

## Fibonacci-like sequences and greatest common divisors

H.R.MORTON

*Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Liverpool,  
PO Box 147, Liverpool, L69 3BX, UK.*

It is a curious feature of the Fibonacci sequence  $\{f_n\}$  that the greatest common divisor  $(f_m, f_n)$  of two terms in the sequence is itself the  $k$ -th term in the sequence, with  $k = (m, n)$ . This result and its extension to sequences satisfying the recurrence relation

$$f_{n+1} = af_n + bf_{n-1},$$

starting with  $f_0 = 0$ , when  $a$  and  $b$  are any coprime integers, is proved by Lucas [L1], [L2]. The traditional proof, which is nicely presented in Hardy and Wright [HW 148-9], uses relations between the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  and an auxiliary sequence, describing both sequences in terms of the roots of the quadratic  $t^2 - at - b$ . The purpose of this article is to present a proof which uses only simple congruence features of the sequence  $\{f_n\}$ . The result is stated below as theorem A. It is deduced readily from theorem B, which shows that the terms  $f_N$  in the sequence which are divisible by any fixed  $d$  are regularly spaced.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\{f_n\}$  be the sequence of integers determined by the initial conditions  $f_0 = 0$ ,  $f_1 = 1$  and the recurrence relation*

$$f_{n+1} = af_n + bf_{n-1},$$

*where  $a$  and  $b$  are any two coprime integers. Then  $(f_m, f_n) = \pm f_{(m,n)}$ .*

**Remark.** The choice of  $f_1 = 1$  is not important; any other choice will just result in a multiple of the same sequence.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\{f_n\}$  be the sequence of integers defined in theorem A. Let  $d$  be a positive integer, and let  $S$  be the set of integers  $N$  for which  $f_N$  is divisible by  $d$ . Then  $S$  consists of all multiples of some integer  $k$ , depending on  $d$  and the sequence.*

In what follows we shall use standard congruence notation and algebra; thus  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  means that  $f_n$  is divisible by  $d$ . The only property of gcd which is needed is that every common divisor of two numbers also divides their gcd. In the case of general coprime coefficients  $a$  and  $b$  we need the result that if  $d$  divides  $bc$  and is coprime to  $b$  then  $d$  divides  $c$ , or equivalently, in the context of congruences, that any number coprime to  $d$  has an inverse  $\pmod{d}$ . In the case  $b = \pm 1$  the proofs use more elementary arguments, involving only addition and multiplication  $\pmod{d}$ .

*Deduction of theorem A from theorem B:* Let  $d$  be a positive integer and let  $f_m \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  and  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ .

Consider the set  $S$  of integers  $N$  for which  $f_N \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . By theorem B this set consists of all multiples of some  $k$ . Now  $m, n \in S$ , by hypothesis. Thus  $m$  and  $n$  are each divisible by  $k$  and hence also their gcd,  $(m, n)$ , is divisible by  $k$ . The integer  $(m, n)$  thus belongs to  $S$ , which in turn means that  $f_{(m, n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ .

Now choose  $d = (f_m, f_n)$ . Then  $f_m$  and  $f_n$  are both divisible by  $d$ . The argument above shows that  $f_{(m, n)}$  is also divisible by  $d = (f_m, f_n)$ .

Conversely, choose  $d = f_{(m, n)}$  and again consider the set  $S$  of integers  $N$  for which  $f_N \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . Then  $S$  consists, by theorem B, of all multiples of some  $k$ . Clearly  $(m, n) \in S$ , since  $f_{(m, n)}$  is divisible by  $d$ , and hence  $(m, n)$  is a multiple of  $k$ . Now  $m$  and  $n$  are multiples of  $(m, n)$ , and hence are also multiples of  $k$ . So  $m, n \in S$  and thus  $f_m$  and  $f_n$  are both divisible by  $d$ . It follows at once that their gcd,  $(f_m, f_n)$ , is divisible by  $d = f_{(m, n)}$ .

