

Proximity labelling reveals effects of disease-causing mutation on the DNAJC5/cysteine string protein α interactome.

Eleanor Barker¹, Amy E. Milburn, Nordine Helassa, Dean E. Hammond, Natalia Sanchez-Soriano, Alan Morgan^{*} and Jeff W. Barclay^{*}

Department of Biochemistry, Cell and Systems Biology, ISMIB, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK.

¹Current address: Division of Diabetes, Endocrinology and Gastroenterology, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PT.

*Correspondence to: Jeff W. Barclay (barclayj@liverpool.ac.uk) or Alan Morgan (amorgan@liverpool.ac.uk; ORCiD: 0000-0002-0346-1289), Department of Biochemistry, Cell and Systems Biology, ISMIB, University of Liverpool, Crown St., Liverpool L69 3BX, UK.

Email Addresses: EB: eleanor.barker-2@manchester.ac.uk;

AMi: Amy.Milburn@liverpool.ac.uk; NH: nhelassa@liverpool.ac.uk;

DH: dehammondo@gmail.com; NSS: natalia1@liverpool.ac.uk;

AMo: amorgan@liverpool.ac.uk; JWB: barclayj@liverpool.ac.uk.

Keywords: miniTurbo; PC12 cell; exocytosis; neurodegeneration; neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis; SNARE; STXBP1.

Running title: CSP/DNAJC5 interactome

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BC.20230319

Summary

Cysteine string protein α (CSP α), also known as DNAJC5, is a member of the DnaJ/Hsp40 family of co-chaperones. The name derives from a cysteine-rich domain, palmitoylation of which enables localization to intracellular membranes, notably neuronal synaptic vesicles. Mutations in the DNAJC5 gene that encodes CSPa cause autosomal dominant, adult-onset neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis (ANCL), a rare neurodegenerative disease. As null mutations in CSP-encoding genes in flies, worms and mice similarly result in neurodegeneration, CSP is evidently an evolutionarily conserved neuroprotective protein. However, the client proteins that CSP chaperones to prevent neurodegeneration remain unclear. Traditional methods for identifying protein-protein interactions such as yeast 2-hybrid and affinity purification approaches are poorly suited to CSP, due to its requirement for membrane anchoring and its tendency to aggregate after cell lysis. Therefore, we employed proximity labelling, which enables identification of interacting proteins in situ in living cells via biotinylation. Neuroendocrine PC12 cell lines stably expressing wild type or L115R ANCL mutant CSP constructs fused to miniTurbo were generated; then the biotinylated proteomes were analysed by liquid chromatographymass spectrometry (LCMS) and validated by western blotting. This confirmed several known CSP-interacting proteins, such as Hsc70 and SNAP-25, but also revealed novel binding proteins, including STXBP1/Munc18-1. Interestingly, some protein interactions (such as Hsc70) were unaffected by the L115R mutation, whereas others (including SNAP-25 and STXBP1/Munc18-1) were inhibited. These results define the CSP interactome in a neuronal model cell line and reveal interactions that are affected by ANCL mutation and hence may contribute to the neurodegeneration seen in patients.

2

Introduction

Cysteine string protein (CSP) was initially discovered in a search for *Drosophila* brain-enriched proteins, and named after a cluster of cysteine residues within the central region of the protein [1]. Subsequently, CSP homologues were identified in various multicellular organisms. Although the genomes of invertebrates such as *Drosophila* and *C. elegans* encode only a single CSP homologue, mammals contain three genes: *DNAJC5, DNAJC5B* and *DNAJC5G*, encoding CSP α , β and γ , respectively. DNAJC5/CSP α is by far the best studied, and is thought to be the major isoform expressed in brain (but see [2]). CSP α is enriched in neurons, where it mainly localises to synaptic vesicles [3]. Other secretory cells, such as adrenal chromaffin [4] and pancreatic beta cells [5], similarly express high levels of CSP α that mainly localise to regulated secretory vesicles. In contrast, CSP α localises to the plasma membrane in adipocytes [6] and to endosomal/lysosomal organelles in fibroblasts [7] and A549 cells [8]. Trafficking of CSP to post-Golgi membranes requires *S*-palmitoylation of cysteine residues within the cysteine string domain [9-11].

The localization of CSP to synaptic and secretory vesicles suggests a functional role in regulated exocytosis. Indeed, early studies demonstrated defects in synaptic transmission in *Drosophila csp* mutants [12, 13]. Subsequently, CSPα was shown to affect both early and late stages of calcium-dependent exocytosis in various secretory cells, including adrenal chromaffin cells [14, 15], pancreatic beta cells [5, 16] and PC12 cells [17, 18]. The key neuroprotective role of CSP was revealed by studies in model organisms, where null mutations in CSP orthologues result in neurodegeneration in flies [12], mice [19] and worms [20]. Mutations in

human DNAJC5/CSPα cause autosomal dominant, adult-onset neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis (ANCL), a rare disease characterised by neurodegeneration, sensorimotor dysfunction and early death [21]. Four ANCL-causing mutations have been described to date, but all cluster around the cysteine string domain. The first discovered and most common recurrent variants are a Leu115Arg substitution (L115R) and a Leu116 single amino-acid deletion (L116Δ) [22-25]. Recently, two further ANCL-associated variants have been reported: a duplication of residues Cys124-Cys133 [26] and a Cys128Tyr substitution [27]. It is generally accepted that the L115R and L116Δ mutations induce oligomerisation/aggregation of CSP, although whether this requires palmitoylation of the cysteine string has been contentious [28-31]. It was recently reported that mutant CSP aggregation is caused by ectopic misloading of iron sulphur (Fe-S) clusters by Iron-Sulphur Cluster Assembly Enzyme (ISCU), which leads to co-aggregation with wild type CSP, thereby providing a molecular explanation for the dominant nature of ANCL mutations [29].

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

CSP contains a DnaJ domain, which enables it to bind to and activate its cochaperone Hsc70 [32, 33]. Hence, it has long been accepted that a major cellular function of CSP is to maintain the correct folding of client proteins at neuronal synapses [34]. Compelling evidence suggests that the synaptic SNARE protein, SNAP-25, is a functionally important substrate, as it misfolds and is degraded by the proteasome in CSP knockout mice, leading to neurodegeneration [35, 36]. In addition, a variety of different proteins that directly or indirectly modulate synaptic vesicle exo/endocytosis have been shown to bind to CSP and so represent further potential client proteins. These include the two other synaptic SNARE proteins, VAMP2 [37] and syntaxin-1 [15, 38, 39], the exocytotic calcium sensor synaptotagmin [40, 41], the endocytic protein dynamin-1 [42], G-protein subunits [43, 44], 14-3-3 proteins [45], calcium channels [37, 46], and potassium channels [47]. In the last few years, a different neuroprotective role has been proposed for CSP: as a downstream mediator in misfolding-associated protein secretion (MAPS) [48-51]. In this process, misfolded proteins, such as α -synuclein and tau, are recruited onto the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) by USP-19 and deubiquitylated, switching their fate from proteasomal degradation to release from the cell via unconventional secretion in a CSP-regulated manner [49-52]. Most recently, CSP has also been implicated in a protein degradation pathway that is mechanistically distinct to MAPS: endolysosomal microautophagy [50].

Current evidence suggests that CSP helps to maintain neuronal proteostasis either by directly refolding misfolded client proteins, or by re-routing them for disposal via MAPS and endolysosomal microautophagy. However, little is known about the proteins that CSP interacts with to enable these neuroprotective functions. The relatively small number of CSP-binding proteins identified to date have mostly been discovered via biochemical affinity purification or immunoprecipitation techniques. This is a potential problem, as CSP forms SDS-resistant oligomers after detergent extraction from cellular membranes [17, 43, 53, 54], which would prevent detection of physiologically relevant protein interactions mediated by binding sites buried within the oligomeric aggregates. The yeast 2-hybrid method is also poorly suited to membrane proteins like CSP, which may explain why screening of brain cDNA libraries using this approach identified only two interacting proteins, both of which are cytoplasmic: Hsc70 [55] and SGT [56]. We therefore chose to characterise the CSP interactome using the BioID proximity labelling approach [57] (Figure 1). This enables protein interactions to be detected *in situ* in living cells by fusing the

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

biotin ligase, miniTurbo, onto the protein of interest and identifying biotinylated proteins using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). As biotinylation can only occur within a 10-nm radius of the fusion protein [58], equivalent to the size of a typical globular protein, this selectively labels proteins that are in extremely close proximity and hence are likely to be physical binding partners. Here we report application of the BioID approach in neuroendocrine PC12 cells to define the protein interactome of wild type CSP and how this is affected by the L115R ANCL-causing mutation.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BC.20230319

Results

It has previously been established that addition of fluorescent protein tags on either the N terminus [59, 60] or the C terminus [35], of DNAJC5/CSP α does not affect its localisation or chaperone activity. However, the N terminus comprises seven alpha helices, the first of which is a target for phosphorylation that triggers major structural changes; whereas the C terminus is essentially unstructured [61]. Therefore, the miniTurbo tag was fused onto the C terminal domain of CSP α via Gibson assembly (Figure 1), to minimise the possibility of interfering with CSP α structure or function. To investigate how CSP interactions are affected in ANCL, the most common and most extensively studied ANCL-causing mutation, L115R [27, 62], was introduced to the miniTurbo tagged CSP construct through site-directed mutagenesis. An empty vector containing non-fused miniTurbo was utilised as a negative control, to eliminate proteins which may be randomly biotinylated due to expression of miniTurbo within the cell, or proteins which have affinity to the miniTurbo enzyme itself or the neutravidin/streptavidin beads used for the biotin-affinity purification (hereafter referred to as miniTurbo control). An additional negative control was utilised whereby cells expressing the miniTurbo tagged WT CSP construct were not exposed to exogenous biotin, to eliminate proteins that are pulled down in a biotinindependent, non-specific manner (hereafter referred to as no biotin control).

