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## PREFACE

This volume consists of a number of Appendices which supplement the information given in the text of the main volume. All the Appendices are referred to in the footnotes to that text and this volume should, therefore, be used in conjunction with the main volume.

Most of the Appendices consist of Tables, and a large number of abbreviations have been adopted. Where these are not in common usage, they are explained at the beginning of each group of Tables.

It should be noted here that all the manuscript sources which form the basis of these Appendices were written in Latin. The spelling of placenames and surnames has been modernized wherever possible. ${ }^{1}$ Dates have also been given in a modern form. 2 . In the original documents it was common to refer to a date by reference to a saint's day and regnal year. This method has been retained only in those Tables which detail the documents which survive from the County Court sessions. ${ }^{3}$ This is because it is important to consider the Court sessions in relation to regnal years. Moreover, some of the documents which are cited most frequently in the footnotes to the text are identified by reference to the court session at which they were compiled. In these cases (the Sessional Files are the prime example) the date of the session is described by reference to a saint's day and regnal year.

1 Extensive use has been made of J. McN. Dodgson; The place-names of Cheshire, Early English Text Society, 44-7 (1970-2).

2 See C.R. Cheney (ed.), Handbook of dates for students of English History, Royal Historical Society, 1970.

# LIST OF COUNTY COURT SESSIONS, 20 HENRY VI - 3 RICHARD III, TOGETHER WITH DETAILS OF THE RECORDS WHICH SURVIVE FROM THOSE SESSIONS 

(pp. 371-98)

In the Tables on pp. 377-98 each session of the County Court which met during the period 20 Henry VI - 3 Richard"III"is listed. Details are given concerning the extent to which the main palatinate sources used in this study have survived. Each class of documents is considered in much greater detail in Chapter 1.

The headings used in the Tables are listed below, and an explanation is given as to the way in which the information under each heading may be interpreted.

DATES OF COUNTY COURT SESSIONS

The sessions for each regnal year are listed together. It is important to note that the sessions have been grouped under particular regnal years according to information given on the plea Rolls; all the County Court sessions held during a regnal year were recorded on the same Plea Roll. On two occasions during the period the clerks who compiled the Plea Rolls made mistakes. The session held on 31 August 1445 should have been recorded on the Plea Roll for 23 Henry VI. Similarly, the session held on 3 March' 1467 should have been recorded on the" Plea:Roll
for 6 Edward IV. Similar mistakes were also made with regard to these particular sessions by the clerks who compiled the other Court records. Since all Sessional Files are referred to in the main volume by reference to the relevant Court session and regnal year, the regnal year groupings which were established by the contemporary clerks have been adopted throughout this thesis. It is sufficient to recognize that in relation to the sessions held on 31 August 1445 and 3 March 1467, the references are technically inaccurate.

The abbreviations used in this section of the Tables are selfexplanatory. It should be remembered that the Court sessions always began on a Tuesday. This is assumed in the Tables. Thus the dates of the sessions are expressed in relation to a feast or saint's day according to whether the Tuesday of the session :fell before (b.), after (a.) or on the feast or saint's day.

PR (= Plea Roll)

- Entries survive on the Plea Rolls for every session of the County Court held during the period, with the exception of the session held during the Readeption ( 8 January 1471) and that held on 15 December 1472. The existence of an entry for a session is signified by a cross in the Tables.


## I R (= Indictment Roll)

The first entry on the Indictment Roll for the period was for the Court session of 18 July 1447. The same Roll contains entries for the rest of Henry VI's reign. The Tables show that for many Court sessions
no entries survive. A separate-Roll contains indictments made during Edward IV's reign until the session of 4 June 1482. No Indictment Rolls have survived from the reigns of Edward $V$ or Richard III. If there is an entry on an Indictment Roll for a Court session, it is denoted by a cross in the Tables.

CR (= Calendar Roll)

Only one Calendar Roll survives for the period. It contains entries for the regnal years. 1 Edward IV - 1 Edward $V$. On only three occasions during that period is there no entry on the Roll. An entry is denoted by a.cross in the Tables.

S F (= Sessional File)

A Sessional File was produced at each session of the County. Court. It is clear from the Tables that a large number of them have been lost, particularly for the reign of Henry VI. Where a File has survived, a cross is shown in the Tables.

Gr J (= Grand Jury)

A grand jury met at most Court sessions. The names of all those involved on the jury panel (including those who were not sworn) were recorded in the Sessional File. The names of those sworn on the jury were recorded on the Indictment Roll. Occasionally two grand juries met at a session.

For the period before 18 July 1447 (date of the first entry on the Indictment Roll) details of grand juries survive only in the Sessional Files. The figures given in the Tables ('O', 'I' or '2') represent the number of grand juries found in each File. If no File survives for a session it is not possible to say whether a grand jury met, and the appropriate box is left blank.

For the Court sessions which met during the period 18 July 1447 4 June 1482 evidence of grand juries is provided by the Sessional Files and the Indictment Rolls, and the appropriate boxes in the Tables have been divided. In the top half of each box the evidence from the Indictment Roll is presented and in the bottom half of each box the evidence from the Sessional File is given using the same notation as before.

## G D J (= Gaol Delivery Jury)

Gaol delivery jury panels were recorded only in the Sessional Files, and details are presented in the Tables using the same notation as that used for grand juries.

CJ (= Civil Jury)

Civil jury panels were also recorded only in the Sessional Files. For civil trials it was evidently difficult to swear a complete jury. Thus in most Sessional Files there is evidence of a large number of incomplete panels which did not try cases. In the Tables the numbers given refer only to those civil juries which actually sat and reached verdicts.

M (= Mainprize)

Details of mainprizes were recorded in the Sessional Files for the entire period. For Henry VI's reign these entries in the Files were copied on to a Mainprize Roll. A Mainprize Roll was also compiled during the early years of Edward IV's reign; this Roll ends with six of the eleven mainprizes taken at the Court of 7 August 1464. The figures given in the Tables represent the total number of different mainprizes which were made at each Court session. For those sessions where no record has survived it is not possible to say whether any mainprizes were made, and therefore the appropriate boxes have been left blank.....:

FC (= Final Concord)

Final concords were entered in draft form in the Sessional Files and were later recorded in a separate collection of County Court documents. There is no reason to doubt that this collection is complete; it is, moreover, in an excellent state of preservation. The figures given in the Tables represent the total number of final concords which were made at each Court session.

## R (= Recognizance)

Unlike the records discussed above, recognizances were Exchequer documents which were made in the Exchequer Court. However, the majority of new recognizances, and all renewals, were made during the County Court sessions. Recognizances were recorded only on the Enrolments. On only one Roll (that for the regnal year $30-31$ Henry VI) were no recognizances
recorded, and few such entries were made on the Roll for the following regnal year. No Roll at all survives for the year 28-29 Henry VI. The figures given in the Tables represent the numbers of recognizances made on the Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday of each County Court session week.

## I/P (= Inquisition Post Mortem/Proof of Age)

The Inquisitions were Exchequer documents which recorded inquisitions post mortem (I) and proofs of agei:(P). Enquiries could be made at any time and in any place where a suitable jury could be collected. A large number of both types of enquiry were, however, made in Chester during County Court sessions. It seems that almost all those which were made during the period have survived. The figures given in the Tables represent the numbers ofinquisitions post mortem and proofs of age made on the Tuesday, Wednesday or Thursday of the week of a County Court session:

| ' dAtes of County Court sessions |  | P R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C |  | R |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tu. | Wed. | Th. |  |
| $20^{\circ}$ HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Nativity BVM. | 12/9/41 | x |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Michaelmas | 3/10/41 | x |  |  |  |  | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. | 12/12/41 | x |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany | 9/1/42 | X |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| in 2nd wk. Lent | 27/ $2 / 42$ | X | x | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter | 10/4/42 | x | x | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 (P) |
| on fst. St Philip and St James | 1/5/42 | x | X | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| a. Trinity | 29/5/42 | x | x | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Mary Magdalene | 24/7/42 | X | x | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 (I) |
| 21 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. Nativity BVM. | 4/9/42 | $\therefore \mathrm{x}$ |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| a. Michaelmas | 2/10/42 | x | x | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. | 11/12/42 | x |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Hilary | 15/1/43 | x |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St Chad | 26/2/43 | X | X | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 5th wk. Lent | 9/4/43 | x |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 (I) |
| a. close Easter | 30/4/43 | x | x | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity | 18/6/43 | X | x | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| dAtes of County court sessions | P R | 5 F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C | R |  |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tu. | Wed. | Th. |  |
| 22 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Nativity BVM. $10 / 9 / 43$ | x |  |  | : |  | 14 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Michaelmas 1/10/43 | x |  |  |  |  | $9{ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. 10/12/43 | x |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Hilary 14/1/44 | x |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 1st wk. Lent $3 / 3 / 44$ | x |  |  | . |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter $21 / 4 / 44$ | X |  |  |  |  | 4 | $2{ }^{3}$ | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 9 , 6/44 | X |  |  |  |  | 3. | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St James 21/7/44 | X | X | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. Michaelmas 22/9/44 | x | x | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Lucy 15/12/44 | x | X | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Hilary 19/1/45 | x |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 2nd wk. Lent 23/2/45 | x |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter • , 6/4/45 | x | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 25/5/45 | x | X | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Translation St Thomas 13/7/45 | x |  |  |  |  | 12 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Peter ad vincula 3/8/45 | x | ? |  | : |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 ' | 0 | 0 |



| dAtes of County court sessions | PR I R |  | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C | R |  |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Tu. |  |  |  |  |  | Wed. | Th. |  |
| 26 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Michaelmas 3/10/47 | X |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St Lucy 12/12/47 | x |  | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 9/1/48 | x |  | x | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 2nd wk. Lent 20/ 2/48 | x |  | x | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter $2 / 4 / 48$ | x |  | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 21/5/48 | X |  | x | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Peter and St Paul $2 / 7 / 48$ | x |  | x | 1 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Bartholomew 27/8/48 | X | x | x | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. St Denis 8/10/48 | x | X | x | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. 10/12/48 | x | X. | x | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Hilary 14/ 1/49 | X | x | x | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| a. St Valentine 18/2/49 | X | X | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 5th wk. Lent $\quad 1 / 4 / 49$ | x | X | x | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter 22/4/49 | x |  | x | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 10/6/49 | x |  | x | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Margaret $\quad 22 / 7 / 49$ | X |  | x | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| DATES OF COUNTY COURT SESSIONS | P R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C | Tu. | Wed. | Th. |  |
| 28 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Decollation St John 2/9/49 | X |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 0 0 <br> No Roll for   |  |  | 0 |
| a. St Denis 14/10/49 | X | X |  | 1 |  |  | 9 | 0 | No Roll for |  |  | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. 9/12/49 | X | X |  | 1 |  |  | 3 | 0 | 28-29 H. VI |  |  | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 13/1/50 | X |  | . |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | (29/9/49 - |  |  | 0 |
| in 5th wk. Lent 24/3/50 | X |  | X | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28/9/50) .has |  |  | 0 |
| a. close Easter 14/4/50 | X |  | X | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | survived, |  |  | 0 |
| a. Trinity $2 / 6 / 50$ | X |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | and so it is <br> not known <br> how many <br> recognizances <br> were agreed. |  |  | 0 |
| on fst. Translation St Thomas 7/7/50 | X |  | X | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | not known <br> how many <br> recognizances <br> were agreed. |  |  | 0 |
| 29 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| on fst. St Egidius 1/9/50 | X |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 (I) |
| a. St Denis 13/10/50 | X | . | X | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Lucy 15/12/50 | X | X | X | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 12/ 1/51 | X | ¢ |  |  |  |  | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 5th wk. Lent ${ }^{-}$. 13/4/51 | X | X |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| á. close Easter 4/ 5/51 | X | X | X | $\frac{1}{0}$ | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 22/6/51 | X | + |  | \& | : |  | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| on fst. St Margaret 20/7/51 | X |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Peter ad vincula . $3 / 8 / 51$ | X |  | X | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| dATES OF COUNTY COURT SESSIONS | P R | I R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C | R |  |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tu. | Wed. | Th. |  |
| 30 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Nativity ByM. 14/9/51 | x |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Lucy 14/12/51 | x | x |  | 1 |  |  | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany: 11/1/52 | X | x |  | 1 |  |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 5th wk. Lent $\quad$ 28/3/52 | x | X |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter: 18/4/52 | X |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 6/6/52 | X |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St Margaret 18/7/52 | X |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| on fst. St Peter ad vincula 1/8/52 | X |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (P) |
| 31 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. Nativity BVM. 5/ 9/52 | X |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Michaelmas 3/10/52 | X | x |  | 1 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St Thomas 19/12/52 | $x$ | - |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| a. Epiphany $9 / 1 / 53$ | x | x | X | 1 | 0. | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 . |
| in 5th wk. Lent - $20 / 3 / 53$ | x | X |  | 1 |  |  | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| a. close Easter 10/4/53 | X | X |  | 1 |  |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Invention Holy Cross 8/5/53 | X | X | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Mary Magdalene 24/7/53 | x | ? |  |  |  |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St Laurencė 7/8/53 | x | * | x | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| dAtes of county court sessions | P R | I R | $S \mathrm{~F}$ | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C | R |  |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tu. | Wed. | Th. |  |
| 32 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b.* Michaelmas 25/9/53 | x | X | x | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. 11/12/53 | x | x |  | 1 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 8/1/54 | X | X |  | 1 |  |  | 9 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| in lst wk. Lent 12/3/54 | X | x |  | 1 |  |  | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 5th wk. Lent 9/4/54 | X | X |  | 1 |  |  | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter_30/4/54 | X | X | x | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St James 30/ 7/54 | x | x | x | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Bartholomew 27/8/54 | - X | X |  | 1 |  |  | 6 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| *According to I R, C. C. met 'after' <br> Mich. All other sources have 'before'. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 HENRY VI | $\therefore$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. Michaelmas 24/9/54 | x | x | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| b. St Thomas 17/12/54 | x | x |  | 1 |  |  | 14 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Hilary . 14/ 1/55 | X | X |  | 1 |  |  | 9 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 2nd wk. Lent - ${ }^{\text {4/3/55 }}$ | X | X | x | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 6th wk. Lent 1/4/55 | x |  | $\because$ | 1 | : | $\therefore$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter 15/4/55 | x | x | x | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 3/6/55 | x | X | x | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 (P) |
| a. St Laurence 12/8/55 | x | X |  | 1 |  |  | 12 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| dAtes of county court sessions | P R | I R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C |  | R |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tu. | Wed. | Th. |  |
| 34 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Nativity BVM. 9/ 9/55 | X | x |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Michaelmas 23/9/55 | X |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St Thomas 16/12/55 | x | x |  | 1 |  |  | 14 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| on fst. St Hilary 13/1/56 | X | x |  | 1 |  |  | 12 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 2nd wk. Lent 24/2/56 | x | X | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 6th wk. Lent 23/3/56 | x | X |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter 6/4/56 | x | x |  | 1 |  |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 25/5/56 | X | x |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| on fst. St Margaret $20 / 7 / 56$ | X |  | x | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Nativity BVM. $14 / 9 / 56$ | x | X | x | $\underline{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. 14/12/56* | x |  | x | 1 | 1 | 2 | . 13 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 11/1/57 | x | x | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | - | 0 | 0 |
| b. Matthias 22/2/57 | x |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 3rd wk. Lent . $22 / 3 / 57$ | x | x |  | 1 | . |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter $\quad \cdot \quad 26 / 4 / 57$ | x | x |  | 1 |  |  | 7 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 14/6/57* | x |  | x | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St Margaret 19/7/57 | X | x | X | $1$ | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Assumption BVM. $16 / 8 / 57$ | X | ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  | 1 |  |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *In Chester 2 the recogs. taken at these sessions were incorrectly dated the Mon. preceding the start of the C. C. See above, Chapter 1, p. 43. |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ | 3 |  |  |  |  | ! |  |  |



| dates of county court sessions | P R | I R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C ${ }^{\text {J }}$ | M | F C | R |  |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tu. | Wed. | Th. |  |
| 38 HENRY VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. Michaelmas 25/9/59 | X | x | x | - | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. 11/12/59 | X | x | x | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 8/1/60 | X | x | x | 1 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St Matthias 19/2/60 | x | X | X | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 4th wk. Lent 25/3/60 | x | X |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter $22 / 4 / 60$ | X | x | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 2 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 10/6/60 | x | x | x | 1 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |


| DATES OF COUNTY COURT SESSIONS | P R | I R | C R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C | R |  |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tu. | Wed. | Th. |  |
| 1 EDWARD ${ }^{\text {IV }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Pentecost 26/5/61 | x |  | \% |  |  |  |  | 16 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Anne 28/7/61 | x | x | X | X | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Decollation St John 1/9/61 | x | x | X | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Christmas 22/12/61 | x | x | x | X | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 12/1/62 | X . | x | x | X | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 3rd wk. Lent 23/3/62 | x | x | x | $\dot{x}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 5th wk. Lent 6/4/62 | X | x | x | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter 27/4/62 | X | x | x | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 15/6/62 | x | x | x | x | 1 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| on fst. St Bartholomew 24/8/62 | X | x | x | x | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| on fst. Exaltation Holy Cross 14/9/62 | x | x | x | X | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Michaelmas 5/10/62 | X | x | x | x | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. . 14/12/62 | X | x | x |  | 0 |  |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany $\quad 11 / 1 / \dot{6} 3$ | X | x | X | x | 8 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| dAtes of county court sessions | P R | I R | ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CR}$ | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C |  | R |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 EDWARD. IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in lst wk. Lent 5/3/65 | x | X | x | x | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 5th wk. Lent 2/4/65 | $x$ | x | x | x | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter 23/4/65 | x | x | X | x | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 11/6/65 | X | X | x | x | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St James 30/7/65* | X | X | x | x | 1 | 1 |  | 6 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Exaltation Holy Cross 17/9/65 | x | X | X | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. 10/12/65 | X | x | X | X | 1 | 1 |  | 5 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 7/1/66 | X | X | x |  | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *In Chester 2 the recogs. taken at this session were incorrectly dated xxx die Junii. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 3rd wk. Lent 11/3/66 | x | X | X | X | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 5th wk. Lent 25/3/66 | x | X |  | x . | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter 15/4/66 | x | X | x | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 0 | 3 | 2. | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity . 3/6/66 | x | X | X | X | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Peter ad vincula 5/8/66* | x | X | x | x | 1. | 0 |  | 5 | 0 | 15* | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Nativity BVM. 2/9/66 | X | x | X | X | 1 | 10 |  | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Michaelmas 23/9/66 | X | X | X | x | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Nicholas 9/12/66 | X | X | x | x | $1$ | 1 |  | 5 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 13/1/67 | X | ( x | x |  | l | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| *In Chester 2 the recogs. taken at this session were incorrectly dated quarto die. Cf. above p. 384 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| dAtes of county court sessions | P R | I R | C R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C | R |  |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 3rd wk. Lent $\quad 7 / 3 / 69$ | x | X | x | x | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| a. close Easter 11/4/69 | x | x | x | X | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 30/5/69 | X | x | x | x | -1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| on fst. St Peter ad vincula 1/8/69 | x | x | X | x | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Nativity BVM. 5/9/69 | x | x | X | X | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St Matthew 19/9/69 | x | x | x | x | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. 12/12/69 | X | x | X | X | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 9/1/70 | X | x | X |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 (I) |
| 10 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 1st wk. Lent 13/3/70 | x | X | x | x | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 5th wk. Lent 10/4/70 | x | X | X | X | O | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter 1/5/70 | x | x | X | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 19/6/70 | x | X | X | x | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Oswald $\quad 7 / 8 / 70$ | X | X | X | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Michaelmas 25/9/70 | X | x | X | X | $I$ | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 HENRY VI (READEPTION) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Epiphany $\cdot$ / 1/71 |  |  |  | X | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| DATES OF COUNTY COURT SESSIONS | P R | I R | C R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C |  | R |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tu. | Wed. | Th. |  |
| 11 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. St Laurence 13/8/71 | x | x | X |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Exaltation Holy Cross 17/9/71 | x | X | X |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. 10/12/71 | X | X | X | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 7/1/72 | x | X | X | X | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ; |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 3rd wk. Lent 3/3/72 | x | x | X | X | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter 7/4/72 | X | X | X | X | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 26/5/72 | x | X | X | X | $1$ | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 (P) |
| a. St Laurence 11/8/72 | X | X | X | x | O | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b.* Nativity BVM. 1/ 9/72 | x | x | x | X | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Lucy 15/12/72 |  |  |  | X | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 12/1/73 | x | x | x | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *According to C R, C. C, met 'after' fst. All other sources have 'before'. | : | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| DATES OF COUNTY COURT SESSIONS | P R | I R | C R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C |  | R |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 5th wk. Lent 14/3/75 | x | x | X |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter 4/4/75 | x | x | x |  | 1 | . |  | . | 0 | 7 | ${ }_{2}$ | 1 | 0 |
| à. Trinity 23/5/75 | x | x | x | * | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| on Assumption BVM. 15/ 8/75 | x | x | x |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Nativity BVM. 5/ 9/75 | x | x | X |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b.*Michaelmas 26/9/75 | x | x | x |  | 1 | , | ; |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. $12 / 12 / 75$ | x | x | x | ; | 1 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| a. Epiphany 9/1/76 | x | X | x |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0 | . 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ```*According to 2 headings on P R, C. C. met 'after' Mich. 5 other headings have 'before'. See above, Chapter 1, p. 20.``` |  |  |  |  | ' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 3rd wk. Lent 19/3/76 | x | x | x | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| in 5th wk. Lent $2 / 4 / 76$ | x | x | X | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter . 23/4/76 | x | X | x | X | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| a. Trinity $\quad 11 / 6 / 76$ | x | X | X | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St Laurence 6/ 8/76 | X | X | X | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Bartholomew 27/8/76 | X | X | X | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Exaltation Holy Cross 17/9/76 | x | x | x | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. 10/12/76 | x | X | X | X | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany $7 / 1 / 77$ | x | x | x | X | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| dates of County court sessions | P R | I R | C R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J |  | F C |  | R |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 3rd wk. Lent 11/3/77 | x | x | x | x | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| a. close Easter 15/4/77 | x | x | x | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 3/6/77 | x | x | X | x | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| a. St Laurence 12/8/77 | X | X | x | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Nativity BVM. 2/9/77 | x | X | X | X | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Exaltation Holy Cross 16/9/77 | x | x | X | x | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Lucy 16/12/77 | X | x | x | x | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| a. Epiphany 13/1/78 | X | X | X | X | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| in 3rd wk. Lent 24/2/78 | X | X | X | x | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. close Easter . $31 / 3 / 78$ | x | x | x | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 2 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| a. Trinity 19/5/78 | X | x | x | x | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ ( I ) |
| a. St Peter ad vincula $4 / 8 / 78$ | X | x | x | x | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Nativity BVM. - 1/9/78 | X | x | X | x | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Matthew 22/9/78 | x | x | x | x | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| on fst. Conception BVM. 8/12/78 | x | x | x | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany 12/1/79 | x | x | X | x | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| dAtES OF COUNTY COURT SESSIONS | P R | I R | C R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C |  | R |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 2nd wk. Lent 9/3/79 | x | x | X | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 5th wk. Lent 30/3/79 | X | x | x | X | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| a. close Easter . 20/4/79 | x |  | x | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Peter ad vincula 3/8/79 | X | x | X | X | 1 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Decollation St John 31/8/79 | x | x | x | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| on fst. St Matthew 21/9/79 | x |  | x | x | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b.* Conception BVM. - 7/12/79 | X | x | X | X | $1$ | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany $11 / 1 / 80$ | X | X | X | x | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 2nd wk. Lent 28/2/80 | x | X | x | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | o |
| *According to 1 heading on $P R, C, C$. met 'after' Conception BVM. Other 3 headings have 'before'. Cf. above, p. 394 | (in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 5th wk. Lent 21/3/80 | X | X | X | x |  | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter . 11/4/80 | x | X | X | x |  | 1. | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| on fist. St Peter ad vincula $1 / 8 / 80$ | x | x | x | x |  | 2 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Nativity BVM. 12/9/80 | x | x | x | x |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St Nicholas 5/12/80 | x | X | X | x | : | 2 | 2. | 4 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Matthias 27/2/81 | X | X | X | x |  | 2 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| dAtes of County court sessions- | P R | I R | C R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C |  | R |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 5th wk. Lent 10/4/81 | X | x | x | x | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter 1/5/81 | x | X | x | X | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 19/6/81 | x | x | x | x | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Translation St Thomas 10/7/81 | x | x | x | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St James 31/7/81 | x | X | X | X | $\frac{0}{1}$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. St. Bartholomew 21/8/81 | x | X | X | x | O | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Exaltation Holy Cross 18/9/81 | x | X | X | x | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. 11/12/81 | x | X | X | x | 1 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Eptphany . 8/1/82 | x | x | X | x | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 EDWARD IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 2nd wk. Lent 5/3/82 | x | x | X | x | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 5 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 16/4/82 | x | X | x | x | 1 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity 4/6/82 | x | x | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{x}$ | x | 1 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Anne 30/7/82 | x | \% | X | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| a, St Bartholomew . 27/8/82 | x |  | X | x | 2 | 0 | 3 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 : |
| b. Michaelmas 24/9/82 | x |  | x | x | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. 10/12/82 | X |  | x | x | 1 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. St Hilary 14/ 1/83 | x |  | x | x | 1 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| - 23 EDWARD IV. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in 5th wk. Lent 18/3/83 | X |  | X | x | 2 | 2 | 4 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter 8/4/83 | X |  | X | X | 1 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| dATES OF COUNTY COURT SESSIONS | P R | C R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C |  | R |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 EDWARD V | ; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Trinity 27/5/83 | x | x | x | 1 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

a.

| dATES OF COUNTY COURT SESSIONS |  | P R | S F | Gr J | G D J | C J | M | F C |  | R |  | I/P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 RICHARD III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Decollation St John | 2/9/83 | x |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter | 27/4/84 | X |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity | 15/6/84 | X |  |  | ? |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| 2 RICHARD III |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. Translation St Thomas | 6/7/84 | x |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Nativity BVM. | 7/9/84 | X |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Conception BVM. | 14/12/84 | X | X | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Epiphany | 11/1/85 | x |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| in 4th wk. Lent | 15/3/85 | x . | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a. close Easter | 12/4/85 | x : |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| a. Trinity | 31/5/85 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (I) |
| 3 RICHARD III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. St Mary Magdalene | 19/7/85 | . x | x | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## PROOFS OF AGE

(pp. 399-404)

## (a) SUBJECTS OF PROOFS OF AGE, 1442-82

(pp. 400-1)
(b) INQUISITIONS POST MORTEM FOR MEN WHOSE HEIRS WERE THE SUBJECTS OF PROOFS OF AGE
(pp. 402-4)

The, Tables are referred to in the text (Chapter 1; pp. 52-5). The subjects of the proofs of age are listed in strict alphabetical order.

