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Direct conversion of methanol to n-C₄H₁₀ and H₂ in a dielectric barrier discharge reactor
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Methanol is an important H-carrier and C1 chemical feedstock. In this paper, the direct conversion of methanol to n-C₄H₁₀ and H₂ was achieved for the first time in a dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) non-thermal plasma reactor. The selective formation of n-C₄H₁₀ by limiting COₓ (x = 1 and 2) generation was carried out by optimizing different plasma processing parameters including the methanol inlet concentration, discharge power, and pre-heating temperature. The results showed that higher methanol inlet concentration and higher pre-heating temperature favors the formation of n-C₄H₁₀, while higher methanol inlet concentration and lower discharge power can effectively limit the formation of CO. The optimal selectivity for n-C₄H₁₀ (37.5%), H₂ (28.9%) and CO (14%) were achieved, with a methanol conversion of 40.0%, at a methanol inlet concentration of 18 mol. %, a discharge power of 30 W and a pre-heating temperature of 140 °C using N₂ as a carrier gas. Value-added liquid chemicals (e.g., alcohols, acids, and heavy hydrocarbons) were also obtained from this reaction. Emission spectroscopic diagnostics reveals the formation of various reactive species (e.g., CH, C₂, CN, H and N₂) in the CH₃OH/N₂ DBD. Possible reaction pathways for the formation of n-C₄H₁₀ were proposed and discussed.

Introduction

Methanol is an attractive energy-storage carrier, fuel and chemical feedstock and it has gained considerable attention due to the depletion of oil reserves. One of the most important pathways of methanol conversion is to produce hydrogen for fuel cells, since methanol has the advantages of being biodegradable, liquid at room temperature, high in hydrogen content, cheap and abundant (e.g., from biomass, coal, natural gas and syngas). Steam reforming of methanol (reaction R1) and methanol decomposition (reaction R2) have so far been the main routes for methanol conversion into H₂. Considering the supply of hydrogen required for proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFC), hydrogen generated in reaction R2 has to be purified to reach the desired purity by transforming CO into CO₂ through water gas shift reaction (reaction R3), since the Pt-based anode in PEMFC can be easily poisoned when the CO concentration is higher than 10 ppm. Significant efforts have been devoted to catalytic conversion of methanol through the reaction R1, where copper-based and group 8-10 metal-based catalysts have been widely used. The copper-based catalysts have shown high CO₂ selectivity and methanol conversion. However, the long-term stability of these catalysts is poor. Group 8-10 metal-based catalysts have high stability and similar selectivity, but hydrogen production using these catalysts is low compared with that using copper-based catalysts.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} &\leftrightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 3\text{H}_2 & \Delta H^0_{298} &= 49.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} & \text{R1} \\
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} &\leftrightarrow \text{CO} + 2\text{H}_2 & \Delta H^0_{298} &= 90.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} & \text{R2} \\
\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} &\leftrightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 & \Delta H^0_{298} &= -41.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} & \text{R3}
\end{align*}
\]

Non-thermal plasma (NTP) technology provides an attractive and promising alternative to the conventional catalysis for the conversion of methanol into value-added fuels and chemicals at low temperatures. In non-thermal plasma, free electrons are highly energetic with a typical electron energy of 1-10 eV, which is sufficient to activate reactant molecules (e.g., methanol) to produce chemically reactive species for the initiation and propagation of chemical reactions according to the radical chain mechanism. It is worth noting that the overall gas temperature of the NTP can be as low as room temperature. Besides, high reaction rate and fast attainment of steady state in the NTP process allows rapid start-up and shutdown of the process compared to thermal and catalytic processes.

