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Abstract

This thesis explores the electronic characterisation of materials for use as absorber layers within photovoltaic solar cells: an attractive solution to the energy crisis. Primarily, XPS was used to characterise CuSbS$_2$, Cu$_3$BiS$_3$, SnS, and Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$. All of these materials can be classified as earth-abundant: an important factor when considering materials that are both readily available and environmentally friendly.

To varying degrees, all of these materials are established as potential absorber layers, with reports of successful, albeit low-efficiency devices. Also, the literature is often scant with regards to more fundamental characterisation of these materials, specifically in terms of how the underlying electronic structure affects properties that are pertinent to solar cells. Where XPS is utilised, it often lacks rigour, and is obfuscated by the complexities of the spectra.

Work is then presented with two aims. First, to use a combination of high-quality XPS measurements and density of states calculations, in order to give insight into the electronic structure of the materials. Second, to present the full potential of XPS, as applied to solar absorbers, and how this technique complements others that are used for characterisation.

Without exception, each material demonstrated natural band positions that make them unsuitable for use with established solar cell technologies. That is, a low IP (4.71–5.28 eV), resulting in a large CBO (0.5–0.85 eV) with CdS, for example. This offers some explanation to the poor efficiencies. These properties were found to be a consequence of the bonding nature of the valence and conduction bands, differing to the conventional absorber materials because of the influence of lone-pair electrons or other second-cation states.

The power of XPS was demonstrated for application to absorber materials. The presence, effects, and formation pathways of contamination of the materials were elucidated using XPS, showing how the presence of such can act adversely to the performance of cells, especially with regards to oxidation, and also how these factors have been overlooked in the past. When coupled with other, complementary techniques, it has the ability to aid in the phase identification of a grown material, and also to help determine the presence of unwanted phases, which cause detriment to the device. For these applications, the methods, fitting procedures and analysis considerations detailed in this thesis should be followed.

Research interest in these materials should be maintained based on the findings, with new approaches to cell design being aided by the characterisation methods developed here.
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The work presented throughout this thesis involves concepts pertinent to physics, chemistry and materials science, and as such, terminology can overlap to a certain extent and become confusing. Certain protocols have therefore been followed throughout this work to keep this confusion to a minimum. Where this differs from the standard, or where there is the possibility of confusion, clarifications and justifications are made here:

- Contemporary British English spelling and grammatical preference is used throughout, except in previous publications that required American English.
- The symbol ‘~’ is used to mean ‘of the order of’, rather than ‘approximately’. Where ‘approximately’ is required, the symbol ‘≈’ is used.
- All element and orbital symbols have their usual meanings.
- Simplified Kröger-Vink notation is used to represent defects, where the charge state is not given because it is not of interest here. So X\text{\textsc{v}} represents a defect where species X occupies the usual site of species Y. X & Y can be elements, or a vacancy, V.
- References to groups of the periodic table use the old notation with roman numerals rather than the IUPAC-approved notation\textsuperscript{2}. As such, copper is group I, rather than group 11. This use is common within semiconductor research because it can be intuitively used to describe the electron configurations of certain elements.
- The solar cells studied throughout this thesis deal only with heterojunction devices consisting of a p-n junction. The term ‘absorber layer’ refers to the p-type material unless explicitly stated. The term ‘window layer’ refers to the n-type material, being preferred over ‘buffer layer’, with regards to the materials studied here.
- The term ‘bandgap’ is used throughout, as opposed to ‘band gap’ or ‘band-gap’ in order to emphasise the fact that this term is a single concept, removing ambiguity from terms such as wide-bandgap.
- The term ‘x-ray’ is use throughout, as opposed to ‘xray’, ‘X-ray’, or ‘X ray’ because it is the best form of a clumsy term in my opinion. Better would be to adopt a descriptive term, such as the German ‘Röntgenstrahlung’, paying homage to the discoverer, and this term is not totally unfeasible given that the related ‘bremsstrahlung’ is a term that is already in use in English.
- The term ‘metallic’, as used here, refers not only to elements that are classified as metals, but any element that has an oxidation state of zero.
- Polycrystalline samples that have the possibility of contamination or impurities, are termed ‘technical’.
Glossary

- The number of elements in a compound leads to the terms: ‘binary’, ‘ternary’, and ‘quaternary’ compounds. Here, these terms are applied only to the parent materials or structures, rather than any subsequently derived mixtures, alloys or substitutions. For example, CuInSe_2 and CuGaSe_2 are ternary compounds, but Cu(In,Ga)Se_2 (CIGS), an alloy of these two materials, is not called a quaternary compound as it isn’t a different structure like CZTS: a true quaternary compound.

- For band offsets of heterojunctions, the sign of the value of the offset is determined by the difference between the second material and the first material. For example, the valence band offset (VBO) of a heterojunction A/B, is the position of the valence band of material B minus the position of the valence band of material A. Consequently, a positive VBO means that valence band of material A is above that of material B on an energy band diagram, and vice versa. This matter is explained fully in §1.2.2, using Figure 1.8.

- Problems involved with the pluralisation of terms that can be replaced with an acronym or initialism are removed by making no change to the acronym when used in its plural sense. For example, BE can stand for both ‘binding energy’ and ‘binding energies’, with context dictating which is intended. This removes the clumsiness of appending acronyms with an ‘s’, and avoids confusion of how to pluralise FWHM.

- Acronyms and initialisms are treated grammatically as though the full representation is voiced, rather than the shortened version. For example ‘a SEC value’ is correct and reads as ‘a secondary electron cutoff value’, rather than ‘an SEC value’.

- With regards to spectra obtained via a certain type of spectroscopy, for which there exists an acronym or initialism, the full name of the spectroscopic technique becomes the noun adjunct, rather than exchanging ‘spectroscopy’ for ‘spectrum’. As such, the term ‘photoemission spectroscopy (PES) spectrum’ is used rather than ‘photoemission spectrum’. This avoids the breaking of acronyms such as ‘PE spectrum’.

