

# International Lattice Data Grid

C.T.H. Davies<sup>a</sup>, A.C. Irving<sup>b</sup>, R.D. Kenway<sup>c</sup> and C.M. Maynard<sup>c</sup> for the UKQCD collaboration.

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK

<sup>b</sup>Theoretical Physics Division, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3BX, UK

<sup>c</sup>School of Physics, University of Edinburgh, The King's Buildings, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, UK

We propose the co-ordination of lattice QCD grid developments in different countries to allow transparent exchange of gauge configurations in future, should participants wish to do so. We describe briefly UKQCD's XML schema for labelling and cataloguing the data. A meeting to further develop these ideas will be held in Edinburgh on 19/20 December 2002, and will be available over AccessGrid.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We envisage that the International Lattice Data Grid (ILDG) would be a 'grid of grids', integrating the emerging national data grids being developed to manage and exchange lattice QCD data, particularly gauge configurations [1]. Through co-ordination, we could capitalise on these grid developments by sharing expertise and tools, and avoiding duplication of effort. By taking the further step of creating a global grid infrastructure, we would give added international 'backbone' to our work.

Since access policies could be determined locally, each collaboration would still be free to decide which, if any, of its configurations to share and with whom. Our proposal is complementary to the Lattice Data Group [2], which is compiling a reference set of lattice QCD results. Clearly, if combined with ILDG, this would add value to the global grid environment.

The operation of the ILDG would require locally managed, but interoperable, data grids conforming to a set of standards. Data formats, labelling, access policies, management and costs would be handled locally. To achieve this, we will need an international forum to define the standards for interfacing between the grids and to support the federation of databases. We will organise a workshop in Edinburgh on 19/20 December 2002 to begin the technical discussions [3].

We describe below how an XML schema could be developed for labelling QCD data. Conformance to XML would allow different schemas to be adopted by different data grids, with the additional overhead of developing translation tools to enable each to access the others' data through a single interface.

## 2. XML SCHEMA

To share resources, either computing or data, one must be able to describe them in a standard language. To share configurations, one must have metadata associated with them, containing all the information about how each configuration was generated and for which physics parameter values. Most collaborations already have some metadata describing their configurations, but, to share configurations automatically, a complete description of the data from the 'action' to the 'algorithm' is necessary. The UKQCD collaboration is designing an XML schema to describe lattice data, which will be extendable, in principle, to cover any lattice data.

XML is an acronym for eXtensible Markup Language. It is similar to HTML in that it uses '<>' tags. Unlike HTML, it is for structuring data. XML is license free, platform independent, and well supported. The commercial web services industry uses XML as standard [4]. In fact, XML is a family of technologies. One of these is XML

schema. This defines the rules and structure of an XML instance document. It is designed to allow machines to carry out rules made by people.

XML schemas are designed to evolve, to be updated and extended. XSLT provides a method of translating one XML instance document conforming to one schema, into another instance document conforming to different schema. Thus, each collaboration could have its own schema describing the form of its own (meta)data. However, this would involve some duplication of effort, so there would be some benefit if all collaborations in the ILDG used the same schema. UKQCD is designing a schema for our QCDgrid which could form the prototype for such a standard. Our progress can be followed on the UKQCD web pages [5]. Comments are welcome.

### 3. METADATA CATALOGUE

Metadata are mapped into file names by a metadata catalogue. Standard database tools may be used to search the catalogue and, thereby, to access a file through a ‘high-level’, physics-based description of it. We are developing a graphical user interface, initially to access and manage files, and eventually to build and execute programs using them. Again, the catalogue and browser could be made available to all the ILDG collaborations.

We are keen to encourage the inclusion of both state-of-the-art and legacy data on the ILDG. Obviously, the former may have considerable value and access to it might be restricted, at least for an initial period. The ILDG would facilitate the phased release of data under the full control of their originator.

Each collaboration would publish the data it wished to make available to the ILDG on its local node. By browsing the metadata catalogue, someone wishing to use the data could discover what is available and what usage conditions apply. Access control can be achieved using software, more correctly known as middleware, being developed by the HEP experimental community to meet the challenge of LHC computing, e.g. UKQCD is using middleware developed by the EU Datagrid Project [6], which is itself built

on GLOBUS [7].

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Compared with a centrally managed repository for gauge configurations, the ILDG concept offers

- more flexible data access control (not ‘all or nothing’);
- the ability to handle different internal data formats easily;
- distributed management, control and operating costs;
- organic growth of both content and functionality; and
- a basis for greater information and resource sharing in future.

We believe that the development of an International Lattice Data Grid would enhance lattice activity worldwide. The collaboration needed to define the ILDG standards could avoid duplication of effort and rapidly promote best practice. If successful as a vehicle for data exchange, ILDG could expand to support open-source software, algorithm development, and eventually even shared computers. A global grid infrastructure would provide a continually growing environment for our science, encouraging wider participation and facilitating physics collaborations. As a symbol of international co-operation, it might even prove useful in making cases for joint machine funding.

### REFERENCES

1. R.D. Kenway, talk at Chris Michael’s 60th Birthday Meeting, Liverpool 2002 (unpublished).
2. L. Lellouch, these proceedings.
3. <http://www.nesc.ac.uk>.
4. <http://www.w3.org/xml>.
5. <http://www.ph.ed.ac.uk/ukqcd/community>.
6. <http://eu-datagrid.web.cern.ch>.
7. <http://www.globus.org>.