Up till now, very limited works have been focused on the use of NTP, either with or without a catalyst for hydrogen production from methanol. Recently, Kim and Lee reported that a methanol conversion of 39.1% can be achieved in a hybrid plasma-catalytic steam reforming of methanol (R1) over
a Cu/ZnO/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst at 180 °C, which is significantly higher than that (5.7%) of the catalytic reforming reaction at the same reaction temperature. These findings suggest that the temperature required for initiating R1 reaction using NTP has been significantly decreased, which is beneficial to prevent the coke formation on the catalyst surface and enhance the stability of the catalyst. Kim and Lee also found that the main role of plasma in generating the synergy effect of plasma and Cu/ZnO/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst is to accelerate the adsorption step of catalytic methanol-steam reforming by pre-activating CH$_3$OH into numerous reactive species (e.g., CH$_3$, CH$_2$OH, and CH$_3$O). Hueso’s group investigated the oxidation of CO produced in a plasma-catalytic decomposition of methanol over a mixture of Cu-Mn oxide catalyst and BaTiO$_3$. The results showed that methanol was almost completely converted into CO and H$_2$ in the plasma process without a catalyst, whereas the oxidation of CO to CO$_2$ occurred through the oxygen ions on the surface of the Cu-Mn oxide catalyst when the catalyst was packed in the plasma region. They also mentioned that this oxidation process can be accelerated by adding H$_2$O in the reaction. Although the major purpose of methanol conversion is to produce hydrogen, there are other undesired but inevitable products (i.e., CO and CO$_2$) formed, regardless of which process, e.g., thermal catalysis, plasma process or plasma-catalytic process, was used. Theoretically, a mole of methanol converts into a mole of CO(x = 1 and 2) in the reactions R1 and R2. This means that the carbon atom in a methanol molecule has not been effectively utilized to produce value-added chemicals, but has been consumed to emit greenhouse gas (e.g. CO$_2$). Actually, density functional theory (DFT) study of methanol conversion via cold plasma performed by Liu’s group suggested that it is feasible to synthesize ethylene glycol (EG) directly from methanol, besides CO$_x$ (x = 1 and 2) and H$_2$ when using a cold plasma. Interestingly, Guo et al recently reported that EG with 71.5% selectivity was experimentally achieved with a methanol conversion of 15.8% in a dielectric barrier discharge plasma using H$_2$ as a carrier gas. Such a process shows that, by using NTP, the carbon atom of the methanol molecule can take place the carbon coupled reaction to generate value-added chemicals instead of CO and CO$_2$.
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**Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of the experimental setup**

An ice-water trap was placed at the outlet of the DBD reactor to collect the condensed products. The liquid sample was collected for analysis.

**Experimental**

Methanol conversion was carried out in a DBD reactor using N$_2$ as the carrier gas at atmospheric pressure (Fig. 2). A stainless-steel mesh (ground electrode) was wrapped tightly around the outside of a quartz tube with an external diameter of 22 mm and an inner diameter of 19 mm. The inner high-voltage electrode was a stainless-steel rod with an external diameter of 15 mm, installed along the axis of the quartz tube. The discharge length was about 100 mm with a discharge gap of 2 mm. Methanol was fed into a pre-heating chamber through a syringe pump, and then the vapour of methanol was brought into the DBD reactor using a high-purity nitrogen flow. The flow rate of nitrogen was controlled at 250 ml/min by a mass flow controller. The DBD plasma was connected to an AC power supply with a peak voltage of 30 kV and a variable frequency of 8-12 kHz. The electrical signals were recorded by a four-channel digital oscilloscope (Tektronix, MDO 3204). The discharge power was calculated using Lissajous method. An online power measurement system was used to monitor and control the discharge power of the DBD reactor in real time. The gas temperature in the DBD reactor was measured by a fiber optical temperature probe (OMEGA, FOB102) placed in the plasma discharge. Emission spectra of the CH$_3$OH/N$_2$ discharge were recorded by an optical fiber connected to a Princeton Instruments ICCD spectrometer (Model 320 PI, focal length 320 mm) equipped with three gratings (150, 600 and 2400 g/mm gratings).

In this study, the conversion of methanol into value-added fuels and chemicals (e.g. n-C$_4$H$_{10}$) was carried out in a cylindrical dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor using N$_2$ as a carrier gas. The influence of different plasma processing parameters (e.g. discharge power, methanol inlet concentration and pre-heating temperature) on the plasma conversion of methanol was investigated. This is the first report for direct production of n-C$_4$H$_{10}$ from methanol using a NTP. The possible reaction pathways for the formation of n-C$_4$H$_{10}$ in the plasma process were proposed and discussed by combined means of emission spectroscopic diagnostics and the analysis of gas and liquid products.
analyzed using a gas chromatography-mass spectrometer (GC-MS, Agilent GC 7820A and Agilent MSD 5973). The gaseous products were analyzed using a gas chromatograph (GC) (Shimadzu, GC-2014) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a flame ionized detector (FID). The GC was calibrated for a wide range of concentrations for each gaseous component using standard gas mixtures (Air Liquid) and other calibrated gas mixtures.