| NAME OF SUBJECT of PROOF OF AGE <br> (P.R.O. REFERENCE <br> C. = Chester) | place and date of proof of Age <br> (DATE Of NEAREST C. C. SESSION IF PROOF HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE OF WRIT $\frac{\text { DE ETATE }}{\frac{\text { PROBANDA }}{\text { CHESTER } 3}}$ IN | REFERENCE TO WRIT DE ETATE PROBANDA IN CHESTER 2 (DATE OF WRIT IF NOT IN CHESTER 3) | REFERENCE TO WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 (DATE OF WRIT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BROMLEY, John, esq. <br> (C. 3/43/28 Hen. VI/1) | Macclesfield 22/ 1/50 | 13/1/50 | Nothing on Enrolments rotulets lost. | rhaps relevant |
| BRUEN, John <br> (C. 3/46/5 Edw. IV/1) | Wistaston 20/12/65 | Not in Chester 3. | $\begin{gathered} \text { c. } 2 / 138 \text {, rot. ld. (2) } \\ (30 / 11 / 65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { c. } 2 / 138, \text { rot. 3d. (4) } \\ (23 / 12 / 65) \end{gathered}$ |
| CALVELEY, Hugh <br> (C. $3 / 41 / 20$ Hen, $\mathrm{VI} / 1$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \\ & (10 / 4 / 42) \end{aligned} \quad 11 / 4 / 42$ | 10/ 4/42 | C. $2 / 115$, rot. 5 (5) | C. $2 / 115$, rot. 5d. (2) <br> (12/4/42) |
| CHAUNTRELL, John <br> (C. 3/42/21 Hen. VI/1) | Macclesfield 15/ 2/43 | 13/2/43 | C. $2 / 116$, rot. 3 (5) | $\begin{gathered} \text { c. } 2 / 116 \text {, rot. } 4 \text { ( } 7 \text { ( } 2 / 43 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |
| DONE, John, of Flaxyards (C. 3/49/22 Edw. IV/2) | Tarvin 9/5/82 | 26/4/82 | C. $2 / 154$, rot. 4 d . (4) | C. $2 / 154$, rot. 4 d . (5) (13/5/82) |
| DONE, Rd., of Crowton, esq. (C. 3/44/35 Hen. VI/2) | Bunbury 8/9/57, | 18/8/57 | C. $2 / 130$, rot. 2 d. (2) | c. $2 / 131$, rot. 4 d . (3) (29/10/57) |
| EGERTON, Alice, of Bulkeley (C. 3/42/21 Hen, VI/3). | $\text { Macclesfield } \quad 1 / 7 / 43$ | 22/ 6/43 | 2 writs de etate proban (11), 6d. (20)), dated No evidence of 2 nd Proo of livery following lst | (C. $2 / 116$, rott. 5 d . 2/6/43 and 10/9/43. and no evidence of writ Proof. |
| EGERTON, John, of E, (C. 3/49/19 Edw. IV/1) | Pecforton 12/8/79 | 6/8/79 | C. $2 / 151$, rot. 6 (6) | $\text { c. } 2 / 152 \text {, rot. lld. (3) }$ (27/9/79) |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF PROOF OF AGE (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND of Age <br> (DATE OF SESSION IF AT CHESTER | of PROOF <br> ST C. OF HELD | DATE OF WRITDE ETATEPROBANDA <br> CHESTER 3 | REFERENCE TO WRIT DE ETATE PROBANDA IN CHESTER 2 | REFERENCE TO WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 (DATE OF WRIT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GERARD, Thomas, esq. <br> (C. 3/43/30 Hen. VI/1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \\ & (1 / 8 / 52) \end{aligned}$ | $2 / 8 / 52$ | Illegible | Probably never enrolled. | C. 2/124, rot. ld. (5) (5/8/52) |
| STARKEY, Hugh, of Oulton (C. 3/49/18 Edw, IV/5L | Chester $(19 / 5 / 78)$ | $20 / 5 / 78$ | 4/ 5/78 | Not on Enrolments perhaps lost. | C. $2 / 150$, rot. 8 (1) (22/5/78) |
| STARKEY, John, of Oulton <br> (C. 3/44/33 Hen. VI/3). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \\ & (3 / 6 / 55) \end{aligned}$ | $4 / 6 / 55$ | 29/5/55 | C. $2 / 127$, rot. 6 d , (1) | c. $2 / 127$, rot. 6 d. (2) <br> (7/ 6/55) |
| STARKEY, John, of Wrenbury (C, 3/43/29 Hen. VI/1) | Chester <br> (13/10/50) | $31 / 10 / 50$ | 26/10/50 | C. $2 / 123$, rot. 1d. (4) | C. $2 / 123$, rot. ld. (5) (10/11/50) |
| STARKEY, Thomas, of Wrenbury (C. 3/49/19 Edw. IV/4) | Nantwich | 11/12/79 | 8/12/79 | C, 2/152, rot. 1d. (4) | C. $2 / 152$, rot. lld. (4) (11/ 1/80) |
| TROUTBECK, William, esq. <br> (C. 3/47/12 Edw. IV/IV) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \\ & (26 / 5 / 72) \end{aligned}$ | $26 / 5 / 72$ | 15/ 5/72 | C. $2 / 144$; rot. 3d. (7) | Not on Enrolments perhaps lost. |
| VERNON, John, of Haslington (C. 3/42/24 Hen. VI/6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \\ & \text { (11/ 1y46) } \end{aligned}$ | $11 / 1 / 46$ | 28/12/45 | C, 2/119, rot. 2 d. (5) | C. $2 / 118$, rot. 6 (3) <br> (14/ 1/46) |
| WILBRAHAM, Margaret <br> (C. 3/42/22 Hen. VI/2) | Tarvin | $27 / 11 / 43$ | 9/10/43 | C. 2/117, rot. 1 (8) | C. 2/117, rot. ld. (6) <br> (12/12/43) |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF PROOF OF AGE (P.R.O. REFERENCE) DATE OF PROOF OF AGE | AGE OF <br> HEIR AT <br> TIME OF <br> P. OF A. | NAME OF HEIR'S FATHER (P.R.O. REFERENCE TO FATHER'S IPM.) DATE OF IPM. | AGE OF HEIR AT TIME OF FATHER'S IPM. | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BROMLEY, John, esq. <br> (C. 3/43/28 Hen. VI/l) <br> 22/ 1/50 | 21+ | BROMLEY, William, esq. (C. 3/35/9 Hen. VI/1) 18/12/30 | 2+ | If John Bromley was $2+$ years of age on $18 / 12 / 30$, he must have been $21+$ years of age on $22 / 1 / 50$. |
| BRUEN, John <br> (C. 3/46/5 Edw. IV/1) <br> 20/12/65 | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ \text { (on } \\ 25 / 11 / 65 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | BRUEN, James <br> (C. $3 / 42 / 25$ Hen. $v I / 2$ ) <br> 28/3/47 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { (on } \\ 25 / 11 / 46 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | If John Bruen was 2 years of age on $25 / 11 / 46$, he must have been $21+$ years of age on $20 / 12 / 65$. |
| CALVELEY, Hugh <br> (C. 3/41/20 Hen. VI/1) <br> 11/ 4/42 | 21+ | CALVELEY, Hugh <br> (C. $3 / 36 / 11$ Hen. $V I / 5$ ) 18/12/32 | 12+ | If Hugh Calveley was $12+$ years of age on 18/12/32, he must have been $21+$ years of age on 11/4/42. |
| CHAUNTRELL, John <br> (C. 3/42/21 Hen. VI/1) <br> 15/ 2/43 | 21+ | CHAUNTRELL, William <br> (C. $3 / 40 / 17$ Hen. VI/l) 17 Hen. VI (exact date obliterated) | $17+$ | If John Chauntrell was $17+$ years of age in 17 Henry VI, he must have been $21+$ years of age on 15/2/43. |
| DONE, John, of Flaxyards (C. 3/49/22 Edw. IV/2) 9/ 5/82 | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ \text { (on } \\ 14 / 9 / 81 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | DONE, John, of Flaxyards <br> (C. 3/47/9 Edw. IV/3) <br> 11/ 1/70 | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (\mathrm{on} \\ 14 / 9 / 69) \end{gathered}$ | If John Done was 9 years of age on $14 / 9 / 69$, he must have been $21+$ years of age on $9 / 5 / 82$. |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF PROOF OF AGE (P.R.O. REFERENCE) DATE OF PROOF OF AGE | AGE OF HEIR AT TIME OF P. OF A. | NAME OF HEIR'S FATHER <br> (P.R.O. REFERENCE TO FATHER'S IPM.) <br> DATE OF IPM. | AGE OF heir at TIME OF FATHER'S IPM. | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DONE, Rd., of Crowton, esq. (C. 3/44/35 Hen. VI/2) 8/ $9 / 57$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (\text { on } \\ 22 / 7 / 57) \end{gathered}$ | DONE, Richard, of Crowton <br> (C. $3 / 34 / 17$ Hen. $V I / 3$ ) <br> 14/ 1/39 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { (on } \\ 25 / 7 / 38) \end{gathered}$ | There is some confusion concerning the exact date of Richard Done's birthday. Nevertheless, if he was 2 years old in July 1483, he must have been $21+$ years of age on $8 / 9 / 57$. |
| EGERTON, Alice, of Bulkeley <br> (C. 3/42/21 Hen. VI/3) <br> 1/ 7/43 | 14+ | EGERTON, Wm., of Bulkeley <br> (C. 3/35/8 Hen. VI/9) <br> 22/ 6/30 | $1+$ | If Alice Egerton was $1+$ years of age on $22 / 6 / 30$, she must have been $14+$ years of age on 1/7/43. |
| EGERTON, John, of E. <br> (C. 3/49/19 Edw. IV/l) <br> 12/ 8/79 | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ \text { (on } \\ 10 / 8 / 79) \end{gathered}$ | EGERTON, Philip, of E. esq. <br> (C. $3 / 48 / 13$ Edw. IV/1) <br> 8/ 1/74 - City; <br> 20/ 1/74 - County | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ \text { (on } \\ 10 / 8 / 73 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | If John Egerton was 15 years of age on 10/8/73, he must have been $21+$ years of age on 12/8/79. |
| GERARD, Thomas, esq. <br> (C. $3 / 43 / 30$ Hen. VI/l) <br> 2/ $8 / 52$ | $21+$ | GERARD, Peter, kt. <br> (C. 3/42/25 Hen. VI/3) <br> 15/7/47 | 16+ | If Thomas Egerton was $16+$ years of age on 15/7/47, he must have been 21+ years of age on $2 / 8 / 52$. |
| STARKEY, Hugh, of Oulton <br> (C. 3/49/18 Edw. IV/5) <br> 20/ 5/78 | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ \text { (on } \\ 3 / 5 / 78) \end{gathered}$ | STARKEY, John, of Oulton <br> (C. 3/46/l Edw. IV/2) <br> 20/10/61 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { (on } \\ 3 / 5 / 61 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | If Hugh Starkey was 4 years of age on $3 / 5 / 61$, he must have been $21+$ years of age on 20/5/78. |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF PROOF OF AGE (P.R.O. REFERENCE) date of proof of age | AGE OF HEIR AT TIIE OF P. OFA. | NAME OF HEIR'S FATHER (P.R.O. REFERENCE TO FATHER'S IPM.) dATE OF IPM. | AGE OF heir at TIME OF FATHER'S IPM. | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STARKEY, John, of Wrenbury <br> (C. 3/43/29 Hen. VI/1) <br> 31/10/50 | 21+ | STARKEY, Thomas, of Wrenbury (C. 3/39/16 Hen. VI/17) 3/ 6/38 | 9+ | If John Starkey was $9+$ years of age on $3 / 6 / 38$, he must have been $21+$ years of age on 31/10/50. |
| StARKEY, Thomas, of Wrenbury (C. 3/49/19 Edw. IV/4) 11/12/79 | 21+ | Starkey, John, of Wrenbury (C. $3 / 46 / 5$ Edw. IV/5) 16/11/65 | 7+ | If Thomas Starkey was 7+ years of age on $16 / 11 / 65$, he must have been $21+$ years of age on 11/12/79. |
| VERNON, John, of Haslington <br> (C. 3/42/24 Hen. VI/6) <br> 11/ 1/46 | 21+ | VERNON, Rd., of Haslington (C. 3/40/17 Hen. VI/9) 5/ 8/38 | $14+$ | If John Vernon was $14+$ years of age on $5 / 8 / 38$, he must have been $21+$ years of age on 11/1/46. |
| wilbraham, Margaret <br> (C. 3/42/22 Hen. VI/2) <br> 27/11/43 | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (10 / 8 / 43) \end{gathered}$ | No IPM. survives for Margare father, Ts. Swettenham, but evidence of a land settlemen Margaret's favour (Chester 2 rot. 8 (13)). She was said been 6 years of age on 10/8/ | 's <br> there is made in 110, to have 35. | If Margaret was 6 years of age on 10/8/35, she must have been 14+ years of age on 27/11/43. |

## III

## INQUISITIONS POST MORTEM

(pp, 405-30)
(a) COUNTY INQUISITIONS POST MORTEM, 1442-85
(pp. 406-26)
(b) CHESTER CITY INQUISITIONS POST MORTEM, 1445-81
(pp. 427-8)
(c) ORIGINATING WRITS WHICH ARE ONLY IN CHESTER 2,

AND FOR WHICH NO RESULTING INQUISITIONS POST
MORTEM CAN BE FOUND
(pp. 429-30)

The Tables are referred to in the text (Chapter, pp. 56-63). In each set of Tables the subjects are listed in strict alphabetical order.

| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | place and date OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF <br> IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING <br> WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT of LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BEESTON, Thomas <br> 20/10/76 <br> (C. 3/48/16 Edw. IV/l) | $\begin{array}{\|ll} \text { Chester } & 8 / 11 / 76 \\ (10 / 12 / 76) & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 / 10 / 76 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 10/11/76 |  |
| BERWICK, Margery <br> 24/ 6/54 <br> (C. 3/44/32 Hen. vI/4) | Nantwich 9/7/54 | No writ in Chester 3. | $\begin{gathered} 26 / 6 / 54 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | 30/7/54 |  |
| BOOTH, George, esq. <br> 21/ 3/84 <br> (C. 3/50/1 Ric. III/1) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } \\ (15 / 6 / 84) \end{array} \quad 9 / 6 / 84$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 / 4 / 84 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | 23/6/94 | George Booth's son and heir, William, was a minor until 1494. |
| BOOTH, Roger <br> 18/ 8/67 <br> (C. 3/47/7 Edw. IV/1) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } & 10 / 9 / 67 \\ (22 / 9 / 67) \end{array}$ | 5/9/67 dce. | Yes | 22/9/67 |  |
| BOOTH, William, kt. <br> 6/ 4/77 <br> (C. 3/49/17 Edw. IV/1) | Knutsford 26/ 4/77 | No writ in Chester 3. | $\begin{gathered} 17 / 4 / 77 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | $29 / 4 / 77$ |  |
| BOSTOCK, Adam <br> 29/ 4/75 <br> (C. 3/48/15 Edw. IV/1) | Tarporley 30/ 1/76 | $\begin{gathered} 23 / 5 \not / 75 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Bostock did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| BOSTOCK, Ralph, esq. Date obliterated. (c. 3/49/22 Edw. IV/l) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } & 30 / 8 / 82 \\ (27 / 8 / 82) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 8 / 82 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 / 8 / 82 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | 16/10/89 Heir was minor until 1489. | Clerk who copied the writ dce. on to the Enrolments misread the date. |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF <br> IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMNENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRERETON, William, kt. <br> 3/ 5/85 <br> (C. $3 / 50 / 2$ Ric. III/1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \\ & (31 / 5 / 85) \end{aligned} \quad 1 / 6 / 85$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 / 5 / 85 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | May ' 85 Exact date obliterated. |  |
| BRUEN, James <br> 24/11/44 <br> (C. 3/42/25 Hen. VI/2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 28 / 3 / 47 \\ & (28 / 3 / 47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 / 10 / 46 \\ \text { mandamus } \end{array}$ | Yes | 23/12/65 | James Bruen's son and heir, John, was a minor until 1465. |
| BRUEN, Thomas <br> 14/11/43 <br> (C. 3/42/22 Hen. VI/1) | $\begin{array}{\|ll} \begin{array}{l} \text { Chester } \\ (10 / 12 / 43) \end{array} & 9 / 12 / 43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 23/11/43 } \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 12/12/43 |  |
| bulkeley, Rd., of Cheadle 9/ 8/54 <br> (C. 3/44/32 Hen. VI/1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 28 / 8 / 54 \\ & (27 / 8 / 54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 27/ 8/54 } \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | ! 30/8/54 |  |
| BULKELEY, Wm., of Eaton <br> 1/ 5/67 <br> (C. 3/47/9 Edw. IV/ll | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester : } 11 / 1 / 70 \\ & (9 / 1 / 70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 / 12 / 69 \\ & \text { mandamus } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Bulkeley did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| BUNBURY, John <br> 31/12/69 <br> (C. 3/47/9 Edw. IV/2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 11 / 1 / 70 \\ & (9 / 1 / 70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 1 / 70 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | John Bunbury held lands and had an heir. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |
| BUNBURY, Richard <br> 6/ 7/59 <br> (C. 3/45/37 Hen. VI/l) | Tarporley 2/8/59 | $\begin{gathered} 21 / 7 / 59 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | No writ of livery. | Rd. Bunbury held lands and had an heir. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |


| NAME OF SUBJECT of COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF: IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING <br> WRIT IN <br> CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUTLER, Alice <br> 20/ 1/74 <br> (C. 3/48/13 Edw. IV/6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 22 / 8 / 74 \\ & (6 / 9 / 74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 / 2 / 74 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | 28/2/77 |  |
| CARRINGION, Isabella 17/10/55 <br> (C. 3/44/34 Hen. vI/1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Church } \\ & \text { Minshull } \end{aligned} \text { 19/11/55 }$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 / 10 / 55 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 18/12/55 |  |
| CHAUNTRELL, John 25/10/72 <br> (C. 3/47/11 Edw. IV/2) | Frodsham 2/12/72 | $\begin{gathered} 12 / 11 / 72 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that he did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| CLIVE, James <br> 1/10/84 <br> (C. 3/50/2 Ric. III/2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 23 / 2 / 85 \\ & (15 / 3 / 85) \end{aligned}$ | No originati been found in or Chester 2 | grit has Chester 3 | 1/11/91 | James Clive's son and heir, Richard, was a minor until 1491. |
| COKESEY, Hugh, kt. <br> 15/12/45 <br> (C. $3 / 42 / 24$ Hen. VI/1) | Northwich 17/ 2/46 | $\begin{gathered} 25 / 1 / 46 \\ \text { dce: } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 11/ 3/46 |  |
| CORBET, Cecilia <br> 20/ 4/77 <br> (C. 3/49/17 Edw. IV/2) | Nantwich 14/6/77 | 4/ 6/77 dce. | Yes | 17/ 6/77 |  |
| CORBET; Isabella <br> 12/ 4/62 <br> (C. 3/46/2 Edw. IV/1) | Wistaston 26/ 4/62 | No writ in Chester 3. | $\begin{gathered} 16 / 4 / 62 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | 18/ 6/62 | Original writ of livery has survived. See <br> C. 3/46/2 Edw. IV/2. |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. dAte of death (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF <br> IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING <br> WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COTTON, Richard 29/10/61 <br> (C. 3/46/3 Edw. IV/1) | $\begin{array}{\|ll} \text { Chester } \\ (19 / 4 / 63) \end{array} \quad 12 / 5 / 63$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 / 5 / 63 \\ \text { mandamus } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Cotton did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| COVENTRY \& LICHFIELD, Wm., <br> 20/ 3/47 <br> (C. 3/42/25 Hen. VI/7) bishop | $\begin{array}{\|lc} \text { Taryin } & 17 / 6 / 47 \\ & \\ & \text { or } \\ & 1 / 7 / 47 \end{array}$ | Date of writ of dce. is illegible. | $\begin{gathered} 18 / 4 / 47 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No liyery lands attached to office. | IPM. held 'Sat. <br> [illegible - must be "before" or "after"] <br> St John B., 25 Hen. VI'. |
| ```COVENTRY & LICHFIELD, Reg., 24/ 3/59 (C. 3/45/37 Hen. VI/3) bishop``` | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } \\ (29 / 5 / 59) & 30 / 5 / 59 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4/ 4/59 dce. | Yes | No livery lands attached to office. |  |
| DAVENPORT, Henry, of Calveley 25/12/52 <br> (C. 3/43/31 Hen. VI/1) | $\begin{array}{\|lrl} \text { Chester } & 20 / 3 / 53 \\ (20 / 3 / 53) \end{array}$ | $28 / 12 / 52$ dce. | Yes | 24/3/53 |  |
| ```DAVENPORT, Hugh, of Calveley, 20/12/69 esq. (C. 3/47/11 Edw. IV/1)``` | Tarvin 11/12/72 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 / 2 / 72 \\ \text { mandamus } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | Davenport held lands and had an heir. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |
| DAVENPORT, John, of Bramhall 20/ 4/43 <br> (C. 3/42/21 Hen. VI/2) | Maccles- 23/7/43 field | $10 / 6 / 43$ <br> dce. | Yes | 2/10/43 |  |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C, SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```DAVENPORT, John, of Bramhall, 6/10/78 esq. (C. 3/49/18 Edw. IV/1)``` | $\begin{array}{\|ll} \text { Chester } & 7 / 11 / 78 \\ (8 / 12 / 78) & \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 10 / 78 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | 9/11/78 |  |
| DAVENPORT, John, of Davenport, <br> 27/7/74 esq. <br> (C. 3/48/14 Edw. IV/2) | Knutsford 14/10/74 | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 9 / 74 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 18/10/74 |  |
| DAVENPORT, John, of Davenport, <br> 28/ 4/83 <br> (c. 3/50/1 Edw. v/1) | Northwich 10/6/83 | $\begin{gathered} 27 / 5 / 83 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 14/6/83 |  |
| DODD, Alice <br> 24/ 2/81 <br> (C. 3/49/21 Edw. IV/1) | Frodsham 29/3/81 | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 3 / 81 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 2/ 4/81 |  |
| DONE, John, of Flaxyards <br> 4/ 2/68 <br> (C. 3/47/9 Edw. IV/3) | $\text { Chester } 11 / 1 / 70$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 / 12 / 69 \\ & \text { mandamus } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | 13/5/82 | John Done's son and heir, John, was a minor until 1482. |
| DONE, John, of Utkinton, kt. <br> 23/ 9/59 <br> (C. 3/45/38 Hen. vI/1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester 10/3/60 } \\ & (25 / 3 / 60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 10 / 59 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | John Done's son 1460. No wri later date has | and heir was a minor in of livery issued at a urvived. |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER). | DATE AND TYPE of ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT of LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DONE, Rd. of Crowton, esq. 23/ 9/59 <br> (C. $3 / 45 / 38$ Hen. vI/2) | Nantwich 17/12/59 | $\begin{gathered} 20 / 10 / 59 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 10/ 1/60 |  |
| DOWNES, Robert, esq. 26/ 4/60 <br> (C. 3/45/38 Hen. VI/3) | Prestbury 20/ 5/60 | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 5 / 60 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 11/ 6/60 |  |
| DUITON, John, of Dutton, esq. <br> 20/ 2/46 <br> (c. $3 / 42 / 24$ Hen. VI/2) | Northwich 3/3/46 | No writ in Chester 3. | $\begin{gathered} 23 / 2 / 46 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | 11/ 3/46 |  |
| DUTTON, Roger, of D., esq. <br> 13/ 1/77 <br> (C. 3/48/16 Edw. IV/2) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } & 21 / 1 / 77 \\ (7 / 1 / 77) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 / 1 / 77 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 13/12/98 | Roger Dutton's son and heir, Laurence, was a minor until 1498. |
| DUITON, Ts., of Dutton, kt. <br> 23/ 9/59 <br> (C. $3 / 45 / 38$ Hen. VI/4). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 10 / 3 / 60 \\ & (25 / 3 / 60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 1 / 60 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of liv was aged 6 in whilst still a rot. 6d. (1). | . Thomas's heir, John, 60. He died in 1473-4 inor. See C. 2/146, |
| EARDSWICK, Hugh <br> 7/ 1/74 <br> (C. 3/48/14 Edw. IV/4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester. } \quad 9 / 8 / 74 \\ & (2 / 8 / 74) \end{aligned}$ | 4/ 3/74 dce. | No | Hugh Eardswick a minor in 147 at a later date | son and heir, Hugh, was No writ of livery issued has survived. |
| EGERTON, John, of E., kt. <br> 25/ 9/59 <br> (C. 3/45/38 Hen. VI/5) | $\text { Nantwich } 15 / 3 / 60$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 / 10 / 59 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 16/5/60 |  |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE of ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING <br> WRIT IN <br> CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EGERTON, John, of Egerton Date obligerated. <br> (C. 3/50/1 Ric. III/3) | ChesterDate <br> obliter- <br> ated. | Writ dce: <br> illegible. | No | John Egerton's son and heir, Philip, was a minor in l Ric. III. No writ of livery issued at a later date has survived. |  |
| EGERTON, Philip, of E., esq. 20/12/45 <br> (c. $3 / 42 / 24$ Hen. vI/3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \\ & (11 / 1 / 46) \end{aligned}$ | No writ in Chester 3. | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 1 / 46 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | 10/3/46 |  |
| EGERTON, Philip, of E., esq. <br> 17/ 3/73 <br> (C. 3/48/13 Edw. IV/1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 20 / 1 / 74 \\ & (11 / 1 / 74) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 / 10 / 73 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ |  | 14/2/74 | : |
| EGERTON, Ralph, of Caldecote, <br> 1/11/52 esq. <br> (c. 3/43/31 Hen. VI/2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester: 20/12/52 } \\ & (19 / 12 / 52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 / 11 / 52 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM, states that Egerton did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| EXETER, Anne, duchess <br> 14/ 1/76 <br> (C. 3/48/16 Edw. IV/3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 24 / 4 / 76 \\ & (23 / 4 / 76) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 / 4 / 76 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Anne's lands passed directly to her surviving husband. |
| FADER, Alesia <br> 18/ 4/60 <br> (C. $3 / 45 / 38$ Hen. VI/6) | Nantwich 12/5/60 | $2 / 5 / 60$ dce. | Yes | 11/ 6/60 | : |
| FILKIN, Philip Date obliterated. (C. 3/48/13 Edw. IV/5) | $\begin{array}{\|cc} \text { Chester } & 16 / 3 / 74 \\ (15 / 3 / 74) & \text { or } \\ & 1 / 3 / 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 / 10 / 73 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | Date of this IPM. is considered in Chapter 1; p. 59. Filkin's heir was a minor. No writ of livery has survived. |  |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING <br> WRIT IN <br> CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT of LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FILKIN, Ralph <br> 5/ 8/76 <br> (C. 3/48/16 Edw. IV/5) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } \\ (3 / 6 / 77) \end{array} \quad 4 / 6 / 77$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 8 / 76 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | Filkin held lands and had an heir. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |
| FITTON, John, of Pownall 27/ 9/75 <br> (C. 3/48/15 Edw. IV/2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 13 / 12 / 75 \\ & (12 / 12 / 75) \end{aligned}$ | $5 / 10 / 75$ dce. | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Fitton did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| FITTON, Laur., of Gawsworth, <br> 14/ 2/57 <br> (C. 3/45/37 Hen. VI/2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 3 / 4 / 59 \\ & (3 / 4 / 59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 / 3 / 57 \text { (dce. } \\ & \text { No. IPM.) } \\ & 3 / 4 / 59 \text { (dce. } \end{aligned}$ <br> Should be manda same day.) | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { only. } \\ & 3 \text { only. } \\ & \text { is. IPM } \end{aligned}$ | IPM. held befo entered lands and later inh rot. 7d.(2) incorrectly in | e commission. Heir had ithout livery. Pardoned ited estate. See C. 2/132, ot 7d.(3) as stated 37 DKR., p. 279. |
| FITZWARIN, Fulk Bourchier, <br> 4/10/79 <br> (C. 3/49/19 Edw. IV/2) | Nantwich 11/12/79 | 8/11/79 | Yes | F. held lands heir is oblite livery issued | d had an heir, but age of ted in IPM. No writ of a later date has survived. |
| ```FITZWARIN, Wm. Bourchier, 12/ 8/71 Lord (C. 3/48/14 Edw. IV/1)``` | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } & 9 / 8 / 74 \\ (2 / 8 / 74) \end{array}$ | No originating been found in C Chester 2. | rit has ester 3 or | No writ of livery. | IPM. held before commission. F. held lands and had heir. W. of livery - probably lost. |
| GERARD, Alice <br> 23/ 2/42 <br> (C. 3/41/20 Hen. vI/2) | Frodsham 8/3/42 | $\begin{gathered} 27 / 2 / 42 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 26/3/42 |  |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE of ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gerard, Peter, kt. <br> 26/ 3/47 <br> (C. 3/42/25 Hen. vI/3) | Norbury 15/7/47 | 6/ 5/47 | Yes | 5/8/52 | Peter Gerard's son and heir, Thomas, was a minor until 1452. |
| GERARD, Richard <br> 2/ 6/76 <br> (C. 3/48/16 Edw. IV/9) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } & 8 / 11 / 76 \\ (10 / 12 / 76) \end{array}$ | 1/7/76 dce. | Yes | Richard Gerar a minor in 14 at a later da | son and heir, Thomas, was No writ of livery issued has survived. |
| GREVILLE, John, kt. <br> 6/ 8/80 <br> (c. 3/49/20 Edw. IV/2) | Bunbury 28/8/80 | $\begin{gathered} 17 / 8 / 80 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 13/9/80 |  |
| GROSVENOR, Joan <br> 6/ 2/81 <br> (C. 3/49/20 Edw. IV/3) | Frodsham. 8/3/81 | $\begin{gathered} 15 / 2 / 81 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | Joan Grosvenor held lands and had heirs. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |
| GROSVENOR, Margery <br> 26/ 3/72 <br> (C. 3/47/12 Edंw. IV/1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 22 / 6 / 72 \\ & (26 / 5 / 72) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 / 6 / 72 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 15/12/72 |  |
| GROSVENOR, Ralph 19/ 2/76 <br> (C. 3/48/15 Edw. IV/3) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } \\ (19 / 3 / 76) \end{array} \quad 21 / 3 / 76$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 / 2 / 76 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | $26 / 3 / 76$ | Either date of dce. is incorrect, or date of death in IPM. is inaccurate. |
| GROSVENOR, Robert, esq. <br> 9/ 1/65 <br> (C. 3/46/4 Edw: IV/1) | Sandbach . 19/ 2/65 | $\begin{gathered} 14 / 1 / 65 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 7/3/65 |  |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT of LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMNENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HANDFORTH, Matilda <br> 17/ 3/78 <br> (C. 3/49/18 Edw. IV/2) | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Chester } & 10 / 6 / 78 \\ (19 / 5 / 78) & \text { or } \\ & 11 / 6 / 78\end{array}$ | 4/ 5/78 dce. | No | 22/ 6/78 | IPM. taken 'Wed. in fst. St Barnabas, 18 Edw. IV'. In 1478 Feast fell on Thurs. 11 June. |
| HASSALL, Ellen <br> 8/ 1/45 <br> (C. 3/42/23 Hen. VI/1) | Knutsford 16/ 3/45 | Day of writ of of dec. is illegible. | $\begin{gathered} 24 / 2 / 45 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | 8/ 4/45 |  |
| HOUGH, Thomas <br> 8/ 1/83 <br> (C. 3/49/22 Edw. IV/3) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } \\ (14 / 1 / 83) \end{array} \quad 16 / 1 / 83$ | $9 / 1 / 83$ <br> dce. | Yes | 21/ 1/83 |  |
| HUXLEY, John Date not given. (C. 3/45/38 Hen. VI/7) | Tarporley 8/5/60 | 20/ 4/60 | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Huxley did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| KINGSLEY, John, esq. <br> 15/ 8/32 <br> (C. 3/45/37 Hen. VI/l1) | Nantwich 17/12/59 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 / 7 / 59 \\ & \text { mandamus } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | Long period be indicates prob an heir, but survived. | een the death and IPM. ms. K. held lands and had writ of livery has |
| LANE, Margaret $14 / 12 / 75$ <br> (C. 3/48/15 Edw. IV/4) | Tarporley 30/ 1/76 | $\begin{gathered} 24 / 1 / 76 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Margaret's lands reverted to John Egerton on her death. |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEFTWICH, Richard <br> 1/ 8/21 <br> (C. 3/41/20 Hen. vi/4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 3 / 5 / 42 \\ & (1 / 5 / 42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 / 5 / 42 \\ \text { devenerunt } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | These are a complicated series of documents. See also C. 2/115, rott. 5d. (2), 6 (1), 6d. (1-2), lod. In brief Rd. Leftwich was a minor at his death. His lands passed to Henry V. When his heir, Robert, was ready to inherit in 1442, 3 inquisitions were held to assess the extent of the inheritance. Robert was granted livery on 27/7/42. |  |
| LEFTWICH, Richard <br> 1/ 8/21 <br> (C. 3/41/20 Hen. vI/5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 25 / 7 / 42 \\ & (24 / 7 / 42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 / 6 / 42 \\ \text { que plura } \end{array}$ | Yes |  |  |
| LEFTWICH, Richard <br> 1/ 8/21 <br> (C. 3/41/20 Hen. VI/6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 25 / 7 / 42 \\ & (24 / 7 / 42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 / 6 / 42 \\ \text { melius } \\ \text { inquirendum } \end{gathered}$ | Yes |  |  |
| LEFIWICH, Robert <br> 13/11/77 <br> (C. 3/49/17 Edw. IV/3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 18 / 12 / 77 \\ & (16 / 12 / 77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 / 11 / 77 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 5/8/78 |  |
| LEGH, John, of Booths <br> 24/ 3/83 <br> (C. 3/50/2 Ric. III/3) | Knutsford 5/11/84 | $\begin{gathered} 17 / 10 / 84 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | 4/10/89 | It took some time to settle the inheritance. See C. 2/183, rot. 10. |
| LEGH, Peter, of Lyme, kt. 27/11/78 <br> (C. 3/49/18 Edw. IV/3) | Halton 15/ 1/79 | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 12 / 78 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | Peter Legh held lands and had an heir. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |
| LEGH, Rt., of Adlington, esq. <br> 21/ 1/79 <br> (C. 3/49/18 Edw. IV/4) | Wilmslow 25/ 2/79 | $\begin{gathered} 14 / 2 / 79 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | 27/ 2/79 |  |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM: <br> (DATE OF NEAREST C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | date and type of. ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING <br> WRIT IN <br> CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CAESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEYCESTER, Isabella <br> 3/10/74 <br> (C. 3/48/14 Edw. IV/3) | Tarporley: 3/12/74 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 / 11 / 74 \\ & \text { mandamus } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | Heir - minor. No writ of livery has survived. | Interval between death and writ mandamus only 5 wks. Should have been writ dce. |
| LOVELL, Joan <br> 25/ 8/69 <br> (C. 3/48/14 Edw. IV/5) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } \\ (2 / 8 / 74) \end{array} \quad 9 / 8 / 74$ | No originating been found in or Chester 2. | rit has ester 3 | 28/2/77 | IPM. held before a commission. Heir was a minor until 1477. |
| LOVELL, John, kt. <br> 14/ 1/65 <br> (C. $3 / 46 / 5$ Edw. IV/3) | Wistaston 8/5/65 | 6/5/65 dce. | Yes | 28/2/77 | John Lovell's heir, Francis, was a minor until 1477. |
| LOVELL, William, kt. <br> 13/ 6/55 <br> (c. 3/44/33 Hen. VI/1) | Acton 4/ 8/55 | Date of writ of dce. is illegible. | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 7 / 55 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | 13/8/55 |  |
| MAINWARING, Margery <br> 5/ 3/59 <br> (C. 3/45/37 Hen. vI/4) | $\text { Acton: } 19 / 3 / 59$ | 8/3/59 dce. | No | 6/ 4/59 | Original writ of livery has survived. See c. $3 / 45 / 37$ Hen. VI/10. |
| MASSEY, Hamon, of Puddington <br> 23/ 7/49 <br> (C. $3 / 43 / 28$ Hen. $v I / 5$ ) | Northwich 5/5/50 | $\begin{gathered} 20 / 3 / 50 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | 5/ 8/49 dce. | 16/11/50 | lst writ of dce. was ineffective. IPM. held before a commission. |
| MASSEY, Richard, of Hough 23/ $2 / 79$ <br> (C. 3/49/19 Edw. IV/3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 30 / 3 / 79 \\ & (30 / 3 / 79) \end{aligned}$ | 9/3/79 <br> dce. | Yes | $2 / 4 / 79$ | \% |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | place and date OF IPM. (DATE OF NEAREST C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MERE, Ellen <br> 25/10/42 <br> (C. 3/42/21 Hen. VI/6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Place 5/10/43 } \\ & \text { illegible. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 7 / 43 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No, | 4/ 3/44 |  |
| minshull, Peter <br> 5/ 2/78 <br> (C. 3/49/17 Edw. IV/4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 20 / 5 / 78 \\ & (19 / 5 / 78) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 / 2 / 78 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | No writ íf livery. | IPM. states that Minshull did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| MOTTRUM, Roger, of Stanley <br> 21/ 6/52 <br> (c. 3/43/31 Hen. vI/3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 20 / 3 / 53 \\ & (20 / 3 / 53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4/10/52 } \\ & \text { dce. } \end{aligned}$ | No | 24/3/53 |  |
| ORRELL, Margaret <br> 1/ 1/54 <br> (c. 3/44/32 Hen. VI/3) | Witton 21/6/54 | $\begin{gathered} 21 / 6 / 54 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | 20/10/54 | Interesting that writ of dce. was issued on same day as IPM. was held. |
| ORRELL, Margaret <br> 1/ 1/54 <br> (C. 3/44/33 Hen. vI/2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 24 / 9 / 54 \\ & (24 / 9 / 54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 / 9 / 54 \\ \text { que plura } \end{array}$ | Yes | 2nd IPM. on Orr lands than thos 1 writ of liver both IPM. | 11 found she held other returned in lst IPM. (20/10/54) considered |
| PARR, Elena <br> 5/ 6/77 <br> (C. 3/49/17 Edw. IV/5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 13 / 6 / 77 \\ & (3 / 6 / 77) \end{aligned}$ | 9/6/76 dce. | Yes | 16/6/77 |  |
| PEMBRIDGE, Isabella <br> 13/12/46 <br> (C. 3/42/25 Hen. vI/4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 19 / 4 / 47 \\ & (18 / 4 / 47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 / 2 / 47 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | She held lands and had an heir. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE $)$ | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE of ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POLE, John, of Hartington, $k t$. 20/3/77 <br> (C. 3/49/17 Edw. IV/6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 13 / 6 / 77 \\ & (3 / 6 / 77) \end{aligned}$ | 6/ 6/76 dce. | ```Yes Dated incorr- ectly - 7/6/76.``` | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Pole did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| RADCLIFFE, Alex., of Ordsall, <br> 11/ 6/76 <br> (C. 3/48/16 Edw. IV/6) esq. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \\ & (11 / 6 / 76) \end{aligned} 21 / 6 / 76$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 / 6 / 76 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 23/6/76 |  |
| RADCLIFFE, Alured, of Ordsall <br> 4/ 4/62 <br> (C. $3 / 46 / 2 \mathrm{Edw} . \operatorname{IV} / 3$ ) | $\begin{array}{\|cl} \text { Chester } & \begin{array}{l} \text { Date } \\ \text { obliter- } \end{array} \\ & \text { ated. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 / 6 / 62 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 18/6/62 | Original writ of livery has survived. See <br> C. 3/46/2 Edw. IV/4. |
| RADCLIFFE, Edmund, of Ordsall 15/10/46 <br> (C. $3 / 42 / 25$ Hen. vI/5) | Knutsford 5/11/46 | No writ in Chester 3. | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 10 / 46 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Edmund Radcliffe's son and heir, Edmund, was a minor in 1446. No writ of livery issued at a later date has survived. |  |
| RADCLIFFE, Peter, of Ordsall 2/11/68 <br> (C. 3/47/8 Edw. IV/1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester 23/11/68 } \\ & (13 / 12 / 68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9/11/68 } \\ & \text { dce. } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | 24/11/68 |  |
| REDDISH, Hugh <br> 15/ $2 / 48$ <br> (C. $3 / 43 / 26$ Hen. VI/4) | Frodsham 5/3/48 | No writ in Chester 3: | $\begin{gathered} 21 / 2 / 48 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | 4/4/48 |  |
| RICHMOND, Edmund Tudor, earl 3/11/56 <br> (C. 3/44/35 Hen. VI/1) | Prestbury 5/3/57 | $\begin{gathered} 23 / 2 / 57 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | Richmond's son and heir, Henry, was a minor in 1457. No writ of livery issued at a later date has survived. |  |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF, DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE of ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | 'ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT of LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RIDGELEY, Joan } \\ & \text { 2/10/65 } \\ & \text { (C. } 3 / 46 / 5 \text { Edw. IV/4) } \end{aligned}$ | Church 16/11/65 | $\begin{gathered} 11 / 11 / 65 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No: | 27/9/79. | Joan Ridgeley's grandson and heir, Thomas Starkey, was a minor until 1479. |
| ROTER, Willifam <br> 8/ 5/84 <br> (c. 3/50/1 Ric. III/2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 16 / 6 / 84 \\ & (15 / 6 / 84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 / 6 / 84 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | 19/6/85 | Interesting that writ of dce. was issued on same day as IPM. was held. |
| SAVAGE, John, kt. <br> 1/ 8/49 <br> (c. 3/43/27 Hen. vi/1). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \\ & \begin{array}{ll} (1 / 9 / 50) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 5/ 8/49 dce. | No | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Savage did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| SAVAGE, John, esq. <br> 29/ 6/64 <br> (c. 3/46/4 Edw. IV/3) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } & 8 / 8 / 64 \\ (7 / 8 / 64) \end{array}$ | 3/7/64 dce. | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Savage did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| SELY, Robert <br> 14/ 9/54 <br> (C. 3/44/34 Hen. VI/2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 10 / 10 / 55 \\ & (23 / 9 / 55)^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | 10/9/55 Writ is barely legible. | No | IPM. held befo writ asked she annuity held b tenant-in-chie | sheriff. Originating ff to investigate an Sely. S. was not a. |
| SHERDE, Hugh, of Disley <br> 8/ 1/73 <br> (C. 3/47/12 Edw. 4/6) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } \\ (14 / 9 / 73) & 15 / 9 / 73 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 2 / 73 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 20/ 9/73 |  |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SHREWSBURY, John Talbot, <br> 20/ $7 / 53$ <br> (C. $3 / 44 / 32$ Hen. $v i / 5$ ) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } \\ (8 / 1 / 54) & 9 / 1 / 54 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 8/10/53 dce. | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Shrewsbury did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| SHREWSBURY, John Talbot <br> 20/ 7/53 lst earl <br> (C. 3/46/1 Edw. IV/1) | Wistaston 20/10/61 | $16 / 10 / 61$ mandamus | Yes | This 2nd IPM. tenant-in-chie heir. A writ lost. | und S. did hold lands as of the king. He had an livery has probably been |
| SHREWSBURY, John Talbot <br> 27/ 6/73 <br> 3rd earl <br> (C. 3/48/13 Edw. IV/3) | Merbury 5/ 2/74 | $\begin{gathered} 18 / 10 / 73 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | Shrewsbury's s was a minor in issued at a la | and heir, George Talbot, 474. No writ of livery $r$ date has survived. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Souche, Elizabeth } \\ & 22 / 4 / 60 \\ & \text { (C. } 3 / 45 / 38 \text { Hen. VI/8) } \end{aligned}$ | Prestbury 20/5/60 | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 5 / 60 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 21/ 6/60 |  |
| SPURSTOWE, Henry <br> 29/10/42 <br> (C. 3/42/21 Hen. VI/4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 10 / 4 / 43 \\ & (9 / 4 / 43) \end{aligned}$ | Date of.writ of dce. is illegible. | No | No writ of livery. | Spurstowe held lands and had an heir. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |
| STANLEY, John, of Aldford, kt. 30/ 6/76 <br> (C. 3/48/16 Edw. IV/7) | Knutsford 10/8/76 | $\begin{gathered} 13 / 7 / 76 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 13/8/76 | - |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. dATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST C. C, SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE of originating WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING <br> WRIT IN <br> CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STANLEY, Thomas, lst Lord <br> 11/ 2/59 <br> (C. 3/45/37 Hen. VI/5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 13 / 3 / 59 \\ & (6 / 3 / 59) \end{aligned}$ | 9/3/59 dce. | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Stanley did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| STANLEY, Thomas, lst Lord 11/ 2/59 <br> (C. $3 / 45 / 37$ Hen. VI/6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 20 / 7 / 59 \\ & (17 / 7 / 59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 / 6 / 59 \\ \text { que plura } \end{array}$ | Yes | 24/6/60 | 2nd IPM. found that Stanley did hold some lands. They passed to his son and heir. |
| Stanley, Thomas, of Aldford, <br> $13 \%$ 5/63 <br> (C. 3/46/3 Edw. IV/2) esq. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 14 / 6 / 63 \\ & (7 / 6 / 63) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 / 5 / 63 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 16/ 6/63 | Original writ of livery has survived. See <br> C. 3/46/3 Edw. IV/3. |
| StANLEY, William, of Hooton, <br> 17/ 2/66 <br> (C. 3/47/6 Edw. IV/1) . | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 14 / 1 / 67 \\ & (13 / 1 / 67) \end{aligned}$ | 14/3/66 dce. | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Stanley did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| STAPLETON, Jocosa <br> 19/7/73 <br> (C. 3/48/13 Edw. IV/4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 17 / 8 / 73 \\ & (17 / 8 / 73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 7 / 73 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 26/8/73 |  |
| STARKEY, John, of Oulton <br> 29/ 3/61 <br> (C. 3/46/1 Edw. IV/2) | Wistaston 20/10/61 | $\begin{gathered} 8 / 9 / 61 \\ \text { dce. ? } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 22/.5/78 | John Starkey's son and heir, Hugh, was a minor until 1478. |


| NAME OF SUBJECT of COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STARKEY, John, of Wrenbury <br> 11/ 9/65 <br> (C. 3/46/5 Edw. IV/5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Church } 16 / 11 / 65 \\ & \text { Minshull } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 / 9 / 65 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 27/ 9/79 | John Starkey's son and heir, Thomas, was a minor until 1479 . |
| STRETELEY, Alice <br> 8/ 9/58 <br> (C. $3 / 45 / 37$ Hen. VI/7) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 26 / 11 / 58 \\ & (12 / 12 / 58) \end{aligned}$ | 7/10/58. dce. | Yes | 11/ 1/59 | Original writ of livery has survived. See <br> C. $3 / 45 / 37$ Hen. vi/8. |
| SUITON, John <br> 16/9/72 <br> (C. 3/47/12 Edw. IV/2) | Northwich 1/10/72 | $\begin{gathered} 24 / 9 / 72 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 10/10/72 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TORFOT, Richard } \\ & \text { 15/ 9/75 } \\ & \text { (C. 3/49/17 Edw. IV/7) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 20 / 5 / 78 \\ & (19 / 5 / 78) \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 / 3 / 77 \\ \text { mandamus } \end{array}$ | No | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Torfot did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| TRAFFORD, Edmund, kt. <br> 24/ 1/58 <br> (C. 3/44/36 Hen. VI/1) | Warmingham 9/ 2/58 | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 1 / 58 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 16/2/58 |  |
| TROUTBECK, Joan 9/12/52 <br> (C. 3/43/31 Hen. vI/5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 27 / 12 / 52 \\ & (19 / 12 / 52) \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 12 / 52 \\ & \text { dce. } \end{aligned}$ | Yes | Original writ has survived. It has not bee | livery, dated 28/12/52, ee C. 3/43/31 Hen. VI/6. enrolled in Chester 2. |
| TROUTBECK, John, esq. <br> 26/ 8/58 <br> (C. 3/45/37 Hen. VI/9) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Chester } & 9 / 11 / 58 \\ (12 / 12 / 58) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 / 9 / 58 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that <br> Troutbeck did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING <br> WRIT IN <br> CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TROUTBECK, William, kt. <br> 23/ 9/59 <br> (C. 3/46/4 Edw. IV/4) | Bunbury 22/9/64 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 7 / 64 \\ & \text { mandamus } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2/ 1/60 } \\ & \text { dce. } \end{aligned}$ | 15/ 5/72 Heir was minor until 1472. | Only IPM. of 22/9/64 has been found. Writ of dce. was presumably ineffective. |
| TRUSSELL, William, kt. <br> 16/ 1/64 <br> (C. 3/46/3 Edw. IV/4) | Nantwich 27/ 2/64 | 6/ 2/64 dce. | Yes | 4/ 3/64 | Original writ of livery has survived. See <br> C. 3/46/3 Edw. IV/5. |
| TRUSSELL, William, kt. <br> 8/ 1/81 <br> (C. 3/49/20 Edw. IV/5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \quad 13 / 3 / 81 \\ & (27 / 2 / 81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 / 1 / 81 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | Trussell's son minor in 1481. at a later date | and heir, Edward, was a No writ of livery issued has survived. |
| VENABLES, Elena, of Coppenhall <br> 15/ 9/72 <br> (C. 3/47/12 Edw. IV/5) | Tarvin 26/2/73 | $\begin{gathered} 11 / 10 / 72 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes <br> Dated incorrectly - 11/10/73 | No writ of livery. | She held lands and had an heir. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |
| VENABLES, Hugh, of Kinderton, <br> 14/ 9/49 esq. <br> (C. 3/43/28 Hen. vI/6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 10 / 10 / 49 \\ & (14 / 10 / 49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 19/9/49 } \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Venables did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| VENABLES, Hugh, of Kinderton, <br> 23/ 9/59 kt. <br> (C. $3 / 45 / 38$ Hen. VI/9) | Place 28/4/60 illegible. | Date of writ of dce. is illegible. | $\begin{gathered} 21 / 4 / 60 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | 13/6/63 | IPM. held before a commission. |


| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST <br> C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VERNON, Geoffrey, of Cheadle <br> 3/12/76 <br> (C. 3/48/16 Edw. IV/8) Hulme | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 13 / 3 / 77 \\ & (11 / 3 / 77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 1 / 77 . \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Vernon did not hold lands as tenant-in-chief of the king. |
| VERNON, William, of Marple, <br> 31/ 7/67 <br> (C. 3/47/7 Edw. IV/2) kt. | Prestbury 27/10/67 | 4/8/67 dce. | Yes | 3/11/67 |  |
| WARREN, John, esq. <br> 30/ 6/74 <br> (C. 3/48/14 Edw. IV/6) | Nantwich 18/7/74 | 7/ 7/74 dce. | No | John Warren's grandson and heir, John, was a minor in 1474. No writ of livery issued at a later date has survived. |  |
| WETTENHALL, Hugh, of <br> 27/ 7/59 Cholmondeston <br> (C. $3 / 45 / 38$ Hen. $v i / 10$ ). | Nantwich 18/12/59 | $\begin{gathered} 11 / 9 / 59 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | lst IPM. returned that $W$. had granted away his lands during lifetime. Prince suspected fraud and asked commission to hold new IPM. After 2nd IPM. w. de terris seisiendis issued (C. 2/133, rot. 7d. (2)) whereby all lands ever held by W. were seized. |  |
| WETTENHALL, Hugh of <br> 27/ 7/59 Cholmondeston <br> (C. 3/45/38 Hen. VI/ll) | Northwich ` 7/ 2/60 | $\begin{array}{r} 31 / 1 / 60 \\ \text { de meilus } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Yes |  |  |
| Wettenhall, william of <br> 2/ 6/14 Tarporley <br> (C. 3/44/34 Hen. VI/3) | Bunbury 10/5/56 | $16 / 1 / 56$ mandamus | No | Wettenhall held lands. His son and heir, Roger, was alive in 1456. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |  |
| NAME OF SUBJECT OF COUNTY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | PLACE AND DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF NEAREST C. C. SESSION IF IPM. HELD AT CHESTER) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT of LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whalley, John Eccles, abbot <br> 8/ 9/42 <br> (C. 3/42/21 Hen. VI/5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 10 / 4 / 43 \\ & (9 / 4 / 43) \end{aligned}$ | $1 / 4 / 43$ dce. | Yes | IPM. dealt with temporalities of abbey. It was later disputed by new abbot. See C. 2/123, rot. 1 (4). |  |
| WHEELOCK, Eleanor <br> 29/ 3/74 <br> (C. 3/48/14 Edw. IV/7) | Halton 13/4/74 | 6/4/74 dce. | Yes | 21/4/74 |  |
| WHITMORE, Elizabeth 14/10/45 <br> (C. 3/42/24 Hen. vI/7) | Nantwich. 30/10/45 | $\begin{gathered} 23 / 10 / 45 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | 16/12/45 | Date of Elizabeth Whitmore's death is discussed in Chapter 1, pp. 59-60. |
| WILBRAHAM, Thomas <br> 9/ 9/67 <br> (C. $3 / 47 / 9$ Edw. IV/4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } \\ & (9 / 1 / 70) \end{aligned} 25 / 1 / 70$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 / 12 / 69 \\ & \text { mandamus } \end{aligned}$ | No | 12/3/70 |  |
| WORTH, John <br> 24/ 5/60 <br> (C. 3/45/38 Hen. vi/12) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chester } 11 / 6 / 60 \\ & (10 / 6 / 60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 / 5 / 60 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | 13/6/60 |  |
| NAME OF SUBJECT OF CHESTER CITY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | DATE OF IPM. <br> (dATE OF nearest c. C. SESSION) | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSER, John <br> 16/ 6/46 <br> (C. 3/42/25 Hen. VI/1) | $\begin{gathered} 1 / 10 / 46 \\ (4 / 10 / 46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 / 9 / 46 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Asser did not hold any lands in Chester city. No county IPM. survives for Asser. |
| DUTTON, John of Dutton, esq: 20/ 2/46 <br> (C. 3/42/24 Hen. vI/2) | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 3 / 46 \\ (8 / 3 / 46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 / 3 / 46 \\ \text { dce: } \end{gathered}$ | No | No writ of livery. | John Dutton held lands in Chester city and had an heir. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |
| EGERTON, John of E., kt. <br> 25/ 9/59 <br> (C. $3 / 45 / 38$ Hen. $\mathrm{VI} / 5$ ) | $\begin{gathered} 21 / 4 / 60 \\ (22 / 4 / 60) \end{gathered}$ | No writ in Chester 3. | $\begin{gathered} 18 / 4 / 60 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | 16/5/60 |  |
| EGERTON, Philip, of E., esq. 20/12/45 <br> (C. 3/42/24 Hen. vI/3) | $\begin{gathered} 14 / 1 / 46 \\ (11 / 1 / 46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 1 / 46 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | No writ of livery. | Philip Egerton held lands in Chester city and had an heir. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |
| EGERTON, Philip of E.; esq. <br> 17/ 3/73 <br> (C. 3/48/13 Edw. IV/1) | $\begin{gathered} 8 / 1 / 74 \\ (11 / 1 / 74) \end{gathered}$ | 7/ 1/74 dce. | Yes | 14/2/74 |  |
| STANLEY, John, of Aldford, kt. <br> 30/ 6/76 <br> (C. 3/48/16 Edw. IV/7) | $\begin{gathered} 11 / 9 / 76 \\ (17 / 9 / 76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 / 7 / 76 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | Yes | No writ of livery. | Stanley held lands in Chester city and had an heir. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |
| NAME OF SUBJECT OF CHESTER CITY IPM. DATE OF DEATH (P.R.O. REFERENCE) | DATE OF IPM. <br> (DATE OF <br> NEAREST C. C. <br> SESSION) | DATE AND TYPE OR ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER 3 | ORIGINATING WRIT IN CHESTER : 2 | DATE OF WRIT OF LIVERY IN CHESTER 2 | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STANLEY, Thomas, list Lord <br> 11/ 2/59 <br> (C. 3/45/37 Hen. vI/5) | $\begin{gathered} 2 / 4 / 59 \\ (3 / 4 / 59) \end{gathered}$ | $10 / 3 / 59$ dce. | Yes | No writ of livery. | IPM. states that Stanley did not hold any lands in Chester city. |
| STANLEY, Thomás, of Aldford, <br> 13/ 5/63 <br> (C. 3/46/3 Edw. IV/2) esq. | $\begin{aligned} & 15 / 6 / 63 \\ & (7 / 6 / 63) \end{aligned}$ | 8/ 6/63 dce. | No | 16/ 6/63 | 1 |
| TROUTBECK, Joan 9/12/52 <br> (C. 3/43/31 Hen. vI/5) | $\begin{gathered} 18 / 12 / 52 \\ (19 / 12 / 52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 12 / 52 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | No | No writ of livery. | Joan Troutbeck held lands in Chester city and had an heir. A writ of livery has probably been lost. |
| TROUTBECK, William, kt. Date of death - obliterated. (C. 3/46/5 Edw. IV/6) | 6/ 6/65 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 / 3 / 65 \\ & \text { mandamus } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Yes | 15/ 5/72 Heir was minor until 1472. | Date of death was 23/9/59 according to county IPM. (C. $3 / 46 / 4$ Edw. IV/4). |
| TRUSSELL, William, kt. <br> 8/ 1/81 <br> (C. 3/49/20 Edw. IV/5) | $\begin{aligned} & 13 / 3 / 81 \\ & (27 / 2 / 81) \end{aligned}$ | $26 / 2 / 81$ |  | No writ of livery. | Trussell's son and heir, Edward, was a minor in 1481. No writ of livery issued at a later date has survived. |
| WHITMORE, Elizabeth <br> 21/10/45 <br> (c. $3 / 42 / 24$ 'Hen. VI/7) | $\begin{aligned} & 13 / 12 / 45 \\ & (7 / 12 / 45) \end{aligned}$ | $23 / 10 / 45$ | No | 17/12/45 | Date of Elizabeth Whitmore's death is discussed in Chapter 1, pp. 59-60. |
| NAME OF SUBJECT | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT | CHESTER 2 REFERENCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABRAHAM, John, esq. | $\begin{array}{r} 3 / 4 / 59 \\ \text { mandamus } \end{array}$ | C. $2 / 132$, rot. 1d. (3) |
| ASTON,: Thomas | $\begin{gathered} 24 / 1 / 77 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 149$, rot. 10d. (2) |
| BOOTH; ' Robert, esq. | 9/3/79 dce. | C. $2 / 151$, rot. 4 (3) |
| BUCKINGAAM; Humphrey Stafford, lst duke | 16/10/61 mandamus | C. $2 / 134$, rot. 10 (5) |
| BUTLER, Philip | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 10 / 53 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 126$, rot. 1 (3). |
| CARDIFF; Ralph | $\begin{gathered} 11 / 12 / 61 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 134$, rot. 10 (7) |
| DANIEL; John | $\begin{gathered} 24 / 1 / 77 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 149$, rot. 10d. (3) |
| DAVENPORT, Hugh, of Henbury, esq. | $\begin{gathered} 16 / 2 / 83 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 155$, rot. 2 (2) |
| DUKINFIELD, John | $18 / 10 / 73$ mandamus | C. $2 / 146$, rot. 10 (4) |
| HANDFORTH, John, kt. | $\begin{gathered} 18 / 10 / 73 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 146$, rot. 10 (6) |
| HOPE, JOhn | $16 / 4 / 44$ mandamus | c. $2 / 117$, rot. 2 d . (5) |
| LEFTWICH, John | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 11 / 79 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 152$, rot. 1 (7) |
| LEGH, Edmund, of Baguley | $\begin{gathered} 13 / 4 / 75 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | c. $2 / 147$, rot. 6 (3) |
| LEGH, John, of Booths | $\begin{aligned} & 18 / 10 / 73 \\ & \text { mandamus } \end{aligned}$ | C. $2 / 146$, rot. 10 d . (1) |
| MAINWARING, John, kt. | $\begin{gathered} 14 / 9 / 80 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 152$, rot. 11 (6) |
| MAINWARING, Ralph, esq. | $\begin{gathered} 18 / 10 / 73 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 146$, rot. 10 d . (2) |
| NAME OF SUBJECT | DATE AND TYPE OF ORIGINATING WRIT | CHESTER 2 REFEPENCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEOLS, John | $\begin{gathered} \text { 18/10/73 } \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 146$, rot. 10 (2) |
| MEVERELI, John . | $\begin{gathered} 28 / 7 / 43 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 116$, rot. 5d. (1) |
| NEEDHAM, John, kt. | $1 / 7 / 80$ dce. | C. $2 / 152$, rot. 11 (3) |
| PIGOT, John, of Butley, esq. | $\begin{array}{r} 3 / 4 / 59 \\ \text { mandamus } \end{array}$ | c. $2 / 132$, rot. ld. (4) |
| 'PIGOT, John, of Butley, esq. | $16 / 10 / 61$ mandamus | c. $2 / 134$, rot. 10 (6) - |
| RADCLIFFE, John, of Ordsall | $\begin{gathered} 30 / 7 / 42 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 115$, rot. 8 d . (2) |
| RADFORD, Ellen | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 9 / 61 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 134$, rot. 10 (3) |
| SHAVINGTON, Isabel | $\begin{gathered} 14 / 2 / 58 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 131$, rot. 2 (41 |
| STALEY, Ralph | $\begin{gathered} 18 / 12 / 56 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | C. $2 / 130$, rot. 4 (1) |
| SWETTENHAM, William | $\begin{gathered} 11 / 6 / 76 \\ \text { dce. } \end{gathered}$ | c. $2 / 148$, rot. 8 d . (2) |
| TRIKET, John . . | 1/7/42 <br> dce. | c. $2 / 115$, rot. 7a. (25) |
| VENABLES, Hugh, of Kinderton | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 3 / 58 \\ & \text { mandamus } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | c. 2/131, rot. 2d. (21 |
| VERNON, John, of Haslington | $4 / 11 / 58$ <br> dce. | C. $2 / 132$, rot. 6 (2) |
| WARREN, Laurence, kt. | 30/7/44 <br> dce. | c. $2 / 117$, rot. 7a. (1) |
| WYBUNBURY, Richard | $\begin{aligned} & 18 / 10 / 73 \\ & \text { mandamus } \end{aligned}$ | c. $2 / 146$, rot. io (5) |

## NAME-INDEX FOR WILLIAM STANLEY OF HOOTON, ESQ.

(pp. 431-6)

In the following pages an example is given of one of the 2,000 name-indexes which have been compiled in the preparation of this thesis. The purpose of the name-indexes is to show the main ways in which men occupied themselves at the County Court sessions.

The name of the subject is given at the head of each index, together with any relevant details concerning the period during which he was active at the Court sessions. A note is made of whether the subject was a subsidy collector in the county at any time, and of whether he held any Cheshire offices. The question of offices is particularly important because it is known that office-holders did not act as County Court jurors. It can be noted in the accompanying. name-index, for example, that William Stanley of Hooton, esquire, did not act as a furor after he became sheriff of Cheshire.

Only those appearances which a person made at the sessions during the period 1442-85 are indexed. Thus, in the case of Stanley, his activities from 1442 until his death in 1466 are considered. Each session of the Court which met during that period is listed, and the part which Stanley played in bonding agreements, as a juror and as a final concord panellist is noted. In order to distinguish these three forms
of activity, they are listed on separate lines in the name-index.

The symbols used in the names-indexes are as follows:-
$R$ - Subject of a recognizance. (Stanley never acted in this capacity.)
$r$ - Surety in a recognizance.
M - Subject of a mainprize.
m - Surety in a mainprize.
G - Sworn member of a grand jury.
g - Impanelled but not sworn on a grand jury.
D - Sworn member of a gaol delivery jury.
d - Impanelled but not sworn on a gaol delivery jury.
C - Sworn member of a civil jury which reached a verdict.
I -. Sworn member of a jury which heard an inquisition post mortem.

P - Sworn member of a jury which heard a proof of age. (Stanley never acted in this capacity.)

F - Member of a final concord panel.

| NAME-INDEX FOR WILLIAM STANLEY OF HOOTON, ESQ. <br> (Appointed subsidy collector for Wirral hundred, 12 June 1442 - Chester $2 / 115$, rot. 7 (3). from 16 January 1463 - Chester 2/135, rot. 3d. (4-5). Died 17 February 1466 - Chester 3/4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '42 | $\frac{9 \mathrm{Jan}}{\mathrm{rrr}}$. | $\frac{27 \mathrm{Feb}}{\mathrm{rm}} .$ | $\frac{10 \text { April }}{\mathrm{rmm}}$ | $\frac{1 \text { May }}{m}$ | $\frac{29 \mathrm{May}}{\mathrm{rrmm}}$ | $24 \text { July }$ <br> rrrmmmmm F | $\frac{4 \text { Sept. }}{\mathrm{rMm}} .$ | $\frac{2 \text { oct. }}{r r}$ | $\frac{11 \mathrm{Dec}}{\mathrm{rm}} .$ |
| '43 | 15 Jan . | $\frac{26 \mathrm{Feb}}{\mathrm{r}} .$ | $\frac{9 \text { April }}{r m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{30 \text { April }}{\mathrm{mmm}} \\ & \mathrm{G} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{18 \text { June }}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $10 \text { sept. }$ <br> mmmmm | $\frac{1 \text { oct. }}{\mathrm{m}}$ | 10 Dec. |  |
| '44 | $\frac{14 \mathrm{Jan}}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | 3 March | $\frac{21 \text { April }}{r x}$ | 9 June | $21 \text { July }$ <br> F | 22 Sept. | 15 Dec. |  |  |
| '45 | 19 Jan. | 23 Feb . | $\frac{6 \text { April }}{r}$ | 25 May | $\frac{13 \text { July }}{\mathrm{mm}}$ | $\frac{3 \mathrm{Aug}}{\mathrm{r}} .$ | 31 Aug . | 28 Sept. | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \mathrm{DeC} . \\ & \mathrm{rrrrmm} \\ & \mathrm{G} \end{aligned}$ |
| -46 | $\frac{11 \text { Jan. }}{\mathrm{m}}$ | 8 March | $\frac{26 \text { ApriI }}{r r r}$ | $\frac{31 \text { May }}{x}$ | $\frac{21 \text { June }}{r \boldsymbol{r r}}$ | $\frac{12 \text { July }}{r}$ | 2 Aug. | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{4 \text { oct. }}{r r m m} \\ & F \end{aligned}$ | 13 Dec. <br> F |
| '47 | $\frac{10 \text { Jan. }}{\mathrm{r}}$ | 28 Feb . | 28 March | 18 April | 6 June | 18 July | 8 Aug. G | $\frac{3 \text { oct. }}{r}$ | $\frac{12 \mathrm{Dec}}{\mathrm{rrr}} .$ |


| NAME-INDEX FOR WILLIAM STANLEY OF HOOTON, ESQ. (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '48 | $\frac{9 \text { Jan }}{r_{1}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20 Feb } \\ & \mathrm{rr} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\underline{2}$ April | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{21 \mathrm{May}}{\mathrm{rm}} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{2 \text { July }}{\mathrm{m}}$ | 27 Aug. | $\frac{8 \text { oct. }}{\mathrm{mmm}}$ | $\frac{10 \mathrm{Dec}}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | . |
| ${ }^{4} 49$ | $\frac{14 \mathrm{Jan}}{\mathrm{~m}} .$ | $\frac{18 \mathrm{Feb}}{\mathrm{rmm}} .$ | $1 \text { April }$ <br> F | $\frac{22 \text { April }}{r \mathrm{~mm}}$ | 10 June | 22 July | 2 Sept. | 14 oct. | $\frac{9 \text { Dec. }}{m}$ |
| '50 | 13 Jan . | $\underline{24 \text { March }}$ | 14 April | 2 June | $\frac{7 \mathrm{July}}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | 1 Sept. | $\frac{13 \text { Oct. }}{r \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{G} .$ | 15 Dec. <br> F |  |
| '51 | 12 Jan . | 13 April | $\frac{4 \text { May }}{m}$ | 22 June | $\frac{20 \text { July }}{\mathrm{mmm}}$ | $\frac{3 \text { Augg. }}{\frac{\mathrm{mmm}}{\mathrm{~g}}}$ | 14 Sept. | $\frac{14 \mathrm{Dec}}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ |  |
| '52 | 11 Jan. | 28 March | $\frac{18 \mathrm{April}}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | $6 \text { June }$ | $\frac{18 \mathrm{July}}{\mathrm{~mm}}$ | 1 Aug. | 5 Sept. | 3 oct. <br> mmm | 19 Dec. |
| '53 | $\frac{9 \mathrm{Jan}}{\mathrm{Mm}} .$ | 20 March | $\frac{10 \text { April }}{\mathrm{Mmm}}$ | $\frac{8 \text { May }}{m}$ | 24 July | $\frac{7 \mathrm{Aug}}{\mathrm{Mmm}} .$ | $\frac{25 \text { Sept. }}{\mathrm{M}}$ | 11 Dec. <br> m m |  |


| NAME-INDEX FOR WILLIAM STANLEY OF HOOTON, ESQ: (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -54 | $\frac{8 \mathrm{Jan}}{\mathrm{M}} .$ | 12 March | 9 April | $\frac{30 \text { April }}{\mathrm{M}}$ | $\frac{30 \text { July }}{\mathrm{Mmm}}$ | 27 Aug. | $\frac{24 \text { Sept }}{\mathrm{Mmm}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{17 \mathrm{Dec}}{\mathrm{rmm}} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| '55 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{14 \mathrm{Jan}}{\mathrm{M}} . \\ & \mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | 4 March | 1 April | $\frac{15 \mathrm{April}}{\mathrm{rrrMmm}}$ | $\frac{3 \text { June }}{r \mathrm{rmm}}$ | 12 Aug. | 9 Sept. | 23 Sept. | 16 Dec. |
| * 56 | $\frac{\text { 13. Jan. }}{\mathrm{m}}$ | 24 Feb. | $23 \text { March }$ | 6 April | 25 May | $\frac{20 \text { Juiy }}{\mathrm{mm}}$ | 14 Sept: | 14 Dec. |  |
| '57 | $\frac{11 \mathrm{Jan} .}{\mathrm{Mm}} .$ | 22 Feb . | 22 March | 26 April | 14 June | $\frac{19 \mathrm{July}}{\mathrm{Mmmm}}$ | $\frac{16 \mathrm{Aug}}{\mathrm{rrm}} .$ | 27 Sept. | $\frac{13 \mathrm{Dec} .}{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| '58 | $\frac{10 \text { Jan. }}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\underline{14 \mathrm{Feb}}$. | 7 March, | $\frac{11 \text { April }}{\mathrm{m}}$ | 30 May | 18 July | 5 Sept. | 12 Dec . |  |
| - 59 | 9 Jan. | 13 Feb . | 6 March | 3 April | 29 May | 17 July | 28 Aug. | $25 \text { Sept. }$ | 11 Dec. |



## FINAL CONCORD PANELLISTS, 20 HENRY VI - 22 EDWARD IV

(pp. 437-40)

The Tables are referred to in the text (Chapter 3, pp. 227-9). All the men before whom final concords were heard at sessions of the County Court during the period 20 Henry VI - 22 Edward IV are listed in strict alphabetical order. Those who were justices are distinguished by an asterisk. Many of the men acted as final concord panellists over several years, during which time their status might have changed. Those listed are, therefore, cited by the name and title by which they were known at their dates of death.

It should be noted that some of the men must also have acted as final concord panellists at County Court sessions held before 20 Henry VI or after 22 Edward IV. The records from these sessions have not been examined.

The last three columns in the Tables list the number of final concords which each man heard during three different periods: 1442-9; the 1460 s; and the 1470 s. This information is referred to in later sections in the text (Chapter 3, pp. 234, 237-8).

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline NAMES OF MEN BEFORE WHOM FINAL CONCORDS WERE HEARD \& \begin{tabular}{l}
TOTAL FCs. \\
HEARD, \\
20 H. VI - \\
22 E. IV
\end{tabular} \& PERIOD DURING WHICH FCs. HEARD \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { NO. } \\
\text { 1442-9 }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { NO. } \\
\& \text { 1460s }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { NO. } \\
\& \text { 1470s }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
ASTON, Richard, kt. \\
воотн, Rt., of Dunham Massey, kt. \\
воотн, Wm., of Dunham Massey, kt. \\
BOSTOCK, Adam \\
BRERETON, Randal, of Shocklach, kt. BRERETON, Randal, of Shocklach, kt. BRERETON, Willifam, of Brereton, kt. BROMLEY, John, of Baddington, kt. CALVELEY, Hugh, kt. \\
CARRINGTON, Hamon, esq. \\
CHOLMONDELEY, Richard, esq. DAVENPORT, John, of Davenport, esq. Delves, John, of Dodaington, esq. DONE, Hugh, of Oulton, esq. DONE, John, of Utkinton, kt, DUTTON, John, of Dutton, esq. DUTTON, Peter, of Hatton, esq. DUTTON, Roger, of Dutton, esq.
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
17 \\
3 \\
2 \\
3 \\
2 \\
50 \\
27 \\
6 \\
1 \\
2 \\
4 \\
3 \\
2 \\
3 \\
4
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1469 \\
\& 1444-59 \\
\& 1463-71 \\
\& 1442 \\
\& 1462 \\
\& 1481 \\
\& 1441-82 \\
\& 1454-68 \\
\& 1463-78 \\
\& 1467 \\
\& 1464-82 \\
\& 1443-70 \\
\& 1458-62 \\
\& 1481 \\
\& 1441-42 \\
\& 1442-43 \\
\& 1476-78 \\
\& 1475-76
\end{aligned}
\] \& 2
12

2

2

4 \& | 2 |
| :--- |
| 3 |
| 16 |
| 14 |
| 1 |
| 1 |
| 1 |
| 2 | \&  <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| NAMES OF MEN BEFORE WHOM FINAL CONCORDS WERE HEARD | TOTAL FCs. HEARD, 20 H. VI 22 E. IV | PERIOD DURING which FCs. heard | $\begin{gathered} \text { NO. } \\ \text { 1442-9 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO. } \\ & \text { 146Os } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO. } \\ & \text { 1470s } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DUTTON, Thomas, of Dutton, kt. egerton, Hugh, of Caldecote, esq. EGERTON, Philip, of Egerton, esq. EGERTON, Ralph, of Caldecote, esq. FITTON, Laurence, of Gawsworth, kt. FITTON, Thomas, of Gawsworth, kt. FULLHURST, Robert, kt. GROSVENOR, Robert, of Eaton, esq. HANDFORTH, John, kt. KINGSLEY, John, of Nantwich, esq. LEGH, Robert, of Adlington, esq. LEGH, Robert, of Adlington, esq. MAINWARING, John, of Over Peover, kt. MAINWARING, Wm., of Over Peover, esq. MANLEY, Thomas, ikt. MASSEY, Geoffrey, of Tatton, kt. MERE, Thomas; esq. *NEEDHAM, John, kt. | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 18 \\ 4 \\ 9 \\ 4 \\ 23 \\ 3 \\ 20 \\ 1 \\ 56 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1450-57 \\ & 1457-62 \\ & 1464-68 \\ & 1443-44 \\ & 1441-55 \\ & 1458-69 \\ & 1446-63 \\ & 1445-54 \\ & 1441-55 \\ & 1444 \\ & 1443-70 \\ & 1482 \\ & 1442-78 \\ & 1478 \\ & 1482 \\ & 1468 \\ & 1469 \\ & 1461-78 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2 <br> 3 <br> 1 <br> 13 <br> 14 <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> 4 | 2 4 2 |



## VI

## COMMISSIONERS APPOINTED IN THE SEVEN HUNDREDS OF CHESHIRE: <br> 18 NOVEMBER 1480,15 JANUARY 1481, MAY 1481, 26 SEPTEMBER 1481 AND 15 DECEMBER 1484 (pp. 441-4)

The Tables are referred to in the text (Chapter 3, pp. 232-4). The hundreds are listed in the order in which they were referred to on the Enrolments: Eddisbury, Broxton, Wirral, Northwich, Bucklow, Nantwich and Macclesfield. The names of those appointed on each commission are listed in the same order as in the entries on the Enrolments. Furthermore, any titles which were appended to men's names in the Enrolment entries have been copied. It is thus possible to illustrate how the Enrolment clerks were frequently inconsistent in the way in which they referred to the same person. (See also Chapter 3, pp. 170.)

[^0]period up to 1485.

For reasons of space, a large number of abbreviations are used in the Tables. On the first occasion that a man is named his name is written out in full, but subsequent references to him are usually abbreviated.

| HUN- <br> DRED | 18 NOVEMBER 1480 | 15 JANUARY 1481 | MAY 1481 | 26 SEPTEMBER 1481 | 15 DECEMBER 1484 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDD. | DONE, John, kt. <br> MANLEY, Thomas, kt. <br> TROUTBECK, William <br> DONE, Hugh | DONE, John, kt. MANLEY, Ts., kt. TROUTBECK, Wm., esq. DONE, Hugh, esq. | DONE, John, kt. MANLEY, Ts., kt. TROUTBECK, Wm. DONE, Hugh | MANLEY, Ts., kt. <br> DONE, John, kt. <br> DONE, Hugh <br> TROUTBECK, Wm. <br> WINNINGTON, Rd. | MANLEY, Ts., kt. TROUTBECK, Wm. DONE, Hugh, esq. WINNINGTON, Rd., esq. |
| BROX. |  | BRERETON, Ran., kt. CALVELEY, Hugh, kt. CHOLMOND., Rd., sen. CLIVE, Rd. | BRERETON, Ran., kt. CALVELEY, Hugh, kt. CHOLMOND., Rd., esq. CLIVE, Rd., esq. | BRERETON, Ran. kt. CALVELEY, Hugh, kt. CHOLMOND., Rd., sen. CLIVE, James | GROSVENOR, Rt., esq. CALVELEY, Hugh, esq. CHOLMOND., Rd., jun. |
| WIRR. | STANLEY, Wm. of Hooton POOLE, Thomas, sen. MASSEY, Ts. of Puddington GLEGG, Thomas, esq. | STAN., Wm. of H. esq. POOLE, Ts., sen. esq. MASS., Ts. of P. esq. GLEGG, Ts., esq. | STAN., Wm. of H. esq. POOLE, Ts., sen. esq. MASS., Ts. of P. esq. GLEGG, Ts., esq. | STAN., Wm. of H. esq. <br> POOLE, Ts., sen. esq. <br> GLEGG, Ts., esq. <br> MASS., Ts., esq. <br> HOCKENHULL, John, esq. <br> WHITMORE, Wm., esq. | STAN., Wm. of $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{kt}$. <br> POOLE, Ts., esq. <br> MASS., Ts. of P. esq. <br> GLEGG, Ts., esq. |
| NOR. | BRERETON, William, kt. BULKELEY, Ts. of Eaton, sen. HOLFORD, George, esq. | BRERETON, Wm., kt. BULK., Ts. of E. esq. HOLFORD, Geo., esq. | BRERETON, Wm., kt. BULK., Ts. of E. sen. HOLFORD, Geo., esq. | VENABLES, Wm., esq. BULK., Ts. of E. esq. воSтоск, Ralph, esq. EGERTON, Ralph | VENABLES, Wm., esq. bulk., Ts. sen. EGERTON, Ralph |


| HUNDRED | 18 NOVEMBER 1480 | 15 JANUARY 1481 | MAY 1481 | 26 SEPTEMBER 1481 | 15 DECEMBER 1484 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUCK. | MASSEY, Geoffrey, kt. BOOTH, George, esq. LEICESTER, John, esq. ASHLEY, Hamon, esq. | MASSEY, Geoff. kt. <br> BOOTH, Geo. <br> LEICESTER, John <br> ASHLEY, Hamon, esq. | MASSEY, Geoff., kt. BOOTH, Geo. LEICESTER, John ASHLEY, Hamon | MASSEY, Geoff. kt. BOOTH, Geo. HOLFORD, Geo. WARBURTON, Peter LEGH, John, of Baguley DUTTON, Laurence, esq. | MASSEY, Geoffrey, kt. HOLFORD, Geo. kt. WARB., Peter, esq. |
| NANT. | BROMLEY, John FULLHURST, Robert, kt. ROPER, Laurence, esq. | BROMLEY, John, kt. FULLHURST, Rt., kt. ROPER, Laur., esq. | BROMLEY, John, kt. FULLHURST, Rt., kt. ROPER, Laur., esq. | BROMLEY, John, kt. FULLHURST, Rt., kt. EGERTON, Hugh, esq. MAINWARING, John, esq. DELVES, Ralph, esq. ROPER, Laur., esq. | BROMLEY, John, kt FULLHURST, Rt., kt. MAINWWRANG, John, esq. DELVES, Ralph |
| MACC. | SAVAGE, John, sen. <br> FITTON, Thomas, kt. <br> DAVENPORT, Hugh, of Henbury <br> LEGH, Rt. of Adlington | SAVAGE, John, kt. FITTON, Ts., kt. DAV., Hugh of $H$. LEGH, Rt. of A. | SAVAGE, John, sen. <br> FITTON, Ts., kt. <br> DAV., Hugh of H . esq. <br> LEGH, Rt. of A. esq. | SAVAGE, John, sen. kt. <br> FITTON, Ts. kt. <br> WARREN, John, esq. <br> DUKINFIELD, Rt., esq. <br> LEGH, Rt. of A. esq. <br> DAV., Wm. of Bramhall <br> DAV., Hugh of H . esq. | SAVAGE, John, kt. <br> FITTON, Ts. kt. <br> LEGH, Rt. of A. <br> DAV., Wm., esq. |

## VII

MISE COLLECTORS IN THE SEVEN HUNDREDS OF CHESHIRE: 1442-4, 1463-5, 1474 AND 1476<br>(pp. 445-51)

The Tables are referred to in the text (Chapter 3, pp. 236-9). The hundreds are listed in the order in which they were referred to on the Enrolments, and the names of those appointed on each commission are listed in the same order as in the entries on the Enrolments.

Although nobody acted on more than one of the commissions to collect a particular mise, men could be appointed as commissioners to collect more than one mise. If a man acted as a collector more than once during the period 1442-76, the number of times he did so is shown in the Tables by a figure given in brackets after his name. It should be noted that the same figure is written against a man's name on each occasion that he is mentioned in the Tables.

