Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Do innovative immersive virtual reality simulation videos have a role to play in teaching non-technical skills and increasing preparedness for clinical placements for medical students? [version 2]

Sushil Pal[1,2], Rosalind Benson[1,2], Paul Duvall[1,2], Vidhi Taylor-Jones[1,2]

[1]School of Medicine
[2]School of Medicine

Abstract

This article was migrated. The article was marked as recommended.

Background: Teaching non-technical skills (NTS) is an important part of the undergraduate medical curriculum. Resource intensive high-fidelity simulation has an established role in this. Alternative methods of delivering large scale simulation-based education should be considered to help further improve NTS and preparedness for clinical placements of medical students. Emerging technologies such as immersive virtual reality (VR) may have a role in this.

Aim: To assess if a VR simulation-based teaching programme enhances understanding of NTS and preparedness for clinical placements in medical students at the University of Liverpool.

Methods: A VR simulation-based teaching programme, consisting of 4 sessions of lecture-based simulation and a hi-fidelity simulation session was delivered to 3rd year medical students. The lecture-based sessions used pre-recorded, immersive clinical scenarios developed by the School of Medicine, with a focus on NTS. The hi-fidelity simulation session was delivered by local hospital trusts. A survey was sent to all students to assess their understanding of key NTS: decision making, task prioritisation and delegation and how the clinical environment works. Preparedness for clinical placement and confidence in the clinical environment was also assessed. A focus group further explored how students felt towards these NTS, with subsequent thematic analysis.

Open Peer Review

Migrated Content

"Migrated Content" refers to articles submitted to and published in the publication before moving to the current platform. These articles are static and cannot be updated.

	1	2	3	4
version 2 29 Sep 2021			view	view
version 1 11 Aug 2020	view	view	view	

1. **Carol Quinn**, University of Glasgow

2. **P Ravi Shankar**, American International Medical University

3. **Ken Masters**, Sultan Qaboos University

4. **Richard Hays**, James Cook University

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Results: 101/281 students responded to the survey reporting a greater understanding in all NTS assessed. Students also described feeling better prepared for clinical placements. The focus group reported the programme provided a 'safe space' for learning alongside increasing understanding of role modelling and self-awareness.

Discussion: Utilising emerging technology alongside hi-fidelity simulation increased students' exposure to the clinical environment and enabled exploration of NTS by students. Additional work with larger focus groups will be required to further validate our results. Whilst restrictions are limiting clinical exposure due to the COVID-19 pandemic, we propose that VR simulation-based teaching programmes could provide an alternativeeducational tool.

Keywords

undergraduate, medicine, education, virtual reality, simulation, COVID-19, non-technical skills, medical education

How to cite this article: Pal S, Benson R, Duvall P and Taylor-Jones V. **Do innovative immersive virtual reality simulation videos have a role to play in teaching non-technical skills and increasing preparedness for clinical placements for medical students? [version 2]** MedEdPublish 2021, **9**:164 https://doi.org/10.15694/mep.2020.000164.2

First published: 11 Aug 2020, **9**:164 https://doi.org/10.15694/mep.2020.000164.1

Introduction

The General Medical Council (GMC) published a pivotal report on the UK medical graduates' preparedness to practice (Monrouxe *et al.,* 2014). They highlighted the importance of the development of non-technical skills (NTS) including leadership, situational awareness within the clinical environment, team working and clinical decision-making. They reported variability in the quality of teaching that students experience when traditional learning methods of the apprentice model and junior doctor shadowing were employed. However, the benefit of being an integral team member and the importance of familiarity with the specific working environment was recognised to beneficially facilitate the medical student's preparedness to practice on graduation.

The community of practice (COP) is a social learning theory embedded within many successful clinical learning environments (Wenger and Lave, 1991). Learners, namely medical students initially find themselves on the edge of a COP. As they become more integral to the group and their knowledge increases, they move more centrally into the COP. We can enable this transition by equipping students with skills to enhance their legitimacy within the clinical setting. This can be achieved by repeated clinical exposure but situated learning can also be replicated within the simulation setting and in doing so increase a medical student's legitimacy to practice (Thomas, Reedy and Gill, 2014).

