594 |
| arts-prons | 2 | open | abs | 0.81746 |
| arts-prons | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.786281 |
| arts-prons |  | fixed | raw | 0.755102 |
| top50 | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.752834 |
| top50 | 1 | fixed | raw | 0.751134 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | abs | 0.750567 |
| arts-prons | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.744898 |
| top50 | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.736961 |
| top50 | 1 | open | abs | 0.735261 |
| zero | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.727891 |
| arts-prons | 3 | fixed | rel | 0.717687 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | raw | 0.716553 |
| arts-prons | 4 | open | raw | 0.71542 |
| arts-prons | 2 | open | raw | 0.705782 |
| arts-prons | 3 | open | rel | 0.705782 |
| arts-prons | 2 | open | rel | 0.704649 |
| zero | 3 | fixed | abs | 0.696712 |
| arts-prons | 1 | open | abs | 0.689909 |
| arts-prons | 4 | open | rel | 0.685941 |
| top50 | 1 | open | rel | 0.684807 |
| arts-prons | 1 | open | raw | 0.679138 |
| arts-prons | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.676304 |
| arts-prons | 1 | open | rel | 0.671769 |
| zero | 2 | open | abs | 0.669501 |
| top50 | 3 | open | raw | 0.666667 |
| zero | 3 | open | abs | 0.664966 |
| zero | 3 | fixed | rel | 0.661565 |
| top50 | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.656463 |
| arts-prons | 5 | open | raw | 0.654195 |
| btb | 1 | open | abs | 0.645692 |
| arts-prons | 3 | fixed | abs | 0.642857 |
| btb | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.64229 |
| bt | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.629819 |
| top50 | 2 | open | rel | 0.628118 |
| top50 | 2 | open | raw | 0.62415 |
| top50 | 1 | open | raw | 0.622449 |
| arts-prons | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.618481 |
| top50 | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.613379 |
| arts-prons | 1 | fixed | raw | 0.608277 |
| bt | 1 | open | abs | 0.60034 |
| btb | 2 | open | abs | 0.599206 |
| bt | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.594671 |
| btb |  | open | raw | 0.589569 |
| bt | 1 | fixed | raw | 0.584467 |
| btb | 3 | open | rel | 0.580499 |
| top50 | 2 | open | abs | 0.580499 |
| btb | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.579365 |

Simple Rank Scores based on Top 9 Usable Lines from 'date' Sample

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| top50 | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.579365 |
| btb | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.578231 |
| zero | 4 | open | abs | 0.571429 |
| btb | 1 | open | rel | 0.570862 |
| btb | 2 | open | raw | 0.570295 |
| btb | 1 | open | raw | 0.566893 |
| btb | 2 | open | rel | 0.566327 |
| top50 | 3 | open | rel | 0.565193 |
| zero | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.565193 |
| top100 | 1 | open | abs | 0.564626 |
| zero | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.562358 |
| zero | 1 | open | abs | 0.558957 |
| btb | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.557823 |
| zero | 4 | fixed | raw | 0.556122 |
| top100 | 3 | open | raw | 0.552721 |
| btb |  | fixed | raw | 0.55102 |
| zero | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.55102 |
| top150 | 1 | open | abs | 0.549887 |
| top150 | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.548753 |
| btb | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.546485 |
| arts-prons | 5 | open | rel | 0.545351 |
| zero | 5 | open | raw | 0.543084 |
| top100 | 2 | open | rel | 0.539683 |
| top100 | 1 | open | rel | 0.537982 |
| top100 | 1 | fixed | abs | 0.536848 |
| zero | 4 | open | raw | 0.534014 |
| zero | 4 | fixed | rel | 0.533447 |
| bt | 2 | open | rel | 0.532313 |
| zero | 3 | open | raw | 0.532313 |
| arts-prons | 4 | open | abs | 0.531179 |
| bt | 1 | open | rel | 0.530045 |
| zero | 4 | open | rel | 0.524376 |
| zero | 5 | open | rel | 0.52381 |
| top150 | 2 | open | rel | 0.518707 |
| zero | 2 | open | raw | 0.518707 |
| zero | 3 | open | rel | 0.518707 |
| top100 | 2 | open | raw | 0.514739 |
| zero | 4 | fixed | abs | 0.513605 |
| btb | 4 | open | raw | 0.512472 |
| zero | 2 | open | rel | 0.505669 |
| top150 | 1 | open | rel | 0.502268 |
| zero | 1 | open | rel | 0.501701 |
| zero | 6 | open | raw | 0.497166 |
| bt | 3 | open | raw | 0.496599 |
| zero |  | open | raw | 0.496599 |
| top100 |  | fixed | raw | 0.480726 |
| bt | 2 | open | raw | 0.479025 |
| top100 |  | fixed | rel | 0.473356 |
| arts-prons | 4 | fixed | raw | 0.467687 |
| btb | 3 | open | abs | 0.466553 |
| top150 | 1 | fixed | raw | 0.466553 |