The names of thirteen of the men who collected the mise of 1442-4 are underlined in red. This indicates that those men acted as final concord panellists during the period 1442-9. Similarly, the names of thirteen of the men who collected the mise of 1463-5 are underlined. Those men acted as final concord panellists during the l460s. Finally, the names of three men who collected the mise in 1474 or 1476 are underlined. Those men acted as final concord paneliists during the 1470 . The information concerning final concord panellists during these three periods is presented in detail above, pp. 438-40.

| HUNDRED | 1442 | 1443 | 1444 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDDISBURY | DONE, John, of Utkinton WEAVER, Thomas BEESTON, Thomas WINNINGTON, Richard | SPURSTOW, Richard (2) <br> PRIESTLAND; Richard (2) <br> LEE, William del <br> HUXLEY, John, of Hulfield | FRODSHAM, John <br> GRIFFITH, John, of Cattenhall <br> STOKE, Thomas <br> WETTENHALL, Thomas, of Alpraham OVERTON, Richard, of Tarporley |
| BROXTON | GROSVENOR, Ralph (2) EGERTON, John, of Egerton MASSEY, William, of Coddington EATON, Robert. | CHOLMONDELEY, Richard (3) <br> HOLFORD, William <br> COTGREAVE, [forename obliterated] <br> BOSTOCK, Robert | CLIVE, Richard (2) <br> BROWE, Richard <br> KEN', Jevan ap <br> TATTENHALL, Thomas |
| WIRRAL | STANLEY, William, esq. POOLE, Thomas, esq. (2) BEBINGTON, John (2) TYLDESLEY, John | BUNBURY, Richard <br> WHITMORE, William (3) <br> GLEGG, Thomas (3) <br> LEE, Hamon del | LANCELYN, William BIRD, Robert HOBSON, Henry SMITH, Ralph |
| NORTHWICH | VENABLES, Hugh, of Kinderton GROSVENOR, Robert <br> BRERETON, William (2) <br> BULKELEY, Richard, of Cheadle KINSEY, Thomas | BOSTOCK, Adam (2) CROXTON, Thomas GREEN, Philip MORETON, Richard | BELOT, John <br> GREEN, Hugh <br> LATHOM, Robert <br> SMETHWICK, Thomas |


| HUNDRED | 1442 | 1443 | 1444 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUCKLOW | WARBURTON, Geoffrey, kt. DUTTON, John LEGH, John, of Booths ASTON, Richard (2) REDDISH, Hugh | DANIEL, John, of Daresbury (2) wood, Richard, of Halton LEICESTER, Robert <br> MAINWARING, Hugh | ASHLEY, John <br> BOWDON, George (2) <br> DANIEL, Thomas, of Tabley (3) <br> HARRISON, William of Halum |
| NANTW ICH | MAINWARING, John, kt. (2) <br> ROPER, John <br> HASSALL, Richard, of Hankelow <br> MASTERSON, John | WILBRAHAM, Thomas (2) <br> WETTENHALL, John, of Nantwich <br> CARDIFF, Ralph <br> HULSE, Thomas | WEAVER, George <br> WETTENHALL, John, of Cholmondeston <br> WETTENHALL, Thomas s. Henry (2) <br> MASSEY, Richard, of Hough (2) |
| MACCLESFIELD | FITTON, Laurence, kt. HANDFORTH, John, kt. SAVAGE, John, esq. LEGH, John, of Adlington SHRIGLEY, Reginald SUTTON, John | PIGOT, John DAVENPORT, Thomas, of Henbury DAVENPORT, Christopher (2) HANDFORTH, William, sen. oldfield, John, of Sutton MOTTRAM, Roger, of Disley | DAVENPORT, John, of Bramhall (3) <br> FITTON, John, of Pownall (2) <br> VERNON, Geoffrey, of Oheadle Hulme <br> LEGH, Reginald (2) <br> DOWNES, Robert (3) <br> DONE, Reginald |


| HUNDRED | 1463 | 1464 | 1465 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDDISBURY | MANLEY, Thomas, kt. (2) <br> DONE, John, kt. <br> CHAUNTRELL, John <br> DAVENPORT, Hugh, of Calveley | SPURSTOW, Richard (2) <br> DONE, John, of Flaxyards <br> DONE, Hugh (2) <br> BRUEN, John, of Tarvin | EWLOE, Hugh <br> DONE, Thomas, of Crowton <br> ROTER, William <br> BRESSY, John |
| BROXTON | BRERETON, Randal, kt. <br> CALVELEY, Hugh, kt. GROSVENOR, Ralph (2) CHOLMONDELEY, Richard | DUTTON, John, of Hatton <br> CLIVE, Richard (2) <br> LEECH, John (2) <br> STRETTON, Hugh | EGERTON, Philip <br> MANLEY, John <br> CADROT, Ralph <br> FITTION, Thomas, of Carden |
| WIRRAL | WHITMORE, William (3) GLEGG, Thomas (3) BUNBURY, John (2) MEOLS, John | POOLE, Thomas, sen. (2) <br> MASSEY, Thomas, of Puddington <br> BEBINGTON, John (2) <br> HOCKENHULL, John | POOLE, Thomas, jun. (2) GLEAVE, John TYLDESLEY, John, jun. BROMBOROUGH, William |
| NORTHWICH | BRERETON, William, kt. (2) <br> BOSTOCK, Adam (2) <br> MAINWARING, Randal (2) <br> SWETTENHAM, Thomas | DAVENPORT, John, of Davenport <br> RODE, Thomas, of Rode <br> HOLT, Edward, kt. (2) <br> VENABLES, William, of Kinderton | SOMERFORD, William (2) <br> COTTON, John <br> WINNINGTON, Hugh <br> PAGE, Hugh |


| HUNDRED | 1463 | 1464 | 1465 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUCKLOW | LEGH, Richard, of Legh <br> DANIEL, Thomas, of Tabley (3) <br> BOWDON, George (2) <br> COCKER, Hugh | ASHTON, Robert <br> DOMVILLE, John, of Lymm (2) <br> DANIEL, John, of Daresbury (2) <br> GRIMSDITCH, Randal | ASTON, Richard (2) <br> STARKEY, Geoffrey, of Stretton <br> MERE, Thomas (2) <br> REDDISH, Thomas |
| NANTWICH | ```FULLHURST, Robert kt. (2) BROMLEY, John, kt. (2) DELVES, John STARKEY, John, of Wrenbury``` | ```MAINWARING, John, kt. (2) WILBRAHAM, Thomas (2) ROPER, Laurence (2) MINSHULL, Peter (2)``` | MASSEY, Richard, of Hough (2) <br> CORBET, Robert <br> MASTERSON, Thomas <br> MOULTON, Hugh (2) |
| MACCLESFIELD | FITTON, Thomas, kt. (2) <br> DAVENPORT, John, of Bramhall (3) <br> DAVENPORT, Hugh of Henbury (2) <br> DAVENPORT, Christopher (2) | SAVAGE, John, jun. (2) <br> ARDERNE, John (2) <br> WARREN, John <br> LEGH, Reginald (2) | DUKINFIELD, John <br> DOWNES, Robert (3) <br> FITTON, John, of Pownall (2) <br> HYDE, Hamon |


| HUNDRED | 1474 | 1476 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EDDISBURY | MANLEY, Thomas, kt. (2) <br> CHAUNTRELL, Robert <br> DONE, Hugh (2) <br> DAVENPORT, Ralph | PRIESTLAND, Richard (2) <br> BRUEN, John, of Stapleford <br> BOSTOCK, William <br> CREWE, Thomas |
| BROXTON | CHOLMONDELEY, Richard, sen. (3) <br> CLIVE, James (2) <br> LEECH, John (2) <br> DODD, William | GROSVENOR, Robert <br> TATTENHALL, William <br> HANKIN, John <br> BIRD, John, of Broxton |
| WIRRAL | STANLEY, William <br> BUNBURY, John (2) <br> GLEGG, Thomas (3) <br> HUGH, Richard | POOLE, Thomas (2) <br> MINSHULL, John <br> LITHERLAND, John <br> WILBRAHAM, John |
| NORTHWICH | HOLT, Edward, kt. (2) <br> BULKELEY, Thomas, of Eaton <br> MAINWARING, Randal, sen. (2) <br> SOMERFORD, William (2) | KNUTSFORD, Thomas <br> BRETT, Richard <br> HIGSON, John |
| LAWTON, Richard |  |  |


| HUNDRED | 1474 | 1476 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BUCKLOW | LEICESTER, John <br> MERE, Thomas (2) <br> DOMVILLE, John, of Lymm (2) <br> REDDISH, Robert | BOOTH, George <br> DANIEL, Thomas, of Tabley (3) <br> HOLFORD, George <br> HATTON, Peter |
| NANTWICH | BROMLEY, John, kt (2) (2) <br> FULLHURST, Robert, kt. (2) <br> MINSHULL, Peter (2) <br> ROPER, Laurence (2) | MOULTON, Hugh (2) (3) <br> WHITMORE, William (3) <br> WOODNOTH, John <br> WETTENHALL, Thomas, of Cholmondeston (2) |
| MACCLESFIELD | SAVAGE, John, kt. (2) <br> FITTON, Thomas, kt, (2) <br> DAVENPORT, John, of Bramhall (3) <br> DOWNES, Robert (3) | FITTON, Edward <br> ARDERNE, John (2) <br> DAVENPORT, Hugh, of Henbury (2) <br> NEWTON, Richard |

## VIII

## COUNTY COURT SESSIONS AT WHICH TWO GRAND JURIES WERE CHOSEN

$$
(\mathrm{pp}: 452-67)
$$

At some County Court sessions two grand juries were impanelled and sworn. It is the purpose of this short study to consider the occasions when this happened and to suggest reasons why two juries were needed. Between 20 Henry VI and 3 Richard. III two grand juries are known to have been chosen at thirteen sessions of the County Court. It should be remembered that many Sessional Files are now missing, particularly for the period 20-38 Henry VI, and there may therefore have been other sessions at which two grand juries were chosen. The twenty-six grand jury panels have been listed, and a note has been made of the number of indictments which were 'found' by each jury. Whenever possible the entries in the Sessional Files have been compared with those on the Indictment Rolls. It is not known how many bills were examined by each grand jury, because in both the Files and the Rolls details were kept only of those which were 'found'.

The reason why two grand jury panels were selected at the County Court of 22 July 1449 appears to be clear. One of the panels was incomplete: eleven men were impanelled and nine of them were sworn. No instance has been found of a grand jury which had fewer than twelve sworn members. Thus, the first grand jury panel to be chosen at the session of 22 July 1449 was presumably abandoned, and it should not have been
included in the Sessional File. It is probable that the second grand jury was impanelled and sworn after it had been found that the first panel was inadequate. In the event, the second grand jury rejected the bills which it examined. Details of the session were omitted from the Indictment Roll as was common when there were no indictments to report.


#### Abstract

A similar situation to: that described"above occurred at the session of 16 December 1477. .' In both the Sessional"File and the Indictment Roll two grand juries were recorded, and they were each said to have 'found' nine indictments. In fact; the two sets of nine bills of indictment were identical. It is possible that the grand jury which had been chosen first'was considered to be unsatisfactory for some reason and was abandoned. Another grand jury was then chosen and the bills were presented to it. The second jury 'found' the nine bills in the same way as the first had


 done.The other eleven pairs of grand juries may now be considered. For each of the sessions of 30 April 1443, 6 June, 1447, 18 February 1449 and 20 September 1468 some men were sworn members of both grand juries. The juries must obviously have sat at different times, and so the choosing of two juries did not mean that the bills of indictment were dealt with more quickly. It can be suggested that at the beginning of each of these four sessions a grand jury was impanelled and sworn, and it dealt with the bills of indictment brought before it. Meanwhile, either whilst the grand.jury was sitting or, more probably, after it had completed its work, other accusations came to light which had to be dealt with at the session. A second grand jury would, therefore, have been chosen in the usual way from amongst those available at the Court. It is not surprising, therefore, that some men who had appeared on the first panel were chosen to act
on the second.

At each of the sessions of 15 April 1455, 20 July 1456, 10 April 1481, 18 September 1481, 8 January 1482, 27 August 1482 and 18 March 1483 two completely different grand juries were chosen. The position may have been similar to that described in the preceding paragraph: a second grand jury may have been chosen after the first had been disbanded and yet, because there were plenty of jurors available at the session, nobody appeared on both juries. Alternatively, it may have been realized at the beginning of the session that a large number of bills of indictment had to be considered, and that two grand juries, sitting at the same time, might deal with the work more quickly. It is interesting to note that between 10 April 1481 and 18 March 1483 there were five sessions at which two completely different grand juries were chosen. It is known that the Chester County Court sessions of Edward IV's later years were usually busy. It may, therefore, be suggested that in such circumstances it was quite common to choose two grand jury panels at the beginning of a County Court session.

In the following Tables the names of the grand jury panellists are listed in the same order as in the Sessional Files. In all cases where the grand jury is also listed on the Indictment Roll, the names of the sworn grand jurors in the two lists have been compared. As in the lists in the Sessional Files, the abbreviation Jur' is written beside the names of those who were sworn grand jurors.

| 30 APril 1443 - Chester 24/36, sess. Tues. after close of Easter, 21 Hen. vi |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stanley, william | Jur' | Bostock, Adam | Jur' |
| tyidesiey, John |  | Leicestrr, John | Jur' |
| DAvENPORT, Richara, of wheitrough | Jur' | dantel, Thomas | Jur' |
| mourton, Thomas | Jur' | moluingron, thomas |  |
| Bostock, Adam s. David |  | cotcreave, robert |  |
| meicester, John | Jur' | brown, Ralph |  |
| Bostock, Adam |  | MASSEY, John, of Aldersey | Jur' |
| SPARK, John, of Kingsley | Jur' | BARTON, Robert |  |
| SNELSON, Robert | Jur' | CARTwRIGHt, nicholas | Jur' |
| waRd, John, of Grafton | Jur' | Page, robert |  |
| CARTWRIGIt, richard | Jur' | croxton, robert | Jur' |
| LEE, william | Jur' | Bostock, Adam, jun. | Jur' |
| meols, Henry | Jur' | SPARK, John . | Jur' |
| Frodsham, John | Jur' | tomlinson, John, of Christleton | Jur' |
| Croxton, robert | Jur' | LeE, Hamon del | Jur' |
| brindiey, John |  | Doo, hugh | Jur' |
| LeE, Hamon de1 | Jur' | POWNALL, Edward COTGREAVE, Randal | Jur' |
| 1 bill of indictment is attached to |  | 3 bills of indictment are attach |  |


| 6 JUNE 1447 - Chester 24/37, sess. Tues. after Trinity, 25 Henry vi |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOLFORD, William <br> GROSVENOR, Ralph <br> HULSE, Thomas <br> CORBET, Robert <br> MASTERSON, JOhn <br> STRETTON, Hugh <br> MASSEY, John, of Kelsall <br> WINNINGTON, Hugh <br> ROTER, William <br> WARD, John, of Grafton <br> birtiles, John <br> KINSEY, Thomas <br> DAVENPORT, Henry <br> COTGREAVE, Robert <br> CARDIFF, Ralph <br> SOUND, John <br> MASSEY, John, of Grafton <br> SNELSON, Thomas <br> FITTON, Thomas s. Robert <br> CARDEN, Thomas |  | WHITMORE, William <br> WINNINGTON, Thomas <br> ACTON, Philip <br> MASSEY, John, of Grafton <br> LEE, Hamon del <br> EGERTON, John, of Broomhall <br> SOUND, John <br> BULKELEY, Hugh <br> LIGHTFOOT, David <br> COTGREAVE, Randal <br> TOMLINSON, John, of Christleton <br> DOO, Hugh <br> MASTERSON, Thomas <br> SOMERFORD, William <br> WINNINGTON, Hugh <br> KAY, Thomas <br> COTGREAVE, Robert |  |
| 1 bill of indictment is attached to this panel. |  | Nul[la] indict[amenta] is writt this panel. |  |






| HOCKENHULL, John | Jur' | MANLEY, Thomas, kt. | Jur' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRIESTLAND, RIChard | Jur ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | egerton, philip |  |
| * Marehamigy\%-Johnt-sen. | Jux' | Bunbury, John | Jur' |
| MASterson, John | Jur' | minshuld, peter | Jur' |
| WARD, William, of Somerford | Jur' | FRODSHAM, Thomas | Jur' |
| SNELSON, John | Jur' | SNELSON, John | Jur ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |
| STRETTON, Hugh | Jur' | OSbALDeston, Richard | Jur' |
| Cheswys, Randal | Jur' | bebington, Richard | Jur' |
| SWANWICK, William | Jur' | SwAnwICK, William |  |
| bebington, Richard | Jur' | MASTERSON, Thomas | Jur' |
| Huxiey, Thomas | Jur' | filkin, Philip |  |
| havgrion, John | Jur' | HANKELOW, Richard | Jur' |
| ROPE, John |  | overton, John | Jur' |
| COTGreave, Robert | Jur' | EGERTON, Thomas | Jur' |
| COTGREAVE, Richard | Jur' | WARD, William, of Somerford | Jur' |
|  |  | MASTERSON, John | Jur' |
| 10 bills of indictment are attached to this panel. |  | 5 bills of indictment are attached to this panel. |  |

*See Chapter 4, pı. 267.

| 16 DECEMBER 1477 - Chester 24/52: |  | Lucy, 17 Edw. .IV; and Chester 25/1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DUTTON, Peter, of Hatton, esq. VENABLES, William, of Kinderton, esq. <br> CHAUNTRELL, Robert <br> CLAYTON, John <br> WARBURTON, Ralph <br> FRODSHAM, Thomas <br> CREWE, Thomas <br> MOLLINGTON, Thomas <br> COTGREAVE, Richard <br> COTGREAVE, Robert <br> DAVENPORT, Ralph, of Calveley <br> BARTON, John, of Rowton <br> EGERTON, Thomas, of Christleton <br> TILSTON, Thomas <br> BEBINGTON, RIchard <br> DOO, Thomas | Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' | SAVAGE, John, kt. <br> DUTTON, Peter, of Hatton, esq. <br> WARBURTON, Peter, esq. <br> VENABLES, William, of Kinderton, esq. <br> STANLEY, William, of Hooton, esq. <br> MANLEY, Thomas; kt. <br> BOSTOCK, Ralph, esq. <br> DONE, Hugh, esq. <br> CHAUNTRELL, Robert <br> CLAYTON, John <br> MINSHULL, Peter <br> DAVENPORT, Ralph, of Calveley <br> MOLLINGTON, Thomas <br> CREWE, Thomas <br> BEBINGTON, Richard <br> DOO, Thomas <br> POOLE, Thomas <br> SAVAGE, Arnold |  |
| The same 9 bills of indictment are attached to each of these panels. |  |  |  |


| BRERETON, William, kt. | Jur. | SAvage, John, sen. kt. | Jur' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| воSтоск, Ralph, esq. | Jur ${ }^{\prime}$ | Bromley, John, kt. |  |
| Domvilue, John, of Lymm |  | MANLEY, Thomas, kt. | Jur' |
| Gleave, John, of Legh | Jur' | Calveley, Hugh, Kt . | Jur: |
| tabley, Matthew | Jur' | TROUTBECK, william, esq. | Jur' |
| COTTON, Richard, esq. | Jur' | bulkeley, Thomas, of Eaton, esq. | Jur' |
| NEWTON, Richard |  | done; Hugh, esq. | Jur ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |
| wILLOTT, william |  | ARDERNE, John, esq. |  |
| FRODSHAM, Thomas | Jur' | poole, Thomas, esq. | Jur' |
| CARDEN, Randal | Jur' | hurleston, Thomas, esq. |  |
| Clutton, Hugh | Jur' | SAvAGE, william | Jur' |
| torkington, John | Jur ${ }^{\prime}$ | minshuld, Edmund | Jur' |
| Holt, Edward |  | bunbury, John | Jur' |
| HOLFORD, George, esq. | Jur' | BROMLEY, Thomas | Jur' |
| Grosvenor, Robert, esq. | Jur ${ }^{\prime}$ | HULSE, Thomas, of Norbury | Jur' |
| hankin, John, of Churton | Jur' | hankelow, richard | Jur' |
| LeGH, Geoffrey | Jur' | bruen, John, of Stapleford | Jur' |
|  |  | warburton, Ralph | Jur' |
|  |  | REDDISH, Robert | Jur' |
|  |  | DEADWOOD, Thomas | Jur' |
| 3 bills of indictment are attached to this panel. |  | 5 bills of indictment are attached to this panel. |  |




| CALVELEY, Hugh, kt. | Jur ${ }^{\text { }}$ | MASSEY, Geoffrey, kt. | Jur' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROSVENOR, Robert, esq. | Jur' | MANLEY, Thomas, kt. | Jur' |
| MAINWARING, Randal, jun. esq. | Jur ${ }^{1}$ | WARBURTON, Peter, esq. | Jur' |
| REDDISH, Robert | Jur ${ }^{\prime}$ | BRERETON, Andrew, esq. | Jur' |
| LEGH, Thomas, of Northwood | Jur ${ }^{\text { }}$ | ARDERNE, John, esq. | Jur' |
| DAVENPORT, Richard, of wheltrough | Jur' | WINNINGTON, Richard, esq. |  |
| WILLOTT, William | Jur ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | WARBURTON, Ralph, esq. | Jur' |
| DODD, John, of Edge | Jur ${ }^{1}$ | COTGREAVE, Richard | Jur' |
| BROWE, Hugh | Jur* | COTGREAVE, Robert | Jur' |
| KINSEY, William, of Blackden | Jur' | DODD, Owen, of Broxton | Jur ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| EATON, John | Jur' | DODD, William, of Broxton |  |
| HOLT, Edward | Jur' | BRETT, Richard |  |
| NEWTON, Richard | Jur' | BRAYNE, John, of Aston | Jur' |
| STEELE, Thomas | Jur' | MINSHULL, Edmund | Jur ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| CROWTHER, John | Jur' | WETTENHALL, Thomas, of Cholmondeston | Jur' |
| ALDERSEY, Philip | Jur' | LEE, Thomas | Jur' |
| TORKINGTON, John; ex. [sic] |  | HANKIN, John, of Churton | Jur' |
|  |  | BOSTOCK, Robert, of Churton |  |
|  |  | CLUTTON, Hugh, of Carden | Jur' |
|  |  | WORTH, Thomas | Jur' |
| 2 bills of indictment are attached to this panel. |  | 1 bill of indictment is attached to this panel. |  |


(a) THE GAOL DELIVERY JURIES
(pp. 469-70)
(b) THE GRAND JURY AND THE CIVIL JURY
(p. 471)

The five gaol delivery juries are referred to in the text (Chapter 4, pp. 277-8). In the Tables the names of those who were impanelled and sworn on each of the five panels are listed in the same order as in the Sessional File. Also, as in the Sessional File, the abbreviation Jur' is written beside the names of those who were sworn gaol delivery jurors. The figure given in brackets after Jur' indicates the number of times that the particular man was a sworn gaol delivery Juror on 23 March 1462.

The grand jury and the civil jury which sat at the County Court of 23 March 1462 are referred to in the text (Chapter 4, p. 277). The names of the entire grand jury panel are listed, and the abbreviation Jur is written beside the names of those men who were sworn jurors. Only one civil jury was summoned to the session, and it sat and reached a verdict. The names of the twelve men who were sworn members of that jury are listed.

| Gaol delivery juries - 23 march 1462 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JURY 1. <br> Tried Hutta ap Jankin - indicted at this session. | JURY 2 <br> Tried John Pigot - indicted at C. C. on 10/1/58, and Richard Massey indicted at C. C. on 11/12/59. | JURY 3 <br> Tried Madoc ap Jevan ap Madoc Duy and Jankin ap Illa - both indicted before coroner of Chester city on 16/8/57. |
|  |  |  |


| GAOL DELIVERY JURIES - 23 MARCH 1462 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tried Thomas Watson, Randal Simpson, Richard Cartwright - all indicted at | mas Eggington, Henry Wardle and is session. | JURY 5 <br> Tried William Bulkeley - indicted at this session. |
|  | FILKIN, Philip <br> LEE, Hamon del <br> CORBISHLEY, John <br> TOMLINSON, John, of Christleton <br> WICKSTED, Thomas <br> HAUGHTON, John <br> MALBON, William <br> EGERTON, John, of Broomhall <br> BULKELEY, Thomas, of Broxton |  |


| GRAND JURY - 23 |  | CIVIL JURY - 23 MARCH 1462 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAINWARING, Randal <br> MEOLS, John <br> bunbury, John <br> OSBALDESTON, Richard <br> HANKELOW, Richard <br> REE, William <br> TORKINGION, Robert <br> ACTON, Philip <br> HOPE, Richard <br> Whitiney, william <br> KINSEY, Thomas <br> BRINDLEY", William <br> FITTON, John, of Wistaston <br> HULSE, Henry <br> SWANWICK, David <br> HAUGHTON, William, sen. <br> HAUGHTON, John <br> LEE, Hamon del <br> FILKIN, Philip <br> EGERTON, John, of Broomhall <br> MORE, Randal <br> STRETTON, Hugh <br> bebington, Henry <br> WEAVER, Thomas | Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' <br> Jur' | BULKELEY, Thomas, of Broxton MOTTERSHEAD, Richard <br> FILKIN, Philip <br> GOLBORNE, John, of Overton <br> TABLEY, John <br> TORKINGTON, Robert <br> GLEAVE, Thomas, of Legh <br> BRINDLEY, William <br> LEE, Hamon del <br> KINSEY, Thomas <br> EGERTON, John, of Broomhall <br> ACTON, Philip |

## x

## CIVIL JURY PANELS SUMMONED TO THE COUNTY COURT SESSION OF 19 FEBRUARY 1460

(pp. 472-5)

The six civil jury panels are referred to in the text (Chapter 4, pp. 284-5). In the Tables the names of those who were summoned to act on each of the panels are listed in the same order as in the Sessional File.

| CIVIL JURY PANELS - 19 february 1460 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| PANEL 1 <br> Jurors to be chosen from the neighbourhood of Nantwich (in Nantwich hundred). | PANEL 2 <br> Jurors to be chosen from the neighbourhood of Over Tabley (In Bucklow hundred). |
| PRIESTLAND, William <br> BIRTLES, JOhn <br> FITTON, John, of Wistaston <br> BULKELEY, Thomas, of Broxton <br> WARD, John, of Grafton <br> HULSE, Henry <br> REE, William <br> WETTENHALL, Randal <br> HOCKENHULL, Randal <br> RILEY, John <br> WILIOTT, William <br> ACTON, Philip <br> MOTTERSHEAD, JOhn <br> LEE, Hamon del <br> BARON, Richard <br> NANGREAVE, John <br> HOLT, Edward <br> HuXIEY, Thomas | DUTTON, John, of Hatton <br> PRIESTLAND, William <br> birtlies, John <br> MAINWARING, Randal <br> FITTON, John, of Wistaston <br> PARR, John, jun. <br> WARD, John, of Grafton <br> LEGH, Matthew <br> BULKELEY, Thomas, of Broxton <br> HOCKENHULL, Randal <br> COTGREAVE, Randal <br> BRAYNE, Richard, of Aston <br> WETTENHALL, Randal <br> LEE, Hamon del <br> TOCHET, Thomas <br> MOTTERSHEAD; John <br> HULSE, Henry <br> REE, William |


| CIVIL JURY PANELS - 19 FEBRUARY 1460 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| PANEL 3 <br> Jurors to be chosen from the neighbourhood of Christletion (in Broxton hundred). | PANEL 4 <br> Jurors to be chosen from the neighbourhood of Brereton (in Northwich hundred). |
| PRIESTLAND, William <br> birties, John <br> FITTON, John, of Wistaston <br> bulkeley, Thomas, of Broxton <br> HUXIEY, Thomas <br> WETTENHALL, Randal <br> LEE, Hamon del <br> RILEY, John <br> WARD, John, of Grafton <br> HULSE, Hugh <br> MASSEY, John, of Sale <br> ACTON, Philip <br> BRAYNE, Richard, of Aston <br> COTGREAVE, Randal <br> BOWDON, George <br> STRETTON, Hugh <br> BIRD, John, of Broxton. <br> BULKELEY, Hugh | LEICESTER, John, sen. <br> GROSVENOR, Ralph <br> OSBALDESTON, Richard <br> MASSEY, John, of Sale <br> COTGREAVE, Randal <br> CHESWYS, John <br> FITTON, JOhn, of Wistaston <br> EGERTON, John, of Christleton <br> BRAYNE, Richard <br> BOWDON, George <br> ACTON; Philip <br> BIRTLES, John <br> BULKELEY, Thomas, of Broxton <br> WORTH, John <br> WARD, John, of Grafton <br> WIDDENSTALL, Thomas <br> CORBISHLEY, JOhn <br> REDDISH, John <br> BRADLEY, William <br> LEE, Hamon del <br> MOTTRAM, James. <br> birtles, Vivian <br> DANIEL, John, of Lymm <br> DANIEL, Thomas, of Tabley |


| CIVIL JURY PANELS - 19 FEBRUARY 1460 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| PANEL 5 <br> Jurors to be chosen from the neighbourhood of Somerford (in Northwich hundred). | PANEL 6 <br> Name of area from which jurors were to be chosen is obliterated in the summoning writ. |
| MAINWARING, John, kt. <br> BROMLEY, John, kt. <br> DELVES, John <br> FULLHURST, Robert <br> MAINWARING, Randal <br> BOSTOCK, Adam, of Bostock <br> DUTTON, John, of Hatton <br> CALVELEY, Hugh <br> MANLEY, Thomas <br> FILKIN, Philip <br> STRETTON, Hugh <br> HOCKENHULL, John <br> ROTER, William <br> SWETTENHAM, Thomas <br> CHESWYS, John <br> WYBUNBURY, Richard <br> WOODNOTH, John <br> WHITNEY, William <br> BROMLEY, Robert <br> CARDIFF, Ralph <br> HULSE, Thomas, of Norbury <br> FITTiON, John, of Wistaston <br> MORE, Randal <br> HOLT, Edward, of Wimboldsley <br> DANIEL, John, of Daresbury <br> ASHTON, Robert <br> HANKELOW, Richard <br> CARRINGTON, Edward <br> BOYDELL, Geoffrey <br> HOLT, Edward, of Mottram <br> WARD, John, of Grafton <br> BUNBURY, JOhn <br> DANIEL, Thomas, of Tabley <br> bulkeley, Thomas, of Broxton | MAINWARING, John, kt. BRERETON, William, sen. DAVENPORT, Hugh, of Henbury DUTTON, John, of Hatton MASSEY, Richard, of Hough WARREN, John DAVENPORT, John, of Bramhall MAINWARING, Randal DAVENPORT, Christopher CORBET, Robert CHEYNE, John LEE, Robert HASSALL, Hugh GROSVENOR, Ralph BOWDON, George DANIEL, Thomas, of Tabley HOLT, Edward, of Wimboldsley MASSEY, John, of Sale FIIKIN, Philip bULKELEY, Thomas, of Broxton STRETTON, Hugh CADROT, Ralph KELSALL, John REE, William henshaw, Edward |

## XI

## JURIES WHICH MET AT THE COUNTY COURT SESSION OF 10 JANUARY 1464

(pp. 476-81
(a) THE GRAND JURY AND THE GAOL DELIVERY JURIES
(p. 477)
(b) THE EIGAT JURY PANELS SUMMONED TO THE SESSION (p. 478)

These juries and jury panels are referred to in the text (Chapter 4, pp. 286-7). In the Table the names of those who were impanelled and sworn on the grand jury and the gaol delivery juries are listed in the same order as in the Sessional File. Also, as in the Sessional File, the abbreviation Jur' is written beside the names of those who were sworn jurors.

