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Short Summary:
The thylakoid membrane is the site for photosynthetic reactions and responses in cyanobacteria, algal and higher plants. Here, we performed the direct visualization of the organization and mobility of photosynthetic complexes in cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes, using atomic force, confocal and total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy. Knowledge of the cyanobacterial thylakoid membrane could be extended to chloroplast and mitochondrial membranes.
ABSTRACT

The structural dynamics and flexibility of cell membranes play fundamental roles in the functions of the cell, i.e. signaling, electron transduction, and physiological adaptation. The cyanobacterial thylakoid membrane represents a model membrane that can conduct both oxygenic photosynthesis and respiration simultaneously. Here, we conducted direct visualization of the global organization and mobility of photosynthetic complexes in thylakoid membranes from a model cyanobacterium *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC 7942, using high-resolution atomic force, confocal and total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy. We visualized the native arrangement and dense packing of photosystem I (PSI), photosystem II (PSII) and cytochrome (Cyt) $b_6f$ within thylakoid membranes at the molecular level. Furthermore, we functionally tagged PSI, PSII, Cyt $b_6f$ and ATP synthase individually with fluorescent proteins. Using live-cell fluorescence imaging, we revealed the heterogeneous distribution of the four photosynthetic complexes and determined their dynamic features within the crowding membrane environment. We characterized red-light-induced clustering localization and adjustable diffusion of photosynthetic complexes in thylakoid membranes, representative of the reorganization of photosynthetic apparatus in response to environmental changes. Understanding the organization and dynamics of photosynthetic membranes is essential for rational design and construction of artificial photosynthetic systems to underpin bioenergy development. Knowledge of cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes could be extended to other cell membranes, such as chloroplast and mitochondrial membranes.
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INTRODUCTION

Oxygenic photosynthesis, the conversion of sunlight into chemical energy by higher plants, green algae and cyanobacteria, underpins the survival of virtually all higher life forms. Cyanobacteria are the oldest oxygenic phototrophs on Earth. In the cyanobacterial cell, oxygenic photosynthesis typically takes place in the specialized intracellular membranes, namely thylakoid membranes, analogous to higher plants. The cyanobacterial photosynthetic machinery embedded in thylakoid lipid bilayers typically consists of a series of membrane integral multi-subunit complexes, including photosystem I (PSI), photosystem II (PSII), cytochrome (Cyt) $b_{6}f$ and ATP synthase (ATPase) complexes. Several small electron transport molecules, such as plastoquinones, plastocyanins and Cyt $c_{6}$, serve as electron carriers to shuttle electrons between individual photosynthetic complexes and promote physiological coordination (DeRuyter and Fromme, 2008). Cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes also act as the site that harbors the components of respiratory electron transport chains, comprising type-I NAD(P)H dehydrogenase-like complex (NDH-1), succinate dehydrogenase (SDH), Cyt oxidase and alternative oxidase (Lea-Smith et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2012; Mullineaux, 2014; Vermaas, 2001). Remarkable macromolecular crowding and close protein-protein contacts within the cyanobacterial thylakoid membrane result in the dense packing of photosynthetic components (Kirchhoff, 2008; Liu, 2016). Whilst substantial information about the structures and functions of individual photosynthetic components has been available, the spatial organization and dynamics of photosynthetic complexes in the cyanobacterial thylakoid membrane have yet been well understood experimentally.

The heterogeneity in the composition and localization of photosynthetic proteins in cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes has been reported in previous studies using proteomics, immuno-electron microscopy and fluorescence microscopy based on their native fluorescent properties (Agarwal et al., 2010; Collins et al., 2012; Sherman et al., 1994; Vermaas et al., 2008). Using hyperspectral confocal fluorescence microscopy, previous studies showed the physical segregation of photosynthetic complexes in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 (*Synechocystis* 6803): the enrichment of PSI in the inner thylakoid regions and the preferential localization of phycobilisomes and PSII in the peripheral thylakoid layers (Collins et al., 2012; Vermaas et al., 2008). By contrast, results from immuno-electron microscopy indicated that the outer thylakoid layer of the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. PCC 7942 (Syn7942) contains mainly ATPase and PSI, whereas PSII and Cyt $b_{6}f$ are located in both the outer and inner thylakoid layers (Sherman et al., 1994). Nevertheless, it appears that the cyanobacterial thylakoid membrane possesses confined regions that allow for the
accommodation and coordination of different photosynthetic components. The lateral segregation of thylakoid membranes could be functionally imperative to the enhancement of photosynthetic performance.

The architecture of cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes is highly dynamic (Mullineaux, 2004; Stingaciu et al., 2016), which is of paramount importance for the formation and maintenance of functional photosynthetic machinery involving de novo synthesis, turnover and repair of photosynthetic complexes, as well as crosstalk between components. Confocal fluorescence microscopy and fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) have been performed to visualize the mobility of photosynthetic complexes in cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes (Mullineaux, 2004). The major supramolecular light-harvesting antenna, phycobilisomes, were shown to be mobile on the stromal surface of the thylakoid membrane (Mullineaux et al., 1997). It was further demonstrated that the phycobilisome mobility is required for state transitions (Joshua and Mullineaux, 2004) and non-photochemical quenching (Joshua et al., 2005). In contrast, the membrane-integral PSII complexes exhibit much restricted lateral mobility within the thylakoid membrane, as illustrated by tracking chlorophyll fluorescence (Sarcina et al., 2006); whereas lipid molecules and the IsiA, another chlorophyll-binding membrane protein that is postulated to bind with photosystems and respond to iron deficiency, were determined to be mobile in the thylakoid membrane (Sarcina and Mullineaux, 2004; Sarcina et al., 2003). It is conceivable that the protein organization and specified membrane environment play important roles in determining the diffusion dynamics of photosynthetic complexes in the thylakoid membrane.

