



Review Resistance Exercise and Creatine Supplementation on Fat Mass in Adults < 50 Years of Age: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Darren G. Candow ¹,*, Konstantinos Prokopidis ², Scott C. Forbes ³, Flavia Rusterholz ¹, Bill I. Campbell ⁴ and Sergej M. Ostojic ⁵

- ¹ Faculty of Kinesiology and Health Studies, University of Regina, Regina, SK S4S 0A2, Canada; frw167@uregina.ca
- ² Department of Musculoskeletal and Ageing Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK; k.prokopidis@liverpool.ac.uk
- ³ Department of Physical Education, Faculty of Education, Brandon University, Brandon, MB R7A 6A9, Canada; forbess@brandonu.ca
- ⁴ College of Education, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33620, USA
- ⁵ Department of Nutrition and Public Health, University of Agder, 4630 Kristiansand, Norway; sergej.ostojic@chess.edu.rs
- * Correspondence: darren.candow@uregina.ca; Tel.: +1-306-209-0280

Abstract: The combination of resistance exercise and creatine supplementation has been shown to decrease body fat percentage in adults ≥ 50 years of age. However, the effect on adults < 50 years of age is currently unknown. To address this limitation, we systematically reviewed the literature and performed several meta-analyses comparing studies that included resistance exercise and creatine supplementation to resistance exercise and placebo on fat mass and body fat percentage Twelve studies were included, involving 266 participants. Adults (<50 years of age) who supplemented with creatine and performed resistance exercise experienced a very small, yet significant reduction in body fat percentage (-1.19%, p = 0.006); however, no difference was found in absolute fat mass (-0.18 kg, p = 0.76). Collectively, in adults < 50 years of age, the combination of resistance exercise and creatine supplementation produces a very small reduction in body fat percentage without a corresponding decrease in absolute fat mass.

Keywords: body composition; ergogenic aids; adipose tissue; strength training

1. Introduction

There has been a significant increase in the prevalence of adiposity in young adults [1] which could lead to the development of adverse health conditions such as obesity, cardiovascular disease, and type 2 diabetes later in life [2,3]. From an overall health and longevity perspective, lifestyle interventions that help regulate fat mass are likely important for promoting a healthier metabolic phenotype over time [4,5].

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis involving over 800 healthy adults (\geq 19 years) showed that resistance exercise (\geq 4 times per week for up to 2 years) decreased fat mass by 0.55 kg (95% CI: -0.75 to -0.34; p < 0.0001) and body fat percentage by 1.46% (95% CI: -1.78 to -1.14; p < 0.0001) over time [6]. These small, yet beneficial changes, may be related to the stimulating effects of resistance exercise on the resting metabolic rate [7], excess post-oxygen consumption [8], and circulating levels of non-esterified fatty acids and by decreasing the respiratory quotient (indicating increased adipocyte lipolysis and/or intramuscular triglyceride oxidation) [9]. In addition to these small benefits of resistance exercise, there is some evidence that creatine supplementation may also lead to a reduction in body fat over time. We previously performed a meta-analysis showing that healthy older adults (n = 609; 19 studies; \geq 50 years) who supplemented with creatine (\geq 2 g/day) and



Citation: Candow, D.G.; Prokopidis, K.; Forbes, S.C.; Rusterholz, F.; Campbell, B.I.; Ostojic, S.M. Resistance Exercise and Creatine Supplementation on Fat Mass in Adults < 50 Years of Age: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients* **2023**, *15*, 4343. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu15204343

Academic Editor: David C. Nieman

Received: 19 September 2023 Revised: 3 October 2023 Accepted: 8 October 2023 Published: 12 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). performed resistance exercise (2–3 times/week for up to 1 year) experienced a significant reduction in body fat percentage (0.55%; CI: -1.08 to -0.03; p = 0.04), but no differences were observed in regard to fat mass (-0.50 kg; 95% CI: -1.15 to 0.15; p = 0.13) compared to resistance exercise alone [10]. However, the generalizability of these findings is limited because older adults have a high degree of variability in their responsiveness and adherence to resistance exercise and creatine supplementation [11].

Interestingly, in children (n = 9) suffering from cancer (acute lymphoblastic leukemia), creatine significantly reduced body fat percentage over time (p < 0.05) [12], whereas other studies revealed no effect [13–24]. A limitation of most individual studies is that it is typically difficult to obtain adequate statistical power to detect the small differences between creatine and placebo over time due to small sample sizes. Combining studies into a meta-analysis helps overcome this limitation by assessing a large cohort of individuals. However, the meta-analytic effects of resistance exercise and creatine supplementation in adults < 50 years of age are currently unknown. This is important to determine because a common belief held by many exercising individuals is that creatine supplementation may increase fat mass over time [25], which is likely a deterrent to supplement with creatine. Therefore, the purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to determine the effects of resistance exercise and creatine supplement with creatine. Therefore, the associate exercise and creatine supplementation vs. resistance exercise alone on measures of fat mass (i.e., absolute body fat mass and body fat percentage) in adults < 50 years of age, while accounting for several confounders, including creatine dose and duration, and health status.

