Tilted resonators in a triangular elastic lattice: chirality, Bloch waves and negative refraction
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Abstract

We consider a vibrating triangular mass-truss lattice whose unit cell contains a resonator of triangular shape. The resonators are connected to the ambient lattice by trusses. Each resonator is tilted, i.e. it is rotated with respect to the ambient lattice’s unit cell through an angle $\vartheta$. This geometrical parameter is responsible for the emergence of a resonant mode in the Bloch spectrum for elastic waves and strongly affects the dispersive properties of the lattice. Additionally, the tilting angle $\vartheta$ triggers the opening of a band gap at a Dirac-like point. We provide a physical interpretation of these phenomena and discuss the dynamical implications on elastic Bloch waves. The dispersive properties are used to design a structured interface containing tilted resonators which exhibit negative refraction and focusing, as in a “flat elastic lens”.

1 Introduction

This paper introduces the novel concept of dynamic rotational degeneracy for Bloch-Floquet waves in periodic multi-scale media. The model is introduced in a concise, analytically tractable manner, and accompanied by numerical simulations; we place particular emphasis on the vibrational modes that represent rotational standing waves. Special attention is given to the phenomena of dynamic anisotropy and negative refraction in the context of multi-scale materials.

A geometric figure is said to be chiral if “its image in a plane mirror, ideally realised, cannot be brought to coincide with itself” [1]. Typical examples are the hands which are either “left-handed” or “right-handed”. Indeed, the etymological origin of the word “chiral” derives from the ancient Greek for hand: $\chi\varepsilon\lambda\iota\rho$. In an earlier paper [2], Brun et al. introduced an analytical model for chiral elastic media, which takes into account internal rotations induced by gyroscopes. These structured media act as polarisers of elastic waves and also have very interesting dispersive properties in the context of the Bloch-Floquet waves in multi-scale periodic solids possessing internal rotations.

Further studies of chiral structures have led to exciting and novel results [3, 4, 5]. Hexagonal geometrically chiral lattices were studied by Spadoni et al. [6, 7] and by Liu et al. [8, 9]. Their numerical results highlighted the influence of the geometrical chirality on the dynamic anisotropy of elastic in-plane waves and on the auxetic behaviour of the structure under static loads. In particular, it has been demonstrated in [6] and [8] that the geometrical chirality enabled the breakage of the reflection symmetry in the high-order dispersion surfaces, which may potentially lead to interesting applications. More recently, the same structure has been studied by Rosi and Auffray [10] in the framework of the strain-gradient continuum elasticity. The observation of dynamic chirality in structured elastic materials has been reported by Stüsstrunk and Huber [11]. In particular it has been demonstrated that left and right propagating collective edge waves are not equivalent, i.e. the system is dynamically chiral. The seminal experiment conducted in [11] led to the newly established research field of “topological mechanics” [12].
An elastic lattice, with the inertia concentrated at the nodal points, is a highly attractive object for the study of Bloch-Floquet waves, as the dispersion equations can be expressed as polynomials with respect to a spectral parameter. The paper by Martinsson and Movchan [13] presented a unified analytical approach for the study of Bloch-Floquet waves in multi-scale truss and frame structures, which included problems of the design of stop bands around pre-defined frequencies. In particular, low-frequency rotational waveforms were identified in some of the standing waves at the boundaries of the stop bands.

The asymptotic analysis of eigenvalue problems for degenerate and non-degenerate multi-structures was systematically presented in the monograph by Kozlov et al. [14], where rotational modes were studied in the context of the asymptotic analysis of the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenfunctions of multi-structures consisting of components of different limit dimensions.

In the last two decades, significant progress has been made in gaining control of elastic waves at the micro-scale. This advance is primarily due to recent improvements in micro- and nano-fabrication as well as the novel theoretical developments, such as focussing through negative refraction. The use of negative refraction for focussing was studied theoretically by Pendry [15]. Later, Luo et al. [16] exploited the dynamic anisotropy of a photonic crystal to achieve all-angle negative refraction and focussing without a negative effective index of refraction. The same idea has been exploited in the context of phononic crystals for both elastic [17, 18] and water [19] waves as well as waves in lattice systems [20, 21]. Finally, we would like to mention the pivotal contribution made by Craster et al. [22] in the analysis and control of the effective dynamic properties of phononic and photonic crystals through the high frequency homogenisation technique.

In the present paper, we study the dynamic properties of both degenerate and non-degenerate multi-scale lattice structures in the context of the modelling and analysis of metamaterials with pre-designed dynamic properties. For example, we will design and implement structured interfaces that exhibit negative refraction of elastic waves and can be used to focus mechanical waves, as shown in Fig. 1. Although the structure investigated here is geometrically chiral, it does not possess a dynamic chirality, i.e. the “time reversibility” is not violated. We also note that, in contrast with the earlier papers [6] and [8], our structure consists of torsional resonators embedded into the triangular lattice, with the unit cell being different from those in [6] and [8]. We emphasise that the time reversibility is not broken for the structures investigated in [6] and [8], and hence no dynamic chirality has been established there.

The structure of the paper is as follows. The formulation of the spectral problem together with the governing equations for Bloch-Floquet waves are described in section 2. For simplicity and ease of exposition we consider a regular triangular lattice with embedded resonators as shown in Fig. 2(a). In section 3 we specify the chiral geometry of the resonator and analyse its dynamic behaviour. A discussion of the dispersion equations and standing waves, and a detailed analysis of the dispersion diagrams, which show the radian frequency as a function of two components of the Bloch vector is provided in section 4. In particular, we identify standing waves and examine the effects of the orientation of the resonator on the dispersive
Fig. 2: Panel (a): A schematic representation of the triangular elastic lattice containing resonators; the unit cell of the lattice is highlighted in red. Panel (b), top: The vectors $\mathbf{t}_1$ and $\mathbf{t}_2$ are the primitive vectors of the triangular mass-truss lattice; the vector $\mathbf{r}_{\text{cm}}$ describes the rest position of the centre of mass of the resonator; the tilting angle $\vartheta_0$ describes the rotation of the resonator about $\mathbf{r}_{\text{cm}}$; the vectors $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2$ and $\mathbf{b}_3$ identify the rest positions for the masses $m_o$, relative to $\mathbf{r}_{\text{cm}}$. Panel (b), bottom: The first Brillouin zone for the triangular lattice and the irreducible region (grey shaded region).

properties of the lattice. Slowness contours and results concerning dynamic anisotropy are also presented in section 4. In section 5 we examine the dynamic behaviour of the lattice in which the orientation of the resonators is non-uniform. In particular, the case where adjacent resonators are rotated by angles of opposite sign is considered.

Transmission problems are of particular interest, as they enable one to see the effects of negative refraction for rotational waveforms in metamaterials. An example of a chiral interface is illustrated in Fig. 1, which incorporates a structured layer with rotational resonators of a special design, and shows negative refraction. This and other examples are discussed in section 6. Finally, in section 7 we draw together our main conclusions.

2 The spectral problem

Before studying the dynamical properties of the lattice system, it is necessary to introduce some notation, the geometry and the equations of motion. For the sake of simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the study of triangular lattices; such lattices are particularly interesting as they are statically isotropic but exhibit strong dynamic anisotropy at finite frequencies. Subsequently, we specify the geometry and physical parameters of the resonators embedded in the triangular lattice unit cell - see Fig. 3. We conclude this section by stating the form of the equations of motion for a general two-dimensional micro-structured lattice made of point masses and massless trusses.

2.1 The triangular lattice

A schematic representation of the periodic lattice is shown in Fig. 2(a), which corresponds to the unit cell depicted in Fig. 2(b). The triangular lattice (TL) has the primitive vectors

$$
\mathbf{t}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} L \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{t}_2 = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \frac{L}{2},
$$

whose norm is $L$. It is convenient to introduce the auxiliary vector $\mathbf{t}_3 = \mathbf{t}_1 - \mathbf{t}_2$. 

\[ \]
At equilibrium, the point masses $m$ (black solid dots in Fig. 2(a)) occupy the nodes of the triangular lattice
\[
\tilde{x}_i^{(n)} = n_1 t_1 + n_2 t_2,
\] where $n = (n_1, n_2)^T$ is a pair of integers. The basis vectors for the reciprocal lattice are
\[
G_1 = \frac{2\pi}{L} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad G_2 = \frac{2\pi}{L} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2/\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix},
\] and the associated first Brillouin zone is shown in Fig. 2(b), together with the high symmetry points, which lie at
\[
\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}L} \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{3} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad X = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3}L} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
In this paper, unless otherwise stated, Bloch frequency surfaces are presented as functions the Bloch wave vector such that
\[
k = \begin{pmatrix} k_x \\ k_y \end{pmatrix} \in \left[ -\frac{4\pi}{3L}, \frac{4\pi}{3L} \right]^2.
\] The square region defined by Eq. (5) encloses the first Brillouin zone represented in Fig. 2(b).