Here, we present a direct observation of the native arrangement of photosynthetic complexes in isolated thylakoid membranes from the model cyanobacterium Syn7942, used high-resolution atomic force microscopy. We also functionally tagged PSI, PSII, Cyt $b_{6}f$ and ATPase complexes, respectively, with fluorescent proteins and performed live-cell total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy imaging, confocal microscopy imaging and FRAP analysis, to characterize the in vivo distribution and mobility fingerprints of these photosynthetic complexes in Syn7942. Our results provide new insights into the compartmentalization and organizational dynamics of the cyanobacterial photosynthetic membrane. Advanced understanding of the architecture and regulation of the photosynthetic machinery exploited in nature is indispensable to the design of artificial photosynthetic systems for improving bioenergy production and manipulation of plant photosynthesis for enhanced agricultural productivity.
RESULTS
AFM topography of native thylakoid membranes from Syn7942
To study the native organization of cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes, we isolated thylakoid membranes from wild-type (WT) Syn7942 cells grown in liquid cultures through cell breakage by glass beads and step sucrose gradient centrifugation in the absence of detergents. Thylakoid membranes were collected from the 1.0−1.5M fraction (Figure 1A) and were subjected to blue-native gel electrophoresis (BN-PAGE) characterization of intrinsic photosynthetic complexes (Figure 1B). BN-PAGE reveals the structural integrity of isolated thylakoid membranes, which comprises PSI monomers and trimers (El-Mohsnawy et al., 2010; Kruip et al., 1994), PSII monomers and dimers, Cyt b6f and ATPase, consistent with the previous result (Zhang et al., 2004). Then we conducted AFM imaging in solution to describe the large-scale organization of photosynthetic complexes in the isolated thylakoid membranes. AFM imaging in buffer provides a powerful mean of studying biological samples under near physiological conditions (Liu and Scheuring, 2013). Figure 1C shows an AFM topograph of isolated thylakoid fragments with two membrane bilayers. It is manifest that the thylakoid membrane contains a high content of membrane proteins. Cross section analysis illustrates that the heights of the single and double thylakoid membranes are 9.49 ± 0.40 (n = 5) and 18.01 ± 1.10 nm (n = 5), respectively. The thickness of a single thylakoid membrane spans the height of the lipid bilayer (4.5 nm), the stromal protrusion of PSI (2.6 nm), and the lumenal protrusion of PSII (4.0 nm)(Engel et al., 2015; Jordan et al., 2001; Umena et al., 2011). High-resolution AFM imaging on the large stacking thylakoid membranes enables us to determine the orientation and long-range distribution of photosynthetic complexes in thylakoid membranes from both stromal and lumenal surfaces. On the stromal surface, trimeric structures (white triangles) were predominately observed, together with some dimeric features (light blue circles)(Figure 1D). The distribution of these complexes appears relatively random, rather than in regular patterns. AFM can acquire data with a lateral resolution of approximately 1 nm and a vertical resolution of 0.1 nm on membrane proteins (Liu and Scheuring, 2013). Three-fold symmetrized correlation average AFM topograph of the trimeric structure match well the stromal side structure of PSI complexes (Figure 1E, PDB: 1JB0). The three protrusions of PSI trimers are separated by 10.65 ± 0.46 nm (n = 60), consistent with previous results (Jordan et al., 2001; Kruip et al., 1997)(Supplemental Figure 1). The surface protrusion of trimeric structures from the membrane bilayer on the stromal side is 2.66 ± 0.25 nm (n = 30, Supplemental Figure 1), in close agreement with the vertical dimension of PSI complexes (Figure 1C)(Kruip et al., 1993). Thus, these trimeric structures observed on the stromal surface...
are assigned to be PSI trimeric complexes. The dimeric objects, often forming arrays, are likely PSII dimers.

By contrast, dimeric structures were mostly seen on the lumenal surface of cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes (Figure 1F). Surface protrusion analysis further revealed that these dimers can be divided into two groups, one has a higher vertical protrusion (3.82 ± 0.30 nm, n = 60, light blue) from the surface than the other (3.07 ± 0.27 nm, n = 20, purple) (Supplemental Figure 1), consistent with the vertical dimensions of PSII and Cyt b₆f, respectively (Figure 1C). Two-fold symmetrized correlation average AFM topographs were overlaid with the top-view structures of PSII (Figure 1G, PDB: 3WU2) and Cyt b₆f complexes (Figure 1H, PDB: 4H13). The analysis suggests that the dimers with a higher vertical protrusion are PSII dimers, whereas the less-protruded dimeric features are tentatively identified as Cyt b₆f proteins, reminiscent of the PSII and Cyt b₆f structures observed in spinach grana thylakoids using AFM (Johnson et al., 2014; Phuthong et al., 2015). It is worthy to note that, given the less than 1.0 nm difference in the vertical protrusions of PSII and Cyt b₆f particles and the architectural complexity on the lumenal surface, we could not accurately identify Cyt b₆f complexes in Figure 1F. There are also other particles that we could not easily determine due to the lack of unique topographic structures, i.e., monomers of PSI, PSII and Cyt b₆f complexes, ATPases and respiratory complexes. Nonetheless, AFM images of both surfaces of cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes illustrate explicitly a crowding membrane environment, with proteins occupying about 75% of the thylakoid membrane area, comparable to the protein-occupied grana thylakoid area in higher plants (Tremmel et al., 2003).

Distribution of photosynthetic complexes in cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes
To study the spatial organization of photosynthetic complexes in cyanobacteria, we tagged PSI, PSII, Cyt b₆f and ATPase individually, by fusing the gene for enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) to the 3’ end of genes encoding photosynthetic protein subunits, at the native chromosomal loci of genes and under the control of their endogenous promoters. It ensures that the proteins are expressed in context and at physiological levels. The tagged subunits are PsaE of PSI, CP47 (PsbB) of PSII, Cyt f (PetA) of Cyt b₆f, and the subunit β (AtpB) of ATPase (Supplemental Figure 2, Supplemental Table 1). In all cases, the transformants were fully segregated in all copies of the Syn7942 chromosome, confirmed by PCR (Supplemental Figure 2). Immunoblotting analysis using anti-GFP antibody demonstrated that eGFP was fused to the proteins of the expected size (Supplemental Figure 2). BN-PAGE and in-gel fluorescence detection further showed that the eGFP-fused proteins were structurally
incorporated into fully assembled photosynthetic multi-subunit complexes (Supplemental Figure 3). Cell growth was not significantly affected by eGFP fusion (Supplemental Figure 4). Moreover, no significant changes in the contents of photosynthetic proteins (absorption and 77K fluorescence spectra, Supplemental Figure 4) and photosynthetic performance ($P_{700}^+$ re-reduction, apparent Fv/Fm and oxygen evolution, Supplemental Figure 5) were detected, indicating little perturbation of function by eGFP tagging.

We visualized eGFP distribution in live Syn7942 cells from exponentially growing cultures using total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRF) microscopy (Figure 2). TIRF provides a means to restrict the excitation and detection of fluorophores only near the thin region of cell membranes adherent to the glass surface (about 100 nm thick) while minimizing fluorescence from intracellular regions. It does not only offer a higher signal-to-noise ratio and spatial resolution compared to conventional confocal microscopy, but also allow for the visualization of thylakoid membranes from only one side of the cell (with a bias to the outer membrane layers), which potentially facilitates the exploration of heterogeneous protein distribution in the membrane. While eGFP fluorescence was seen throughout the cell membranes, all four eGFP-fused cells present heterogeneous distribution of photosynthetic complexes (Figure 2). For example, the PSI-eGFP cell shown in Figure 2A has two large membrane patches and the PSII-eGFP cell in Figure 2B possesses one observable membrane patch in the middle of the cell. More distinct spots of fluorescence were observed in the ATPase-eGFP (Figure 2C) and Cyt $b_6$f-eGFP (Figure 2D) cells, indicating the uneven distribution of ATPase and Cyt $b_6$f complexes. Fluorescence profile analysis along the longitudinal axis of the cell verifies the lateral segregation of photosynthetic complexes, representing the specific compartmentalization of cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes (Figures 2E-2H).

