Photochemical CO₂ reduction in water using a co-immobilized nickel catalyst and a visible light sensitizer
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A dye-sensitized CO₂ reduction photocatalyst that operates in water is reported. Transient spectroscopy demonstrates that the facile co-immobilization of a Ru dye and a Ni CO₂ reduction electrocatalyst enables efficient on-particle electron transfer leading to photocatalytic activity that greatly exceeds the equivalent solution based system.

The successful development of materials that can efficiently and cost-effectively enable solar fuel production via the reduction of CO₂ and oxidation of water would have a major impact upon the energy landscape. Despite progress in recent years relatively few CO₂ reduction photocatalysts that operate efficiently in water are known. 1–4 Instead many CO₂ reduction photocatalysts are studied in non-aqueous solvents as the increased solubility of CO₂ and decreased proton concentration minimizes hydrogen evolution, a competitive reduction reaction which occurs at a similar potential to CO₂ reduction (e.g. CO₂ + 2e− + 2H⁺ → CO + H₂O, E°SHE = -0.12 V). 1

A promising approach to achieving CO₂ reduction in water is to couple a molecular photocatalyst to a light absorbing semiconductor or dye molecule that is able to generate sufficiently reducing photoelectrons for transfer to the catalytic centre. 5 This approach has been demonstrated by several groups with a number of studies exploring the coupling of Ru and Re diimine catalysts to a series of different light absorbers including TaON, InP and g-C₃N₄ to achieve visible light driven CO₂ reduction in water. 2,6,7 Ni and Co cyclams (cyclam = 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane) stand out amongst the known electrocatalysts for CO₂ reduction in water as they are highly selective, have relatively low onset potentials and are based only on abundant elements. 8,9 However, to date, attempts to use NiCyc (NiCyc = Ni(cyclam)²⁺) in a photochemical system have demonstrated mixed results. Some studies examined the use of p-type photoelectrodes such as Si, GaP or GaAs with NiCyc in solution; however activity was typically short-lived and a significant (<0.6 V NHE) applied bias was still required. 10,11 An alternative photocatalytic approach uses [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ (bpy = 2,2′-bipyridine) in aqueous solution with NiCyc. 12–15 Here excitation of the ruthenium dye with visible light gives rise to a metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT) state16 that is reductively quenched by a sacrificial electron donor, such as ascorbate, to form [Ru(bpy)₃]⁺ that in turn transfers an electron to NiCyc (Scheme 1a). 13 However initial studies reported low efficiencies, proposed to be due to inefficient electron transfer from the reduced ruthenium dye to NiCyc. Several groups have since developed elegant supramolecular sensitizer/catalyst assemblies 17–19 (Scheme 1b) with the aim of facilitating electron transfer, although to the best of our knowledge none of these systems have shown an increase in activity. This approach also requires the synthesis of complex ligands and linkers which must be redesigned for each catalyst-sensitizer combination. Co-localization of a chromophore and catalytic centre on a photochemically inert support has been shown to be a facile route to active water splitting materials. 20,21 We hypothesized that such an approach would also be applicable to achieving efficient CO₂ reduction using an immobilised dye and a NiCyc derivative (Scheme 1c), with the close proximity of components enabling efficient on-particle electron transfer.
Scheme 1. [Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+} sensitized CO\textsubscript{2} reduction using NiCyc in solution (a) is limited in part by low electron transfer rates. A simple alternative to synthetically complex supramolecular systems (b) is to co-immobilise the catalytic components on a photochemically inert support (c). The dashed line in (b) represents an undefined covalent linkage.