To evaluate the performance of the plasma process, the conversion of methanol is defined as

\[ X_{\text{CH}_2\text{OH}}(\%) = \frac{\text{moles of CH}_2\text{OH converted}}{\text{moles of initial CH}_2\text{OH}} \times 100 \]  

(1)

The selectivity of the major products can be calculated

\[ S_{\text{H}_2}(\%) = \frac{\text{moles of H}_2 \text{ produced}}{2 \times \text{moles of CH}_2\text{OH converted}} \times 100 \]  

(2)

\[ S_{\text{CO}}(\%) = \frac{\text{moles of CO produced}}{\text{moles of CH}_2\text{OH converted}} \times 100 \]  

(3)

\[ S_{\text{CO}_2}(\%) = \frac{\text{x moles of CH}_4 \text{ produced}}{\text{moles of CH}_2\text{OH converted}} \times 100 \]  

(4)

The energy efficiency of plasma methanol conversion, defined as the moles of methanol converted per kilowatt hour, can be calculated using Eq. (5).

\[
\text{Energy Efficiency (mol/kWh)} = \frac{\text{molar conversion rate of CH}_2\text{OH (mol/min)}}{\text{discharge power (kW)}} \times 60
\]  

(5)

Results

Effect of methanol inlet concentration on methanol conversion.

Fig. 3 shows the effect of methanol inlet concentration on the conversion of methanol and the energy efficiency of the plasma reaction. Clearly, the conversion of methanol significantly decreased as the methanol concentration increased from 10 to 36 mol.% in the CH3OH/N2 mixture. However, the energy efficiency of the plasma process followed the opposite trend. Increasing the methanol concentration significantly enhanced the energy efficiency from 1.8 to 5.0 mol (kWh)-1. Fig. 4 shows the distribution of gaseous products as a function of methanol inlet concentration. Three kinds of gaseous products can be identified: H2, COx (i.e., CO and CO2) and CxHy (i.e., CH4, C2H2, C2H4, C3H6, and n-C4H10) with H2, n-C4H10 and CO being the major products. The selectivity of other products was around 1.0%, except C2H6 (3.0%) at a methanol inlet concentration of 10 mol.%. Fig. 5 shows that increasing the methanol inlet concentration resulted in a significant decrease of CO selectivity by a factor of 6 (from 21.5 to 3.7%), a decrease of H2 selectivity from 31.6 to 12.2%, and a slight change of n-C4H10 selectivity. In addition, the formation rate of H2, n-C4H10 and CO has to be taken into consideration because of the variation of methanol inlet concentration, as shown in Fig. 6. Clearly, the methanol concentration had an inverse influence on the formation rate of n-C4H10 and CO. Increasing the methanol inlet concentration linearly decreases the formation rate of CO but produces more n-C4H10.

In addition, the liquid products from the reaction were collected by the coolant of ice water and analyzed using GC-MS, as shown in Fig. 7. Results showed that, besides the unconverted methanol, value-added chemicals were also detected in the liquid, such as for the 10 mol. % methanol concentration experiment. These chemicals included alcohols (Ethanol and 1-Propanol, 2-methoxy-), acids (Acetic acid, hydroxy-), amines (o-Ethylhydroxylamine, Propanamide, 2-hydroxy-, and Methylamine, N, N-dimethyl) and a heavy hydrocarbon (1, 5-heptadien-3-yn). Similar chemicals were also obtained in the produced liquid samples when changing the methanol inlet concentrations. In this work, no gas or liquid phase cyanide products were detected using GC and GCMS. These results also demonstrate that DBD plasma has the potential to transform the carbon atom of methanol molecules to value-added chemicals, not only to CO or CO2.
Effect of discharge power on methanol conversion