To confirm that the miniTurbo CSP constructs were effectively biotinylating proteins, HEK293T cells transiently transfected with miniTurbo constructs were supplemented with biotin in the cell culture media and analysed by western blotting. Probing with streptavidin-HRP, which has a high affinity for biotinylated proteins, revealed a strong signal when compared with the no biotin control (Figure 2A). This indicated that the constructs were expressed and capable of biotinylating proteins in

7

a biotin-dependent manner. Next, to validate the efficiency of biotinylated protein capture, the lysates were subjected to biotin-affinity purification, initially utilising Neutravidin beads. Western blotting for streptavidin-HRP revealed an enrichment of biotinylated proteins in the elution (bound), compared with the flow-through (unbound) for the miniTurbo tagged CSP lysates (Figure 2B). Finally, to ensure that the CSP-miniTurbo constructs were biotinylating genuine CSP interactors, rather than randomly biotinylating proteins within the cell, lysates subjected to biotin affinity purification were analysed by western blotting utilising antisera against the best characterised CSP-binding protein, its co-chaperone Hsc70 [55]. As CSP's interaction with Hsc70 has been demonstrated to not be impacted by the ANCLcausing L115R mutation [28, 29], it was expected that both WT and L115R CSPminiTurbo would biotinylate Hsc70. Consistent with this prediction, Hsc70 was eluted from the biotin affinity purification in both the WT and L115R CSP fused miniTurbo conditions and was absent from miniTurbo control (Figure 2C). This confirmed that the CSP-miniTurbo constructs are not only expressed and enzymically active, but are biotinylating proteins that are known to interact with CSP.

It was noticeable that a large proportion of the biotinylated proteins were not captured by the Neutravidin beads and were therefore present in the unbound flow-through, which is particularly visible in the miniTurbo-tagged WT CSP unbound lysates (Figure 2B). To ensure that there was minimal loss of proteins during the BioID process, we focused on optimisation of both the biotinylation of proteins and their subsequent capture/elution by biotin affinity purification. HEK293T cells transiently transfected with WT CSP-miniTurbo were exposed to biotin concentrations ranging from 0-500 μ M for 0-24 hours. Western blotting the cell lysates with streptavidin-HRP revealed that the levels of biotinylated proteins

saturated following exposure to 100 μ M biotin (Supplementary Figure S1A). Additionally, exposure to biotin for 24 hours yielded more biotinylated proteins compared with the shorter incubation times (Supplementary Figure S1B). In particular, 24 hour biotin exposure yielded considerably more biotinylated proteins compared with the 10 minute timepoint frequently utilised for miniTurbo BioID protocols [57]. Next, the length of time the proteins were incubated with the biotinaffinity beads was assessed. This revealed that most biotinylated proteins were captured within 1-2 hours, after which point it appeared another factor such as bead binding capacity was the limiting factor (Supplementary Figure S2A). Therefore, both the quantity (Supplementary Figure S2B) and type of beads used (Neutravidin versus streptavidin; Supplementary Figure S3) was optimised. It was found that magnetic streptavidin beads eluted an increased quantity of biotinylated protein. Furthermore, unlike the Neutravidin beads, no biotinylated protein was visible in the unbound flow through when western blotting for streptavidin-HRP when using streptavidin beads (Supplementary Figure S3). Lastly, to ensure there was maximum elution of biotinylated proteins from the beads, elution time was optimised. Through boiling the beads at timepoints from 1-30 minutes, it was observed that most of the biotinylated proteins were eluted after 10 minutes (Supplementary Figure S4). However, increasing the elution time to 30 minutes marginally increased the quantity of biotinylated proteins eluted. Overall, our optimised protocol for protein biotinylation involved exposure to 100 µM biotin for 24 hours, capture of biotinylated proteins with magnetic streptavidin beads for a minimum of 1 hour, with subsequent elution through boiling in SDS for 10 minutes.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

To determine the most physiologically relevant cell line to use for identifying CSP interactions through LC-MS, various cell lines were analysed by western

9

blotting to assess their expression levels of proteins previously reported to bind to CSP, in addition to general synaptic proteins. Utilising rat brain lysates as a positive control for antisera binding, HEK293T and HeLa cells were compared due to their high transfection efficiencies and ease of culture. Furthermore, HEK293T cells have been used previously to determine CSP interactions utilising BioID [63]. SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cell lines were included due to their frequent use in the study of neurobiology and neuronal properties [64]. PC12 cells were chosen as they are widely used as a neuronal model of exocytosis, and express relatively high levels of synaptic/secretory vesicle-associated proteins, CSP included [65]. Moreover, PC12 cells have been widely used to study CSP function [31, 60, 66]. Finally, A549 cells were also compared, given the recent discovery that CSP is required to traffic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* toxin ExoU from the cytoplasm to the plasma membrane in these cells [8]. Overall, PC12 cells had the highest endogenous expression of all proteins tested (Figure 3), thus it was reasoned that PC12 cells would be the most physiologically relevant cell line to use for identifying neuronal CSP interactions.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BC.20230319

We therefore created stable PC12 cell lines expressing WT or L115R CSP fused to miniTurbo, as well as the unfused miniTurbo control construct. Stable cell lines were chosen to provide reproducibility between technical repeats and sufficient transfected cells for proteomic analyses. To investigate whether overexpression of CSP or the miniTurbo tag itself affects CSP localisation, immunofluorescence was carried out. Antisera against the V5 tag was used to reveal localisation of exogenously expressed miniTurbo tagged CSP, and antisera against CSP was used to identify both endogenous and exogenous CSP (Figure 4A). A high degree of co-localisation was observed between CSP and V5 in cells expressing WT CSP-miniTurbo, primarily near the plasma membrane (which may correspond to docked

secretory vesicles) and to a lesser extent throughout the cytoplasm. This suggests that the miniTurbo tag does not interfere with CSP localisation in PC12 cells. The extent of co-localisation between CSP and V5 in the cells stably expressing L115R CSP tagged miniTurbo was much lower compared with that of the WT. A degree of co-localisation could be observed throughout the cytoplasm. However, the co-localisation with endogenous CSP near the plasma membrane was not observed with V5 labelling. This is consistent with published data showing that ANCL-causing mutations result in CSP mislocalisation [22, 26]. Detection of biotinylated proteins in cells expressing miniTurbo tagged WT CSP using AlexaFluor-streptavidin revealed a change from faint punctate localisation in the no biotin condition to a more widespread distribution that was particularly concentrated near the plasma membrane, where it overlapped with the V5 signal (Figure 4B). Streptavidin fluorescence in cells expressing miniTurbo tagged WT CSP also co-localised with endogenous CSP near the plasma membrane, in contrast to cells expressing the miniTurbo control (Supplementary Figure S5).

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BC.20230319

Having established that miniTurbo-tagged WT CSP biotinylates proteins in a biotin-dependent manner and that its cellular localisation is comparable to that of endogenous CSP, the entire complement of proteins biotinylated by miniTurbo tagged CSP was determined. This involved on-bead tryptic digestion followed by LC-MS and label-free quantification using three independent biological repeats per condition. The resulting data were first analysed by principal component analysis (PCA), to assess variance within the datasets (Supplementary Figure S6). This revealed that all three samples for WT CSP miniTurbo were closely clustered and distinct from those of L115R CSP tagged miniTurbo, miniTurbo and no biotin controls. Proteins identified by LC-MS were then analysed based on their abundance

relative to control conditions and statistical significance. Full MS data, including information on quantification, statistics and GO terms are shown in Supplementary Dataset 1. The gene names and UniProt accession numbers of the top 50 proteins enriched in WT or L115R CSP compared to miniTurbo and no biotin controls are listed in Table 1. Functional enrichment analysis of WT and L115R CSP compared to miniTurbo control was performed using DAVID [67] and the results are displayed in Supplementary Dataset 2. Proteins exhibiting reduced abundance in WT or L115R CSP compared to controls were not analysed further, as these represent non-specific and/or endogenously biotinylated proteins.

The single most abundant protein when comparing miniTurbo-tagged WT CSP with the miniTurbo control was CSP's co-chaperone Hsc70 (gene name hspa1a), which was 5.5-fold enriched (Figure 5A). Several other previously identified CSP interactors were also identified, including SNAP-25 and VAMP2. Interestingly, many biotinylated proteins were detected that have not been reported as CSPbinding proteins. Notably, the essential exocytosis protein Munc18-1 (gene name stxbp1) was increased in abundance 4.1-fold in the miniTurbo tagged WT CSP condition, compared with the miniTurbo control. A subset of these potential interactions identified through LC-MS were further validated through western blotting, including Hsc70, SNAP-25, VAMP2 and Munc18-1 (Figure 6). Whilst the lack of Hsc70 observed in the miniTurbo control in Figures 6 and 7 could conceivably be due to a lower level of input protein, the Hsc70-CSP interaction has been experimentally demonstrated by various groups over many years [15, 29, 32, 33, 42, 55], and hence is likely to be authentic. In addition, the well-established interaction between CSP and syntaxin-1 [6, 15, 38, 39] was confirmed by western blotting, although it did not reach the threshold for detection in our LC-MS analysis.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20230319

When comparing the BioID hits between miniTurbo tagged WT and L115R CSP, several synaptic exocytosis proteins were significantly reduced in abundance in the L115R mutant, including SNAP-25, Munc18-1 and VAMP2 (Figure 5B). Western blot validation confirmed that the biotinylation of Munc18-1 and SNAP-25 in the WT CSP condition was reduced in the L115R mutant (Figure 7). This could not be simply due to reduced expression of the L115R CSP miniTurbo construct, as LC-MS analysis revealed no change in Hsc70 levels between the WT and L115R mutant CSP conditions, which was subsequently validated by western blotting (Figure 7). This suggests that the ANCL L115R mutation does indeed affect interactions between CSP and these binding clients. There was only one protein significantly enriched in the L115R CSP mutant, compared with WT CSP: acetyl-CoA carboxylase alpha (gene name acaca; Figure 5B). However, this naturally biotinylated enzyme (also known as biotin carboxylase) is typically excluded from LC-MS results in BioID studies [68], and was also present in the miniTurbo control condition. Hence, acetyl-CoA carboxylase alpha is likely an artefact that binds to streptavidin beads via its endogenous biotin moiety, rather than via miniTurbo-driven biotinylation. Finally, In order to assess how our LC-MS results correspond to published data, the results were correlated with that of published data investigating WT CSP interactions using BioID in HEK293T cells [63]. This revealed that 33 of the 108 proteins we found to be enriched in the miniTurbo tagged WT CSP condition compared with both miniTurbo and no biotin controls were also identified by Piette et al [63] (Figure 8). Details of the proteins present across datasets from the Venn diagram in Figure 8 are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