We previously reported\textsuperscript{22} the surface immobilisation of a carboxylic acid functionalised NiCyc on TiO\textsubscript{2}; however the catalyst desorbed in water and CO\textsubscript{2}. Phosphonate linkages to metal oxide surfaces are known to be more stable in aqueous environments.\textsuperscript{23} Therefore CycP (CycP = [(1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecan-1-yl)methylenephosphonic acid) was synthesised according to literature methods\textsuperscript{24} and complexed to NiCl\textsubscript{2} to yield the novel catalyst Ni\textsuperscript{II}CycP (synthetic details in ESI, structure in Figure 1 inset). Electrochemical studies of NiCycP in solution confirm that the addition of the pendant phosphonate group does not prevent electrocatalytic CO\textsubscript{2} reduction, Figure 1. Using a hanging mercury drop electrode (HMDE) in 0.1 M NaClO\textsubscript{4} (pH 4) with 1 mM NiCycP under argon an irreversible Ni\textsuperscript{II}/I reduction at -1.23 V\textsubscript{NHE}, similar to the Ni\textsuperscript{II}/I potential of the unsubstituted NiCyc (-1.30 V\textsubscript{NHE})\textsuperscript{8} is observed, Fig 1a. At potentials negative of -0.95 V\textsubscript{NHE} a large increase in current for NiCycP occurs under CO\textsubscript{2} due to electrocatalytic CO\textsubscript{2} reduction. The ratio of the peak current in the presence (i\textsubscript{cat}) and absence (i\textsubscript{p}) of CO\textsubscript{2} (i\textsubscript{cat}/i\textsubscript{p}) is a commonly employed measure of catalytic activity and for NiCycP i\textsubscript{cat}/i\textsubscript{p} = 19, compared to NiCyc i\textsubscript{cat}/i\textsubscript{p} = 31.\textsuperscript{22}
NiCycP was immobilised onto ZrO$_2$ nanoparticles (Φ ~ 100-30 nm) by soaking in an ethanolic solution of NiCycP for 48 hours, prior to washing with ethanol to remove unbound NiCycP (details in ESI 1.2). FTIR and XPS spectroscopies confirm the successful formation of ZrO$_2$/NiCycP (Fig S4-S9) with binding occurring through the phosphonate linkage. ICP measurements demonstrated that soaking of samples in aqueous solution (pH 4) led to no loss of catalyst into solution confirming the stability of the phosphonate linkage (Table S1). Electrochemical studies of ZrO$_2$/NiCycP on FTO glass were also carried out to confirm that the immobilised catalyst remains electrochemically active, Figure 1b. In argon-purged CH$_3$CN with 10% water a cathodic current at potentials negative of -0.8 V$_{\text{NHE}}$ (Fig 1(b)) is observed from an unmodified ZrO$_2$ electrode in-line with previous studies which have shown the availability of electroactive states at potentials up to 0.5 V below the conduction band edge (ca. -1.2 V$_{\text{NHE}}$ at pH 4). With a ZrO$_2$/NiCycP electrode a reduction feature is observed at ca. -0.9 V$_{\text{NHE}}$ which is assigned to the Ni$^{II}$/I couple. Under CO$_2$ the reduction shifts anodically to ca. -0.8 V$_{\text{NHE}}$. From these measurements we estimate a driving force for electron transfer from the known phosphonated ruthenium bipyridine dye, RuP (RuP = [Ru$^{II}$(2,2’-bipyridine)$_2$(2,2’-bipyridine-4,4’-diylbis(phosphonic acid))]) to immobilised NiCycP to be ca. -0.3 eV under CO$_2$ (RuP/RuP$^{II}$ = -1.1 V$_{\text{NHE}}$).
Figure 2 (a) Rate of photocatalytic \( \text{CO}_2 \) reduction to CO normalised for the combined mass of RuP and NiCycP in each experiment. In a typical experiment 2 mg of ZrO\(_2\)/RuP/NiCycP in 2 ml of \( \text{CO}_2 \) saturated 0.1 M ascorbate (pH 4) is irradiated with 375-795 nm light, 40 mW cm\(^{-2}\) for 7 hours. In experiment 5 a solution of NiCycP (3.4 \( \mu \)M) and RuP (8.9 \( \mu \)M) (0.1 M ascorbate (pH 4)), containing an equivalent Ni and Ru content to experiment 2, was used.