- For terms which are generally represented by a symbol, but have names consisting of multiple words, and which also appear frequently in the discussion text as prose, these are represented variously by their corresponding symbol in cases such as equations and diagrams, and by their acronym or initialism within prose. For example, \( \phi \) and WF both representing the term ‘work function’.
### List of Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>Lattice Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_B$</td>
<td>Bose–Einstein Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>Anneal or Proportionality Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\text{eff}}$</td>
<td>Effective Working Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>Background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>Speed of Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C, C_{\text{Tot}}$</td>
<td>Conductance, Total Conductance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Cu_{\text{ex}}$</td>
<td>Extra Copper Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Cu_{\text{Tet/Tri}}$</td>
<td>Tetrahedrally/Trigonally Coordinated Copper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>Interplanar Spacing or Thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e$</td>
<td>Doubly Degenerate Orbital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_B/K$</td>
<td>Binding/Kinetic Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_0$</td>
<td>Bandgap at 0 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{CBM/VBM}}$</td>
<td>CBM/VBM Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{CL}}$</td>
<td>Core-Level Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_F$</td>
<td>Fermi-Level Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_g^{d/i}$</td>
<td>Bandgap (Direct/Indirect)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{P/R}}$</td>
<td>Pass/Retardation Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{SEC/Vac}}$</td>
<td>SEC/Vacuum Level Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>Atomic Scattering Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{hkl}$</td>
<td>Structure Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>Outgassing Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>Planck Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$hv$</td>
<td>Photon Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>Current or Intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{\text{D/P/R/SH}}$</td>
<td>Current (Diode Reverse/Saturation/Photo/Shunt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{\text{flux}}$</td>
<td>Flux Ion Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{\text{sol}}$</td>
<td>Power Density of the Sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j$</td>
<td>Total Angular Momentum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>Wavevector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_S$</td>
<td>Shirley Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell$</td>
<td>Azimuthal Quantum Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>Mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>Molar Mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>Principle Quantum Number or Diffraction Order or Number of Moles or Electron Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>Number of Molecules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>Pressure or Hole Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{\text{Light/Max}}$</td>
<td>Power (Light/Maximum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{c/o}$</td>
<td>Radius (Central/Inner/Outer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{eff}}$</td>
<td>Effective Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{S/Sh}$</td>
<td>Resistance (Series/Shunt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>Sputter or Pumping Speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{eff}}$</td>
<td>Effective Pumping Speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_2$</td>
<td>Triply Degenerate Orbitals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Transmittance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{OC/Max}}$</td>
<td>Voltage (Open-Circuit/Maximum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w$</td>
<td>Slit Width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X$</td>
<td>Atomic Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>Absorption Coefficient or Auger Parameter or Varshni Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha'$</td>
<td>Modified Auger Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>Varshni Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta\alpha$</td>
<td>Angular Acceptance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E$</td>
<td>FWHM of PES Feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_A$</td>
<td>Analyser Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{\text{CL/X}}$</td>
<td>Linewidth (Core-Level/X-Ray)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \omega$</td>
<td>Raman Shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta, \varphi$</td>
<td>Angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_E$</td>
<td>Einstein Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{1/S}$</td>
<td>Wavelength (Incident/Source)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_{A/G/S}$</td>
<td>Work Function (Analyser/Electron Gun/Sample)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$</td>
<td>Screening Parameter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a-Si</td>
<td>Amorphous Silicon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adv.</td>
<td>Adventitious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD</td>
<td>Atomic Layer Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann.</td>
<td>Anneal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arb.</td>
<td>Arbitrary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTM</td>
<td>American Society for Testing and Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZO</td>
<td>Aluminium-Doped Zinc Oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD</td>
<td>Berndtite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE</td>
<td>Binding Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS</td>
<td>CuSbS$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASe</td>
<td>CuSbSe$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>Conduction Band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>Chemical Bath Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBM</td>
<td>Conduction Band Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBO</td>
<td>Conduction Band Offset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>Cu$_3$BiS$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>Configuration Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHA</td>
<td>Concentric Hemispherical Analyser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChC</td>
<td>Chalcocite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChP</td>
<td>Chalcopyrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGS</td>
<td>CuGaSe$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIGS</td>
<td>Cu(In,Ga)Se$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>CuInSe$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Core-Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTS</td>
<td>Copper Tin Sulphide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>Covellite or Capacitance–Voltage Profiling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>Chemical Vapour Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVT</td>
<td>Chemical Vapour Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZS</td>
<td>Copper Zinc Sulphide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZTS</td>
<td>Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZTSe</td>
<td>Cu$_2$ZnSnSe$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZTSSe</td>
<td>Cu$_2$ZnSn(S,Se)$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFT</td>
<td>Density Functional Theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIN</td>
<td>Deutsches Institut für Normung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoS</td>
<td>Density of States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSSC</td>
<td>Dye-Sensitised Solar Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Electron Affinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBPVD</td>
<td>Electron Beam Physical Vapour Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDX</td>
<td>Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env.</td>
<td>Envelope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPMA</td>
<td>Electron Probe Microanalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPSRC</td>
<td>Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQE</td>
<td>External Quantum Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCA</td>
<td>Electron Spectroscopy for Chemical Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp.</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCC</td>
<td>Face-Centred Cubic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEG</td>
<td>Free Electron Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FET</td>
<td>Field-Effect Transistor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF</td>
<td>Fill Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG</td>
<td>Flood Gun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fs-UPS</td>
<td>Femtosecond Ultraviolet Photoemission Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR</td>
<td>Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTO</td>
<td>Fluorine-Doped Tin Oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWHM</td>
<td>Full Width at Half Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA</td>
<td>Generalised Gradient Approximation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>Hydrocarbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSE</td>
<td>Heyd–Scuseria–Ernzerhof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HV</td>
<td>High Vacuum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ</td>
<td>Herzenbergite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICDD</td>
<td>International Centre for Diffraction Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP-MS</td>
<td>Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMFP</td>
<td>Inelastic Mean Free Path of an Electron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>Ionisation Potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPES</td>
<td>Inverse Photoemission Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQE</td>
<td>Internal Quantum Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISE</td>
<td>Institute for Solar Energy Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITO</td>
<td>Indium Tin Oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUPAC</td>
<td>International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JQA</td>
<td>Japan Quality Assurance Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KE</td>
<td>Kinetic Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPFM</td>
<td>Kelvin Probe Force Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>Kesterite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEED</td>
<td>Low-Energy Electron Diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEXAFS</td>
<td>Near Edge X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCaL</td>
<td>Nanoinvestigation Centre at Liverpool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NREL</td>
<td>National Renewable Energy Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OoM</td>
<td>Order of Magnitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT</td>
<td>Ottemannite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAW</td>
<td>Projector Augmented Wave Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE</td>
<td>Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PES</td>
<td>Photoemission Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDF</td>
<td>Powder Diffraction File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDoS</td>
<td>Partial Density of States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Photoluminescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLD</td>
<td>Pulsed-Laser Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPMS</td>
<td>Physical Property Measurement System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Photovoltaic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVD</td>
<td>Physical Vapour Deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYS</td>
<td>Photon Yield Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RoEU</td>
<td>Rest of European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>Raman Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCF</td>
<td>Stoichiometry Correction Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>Secondary Electron Cutoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Scanning Electron Microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SILAR</td>
<td>Successive Ionic Layer Adsorption and Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLG</td>
<td>Soda-Lime Glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>Signal-to-Noise Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp.</td>
<td>Sputter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRPES</td>
<td>Synchrotron Radiation Photoemission Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQL</td>
<td>Shockley–Queisser Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Stannite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STM</td>
<td>Scanning Tunnelling Microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDL</td>
<td>Thermodynamic Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>Transmission Electron Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFSC</td>
<td>Thin-Film Solar Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPE</td>
<td>Tunable Photon Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP</td>
<td>Titanium Sublimation Pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>Thermal Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHV</td>
<td>Ultrahigh Vacuum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPS</td>
<td>Ultraviolet Photoemission Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>Ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VASP</td>
<td>Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB</td>
<td>Valence Band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBM</td>
<td>Valence Band Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBO</td>
<td>Valence Band Offset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VdW</td>
<td>Van der Waals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF</td>
<td>Work Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XANES</td>
<td>X-Ray Absorption Near Edge Structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XAS</td>
<td>X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XES</td>
<td>X-Ray Emission Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPS</td>
<td>X-Ray Photoemission Spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>X-Ray Diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRF</td>
<td>X-Ray Fluorescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZTS</td>
<td>Zinc Tin Sulphide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 1:
Introduction

“One thing I feel sure of... is that the human race must finally utilise direct sun power or revert to barbarism.”

Frank Schuman, Solar Energy Pioneer, 1913
We, as humans, are at a unique point in history. Throughout the ages, mankind has predicted and prophesised its own demise, but never yet has this come to fruition. At present, we have reached such a level of technological advancement that we are able to predict how this will happen. On a universal scale, the heat death of the universe is a theory with substantial support, but on a more local scale, a deficit of humanly extractable energy is likely to terminate the human race, and our continued reliance and exponentially increasing need for energy, in various forms, could be our downfall.

1.1 Energy
A widely encompassing term, energy is generally used outside of the physicists’ vernacular to mean a measure of the ability to exert power in order to produce an effect, and can manifest in many forms. Humans need energy in this sense in order to perform the tasks elemental to survival. This could be electricity for heating, lighting, computers, and the multitude of other devices upon which we luxuriate, but also now rely. Else, it could be fuels to power machines and engines which seem somewhat archaic in this age of electricity. Finally, it could be human energy, which we acquire from our fuel of food; ultimately produced using some of the other forms of energy mentioned above.

In the long run, it would seem that the generation and use of electricity is of primary concern when considering energy production; however, it is ‘primary fuel sources’ from which the world gathers most of its energy. Despite this, the use and production of electricity is the focus of this thesis, as new technologies at the forefront of research are now almost wholly dependent on electricity as the power source.

As time progresses, the requirement for electricity increases, as a result of population growth and technological advancement. Historical global electricity production is shown in Figure 1.1, and over the past 40 years, the worldwide electricity output has increased almost fivefold, surpassing the 20 PWh mark in 2008.

1.1.1 The Problem
As can be seen in Figure 1.1, world electricity use is increasing. In order to meet this demand, new methods of electricity generation were developed and implemented, shown by the emergence and growth of the use of nuclear and renewable sources in Figure 1.1. However, the use of fossil fuels for electricity production has also seen a continued increase.

Fossil fuels, by their very nature, must be extracted, transported, processed, and then combusted in order to produce thermal energy in the form of steam, which then is converted to electricity through turbines and generators. This multistage process consumes energy

---

* An apologetic term used for non-renewable resources when they are not used to generate electricity.
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Throughout and results in waste products which must either be disposed of in an environmentally friendly way\(^b\), or unsustainably\(^c\).

These waste products contributing towards climate change\(^d\) is one of the main driving factors that is changing the way that electricity is generated\(^d\). Also important are the reserves of fossil fuels that remain available on Earth. Whilst there is much debate about the remaining amount of coal, oil, and gas, regardless of when the supplies will run out, solutions must be found before this happens. A proactive approach is favourable, especially given that the current projections of electricity generation expect the use of fossil fuels to continue rising as well\(^d\)\(^e\).

1.1.2 The Solutions

The increased use of renewable sources for electricity generation is promising and generally accepted to be the answer to the problems of fossil fuels, or non-renewable sources, but the use of the term renewable is often misleading. Given enough time, new fossil fuels will be naturally generated, but on a timeframe which is impractical for human endeavours. This, and the definition of ‘renewable’\(^e\), led to the connotations that renewable sources of energy must be able to be depleted. This is generally not the case, and instead, renewable sources of energy exploit natural processes which are already in motion.

These processes are many and varied, but the major contenders are: hydroelectric power, which involves the control of a body of water, whose kinetic energy is used to spin turbines;

\(^a\) Which costs further energy.
\(^b\) Which is environmentally damaging.
\(^c\) See Figure 1.1.
\(^d\) “Of a natural resource or source of energy: capable of being replenished, not depleted by its utilization.”\(^770\)
geothermal power, which exploits geothermal activity to produce steam; wind power, which uses the wind to drive turbines; wave and tidal power, which convert the kinetic energy of the oceans, seas, and estuaries into electricity; biomass and waste power, which involve the combustion of organic material that is more readily available than fossil fuels, or that would otherwise go to waste; and solar power, a broad term which encompasses technologies that convert power directly from the sun into electricity.

All of these technologies are established and deployed in some form, and in 2012, contributed 22% of the world’s total electricity production\textsuperscript{10}. A summary of the methods used to generate electricity in 2012 is given in Figure 1.2a, and the projections for 2040 in Figure 1.2b. The overall share of fossil fuels is expected to decrease, and the level of nuclear power generation is expected to remain steady, probably due to the worldwide apprehensions associated with this method\textsuperscript{11–13}. Renewable sources are seeing the fastest growth in the energy sector\textsuperscript{14}, and within the renewable category, the favoured technologies are also shifting. Hydroelectric power is by far the major contribution to the renewables, probably because of the large scale of such installations and the large amount of electricity they can generate. The other technologies are expected to increase significantly, with the wind and geothermal share more than doubling, and solar power taking more than four times the current share.