We have already established that  $f_{(m, n)}$  is divisible by  $(f_m, f_n)$ . Thus  $f_{(m, n)} = \pm(f_m, f_n)$ , as claimed.  $\square$

It remains to establish theorem B. This is most simply done in the case  $b = \pm 1$ , when  $a$  can be any integer, by extending the sequence to include terms  $f_n$  for negative integers  $n$  also. The proof follows from two simple propositions; modifications of these needed to prove the general case are then given. Finally an alternative proof of theorem B is indicated, along lines suggested by the referee.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of integers satisfying the recurrence relation  $f_{n+1} = af_n + bf_{n-1}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers. Suppose that  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . Then for every  $k \leq n$  the terms  $f_{n \pm k}$  are related by*

$$f_{n+k} + (-b)^k f_{n-k} \equiv 0 \pmod{d}.$$

*Proof:* By induction on  $k$ . It is clearly true for  $k = 0, 1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+k+1} + (-b)^{k+1} f_{n-k-1} &= af_{n+k} + bf_{n+k-1} + (-b)^k af_{n-k} + b(-b)^{k-1} f_{n-k+1}, \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{d} \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis.  $\square$

In general, proposition 1 shows that  $f_{n+k} \equiv \pm b^k f_{n-k} \pmod{d}$  with  $n \geq k$ , assuming that  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ .

Suppose now that  $b = \pm 1$ . The relation can be read in the opposite direction as  $f_{n-1} = -abf_n + bf_{n+1}$ , since  $b^{-1} = b$ . Integers  $f_n$  satisfying the recurrence relation may then be defined for all negative integers  $n$  also. Proposition 1 holds for all  $k$  in this case, showing that  $f_{n+k} \equiv \pm f_{n-k} \pmod{d}$  for all  $k$ , where  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . Then  $f_{n-k} \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  if and only if  $f_{n+k} \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ .

The set  $S$  of all integers  $N$  (positive and negative) for which  $f_N \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  is thus invariant under 'reflection' in any of its elements  $n \in S$ , where reflection in  $n$  interchanges the integers  $n \pm k$ .

Theorem B now follows from the geometrically obvious proposition 2.

**Proposition 2.** *Any set  $S$  of integers which contains 0 and is invariant under reflection in each element of  $S$  consists of all multiples of some fixed integer  $k$ .*

*Proof:* Either  $S = \{0\}$  or we can take  $k > 0$  as the least distance between any two elements of  $S$ , which we can write as  $n$  and  $n + k$ . Symmetry of  $S$  under reflection in  $n + k$  shows that  $n + 2k \in S$ . By induction on  $r$ , symmetry about  $n + (r - 1)k$  shows that  $n + rk \in S$  for all positive integers  $r$ . Symmetry about  $n$  extends this to show that  $n + rk \in S$  for all integers  $r$ . Because  $k$  is the least distance between any two integers in  $S$  there are no further elements of  $S$ . Given that  $0 \in S$  we can then write  $0 = n + rk$  for some  $r$ , so that  $n$  is a multiple of  $k$ , and hence  $S$  consists of the multiples of  $k$ .  $\square$

In the general case of coprime  $a$  and  $b$  proposition 2 holds, when restricted to positive integers  $n$  only. In this case the reflection invariance for the set  $S$  should be taken as saying that if  $n \in S$  and  $n \geq k$  then  $n + k \in S$  if and only if  $n - k \in S$ . Proposition 1 shows that  $f_{n+k} \equiv \pm b^k f_{n-k} \pmod{d}$  with  $n \geq k$  when  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . Hence the set  $S$  of integers  $N \geq 0$  with  $f_N \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  does have the modified reflection invariance, *provided that  $b$  and  $d$  are coprime*. Theorem B then follows in the case that  $d$  is coprime to  $b$ .

In the remaining cases, when  $b$  and  $d$  have a common factor,  $c > 1$  say, the recurrence relation gives  $f_{n+1} \equiv af_n \pmod{c}$ , and hence  $f_n \equiv a^{n-1} \pmod{c}$ . Now  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime, and hence  $a$  and  $c$  are coprime, so  $f_n$  is never divisible by  $c$  for any  $n > 0$ . The terms  $f_n$  with  $n > 0$  are then never divisible by  $d$ ; in these cases the set  $S$  consists only of 0, and again satisfies theorem B, taking  $k = 0$ .

*Sketch of an alternative proof of theorem B:* Observe that if  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  then the sequence  $f_n, f_{n+1}, \dots, f_{n+k}, \dots$  is a multiple of the sequence  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_k, \dots \pmod{d}$ . Explicitly, an easy induction on  $k$ , using the recurrence relation, shows that  $f_{n+k} \equiv f_{n+1} f_k \pmod{d}$ . After another induction to prove that  $f_n$  and  $f_{n+1}$  are coprime, and hence that  $f_{n+1}$  is coprime to  $d$ , it follows that when  $n \in S$  then  $k \in S$  if and only if  $n + k \in S$ . The set  $S$  thus has the property that if  $m, n \in S$  with  $m \geq n$  then  $m \pm n \in S$ . Theorem B follows readily.