In the Table which gives details of the eight jury panels which were summoned in advance of the session, only those men who were sworn jurors are listed.

| GRAND JURY - 10 JANUARY 1464 |  | gaol delivery juries - 10 January 1464 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tried Adam Jerat | Tried Laurence Priestwood |
| DANIEL, Thomas, of Tabley BUNBURY, John MINSHULL, Peter COCKER, Hugh DODD, David, of Edge BULKELEY, Thomas, of Broxton WICKSTED, Thomas HUXLEY, Thomas REE, William STRETTON, Hugh GLEAVE, Thomas, of Legh ACTON, Philip RILEY, John BIRTLES, Vivian LEGH, Richard BRAYNE, John EGERTON, John, of Broomhall FITTON, John, of Wistaston BROWE, Hugh |  |  | hULSE, Henry <br> BRINDLEY, John <br> BIRD, John s. Henry <br> VAUDREY, Thomas <br> SNELSON, John <br> MEOLS, John <br> RODE, Thomas <br> StARKEY, Geoffrey s. Randal <br> FURNIVALL, John <br> MASTERSON, Thomas <br> MUTLOW, Thomas <br> HEATH, Robert <br> COTGREAVE, Richard <br> holt, Edward <br> ALDERSEY, Philip, jun. <br> MASSEY, Morgan, of Edgerley <br> EGERTON, Thomas, of Christleton <br> LEE, Hamon del <br> ACTON, Philip <br> MASTERSON, John <br> Jur' |


| details of the eight jury panels summoned to the session of 10 January 1464 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| details of Case | No. of JURORS SUMMONED | NAMES OF SWORN JURORS |
| King versus Robert Fisher, William Fisher, John Meadow, Roger Meadow, Richard Smith and Robert Newton, all formerly of Legh. Case of criminal trespass. | 35 | Hamel del Lee, Randal Wettenhall, John Cheswys, Thomas Bulkeley of Broxton, John Massey of Sale. |
| William Stanley, senior, versus Thomas Rode, formerly of Rode. Case of debt. | 38 | Hamel del Lee. |
| Reginald Legh versus John Massey of Sale. Case of debt. | 27 | Vivian Birtles, John Corbishley, John Fitton of Wistaston. |
| Nicholas Poole versus Robert Poole, formerly of Poole, and John Wilbraham, formerly of Thingwall. Case of criminal trespass. | 47 | None were sworn. |
| Richard Downes, esquire, versus Thomas, archbishop of Canterbury, George, bishop of Exeter, Richard, bishop of Salisbury, Sir John Neville, Sir John Audley, Sir William Hastings and Sir William Stanley. Case of novel disseisin. | 18 | None were sworn. |
| Matthew Legh versus Robert Fisher, William Fisher, John Meadow, Roger Meadow, Richard Smith' and Robert Newton, all formerly of Legh. Case of civil trespass. | 28 | None were sworn. |
| Richard, abbot of Chester, versus Henry Blackden. Case of debt. | 18 | None were sworn. |
| Richard, abbot of Chester, versus Thomas Poole. Case of debt. | 18 | None were sworn. |

A DETAILED STUDY OF THE COUNTY COURT JURY WORK UNDERTAKEN BY A GROUP OF FORTY-SEVEN MEN DURING THE YEARS 1442-85
(pp. 479-87)
(a) A GENERAL ANALYSIS OF THE WORK OF

THE MEN AS COUNTY COURT JURORS
(pp. 481-3)
(b) A STUDY OF THE AMOUNT AND INTENSITY OF COUNTY COURT JURY WORK UNDERTAKEN BY THE MEN
(pp. 484-5)
(c) AN ANALYSIS OF THE PROPORTION OF TIMES THE MEN WERE SWORN AS GRAND JURORS AND GAOL DELIVERY JURORS TO THE TIMES THEY WERE IMPANELLED
(pp. 486-7)

These sets of Tables are referred to in the text (Chapter 4, pp. 286-92). In each case the forty-seven men are listed in strict alphabetical order. Most of the men served over a period of years, and to avoid confusion each man is described in the same way as in the Sessional File for the County Court of 10 January 1464.

Some of the headings in the first set of Tables (pp, 481-3) are in an abbreviated form:-

| Gr J | Grand jury. |
| :---: | :---: |
| G D | Gaol delivery jury. |
| C P | - Civil jury panel. It should be remembered that the first panel which was summoned to the Court of 10 January 1464 was to try a case of criminal trespass. (See Appendix, p. 478). Such panels were, however, formed in the same way as those which were to try civil cases. Only those men who were sworn members of the panels summoned to the Court of 10 January 1464 are considered here. Similarly, only the occasions during the years 1442-85 when the forty-seven men were sworn members of civil jury panels are considered. It should be noted that, even though some men were sworn as jurors, full juries were not formed from many of the panels. (e.g. those of 10 January 1464). |

I - Jury which heard an inquisition post mortem or a proof of age during a County Court session. It should be remembered that only the names of the sworn members of these juries were recorded.

In two of the sets of Tables (pp. 481-3, 486-7.). the following abbreviations are also used:-
P. - Impanelled but not sworn on a jury.
s - Impanelled and sworn on a jury.

| NAME | JURIES - 10/1/64 |  |  |  |  |  | JURY SERVICE PERIOD |  | TOTAL JURY SERVICE AT COUNTY COURT SESSIONS 1442-85 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gr $\dot{\mathbf{J}}$ | G D | G D | $\mathbf{C P}$ | C P | C P | EARLIEST | LATEST |  | J |  | D | C p | I |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SESSION | SESSION | P | S | P | S | S | S |
| ACTON, Philip | S | P | P |  |  | : | 10/ 1/58 | 9/3/79 | 3 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 6 | 2 |
| ALDERSEY, Philip, jun. |  |  | S |  |  |  | 10/ 1/64' | 14/12/84 | 2 | 23 | 15 | 24 | 13 | 5 |
| BARTON, Roger |  | S |  |  |  |  | 9/1/59 | 26/4/68 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 16 | 10 | 5 |
| BIRD, John, of Broxton |  | P | S |  |  |  | 13/ 2/59 | 24/9/82 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 8 | 3 | 1 |
| BIRTLES, Vivian | S |  |  |  |  | S | 2/ 4/48 | 7/ 4/67 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 1 |
| BRAYNE, John, of Aston | S |  |  |  |  |  | 8/ 1/54 | 31/ 5/85 | 3 | 23 | 18 | 14 | 17 | 2 |
| BRINDLEY, John |  |  | P |  |  |  | 30/ 4/43 | 8/ 1/65 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| BROWE, Hugh | 5 | S |  |  |  |  | 20/ 9/63 | 15/3/85 | 3 | 26 | 11 | 23 | 16 | 8 |
| BULKELEY, Thomas, of Broxton | S |  |  | S |  |  | 21/5/48 | 10/12/65 | 7 | 17 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 2 |
| BUNBURY, John | S | , |  |  |  |  | 8/1/60 | 31/7/81 | 3 | 25 | 5 | 22 | 4 | 1 |
| CHESWYS, John |  |  |  | S |  |  | 1/5/42 | 2/ 9/66 | 1 | 48 | 20 | 17 | 28 | 7 |
| COCKER, Hugh | ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | P |  |  |  |  | 30/8/63 | 15/12/67 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| CORBISHLEY, John |  |  |  |  |  | S | 27/2/42 | 20/3/64 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| COTGREAVE, Richard |  | S | S |  |  |  | 10/ 1/64 | 19/7/85 | 12 | 63 | 26 | 45 | 30 | 23 |
| DANIEL, Thomas, of Tabley | S |  |  |  |  |  | 27/ 2/42 | 10/ 4/64 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| DAVENPORT, Hugh, of Calveley, esq. |  | P |  |  |  |  | 11/ 1/57 | 23/4/65 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |


| NAME | JURIES - 10/1/64 |  |  |  |  |  | JURY SERVICE PERIOD |  | TOTAL JURY SERVICE AT COUNTY COURT SESSIONS, 1442-85 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gr J | G D | G D | C P | C P | C P | EARLIEST | LATEST | Gr J |  | G D |  | C P | I |
|  |  | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (3) | SESSISN | SESSION | P | S | P | 5 | S | S |
| DODD, David, of Edge | 5 |  | . |  |  |  | 20/ 9/63 | 15/3/85 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 10 | 1 |
| EGERTON, John, of Broomhall | S |  |  |  |  |  | 24/7/42 | 23/4/65 | 5 | 24 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 4 |
| EGERTON, Thomas, of Christleton |  | S | P |  |  |  | 24/2/56 | 1/5/81 | 4 | 66 | 30 | 42 | 30 | 26 |
| FITTON, John, of Wistaston | S |  |  |  |  | S | 24/2/56 | 3/6/66 | 2 | 31 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 12 |
| FURNIVALL, John |  |  | S |  |  |  | 10/ 1/64 | 31/ 5/85 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| GLEAVE, Thomas, of Legh | S | S |  |  |  |  | 2/ 8/46 | 7/4/72 | 1 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 1 |
| HEATH, Robert |  | S | 5 |  |  |  | 14/ 2/58 | 26/5/72 | 1 | 22 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 0 |
| HOLT, Edward |  | P | S |  |  |  | 29/5/59 | 19/7/85 | 4 | 26 | 24 | 42 | 39 | 8 |
| HULSE, Henry |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 24/ 2/56 | 7/8/70 | 1 | 28 | 20 | 35 | 11 | 5 |
| HUXLEY, Thomas | S | P |  |  |  |  | $31 / 8 / 45$ | 31/5/85 | 8 | 70 | 55 | 48 | 41 | 29 |
| LEE, Hamon del |  | S | P | S | S |  | 27/ 2/42 | 14/12/73 | 11 | 62 | 33 | 27 | 37 | 4 |
| LEGH, Matthew |  | P |  |  |  |  | 24/7/42 | 18/9/81 | 4 | 18 | 14 | 19 | 8 | 6 |
| LEGH, Reginald |  | P |  |  |  |  | 9/4/43 | 7/3/69 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| LEGH, Richard | P |  |  |  |  |  | 14/ 9/62 | 19/6/70 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| MASSEY, John, of Sale |  | 5 |  | S |  |  | 20/7/56 | 4/8/67 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 1 |
| MASSEY, Morgan, of Edgerley |  |  | $\mathbf{P}$ |  |  |  | 10/1/64 | 1/5/70 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |


| NAME | JURIES - 10/1/64 |  |  |  |  |  | JURY SERVICE PERIOD |  | TOTAL JURY SERVICE AT COUNTY COURT SESSIONS, 1442-85 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gr J | G D | G D | C P | C P | C P | EARLIEST | LATEST | Gr J |  | G D |  | $\frac{\text { C P }}{\mathrm{S}}$ | I |
|  |  | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (3) | SESSION | SESSION | $\mathbf{P}$ | S | P | S |  | S |
| MASTERSON, John |  |  | P |  |  |  | 18/ 6/43 | 19/3/76 | 4 | 49 | 9 | 17 | 7 | 5 |
| MASTERSON, Thomas |  |  | S |  |  |  | 6/6/47 | 30/5/69 | 9 | 13 | 5 | 20 | 3 | 11 |
| MEOLS; John |  |  | P |  |  |  | 23/3/62 | 8/1/65 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| MINSHULL, Peter | S |  | . |  |  |  | 13/10/50 | 16/12/77 | 7 | 34 | 22 | 20 | 6 | 11 |
| MUTLOW, Thomas |  | P | 5 |  |  |  | 22/ 3/63 | 15/3/85 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| REE, William | S |  |  |  |  |  | 28/3/52 | 5/12/80 | 3 | 51 | 15 | 35 | 29 | 15 |
| RILEY, John | P |  |  |  |  |  | 11/ 1/52 | 30/7/82 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 3 |
| RODE, Thomas |  |  | $\mathbf{P}$ |  |  |  | 10/12/48 | 16/4/82 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| SNELSON, John |  | $s$ | S |  |  |  | 5/10/62 | 19/3/76 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 24 | 4 | 3 |
| STARKEY, Geoffrey |  |  | S |  |  |  | 10/ 1/64 | 4/8/67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| STRETTON, Hugh | S |  |  |  |  |  | 27/2/42 | 5/12/80 | 12 | 62 | 35 | 24 | 21 | 17 |
| VAUDREY, Thomas |  | S | 5 |  |  |  | 1/9/50 | 2/ 8/68 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| WARD, John, of Grafton |  | S |  |  |  |  | 27/2/42 | 12/12/69 | 7 | 45 | 21 | 29 | 26 | 4 |
| WETTENHALL, Randal |  |  |  | S |  |  | 8/10/48 | 26/5/67 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 4 |
| WICKSTED, Thomas | S | S |  |  |  |  | 23/3/62 | 15/12/67 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 1 |

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { NAME } & \begin{array}{c}\text { NO. OF YEARS } \\ \text { ACTED AS } \\ \text { JUROR AT C. C. } \\ \text { SESSIONS }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { NO. OF JURIES } \\ \text { INVOLVED IN } \\ \text { AT C. C. } \\ \text { SESSIONS }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { AVERAGE } \\ \text { PER } \\ \text { YEAR }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { ACTON, Philip }\end{array}\right]$

1 See above, pp. 481-3, where the exact period during which each of the forty-seven men acted is given.

2 A detailed breakdown of these figures is given above, pp. 481-3.
3. These figures are rounded to the nearest integer.

| NAME | NO. OF YEARS ACTED AS JUROR AT C. C. SESSIONS | NO. OF JURIES INVOLVED IN AT C. C. SESSIONS | AVERAGE PER YEAR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GLEAVE, Thomas, of Legh | 26 | 50 | 2 |
| HEATH, Robert | 14 | 54 | 4 |
| HOLT, Edward | 26 | 143 | 6 |
| HULSE, Henry | 14 | 100 | 7 |
| HUXLEY, Thomas | 40 | 251 | 6 |
| LEE, Hamon del | 32 | 174 | 5 |
| LEGH, Matthew | 39 | 69 | 2 |
| IEGH, Reginald | 26 | 10 | Less than 1 |
| IEGH, Richard | 8 | 14 | 2 |
| MASSEY, John, of Sale | 11 | 41 | 4 |
| MASSEY, Morgan, of Edgerley | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| MASTERSON, John | 33 | 91 | 3 |
| MASTERSON, Thomas | 22 | 61 | 3 |
| MEOLS, John | 3 | 10 | 3 |
| MINSHULL, Peter | 27 | 100 | 4 |
| MUTLOW, Thomas | 22 | 26 | 1 |
| REE, William | 29 | 148. | 5 |
| RILEY, John | 31 | 67 | 2 |
| RODE, Thomas | 33 | 13 | Less than 1 |
| SNELSON, JOhn | 13 | 60 | 5 |
| STARKEY, Geoffrey | 4 | . ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 1 |
| STRETTON, Hugh | 39 | 171 | 4 |
| VAUDREY, Thomas | 18 | 10 | Less than 1 |
| WARD, John, of Grafton | 28 | 132 | 5 |
| WETTENHALL, Randal | 19 | 42 | 2 |
| WICKSTED, Thomas | 6 | 29 | 5 |


| NAME | GRAND JURIES |  |  | GAOL DELIVERYJURIES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P | $S$ | $\frac{S \times 100^{1}}{P+5}$ | P | 5 | $\frac{5 \times 100^{1}}{P+S}$ |
| ACTON, Philip | 3 | 12 | 80 | 14 | 15 | 52 |
| ALDERSLEY, Philip, jun. | 2 | 23 | 92 | 15 | 24 | 62 |
| BARTON, Roger | 13 | 9 | 41 | 20 | 16 | 44 |
| BIRD, John, of Broxton | 3 | 1 | 25 | 22 | 8 | 27 |
| BIRTLES, Vivian | 0 | 7 | 100 | 3 | 6 | 67 |
| BRAYNE, John, of Aston | 3 | 23 | 88 | 18 | 14 | 44 |
| BRINDIEY, John | 4 | 1 | 20 | 7 | 6 | 46 |
| BROWE, Eugh | 3 | 26 | 90 | 11 | 23 | 68 |
| BUIKELEY, Thomas, of Broxton | 7 | 17 | 71 | 14 | 20 | 59 |
| BUNBURY, JOhn | 3 | 25 | 89 | 5 | 22 | 81 |
| CHESWYS, John | 1 | 48 | 98 | 20 | 17 | 46 |
| COCKER, Hugh .. | 0 | 2 | 100 | 2 | 3 | 60 |
| CORBISHLEY, JOhn | 0 | 7 | 100 | 8 | 5 | 38 |
| COTGREAVE, John | 12 | 63 | 84 | 26 | 45 | 63 |
| DANIEL, Thomas, of Tabley | 6 | 8 | 57 | 0 | 6 | 100 |
| DAVENPORT, Hugh, of Calveley | 0 | 5 | 100 | 2 | 2 | 50 |
| DODD, David, of Edge | 4 | 11 | 73 | - 8 | 12 | 60 |
| EGERTON, John, of Broomiall | 5 | 24 | 83 | 17 | 17 | 50 |
| EGERTON, Thomas, of Christleton | 4 | 66 | 94 | 30 | 42 | 58 |
| FITTON, John, of Wistaston | 2 | 31 | 94 | 15 | 16 | 52 |
| FURNIVALI, John | 1 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\circ} 2$ | 4 | 67 |
| GLEAVE, Thomas, of.Legh | 1 | 18 | 95 | 8 | 10 | 56 |

1 S $\quad 100$ represents the proportion, expressed as a percentage, of the number of times a man was sworn to the total number of times he was impanelled.

| NAME | GRAND JURIES |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GAOL DELIVERY } \\ & \text { JURIES } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P | S | $\frac{S \times 100}{P+S}$ | P | s | $\frac{S \times 100}{P+S}$ |
| HEATH, Robert | 1 | 22 | 96 | 7 | 15 | 68 |
| HOLT, Edward | 4 | 26 | 87 | 24 | 42 | 64 |
| HULSE, Henry | 1 | 28 | 97 | 20 | 35 | 64 |
| HUXIEY, Thomas | 8 | 70 | 90 | 55 | 48 | 47 |
| LEE, Hamon del | 11 | 62. | 85 | 33 | 27 | 45 |
| LEGH, Matthew | 4 | 18 | 82 | 14 | 19 | 58 |
| LEGH, Reginald | 0 | 2 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| LEGH, Richard | 3. | 3 | 50 | 3 | 4 | 57 |
| MASSEY, John, of Sale | 0 | 13 | 100 | 12 | 9 | 43 |
| MASSEY, Morgan, of Edgerley | 1 | 1 | 50 | 2 | 1 | 33 |
| MASTERSON, JOhn | 4 | 49 | 92 | 9 | 17 | 65 |
| MASTERSON, Thomas | 9 | 13 | 59 | 5 | 20 | 80 |
| MEOLS, John | 1 | 2 | 67 | 3 | 4 | 57 |
| MINSHULL, Peter | 7 | 34 | 83 | 22 | 20 | 48 |
| MUILOW, Thomas | 2 | 2 | 50 | 4 | 18 | 82 |
| REE,. William | 3 | 51 | 94 | 15 | 35 | 70 |
| RILEY, John | 7 | 9 | 56 | i2. | 18 | 60 |
| RODE, Thomas | 2 | 5 | 71 | 2 | 3 | 60 |
| SNELSON, JOhn | 2 | 23 | 92 | 4 | 24 | 86 |
| STARKEY, Geoffrey | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 100 |
| STRETTON, Hugh | 12 | 62 | 84. | 35 | 24 | 41 |
| VAUDREY, Thomas | 0 | 4 | 100 | 0 | 4 | 100 |
| WARD, John, of Grafton | 7 | 45 | 87 | 21 | 29 | 58 |
| WETTENHALI, Randal | 2 | 5 | 71 | 9 | 12 | 57 |
| WICKSTED, Thomas | 0 | 9 | 100 | 2 | 11 | 85 |

(pp. 488-519)
(a) THE RECOGNIZANCES
(pp. 489-502)
(b) THE MAINPRIZES
(pp. 503-19)

The material presented here is referred to in the text (Chapter 5, pp. 334 ff.). The ten recognizances and the eleven mainprizes which were made at the County Court session of 7 August 1464 are considered in turn. In each case a précis is given of the bond. It is followed by a description of the entire series of agreements to which the bond made on 7 August 1464 belonged. References to the original, sources are provided in footnotes.

Reference
Subject John Golborne.
Sureties Richard Clive, Thomas Bulkeley of Broxton, Randal Mainwaring, Hugh Bulkeley of Broxton.

Purpose. John Golborne agreed to keep the peace towards Philip Filkin and to appear at the next County Court to be held after the feast of St John the Baptist [30/7/65]. Golborne and his sureties were bound together in the sum of $£ 40$.

Evidence survives of twenty-nine recognizances to keep the peace which John Golborne made in favour of Philip Filkin between 4 March 1455 and 26 May $1472 .^{1}$ In each recognizance Golborne and his sureties were always bound together in the sum of $£ 40$. In all but two of the recognizances Golborne had the support of four sureties.

An unusual feature of this series of recognizances is that the agreements were made at frequent intervals. - It is possible that Golborne was less reliable than most subjects of recognizances. For example, by the peace recognizance made on 2 April $1455,{ }^{2}$ Golborne agreed to attend the next County Court [15 April 1455]. The postea appended to the

1 Chester 2/127, rott. 3d. (1), 4d. (1), 7 (5), 7d. (2); 2/129, rott. 2d. (8), 3d. (1, 4), 4d. (4), 5 (4), 6d. (2), 7 (7); 2/130, rott. 3d. (3), 4d. (4), 5d. (2), 6 (2); 2/131, rot. 1 (4); 2/132, rot. 15 (6); 2/133, rot. 5 (4); 2/134, rott. 7 (2), 7a. (1), 9 (4), 1ld. (3), 14d. (2); 2/135, rot. 7 (3); $2 / 136$, rot. 9 (1); 2/137, rot. 9a..(3); 2/139, rot. 6 (2); 2/140, rot. 2 d . (6); and $2 / 144$, rot. 8 (5).
recognizance shows that he did not, in fact, attend the County Court until 3 June 1455.

Relations between Golborne and Filkin were particularly strained in the mid-l460s. ${ }^{1}$ Between 10 December 1465 and 3 June 1466 Filkin made four mainprizes to keep the peace towards Golborne. 2 During this period Golborne did not make any recognizances in Filkin's favour. However, from 7 April 1467 he started to do so once again. It is interesting to note that on 26 May 1467 Filkin made the first of a series of five recognizances to keep the peace towards Golborne. ${ }^{3}$

In the series of recognizances which Golborne made in favour of Filkin, a total of sixty-one men were sureties, twenty-one of whom acted more than once. In the five recognizances made by Filkin in favour of Golborne, a total of eighteen men were sureties, two of whom acted on two occasions. It is interesting to note that two men, Thomas Chetwode and Peter Stanley; were"sureties for both Golborne and Filkin.

It is clear that the recognizance agreements made between John Golborne and Philip Filkin were considered to be very important. Philip Filkin died in September 1473.4 In March 1474, March 1475 and January 1476 Golborne was bonded to keep the peace towards Ralph, son of Philip Filkin. ${ }^{5}$ Ralph Filkin died in August 1476.6 Thereafter, in January

1 See also Chapter 5, pp. 347-8.
2 Ibid., p. 348, n. 1.
3 . Chester 2/139, rot. 6d. (4); 2/140, rott. 2 d . (5), 7d. (2); 2/141, rot. 6 (1); and $2 / 144$, rot. 8 (4).

4 Chester 3/48/13 Edw. IV/5.
5 Chester 2/146, rot. 2 (6); 2/147, rot. 4 (3); and $2 / 148$, rot. 6d. (1).

6 Chester 3/48/16 Edw. IV/5.

1478 and January 1480, two more recognizances were made whereby Golborne was bonded to keep the peace towards Philip; son of Ralph and grandson of Philip Filkin. ${ }^{1}$

## $\underline{2}$

| Reference | Chester 2/136, rot. 9 (2). |
| :--- | :--- |
| Subject | Thomas Reddish. |
| Sureties $\quad$Reginald Legh, Robert Ashton, sir Edward Holt, <br> Randal Grimsditch. |  | | Thomas Reddish agreed to keep the peace towards |
| :--- |
| Hugh Berwick and to appear at the next County Court |
| to be held after the feast of the Nativity of St John |
| the Baptist [30/7/65]. Reddish and his sureties |
| were bound together in the sum of $£ 40$. |

Evidence survives of seventeen recognizances to keep the peace which Thomas Reddish made in favour of Hugh Berwick between 1 September 1461 and 6 August 1476. ${ }^{2}$ In the first two agreements Reddish agreed to keep the peace towards Berwick and Henry Birom, senior. In the first recognizance Reddish and his sureties were bound together in the sum of £100. In the remaining sixteen recognizances the bonding sum was $£ 40$. In all but one of the recognizances Reddish was supported by four sureties. A total of forty-nine men acted as sureties, ten of whom did so more than once.