Using high fidelity simulation to teach NTS has noted success (Coggins *et al.,* 2017). The educational value of low fidelity simulation has been evaluated in comparison with high-fidelity simulation and has shown consistent improvement in both groups in the teaching of complex clinical and management skills (Bracq, Michinov and Jannin, 2019). Increasingly, Virtual reality (VR) technology is used to teach surgical techniques and clinical anatomy. Historically VR's effectiveness of the teaching of NTS has been little explored but rather the focus has been on 'useability and acceptability of VR simulation' (Bracq, Michinov and Jannin, 2019). Furthermore, in our in our review of the literature we have been unable to find a comparator study with high-fidelity simulation published.

The high cost and resource heavy nature of hi-fidelity simulation provides a compelling argument for educational institutions to find alternative methods of delivering simulation to a large number of students. The combination of VR technology and the principles of simulation may provide such an alternative.

Aim

We were interested in whether immersive 360° video simulations of clinical scenarios can enhance and improve the understanding of NTS and future preparedness for clinical placements, following the introduction of a VR simulation-based teaching programme to medical students at the University of Liverpool.

Methods

The VR simulation-based teaching programme spearheaded by the Technology Enhanced Learning and Simulation directors was developed during a time of curriculum development in the School of Medicine at the University of Liverpool. A speculative approach by a VR startup company called Virti™ (run by an ex- junior doctor) resulted in the development of software to host this new teaching programme. The content created would initially be used in two ways: as part of standalone interactive learning packages and as part of the simulation programme which, would incorporate existing hi-fidelity simulation run by local hospital trusts. The learning packages would be accessible via a smart phone app and utilise personal VR headsets. This paper will focus on the latter aspect of the project.

The School of Medicine also contracted REAL SPACE LTD™, a film and media company comprising of two University of Liverpool PhD students, that works to support creative freelancers, entrepreneurs and technological start-ups in the VR and augmented reality (AR) space. They filmed each scenario in the simulation suite with their 360° cameras and edited them into final, useable films. Virti™ provided the hosting software and app which was used for the stand-alone learning package. Eight new clinical scenarios were recorded over two days and relied on volunteers from the school's professional services and teaching faculty to act in the films in various roles i.e. clinical and non-clinical roles where clinical roles were played by clinical staff to help improve authenticity. The editing took approximately 2-4 weeks before final versions were approved by the School of Medicine. The final cost of the project is currently subject to a non-disclosure agreement.

Online polling software, Poll EV™ (www.pollev.com), was also utilised during the simulation programme as a way for students to engage with content during the sessions. The school had paid for a yearly subscription for use in other areas of the curriculum, thus did not create an additional cost for the project.

The Simulation Programme

In 2016-17 the initial project started as four simulation scenarios recorded using 360° cameras. New scenarios were filmed in the 2017-2018 academic year to improve the authenticity and aesthetics. The new scenarios were filmed in a common clinical multi-bedded ward-based environment. All of the scenarios were developed by clinicians at the medical school, with core learning objectives forming the foundation for each. Learning objectives were developed based on GMC described domains focusing on NTS such as teamwork, communication and task prioritisation (Monrouxe *et al.,* 2014).

The programme consisted of one high-fidelity simulation session and four (1 to 2 hour long) highly interactive lecture-based sessions which utilised the immersive 360° videos. The high-fidelity simulation sessions took place within various associated hospital simulation suites. These addressed traditional learning outcomes which focused more on technical and clinical outcomes. During the lectures, students would watch the clinical scenarios unfold and develop. Delivered by a highly experienced simulation facilitator and clinician, the scenarios would be interrupted at key points to enable a discussion and debrief about the NTS demonstrated. Students could discuss the content through open forum or utilise Poll EV to submit answers or opinions which would be displayed to all students, in real time, in the lecture theatre. Table 1 outlines two of the scenarios that were used for these sessions. Both non-technical and clinical learning objectives are also outlined.

On programme completion, all students were invited to take part in an anonymous Likert scale questionnaire. The Poll EV online platform was used to host the questionnaire and access link was sent to all students (see Supplementary File 1 for the survey questions). A month later, a focus group took place, where a semi-structured discussion explored students' understanding of the NTS highlighted in the lecture sessions and the simulation programme as a whole (see Supplementary File 2 for focus group questions). The group consisted of 2 students and was conducted by Dr Vidhi Taylor Jones with input from Dr Sushil Pal, over the course of an hour. The interview was recorded, and the transcripts were used to enable a thematic analysis, using Braun and Clarke's six phase process (Braun and Clarke, 2006).

