# Soil contamination around porphyry copper mines: an example from a semi-arid climate

Arezu Alizadeh<sup>1</sup>, Jamshid Ghorbani<sup>\*1,2</sup>, Javad Motamedi<sup>3</sup>, Ghorban Vahabzadeh<sup>4</sup>, Antony van der Ent<sup>5</sup>, Mansour Edraki<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Department of Rangeland Management, Faculty of Natural Resources, Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University, Sari, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Earth, Ocean, and Ecological Sciences, School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

<sup>3</sup>Rangeland Research Division, Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands, Agricultural Research Education and

Extension Organization (AREEO), Tehran, Iran.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Watershed Management, Faculty of Natural Resources, Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University, Sari, Iran.

<sup>5</sup>Center for Mined Land Rehabilitation, Sustainable Minerals Institute, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia.

<sup>6</sup>Centre for Water in the Minerals Industry, Sustainable Minerals Institute, The University of Queensland, Brisbane,

Australia.

Corresponding author: Jamshid Ghorbani (j.ghorbani@sanru.ac.ir; ORCID: 0000-0001-9159-6601)

## Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University (SANRU) and the National Iranian Copper Industries Company (NICICO) for the financial support to conduct this research, and also Mr Nader Mazaheri, Ms Esmat Esmaielzadeh, and Mr Reza Naseh from NICICO for their supports. We are also grateful to Dr. David Doley (Sustainable Minerals Institute, The University of Queensland, Australia) for his comments on the latest version of the manuscript.

#### Abstract

Extraction and processing of disseminated metalliferous ores, porphyry copper in particular, results in significant tonnages of waste and can cause severe disturbances and contamination in natural ecosystems. This is particularly important in semi-arid climates where natural soils are often deprived of organic matter and nutrients. This study was conducted on seven sites around Sungun Copper Mine, northwest Iran. Soil texture, EC, pH, and concentrations of nutrients, organic matter, along with 16 metal and metalloids were measured in 94 soil samples. Results showed a gradient of contamination from low contamination in natural hillsides to high contamination in mine waste depositories, Waste Dump and Oxide Dump, alongside Pakhir and Sungun Rivers. Nutrient deficiency occurred in disturbed sites. The main contaminant point sources were Waste Dump, mine pit drainage, and Oxide Dump. The results of Non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination showed elevated Cd, Zn, Fe, Cu, Pb, As, Mo, Mn, Co, S concentrations, high EC, and higher sand percentage in the sites affected by mine waste and acid mine drainage. Geo-Accumulation and Potential Ecological Risk Indices indicated that Pakhir riverside, Sungun riverside and Oxide Dump have severe to moderate levels of environmental risks. Positive correlations between certain metal elements suggest common sources and similar reaction pathways, which may contribute to their similar geochemical behaviour in transport, deposition, and interdependence. Overall, the deficiency of organic matter and nutrients along with the soil sandy texture in contaminated sites of Sungun Copper Mine are the main limiting factors in managing metal mobility and soil remediation.

Keywords: Contamination indices, Heavy metals, Mine drainage, Oxide dump, Soil pollution, Waste dump

#### 1. Introduction

As an important portion of ecosystems, soil is the source of water and essential nutrients for soil organisms and plants, and the geochemical sink or filter for contaminants (Bermudez et al., 2010; Terrones-Saeta et al., 2021). Dispersion of toxic metals due to the increasing rates of industrial cause soil contamination turn into worldwide environmental problems (Khalid et al., 2017; Adewumi et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020). A potential source of metal and metalloid contaminants is mining operations, causing primary concern for environmental sustainability (Jung et al., 2001). Unlike many organic contaminants, some metals and metalloids accumulate in soil because they are environmentally persistent due to association with organic matter and/or sorption to mineral surfaces (Grafe & Naidu, 2008; Ahdy & Khaled, 2009). Soils in mined lands typically exhibit characteristics such as deficiencies in carbon compounds and nutrients, as well as elevated levels of toxic mineral elements (Rodríguez et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2012). Metalliferous mines are the source of significant amounts of metalliferous wastes, such as rock dumps, and wastes of mineral processing and smelters, leading to contamination and degradation in the vicinity of mine areas (Dinelli et al., 2001; Randelovic et al., 2014). Spatial heterogeneity of mine wastes, soil physicochemical properties and differences with natural geochemical background values are main aspects of soil contamination investigations on mined lands (El Azhari et al., 2017). Most mine wastes are not chemically or physically stable, and cause problems in surrounding environments as dust, erosion into surface waters, and through leaching and acid mine drainage (AMD) (Otte & Jacob, 2008). Acidic soil conditions (pH<7) and elevated metal and metalloid concentrations due to acid mine drainage exacerbate environmental problems by increasing the toxic elements bioavailability (Rezaie and Anderson, 2020). A crucial step in sustainable soil management, including improved soil quality of mined lands, is to determine the metal concentrations in soils in order to monitor their distribution and manage their effects and possible toxicities (Yun et al., 2016; Radi et al., 2023). However, the total concentrations, mobility, and toxicity of metal(loid) elements in soils depend on their specific chemical forms, as well as edaphic and environmental characteristics such as soil acidity or alkalinity, organic matter content, salt concentrations, and local climatic conditions (Chrastný et al., 2012; Zu et al., 2014; Mehrabi et al., 2015; Gabarron et al., 2017).

Open pit mines often have a significant environmental footprint as they remove large areas of vegetation cover, top soil, and overburden, and expose pit walls, waste rocks and mineral processing tailings to weathering and degradation processes (Karaca et al., 2018). Soil contamination and acid mine drainage cause considerable environmental degradation with severe impacts on communities and biodiversity (Kefeni et al., 2017). There are many reports of porphyry copper deposits for which mining activities have caused soil contamination in arid and semi-arid areas through elevated levels of some metal and metalloid elements. Soil contamination with high levels of Cd, Zn, Cu, Pb, Mn, Fe, and Al has been documented in various locations, such as around a copper mine in Peru (Bech et al., 1997) and in the Cu-Au-Hg district in Punitaqui, Chile, where the soil Cu concentration ranged from 95 to 230  $\mu$ g/g (Oyarzún et al., 2001). In the Andacollo porphyry Cu district, soil exhibits high concentrations of Cu and Hg compared to reference baselines, as reported by Higueras et al. (2004). Also, Sulfate and copper enrichment have been reported at the El Teniente porphyry copper deposit in Chile (Kelm et al., 2009). Capillary forces in arid climates lead to upward transition and oxidizing conditions, resulting in the formation of water-soluble sulphates (Meza-Figueroa et al., 2009). In arid areas of Iran, such as the Darreh-Zereshk and Ali-Abad porphyry Cu deposits, hyrofracturing of ore-hosting deposits leads to the formation of quartz-sulfide veinlets (Zarasvandi et al., 2013). The Buchim mine in the Republic of Macedonia serves as an example of porphyry copper deposits, with Cu

concentrations ranging from 94.8 to 1171  $\mu$ g/g (Serafimovski et al., 2014). Despite numerous studies on soil contamination in different regions, little is known about such effects in semi-arid ecosystems in southwest Asia. Iran has three major copper deposits—Sarcheshmeh, Sungun, and Miduk, with Sungun representing a semi-arid climate (Saadmohammadi et al., 2011). While soil contamination studies have been undertaken for the Sarcheshmeh and Miduk areas (Khorasanipour & Aftabi, 2011; Moore et al., 2014), and water and sediment contamination in the Sungun Copper Mine have also been studied (Aghili et al., 2018), there is no published study about soil contamination around the Sungun copper mine. This study aims to (i) evaluate metal and metalloid contamination and other physicochemical properties of soils around the Sungun copper mine in Iran, (ii) determine contamination indices and gradients, and (iii) discuss the relationships between metal and metalloid elements and their association with soil physical and chemical characteristics.

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Study area

Sungun Cu-Mo deposit in north-west of Iran, 120 km Northwest of Tabriz (46° 30' E to 46° 52' E, 38° 34' N to 38° 45') hosts Iran's second important and large copper mine (Fig. 1). The mineralization at Sungun Copper Mine is largely hosted by diorite/granodiorite and monzonite/quartz-monzonite porphyry (Hezarkhani, 2011; Moshefi et al., 2018). Original porphyritic texture through silicification process altered into heavily dense rock that is cut by quartz veins, which is together with pyrite, molybdenite and, to some extent chalcopyrite (Nabi Bidhendi et al., 2007). The soil taxonomic order of study area is rock outcrops with entisols. The approximate amounts of mined ore since the start of operation in 2006 is about 500 million tons (Hezarkhani et al., 1999). The elevation of the study area varies from 1645 m to 2700 m above sea level. Study area has a semi-arid climate with average evaporation from water surface of 836 mm, and annual mean precipitation is about 400 mm, also average monthly temperatures in summer and winter varies between 33°C and -20°C (Moore et al., 2011). Mining area is located near Arasbaran protected natural heritage and its vegetation mostly consists of Asteraceae, Poaceae, Fabaceae, Apiaceae, Brassicaceae, and Lamiaceae families (Ghorbani et al., 2018). Based on field observations and according to the potential of contamination, soil sampling performed in different areas surrounding Sungun copper mine (Figs 2 and 3). These were: (i) alongside Pakhir River which is in downstream of mine waste rocks and mine pit drainage; ii) alongside Sungun River that is not affected by mine drainages; (iii) Oxide Dump; (iv) Waste Rock Dump, (v) undisturbed hillside next to Waste Dump; (vi) natural hillside near mine pit; and (vii) natural hillside far away from the mine pit.