Most of the recognizances in this series were renewed at regular

1 Chester $2 / 150$, rot. 4 (2); and $2 / 152$, rot. 6 d . (1).
2 Chester 2/134, rott. 7d. (2), lla. (5), 17 (6); 2/135, rot. 7 (1): 2/136, rot. 9 (2); $2 / 137$, rot. 8 (5); 2/138, rot. 7 (2); 2/139, rot. ld. (5); 2/140, rott. ld. (4), 7 (4); 2/141, rot. 9 (4); $2 / 142$, rot. 5 (5); 2/144, rot. 9d. (2); 2/145, rot. 5d. (3); 2/146, rot. 9d. (1); 2/147, rot. 7 (2); and 2/148, rot. lld. (4).
intervals. After the first two agreements, the renewals were made yearly, during the County Court session which followed the feast of the Nativity of St John the Baptist, until the entry of 5 August 1466. That agreement was renewed on 9 December 1466 and then on 15 December 1467. Thereafter, the renewals were again made at the session which followed 24 June each year. There was one further interruption in this pattern. No entry can be found for 1471. The omission may have resulted from the trouble which enveloped the country in the period 1470-1.

The final agreement in this series was made on 6 August 1476. By it Reddish agreed to appear at the next County Court to be held after 24 June 1477. It is possible that he attended the Court on 12 August 1477 and was delivered sine die. However, as no references to Thomas Reddish have been found in the records after September 1476, it appears to be more iikely that he died at about that time.

## 3

| Reference | Chester 2/136, rot. 9 (3). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Subject | Richard Spurstow. |
| Sureties | John Grafton, John Golborne, Hugh Davenport of Calveley, esquire, John Bruen of Tarvin. |
| Purpose | Richard Spurstow agreed to keep the peace towards Isabel Priestland and to appear at the next County Court to be held after the feast of St John the Baptist [30/7165]. Spurstow and his sureties were bound together in the sum of $£ 100$. |

Evidence survives of twenty-two recognizances to keep the peace which were made by Richard Spurstow in favour of Isabel Priestland between

17 December 1454 and 14 December $1473 .^{1}$ In each recognizance Spurstow and his sureties were bound together in the sum of $£ 100$. On nineteen occasions Spurstow had four sureties, on two occasions he had three, and on one occasion he had five. He was supported by a total of fifty-five men, eighteen of whom acted as sureties more than once.

The recognizances in this series form an interesting pattern. Until the entry of 20 July 1456; renewals took place twice a year. Thereafter, they were made yearly except at the period of Edward IV's accession, when they became more frequent. From August 1462 the yearly pattern was re-established, and continued until 7 August 1470. In 1472 and 1473 renewals were made at half-yearly intervals.

The final agreement, dated 14 December 1473 , should have been reviewed at the session which began on 2 August 1474. It may be assumed that Spurstow appeared then and was delivered sine die. He continued to feature in the records until $1479,{ }^{2}$ but there is no further mention of him in relation to Isabel Priestland.

During the period when this series of recognizances was made, two recognizances, dated 2 September 1466 and 4 August 1467, were made by Isabel Priestland in favour of Richard Spurstow. ${ }^{3}$ The bonding sum was El00 on both occasions. Priestland was supported by four sureties in

1 Chester 2/127, rott. $2(7), 5(2), 6 d .(6) ; 2 / 129$, rott. 1d. (2), 8 (5): 2/130, rot. 5a. (4): 2/133, rot. 2 (2); 2/134, rott. lod. (3), 12 (5), 17 (7); $2 / 135$, rot. 7 d. (2); $2 / 136$, rot. 9 (3); 2/137, rot. 8 (6): $2 / 138$, rot. 7 (3); $2 / 139$, rot. 8 (4); 2/140, rot. 5 . (3); $2 / 141$, rot. 8 . (5); 2/142, rot. 5 (1); 2/144, rot. 9 (4); $2 / 145$, rott. 3 d . (4), 5 (9); and $2 / 146$, rot. 11 (1).

2 Chester 24/54, sess. Tues. after St Peter ad vincula. Spurstow was a surety in a mainprize made at the session of 3 August 1479.
each recognizance, and two of the sureties supported her on both occasions. It should be noted that, of the six men who acted as sureties for her, three also supported Spurstow in the recognizances which he made in favour of Priestland.

## 4

Reference
Subject Hugh Mollington.
Sureties Hugh Cocker, Thomas Vaudrey, Ralph Gresty, Thomas Gleave.

Purpose
Chester 2/136, rot. 9 (4). Hugh Mollington agreed to keep the peace towards Thomas Mere, esquire, and to appear at the next County Court to be held after the feast of the Nativity of St John the Baptist [30/7/65]. Mollington and his sureties were bound together in the sum of $£ 100$.

Evidence survives of twenty-two recognizances, dated between 21 May 1448 and 11 August 1472, whereby Hugh Mollington alone, or Hugh and his brother Peter, agreed to keep the peace towards Thomas Mere, esquire. ${ }^{1}$ In every case the total bonding sum was $£ 100$. The Mollingtons were always supported by four sureties. A total of forty-seven men acted as sureties, and twenty of them acted on more than one occasion.

Between 1448 and 1451 the recognizances wére made at half-yearly intervals. Then, between July 1451 and January 1454, there were no

1 Chester 2/121, rot. 5 (8); 2/122, rott. 1d. (2), 5 (7); 2/123, rot. 4 (7); 2/126, rot. 6 (5); 2/127, rot. 2 d. (3); 2/129, rot. 2d. (2); 2/130, rot. ld. (1); 2/131, rot. ld. (3); $2 / 133$, rot. 3d. (4): 2/134, rott. 10d. (5), 12d. (2), 17 (9); 2/135, rot. 7d. (3); 2/136, rot. 9 (4); $2 / 137$, rot. 8d. (1); 2/138, rot. 7 (4); 2/139, rot. 8 (5); 2/140, rot. 5d. (4); 2/141, rot. 8d. (6); $2 / 142$, rot. $5(2)$ and $2 / 144$, rot. 9 (1).
entries. It seems unlikely that such a long gap could result from
losses. Rather, the agreements were perhaps suspended temporarily during that time. The series was resumed on 8 January 1454 , and a renewal was made at the next session on 12 March. This renewal, so soon after the preceding entry, may have been made to ensure that the series was firmly established once again. Thereafter, renewals were made at yearly intervals. Between 1455 and 1460 they were made in January. The first session of 1461 , which was also the first of Edward IV's reign, was held on 26 May. The Mollingtons made a renewal then, and also in January and August 1462. Thereafter renewals were again made yearly at the sessions held after the Nativity of St John the Baptist, with the exception of 1471 when no renewal was made - perhaps because of the disturbances at that time.

It should be noted that between 21 May 1448 and 2 August 1474 a parallel series of recognizances was made whereby Thomas Mere, esquire, agreed to keep the peace towards a group of people which included the Mollington brothers. ${ }^{1}$ These recognizances also exhibit the usual features of a long series of agreements. From 1448 to 1451, and from $1454^{\circ}$ to 1457 , renewals were made at approximately half-yearly intervals. As with the reciprocal series, no entries have been found for the years 1452 or 1453. Following the entry of 19 July 1457 there was an inexplicable gap until 17 July 1459. Then, after the period of disruption (1460-1), renewals were made at approximately yearly intervals

1 Chester $2 / 121$, rot. 5 (4); 2/122, rott. 1 (8), 5 (6); 2/123, rott. 4 (6), 4d. (2) ; 2/126, rott. 2 (1), 9 (1); 2/127, rott. 2d. (8), 7 (2); 2/129, rott. 1d. (3), 8 (6); 2/130, rott. ld. (4), 5d. (5); 2/132, rot. 16d. (2); 2/134, rott. 9d. (5), 12d. (4l; 2/135, rot. 4d. (2); 2/136, rot. ld. (4); 2/137, rot. ld. (2); 2/140, rot. 7 (1); 2/141, rot. 9 (3); 2/142, rot. 5 (4); 2/144, rot. 9d. (1); $2 / 145$, rot. 5d. (2); and $2 / 146$, rot. 9 (6).
until 1464. It seems that the series was temporarily suspended then, for there are no entries for 1465, 1466 or 1467. In August 1468 the agreements recommenced and continued to be renewed at yearly intervals (except in 1471) until 2 August 1474.

In the series of recognizances which Mere made in favour of the Mollington brothers and others, he was supported by fifty-one individuals, twenty-two of whom acted as sureties more than once. It is interesting to note that thirteen men were prepared to act as sureties both for Thomas Mere and for the Mollington brothers. This was a fairly common feature of reciprocal recognizance agreements.

It is not known how these series of recognizances ended. In fact, no mention is made of the Mollington brothers in the palatinate records after 2 August 1474; they may have died soon after that date.

## $\underline{5}$

Reference Chester $2 / 136$, rot. 9 (5).
Subject Charles Arderne.
Sureties • Robert Ashton, John Domville, John Boydell, Randal Mainwaring.

Purpose Charles Arderne agreed to keep the peace towards John Parr, senior, and to appear at the next County Court to he held after the feast of St John the Baptist [30/7/65]. Arderne and his sureties were bound together in the sum of $£ 100$.

Evidence survives of six recognizances, dated between 1 September 1461 and 4 August 1466, whereby Charles Arderne agreed to keep the peace
towards John Parr senior. ${ }^{1}$ In each case the total bonding sum was $£ 100$. Arderne was always supported by four sureties. A total of nineteen men acted as sureties, and five of them supported Arderne more than once.

The first recognizance should have been renewed in August 1462. There is no record of a renewal; the relevant entry may have been lost from the Enrolments. The next agreement was made on 11 January 1463, and the remaining four were made yearly, at the Court session which followed the feast of the Nativity of St John the Baptist. It is likely that Arderne appeared at the session held on 4 August 1467 and was delivered sine.die. There is evidence that he attended that Court, ${ }^{2}$ and yet there is no indication that his recognizance in favour of Parr was renewed.

## $\underline{6}$

Reference

Subject Richard Pearson.
Sureties John Masterson, William Hassall, John Cheswys, Richard Hankelow.

Purpóse Richard Pearson agreed to keep the peace towards Nicholas Kefex and to appear at the next County Court to be held after Michaelmas [18/12/64]. Pearson and his sureties were bound together in the sum of $£ 40$.

Evidence survives of four recognizances, dated between 20 December 1463 and 5 March 1465, whereby Richard Pearson agreed to keep the peace

1 Chester 2/134, rot. 7d. (3); 2/135, rott. 3 (4), 7d. (5); 2/136, rot. 9 (5); 2/137, rot. 8d. (2); and $2 / 138$, rot. 7 (5).

2 Chester 2/139, rot. 8 (5). Arderne was a surety in a recognizance made by Hugh Mollington.
towards Nicholas Kefex. ${ }^{1}$. In each case the total bonding sum was $E 40$. Pearson was always supported by four sureties. In total seven men acted as sureties, and five of them supported him more than once. Two men, John Cheswys and Richard Hankelow, supported Pearson in all four recognizances. Pearson was supported by the same sureties in the recognizances made on 18 December 1464 and 5 March 1465.

The recognizances in this short series were renewed twice a year. No evidence concerning Pearson or Kefex has been found after 5 March 1465. Pearson may have been delivered sine die on 10 December 1465, at the Court session to which he had been bonded to appear. Alternatively, the agreements may have been brought to an end by the death of one of the parties.

Reference Chester 2/136, rot. 9d. (1).

Subject Nicholas Farrington.
Sureties Randal Mainwaring, John Massey of Sale, William Ree,

Purpose . Nicholas Farrington agreed to keep the peace towards Elizabeth Bostock and to appear at the next County Court to be held after Michaelmas [18/12/64]. Farrington and his sureties were bound together in the sum of $£ 40$.

Evidence survives of seven recognizances; dated between 30 August
1463 and 15 December 1467, whereby Nicholas Farrington agreed to keep the

1 Chester 2/136, rott. 2 (4), 9 (6); and 2/137, rott. 3d. (4), 4 (3).
peace towards Elizabeth Bostock. ${ }^{1}$ In each case the total bonding sum was £40. Farrington was supported by four sureties in each recognizance. A total of eighteen men acted as sureties, and seven of them supported him more than once.

The first three recognizances in this series were made at halfyearly intervals. Thereafter renewals were made yearly, at the County Court session held after the feast of the Nativity of St John the Baptist.

Between 23 December 1461 and 7 January 1466, a parallel series of eight recognizances was made whereby Elizabeth Bostock agreed to keep the peace towards Nicholas Farrington. ${ }^{2}$ In each case the total bonding sum was $£ 40$. Renewals were made at half-yearly intervals. Elizabeth Bostock was supported by twenty-four men, six of whom acted as sureties more than once. It should be noted that three men acted as sureties both for Bostock and Farrington.

## 8

Reference Chester 2/136, rot. 9d. (2).
Subject John Massey of Sale.

Sureties • Randal Mainwaringe John Golborne, Randal Wettenhall, Sir John Bromley.

Purpose $\because \quad J o h n ~ M a s s e y ~ o f ~ S a l e ~ a g r e e d ~ t o ~ k e e p ~ t h e ~ p e a c e ~ t o w a r d s ~$ Reginald Legh and to appear at the next County Court to. be held after Christmas [8/1/65]. Massey and his sureties were bound together in the sum.of $£ 200$.

1 Chester 2/135, rot. 8d. (3); 2/136, rott. 2d. (3), 9d. (1); 2/137, rot. 3d. (5); 2/138, rot. 2 (2); 2/139, rot. 1d. (1); and $2 / 140$, rot. ld. (1).

Evidence survives of six recognizances, dated between 30 August 1463 and 2 September 1466, whereby John Massey agreed to keep the peace towards Reginald Legh. In each case the total bonding sum was $£ 200$. In four of the agreements Massey had four sureties, in one he had three, and in another he had five. A total of seventeen men acted as sureties, and four of them supported Massey on more than one occasion.

The first five recognizances in this series were made at halfyearly intervals. Then there was a gap of fifteen months before the final agreement. It is probable that at least one recognizance made during this period is now lost from the Enrolments. Part of the fifth recognizance is damaged and the date of the County: Court session to which Massey was to appear is obliterated.

References to both Massey and Legh are found in the records after 2 September $1466 .^{2}$ Therefore, the ending of the recognizance series must have been in compliance with Legh's wishes. Massey was presumably delivered sine die on 4 August 1467, at the Court session to which he had been bonded to appear.

## 9

Reference Chester $2 / 136$, rot. 9d. (3).
Subject Edward Clerk of Mobberley.

1 Chester 2/135, rot. 8d. (4); 2/136, rott. 2d. (4), 9d. (2); 2/137, rott. $2 \mathrm{~d} .(5), 7$ (3); and $2 / 138$, rot. 8d. (5).

2 John Massey is last recorded as a sworn member of the grand jury which sat at the session of 11 March 147.7 (Chester $24 / 52$, sess. Tues. in 3rd wk. of Lent, 17 Edw. IV). Reginald Legh was a sworn member of a civil jury which sat at the session of 7 March 1469 (Chester 24/49, sess. Tues. in 3rd wk. of Lent, 9 Edw. IV).

Sureties :" Randal Mainwaring, Richard Clive, John Golborne, Ralph Gresty.

Purpose Edward Clerk of Mobberley agreed to keep the peace towards Peter Warburton and John Leicester and to appear at the next County Court to be held after Christmas [8/1/65]. Clerk and his sureties were bound together in the sum of $£ 40$.

Evidence survives of four recognizances, dated between 19 April
1463 and 8 January 1465, which Edward Clerk made in favour of Peter Warburton and John Leicester. ${ }^{1}$ In each case the total bonding sum was $£ 40$. In three of the agreements Clerk' had four sureties, and in one he had five. A total of seventeen men acted as sureties, and two of them supported him on more than one occasion.

The recognizances in this short series were renewed at half-yearly intervals. Clerk should have appeared at the County Court held on 30 July 1465, in response to the recognizance made on 8 January 1465. In fact, no evidence concerning Clerk survives after January 1465.

## 10

Reference . Chester 2/136, rot. 9d. (4).
Subjects Ralph Weaver, John Weaver.

Sureties | John Dutton of Hatton, Peter Dutton, esquire, |
| :--- |
| William Venables of Kinderton, esquire. |

Purpose $\quad$| Ralph and John Weaver agreed to keep the peace |
| :--- |
| towards John Carlisle, chaplain, Elizabeth Carlisle |
| and Henry Tyldesley and to appear at the next County |
| Court to be held after Michaelmas [18/12/64]. |
| Ralph and John Weaver and their sureties were bound |
| together in the sum of $£ 40$. |

[^1]Evidence survives of five recognizances to keep the peace which were made by Ralph and John Weaver between 7 August 1464 and 15 December 1467. ${ }^{1}$ The first and the final recognizances.in the series were made in favour of John Carlisle, Chaplain, Elizabeth Carlisle and Henry Tyldesley. The other three agreements were made in favour of John Carlisle only. In each case the total bonding sum was $£ 40$. In the first recognizance the Weaver brothers had three sureties; and in the remaining agreements they had four sureties. A total of thirteen men supported them, three of whom acted as sureties more than once.

It appears that, after the recognizance of 7 August 1464, it was intended that renewals should take place yearly in December. There is one interruption in this pattern. By the recognizance of 18 December 1464 Ralph and John Weaver agreed to appear at the next Court session to be held after Michaelmas. This session met on 10 December 1465. There is no evidence that Ralph and John Weaver appeared at that session, and the next recognizance which they made was dated 15 April 1466. The remaining two renewals took place in December 1466 and December 1467. The final agreement was to have been renewed at the Court of December 1468. In fact; no evidence concerning the Weaver brothers survives after 15 December 1467.

1 Chester $2 / 136$, rot. 9d. (4); 2/137, rot. 2 (1); 2/138, rot. 4d. (1); 2/139, rot. 2 (3); and $2 / 140$, rot. ld. (5).

## MAINPRIZES - 7 AUGUST 1464

## 1

| Reference | Chester 24/46, sess, Tues. b. St Laurence, 4 Edw. IV and Chester $26 / 19$, rot. 12 (41. |
| :---: | :---: |
| , Subject | John Shene. |
| Sureties | Sir John Done, Peter Dutton of Hatton, esquire, Roger Savage, William Savage. |
| Purpose | John Shene agreed to keep the peace towards William Haughton, junior, and to appear at the next County Court to be held after Michaelmas [18/12/64]. Shene was bound in the sum of $£ 20$ and his sureties each in the sum of $£ 10$. |

Evidence survives of four mainprizes to keep the peace which John Shene made in favour of William Haughton, junior, between 19 April 1463 and 7 August 1464. ${ }^{1}$ Shene was supported by four sureties in each mainprize. A total of thirteen men acted as sureties, two of whom supported Shene more than once.

As was common in a series of mainprizes, the bonding sums varied. In the first mainprize Shene was bound in the sum of 40 marks and his sureties were each bound in the sum of 20 marks. In the other three agreements the bonding sums were the same on each occasion: Shene was bound in the sum of $£ 20$ and his sureties each in the sum of $E 10$.

The period of time between the renewals in this series of mainprizes varied. Again this was quite common.

William Haughton, junior, made two mainprizes to keep the peace towards John Shene, on 2 August 1463 and 29 May 1464. ${ }^{1}$ Agreements by Shene in favour of Haughton were also made on these dates. Eight different sureties supported Haughton in his mainprizes. Only one surety, Richard Clive, also supported Sheen.

Both Shene and Haughton were mainprized to appear at the County Court held on 18 December 1465. It is probable that they did so, and that it was then decided that no more mainprize agreements were needed.

## 2

| Reference | Chester $24 / 46$, sess. Tues. b. St Laurence, 4 Edw. IV, and Chester $26 / 19$, rot. 12 (5). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Subject | Randal Wettenhall. |
| Sureties | John Massey of Sale, Stephen Dodd, Peter Minshull, Thomas Vaudrey, Thomas Bulkeley of Broxton. |
| Purpose | Randal Wettenhall agreed to keep the peace towards |
|  | Thomas Creswall and to appear at the next County Court to be held after the Nativity of St John the |
|  | Baptist $[30 / 7 / 65]$. Wettenhall was bound in the sum of 20 marks and his sureties each in the sum of |
| - | 10 marks. |

Evidence survives of thirteen mainprizes to keep the peace.which
Randal Wettenhall made in favour of Thomas Creswall between 11 December 1453 and 7 August 1464. ${ }^{2}$ The bonding sums varied within this series of mainprizes and, moreover, Wettenhall was sometimes bound separately from,

1 Chester 26/19, rott. 10 (7), 11d. (7).
2 Chester 26/17, rott. 7 (3), 9d. (5), 13d. (1), 20 (3): 26/18, rott. 3d. (2), 9d. (1), 15 (3), 22d. (3); and 26/19, rott. 2 (2), 4d. (5), 9d. (2), 10 (2), 12 (5).
and sometimes together with, his sureties. In all but the last mainprize in the series, Wettenhall was supported by four sureties. A total of thirty-five men acted as sureties, and two of them supported him more than once.

In certain respects this is a rather untypical mainprize series. It extends over a much longer period than usual, and most of the renewals were made at regular intervals. With the exception of an entry dated 30 April 1454, mainprizes were made yearly from 11 December 1453 until 11 December 1459. By the agreement of December 1459 Wettenhall agreed to appear at the next County Court to be held after michaelmas. In fact, after 10 June 1460, no session was held until 26 May 1461, because of the political crisis. Thus, the mainprize was renewed at what was indeed the first session held after Michaelmas 1460, and the first session held in Edward IV's reign, that is on 26 May 1461. The next renewal was made on 27 April 1462 and was, in its turn, to be renewed after the following. Easter. However, Wettenhall apparently did not appear then, but at the following session held on 7 June 1463. There he was bonded to appear at the next session. This demand that he should make appearance at the following session may have been a result of his failure, to appear in April 1463. It was probably necessary for him to re-establish his credibility. Finally, there was again a year's interval between the last two mainprizes in the series. There is no evidence that further mainprizes were made by Wettenhall in favour of Creswall after 7 August 1464, and it may be assumed that Wettenhall was released from further obligations on 30 July 1465.

| Reference | Chester $24 / 46$, sess. Tues. b. St Laurence, 4 Edw. IV and Chester $26 / 19$, rot. 12d. (1). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Subject | Hugh Hine. |
| Sureties | Thomas Poole, junior, Randal Mainwaring, Thomas Smethwick, John Leech. |
| Purpose | Hugh Hine agreed to keep the peace towards Margery Walker and to appear at the next County Court to be held after the Feast of St John the Baptist [30/7/65]. Hine was bound in the sum of $£ 20$ and his sureties each in the sum of $£ 10$. |

Evidence survives of eight mainprizes to keep the peace which Hugh Hine made in favour of Margery Walker between 15 June 1462 and 4 August 1467. ${ }^{1}$ Hine made the first agreement jointly with Geoffrey Hey. In the first mainprize Hine, Hey and their sureties were bound together in the sum of $£ 40$. In the second mainprize Hine and his sureties were again bound in the sum of $£ 40$. In the remaining mainprizes Hine was bound in the sum of $£ 20$ and his sureties each in the sum of $£ 10$. Hine was supported by four sureties in each mainprize. A total of twenty-one men supported him, and seven of them acted as sureties more than once.

After the first three mainprizes, renewals were made at yearly intervals. Such regularity was unusual in a mainprize series.

No references to Hine or Walker can be found in the palatinate records after 4 August 1467. Hine may have been dellyered sine die on 2 August 1468, at the Court session to which he had been bonded to appear.

1 Chester 26/19, rott. 5 (4), 6 (6), 7d. (6), 10 (3), 12d. (1); 24/47, sess. Tues. after St James, 5 Edw. IV; 24/47, sess. Tues. after St Peter ad vincula, 6 Edw. IV; and $24 / 48$, sess. Tues. after St Peter ad vincula, 7 Edw. IV.

Alternatively, the death of either party would have brought the agreements to an end.

## 4

| Reference | Chester 24/46, sess. Tues. b. St Laurence, 4 Edw and Chester 26/19, rot. 12d. (21. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Subject | Roger Garnet. |
| Sureties | John Dutton of Hatton, Peter Dutton of Hatton, esquire, John Golborne, Thomas Huxley. |
| Purpose | Roger Garnet agreed to keep the peace towards Robert Bromley and to appear at the next County Court to be held after Christmas [8/1/65]. Garnet was bound in the sum of $£ 20$ and his sureties each in the sum of $£ 10$. |

Evidence survives of ten mainprizes to keep the peace which Roger Garnet made in favour of Robert Bromley between 24 August 1462 and 8 January 1465. ${ }^{1}$.. The bonding sums varied throughout this series. on all but one occasion Garnet was treated separately, but the sum in which he was bound varied between $£ 20$ and 40 marks. Similarly, the sums in which the sureties were bound varied between 40 marks (jointly with Garnet), £lO each and 20 marks each. Garnet was supported by four sureties in each mainprize. A total of twenty-two men acted as sureties for him, and seven of them did so on more than one occasion.

As was usual in mainprize series, there is no discernible pattern in the intervals between the renewals in this series. The last three mainprizes were made at consecutive County Court sessions. It may be

1 Chester 24/44, sess. Tues. on fst. of St Bartholomew, 2 Edw. IV; 26/19, rott. 6 (5), 7 (2), 8d. (3), 10 (1), 10d. (6), 11d. (2), 12 (3), 12d. (2).; and 24/46, sess. Tues. after Epiphany, 4 Edw. IV.
conjectured that the difficulties between Garnet and Bromley were particularly acute at that time and that Bromley was anxious to secure Garnet's frequent appearance at the Court.

No references to. Bromley or Garnet can be found in the palatinate records after 8 January 1465. Garnet may have been delivered sine die on 30 July 1465, at the Court session to which he had been bonded to appear. Alternatively, the death of either party would have brought the mainprize series to an end.

## 5

| Reference | Chester 24/46, sess. Tues. b. St Laurence, 4 Edw and Chester 26/19, rot. 12d. (3). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Subject | John Beresford. |
| Sureties | Peter Dutton of Hatton, esquire, David Dodd of Edge, William Whitney, Laurence Roper. |
| Purpose | John Beresford agreed to keep the peace towards John Carter and to appear at the next County Court to be held after the feast of the Nativity of St John the Baptist [30/7/65]. Beresford was bound in the sum of $£ 20$ and his sureties each in the sum of 20 marks. |

Evidence survives of ten mainprizes to keep the peace which John Beresford made in favour of John Carter between 14 December 1462 and 12 January 1468. ${ }^{1}$ Notice should also be taken of an appearance mainprize

1
Chester 26/19, rott. 7 (1), 8 (6), 9 (5), 11 (2), 12d. (3); 24/47, sess. Tues. after St James, 5 Edw. IV; 24/47, sess. Tues. after close of Easter, 6 Edw. IV; 24/48, sess. Tues. after close of Easter, 7 Edw. IV; 24/48, sess. Tues. after St Peter ad vincula, 7 Edw. IV; and 24/48, sess. Tues. after Epiphany, 7 Edw. IV.
which Beresford made on 5 October 1462. By it Beresford, who had been 'taken under suspicion of felony', was bound to appear at the next County Court, which was held on 14 December 1462. It may be conjectured, therefore, that the felonious act with which Beresford was associated had been at the expense of Carter. ${ }^{2}$. After the peace mainprize made at the session of 11 January 1463, no further mention was made of this suspected felony. It would appear that there was no criminal prosecution. However, the series of mainprizes which bound Beresford to keep the peace towards Carter continued. Perhaps it was decided that this was the best form of restraint which could be imposed upon Beresford.

The bonding sums varied throughout the series of peace mainprizes. In all but one of them, Beresford was bound in a separate sum from his sureties: this was either $£ 20,20$ marks or 40 marks. The sums in which the sureties were bound varied considerably. On the occasion when the sureties and the subject were bound together, the figure was 40 marks. In the other mainprizes the figures were either $£ 10,20$ marks, 40 marks or £40. Beresford had four sureties in each of the ten peace mainprizes. A total of thirty men supported him, and eight of them acted as sureties more than once.