Although this increase in the use of renewable sources is promising, and by their nature, these sources do not suffer from the problems of fossil fuels, there are other problems with each of these methods which are restricting the deployment viabilities. Hydroelectric stations have a very large initial cost, and also have the environmental and ethical impacts associated with the requirement to flood the surrounding land and the displacement of peoples. Wind and wave power are dependent upon prevailing conditions, and offshore installations have associated

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1_2.png}
\caption{Share of electricity production methods\textsuperscript{10}. a) For 2012 & b) projections for 2040.}
\end{figure}
high installation costs. Geothermal installations are limited to geothermally active areas of the world, which are generally in remote locations. The use of biomass is controversial because it still produces waste, and also consumes and depletes a resource, rather than exploiting a natural process like the other methods. This leaves solar power.

### 1.1.3 The Case for Solar Energy

Exploitation of the power delivered by the sun to the earth is arguably the only source which is truly inexhaustible. The fossil fuels will one day run out, geothermal activity may cease, rivers may dry up, or the wind may stop being effective, and human life will continue, but when the sun stops delivering power to Earth, the human race will experience dire problems than the cessation of solar power.

The greatest benefit of the sun is the sheer scale of power that is delivered to Earth. More than 150 PW is delivered to Earth’s upper atmosphere\(^\text{15}\), which when considering the effect of the atmosphere, obliqueness, seasonal variation, and diurnal variation, results in \(\sim 24\) PW reaching the surface of the earth\(^\text{16}\). If this energy was completely extractable and usable, it is 4 orders of magnitude greater than the current global electricity demand\(^\text{f}\). Obviously, not all of this energy is available for conversion to electricity, but the amount of surplus is attractive.

### 1.2 Solar Photovoltaics

Although solar power is utilised widely to directly heat water through the use of solar panels, and there is a growing sector using concentrated solar power to heat water and drive conventional generators, the largest sector of solar electricity generation is through the use of solar photovoltaics (PV). This technology converts the light energy from the sun directly into electricity through the photovoltaic effect, which will be explained in §1.2.1 & §1.2.2.

There are great benefits of using this method of electricity generation, beyond the large amount of available power from the sun. These technologies directly convert light energy into electrical energy and therefore do not rely upon intermediary conversion methods such as turbines, which have their own efficiency limitations. They therefore contain no mechanically moving parts, relying upon electronic phenomena, and this results in them requiring almost zero maintenance, and benefitting from a long lifespan. Also, they produce no emissions and can be installed discreetly and widely because of the various form factors that they can take. With regards to deployment, they do not require a centralised power station because they are modular, and therefore the power output is independent of the scale of the system, increasing proportionately to the number of cells, in theory ad infinitum\(^\text{17}\).

---

\(^{f}\) Calculations for this can be found in Appendix A, §A.1.
Compared with other deployed electricity generation systems, PV technologies are relatively fledgling; one of the reasons why the deployment of solar PV is only 2% of the 22% of renewables as of 2012. Nevertheless, this relates to 90 GW of solar PV currently installed worldwide, which with current targets is set to rise to 350 GW by 2020, and 60 GW per year is being manufactured.

### 1.2.1 Semiconductor Theory

PV devices are reliant upon semiconductors, and it is now prudent to introduce these materials. Quantum mechanics dictates that the electrons within an atom are confined to orbitals of discrete energies. As individual atoms are brought into proximity, the wave functions of the individual electrons overlap, and form bands by the Pauli exclusion principle. In the solid state, materials can be categorised into three classifications: metals, insulators or semiconductors. The band structures of these three materials are shown in Figure 1.3.

Electrons in a solid in the ground state fill energy levels until the Fermi-level (E_F). Depending upon the band structure and density of states, determined by the periodicity and nature of the lattice, the Fermi-level can reside within a band of electron states, or within a region devoid of electron states. In the first case, this is a metal, with no forbidden band between the filled and empty states and therefore the electrons can move freely within the material with good conductivity. In the latter cases, there exists a forbidden band (bandgap, E_g) between the valence band (filled states) and conduction band (empty states), which an electron must overcome in order to conduct. An insulator has a bandgap greater than ~4 eV, and a semiconductor has a bandgap less than this, so that thermal excitations can raise electrons to the conduction band, allowing (semi)conduction. Such materials also have the ability to be doped, which affects the conductivity.

In an intrinsic semiconductor crystal at equilibrium, the concentration of electrons in the conduction band (n) is equal to the concentration of holes in the valence band (p). The alteration of the conductivity of a semiconductor via the introduction of impurities is called

---

Figure 1.3: Relative band positions for metals, insulators & semiconductors. *Small bandgap of semiconductors is also dependent upon their ability to be doped & the thermal excitation of carriers across the bandgap.

---

^See Figure 1.2a.
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doping\(^9\). The addition of an impurity with an extra valence electron than that of the host material\(^9\) introduces an extra electron to the conduction band through the creation of a donor state, in which the valence electrons are much closer to the conduction band of the host and allows easy transfer into the conduction band, increasing the concentration of electrons. These extra states within the bandgap result in a shift of the Fermi-level to a position between this extra state and the bottom of the conduction band. This is then termed an n-type semiconductor, as the majority carriers are negatively charged. Conversely, the addition of an impurity with one fewer valence electron\(^i\) produces the opposite effect, introducing extra holes to the valence band through the creation of an acceptor state and lowering the position of the Fermi-level within the bandgap. This is then termed a p-type semiconductor, as the majority carriers are positively charged. These phenomena are shown in Figure 1.4.

Such effects are easily exploited for perfect single crystal elemental semiconductors such as silicon or germanium, whose covalent bonding lends to the accurate prediction of conductivity changes through impurity doping. In other semiconductor materials, where ionic bonding plays some role, the inadvertent introduction of defects in the crystal structure is the cause of conductivity changes. Fortunately, most compound semiconductors can be assigned an ionic formula, with the constituents taking integer oxidation states, based upon full electron shells\(^20\). For example, in SnS, where the oxidation states are Sn\(^{2+}\) and S\(^{2-}\), it is the V\(_{\text{Sn}}\) defect which is responsible for the intrinsic p-type conductivity observed in this material\(^21\), because it is this defect which has been shown to have the lowest enthalpy of formation. Sn\(^{2+}\) ions contribute two electrons to bonding, so where the vacancy is present, these two electrons are missing, and this site effectively acts as a dopant with too few electrons, resulting in p-type conductivity because these states lie close to the valence band and act as acceptors\(^22\).

The properties of semiconductors can be predicted to a certain degree through the patterns observed in the chemical trends of materials. This is useful when considering new materials for use in applications, and was found with the development of solar cells, which will be discussed in §1.3. Generally, if a material has the same crystal structure, oxidation states of

\[\begin{array}{ccc}
& \text{Conduction Band} & \text{Conduction Band} & \text{Conduction Band} \\
E_f & \llap{\text{Donor states in the n-type allow electrons (closed circles) to transfer into the conduction band}} & \text{intrinsic} & \text{acceptor states in the p-type allow holes (closed circles) to transfer into the valence band.}
\end{array}\]

Figure 1.4: Fermi-level positions for n-type, intrinsic, & p-type semiconductors. Donor states in the n-type allow electrons (closed circles) to transfer into the conduction band & acceptor states in the p-type allow holes (closed circles) to transfer into the valence band.

\(^9\) E.g. P in Si.

\(^i\) E.g. B in Si.
the elements, and stoichiometry as the parent semiconductor, then this will also be a semiconductor, where the bandgaps will be related\(^2\).

Whilst semiconductors have applications when used on their own, it is the effects produced when two (or more) semiconductors are contacted together that must be considered for solar cells. The most useful of these junctions, the pn junction, is of interest here. This involves the connecting of a p- and n-type semiconductor, and is illustrated in Figure 1.5. Before the materials are contacted, the n-type material has free electrons, and the p-type material has free holes. Just after contact, at the interface of the two materials, some of the free carriers will diffuse to the other side of the junction, where they recombine with the charge-opposed carriers in the other material, forming a depletion region where there exist no free carriers. This recombination causes excess charge at the interface due to the now-absent charge carriers, positive in the n-type, and negative in the p-type, which forms an electric field in this region, halting further diffusion\(^1\). The pn junction acts as a diode, limiting current flow to one direction upon the application of an external voltage, allowing current flow via diffusion\(^1\).

In terms of the band levels at the pn junction, when brought into contact, the Fermi-levels of the two materials align, and the valence and conduction bands bend within the depletion region in order to overcome the band discontinuities formed by the alignment of the Fermi-levels\(^1\).

---

\(^1\) See Figure 1.5a.
\(^k\) See Figure 1.5c.
\(^1\) See Figure 1.5b.
The case considered above concerns the formation of a homojunction, that is, the formation of a pn junction between two instances of a material which have the same bandgap, but opposite conductivity types\(^m\); however, pn junctions are also possible using materials which have different sized bandgaps, which when contacted, redistribute charge in the same way, forming a heterojunction. The difference in bandgaps of the materials in this case form band offsets, which because the band bending after contacting must be the same size, can result in band discontinues in the final device, rather than the smooth transition seen in Figure 1.5b. The difference in band levels of the materials before contacting allows these types of junction to be sorted into three categories, shown in Figure 1.6. Type I are known as straddling gap, type II as staggered gap, and type III as broken gap. Such junctions are more relevant to the materials studied in this thesis and shall be further explored in §1.2.2.

### 1.2.2 Photovoltaic Devices

**The Photovoltaic Effect**

The pn junction is the basis for many electronic components, including diodes and transistors and is also used as the source of many parts of integrated circuits, but these exploit the rectifying behaviour of the junction with the application of external biases. Solar cells use the properties of a pn junction, coupled with the photovoltaic effect.