Simple Rank Scores based on Top 9 Usable Lines from 'date' Sample

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bt | , | open | raw | 0.459184 |
| top150 | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.450113 |
| top150 | 2 | open | raw | 0.446712 |
| topl00 | 1 | open | raw | 0.442744 |
| zero | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.429138 |
| zero | 6 | open | rel | 0.418367 |
| arts-prons | 6 | open | raw | 0.410998 |
| top50 | 4 | open | raw | 0.406463 |
| zero | 1 | fixed | rel | 0.389456 |
| top150 | 1 | open | raw | 0.379819 |
| arts-prons | 4 | fixed | abs | 0.379252 |
| top100 | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.377551 |
| arts-prons | 4 | fixed | rel | 0.376417 |
| top100 | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.372449 |
| btb | 4 | open | rel | 0.36678 |
| bt | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.365646 |
| bt | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.352608 |
| zero | 1 | fixed | raw | 0.333333 |
| top150 | 3 | open | raw | 0.30839 |
| btb | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.29932 |
| zero | 5 | open | abs | 0.298186 |
| top100 | 2 | open | abs | 0.292517 |
| top100 | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.284014 |
| top50 | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.281746 |
| top150 | 2 | open | abs | 0.280612 |
| arts-prons | 6 | open | rel | 0.280045 |
| top100 | 3 | open | rel | 0.274376 |
| bt | 3 | open | rel | 0.271542 |
| btb | 5 | open | raw | 0.269274 |
| bt | 2 | open | abs | 0.251134 |
| zero | 5 | fixed | abs | 0.211451 |
| zero | 6 | open | abs | 0.211451 |
| zero | 5 | fixed | rel | 0.210884 |
| arts-prons | 5 | open | abs | 0.206916 |
| btb | 3 | fixed | abs | 0.206916 |
| zero | 5 | fixed | raw | 0.204082 |
| btb | 3 | fixed | rel | 0.201247 |
| top150 | 2 | fixed | raw | 0.200113 |
| top150 | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.190476 |
| topl50 | 2 | fixed | rel | 0.189909 |
| bt | 2 | fixed | abs | 0.185374 |
| top50 | 4 | open | rel | 0.18424 |
| top150 | 3 | open | rel | 0.179705 |
| arts-prons | 6 | fixed | abs | 0.112245 |
| arts-prons | 6 | fixed | raw | 0.111111 |
| arts-prons | 6 | fixed | rel | 0.111111 |
| arts-prons | 5 | fixed | abs | 0.10941 |
| arts-prons | 5 | fixed | raw | 0.10941 |
| arts-prons | 5 | fixed | rel | 0.10941 |
| arts-prons | 6 | open | abs | 0.108844 |
| zero | 6 | fixed | abs | 0.108844 |

Simple Rank Scores based on Top 9 Usable Lines from 'date' Sample

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| top100 | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.108277 |
| top 150 | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.108277 |
| bt | 3 | fixed | raw | 0.10771 |
| zero | 6 | fixed | raw | 0.107143 |
| zero | 6 | fixed | rel | 0.106576 |
| topl50 | 4 | open | raw | 0.105442 |
| top100 | 4 | open | raw | 0.104875 |
| top100 | 6 | open | raw | 0.103741 |
| top150 | 6 | open | raw | 0.103741 |
| top150 | 4 | open | rel | 0.103175 |
| bt | 5 | open | raw | 0.101474 |
| top 100 | 4 | open | rel | 0.101474 |
| top 150 | 5 | open | raw | 0.101474 |
| top150 | 5 | open | rel | 0.101474 |
| top50 | 6 | open | raw | 0.101474 |
| top100 | 5 | open | rel | 0.10034 |
| top100 | 5 | open | raw | 0.0997732 |
| top50 | 5 | open | rel | 0.0986395 |
| bt | 4 | open | rel | 0.0963719 |
| top50 | 3 | fixed | rel | 0.0946712 |
| top50 | 5 | open | raw | 0.0946712 |
| bt | 4 | open | raw | 0.0918367 |
| top50 | 3 | open | abs | 0.0901361 |
| bt | 3 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| bt | 3 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| bt | 3 | open | abs | 0 |
| bt | 4 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| bt | 4 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| bt | 4 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| bt | 4 | open | abs | 0 |
| bt | 5 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| bt | 5 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| bt | 5 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| bt | 5 | open | abs | 0 |
| bt | 5 | open | rel | 0 |
| bt | 6 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| bt | 6 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| bt | 6 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| bt | 6 | open | abs | 0 |
| bt | 6 | open | raw | 0 |
| bt | 6 | open | rel | 0 |
| btb | 4 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| $b t b$ | 4 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| btb | 4 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| $b t b$ | 4 | open | abs | 0 |
| btb | 5 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| btb | 5 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| btb | 5 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| $b t b$ | 5 | open | abs | 0 |
| btb | 5 | open | rel | 0 |
| btb | 6 | fixed | abs | 0 |

Simple Rank Scores based on Top 9 Usable Lines from 'date' Sample

| Stopword List | No. of Links | Span Type | Link Type | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| btb | 6 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| btb | 6 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| btb | 6 | open | abs | 0 |
| btb | 6 | open | raw | 0 |
| btb | 6 | open | rel | 0 |
| top100 | 3 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top100 | 3 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top100 | 3 | open | abs | 0 |
| top100 | 4 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top100 | 4 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top100 | 4 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top100 | 4 | open | abs | 0 |
| topl00 | 5 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top100 | 5 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top100 | 5 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top100 | 5 | open | abs | 0 |
| top100 | 6 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| topl00 | 6 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top100 | 6 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top100 | 6 | open | abs | 0 |
| top100 | 6 | open | rel | 0 |
| topl50 | 3 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| topl50 | 3 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| topl50 | 3 | open | abs | 0 |
| topl50 | 4 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| topl50 | 4 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| topl50 | 4 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| topl50 | 4 | open | abs | 0 |
| topl50 | 5 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top 150 | 5 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| topl50 | 5 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| topl50 | 5 | open | abs | 0 |
| topl50 | 6 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| topl50 | 6 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| topl50 | 6 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| topl50 | 6 | open | abs | 0 |
| top150 | 6 | open | rel | 0 |
| top50 top50 | 3 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top50 top50 | 4 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top50 | 4 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top50 | 4 | open | abs | 0 |
| top50 | 5 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top50 | 5 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top50 | 5 | fixed | rel | 0 |
| top50 | 5 | open | abs | 0 |
| top50 | 6 | fixed | abs | 0 |
| top50 | 6 | fixed | raw | 0 |
| top50 | 6 | open | abs | 0 |
| top50 | 6 | open | rel | 0 |

## Appendix 6

## Best-match Concordances in Evaluation

The figures attached to each line represent firstly the original line number and secondly the number of bonds.

