# Investigation of How Corneal Densitometry Artefacts Affect the Imaging of Normal and Keratoconic Corneas

Rami Alanazi <sup>1</sup>, Louise Pellegrino Gomes Esporcatte <sup>2-4</sup>, Lynn White <sup>5</sup>, Marcella Q. Salomão <sup>2-4,6-7</sup>, Bernardo T Lopes <sup>2,4,8</sup>, Renato Ambrósio Jr <sup>3-4,9</sup> and Ahmed Abass <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Materials, Design and Manufacturing Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
- <sup>2</sup> Rio de Janeiro Corneal Tomography and Biomechanics Study Group, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- <sup>3</sup> Instituto de Olhos Renato Ambrósio, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Department of Ophthalmology, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
- Research and Development Department, LWVision, Leicester, UK
- <sup>6</sup> Instituto Benjamin Constant, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Ophthalmology, Federal University the State of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Ophthalmology Eye Clinic, Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK
- <sup>9</sup> Brazilian Study Group of Artificial Intelligence and Corneal Analysis BrAIN, Rio de Janeiro & Maceió, Brazil
- \* Correspondence: Dr Ahmed Abass, Department of Materials, Design and Manufacturing Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3GH, UK. A.Abass@liverpool.ac.uk

Abstract: Purpose: To investigate corneal densitometry artefacts found in Pentacam Scheimpflug 19 scans and their potential effect on assessing keratoconic (KC) corneas compared to normal (N) cor-20 neas. Methods: The current study utilises Pentacam data of 458 N eyes, aged 35.6 ± 15.8 (range 10 -21 87), referred to as the "N group", and 314 KC eyes, aged  $31.6 \pm 10.8$  (range 10 - 72), referred to as 22 the "KC group", where densitometry data was extracted and analysed via a custom-built MATLAB 23 code. Radial summations of the densitometry were calculated at diameters ranging from 0.5 mm to 24 5.0 mm. The minimum normalised radial summation of densitometry (NRSD) value and angle were 25 determined at each diameter and then linked. KC cone locations and areas of pathology were deter-26 mined, and a comparison between N and KC groups was carried out both within the averaged area 27 of pathology and over the corneal surface. Results: Joining minimum NRSD trajectory points 28 marked a clear distortion line pointing to the nasal-superior direction at 65° from the nasal meridian. 29 Findings were found to be independent of eye laterality or ocular condition. Consistency was de-30 tected in the right and left eyes among both N's and KC's groups. The location of the KC cone centre 31 and the area of pathology were determined, and densitometry output was compared both within 32 the area of pathology and over the whole cornea. When averaged densitometry was compared be-33 tween N and KC eyes within the KC area of pathology, the N group recorded 16.37±3.15 normalised 34 grey scale unit (NGSU), and the KC group recorded 17.74±3.4 NGSU (p=0.0001). However, when 35 the whole cornea was considered, the N group recorded 16.71±5.5 NGSU, and the KC group rec-36 orded 15.72±3.98 NGSU (p=0.0467). A weak correlation was found between Bad D index and NGSU 37 when the whole measured cornea was considered (R=-0.01); however, a better correlation was rec-38 orded within the KC area of pathology(R=0.21). Conclusions: Nasal-superior artefacts are observed 39 in the densitometry Pentacam maps, and analysis shows no significant differences in their appear-40 ance between N or KC corneas. When analysing KC corneas, it was found that the cone positions 41 are mostly on the temporal-inferior side of the cornea, opposite to the densitometry artefact NRSD 42 trajectory. Analysis suggests that the corneal densitometry artefacts do not interfere with the KC 43 area of pathology as it reaches its extreme in the opposite direction; therefore, weighting the densi-44 tometry map to increase the contribution of the inferior-temporal cornea and decreasing that of the 45 superior-nasal area would improve classification or identification of KC if densitometry is to be used 46 as a KC barometer. 47

**Keywords:** eye; cornea; densitometry; artefacts; keratoconus; KC cone; KC area of pathology

1

2

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

#### 1. Introduction

Advancements in non-invasive imaging techniques are increasingly being used in diagnosing and monitoring ocular conditions, including ultrasonic elastography (UE), Optical coherence elastography (OCE), Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) or Scheimpflug systems [1-6]

Corneal densitometry is an imaging technology used by instruments such as OCT or 55 Scheimpflug systems, to measure the amount and distribution of backscattered light from 56 different zones of the cornea. While this technique can provide valuable information about 57 the cornea's health, it is vital to be aware of potential artefacts or errors that can affect the 58 accuracy of the results. These artefacts can arise from relatively manageable issues such 59 as eye movement, poor fixation, scatter from light in the measurement room and out-of-60 date instrument calibration. More significantly, they can also be caused by subject-related 61 factors such as tear film instability and eye tilt [7] or, in the case of OCT densitometry, 62 epithelial speckle [8]. 63

Corneal densitometry is increasingly being used as a clinical tool to monitor and assist in the diagnosis of ocular conditions such as high myopia [9,10], corneal dystrophy [11], monoclonal gammopathy [12], corneal biomechanics [13,14] and KC [2,15-17]. In addition, densitometry is frequently used to assess corneal transparency at different stages of KC [15,18]; therefore, it is useful to understand the effects of any artefacts inherent in the measurement system.