The intervals between renewals varied and there is no discernible pattern. It is interesting to note how the series ended. The last entry was dated 12 January 1468. By it Beresford agreed to keep the peace towards Carter and to appear at the next County Court to be held after Easter. Above this entry, in a different hand, the words

1 Chester 26/19, rot. 6 (4).
2 The palatinate records do not provide any further information about Beresford"s actions. Details would be:.found only if he had been indicted.
deliberatur per proclamationem were written. It appears, therefore, that Beresford attended the Court held on 26 April 1468, and that it was then decided that the series of bonds to keep the peace towards Carter should be ended. Perhaps Carter felt that Beresford was no longer a threat and he was prepared to release him from the need to make further bonds. It is not known exactly what per proclamationem meant. Perhaps the names of those subjects who were to be relieved of their bonds were announced publicly at the Court session.

## $\underline{6}$

Reference Chester 24/46, sess: Tues. b. St Laurence, 4 Edw. IV; and Chester 26/19, rot. 12d. (4).

Subject Geoffrey Hey.
Sureties Richard Osbaldeston, Thomas Kinsey, Thomas Smethwick, John Eaton.

Purpose Geoffrey Hey agreed to keep the peace towards Margery Walker and to appear at the next County Court to be held after the Nativity of St John the Baptist [30/7/65]. Hey was bound in the sum of $£ 20$ and his sureties each in the sum of $£ 10$.

Evidence survives of six mainprizes to keep the peace which Geoffrey Hey made in favour of Margery Walker between 15 June 1462 and 7 August 1464. ${ }^{\text {. }}$. Hey made the first agreement jointly with Hugh Hine. The bonding sums were more consistent than was usual in a mainprize series. on 15 June 1462 Hey and Hine and their sureties were jointly bound in the sum of £40.. On the other five occasions Hey was bound separately in the sum of £20, and his sureties each in the sum of $£ 10$. Hey was supported by four
sureties in each mainprize. A total of fifteen men acted as sureties for him, and three of them did so on more than one occasion.

Hey renewed each mainprize in compliance with the conditions expressed in the preceding agreement. The intervals between the mainprizes form no discernible pattern. It may be assumed that Hey appeared at the Court on 30 July 1465 and was delivered sine die.

It should be remembered that during the period 1462-7 Hugh Hine also made a separate series of peace mainprizes in favour of Margaret Walker. ${ }^{1}$

7

Reference Chester 24/46, sess. Tues. b. St Laurence, 4 Edw. IV. Subject William Hockenhull.

Sureties John Massey of Sale, Randal Wettenhall.
Purpose William Hockenhull, who was described in the mainprize as indicatus feloniae, agreed to appear at the next County Court to be held after Michaelmas [18/12/64]: The sub pena clause was corpus pro corpore.

William Hockenhull is known to have made five appearance mainprizes between 7 June 1463 and 7 August 1464. ${ }^{2}$. In each case he was described as indicatus feloniae. This meant that he was awaiting trial, and in such circumstances the role of the mainprize was clearly similar to that of the modern bail system. He was bound to appear at various County

1 See above, pp. 506-7.
2 . Chester 26/19, rott. 9d. (1), 10 (4), 11 (3), 11d. (4); and 24/46, sess. Tues. b. St Laurence, 4 Edw. IV.

Court sessions in order to ensure that he did not abscond. In this; as in many other such series of appearance mainprizes, the sub pena clause was corpus pro corpora. This placed a heavy responsibility upon the sureties,-for it meant that if Hockenhull did not appear at the Court on the appointed dates they would have to take his place and stand trial for felony. Hockenhull was supported by two sureties in each mainprize. A total of eight men supported him, and two of them acted as sureties on two occasions.

Hockenhull appeared at the various County Courts in accordance with the terms of each mainprize. It is not known what happened to Hockenhull after his appearance at the session of 7 August 1464. He is not known to have appeared at the session of 18 December 1464, and there is also no record of his trial. The relevant records may have been lost. Alternatively, the charge against Hockenhull may have been withdrawn.

## 8

Reference Chester. 24/46, sess. Tues. b. St Laurence, 7 Edw. IV. Subject John Cheyne.

Sureties Richard Clive, Randal Mainwaring, Thomas Masterson, John Cheswys.

Purpose John Cheyne agreed to keep the peace towards Joan Cardiff and to appear at the next County Court to be held after the Nativity of St. John the Baptist [30/7/65]. Cheyne was bound in the sum of 40 marks and his sureties each in the sum of 20 marks.

Evidence survives of six mainprizes to keep the peace which John Cheyne made in favour of Joan Cardiff between 11 January 1463 and

5 August 1466. ${ }^{1}$ The sums of money in which the subject and his sureties were bound varied. Cheyne was always bound separately. In the first four mainprizes in the series he was bound in the sum of 40 marks, and in the final two mainprizes the sum was $£ 20$. Similarly, in the first four mainprizes the sureties were each bound in the sum of 20 marks, and in the final two mainprizes the sum was $£ 10$ each.

Cheyne was supported by four sureties in each mainprize. A total of fifteen men supported him, and seven of them acted as sureties on more than one occasion.

It is interesting to note that in this series of mainprizes the intervals between the renewals do form a discernible pattern. After the first two entries, renewals were made yearly, at the County Court session held after the feast of $S t$ John the Baptist. By the mainprize of 5.August 1466. Cheyne agreed to appear at the Court on 4 August 1467. It may be assumed, that he did so, and that he was delivered sine die.

Reference Chester 24/46, sess. Tues. b. St Laurence, 4 Edw. IV. Subject David ap Ll' of Dodleston.

Sureties Edward Massey, clerk, Hugh Dutton of Dutton,
Purpose David ap Ll', who was described in the mainprize as indicatus feloniae, agreed to appear at the next County Court [4/9/64]. The sub pena clause was corpus pro corpore.

1 Chester 26/19, rott. 8 (2), 9 (4), lod. (1); 24/46, sess. Tues. b. St Laurence, 4 Edw. IV; 24/47, sess, Tues. after St James, 5 Edw. IV; and 24/47, sess. Tues. after St Peter ad vincula, 6 Edw. IV.

David ap Ll' of Dodleston is known to have made four mainprizes between 11 January 1463 and 4 September $1464 .^{1}$ In each case he was described as indicatus feloniae. Like the series of mainprizes of which William Hockenhull was the subject, ${ }^{2}$ this series illustrates the system of using mainprizes to ensure a person's frequent attendance at the County Court prior to his trial. The series also shows what happened when a mainprize agreement was broken. The series will be considered in some detail.

David ap $\mathrm{Il}^{\prime}$ was indicted for felony at the County Court of 5 October 1462.3 As no mainprizes were made by him before 11 January 1463, it is probable that he had not been apprehended at the time that the indictment was made.

By the:mainprize of 11 January 1463 David ap LI' agreed to keep the peace generally and to appear at the next County Court. He and his four sureties were bound together in the sum of 80 marks. At the next Court session, on 19 April 1463, David ap LI', who was again supported by four sureties and bound with them in the sum of 80 marks, renewed the mainprize. He agreed to appear at the next County Court to be held after the feast of St John the Baptist. In fact, David ap Li' failed to appear at the Court on that date (2 August 1463). This is known from additions which were made to the Mainprize Roll entry of 19 April 1463. A marginal note in a different hand from the main entry reads: Fecit defaltam, ideo faciat scire facias. There is also a postea appended to the entry and

```
1 Chester 26/19, rot. 8d. (1), (4); 24/46, sess. Tues. b.
    St Laurence, 4 Edw. IV; and 24/46, sess. Tues. b. Nativity of
    B.V.M., 4 Edw. IV.
    See above, pp. 5l1-12.
    Chester 25/15, rot. 3.
```

it reads:

Ad quem comitatum, scilicet diem Martis proximo post festum Sancti Petri quod dicitur ad vincula anno supradicto regis predicti, predictus David, licet solempniter exactus, non venit set defaltam fecit contra formam manucaptionis predicto, etc.

When David ap Ll' failed to appear at the County Court on 2 August 1463, a writ of scire facias was issued against him and his sureties. This was recorded in the Sessional File of 10 January 1464, when the writ was returned into the court. ${ }^{1}$ It had been issued during the previous session on 21 December 1463. David ap Ll' and his sureties were being prosecuted for the 80 marks specified as the forfeiture for breaking the bond. Nothing further about this case has been found in the Sessional Files. This is not surprising, as actions of debt were notoriously slow and complicated at this time, and often they did not proceed to trial. It is possible that David and his sureties simply agreed to forfeit the 80 marks.

Whatever the outcome of the scire facias process may have been, the interesting point about this matter is that the mainprize series was resumed on 7 August 1464. However, the terms changed, David ap LI' agreed to appear at the next County Court. He had only two sureties, and the sub pena clause was corpus pro corpore. Thus, the terms of this agreement were far stricter than those of 11 January 1463 and 19 April 1463.

David ap LI' observed the agreement and appeared at the County Court on 4 September 1464. The mainprize was then renewed with the same sub pena clause. He was to appear at the next County Court to be held after: Michaelmas. In fact, David ap Ll' was tried and acquitted on

[^2]```
25 September 1464, before the renewed mainprize expired.l No further
mainprizes were required.
```


## 10

Reference Chester 24/46, sess. Tues. b, St Laurence, 4 Edw. IV.

Subject Thomas Lilly.
Sureties John Ward of Grafton, Owen Dodd, William Dodd of Broxton, Thomas Bulkeley of Bickerton,

Purpose Thomas Lilly agreed to appear at the next County Court [4/9/64] to answer John Bruen of Bridgnorth concerning a plea of debt. The sub pena clause was corpus pro corpore.

Thomas Lilly made three appearance mainprizes at consecutive sessions of the County Court, on 7 August, 4 September and 25 September 1464.2. In each case Lilly was required to attend the court to answer a plea of debt by Bruen. Presumably Lilly was being mainprized to attend each Court session until the case was tried. His sureties, who differed on each.occasion, were always bound corpus pro corpore. They could, therefore, be compelled to take Lilly's place if he failed to appear.

No details of the civil action between Lilly and Bruen have been found in the Sessional Files or on the Plea Rolls. However, the fact that there were no further mainprizes after 25 September 1464 indicates that the action was either discontinued or settled, and Lilly's attendance at the Court was no longer required.

1 Chester 24/46, sess. Tues. after St Matthew, 4 Edw. IV.
2 Chester 24/46, sess. Tues. b. St Laurence, 4 Edw. IV; 24/46, sess. Tues. b. Nativity of B.V.M., 4 Edw. IV; and 24/46, sess. Tues. after St Matthew, 4 Edw. IV.


During the period 7 March 1458 to 23 September 1466 John Fichet was the subject of sixteen mainprizes. ${ }^{1}$. They formed one series: renewals were made in accordance with the renewal date specified in the preceding entry. Whilst in eight of the mainprizes Fichet was bound to keep the peace generally, he made the other agreements in favour of certain individuals - Adam Bostock, Thomas Bulkeley of Eaton, Thomas Swettenham of Hulfield and Margaret Walker. . Mainprizes were made in favour of these people both individually and collectively. The sub pena clauses varled throughout this series of mainprizes. On the occasions When Fichet was treated separately from his sureties, he was bound in the sum of $£ 20$ or $£ 40$. On these occasions the sureties were each bound in the sum of $£ 10$ or $£ 20$. When Fichet and his sureties were bound together, the bonding sums were $£ 20,40$ marks or 80 marks. On one occasion the sub pena clause was corpus pro corpore. In all but the first mainprize

1 Chester 26/18, rott. 11 (4), 12d. (1), 13 (4), 17d. (6), 25 (1), 26 (1); 26/19, rott. 1 (2), 2d. (1), 3d. (1), 5d. (3), 10d. (2); 24/46, sess. Tues. b. St Laurence, 4 Edw. IV; 24/47, sess. Tues. after St James, 5 Edw. IV; 24/47, sess. Tues. after St Peter ad vincula, 6 Edw. IV; 24/47, sess. Tues. b. Nativity of B.V.M., 6 Edw. IV; and 24/47, sess. Tues. b. Michaelmas, 6 Edw. IV.
in the series, Fichet was supported by four sureties. In total nineteen men supported Fichet, and fifteen of them acted as sureties more than once.

It is evident that the mainprizes in this series were largely made in response to John Fichet's allegedly unlawful behaviour. He was indicted for felony on 10 January $1458,^{1}$ having been accused of stealing cattle belonging to Jevan ap Dicus ap David, at Minshuli. It is not known whether or not Fichet was in custody at the time. However, by 7 March 1458 he must have been apprehended because he was the subject of the first-mainprize in the series. He agreed to keep the peace generally and to appear at the next County Court. Fichet presented himself as required at the session held on 11 April 1458, and he made a mainprize to keep the peace towards Thomas Bulkeley. At the same Court he was tried for the felony and acquitted. ${ }^{2}$

On 30 May 1458 Fichet renewed the mainprize. This time the peace terms were general, and the sub pena clause was corpus pro corpore. However, Fichet was not required to renew the agreement until after Easter in 1459. This he did, on 3 April $1459{ }^{\circ}$ and the new terms were less stringent. The bond was monetary in form and renewal was not required for another year.

It appears that Fichet was unable to keep out of trouble for long, At the Court session held on 29 May 1459, he was once again indicted for felony, ${ }^{3}$ having been accused of taking $£ 100$ of goods and chattels belonging to John Page of Knutsford.

1 Chester 25/14, rot. 19.
2. ... Chester 29/163, rot. 32d.

3 Chester 25/14, rot. 23.

Meanwhile, the mainprizes by which Fichet was bound continued, although he was now stated to be indicted and this is presumably why he was required to attend the Court at frequent intervals. Thus, renewals were made at consecutive sessions held on 22 April and 10 June 1460, 26 May and 28 July 1461. Then, perhaps because Fichet had proved that he could be trusted to appear, the intervals between renewals were :..: increased. He next had to appear on 22 December 1461. Then, on 27 April 1462, he was tried on gaol delivery for the crime for which he had been indicted almost three years earlier, and he was acquitted. It is interesting that the mainprizes continued, but Fichet was thereafter required to keep the peace towards specified persons.

From 24 August 1462 Fichet was bound by mainprize to keep the peace towards various persons and to appear annually at the Court at the session held after the Nativity of St John the Baptist. All went according to plan for three years. At the session held on 30 July 1465, Fichet agreed to appear at the Court to be held after Christmas. He failed to do this, and only appeared on 5 August 1466. From then onwards he was trusted only from one session to the next. No more entries were recorded after 23 September 1466. As no reference to Fichet has been found after that date, it may well be that he died at about that time. It seems unlikely that such a prominent subject of mainprizes would suddenly eschew all "trouble.

A DETAILED STUDY OF THE COUNTY COURT WORK UNDERTAKEN BY THOSE WHO TOOK PART IN THE RECOGNIZANCES AND MAINPRIZES MADE AT THE SESSION OF 7 AUGUST 1464
(pp. 520-9)
(a) THE MEN WHO WERE THE SUBJECTS OF THE RECOGNIZANCES (p. 522)
(b) THE PEOPLE IN WHOSE FAVOUR THE RECOGNIZANCES WERE MADE (p. 523)
(c) THE MEN WHO ACTED AS SURETIES IN THE RECOGNIZANCES
(pp. 524-5)
(d) THE MEN WHO WERE THE SUBJECTS OF THE MAINPRIZES
(p. 526I
(e) THE PEOPLE IN WHOSE FAVOUR THE MAINPRIZES WERE MADE
(p. 527)
(f) THE MEN WHO ACTED AS SURETIES IN THE MAINPRIZES
(pp. 528-9)

These sets of Tables are referred to in the text (Chapter 5, pp. 335 ff.). In each case the names are listed in strict alphabetical order. Most of the people were active at the County Court sessions over a period of years. To avoid confusion, therefore, each person is described in the Tables in the same way as in the records for the session of 7 August 1464.

The names of George Bostock and Richard Priestland are given in square brackets in these Tables because they were not themselves involved in bonds made at the session of 7 August 1464. The two men had, in fact, died before that date. Their activities at the various County Court sessions are considered here because their widows both had recognizances made in their favour on 7 August 1464.

```
The symbols used in the headings are as follows:-
```

R - Subject of a recognizance.
r - Surety in a recognizance.
M - Subject of a mainprize.
m - - Surety in a mainprize.
G - Sworn member of a grand jury.
g. - Impanelled but not sworn on a grand jury.

D - Sworn member of a gaol delivery jury.
d - Impanelled but not sworn on a gaol delivery jury.

C - Sworn member of a civil jury which reached a verdict.

I - Sworn member of a jury which heard an inquisition post mortem.
$P$ - Sworn member of a jury which heard a proof of age.

F - Member of a final concord panel.

More detailed information concerning the mise collectors is set out above, pp. 445-51.

| NAMES OF MEN WHO WERE THE SUBJECTS | ACTIVIties at the county court sessions, 1442-85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Collectors of mises |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COURT OF 7 AUGUST 1464 | R | r | M | m | G | g | D | d | C | I | P | F | 1442-4 | 1463-5 | 1474-6 |
| ARDERNE, Charles | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| CLERK, Edward, of Mobberley | 4 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 9 | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| FARRINGTON, Nicholas | 7 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O |  |  |  |
| GOLbORNE, John | 34 | 58 | 0 | 31 | 24 | 10 | 19 | 29 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| MASSEY, John, of Sale | 8 | 23 | 3 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| MOLLINGTON, Hugh | 22 | 27 | 0 | 26 | $10^{-}$ | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | x | x |
| PEARSON, Richard | 4 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| REDDISH, Thomas | 17 | 19 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | x |  |
| SPURSTOW, Richard | 27 | 37 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | x | x |  |
| WEAVER, John | 5 | 4 | 0 | 9 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | o |  |  |  |
| WEAVER, Ralph | 5 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |


| NAMES OF PEOPLE IN WHOSE FAVOUR | ACTIVITIES AT THE COUNTY COURT SESSIONS, 1442-85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | COLLECTORS OF MISES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTY COURT OF 7 AUGUST 1464 | R ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | M | m | G | $g$ | D | d | C | I | P | F | 1442-4 | 1463-5 | 1474-6 |
| BERWICK, Hugh | 0 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| BOSTOCK, Elizabeth, widow of George | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 - | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| [BOSTOCK, George] | 0 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 4. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| CARLISLE, Elizabeth | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| CARLISLE, John | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| FILKIN, Philip | 5 | 95 | 4 | 46 | 56 | 9 | 35 | 30 | 20 | 4 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| KEFEX, Nicholas | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| LEGH, Reginald, of Mottram | 0 | 31 | 1 | 64 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | X |  |
| LEICESTER, John | 3 | 37 | 10 | 85 | . 20 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 |  | ; | X |
| MERE, Thomas, esq. | 36 | 18 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | X | X |
| PARR, John, sen. | 0 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 20 | 5 | 20 | 10 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| PRIESTLAND, Isabel, widow of Richard | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| [PRIESTLAND, Richard] | 2 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | X |  | X |
| TYLDESLEY, Henry | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| WARBURTON, Peter | 20 | 27 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |  |  |  |


| NAMES OF MEN Who ACtEd AS SURETIES | ACtivities at the county court sessions, 1442-85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | COLLECTORS OF MISES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COURT SESSION OF 7 AUGUST 1464 | R | $r$ | M | m | G | g | D | d | c | I | P | F | 1442-4 | 1463-5 | 1474-6 |
| ASHTON, Robert | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | x |  |
| BOYDELL, John | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| BROMLEY; John, kt. | 9 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 |  | x | x |
| BRUEN, John, of Tarvin | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | x |  |
| Bulkeley, Hugh, of Broxton | 0 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 27. | 5 | 29 | 24 | 26 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| bulkeley, Thomas, of Broxton | 2 | 14 | 0 | 21 | 17 | 7 | 20 | 14 | 26 | 2 | 0 | - |  |  |  |
| CHESWYS, John | 0 | 20 | 0 | 23 | 48 | 1 | 17 | 20 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| CLIVE, Richard | 0 | 135 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 2. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | x | x |  |
| COCKER, Hugh | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2. | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | x |  |
| DAVENPORT, Hugh, of Calveley, esq. | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | x |  |
| DOMVILLE, John | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | x | X |
| DUTTON, John, of Hatton | 10 | 53 | 2 | 69 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | x |  |
| dutton, Peter, of Hatton, esq. | 6 | 118 | 4 | 69 | 21 | 7 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |
| GLEAVE, Thomas, of Legh | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| GOLborne, John | 34 | 58 | 0 | 31 | 24 | 10 | 19. | 29 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |


| Names of men who acted as sureties | ACtivities at the county court sessions, 1442-85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | collectors of mises |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COURT SESSION OF 7 AU̇GuSt 1464 | R | $r$ | M | m | G | $g$ | D | d | c | I | P | F | 1442-4 | 1463-5 | 1474-6 |
| GRAFTON, John | 0 | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| GRESTY, Ralph | 0 | 1 | o | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| GRIMSDITCH, Randal | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | x |  |
| HANKELOW, Richard | 1 | 17 | 0 | 28 | 63 | 0 | 34 | 12 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| HASSALL, William | 2 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| HOLT, Edward, kt. | 4 | 23 | 5 | 32 | 26 | 4 | 42 | 24 | 39 | 7 | 1 | 0 |  | x | x |
| LEGH, Reginald, of Mottram | 0 | 31 | 1 | 64 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | - 0 | 0 | x | x |  |
| MAINWARING, Randal | 7 | 55 | 0 | 28 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | x | x |
| MASSEY, John, of Sale | 7 | 23 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| MASTERSON, John | 17 | 37 | 2 | 37 | 49 | 4 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| REE, William ! | 0 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 51 | 3 | 35 | 15 | 29 | 10 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |
| VAUDREY, Thomas | 0 | 6 | 9 | 4. | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| VENABLES, William, of Kinderton, esq. | 5 | 101 | 0 | 92 | 36 | 3 | 26 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  | x |  |
| Wettenhall, Randal | 7 | 46 | 23 | 28 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |


| names of men who were the subjects | ACtivities at the County Court sessions, 1442-85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | COLLECTORS OF-MISES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COURT OF 7 AUGUST 1464 | ${ }^{\text {R }}$ | $r$ | M | m | G | g | D | d | C | I | P | F | 1442-4 | 1463-5 | 1474-6 |
| BERESFORD, John | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | o | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| CHEYNE, John | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | o | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | o |  |  |  |
| FICHET, John | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| GARNET, Roger | 0 | 0 | 12 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| HEY, Geoffrey | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | - | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| HINE, Hugh | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| HOCKENHULL, William | 11 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| LILLY, Thomas | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| LLP, David ap, of Dodleston | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| SHENE, John | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| WETTENHALL, Randal | 7 | 46 | 23 | 28 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |


| Names of People in whose favour main- | activities at the county court sessions, 1442-85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | collectors of mises |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AT THE COUNTY COURT OF 7 AUGUST 1464 | R ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | r | M | m | G | g | D | d | C | I | P | F | 1442-4 | 1463-5 | 1474-6 |
| BOSTOCK, Adam, of Bostock | 3 | 27 | 0 | 23 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | x | x |  |
| BRAYNE, John, of Bridgnorth | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| BROMLEY, Robert | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| BULKELEY, Thomas, of Eaton | . 2 | 25 | 0 | 8 | 18 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | o |  |  | x |
| CARDIFF, Joan, widow of Richard* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | o |  |  |  |
| CARTER, John | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| CRESWALL, Thomas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| haughton, william, jun. | 0 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| SWETTENHAM, Thomas, of Hulfield | 1 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | x |  |
| WALKER, Margery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

${ }^{*}$ No references to Richard Cardiff have been found in the palatinate records for the years 1442-85.

| NAMES OF MEN WHO ACTED AS SURETIES | ACTIVITIES AT THE COUNTY COURT SESSIONS, 1442-85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | COLLECTORS OF MISES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COURT SESSION OF 7 AUGUST 1464 | R | $r$ | M | m | G | g | D | d | C | I | P | F | 1442-4 | 1463-5 | 1474-6 |
| BULKELEY, Thomas, of Bickerton | 2 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| BULKELEY, Thomas, of Broxton | 2 | 14 | 0 | 21 | 17 | 7 | 20 | 14 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| CHESWYS, John | 0 | 20 | 0 | 23 | 48 | 1 | 17 | 20 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  | : |  |
| CLIVE; Richard | 0 | 135 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | X | X |  |
| DODD, David, of Edge | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| DODD, Owen, of Broxton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 12 | 39 | 26 | 35 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| DODD, Stephen, of Cholmondeley | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| DODD, William, of Broxton | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 13 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  | X |
| DONE, John, of Utkinton, kt. | 8 | 47 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | X |  |
| DUTTON; Hugh, of Dutton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| DUTTON, John, of Hatton | 10 | 53 | 2 | 69 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | X |  |
| DUTTON, Peter, of Hatton, esq. | 6 | 118 | 4 | 69 | 21 | 7 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |
| EATON, John | 3 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| GOLBORNE, John | 34 | 58 | 0 | 31 | 24 | 10 | 19 | 29 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| HOPE, James | 1 | 35 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| HUXIEY, Thomas | 1 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 70 | 8 | 48 | 55 | 41 | 25 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |
| KINSEY, Thomas | 1 | 12 | 0 | 32 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 19 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | X |  |  |


| Names of men who acted as sureties | ACtivities at the county Court sessions, 1442-85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Collectors of mises |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COURT SESSION OF 7 AUGUST 1464 | R | r | M | m | G | g | D | d | C | I | P | F | 1442-4 | 1463-5 | 1474-6 |
| LEECH, John | $\bigcirc$ | 16 | 9 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | x | x |
| LEGH, Matthew | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 4 | 19 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| MAINWARING, Randal | 7 | 55 | $\bigcirc$ | 28 | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 9 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | x | x |
| MASSEY, Edward, clerk | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| MASSEY, John, of Sale | 7 | 23 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| MASTERSON, Thomas | 10 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 |  | x |  |
| MINSHULL, Peter | 10 | 59 | 3 | 57 | 34 | 7 | 20 | 22 | 6 | 11 | 0 | o |  | x | x |
| OSBALDESTON, Richard | 5 | 49 | 0 | 50 | 33 | 3 | 39 | 16 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| POOLE, Thomas, jun. | 4 | 63 | 0 | 49 | 20 | 1. | 17 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | x | x |
| ROPER, Laurence | 0 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | x | x |
| SAVAGE, Roger | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| SAVAGE, William | 0 | 1 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| SMETHWICK, Thomas | 1 | 20 | 0 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | o | x |  |  |
| WARD, John, of Grafton | 2 | 10 | 3 | 18 | 45 | 7 | 29 | 21 | 26 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| WETTENHALL, Randal | 7 | 46 | 23 | 28 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| WHITNEY, William | 0 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |


[^0]:    - The men whose names are underlined in red acted as final concord panellists at the County Court sessions at some time during the years 1442-82. (See above, pp. 438-40 where the final concord panellists are listed.) It is important to identify people accurately. For example, John Warren, esquire, is known to have acted as a final concord panellist during the years 1454-70 (above, p. 440). He died on 30 June 1474 (Chester 3/48/14 Edw. IV/6). His son and heir was also called John Warren, esquire, and it was this man who was appointed as a commissioner in Macclesfield hundred on 26 September 1481. His name is not underlined as he is not known to have acted as a final concord panellist in the

[^1]:    1 Chester 2/135, rot. 6 (6); 2/136, rott. 2d. (6), 9d. (3); and 2/137, rot. 2d. (2).

[^2]:    1 Chester 24/45, sess. Tues. after Epiphany, 3 Edw. IV.