### 2.2 Geometry of a resonator

The centre of mass of the triangular resonator in Fig. 2(b) is located at the point with the position vector
\[
\tilde{r}_{cm} = \left( \begin{array}{c} L \\ 1/\sqrt{3} \end{array} \right).
\] The vector $\tilde{b}_i$ with $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$ shown in Fig. 2(b), is the position vector of the $i^{th}$ mass relative to the centre of mass in Eq. (6). The explicit expression is
\[
\tilde{b}_i = b \tilde{R}_i \tilde{\beta}_i = b \tilde{R}_i \left( \begin{array}{c} \sin \vartheta_0 \\ \cos \vartheta_0 \end{array} \right), \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{R}_i = \left. \tilde{R}_{\vartheta} \right|_{\vartheta = 2\pi (i-1)/3},
\] where $\vartheta_0$ is the tilting angle, $b = \ell/\sqrt{3}$, and
\[
\tilde{R}_{\vartheta} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \vartheta & \sin \vartheta \\ -\sin \vartheta & \cos \vartheta \end{pmatrix},
\] is the clockwise rotation matrix. It follows that the $i^{th}$ mass belonging to the *tilted inertial resonator* (TIR), embedded in an arbitrarily chosen unit cell $n$, is located at
\[
\tilde{x}_i^{(n)} = \tilde{x}_i^{(n)} + \tilde{r}_{cm} + \tilde{b}_i,
\] where the vectors on the right-hand side of the equation, are given in Eqs (2), (6) and (7).

The vector linking the triangular lattice to the $i^{th}$ mass of the TIR in the reference cell $n = 0$ is
\[
\tilde{\alpha}_i = \tilde{R}_i \tilde{\alpha}_1, \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{\alpha}_1 = t_2 - \tilde{x}_1^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} b \sin \vartheta_0 \\ -(B - b \cos \vartheta_0) \end{pmatrix},
\] where $B = L/\sqrt{3}$ and the matrix $\tilde{R}_i$ is introduced in Eq. (7). For every $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$, the norm of the vectors in Eq. (10) is
\[
\ell_r = ||\tilde{\alpha}_i|| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{L^2 + \ell^2 - 2\ell L \cos(\vartheta_0)}.
\] Given the set of vectors in Eqs (1), (7) and (10), we introduce the corresponding projection matrices
\[
\hat{\pi}_1 = \frac{1}{\ell^2} t_1 t_1^T, \quad \hat{\pi}_2 = \frac{1}{\ell^2} t_2 t_2^T, \quad \hat{\pi}_3 = \frac{1}{\ell^2} (t_1 - t_2)(t_1 - t_2)^T,
\]
\[
\hat{\pi}_1 = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \left( \tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_2 \right) \left( \tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_2 \right)^T, \quad \hat{\pi}_2 = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \left( \tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_3 \right) \left( \tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_3 \right)^T, \quad \hat{\pi}_3 = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \left( \tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_2 \right) \left( \tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_2 \right)^T,
\]
\[
\hat{1}_i = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \tilde{\alpha}_i \tilde{\alpha}_i^T,
\] where $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. The notation $vu^T$ in Eqs (12) denotes the dyadic product $v \otimes u$ of two vectors $u$ and $v$. 4
2.3 The truss structure

The TL is formed from an array of point masses located at \( x_0^{(n)} \) and connected to neighboring masses by thin elastic rods. The rods are assumed to be massless and extensible, with longitudinal stiffness \( c_\ell \), but not flexible, i.e. they are trusses. The links are represented in Fig. 2(a) by thin solid lines. Additionally, each mass is connected to three resonators by rods of the same type as the ambient lattice and longitudinal stiffness \( c_{\ell o} \); these links are denoted by the solid lines of intermediate thickness in Fig. 2(a).

The resonators themselves are composed of three point masses of magnitude \( m_o \), located at vertices of a smaller equilateral triangle, and connected by massless trusses of longitudinal stiffness \( c_o \); these trusses are indicated by the thick solid lines in Fig. 2(a). The TIRs are constrained such that they do not cross the links of the exterior lattice or those connecting the resonator to the ambient lattice. It is easy to demonstrate that the constraints

\[
0 < \frac{\ell}{L} < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\vartheta_0| \leq \vartheta_{\text{max}} = \arccos \left( \frac{\ell}{L} \right),
\]

are sufficient to ensure the aforementioned conditions.

The solution to the Bloch-Floquet problem associated with a general lattice unit cell was studied by Martinsson and Movchan [13] in 2003. For the case of massless trusses studied here, the Bloch-Floquet problem for time-harmonic waves of angular frequency \( \omega \) can be reduced [13] to the algebraic system

\[
\left[ \hat{\Sigma}'_k - \omega^2 \hat{M}' \right] U'_k = 0,
\]

where \( \hat{\Sigma}'_k \) is a Hermitian positive semi-definite matrix containing information about the geometry of the lattice and stiffness of the links and depends on the Bloch wave-vector \( k \). The eigenvector \( U'_k \in \mathbb{C}^{d \cdot q} \) defines the displacement amplitudes of the masses; \( d \) is the spatial dimension and \( q \) is the number of masses per unit cell. The matrix \( \hat{M}' \) is diagonal with entries corresponding to the magnitude of the masses in the unit cell. Finally, the multiplicative factor \( e^{-i\omega t} \) is omitted but understood.

For the geometry considered here, and shown in Fig. 2(a), \( d = 2 \) and \( q = 4 \) and we therefore have an eight-dimensional algebraic problem (14). The column vector \( U'_k \), introduced in (14) may be written in the form

\[
U'_k = (u^T_0(k), u^T_1(k), u^T_2(k), u^T_3(k))^T,
\]

where \( u_i(k) \) with \( i = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \) are the displacement amplitude vectors of the masses.

3 A model resonator

In this section, we analyse a single hinged resonator (see Fig. 3). In this context, we identify a degeneracy associated with \( \vartheta_0 = 0 \), shown in Fig 3(a). We show that the degeneracy disappears when a non-zero tilting

![Figure 3: Schematic representations of a single hinged resonator. Panel (a): The degenerate case corresponding to \( \vartheta_0 = 0 \). Panel (b): The non-degenerate case corresponding to \( \vartheta_0 \neq 0 \).](image-url)
where the first two eigenvalues have multiplicity two, and the last two eigenvalues are of multiplicity one; the angle is considered - see the chiral geometry in Fig. 3(b). We assume that the resonator is a rigid-body and present the corresponding natural frequencies. We conclude this section with a physical interpretation of the degeneracy corresponding to non-tilted resonators.

We consider a single TIR and assume hinged conditions at the vertices of an equilateral triangle of side length $L$ (see Fig. 3(a)). The equations of motion for the time-harmonic displacements of the masses at the vertices of the TIR are

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\sigma}' - \omega^2 m_o \hat{I}_6 \end{bmatrix} U = 0,$$

where $U = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T$ contains the aforementioned displacement amplitudes, $m_o$ is the mass at every vertex, $\omega$ is the angular frequency and $\hat{\sigma}'$ is the stiffness matrix and $\hat{I}_6$ is the $6 \times 6$ identity matrix. In Eq. (16), the stiffness matrix is

$$\hat{\sigma}' = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\ell o} \hat{\Pi}_1 + c_o \hat{\pi}_2 + c_o \hat{\pi}_3 & -c_o \hat{\pi}_3 & -c_o \hat{\pi}_2 \\ -c_o \hat{\pi}_3 & c_{\ell o} \hat{\Pi}_2 + c_o \hat{\pi}_1 + c_o \hat{\pi}_3 & -c_o \hat{\pi}_1 \\ -c_o \hat{\pi}_2 & -c_o \hat{\pi}_1 & c_{\ell o} \hat{\Pi}_3 + c_o \hat{\pi}_2 + c_o \hat{\pi}_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the projectors $\hat{\Pi}_i$ and $\hat{\pi}_i$ are given in Eq. (12). A derivation of Eqs (16) and (17) is provided in Appendix A.

### 3.1 The non-degenerate case

We use the notation $\Omega = \omega^2$. For $\vartheta_0 \neq 0$, solving the eigenvalue problem (16) with the stiffness matrix (17) gives

$$\begin{align*} 
\Omega_{(1)}^\pm &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_{\ell o}}{m_o} \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{c_o}{c_{\ell o}} \pm \sqrt{1 + \frac{9}{4} \left( \frac{c_o}{c_{\ell o}} \right)^2} \right], \\
\Omega_{(2)}^\pm &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_{\ell o} + 3c_o}{m_o} \left[ 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{L^2}{\ell_r^2} \frac{c_o c_{\ell o}}{(c_{\ell o} + 3c_o)^2} \sin^2 \vartheta_0} \right],
\end{align*}$$

where the first two eigenvalues have multiplicity two, and the last two eigenvalues are of multiplicity one; $\ell_r$ is given by (11). This demonstrates that for $\vartheta_0 \neq 0$ both the structure and the corresponding stiffness
matrix are non-degenerate. For very small resonators \( \ell/L \to 0 \), the eigenvalues have the limit

\[
\lim_{\ell/L \to 0} \Omega_{\pm}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_o + 3c_o}{m_o} \left[ 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{12c_o c_o}{(c_o + 3c_o)^2} \sin^2 \vartheta_0} \right],
\]

whereas \( \Omega_{\pm}^{(1)} \) is independent of \( \ell/L \). The absence of degeneracy at non-zero tilting angles and as \( \ell \) is varied, is illustrated by Figs 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. In Fig. 4(a), we compare the frequencies (18) (blue lines) with FEM numerical results obtained using COMSOL Multiphysics® (red squares), as a function of \( \vartheta_0 \) and for \( \ell \approx 0 \). In Fig. 4(b), a similar comparison is done by fixing \( \vartheta_0 \approx 1.32 \) and varying \( \ell \).