The current study explores and analyses artefacts in densitometry maps generated 70 by the Pentacam rotating Scheimpflug camera system (Oculus, Inc., Wetzlar, Germany), 71 where the densitometry measurements are expressed in a grey scale from 0 to 100. Lower 72 values represent greater transparency, and a score of 100 means a totally opaque cornea. 73 For the analysis, a set of custom-built MATLAB codes (MathWorks Inc, Natick, MA, US) 74 were written to automatically import Pentacam data for N and KC corneas in double-75 precision numerical format up to 64-bit, then process it without manual human interven-76 tion, from raw data to final figures, to eliminate human factors during digital data pro-77 cessing entirely.

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Subject Data Collection and Processing

This retrospective study utilised fully anonymised records from Brazil's Hospital de 81 Olhos Santa Luzia, including N and KC patients. The analyses were conducted following 82 the standards of the Declaration of Helsinki and consented to by the ethical committee 83 board of the Federal University of São Paulo (UNIFESP/SP 2020 # 4.050.934). Subjects were excluded where there was a history of ocular diseases (other than KC), history of trauma 85 or ocular surgery and intraocular pressure (IOP) above 21 mmHg as measured by Ocular 86 Response Analyser (Reichert Technologies, Depew, USA). If the subjects wore contact 87 lenses, they were required to stop wearing them for a period of time before topography 88 measurement [19]; soft contact lens wearers were asked to remove lenses two weeks prior 89 to measurements and rigid, gas-permeable (RGP) four weeks prior. 90

The data collected in the study was collected by scanning one randomly selected eye 91 of each participant. Where a subject had only one confirmed KC eye, this eye was chosen. 92 At least three successive scans were taken for each subject, with approximately a period 93 of roughly half a minute between them. 94

The measurements were repeated until three scans with at least 90% instrument-gen-95 erated quality factors were achieved. Only scans with an examination quality status iden-96 tified as "OK" and error rank "0" were considered and analysed. Room lights were 97

49

50 51

52

53

54

64

65

66

67

68

69

78

79

80

switched off during data acquisition, and the Pentacam computer screen was directed98away from the participant's face to reduce interference from external light scattering. Par-99ticipants were asked to place their chin on the chinrest and their forehead on the forehead-100rest and then to fixate on a target at the centre of the instrument camera while the operator101carried out instrument adjustments using the joystick. The subjects were asked to blink102and reposition their head between each shot while the instrument was pulled back fully103and then realigned.104

In total, 458 N eyes aged  $35.6 \pm 15.8 (10 - 87)$  and 314 KC eyes aged  $31.6 \pm 10.8 (10 - 87)$ 105 72) were included in the current study. Table 1. refers to the clinical characteristics of the 106 study participants. Additionally, the severity of KC cases was classified from the Pen-107 tacam maps to form subgroups according to TKC index grading. In the current study, 108 Stage 1 refers to a TKC of 1 or less (21.5%); Stage 2 refers to a TKC of either 1-2 or 2 (37.9%); 109 Stage 3 refers to a TKC of either 2-3 or 3 (28.2%) and Stage 4 refers to a TKC of either 3-4 110 or 4 (12.4%). Table 1 shows the ranges of the multivariate index of Bad D, where the inclu-111 sion criterion for KC participants was a clear presence of KC with no previous ocular pro-112 cedures, such as collagen crosslinking and an index of Bad D more than 1.5 D/mm [20]. 113

Table 1. Clinical features of the participants as measured by the Pentacam system.

Clinical Classification	Normal (N)		Keratoconic (KC)	
	$Mean \pm STD$	Min: Max	$Mean \pm STD$	Min: Max
Minimum corneal thickness (µm)	550±33	492:660	466±39	307:568
Flat radius of curvature in the central 3 mm zone K1 (D)	42.6±1.4	39.4:46.6	44.7±3.1	36.7:55.3
Steep radius of curvature in the central 3 mm zone K2 (D)	43.8±1.5	40.3:47.9	48.4±3.7	41.8:59.4
Topographical KC classification TKC (level)	NA	NA	2.1±0.7	1:3.5
Index of Bad D (D/mm) - less than 1.5 is nor- mal [20]	0.4±0.5	-0.9:1.4	7.0±3.3	1.5:20.8

# 2.2. Measurement of Corneal Densitometry

The Pentacam system's software measures corneal depth over three layers; the ante-116 rior layer (120  $\mu$ m), the posterior layer (60  $\mu$ m) and the central layer, which comprises the 117 rest of the corneal thickness. The standard Pentacam settings allow the device to capture 118 25 cross-section images over evenly spread meridians. These images are interpolated 119 through an image signal processor integrated within the Pentacam software to create a 120 densitometry map over a diameter up to 12 mm in the post-measurement processing 121 stage. The Pentacam software-driven map is calibrated and expressed in normalised gray-122 scale units (NGSU). The intensity values cover 256 brightness levels (0 for black to 255 for 123 white) and are normalised by the number of brightness levels to be within the 0 to 100 124 range; therefore, a minimum light scatter of 0 (black) represents no light scattering, indi-125 cating maximum transparency, and a maximum light scatter of 100 (white), indicating 126 minimum transparency [3], Figure 1. 127