## a) Best-match Concordance Line Selection for Simple Ranking vs Representative: arts-prons/2/open/abs
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## 177

1688 be different. <LTH> As we come up to date, people \# do it', to be the same. Lo 1651 ning my "firm grasp of the most up-to date trauma procedures". <t> The referenc 1629 to enable the returner to keep up to date with developments. <t> Various other 1617 ght of her man \# <SO> Very much up to date, only been in service with our own $f$ 1615 he said he would bring $M r$ Bush up to date on the issue:If we were forced to re 1581 tary of.state, brought Franklin up to date on the bloodshed in his beloved Fran 1550 so they can keep their members up to date with what is happening in the indust 1548 d , and though this is the first up-to date survey of its politics, it does not 1512 adequate nuclear weapons, kept up to date and based forward in Europe, our def 1495 eally because er it's just been up-to date and it <MO1> Mhm.<M02> I mean that's 1487 now available but ask somebody up-to date. <MOI> Mm. <FO1> And of course compute 1483 ndia where we've got reasonably up to date statistics on population. Er there's 1461 ile, the multi-national menu is up-to date without being trendy: strikingly fre 1447 n though the home loan was paid up to date. Few would ever have imagined they $c$ 1446 es with all the service records up to date. Abandoned only because arthritis ha 615 l. Just as computers overwrite out-of date files on their disks, monks used to 613 9) about British tennis are as out of date as the Dunlop Maxply in the attic. $<$ 612 the S.E. 2000 would be already out of date even before it first flew, and a new 604 ticisms that their magazine is out of date or has lost its edge \# Editor Zanne 603 ng data from instruments years out of date. Small craft allow the use of up-to600 ed administration,traditional, out-of date, a group of elderly men smoking ciga 599 FO> a newspaper it is slightly out of date but erm <FOX> Anything at all it' 11 598 ng them, is discriminating and out of date?They have had some support from lead 595 al government were swiftly put out of date yesterday by the President of Kazakh 590 formation was always six hours out of date. I get an update from the senior for 571 teach has not been very productive to date, nor is it likely to become more so 452 akes it the No. 1 film of the year to date and the biggest April release in the 436 s , and to considerable depths, but to date no detailed studies have been made o 436 inistry denies there is a hold-up, no date has been set for a new round of talk 434 ties in which you've been involved to date?<M01> Er the spectrum of that would 406 d by September 1993 and at some later date the US authorities will declare the 401 ople will return to church at a later date. I would like to invite everyone to a 376 In New Cross \# their greatest hit to date, is nowhere to be seen; but they do 365 t letting us go to work. <t> Dugan: No date has been set for the resumption of $c$ 365 Street, London ec88 2NG. <t> Closing date for the contest is January 7. 1992. $353 \mathrm{H}>$ Sir-We are almost there, having to date raised <KPD> 92,000 in aid of lifebo 335 population is a minority in Serbia. No date has been set for elections and there 325 the same operation again at a later date. He may even, some analysts say, risk 319 s Milosevic's only live appearance to date came on one of its interview shows \# 316 ents. In one of the few such moves to date, KGF recently moved the management 0 312 ing my face again when he came to the date of birth, turning to the back to see 302 d declared their willingness to set a date for starting stage two of economic a 291 t of ownership.<t> Levinson: No trial date has been set yet for the Janis lawsu 276 iods of deep loneliness and grief.Her date of birth has been placed somewhere a 274 last night to set 2000 as the target date for stabilising emissions of carbon 26311 potential has not been realised to date owing to the ground. <t> In the Templ 2603 months; none has become infected to date. In more than 70 incidents worldwide 255 he next three weeks \# The NBL cut-off date for the finalisation of imports is $n$

254 tel (about $\$ 1.2$ million \# The closing date for inclusion of properties is July 253 rawn will each receive a kit. Closing date for entries is August 14. Standard r 251 ct entries selected after the closing date of Tuesday, August 10 will win the $n$ 244 he first name drawn after the closing date on October 22 , will receive a free $L$ 239 financial climate in the country. To date I have only received five applicatio 233 notable two year-old performances to date \# writes Dean Bailey <LTH> RESPONDIN 223 res of winners on June 20, the record date for friday's special meeting to cons 222 as soon as possible after the closing date. <LTH> 9 Send entries to: AP/Image H 220 ee billion bases, or coding units. To date, fossilised DNA has been extracted $f$ 219 rhythm, 'Love \# is his biggest hit to date. Chang's brand of lyrics label him a 217 hatching of meadow birds. After that date the mechanical cultivation of fields 214 u work in the city?" and so on.<t> To date no machine has successfully fooled a 205 endence, and Chart 91 is set for this date for Helsinki, the capital, for 12.00 196 iminalising of breaches in the law to date.<t> The ruling Christian Democrats a 194 we are encouraged by our progress to date." In New York, John S. Reidy, analys 183 r after ordering the reactors \# which date back to the 1950 s \# to be shut. They 183 an 1500 immediately after the closing date \# DAMIEN MARSH .. hard work has paid 179 words and so we will see that sell-by date is no longer associated with perisha 177 to finish the record, before the next date of the tour in Lisbon. <LTH> The Edg 174 s had slept with a man on their first date and 39 per cent admitted to being un 169 Kings Road, London sw10 OTE. Closing date is August 13. Normal rules apply. <h 158 page May 3). Federal credit programs date back to the New Deal, and were meant 155 the most impassioned Vedder vocal to date. He creates an opening mood of lonel 155 greement with the Chinese on a formal date for the resumption of diplomatic tie 152 e Council by 1 April 1993. After that date, it will be an offence to run an unr 151 heir good work. Their achievements to date are quite amazing: land reclaimed; $g$ 149 Dublin on Saturday should set a firm date for an inter-governmental conference 147 we started restoring our murals which date back to the Portuguese era in the 14 $146 t$ Tunisia has implicitly accepted the date of the 27 th, so that would suggest $t$ 145 tland Yard says the children's deaths date back to 1984. Reports suggest that b 141 Almost half thought she should set a date for stepping down; 35 per cent that 140 is expected to confirm April 9 as the date of the election. <h> Tories pin elec 137 sible \# says Bremner. The evidence to date, he says,suggests that men given a c 137 These measurements also give a quick date for that segment of the whole ice co 131 nner to be notified by phone. Closing date? box 29661 <LTH> CZECH 42, passive, 129 as won almost all their encounters to date.<t> Short emerged from the candidate 129 d street, London sel 9LS. The closing date is Tuesday August 31, 1993 and the $e$ 126 he first use of their cards from that date. They have until March 1, 1993, to $c$ 124 lained that Iraq is offering only one date for a meeting, while he has offered 124 k and Barbara Sinatra after the final date of Mr Sinatra's London season. Today 118 MX. <MOX> Er communications. The copy date for the next issue of Foreword is th 116 others.<t> 1 Indefinite exclusion: no date is fixed for a return. Consideration 116 nue to try to get you into bed. <LTH> Date rape is at the forefront of all our 112 ded Tuesday to have a meeting on that date, the judge ordered the meeting held 111 Edition \# I'm Neal Conan.<t> On this date in 1956 the Republican Party nominat 106 ver. <CQO> <t> Leap-horn gave him the date of the death of Pointed Shoes. <t> <C 106 id Hogan, SM, has been adjourned to a date to be fixed.<dt> 930414 </dt> Cairns 105 Thatcher acted, bringing forward the date for a possible leadership election i 105 s . <LTH> Make sure you know the final date for accepting a place. Decline unwan 103 to reach us no later than the closing date, July 31, 1993. <LTH> 1. TOGECAT <LT 101 s <CES> <t> So it would seem from the date of his birth \# <t> My God \# <t> He's 96 oard and set an implementation target date of January 1 . <t> The working party 92 e from the trial judge announcing the date of his execution in six weeks and on 89 the 'artwork' for him at the Reading date), going on to waltz until dawn with