#### 2.2 Soil sampling and laboratory analysis

Sample collection included 94 soil samples from 0-15 cm, and 15-30 cm depths. Topsoil samples were collected from 6 points in Waste Rock Dump, 5 points from Oxide Dump, 5 points alongside Sungun River, 7 points alongside Pakhir River, 9 points in hillside next to Waste Rock Dump, 5 points from hillside near mine pit and 10 points in the natural hillsides far from mine pit. A mixture of four soil samples in each point were used to form a single composite sample that were kept in Clear Polyethylene bags until chemical analysis. The soil samples were dried in room temperature then grinded and sieved through <0.2 mm (80 mesh). Then, the fraction of soil samples < 0.2 mm were used for physicochemical characterization. Topsoil samples pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were measured in a 1:2.5 (w/v) soil/water suspension by Adwa (AD 1000) pH meter and ELMETRON (CC 501)

conductivity meter, respectively (Kabala et al., 2016). Organic matter (OM) and total nitrogen (N) were determined using titration and colorimetric method (Walkley and Black, 1934) and Kjeldahl method (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982). The calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) contents were determined by titration with hydrochloric acid (HCl). Potassium (K) concentrations were measured by flame photometry (Colwell, 1963). Topsoil available phosphorus (P) concentration were measured by Olsen method (Olsen et al., 1954). Soil particle size analysis was conducted by Hydrometer (Bouyoucos, 1951). The Aqua regia digestion method was applied to prepare a multi-element analysis solution from soil samples, utilizing nitric acid (HNO3) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) at a 1:3 ratio under a heating system (Yun et al., 2016). Total concentrations of aluminium (Al), arsenic (As), calcium (Ca), Cerium (Ce), cobalt (Co), chromium (Cr), cupper (Cu), iron (Fe), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mn), Molybdenum (Mo), nickel (Ni), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), Sulfur (S) and zinc (Zn) in soil were measured by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, Varian 735, Margesin & Schinner, 2005). The detection limit for measured elements were Al= 100, As= 0.5, Ca= 100, Ce= 1, Co= 1, Cr= 1, Cu= 1, Fe= 100, Mg= 100, Mn= 5, Mo= 0.5, Ni= 1, Cd= 0.1, Pb= 1, S=50 and Zn= 1 ppm. All analyses were conducted at the accredited (ISO 17025) and certified (ISO9001:2008) Zarazma laboratory (http://zarazma.com/en).

# 2.3 Contamination indices

Geo-Accumulation index (Igeo) was calculated using Igeo =  $\log_2 [Cn/1.5Bn]$  (Muller, 1969). Cn is the metal (n) concentration, Bn is the background value of the corresponding metal (n) in soil (Kabata-Pendias, 2011), and because of lithological variations and variations in background data the factor 1.5 is added to the equation. The Geo-Accumulation index have seven classes (Table 1).

Contamination factor ( $C_f^i$  for short) evaluates soil potential contamination by a single toxic metal(loid) as follow (Weissmannová and Pavlovský, 2017):

$$C_f^i = C_{surface}^i / T_{reference}^i$$

In this equation  $C_f^i$  is pollution coefficient for a certain toxic metal(loid) that is determinant of contamination in study area but is not efficient to show the hazards and ecological effects of metal elements.  $C_{surface}^i$  and  $T_{reference}^i$ are measured and background concentration of toxic metal(loid) in soil suggested by Kabata-Pendias (2011).

Also the ecological risk factor  $(E_f^i)$  is used to assess the potential ecological risk of a given contaminant, as measured by  $E_f^i = C_f^i * T_f^i$  (Hakanson, 1980) where  $T_f^i$  and  $C_f^i$  are toxic-response and contamination factors, respectively. Hakanson (1980) and Xu et al. (2008) reported the  $T_f^i$  values of toxic metal(loid)s as: Cd=30, As=10, Cu=Pb=Ni=5, Cr=2, Zn=1, Mn=1 and Co=5. Then the potential ecological risk index (RI) was calculated by RI=  $\sum E_f^i$  (Table 2).

# 2.4 Statistical Analysis

The R statistical computing language was used for conducting statistical analysis (R Core Team, 2022). Shapiro– Wilk W test, dedicated to checking normality and then log-transformation performed on non-normal distributed data before analyses. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) performed to determine the depth and the sites effects on physical, chemical and mineralogical characteristics of soil samples. Duncan multiple range test was applied to compare means of soil data in different sites. Relationships between pair-wise distances of sites and soil properties and dissimilarities (ordination space) analysed by NMDS (Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling) method. Then, sites were shown with ellipses on the gradient of soil contamination. To evaluate the association between soil characteristics and levels of metals and metalloids Spearman's correlation coefficient was used.

# 3. Results

## 3.1 Soil properties and contaminant concentrations

The results of two-way ANOVA showed that soil measured parameters only affected by differentiation of sampling sites and not by soil depth or the interaction of sampling sites and soil depths. Majority of the soil properties and metal and metalloid elements concentrations showed significant differences among studied sites (Table 3 and 4). The soil pH varied from slightly acidic (6.40) alongside Pakhir River (site 1 in Fig. 2) to neutral (7.01) in the Waste Rock Dump (site 4 in Fig. 2, Table 3). The soil samples collected alongside Pakhir River and Oxide Dump (site 3 in Fig. 2) exhibited significantly elevated levels of electrical conductivities (0.75 and 0.65 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>). In contrast, the Waste Dump and natural hillsides had low EC values (Table 3). Soil samples from all sites had higher sand content specifically samples from Pakhir River and Sungun River showed elevated sand levels (91.45% and 87.0%), along with the lowest silt (7.71% and 9.72%) and clay (0.27% and 1.81%) contents, respectively. The greatest amount of clay was found in Oxide Dump (15.20) and natural hillside far from the mine pit (15.18) (Table 3). The Oxide Dump and three natural hillsides were found to have high silt content (24.52%, 19.75%, 27.73%, and 18.53%, respectively). Natural hillside located a few meters from mine pit showed the highest levels of calcium carbonate, while no significant differences were found among the other sites (Table 3). The N-P-K contents indicated that natural hillsides had greater levels of N (0.54%, 0.34%, and 0.36%) and K (1.87, 1.75 and 1.62  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) compared with those of other sites in the mining area (Table 3). In contrast, Sungun riverside and Oxide Dump had higher P concentrations than other sites (5.31 and 6.95  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>). The soil organic matter contents ranged from 0.08% in waste dump to 5.84% in natural hillside located in the vicinity of Waste Dump. In mining affected sites (alongside Pakhir and Sungun River, Oxide Dump, and Waste Rocks), the organic matter content were significantly lower (0.47%, 0.51%, 0.55%, and 0.083%, respectively) than that in the natural hillsides (5.84%, 2.27% and 1.78%; Table 3).

Mean trace elements in the soils of different sites showed that Pakhir riverside and the natural hillside far away from the mine pit had the highest and lowest Co concentrations, respectively (18.8  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> and 11.6  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>,; Table 4). There was no significant different between all the other studied sites. The three natural hillsides had significantly higher concentrations of Cr in the soil (46.3  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>, 44  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>, and 39.6  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) compared to sites affected by mining activity. The concentrations of Cu (3141  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), Fe (58708  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), Zn (665  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), Cd (0.66  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), and Pb (945.8  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) were highest at the Pakhir riverside (Table 4). Soil samples from two natural hillsides near the Waste Rock Dump and mine pits had higher Mg content (13138  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) compared to other sites. The highest concentrations of Mo (69.1  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) and Ce (70.3  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) were found in Sungun riverside and Waste Dump, respectively (Table 4).

The maximum S concentrations were significantly found in the two riversides (Pakhir= 5838  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> and Sungun= 3694  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) and Oxide Dump (4555  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>). The average As concentrations in the soil of the two riversides (35.3 and 22  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) were significantly greater than that in all other sites. Elevated concentrations of Mn, Ni, Al, and Ca were recorded in natural hillsides, but they were not significantly different from those in some of the mining affected areas (Table 4).

#### 3.2 Contamination gradient

The NMDS ordination of sampled plots, based on their soil properties, revealed that the arrangement of sites in the ordination space is strongly influenced by soil properties (Fig. 4). The ellipses of two riversides (S1 and S2) particularly Pakhir riverside are located in the upper right corner showing an association with the positive ends of two axes. These axes are mainly defined by Cd, Zn, Fe, Cu, Pb, As, Mo, Mn, Co, S concentrations, electrical conductivity, and sand content. In contrast, other sites (S4: Waste Rock Dump, S5: natural hillside close to Waste Rock Dump, S6: natural hillsides near the mine pit, S7: natural hillside far away from mine pit) are assembled together in the opposite direction associated with higher silt and clay contents, and higher K, Mg, N and organic matter concentrations, and higher pH. The Oxide Dump had a greater association with the positive end of axis 1 (As, Cu, Mo, P and Pb concentrations and electrical conductivity) and also the negative end of two axes (higher silt content, pH, and Mg, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, K, and N concentrations). These result show a spatial gradient of soil contamination around the Sungun Copper Mine area.