115



133

157

Figure 1. Sample densitometry maps in NGSU for 61 years old male participant fellow eyes as displayed by the Pentacam software - the yellow arrows point to artefact-affected areas as visually129identified. (a) Oculus Dexter (OD) or right eye; (b) Oculus Sinister (OS) or left eye. In this figure, T131stands for temporal, and N stands for nasal.132

## 2.3. Processing Corneal Densitometry Measurements

Densitometry measurements were constructed collectively in a three-dimensional 134 matrix for each cornea before being averaged as a map. Pentacam densitometry data were 135 extracted over the corneal apex, as a central point, with a mesh grid covering -7.0 to 7.0 136 mm in 0.1 steps in both nasal-temporal and superior-inferior directions with missing ele-137 vation values around corners and edges set to NaN (Not a Number). A fully 100% com-138 puterised custom-built MATLAB code was written primarily for this study to allow com-139 prehensive, repeatable, automated analyses. Before processing them separately, the code 140 read comma-separated values files (CSV) files of all participants' right or left corneas. Alt-141 hough no fellow eyes of the same participant were included, right and left eyes were ini-142 tially analysed separately to ensure that variances between right and left eyes could be 143 detected. To compare KC and N corneas, left eye data were flipped around the superior-144inferior axis to allow proper comparison between nasal and temporal data. This combina-145 tion facilitated the drawing of overall conclusions regarding any artefacts' effect on using 146 densitometry as a tool for KC detection. Different maximum radii values of 0.5 mm to 5.0 147 mm, centred at the corneal apex, in 0.5 mm steps, were considered in the analyses to reflect 148 the investigated artefact effect over the corneal radius. The radial summation of each den-149 sitometry measurement map was calculated over 360° meridians and then normalised to 150 the range [0,1] before being multiplied by the radius where the densitometry was calcu-151 lated. The resulting marker is named "normalised radial summation of densitometry"; 152 (NRSD) and was used for further processing. Minimum NRSD values were automatically 153 identified, located, and their angular positions were recorded. Identified NRSD angular 154 positions were linked to calculation radii and then connected on the densitometry map by 155 a black line to indicate where the ultimate distortion occurs (see Figure 2 and Figure 3). 156



Figure 2. Normal eyes normalised radial summation of densitometry (a) right eyes at different radii 159 in mm, (b) left eyes at different radii in mm, (c) averaged cornea densitometry for right eyes with artefact contour line marked in black, (d) same as c but for left eyes. 161

- 160



Figure 3. KC eyes normalised radial summation of densitometry (a) right eyes at different radii in163mm, (b) left eyes at different radii in mm, (c) averaged cornea densitometry for right eyes with arte-164fact contour line marked in black, (d) same as c but for left eyes.165

# 2.4. KC Cone Centre Location and Boundary Analyses

The current study characterises the KC corneas' cone centre and size by an updated 167 version of a previously published method [21] where a best-fitted ellipsoid was used as a 168 reference surface instead of a perfect sphere reference to counteract the effect of corneal 169 astigmatism while identifying the KC cone effect. The method can be outlined in three 170 stages as follows. First, the corneal surface was levelled using a first-order Zernike poly-171 nomial fitted plane following the method outlined in previous work [22], and then the 172 resultant surface was best-fitted to an ellipsoid using a minimum least squared error 173 method. Secondly, the distances from the centre of the eye's best-fit ellipsoid to each point 174 on the corneal surface were calculated by switching to spherical coordinates with the 175 origin at the best-fit ellipsoid's centre; hence, the height data was presented by their X and 176 Y cartesian coordinates and their spherical coordinate radii as a third dimension. Finally, 177 the radii of the best-fit ellipsoid were subtracted from the spherical coordinate radii that 178 represent the corneal height data to obtain a flattened elevation map. This method of de-179 scribing the corneal surface separates the natural curvature of the cornea from the abnor-180 mal curvature resulting from the KC cone. 181

The anterior and posterior centres of the KC cone were then detected as the highest 182 points in the spherical height maps of the corneal surfaces. The cone boundary was de-183 tected by investigating an area with a 2 mm radius around the cone centre by dividing it 184 into 360 meridians with 1° spacing to attain maximal coverage. Then, the second deriva-185 tive of each of these meridians was used to detect the rate of change in the surface tangent. 186 A rapid change in this rate identified the position where the corneal curvature transforms 187 from the steep area of pathology within the KC cone to a more regular corneal shape area 188 beyond it. Joining these identified positions of KC cone edges in the 360 meridians formed 189 the KC cone boundary for each KC eye. 190