Discussion

In this study, we used BioID to define the CSP interactome in a neuronal model cell line, in order to shed light on potential substrate proteins that may underlie its evolutionarily conserved neuroprotective role(s). BioID was chosen as it labels proteins in situ in their physiological subcellular compartments within living cells. This avoids potential artefacts that can arise with conventional pull-downs and coimmunoprecipitation approaches, which particularly affects membrane-localised proteins that aggregate after detergent solubilisation such as CSP. One caveat of BioID is that it does not directly demonstrate that biotinylated proteins bind to the bait protein, only that they are in close proximity (<10 nm). However, the most enriched biotinylated protein in WT CSP compared to miniTurbo control was Hsc70, thereby confirming the well-established direct interaction between CSP and its co-chaperone [55]. CSP's best characterised client for refolding, SNAP-25 [35, 42, 69] was also verified through our unbiased proximity labelling approach; in addition to the reported CSP interacting protein, VAMP2 [37]. This provides reassurance that BioID proteomic screening can identify genuine CSP interactions. Not all previously published CSP-binding proteins were detected, however. There are several potential explanations for this. Our stringent MS identification criteria, requiring multiple peptides to be detected in every biological repeat, may have filtered out some genuine hits - for example, syntaxin-1, which was validated here by western blotting. In addition, interactions that are dependent on post-translational modifications would be missed if the recombinant bait protein is not sufficiently modified – for example, binding of 14-3-3 requires CSP phosphorylation on serine-10 [45]. We may also have failed to detect some bona fide interacting proteins because they lack surface exposed lysine residues that are accessible to the miniTurbo ligase, which is an

15

inherent limitation of the BioID approach. Alternatively, it is possible that some previously reported interactions are a consequence of the non-physiological, aggregation-prone conformation of CSP when removed from membranes, and so may not reflect *in vivo* binding proteins.

In addition to confirming previously reported interactions, our LC-MS analysis revealed over 100 new potential CSP-binding proteins. The vast majority of these are cytoplasmic proteins or membrane proteins with domains that project into the cytosol, consistent with CSP's known topology as a membrane-associated cytoplasmic protein. However, a small number of hits are likely to be false positives for example, H2ac (Table 1) encodes histone H2A, a nuclear protein that is therefore unlikely to be a bona fide CSP-interacting protein. Around a third of our hits were also identified in a recent BioID screen of all DnaJ-domain-containing proteins in HEK293 cells [63]. However, as shown in Figure 2, HEK293 cells express low levels of many of CSP's previously reported prey proteins, relative to PC12 cells used in this study. This, as well as technical differences in the BioID methodologies used, could account for the relatively small overlap in results. Nevertheless, if homologous proteins were considered to match, there would be better overlap between the datasets. For example, Piette et al identified SNAP-23 and VAMP8 in their LC-MS analysis, which are homologues of the neuronal SNAREs SNAP-25 and VAMP2 respectively, identified in this study. Furthermore, both studies identified the nonexocytotic SNAREs syntaxin-7 and the VAMP homologue ykt6, suggesting that CSP interacts with SNAREs in various subcellular compartments. The identification of so by us (VAMP2, ykt6, vti1b, SNAP-25, SNAP-29, syntaxin-1, many SNAREs syntaxin-7) and by Piette et al (SNAP-23, VAMP8, ykt6, syntaxin-7) is striking given that mammalian genomes only encode 36 SNARE proteins in total [70]. Indeed,

16

unbiased functional annotation clustering analysis of our WT CSP BioID hits using DAVID revealed that the most significantly enriched GO terms for molecular function, cellular component and biological process were: SNARE activity, SNARE complex and vesicle fusion, respectively (Supplementary Dataset 2). Intracellular membrane fusion events throughout the secretory and endocytic pathways are driven by SNAREs, but also require the function of the equally conserved Rab and Sec1/Munc18 (SM) protein families [70]. Various Rab proteins were identified in our BioID study (Rab3D, Rab7A, Rab11B, Rab23) and Rab 7A was also identified by Piette et al [63]. A further link between CSP and the universal membrane fusion machinery comes from our identification by BioID screening and western blot validation of Munc18-1, a neuronal SNARE-binding SM protein that is essential for synaptic vesicle exocytosis [71]. Taken together, these BioID hits suggest that a major cellular function of CSP is to modulate intracellular membrane fusion events. In addition, functional clustering analysis revealed various other significantly enriched GO terms, including α -catenin binding, endocytic vesicle, clathrin binding and clathrin coat assembly (Supplementary Dataset 2). This may be relevant to published work showing that CSPa partially localises to endo-lysosomal structures [7] and plays a functional role in endocytic recycling [42, 72].

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

Analysis of BioID hits from PC12 cells expressing CSP L115R-miniTurbo revealed that several synaptic proteins were significantly reduced in abundance compared to WT CSP. In contrast, the levels of various other proteins remained unchanged between the WT and L115R mutant, notably Hsc70 - an interaction that has previously been shown to persist in ANCL mutant CSP [28, 29]. This suggests that the reduction seen by both LC-MS and western blotting of SNAP-25, Munc18-1 and VAMP2 is likely to be a direct result of the L115R mutation itself, rather than a

reduction in CSP L115R-miniTurbo transgene expression. Indeed, a major advantage of the label-free quantification approach used in our proteomic analysis is that it inherently takes account of variability in miniTurbo expression levels (and hence biotinylation levels) between different constructs and biological replicates [73]. Furthermore, the reduction in SNAP-25 biotinylation in the L115R mutant is consistent with studies utilising cultured neurons, which demonstrated through coimmunoprecipitation that L115R/ L116∆ CSP interaction with SNAP-25 is severely reduced compared with WT CSP [29]. This is consistent with the mislocalisation of L115R CSP observed here and previously reported in the literature [22, 23]. Contrary to findings in cultured neurons whereby L115R CSP (but not WT CSP) was identified to interact with ISCU [29], LC-MS did not identify ISCU in the complement of proteins biotinylated by miniTurbo tagged L115R CSP. However, this should not be interpreted as evidence against the proposed interaction between ISCU and ANCLmutant CSP, as there are various reasons why bona fide interactions may be missed in BioID screening (explained above). Although relatively few proteins were enriched >1.5-fold in CSP L115-miniTurbo compared to miniTurbo control, these may interacting proteins represent potential CSP involved in endolysosomal microautophagy, as the ANCL-causing L115R mutation has no effect on this protein degradation pathway [50]. One such protein, SIDT2, is a lysosomal membrane protein that has been shown to protect against mRNA encoding mutant Huntingtin [74]. Intriguingly, CSP has previously been shown to bind to mutant (but not WT) Huntingtin protein [75]. Further studies are required to investigate if the putative CSP-SIDT2 interaction is relevant to CSP's L115R-resistant role in endolysosomal microautophagy and its ability to ameliorate neurodegeneration.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

Although the proximity labeling analysis reported here has shed light on potential CSP-protein interactions that may be affected in ANCL, there are a number of limitations of our study. For example, only a few of these interactions could be validated through western blotting, due to limitations in antisera availability and/or specificity, and so may not all be authentic CSP-binding proteins. In addition, our proteomics approach involved stable over-expression of transgenic WT and L115R CSP α in PC12 cells, which already contain high levels of endogenous WT CSP α . Hence, these cells may be partailly protected from mutation-associated phenotypes by the endogenous WT protein. Significant work would be required to address this, by first creating CSP knockout PC12 cells and then rescuing these with WT or mutant CSPα (preferably at single-copy level, to mirror the human condition). It will also be important in future to investigate whether the putative novel interactions reported here are functionally significant, as has been done previously for the SNAP-25 interaction using CSP knockout mice [35, 36]. Thus, considerable future work is needed to independently validate the potential novel interactions identified here, to determine if they bind directly to CSP, and to investigate their functional relevance.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

Materials and methods

All reagents were purchased from Sigma unless stated otherwise.

Cell Culture

Cells were cultured at 37° C in 5% CO₂ in the following media.

HEK293T and HeLa: High glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum, 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin and 1% (v/v) non-essential amino acids.

PC12: High glucose DMEM, or RPMI 1640 media, supplemented with 10% (v/v) horse serum, 5% (v/v) foetal bovine serum, 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin and 1% (v/v) non-essential amino acids. For stably transfected PC12 cell lines, culture media was additionally supplemented with 500 μ g/mL G418 to maintain selection for the MiniTurbo constructs.

SH-SY5Y: DMEM-F12 supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum, 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin and 1% (v/v) non-essential amino acids.

A549: Ham's F-12K supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum and 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin.

Cloning of MiniTurbo Plasmids

Initial biotinylation plasmid cloning was based on a previously described [30, 31] pcDNA DEST40 untagged wild-type human CSP α plasmid, kindly gifted by Professor Luke Chamberlain. A *Xhol* restriction site was inserted immediately upstream of the stop codon for CSP α , in order to create a fusion protein, using a Q5® Site-Directed

Mutagenesis Kit (New England Biolabs). The L115R mutation was introduced through site directed mutagenesis, using a QuikChange II site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies). Gibson assembly using the NEBuilder kit (New England Biolabs) was employed to introduce the V5 tag and the miniTurbo protein immediately downstream of both wild-type and mutant CSP plasmids, utilising V5-hBirA(64-321) 'miniTurbo' plasmid (Addgene plasmid #107170) [57]. All primers used in Gibson assembly and mutagenesis were synthesised by Sigma-Genosys and are described in Supplementary Table 1.