Using confocal fluorescence microscopy, we further characterized the global distribution of photosynthetic complexes in Syn7942 (Figure 3). The pinhole was setup to obtain a roughly 2.0 µm optical section in the Z-direction. The four photosynthetic complexes (GFP fluorescence) were located in thylakoid membranes (Chl fluorescence), confirming the functional tagging. The distribution inhomogeneity of the four photosynthetic complexes in whole cells was also observed (Figure 3A, arrows). PSI and PSII complexes tend to aggregate into large membrane regions. By contrast, the ATPase and Cyt $b_6$f complexes exhibit more spotty distribution, in line with TIRF results (Figure 2). The inhomogeneity of GFP fluorescence was further quantified using line profile analysis along the thylakoid membrane and standard
deviation (SD) calculation, as previously described (Liu et al., 2012). The PSI, ATPase and Cyt b$_6$f complexes exhibit relatively higher inhomogeneity of the lateral distribution in thylakoid membranes in contrast to PSII (Supplemental Figure 6).

Moreover, the physiological tagging of eGFP allows us to assess the stoichiometry of photosynthetic complexes at the single-cell level. The relative abundance of GFP molecules per cell was estimated by measuring the brightness of GFP fluorescence in different eGFP-fused cells imaged with the same microscope settings after background subtraction (Figure 3B, Supplemental Figure 7). The PSI:PSII ratio is calculated to be 4.47 (Table 1), slightly higher than the previous data based on the spectroscopic analysis (Joshua and Mullineaux, 2005). It could be ascribed to the variable PSI content under different growth conditions. The PSII:Cyt b$_6$f ratio is 1.16, in good agreement with the previous estimation of 1.0 to 1.38 (Fraser et al., 2013; Fujita and Murakami, 1987). The PSII:ATPase ratio is 2.25, similar to the ratio examined from immunoblotting results of Synechocystis 6803 (Fraser et al., 2013).

 Mobility of photosynthetic complexes in cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes

We further investigated the diffusion dynamics of photosynthetic complexes in the eGFP-fused cells using confocal FRAP measurement with 488 nm excitation. Syn7942 cells have regular and elongated thylakoid membranes that form a set of concentric cylinders aligned along the long axis of the cell, representing an ideal system for FRAP analysis (Mullineaux and Sarcina, 2002). During FRAP measurements, cells were immobilized on the surface of BG11 agar plates at 30°C. The confocal laser spot was used to bleach a line across the center of the cell, using 100% laser power (Figure 4A). For pre- and post-scanning, 7% of the laser power was applied and eGFP fluorescence was detected between 500–520 nm. Figures 4A-4D show typical FRAP image sequences of eGFP fluorescence in the four eGFP-labelled strains. Diffusion of photosynthetic components, monitored by repeatedly imaging the same cell and recording the spread and recovery of the bleached line, leads to partial fluorescence recovery in the bleached zone (Mullineaux, 2004; Mullineaux and Sarcina, 2002). The fluorescence recovery curves in the bleached cellular region are depicted in Figures 4E-4H (open circles) and adequately fitted to a single exponential function (red lines).

By tracking the evolution of fluorescence intensity in the bleached regions, we investigated in-depth the in vivo mobility features of photosynthetic complexes, including the mobile/immobile proportions within 90 seconds and mean diffusion coefficients of mobile complexes (Figures 4 and 5, Table 1). A common character of the photosynthetic membrane is the exceptional
macromolecular crowding (Kirchhoff, 2008; Liu, 2016; Liu and Scheuring, 2013). The high density of photosynthetic membrane complexes in cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes was confirmed by our AFM analysis (Figure 1). Interestingly, our FRAP data reveals that large fractions of photosynthetic complexes are mobile within the crowding membrane environment in 90 seconds (Figure 5A). PSII (75 ± 12%, n = 45), ATPase (76 ± 18%, n = 35) and Cyt b6f (78 ± 15%, n = 30) complexes exhibit relatively higher mobile fractions than PSI (60 ± 17%, n = 30), probably ascribed to the larger dimension of trimeric PSI particles (Table 1).

The diffusion coefficients \( D \), derived from one-dimensional diffusion measurements, represent the average diffusion rates of photosynthetic membrane components over micron-scale distances (Mullineaux, 2004). We found that the PSI, PSII and ATPase particles have similar \( D \) (Figure 5B), which are roughly consistent with LHCII antenna’s \( D \) in grana membranes (Kirchhoff et al., 2008). By contrast, the Cyt b6f’s \( D \) presents a one-fold increase compared with those of the other three photosynthetic components (Figure 5B) and is comparable to that of the Cyt c in mitochondrial membranes (Hochman et al., 1982). All four photosynthetic membrane-integrated complexes exhibit significantly reduced diffusion rates than lipids in the thylakoid membranes of Syn7942 (Sarcina et al., 2003).

**Red light triggers the reorganization of cyanobacterial photosynthetic apparatus**

How the thylakoid membrane organization is modulated in response to environmental stress is still enigmatic. It has been shown that intense red light can trigger the mobility and redistribution of Chl fluorescence to specific zones within the thylakoid membranes, referring to the reorganization and mobility of PSII complexes in Syn7942 (Sarcina et al., 2006). Here, we explored precisely the effects of red light on the distribution and diffusion of four photosynthetic components using the eGFP-tagged strains. Cells were exposed to red light by illuminating a field of 22 × 22 µm using a 633-nm laser \((4.76 \times 10^7 \text{µE} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1})\) for 1.5 min. eGFP and Chl fluorescence of the four eGFP-fused Syn7942 cells were detected in the regions of 500–520 nm and 670–720 nm, respectively. After red-light treatment, Chl fluorescence was enriched in localized zones within thylakoid membranes (Figure 6, Chl channels, arrows), consistent with the previous observation (Sarcina et al., 2006). eGFP channels show specifically the redistribution of individual photosynthetic components triggered by red light. PSI complexes concentrate into one large membrane patch on one end of the cell (Figure 6A). PSII spots were often observed at the center of the cell, reminiscent of the uneven distribution of PSII complexes seen by TIRF (Figure 2B and 2F). Significant spotty zones of ATPases in thylakoid membranes were visualized (Figure 6C). The ATPase membrane “domains” and Chl
fluorescence intersperse (Figure 6C, merged channel), suggesting to some extent the independent localization patterns of ATPase and PSII complexes. Similar segregation was also observed for Cyt \( b_6f \) (Figure 6D).