2. Materials and Methods

The PRISMA (preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses) standards were followed to conduct this systematic review and meta-analysis [26] and the protocol was registered in the PROSPERO (International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews) database (CRD:42023416700).

2.1. Search Strategy

From the inception to April 2023, two separate reviewers (K.P. and F.R.) searched PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and the Cochrane library, using the following keywords: "creatine supplementation" OR "creatine" OR "creatine monohydrate" AND "body fat*" OR "body composition". The following inclusion criteria were used: (1) studies had to be randomized controlled trials (RCTs); (2) the mean age of participants was <50 years irrespective of health status; (3) the intervention group was receiving creatine monohydrate and resistance exercise, and the comparator group was receiving resistance exercise with placebo; (4) the evaluation of fat mass was performed via dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), bioelectrical impedance (BIA), hydrodensitometry, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), a computed tomography (CT) scan, or air displacement plethysmography (Bod Pod); (5) there was a minimum study duration of 4 weeks; and all of these were (6) irrespective of the language written. Studies were excluded if they: (1) were not RCTs; (2) provided only the abstract; (3) had subjects with any kind of dietary restrictions (i.e., vegans/vegetarians); or (4) were articles written by the same authors using identical populations that may have included data related to our outcomes of interest.

2.2. Data Extraction and Risk of Bias

Data was independently extracted by two investigators (K.P. and F.R.). The name of the first author, publication date, country of origin, study design, participant age, sex, and health status, sample size, outcomes assessed, dose and duration of creatine supplementation, fat mass assessment tool, and dietary intake assessment were among the information that was extracted. A third investigator (D.G.C.) settled disagreements between the authors. Version 2 of the Cochrane risk-of-bias 2 instrument for randomized trials (RoB2) was used to evaluate the quality of the included studies, and it was reviewed by two independent reviewers (K.P. and S.C.F.). The appraisal of the risk of bias using

the RoB2 tool included the assessment of the following domains of bias in RCTs: (1) the randomization process, (2) the deviations from intended interventions, (3) the missing outcome data, (4) the measurement of the outcome, and (5) the selection of the reported result. The study quality was categorized as either having a low risk of bias, considerable concerns, or a high risk of bias using the RoB2 tool rating system.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

The mean differences between groups were calculated by comparing changes in outcomes from baseline to follow-up, treating quantitative data as continuous measurements. Standardized mean differences were employed when measurement units were inconsistent (e.g., body fat percentage changes mixed with absolute body fat kilogram changes) and could not be changed to the units needed for the analyses. The inverse-variance approach and the random-effects model were used to determine statistical significance. Standard deviations and missing data for any changes between the baseline and follow-up outcome data were determined by deriving a correlation coefficient of 0.5, considering that a value of standard deviation change from baseline derived from an included study was not provided.

Utilizing the overlap of their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and expressing the results as a measurement of Cochran's Q (χ^2 test) and I², the statistical heterogeneity of the outcome measurements across the included studies was evaluated. Low heterogeneity was considered when the I² levels were <50%, moderate heterogeneity between 50% and 74.9%, and high heterogeneity \geq 75%. Subgroup analyses based on age (<40 years vs. 41–49 years), sex (males only vs. females only vs. mixed sexes), fat mass assessment tool (DXA vs. BIA vs. Hydrodensitometry vs. Bod Pod), body mass index (BMI) (<25 kg/m² vs. \geq 25 kg/m²), creatine monohydrate duration (<8 weeks vs. \geq 8 weeks) and dose (\leq 5 g/d vs. >5 g/d), and resistance exercise frequency (\leq 3x/week vs. >3x/week) were performed. Additionally, sensitivity analyses were performed to evaluate the robustness of the reported statistical results by discounting the effects of a lack of dietary intake assessment, participants with comorbidities, and studies with an increased risk of bias. The meta-analyses were synthesized using Cochrane's Review Manager (RevMan 5.4.1) software.

3. Results

3.1. Literature Search

In the initial literature search, 3028 publications were found. Of these, 486 duplicate publications were eliminated, leaving 2542 distinct publications, from which 2134 were deemed ineligible based on titles and abstracts, and another 376 publications were not retrieved due to irrelevant study designs and outcomes of interest. In total, 32 RCTs investigating the effects of creatine monohydrate on body fat in adults aged < 50 years were found. After further examination of the remaining publications, five of these used skinfold calipers for the measurement of body fat, four used creatine monohydrate in the absence of resistance training, three had a short-term treatment duration (<4 weeks), two used the Siri equation to quantify body fat, one had inadequate data, one used endurance training, one used high-intensity interval training, and three did not have a standardized resistance training protocol. Overall, 12 RCTs were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis (Figure 1), involving 266 participants (130 in the creatine monohydrate and resistance exercise group and 133 in the placebo and resistance exercise group). A detailed description of the included studies is depicted in Table 1.