First discovered in 1839 by Edmond Becquerel\(^23\), this phenomenon is related to the photoelectric effect in that interactions with light can excite electrons in a material. If light is absorbed by a semiconductor whose bandgap is less than the energy of the photons, then this absorption can excite an electron into the conduction band of the semiconductor. If this effect occurs in a semiconductor which is forming a pn junction, then the electron-hole pair created can be separated: either by the acceleration of the charge carriers by the electric field in the junction, or by the diffusion of the charge carrier into the depletion region, where it is accelerated by the field\(^a\). This creates a photovoltage across the junction, and allows a photocurrent to flow if an external load is connected.

**Cell Architecture**

Solar cells are usually designed so that the majority of the charge carriers are generated in the semiconductor which has the largest minority carrier diffusion length, often resulting in a

---

\*\*E.g. silicon with n- and p-type doping.\*

\*\ See Figure 1.5b.
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thicker p-type layer, with the thinner n-type layer on top. The p-type layer is then referred to as the absorber layer, and the n-type as the window layer. The pn junction is the heart of the solar cell; however, other parts are required in order to create a device which can be connected to external circuits. Primarily, this involves the connection of metallic front and back contacts to the cell, which act as electrodes. Generally, the back contact requires a sheet of metal, but the front contact must also allow light into the junction as well as being conductive. This is achieved by either using a metallic grid, so that light can pass through the gaps in this grid, or by using a layer of material which is both conducting and transparent to light.

Other layers are often incorporated which serve a variety of purposes. Depending on the form factor for the device, some mechanical support is required which may or may not need to allow the transmission of light. Layers are sometimes used in order to aid charge extraction, or improve the effectiveness of the cell. A variety of light management and encapsulation layers may also be incorporated into cells in order to improve performance.

Solar Cell Losses

Ideally, a solar cell converts all of the absorbed energy from the light into usable electricity, but this is not the case in real devices, as energy losses occur, which reduce the efficiency of a device; that is, the ratio of generated electricity to incoming light energy.

These losses can be categorised by the stage at which they occur in the electricity generation process. The first losses occur as the incident light reaches the device. Some of this light could be reflected from the surface of the cell, or could be absorbed by the contacts before reaching the junction region. In any case, these photons are then not available for charge generation.

The subsequent losses which occur within the cell are summarised in Figure 1.7. Once the photon reaches the junction, the bandgap of the absorber layer acts as a filter for the incoming solar radiation: photons with energy equal to or higher than the bandgap are absorbed, and photons with energy lower than the bandgap are transmitted through the absorber material (1). Of the absorbed photons, any which have energy greater than the bandgap lead to the formation

![Figure 1.7: Loss mechanisms that can occur within the junction of a solar cell. Electrons are represented by filled circles, holes by open circles. Numbered mechanisms are described in the text.](image)
of charge carriers which are not located at the band extrema, and will relax to the band edge, transmitting the extra energy to lattice phonons (2). This thermalisation results in energy which does not contribute to the electricity generated. Following the generation of charge carriers in the absorber material, they need to be separated in order to contribute to the photovoltage. Before separation, charges can undergo losses at the junction, where they diffuse to lower potentials, before being accelerated by the electric field, resulting in a lower photovoltage (3). After separation, energy can be lost through discontinuities at the front and back contacts of the cell (4). Depending on the size of the discontinuity, further photovoltage losses will occur in the transfer of the electron or hole to the Fermi-levels of these contacts. Furthermore, if the carriers are not separated effectively, they will recombine (5). This can occur for several reasons, but results in the annihilation of the charge carriers, which are then not available for electricity generation. If the charge carriers are generated outside of the region over which the electric field acts, they must diffuse to this region before being accelerated by the field, and if their diffusion length is not long enough to achieve this, then they will recombine before this happens. Recombination can also happen because of states generated within the bandgap, which allow the charge carriers to recombine via this extra state, making this event more likely. These states can result as an effect of defects and grain boundaries in the material.

Beyond the phenomena which can occur within the junction as described above, other losses can occur as a result of the band alignments of heterojunctions, as discussed in §1.2.1. In terms of the conduction band offsets, which relate to the electron transport, two situations can exist, shown in Figure 1.8. There can either be a negative CBO, where the CB of the p-type layer is above that of the n-type, or a positive CBO, the reverse. Following the joining of the two

![Figure 1.8: Schematic band alignment diagrams for conduction band offsets (CBO): positive (left) & negative (right). Vacuum aligned diagrams (top) represent before contact, & Fermi-level aligned diagrams (bottom) represent after contact.](image-url)
materials⁰, the redistribution of charge results in band bending which causes the band discontinuities to form a ‘cliff-like’ structure for the negative CBO, and a ‘spike-like’ structure for the positive CBO. For electron transfer, the CBO should be near zero, as is the case in homojunctions. For the cliff-like structure, electrons generated in the n-type material experience a barrier against diffusion, resulting in recombination, and those created in the p-type region can suffer voltage losses through the offset. For the spike-like structure, if the spike is too large, this acts as a barrier to electron transport, leading to recombination²⁵,²⁶.

Each of these losses act to reduce the amount of extractable electricity produced by the cell and a solar cell can be modelled as an electrical circuit of the type shown in Figure 1.9. Ideally, the pn junction under illumination acts as a current source in parallel with a diode; however, imperfections also mean that there exists some value of shunt resistance (R_{Sh}) within the cell, and also there is a resistance associated with the contacts and external circuitry, the series resistance (R_S). The extractable current from the solar cell comes as a combination of these components, so that

\[
I = I_{Ph} - I_D - I_{Sh},
\]

where \(I_{Ph}\) is the generated photocurrent; \(I_D\) is the current through the diode, dependent upon the reverse saturation current of the diode itself and also the voltage across it, which in turn is dependent upon the series resistance; and \(I_{Sh}\) is the current through the shunt resistor. Therefore, to optimise the performance of the solar cell, the photocurrent and shunt resistance should be maximised, whilst minimising the series resistance and reverse saturation current of the diode⁰,¹⁷.

**Absorber Material Requirements**

It is important that all aspects of the solar cell are designed in order to maximise the efficiency, but in this thesis, the absorber layer is the main consideration. There are several requirements which a material must fulfil for it to be considered for use as an effective solar absorber.

As discussed above, the band alignments can affect the overall efficiency of a cell, and from a technological design point of view, it is better to start with a material that has favourable band positions which are intrinsic, rather than the need to tune the band structure at a later point.

---

⁰ See the bottom panels of Figure 1.8.
Defects in the material can lead to recombination if the produced states are found deep within the bandgap, therefore it is more favourable to use materials which are either less susceptible to defects, or have ones which form shallow defect states, leading to relative benignity upon their formation\textsuperscript{27,28}. In order to give charge carriers more chance to be produced and separated, materials should have strong absorption coefficients in the visible range, long carrier lifetimes and high mobilities, which come as a result of small effective masses and greater band dispersion.

The generation of charge carriers as depicted in Figure 1.5 suggests that the excitation of an electron to the conduction band occurs only through a change in energy, and such is the case for direct bandgap materials, that is when the VBM and CBM occur at the same point in k-space. However, for materials which do not have the VBM and CBM located at the same point, a phonon is required to produce the momentum change required as well, because photon absorption does not produce a momentum change\textsuperscript{9}, which is depicted in Figure 1.10. This is not necessarily a problem, especially if there exists a direct transition which is of suitable energy\textsuperscript{29}, but it will still lead to photons which cannot be absorbed, and so a direct bandgap material, which will have a higher absorption coefficient, is preferable.

Whilst recombination is a problem for solar cells, arguably the greater problems are those which come from inefficient photon absorption\textsuperscript{6,24}. In order to achieve the highest possible photovoltage from a cell, the bandgap needs to be large as the photovoltage cannot exceed the bandgap. However, due to the nature of the solar spectrum, to absorb as much light as possible, and to reduce the number of sub-bandgap photons which are transmitted, the absorber needs a small bandgap. These conflicting statements result in an ideal bandgap for solar absorbers, which absorbs as much light as possible but maintains high photovoltages, and various studies have placed this value in the region of 1.1–1.6 eV\textsuperscript{17,28,30}.

The optimal bandgap value is based on the work of Shockley and Queisser\textsuperscript{31}, who calculated the maximum efficiency possible as a function of absorber bandgap, finding a peak value of

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Figure 1.10: Photon (orange arrow) absorption for direct & indirect bandgap semiconductors. Note the required phonon (blue arrow) to facilitate momentum transfer in the indirect bandgap material.}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{9} See (1) & (2) in Figure 1.7.
30% efficiency with an absorber bandgap of 1.1 eV, known as the Shockley–Queisser Limit (SQL). The range of workable bandgaps quoted above comes as a result of assumptions made in their study which do not necessarily hold in real devices, and also that the function experiences an approximate plateau in this bandgap range. Despite these assumptions, the SQL is still often quoted throughout the literature, and overcoming it is a goal that has now been achieved by various methods, which are introduced in §1.3.4. The maximum efficiency as a function of absorber bandgap found by Shockley and Queisser is shown in Figure 1.11, along with the contributions of the other loss mechanisms.

**Device Metrics**

The defining metric for the performance of a solar cell is the efficiency; the ratio of the extracted electrical energy to the incident light energy. However, because of the interplay of the various aspects of a solar cell discussed above, it is far from simple to determine the cause for an observed lower efficiency than is expected.

The efficiency of a device can be determined by measuring the current–voltage (J–V) characteristics of the device under illumination of a source with known flux. A typical J–V curve for a solar cell under illumination is shown in Figure 1.12 (red curve), and resembles the J–V characteristics of a diode, displaced on the current axis because of the constant current source aspect of the cell.