Transfections

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20230319

Cells were seeded in 6-well plates 24 hours prior to transfection, to 70% confluency at the time of transfection. MiniTurbo plasmid constructs were transfected with Lipofectamine® 2000 reagent diluted 1:30 in OptiMEM® medium, added to 2 µg plasmid DNA diluted in OptiMEM and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature. The DNA-lipid complex was added to cells following aspiration of media, and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂, following which time, fully supplemented DMEM was added. For generation of stable cell lines, 24 hours post transient transfection cell culture media was replaced with fully supplemented media containing 500 µg/mL G418, which was replaced every 2 days until 100% of untransfected control cells had died. After which point, 500 µg/mL G418 was supplemented in the culture medium to maintain selection for the miniTurbo constructs.

MiniTurbo biotinylation

Biotin was dissolved in serum-free DMEM to create a 20X stock solution. This was then added to serum-containing cell media at a 1 in 20 dilution, resulting in a final concentration of 100 μ M exogenous biotin, unless stated otherwise. Biotin supplementation was added overnight, either 4 hours post-transfection for transient transfections, or 24 hours after seeding for stable cell lines, unless stated otherwise. Biotin-free and empty miniTurbo constructs with biotin supplementation were used as negative controls.

Biotinylated protein immunoprecipitation

This was based on previously published protocols for BioID [57, 76], with modifications. Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100) with protease inhibitor cocktail containing EDTA (Roche) diluted 1:100, and clarified at 4°C for 10 minutes at 16,000 *g*. Prior to the addition of cell lysates, the NeutrAvidin/StreptAvidin beads were washed twice for 10 minutes in RIPA buffer. 50µL of normalised lysates were used as the input fraction, and the remaining lysates were then added to the washed beads at a ratio of 10 µL of NeutrAvidin/StreptAvidin beads per 100 µg of protein and incubated at 4°C on a rotator overnight, unless stated otherwise. The following day the supernatant was collected as the unbound fraction. The beads were then washed twice in RIPA buffer, once in 1 M KCl, once in 0.1 M Na₂CO₃, once in 2M urea in 10 mM Tris HCl pH 8, then again twice in RIPA buffer. The beads were then eluted in 100 µL 2X Laemlli buffer (65.8 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 2.1% SDS,

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

26.3% (w/v) glycerol, 0.01% bromophenol blue) at 95°C for 10 minutes, and the supernatant collected as the bound fraction.

SDS-PAGE and western blotting

Lysates for western blotting were diluted 1:1 in LaemIII buffer, vortexed and heated to 95°C for 10 minutes, prior to separation by SDS-PAGE and transfer onto nitrocellulose membranes. The transferred proteins were then rapidly stained for total protein with Ponceau S solution before immunoblotting. Details of antisera used are shown in Supplementary Table 2. Nitrocellulose membranes re-probed with different primary antibodies were stripped by incubation in stripping buffer (20 mM glycine, 140 mM NaCl, pH 2.5) for 30 minutes. Western blots were visualised through incubation with horse radish peroxidase (HRP)-labelled secondary antibodies at 1/1000 dilution and subsequent imaging with Clarity[™] Western ECL Blotting Substrates (Bio-Rad) and imaged using a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc XRS using ImageLab software. Relative protein quantification was performed using ImageJ software.

Immunofluorescence

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20230319

PC12 cells grown on poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, washed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and permeabilised in PBT (PBS containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.1% Triton X-100). Primary antibodies were incubated for 1 hour in PBT at 1/200 dilution; secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa594 and Alexa488-Streptavidin were incubated for 1 hour in PBT at 1/400 dilution. Coverslips were air dried and then mounted in

ProLong Gold mounting medium containing DAPI (Thermo Fisher) to visualise DNA. Imaging was performed on a Zeiss Axio Examiner ZI LSM880 confocal microscope, using 405 nm, 488 nm and 594 nm excitation lasers with 63x objective lens. Image analysis was performed using Zen software (Zeiss).

Mass Spectrometry

Sample preparation

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

To prepare samples for analysis by mass spectrometry, 80% of the streptavidin bead slurry from the final stages of immunoprecipitation, instead of boiling in SDS, were washed twice in 50 mM Tris HCl buffer, pH 7.5. This was then followed by two further washes in 2 M urea/50 mM Tris, pH 7.5 and then incubation in 2 M urea/50 mM Tris, pH 7.5 with 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and 0.4 µg trypsin at 25°C for 1 hour, with shaking. The subsequent supernatant was collected and the beads were further washed twice in 2 M urea/50 mM Tris, pH 7.5 and the washes were combined with the supernatant collected from the on-bead digest and reduced with 4 mM DTT at 25°C for 30 minutes, with shaking. The sample was then alkylated with 10 mM iodoacetamide in the dark at 25°C for 45 minutes, with shaking. A further 0.5 µg of trypsin was then added to complete the digestion at 25°C overnight, with shaking. Lastly, the sample was acidified with formic acid so that the sample contains ~1% formic acid, then evaporated to dryness overnight using a ThermoFisher Savant Speedvac SC210A at ambient temperature.

Reversed phase chromatography was used to separate tryptic peptides prior to mass spectrometric analysis. Two columns were utilised, an Acclaim PepMap μ -precolumn cartridge 300 μ m i.d. x 5 mm 5 μ m 100 Å and an Acclaim PepMap RSLC 75 μ m x 50 cm 2 μ m 100 Å (Thermo Scientific). The columns were installed on an Ultimate 3000 RSLCnano system (Thermo Scientific). Mobile phase buffer A was composed of 0.1% formic acid in water and mobile phase B 0.1 % formic acid in acetonitrile. Samples were loaded onto the μ -precolumn equilibrated in 2% aqueous acetonitrile containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid for 5 min at 10 μ L min-1 after which peptides were eluted onto the analytical column at 250 nL min-1 by increasing the mobile phase B concentration from 8% B to 25% over 36 min, then to 35% B over 10 min, and to 90% B over 3 min, followed by a 10 min re-equilibration at 8% B.

Eluting peptides were converted to gas-phase ions by means of electrospray ionization and analysed on a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion (Q-OT-qIT, Thermo Scientific). Survey scans of peptide precursors from 375 to 1575 m/z were performed at 120K resolution (at 200 m/z) with a 50% normalized AGC target and the max injection time was 150 ms. Tandem MS was performed by isolation at 1.2 Th using the quadrupole, HCD fragmentation with normalized collision energy of 33, and rapid scan MS analysis in the ion trap. The MS2 was set to 50% normalized AGC target and the max injection time was 200 ms. Precursors with charge state 2–6 were selected and sampled for MS2. The dynamic exclusion duration was set to 45 s with a 10 ppm tolerance around the selected precursor and its isotopes. Monoisotopic precursor selection was turned on. The instrument was run in top speed mode with 2 s cycles.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20230319

The raw data were searched using MaxQuant (version 2.0.3.0) against the *Rattus norvegicus* database (<u>www.uniprot.org/proteomes</u>) and the MaxQuant common contaminant database. In addition, sequences for the non-native miniTurbo and CSP L115R proteins were manually added to the database. For database searches, enzyme specificity was set to trypsin with up to two missed-cleavages and carbamidomethylation of cysteines was set as a fixed modification. Oxidation of methionine and acetylation of the protein N-terminus were added as variable modifications. Protein abundance was estimated using the label-free intensity quantification (LFQ) algorithm in MaxQuant [73]. To analyse the results, Perseus software (version 2.03.0) was used. Three independent biological replicate samples for each condition were used for the analysis. Functional enrichment analysis of identified proteins enriched >1.5 fold in WT and L115R CSP compared to miniTurbo control was performed using DAVID [67].

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

Supporting Information listing

Supplementary Dataset 1: Mass spectrometry data. Supplementary Dataset 2: DAVID functional enrichment analysis Supplementary Table S1: List of Oligonucleotides. Supplementary Table S2: Primary antibodies used in western blotting. Supplementary Table S3: List of BioID hits from this study and published data. Supplementary Figure S1: Optimisation of miniTurbo biotinylation. Supplementary Figure S2: Optimisation of biotinylated protein capture. Supplementary Figure S3: Comparison of Neutravidin and Streptavidin beads. Supplementary Figure S4: Optimisation of biotinylated protein elution. Supplementary Figure S5: PC12 cell immunofluorescence. Supplementary Figure S6: Principal component analysis of LC-MS data.

Funding

This work was supported by a PhD studentship from the Wellcome Trust to EB (WT reference 102172/B/13/Z) and by research grants from the British Heart Foundation to NH (BHF references FS/17/56/32925, FS/EXT/22/35014, PG/21/10521). The Zeiss LSM880 confocal microscope was funded by an equipment grant from the Medical Research Council (MRC reference MR/M009114/1).

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Drs Cleidiane Zampronio and Andrew Bottrill (University of Warwick Proteomics Facility) for performing LC-MS and advice on data analysis. We thank Dr Tom Waring at the University of Liverpool's Centre for Cell Imaging for

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data availability statement

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE [77] partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD037258 and 10.6019/PXD037258.

References

Zinsmaier, K. E., Hofbauer, A., Heimbeck, G., Pflugfelder, G. O., Buchner, S. and Buchner, E. (1990) A cysteine-string protein is expressed in retina and brain of Drosophila. Journal of neurogenetics. **7**, 15-29 DOI: 10.3109/01677069009084150.

2 Gundersen, C. B. (2020) Cysteine string proteins. Progress in neurobiology.
188, 101758 DOI: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2020.101758.

Mastrogiacomo, A., Parsons, S. M., Zampighi, G. A., Jenden, D. J., Umbach, J. A. and Gundersen, C. B. (1994) Cysteine string proteins: a potential link between synaptic vesicles and presynaptic Ca2+ channels. Science. **263**, 981-982 DOI: 10.1126/science.7906056.

4 Chamberlain, L. H., Henry, J. and Burgoyne, R. D. (1996) Cysteine string proteins are associated with chromaffin granules. J Biol Chem. **271**, 19514-19517 DOI: 10.1074/jbc.271.32.19514.