The influence of discharge power on the reaction performance was evaluated at a methanol concentration of 18 mol. % in CH₃OH/N₂ mixture, as shown in Figs. 8-10. Clearly, increasing the discharge power significantly increased the methanol conversion up to 68.3%. In contrast, the energy efficiency of the plasma process showed the opposite trend, decreasing from 4.2 to 2.0 mol (kWh)⁻¹ with the increase of the discharge power from 20 to 50 W (Fig. 8), which was consistent with previous results. Similarly, the major gaseous products were H₂, CO and n-C₄H₁₀, and the selectivity of other products were lower than 1.0% except CH₄ and C₂H₆ which had a selectivity of 2-4% (Fig. 9). Fig. 10 shows that higher discharge power generated more CO and H₂, while the selectivity of n-C₄H₁₀ slightly increased with the increase in power. Thus, lowering the discharge power could be an effective way to limit the formation of CO.
The influence of the pre-heating temperature of methanol on the reaction performance was also examined, as shown in Figs. 11-13. It is interesting to note that the conversion of methanol firstly increased and then decreased with the pre-heating temperature. A maximum methanol conversion of about 67% was achieved at a pre-heating temperature of 100-110 °C (Fig. 11). The energy efficiency of the plasma reaction showed a similar tendency with that of methanol conversion (Fig. 11). H₂, n-C₄H₁₀ and CO were still identified as the dominant products (Fig. 12). The pre-heating of methanol increases molecule internal energy and gas temperature in the plasma reaction, which is beneficial to the conversion of methanol. At a discharge power of 30 W, pre-heating methanol to 80 °C increased the gas temperature in the plasma by around 17 °C. Meanwhile the pre-heating of methanol increased the flow rate and decreased the residence time of methanol in the plasma reaction. The combined effects could explain why the conversion of methanol firstly increased and then decreased with the pre-heating temperature. In addition, increasing the pre-heating temperature of methanol enhanced the formation of H₂, n-C₄H₁₀ and CO (Fig. 13). In particular, the selectivity of n-C₄H₁₀ reached 37.5% at a pre-heating temperature of 140 °C.

**Discussion**

Previous studies showed H₂ was the predominant H-containing compound and CO or CO₂ was always the major C-containing product in the plasma conversion of methanol, with or without a catalyst. CH₄, C₂H₂, C₂H₄ and C₂H₆ were reported as minor by-products in this reaction, whereas no other higher hydrocarbons were observed. For example, plasma conversion of methanol was carried out in a direct current rotating gliding arc reactor where H₂ and CO were found as the major products, while only trace amounts of CO₂, CH₄, C₂H₂, C₂H₄ and C₂H₆ were formed with a total selectivity of 0.5-3.8%. No higher hydrocarbons (C > 2) were generated in the gliding arc decomposition of methanol.¹⁵
Interestingly, in this work, n-C₆H₁₀ was identified as the major gaseous hydrocarbon with a selectivity of 20.0-37.5 % in the plasma conversion of methanol. It is noteworthy that increasing the methanol inlet concentration significantly increased the formation of n-C₆H₁₀ from 1.2 to 2.9 ml/min (Fig. 6). Correspondingly, the mean electron energy in the plasma gradually decreased with the increase of the methanol inlet concentration, as shown in Fig. 14. These findings suggest that a lower mean electron energy is more favourable to form n-C₆H₁₀.

Previous studies suggested there are seven initial reaction pathways of methanol dissociation by a NTP, as shown in Table 1. In the CH₃OH/N₂ DBD, these intermediate species (e.g., CH₂, CH₃O, CH₂O, cis-HCOH, trans-HCOH, and CH₂ radicals) can be generated by a direct collision of CH₃OH with free electrons, or by a collision of CH₃OH with metastable state molecules such as N₂ (A¹Σ⁺²).

N₂ (A¹Σ⁺²), with a rather long radiation lifetime (~2 s) and a high electron energy (~8 eV), plays an important role in the dissociation of CH₃OH into the species listed in Table 1, especially at a lower concentration of CH₃OH. N₂ (B) and N₂ (a’) states can also be involved in these reactions instead of the A state.