**Remarks.** It is interesting to look explicitly at the sequences given by small choices of  $a$  and  $b$ , besides the Fibonacci sequence with  $a = b = 1$ , and the integers, with  $a = 2, b = -1$ .

It is shown above that the terms  $f_n$  with  $n > 0$  are never divisible by any prime factor of  $b$ . On the other hand Lucas showed that each prime  $p$  which is coprime to  $b$  divides some term  $f_n$  in the sequence, with  $n > 0$ , and hence divides infinitely many terms.

Values of  $n$  for which  $f_n$  is divisible by  $p$  can be found as follows, although these are not always the smallest possible. Set  $\Delta = a^2 + 4b$  and let  $p$  be any prime not dividing  $\Delta$  or  $b$ . If  $\Delta$  is a square  $\pmod{p}$  then  $f_{p-1}$  is divisible by  $p$ , while if  $\Delta$  is not a square  $\pmod{p}$  then  $f_{p+1}$  is divisible by  $p$ . If  $p$  divides  $\Delta$  then  $f_p$  is divisible by  $p$ . Explicit details of this and other divisibility properties of Lucas are reported in [D] and [HW].

**Acknowledgments.**

This proof was developed in 1993 as a result of conversations with Rob Baston, with whom I was sharing the teaching of an elementary course involving congruences and divisibility properties of integers. I am grateful to him and to Kit Nair and Alastair King for provoking me to complete this proof as a means of avoiding the more complicated induction proofs. I must thank the referee for suggestions which allowed me to extend my original presentation with  $b = \pm 1$  to the general case, and for the outline of the alternative proof of theorem B.

**References.**

[D] L.E.Dickson, History of the Theory of Numbers, vol 1, 1919, (Chelsea reprint, New York 1971).

[L1] E.Lucas, Sur les rapports qui existent entre la théorie des nombres et le calcul intégral. Comptes Rendus, Paris 82 (1876), 1303-5.

[L2] E.Lucas, Sur la théorie des nombres premiers, Atti R. Accad Sc. Torino (Math), 11 (1875-6), 928-937

[HW] G.H.Hardy and E.M.Wright, Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. OUP, 1938.

H.R.Morton, Sept. 1994, revised Jan. 1995, April 1995.

## Fibonacci-like sequences and greatest common divisors

H.R.MORTON

*Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Liverpool,  
PO Box 147, Liverpool, L69 3BX, UK.*

It is a curious feature of the Fibonacci sequence  $\{f_n\}$  that the greatest common divisor  $(f_m, f_n)$  of two terms in the sequence is itself the  $k$ -th term in the sequence, with  $k = (m, n)$ . This result and its extension to sequences satisfying the recurrence relation

$$f_{n+1} = af_n + bf_{n-1},$$

starting with  $f_0 = 0$ , when  $a$  and  $b$  are any coprime integers, is proved by Lucas [L1], [L2]. The traditional proof, which is nicely presented in Hardy and Wright [HW 148-9], uses relations between the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  and an auxiliary sequence, describing both sequences in terms of the roots of the quadratic  $t^2 - at - b$ . Alternative proofs generally use more or less elaborate induction methods. The purpose of this article is to present a proof which uses only simple congruence features of the sequence  $\{f_n\}$ . The result is stated below as theorem A. It is deduced readily from theorem B, which shows that the terms  $f_N$  in the sequence which are divisible by any fixed  $d$  are regularly spaced.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\{f_n\}$  be the sequence of integers determined by the initial conditions  $f_0 = 0$ ,  $f_1 = 1$  and the recurrence relation*

$$f_{n+1} = af_n + bf_{n-1},$$

*where  $a$  and  $b$  are any two coprime integers. Then  $(f_m, f_n) = \pm f_{(m,n)}$ .*

**Remark.** The choice of  $f_1 = 1$  is not important; any other choice will just result in a multiple of the same sequence.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\{f_n\}$  be the sequence of integers defined in theorem A. Let  $d$  be a positive integer, and let  $S$  be the set of integers  $N$  for which  $f_N$  is divisible by  $d$ . Then  $S$  consists of all multiples of some integer  $k$ , depending on  $d$  and the sequence.*

In what follows we shall use standard congruence notation and algebra; thus  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  means that  $f_n$  is divisible by  $d$ . The only property of gcd which is needed is that every common divisor of two numbers also divides their gcd. In the case of general coprime coefficients  $a$  and  $b$  we need the result that if  $d$  divides  $bc$  and is coprime to  $b$  then  $d$  divides  $c$ , or equivalently, in the context of congruences, that any number coprime to  $d$  has an inverse  $\pmod{d}$ . In the case  $b = \pm 1$  the proofs use more elementary arguments, involving only addition and multiplication  $\pmod{d}$ .