5 Brown, H., Larsson, O., Branstrom, R., Yang, S. N., Leibiger, B., Leibiger, I., *et al.* (1998) Cysteine string protein (CSP) is an insulin secretory granule-associated protein regulating beta-cell exocytosis. EMBO J. **17**, 5048-5058 DOI:

10.1093/emboj/17.17.5048.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

6 Chamberlain, L. H., Graham, M. E., Kane, S., Jackson, J. L., Maier, V. H., Burgoyne, R. D., *et al.* (2001) The synaptic vesicle protein, cysteine-string protein, is associated with the plasma membrane in 3T3-L1 adipocytes and interacts with syntaxin 4. J Cell Sci. **114**, 445-455 DOI: 10.1242/jcs.114.2.445.

7 Benitez, B. A. and Sands, M. S. (2017) Primary fibroblasts from CSPalpha mutation carriers recapitulate hallmarks of the adult onset neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis. Scientific reports. **7**, 6332 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-06710-1. Deruelle, V., Bouillot, S., Job, V., Taillebourg, E., Fauvarque, M. O., Attree, I., *et al.* (2021) The bacterial toxin ExoU requires a host trafficking chaperone for transportation and to induce necrosis. Nature communications. **12**, 4024 DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-24337-9.

9 Chamberlain, L. H. and Burgoyne, R. D. (1998) The cysteine-string domain of the secretory vesicle cysteine-string protein is required for membrane targeting.
Biochem J. 335 (Pt 2), 205-209 DOI: 10.1042/bj3350205.

10 van de Goor, J. and Kelly, R. B. (1996) Association of Drosophila cysteine string proteins with membranes. FEBS Lett. **380**, 251-256 DOI: 10.1016/0014-5793(96)00026-9.

11 Greaves, J. and Chamberlain, L. H. (2006) Dual role of the cysteine-string domain in membrane binding and palmitoylation-dependent sorting of the molecular chaperone cysteine-string protein. Mol Biol Cell. **17**, 4748-4759 DOI:

10.1091/mbc.E06-03-0183.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20230319

Zinsmaier, K. E., Eberle, K. K., Buchner, E., Walter, N. and Benzer, S. (1994)
Paralysis and early death in cysteine string protein mutants of Drosophila. Science.
263, 977-980 DOI: 10.1126/science.8310297.

13 Umbach, J. A., Zinsmaier, K. E., Eberle, K. K., Buchner, E., Benzer, S. and Gundersen, C. B. (1994) Presynaptic dysfunction in Drosophila csp mutants. Neuron. **13**, 899-907 DOI: 10.1016/0896-6273(94)90255-0.

Graham, M. E. and Burgoyne, R. D. (2000) Comparison of cysteine string protein (Csp) and mutant alpha-SNAP overexpression reveals a role for csp in late steps of membrane fusion in dense-core granule exocytosis in adrenal chromaffin cells. J Neurosci. **20**, 1281-1289 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.20-04-01281.2000. Evans, G. J., Wilkinson, M. C., Graham, M. E., Turner, K. M., Chamberlain, L.
H., Burgoyne, R. D., *et al.* (2001) Phosphorylation of cysteine string protein by
protein kinase A. Implications for the modulation of exocytosis. J Biol Chem. **276**,
47877-47885 DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M108186200.

16 Boal, F., Zhang, H., Tessier, C., Scotti, P. and Lang, J. (2004) The variable Cterminus of cysteine string proteins modulates exocytosis and protein-protein interactions. Biochemistry. **43**, 16212-16223 DOI: 10.1021/bi048612+.

17 Chamberlain, L. H. and Burgoyne, R. D. (1998) Cysteine string protein functions directly in regulated exocytosis. Mol Biol Cell. **9**, 2259-2267 DOI: 10.1091/mbc.9.8.2259.

18 Chiang, N., Hsiao, Y. T., Yang, H. J., Lin, Y. C., Lu, J. C. and Wang, C. T. (2014) Phosphomimetic mutation of cysteine string protein-alpha increases the rate of regulated exocytosis by modulating fusion pore dynamics in PC12 cells. PLoS One. **9**, e99180 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0099180. Downloaded from http://portlandpress.com/biochemj/article-pdf/doi/10.1042/BCJ20230319/953421/bcj-2023-0319.pdf by University of Liverpool user on 20 January 2024

19 Fernandez-Chacon, R., Wolfel, M., Nishimune, H., Tabares, L., Schmitz, F., Castellano-Munoz, M., *et al.* (2004) The synaptic vesicle protein CSP alpha prevents presynaptic degeneration. Neuron. **42**, 237-251 DOI: 10.1016/s0896-6273(04)00190-4.

Kashyap, S. S., Johnson, J. R., McCue, H. V., Chen, X., Edmonds, M. J.,
Ayala, M., *et al.* (2014) Caenorhabditis elegans dnj-14, the orthologue of the
DNAJC5 gene mutated in adult onset neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis, provides a new
platform for neuroprotective drug screening and identifies a SIR-2.1-independent
action of resveratrol. Hum Mol Genet. 23, 5916-5927 DOI: 10.1093/hmg/ddu316.
Huber, R. J., Hughes, S. M., Liu, W., Morgan, A., Tuxworth, R. I. and Russell,

C. (2020) The contribution of multicellular model organisms to neuronal ceroid

31

lipofuscinosis research. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis. **1866**, 165614 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2019.165614.

22 Noskova, L., Stranecky, V., Hartmannova, H., Pristoupilova, A., Baresova, V., Ivanek, R., *et al.* (2011) Mutations in DNAJC5, encoding cysteine-string protein alpha, cause autosomal-dominant adult-onset neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis. Am J Hum Genet. **89**, 241-252 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2011.07.003.

23 Benitez, B. A., Alvarado, D., Cai, Y., Mayo, K., Chakraverty, S., Norton, J., *et al.* (2011) Exome-sequencing confirms DNAJC5 mutations as cause of adult neuronal ceroid-lipofuscinosis. PLoS ONE. **6**, e26741 DOI:

10.1371/journal.pone.0026741.

Cadieux-Dion, M., Andermann, E., Lachance-Touchette, P., Ansorge, O., Meloche, C., Barnabe, A., *et al.* (2013) Recurrent mutations in DNAJC5 cause autosomal dominant Kufs disease. Clinical genetics. **83**, 571-575 DOI:

10.1111/cge.12020.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

Velinov, M., Dolzhanskaya, N., Gonzalez, M., Powell, E., Konidari, I., Hulme,
W., *et al.* (2012) Mutations in the gene DNAJC5 cause autosomal dominant Kufs
disease in a proportion of cases: study of the Parry family and 8 other families. PLoS
ONE. 7, e29729 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029729.

Jedličková, I., Cadieux-Dion, M., Přistoupilová, A., Stránecký, V., Hartmannová, H., Hodaňová, K., *et al.* (2020) Autosomal-dominant adult neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis caused by duplication in DNAJC5 initially missed by Sanger and whole-exome sequencing. Eur J Hum Genet. **28**, 783-789 DOI: 10.1038/s41431-019-0567-2.

Huang, Q., Zhang, Y. F., Li, L. J., Dammer, E. B., Hu, Y. B., Xie, X. Y., *et al.* (2022) Adult-Onset Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinosis With a Novel DNAJC5 Mutation

32

Exhibits Aberrant Protein Palmitoylation. Front Aging Neurosci. **14**, 829573 DOI: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.829573.

Zhang, Y. Q. and Chandra, S. S. (2014) Oligomerization of Cysteine String
Protein alpha mutants causing adult neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis. Biochim Biophys
Acta. **1842**, 2136-2146 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2014.07.009.

Naseri, N. N., Ergel, B., Kharel, P., Na, Y., Huang, Q., Huang, R., *et al.* (2020)
Aggregation of mutant cysteine string protein-alpha via Fe-S cluster binding is
mitigated by iron chelators. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *27*, 192-201 DOI: 10.1038/s41594020-0375-y.

30 Greaves, J., Lemonidis, K., Gorleku, O. A., Cruchaga, C., Grefen, C. and Chamberlain, L. H. (2012) Palmitoylation-induced aggregation of cysteine-string protein mutants that cause neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis. J Biol Chem. **287**, 37330-37339 DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M112.389098.

31 Diez-Ardanuy, C., Greaves, J., Munro, K. R., Tomkinson, N. C. O. and Chamberlain, L. H. (2017) A cluster of palmitoylated cysteines are essential for aggregation of cysteine-string protein mutants that cause neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis. Scientific Reports. **7**, 10 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-00036-8.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

32 Braun, J. E., Wilbanks, S. M. and Scheller, R. H. (1996) The cysteine string secretory vesicle protein activates Hsc70 ATPase. J Biol Chem. **271**, 25989-25993 DOI: 10.1074/jbc.271.42.25989.

Chamberlain, L. H. and Burgoyne, R. D. (1997) Activation of the ATPase
activity of heat-shock proteins Hsc70/Hsp70 by cysteine-string protein. Biochem J.
322 (Pt 3), 853-858 DOI: 10.1042/bj3220853.

Chamberlain, L. H. and Burgoyne, R. D. (2000) Cysteine-string protein: the chaperone at the synapse. J Neurochem. **74**, 1781-1789 DOI: 10.1046/j.1471-4159.2000.0741781.x.

Sharma, M., Burre, J. and Sudhof, T. C. (2011) CSPalpha promotes SNAREcomplex assembly by chaperoning SNAP-25 during synaptic activity. Nat Cell Biol.
13, 30-39 DOI: 10.1038/ncb2131.

36 Sharma, M., Burre, J., Bronk, P., Zhang, Y., Xu, W. and Sudhof, T. C. (2012) CSPalpha knockout causes neurodegeneration by impairing SNAP-25 function. Embo J. **31**, 829-841 DOI: 10.1038/emboj.2011.467.

Leveque, C., Pupier, S., Marqueze, B., Geslin, L., Kataoka, M., Takahashi,
M., *et al.* (1998) Interaction of cysteine string proteins with the alpha1A subunit of the
P/Q-type calcium channel. J Biol Chem. **273**, 13488-13492 DOI:

10.1074/jbc.273.22.13488.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20230319

38 Wu, M. N., Fergestad, T., Lloyd, T. E., He, Y., Broadie, K. and Bellen, H. J. (1999) Syntaxin 1A interacts with multiple exocytic proteins to regulate neurotransmitter release in vivo. Neuron. **23**, 593-605 DOI: 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80811-9.