First Author, Year	Population	Supplement Dose	Fat Mass Assessment Tool	Fat Mass Duration Assessment Tool		Baseline Measures	Follow-Up Results
Arciero et al. 2001 [13]	N = 30; healthy males (21 \pm 3 year)	CR: 20 g/day (5 g 4 \times daily) for 5 days and then 10 g/day (5 g 2 \times daily) for the remainder; PLA: same dosing as CR (dextrose)	DEXA	28 days	RT 3x/week; 2 sets of 10 at 70% 1RM and 1 set performed to failure.	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Becque et al. 2000 [14]	N = 23; healthy males with at least 1 y weight training experience (CR: n = 10; PLA: n = 13); age: 21.5 \pm 2.7 year	CR: 20 g/day (5 g 4 × daily) for 5 days and then 2 g/day for the remainder; PLA: same dosing as CR (sucrose)	Hydrodensitometry	6 weeks	RT 2x/week (arm flexor: preacher curl)	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Bemben et al. 2001 [27]	N = 25 NCAA Division 1 football athletes; (PLA: n = 8; CR: n = 9; control: n = 8); age: 18–22 year	CR: 20 g/day (4 equal doses) for 5 d followed by 5 g/day; PLA: same dose (sodium phosphate)	Hydrodensitometry	9 weeks	RT 4x/week split routine	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Ferguson and Syrotuik 2006 [16]	N = 26 healthy recreational strength-trained women; age: 18–35 year	CR: 0.3 g/kg/day for 7 days and then 0.03 g/kg/day for the remainder or PLA	DEXA	10 weeks	RT 4x/week split routine	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

 Table 1. Summary of study characteristics.

Table 1. Cont.

First Author, Fat Mass Baseline Follow-Up Population **Supplement Dose** Duration **RT** Protocol Year Assessment Tool Measures Results Intervention N = 33 male Δ %BF: -1.21 ± 1.12 Hoffman et al. strength power CR: 10.5 g/day or PLA: RT 4x/week split ΔLBM (kg): 1.74 \pm 1.72 DEXA 10 weeks 2006 [17] athletes; age: not Dextrose routine Control reported Δ %BF: 0.25 ± 1.53 Δ LBM (kg): -0.44 ± 1.62 Intervention Intervention N = 25 NCAA%BF: 17.0 + 6.8 %BF: 16.2 + 7.0 RT: 4x/week + Kreider et al. Division 1 football Fat Mass (kg): 16.2 ± 10.06 Fat Mass (kg): 15.94 ± 11.86 CR: 15.75 g/day or PLA DEXA agility/sprint 28 days 1998 [18] athletes; age: Control Control training 3x/week %BF: 18.0 ± 8.0 %BF: 17.3 ± 7.7 19.9 ± 0.3 year Fat Mass (kg): 17.25 ± 10.27 Fat Mass (kg): 16.67 ± 9.86 CR: 30 g/day for 2 weeks Intervention Intervention Kutz and followed by 15 g/day for N = 17 active males; RT: 2x/week %BF: 14.32 ± 4.58 %BF: 13.97 ± 4.00 Gunter 2003 Hydrodensitometry 4 weeks the remainder or PLA Control Control age: 22.9 \pm 4.9 year lower body only [20] (dextrose) %BF: 15.45 ± 9.35 %BF: 15.12 ± 9.83 N = 28Intervention Intervention resistance-trained Fat Mass (kg): 16.8 ± 3.4 Δ Fat Mass (kg): 0.68 \pm 0.81 Pakulak et al. males and females CR: 0.1 g/kg/day or PLA Air displacement RT: 5–6x/week FFM (kg): 59.1 ± 9.9 Δ FFM (kg): 1.28 ± 0.69 6 weeks 2022 [19] (CR: n = 7; PLA: (maltodextrin) plethysmography Control Control split routine Fat Mass (kg): 14.3 ± 7.7 Δ Fat Mass (kg): 1.48 \pm 1.18 n = 6; age: FFM (kg): 60.7 ± 13.8 Δ FFM (kg): 0.78 \pm 1.23 18-38 year Intervention Intervention Fat Mass (kg): 13.7 ± 6.4 Δ Fat Mass (kg): 0.3 \pm 1.8 CR: 20 g/day for 5 days Δ LBM (kg): 2.3 \pm 1.4 Sakkas et al. N = 40LBM (kg): 56.0 ± 7.3 followed by 4.8 g/day for DEXA 14 weeks RT: 3x/week 2009 [21] HIV-positive men Control Control the remainder or PLA Fat Mass (kg): 11.8 ± 4.8 Δ Fat Mass (kg): 0.4 \pm 2.0 LBM (kg): 57.4 ± 6.6 Δ LBM (kg): 0.9 \pm 1.4

Table 1. Cont.