Target audience

3rd year medical students at the University of Liverpool were the targeted audience for the programme. Hospital placements start to form a significant proportion of their curriculum in comparison to earlier years, meaning 3rd year students were more likely to have a better baseline understanding of how the clinical environment functions. With no prior exposure to such technology in the curriculum, the lecture-based simulation would complement the hi-fidelity simulation programme already in place for this year group. The scenarios were developed with a view to increase students' exposure to simulated practice through the combination of emerging technology and innovative clinical scenarios, which would otherwise be difficult to deliver to a large number of students. The scenarios provided a unique opportunity to exposure students to situations they rarely face in undergraduate training and for those scenarios to be experienced in authentic clinical environments with authentic clinical protagonists. Thus, through this, we hoped to legitimise their participation and allow them to become more integral members of a clinical team.

Table 1. Overview of two scenarios utilised for the lecture-based simulation sessions.

Scenario	Overview	Non-Technical Skill objectives	Clinical objectives
Acute Kidney injury	Foundation doctors are about to start completing tasks following the morning ward round. The nurse informs them of blood results that need to be urgently reviewed and acted upon.	Leadership. Task prioritisation. Communication and handing over.	Management of acute kidney injury. Principles of management of the acutely unwell patient.
Breathlessness	Foundation doctor reviews the investigations of a breathless patient with COPD which shows evidence of metastatic lung cancer. The clinical team needs to inform the patient and relatives of the possible diagnosis.	Breaking bad news. How to approach a 'Do not attempt resuscitation' conversation. When to escalate decision making to a senior.	Understand the management of an acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Principles of oxygen therapy.

Data storage and permission

Information sheets were provided to all students and permission was obtained prior to any response, in both the questionnaire and focus group, being used in line with ethical approval guidelines. Data was stored securely on a University encrypted computer and server. No identifiable data was recorded for the questionnaire and names have been omitted during the thematic analysis of the focus group transcripts.

Results/Analysis

101 students from a cohort of 281 responded to the questionnaire. Of these respondents 90/101 (89%) students had attended all lectures and 83/101 (82%) had attended their high-fidelity simulation session.

In all NTS domains assessed, students reported greater understanding of the clinical decision-making process (80/101, 79%), task prioritisation and delegation (70/101, 69%) and the clinical environment (70/101, 69%). 71% (72/101) of students reported feeling better prepared for clinical placement as a result of the programme, stating in part increased confidence in the clinical environment (60/101, 59%).

Following a focus group interview of two 3rd year students, the authors performed thematic analysis of the transcript. There was clear concordance between the authors in the recognition of a series of themes which we shall further describe.

A 'safe space' for learning

Students reported benefiting from the immersive environment of the simulation programme describing the 'safe space' for their first exposure to the clinical scenarios as helpful. One student commented on feeling 'kind of almost thrown into the clinical environment' and consequently they stated when asked whether the programme better prepared them for clinical practice 'to have a safe place for learning I thought was really useful.' Another commented that having the lecture prior to the high-fidelity simulation session means that 'everybody gets that exposure to those lectures, where you give us advice on how to deal with those situations before we actually spend the one time that we get to be in that environment'.

The students reported on the first day of a clinical placement it is 'major sensory overload' and that 'these lectures could be really useful at the beginning of each placement' to provide exposure to the new clinical environment. For some students they received the lecture after the simulation sessions and the focus group felt this was less beneficial.

Role modelling

Role modelling was a theme noted by the authors. One student noted the programme provided the 'first exposure of those high-risk situations' and watching the VR scenarios showed 'how the leaders approached it'.

They found observing the scenarios enabled them to feel they could imitate some of the behaviours displayed by the doctors in the scenes using terms like 'role modelling'.

Self-awareness

The focus group participants described finding the deconstructive/debriefing elements to the programme meant they developed a more critical approach to their learning following ward placement. 'I learned that you should be critical as well, in your own practice and in other peoples' practice… you have a tendency…in clinical placement to just watch the ward round and not really take it in…overwhelmed by it…. So, I think that's helped me see placement in a different way, I don't just take everything on face value, for granted… I'm actually think, oh, the doctor's doing this… maybe he's stressed, things like that…' These findings are consistent with improving a student's sense of legitimate peripheral participation but also increasing the student's self-awareness.

Discussion

Our experience integrating the novel use of VR technology as part of a simulation programme has been very formative. Recognising the logistical and fiscal challenges that high fidelity simulation delivery to a large cohort of medical students brings, we attempted to look for an innovative adjunct.