### 3.2 The degenerate case

For \( \vartheta_0 = 0 \) we observe that \( \Omega_{\pm}^{(2)} = 0 \), as illustrated in Fig. 4(a), and therefore the structure represented in Fig. 3(a) is degenerate, that is,

\[
\det (\hat{\sigma}^\prime) = 0,
\]

where the stiffness matrix \( \hat{\sigma}^\prime \) is introduced in Eq. (17). Degeneracy is also obtained in the trivial limits for a very soft resonator, i.e. \( c_o/c_{\ell o} \to 0 \), and non-zero tilting angle. In this case, we get \( \Omega_{\pm}^{(1)} = \Omega_{\pm}^{(2)} = 0 \).

### 3.3 The rigid-body approximation

Here we assume that

\[
c_o/c_{\ell o} \gg 1 \quad \text{and} \quad c_o/c_{\ell} \gg 1,
\]

i.e. the TIR’s trusses are inextensible. In turn, this implies that the lengths of the links connecting the vertices of the TIR are fixed to \( \ell \). Therefore, in the limit (21), Eq. (16) can be further simplified. In fact, the motion of a single hinged and rigid resonator can be described by a time-harmonic in-plane displacement of the centre of mass and an angular displacement about the centre of mass. The natural frequencies of the system are

\[
\Omega_{cm} = \frac{3 c_{\ell o}}{2 M} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{\vartheta} = \frac{c_{\ell o} \ell^2}{I} \frac{\sin^2 \vartheta_0}{1 + \ell^2/\ell^2 - 2\ell/L \cos \vartheta_0},
\]

where \( I \) is the moment of inertia of the TIR. In Eq. (22), the frequency \( \Omega_{cm} \) corresponds to the displacement of the centre of mass and is consistent with earlier results[13] where, instead of the structured resonator used in this article, a concentrated mass \( M = 3m_o \) is assumed. The role of the resonator’s microstructure emerges in the eigenfrequency \( \Omega_{\vartheta} \), which describes the angular displacement about the centre of mass. We observe that

\[
\Omega_{cm} = \lim_{c_o/c_{\ell o} \to +\infty} \Omega_{\pm}^{(1)}, \quad \Omega_{\vartheta} = \lim_{c_o/c_{\ell o} \to +\infty} \Omega_{\pm}^{(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{c_o/c_{\ell o} \to +\infty} \Omega_{\pm}^{(2)} = +\infty,
\]

where \( \Omega_{\pm}^{(1)} \) and \( \Omega_{\pm}^{(2)} \) are the eigenvalues given in Eq. (18) for the hinged single resonator with soft ligaments. Eqs (24) show that the eigenvalues for a single rigid hinged resonator can be retrieved as a limiting case of Eqs (18). In addition, we note that the degeneracy at zero tilting angle persists, as \( \Omega_{\vartheta} = 0 \) for \( \vartheta_0 = 0 \). This observation further emphasises the fact that a chiral geometry of the ligaments is a necessary condition to avoid degeneracy, if thin and non-bendable links are assumed. We finally observe that the eigenvalues (22) coincide for the tilting angle

\[
\vartheta_0^* = \arccos \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\ell}{L} + 1 \right).
\]

We would like to remark that the degeneracy corresponding to Eq. (20), arises from neglecting bending deformations of the hinged links. Conversely, a finite bending stiffness \( B = EI \), where \( E \) represents the Young’s modulus and \( I \) is the second moment of inertia of the beam, would lead to (see Appendix B)

\[
\Omega^{(b)} = 9\sqrt{3} \frac{L}{\ell^2 (L - \ell)^2} \frac{B}{m_o}.
\]
The right-hand-side of Eq. (26) is non-zero at zero tilting angle and corresponds to the rotational motion of the resonator in Fig. 3(a). Fig. 4(c) shows the agreement between Eq. (26) (solid blue line) and FEM numerical results (red squares), as \( \ell \) is varied. The translational frequency \( \Omega^{(t)} \) for a rigid resonator with hinged flexible links of Young’s modulus \( E \) and cross-sectional area \( S \) can be directly obtained from the first of Eqs (22). Its expression is

\[
\Omega^{(t)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{S}{L - \ell} \frac{E}{m_o},
\]

and it is compared in Fig. 4(c) (blue dashed line) against FEM computations (red squares) as a function of \( \ell \).

4 Bloch waves in a lattice with tilted resonators

Having examined the behaviour of a single resonator in the previous section, we now proceed to consider the dispersive properties of a two-dimensional triangular lattice of resonators; the geometry is shown in Fig. 2(a). The primary object of study is the algebraic system (14), which has been derived using the framework of Martinsson and Movchan [13]; details are provided in Appendix C. With reference to (14), the 8 \( \times \) 8 stiffness matrix has the form

\[
\Sigma_k' = \begin{pmatrix}
-\sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ 2 c_\ell (\cos k \cdot \ell_i - 1) \hat{\tau}_i - c_\ell \hat{\Pi}_1 \right] & -c_\ell \hat{\Pi}_1 e^{-i k \cdot t_2} & -c_\ell \hat{\Pi}_2 e^{-i k \cdot t_1} & -c_\ell \hat{\Pi}_3 \\
-c_\ell \hat{\Pi}_1 e^{i k \cdot t_2} & c_\ell \hat{\Pi}_1 + c_\omega \hat{\pi}_2 + c_\omega \hat{\pi}_3 & -c_\omega \hat{\pi}_3 & -c_\omega \hat{\pi}_2 \\
-c_\ell \hat{\Pi}_2 e^{i k \cdot t_1} & -c_\omega \hat{\pi}_3 & c_\ell \hat{\Pi}_2 + c_\omega \hat{\pi}_1 + c_\omega \hat{\pi}_3 & -c_\omega \hat{\pi}_1 \\
-c_\ell \hat{\Pi}_3 & -c_\omega \hat{\pi}_2 & -c_\omega \hat{\pi}_1 & c_\ell \hat{\Pi}_3 + c_\omega \hat{\pi}_2 + c_\omega \hat{\pi}_1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where we emphasise that each element is a 2 \( \times \) 2 block matrix, as defined in (12). We note that the submatrix formed by removing the first column and row of block matrices of (28) is precisely the stiffness matrix of a single hinged resonator (17). Expanding the determinant of (28) over the first column results in a sum of four terms: three of them being proportional to \( \text{det}(\hat{\Pi}) \) and the fourth one to \( \text{det}(\hat{\sigma}') \). Recalling that the matrices \( \hat{\sigma}' \) and \( \hat{\Pi}_s \) (c.f. (12) and (17)) are degenerate when \( \vartheta_0 = 0 \), it is clear that (17) is also degenerate when \( \vartheta_0 = 0 \).

4.1 Rigid resonators

The limit case of rigid resonators, that is \( c_\omega / c_\ell \gg 1 \) and \( c_\omega / c_\ell \gg 1 \), has been analysed in detail in section 3.3. It was shown that, in this limit, it is sufficient to treat the resonator as a rigid body. Under this assumption, the equation of motion for Bloch-Floquet waves propagating through a triangular lattice with rigid TIRs is

\[
\left[ \tilde{\Sigma}_k - \omega^2 \mathcal{M} \right] U_k = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad U_k = (u_{k}^T(k), \quad u_{\text{cm}}^T(k), \quad \vartheta(k))^T,
\]

here \( \vartheta(k) \) and \( u_{\text{cm}}(k) \) denote the amplitude of rotation and displacement of the TIR’s centre of mass, respectively. The vector of displacements \( U_k \) can be written in terms of the displacements of the masses \( u_i(k) \) with \( i = \{1, 2, 3\} \), and hence \( U'_k \), as introduced in Eq. (15). In particular,

\[
u_{\text{cm}}(k) = \frac{1}{3} \left( u_1(k) + u_2(k) + u_3(k) \right),
\]

\[
u_i(k) = u_{\text{cm}}(k) + \left( \hat{R}_2 e^{i(-1) + \vartheta(k)} - \hat{R}_2 e^{2i(-1)} \right) \hat{b}_1,
\]

\[
\approx u_{\text{cm}}(k) + \vartheta(k) \left( \frac{d \hat{R}_2 \vartheta}{d \vartheta} \right)_{\vartheta=2\pi(-1)/3} \hat{b}_1,
\]

(30)
where $\hat{R}$ is given in Eq. (8), $\hat{b}_1$ is introduced in Eq. (7); and we have linearised the final expression for small angular displacements $\vartheta(k)$. The stiffness matrix (28) can then be expressed as