Among reactions R4-R10, the formation of CH₃ radicals through reaction R4 requires the lowest energy barrier. Besides, DFT study of cold plasma methanol conversion showed that CH₃, CH₂OH and CH₂O radicals in the reactions R4-R6 can be directly generated by inelastic collision of electrons with methanol molecules, whereas the formation of CH₂O, cis-HCOH, trans-HCOH, and CH₂ radicals in reactions R7-R10 was a complex process because they had to experience different transition states. The plasma kinetics of electron-molecular reactions also showed the lower threshold energy for reaction R4 (7.94 eV) compared to the reactions R5 (8.28 eV) and R6 (8.88 eV). It means that CH₃ radicals are relatively easy to be formed and the probability of being primary radical is high, followed by CH₂OH and CH₂O.

In this study, a possible reaction pathway of n-C₆H₁₀ formation is schematically shown in Fig. 15. Firstly, CH₃ radicals generated through the initial reaction R4 dimerize to form C₂H₆ via neutral-neutral recombination (R11). C₂H₆ can be converted to CH₃H₂ by electron impact dissociation (R12) or by H-abstraction reaction (R13). N₂ excited states can also be involved in the decomposition of C₂H₆. n-C₆H₁₀ can be formed from the recombination of two C₂H₆ radicals. Clearly, CH₃ radicals are the initial species for the formation of n-C₆H₁₀ in this process. Actually, there is a competitive recombination reaction (R15) to consume CH₃ radicals for CH₂ formation (Fig. 15). Previous study showed that reaction R15 has a similar reaction activate energy (E_a) of 0.5-0.7 kcal and a reaction rate coefficient of about 10⁻¹⁰ cm³ (mole s)⁻¹ compared to those of R11. However, from the viewpoint of the stability of C₂H₆ and CH₃, C₂H₆ is relatively easy to further convert to other products (e.g. C₂H₄, C₂H₃, C₂H₂, and C₂H₁₀) in plasma, resulting in a decrease in C₂H₆ concentration. The formation of C₂ hydrocarbons can also be confirmed by a weak C₂ swan band in the spectrum of the CH₃OH/N₂ DBD, as presented in Fig. 16. According to the thermal dynamic balance of a chemical reaction, the decrease of C₂H₆ concentration will accelerate the reaction R11 in comparison with reaction R15, which will enhance the formation of n-C₆H₁₀. Such a process agrees with the results achieved in our study. In this experiment, the selectivity of n-C₆H₁₀ was always much higher than that of CH₄.

Table 1 Initial reaction channels of methanol dissociation by non-thermal plasma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Calculated energy, kcal mol⁻¹ (298.15 K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₃OH → CH₃ + OH</td>
<td>R4 81.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃OH → CH₃OH + H</td>
<td>R5 94.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃OH → CH₃O + H</td>
<td>R6 100.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃OH → CH₂O + H₂</td>
<td>R7 88.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃OH → cis-HCHO + H₂</td>
<td>R8 85.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃OH → trans-HCHO + H₂</td>
<td>R9 84.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃OH → s-C₃H₃ + H₂O</td>
<td>R10 83.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the radical chain mechanism, the radicals generated in the plasma region can be consumed by recombining with each other to form larger compounds, such as the formation of n-C₆H₁₀, or by further dissociation into smaller fragments. As for CH₃ radicals, in addition to the recombination reaction for the production of n-C₆H₁₀ and CH₄ through reactions R11 and R15, they can be dissociated to CH₂ and H by electron impact dissociation (R16) in the plasma region as well. Of course, CH₂ can be further dissociated to CH (Fig. 15) and even to carbon (R17 and R18). The self-recombination of CH₂ and CH radicals leads to the formation of C₂H₄ and C₂H₂ through reactions R19 and R20, respectively. However, the total selectivity of C₂H₂ and C₂H₄ in our experiment was always lower than 2.0%, which indicates that the proportion of CH₃ radical dissociation into CH₂ and CH is much smaller than that of CH₃ radical recombination in our
experiment. This can be confirmed by weak CH bands in the spectrum of the CH₃OH/N₂ DBD (Fig. 16). This is also supported by the results of DFT study, which revealed that the further dissociation of CH₃ radicals required high energy of about 100 kcal/mol (4.2 eV) in reaction R16, about 120 kcal/mol (5.04 eV) in reaction R17 and about 80 kcal/mol (3.36 eV) in reaction R18. In contrast, the energy required in the recombination reactions of radicals is very low and even zero. In addition, the recombination of radicals is an exothermic process, which is relatively favourable in the NTP. Therefore, the reaction R11 is the dominant pathway of CH₃ loss, which leads to the formation of n-C₆H₁₀.