#### 3.3 Contamination indices

The Geo-Accumulation Index values for Cu (5.25) and Pb (5.39) indicated that the soil alongside Pakhir River was extremely contaminated (Table 1 and 5). The  $I_{geo}$  values for As showed strongly contamination of soil alongside Pakhir riverside (3.71) and Sungun riverside (3.02). Additionally, the average Geo-Accumulation Index for Mo (4.94) suggested that soil alongside Sungun River was extremely strongly contaminated by this trace element (Table 5). According to  $I_{geo}$  index values, elements such as As, Mo, Pb and Ce are sources of moderately to strongly contamination of soil in Waste Rock Dump (1.24, 1.42, 0.22, and 2.23) and Oxide Dump (2.28, 1.98, 1.67, and 1.86). Regarding all analyzed metal and metalloids elements Natural hillsides showed no contamination to moderate contamination rates (Table 5).

The ecological risk factor  $(E_f^i)$  showed that soil alongside Pakhir River had high levels of ecological risk especially for Cu (286), As (196), Cd (198), Pb (315) (Table 6). Alongside Sungun River, a high ecological risk factor was observed primarily due to As (122) (Table 6). Soil alongside Pakhir River and Sungun River had serious and sever potential ecological risk index, respectively (Table 7). A moderate potential ecological risk index was found for Oxide Dump and low-grade for other sites (Table 7).

## **3.4 Correlation matrix**

The relationship between soil parameters showed some significant positive and negative correlations (Fig. 5), which is consistent with the results of NMDS ordination (Fig. 4). Soil pH had positive association with Ca and a negative relation with Cd, Fe, and Pb (Fig. 5). As, Cd, Ce, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, S, and Zn concentrations were significantly associated with soil electrical conductivity (Fig. 5). Soil organic matter had a positive correlation with Al, Cr, and Mg concentrations but a negative relation with Ce, Cu, Mo, Pb, S, and Zn (Fig. 5). Except for Ca, other metal elements in soils were significantly correlated with each other (Fig. 5).

# 4. Discussion

Soil physicochemical characteristics are often used for assessing the soil quality. According to the results soil pH mean values were significantly different among the studied sites. The lowest pH was found alongside Pakhir River (6.40) and Oxide Dump (6.55) and the highest in Waste Rock Dump (7.01). This could have been caused by sulfide oxidation that leads to hydrogen ion release or dissolution of minerals that promote acidic pH (Sanderson et al.,

2012; Aghili et al., 2018; Kulikova et al., 2019; Kavehei et al., 2021). In the Waste Rock Dump, the neutral range of pH indicates abundance of carbonates over sulfides along with the absence of AMD (Perlatti et al., 2015). As shown in NMDS ordination, the arrows of pH and CaCO<sub>3</sub> indicate that they are the main factors contributing to the separation of Waste Rock Dump from other sites, while Pakhir riverside, Sungun riverside, and Oxide Dump are mainly affected by S concentration. Lower content of calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>), which can neutralise the acidity produced by the dissolution of sulphides (Likus-Cieślik et al., 2017; Candeias et al., 2015), was measured in the sites affected by mine drainage, particularly alongside Pakhir River, Sungun River, and Oxide Dump (Fig. 4 and Table 3). Reduction in soil acidity in result of higher CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents decrease trace element solubility and stimulate metal immobilization in soil (Khan & Jones, 2008). The Potential Ecological Risk Index (RI) values were higher in sites with elevated concentrations of metals, found along Pakhir River and Sungun River. This indicates that these areas serve as primary sources of environmental issues around Sungun Copper Mine (Weeks & Comber, 2005). The high values of RI and Igeo in this mining area indicate a substantial potential for influencing the ecological function of the adjacent ecosystems, notably the Arasbaran protected area. The transportation of metal(loides) through Pakhir and Sungun Rivers is likely to induce changes in hydrogeochemical processes, thereby contributing to the deterioration of water quality (Aghili et al., 2018; Punia & Singh, 2021). This underscores the significance of considering and addressing the potential environmental consequences associated with metal mobility in efforts to mitigate ecological impacts. Compared to the average copper concentration in earth crust (55 µg g<sup>-1</sup>), soil in Pakhir riverside (3140 µg g-1), Sungun riverside (1044µg g-1) and oxide dump (288 µg g-1) was particularly contaminated with Cu due to mining and oxidation of mine wastes (Kabata-Pendias, 2011; Knabb et al., 2016). Mine drainage is the main cause of metal(liod) elements increasing in surface soil and surrounding water sources that leads to ecological risks (Lu et al., 2021). Moderate levels of ecological risks index, mainly related to Cd and Cu is also reported from Cyprus' old Agrokipia copper mine (Hadjipanagiotou et al., 2020). The CaCO3 content exhibited a negative correlation with trace metals such as Pb, Cd, As, Zn, and Cu. This correlation underscores the impact of carbonates on the decomposition and stabilization of toxic minerals in soils with elevated CaCO3 content. Carbonates, as a key soil geochemical characteristic, play a pivotal role in determining the soil's capacity to retain metal elements (Gasparatos et al., 2015; Taalab et al., 2019). According to the present findings, the main concern about Pakhir riverside, Sungun riverside, Oxide Dump and Waste Rocks in the long term is acid mine drainage (with the source of overbank flow and in-situ weathering and oxidation) that leads to mobility of metals/metalloids and release of sulfate, as Sungun porphyry deposit consist of anhydrites (CaSO<sub>4</sub>) which leads to high S content (Kou et al., 2021).

Our findings revealed that sites disturbed by mining exhibited lower concentrations of N, K, Ca, and Mg. This suggests soil fertility degradation likely attributed to the loss of litter layers and organic materials (Närhi et al., 2012, Wang et al., 2021). We also found that concentrations of these nutrients were greater in the soil of natural hillsides, and there was a considerable correlation among N, K content with soil OM content, demonstrating their organic origin. Phosphorous concentrations were greater in alongside Sungun River and Oxide Dump, as Cu phosphates can be considered a possible reason (Abreu et al., 2008). Phosphate compounds are known to be effective in decreasing mobility of potential toxic minerals containing Pb, Cd, and Zn (Andrunik et al., 2020). Positive correlations were found between these elements and soil P concentration in both correlation analysis and NMDS.

The highest tolerable levels of soil contamination for plants include Co (10  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), Cr (100  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), Cu (100  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), Fe (35000 $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), Mn (550  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), Ni (100  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), Zn (300  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), As (7  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), Cd (5 $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), Mo (10  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>),

Pb (100 µg g<sup>-1</sup>), Ce (10 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) (Chon et al., 2011; Kabata-Pendias, 2011). Earlier, we identified the potential of native vegetation for phytostabilization in these sites (Alizadeh et al., 2022). In light of these values, our research provides evidence of pronounced soil contamination in areas impacted by mine drainage, particularly along two riversides and the Oxide Dump. This contrasts with findings from Europe's largest copper mine in Poland (Kabala et al., 2020) but aligns with data reported from Sarcheshmeh and Miduk Cu mines in arid regions of Iran (Khorasanipour & Aftabi, 2011; Moore et al., 2014), and a Cu mine in Malaysia (Ali et al., 2004). It is more probable to acid mine drainage occurrence in conditions that sulfidic, especially Fe-sulfides (FeS<sub>2</sub>) or pyritic substances such as oxidised wastes and waste rocks are accumulated (Kavehei et al., 2021). Mine wastes because of physicochemical characteristics and geochemical transformations lead to release of H<sup>+</sup> and metal(loid)s with substantial environmental problems on mine water and vegetation covers that should be in priority of stabilization (Edraki et al., 2014). Sites affected by Acid Mine Drainage (AMD) showed strongly increased concentrations of the mined metal, Cu, along with some of other metals present in the mixed ore such as Fe and Al (Hajihashemi et al., 2023). Both mine pit and waste drainage contains elevated amounts of Cu and acid rock drainage creates toxic metal(loid) contaminated sites (Milu et al., 2002). The negative correlation of soil clay aggregates with Co, Fe, Mn, and Zn, as well the lower ranges of pH in contaminated sites, shows that the decrease in soil pH and lower Ca concentrations can reduce metal adsorption by soils. This is due to competition for negatively charged surfaces of clay minerals between H<sup>+</sup> and dissolved metals (De Matos et al., 2001; Pandey et al., 2007; Gonzalez-Fernandez et al., 2011; Sungur et al., 2014). The soil taxonomy in the study area is classified as entisol, distinguished by an elevated concentration of sand aggregates (Golia et al., 2019). Furthermore, NMDS plot indicated a correlation between most metals and metalloids (Co, Cd, Zn, Fe, Cu, Pb, As, and Mo) and increased sand content. This implies that larger soil particles are less effective in retaining metals (Çevik et al., 2009; Romzaykina et al., 2021). Fe and Cu contents of soil have positive association because Fe-oxides enhance fixation of metals, especially Cu (Arenas-Lago et al., 2014). The considerable positive correlations of metals including Cd, Cu, Fe, Mo, Pb, and Zn with S concentration, and also along with each other in the NMDS plot shows that these elements are mainly sulfide-associated (Kim et al., 2003; Alakangas et al., 2010).