From this curve, several features can be determined. With infinite load connected to the cell, the open circuit voltage (V$_{OC}$) is the maximum voltage produced, and its difference from the bandgap can be a measure of the amount of recombination present within the device. With a zero load connected across the cell, the short circuit current (J$_{SC}$) is the maximum current produced, and can be a determiner for the carrier lifetimes within the cell. Under ideal conditions, the change in current value with voltage will be an abrupt transition; however, in a real cell, the resistances present will deviate this ‘squareness’ of the J–V curve. The power of the solar cell,

$$P = J \cdot V,$$

(1.2)

![Figure 1.11: Percentage of solar cell loss mechanisms as a function of bandgap. Maximum cell efficiency is resultant of the calculations made in calculating the SQL. Other losses include those shown in Figure 1.7. Adapted from a figure courtesy of Steven J. Byrnes.](image-url)
is also shown in Figure 1.12 (blue curve), and the current and voltage values at which the power is maximum,

\[ P_{\text{Max}} = J_{\text{Max}} \cdot V_{\text{Max}}, \quad (1.3) \]

are the points taken in order to calculate a measure of the ideality factor for the cell. The Fill Factor (FF) is defined as the ratio of the maximum power of the cell to the ideal power of the cell:

\[ FF = \frac{J_{\text{Max}} \cdot V_{\text{Max}}}{J_{\text{SC}} \cdot V_{\text{OC}}}, \quad (1.4) \]

and acts as a determiner of the loss from ideality. The efficiency of the cell is then calculated as,

\[ \eta = \frac{J_{\text{SC}} \cdot V_{\text{OC}} \cdot FF \cdot A_{\text{eff}}}{P_{\text{Light}}}, \quad (1.5) \]

where \( A_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective working area of the cell, and \( P_{\text{Light}} \) is the power of the incoming light.

### 1.3 A Brief History of Solar Cells

Different types of solar cell will be introduced in this section, following the history of their development. Although all aspects of the cell are important, to reduce confusion, descriptions of cells here shall be in reference to their absorber layers unless otherwise specified.

Throughout the history of solar cells, the absorber layer has changed and evolved, but they have remained in the same broad material families. Silicon, ubiquitous with semiconductors, has played a large role in solar cells and acts as the parent material for many of those which followed it. This evolution of semiconductors based on the silicon structure is demonstrated in Figure 1.13. The compounds shown here all take similar, tetrahedral crystal structures with related properties, and shall be introduced further in the subsequent sections; however,
chemical trends apply, with an increasing bandgap following increasing atomic radii, shorter bond lengths, and larger differences in electronegativity\textsuperscript{20}.

The efficiency of solar cells is the main technological motivating factor for their development; however, because they will also be deployed into power generation grids, there are economic impacts which must also be addressed. Therefore, a balance between the efficiency of devices and the power generation cost is used as a general arbiter for the development of solar cell technologies\textsuperscript{16}. This development is grouped into three categories, or ‘generations’ of solar cells, and their trends in terms of cost and efficiency are shown in Figure 1.14\textsuperscript{24}. Generation A followed from the historical invention of the solar cell and resulted in cells of modest efficiency but which were expensive to produce. This led to the development of new technologies for generation B, resulting in cheaper cells, but at the slight expense of efficiency. Now, in the current research climate, the goal is to maintain the cheap cost of cells, but to

![Figure 1.13: Generational progression of semiconductor materials sharing the same structures & related properties.](image)

![Figure 1.14: Three generations of solar cell technologies in terms of their cost & efficiency. Diagonal tie lines show regions of equal cost per power. Horizontal lines show the Shockley Queisser Limit (SQL, red dash), thermodynamic limit (TDL) for a cell illuminated by the power density of 1 sun (blue dash), & TDL for a cell illuminated by the concentrated power density of the sun (green dash).](image)
increase their efficiencies, using hitherto unknown technologies which are able to exceed the SQL and also the thermodynamic limit (TDL) of a solar cell illuminated by 1 sun\textsuperscript{35}. It is noted that the borders of generation C are not well-defined, and that many new technologies are incorporated into it which set out to study many different aspects.

1.3.1 0\textsuperscript{th} Generation: The Early Years

The physical phenomenon that is key to solar cells; the photovoltaic effect, was first discovered in 1839, where it was observed in an electrochemical cell\textsuperscript{23}. Moving to the solid state, the effect was observed in a selenium rod in 1876 by Adams & Day\textsuperscript{36}, and the development of a solid state cell by Fritts, utilising selenium covered with gold plate, demonstrated a conversion efficiency of less than 1\% in 1883\textsuperscript{37-39}. Whilst somewhat primitive, and not reminiscent of modern cells, this early research continued and the photovoltaic effect was also observed in compound semiconductors; notably CdS by Reynolds et al.\textsuperscript{40} in 1954.

The development of the first ‘modern’ cell\textsuperscript{9} was created using high purity silicon, and was demonstrated by Bell Labs in 1954 to give an efficiency of 6\%.\textsuperscript{41} This led to the first generation of solar cells, based on high-purity crystalline silicon.

1.3.2 1\textsuperscript{st} Generation: Wafer-Based PV

PV technologies based on crystalline silicon (c-Si) wafers are the most widely employed and have seen the most development. They are based on homojunctions of n- and p-doped high-purity silicon wafers and took 87\% of the PV market share in 2011\textsuperscript{9}.

Initially, the development of devices was limited by the requirement for single crystal silicon, which at the time was the only way of ensuring the high purities required. This kept the production costs high, but the development of the casting of multicrystalline silicon reduced the production costs whilst sacrificing only a small amount of efficiency.

The high-abundance, reproducibility, reliability, stability and non-toxic nature of silicon are the reasons why these technologies are still dominating the market\textsuperscript{9,16} and cells are achieving efficiencies over 20\%\textsuperscript{42}.

Silicon is not the only wafer technology used as a solar absorber, with III-V semiconductors also used, and traditionally, it is these cells which produced the highest efficiencies\textsuperscript{9}. However, they have not seen such a high market share because of the complex processing methods required and the much higher materials costs because of the use of rare elements\textsuperscript{9,16}.

Despite the wide availability of c-Si solar cells and the advantages thereof, there are several reasons why some focus has moved away from this technology towards other materials. First, there is the problem that silicon is an indirect bandgap material, and even though the required

\textsuperscript{9} Utilising a pn junction.
phonon can be provided thermally at room temperature, silicon still has a low absorption coefficient, requiring a 200 µm thick layer of material in order to absorb 82% of the light. This thickness requirement, coupled with the high purity required, drives up the production costs, which are further exacerbated considering that 40% of the material is lost to kerf waste during wafer slicing. These problems provided the motivation for investigating technologies which require much less material.

1.3.3 2nd Generation: Commercial Thin-Film

The costs involved with using wafer technologies can be largely overcome by using deposited thin-films, rather than wafers, because of the amount of material that is necessary. Materials with much higher absorption coefficients are required to ensure that enough light is absorbed to generate high photocurrents. c-Si is not suited to this, but thin-films of silicon in the amorphous phase (a-Si) increase light scattering within the material, which in turn enhances the absorption.

As a technology, a-Si was developed throughout the late 1960s, with the first cells being reported in 1976 at 2.4% efficiency. This technology has not gained much hold in the market, due to the limited efficiencies. Alongside it therefore, other thin-film technologies were developed. These materials are usually ones with direct bandgaps that have high absorption coefficients so that the thickness can be minimal and still absorb most of the incoming light, but with smaller film thicknesses, the absorption of longer wavelengths of light is sacrificed.

As mentioned in §1.2.1, these materials are usually compound semiconductors which have intrinsic p-type conductivity due to the presence of defects and so do not require doping. The additive manufacturing techniques used in thin-film production lends to the use of minimal feedstock material and cheaper production methods, and the varied deposition techniques allow much variation for integration and form factors of the final devices, as they are not limited by the wafer dimensions.

The first thin-film technology used as a solar absorber that was not based on silicon was arguably Cu₂S; however, degradation problems suffered because of the mobility of the copper ions caused interest to be lost. Throughout the years, various semiconductors have been tested for their use as thin-film PV absorbers, such as Cu₂O and FeS₂; however, two technologies have emerged, which alongside a-Si make up almost all of the thin-film solar cells in use today.

Cadmium telluride (CdTe) as an absorber material goes back to 1963 where it was investigated in bulk, and from there using it in thin-film form developed. It has a bandgap of 1.45 eV, high optical absorption, and is generally grown in a superstrate configuration with CdS as the window layer.

Copper indium gallium diselenide (CIGS) developed from the Cu₂S cells, where it was found that the inclusion of indium prevented the diffusion of the copper, leading to interest in
CuInSe$_2$ cells in both single crystal$^{55}$ and thin-film form$^{56}$ in 1974 and 1976, respectively. Although the bandgap of CuInSe$_2$ is too low$^4$ for effective solar absorption, the alloying of the indium with gallium can tune the bandgap to a better suited value$^4$, and hence, CIGS has developed as a successful solar absorber.

Compared with a-Si, CdTe and CIGS both demonstrated higher efficiencies and greater stabilities, which led to the favouring of these two technologies over the former$^9$. The record efficiencies have passed between the technologies, but currently CIGS holds the record at 22.6%, with CdTe at 22.1%$^{42}$. The historical market share of thin-film technologies, shown in Figure 1.15, reflects the development of the various technologies, with the increase in CdTe and CIGS deployment, but over the past few years, the market has seen a relative decrease in overall thin-film deployment, caused by the renewed deployment of silicon cells in this time$^{57}$.