ZrO\(_2\)/RuP/NiCycP photocatalysts were prepared by soaking ZrO\(_2\) nanoparticles in ethanolic solutions of RuP and NiCycP for 48 hours (full details in ESI 1.2). The presence of both NiCycP and RuP on ZrO\(_2\) was confirmed through FTIR, UV-Vis, ICP and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopies (Fig S2-S9) and quantified by ICP analysis (Table S1). Photocatalytic experiments were carried out using 2 mg of ZrO\(_2\)/RuP/NiCycP in 2 ml of \( \text{CO}_2\)-purged ascorbate buffer (pH 4) under illumination (\( \lambda = 375 \text{ nm} - 795 \text{ nm}, 40 \text{ mW cm}^{-2} \) incident). As the light-driven reduction of \( \text{CO}_2 \) to CO is a multi-step process requiring the delivery of two electrons to NiCycP per catalytic cycle, it may be advantageous to have multiple light absorbers per catalytic centre. Therefore we initially screened a number of RuP:NiCycP ratios for \( \text{CO}_2 \) reduction (Figure S10). This led to the identification of an optimum on particle RuP:NiCycP ratio of ca. 2.6:1, achieved by the use of a 5:1 ([RuP]:[NiCycP], 0.5 mM:0.1 mM) ethanolic solution. The ability to rapidly screen multiple catalyst and sensitizer ratios highlights an important advantage of the simple on-particle assembly approach. This initial communication focuses on the mechanistic validation of the design approach to \( \text{CO}_2 \) reduction, however future work will expand this screening study to also explore the optimization of light driven NiCycP systems using scalable visible light sensitizers. For the ZrO\(_2\)/RuP/NiCycP (2.6:1) photocatalyst we measured photocatalytic CO and H\(_2\) evolution rates of 2.0 (\( \pm 0.2 \)) \( \mu \)mol g\(^{-1}\) hr\(^{-1}\) and 8.3 (\( \pm 1.3 \)) \( \mu \)mol g\(^{-1}\) hr\(^{-1}\) respectively, normalised for the total mass of the photocatalyst. Considering that the ZrO\(_2\) is an inert support a more appropriate measure of activity may be to only consider the mass of the photo/catalytically active materials (RuP and NiCycP), giving rise to a relatively high CO evolution rate of 322 (\( \pm 26 \)) \( \mu \)mol hr\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\)(RuP, NiCycP) (incident light intensity 40 mW cm\(^{-2}\)), Figure 2. Within 7 hours a turnover number per NiCycP (TON\(_{\text{Ni}}\)) of 4.8 for CO production was achieved. At prolonged periods activity decreased, presumably due to the reported deactivation pathway of Ni\(_2\)Cyc-CO formation; however the TON in the on-particle system still greatly exceeds that previously reported for a solution based approach using NiCyc (TON\(_{\text{Ni}}\) \( \sim \) 0.1). The achieved selectivity H\(_2\):CO (4.15:1) is relatively low,
but it does exceed that originally reported for NiCyc in solution at pH 4 (6.4:1).\textsuperscript{13} To confirm that visible light driven CO\textsubscript{2} reduction is occurring a number of control experiments were carried out, Figures 2 and S11-S13. Firstly experiments in the absence of CO\textsubscript{2} (under argon) yielded no significant quantity of CO on the timescales studied, Figure S12. Isotopic labelling measurements using \textsuperscript{13}CO\textsubscript{2} also demonstrated the formation of \textsuperscript{13}CO, Figure S11. Experiments in the absence of either the RuP or NiCycP also led to a large loss in activity for CO production, in-line with the proposed mechanism in Scheme 1c being the dominant pathway, Figure 2. Finally experiments using a 420 long-pass filter showed that CO production is maintained, Figure S13. Strikingly, control experiments using equivalent quantities of NiCycP (6.8 nmol, 3.4 \textmu M) and RuP (17.8 nmol, 8.9 \textmu M) in a 2 ml 0.1 M ascorbate solution (pH 4, CO\textsubscript{2} purged) showed a x30 decrease in activity compared to the equivalent ZrO\textsubscript{2}/RuP/NiCycP sample (Figure 2) with a TON\textsubscript{Ni} = 0.16 for CO production after 7 hours. It is therefore apparent that the activity of the on-particle system greatly exceeds the equivalent solution based system.