In the current study, averaged KC cone boundaries were approximated to circles and 191 calculated by fitting the areas of pathology to circular shapes, hence calculating the radii 192 and then averaging them. A histogram plot for KC cone centres was then introduced with 193 a bin size of 0.5 mm to represent the distribution of KC cone centres. Averaged densitom-194 etry analyses by KC severity were conducted twice. Once within the KC averaged cone 195 boundary in the KC group and once covering the whole measured portions of the KC 196 group's corneas. 197

# 2. Statistical Analyses

The statistical analyses of the current study were performed via MATLAB Statistics 199 and Machine Learning Toolbox software. The built-in MATLAB function "ttest2" was uti-200 lised for two-sample t-tests to return p-values and test decisions for the null hypothesis in 201 a binary format. A confidence level of 95.0% was used to set the null hypothesis to examine 202 the interpretations of the results based on statistical indications. Using the Kolmogorov-203 Smirnov test [23], the normal distribution of the samples was confirmed before each of the 204 two-sample t-tests was implemented to explore whether data set couples were signifi-205 cantly different and to check whether the evaluated results characterised an independent 206 data record. Within the closed period of 0.0 to 1.0, the probability p-value higher than 0.05 207 indicates that the null hypothesis cannot be rejected [24]. Significance in the current study 208 was reported for every point on the corneal surface; therefore, full significance maps, not 209 singular points, were presented to compare regional variations in the corneal surface den-210 sitometry measurements. 211

#### 3. Results

Plotting the NRSD at the radii of 0.5 mm to 5.0 mm showed the radial distribution of 213 densitometry around the cornea in both N eyes (Figure 2a,b) and KC eyes (Figure 3a,b). 214 When minimum radial summations of densitometry contour lines were joined, the results 215 of both N and KC groups showed an apparent disturbance in the radial densitometry in 216 nasal-superior directions in the right and left eyes. Angles were always measured from 217 the nasal axis to properly compare the right and left eyes. Right eyes in the N group rec-218 orded an artefact contour at 66° at a radius of 5.0 mm, while the KC group recorded a 64° 219 at the same radius. For N's left eyes, the artefact contour pointed to 66° while the value 220 was 65° in KC's left eyes. A range of radii, varying from 0.5 mm to 5 mm, showed that the 221 artefact contour line angles slightly varied within the central cornea before becoming 222 steady towards the periphery, where they always pointed to the nasal-superior direction, 223 Table 2. 224

198

The averaged densitometry among the N and the KC groups showed a trend sup-225 porting the findings obtained from the artefact contour lines. The distortion in the nasal-226 superior direction was evident in both groups and with right and left eyes (see Figure 227 2c&d, Figure 3c&d). 228

When comparing males and females, KC males within the study recorded slightly 229 lower average densitometry (12.80±3.04 %) than females (13.51±2.93 %), which was not 230 significant (p=0.1192). In terms of Bad D, females demonstrated an average of 8.22±5.57 231 and males a slightly lower average of 7.95±4.36, with no significant difference between the 232 two groups (p=0.7216). There was also no significant difference in average densitometry 233 between KC males and females (p=0.1192). TKC was 2.13±0.98 among males and 1.82±1.07 234 among females, with a significant difference (p=0.0448). Similarly, there was no significant 235 difference (p=0.8951) in average densitometry among the N group between males 236 (10.10±3.26 %) and females (10.03±4.20 %). 237

Age analyses revealed that the average densitometry was weakly correlated with age 238 among the N group (R=0.04) but moderately correlated with age among the KC group 239 (R=0.63). 240

Significance analysis to evaluate the difference in densitometry among N and KC 241 groups showed significance in the central (~1 mm diameter) area (p<0.001), insignificance 242 within the corneal ring diameters  $\sim$ 3 to  $\sim$ 6 mm (p $\sim$ =0.75), and then, in the periphery, the 243 significance covers all directions apart from the inferior and nasal-inferior sections 244 (p<0.001). The results are displayed on a map representing the whole cornea where black 245 boundaries are used to display p=0.05, Figure 4. 246



N vs KC

Figure 4. Investigating the significance of the difference between N and KC eyes' densitometry. The248black contour marks p=0.05 significance boundary where values over this limit indicate statistical249insignificance.250

Cone centre frequency plots indicated that most cones (~=50) in the KC group were 251 approximately centred at X=-1.25 mm, Y=-1.25 mm towards the nasal-temporal side, Fig-252 ure 5. It can be seen that most cones are within the central area where there is a significant 253 difference in densitometry between N and KC groups. When the averaged densitometry 254 was compared between the N group and the KC group, within the averaged KC cone area 255 of pathology, the KC group recorded 17.74±3.4 NGSU, slightly more than the N group 256 which recorded 16.37±3.15 NGSU. However, when the averaged densitometry was com-257 pared over the entire cornea, the N group recorded 16.71±5.5 NGSU, slightly higher than 258 the KC group, which recorded 15.72±3.98 NGSU, Figure 6. Both comparison methods 259 showed significant differences between the N and the KC groups but overlap between the 260 standard deviation of the two groups was evident. 261



Figure 5. Frequency plot of the KC cone centres with the N vs KC significance areas shaded in light263green and averaged KC cone boundary coloured in light red.264



Figure 6. Averaged densitometry (a) within the KC averaged cone boundary in the KC group com-265 pared to the equivalent area among the N group, (b) covering the whole KC group corneas com-266 pared to the N group.