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_k = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \begin{pmatrix}
-2\epsilon_o (\cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{t}_1) - 1) \tilde{r}_i + c_{\ell o} \hat{\Pi}_i & -c_{\ell o} \varphi_i(k) \hat{\Pi}_i & -c_{\ell o} \varphi_i(k) \hat{\Pi}_i \hat{\tilde{R}}_j \hat{b}_1 \\
-c_{\ell o} \varphi_i^*(k) \hat{\Pi}_i & c_{\ell o} \hat{\Pi}_i & c_{\ell o} \hat{\Pi}_i \hat{\tilde{R}}_j \hat{b}_1 \\
-c_{\ell o} (\varphi_i(k) \hat{\Pi}_i \hat{\tilde{R}}_j \hat{b}_1)^\dagger & c_{\ell o} (\hat{\Pi}_i \hat{\tilde{R}}_j \hat{b}_1)^\dagger & c_{\ell o} \hat{b}_1^\dagger \cdot (\hat{\Pi}_i \hat{\tilde{R}}_j \hat{b}_1)
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(31)

where $(\cdot)^\dagger$ denotes the Hermitian transpose and we introduce the functions $\varphi_1(k) = \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{t}_2)$, $\varphi_2(k) = \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{t}_1)$ and $\varphi_3(k) = 1$; $\tilde{R}_i'$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$, denote the derivatives of the rotation matrix in Eq. (30). The inertia matrix which appears in (29) is

$$
\hat{M} = \text{diag}(m, m, M, I),
$$

(32)

where $M$ and $I$ are as defined in (23), and $m$ is the mass of the nodal points in the ambient lattice. The solvability condition for the algebraic system (29), then yields the dispersion equation for Bloch-Floquet waves in the triangular lattice with TIR

$$
\mathcal{D}(k, \omega) = \det \left( \hat{\Sigma}_k - \omega^2 \hat{M} \right) = 0.
$$

(33)

The remainder of this section will be devoted to the analysis and interpretation of the roots of this equation.

### 4.2 The Bloch frequencies at $\Gamma$

At $k = 0$, the roots of fifth-degree polynomial equation (33) in $\Omega = \omega^2$ can be found in their closed forms. Introducing the notation $\Omega^{(i)}_\Gamma = \left. \Omega^{(i)}_k \right|_{k=0}$, with $i$ indexing the root, we find

$$
\Omega^{(1)}_\Gamma = 0, \quad \Omega^{(2)}_\Gamma = \Omega^{\text{cm}} \left( 1 + \frac{3m_o}{m} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega^{(3)}_\Gamma = \Omega_\phi = \Omega^{\text{cm}} \frac{2\sin^2 \vartheta_0}{1 + \ell^2/L^2 - 2\ell/L \cos \vartheta_0},
$$

(34)

where the frequencies $\Omega^{\text{cm}}$ and $\Omega_\phi$ are given in Eq. (22). The first and second eigenvalues in Eqs. (34) have multiplicity two, and the last one has multiplicity one.

Given $\ell = \ell/L$ and $\vartheta_0$ in the intervals (13), we observe that it is possible to obtain a triply degenerate eigenvalue corresponding to $\Omega^{(2)}_\Gamma = \Omega^{(3)}_\Gamma$, if there exists

$$
\tilde{m} = \frac{\cos 2\vartheta_0 + \ell^2 - 2\ell \cos \vartheta_0}{2\ell \cos \vartheta_0 - \ell^2 - 1} > 0,
$$

(35)

with $\tilde{m} = 3m_o/m$.

### 4.3 Effective group velocity

It is interesting to examine the dynamic behaviour of the lattice containing TIRs in the long-wave regime. In particular, the effect of the resonators on the quasi-static response will be examined. We begin by considering the non-degenerate case of $\vartheta_0 \neq 0$ and expand $\mathcal{D}(k, \omega)$ in a Maclaurin series assuming that both $0 < \omega \ll 1$ and $0 < L|k| \ll 1$. In the low frequency regime, Eq. (33) can be written as

$$
\mathcal{D}(k, \omega) = \mathcal{D}_I(k, \omega) + o(\omega^6).
$$

(36)

We then search for solutions of the form $\omega_k = v|k|$, where $v$ is the effective group velocity. Consequently, in Eq. (36) we can write

$$
\mathcal{D}_I(k, \omega) = \mathcal{D}_I^{(4)}(k)\omega^4 + \mathcal{D}_I^{(2)}(k)\omega^2 + \mathcal{D}_I^{(0)}(k) + o(|k|^6).
$$

(37)
Figure 5: Panel (a) shows dispersion diagrams and panel (b) the corresponding slowness contours at $\omega = 0.25$. Black solid lines refer to a triangular lattice with tilted TIRs (see Eq. (29)) for $\vartheta_0 = \vartheta_{\text{max}}$ with the remaining parameters as listed in Table 1; black dashed lines correspond to the effective group velocities (38); grey dotted lines refer to a monatomic triangular lattice (see Eq. (40)); mass $m_{\text{TL}}$ as in Eq. (39) and other parameters as listed in Table 1.

Full details of the procedure are provided in Appendix D. The low-frequency group velocities for pressure $v_p$ and shear $v_s$ Bloch-Floquet waves in a triangular lattice containing TIRs are

$$v_p = \sqrt{3}v_s \quad \text{and} \quad v_s = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}L\sqrt{\frac{c_\ell}{m + 3m_o}},$$

(38)

the low-frequency (acoustic) dispersion surfaces are conical and have circular cross-section in the regime when $\omega \ll 1$. In addition, we remark that the group velocities depend on the total mass of the cell, on the inter-cell stiffness $c_\ell$ and on the triangular lattice nearest-neighbour distance $L$. The intra-cell physical parameters $\vartheta_0$, $c_{\ell o}$ and $\ell$, do not appear in this regime because they characterise sub-wavelength structures. Moreover, equations (38) suggest that the low-frequency and long-wave dispersion of elastic waves in a lattice with TIRs is equivalent to the behaviour associated with a simple monatomic triangular lattice with a mass per unit cell of

$$m_{\text{TL}} = m + 3m_o,$$

(39)

and ligaments with stiffness $c_\ell$. These effects are illustrated in Fig. 5, where we examine the dispersion curves and slowness contours in the low-frequency regime. The solid lines correspond to the dispersion curves for a triangular lattice with TIRs. The numerical parameters have been chosen as detailed in Table (1) and the tilting angle is $\vartheta_0 = \vartheta_{\text{max}}$. The dashed lines correspond to the asymptotic dispersion relation $\omega_k \sim v|k|$, evaluated using the effective group velocities in Eq. (38). The dotted lines refer to a triangular lattice, whose dispersion curves have been obtained solving

$$\det \left( \hat{\Sigma}_k^{(\text{TL})} - m_{\text{TL}}\omega^2 \hat{I}_2 \right) = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{\Sigma}_k^{(\text{TL})} = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} 2c_\ell (\cos(k \cdot t_i) - 1) \hat{\tau}_i,$$

(40)

and the mass $m_{\text{TL}}$ at the nodal points as in Eq. (39). The matrix $\hat{I}_2$ is the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix and the remaining parameters are listed in Table 1. We observe that Eq. (40) can be obtained from the stiffness matrix (31) in the limit $c_{\ell o} \to 0$, resulting in a uniform triangular lattice without resonators.

Fig. 5(a) shows that the dispersion curves for a triangular lattice containing non-degenerate ($\vartheta_0 = \vartheta_{\text{max}}$) TIRs are linear and equivalent to a monatomic triangular lattice with a renormalised mass of $m_{\text{TL}}$ in the low-frequency regime. Fig. 5(b) shows slowness contours for the same three examples at a fixed frequency
of $\omega = 0.25$. We observe that the slowness contours for the triangular lattice with TIRs (solid lines) are approximately circular, indicating that the lattice with TIRs is isotropic in the low-frequency regime.

In the non-degenerate case, the unit cell in Fig. 2(a) is geometrically chiral, in a similar sense as considered by Spadoni et al. in [6]. However, we note that the geometry and the effective dispersive properties derived here are different from those studied in [6]. The effects of tilting the TIRs emerge at higher frequencies, as is illustrated below.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
c_\ell & c_{\ell_0} & L & \ell & m & m_o & \theta_{\text{max}} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1/4 & 1 & 1 & 1.318
\end{array}
$$

Table 1: Parameters used in Eq. (29) to model the triangular lattice with TIRs.

4.4 The effect of the tilting angle $\theta_0$ on the dispersion properties

In this subsection, we study the role of the rotational parameter $\theta_0$ on the dispersion of elastic Bloch-Floquet waves in a triangular lattice containing TIRs.

4.4.1 Band gaps in the dispersion diagrams for Bloch waves

In Fig. 6 we compare the dispersion surfaces, over the set of wave vectors defined in Eq. (5), for four different configurations. Fig. 6(a) corresponds to a monatomic triangular lattice whose physical parameters coincide with those used in Fig. 5. Fig. 6(b) shows the dispersion surfaces for the degenerate ($\theta_0 = 0$) triangular lattice with resonators. Fig. 6(c) and Fig. 6(d) correspond to the choices of the tilting angles $\theta_0 = \theta_{\text{max}}/5$ and $\theta_0 = \theta_{\text{max}}$, respectively. The remaining parameters for the aforementioned examples are listed in Table 1. The monatomic triangular lattice (panel (a)) exhibits two acoustic branches corresponding to the degrees of freedom for the in-plane displacement of the mass in the unit cell in the ambient lattice. The insertion of a non-tilted resonator, which preserves the symmetry of the elementary cell, results in the two optical branches as shown in panel (b). These additional surfaces are associated with the motion of the centre-of-mass of the resonator and are separated from the acoustic branches by a complete band gap. Tilting the resonator, and thus breaking the symmetry of the elementary cell, induces a new dispersion surface, as shown in panels (c) and (d). This new surface is associated with the rotational motion of the resonator. For a sufficiently small $\theta_0$ (see panel (c)), the novel dispersion surface intersects the acoustic branches of the dispersion diagram. Interestingly, it is shown in Fig. 7 that the novel resonant branch can be completely decoupled from the acoustic branches. Both the position and shape of this surface, associated with the rotational motion of the resonator can be controlled by tuning the tilting angle $\theta_0$ as we illustrate in the next section.