Nie, Z., Ranjan, R., Wenniger, J. J., Hong, S. N., Bronk, P. and Zinsmaier, K.
E. (1999) Overexpression of cysteine-string proteins in Drosophila reveals
interactions with syntaxin. J Neurosci. **19**, 10270-10279 DOI:

10.1523/JNEUROSCI.19-23-10270.1999.

Evans, G. J. and Morgan, A. (2002) Phosphorylation-dependent interaction of the synaptic vesicle proteins cysteine string protein and synaptotagmin I. Biochem J.
364, 343-347 DOI: 10.1042/BJ20020123.

41 Boal, F., Laguerre, M., Milochau, A., Lang, J. and Scotti, P. A. (2011) A charged prominence in the linker domain of the cysteine-string protein CSPalpha mediates its regulated interaction with the calcium sensor synaptotagmin 9 during exocytosis. FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology. 25, 132-143 DOI: 10.1096/fj.09-152033.

Zhang, Y. Q., Henderson, M. X., Colangelo, C. M., Ginsberg, S. D., Bruce, C., 42 Wu, T., et al. (2012) Identification of CSPalpha clients reveals a role in dynamin 1 regulation. Neuron. 74, 136-150 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.01.029.

43 Magga, J. M., Jarvis, S. E., Arnot, M. I., Zamponi, G. W. and Braun, J. E. (2000) Cysteine string protein regulates G protein modulation of N-type calcium channels. Neuron. 28, 195-204 DOI: 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)00096-9.

44 Natochin, M., Campbell, T. N., Barren, B., Miller, L. C., Hameed, S., Artemyev, N. O., et al. (2005) Characterization of the G alpha(s) regulator cysteine string protein. J Biol Chem. 280, 30236-30241 DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M500722200.

Downloaded from http://portlandpress.com/biochemj/article-pdf/doi/10.1042/BCJ20230319/953421/bcj-2023-0319.pdf by University of Liverpool user on 20 January 2024

45 Prescott, G. R., Jenkins, R. E., Walsh, C. M. and Morgan, A. (2008) Phosphorylation of cysteine string protein on Serine 10 triggers 14-3-3 protein binding. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 377, 809-814 DOI:

10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.10.069.

46 Miller, L. C., Swayne, L. A., Kay, J. G., Feng, Z. P., Jarvis, S. E., Zamponi, G. W., et al. (2003) Molecular determinants of cysteine string protein modulation of Ntype calcium channels. J Cell Sci. 116, 2967-2974 DOI: 10.1242/jcs.00595.

Kyle, B. D., Ahrendt, E., Braun, A. P. and Braun, J. E. (2013) The large 47 conductance, calcium-activated K+ (BK) channel is regulated by cysteine string protein. Scientific reports. 3, 2447 DOI: 10.1038/srep02447.

48 Xu, Y., Cui, L., Dibello, A., Wang, L., Lee, J., Saidi, L., *et al.* (2018) DNAJC5 facilitates USP19-dependent unconventional secretion of misfolded cytosolic proteins. Cell Discov. **4**, 11 DOI: 10.1038/s41421-018-0012-7.

Fontaine, S. N., Zheng, D., Sabbagh, J. J., Martin, M. D., Chaput, D., Darling,
A., *et al.* (2016) DnaJ/Hsc70 chaperone complexes control the extracellular release
of neurodegenerative-associated proteins. EMBO J. **35**, 1537-1549 DOI:
10.15252/embj.201593489.

50 Lee, J., Xu, Y., Saidi, L., Xu, M., Zinsmaier, K. and Ye, Y. (2022) Abnormal triaging of misfolded proteins by adult neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis-associated DNAJC5/CSPα mutants causes lipofuscin accumulation. Autophagy, 1-20 DOI: 10.1080/15548627.2022.2065618.

51 Deng, J., Koutras, C., Donnelier, J., Alshehri, M., Fotouhi, M., Girard, M., *et al.* (2017) Neurons Export Extracellular Vesicles Enriched in Cysteine String Protein and Misfolded Protein Cargo. Scientific reports. **7**, 956 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-01115-6.

Lee, J., Xu, Y., Zhang, T., Cui, L., Saidi, L. and Ye, Y. (2018) Secretion of misfolded cytosolic proteins from mammalian cells is independent of chaperonemediated autophagy. J Biol Chem. **293**, 14359-14370 DOI:

10.1074/jbc.RA118.003660.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

53 Braun, J. E. and Scheller, R. H. (1995) Cysteine string protein, a DnaJ family member, is present on diverse secretory vesicles. Neuropharmacology. **34**, 1361-1369 DOI: 10.1016/0028-3908(95)00114-I.

54 Swayne, L. A., Blattler, C., Kay, J. G. and Braun, J. E. (2003) Oligomerization characteristics of cysteine string protein. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. **300**, 921-926 DOI: 10.1016/s0006-291x(02)02964-9. 55 Stahl, B., Tobaben, S. and Sudhof, T. C. (1999) Two distinct domains in hsc70 are essential for the interaction with the synaptic vesicle cysteine string protein. Eur J Cell Biol. **78**, 375-381 DOI: 10.1016/S0171-9335(99)80079-X.

56 Tobaben, S., Thakur, P., Fernandez-Chacon, R., Sudhof, T. C., Rettig, J. and Stahl, B. (2001) A trimeric protein complex functions as a synaptic chaperone machine. Neuron. **31**, 987-999 DOI: 10.1016/s0896-6273(01)00427-5.

57 Branon, T. C., Bosch, J. A., Sanchez, A. D., Udeshi, N. D., Svinkina, T., Carr, S. A., *et al.* (2018) Efficient proximity labeling in living cells and organisms with TurbolD. Nature biotechnology. **36**, 880-887 DOI: 10.1038/nbt.4201.

Kim, D. I., Birendra, K. C., Zhu, W., Motamedchaboki, K., Doye, V. and Roux,
K. J. (2014) Probing nuclear pore complex architecture with proximity-dependent
biotinylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **111**, E2453-2461 DOI:

10.1073/pnas.1406459111.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

59 Greaves, J., Salaun, C., Fukata, Y., Fukata, M. and Chamberlain, L. H. (2008) Palmitoylation and membrane interactions of the neuroprotective chaperone cysteine-string protein. J Biol Chem. **283**, 25014-25026 DOI:

10.1074/jbc.M802140200.

60 Shirafuji, T., Ueyama, T., Adachi, N., Yoshino, K. I., Sotomaru, Y., Uwada, J., et al. (2018) The Role of Cysteine String Protein alpha Phosphorylation at Serine 10 and 34 by Protein Kinase Cgamma for Presynaptic Maintenance. J Neurosci. **38**, 278-290 DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1649-17.2017.

Patel, P., Prescott, G. R., Burgoyne, R. D., Lian, L. Y. and Morgan, A. (2016)
Phosphorylation of Cysteine String Protein Triggers a Major Conformational Switch.
Structure. 24, 1380-1386 DOI: 10.1016/j.str.2016.06.009.

Naseri, N., Sharma, M. and Velinov, M. (2021) Autosomal dominant neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis: Clinical features and molecular basis. Clinical genetics. **99**, 111-118 DOI: 10.1111/cge.13829.

Piette, B. L., Alerasool, N., Lin, Z. Y., Lacoste, J., Lam, M. H. Y., Qian, W. W., *et al.* (2021) Comprehensive interactome profiling of the human Hsp70 network
highlights functional differentiation of J domains. Mol Cell. **81**, 2549-2565 e2548 DOI: 10.1016/j.molcel.2021.04.012.

Xicoy, H., Wieringa, B. and Martens, G. J. M. (2017) The SH-SY5Y cell line in
Parkinson's disease research: a systematic review. Mol Neurodegener. 12, 10 DOI:
10.1186/s13024-017-0149-0.

Taupenot, L. (2007) Analysis of regulated secretion using PC12 cells. CurrentProtocols in Cell Biology. Chapter 15, Unit 15.12 DOI:

10.1002/0471143030.cb1512s36.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

Chamberlain, L. H. and Burgoyne, R. D. (1998) Cysteine string protein
functions directly in regulated exocytosis. Molecular Biology of the Cell. 9, 2259-2267
DOI: 10.1091/mbc.9.8.2259.

67 Huang da, W., Sherman, B. T. and Lempicki, R. A. (2009) Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc. **4**, 44-57 DOI: 10.1038/nprot.2008.211.

Roux, K. J., Kim, D. I., Burke, B. and May, D. G. (2018) BioID: A Screen for
Protein-Protein Interactions. Curr Protoc Protein Sci. **91**, 19.23.11-19.23.15 DOI:
10.1002/cpps.51.

69 Chandra, S., Gallardo, G., Fernandez-Chacon, R., Schluter, O. M. and Sudhof, T. C. (2005) Alpha-synuclein cooperates with CSPalpha in preventing neurodegeneration. Cell. **123**, 383-396 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.09.028. Jahn, R. and Scheller, R. H. (2006) SNAREs--engines for membrane fusion. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. **7**, 631-643 DOI: 10.1038/nrm2002.

Verhage, M., Maia, A. S., Plomp, J. J., Brussaard, A. B., Heeroma, J. H., Vermeer, H., *et al.* (2000) Synaptic assembly of the brain in the absence of neurotransmitter secretion. Science. **287**, 864-869 DOI:

10.1126/science.287.5454.864.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCL20230319

Rozas, J. L., Gomez-Sanchez, L., Mircheski, J., Linares-Clemente, P., Nieto-Gonzalez, J. L., Vazquez, M. E., *et al.* (2012) Motorneurons require cysteine string protein-alpha to maintain the readily releasable vesicular pool and synaptic vesicle recycling. Neuron. **74**, 151-165 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.02.019.

Cox, J., Hein, M. Y., Luber, C. A., Paron, I., Nagaraj, N. and Mann, M. (2014) Accurate proteome-wide label-free quantification by delayed normalization and maximal peptide ratio extraction, termed MaxLFQ. Molecular & cellular proteomics : MCP. **13**, 2513-2526 DOI: 10.1074/mcp.M113.031591.