Averaged densitometry KC subgroup analysis showed an increase in the NGSU with 268 the rise in KC severity except for stage 1 when the KC area of pathology was considered, 269 Figure 7a; however, when the whole cornea was considered, Figure 7b, there was no clear 270 densitometry trend. When the correlation between Bad D index and averaged densitom-271 etry was investigated, a weak correlation was found between the Bad D index and NGSU 272 either within the KC area of pathology, Figure 8a, or when the whole measured cornea 273 was considered, Figure 8b; however, a slightly better correlation was recorded within the 274 KC area of pathology. 275





267



Figure 8. Correlation between Bad D index and averaged densitometry (a) within the KC averaged280cone boundary in the KC group (b) covering the whole KC group corneas.281

	Right Ey	Right Eye Angles (°)		Angles (°)
r (mm)	Ν	KC	Ν	KC
0.5	69	74	45	84
1.0	59	60	69	68
1.5	63	63	66	62
2.0	61	62	67	64
2.5	62	62	67	65
3.0	65	64	63	64
3.5	65	64	62	64
4.0	66	65	63	64
4.5	66	65	64	64
5.0	66	66	64	65

**Table 2.** Artefact contour line angles as detected in different radii with 0° pointing to the nasal side282and 90° pointing to the superior side.283

# 4. Discussion

The use of corneal densitometry to assess corneal disorders [25,26] and diseases [2,27] 285 has been reported in several studies. In addition to KC, it has been suggested as a tool for 286 evaluating refractive surgery [28,29], trabeculectomy [30] and corneal collagen crosslink-287 ing [31]. As unquantified light scattering due to reflections from the limbal area, intraocu-288 lar lens, corneal tilt, and potentially facial anatomy could impact corneal densitometry 289 readings, the current study investigated a noticed phenomenon in Pentacam densitometry 290 maps where an artefact appeared to present in a nasal-superior direction in each eye, Fig-291 ure 1. Figure 9 shows a typical set of Scheimpflug images taken over a range of 25 merid-292 ians. It can be seen that the area below the top lid is in shadow for many of the images, so 293 it was difficult for the Pentacam software to attain optimal edge detection. In addition, the 294 images show significantly reduced backscatter from the superior iris, whilst the inferior 295 iris consistently demonstrates a reasonable amount of scatter. The combination of these 296 two effects may result in an apparently increased transparency towards the periphery, 297 resulting in the observed artefact. 298



Figure 9. A typical set of images from the Scheimpflug Pentacam demonstrating superior shadow-300ing effects from the upper eyelid and brow and the inequality of backscatter from the iris. The par-301ticipant is 45 years old from the N group.302

In investigating these artefacts, other potential influences on densitometry were in-303 vestigated. It has been previously found that densitometry does not appear to correlate 304 with either keratometry or refractive power [22]. Although an increase in corneal densi-305 tometry has been found in healthy eyes with age [32], age analysis of the N and KC groups 306 showed that average densitometry was weakly correlated with age among the N group 307 but moderately correlated with age among the KC group. This may be linked to the se-308 verity of KC being more likely to be higher with age, as it is a progressive condition [13]. 309 There was no significant difference in average densitometry between males and females 310 among both N and KC groups. It is possible that the ethnicity of the participants may 311 affect densitometry but in this retrospective study, this was not easy to define as the Bra-312 zilian population is mixed with European, African and Asian countries contributing to the 313 genetic pool in addition to Native American with variations depending on region [33]. 314

In terms of the instrument itself, like many parameters measured by a digital device, den-316 sitometry is affected by systematic errors and digital noise; therefore, a careful assessment 317 of the maps produced by such devices and how they relate to the relative orientation to 318 the slit-light source of the investigated phenomenon is needed when drawing conclusions 319 about the conditions being studied. Quantitative analyses showed that the maximum den-320 sitometry artefact always points to the nasal-superior direction, regardless of the eye (right 321 or left) or the eye condition (N or KC). Considering the fact that the vast majority of KC 322 cones were found to be on the inferior-temporal side [21], opposite to the direction of the 323 maximum densitometry artefact, which is nasal-superior according to the current study, 324 this artefact should not affect the use of densitometry for assessing KC within the KC area 325 of pathology. The orientation of densitometry artefacts in the analyses indicates that the 326

299 300

systematic artefact effect in the Pentacam densitometry maps could result from the varia-327 ble distribution of shadows and light reflections and should be considered when assessing 328 densitometry maps. One of the possible limitations is that although the time of day of 329 measurements is important when considering densitometry, as there is a diurnal variation 330 of corneal thickness [34], this was not possible due to the retrospective nature of the study. 331