There are three main rock types in Sungun deposit: Sungun porphyry with porphyry fabric and quartz monzonite, non-mineralized dykes, and Skarn, which is a metasomatic contact alteration and mineralization with Cretaceous limestone and marl (Talebi et al., 2015). Metal element correlation with each other also implies they had the same sources and similar weathering pathways, also suggesting similar origin, geochemical behaviours for the transport, deposition, and interdependence of these elements (Khalil et al., 2013; Lienard et al., 2014; Demkova et al., 2019; Antunovic et al., 2023). Also, mineral elements like Cu, Pb, and Zn regularly co-exist because of same process of geochemistry digenesis (Pu et al., 2010; Han et al., 2015). Sungun porphyry deposit and associated dykes consist of major oxides (SiO2, Al2O3, CaO, Fe2O3, K2O, MgO, MnO) and elements (As, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cu, Mo, Ni, Pb, S and Zn) which contains Fe, Pb, Zn, and Cu mineralization (Kamali et al., 2018).

The three natural hillsides had lower ranges of chalcophile element concentrations than those in mine-affected sites. The data for three natural hillsides showed that those close to Waste Dump had greater concentrations of N and OM. This might be associated with the presence of nitrogen-fixing plant species from the Fabaceae family and higher vegetation cover that add litter material to surface soil (Ghorbani et al., 2018; Buta et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020). Additionally, lower concentrations of metal elements were observed in a natural hillside far from the mine pit. This could be attributed to higher clay contents, as the clay fraction and organic matter in the soil are significant factors

influencing metal sorption (Rieuwerts, 2007; Liu et al., 2022). Consequently, the concentration of metal(liod) in the soil and associated ecological risks are lower in natural hillsides (Gutiérrez et al., 2020). Fine grain soils commonly have elevated metal concentrations because of their greater surface to volume ratio, organic matter formation and enrichment, and Fe-Mn oxides (Jain et al., 2008; Redwan & Elhaddad, 2016).

## 5. Conclusions

This research explored the contamination levels of metal(loid) elements and their spatial distribution in a copper mine and its surrounding environments in a semi-arid region. Elevated values of metal contamination were observed across the entire mining area. Significant correlations between mineral element concentrations in soil samples helped identify the typical origins of contaminants in active mining areas. The concentrations of metals, Geo-Accumulation index (Igeo), and Potential Ecological Risk (RI) indices levels suggest that the Oxide Dump and Waste Rock Dump are the principal sources, and mine drainage causes the pollution to spread beyond the mine site particularly from Pakhir River. In terms of future remediation, there is a high potential of phytoremediation in this area (Alizadeh et al., 2022). However, the lack of organic matter, low soil nutrient status, and the sandy texture of highly polluted soils will pose challenges for controlling metal mobility.

# 6. Statements and Declarations

## Funding

This work was supported by Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University (0025) and the National Iranian Copper Industries Company (AA2315). Author A.A. has received research support.

# **Competing Interests**

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

#### **Author Contributions**

All authors contributed to the study's conception and design. Field sampling was performed by Arezo Alizadeh and Javad Motamedi. This work was supervised by Jamshid Ghorbani and the laboratory analyses were arranged by Ghorban Vahabzadeh. Antony van der Ent and Mansour Edraki helped with the development of the idea and interpretation of the results. All authors have read, understood, and have complied as applicable with the statement on "Ethical responsibilities of Authors" as found in the Instructions for Authors.

#### Ethical approval

No human or animal study was conducted during the present research.

# **Consent to participate**

Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

# Availability of data and materials

Data and materials will be provided if requested.

# 7. References

Abreu, M. M., Matias, M. J., Magalhaes, M. C. F., & Basto, M. J. (2008). Impacts on water, soil and plants from the abandoned Miguel Vacas copper mine, Portugal. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 96, 161–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2007.04.012

Adewumi, A.J., A. Laniyan, T., & R. Ikhane, P. (2020). Distribution, contamination, toxicity, and potential risk assessment of toxic metals in media from Arufu Pb–Zn–F mining area, northeast Nigeria. *Toxin Reviews*, 40 (4), 997-1018. https://doi.org/10.1080/15569543.2020.1815787

Aghili, S., Vaezihir, A., & Hosseinzadeh, M. (2018). Distribution and modeling of heavy metal pollution in the sediment and water mediums of Pakhir River, at the downstream of Sungun mine tailing dump, Iran. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 77, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-018-7283-z

Ahdy, H. H. H., & Khaled, A. (2009). Heavy metals contamination in sediments of the western part of Egyptian Mediterranean Sea. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 4(3), 3330–3336.

Alakangas, L., Öhlander, B., & Lundberg, A. (2010). Estimation of temporal changes in oxidation rates of sulphides in copper mine tailings at Laver, Northern Sweden. *Science of the Total Environment*, 408, 1386–1392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.11.005

Ali, M., Heng, L., Ratnam, W., Nais, J., & Ripin, R. (2004). Metal distribution and contamination of the Mamut River, Malaysia, caused by copper mine discharge. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 73, 535–542. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-004-0462-5

Alizadeh, A., Ghorbani, J., Motamedi, J., Vahabzadeh, G., Edraki, M., & van der Ent, A. (2022). Metal and metalloid accumulation in native plants around a copper mine site: implications for phytostabilization. *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, 24, 1141-1151. https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2021.2011831

Andrunik, M., Wołowiec, M., Wojnarski, D., Zelek-Pogudz, S., & Bajda, T. (2020). Transformation of Pb, Cd, and Zn Minerals Using Phosphates. *Minerals*. 10(4), 342. https://doi.org/10.3390/min10040342

Antunovic, V., Blagojevic, D., Baosic, R., Relic, D., & Lolic, A. (2023). Health risk assessment of heavy metals in soil, plant, and water samples near "Gacko" power plant, in Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 195, 596. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-023-11232-7

Arenas-Lago, D., Andrade, M. L., Lago-Vila, M., Rodríguez-Seijo, A., & Vega, F.A. (2014). Sequential extraction of heavy metals in soils from a copper mine: Distribution in geochemical fractions. *Geoderma*, 230,108–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.04.011

Bech, J., Poschenrieder, C., Llugan, M., Barcelo, J., Tume, P., Tobias, F. J., Barranzuela, J. L., & Vásquez, E. R. (1997). Arsenic and heavy metal contamination of soil and vegetation around a copper mine in Northern Peru. *Science of the Total Environment*, 203, 83–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(97)00136-8

Bermudez, G. M. A., Moreno, M., Invernizzi, R., Pla, R., & Pignata, M. L. (2010). Heavy metal pollution in topsoils near a cement plant: The role of organic matter and distance to the source to predict total and HCl-extracted heavy metal concentrations. *Chemosphere*, 78, 375–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.11.012

Bouyoucos, G. J. (1951). A recalibration of the hydrometer method for making mechanical analysis of soils. *Agronomy Journal*, 43, 434-438. http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/agronj1951.00021962004300090005x

Bremner, J. M., & Mulvaney, C. S. (1982). Nitrogen total. In A. L. Miller, & D. R. Keeney (Eds.) *Methods of soil analysis* (pp. 595–624) American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, US.

Buta, M., Blaga, G., Paulette, L., Pacurar, I., Rosca, S., Borsai, O., Grecu, F., Sinziana, P. E., & Negrusier, C. (2019). Soil reclamation of abandoned mine lands by revegetation in northwestern part of Transylvania: A 40-year retrospective study. *Sustainability Science*, 11(12), 3393. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11123393

Candeias, C., Avila, P.F., Ferreira da Silva, E., & Teixeira, J.P. (2015) Integrated approach to assess the environmental impact of mining activities: estimation of the spatial distribution of soil contamination (Panasqueira mining area, Central Portugal). *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 187, 135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-015-4343-7

Çevik, F., Goksu, M.Z.L., Derici, O.B., & Fındık, O. (2009). An assessment of metal pollution in surface sediments of Seyhan dam by using enrichment factor, geoaccumulation index and statistical analyses. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 152, 309–317. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-008-0317-3

Chen, H., Awasthi, S. K., Liu, T., Duan, Y., Zhang, Z., & Awasthi, M. K. (2020). Compost biochar application to contaminated soil reduces the (im)mobilization and phytoavailability of lead and copper. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, 95, 408–417. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.5986

Chon, H. T., Lee, J. S., & Lee, J. U. (2011). Heavy metal contamination of soil, its risk assessment and bioremediation. *Geosystem Engineering*, 14, 191–206. https://doi.org/10.1080/12269328.2011.10541350

Chrastný, V., Vaněk, A., Teper, L., Cabala, J., Prochazka, J., Pechar, L., Drahota, P., Penizek, V., Komárek, M., & Novak, M. (2012). Geochemical position of Pb, Zn and Cd in soils near the Olkusz mine/smelter, South Poland: effects of land use, type of contamination and distance from pollution source. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 184, 2517–2536. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-011-2135-2

Colwell, J. D. (1963). The estimation of the phosphorus fertilizer requirements of wheat in southern New South Wales by soil analysis. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 3, 190-198.