Our analysis showed that the majority of students found this programme led to an increased confidence in non-technical skills and the clinical environment and this was echoed in the focus group.

Comments from the focus group suggest that students perceive the clinical environment to be a busy, sometime overwhelming environment. There is a suggestion that exposing students to virtual reality scenarios allows a smoother transition into the clinical environment (Cleland et al., 2016). It gives the students an idea of what to expect; the sights, sounds, languages and behaviours which student might encounter are all novel experiences for earlier years medical students.

However, there were limitations both to the programme but also to our evaluation. As with any teaching programme the proficiency of the teacher impacts upon the potential learning of the student (Sutkin *et al.,* 2008). Our sessions were delivered by highly experienced lecturers who were not only comfortable delivering the lecture content but doing so whilst managing the technical requirements of the session which included intermittently pausing the video, using interactive polling software and providing different views of the scene (an option provided by filming with the fisheye lens, see Figure 1 for an example of this view).

The optics experienced by the students whilst watching the scenarios unfold were very good. However, displaying the videos on a large screen does not enable the student to fully immerse themselves in the VR technology to the extent that viewing through their own headset would. The Virti™ platform is designed for both individual and collaborative viewing, both of which provide differing learning experiences. Our programme harnessing the collaborative viewing of scenarios enabled large group facilitated discussion on non-technical skills.

We received a questionnaire response rate of 36%, this was despite advertising it prior to the lecture and a reminder at the end of the lecture. Again, the number of students who volunteered to participate in our focus groups was very small. Reflecting on the reasons for this, the focus groups took place when most of the year group was revising for upcoming exams and this likely had a large impact on those volunteering. In the future, we shall time our evaluation more carefully alongside the students' timetable, but close enough to the programme to maintain validity. Recognising these limitations, the findings of the questionnaire were echoed in detail in the focus groups and all authors found concordance between the themes they identified. To draw more concrete conclusions from this piece of exploratory work, evaluation of the programme with further focus groups would be useful.

We were unable to perform more complex statistical analysis of our questionnaire results because of the way that the data from Poll EV™ was extracted. In further analysis, using different polling software would be preferable.

The project looked at the use of the VR scenarios as an adjunct to high fidelity simulation. The impact of this method of delivering simulation-based education on students learning needs more research, however, we can certainly say that this method provides a more cost-effective way of exposing students to scenario-based learning, which demonstrates, in real time, the synthesis between clinical, communication and non-technical skills. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic we think there is increased need for innovative approaches to simulation programmes. The practicalities of running simulation sessions are much more complex and we would propose that these VR simulation scenarios provide valuable exposure to a simulated ward environment when, due to COVID-19 related restrictions, clinical placements are more fraught with organisational complexity. There have been a number of recent papers describing online simulation as an adjunct or replacement to face-to-face simulation which could be deemed too high risk for leaners and educators (Castro and Lucchetti, 2020; Patel *et al.,* 2020).

Next, we will embed the scenarios within complete learning packages including links to algorithms and guidelines relevant to the scenarios. The school also aims to provide VR headsets compatible with most smartphone devices. Further scenarios will be created that look at more diverse clinical environments including general practice, paediatrics and mental health.

Figure 1. An example of the fisheye view that students would see during the lecture-based sessions.

Conclusion
Our research has shown that the development of our unique simulation programme using VR video simulation alongside traditional high-fidelity simulation has clear educational value in the understanding of NTS, translating into the medical student feeling better prepared for clinical placement.

Take Home Messages
- Teaching non-technical skills (NTS) is an important part of undergraduate medical curriculum. It is an important part of increasing medical students' preparedness for clinical placement.

- High fidelity simulation is resource intensive which consequently limits its accessibility.

- A programme of combined virtual reality video simulation alongside high fidelity simulation offers a novel and effective approach to teaching non-technical skills also enabling the student to access the material outside of the educational setting.

- With current limitations on clinical placements for medical students due to the COVID-19 pandemic, we propose that VR simulation scenarios could have an increasing role in medical education.

Notes On Contributors
Dr Sushil Pal - Former Clinical Medical Education Fellow at the University of Liverpool and now a Core Anaesthetics Trainee in the Mersey region.

Dr Rosalind Benson - Former Clinical Medical Education Fellow at the University of Liverpool and a Rheumatology/ General Internal Medicine Specialist Trainee in the Mersey region. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2219-6393

Mr Paul Duvall - Former Director of Technology Enhanced Learning at the University of Liverpool.