4.4.2 Localisation and standing waves

Fig. 7 shows the dispersion surfaces, diagrams and slowness contours for a range of tilting angles $\theta_0$. The parameters used in this set of computations are listed in Table 1. The pairs of panels (a) and (d), (b) and (e), and (c) and (f) correspond to $\theta_0 \approx 0.51$ rad, $\theta_0 \approx 0.61$ rad and $\theta_0 \approx 1.32$ rad, respectively. This choice illustrates the influence of the angle $\theta_0$ on the dispersive properties of the Bloch resonant mode. In particular, for $\theta_0 \approx 0.51$, the frequency band gap between the acoustic and the rotational mode closes (c.f. panels (a) and (d)). On the other hand, for $\theta_0 = \theta_{\text{max}} \approx 1.32$, the band gap between the rotational mode and the highest acoustic branch is maximal - see panels (c) and (f). Moreover, for $\theta_0 \approx 0.61$, there is a resonant rotational mode, and the corresponding dispersion surface becomes flat.

It is clear that the dispersive properties of the rotational mode depend on the tilting angle $\theta_0$. This is illustrated in Fig. 7(g, h), where the slowness contours for the rotational band in panels (a) and (c) are shown. In panel (g), the group velocities points outwards in the vicinity of $\Gamma$; this can be identified with a positive effective mass in the sense of [23]. Conversely, in panel (h), the group velocity points inwards in the neighbourhood of $\Gamma$, corresponding to a negative group velocity. Finally, we observe that the frequency of the standing wave reported in panel (b) exactly coincides with the single resonator rotational frequency,
Figure 6: Panel (a): The dispersion surfaces for a monatomic triangular lattice whose parameters are the same as for Fig. 5. Panel (b): The dispersion surfaces for the triangular lattice with resonators and $\vartheta_0 = 0$. Panel (c): The dispersion surfaces for the triangular lattice with TIRs at $\vartheta_0 = 0.2 \vartheta_{\text{max}}$, as defined in Eq. (13). Panel (d): The triangular lattice with TIRs at $\vartheta_0 = \vartheta_{\text{max}}$. The remaining parameters are listed in Table 1.
Figure 7: Panels (a), (b) and (c) show dispersion surfaces corresponding to the tilting angles \( \theta_0 = 0.51, 0.61, 1.32 \) rad, respectively. In the same order, figures (d), (e) and (f) show the corresponding dispersion curves plotted over the boundary of the irreducible Brillouin zone. The isofrequency diagrams in Figs (g) and (h) show the slowness contours corresponding to the dispersion surfaces (a) and (c), for the tilting angles \( \theta_0 = 0.51, 1.32 \) rad respectively.
Figure 8: Panel (a): The dispersion diagram in the vicinity of M for different choices of the tilting angle. Panel (b): The optical mode eigenfrequencies evaluated at point M in the first Brillouin zone as functions of $\vartheta_0$.

already introduced in Eq. (22) (see also panel (e)). We also observe that the resonances of the rotational mode at $\Gamma$ coincide with the single resonator frequency; for panel (a), this corresponds to the lower boundary of the rotational band, whilst in (f) it corresponds the the upper boundary of the rotational band.

4.5 Dispersion and eigenmodes in the neighbourhood of a Dirac cone

We now examine the Dirac cones and the opening of a partial band gap at the high-symmetry point M. Figure 8 (a) shows the dispersion curves, in the vicinity of M, for the triangular lattice with TIRs; the solid, dotted and dashed lines correspond to $\vartheta_0 \approx 0.51$ rad, $\vartheta_0 \approx 0.61$ rad and $\vartheta_0 \approx 1.32$ rad, respectively, and the material parameters are detailed in Table 1. The two dispersion surfaces intersect and form a pair of Dirac cones for the value $\vartheta \approx 0.61$ rad, which was shown to give rise to a flat surface in the dispersion diagrams 7(b) and 7(e). These dispersion surfaces separate and form a partial band gap (see also Fig. 7) for values of the tilting angle in the neighbourhood of $\vartheta_0 \approx 0.61$ rad. Fig. 8(b) shows the dependence of the optical frequencies at M as a function of the tilting angle $\vartheta_0$. The figure highlights the fact that one of the two optical frequencies, at M, does not depend on the tilting angle. This observation suggests that, at M, there exists an eigenmode where the resonator does not contribute to the motion of the lattice and waves propagate purely through the ambient triangular lattice. Fig. 9 shows the displacement amplitude fields for the triangular lattice with TIRs for two different tilting angles. We note that the two modes are identical, up to a phase shift, and the displacements of the resonators are small compared with those of the ambient lattice. The red empty circles indicate the equilibrium positions of the masses, whilst the blue solid lines denote their orbit; the black solid lines indicate the trusses. The displacement fields are obtained by solving the eigenvalue problem (29).

5 A non-uniform vortex-type lattice

Thus far we have only considered uniform lattices where the tilting angle of each resonator is identical. In the present section, we examine the effects of allowing the tilting angle to vary from one resonator to the next. In particular, we consider a configuration where adjacent cells in the same row have TIRs rotated by the same angle but in the opposite direction, as shown in Fig. 10. Consequently, the vibrational modes may involve counter-current rotations of resonators in the neighbouring cells of the structure.

The variation in angle can be conveniently accommodated by introducing the macro-cell illustrated in Fig. 10, where the primitive lattice vectors are shown (c.f. Eq. (1)). In this case, the unit cell comprises
Figure 9: Illustrations of the Bloch eigenmodes at M - see corresponding dispersion curves in Fig. 8(a). Red discs represent the equilibrium positions of the masses in the lattice; blue lines represent the orbits of the masses in the lattice and they are obtained by solving the Bloch-Floquet problem. Black solid lines are trusses. Panels (a) and (b) refer to $\vartheta_0 \approx 0.51$ and $\vartheta_0 \approx \vartheta_{\text{max}} \approx 1.32$, respectively.

Figure 10: A schematic representation of a macrocell where a specific variation of the tilting angle is introduced.
Figure 11: Panels (a) and (b) are the dispersion surfaces for two different macro-cells. Panel (a) corresponds to a macro-cell whose resonators are rotated through the same angle $\vartheta_0 = \vartheta_{\text{max}}$. In Panel (b), a resonator is rotated through an angle $\vartheta_0 = \vartheta_{\text{max}}$ and the other one of an angle $\vartheta_0 = -\vartheta_{\text{max}}$, as shown in Fig. 10(a). The remaining parameters are fixed as listed in Table 1. Panels (c) and (d) are illustrations of Bloch eigenmodes at $M'$ which correspond to the macro-cells considered in panels (a) and (b), respectively. Symbols are the same as in Fig. 9. In panels (c) and (d) the Bloch frequencies at $M'$ are $\omega \approx 2.75$ rad/s and $\omega \approx 2.82$ rad/s, respectively.
two masses \( m \) (black solid dots) belonging to the unit cell and two triangular resonators of side \( \ell \). The same assumptions made for the resonator introduced in Sec. 2 are applied here, i.e. that \( c_o/c_{\ell o} \gg 1 \) and \( c_o/c_{\ell} \gg 1 \). The two masses are located at the basis vectors

\[
d_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d_1 = L \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

(41)

respectively. Similarly, the rest positions of the centres of mass of the resonators are

\[
\hat{r}_{cm,i} = \hat{r}_{cm} + d_i,
\]

(42)

where the vector \( \hat{r}_{cm} \) is as introduced in Eq. (6), and the vectors \( d_i \) with \( i = \{0, 1\} \) are given in Eq. (41).

The left resonator in Fig. 10 is tilted through an angle \( \vartheta_0 \) and the right one of an angle \( -\vartheta_0 \), as illustrated.

Since our aim is to compare the dispersive properties associated with the unit cells in Figs 2(a) and 10, it is necessary to choose a common region in which to perform the comparison.

In this section, we present results for the frequency surfaces as functions of the Bloch vector

\[
k = \alpha_1 K_1 + \alpha_2 K_2,
\]

(43)

where \((\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in [-1/2, 1/2]^2\) and

\[
K_1 = \frac{1}{2} G_1 \quad \text{and} \quad K_2 = \frac{1}{2} G_1 + G_2,
\]

(44)

with \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) given by Eq. (3). In particular, Fig. 11 shows the dispersion surfaces over the set of Bloch wave vectors (43) and eigenmodes for two cases; panel (a) for the case when the two resonators are tilted by the same angle \( \vartheta_0 = \vartheta_{\text{max}} \), and panel (b) for the case when the two resonators are rotated through opposite angles. The physical parameters used are listed in Table 1. We note the partial band gap, between the two optical surfaces, which opens when the two resonators are tilted in opposite directions.