Our results reveal the presence of specific membrane domains for distinct photosynthetic complexes within the thylakoid membrane. Red light can trigger the redistribution of these photosynthetic components and further segregation into the specific membrane “zones”. This notion is supported by our fluorescence profile analysis (Figure 7A, Supplemental Figure 8). To unravel the diffusion dynamics of photosynthetic complexes after redistribution triggered by red light, we performed FRAP measurement on the cells directly following red-light treatment. As shown in Figure 7B, the proportions of mobile PSI and PSII particles appear to be comparable before and after red light treatment, whereas the mobile fractions of ATPase and Cyt \( b_6f \) complexes are reduced by \( \sim 50\% \) and \( 36\% \), respectively. In addition, red light led to the decrease of the diffusion coefficients of PSI, PSII and Cyt \( b_6f \) complexes by \( 65\% \), \( 34\% \) and \( 49\% \), respectively (Figure 7C). It is plausible that after red light treatment a large proportion of these photosynthetic complexes are concentrated and immobilized into specific membrane regions. In contrast, the diffusion coefficient of ATPase complexes is not significantly affected by red light treatment.

We further recorded the diffusion dynamics of photosynthetic complexes \textit{in vivo} after red light treatment using time-lapse fluorescence microscopy (Figure 8). Within 12 minutes after red-light illumination, the PSI-eGFP fluorescence moved toward the cytosol of the cell in contrast to Chl fluorescence (Figure 8A, Supplemental Video 1). It is confirmed by the kymograph of eGFP and Chl fluorescence (Figure 8B). By contrast, no significant “shrinking” of PSII-eGFP fluorescence profile was observable. Instead, the PSII spots are laterally mobile along the thylakoid membrane. It was seen that two distinct PSII spots can merge into one spot within the same membrane patch (Figures 8C and 8D, Supplemental Video 2). The different redistribution features of PSI and PSII triggered by red light likely indicate the distinct subcellular locations of the two photosynthetic complexes. PSI complexes seem to have a preferential location at the inner layers of cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes which might be able to bend into the central cytoplasm after red-light treatment. Indeed, it has been demonstrated from neutron scattering experiments that thylakoid membranes in \textit{Synechocystis} 6803 can be quite flexible (Stingaciu et al., 2016). By contrast, PSII complexes are located at the peripheral layers of thylakoid membranes which have more restricted space for conformational changes. Our results are in line with the previous data acquired from
hyperspectral fluorescence microscopy imaging of *Synechocystis* 6803 (Collins et al., 2012; Vermaas et al., 2008). Moreover, ATPase complexes were enriched into specific membrane regions after red-light treatment (Figure 8E, Figure 6C). Time-lapse confocal imaging revealed explicitly that these ATPase patches intersperse between Chl fluorescence spots and appear immobile within 12 minutes (Figure 8F, Supplemental Video 3). Similarly, no visible mobility of Cyt *b*6f patches was discerned (Figures 8G and 8H, Supplemental Video 4). In contrast to the mobile photosynthetic complex patches after red light, the lateral diffusion of photosynthetic complex domains before red-light treatment is restricted (Supplemental Figure 9, Supplemental Videos 5-8).

**DISCUSSION**

A fundamental question about the cyanobacterial photosynthetic membrane is how their photosynthetic complexes are organized and structurally coordinated in the thylakoid membrane to construct the functional machinery for efficient photosynthetic energy transduction. In this work, we characterized the spatial distribution and mobility of photosynthetic complexes within the cyanobacterial thylakoid membrane, using a combination of AFM, TIRF, live-cell confocal microscopy and FRAP.

The cyanobacterial thylakoid membrane represents an interesting paradigm with highly dense protein arrangement in the membrane lipid bilayer. The components of both photosynthetic and respiratory electron transport chains are accommodated in cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes (Mullineaux, 2014; Vermaas, 2001). Likewise, there are also ion channel proteins within cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes, which are postulated to be key in the balance of trans-thylakoid proton gradient for ATP synthesis (Checchetto et al., 2012; Kuo et al., 2005; Zanetti et al., 2010). Our AFM data reveal that over 75% of the thylakoid membrane surface area is occupied by membrane proteins. It is comparable to the protein densities estimated in grana thylakoids (~80%) and the whole thylakoid membranes (~70%) of higher plants and inner mitochondrial membranes (lipid:protein ratio = 0.34)(Ardail et al., 1990; Kirchhoff et al., 2002; Kirchhoff et al., 2004; Tremmel et al., 2003). It is notably higher than those of stroma lamellae membranes (~50%) in higher plants and the plasma membrane (~23%)(Dupuy and Engelman, 2008; Murphy, 1986). Macromolecular crowding in thylakoid membranes could be essential to retaining strong protein-protein interactions between complexes and a high concentration of chlorophylls to ensure high quantum yields of photosynthetic energy transduction.
Given that many thylakoid complexes possess large dimensions within the lipid bilayer, it is plausible that the lipid space of the cyanobacterial thylakoid membrane will be further restricted. It remains unclear how electron carriers diffuse rapidly in the thylakoid lipids and mediate large-scale electron transport between thylakoid membrane complexes. The pathway of electron flux could be determined by the specific local protein environment and long-range protein organization in membranes, as deduced in purple photosynthetic bacteria (Liu et al., 2009b) and higher plants (Kirchhoff et al., 2002). Our AFM images (Figure 1F) and previous EM results (Folea et al., 2008; Mörschel and Schatz, 1987) indicate that PSII particles tend to form regular arrays in cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes, which could potentially facilitate the long-range diffusion of small electron carrier molecules. Despite the PSI-enriched domains seen by confocal/TIRF microscopy in the present work and by structured illumination microscopy in a previous study on Synechocystis 6803 (MacGregor-Chatwin et al., 2017), we did not observe PSI-only membrane patches as reported recently (MacGregor-Chatwin et al., 2017). This is probably due to the absence of detergent treatment during our membrane preparation, different growth conditions or the species-dependent variation. By contrast, AFM images show that PSI complexes are intermixed with PSII (Figure 1D). The PSI–PSII membrane regions have also been observed in other cyanobacteria such as Synechocystis 6803, Thermosynechococcus and Synechococcus sp. PCC 7002 (MacGregor-Chatwin et al., 2017). The integration of PSI and PSII within the same thylakoid membrane regions in cyanobacteria could be structurally fundamental to state transitions, a mechanism for modulating the energy transfer from phycobilisomes to PSI and PSII balancing the energy captured by phycobilisomes between PSI and PSII in response to changing conditions (Mullineaux and Emlyn-Jones, 2005). It provides the architectural basis for the movement of phycobilisomes between PSI and PSII (Joshua and Mullineaux, 2004) or local conformational changes of phycobilisome-PSI-PSII domains (McConnell et al., 2002).