De Matos, A. T., Fontes, M. P. F., Da Costa, L. M., & Martinez, M. A. (2001). Mobility of heavy metals as related to soil chemical and mineralogical characteristics of Brazilian soils. *Environmental Pollution*, 111, 429–435. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(00)00088-9

Demkova, L., Arvay, J., Bobulska, L., Hauptvogl, M., & Hrstkova, M. (2019). Open mining pits and heaps of waste material as the source of undesirable substances: biomonitoring of air and soil pollution in former mining area (Dubnik, Slovakia). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26, 35227–35239. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06582-0

Dinelli, E., Lucchini, F., Fabbri, M., & Cortecci, G. (2001). Metal distribution and environmental problems related to sulfide oxidation in Liobiola copper mine area (Ligurian Apennines, Italy). *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 74, 141-152. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-6742(01)00180-7

Edraki, M., Baumgartl, T., Manlapig, E., Bradshaw, D., Franks, D. M., & Moran, C. J. (2014). Designing mine tailings for better environmental, social and economic outcomes: a review of alternative approaches. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 84, 411–420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.04.079

El Azhari, A., Rhoujjati, A., El Hachimi, M. L., & Ambrosi, J. (2017). Pollution and ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in the soil-plant system and the sediment-water column around a former Pb/Zn-mining area in NE Morocco. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 144, 464-474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.06.051

Gabarron, M., Faz, A., Martínez-Martínez, S., Zornoza, R., & Acosta, J. A. (2017). Assessment of metals behaviour in industrial soil using sequential extraction, multivariable analysis and a geostatistical approach. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 172, 174–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2016.10.015

Gasparatos, D., Mavromati, G., Kotsovilis, P., & Massas, I. (2015). Fractionation of heavy metals and evaluation of the environmental risk for the alkaline soils of the Thriassio plain: a residential, agricultural, and industrial area in Greece. *Environmental Earth Science*, 74, 1099–1108. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-015-4096-1

Ghorbani, J., Alizadeh, A., Motamedi, J., Vahabzadeh, Gh., Mazaheri, N., Naseh, R., & Esmailzadeh, E. (2018). Floristic, chorology and functional groups study of Sungun copper mine in East Azarbaijan province. *Taxonomy and Biosystematics Journal*, 36, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.22108/tbj.2019.110583.1063

Golia, E.E., Tsiropoulos, G.N., Füleky, G., Floras, St., & Vleioras, Sp. (2019). Pollution assessment of potentially toxic elements in soils of different taxonomy orders in central Greece. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 191, 106. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-7201-1

Gonzalez-Fernandez, O., Queralt, I., Carvalho, M. L., & Garcia, G. (2011). Lead, zinc, arsenic and copper pollution in the alluvial plain of a mining wadi: the beal case (Cartagena–La Union Mining District, SE Spain). *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, 220, 279–291. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-011-0753-5

Grafe, M., & Naidu, R. (2008). Remediation of metal-contaminated soils: an overview. In A. Violante, M. Huang, & G. Michael Gadd (Eds.) *Biophysico-chemical processes of heavy metals and metalloids in soil environments* (pp. 565-605). John Wiley & Sons.

Gutiérrez, M., Xiaomin, Q., Zachary, J. C., & Zachary, T. L. (2020). Metal content of stream sediments as a tool to assess remediation in an area recovering from historic mining contamination. *Minerals* 10 (3), 247. https://doi.org/10.3390/mim10030247

Hadjipanagiotou, C., Christou, A., Zissimos, A. M., Chatzitheodoridis, E., & Varnavas, S. P. (2020). Contamination of stream waters, sediments, and agricultural soil in the surroundings of an abandoned copper mine by potentially toxic elements and associated environmental and potential human health–derived risks: a case study from Agrokipia, Cyprus. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27, 41279–41298. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10098-3

Hajihashemi, Sh., Rajabpoor, Sh., & Schat, H. (2023). Acid mine drainage (AMD) endangers pomegranate trees nearby a copper mine. *Science of the Total Environment*, 889, 164269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.164269

Hakanson, L. (1980). An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control, a sediment ecological approach. *Water Research*, 14, 975-1001.

Han, Y., Youm, S., Oh, C., Cho, Y., & Ahn, J. S. (2015). Geochemical and eco-toxicological characteristics of stream water and its sediments affected by acid mine drainage. *Catena* 148, 52-59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2015.11.015

Hezarkhani, A. (2011). Geochemical element mobility during alteration / mineralization in the Sungun porphyry copper deposit, Azerbaijan–Iran. *International Geology Review*, 53, 980-1002. https://doi.org/10.1080/00206811003683192

Hezarkhani, A., Williams-Jones, A. E., & Gammons, C. H. (1999). Factors controlling copper solubility and chalcopyrite deposition in the Sungun porphyry copper deposit, Iran. *Mineralium Deposita*, 34, 770-783. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001260050237

Higueras, P., Oyarzun, R., Oyarz, J., Maturana, H., Lillo, J., & Morata, D. (2004). Environmental assessment of copper – gold – mercury mining in the Andacollo and Punitaqui districts, northern Chile. *Applied Geochemistry*, 19, 1855–1864. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2004.04.001

Huang, L., Baumgarti, T., & Mulligan, D. (2012). Is rhizosphere remediation sufficient for sustainable revegetation of mine tailings?. *Annals of Botany*, 110, 223-238. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcs115

Jain, C.K., Gupta, H., & Chakrapani, G.J. (2008). Enrichment and fractionation of heavy metals in bed sediments of River Narmada, India. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 141, 35–47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-007-9876-y

Jung, M. C., Ahn, J. S., & Chon, H. T. (2001). Environmental contamination and sequential extraction of trace elements from mine wastes around various metalliferous mines in Korea. *Geosystem Engineering*, 4(2), 50-60. https://doi.org/10.1080/12269328.2001.10541168

Kabala, C., Galka, B., & Jezierski, P. (2020). Assessment and monitoring of soil and plant contamination with trace elements around Europe's largest copper ore tailings impoundment. *Science of the Total Environment*, 738, 139918. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139918

Kabala, C., Musztyfaga, E., Gałka, B., Łabuńska, D., & Manczynska, P. (2016). Conversion of Soil pH 1:2.5 KCl and 1:2.5 H2O to 1:5 H2O: Conclusions for Soil Management, Environmental Monitoring, and International Soil Databases. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 25(2), 647-653. https://doi.org/10.15244/pjoes/61549

Kabata-Pendias, A. (2011). Trace metals in soils and plants. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, USA.

Kamali, A. A., Moayyed, M., Amel, N., Hosseinzadeh, M. R., Mohammadiha, K., Santos, J. F., & Brenna, M. (2018). Post-Mineralization, Cogenetic Magmatism at the Sungun Cu-Mo Porphyry Deposit (Northwest Iran): Protracted Melting and Extraction in an Arc System. *Minerals*, 8, 588. https://doi.org/10.3390/min8120588

Karaca, O., Cameselle, C., & Reddy, K. R. (2018). Mine tailing disposal sites: contamination problems, remedial options and phytocaps for sustainable remediation. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology*, 17, 205–228. https://doi.org/10.1017/s11157-017-9453-y

Kavehei, A., Hose, G. C., & Gore, D. B. (2021). History of environmental contamination at Sunny Corner Ag-Pb-Zn mine, eastern Australia: a meta-analysis approach. *Environmental Pollution*, 273, 115742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115742

Kefeni, K. K., Msagati, T. A. M., & Mamba, B. B. (2017). Acid mine drainage: Prevention, treatment options, and resource recovery: A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 151, 475-493. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.03.082

Kelm, U., Helle, S., Matthies, R., & Morales, A. (2009). Distribution of trace elements in soils surrounding the El Teniente porphyry copper deposit, Chile: the influence of smelter emissions and a tailings deposit. *Environmental Geology*, 57, 365-376. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-008-1305-1

Khalid, S., Shahid, M., Niazi, N. K., Murtaza, B., Bibi, I., & Dumat C (2017). A comparison of technologies for remediation of heavy metal contaminated soils. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 182, 247–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2016.11.021

Khalil, A., Hanich, L., Bannari, A., Zouhri, L., Pourret, & O., Hakkou, R. (2013). Assessment of soil contamination around an abandoned mine in a semi-arid environment using geochemistry and geostatistics: Prework of geochemical process modelling with numerical models *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 125, 117-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2012.11.018 Khan, M.J., & Jones, D.L. (2008). Chemical and organic immobilization treatments for reducing phytoavailability of heavy metals in copper-mine tailings. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 171, 908–916. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200700206

Khorasanipour, M., & Aftabi, A. (2011). Environmental geochemistry of toxic heavy metals in soils around Sarcheshmeh porphyry copper mine smelter plant, Rafsanjan. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 62, 449–465. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-010-0539-x

Kim, M. J., Ahn, K. H., Jung, Y., Lee, S., & Lim, B. (2003). Arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, and zinc contamination in mine tailings and nearby streams of three abandoned mines from Korea. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 70, 0942–0947. https://doi.org/10.1007/s128-003-0073-6

Knabb, K. A., Erel, Y., Tirosh, O., Rittenour, T., Laparidou, S., Najjar, M., & E. Levy, T. (2016). Environmental impacts of ancient copper mining and metallurgy: Multi-proxy investigation of human-landscape dynamics in the Faynan valley, southern Jordan. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 74, 85-101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2016.09.003