Dr Vidhi Taylor-Jones - Director of Simulation at the University of Liverpool and Consultant Anaesthetist at Liverpool University Foundation Trust.

Declarations
The author has declared that there are no conflicts of interest.

Ethics Statement
Ethics approval was granted by the University of Liverpool Ethics Committee - Project ID 2618.

External Funding
This article has not had any External Funding

Supplementary Files
Supplementary file 1 - Sim med ed publish Version 2.docx
Supplementary file 2 - Supplementary File 1.pdf
Supplementary file 3 - Supplementary File 2.pdf

Acknowledgments
Figure 1. Source: the authors.

Bibliography/References

Bracq, M.-S., Michinov, E. and Jannin, P. (2019) **Virtual Reality Simulation in Nontechnical Skills Training for Healthcare Professionals: A Systematic Review.** *Simulation in Healthcare: The Journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare*, **14**(3), pp. 188–194.
Reference Source

Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006) **Using thematic analysis in psychology.** *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, **3**(2), pp. 77–101.
Reference Source

Castro, M. A. B. e and Lucchetti, G. (2020) **Simulation in Healthcare Education During and After the COVID-19 Pandemic.** *Simulation in*

Healthcare: The Journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare, Publish Ahead of Print.
Reference Source

Cleland, J., Patey, R., Thomas, I., Walker, K., *et al*. (2016) **Supporting transitions in medical career pathways: the role of simulation-based education.** *Advances in Simulation*, **1**(1), p. 14.
Reference Source

Coggins, A., Desai, M., Nguyen, K. and Moore, N. (2017) **Early acquisition of non-technical skills using a blended approach to simulation-based medical education.** *Advances in Simulation*, **2**(1), p. 12.
Reference Source

Lave, J. and Wenger, E. (1991) *Situated learning: legitimate peripheral participation*, Cambridge [England]; New York: Cambridge University Press (Learning in doing).

Monrouxe, L., Bullock, A., Cole, J., Gormley, G., *et al*. (2014) **UK Medical Graduates Preparedness for Practice: Final Report to the GMC.** *General Medical Council*, Available at:
Reference Source (Accessed: 9 June 2020).

Patel, S. M., Miller, C. R., Schiavi, A., Toy, S., *et al*. (2020) **The sim must go on: adapting resident education to the COVID-19 pandemic using telesimulation.** *Advances in Simulation*, **5**(1), p. 26.
Reference Source

Sutkin, G., Wagner, E., Harris, I. and Schiffer, R. (2008) **What makes a good clinical teacher in medicine? A review of the literature.** *Academic Medicine: Journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges*, **83**(5), pp. 452–466.
Reference Source

Thomas, L., Reedy, G. and Gill, E. (2014) **0216 Becoming A Doctor: How Simulated Practice Can Legitimise Medical Students' Participation In Clinical Practice.** *BMJ Simulation and Technology Enhanced Learning*, **1** (Suppl 1), p. A80.
Reference Source

Open Peer Review

Migrated Content

- -

Version 2

Reviewer Report 08 October 2021

https://doi.org/10.21956/mep.20275.r31475

Richard Hays

James Cook University

This review has been migrated. The reviewer awarded 4 stars out of 5

The authors have addressed the comments of reviewers of the original version. The piloting and evaluation of VR in medical education is most welcome, particularly in the broader range of skills included in this study. The medium appears to have great potential, particularly in the post-COVID-19 world, and its use is likely to be extended widely. I think that the evaluation is rather weak, but that may not matter at this stage. I am sure that the technology would be exciting, but am not sure it can replace/prepare/augment interaction with SPs and real patients. It will be interesting to see more reports like this.

Competing Interests: No conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 02 October 2021

https://doi.org/10.21956/mep.20275.r31474

Ken Masters

Sultan Qaboos University

This review has been migrated. The reviewer awarded 4 stars out of 5

I am pleased to see that Version 1 of the paper has been substantially revised to form an excellent paper

that gives the details that readers require. Although there are some minor issues (e.g. I think Figure 1 would be better placed in the Results, rather than the Discussion, and I would like to have seen a stronger Conclusion), the paper is a good read and a useful contribution to the field.One suggestion for future focus groups: the opening questions should not be so pointed (Yes/No) (even though they have an exploratory question that follows).Overall, though, a good read.