Despite the increasing deployment of thin-film technologies, CdTe and CIGS both suffer from their own problems. First, the efficiencies of the deployed modules are lower than the records because the production methods used for the record devices are not suitable for industrial scale-up$^{58-60}$. CdTe performance is very dependent upon grain size, because deep level traps occur here, which act as recombination centres, and the very high work function leads to problems with band alignments at the back contact$^9$. CIGS cells, because of their multi-element nature, can suffer from a high variability of film stoichiometry and resultant properties$^{16}$. The main problems faced by both CdTe and CIGS however, are associated with the environmental and economic impact of the constituent elements of the materials.

The toxicity of CdTe is the subject of much debate, and while cadmium as a metal is indeed toxic$^{61}$, proponents of CdTe argue that the compound itself is not toxic, that the stability of the
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Figure 1.15: Historical market share of deployed thin-film technologies from total PV deployment$^{57}$.  
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$^4$ $\sim$1.0 eV$^{771}$.  

$^8$ $\sim$1.1–1.2 eV.
compound reduces the chance of leakage, and that in thin-films it is used in such little quantity that it is not of concern\textsuperscript{62}. It is also cited that cadmium is extracted as a by-product of zinc refining\textsuperscript{63}, regardless of cadmium demand, and that it is better stabilised in compounds, rather than stockpiled or used in other applications which do have toxic effects\textsuperscript{64}. Nevertheless, whilst toxicology data on CdTe itself is not available\textsuperscript{62}, it is also possible that exposure to the metal could come as a result of the growth, or that the necessary ‘activation’ step in the production of cells could lead to exposure to CdCl, which is toxic\textsuperscript{9}. Tellurium is also a potential problem for CdTe solar cells. A very rare element, tellurium finds little use outside of the PV sector\textsuperscript{65,66} and as such, demand is not high, with studies citing tellurium scarcity as a limiting factor for the deployment of CdTe\textsuperscript{67}, which will be further discussed in §1.4 & §1.5.3.

Similar problems are faced with CIGS. Although selenium itself is toxic only in high concentrations\textsuperscript{68}, during the growth of CIGS it is common to find the use of H\textsubscript{2}Se\textsuperscript{62}, which is highly toxic\textsuperscript{69}. Whilst this factor is not usually addressed, the problems associated with the use of indium often are. Another rare element\textsuperscript{16}, indium use has expanded due to the surge in demand for consumer electronics\textsuperscript{65}, where indium is widely used. World reserve estimates of indium vary\textsuperscript{70}, but even if more indium is discovered, the changing economic climate could result in a price rise, raising a potential barrier against the deployment of CIGS\textsuperscript{67}. Indium reserves will be further discussed in §1.4 & §1.5.3.

Motivation to develop the next generation of absorber materials for use in PV is driven in part by these toxicity and scarcity concerns associated with CdTe and CIGS\textsuperscript{71} and it is hoped that these problems can be overcome by using materials which do not face these problems.

1.3.4 3\textsuperscript{rd} Generation: Everything Else, Dependent upon Research Interests

This wide ranging generation encompasses all technologies that are now working to overcome problems faced by the previous two generations. From Figure 1.14, it would appear that the main goal of these technologies is to drive the efficiency up; however, other technologies are also being developed which bring novelty to the PV sector, and can include new form factors, changes in production methods, or external additions to cells that can improve performance and reliability. Materials that are more sustainable and do not have the associated problems of CdTe or CIGS are also being developed, which is the motivation for this work and shall be introduced fully in §1.4, but it is prudent to acknowledge the other areas here.

As of 2015, deployed PV is shared amongst the technologies as shown in Figure 1.16, with c-Si taking the overwhelming majority share, and thin-film constituting only 7% (exploded segments). It is clear from this deployment that other technologies, which make up the entirety of generation C, have not yet reached market viability, although this is the ultimate goal.

Many of the technologies being studied that aim to reach efficiencies above the SQL work to overcome the losses experienced by photons that have too little energy to overcome the
bandgap, or by those that have too high energy, resulting in losses through thermalisation. This is commonly achieved by using multi-junction solar cells, which use several pn junctions connected together in a single device, designed in such a way that absorbers with different bandgaps allow photons to be absorbed by the most relevant absorber\textsuperscript{72}. Such technologies have not yet seen deployment because there are losses associated with connecting the junctions which need to be overcome, the costs of production are high, and the long term reliability and scale up uniformity are poor\textsuperscript{16}. Other techniques are also available, which narrow the range of the solar spectrum to better suit the device in question, and these can include\textsuperscript{9}: up- and down-converters which change the nature of the spectrum before it enters the device; the use of light capture devices; and the incorporation of materials that give more chance of the absorption of a photon, such as anti-reflection coatings at the front of the device, or reflective coatings at the back of the device.

New absorber materials different to the type studied in this thesis are also being considered for their use in PV. These include organic, dye-sensitised, and perovskite solar cells, which all benefit from low cost, simplistic manufacturing processes but are limited by their stability\textsuperscript{16}. There are also materials which exploit quantum confinement in order to fine-tune the absorption characteristics\textsuperscript{73}, or else change the transport properties within the cell through the creation of quantum wells\textsuperscript{74}.

The materials studied in this thesis do not strictly fit into generation C as shown in Figure 1.14, and this grouping has been criticized given the current atmosphere of PV research and development\textsuperscript{16}. More accurately, it can be said that the new materials studied in this thesis bridge the gap between generation B and C, and even that they bring improvements along another axis; that is sustainability.
1.4 Earth-Abundant Thin-Film Solar Cells

The main motivation to replace both CdTe and CIGS with other materials that demonstrate similar properties, are the toxicity and scarcity issues that were discussed in §1.3.3. One commonly used metric for determining the suitability of an element is crustal abundance; the concentration of a certain element within the earth’s crust. This is a good starting point for selecting suitable elements and can be used as an ultimate arbiter. The crustal abundance of the elements are shown in Figure 1.17 (grey data and lines).

Problems with this metric are demonstrated in these data as well, as it does not take into account the extractability or demand for each element, which can affect how much of each is accessible and extracted. Perhaps a better metric to use when considering new materials are the reserve estimates, which are shown in Figure 1.17 (coloured data) for the elements of the materials studied in this thesis, and the historical PV absorbers discussed in §1.3. This is better as these estimates also take into account current extraction rates and include only known reserves. From these data, it can be seen that the scarcity problems associated with indium and tellurium are apparent, but it would also suggest that gallium and selenium could also be a concern, and there is much associated literature in whether these concerns are founded. However, if these potentially problematic elements are removed from the production of solar cells, then there is no longer a problem; potential or not.

![Figure 1.17: Crustal abundances (grey data) & world reserve estimates (coloured data) for the elements used in thin-film PV technologies & the materials studied in this thesis. Green triangles have no data because they are considered inexhaustible. Red triangles have no data because their reserves are estimated to be very low.](image-url)
The term ‘earth-abundant’ suggests only that an element be plentiful in nature, but there is also the underlying subtext that an earth-abundant absorber material be non-toxic and environmentally friendly. So, even though cadmium is in plentiful supply, the concerns with its toxicity are a problem, as there will be a stigma associated with it; as was the case with nuclear energy\textsuperscript{11}. These problems are of no concern if the use of cadmium is removed entirely, providing the motivation to move away from CdTe deployment.

To generate materials that do not use the elements discussed above, but which also show similar properties to the parents, as was discussed in §1.2.1, the elements should be isoelectronically substituted into the structures, and as such this limits the particular choice of elements to certain areas within the periodic table; in order to preserve the chemical trends.

Figure 1.18 shows the periodic table with the values of crustal abundance displayed using the overlaid spectrum, and also shows the key areas to which the anions (purple) and cations (blue) of a new material should be limited in order to better predict their properties. Also, throughout the history of PV, which will be shown in §1.5, large improvements in efficiency over a short timeframe are very rare, and it is continued research that improves the efficiencies of the current technologies. For this reason, a drastic shift away from the known material behaviours is risky, and as such, the new materials should have similar structures, comprised of elements restricted to the highlighted areas of the periodic table shown in Figure 1.18.

### 1.4.1 Why Sulphides?

In CIGS and CdTe, the anions of selenium and tellurium are unsuitable for earth-abundant materials. These two elements belong to the same group; that is the chalcogens. From Figure 1.18, the abundance of the chalcogens increases with decreasing atomic number. This

![Figure 1.18: Periodic table coloured by crustal abundance\textsuperscript{75}. Key areas highlighted for study in this sector with further discussion in the text. Uncoloured elements have no abundance data available.](image-url)
would suggest that the use of either sulphur or oxygen as an anion would be more beneficial for an earth-abundant semiconductor. Oxygen, which has a much higher electronegativity than the other group VI elements, is sometimes not included in the chalcogen group because the properties between oxides and sulphides are less predictable than between the other chalcogens, and this leads to oxide materials with large bandgaps which are unsuitable for absorbers, and as such they shall not be considered\textsuperscript{80}. Non-toxic and abundant in many forms\textsuperscript{81}, sulphur would seem an ideal choice for the anion in these materials.

Beyond the attractiveness of the use of sulphide materials because of the abundance of sulphur, there are several other advantages. The low melting point of sulphur gives sulphides low melting points as well, and as such, processing temperatures can be kept low, reducing production costs\textsuperscript{82}. Sulphur occurs in various natural forms with various properties. Therefore, if synthetic materials take one of these forms, then there is promise for the stability of that material\textsuperscript{18}. Finally, there is a wealth of research already available for natural minerals, which can provide a starting platform for new research.