*Deduction of theorem A from theorem B:* Let  $d$  be a positive integer and let  $f_m \equiv 0 \pmod d$  and  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod d$ .

Consider the set  $S$  of integers  $N$  for which  $f_N \equiv 0 \pmod d$ . By theorem B this set consists of all multiples of some  $k$ . Now  $m, n \in S$ , by hypothesis. Thus  $m$  and  $n$  are each divisible by  $k$  and hence also their gcd,  $(m, n)$ , is divisible by  $k$ . The integer  $(m, n)$  thus belongs to  $S$ , which in turn means that  $f_{(m, n)} \equiv 0 \pmod d$ .

Now choose  $d = (f_m, f_n)$ . Then  $f_m$  and  $f_n$  are both divisible by  $d$ . The argument above shows that  $f_{(m, n)}$  is also divisible by  $d = (f_m, f_n)$ .

Conversely, choose  $d = f_{(m, n)}$  and again consider the set  $S$  of integers  $N$  for which  $f_N \equiv 0 \pmod d$ . Then  $S$  consists, by theorem B, of all multiples of some  $k$ . Clearly  $(m, n) \in S$ , since  $f_{(m, n)}$  is divisible by  $d$ , and hence  $(m, n)$  is a multiple of  $k$ . Now  $m$  and  $n$  are multiples of  $(m, n)$ , and hence are also multiples of  $k$ . So  $m, n \in S$  and thus  $f_m$  and  $f_n$  are both divisible by  $d$ . It follows at once that their gcd,  $(f_m, f_n)$ , is divisible by  $d = f_{(m, n)}$ .

We have already established that  $f_{(m, n)}$  is divisible by  $(f_m, f_n)$ . Thus  $f_{(m, n)} = \pm(f_m, f_n)$ , as claimed.  $\square$

It remains to establish theorem B. This is most simply done in the case  $b = \pm 1$ , when  $a$  can be any integer, by extending the sequence to include terms  $f_n$  for negative integers  $n$  also. The proof follows from two simple propositions; modifications of these needed to prove the general case are then given. Finally an alternative proof of theorem B is indicated, along lines suggested by the referee.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of integers satisfying the recurrence relation  $f_{n+1} = af_n + bf_{n-1}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers. Suppose that  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod d$ . Then for every  $k \leq n$  the terms  $f_{n \pm k}$  are related by*

$$f_{n+k} + (-b)^k f_{n-k} \equiv 0 \pmod d.$$

*Proof:* By induction on  $k$ . It is clearly true for  $k = 0, 1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+k+1} + (-b)^{k+1} f_{n-k-1} &= af_{n+k} + bf_{n+k-1} + (-b)^k af_{n-k} + b(-b)^{k-1} f_{n-k+1} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod d \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis.  $\square$

In general, proposition 1 shows that  $f_{n+k} \equiv \pm b^k f_{n-k} \pmod d$  with  $n \geq k$ , assuming that  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod d$ .

Suppose now that  $b = \pm 1$ . The relation can be read in the opposite direction as  $f_{n-1} = -abf_n + bf_{n+1}$ , since  $b^{-1} = b$ . Integers  $f_n$  satisfying the recurrence relation may then be defined for all negative integers  $n$  also. Proposition 1 holds for all  $k$  in this case, showing that  $f_{n+k} \equiv \pm f_{n-k} \pmod d$  for all  $k$ , where  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod d$ . Then  $f_{n-k} \equiv 0 \pmod d$  if and only if  $f_{n+k} \equiv 0 \pmod d$ .

The set  $S$  of all integers  $N$  (positive and negative) for which  $f_N \equiv 0 \pmod d$  is thus invariant under 'reflection' in any of its elements  $n \in S$ , where reflection in  $n$  interchanges the integers  $n \pm k$ .

Theorem B now follows from the geometrically obvious proposition 2.