86 erly revised at the earliest possible date. <LTH> It is also unfortunate that a 84 nt clubs, this is Brainiak's story to date \# B Sides" features three God-bless 80 and flour when he stood her up for a date. But what has been the nature of the 77 t of water \# At one point he forgot a date that was sort of a simple date on wh 74 call 008812772 for details.CLOSING DATE: August 13. DRAWN: August 20.MOBILE P 67 was a model patient, remembering the date of every appointment and following a 67 her husband like to sometimes go on a date and spend the night in a hotel.Mrs. 65 n the--on the--petrified tree and the date \# And in all of my trips out to mont 65 o longer enjoys the preparation for a date. 'Getting ready is part of the fun o $64 t$ and your order \# with a note of the date you sent it. Don't forget to give yo $620><t>$ Mark Keenan, 28, whose release date had been delayed by 28 days, was fou 62 they flew to Fort Worth to perform a date at the Dallas Hilton. Tina was wearin 59 nd FX that therefore the official pub date for the $U K<F O X><Z G Y><F O X>$ would 58 ears ahead of its intended deployment date. < $t>$ only ten navigators had been $t r$ 57 however, with the addition of another date at the London Camden Falcon on Septe 56 dy else appears to have forgotten the date. Others feel the need to discuss the 55 ard, Leo asked her for a date, and the date led to this. This deal has to be cas 53 ishers, July 26 is the most important date in the year. It marks the anniversar 51 ticle ('Crime made easy') of the same date seems to have that problem. Can you 51 u do you have a sort of a prospective date for having the whole thing up and ru 51 ogressive-punks play a one-off London date with Poisoned Electrick Head at New 50 that it is vital. <p> Dr Salk's ideas date back a long way, but he has linked $t$ 49 tributed just less than dollars 3 m to date. Most big state campaigns cost about 9 w . Leah claimed she knew by the third date that she wanted to become Mrs Winter ssembly. The elections, such as their date and the voters' roll and even that $m$ e given here is set for this time and date, and for the capital, Paramaribo.<t> 45 about 13 \# I can't remember what the date on that is--about 1773 or so \# She-44 lf grows stronger, even if the likely date seems to recede towards the edge of 44 ER: Sue Waldram PRODUCER: Ferri Jahed DATE REC: 10 July 1990 TAPE NO: $90 \mathrm{r} / 32 \mathrm{k} / 0$ 41 as sensible of the priority of one in date. It was $A D 450$, that they beat the $S$ 1 he new Germany has chosen a different date \# October 3 \# Reunification Day to b a visit with my son on such and such date else I would have been there. Probat follow their previously announced six date tour, are priced <KPD> 8.50. Fans wi rew it and began again, with a record date of Aug. 29. Amdura has challenged th > Do you play it cool after the first date? <LTH> Sarah If it was left that we d--don't pick Red Lobster for a first date. Great lunch deals. Hours: Mon.-Thur ing to block a money-spinning Wembley date. Edwards hopes to convince FA Cup sem 960, pp. 181-8). An early inauguration date for the material product concept is 10 cent a share \# There is no meeting date set as yet.Pacarc said the issue to 34 to America for the Brando film and a date she wants to keep with Michelle pfei 33 debate the issue.<t> But setting the date is seen as little more than a pallia 33 ccompanied by a printed report of the date, time and number of the attempted co 33 our chance of life was someone else's date with </h> death?; Steve Hyett; Part 2 [heb.] shows that the poem is late in date. However, Phoenician inscriptions ear of parties in parties in the Election date election parliament Albania Mar 23rd 33 . <LTH> JULIAN COPE has added another date to his "Head On'" tour. It's at Bra ention a place. And so far there's no date fixed for the meeting. Until that ha LTH> Opening up for Metallica on a 65 date US tour. The Cult banged on with the 31 intervention until September 20, the date of the referendum, if necessary, and 31 xhaustion of a new mother. An opening date in June would have given her two pre 31 -partisan. In announcing the election date, President Roh Tae Woo said there wa 30 d war against Iraq \# He also said the date is imminent and that a ground war ca 30 e, no proof, no dossier, no names, no date, no body. And as happens in all hosta 28 wever, always say that he shares that date with my wife. <LTH> Dr $L$ Keith franc

