The potential impact of media reporting in syndromic surveillance: an example using a possible Cryptosporidium exposure in North West England, August 2015
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Abstract

During August 2015 a boil water notice (BWN) was issued across parts of North West England following the detection of Cryptosporidium oocysts in the public water supply. Using prospective syndromic surveillance, we detected statistically significant increases in the presentation of cases of gastroenteritis and diarrhoea to general practitioner services and the national health telephone advice service in those areas affected by the BWN. In the affected areas, working day general practitioner consultations for gastroenteritis increased by an average of 24.5% during the BWN period; diarrhoea consultations increased by 28.5% on average. Routine local public health investigations revealed no laboratory reported cases confirmed as being associated with the water supply. These findings suggest that the increases reported by syndromic surveillance of cases of gastroenteritis and diarrhoea resulted from changes in healthcare seeking behaviour driven by the intense local and national media coverage of the potential health risks during the event. This study has further highlighted the potential for media-driven bias in syndromic surveillance, and the challenges in disentangling true increases in community infection from those driven by media reporting.
Introduction

Since the first identification of the protozoan parasite Cryptosporidium as a cause of human infection, it has been established as a significant cause of morbidity and mortality globally [1]. Over 20 different Cryptosporidium species have been recognised, with 15 currently reported to cause human infection. However the majority of human infections are associated with infection from Cryptosporidium hominis and Cryptosporidium parvum [2]. Cryptosporidiosis is particularly associated with prolonged and persistent diarrhoea, however it is also characterised by abdominal pain, nausea and/or vomiting [3, 4]. Transmission is through the faecal oral route; symptoms generally occur between 2 to 12 days post infection with a mean incubation period of 5 to 7 days. The burden of Cryptosporidium is greater in children and those who are malnourished or immunocompromised [5, 6].

In high income countries, Cryptosporidium is a leading cause of waterborne outbreaks. One of the largest and best described outbreaks occurred in Milwaukee (Wisconsin, US) during 1993, where over 400,000 people using a municipal water supply were affected during a two month period [7]. In England, recreational water Cryptosporidium outbreaks, e.g. associated with swimming pools, are far more common than those involving public drinking water supplies [8]. Four previous drinking water outbreaks have been described in England, including the largest in the East Midlands where contamination of the local water supply resulted in an estimated 400 excess cases of diarrhoea and 23 laboratory confirmed cases [9]. As the detection of oocysts in water samples can indicate a potential risk to health, the water supplier may decide to issue a boil water notice (BWN), advising the affected populations to boil all water prior to drinking [10]. In previous studies evaluating the public’s understanding and compliance with BWNs, varying levels of compliance during the notice period were revealed [11-15]. In England the decision to lift a BWN is taken by the water supplier, in consultation with public health colleagues.
In England, during any incident where *Cryptosporidium* oocysts have been detected in a public water supply, a number of different public health surveillance systems, including laboratory reporting and syndromic surveillance, are utilised to identify the impact, if any, on disease burden. Syndromic surveillance can be used both to assess increases in the healthcare consultations e.g. to primary care, and to reassure lack of impact because there are no changes detected in healthcare seeking behaviour.

On 6 August 2015 a BWN was issued in parts of North West England, following the identification of elevated *Cryptosporidium* oocyst counts from 31 July – 4 August 2015 in the water treatment works supplying drinking water to the local area. We describe the use of syndromic surveillance to monitor healthcare seeking behaviour in those areas affected, to determine whether increases in the presentation of gastroenteritis symptoms were linked to the alert.