Competing Interests: No conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 07 November 2020

https://doi.org/10.21956/mep.19138.r27656

Ken Masters
[1] Sultan Qaboos University
[2] Sultan Qaboos University

This review has been migrated. The reviewer awarded 2 stars out of 5

The paper deals with immersive virtual reality simulation videos in teaching non-technical skills and increasing preparedness for clinical placements to medical students. While the research appears to have been useful, the paper does need a great deal of work. So much so that it is difficult to assess the value of the research itself.I would recommend a Version 2 of the paper, in which the authors address these issues:• The Abstract is far too short and uninformative. It should be properly structured in the same format of the paper, and then give the most important results and findings.• The first paragraph of the Introduction is a repeat of the Abstract, and should be removed.• "It's utility in the teaching of NTS has been little explored and as yet there is no comparator study with high-fidelity simulation published (Norman, Dora and Grierson, 2012)." This statement should be re-phrased, as it implies that the Norman et al. reference supports the statement that "and as yet there is no comparator study with high-fidelity simulation published". If this was the case in 2012, it might not necessarily true 8 years later. In addition, it would be safer if the authors wrote that they could not find such a study (as such a study may have been published, but the authors missed it in their search).• There is an error in the Norman et al. reference (The words "Simulation fidelity" have erroneously been added to the title).• In the Methods, far more information is required about:o The technical information about the construction of the videos (including partnering with Virti, costs (in both time and money)) should be given in the Methods (not the Discussion). In fact, most of the Discussion's first paragraph should go into the Methods.o Given that Virti

appears to be a private company, their involvement needs to be explicitly described (what does "partnered" in this study refer to?)o The students, their stage of study (not just "3rd-year"), previous exposure to similar technologies, etc.o The survey: how many questions, how delivered (if online, details, not just "online"). It would be best to supply a copy of the questionnaire.o Focus group: How conducted, by whom, how many participants, how long. It would be best to supply a copy of the initial questions.o Theming: process of theming, including software (if any) used.o Data storage and anonymisation.• In the Results, again, far more information is required:o Student demographics of both the survey and the focus group.o Raw numbers and percentages (even though the total was 101, it's best to stay with the convention).o Any correlations between the variables, especially demographics and answers to questions, groups (e.g. lectures and session) and the answers to the questions, and statistically significant differences.o Focus group: The data from the focus group should be given properly in themes, with each theme supported by 1-2 quotations.• The Discussion, unfortunately, is lacking. Once the first paragraph has been moved to the Methods (where it should be), the Discussion consists only of looking forward. There is no discussion of the results, relating them back to the literature, no Limitations, etc.• There are quite a few language and punctuation errors in the paper. Sometimes, they are minor irritations, but sometimes, they interfere with the meaning. I would strongly recommend that the authors perform another careful proof-read of the paper.So, while the research appears interesting, I feel that the authors have missed a golden opportunity to write it up as a strong and coherent research paper. I look forward to reading Version 2 in which these issues are addressed.

Competing Interests: No conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 26 August 2020

P Ravi Shankar
[1] American International Medical University
[2] American International Medical University

This review has been migrated. The reviewer awarded 3 stars out of 5

This is an interesting article about implementing a mixed educational program utilizing a high-fidelity simulation and virtual reality sessions. Virtual reality can play an increasingly important role in medical education. The cost of VR hardware is decreasing and as the authors mention VR can be accessed through smartphones and VR glasses. One of the issues to be addressed in a revised version is to provide a greater description of what was done during the intervention, what was measured, how it was measured and what was found. Readers can benefit from greater details about what was addressed

during the high-fidelity simulation and the topics addressed during the virtual reality (VR) sessions. How were the VR sessions conducted in the classroom? More details about the questionnaire and how the focus groups were conducted and how the data was analyzed will be helpful. How many focus groups were conducted? What were the themes which emerged? Greater details about the company called 'Virti' and which topics will be addressed and more information about the videos will be of interest.

Competing Interests: No conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 25 August 2020

https://doi.org/10.21956/mep.19138.r27655

Carol Quinn
[1] University of Glasgow
[2] University of Glasgow

This review has been migrated. The reviewer awarded 4 stars out of 5

important contribution in recognising medical students are on the edge of a community of practice and utilisation of technology to enhance learning. Clear outcomes with appropriate measurement. Practical implications identified.

Competing Interests: No conflicts of interest were disclosed.