27 18 d </pres> <prod> Francis Mead </prod> Date Rec: 16 October 1990 Prog No: 90r/32 17 e asks you out again despite no third date action), you know you've built a fou 6 It's not stated clearly back to what date this is effective \# The decrees come 16 n the exact calendar months after the date the loan was opened. <LTH> Written q 5 the 11th hour cancellation of Suede's date at the venue, and the closure of the 4 osed October the 30 th as the starting date \# Mrs \# Mandela's lawyer argued succ 3 egin to accumulate with each dividend date. drps really do serve an important $f$ 3 eels, and as an adult his first blind date.<t> Unidentified Woman (From Radio A 2 ou post your order and payment by the date on the enclosed form. <LTH> <FCH> Bu opening rounds. A mutually convenient date should then be set and green fees sh nd its disposition, and of the likely date when the accumulated treasure, with ane 2222 No built <FCH> Aircraft Type Date Purpose of Design No built <FCH> Ans le, the village is surrounded by tall date palms and lush green farmland. Its $n$ tion figure said the distant election date will give the ruling family time to ed banner headlines about his \# blind date"' escapade. <t> It was that sense of he next regularly slated announcement date is Sept. 18. A brand new directorate 7 s portrayed.' \llt> <h> Benetton's new date;Motor Racing </h> <dt> 25 August 199 6 LISTINGS <LTH> Concerts are listed by date, then by city \# Classical Listings c London, 1981). Berlitz associated this date with the dire predictions given in $G$ $\mathrm{ks}(S)$ on design problems (location \# date). Contract for Snabl already done, ps ance Group, who will present We Got A Date, Can't Take Johnny To The Funeral an details of each match you play. <LTH> DATE COMPETITION OPPONENT VENUE SCORE RES inals in Filderstadt. <t> <h> Wembley date;Rugby League </h> <dt> 15 October 19 ally signed and predated with today's date), his eyeglasses, a Koran, a Bible. rmehrung beim Umbau," which bears the date December 13, 1932 at the end. 39 Ibid n vaults and galleries. Those in Rome date mainly from the third and early four 3 tion ready for critics of the bizarre date-rape story, 'What Actually Happened $r$, Sir Andrew Lloyd Webber. <t> Janet Date, a guide and former actress, is in $h$ 1 Schedule </h> Playing this weekend:A Date With Judy (1948) Jane Powell plays a ers announced the April 5th blast-off date following a flight review at the Ken

## b) Best-match Concordance Line Selection for Simple Ranking vs Usable:

 arts-prons/2/fixed/abs1571512 ght of her man \# <so> Very much up to date, only been in service with our own $f$ 1591494 so they can keep their members up to date with what is happening in the indust 1561471 to enable the returner to keep up to date with developments.<t> Various other 1521464 tary of state, brought Franklin up to date on the bloodshed in his beloved Fran 1681454 be different. <LTH> As we come up to date, people \# do it" to be the same. Lo 1761451 now available but ask somebody up-to date.<MO1> Mm.<FOl> And of course compute 1731449 eally because er it's just been up-to date and it <MO1> Mhm.<MO2> I mean that's 1881438 d , and though this is the first up-to date survey of its politics, it does not 1841436 n though the home loan was paid up to date. Few would ever have imagined they c 1541435 he said he would bring Mr Bush up to date on the issue:If we were forced to re 1641433 ile, the multi-national menu is up-to date without being trendy: strikingly fre 1771432 ning my 'firm grasp of the most up-to date trauma procedures". <t> The referenc 1531431 adequate nuclear weapons, kept up to date and based forward in Europe, our def 1741414 ndia where we've got reasonably up to date statistics on population. Er there's 1581414 es with all the service records up to date. Abandoned only because arthritis ha 600 9) about British tennis are as out of date as the Dunlop Maxply in the attic. < 594 ticisms that their magazine is out of date or has lost its edge \# Editor Zanne 593 FO> a newspaper it is slightly out of date but erm <FOX> Anything at all it'll 591 1. Just as computers overwrite out-of date files on their disks, monks used to 591 the S.E. 2000 would be already out of date even before it first flew, and a new 590 ng them, is discriminating and out of date?They have had some support from lead 589 ed administration,traditional, out-of date, a group of elderly men smoking ciga 588 al government were swiftly put out of date yesterday by the President of Kazakh 587 ng data from instruments years out of date. Small craft allow the use of up-to587 formation was always six hours out of date. I get an update from the senior for 345 d by September 1993 and at some later date the US authorities will declare the 317 t letting us go to work. <t> Dugan: No date has been set for the resumption of $c$ 313 inistry denies there is a hold-up, no date has been set for a new round of talk 310 ople will return to church at a later date. I would like to invite everyone to a 309 population is a minority in Serbia.No date has been set for elections and there 300 Street, London ec88 2NG. <t> Closing date for the contest is January 7, 1992. 296 the same operation again at a later date. He may even, some analysts say, risk 257 t of ownership.<t> Levinson: No trial date has been set yet for the Janis lawsu 250 ing my face again when he came to the date of birth, turning to the back to see 233 d declared their willingness to set a date for starting stage two of economic a 224 akes it the No. 1 film of the year to date and the biggest April release in the 219 rawn will each receive a kit. Closing date for entries is August 14. Standard $r$ 217 In New Cross \# their greatest hit to date, is nowhere to be seen; but they do 215 tel (about $\$ 1.2$ million \# The closing date for inclusion of properties is July 214 iods of deep loneliness and grief.Her date of birth has been placed somewhere a 213 ct entries selected after the closing date of Tuesday. August 10 will win the $n$ 202 he first name drawn after the closing date on October 22, will receive a free $L$ 189 last night to set 2000 as the target date for stabilising emissions of carbon 184 s Milosevic's only live appearance to date came on one of its interview shows \# 180 as soon as possible after the closing date. <LTH> 9 Send entries to: AP/Image H 166 Kings Road, London swlo OTE. Closing date is August 13. Normal rules apply. <h 164 an 1500 immediately after the closing date \# DAMIEN MARSH .. hard work has paid 163 ties in which you've been involved to date?<M01> Er the spectrum of that would 145 words and so we will see that sell-by date is no longer associated with perisha 143 we started restoring our murals which date back to the Portuguese era in the 14 142 page May 3). Federal credit programs date back to the New Deal, and were meant