When comparing N and KC groups from 2D densitometry maps, it was clear that a 333 significant difference (p=0.0001) in densitometry occurs around the KC area of pathology 334 compared to the same area in the N group. This result indicates that the existence of the 335 KC cone increases the light scattering within the area of pathology. These are anticipated 336 results as it is known that the progress of KC involves a substantial localised interlamellar 337 and intralamellar dislocation and slippage that cause cornea thinning associated with 338 steepened corneal curvature [35], hence the cause of the rise in light scattering. 339

Conversely, when the whole corneal densitometry was compared between the N and 340 the KC groups, N corneas recorded higher overall scattering than KC corneas (p=0.0467). 341 This indicates that analysing the densitometry of the whole corneal surface of KC eyes, 342 that is, averaging the healthy with the pathologically affected cornea, including the supe-343 rior-nasal densitometry artefacts, reduces the KC detectable effect if averaged NGSU den-344 sitometry is to be used as a KC identifier or classifier. 345

Although N versus KC comparisons showed significant differences between N and 346 KC groups' densitometries, the overlap of the standard deviation (STD) range (see Figure. 347 6) suggests that it is clinically difficult to use densitometry measurements alone to distin-348 guish between N and KC corneas, as the difference between the two is not large enough 349 for a clinically clear indication. Within the KC area of pathology, averaged densitometry 350 subgroup analysis showed a slightly increasing trend in NGSU densitometry scattering in 351 successively more severe KC stages; however, no significance was noticed among KC 352 stages p>0.05. This slight trend disappeared when the whole measured cornea was inves-353 tigated against different KC stages, which indicates that locating the KC cone is vital to 354 recognise small trends in densitometry. 355

In conclusion, the results of this investigation show that the densitometry artefact of 356 the Scheimpflug-based system of Pentacam is systematic and disturbs both N and KC cor-357 neas but lies outside the KC cone area of pathology; therefore, it should not affect the 358 capability of densitometry to be used in the evaluation of KC corneas in supplementary 359 applications, theoretically. Despite this weak ability to be used as a sole classifier, densi-360 tometry could be used to enhance artificial intelligence (AI) models of identifying or clas-361 sifying KC when combined with other topographic and biomechanical metrics. Based on 362 the current study, it is recommended that densitometry in the inferior-temporal area of 363 the cornea should be more highly weighted than the superior-nasal as the former is the 364 most common area of pathology and the latter is more likely to be affected by artefacts. 365

Finally, future work will consider the possible effect of the top lid shadow artefacts 366 on other maps, such as axial, tangential, and refractive power maps. 367

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation, AA.; methodology, AA; software, AA; validation, LE, MS 368 and RA; formal analysis, AA and LW; investigation, LW; resources, AA; data curation, RA; writing-369 original draft preparation, LW and AA; writing-review and editing, LE; LW; MS; RA and AA; vis-370 ualisation, LE; supervision, AA; project administration, AA; All authors have read and agreed to the 371 published version of the manuscript." 372

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declara-373 tion of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Federal University of São 374 Paulo/UNIFESP/SP 2020 (# 4.050.934). 375

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the 376

corresponding author upon sensible request.

Declaration of Interest: All authors of this article declare no conflict of interest.

378

# Abbreviations:

CSV	Comma-separated values file
IOP	Intraocular pressure
KC	Keratoconic
Ν	Normal
NaN	Not a number
NGSU	Normalised grey scale unit
NRSD	Normalised radial summation of densitometry
RGP	Rigid gas-permeable
STD	Standard deviation

# References

1.	Otri, A.M.; Fares, U.; Al-Aqaba, M.A.; Dua, H.S. Corneal Densitometry as an Indicator of Corneal Health. Ophthalmology	383
	<b>2012</b> , 119, 501-508, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2011.08.024</u> .	384
2.	Li, S.; Siggel, R.; Guo, Y.; Loreck, N.; Rokohl, A.C.; Kurschat, C.; Heindl, L.M. Corneal densitometry: a potential indicator	385
	for early diagnosis of Fabry disease. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2021, 259, 941-948, doi:10.1007/s00417-020-05027-6.	386
3.	Ní Dhubhghaill, S.; Rozema, J.J.; Jongenelen, S.; Ruiz Hidalgo, I.; Zakaria, N.; Tassignon, MJ. Normative Values for	387
	Corneal Densitometry Analysis by Scheimpflug Optical Assessment. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science 2014, 55,	388
	162-168, doi:10.1167/iovs.13-13236.	389
4.	Wang, X.Y.; Zhang, T.Q.; Rachwani, A.R.; Blanco-Domínguez, I.; Rocha de Lossada, C.; Adán-Civiera, A.M.; Peraza-	390
	Nieves, J. New algorithm for corneal densitometry assessment based on anterior segment optical coherence tomography.	391
	<i>Eye</i> <b>2021</b> , doi:10.1038/s41433-021-01707-7.	392
5.	Zhang, J.; Murgoitio-Esandi, J.; Qian, X.; Li, R.; Gong, C.; Nankali, A.; Hao, L.; Xu, B.Y.; Shung, K.K.; Oberai, A.; et al.	393
	High-Frequency Ultrasound Elastography to Assess the Nonlinear Elastic Properties of the Cornea and Ciliary Body. IEEE	394
	Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control 2022, 69, 2621-2629, doi:10.1109/TUFFC.2022.3190400.	395
6.	Li, R.; Qian, X.; Gong, C.; Zhang, J.; Liu, Y.; Xu, B.; Humayun, M.S.; Zhou, Q. Simultaneous Assessment of the Whole Eye	396
	Biomechanics Using Ultrasonic Elastography. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 2023, 70, 1310-1317,	397
	doi:10.1109/TBME.2022.3215498.	398
7.	Consejo, A.; Jiménez-García, M.; Rozema, J.J.; Abass, A. Influence of eye tilt on corneal densitometry. Ophthalmic and	399
	Physiological Optics <b>2022</b> , 42, 1032-1037, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/opo.13020</u> .	400
8.	Miażdżyk, M.; Consejo, A.; Iskander, D.R. OCT based corneal densitometry: the confounding effect of epithelial speckle.	401
	Biomed Opt Express 2023, 14, 3871-3880, doi:10.1364/boe.489054.	402