Kou, G. Y., Xu, B., Zhou, Y., Zheng, Y. C., Hou, Z. Q., Zhou, L. M., Zhang, Y. F., & Yu, J. X. (2021). Geology and petrogenesis of the Sungun deposits: Implications for the genesis of porphyry-type mineralisation in the NW Urumieh–Dokhtar magmatic Arc, Iran. *Ore Geology Reviews*, 131, 104013. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2021.104013

Kulikova, T., Hiller, E., Jurkovic, L., Filova, L., Sottník, P., & Lacina, P. (2019). Total mercury, chromium, nickel and other trace chemical element contents in soils at an old cinnabar mine site (Merník, Slovakia): anthropogenic versus natural sources of soil contamination. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 191, 263. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-7391-6

Lienard, A., Brostaux, Y., & Colinet, G. (2014). Soil contamination near a former Zn–Pb ore-treatment plant: Evaluation of deterministic factors and spatial structures at the landscape scale. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 147(B), 107-116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2014.07.014

Likus-Cieślik, J., Pietrzykowski, M., Szostak, M., & Szulczewski, M. (2017). Spatial distribution and concentration of sulfur in relation to vegetation cover and soil properties on a reclaimed sulfur mine site (Southern Poland). *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 189, 87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-017-5803-z

Liu, H., Qu, M., Chen, J., Guang, X., Zhang, J., Liu, M., Kang, J., Zhao, Y., & Huang, B. (2022). Heavy metal accumulation in the surrounding areas affected by mining in China: Spatial distribution patterns, risk assessment, and influencing factors. *Science of the Total Environment*, 825, 154004. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154004

Lu, J., Lu, H., Wang, W., Feng, S. S., Lei, K. (2021). Ecological risk assessment of heavy metal contamination of mining area soil based on land type changes: An information network environ analysis. *Ecological Modelling*, 455, 109633. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2021.109633

Margesin, R., & Schinner, F. (2005). *Manual of soil analysis: monitoring and assessing soil bioremediation*. Springer, Berlin, 370 p. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-28904-6

Mehrabi, B., Mehrabani, S., Rafiei, B., & Yaghoubi, B. (2015). Assessment of metal contamination in groundwater and soils in the Ahangaran mining district, west of Iran. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 187, 727. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-015-4864-0

Meza-figueroa, D., Maier, R. M., O-villanueva, M., De Gómez-alvarez, A., Moreno-zazueta, A., Rivera, J., Campillo, A., Grandlic, C. J., Anaya, R., & Palafox-reyes, J. (2009). The impact of unconfined mine tailings in residential areas from a mining town in a semi-arid environment: Nacozari, Sonora, Mexico. *Chemosphere*, 77, 140–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.04.068

Milu, V., Leroy, J., & Peiffert, C. (2002). Water contamination downstream from a copper mine in the Apuseni Mountains, Romania. *Environmental Geology*, 42, 773–782. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-002-0580-5

Moore, F., Dehghani, Sh., & Keshavarzi, B. (2014). Characterization of soil contamination in Miduk mining district, SW Iran. *Soil and Sediment Contamination*, 23, 614-627. https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2014.856856

Moore, F., Esmaeili, K., & Keshavarzi, B. (2011). Assessment of heavy metals contamination in stream water and sediments affected by the Sungun porphyry copper deposit, East Azerbaijan province, northwest Iran. *Water Quality, Exposure and Health*, 3, 37–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12403-011-0042-y

Moshefi, P., Hosseinzadeh, M. R., Moayyed, M., & Lentz, D. R. (2018). Comparative study of mineral chemistry of four biotite types as geochemical indicators of mineralized and barren intrusions in the Sungun Porphyry Cu-Mo deposit, northwestern Iran. *Ore Geology Reviews*, 97, 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2018.05.003

Muller, G. (1969). Index of geoaccumulation in sediments of the Rhine River. Geological Journal, 2, 108–118.

Nabi Bidhendi, G., Karbassi, A., Nasrabadi, T, & Hoveidi, H. (2007). Influence of copper mine on surface water quality. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 4, 85-91. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03325965

Närhi, P., Liisa, M., Sutinen, M., & Sutinen, R. (2012). Effect of tailings on wetland vegetation in Rautuvaara, a former iron – copper mining area in northern Finland. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 116, 60–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2012.03.005

Olsen, S. R., Cole, C. V., & Watanabe, F. S., & Dean, L. A. (1954). *Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate*. USDA Circular No. 939, US Government Printing Office, Washington DC.

Otte, M. L., & Jacob, D. L. (2008). Mine area remediation. *Encyclopedia of Ecology*, 2397, 2402. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-008045405-4.00073-2 Oyarzún, J., Oyarzun, R., Pavicic, S., & Punitaqui, E. D. (2001). Estudio geoquímico prospectivo en un distrito de Cu-Au-Hg asociado a zona de cizalla: Punitaqui, Chile. *Boletín Geológico y Minero*, 112, 75–84.

Pandey, P. K., Sharma, R., Roy, M., & Pandey, M. (2007). Toxic mine drainage from Asia's biggest copper mine at Malanjkhand, India. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 29, 237–248. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-006-9079-4

Perlatti, F., Otero, X. L., Macias, F., & Ferreira, T. O. (2015). Geochemical speciation and dynamic of cooper in tropical semi-arid soils exposed to metal-bearing mine wastes. *Science of the Total Environment*, 500, 91–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.08.086

Pu, X., Wang, D., Lv, Y., Zhong, S., & Pang, X. (2010). Distribution characteristics of simultaneously extracted metals in Licun River Estuary sediments of Jiaozhou Bay. In 4th International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedical Engineering (pp. 1-4). IEEE. https://doi.org/ 10.1109/ICBBE.2010.5516226

Punia, A., & Singh, S. K. (2021). Contamination of water resources in the mining region. In A. Ahama, S. I. Siddiqui, & P. Singh (Eds) *Contamination of Water* (pp. 3-17). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-824058-8.00015-3

R Core Team (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

Radi, N., Hirche, A. & Boutaleb, A. (2023). Assessment of soil contamination by heavy metals and arsenic in Tamesguida abandoned copper mine area, Médéa, Algeria. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 195, 247. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-022-10862-7

Randelovic, D., Cvetkovic, V., Mihailovic, N., & Jovanovic, S. (2014). Relation between edaphic factors and vegetation development on copper mine wastes: a case study from Bor (Serbia, SE Europe). *Journal of Environmental Management*, 53, 800-812. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-014-0240-z

Redwan, M., & Elhaddad, E. (2016). Seasonal variation and enrichment of metals in sediments of Rosetta branch, Nile River, Egypt. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 188, 354. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-016-5360-x

Rezaie, B., & Anderson, A. (2020). Sustainable resolutions for environmental threat of the acid mine drainage. *Science of the Total Environment*, 717, 137211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137211

Rieuwerts, J. S. (2007). The mobility and bioavailability of trace metals in tropical soils: a review. *Chemical Speciation & Bioavailability*, 19, 75–85. https://doi.org/10.3184/095422907X211918

Rodríguez, L., Ruiz, E., Alonso-Azcárate, J., & Rincon, J. (2009). Heavy metal distribution and chemical speciation in tailings and soils around a Pb-Zn mine in Spain. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90, 1106–1116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.04.007

Romzaykina, O. N., Vasenev, V. I., Paltseva, A., Kuzyakov, Y. V., Neaman, A., & Dovletyarova, E. A. (2021). Assessing and mapping urban soils as geochemical barriers for contamination by heavy metals and metalloids in Moscow megapolis. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 50(1), 22-37. https://doi.org/10.1002/jeq2.20142

Saadmohammadi, A., Khoshniaz, N., Saeid, Sh., & Hashemi, Sh. (2011). NICICO Development plan, perspective and goals. 7th Asian Copper Conference, Shanghai, China. pp 1-36.

Sanderson, P., Naidu, R., Bolan, N., Bowman, M., & Mclure, S. (2012). Effect of soil type on distribution and bioaccessibility of metal contaminants in shooting range soils. *Science of the Total Environment*, 438, 452–462. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.08.014

Serafimovski, T., Tasev, G., & Stefanova, V. (2014). Anthropogenic soil contamination connected with active mines, smelting and plants in the Republic of Macedonia. *Eurasian Journal of Soil Science*, 3, 293–302. https://doi.org/10.18393/ejss.33876

Sun, Z., Hu, Y., & Cheng, H. (2020). Public health risk of toxic metal(loid) pollution to the population living near an abandoned small-scale polymetallic mine. *Science of the Total Environment*, 718, 137434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137434

Sungur, A., Soylak, M., & Ozcan, H. (2014). Investigation of heavy metal mobility and availability by the BCR sequential extraction procedure: Relationship between soil properties and heavy metals availability. *Chemical Speciation & Bioavailability*, 26, 219–230. https://doi.org/10.3184/095422914X14147781158674

Taalab, A. S., Ageeb, G. W., Siam, H. S., & Mahmoud, S. A. (2019). Some Characteristics of Calcareous soils. A review. *Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research*, 8(1), 96-105.