First Author, Fat Mass Baseline Follow-Up Population **Supplement Dose** Duration **RT Protocol** Year Assessment Tool Measures Results Intervention Intervention %BF: 17.4 ± 9.2 %BF: 16.1 ± 9.5 CR: 0.3 g/kg/day for Fat Mass (kg): 16.5 ± 12.1 Fat Mass (kg): 15.8 ± 12.5 N = 17 healthy 7 days followed by Volek et al. 2003 LBM (kg): 67.2 ± 5.6 LBM (kg): 70.6 ± 5.8 DEXA RT: 5x/week males; age: 0.05 g/kg/day for the 4 weeks [22] Control Control 21 ± 3 year remainder or PLA %BF: 20.2 \pm 8.8 %BF: 19.3 ± 8.6 (cellulose) Fat Mass (kg): 18.8 ± 10.4 Fat Mass (kg): 18.1 ± 9.9 LBM (kg): 66.9 ± 5.4 LBM (kg): 68.9 ± 6.0 RT: Complex Intervention Intervention N = 30 male training CR: 20 g/day for 6 days %BF: 15.78 ± 4.18 %BF: 13.77 ± 4.01 athletes (baseball, including heavy Wang et al. followed by 2 g/day for Bioelectrical FFM (kg): 57.07 ± 4.84 FFM (kg): 58.97 ± 5.18 basketball. 4 weeks resistance 2018 [23] the remainder or PLA impedance analysis Control Control tchoukball); age: training and (cellulose) %BF: 13.67 ± 4.37 %BF: 12.76 ± 3.13 20 ± 2 year plyometrics FFM (kg): 60.47 ± 9.55 FFM (kg): 61.58 ± 9.17 3x/week RT: Complex Intervention Intervention training N = 25 division 1A CR: 20 g/day for 6 days %BF: 14.85 ± 5.92 %BF: 15.81 ± 5.28 including heavy Wilder et al. collegiate football followed by 5 g/day for FFM (kg): 81.67 ± 10.88 FFM (kg): 84.64 ± 9.79 resistance Hydrodensitometry 4 weeks the remainder or 3 g/day2001 [24] players; age: Control Control training and 20 ± 2 year or PLA (cellulose) %BF: 13.75 ± 5.85 %BF: 14.53 ± 5.08 plyometrics FFM (kg): 81.53 ± 8.63 FFM (kg): 82.59 ± 7.29 3x/week

Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD. %BF, body fat percentage; CR, creatine; DEXA, dual X-ray absorptiometry; FFM, fat-free mass; LBM, lean body mass; PLA, placebo; and RT, resistance training.



Figure 1. Study selection flow chart.

3.2. Creatine Supplementation and Body Fat Changes

Our main analysis showed that creatine supplementation did not significantly impact changes in absolute fat mass (kg) over time (k = 6; MD = -0.18; 95%CI, -1.32 to 0.96; I² = 0%; *p* = 0.76) (Figure 2). However, creatine did produce a significant reduction in body fat percentage over time (k = 10; MD = -1.19; 95% CI, -2.03 to -0.34; I² = 0%; *p* = 0.006) (Figure 3).



Figure 2. Forest plots for changes in absolute fat mass (kg) [13,14,18,19,21,22].

	Creatine Placebo		Mean Difference			Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	Year	IV, Random, 95% CI
Kreider 1998	-0.8	6.9	11	-0.7	7.85	14	2.1%	-0.10 [-5.89, 5.69]	1998	
Becque 2000	-0.1	6.35	10	-0.5	5.36	13	3.0%	0.40 [-4.50, 5.30]	2000	
Wilder 2001	0.96	6.22	8	0.78	5.45	9	2.3%	0.18 [-5.41, 5.77]	2001	
Arciero 2001	0	4.76	10	0.1	6.61	10	2.8%	-0.10 [-5.15, 4.95]	2001	
Bemben 2001	-0.4	1.77	10	1	2.5	10	19.9%	-1.40 [-3.30, 0.50]	2001	
Kutz 2003	-0.35	4.32	9	-0.33	9.6	8	1.4%	-0.02 [-7.25, 7.21]	2003	
Volek 2004	-1.3	9.35	9	-0.9	8.7	8	1.0%	-0.40 [-8.98, 8.18]	2004	
Ferguson 2006	-0.7	7.9	13	-1.4	8.75	13	1.7%	0.70 [-5.71, 7.11]	2006	
Hoffman 2006	-1.21	1.12	11	0.25	1.53	11	57.1%	-1.46 [-2.58, -0.34]	2006	
Wang 2018	-2.01	4.1	15	-0.91	3.9	15	8.7%	-1.10 [-3.96, 1.76]	2018	
Total (95% CI)			106			111	100.0%	-1.19 [-2.03, -0.34]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 1.69, df = 9 (P = 1.00); l ² = 0%										
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.75 (P = 0.006) Creatine Placebo								Creatine Placebo		

Figure 3. Forest plot for changes in body fat percentage [13,14,16–18,20,22–24,27].