Hase, K., Contu, V. R., Kabuta, C., Sakai, R., Takahashi, M., Kataoka, N., *et al.* (2020) Cytosolic domain of SIDT2 carries an arginine-rich motif that binds to
RNA/DNA and is important for the direct transport of nucleic acids into lysosomes.
Autophagy. 16, 1974-1988 DOI: 10.1080/15548627.2020.1712109.

Miller, L. C., Swayne, L. A., Chen, L., Feng, Z. P., Wacker, J. L., Muchowski,
P. J., *et al.* (2003) Cysteine string protein (CSP) inhibition of N-type calcium
channels is blocked by mutant huntingtin. J Biol Chem. **278**, 53072-53081 DOI:
10.1074/jbc.M306230200.

Hung, V., Udeshi, N. D., Lam, S. S., Loh, K. H., Cox, K. J., Pedram, K., *et al.* (2016) Spatially resolved proteomic mapping in living cells with the engineered peroxidase APEX2. Nature Protocols. **11**, 456-475 DOI: 10.1038/nprot.2016.018.

39

Perez-Riverol, Y., Csordas, A., Bai, J., Bernal-Llinares, M., Hewapathirana,
S., Kundu, D. J., *et al.* (2019) The PRIDE database and related tools and resources
in 2019: improving support for quantification data. Nucleic Acids Res. **47**, D442-D450
DOI: 10.1093/nar/gky1106.

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20230319

Table 1Gene names of top 50 LC-MS hits.

Proteins with >1.5-fold increase in abundance are listed by gene name and UniProt accession number in order of greatest fold-change. Statistically significant hits are highlighted in bold and marked by an asterisk.

CSP WT miniTurbo vs.		CSP L115R miniTurbo vs.		
miniTurbo Control	No Biotin Control	CSP 115R	miniTurbo Control	CSP WT
		miniTurbo		miniTurbo
Hspa1a* (P0DMW1)	MiniTurbo*	C2cd2l* (Q5U2P5)	Hspa1a (P0DMW1)	Acaca* (P11497)
Sidt2* (D3ZEH5)	Snan25* (P60881-2)	Snx3* (Q5U211)	Myh11 (F9PTU4)	Mccc1 (F1LP30)
Vamp2* (P63045)	Aafa1* (Q4KLH5)	Arbadia (05XI73)	Sidt2* (D3ZEH5)	Tuba1h (06P9\/9)
Arhodia* (Q5XI73)	Csrp1* (P47875)	Stx7* (070257)	Dnaic5 (A0A0G2.IX56)	Nefm (P12839)
Snx3* (Q5U211)	Scamp1* (P56603)	Bajap2* (Q6GMN2)	Nudcd2 (05M823)	Map1b (P15205)
Stx7* (070257)	Comt* (P22734)	Hn1(Q6AXU6)	Hsph1 (Q66HA8)	Map1a (P34926)
C2cd2l (Q5U2P5)	Hn1* (Q6AXU6)	Asap1* (Q1AAU6)	Hsp90b1 (Q66HD0)	Pc (P52873)
Stxbp1* (P61765)	Cdv3* (A0A0G2K0B0)	Vamp2* (P63045)	Stip1 (035814)	Prph (P21807)
Pcdh1* (A0A0G2K6T9)	Dpvsl2* (P47942)	Sept11 (A0A0G2JUL7)	Pcca (A0A0G2K401)	
Nudcd2* (Q5M823)	Txn1* (P11232)	Sept8 (G3V9Z6)	Hsp90aa1 (P82995)	
Mvh11 (E9PTU4)	Sprr1a* (G3V755)	Snap29* (Q9JI56)	Sptan1 (A0A0G2JZ69)	
Sept11 (A0A0G2JUL7)	Ahnak* (A0A0G2JUA5)	Ctnnd1* (D3ZZZ9)	Dnaic7 (G3V8B8)	
Scamp1 (P56603)	Sept7* (D4A0F5)	Esyt2* (D3ZJ32)	Coro1c (G3V624)	
Dnajc5* (A0A0G2JX56)	Stx7* (070257)	Stxbp1* (P61765)	Hsp90ab1 (P34058)	
Baiap2* (Q6GMN2)	Hn1I* (Q5BK20)	Cdv3* (A0A0G2K0B0)	Sptbn1 (G3V6S0)	
Ppid (Q6DGG0)	Snap91* (F1LRK0)	Pcdh1 (A0A0G2K6T9)	Aip (Q5FWY5)	
Snap25* (P60881-2)	Picalm* (Q498N4)	Basp1* (Q05175)	Ppid (Q6DGG0)	
Epb41I2* (D3ZDT1)	Pak2* (Q64303)	Eif2a* (D3ZUV3)	Atp7a (P70705)	
Esyt2* (D3ZJ32)	TagIn2* (Q5XFX0)	AnInI1 (M0R9N8)	Rps5 (B0BN81)	
Sept9* (F1LN75)	C2cd2l* (Q5U2P5)	Ahcyl2 (D3ZWL6)	Acaca (P11497)	
Slc9a3r1* (Q9JJ19)	Esyt1* (Q9Z1X1)	Pebp1 (P31044)	Tuba1b (Q6P9V9)	
Ctnna1* (Q5U302)	Ahcyl2* (D3ZWL6)	Ctnna1 (Q5U302)	Trpv2 (A0A0G2JSH6)	
Clk2* (A0A0G2K5Q8)	Nudcd2* (Q5M823)	Slc9a3r1* (Q9JJ19)	Sugt1 (B0BN85)	
Ctnnd1* (D3ZZZ9)	Cttn* (D3ZGE6)	Sept9* (F1LN75)	Pdia3 (P11598)	
Add1* (A0A0G2JSM7)	Tpd52l2* (Q6PCT3)	Ykt6 (Q5EGY4)	Aco2 (Q9ER34)	
Sept6* (B5DFG5)	Sept11* (A0A0G2JUL7)	Sprr1a (G3V755)		
Sept8* (G3V9Z6)	Pdap1* (Q62785)	Snap25* (P60881-2)		
Ykt6 (Q5EGY4)	Arhgdia* (Q5XI73)	Esyt1* (Q9Z1X1)		
AnInI1 (M0R9N8)	Stip1* (O35814)	Sept7 (D4A0F5)		
Basp1* (Q05175)	Snx3* (Q5U211)	Sept6* (B5DFG5)		
Pebp1 (P31044)	Cct8* (D4ACB8)	Scamp1 (P56603)		
Trpv2* (A0A0G2JSH6)	Nudc* (Q63525)	Pdap1* (Q62785)		
Gnas* (Q63803)	Vamp2* (P63045)	Chmp2b* (F1M8B7)		
Snap29 (Q9JI56)	Sytl4* (G3V6G6)	Rab11b (O35509)		
Rab11b* (O35509)	Clint1* (Q6DGF2)	Arhgap1 (D4A6C5)		
Yes1* (F1LM93)	Crip2* (P36201)	Sh3gl1* (O35964)		
Sept7 (D4A0F5)	Epb41I3* (A3E0T0)	Necap2* (Q6P756)		
Atp7a (P70705)	Milt4* (O35889)	Camlg* (Q6DGG9)		
Pkn2* (F1LPA4)	Ubap2I* (E9PTR4)	Chmp4b* (M0RCH6)		
Atp2b4* (Q64542-4)	Pdlim1* (P52944)	Sept2 (Q91Y81)		
Sptbn1* (G3V6S0)	Stxbp1* (P61765)	Pdcd5* (D4ADF5)		
H2ac (P0CC09)	Madd* (008873)	Epb41l2* (D3ZDT1)		
Vti1b* (P58200)	Eit4b* (Q5RKG9)	Crip1 (P63255)		
Arpp19 (Q712U5)	Esyt2* (D3ZJ32)	Add1 (A0A0G2JSM7)		
Fam129b* (B4F7E8)	Arhgap1* (D4A6C5)	Picalm* (Q498N4)		
Unajb1* (B0K030)	Snap29* (Q9JI56)	Rab11tip1 (Q3B/19)		
Rab3d (Q63942)		IST1^ (Q568Z6)		
	Sept9" (F1LN/5)			
iviini i urbo^	EDS1511" (D3ZJR1)	CCCC124" (D32UL1)		

Figure legends

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20230319

Figure 1 Proximity labelling approach.

A) Plasmids are constructed containing cDNA encoding DNAJC5 (wild type or mutant) fused to miniTurbo. (B) Following transfection and biotin addition, the miniTurbo enzyme tag labels proteins within 10 nm of the bait with biotin (denoted by yellow circles). (C) Cells are lysed and biotinylated proteins isolated using streptavidin beads, and identified by either mass spectrometry or western blotting.

Figure 2 Validation of miniTurbo biotinylation.

(A) Representative western blot of HEK293T cells transfected with either an empty miniTurbo control vector, or CSP WT/L115R-V5-miniTurbo, either in the presence (+) or absence (-) of biotin. Membranes were probed for streptavidin-HRP, with Ponceau S representing total protein. (B+C) Representative western blot of lysates from (A) following a pull-down of biotinylated protein. Samples loaded include whole cell lysate prior to the pull-down (input), proteins eluted (bound) and the flow-through (unbound). Membranes were probed for streptavidin-HRP (B) and Hsc70 (C), with Ponceau S representing total protein.

Figure 3 PC12 cells express high levels of CSPα-associated proteins.

Western blot of HEK293T, HeLa, SH-SY5Y, PC12 and A549 cell lysates, probing for both reported CSPα-interacting proteins and general synaptic proteins. Rat brain lysates were used as a positive control for antisera binding. Ponceau S staining represents total protein loading.

Figure 4 Immunofluorescence of PC12 cells stably transfected with WT/L115R CSP-V5-miniTurbo constructs.

Cells were fixed, permeabilised and then probed with DAPI and antisera against the V5 epitope; along with either anti-CSP antibody (A) or Alexa488-Streptavidin (B) to label biotinylated proteins. Images shown were acquired on a Zeiss Axio Examiner ZI LSM880 confocal microscope, using 405 nm, 488 nm and 594 nm excitation lasers with 63x objective lens. Scale bars: 10 µm.