380

381

9.	Sankaridurg, P.; Bakaraju, R.C.; Morgan, J.; Chen, X.; Tilia, D.; Ho, A.; Ehrmann, K.; Weng, R.; Conrad, F.; Smith, E.L.; et al.	403
	Novel contact lenses designed to slow progress of myopia: 12 month results. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science	404
	<b>2017</b> , <i>58</i> , 2391-2391.	405
10.	Chen, X.; Shen, Y.; Xu, H.; Wang, X.; Zhou, X. One-year natural course of corneal densitometry in high myopic patients	406
	after implantation of an implantable collamer lens (model V4c). BMC ophthalmology 2020, 20, 50, doi:10.1186/s12886-020-	407
	1320-x.	408
11.	Shah, K.; Eghrari, A.O.; Vanner, E.A.; O'Brien, T.P.; Koo, E.H. Scheimpflug Corneal Densitometry Values and Severity of	409
	Guttae in Relation to Visual Acuity in Fuchs Endothelial Corneal Dystrophy. Cornea 2022, 41.	410
12.	Kormányos, K.; Kovács, K.; Németh, O.; Tóth, G.; Sándor, G.L.; Csorba, A.; Czakó, C.N.; Módis, L.; Langenbucher, A.;	411
	Nagy, Z.Z.; et al. Corneal Densitometry and In Vivo Confocal Microscopy in Patients with Monoclonal	412
	Gammopathy—Analysis of 130 Eyes of 65 Subjects. Journal of Clinical Medicine 2022, 11, doi:10.3390/jcm11071848.	413
13.	Shen, Y.; Han, T.; Jhanji, V.; Shang, J.; Zhao, J.; Li, M.; Zhou, X. Correlation Between Corneal Topographic, Densitometry,	414
	and Biomechanical Parameters in Keratoconus Eyes. Transl Vis Sci Technol 2019, 8, 12, doi:10.1167/tvst.8.3.12.	415
14.	Zheng, Y.; Xue, C.; Wang, J.; Chen, X.; Wang, X.; Wang, Y. Analysis of the correlation between biomechanical properties	416
	and corneal densitometry in myopic eyes. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 2023, 11, 1182372, doi:10.3389/fbioe.2023.1182372.	417
15.	Alzahrani, K.; Cristian, C.; Harper, S.; Carley, F.; Brahma, A.; Morley, D.; Hillarby, M.C. Corneal imaging and	418
	densitometry measurements in keratoconus patients to monitor disease progression and treatment outcomes after contact	419
	lens or Intacs treatment. Clinical ophthalmology (Auckland, N.Z.) 2018, 12, 1653-1658, doi:10.2147/OPTH.S168865.	420
16.	Rodrigues, P.F.; Moscovici, B.K.; Ferrara, G.; Lamazales, L.; Freitas, M.M.S.; Torquetti, L.; Ambrósio, R.; Gomes, J.A.P.	421
	Corneal densitometry in patients with keratoconus undergoing intrastromal Ferrara ring implantation. European Journal of	422
	<i>Ophthalmology</i> <b>2021</b> , 112067212110206, doi:10.1177/11206721211020631.	423
17.	Lopes, B.; Ramos, I.; Ambrósio, R., Jr. Corneal densitometry in keratoconus. Cornea 2014, 33, 1282-1286,	424
	doi:10.1097/ico.0000000000266.	425
18.	Mounir, A.; Awny, I.; Yousef, H.S.; Mostafa, E.M. Distribution of corneal densitometry in different grades of keratoconus.	426
	Indian J Ophthalmol 2023, 71, 830-836, doi:10.4103/ijo.IJO_1792_22.	427
19.	Goudie, C.; Tatham, A.; Davies, R.; Sifton, A.; Wright, M. The effect of the timing of the cessation of contact lens use on the	428
	results of biometry. Eye (Lond) 2018, 32, 1048-1054, doi:10.1038/s41433-018-0019-1.	429
20.	Cavas-Martinez, F.; De la Cruz Sanchez, E.; Nieto Martinez, J.; Fernandez Canavate, F.J.; Fernandez-Pacheco, D.G. Corneal	430
	topography in keratoconus: state of the art. Eye Vis (Lond) 2016, 3, 1-12, doi:10.1186/s40662-016-0036-8.	431
21.	Eliasy, A.; Abass, A.; Lopes, B.T.; Vinciguerra, R.; Zhang, H.; Vinciguerra, P.; Ambrósio, R.; Roberts, C.J.; Elsheikh, A.	432
	Characterization of cone size and centre in keratoconic corneas. Journal of The Royal Society Interface 2020, 17, 20200271,	433
	doi:doi:10.1098/rsif.2020.0271.	434
22.	Abass, A.; Vinciguerra, R.; Lopes, B.T.; Bao, F.; Vinciguerra, P.; Ambrósio, R.; Elsheikh, A. Positions of Ocular Geometrical	435
	and Visual Axes in Brazilian, Chinese and Italian Populations. Current Eye Research 2018, 43, 1404-1414,	436
	doi:10.1080/02713683.2018.1500609.	437
23.	Marsaglia, G.; Tsang, W.W.; Wang, J. Evaluating Kolmogorov's Distribution. Journal of Statistical Software 2003, 008.	438
24.	Everitt, B.S.; Skrondal, A. The Cambridge Dictionary of Statistics, 4 ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2010.	439
25.	Doğuizi, S.; Özateş, S.; Hoşnut, F.; Şahin, G.E.; Şekeroğlu, M.A.; Yılmazbaş, P. Assessment of corneal and lens clarity in	440
	children with Wilson disease. J aapos 2019, 23, 147.e141-147.e148, doi:10.1016/j.jaapos.2018.12.010.	441
26.	Tack, M.; Kreps, E.O.; De Zaeytijd, J.; Consejo, A. Scheimpflug-Based Analysis of the Reflectivity of the Cornea in Marfan	442
	Syndrome. Transl Vis Sci Technol 2021, 10, 34, doi:10.1167/tvst.10.9.34.	443
27.	Busch, C.; Koh, S.; Oie, Y.; Ichii, M.; Kanakura, Y.; Nishida, K. Increased corneal densitometry as a subclinical corneal	444