The subgroup analysis based on age (<40 years vs. 41–49 years) showed that creatine supplementation did not influence fat mass more than placebo (<40 years: SMD = -0.18; 95% CI, -0.44 to 0.08; $I^2 = 0\%$; p = 0.18 vs. 41–49 years: SMD = -0.05; 95% CI, -0.73 to 0.63; p = 0.88) (Figure S1). Similar results were found with regards to the fat mass assessment tool (DXA: SMD = -0.13; 95% CI, -0.46 to 0.20; $I^2 = 0\%$; p = 0.44 vs. BIA: SMD = -0.27; 95% CI, -0.99 to 0.45; p = 0.47 vs. hydrodensitometry: SMD = -0.17; 95% CI, -0.62 to 0.29; $I^2 = 0\%$; p = 0.47 vs. Bod Pod: SMD = -0.26; 95% CI, -1.35 to 0.84; p = 0.65) (Figure S2), BMI ($<25 \text{ kg/m}^2$: SMD = -0.14; 95% CI, -0.45 to 0.16; $I^2 = 0\%$; p = 0.36 vs. \geq 25 kg/m²: SMD = -0.20; 95% CI, -0.61 to 0.22; I² = 6%; p = 0.35) (Figure S3), sex (Females only: SMD = 0.08; 95% CI, -0.69 to 0.85; p = 0.84 vs. Males only: SMD = -0.19; 95% CI, -0.45 to 0.08; $I^2 = 0\%$; p = 0.17 vs. Mixed: SMD = -0.26; 95% CI, -1.35 to 0.84; p = 0.65) (Figure S4), creatine dose (<5 g: SMD = -0.12; 95% CI, -0.43 to 0.18; I² = 0%; p = 0.43 vs. ≥ 5 g: SMD = -0.24; 95% CI, -0.65 to 0.17; I² = 2%; p = 0.26) (Figure S5) and duration of supplementation (<8 weeks: SMD = -0.08; 95% CI, -0.41 to 0.25; $I^2 = 0\%$; p = 0.63 vs. ≥ 8 weeks: SMD = -0.28; 95% CI, -0.69 to 0.13; I² = 20%; p = 0.18) (Figure S6), and resistance exercise frequency ($\leq 3x$ /week: SMD = -0.07; 95% CI, -0.41 to 0.26; I² = 0%; p = 0.67 vs. >3x/week: SMD = -0.27; 95% CI, -0.63 to 0.09; I² = 2%; p = 0.14) (Figure S7).

The sensitivity analysis excluding participants with health conditions (Healthy participants: SMD = -0.18; 95% CI, -0.44 to 0.08; I² = 0%; *p* = 0.18 vs. Unhealthy participants: SMD = -0.05; 95% CI, -0.73 to 0.63; *p* = 0.88) (Figure S8), studies that did not assess for dietary intake (Assessment: SMD = -0.20; 95% CI, -0.52 to 0.11; I² = 0%; *p* = 0.20 vs. No assessment: SMD = -0.10; 95% CI, -0.49 to 0.29; I² = 0%; *p* = 0.61) (Figure S9), and studies with increased risk of bias (SMD = -0.19; 95% CI, -0.45 to 0.08; I² = 0%; *p* = 0.17) (Figure S10) did not alter any of the findings.

3.3. Risk of Bias Assessment

Four of the studies were classified as having a low risk of bias [16,17,19,21], six studies had a moderate risk [13,18,22–24,27], and two studies had a high risk of bias [14,20]. These concerns primarily arose due to the absence of specific details regarding randomization procedures or treatment allocation, considering that two studies did not report whether the participants were randomized [14,20]. Lastly, in one study, the supplement was provided in a single-blind fashion [24]. A detailed description of the risk of bias assessment is depicted in Figure 4.

Volek 2004

Kutz 2003

Wilder 2001 Sakkas 2009

Study ID	<u>D1</u>	D2	D3	D4	D5	Overall		
Arciero 2001		+	+	+	+		🕂 Low risk	
Bemben 2001		+	+	+	+	-	Some concerns	
Becque 2000	•	+	+	+	+	-	- High risk	
Ferguson 2006		+	+	+	+	-		
Pakulak 2022	+	+	+	+	+	+	D1 Randomization process	
Hoffman 2006	+	+	+	+	÷	+	D2 Deviations from the intended interventions	
Wang 2018	•	+	+	+	+	-	D3 Missing outcome data	
Kreider 1998	•	+	+	+	+		Measurement of the outcome	

D5 Selection of the reported result

Figure 4. Risk of bias assessment [13,14,16–24,27].

4. Discussion

This is the first meta-analysis to examine the efficacy of resistance exercise and creatine supplementation vs. resistance exercise alone on measures of fat mass in adults < 50 years of age. Results showed that the combination of resistance exercise and creatine supplementation (\geq 4 weeks) significantly reduced body fat percentage by 1.19%, without a corresponding reduction in absolute fat mass (-0.18 kg), compared to resistance exercise alone. Variables such as age (<40 years; 41–49 years), sex (females only; males only; females and males combined), fat mass assessment tool, creatine dosage (<5 g; \geq 5 g) and duration of creatine supplementation (<8 weeks; \geq 8 weeks), and resistance training frequency $(\leq 3x/\text{week}; >3x/\text{week})$ did not alter these findings.