Talebi, H., Asghari, O., & Emery, X. (2015). Stochastic rock type modelling in a porphyry copper deposit and its application to copper grade evaluation. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 157, 162-168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2015.06.010

Terrones-Saeta, J.M., Suarez-Macías, J., Bernardo-Sánchez, A., Alvarez de Prado, L., Menendez Fernandez, M., & Corpas-Iglesias, F.A. (2021). Treatment of soil contaminated by mining activities to prevent contamination by encapsulation in ceramic construction materials. *Materials*, 14, 6740. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14226740

Walkley, A., & Black, C. A. (1934). An examination of degradation method for determining soil organic matter: a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*, 37, 29–35. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00010694-193401000-00003

Wang, Z., Wang, G., Wang, C., Wang, X., Li, M., & Ren, T. (2021). Effect of environmental factors on soil nutrient loss under conditions of mining disturbance in a coalfield. *Forests*, 12, 1370. https://doi.org/10.3390/f12101370

Weeks, J. M., & Comber, S. D. W. (2005). Ecological risk assessment of contaminated soil. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 69(5), 601-613. https://doi.org/10.1180/0026461056950274

Weissmannová, H. D., & Pavlovský, J. (2017). Indices of soil contamination by heavy metals – methodology of calculation for pollution assessment (minireview). *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 189, 616. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-017-6340-5

Xu, Z. Q., Tuo, X. G., Ni, S. J., & Zhang, C. J. (2008). Calculation of heavy metal's toxicity coefficient in the evaluation of Potential Ecological Risk Index. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 31, 112-115.

Yun, S. W., Baveye, P. C., Kim, K. B., Kang, D. H., Lee, S. Y., Son, J., Kim, D. H., Yoon, Y. C., & Yu, C. (2016). Effect of post mining land use on the spatial distribution of metal(loid)s and their transport in agricultural soils: Analysis of a case study of Chungyang, South Korea. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 170, 157–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2016.09.002

Zarasvandi, A., Liaghat, S., Lentz, D., & Hossaini, M. (2013). Characteristics of mineralizing fluids of the Darreh-Zerreshk and Ali-Abad porphyry copper deposits, Central Iran, determined by fluid inclusion micro-thermometry. *Resource Geology*, 63(2), 188–209. https://doi.org/10.1111/rge.12004

Zu, Y., Bock, L., Schvartz, C., Colinet, G., & Li, Y. (2014). Mobility and distribution of lead, cadmium, copper and zinc in soil profiles in the peri-urban market garden of Kunming, Yunnan Province, China. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 60, 133–149. https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340.2012.746778



**Fig. 1** Main porphyry copper deposits within the Central Iranian Volcano Plutonic Belt and the location of study area (Adapted from Zarasvandi et al. 2013)



Fig. 2 Soil taxonomic order in the study area and the location of sampling sites within the Sungun Copper Mine, Iran



**Fig. 3** Land cover of the studied sites in Sungun Copper Mine, Iran ((a): Waste Rock Dump and adjacent natural hillside, (b): joining point of Pakhir and Sungun Rivers, (c) and (d): natural hillsides). (Photo by Arezu Alizadeh)



**Fig. 4** NMDS ordination of studied sites and soil properties. Sites are shown with ellipses (S1: Pakhir riverside, S2: Sungun riverside, S3: Oxide Dump, S4: Waste Rock Dump, S5: natural hillside close to Waste Rock Dump, S6: natural hillsides near the mine pit, S7: natural hillside far away from mine pit) (Ordination stress>0.2)



Fig. 5 Correlations of soil characteristics in Sungun Copper Mine, Iran.

Correlation is significant \* at the 0.05>p>0.01, \*\* 0.01>p>0.001

Class	$I_{geo}$	Contamination categories
0	<0	Uncontaminated
1	0-1	Uncontaminated to moderately contaminated
2	1-2	Moderately contaminated
3	2-3	Moderately to strongly contaminated
4	3-4	Strongly contaminated
5	4-5	Strongly to extremely strongly contaminated
6	>5	Extremely contaminated

Table 1 Contamination categories based on Geo-Accumulation Index  $(I_{\text{geo}})$ 

**Table 2** Level of Ecological Risk Factor and Potential Ecological Risk

Ecological Risk Factor $(E_f^i)$	Level of single-factor contamination	Potential Ecological Risk Index (RI)	Level of Potential Ecological Risk
$F^i < 40$	Low	RI<150	Low-grade
$L_f < 40$ $40 < F_s^i < 80$	Moderate	150 <ri<300< td=""><td>Moderate</td></ri<300<>	Moderate
$80 \le E_f^i \le 160$	Higher	300 <ri<600< td=""><td>Severe</td></ri<600<>	Severe
$160 \le E_{e}^{i} \le 320$	High	600≤RI	Serious
$320 \leq E_f^i$	Serious		

Parameter	Pakhir River	Sungun River	Oxide Dump	Waste Dump	Hillside near Waste Dump	Hillside near pit	Hillside far from pit
рН	6.4 <sup>c</sup>	6.9 <sup>ab</sup>	6.55 <sup>bc</sup>	7.01 <sup>a</sup>	6.7 <sup>abc</sup>	6.8 <sup>ab</sup>	6.75 <sup>ab</sup>
(Range)	(5.89–7.64)	(6.73–7.02)	(5.19–7.31)	(6.47–7.96)	(6.21–7.67)	(6.65–6.99)	(6.63–6.97)
EC (mS cm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.75 <sup>a</sup>	$0.48^{\mathrm{bc}}$	0.65 <sup>ab</sup>	0.3 <sup>d</sup>	0.38 <sup>cd</sup>	0.39 <sup>cd</sup>	0.31 <sup>d</sup>
(Range)	(0.36–2.38)	(0.33–0.96)	(0.28–1.52)	(0.23–0.38)	(0.27–0.47)	(0.32–0.56)	(0.26–0.37)
Clay (%)	$0.26^{d}$	1.81°	15.2ª	9.68 <sup>b</sup>	1.52°	7.04 <sup>b</sup>	15.8ª
(Range)	(0–2)	(0–2)	(8–18)	(8–14)	(0-8)	(4–14)	(12–20)
Silt (%)	7.71 <sup>d</sup>	9.72 <sup>cd</sup>	18.5 <sup>ab</sup>	14.2 <sup>bc</sup>	24.5ª	19.8 <sup>ab</sup>	27.7ª
(Range)	(5.92–18)	(2.64–18.6)	(12.6–28.6)	(1.36–26.6)	(18.5–32.8)	(0-44.2)	(23.3–31.2)
Sand (%)	91.4ª	87.0 <sup>a</sup>	64.5°	73.4 <sup>b</sup>	72.5 <sup>b</sup>	66.7 <sup>bc</sup>	56.0 <sup>d</sup>
(Range)	(82–94.1)	(79.7–95.4)	(49.4–79.4)	(63.4–86.6)	(63.4–81.2)	(49.9–92)	(48.9–62.7)
$CaCo_3(\%)$	1.55 <sup>b</sup>	2.08 <sup>b</sup>	1.56 <sup>b</sup>	1.34 <sup>b</sup>	2.21 <sup>b</sup>	4.33ª	1.84 <sup>b</sup>
(Range)	(0–3)	(0.75–3.75)	(0-5.50)	(1–2)	(0.5–3.25)	(0.25–15.3)	(1–3)
K (µg g <sup>-1</sup> )	1.50 <sup>d</sup>	1.56 <sup>cd</sup>	1.65 <sup>bc</sup>	1.62 <sup>bcd</sup>	1.88ª	1.75 <sup>ab</sup>	1.62 <sup>bcd</sup>
(Range)	(1.47–1.54)	(1.47–2.16)	(1.47–1.92)	(1.52–1.77)	(1.56–2.25)	(1.42–2.23)	(1.54–1.73)
N (%)	0.12°	0.07°	0.1°	0.13°	0.54ª	0.34 <sup>b</sup>	0.36 <sup>b</sup>
(Range)	(0.03–0.52)	(0.03–0.14)	(0.07–0.14)	(0.03–0.59)	(0.11–0.91)	(0.14–0.7)	(0.1–0.76)
P (ppm)	3.77 <sup>abc</sup>	5.31 <sup>ab</sup>	6.946 <sup>a</sup>	1.70°	3.66 <sup>abc</sup>	3.27 <sup>bc</sup>	2.82 <sup>bc</sup>
(Range)	(0.21–11.1)	(2.73–9.03)	(0.42–78.8)	(0-3.15)	(0.84–10.9)	(0–14.7)	(1.26–4.62)
OM (%)	0.47°	0.51°	0.55°	$0.08^{d}$	5.84 <sup>a</sup>	2.27 <sup>b</sup>	1.78 <sup>b</sup>
(Range)	(0.21–0.71)	(0.34–0.84)	(0.23–2.02)	(0-17)	(3.33–8.61)	(0.37–5.80)	(1.38–2.40)

**Table 3** Means of soil physico-chemical characteristics in Sungun Copper Mine, Iran. Range of data are shown in parentheses. Means followed by different letter in each row are significantly different. Sites are shown in Fig. 2