**Methods**

*Cryptosporidium alert*

Routine testing of water supplies at Franklaw water treatment works (which supplied drinking water to the affected areas), detected low numbers of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts between 31 July and 4 August 2015 (initial sample results of 0.031 and 0.119 oocysts per 10 litres water were well below the ‘trigger’ level of 0.2 oocysts per 10 litres). A BWN was issued on 6 August across Lancashire and Blackpool upper tier local authorities (LAs: across England local government functions are divided between two tiers of local authority, upper and lower tier local authority), affecting approximately 300,000 households (Figure 1). Water samples taken across the affected water network remained positive for *Cryptosporidium* over the next few weeks, albeit below the ‘trigger’ level. The water authority adopted a combination of flushing the water network, transferring water from other parts of the network
and installing ultraviolet light rigs to clear the system of Cryptosporidium. It was decided that before the BWN could be lifted, water sampling should be negative on three consecutive days. Across various parts of the network, as negative samples were identified, the BWN was lifted: on 27 August the BWN was partially lifted across parts of Blackpool; over the next 10 days the BWN was gradually lifted across further areas, until 6 September, when the BWN was lifted across the whole water network. The routine local public health investigation revealed that there were no laboratory reported cases which were confirmed to be associated with the water supply either before, during or after the BWN (XXX, personal communication, November 2015).

Syndromic surveillance

Syndromic surveillance is the near real-time collection, analysis, interpretation and dissemination of health-related data to enable the early identification of the impact (or absence of impact) of potential human or veterinary public-health threats which require effective public health action [16]. The Public Health England (PHE) Real-time Syndromic Surveillance Team (ReSST) coordinate a suite of national syndromic surveillance systems and deliver a real-time syndromic surveillance service that has been described in detail elsewhere [17]. In brief, daily data are collected from a number of healthcare provider sources and analysed, interpreted and risk assessed using statistical algorithms (modelling historical data to identify significant increases in activity) [18]. The data received are aggregated into a number of syndromic indicators based upon symptoms and clinical diagnosis of disease.

For this incident, telehealth (national health service (NHS) telephone advice, NHS 111) calls, general practitioner (GP) in hours (GP IH) and GP out of hours (GP OOH) syndromic surveillance data for gastroenteritis, diarrhoea and vomiting were utilised. NHS 111 calls
were based upon patient presenting symptoms and GP consultations on the clinical diagnosis made by the GP using a clinical codes relating to gastroenteritis, diarrhoea or vomiting. The population coverage of each system in the LAs issued with the BWN and those neighbouring the BWN area was initially assessed to ensure that there was sufficient surveillance coverage: GP OOH coverage in Blackburn LA (which neighboured the LAs with the BWN) was insufficient for surveillance and, therefore, was not included in the results.

Epidemiological analysis

NHS 111 telephone calls, GP IH and GP OOH syndromic surveillance data were monitored during the period of the BWN and for 14 days after. Daily data counts were plotted as rates per 100,000 population (GP IH) and percent of indicator to total calls/consultations (NHS 111/GP OOH). Data were analysed by LA, including Blackpool LA and Lancashire LA (both affected by the BWN) and two neighbouring areas not affected by the BWN, Blackburn LA and Cumbria LA. Data were also analysed for the Cumbria and Lancashire PHE local health protection team area [19], which included a footprint covering all four LAs (Figure 1).

Statistical analysis

Routine statistical analysis of syndromic surveillance data was undertaken on a daily basis during the study period using automated statistical models to identify significant exceedances compared to either recent activity, or historically expected levels. A baseline was estimated for each system and syndromic indicator using a multi-level hierarchical mixed effects model incorporating appropriate variables (e.g. day of the week and public holidays) [18]. An upper 99% prediction interval threshold for expected activity each day was established using both estimated baselines and variation in the daily data.

A Student’s two-tailed test was used to determine differences in the mean syndromic surveillance daily data during the BWN (6 August to 5 September) and a comparative period
of 31 days (2 July to 1 August; the same sequence and number of days as the BWN were included) preceding the BWN (‘non-BWN’ period). Weekends (when GP IH services are closed) were removed from the analysis of GP IH data resulting in comparative periods of 21 days. A mean of the daily syndromic surveillance data was taken for each geographical location and syndromic indicator, separately for the period of the BWN. Results for Blackpool and Lancashire LAs were compared with two neighbouring LAs not issued with the BWN (Blackburn and Cumbria LAs), Cumbria and Lancashire PHE team area and England.

All statistical analyses were undertaken using Stata v13 [20].