The minimal reduction in body fat percentage from resistance exercise and creatine supplementation in adults < 50 years is comparable to our previous meta-analysis findings in adults \geq 50 years (body fat percentage: -0.55) [10]. Collectively, these findings help refute the common belief held by many exercising individuals that creatine supplementation increases fat mass over time [25]. However, these results are likely clinically and practically insignificant, considering that the very small reduction in body fat percentage did not correspond to a significant reduction in absolute fat mass. Although speculative, the very small reduction in body fat percentage from creatine could be attributable to changes in lean mass and/or muscle accretion over time. For example, several meta-analyses have been performed collectively showing that the combination of creatine supplementation and resistance exercise increases measures of whole-body lean tissue mass by ~1.37 kg (as measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, air-displacement plethysmography, hydrodensitometry, and bioelectrical impedance analysis) compared to placebo and resistance exercise [28–32]. Furthermore, Burke et al. [33] performed a systematic review and meta-analysis involving 10 studies and found significant improvements in direct measures of limb muscle hypertrophy (0.10–0.16 cm; as measured using ultrasound and peripheral quantitative computed tomography {pQCT}) in the upper- and lower-body from creatine supplementation and resistance exercise compared to resistance exercise and placebo. Interestingly, the lone study that used pQCT showed that creatine supplementation (52 weeks) increased lower-limb muscle density (Δ + 0.83 ± 1.15 mg·cm⁻³; p = 0.016) compared to placebo ($\Delta - 0.16 \pm 1.56$ mg·cm⁻³). Mechanistically, these lean tissue and regional muscle improvements may be related to creatine increasing cellular hydration status, high-energy phosphate metabolism (phosphocreatine content and recovery), glycogen synthesis, satellite cell proliferation and activity, growth factor production and expression (i.e., insulin-like growth factor-1), myogenic transcription factor expression (Myf5, Mrf4, MyoD, and myogenin), protein kinases downstream in the mammalian target of the rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway which are involved in translation and decreasing measures of inflammation, oxidative stress (reactive oxygen species), and protein catabolism (whole-body leucine

oxidation and urinary excretion of 3-methylhistidine) [31]. The significant increases in whole-body lean tissue mass, limb muscle hypertrophy, and muscle density from creatine supplementation may increase energy expenditure which could reduce body fat percentage over time [34]. However, even with the inclusion of increased weekly resistance exercise sessions, we did not observe an additional response in body fat levels. Our results indirectly support this notion as there was a statistically significant reduction in body fat percentage with only a small, non-significant change in fat mass over time. Unfortunately, the mechanistic effects of creatine, with and without resistance exercise, in healthy adults (≥ 18 years) are unknown.

Limitations

Results from this meta-analysis are likely affected by the measurement errors of the body composition assessment tool used and subsequent changes in lean tissue and/or total body water. Further, multiple studies did not control the dietary intake of creatine which may have influenced our findings. Moreover, considering the fundamental physiological differences underpinning men and women, we could not establish a subgroup analysis or a meta-regression to account for this confounder. Another limitation can also be attributed to the varied training status of the participants and the variability pertaining to the intensity of exercise protocols. Finally, no mechanisms of lipolysis or beta-oxidation were determined in this meta-analysis.

5. Conclusions

In adults < 50 years of age, the combination of resistance exercise and creatine supplementation results in a minimal reduction in body fat percentage (1.19%) with no effect on absolute fat mass compared to resistance exercise alone. Creatine supplementation combined with resistance exercise does not increase fat mass in adults < 50 years of age.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www. mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nu15204343/s1, Figure S1: Subgroup analysis based on age (<40 years vs. 41–49 years); Figure S2: Subgroup analysis according to fat mass assessment tool; Figure S3: Subgroup analysis based on BMI (<25 kg/m² vs. \geq 25 kg/m²); Figure S4: Subgroup analysis based on sex (females vs. males vs. mixed); Figure S5: Subgroup analysis based on creatine dose (<5 g vs. \geq 5 g); Figure S6: Subgroup analysis based on duration of supplementation (<8 weeks vs. \geq 8 weeks); Figure S7: Subgroup analysis based on resistance exercise frequency (\leq 3x/week vs. >3x/week); Figure S8: Sensitivity analysis excluding participants with health conditions; Figure S9: Sensitivity analysis excluding studies that did not assess dietary intake; Figure S10: Sensitivity analysis excluding studies with increased risk of bias.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.G.C., S.C.F. and K.P; methodology, D.G.C., S.C.F., K.P. and F.R.; validation, S.C.F. and K.P.; formal analysis, S.C.F. and K.P. writing—original draft preparation, all authors; writing—review and editing, all authors. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: D.G.C. has conducted industry-sponsored research involving creatine supplementation and received creatine donations for scientific studies and travel support for presentations involving creatine supplementation at scientific conferences. In addition, D.G.C. serves on the Scientific Advisory Board for Alzchem (a company that manufactures creatine) and as an expert witness/consultant in legal cases involving creatine supplementation. S.C.F. previously served as a scientific advisor for a company that sold creatine; has received creatine donations for scientific studies; sells creatine education resources; and is a sports nutrition advisor for the International Society of Sports Nutrition. S.C.F. is a scientific advisor for BearBalanced. B.I.C. has no conflicts in terms of financial or business interests related to this manuscript. B.I.C. has received grants and contracts to conduct research on dietary supplements; has served as a paid consultant for industry; has received honoraria for speaking at conferences and writing lay articles about sports nutrition ingredients and topics; is a member of the International Protein Board which disseminates knowledge on protein and protein products; has served as an expert witness on behalf of the plaintiff and defense in cases involving dietary supplements; and receives compensation for writing and providing educational services related to exercise and nutrition-related topics. S.M.O. serves on the Scientific Advisory Board for Alzchem (a company that manufactures creatine). S.M.O. owns the patent "Sports Supplements Based on Liquid Creatine" at the European Patent Office (WO2019150323 A1) and an active patent application for "Synergistic Creatine" at the UK Intellectual Property Office (GB2012773.4). S.M.O. has served as a speaker at Abbott Nutrition, as a consultant of Allied Beverages Adriatic and IMLEK, and has received research funding related to creatine from the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science, and Technological Development, Provincial Secretariat for Higher Education and Scientific Research, AlzChem GmbH, KW Pfannenschmidt GmbH, ThermoLife International LLC, and Hueston Hennigan LLP. K.P. and F.R. declare no conflicts.