1.4.2 Cu-Based Earth-Abundant Post–Transition Metal Sulphides

For CIGS, the use of copper is not a problem in abundance or environmental terms\textsuperscript{83,84} and the low-lying $d$ orbitals in copper have been shown to lower the bandgap in copper-containing materials, encourage the adoption of the tetrahedral crystal structure, and also improve the stability\textsuperscript{85}. For these reasons, the materials of interest in this thesis are copper-based sulphides, but as was found with the evolution of Cu$_2$S into CIGS, there is a need for another cation in order to inhibit the copper mobility.

Isoelectronic substitution of the problematic indium and gallium in CIGS\textsuperscript{1} results in the quaternary compound Cu$_2$ZnSnS$_4$ (CZTS), which uses abundant and safe zinc\textsuperscript{86} and tin\textsuperscript{87}, and is explored in Chapter 6. With a similar crystal structure and properties to CIGS, this material has already gained substantial grounding in the research community and efficiencies continue to improve. A large problem of CZTS is the formation of unwanted secondary phases; however, some of these are interesting materials in their own right, the most promising of which for their use in PV are the tin sulphides, explored in Chapter 5.

The other materials that are of interest here are members of the family of sulphosalts. The definition of a sulphosalt is a mineral of the form A$_x$B$_y$C$_z$, where A, B, and C can be atoms in the oxidation states shown in Table 1.\textsuperscript{88} Although single crystals of these minerals have been grown since 1957\textsuperscript{89}, only recently have studies extended beyond structural determinations\textsuperscript{88}.

The first solar cells based on a sulphosalt absorber were designed in 2007 and 2009, achieving 1% efficiency in the Sn-Sb-S\textsuperscript{88} and Pb-Sb-S\textsuperscript{82} families, respectively. However, when considering the criteria mentioned earlier, the elements shown in Table 1.1 are whittled down

\textsuperscript{1} See Figure 1.13.
1.5 Efficiency: The Quintessential Goal of Solar Cell Research?

The definition of efficiency is the ratio of the power extracted from a system to power delivered to the system; however, this value can also be dependent upon other external factors. Given the range of locations that solar cells are deployed in, coupled with the time of day and prevailing conditions, and the type of light received, it is clear that a solar cell will not always run at its quoted efficiency.

1.5.1 Standards

In order to overcome these variations and allow the efficiency of a solar cell to be used as a metric that acts as the common denominator for all types of PV, test condition standards have

---

Table 1.1: Possible elements & oxidation states for the constituents of sulphosalts, which take the form A\textsubscript{x}B\textsubscript{y}C\textsubscript{z}. Underlined elements meet the criteria in the text for being used in an earth-abundant absorber material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxidation State</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>Cu, Ag, Tl, Alkalis</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+</td>
<td>Pb, Sn, Fe, Mn, Hg, Alkaline Earths</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>Lanthanides</td>
<td>As, Sb, Bi</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>S, Se, Te</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To only those underlined because the others are either rare, toxic, or do not fit the chemical trends to produce attractive properties. Also, the inclusion of copper in such materials is beneficial, and so one is left with the Cu-Sb-S and Cu-Bi-S systems, from which to pool materials. From Figure 1.17, it can be seen that antimony has a similar estimated reserve to tin and so should not be a problem. Bismuth has a much lower estimated reserve, higher than selenium, but still low. Nevertheless, increased interest in the extraction of bismuth for other uses\textsuperscript{a}, means that there is a drive for more to be extracted. Both antimony and bismuth also have the benefit of being non-toxic metals, so their use is warranted\textsuperscript{90,91}. Reasons for the selection of CuSbS\textsubscript{2} and Cu\textsubscript{3}BiS\textsubscript{3} for study from the Cu-Sb-S and Cu-Bi-S families shall be discussed in their respective chapters\textsuperscript{v}.

In summary, the earth-abundant PV absorber materials chosen for study in this thesis are: CuSbS\textsubscript{2} (CAS), Cu\textsubscript{3}BiS\textsubscript{3} (CBS), SnS, and Cu\textsubscript{2}ZnSnS\textsubscript{4} (CZTS), each of which shall be introduced fully in their relevant chapter.

---

\textsuperscript{a} Especially its use as a replacement for lead in solder\textsuperscript{337}.

\textsuperscript{v} Chapter 3 & Chapter 4, respectively.
been agreed upon in order to calculate device efficiencies. In §1.1.3, factors which affect the solar spectrum were mentioned, and in its simplest approximation, the sun can be modelled as a black body point source at a fixed distance from the earth, emitting spectral radiation that follows Planck’s Law. Studies that modelled better approximations to the true solar spectrum resulted in a standard solar spectrum, which is used for PV applications.

Deviations from a true black body spectrum occur because of the finite size of the sun and the inhomogeneity of it, which causes some absorption. Then, the radiation which is delivered at the top of Earth’s atmosphere, zenith to an observer, takes the form shown in Figure 1.19 (black curve) and is termed AM0; that is air mass 0⁹. Then, because the majority of the world does not receive solar radiation at zenith, and due to further absorption and scattering experienced through the atmosphere, the global standard for solar radiation that is used to test solar cells is termed AM1.5G; that is air mass 1.5 globally, which equates to an average power density of 1000 Wm⁻². This is also shown in Figure 1.19 (red curve), labelled with the dips in the intensity due to atmospheric gaseous absorption. Also shown is the visible spectrum of light, showing that the most intense parts of the solar radiation to reach the earth’s surface are from visible, and infrared light.

Therefore, when testing a solar cell device, the illuminating light should be one which is calibrated to produce the AM1.5G spectrum, in order that true comparisons can be made between cells. Historically, this standard did not exist and as such, device efficiencies from historical reports must be cautiously assessed, if the illumination source is not stated. Whilst the light source is a major factor which can affect the measured efficiencies, other factors can also affect it between measurements, and for this reason, standard laboratories exist throughout the world which can provide a standard test service to certify the efficiency of devices. These include NREL and Sandia in USA, Fraunhofer ISE in Germany, and JQA in Japan.¹⁷

Figure 1.19: Solar irradiance spectra according to the ASTM G173-03 standard. Dips in the spectra & the deviation from a black body spectrum occur partly as a result of the gas absorption due to the materials highlighted.

⁹ ASTM International standard (G173-03).
1.5.2 Record Efficiencies

There is no defining threshold of efficiency which a technology must surpass in order to be granted market viability, but the higher the better. NREL maintains a chart of efficiency advancements for the materials receiving the most research attention, and this is shown in Figure 1.20. Most of the deployed single junction technologies have record efficiencies just...
above 20%, the main exception being a-Si at only 14%, so reaching 20% efficiency is a good starting point on the road to market viability for new materials.

The known record efficiencies for the four PV absorbers studied here are shown in Table 1.2, as they do not appear in Figure 1.20. Compared with some of the materials shown in Figure 1.20, these are fledgling materials, and therefore have not reached higher efficiencies perhaps only because of a lack of cumulative research intensity. It is therefore the motivation of this thesis not to demonstrate increases to these efficiencies, but to provide reasons as to why they are not higher, and also to suggest ways of improving them in the future.

All of the materials shown in Table 1.2 were developed with their earth-abundant nature in mind, and this raises the question: what level of efficiency can be sacrificed if these technologies can overcome the environmental and economic problems faced by the current market leaders?

1.5.3 A Few Words on Deployment

There is no definitive answer to the question posed at the end of §1.5.2. Nevertheless, the level of current deployment of solar cells should be introduced, to give some insight as to how the market currently stands. Once a PV technology has proven itself to be reliable, stable and able to deliver good efficiencies that are reproducible, it must face the challenges which restrict its deployment throughout the world. As of 2013, the EU contained 60% of the world’s solar capacity, and the irradiance received by Europe is shown in Figure 1.21a. As can be seen, the economically strongest areas of Europe receive relatively little sunlight in comparison with even the northern tip of Africa, and indeed the rest of the world, yet it is here that most of the world’s solar capacity is deployed. The share of deployment between the countries of the EU is shown in Figure 1.21b, showing that solar capacity in Europe is dominated by Germany, despite this country receiving little sunlight compared with the countries of southern Europe. This is due to many factors, including the introduction of sophisticated feed-in tariffs, and the successful closures of nuclear plants.

So, given that PV technologies may be employed in areas that are not the most suitable for generating electricity from sunlight, it would be beneficial for the deployed modules to be as

### Table 1.2: Record efficiencies for solar cells utilising the materials studied in this thesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Record Efficiency</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuSbS₂</td>
<td>3.22%</td>
<td>Banu et al.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₃BiS₃</td>
<td>No tested devices</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS</td>
<td>4.36%</td>
<td>Sinsermsaksakol et al.397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZTS</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>Sun et al.553</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CZTSSe contains selenium and is not of interest here.*
efficient as possible. However, it is also important that the production processes and constituent elements that are used in the cells are sustainable, in order to meet the energy needs.

Due to the current level of deployment of solar cells, and the increasing requirements for energy, it is often quoted that PV technologies must be capable of being deployed with terawatt capacity.\textsuperscript{16,67,79,96} For a certain technology, this can be achieved by greater production and deployment; however, this can be limited by the sustainability and availability of the materials. Because the use of a certain element is not monopolised by the PV sector, the availability of an element is therefore not the defining limit, but can provide some insight into whether it poses a serious barrier to that technology.

Figure 1.22 shows estimates of the potential limits to the deployed PV technologies, and those studied in this thesis. The assumptions made and calculations used to produce these are explained in Appendix A, §A.2. It shows the absorber layer raw materials cost for a module of that technology capable of producing 1 GW of power (bars), along with the number of possible 1 GW modules that are able to be produced, limited by the reserve estimate for the rarest element within a technology (line). These values are intended for use as comparators between the technologies rather than absolute values, and as such do not take into account: processing costs, the cost and availability of other materials required, or the need for elements outside of the PV sector. In Figure 1.22a, calculations are made based on the current record efficiencies, and are contrasted with Figure 1.22b, which shows the calculations assuming that each technology is capable of delivering devices at 20\% efficiency.