**Results**

*Epidemiological analysis*

There was a statistically significant increase in GP consultations for gastroenteritis during the period of the BWN in the two affected LAs. GP OOH consultations increased immediately following the issue of the BWN, with the highest peak occurring in Lancashire LA. The peak in GP IH consultations occurred a few days later (following a weekend), and peaked highest in Blackpool LA (Figure 2). The increases in the two affected LAs also caused increases at the PHE team area (Cumbria and Lancashire) level where GP IH consultation rates for gastroenteritis remained at slightly elevated levels for the duration of the BWN, before subsequently returning to expected levels.

GP OOH consultations for diarrhoea increased immediately following the BWN, and peaked prior to GP IH diarrhoea consultations; Lancashire LA peaked highest in the GP IH and Blackpool LA in the GP OOH (Figure 3). NHS 111 calls for diarrhoea peaked concurrently with GP OOH and peaked highest in Blackpool LA. GP IH consultation rates for diarrhoea
remained at elevated levels for the duration of the BWN, before returning to expected levels once the BWN was lifted.

GP IH consultations for vomiting showed a similar increase during the BWN period however this was only noted in Blackpool LA. There were no increases in vomiting presentations in the GP OOH or NHS 111 systems. (Figure 4).

**Routine statistical analysis**

Routine statistical analysis of the data received by ReSST on a daily basis illustrated significant increases in the gastroenteritis and diarrhoea indicators at the LA level, occurring on the day of, and immediately following the issue of the BWN (Table 1). The frequency of the statistically significant alarms decreased after 9 August, after which few alarms occurred.

Comparing syndromic surveillance data between the BWN (6 August – 5 September) and non-BWN (2 July – 1 August) periods revealed significant differences in those areas where the BWN had been issued (Table 2). Within Blackpool and Lancashire LAs GP IH gastroenteritis and diarrhoea mean consultation rates were significantly higher during the BWN (p <0.01). GP IH rates for diarrhoea and gastroenteritis were 33.5% and 35.4% higher in Blackpool LA, and 15.2% and 20.8% higher in Lancashire LA respectively, during the BWN period. In the two neighbouring LAs not affected by the BWN, there were no significant differences observed at the 95% or 99% significance levels. At the PHE team area level (Cumbria and Lancashire), there were significant increases (p <0.01) in gastroenteritis and diarrhoea across all systems. There were also significant result at the National (England) level, however these results were where the incidence was higher during the non-BWN period. When comparing vomiting indicators across each system there were no significant differences between the BWN and non-BWN periods.

**Discussion**
We present a description of the monitoring of healthcare seeking behaviour using syndromic surveillance during a BWN following the detection of Cryptosporidium in the mains water supply to parts of North West England between 31 July and 4 August 2015. The BWN impacted on a large number of people (circa 300,000 households) in Blackpool and Lancashire LAs. Routine syndromic surveillance revealed significant increases in presentations to GPs (GP IH and GP OOH) and NHS 111 calls for diarrhoea and gastroenteritis in Blackpool and Lancashire LAs in the days immediately following the BWN. Rates of these indicators remained elevated for several days before returning to expected seasonal levels. There were no significant increases in neighbouring LAs where water supplies were unaffected. Interestingly, Lancashire LA was large in terms of geographical area (compared to Blackpool LA) however only certain areas of it were actually impacted by the BWN (Figure 1). This implied that the local impact in those areas affected was higher than that estimated for the LA as a whole.

Increases in GP OOH and NHS 111 indicators were observed immediately following the BWN whereas GP IH indicators peaked over the following days. The BWN was issued on a Thursday afternoon, meaning patients had more opportunity to access out of hours healthcare services, resulting in immediate increases compared to the routine GP services which patients were better able to access in the follow week. This emphasises the importance of accessing syndromic surveillance data from a range of healthcare services, or those that are immediately available to the population, to accurately determine the peak of impact of an event.

At the same time, as part of the local routine incident response, there were a small number of laboratory detections of Cryptosporidium identified from patient samples. However, this coincided with national increases of Cryptosporidium infection across England and there was insufficient information to link individual cases to the local water supply, or there were other
risk factors (e.g. history of travel) involved (XXX, personal communication, November 2015). This, linked to the original low oocyst count in water samples suggested that it was highly likely that the increase in healthcare seeking behaviour monitored by syndromic surveillance during the BWN was due to intense local and national media reporting, rather than actual *Cryptosporidium* infections.