Previous studies characterizing the large-scale distribution and dynamics of phycobilisomes, PSI and PSII in cyanobacterial cells were based on the fluorescence of phycobilins and chlorophylls (Mullineaux et al., 1997; Vermaas et al., 2008). However, the extremely high concentration and fluctuation of pigments could largely restrict the sensitivity of fluorescence detection. Intense laser on phycobilins and chlorophylls which closely interact with each other may result in intrinsic photoprocesses of these pigments (Liu et al., 2009a). Moreover, accurate distinction of PSI and PSII is challenging based on only chlorophyll fluorescence, because of the notable overlap of PSII and PSII fluorescence, the low and rapid decay of PSI fluorescence at room temperature as well as the high PSI/PSII ratio in cyanobacteria (Joshua
and Mullineaux, 2005; Sarcina et al., 2006; Turconi et al., 1993). To overcome it, we tagged eGFP to PSI, PSII, Cyt $b_6f$ and ATPase, respectively, and used live-cell TIRF and confocal microscopy to probe their location and diffusion dynamics in vivo. Direct detection of eGFP fluorescence allows us to exclude any photochemical processes occurred in photosynthesis, i.e. quenching of fluorescence. All the four complexes have inhomogeneous distribution in the thylakoid membrane. Apart from those widespread over the thylakoid membrane, PSI and PSII complexes tend to aggregate within large membrane domains. It was shown that PSI complexes present a higher heterogeneity than PSII, probably implying that PSI complexes are more abundant than others in certain regions of the thylakoid membrane (Supplemental Figure 6). How photosynthetic complexes are organized within these membrane domains await further investigation.

To our knowledge, it is the first time to observe the patchy distribution and mobile features of Cyt $b_6f$ and ATPase complexes in living cyanobacterial cells (Figures 2-4). We also observed that some tentative Cyt $b_6f$ complexes are spread in the PSII domains using AFM (Figure 1F). It is unclear whether Cyt $b_6f$ are close to PSI, since the two photosynthetic complexes have opposite protrusions above the thylakoid surfaces. No ATPase complexes were identified in AFM images, probably due to the weak association of the whole complex and their specific membrane locations that may not be accessible to the AFM probe (Liu and Scheuring, 2013). Molecular organizations of Cyt $b_6f$ and ATPase in the thylakoid membrane require further exploration.

The different distribution patterns of photosynthetic complexes may indicate the functional and structural segregation of thylakoid membrane zones, to fulfill distinct roles in photosynthetic electron transport. In line with the heterogeneous organization of photosynthetic complexes, the respiratory complexes, NDH-1 and SDH, have been reported to present the spatial segregation (on the scale of 100–300 nm) within the thylakoid membrane of Syn7942 (Liu et al., 2012). Reorganization of respiratory NDH-1 complexes in thylakoid membranes, triggered by different light intensity, could serve as a physiological mechanism to channel the pathways of electron flow. Taken together, it appears that cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes possess specific domains to accommodate functionally relevant bioenergetic components and compartmentalize metabolism. Partitioning of bioenergetic complexes has also been observed in other membrane systems. For instance, in the primordial cyanobacterium Gloeobacter violaceus that has no internal thylakoid membranes, both the photosynthetic and the respiratory complexes are concentrated in two distinct plasma membrane domains (Rexroth et
al., 2011); the photosynthetic components are spatially separated in the thylakoid membranes of higher plants – PSII and light-harvesting complex II in grana stacks, whereas most of the PSI, light-harvesting complex I and ATPase in unstacked membrane regions (Kirchhoff, 2008); the oxidative phosphorylation enzymes are clustered in specific zones within the plasma membranes of Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and Gram-positive *Bacillus subtilis* (Johnson et al., 2004; Lenn et al., 2008; Llorente-Garcia et al., 2014); different respiratory complexes are asymmetrically distributed in the mitochondrial inner membranes (Vogel et al., 2006; Wilkens et al., 2013). The structural and functional segregation of membrane proteins appear to be a general characteristic of any biological membranes.

We characterized the diffusional fingerprints of the four photosynthetic complexes within thylakoid membranes. Over 60% of photosynthetic complexes are mobile in the crowding membrane environment under physiological conditions (Table 1), resembling the mobility of chlorophyll-protein complexes in isolated grana membranes from spinach (Kirchhoff et al., 2008). By monitoring directly eGFP fluorescence, we found that about 75% of PSII are mobile under physiological conditions, with a diffusion coefficient of $0.98 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^2$·s$^{-1}$. It differs from the previous study that suggested the immobility of PSII in Syn7942 by tracking Chl fluorescence (Sarcina et al., 2001). For comparison, we performed FRAP measurements on PSI-eGFP cells, excited with a 633-nm laser, to detect Chl fluorescence recovery (Supplemental Figure 10). The results show greatly restricted Chl diffusion in the same Syn7942 cell that we used for FRAP analysis on eGFP fluorescence, ruling out the possibilities that the mobility of photosynthetic complexes determined by FRAP on eGFP fluorescence arises from different growth conditions or is strain-dependent. Functional fluorescence tagging allows us to determine the diffusion coefficients of PSI, ATPase and Cyt $b_6f$ in thylakoid membranes for the first time (PSI − $0.83 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^2$·s$^{-1}$, ATPase − $0.83 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^2$·s$^{-1}$, Cyt $b_6f$ − $1.62 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^2$·s$^{-1}$, Table 1). The diffusion rates of PSI, PSII, ATPase and Cyt $b_6f$ are in the same magnitude as the diffusion rates of IsiA in cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes (Sarcina and Mullineaux, 2004) and LHCII in both grana and stroma membranes (Consoli et al., 2005; Kirchhoff et al., 2008). However, the diffusion coefficients of cyanobacterial photosynthetic complexes are roughly more than one order of magnitude lower than those of membrane proteins in the eukaryotic plasma membrane (Frick et al., 2007), endoplasmic reticulum membrane (Nehls et al., 2000) and mitochondrial membrane (Gupte et al., 1991). It further corroborates the high protein-density of thylakoid membranes.
The diffusion dynamics of photosynthetic complexes represents the combination of the mobility of photosynthetic complexes within the complex-enriched membrane regions and between the patches. It is feasible that the immobile photosynthetic complexes are concentrated mainly within the discrete membrane zones, whereas the mobile photosynthetic complexes can diffuse between each membrane zone. Recently, increasing experimental evidence has proved the occurrence of photosynthetic/respiratory supercomplexes in cyanobacteria, for instance, PSII–PSI (Bečková et al., 2017), PSI–PSII–phycobilisome (Liu et al., 2013), PSI–phycobilisome (Watanabe et al., 2014) and NDH-1–PSI (Gao et al., 2016). Concomitantly, the bioenergetic supercomplexes have also been characterized in chloroplasts and mitochondria (Iwai et al., 2010; Lapuente-Brun et al., 2013). The observed bioenergetic membrane domains may act as the pools where functionally relevant complexes physically associate to form electron transport supercomplexes. However, a recent study has reported that mobile domains of different oxidative phosphorylation enzymes in the *E. coli* plasma membrane do not colocalize, in contrast to the “respirazones” notion (Llorente-Garcia et al., 2014). Whether the structural association of different photosynthetic complexes in cyanobacteria is transient or dynamic in response to changes in the environmental conditions, as described in the “plasticity model” (Acin-Perez et al., 2008), is a subject for future study, e.g. visualization of pairs of fluorescently tagged photosynthetic complexes.