Figure 5 Volcano plot of LC-MS hits from biotin affinity purification.

Comparison of proteins enriched in PC12 cells expressing WT CSP-miniTurbo versus miniTurbo control (A) and L115R CSP-miniTurbo (B). Proteins identified in the proteomic analysis are distributed according to fold abundance change and statistical significance. The curved line indicates a false discovery rate of 0.05. Proteins enriched in WT CSP are shown in green, with the exception of selected hits of interest, which are colour co-ordinated based on whether they were affected (VAMP-2, SNAP-25, Munc18-1, in magenta) or unaffected (Hsc70, CSP, SIDT2, in blue) by the L115R mutation. Proteins enriched in the miniTurbo control and L115R mutation.

Figure 6 Validation of WT CSP LC-MS hits through western blotting.

PC12 cells stably transfected with miniTurbo control or WT CSP-V5-miniTurbo, with a biotin-free control, were subjected to pull-down of biotinylated proteins. Samples loaded include whole cell lysate prior to the pull-down (input), protein bound to the streptavidin beads (bound) and the flow-through (unbound). Membranes were probed for various hits of interest from the LC-MS results, with total protein loaded represented by Ponceau S staining.

Figure 7 Validation of WT/L115R CSP LC-MS hits through western blotting.

PC12 cells stably transfected with miniTurbo control or WT/L115R CSP-V5miniTurbo and subject to pull-down of biotinylated protein. Samples loaded include whole cell lysate prior to the pull-down (input), protein bound to the streptavidin beads (bound) and the flow-through (unbound). Membranes were probed for Munc18-1, SNAP-25 and Hsc70, with total protein loaded represented by Ponceau S staining.

Figure 8 Venn diagram of BioID hits common to this study and other published data. Overlap was assessed between proteins increased in abundance by >1.5-fold in WT CSP compared with the miniTurbo control and no biotin control, in L115R CSP compared with the miniTurbo control, and LC-MS results on WT CSP from Piette et al. (2021) [63].



Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20230319



Biotin

Streptavidin-HRP

Ponceau S

Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BC.20230319



Fig. 3





WT CSP vs miniTurbo control



Biochemical Journal. This is an Accepted Manuscript. You are encouraged to use the Version of Record that, when published, will replace this version. The most up-to-date-version is available at https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20230319









Downloaded from http://portlandpress.com/biochemj/article-pdf/doi/10.1042/BCJ20230319/953421/bcj-2023-0319.pdf by University of Liverpool user on 20 January 2024

Supplementary Table S1. List of Oligonucleotides used for Cloning.

	Forward (F) /	Sequence	
Oligo name	Reverse (R)	5' to 3'	
V5-MiniTurbo	F	accccagctaccacactgacgggttcaaccTCGAGCGCCACCATG GACTACAAG	
	R	agggataggcttaccttcgaaccgcgggccCCTTGAGCTCGAGAT CTGAGTCC	
Xhol mutagenesis	F	CTCGAGTAACCCAGCTTTCTTGTAC	
	R	GTTGAACCCGTCAGTGTG	
	F	TTTGTCTTCTGCGGCCGTCTCACGTGCTGCTAC	
L115R	R	GTAGCAGCACGTGAGACGGCCGCAGAAGACAAA	
mutagenesis	R	ATGGTGGCGGCCGCATAGTTGAACCCGTCAGTGTGG T	

Oligonucleotide overhangs for ligation are indicated in lowercase letters.

Supplementary Table S2. Primary antibodies used in western blotting.

Target Protein	Working	Antibody	Manufacturer	Reference or
	dilution	species		Catalogue #
DNAJC5/CSPα	1:1000	Sheep	Morgan lab	Evans <i>et al</i> (2006)
				J. Biol. Chem. 281,
				1564
Dynamin-1	1:1000	Mouse	BD	610245
			Biosciences	
Hsc70	1:5000	Mouse	Sigma	H5147
ISCU	1:5000	Rabbit	Novus	NBP2-14998
			Biologicals	
Munc-18-1	1:1000	Mouse	BD	610337
			Biosciences	
NSF	1:1000	Mouse	Tagaya lab	Tagaya et al
				(1993) <i>J. Biol.</i>
				Chem. 268 , 2662
Streptavidin-	1:1000	N/A	Sigma	RPN1231
HRP				
SNAP-25	1:10000	Mouse	Synaptic	111 111
			systems	
Synaptophysin	1:1000	Mouse	Sigma	S5768
Synaptotagmin-	1:1000	Mouse	Synaptic	105 011
1			systems	
Syntaxin-1	1:10,000	Mouse	Abcam	ab3265
VAMP2	1:2000	Rabbit	Takahashi lab	El Far <i>et al</i> (1995)
				FEBS Lett. 361,
				101
V5 tag	1:1000	Mouse	Thermo-Fisher	R960-25

Supplementary Table 3 BioID hits from this study and published data.

Comparison of LC-MS hits with >1.5-fold upregulation across conditions compared within study and/or with published data from Piette et al 2021. Details of datasets

compared are indicated in bold at the top of each column.

riette et al., 2021	riette et al., 2021	control	Control	control
CSP WT vs miniTurbo Control	CSP WT vs miniTurbo Control	WT CSP vs no biotin control	CSP WT vs no biotin Control	L115R CSP vs miniTurbo control
L115R CSP vs	CSP WT vs no biotin	L115R CSP vs		
niniTurbo Control	Control	miniTurbo Control		
itp7a	add1	coro1c	alkbh3	myh11
ptbn1	add3	dnajc5	anlnl1	pdia3
tip1	ap3d1	hspa1a	arfgap3	
	arhgap1	hsph1	arhgdia	
	atp2b4	nudcd2	arpp19	
	baiap2	ppid	asap1	
	basp1	sidt2	c2cd2l	
	cdc42bpb	sugt1	camlg	
	ctnna1	trpv2	cc2d1a	
	ctnnd1		ccdc124	
	daami		cdc37	
	dig1		chmp2b	
	epu4112		dk2	
	esyl2		crin1	
	iun		dmvl2	
	jup man/k5		dnaih1	
	map4K3		ohd3	
	narsin?		ehd4	
	nak4		enh41l3	
	nard3		epo4115	
	nkn2		epiiz esyt1	
	rah11fin1		fabn5	
	rab7a		fam129h	
	scamp1		fcho2	
	stuh1		gnas	
	stx7		h2ac	
	tpd52l2		hspa4	
	ves1		lasp1	
	ykt6		miniTurbo	
	,		palm	
			pcdh1	
			pdcd5	
			pebp1	
			picalm	
			pkp3	
			plekha2	
			ppme1	
			rab11b	
			rab23	
			rab3d	
			rgd1559896	
			sept11	
			sept2	
			sept6	
			sept7	
			sept8	
			sept9	
			sh3gl1	
			slc9a3r1	
			snap25	
			snap29	
			snap91	
			snx1	
			snx3	
			snx9	
			sprr1a	
			stxbp1	
			tagIn2	
			tppp	
			tub	
			ube2m	
			vamp2	
			vat1	
			vti1b	
			zwint	



Supplementary Figure S1 Optimisation of miniTurbo biotinylation.

(A) Western blot of HEK293T cells transfected with WT CSP-V5-miniTurbo and subsequently incubated in 0-500 μ M biotin for 24 hours (i), quantified by densitometry and normalised to total protein (Ponceau S) (ii) (n=1). (B) Western blot of HEK293T cells transfected with WT CSP-V5-miniTurbo and subsequently incubated with 50 μ M biotin for 0-24 hours (i), quantified by densitometry and normalised to total protein (Ponceau S) (ii).



Supplementary Figure S2 Optimisation of biotinylated protein capture.

(A) Western blot of HEK293T cells transfected with WT CSP-V5-miniTurbo, incubated with 50 μ M biotin for 24 hours, prior to biotin affinity purification. Unbound lysates were collected at various timepoints between 15 minutes and 24 hours and probed for streptavidin-HRP (i), quantified by densitometry and normalised to total protein (Ponceau S) (ii) (n=1). (B) Western blot of HEK293T cells transfected with WT CSP-V5-miniTurbo, and incubated with 50 μ M biotin for 24 hours, prior to biotin affinity purification, using 10-60 μ L Neutravidin beads and probed for streptavidin-HRP (i). Relative quantity of biotinylated protein in the unbound flow-through was quantified by densitometry and normalised to total protein (Ponceau S) (ii).



Supplementary Figure S3 Streptavidin beads capture increased quantities of biotinylated proteins.

(A) Western blot of HEK293T cells transfected with WT CSP-V5-miniTurbo, and incubated with 50 μ M biotin for 24 hours, prior to biotin affinity purification, using neutravidin or streptavidin beads and probed for streptavidin-HRP. Samples loaded include proteins eluted from the beads (bound) and the flow-through (unbound). (B) Quantification of western blot in (A) through densitometry and normalisation to Ponceau S.



Supplementary Figure S4 Optimisation of biotinylated protein elution.

(A) Western blot of HEK293T cells transfected with WT CSP-V5-miniTurbo, incubated with 50 μ M biotin for 24 hours, prior to biotin affinity purification and subsequent elution following 1-30 minutes boiling in SDS. Levels of biotinylated protein were assessed by western blotting using streptavidin-HRP. (B) Quantification of western blot in (A) by densitometry and normalised to total protein (Ponceau S).



Supplementary Figure S5 Immunofluorescence of PC-12 cells stably transfected with miniTurbo control or WT CSP-V5-miniTurbo, with untransfected controls, in the presence of biotin and probed for CSP and streptavidin. Representative images shown were acquired on a Zeiss Axio Examiner ZI LSM880, using 488 nm and 594 nm excitation laser with 63× objective lens. Scale bars: 10 µm.



Supplementary Figure S6 Principal component analysis of LC-MS data.

Datasets compared include that from WT/L115R CSP-miniTurbo biotin affinity purification, including empty miniTurbo and no biotin controls. Each of the three independent biological replicates for each condition is indicated by the relevant symbols.