In addition to n-C₆H₁₀, CH₃CH₂OH and CO formation in the methanol plasma, the formation of CO was mainly derived from the further dissociation of O-containing species (e.g., CH₂O, cis-HCOH and trans-HCOH radicals) through reactions R21-R23. In the reactions R4-R10 (Table 1), the formation of O-containing species requires relatively high energy in comparison with that of CH₃ formation. As shown in Fig. 14, the mean electron energy of the CH₃OH/N₂ DBD gradually decreased with the increase of the methanol concentration. Therefore, when the methanol concentration increased, the formation of O-containing species was reduced due to the decrease of mean electron energy in plasma region, which could be the reason why the formation of CO decreased with the increase of methanol concentration. This conclusion can also be evidenced by the effect of discharge power on the CO formation (Fig. 10) which showed that higher discharge power significantly enhanced the formation of CO due to the increase in energy input to the discharge.

In addition to the gaseous product, CH₃CH₂OH and o-Ethylhydroxylamine were identified as the major liquid products using GC-MS (Fig. 7). The direct recombination of CH₃OH with CH₃ radical through reaction 24 might be the main reaction pathway for CH₃CH₂OH formation, as shown in Fig. 16. The formation of N-containing products (e.g., o-Ethylhydroxylamine) indicates that the carrier gas N₂ participates in the chemical reactions, which can be confirmed by the presence of CN species (B²Σ → X²Σ, Δν = 1, 0, -1) in the spectrum of the CH₃OH/N₂ DBD, as shown in Fig. 16.

Conclusion

In this study, the direct conversion of methanol for the co-production of n-C₆H₁₀ and H₂ has been investigated in a DBD reactor using N₂ as the carrier gas. H₂ and n-C₆H₁₀ were identified as the major products when varying different plasma process parameters, including the methanol inlet concentration, discharge power and pre-heating temperature of methanol. The selectivity of n-C₆H₁₀ significantly increased to 37.5% when increasing the pre-heating temperature of methanol to 140 °C. Increasing the methanol inlet concentration resulted in a dramatic decrease in CO selectivity from 21.5 to 3.7%, with a slight change of n-C₆H₁₀ selectivity, whereas the formation rate of n-C₆H₁₀ increased with the increase of methanol inlet concentration. Therefore, higher methanol concentration and higher pre-heating temperature favor the generation of n-C₆H₁₀. The formation of by-product CO in this reaction can be effectively reduced by decreasing the discharge power and increasing methanol inlet concentration. The optimal selectivity for n-C₆H₁₀, H₂ and CO were 37.5%, 28.9 % and 14.0%, respectively, with a methanol
conversion of 40.0% under the reaction conditions of 18 mol. % methanol inlet concentration, 30 W discharge power and 140 °C pre-heating temperature. In addition, value-added chemicals (e.g., alcohols, acids, and heavy hydrocarbons) were obtained in the reaction. The possible reaction mechanisms have been proposed by means of optical emission spectroscopic diagnostics and the analysis of both gaseous and liquid products. CH radicals have been considered as the initial and key species for the production of n-C₆H₁₀. These results show that non-thermal plasma provides a unique and promising alternative to make full use of methanol to generate value-added chemicals (e.g., n-C₆H₁₀) instead of CO and CO₂ at low temperatures and in an environmentally-friendly way. The selectivity of n-C₆H₁₀ and energy efficiency of the plasma process can be further enhanced through the combination of plasma with appropriate catalysts (e.g., SAPO-34 and ZSM-5) together with the optimization of the plasma reactor and operating parameters, which will make this promising green process economically feasible.
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