	change associated with multiple myeloma. Eye (Lond) 2017, 31, 1745-1746, doi:10.1038/eye.2017.132.	445
28.	Ishikawa, S.; Kato, N.; Takeuchi, M. Quantitative evaluation of corneal epithelial edema after cataract surgery using	446
	corneal densitometry: a prospective study. BMC ophthalmology 2018, 18, 334, doi:10.1186/s12886-018-0998-5.	447
29.	Poyales, F.; Garzón, N.; Mendicute, J.; Illarramendi, I.; Caro, P.; Jáñez, O.; Argüeso, F.; López, A. Corneal densitometry	448
	after photorefractive keratectomy, laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis, and small-incision lenticule extraction. Eye (Lond)	449
	<b>2017</b> , <i>31</i> , 1647-1654, doi:10.1038/eye.2017.107.	450
30.	Pillunat, K.R.; Orphal, J.; Haase, M.; Pillunat, L.E.; Herber, R. Scheimpflug Corneal Densitometry Changes After	451
	Trabeculectomy. Cornea 2021, 40, 408-414, doi:10.1097/ICO.00000000002439.	452
31.	Alnawaiseh, M.; Rosentreter, A.; Prokosch, V.; Eveslage, M.; Eter, N.; Zumhagen, L. Changes in Corneal Densitometry in	453
	Patients with Fuchs Endothelial Dystrophy after Endothelial Keratoplasty. Curr Eye Res 2017, 42, 163-167,	454
	doi:10.3109/02713683.2016.1146774.	455
32.	Karmiris, E.; Soulantzou, K.; Machairoudia, G.; Ntravalias, T.; Tsiogka, A.; Chalkiadaki, E. Corneal Densitometry Assessed	456
	With Scheimpflug Camera in Healthy Corneas and Correlation With Specular Microscopy Values and Age. Cornea 2022,	457
	41, 60-68, doi:10.1097/ico.00000000002722.	458
33.	Souza, A.M.; Resende, S.S.; Sousa, T.N.; Brito, C.F.A. A systematic scoping review of the genetic ancestry of the Brazilian	459
	population. Genet. Mol. Biol. 2019, 42, 495-508, doi:10.1590/1678-4685-gmb-2018-0076.	460
34.	Realini, T.; Gurka, M.J.; Weinreb, R.N. Reproducibility of Central Corneal Thickness Measurements in Healthy and	461
	Glaucomatous Eyes. Journal of Glaucoma 2017, 26.	462
35.	Meek, K.M.; Tuft, S.J.; Huang, Y.; Gill, P.S.; Hayes, S.; Newton, R.H.; Bron, A.J. Changes in collagen orientation and	463
	distribution in keratoconus corneas. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science 2005, 46, 1948-1956, doi:10.1167/iovs.04-	464
	1253.	465
<b>Discla</b> author( to peop	<b>himer/Publisher's Note:</b> The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual (s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury ole or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.	466 467 468