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**Figure 3.** (a) TA spectra of ZrO\textsubscript{2}/RuP/NiCycP in the presence of 0.1 M ascorbate (pH = 4) (a) under argon and (b) under CO\textsubscript{2}, following 355 nm (6 ns) excitation at the time delays indicated. Both spectra show the presence of a transient feature centred at ca. 510 nm assigned to RuP*.

For the remainder of the communication we have used transient absorption (TA) and time resolved photoluminescence (TR-PL) spectroscopy to explore the hypothesis that the enhanced photocatalytic activity for the on-particle is due to improved electron transfer from photogenerated RuP* to NiCycP, Scheme 2. Steady state emission spectroscopy of RuP/ZrO\textsubscript{2} films excited at 435 nm showed the formation of the MLCT excited state (RuP*, \( \lambda_{em} = 618 \text{ nm} \))\textsuperscript{16} which was partially quenched in the presence of 0.1 M ascorbate (pH 4), Figure S17. In line with past reports we measured a quenching lifetime of ca. 310 ns by TR-PL, Figure S16.\textsuperscript{21} TA spectroscopy of ZrO\textsubscript{2}/RuP in water (pH 4) following 355 nm excitation showed the formation of a ground state bleach (400-500 nm) and an excited state absorption feature ca. 625 nm that was heavily overlapped with the large negative feature from 550 nm to 750 nm due to the strong emission of RuP* (Figure S15a).\textsuperscript{23} In-line with past reports we see no evidence for the formation of RuP* (\( \lambda_{max} = 700 \text{ nm} \)) confirming that electron injection into ZrO\textsubscript{2} cannot occur from the dye excited state (RuP*/RuP* = -0.95 V\textsubscript{NHE}).\textsuperscript{29} TA spectra of ZrO\textsubscript{2}/RuP (Figure S15b) and ZrO\textsubscript{2}/RuP/NiCycP (Figure 3a) in 0.1 M ascorbate solution both showed similar features with a bleach at 425 nm, corresponding to the loss of the ground state, and a positive absorption at ca. 510 nm that can be readily assigned to RuP*\textsuperscript{21} overlapped with a broad negative feature (\( \lambda_{max} \text{ ca. 650 nm} \)) due to the remaining emission from the MLCT excited state. TA spectroscopy therefore confirms that reductive quenching of a fraction of the RuP* population by ascorbate is occurring. The decay of the 510 nm feature of ZrO\textsubscript{2}/RuP*...
is well fitted to a single stretched exponential function of the form \( \Delta \text{OD}_{510 \text{ nm}} = y_0 + A_1 e^{-\left(k t \right)^\beta} \) with an apparent first order rate constant \( k_{\text{app}} = 1.4 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Fig 4a, see ESI section 3 for the full kinetic model). It has been shown that electron injection into the \( \text{ZrO}_2 \) conduction band does not occur from RuP\(^-\) therefore it is proposed that the loss of RuP\(^-\) in \( \text{ZrO}_2/\text{RuP} \) is primarily due to the back reaction with oxidised ascorbate species.\(^{17}\) Significantly we find that the rate of RuP\(^-\) decay is greatly accelerated with \( \text{ZrO}_2/\text{RuP}/\text{NiCycP} \) \( \left( k_{\text{app}} = 7.8 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \right) \). Under \( \text{CO}_2 \) no change in the rate of electron transfer to NiCycP was observed (Figure 3b). The weak extinction coefficient of NiCyc and its related complexes (e.g. NiCycP in solution (aq), \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 344 \text{ nm} \) \( \left( 24 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1} \right) \) and 533 nm \( \left( 12 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1} \right) \)), coupled to the spectral range of our TA instrument (\( \lambda_{\text{probe}} 450-950 \text{ nm} \)), prevents direct observation of the formation of NiCycP\(^-\) \( \left( \text{ca. 390 nm} \right) \). Nonetheless the accelerated rate of decay of RuP\(^-\) upon co-immobilisation of NiCycP strongly indicates that efficient electron transfer can occur from RuP\(^-\) to NiCycP, in line with the calculated driving force for this process \( \left( \text{ca. -0.3 eV} \right) \).