References

- Souza, N.A.B.; Rimes-Dias, K.A.; Costa, J.C.; Canella, D.S. Weight Gain and Change in Body Mass Index after Age 20 in the Brazilian Population and Associated Sociodemographic Factors: Data from the National Health Survey. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, 19, 2851. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Frank, A.P.; De Souza Santos, R.; Palmer, B.F.; Clegg, D.J. Determinants of body fat distribution in humans may provide insight about obesity-related health risks. J. Lipid Res. 2019, 60, 1710–1719. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Simmonds, M.; Llewellyn, A.; Owen, C.G.; Woolacott, N. Predicting adult obesity from childhood obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes. Rev.* 2016, 17, 95–107. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jakicic, J.M.; Powell, K.E.; Campbell, W.W.; Dipietro, L.; Pate, R.R.; Pescatello, L.S.; Collins, K.A.; Bloodgood, B.; Piercy, K.L. Physical Activity and the Prevention of Weight Gain in Adults: A Systematic Review. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2019, *51*, 1262–1269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fruh, S.; Williams, S.; Hayes, K.; Hauff, C.; Hudson, G.M.; Sittig, S.; Graves, R.J.; Hall, H.; Barinas, J. A practical approach to obesity prevention: Healthy home habits. J. Am. Assoc. Nurse Pract. 2021, 33, 1055–1065. [CrossRef]
- Wewege, M.A.; Desai, I.; Honey, C.; Coorie, B.; Jones, M.D.; Clifford, B.K.; Leake, H.B.; Hagstrom, A.D. The Effect of Resistance Training in Healthy Adults on Body Fat Percentage, Fat Mass and Visceral Fat: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med.* 2022, 52, 287–300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. MacKenzie-Shalders, K.; Kelly, J.T.; So, D.; Coffey, V.G.; Byrne, N.M. The effect of exercise interventions on resting metabolic rate: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Sports Sci.* **2020**, *38*, 1635–1649. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Schuenke, M.D.; Mikat, R.P.; McBride, J.M. Effect of an acute period of resistance exercise on excess post-exercise oxygen consumption: Implications for body mass management. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* **2002**, *86*, 411–417. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vechetti, I.J.; Peck, B.D.; Wen, Y.; Walton, R.G.; Valentino, T.R.; Alimov, A.P.; Dungan, C.M.; Van Pelt, D.W.; von Walden, F.; Alkner, B.; et al. Mechanical overload-induced muscle-derived extracellular vesicles promote adipose tissue lipolysis. *FASEB J.* 2021, 35, e21644. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Forbes, S.; Candow, D.; Krentz, J.; Roberts, M.; Young, K. Changes in Fat Mass Following Creatine Supplementation and Resistance Training in Adults ≥ 50 Years of Age: A Meta-Analysis. *J. Funct. Morphol. Kinesiol.* **2019**, *4*, 62. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Candow, D.; Forbes, S.; Chilibeck, P.; Cornish, S.; Antonio, J.; Kreider, R. Variables influencing the effectiveness of creatine supplementation as a therapeutic intervention for sarcopenia. *Front. Nutr.* **2019**, *6*, 124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bourgeois, J.M.; Nagel, K.; Pearce, E.; Wright, M.; Barr, R.D.; Tarnopolsky, M.A. Creatine monohydrate attenuates body fat accumulation in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia during maintenance chemotherapy. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 2008, 51, 183–187. [CrossRef]
- 13. Arciero, P.J.; Hannibal, N.S.; Nindl, B.C.; Gentile, C.L.; Hamed, J.; Vukovich, M.D. Comparison of creatine ingestion and resistance training on energy expenditure and limb blood flow. *Metabolism* **2001**, *50*, 1429–1434. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Becque, M.D.; Lochmann, J.D.; Melrose, D.R. Effects of oral creatine supplementation on muscular strength and body composition. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **2000**, *32*, 654–658. [CrossRef]
- Bemben, M.; Witten, M.; Carter, J.; Eliot, K.; Knehans, A.; Bemben, D. The effects of supplementation with creatine and protein on muscle strength following a traditional resistance training program in middle-aged and older men. *J. Nutr. Health Aging* 2010, 14, 155–159. [CrossRef]