In the section 4.5, we highlighted some features of Bloch-Floquet waves at point M. The corresponding point for the macro-cell shown in Fig. 10 is

\[
M' = \frac{\pi}{3L \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)}.
\]

(45)

When the resonators in the macro-cell are rotated in the same direction, it is natural to expect the same Bloch eigenmodes at M’ that we would get at M for the single cell. Fig. 11(c) shows the displacement amplitude field at M’ for the structure whose dispersion surface is given in Fig. 11(a). We observe that the eigenmode shown in Fig. 11(c) is equivalent, up to a phase shift, to that shown in Fig. 9(b) for the single resonator cell corresponding to the point M. Fig. 11(d) shows the Bloch eigenmode at M’ corresponding to a macro-cell with resonators rotated in opposite directions; the corresponding dispersion surfaces are shown in Fig. 11(b). In this case, we observe that the orbits described by the triangular lattice nodes are elliptic. As in the previous case of a single resonator per unit cell, the resonators do not contribute to the propagation of elastic Bloch waves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( c_\ell )</th>
<th>( c_{\ell o} )</th>
<th>( L )</th>
<th>( \ell )</th>
<th>( m )</th>
<th>( m_o )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TLR</td>
<td>9 N/m</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1 m</td>
<td>1/4 L</td>
<td>1 Kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL</td>
<td>9 N/m</td>
<td>1 m</td>
<td>4 Kg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Physical parameters used in COMSOL Multiphysics®.

### 6 Transmission of elastic waves through slabs of TIRs

In this section we focus on the scattering of in-plane elastic waves by a slab of TIRs, embedded in a triangular elastic lattice. Although the scattering problem is formally different from the Bloch-Floquet problem, it has
Figure 12: Panels (a) and (b) are dispersion surfaces for a monatomic triangular lattice (TL) and a triangular lattice with TIRs (TLR), respectively. The slowness contours at $\nu = \pi$ rad/s are also reported as projections onto the plane $\nu = 0$. The parameters used are listed in Table 2. Panels (c) and (d) are elastic responses of the TL and TLR, respectively considered in panels (a) and (b). The source of the excitation has amplitude as in Eq. (??), with $F = 0.1$ N and angular frequency $\nu = \pi$ rad/s.
Figure 13: Panel (a): A comparison of slowness curves presented in Fig. 12; the slowness curves are plotted at the same frequency as the one of the harmonic excitation, that is $\nu = \pi \text{ rad/s}$. The dashed and solid arrows schematically represent the directions of the group velocities in the corresponding lattice. Panels (b), (c) and (d): Numerical simulations of a negative refractive flat lens containing TIRs surrounded by a TL; the physical parameters for the TL and TLR are as in Table 2. The time-harmonic force is horizontal and has amplitude $F = 0.1 \text{ N}$ and angular frequency $\nu = \pi \text{ rad/s}$. In panels (b), (c) and (d), the distance of the point source away from the left interface is 20, 10 and 1 lattice sites. In panel (e) the tilting angle is set to zero, leading to total reflection.
been shown [20, 21] that there exists a connection between the two classes of problems and that the dispersive properties of the infinite lattice can be used to make qualitative predictions regarding scattering problems. In the present section, we are primarily concerned with the range of frequencies corresponding to the novel branch of the dispersion surfaces which is associated with the effects of TIRs.

We start by examining the forced problem for the triangular lattice both with, and without, TIRs. Similar problems have been extensively studied in the literature, with the classical reference text being the book by Maradudin et al. [24]. We also mention the papers by Martin [25] and by Movchan and Slepyan [26], which analyse the properties of the dynamic Green’s functions for a square lattice in the pass and stop band, respectively. The dynamic Green’s functions for square and triangular elastic lattices were examined by Colquitt et al. in [21], with a particular emphasis on the resonances associated with dynamic anisotropy and primitive waveforms. These primitive waveforms have also been examined in the papers by Langley [27], Ruzzene et al. [28], Ayzenberg-Stepanenko and Slepyan [29], and Osharovich et al. [30], among others.

In Fig. 12 we present the results of the computations corresponding to a time harmonic loading by the horizontal unit force applied at the origin. The numerical parameters used for the illustrative computations presented in this section, are listed in Table 2. Figs. 12(a) and 12(b) show the dispersion surfaces for a triangular lattice and a triangular lattice with TIRs, respectively. The slowness contours are also shown as projections onto the $\nu = 0$ plane. Figs. 12(c) and 12(d) show the magnitude of the displacement field generated by a harmonic point load. In particular, panels (c) and (d) show the fields for a triangular lattice and a triangular lattice with TIRs, respectively.

The angular frequency of the excitation is $\nu = \pi$ rad/s. Figs 12(c) and 12(d) show the expected strong dynamic anisotropy. In particular, panel (c) shows a cross-like propagation pattern with the arms of the cross at $\pi/6$ radians with respect to the horizontal direction. This is consistent with the slowness contour in panel (a) with the waves propagating in the directions normal to the hexagon-like slowness contour. No wave propagation is observed in the vertical direction because the source is polarised in the $x$ direction. Panel (d) shows a similar star-like shape for the displacement field. The slowness contour shown in panel (b) arises from the mode associated with the TIRs. Again, we observe that the displacement field shown in panel (d) is consistent with the associated slowness contour and waves propagate in the directions parallel to normals of the slowness contours.

We now proceed to consider the scattering problem associated with a thin strip of triangular lattice with TIRs embedded within an ambient triangular lattice. Fig. 13(a) shows the slowness curves which also appear in Figs 12(a) and 12(b), at $\nu = \pi$ rad/s. We choose this frequency to isolate the effect of the Bloch mode associated with the geometric chirality of the lattice. We observe that the effective mass tensor in the vicinity of $\nu = \pi$ rad/s is negative definite. Hence, the group velocities at $\nu = \pi$ rad/s along the preferential directions of the lattice with TIRs points inwards the first Brillouin zone. On the other hand, the group velocity associated with the triangular ambient lattice points outwards, because the corresponding effective mass tensor is positive definite at $\nu = \pi$ rad/s. The group velocity vectors are qualitatively represented in Fig. 13(a). The two-dimensional hexagonal slowness contours in Fig. 13(a) are rotated, by $\pi/6$, with respect to each other. These two properties - opposite group velocities along the preferential directions and rotated slowness contours - are here used to design a flat lens capable of utilising negative refraction to focus elastic waves in a triangular lattice. This effect is illustrated in Fig. 13(b). The excitation source is the same as the one used to generate Fig. 12(c) and the parameter values are described in Table 2.

At the chosen frequency $\nu = \pi$ rad/s, exciting the triangular lattice with a point source results in a cross-like displacement field, as already discussed for Fig. 12(c); the angle between the beams and the $x$-axis is $\pi/6$. This is consistent with the slowness contours for the ambient lattice shown in Fig. 13(a). The slowness contours for the interface are rotated by $\pi/6$ with respect to those for the ambient lattice, as shown in Fig. 13(a). This explains the negative refraction evident at the interfaces between the triangular lattice and the lattice with TIRs, shown in panel (b). A virtual image of the source can be observed on the right side of the ambient lattice. The formation of the virtual image is illustrated in the inset diagram. Panel (b) is obtained locating the point source twenty lattice spaces away from the left interface of the lens. In panel (c), we move the source closer (ten lattice spaces). We observe that the position of the virtual image moves closer to the right interface of the flat interface (see also inset diagram). In panel (d), we locate the source one lattice site away from the flat lens. This results in the formation of a real image of the source on the right side of the diagram, and demonstrates focussing of elastic waves by means of negative refraction. In Fig. 13(e), the resonators in the structured interface are not tilted. As illustrated in the context of Fig. 6,
at zero tilting angle the Bloch branch associated with the geometrical chirality annihilates. Elastic waves propagating from the ambient lattice experience a frequency stop band and, in turn, total reflection as shown in panel (e). This results in the disappearance of any negative refractive effect.

7 Conclusions

In this paper we have introduced and studied a new class of chiral lattice systems which exhibit a range of interesting dynamic properties, including dynamic anisotropy, negative refraction, filtering and focusing of elastic waves. The chiral lattice is created by the introduction of a periodic array of triangular resonators embedded within an infinite uniform triangular lattice; the chirality arises as a result of tilting the resonator and thus breaking the mirror symmetry of the ambient lattice. The introduction of the resonator results in the appearance of several additional modes that interact with the modes corresponding to the triangular lattice without resonators. In particular, the resonators give rise to a novel rotationally dominant mode, which we refer to as the “chiral branch”. We use this chiral branch to design and implement a flat lens for mechanical waves in a triangular lattice.