Our results showed that red light can induce the reorganization of protein complexes in thylakoid membranes, which may represent a combination of protein mobility within membranes and the structural reorganization of thylakoid membranes (Iwai et al., 2015; Sarcina et al., 2006). The finding has categorical implications for the dynamic organization of cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes, which is instrumental in environmental acclimation. The different reorganization features of PSI and PSII complexes, triggered by red light, suggest the non-uniform localization of PSI and PSII in different thylakoid membrane sacs in Syn7942: PSI complexes appear to be located mainly at the inner layers of thylakoid membranes and PSII complexes are likely distributed at the peripheral layers of thylakoid membranes (Figure 8). It is consistent with previous hyperspectral confocal microscopy results obtained from *Synechocystis* 6803 (Collins et al., 2012; Vermaas et al., 2008). We further revealed that red light can reduce the diffusion rates of PSII, as well as PSI and ATPase, while it has the undetectable effect on the mobility of Cyt $b_6f$ (Figure 7). Interestingly, the PSII patches show increased mobility after red light (Figure 8), reminiscent of the previous finding that PSII mobility could be induced by red light (Sarcina et al., 2006). Nevertheless, it is evident that the distribution and mobility of photosynthetic complexes in cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes
are variable in response to environmental changes, to act as the molecular “tuners” for manipulating the pathways and performance of electron transport in cyanobacteria. Future investigation will focus on elucidating, at the molecular level, how cyanobacteria sense the alternations of natural environmental conditions and manipulate their photosynthetic machinery for optimized energy-transduction performance.

To sum up, by using the combination of AFM, TIRF, confocal microscopy and FRAP, our study provides deeper insight into the spatial organization and mobility of photosynthetic complexes in the cyanobacterial thylakoid membrane. The thylakoid membrane possesses a high protein density which could potentially promote electron transduction. Rather than evenly located within the native thylakoid membrane, the photosynthetic complexes tend to possess clustering distribution and are mobile in thylakoids, suggesting the specific organization and functional compartmentalization of the thylakoid membrane. The membrane localization and diffusion dynamics of photosynthetic complexes can be altered by environmental changes, such as intense red light. The acquired information about cyanobacterial thylakoid membranes will facilitate the understanding of how the photosynthetic machinery is functionally configured and physiologically regulated. It could represent a model system that likely applies to understanding other biological membranes. In translational terms, advanced knowledge about the photosynthetic machinery will inform rational design of artificial photosynthesis to promote bioenergy production and manipulation of plant photosynthesis to improve the capture and conversion of solar energy into biomass for enhanced agricultural productivity.

METHODS

Strains and growth conditions

*Synechococcus elongatus* PCC 7942 (Syn7942) strains were grown photoautotrophically in BG11 medium (Rippka et al., 1979) at 30°C under constant white illumination (50 µE·m⁻²·s⁻¹) in culture flasks with constant shaking, or on BG-11 plates containing 1.5% (w/v) agar. Enhanced green fluorescence protein (eGFP) mutants were cultured in the presence of 50 µg/mL of apramycin. Growth in plate was used both to select transformed colonies and maintenance of GFP-tagged strains. The *E. coli* strains used in this work were DH5α and BW25113. *E. coli* was grown aerobically at 30-37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium. Medium supplements were used where appropriate at the following final concentrations: ampicillin 100 µg·mL⁻¹, chloramphenicol 10 µg·mL⁻¹, apramycin 50 µg·mL⁻¹ and arabinose 100 µM.

Generation of constructs
Enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) fusions were created by inserting the eGFP: apramycin region amplified from the plasmid pIJ786 (PBL Biomedical Laboratories) to the C-terminus of \textit{psaE}, \textit{psbB}, \textit{petA} or \textit{atpB} using the Redirect strategy (Gust et al., 2004; Gust et al., 2002). Plasmids were verified by PCR and used to transform \textit{Synechococcus} cells following the method described earlier (Golden, 1988). PCR, agarose gel electrophoresis and immunoblot analysis were applied to check genetic segregation of the \textit{psaE}, \textit{psbB}, \textit{petA} or \textit{atpB} loci in the transformants. The DNA oligonucleotides used in this work are shown in Supplemental Table 1.

**Thylakoid membrane isolation and BN-PAGE**

Syn7942 membrane fractions were prepared by glass bead (212-300 µm in diameter) breakage at 4°C followed by centrifugation (Zhang et al., 2004). To obtain pure thylakoid membranes, the membrane fractions were further separated in a step sucrose gradient (2.0 M, 1.5 M, 1.0 M, 0.75 M, 0.5 M) and centrifuged at 40,000 rpm in Beckman 70Ti for 1 hour at 4°C. The Chl-enriched samples at 1.0-1.5M sucrose step were collected and characterized by high-resolution AFM imaging in buffer (Figure 1). No detergent was added during membrane isolation and AFM imaging, to ensure the physiological organization of isolated thylakoid membranes.

For Blue-Native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (BN-PAGE) isolated membranes were prepared according to the previous method (Zhang et al., 2004). Similar amounts of protein samples with 6 µg Chl were loaded on the gels for membrane fraction samples. Membrane protein samples were either separated on 12% (v/v) denaturing sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) gels (Laemmli, 1970), or on 3-12% (v/v) linear gradient native BN-PAGE gels (Zhang et al., 2004). Gels were either stained with Coomassie Blue R-250 or electroblotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane or in-gel GFP fluorescence detection (see Supplemental Information).