142 tland Yard says the children's deaths date back to 1984. Reports suggest that $b$ 141 r after ordering the reactors \# which date back to the 1950 s \# to be shut. They 1383 months; none has become infected to date. In more than 70 incidents worldwide 137 financial climate in the country. To date $I$ have only received five applicatio 134 we are encouraged by our progress to date." In New York, John S. Reidy, analys 134 he next three weeks \# The NBL cut-off date for the finalisation of imports is $n$ 132 s had slept with a man on their first date and 39 per cent admitted to being un 130 These measurements also give a quick date for that segment of the whole ice co 130 greement with the Chinese on a formal date for the resumption of diplomatic tie 121 Almost half thought she should set a date for stepping down; 35 per cent that 120 rhythm, 'Love \# is his biggest hit to date. Chang's brand of lyrics label him a 117 e Council by 1 April 1993. After that date, it will be an offence to run an unr 11611 potential has not been realised to date owing to the ground. <t> In the Templ 116 endence, and Chart 91 is set for this date for Helsinki, the capital, for 12.00 109 d Street. London se1 9LS. The closing date is Tuesday August 31, 1993 and the e $102 \mathrm{H}>$ Sir-We are almost there, having to date raised <KPD> 92,000 in aid of lifebo 100 ee billion bases, or coding units. To date, fossilised DNA has been extracted $f$ 99 teach has not been very productive to date, nor is it likely to become more so 92 u work in the city?" and so on. <t> To date no machine has successfully fooled a 88 hatching of meadow birds. After that date the mechanical cultivation of fields 86 k and Barbara Sinatra after the final date of Mr Sinatra's London season. Today 85 s , and to considerable depths, but to date no detailed studies have been made o 85 Dublin on Saturday should set a firm date for an inter-governmental conference 83 to reach us no later than the closing date, July 31. 1993. <LTH> 1. TOGECAT <LTT 81 Edition \# I'm Neal Conan. <t> on this date in 1956 the Republican Party nominat 81 id Hogan, $S M$, has been adjourned to a date to be fixed.<dt> 930414 </dt> Cairns 80 ver. $\langle C Q O\rangle\langle t\rangle$ Leap-horn gave him the date of the death of Pointed Shoes. $\langle t\rangle<C$ 79 lained that Iraq is offering only one date for a meeting, while he has offered 77 s <CES> <t> So it would seem from the date of his birth \# <t> My God \# <t> He's 69 ded Tuesday to have a meeting on that date, the judge ordered the meeting held 68 iminalising of breaches in the law to date. <t> The ruling Christian Democrats a 64 heir good work. Their achievements to date are quite amazing: land reclaimed; $g$ 61 oard and set an implementation target date of January 1. <t> The working party 59 as won almost all their encounters to date.<t> Short emerged from the candidate 54 call 008812772 for details.CLOSING DATE: August 13.DRAWN: August 20.MOBILE P 52 sible \# says Bremner. The evidence to date, he says, suggests that men given a $c$ 51 nt clubs, this is Brainiak's story to date \# B Sides" features three God-bless $500><t>$ Mark Keenan, 28, whose release date had been delayed by 28 days, was fou 44 others.<t> 1 Indefinite exclusion: no date is fixed for a return. Consideration 44 to finish the record, before the next date of the tour in Lisbon. <LTH> The Edg 40 and flour when he stood her up for a date. But what has been the nature of the 39 ticle ('Crime made easy') of the same date seems to have that problem. Can you 36 is expected to confirm April 9 as the date of the election. <h> Tories pin elec 36 d--don't pick Red Lobster for a first date. Great lunch deals. Hours: Mon. Thur 34 he first use of their cards from that date. They have until March 1, 1993, to c 31 e given here is set for this time and date, and for the capital. Paramaribo.<t> 30 ogressive-punks play a one-off London date with Poisoned Electrick Head at New 27 res of Winners on June 20, the record date for Friday's'special meeting to cons 26 rew it and began again, with a record date of Aug. 29. Amdura has challenged th 26 ention a place. And so far there's no date fixed for the meeting. Until that ha 26 ER: Sue Waldram PRODUCER: Ferri Jahed DATE REC: 10 July 1990 TAPE NO: $90 \mathrm{r} / 32 \mathrm{k} / 0$ 26 MX. <MOX> Er communications. The copy date for the next issue of Foreword is th 25 u do you have a sort of a prospective date for having the whole thing up and ru 24 intervention until September 20 , the date of the referendum, if necessary, and