**Proposition 2.** *Any set  $S$  of integers which contains 0 and is invariant under reflection in each element of  $S$  consists of all multiples of some fixed integer  $k$ .*

*Proof:* Either  $S = \{0\}$  or we can take  $k > 0$  as the least distance between any two elements of  $S$ , which we can write as  $n$  and  $n + k$ . Symmetry of  $S$  under reflection in  $n + k$  shows that  $n + 2k \in S$ . By induction on  $r$ , symmetry about  $n + (r - 1)k$  shows that  $n + rk \in S$  for all positive integers  $r$ . Symmetry about  $n$  extends this to show that  $n + rk \in S$  for all integers  $r$ . Because  $k$  is the least distance between any two integers in  $S$  there are no further elements of  $S$ . Given that  $0 \in S$  we can then write  $0 = n + rk$  for some  $r$ , so that  $n$  is a multiple of  $k$ , and hence  $S$  consists of the multiples of  $k$ .  $\square$

In the general case of coprime  $a$  and  $b$  proposition 2 holds, when restricted to positive integers  $n$  only. In this case the reflection invariance for the set  $S$  should be taken as saying that if  $n \in S$  and  $n \geq k$  then  $n + k \in S$  if and only if  $n - k \in S$ . Proposition 1 shows that  $f_{n+k} \equiv \pm b^k f_{n-k} \pmod{d}$  with  $n \geq k$  when  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . Hence the set  $S$  of integers  $N \geq 0$  with  $f_N \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  does have the modified reflection invariance, *provided that  $b$  and  $d$  are coprime*. Theorem B then follows in the case that  $d$  is coprime to  $b$ .

In the remaining cases, when  $b$  and  $d$  have a common factor,  $c > 1$  say, the recurrence relation gives  $f_{n+1} \equiv af_n \pmod{c}$ , and hence  $f_n \equiv a^{n-1} \pmod{c}$ . Now  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime, and hence  $a$  and  $c$  are coprime, so  $f_n$  is never divisible by  $c$  for any  $n > 0$ . The terms  $f_n$  with  $n > 0$  are then never divisible by  $d$ ; in these cases the set  $S$  consists only of 0, and again satisfies theorem B, taking  $k = 0$ .

*Alternative proof of theorem B:* Observe that if  $f_n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  then the sequence  $f_n, f_{n+1}, \dots, f_{n+k}, \dots$  is a multiple of the sequence  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_k, \dots \pmod{d}$ . Explicitly, an easy induction on  $k$  shows that  $f_{n+k} \equiv f_{n+1} f_k \pmod{d}$ . After another induction to prove that  $f_n$  and  $f_{n+1}$  are coprime, and hence that  $f_{n+1}$  is coprime to  $d$ , it follows that when  $n \in S$  then  $k \in S$  if and only if  $n + k \in S$ . The set  $S$  thus has the property that if  $m, n \in S$  with  $m \geq n$  then  $m \pm n \in S$ . Theorem B follows readily.

**Remarks.** It is interesting to look explicitly at the sequences given by small choices of  $a$  and  $b$ , besides the Fibonacci sequence with  $a = b = 1$ , and the integers, with  $a = 2, b = -1$ .

Lucas shows that each prime  $p$  which is coprime to  $b$  divides some term  $f_n$  in the sequence, with  $n > 0$ , and hence divides infinitely many terms. Values of  $n$  for which  $f_n$  is divisible by  $p$  can be found as follows, although these are not always the smallest possible. Set  $\Delta = a^2 + 4b$  and let  $p$  be any prime not dividing  $\Delta$  or  $b$ . If  $\Delta$  is a square  $\pmod{p}$  then  $f_{p-1}$  is divisible by  $p$ , while if  $\Delta$  is not a square  $\pmod{p}$  then  $f_{p+1}$  is divisible by  $p$ . If  $p$  divides  $\Delta$  then  $f_p$  is divisible by  $p$ . Explicit details of this and other divisibility properties of Lucas are reported in [D] and [HW].

### Acknowledgments.

This proof was developed in 1993 as a result of conversations with Rob Baston, with whom I was sharing the teaching of an elementary course involving congruences

and divisibility properties of integers. I am grateful to him and to Kit Nair and Alastair King for provoking me to complete this proof as a means of avoiding the more complicated induction proofs. I must thank the referee for suggestions which allowed me to extend my original presentation with  $b = \pm 1$  to the general case, and for the outline of the alternative proof of theorem B.

### References.

[D] L.E.Dickson, History of the Theory of Numbers, vol 1, 1919, (Chelsea reprint, New York 1971).

[L1] E.Lucas, Sur les rapports qui existent entre la théorie des nombres et le calcul intégral. Comptes Rendus, Paris 82 (1876), 1303-5.

[L2] E.Lucas, Sur la théorie des nombres premiers, Atti R. Accad Sc. Torino (Math), 11 (1875-6), 928-937

[HW] G.H.Hardy and E.M.Wright, Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. OUP, 1938.

H.R.Morton, Sept. 1994, revised Jan. 1995