Local populations were informed of the BWN through printed and digital media and advised to seek medical advice if they had symptoms of cryptosporidiosis such as diarrhoea, including consulting a GP in order that faecal samples could be collected and tested to confirm *Cryptosporidium* infection. It is possible that this messaging therefore had several impacts: 1) symptomatic patients who would not normally have consulted a healthcare professional (i.e. they would have self-treated at home) would have been more likely to visit one of these services; 2) the volume of tests requested would have increased possibly increasing the overall positivity; 3) healthcare professionals might have been more likely to notify cases or use more specific clinical codes relevant to infectious gastroenteritis based upon the knowledge of the BWN and the health implications. The overall impact of this media messaging appears to have been a period of over-reporting including patients symptomatic for reasons unrelated to the BWN, who would not normally have sought advice from a healthcare service.

The impact of media coverage as a source of potential bias in syndromic surveillance has been reported infrequently. The nature of syndromic surveillance data collection renders these systems susceptible to shifts in healthcare seeking behaviour as a result of media coverage around a particular public health incident. We have previously reported the impact of media reporting on mumps clinician notifications illustrating potential bias in the public and health professionals [21]. The 2009 H1N1 pandemic also generated intense media coverage and retrospective analysis of regional news coverage was suggested to influence the
demand for local microbiological testing of samples for influenza A(H1N1) [22]. Conversely, media reporting can also be utilised as a useful source of information, including news outlets, discussion sites and disease reporting networks, to provide additional intelligence and increased awareness of public health issues, thus augmenting existing public health surveillance programmes [23].

In the context of the period of the BWN described here, understanding the surveillance data was critical to avoid misinterpretation and thus giving out inaccurate messages to healthcare professionals and the public. Considering the incubation period of cryptosporidiosis and the possible exposure of the population to the organism, the timing of the observed increases in syndromic indicators suggested a plausible increase in infections. The predominance of increases in diarrhoea and gastroenteritis indicators, and not of vomiting, was again in line with understood symptom presentation of cryptosporidiosis [3, 4]. However, close working with front line local public health teams was important as this enabled all public health intelligence e.g. laboratory reporting to be included into the interpretation of syndromic data.

This paper highlights the real challenges and limitations of using symptom-based data for the identification of publicised outbreaks. We have shown an impact on health service providers in those areas affected by a BWN. This does not necessarily imply that there was an increase in the overall burden of gastroenteritis and diarrhoea in the community, just a change in healthcare seeking behaviour and therefore those cases registered by a medical practitioner. However, this represents an important message: during this event, despite the lack of confirmed cases there was a similar increase in the presentation of patients to health services, placing additional pressure on GPs, NHS 111, laboratories and possibly pharmacies for over-the-counter remedies. These increases were all a result of the reporting of the possible public health risks through the media and resulted in similar burden to some of these services as might be expected for a genuine incident. For future events, further work might need to focus
on improved messaging from public health authorities. These messages need to balance the reassurance for patients that the public health interventions applied e.g. a BWN have reduced the risk of exposure to any potential hazards while also ensuring that exposed cases are identified. They also additionally need to alert local health service providers of the potential for increased burden during these periods.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1** (A) Upper tier local authority (LA) boundaries within North West England, including the Cumbria and Lancashire Public Health England (PHE) team area (Blackburn, Blackpool, Cumbria and Lancashire LAs). The two LAs affected by the boil water notice (Blackpool and Lancashire LAs) are shaded in blue and the location of the affected water treatment works is illustrated by a red circle. (B) Postcode districts within Blackpool and Lancashire LAs affected by the boil water notice are shaded red

**Figure 2** Daily presentation (3 day moving average) of gastroenteritis consultations to general practitioner services (in hours and out of hours) in North West England from 7/7/15 to 26/9/15

**Figure 3** Daily presentation (3 day moving average) of diarrhoea general practitioner (GP) consultations and NHS 111 calls in North West England from 7/7/15 to 26/9/15

**Figure 4** Daily presentation (3 day moving average) of vomiting general practitioner (GP) consultations and NHS 111 calls in North West England from 7/7/15 to 26/9/15