**Cell growth, absorption spectra, immunoblotting analysis, PSII quantum efficiency, \textit{P}_{700}^+ re-reduction measurements, 77K fluorescence spectra, and oxygen evolution**

See details in Supplemental Information.

**Atomic force microscopy (AFM)**

Freshly purified thylakoid membranes at 4°C were diluted in adsorption buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2, 150 mM KCl, 25 mM MgCl$_2$). 5 µl of thylakoid membrane samples were adsorbed onto
freshly cleaved mica surface with 40 µl of adsorption buffer at room temperature for more than 1 hour. After adsorption, the sample was carefully rinsed five times with imaging buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2, 150 mM KCl). AFM imaging was performed in peak force tapping mode in liquid at room temperature using a Bruker Multimode 8.0 equipped with a J-scanner with NanoScope V controller (Bruker, Santa Barbara, US). AFM tips with the spring constant of 0.4 N·m⁻¹ (ScanAssyst Air HR, Bruker, Santa Barbara, US) were used for high-resolution imaging and the tip spring constant was routinely calibrated. The average imaging force was ~100 pN. All AFM topographs had an image size of 512 × 512 pixels.

Particle averaging was performed using home-made cross-correlation based Java routines for the ImageJ image processing package (Fechner et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2011; Scheuring et al., 2007). Photosynthetic complexes have internal symmetry: PSI trimers have three-fold symmetry whereas PSII and Cyt b₆f dimers have two-fold symmetry. The topographical image of a single symmetrized PSI trimer (or PSII/Cyt b₆f dimer) was used as a reference to calculate correlation maps by comparing the reference with the raw high-resolution image. All identified proteins were averaged and the average was symmetrized for creating the reference for the second and conclusive cross-correlation cycle, to obtain the final three(or two)-fold symmetrized correlation averages of PSI trimers (or PSII/Cyt b₆f dimers).

**Total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy**

Syn7942 cells were immobilized on BG11 agar plates as reported previously (Liu et al., 2012). Cells were then imaged with TIRF illumination in a Zeiss LSM880 providing laser excitation at 488 nm and 561 nm for GFP and phycobilins/chlorophyll respectively, by a 100x oil objective lens (numerical aperture 1.46) and an extra 1.6x magnification. Fluorescence emission was imaged by an Evolve 512 Delta EMCCD Camera (Photometrics, US). GFP and phycobilins/chlorophyll fluorescence were detected between 510-555 nm and 581-679 nm, respectively. The focal plane was set at 79 nm from the glass coverslip surface to image the TMs surface of the cyanobacterium. The incident angle of the laser was maintained at around 68°. The image exposure time is about 150 ms.

**Confocal fluorescence microscopy and analysis**

Live-cell confocal fluorescence imaging was performed on a Zeiss LSM710 or LSM780 inverted confocal microscope with a 100× oil-immersion objective (numerical aperture: 1.45) and excitation at 488 nm. Images (12-bit, 512 × 512 pixels) were recorded by averaging each scan line eight times. The confocal pinhole was set to give z-axis resolution of about 2 µm.
GFP and chlorophyll fluorescence were detected at 500-520 nm and 670-720 nm, respectively. Red light treatments were performed by illuminating the cells using a 633-nm laser (4.76 × 10^7 µE·m^2·s^1) for 1.5 min.

Uneven distribution of the photosynthetic complexes was quantified by taking line profiles of fluorescence intensity around the thylakoid membranes, smoothing to remove high-frequency noise and then computing the standard deviation from the mean fluorescence intensity. GFP fluorescence intensity of cells was determined by measuring the total GFP fluorescence of individual cells and extracting background fluorescence of empty regions with the same area. GFP fluorescence intensity per cell was normalized to the cell length and chlorophyll intensity. Image analysis was undertaken from results of the eGFP-tagged strains of three independent biological repeats. Results are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD).

**Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) and analysis**

Sample preparation and FRAP experiments were carried out as described previously (Mullineaux, 2004; Sarcina and Mullineaux, 2004). Recovery of fluorescence profiles after photobleaching was monitored for 90 seconds to ensure the stationary of fluorescence intensity. No reversible recovery of GFP fluorescence was detected after photobleaching the whole cell (data not shown). FRAP data analysis was performed following the previous procedure (Kirchhoff et al., 2008; Mullineaux, 2004; Mullineaux et al., 1997). To compare fluorescence distributions pre- and post-bleach, fluorescence profiles were normalized to the same total fluorescence. The post-bleach profiles were then subtracted from the pre-bleach profile to generate a set of difference profiles. The mobile fraction of GFP-tagged complexes was determined by the following formula:

\[
M = \frac{F_{\text{final}} - F_{\text{post}}}{F_{\text{pre}} - F_{\text{post}}}
\]

where \(M\) is the mobile fraction, \(F_{\text{final}}\) is the final fluorescence, \(F_{\text{post}}\) is the post-bleach fluorescence and \(F_{\text{pre}}\) is the scaled pre-bleach fluorescence.

To estimate the diffusion coefficient, the first post-bleach difference profile was taken, and a home-made computer routine based on SigmaPlot 13 (Systat Software Inc, San Jose, US) was used to generates a series of predicted fluorescence profiles at various times after the bleach, assuming an arbitrary diffusion coefficient for random diffusion (Kirchhoff et al., 2008). The predicted fluorescence recovery curve was fitted to the experimentally observed fluorescence recovery curve by adjusting the time axis.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Isolation and AFM imaging of native thylakoid membranes from Syn7942.

(A) Step sucrose gradient centrifugation of thylakoid membranes. The 1.5M fraction containing most of the Chl was extracted for further analysis.

(B) The blue-native gel was stained with Coomassie Blue. Molecular markers are indicated to the left, and the assignment of the major protein complexes is given to the right, based on (Zhang et al., 2004).

(C) AFM topography of isolated thylakoid membrane fragments in liquid solution. It is manifest that photosynthetic membrane complexes are densely packed in the thylakoid membrane. The cross-section profile of thylakoid membranes, along the dashed line, reveals the height of thylakoid membranes. The structure model of the thylakoid membrane (side view) containing dimeric PSII (PDB ID: 3WU2), trimeric PSI (PDB ID: 1JB0), and dimeric Cyt $b_6f$ (PDB ID: 4H13). The thylakoid lipid bilayer is about 4.5 nm thick. Analysis of the crystal structures indicates that PSII and Cyt $b_6f$ protrude from the lumenal membrane surface by 4.0 nm and 3.0 nm, respectively, whereas the protrusion of PSI complexes from the stromal membrane surface is 2.6 nm high.