33 e from the trial judge announcing the date of his execution in six weeks and on erly revised at the earliest possible date. <LTH> It is also unfortunate that a ishers, July 26 is the most important date in the year. It marks the anniversar however, with the addition of another date at the London Camden Falcon on Septe 22 . <LTH> JULIAN COPE has added another date to his "Head On'" tour. It's at Bra 20 nner to be notified by phone. Closing date? box 29661 <LTH> CZECH 42, passive, here tier upon tier of rock-cut tombs date from as long ago as the thirteenth $c$ $t$ Tunisia has implicitly accepted the date of the 27 th , so that would suggest $t$ wever, always say that he shares that date with my wife. <LTH> Dr L Keith Franc $>$ Do you play it cool after the first date? <LTH> Sarah If it was left that we w. Leah claimed she knew by the third date that she wanted to become Mrs Winter companied by a printed report of the date, time and number of the attempted co 10 cent a share \# There is no meeting date set as yet.pacarc said the issue to $t$ of water \# At one point he forgot a date that was sort of a simple date on wh tributed just less than dollars 3 m to date. Most big state campaigns cost about 17 about 13 \# I can't remember what the date on that is--about 1773 or so \# She-16 y 8. You may get a slightly different date by this short cut method than by add 15 nue to try to get you into bed. <LTH> Date rape is at the forefront of all our xhaustion of a new mother. An opening date in June would have given her two pre Thatcher acted, bringing forward the date for a possible leadership election $i$ a puritan streak, and the concept of date" or 'acquaintance" rape reveals just 3 d </pres> <prod> Francis Mead </prod> Date Rec: 16 October 1990 Prog No: 90r/32 notable two year-old performances to date \# writes Dean Bailey <LTH> RESPONDIN the 'artwork' for him at the Reading date), going on to waltz until dawn with eels, and as an adult his first blind date.<t> Unidentified Woman (From Radio A debate the issue. <t> But setting the date is seen as little more than a pallia ulled from our postbag on the closing date. <t> Over-18's only. News Internatio s. <LTH> Make sure you know the final date for accepting a place. Decline unwan opening rounds. A mutually convenient date should then be set and green fees sh 9 n the exact calendar months after the date the loan was opened. <LTH> Written $q$ 9 a visit with my son on such and such date else I would have been there. Probat 9 our chance of life was someone else's date with </h> death?; Steve Hyett; Part 2 9 ears ahead of its intended deployment date. <t> only ten navigators had been tr 9 they flew to Fort Worth to perform a date at the Dallas Hilton. Tina was wearin 8 ssembly. The elections, such as their date and the voters' roll and even that $m$ 8 ou post your order and payment by the date on the enclosed form. <LTH> <FCH> Bu 8 osed october the 30 th as the starting date \# Mrs \# Mandela's lawyer argued succ 8 LTH> Opening up for Metallica on a 65 date US tour. The Cult banged on with the 7 he Autumn Triangle. <LTH> Provisional date and venue for National Council 1992: 7 her husband like to sometimes go on a date and spend the night in a hotel.Mrs.
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38 the 11th hour cancellation of Suede's date at the venue, and the closure of the 37 wever, always say that he shares that date with my wife. <LTH> Dr L Keith Franc 32 ER: Sue Waldram PRODUCER: Ferri Jahed DATE REC: 10 July 1990 TAPE NO: 90r/32k/0 32 o longer enjoys the preparation for a date. 'Getting ready is part of the fun o 32 nue to try to get you into bed. <LTH> Date rape is at the forefront of all our 30 ou post your order and payment by the date on the enclosed form. <LTH> <FCH> Bu 30 n the exact calendar months after the date the loan was opened. <LTH> Written $q$ 30 ns has two weeks from his termination date to appeal the decision. As for Randa 26 s . <LTH> Make sure you know the final date for accepting a place. Decline unwan 25 the announced 20 March maiden voyage date. On 10 October the company released 25 nner to be notified by phone. Closing date? box 29661 <LTH> CzECH 42, passive, 24 ork on a regular basis and is not the date his family or household goods and ef 24 n vaults and galleries. Those in Rome date mainly from the third and early four 24 he Autumn Triangle. <LTH> Provisional date and venue for National Council 1992: 24 LTH> Opening up for Metallica on a 65 date US tour. The Cult banged on with the 23 LISTINGS <LTH> Concerts are listed by date, then by city \# classical Listings c 22 960, pp. 181-8). An early inauguration date for the material product concept is 22 eels, and as an adult his first blind date.<t> Unidentified Woman (From Radio A

22 d </pres> <prod> Francis Mead </prod> Date Rec: 16 October 1990 Prog No: 90r/32 21 nd its disposition, and of the likely date when the accumulated treasure, with 20 ard, Leo asked her for a date, and the date led to this. This deal has to be cas 20 her husband like to sometimes go on a date and spend the night in a hotel. Mrs. 19 Thatcher acted, bringing forward the date for a possible leadership election i 19 our chance of life was someone else's date with </h> death?;Steve Hyett; Part 2 19 If grows stronger, even if the likely date seems to recede towards the edge of 18 ssembly. The elections, such as their date and the voters' roll and even that $m$ 17 e, no proof, no dossier, no names, no date, no body. And as happens in all hosta 17 as been lined up as his dating agency date unbeknown to wife Alison Steadman who 14 he next regularly slated announcement date is Sept. 18. A brand new directorate 13 like the driver of a Hansom cab. The date is 29 March 1920.<t> <FCH> Above lef 12 ance Group, who will present We Got A Date, Can't Take Johnny To The Funeral an 11 osed October the 30 th as the starting date \# Mrs \# Mandela's lawyer argued succ 10 inals in Filderstadt. <t> <h> Wembley date;Rugby League </h> <dts> 15 October 19 10 t and your order \# with a note of the date you sent it. Don't forget to give yo 10 was a model patient, remembering the date of every appointment and following a 10 he new Germany has chosen a different date \# October 3 \# Reunification Day to b 9 [heb.] shows that the poem is late in date. However, Phoenician inscriptions ear -partisan. In announcing the election date, President Roh The Woo said there wa Lion figure said the distant election date will give the ruling family time to 9 to America for the Brando film and a date she wants to keep with Michelle Pfei 8 le, the village is surrounded by tall date palms and lush green farmland. Its $n$ dents. In one of the few such moves to date, $K G F$ recently moved the management o 6 nd FX that therefore the official pub date for the $U K<F O X><Z G Y><F O X>$ would 6 of parties in parties in the Election date election parliament Albania Mar 23rd 5 e asks you out again despite no third date action), you know you've built a fou s portrayed.'" <t> <h> Benetton's new date; Motor Racing </h> <dit> 25 August 199 arded for decades, only an expert can date a garment. When a skirt length chang lossomed in the presence of women who date act ors and princes, dine in Milan a that it is vital. <p> Dr Salk's ideas date back a long way, but he has linked $t$ ing to block a money-spinning Wembley date. Edwards hopes to convince FA Cup sem the most impassioned Vader vocal to date. He creates an opening mood of lone rmehrung beim Umbau," which bears the date December 13, 1932 at the end. 39 Ibid egin to accumulate with each dividend date. drops really do serve an important $f$ 1 Schedule </h> Playing this weekend:A Date With Judy (1948) Jane Powell plays a 1 details of each match you play. <LTH> DATE COMPETITION OPPONENT VENUE SCORE RES London,1981). Berlitz associated this date with the dire predictions given in $G$ 0 ally signed and predated with today's date), his eyeglasses, a Koran, a Bible. 0 ers announced the April fth blast-off date following a flight review at the Ken $r$, Sir Andrew Lloyd Weber. <t> Janet Date, a guide and former actress, is in $h$
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ Much has been written on the representativeness of corpus material and on attempts to create a 'balanced' corpus, that is, one which presents a comprehensive picture of the language it seeks to describe. See Renouf (1987) for an excellent account of the composition of BCET. The quest to create the ultimate corpus can, however, become rather circular, since any all-encompassing or 'universal' corpus would have to include itself as part of its universe. The trend has therefore been to approximate to the universal corpus by creating ever-larger corpora, the theory being that the larger the corpus, the greater the correspondence with the universe of which it forms a subset. As we shall see in later chapters, this approach brings with it certain disadvantages.