- 16. Ferguson, T.B.; Syrotuik, D.G. Effects of creatine monohydrate supplementation on body composition and strength indices in experienced resistance trained women. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* **2006**, *20*, 939–946. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hoffman, J.; Ratamess, N.; Kang, J.; Mangine, G.; Faigenbaum, A.; Stout, J. Effect of creatine and beta-alanine supplementation on performance and endocrine responses in strength/power athletes. *Int. J. Sport Nutr. Exerc. Metab.* 2006, 16, 430–446. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Kreider, R.B.; Ferreira, M.; Wilson, M.; Grindstaff, P.; Plisk, S.; Reinardy, J.; Cantler, E.; Almada, A.L. Effects of creatine supplementation on body composition, strength, and sprint performance. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 1998, 30, 73–82. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pakulak, A.; Candow, D.G.; de Zepetnek, J.T.; Forbes, S.C.; Basta, D. Effects of creatine and caffeine supplementation during resistance training on body composition, strength, endurance, rating of perceived exertion and fatigue in trained young adults. *J. Diet. Suppl.* 2022, *19*, 587–602. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Kutz, M.; Gunter, M. Creatine monohydrate supplementation on body weight and percent body fat. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 2003, 17, 817–821.
- Sakkas, G.K.; Mulligan, K.; DaSilva, M.; Doyle, J.W.; Khatami, H.; Schleich, T.; Kent-Braun, J.A.; Schambelan, M. Creatine fails to augment the benefits from resistance training in patients with HIV infection: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *PLoS ONE* 2009, 4, e4605. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Volek, J.S.; Ratamess, N.A.; Rubin, M.R.; Gómez, A.L.; French, D.N.; McGuigan, M.M.; Scheett, T.P.; Sharman, M.J.; Häkkinen, K.; Kraemer, W.J. The effects of creatine supplementation on muscular performance and body composition responses to short-term resistance training overreaching. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2004, *91*, 628–637. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Wang, C.-C.; Fang, C.-C.; Lee, Y.-H.; Yang, M.-T.; Chan, K.-H. Effects of 4-Week Creatine Supplementation Combined with Complex Training on Muscle Damage and Sport Performance. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 1640. [CrossRef]
- 24. Wilder, N.; Deivert, R.G.; Hagerman, F.; Gilders, R. The Effects of Low-Dose Creatine Supplementation Versus Creatine Loading in Collegiate Football Players. J. Athl. Train. 2001, 36, 124.
- Antonio, J.; Candow, D.G.; Forbes, S.C.; Gualano, B.; Jagim, A.R.; Kreider, R.B.; Rawson, E.S.; Smith-Ryan, A.E.; VanDusseldorp, T.A.; Willoughby, D.S.; et al. Common questions and misconceptions about creatine supplementation: What does the scientific evidence really show? J. Int. Soc. Sports Nutr. 2021, 18, 13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Page, M.J.; McKenzie, J.E.; Bossuyt, P.M.; Boutron, I.; Hoffmann, T.C.; Mulrow, C.D.; Shamseer, L.; Tetzlaff, J.M.; Akl, E.A.; Brennan, S.E.; et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Int. J. Surg.* 2021, 88, 105906. [CrossRef]
- Bemben, M.G.; Bemben, D.A.; Loftiss, D.D.; Knehans, A.W. Creatine supplementation during resistance training in college football athletes. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2001, 33, 1667–1673. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Forbes, S.C.; Candow, D.G.; Ostojic, S.M.; Roberts, M.D.; Chilibeck, P.D. Meta-analysis examining the importance of creatine ingestion strategies on lean tissue mass and strength in older adults. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 1912. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Chilibeck, P.D.; Kaviani, M.; Candow, D.G.; Zello, G.A. Effect of creatine supplementation during resistance training on lean tissue mass and muscular strength in older adults: A meta-analysis. *Open Access J. Sport. Med.* **2017**, *8*, 226. [CrossRef]
- Delpino, F.M.; Figueiredo, L.M.; Forbes, S.C.; Candow, D.G.; Santos, H.O. Influence of age, sex, and type of exercise on the efficacy of creatine supplementation on lean body mass: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Nutrition* 2022, 103–104, 111791. [CrossRef]
- Candow, D.G.; Chilibeck, P.D.; Forbes, S.C. Creatine supplementation and aging musculoskeletal health. *Endocrine* 2014, 45, 354–361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Devries, M.C.; Phillips, S.M. Creatine supplementation during resistance training in older adults—A meta-analysis. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **2014**, *46*, 1194–1203. [CrossRef]
- 33. Burke, R.; Piñero, A.; Coleman, M.; Mohan, A.; Sapuppo, M.; Augustin, F.; Aragon, A.A.; Candow, D.G.; Forbes, S.C.; Swinton, P.; et al. The Effects of Creatine Supplementation Combined with Resistance Training on Regional Measures of Muscle Hypertrophy: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients* 2023, 15, 2116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Westerterp, K.R. Control of energy expenditure in humans. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 2016, 71, 340–344. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.