(D) High-resolution AFM image of the stromal surface of thylakoid membranes in liquid solution (left). Individual trimers (white) and dimers (blue) are deduced to be PSI and PSII complexes (right).

(E) Atomic structure of the PSI complexes from the stromal surface (left, PDB ID: 1JB0); the three-fold symmetrized correlation average AFM topograph of PSI from the stromal surface of thylakoid membranes (middle, see details in METHODS); AFM topograph of PSI superimposed with its atomic structure (right). The protruded subunits of PSI from the stromal surface of thylakoid membranes, PsaC, PsaD, PsaE, are labeled.

(F) High-resolution AFM image of the lumenal surface of thylakoid membranes in liquid solution (left). Individual dimers are speculated to be PSII (white) and Cyt $b_6f$ (blue) complexes (right), based on their protrusions from the lumenal membrane surface (right).

(G) Atomic structure of PSII from the lumenal surface (left, PDB ID: 3WU2); the two-fold symmetrized correlation average topograph of PSII from the stromal surface (middle); AFM topograph of PSII superimposed with its atomic structure (right). The CP47 subunit of PSII is labeled.

(H) Atomic structure of Cyt $b_6f$ from the lumenal surface (left, PDB ID: 4H13); the two-fold symmetrized correlation average topograph of Cyt $b_6f$ from the stromal surface (middle); AFM topograph of Cyt $b_6f$ superimposed with its atomic structure (right). The PetA and PetC subunits of Cyt $b_6f$ are labeled.
Figure 2: Localization of photosynthetic complexes in Syn7942 by TIRF microscopy.
Distribution of photosynthetic complexes in Syn7942 was visualized by near-TIRF microscopy.
(A-D) Single near-TIRF image frames (150 ms exposure) of PSI:eGFP, PSII:eGFP, ATPase:eGFP and Cyt b₅f:eGFP Syn7942 cells. The GFP and Chl fluorescence were recorded simultaneously. In the merged channel, the distinct fluorescent patches were indicated (white triangles) and cell body borders were outlined based on bright-field images (white dashed lines).
(E-H) Normalized fluorescence profiles of GFP-tagged cells, taken along the orange arrows indicated in A-D.

Figure 3. Distribution of photosynthetic complexes in Syn7942 by confocal microscopy.
(A) Confocal microscopy images of PSI:eGFP, PSII:eGFP, ATPase:eGFP and Cyt b₅f:eGFP Syn7942 cells. Fluorescence spots of photosynthetic complexes, as indicated by white arrows, illustrate the heterogeneous distribution of photosynthetic complexes in thylakoid membranes.
(B) Quantification of total GFP fluorescence intensities per cell of PSI:eGFP (n = 100), PSII:eGFP (n = 120), ATPase:eGFP (n = 120) and Cyt b₅f:eGFP (n = 120) strains as in A. See also Supplemental Figure 7. Data are represented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD).

Figure 4. FRAP analysis of GFP fluorescence in individual GFP-tagged Syn7942 cells
(A-D) Representative FRAP sequence images of PSI:eGFP, PSII:eGFP, ATPase:eGFP and Cyt b₅f:eGFP cells.
(E-H) Time course of fluorescence recovery of the bleached cell regions (white squares as shown in A-D) for GFP fluorescence. Fluorescence values are relative to fluorescence prior to the bleach. The recovery of GFP fluorescence is presented as circles and fitted to an exponential function (red lines).

Figure 5. Mobility features of photosynthetic complexes in thylakoid membranes of Syn7942.
(A) Quantification of the mobile fractions of PSI (n = 30), PSII (n = 45), ATPase (n = 35) and Cyt b₅f (n = 30) in individual GFP-fused cells within 90 seconds after photobleaching. Data are represented as mean ± SD. P values were calculated using a Scheffe’s test as indicated; * 0.01 < P < 0.05, ** 0.001 < P < 0.001, *** P < 0.001; ns, not significant.
(B) Quantification of the diffusion coefficients of PSI (n = 30), PSII (n = 45), ATPase (n = 35) and Cyt b₅f (n = 30) in individual GFP-fused cells. Data are represented as mean ± SD.
Figure 6. Reorganization of photosynthetic complexes triggered by intensity red light. GFP-fused Syn7942 cells (A, PSI; B, PSII; C, ATPase; D, Cyt $b_6$) were illuminated by intense red light of 633 nm for 1.5 mins. GFP fluorescence before and after red-light treatment were recorded. Patchy organization of eGFP-tagged photosynthetic complexes and chlorophyll fluorescence after red light are indicated by white and orange triangles. Profile analysis of GFP and chlorophyll fluorescence of the GFP-tagged transformants are shown in Supplemental Figure 8.

Figure 7. Quantification of the organization and mobility of photosynthetic complexes before and after red light treatment.
(A) Normalized fluorescence profile SDs of GFP-tagged cells before and after red light treatment.
(B) Quantification of the mobile fractions of photosynthetic complexes before and after red light treatment.
(C) Quantification of the diffusion coefficients of photosynthetic complexes before and after red light treatment. Data are represented as mean ± SD.

Figure 8. Time-lapse confocal microscopy imaging of the redistribution and dynamics of photosynthetic complexes induced by red light.
(A, C, E, G) Confocal images of GFP-tagged PSI, PSII, ATPase and Cyt $b_6$ Syn7942 cells at 0 min and 12 min (7 min for PSII) after red light. White triangle in A indicates the “shrinking” of PSI-eGFP fluorescence profile. See also Supplemental Videos 1-4.
(B, D, F, H) Kymographs of the distribution of photosynthetic complexes after red light. Spots of GFP fluorescence in cells were labeled with numbers, corresponding to the spots shown in A, C, E, G and were monitored as a function of time.
Table 1. Diffusion coefficient, mobile proportion and stoichiometry of photosynthetic complexes per cell measured using confocal fluorescence microscopy and FRAP in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Diffusion coefficient ((D, \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}))</th>
<th>Mobile proportion</th>
<th>Complex Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSI</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.27 ((n = 35))</td>
<td>60 ± 17% ((n = 30))</td>
<td>PSI/PSII 4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSII</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.27 ((n = 45))</td>
<td>75 ± 12% ((n = 45))</td>
<td>PSI/ATPase 10.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATPase</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.29 ((n = 30))</td>
<td>76 ± 18% ((n = 35))</td>
<td>PSI/Cyt b₆f 4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyt b₆f</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.91 ((n = 30))</td>
<td>78 ± 15% ((n = 30))</td>
<td>PSII/ATPase 2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PSII/Cyt b₆f 1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyt b₆f/ATPase 1.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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