Parameter	Dalthir Divor	Sungun River	Oxide Dump	Wasta Dump	Hillside near	Hillside near nit	Hillside far from
(µg g <sup>-1</sup> )		Sungun Kiver	Oxide Dump	waste Dump	Waste Dump	Timside near pit	pit
Со	18.8 <sup>a</sup>	14.3 <sup>b</sup>	14.2 <sup>b</sup>	14.5 <sup>b</sup>	15.5 <sup>b</sup>	15.4 <sup>b</sup>	11.5°
(Range)	(16–30)	(13–17)	(7–18)	(12–18)	(14–17)	(12–19)	(10–15)
Cr	27.2 <sup>cd</sup>	22.8 <sup>d</sup>	33.8 <sup>bc</sup>	23.7 <sup>d</sup>	46.3 <sup>a</sup>	44.0 <sup>a</sup>	39.6 <sup>ab</sup>
(Range)	(24–31)	(21–24)	(17–61)	(15–36)	(34–146)	(25–73)	(35–49)
Cu	3140 <sup>a</sup>	1040 <sup>b</sup>	288°	83.5 <sup>d</sup>	47.9 <sup>e</sup>	79.3 <sup>d</sup>	78.7 <sup>d</sup>
(Range)	(1560–21800)	(823–1300)	(51–1140)	(58–120)	(30–127)	(43–206)	(61–128)
Fe	58700ª	38800 <sup>b</sup>	39400 <sup>b</sup>	27800 <sup>e</sup>	30800 <sup>de</sup>	32500 <sup>cd</sup>	34300 <sup>c</sup>
(Range)	(50300–67400)	(34100–42400)	(28400-80200)	(24900–30100)	(29000–33600)	(26300-36000)	(32000–36800)
Mn	961 <sup>a</sup>	489°	436 <sup>c</sup>	671 <sup>b</sup>	779 <sup>ab</sup>	736 <sup>ab</sup>	446 <sup>c</sup>
(Range)	(722–1220)	(421–594)	(300-823)	(532–819)	(672–913)	(647–970)	(340–584)
Ni	40.7 <sup>ab</sup>	26.9 <sup>c</sup>	38.9 <sup>ab</sup>	38.2 <sup>ab</sup>	37.9 <sup>ab</sup>	42.8ª	32.6 <sup>bc</sup>
(Range)	(34–58)	(25–30)	(17–64)	(29–59)	(32–75)	(22–76)	(26–45)
Zn	665 <sup>a</sup>	122 <sup>b</sup>	112 <sup>b</sup>	81.3 <sup>c</sup>	77.5°	83.6°	76.1°
(Range)	(391–902)	(103–141)	(62–231)	(70–91)	(70–90)	(63–110)	(61–87)
Al	17100 <sup>c</sup>	10900 <sup>d</sup>	19100 <sup>ab</sup>	18400 <sup>bc</sup>	24400 <sup>a</sup>	24900 <sup>a</sup>	22400 <sup>ab</sup>
(Range)	(13100–35100)	(9230–11300)	(9910–39600)	(12000–23100)	(21500–27400)	(15700–37100)	(19400–27700)
Ca	9360°	15383.7 <sup>ab</sup>	11200 <sup>bc</sup>	8650°	7670 <sup>cd</sup>	18400 <sup>a</sup>	6970 <sup>d</sup>
(Range)	(6540–12800)	(9760–19700)	(2110–28900)	(6270–15000)	(5410–9710)	(6470–50200)	(4330–6970)
Mg	6270 <sup>c</sup>	6310 <sup>c</sup>	8430 <sup>b</sup>	7250 <sup>bc</sup>	13100 <sup>a</sup>	12500 <sup>a</sup>	8680 <sup>b</sup>
(Range)	(5250–7400)	(5800–6940)	(1330–14700)	(4570–10100)	(11200–14800)	(8400–15800)	(7060–10600)
S	5840 <sup>a</sup>	3690 <sup>a</sup>	4560 <sup>a</sup>	660 <sup>c</sup>	578°	513°	1710 <sup>b</sup>
(Range)	(4080–11500)	(2830–4650)	(350-25300)	(246–1410)	(408–723)	(168–1250)	(1260–2470)

**Table 4** Means of soil metal and metalloids elements in Sungun Copper Mine, Iran. Range of data are shown in parentheses. Means followed by different letter in each row are significantly different. Sites are shown in Fig. 2

As	35.3ª	22.0 <sup>ab</sup>	13.1 <sup>bc</sup>	6.36 <sup>cd</sup>	3.33 <sup>d</sup>	5.91 <sup>d</sup>	4.91 <sup>d</sup>
(Range)	(4.3–107)	(3.4–55.9)	(2.3–160)	(1.5–14.8)	(1.6–20.1)	(1.4–15.3)	(1.6–10)
Cd	0.66ª	0.24 <sup>b</sup>	0.23 <sup>bc</sup>	0.19 <sup>c</sup>	$0.2^{\mathrm{bc}}$	0.2 <sup>bc</sup>	0.24 <sup>b</sup>
(Range)	(0.4–1)	(0.22–0.27)	(0.19–0.28)	(0.17–0.21)	(0.18–0.23)	(0.17–0.23)	(0.2–0.27)
Мо	17.2 <sup>b</sup>	69.1ª	8.87°	6.03 <sup>c</sup>	0.960 <sup>e</sup>	1.19 <sup>d</sup>	3.08 <sup>d</sup>
(Range)	(9–74.8)	(59.8–86.9)	(0.96–71.8)	(1.38–12.4)	(0.81–1.31)	(0.84–3)	(1.33–4.2)
Pb	946 <sup>a</sup>	98.8 <sup>b</sup>	71.6 <sup>b</sup>	26.2 <sup>c</sup>	6.45 <sup>d</sup>	8.88 <sup>d</sup>	67.2 <sup>b</sup>
(Range)	(521–1320)	(63–143)	(6–848)	(19–33)	(5–17)	(5–22)	(54–83)
Ce	48.0 <sup>c</sup>	47.6 <sup>c</sup>	54.6 <sup>b</sup>	70.3 <sup>a</sup>	35.6 <sup>d</sup>	49.8 <sup>c</sup>	50.8 <sup>bc</sup>
(Range)	(45–51)	(46–50)	(48–62)	(58–82)	(29–43)	(44–67)	(48–53)

**Table 5**  $I_{geo}$  values for soil metal and metalloids elements in Sungun Copper Mine, Iran. Sites are shown in Fig.

۲		

					Hillside		TT'11 · 1
Domonator	Pakhir	Sungun	Oxide	Waste	near	Hillside	for from
Parameter	River	River	Dump	Dump	Waste	near pit	
					Dump		pit
IgeoCo	0.33	-0.07	-0.08	-0.05	0.04	0.04	-0.38
IgeoCr	-2.46	-2.72	-2.15	-2.66	-1.70	-1.77	-1.92
$I_{\text{geo}}Cu$	5.25	3.66	1.80	0.02	-0.78	-0.06	-0.07
$I_{\text{geo}}Fe$	1.12	0.52	0.55	0.04	0.19	0.27	0.34
$I_{\text{geo}}Mn$	0.87	-0.10	-0.27	0.35	0.57	0.49	-0.23
IgeoNi	0.44	-0.16	0.38	0.35	0.34	0.51	0.12
$I_{\text{geo}} Z n$	2.66	0.21	0.09	-0.37	-0.44	-0.33	-0.46
IgeoAl	0.19	-0.46	0.35	0.30	0.70	0.73	0.58
IgeoAs	3.71	3.02	2.28	1.24	0.30	1.13	0.86
$I_{\text{geo}}Cd$	2.14	0.68	0.62	0.34	0.42	0.42	0.68
$I_{\text{geo}}Mo$	2.94	4.94	1.98	1.42	-1.23	-0.92	0.45
$I_{\text{geo}}Pb$	5.39	2.13	1.67	0.22	-1.80	-1.34	1.58
$I_{\text{geo}}Ce$	1.68	1.67	1.86	2.23	1.25	1.73	1.76

**t Table 6** The ecological risk factor  $(E_f^i)$  for soil metal and metalloids elements in Sungun Copper Mine, Iran.

• Sites are shown in Fig. 2

Parameter	Pakhir River	Sungun River	Oxide Dump	Waste Dump	Hillside near Waste Dump	Hillside near pit	Hillside far from pit
Со	9.42	7.17	7.12	7.25	7.74	7.70	5.76
Cr	0.540	0.460	0.68	0.47	0.93	0.88	0.79
Cu	286	94.9	26.1	7.59	4.35	7.21	7.15
Mn	2.74	1.40	1.25	1.92	2.23	2.10	1.28
Ni	12.2	8.08	11.7	11.5	11.4	12.8	9.77
Zn	9.50	1.74	1.60	1.16	1.11	1.19	1.09
As	196	122	72.7	35.3	18.5	32.8	27.3
Cd	198	72.0	69.0	57.0	60.0	60.0	72.0
Pb	315	32.9	23.9	8.74	2.15	2.96	22.4

- <sup>9</sup> **Table 7** General level of Potential Ecological Risk Index in different sites of Sungun Copper Mine, Iran. Sites
- are shown in Fig. 2

RI	Pakhir River	Sungun River	Oxide Dump	Waste Dump	Hillside near Waste Dump	Hillside near pit	Hillside far from pit
Value	1029.35	340.77	213.99	130.93	108.38	127.72	147.50
Level of potential ecological risk	serious	severe	moderate	low-grade	low- grade	low- grade	low- grade