[^1]:    untry (whether the country is right or wrong), we make it easier for them to $g$ the chance to decide what is right or wrong for their country. .. First the own conviction as to what is right and wrong. If conscience lands him in jail, efined sense of morality, of right and wrong. I was not surprised when it turn

[^2]:    $\dagger$ In late $1997,90 \%$ of Cobuild staff were made redundant and the operation scaled down to a minimum. Further development of BoE appears to have been put on hold.

[^3]:    $\dagger$ Upper and lower case forms are counted separately, hence the slightly higher type count for this corpus.
    $\ddagger$ Note that the BoE had by now increased in size from 170 million to 211 million.

[^4]:    where V is the variance, $\mu$ the mean and N the number of members.

[^5]:    $\dagger$ The term 'collocate' in this chapter is used to refer to all items which occur in the environment of a node word more often than would be expected by chance alone. It does not, therefore, exclude grammatical or 'colligational' items.

[^6]:    $\dagger$ The computer used at this time was a Sun $3 / 50$ running the SunOS 3.5 (Unix) operating system. The physical memory was in the region of 16 megabytes, but virtual memory was also available. The array size restriction was actually enforced by the C compiler.

[^7]:    $\dagger$ Truncated words were excluded from this list, hence the mismatch between the length of the list (31) and the number of items expected on the basis of the Table 6.6 (36).

[^8]:    $\dagger$ As readers of The Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy will be interested to hear.

[^9]:    $\dagger$ Based on the approximation of the transformed correlation coefficient to Normal distribution as described in the next chapter. For $n=42$ and $r=0.92$, $t$ is equal to 10.13 , which is significant at the $1 \%$ level.

[^10]:    $\dagger$ See Chapter 6 for the specifications of the different stopword lists.

[^11]:    $\dagger$ I am grateful to Dr Paul Davies of the University of Birmingham for his advice. His derivation of the $\pm 0.14$ value is as follows:
    The usual method for n (the number of objects) $>30$ is to use the fact that a transformed correlation coefficient has an approximate Normal distribution. If $r$ is the correlation, calculate the ' $z$ transform'

    $$
    \begin{aligned}
    & z=0.5^{*} \log \{(1+\mathrm{r}) /(1-\mathrm{r})\} \text { and } \\
    & s=\text { square root of }(1 /(\mathrm{n}-2)) .
    \end{aligned}
    $$

    The quantity $\mathrm{t}=2 / \mathrm{s}$ has approximately the standardised Normal distribution if there is no true population correlation. So in the usual way there is evidence of significant correlation at $5 \%$ level if $t$ lies outside the range $(-2,2)$ and at $1 \%$ level if outside the range $(-2.58,2.58)$. Note that the $\log$ is Napierian or hyperbolic to the base 'e' not log to the base 10 [which] means that a correlation $\mathrm{r}>0.14$ or $\mathrm{r}<-0.14$ would be significant at $5 \%$ for $\mathrm{n}=200$. For $1 \%$ probability the

[^12]:    value would be 0.18 . Of course these would be statistically significant but unlikely to be of any practical significance as the correlations are small.

[^13]:    $\dagger$ The expected frequency of co-occurrence was calculated on the basis of the overall frequency of each word in the BoE, as extracted from a wordlist supplied by Jeremy Clear of Cobuild. It is equivalent to

[^14]:    $\dagger$ Both the sample set and the full concordance were generated directly from the Cobuild corpus database, i.e. the sample was not derived from the full set. The reason for the absence of some of the sample lines from the full set is possibly attributable to Cobuild's policy of removing older material from their corpus.

[^15]:    Comparison with usable lines has been omitted.
    $\dagger$ A similar table based on a comparison with lines chosen as usable can be found in Appendix 5c.

[^16]:    $\dagger$ For this version of the Pearson's test, with $\mathrm{N}=10$, the smallest correlation coefficient which would be significantly different from zero at the 0.05 level is 0.55 .

[^17]:    1) ad declared their willingness to set a date for starting stage two of economic an
    2) ? Almost half thought she should set a date for stepping down; 35 per cent that $s$
    3) pe given here is set for this time and date, and for the capital, Paramaribo. <t>
    4) $n$ Dublin on Saturday should set a firm date for an inter-governmental conference
    5) $d$ last night to set 2000 as the target date for stabilising emissions of carbon $d$
    6) Board and set an implementation target date of January 1. <t> The working party $h$
    7) pendence, and Chart 91 is set for this date for Helsinki, the capital, for 12.00