**Figure 4.** (a) TA kinetic traces of RuP\(^-\) (510 nm) showing the accelerated decay of RuP\(^-\) for \( \text{ZrO}_2/\text{RuP}/\text{NiCycP} \) in 0.1 M ascorbate following 355 nm (6 ns) excitation (b) Kinetic trace of RuP\(^-\) in solution following the 355 nm excitation of RuP (8.9 \( \mu \text{M} \)) in 0.1 M ascorbate in the presence and absence of NiCycP (60 \( \mu \text{M} \)). The kinetic traces are fitted to (a) stretched exponential and (b) mono-exponential decays.

A simple kinetic analysis based on 2 parallel decay pathways for RuP\(^-\) in solution, namely forward electron transfer from RuP\(^-\) to NiCyc which is in competition with back electron between RuP\(^-\) and oxidised ascorbate, has been reported elsewhere.\(^{17}\) Here we apply the same model to calculate an electron transfer yield on the order of 82% for the reduction of NiCycP by photogenerated RuP\(^-\) on \( \text{ZrO}_2/\text{RuP}/\text{NiCycP} \), see ESI section 2 for details. Experiments carried out using RuP (8.9 \( \mu \text{M} \)) in 0.1 M ascorbate (pH 4) solution also show the rapid formation of RuP\(^+\), observed by TA spectroscopy at 510 nm; however in contrast to the immobilised system in solution the addition of NiCycP only slightly decreases the lifetime of RuP\(^-\) even at very high NiCycP concentrations (60 \( \mu \text{M} \), Fig 4b. Using the reagent concentrations employed for the solution photocatalysis experiments described above (8.9 \( \mu \text{M} \) RuP, 3.4 \( \mu \text{M} \) NiCycP) we find \( k_{\text{app}} = 1.9 \times 10^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \), leading to an estimated electron transfer yield from RuP\(^-\) to NiCycP in solution of only \textit{ca.} 5% indicating that the back reaction with oxidised ascorbate dominates, in line with the greatly decreased photocatalytic activity observed. Whilst the consideration of only 2 decay pathways for RuP\(^-\) is a
simplified model, during photocatalysis a range of alternative decay pathways are likely to become accessible as catalytic intermediates and oxidised scavengers accumulate, it does nonetheless clearly demonstrate that co-localisation of the dye and catalyst is sufficient for efficient charge transfer.
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**Scheme 2.** Kinetic scheme for the light driven reduction of NiCycP leading to CO\(_2\) reduction using on particle ZrO\(_2\)/RuP/NiCycP compared to the dye and catalyst in solution. Lifetimes of exponential fits from TA and emission studies are given in bold. *Values taken from ref. 21.

Co-immobilisation of a catalytic centre and visible light absorber onto a photochemically inert support offers a facile route to developing photocatalytic materials for CO\(_2\) reduction that is likely to be applicable to a large number of combinations of existing dyes and CO\(_2\) reduction electrocatalysts. Such an approach has been widely applied to photocatalytic water splitting\(^{20,21,27}\) and here we explore a model CO\(_2\) reduction system that operates in water. The focus of this communication has been on demonstrating the efficiency of the on-particle electron transfer pathway. Significantly we demonstrate that efficient on-particle electron transfer can occur, thus avoiding the need to develop complex supramolecular dye-catalyst complexes. The on-particle electron transfer pathway also offers a simple alternative to current state-of-the-art through particle dye-sensitized CO\(_2\) reduction systems\(^{30,31}\) that have been demonstrated in organic solvents to be highly sensitive to the presence of ionic additives. This communication also represents a significant improvement in the reported activity for light-driven CO\(_2\) reduction by NiCyc, and ZrO\(_2\)/RuP/NiCycP is one of only a relatively small number of water active CO\(_2\) reduction photocatalysts. Currently our selectivity towards CO\(_2\) is relatively low, despite NiCyc being known to be a highly selective electrocatalyst. This may be in part due to the use of RuP in aqueous solutions (Fig S19) or the choice of electron donor and screening studies are now underway to explore alternative electron donors and lower cost sensitizers and these will be reported shortly.
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