The effect of the resonators on the dispersive properties of the infinite chiral lattice system is examined in detail. In particular, the tilting angle of the resonator is found to be a useful tuning parameter and can be used in the control and optimisation of pass and stop bands, as well as the creation of resonances in the band structure of the lattice. The effects of the resonators are most significant in the dynamic regime and give rise to many interesting phenomena, such as dynamic anisotropy, spatial localisation and Dirac cones. We show that the effective material properties of the lattice in the long-wave regime are unchanged by the presence of the resonator. The effect of introducing a non-uniform tilting angle has also been examined and it has been shown that vortex-like modes can be obtained by alternating the tilting angle from one cell to the next.
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Appendices

A Derivation of the stiffness matrices using soft resonators

Here for the Bloch-Floquet problem in Eq. (28) we obtain the stiffness matrix for a single hinged resonator in Eq. (17) as a limiting case. The displacements of the masses which compose the TIR (black solid dots in Fig. 2(a)) obey the following Newton’s equations

\[ m_i \omega^2 \mathbf{u}_1^{(n)}(k) = c_{\ell_0} \hat{\Pi}_1 \left( \mathbf{u}_1^{(n)}(k) - \mathbf{u}_0^{(n+\epsilon_2)}(k) \right) + c_{\rho} \hat{\sigma}_3 \left( \mathbf{u}_1^{(n)}(k) - \mathbf{u}_2^{(n)}(k) \right) + c_{\rho} \hat{\sigma}_2 \left( \mathbf{u}_1^{(n)}(k) - \mathbf{u}_3^{(n)}(k) \right), \]

\[ m_i \omega^2 \mathbf{u}_2^{(n)}(k) = c_{\ell_0} \hat{\Pi}_2 \left( \mathbf{u}_2^{(n)}(k) - \mathbf{u}_0^{(n+\epsilon_1)}(k) \right) + c_{\rho} \hat{\sigma}_3 \left( \mathbf{u}_2^{(n)}(k) - \mathbf{u}_1^{(n)}(k) \right) + c_{\rho} \hat{\sigma}_1 \left( \mathbf{u}_2^{(n)}(k) - \mathbf{u}_3^{(n)}(k) \right), \]

\[ m_i \omega^2 \mathbf{u}_3^{(n)}(k) = c_{\ell_0} \hat{\Pi}_3 \left( \mathbf{u}_3^{(n)}(k) - \mathbf{u}_0^{(n)}(k) \right) + c_{\rho} \hat{\sigma}_2 \left( \mathbf{u}_3^{(n)}(k) - \mathbf{u}_1^{(n)}(k) \right) + c_{\rho} \hat{\sigma}_1 \left( \mathbf{u}_3^{(n)}(k) - \mathbf{u}_2^{(n)}(k) \right). \]

(A.1)
The displacement for the nodal point $n$ of the triangular lattice (see empty circles in Fig. 2(a)) is

$$-m\omega^2 u_0^{(n)}(k) = c_\ell \tilde{\tau}_1 \left( u_0^{(n+e_1)}(k) + u_0^{(n-e_1)}(k) - 2u_0^{(n)}(k) \right) + c_\ell \tilde{\tau}_2 \left( u_0^{(n+e_2)}(k) + u_0^{(n-e_2)}(k) - 2u_0^{(n)}(k) \right) + c_\ell \tilde{\tau}_3 \left( u_0^{(n+e_1-e_2)}(k) + u_0^{(n+e_2-e_1)}(k) - 2u_0^{(n)}(k) \right) + c_{to} \tilde{\Pi}_1 \left( u_1^{(n-e_2)}(k) - u_0^{(n)}(k) \right) + c_{to} \tilde{\Pi}_2 \left( u_2^{(n-e_1)}(k) - u_0^{(n)}(k) \right) + c_{to} \tilde{\Pi}_3 \left( u_3^{(n)}(k) - u_0^{(n)}(k) \right),$$

(A.2)

In Eqs. (A.1) and (A.2) we introduce the notation $e_1 = (1, 0)^T$ and $e_2 = (0, 1)^T$. Bloch-Floquet conditions for the displacements are

$$u_i^{(n+m)}(k) = e^{ik \hat{T} m} u_i^{(n)}(k),$$

(A.3)

where $i = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $\hat{T}$ is the matrix whose columns coincide with the primitive vectors of the triangular lattice. After imposing the conditions (A.3), Eqs. (A.1) and (A.2) become

$$m\omega^2 u_1(k) = c_{to} \tilde{\Pi}_1 \left( u_1(k) - e^{ik t_2} u_0(k) \right) + c_\ell \tilde{\tau}_3 \left( u_1(k) - u_2(k) \right) + c_\ell \tilde{\tau}_2 \left( u_2(k) - u_3(k) \right),$$

$$m\omega^2 u_2(k) = c_{to} \tilde{\Pi}_2 \left( u_2(k) - e^{ik t_1} u_0(k) \right) + c_\ell \tilde{\tau}_3 \left( u_2(k) - u_1^{(n)}(k) \right) + c_\ell \tilde{\tau}_1 \left( u_1(k) - u_3(k) \right),$$

$$m\omega^2 u_3(k) = c_{to} \tilde{\Pi}_3 \left( u_3(k) - u_0(k) \right) + c_\ell \tilde{\tau}_2 \left( u_3(k) - u_1(k) \right) + c_\ell \tilde{\tau}_1 \left( u_2(k) - u_0(k) \right).$$

(A.4)

and

$$-m\omega^2 u_0(k) = 2c_\ell \tilde{\tau}_1 \left( \cos(k \cdot t_1) - 1 \right) u_0(k) + 2c_\ell \tilde{\tau}_2 \left( \cos(k \cdot t_2) - 1 \right) u_0(k) + 2c_\ell \tilde{\tau}_3 \left( \cos(k \cdot (t_1 - t_2)) - 1 \right) u_0(k) + c_{to} \tilde{\Pi}_1 \left( e^{-ik t_2} u_1(k) - u_0(k) \right) + c_{to} \tilde{\Pi}_2 \left( e^{-ik t_1} u_2(k) - u_0(k) \right) + c_{to} \tilde{\Pi}_3 \left( u_3(k) - u_0(k) \right).$$

(A.5)

From Eqs. (A.5) and (A.5) follows the secular equation (14) with the stiffness matrix given in Eq. (28). Moreover the limit $m \to +\infty$ in Eq. (A.5) implies $u_0(k) = 0$, that is hinged conditions, and the secular equation (16) with stiffness matrix (17) is obtained.

**B Calculation of the rotational frequency of a single rigid resonator with flexible links**

We study a model for a single resonator of the type shown in Fig. 3(a). We allow the links between the hinges and the TIR to be flexible beams, with a given bending stiffness $B$. We assume that the longitudinal elongations of the links are negligible. We fix the $y$-axis of a Cartesian coordinate system to be parallel to the top link in Fig. 3(a), pointing downwards. Moreover, we fix the origin to coincide with the top hinge. By symmetry considerations, each link produces the same torque on the rigid resonator. Hence, without loss of generality, it is sufficient to study a single beam, e.g. the one along the $y$-axis. The massless beam assumption implies that implies that the flexural displacement is

$$w(y) = w(y)\hat{x} = (A_0 + A_1 y + A_2 y^2 + A_3 y^3) \hat{x},$$

(B.1)
where \( \hat{x} \) denote the unit vector along the \( x \)-axis and \( A_i \) are real constants to be determined from the conditions at the boundaries of the beam. Hinged junction conditions at \( y = 0 \) read
\[
w(0) = w''(0) = 0 \implies A_0 = A_2 = 0.
\]
We denote by \( \vartheta(t) \) the angular oscillation of the resonator and assume positive angles in the clockwise direction. At the junction between the resonator and the beam, clamped conditions apply. These conditions lead to
\[
w(y)|_{y=(L-\ell)/\sqrt{\pi}} = \vartheta(t) \ell / \sqrt{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad w'(y)|_{y=(L-\ell)/\sqrt{\pi}} = -\vartheta(t),
\]
which imply
\[
A_3 = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{L}{(L-\ell)^3} \vartheta(t) \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 = \frac{L/2 + \ell}{L-\ell} \vartheta(t).
\]
Finally, the Newton equation for the small angular oscillations of the resonator is
\[
I \frac{d^2 \vartheta(t)}{dt^2} = -\frac{9\sqrt{3}Lb}{(L-\ell)^2} \vartheta(t),
\]
which has harmonic solutions of angular frequency squared given in Eq. (26).

C Derivation of the stiffness matrices using rigid resonators

Time-harmonic propagation of in-plane elastic waves around the equilibrium positions given in Eqs. (2) and (9) is considered. The displacements around the equilibrium positions are identified by \( u^{(n)}(i)(k) \), where the index \( i = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \) runs over the masses of a given unit cell \( (n) \). The displacements of the masses which compose the TIR (black solid dots in Fig. 2(a)) obey the following Newton’s equations
\[
-m_0 \omega^2 u_1^{(n)}(k) = c_{\ell 0} \begin{pmatrix} n+e_2 \end{pmatrix} (k) - u_1^{(n)}(k)) - s_{12}(k) + s_{31}(k),
\]
\[
-m_0 \omega^2 u_2^{(n)}(k) = c_{\ell 0} \begin{pmatrix} n+e_1 \end{pmatrix} (k) - u_2^{(n)}(k)) + s_{12}(k) - s_{23}(k),
\]
\[
-m_0 \omega^2 u_3^{(n)}(k) = c_{\ell 0} \begin{pmatrix} n \end{pmatrix} (k) - u_3^{(n)}(k)) + s_{23}(k) - s_{31}(k).
\]
In Eq. (C.1) we introduce the force \( s_{ij}(k) \) on mass \( i \) due to mass \( j \neq i \) with \( i, j = \{1, 2, 3\} \). Since \( s_{ij}(k) = -s_{ji}(k) \), it follows that the tension forces can be rewritten as
\[
s_{12}(k) = \frac{s_1(k)}{\ell} (\hat{b}_2 - \hat{b}_1), \quad s_{23}(k) = \frac{s_2(k)}{\ell} (\hat{b}_3 - \hat{b}_2), \quad s_{31}(k) = \frac{s_3(k)}{\ell} (\hat{b}_1 - \hat{b}_3),
\]
where \( s_i(k) = |s_{ij}(k)| \) are the moduli of the tension forces along the equilibrium position of the trusses.

The displacement for the nodal point \( n \) of the triangular lattice (see empty circles in Fig. 2(a)) is
\[
-m_0 \omega^2 u^{(n)}(k) = c_{\ell} \begin{pmatrix} n+e_1 \end{pmatrix} (k) + u^{(n-e_1)}(k) - 2u^{(n)}(k))
\]
\[+ c_{\ell} \begin{pmatrix} n+e_2 \end{pmatrix} (k) + u^{(n-e_2)}(k) - 2u^{(n)}(k))
\]
\[+ c_{\ell} \begin{pmatrix} n+e_1-e_2 \end{pmatrix} (k) + u^{(n+e_2)-e_1}(k) - 2u^{(n)}(k))
\]
\[+ c_{\ell} \begin{pmatrix} n-e_2 \end{pmatrix} (k) - u^{(n)}(k))
\]
\[+ c_{\ell} \begin{pmatrix} n-e_1 \end{pmatrix} (k) - u^{(n)}(k))
\]
\[+ c_{\ell} \begin{pmatrix} n \end{pmatrix} (k) - u^{(n)}(k)).
\]
We now eliminate the tensile forces from Eqs. (C.1), by summing term-by-term Eqs. (C.1) and obtain

\[
-\omega^2 M u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) = c_{tlo} \hat{\Pi}_1 \left( u^{(n+e_2)}_{0}(k) - u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) - \hat{R}_1' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta_n(k) \right) \\
+ c_{tlo} \hat{\Pi}_2 \left( u^{(n+e_1)}_{0}(k) - u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) - \hat{R}_2' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta_n(k) \right) \\
+ c_{tlo} \hat{\Pi}_3 \left( u^{(n)}_{0}(k) - u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) - \hat{R}_3' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta_n(k) \right),
\]

where \( M = 3 m_{lo} \) is the total mass of the TIR.

We now project each of the equations in (C.1) on the vectors \( \tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2, \tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_3 \) and \( \tilde{b}_2 - \tilde{b}_1 \), respectively. By summing the resulting equations, we obtain

\[
-\omega^2 I \vartheta_n(k) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} c_{tlo} \left( \tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2 \right)^T \hat{\Pi}_1 \left( u^{(n+e_2)}_{0}(k) - u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) - \hat{R}_1' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta_n(k) \right) \\
- \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} c_{tlo} \left( \tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_3 \right)^T \hat{\Pi}_2 \left( u^{(n+e_1)}_{0}(k) - u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) - \hat{R}_2' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta_n(k) \right) \\
- \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} c_{tlo} \left( \tilde{b}_2 - \tilde{b}_1 \right)^T \hat{\Pi}_3 \left( u^{(n)}_{0}(k) - \hat{R}_3' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta_n(k) \right),
\]

where \( I \) is the moment of inertia of the TIR.

Bloch-Floquet conditions on the displacement fields are

\[
u^{(n+m)}_{cm}(k) = e^{ik \cdot \tau_m} u^{(n)}_{cm}(k), \quad u^{(n+m)}_{0}(k) = e^{ik \cdot \tau_m} u^{(n)}_{0}(k), \quad \vartheta_{n+m}(k) = e^{ik \cdot \tau_m} \vartheta_n(k),
\]

where \( \mathbf{m} \) and \( \mathbf{n} \) are vectors with integer components.

Using Eq. (C.6), we write Eqs. (C.3–C.5) in the form

\[
-m \omega^2 u^{(n)}_{0}(k) = 2 c_t \hat{\tau}_1 (\cos(k \cdot t_1) - 1) u^{(n)}_{0}(k) \\
+ 2 c_t \hat{\tau}_2 (\cos(k \cdot t_2) - 1) u^{(n)}_{0}(k) \\
+ 2 c_t \hat{\tau}_3 (\cos(k \cdot (t_1 - t_2)) - 1) u^{(n)}_{0}(k) \\
+ c_{tlo} \hat{\Pi}_1 \left( e^{-ik \cdot t_2} u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) + e^{-ik \cdot t_2} \hat{R}_1' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta(k) - u^{(n)}_{0}(k) \right) \\
+ c_{tlo} \hat{\Pi}_2 \left( e^{-ik \cdot t_1} u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) + e^{-ik \cdot t_1} \hat{R}_2' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta(k) - u^{(n)}_{0}(k) \right) \\
+ c_{tlo} \hat{\Pi}_3 \left( u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) + \hat{R}_3' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta(k) - u^{(n)}_{0}(k) \right),
\]

\[
-M \omega^2 u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) = c_{tlo} \hat{\Pi}_1 \left( e^{ik \cdot t_2} u^{(n)}_{0}(k) - u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) - \hat{R}_1' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta(k) \right) \\
+ c_{tlo} \hat{\Pi}_2 \left( e^{ik \cdot t_1} u^{(n)}_{0}(k) - u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) - \hat{R}_2' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta(k) \right) \\
+ c_{tlo} \hat{\Pi}_3 \left( u^{(n)}_{0}(k) - u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) - \hat{R}_3' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta(k) \right),
\]

and

\[
\omega^2 I \vartheta(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} c_{tlo} \left( \tilde{b}_3 - \tilde{b}_2 \right)^T \hat{\Pi}_1 \left( e^{ik \cdot t_2} u^{(n)}_{0}(k) - u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) - \hat{R}_1' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta(k) \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} c_{tlo} \left( \tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_3 \right)^T \hat{\Pi}_2 \left( e^{ik \cdot t_1} u^{(n)}_{0}(k) - u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) - \hat{R}_2' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta(k) \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} c_{tlo} \left( \tilde{b}_2 - \tilde{b}_1 \right)^T \hat{\Pi}_3 \left( u^{(n)}_{0}(k) - u^{(n)}_{cm}(k) - \hat{R}_3' \tilde{b}_1 \vartheta(k) \right),
\]

where we suppress the cell index \( (n) \) after using the Bloch-Floquet conditions (C.6). Eq. (C.7), (C.8) and (C.9) imply the matrix equation (29). In particular, by using

\[
\hat{R}_3 - \hat{R}_2 = -\sqrt{3} \hat{R}_1', \quad \hat{R}_2 - \hat{R}_1 = -\sqrt{3} \hat{R}_3' \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{R}_1 - \hat{R}_3 = -\sqrt{3} \hat{R}_2',
\]

in Eq. (C.9), it follows the expression for the stiffness matrix (31). In the limit \( m \to +\infty \) for Eqs. (29) and (C.7–C.9) we obtain the eigenvalue problem for a single TIR (see Fig. 3(a)), whose natural frequencies are given in Eq. (22).
Derivation of the effective group velocities

The determinant of the matrix equation (29) is a polynomial function of fifth degree in the variable $\omega^2$, i.e.

$$D(k,\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{5} D^{(2j)}(k) \cdot (\omega)^{2j}, \quad \text{(D.1)}$$

where the coefficients $D^{(2j)}(k)$ are analytic functions of $k$ and, hence, it follows that the limits $k \to 0$ and $\omega \to 0$ commute. We also note that the assumption $\vartheta_0 \neq 0$ implies a statically determined structure. In Eq. (D.1) we retain only the terms up to fourth order in $\omega$ and expand $D^{(2j)}(k)$ in Maclaurin series for small $k$ around $\Gamma$. To leading order, the coefficients of Eq. (37) are

$$D^{(0)}_\Gamma(k) = -\frac{3}{28} \frac{L^6 \ell^2}{\ell_c^2} c_1^2 c_{h_0}^2 \sin^2(\vartheta_0) |k|^2,$$

$$D^{(2)}_\Gamma(k) = \frac{3}{23} \frac{L^4 \ell^2}{\ell_c^2} c_1 c_{h_0}^2 \sin^2(\vartheta_0)(m + 3m_o) |k|^2,$$

and

$$D^{(4)}_\Gamma(k) = -\frac{32}{22} \frac{L^2 \ell^2}{\ell_c^2} c_1^3 \sin^2 \vartheta_0 (m + 3m_o)^2. \quad \text{(D.2)}$$

In Eqs. (D.2), the index “$\Gamma$” indicates Taylor expansion in $k$ around $\Gamma$. The effective long-waves group velocities in Eq. (38) follow from

$$v^2 = \frac{1}{2|k|^2 D^{(4)}_\Gamma(k)} \left( -D^{(2)}_\Gamma(k) \pm \sqrt{(D^{(2)}_\Gamma(k))^2 - 4D^{(4)}_\Gamma(k) D^{(0)}_\Gamma(k)} \right). \quad \text{(D.3)}$$
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