At the current efficiencies\textsuperscript{7}, silicon is more than capable of delivering TW-deployment based on the availability; however, because of the larger amount of material required, this drives up the cost of the modules. The cost of the absorber layer for CdTe is much cheaper due to the smaller amount of material necessary, but the limiting element of tellurium means that this

\textsuperscript{7} See Figure 1.22a.
technology will fall short of TW-deployment. The same is true for CIGS, limited by the current estimated reserves and price of indium. CZTS, CAS and SnS all contain cheaper materials than CIGS or silicon, but the current efficiencies of CAS, CBS, and SnS still make GW modules more expensive than CdTe. What is promising however, is that even with the relatively poor efficiencies demonstrated so far, neither CZTS, CAS, nor SnS are TW-scale limited by the elemental reserves of tin or antimony. Bismuth is rarer than antimony or tin, and as such, coupled with the extremely poor current efficiencies of CBS cells\textsuperscript{b}, limits CBS cells from reaching the TW-scale.

Comparing with the calculations from 20\% efficient devices\textsuperscript{aa}, the values for silicon, CdTe, and CIGS do not change much because these technologies are already at this level of efficiency, but a drastic improvement is seen in the technologies utilising the materials studied

\textsuperscript{b} None have yet been reported, but it is assumed to be 1\% for these calculations.

\textsuperscript{aa} See Figure 1.22b.
here, with the price of modules all falling below that of CdTe, and the availability of the materials allow not only TW deployment, but PW capabilities, making these technologies much more attractive than the current technologies. It is therefore important to continue research into driving the efficiencies of these devices higher, but perhaps, from an availability and sustainability point of view, 20% efficiency is not required in order to compete with CdTe or CIGS.

1.6 Characterisation of Solar Cells

Given the development of solar cells and the requirement for the discovery and implementation of new materials for use as absorber layers, it is thus the goal of research to first acknowledge, and then develop the technologies necessary to achieving the above-mentioned goals of producing solar absorbers which can be classified as earth-abundant. This is facilitated by rigorous materials characterisation.

1.6.1 Usual Techniques

A standard set of characterisation techniques have arisen for solar cells which measure the metrics described in §1.2.2. These however, are associated with the characterisation of complete cells, and the scope here is of the characterisation of the absorber materials in their own right.

In reports of solar cells, there is usually some form of materials characterisation, at least for the absorber layer, and these typically take the form of structural and compositional characterisations; in order to ensure that the correct material has been produced. Whilst this is important for the growth of well-established materials, the same cannot be said for new materials, where properties may not be fully established. Therefore, in order to better progress development, other characterisation techniques should also be used, with the goal of better understanding the fundamental material properties. Such studies do exist throughout the literature, but they are usually included supplementary to other characterisations and do not receive the spotlight that they deserve.

1.6.2 The Importance of Electronic Characterisation

As was shown in §1.2, the properties of a solar absorber are dependent upon the electronic structure and this can be determined through the electronic characterisation of the material.

Before a material is grown for its use as a solar absorber, there now exist sophisticated theoretical screening techniques which allow the selection of certain materials with suitable properties from a vast pool, without the need to grow the materials. Such approaches can be useful given the large variety of materials which are being suggested; however, these techniques are relatively new and not widely implemented. Once a material has been selected
using one of these approaches, it is necessary to grow quality samples of the material in order
that it can be studied further to corroborate the theoretical findings, before it is incorporated
into devices. This bottom-up approach to materials selection and characterisation for solar
absorbers should reduce the wasting of resources investigating materials which are unsuitable.

There are many various techniques which can be used to study different aspects of the
electronic structure of materials, but several are more suited to PV absorbers because of their
relation to the properties which are important for this application. The individual techniques
themselves shall be introduced in Chapter 2. The bandgap, position of the band levels, and
optical absorption characteristics are important properties for solar absorbers, along with
structural and chemical stability, which are important for materials in general. Studies of the
band structures of materials can allow relationships between the energy levels to be drawn,
determining how the orbitals hybridise and give rise to the electronic band positions. This also
allows the bandgap to be determined. The nature of the states in the conduction and valence
band also allows the transport properties and optical behaviour of a material to be determined.

With relation to the vacuum level, the band positions allow the extraction of the values of
electron affinity (EA), work function (WF), ionisation potential (IP), and bandgap (E_g); which
should be unique to a material. The definitions of these values are shown in Figure 1.23.

Losses occur in a solar cell mainly because of the inappropriate bandgap, or because of band
misalignments within the cell, therefore studying band alignments in heterojunctions is
important. As discussed in §1.2, the contact of two semiconductors causes charge to
equilibrates, the Fermi-levels to align, and the bands to bend. However, before this happens,
the natural band positions of a material can help to predict this and so measurements of the
values shown in Figure 1.23 are important for new solar absorber materials.

Beyond measuring the properties of ideal materials, from a device design perspective it is also
important to determine the formation mechanism and effect that imperfections have on the

![Figure 1.23: Schematic definitions of energy level differences in a semiconductor material.
Vacuum level to conduction band minimum (electron affinity, EA). Vacuum level to valence
band maximum (ionisation potential, IP). Vacuum level to Fermi-level (work function, WF).
Conduction band minimum to valence band maximum (bandgap, E_g).](image-url)
absorber material and by extension, on devices. These imperfections can manifest as unwanted material made of the constituent elements of the absorber, but in a different phase, or as these elements mixed with some form of external contaminant, such as oxides or hydrocarbons. Both of these can result during, or after the growth of the absorber material, and can act detrimentally to the cell. The electronic characterisation of these unwanted materials can therefore help determine the reasons that they formed, but also the effect that they have.

Therefore, through the rigorous electronic characterisation of absorber materials, standards can be set, and create a more complete understanding of the nature of these materials and why they should, or indeed should not be used in solar cells as the absorber layer.

1.7 Overview of the Thesis

1.7.1 Structure

The work presented in this thesis consists of the electronic characterisation of the materials introduced in §1.4.2, mainly through photoemission spectroscopy techniques, and how this applies to the use of these materials as PV absorbers, developed to address the problems presented in this chapter. As similar techniques are used throughout this thesis to study each material, there is much scope for direct comparison between the results. However, the results are structured so that each chapter is dedicated to each of the materials individually. This is because whilst the materials share common backgrounds and goals, they still retain individual characteristics, which are worth introducing and exploring separately.

Chapter 2 concerns the experimental techniques used throughout this thesis. It introduces them from a physical standpoint, and explains their methods of implementation. Furthermore, it introduces and describes the necessity for, and commissioning of an experimental UHV system that was designed in order to implement the majority of the experimental measurements made for this project.

Chapter 3 & Chapter 4 present the electronic characterisation of CuSbS$_2$ & Cu$_3$BiS$_3$. They introduce these materials in the context of PV, and present the core-level electronic structures, band levels, and valence bands of these materials, measured using XPS and compared with DFT calculations, as well as structural analyses by XRD and RS, and measurements of the bandgap using absorption spectroscopy. They also explore the required cleaning of this material for measurement, and analyses of the contaminants. The discussions then analyse these findings with regards to PV applications.

Chapter 5 presents the electronic characterisation of SnS, SnS$_2$, and Sn$_2$S$_3$. It introduces these materials in the context of PV, and presents comparisons of the core-level electronic structures,

\footnote{See §1.4.}
Chapter 6 presents the electronic characterisation of CZTS and its possible binary secondary phases. It introduces CZTS in the context of PV, highlighting the difficulties associated with its characterisation, and then introduces the possible binary secondary phases of CZTS and explains the importance of determining the presence of these phases. It then presents full XPS characterisations of these secondary phases, which can be used as standards in the future, followed by the core-level electronic structure, band levels, and valence band of single crystal CZTS, measured using PES and compared with DFT calculations, as well as structural analysis by XRD, RS, and EDX, which can also be used as standard comparators. These characterisation techniques are then compared with regards to their efficacy in the identification of secondary phases within CZTS. It also explores the required cleaning of this material for measurement, and the analysis of the contaminants. The discussion then analyses all of these findings with regards to PV applications.

Chapter 7 concludes the thesis, summarising all of the findings of the individual chapters, and also drawing comparisons between them. It assesses the use of the analysis techniques presented throughout, and presents an overview comparison of the materials studied, assessing their prospects for use as PV absorbers in light of the findings.

Following these chapters are a set of appendices, which give extra details, information, or results, related to different parts of the thesis. If presented within the individual chapters, these would prove excessive, but they are included in the appendices for the sake of completeness and interest.

### 1.7.2 Aims

The main aim of this thesis is to determine whether the materials presented in §1.4.2 have the potential to become market viable absorber materials for thin-film solar cells, and if they do, what the reasons are that have led to the poor efficiencies so far and what needs to be done in order to further their advancement. This is hoped to be achieved by studying the underlying electronic structure of the materials using a combined experimental and theoretical approach.

Furthermore, XPS is a technique that is widely employed throughout the literature, but unfortunately suffers from complex analyses, which sometimes leads to misconstrued conclusions in the literature. It is therefore another aim of this thesis to demonstrate the full potential of this analysis technique when it is properly applied, and how it can be an important tool in the characterisation of PV absorber materials.
Chapter 2:
Experimental Methods

“We have this handy fusion reactor in the sky called the sun, you don’t have to do anything, it just works. It shows up every day.”

Elon Musk, CEO of Tesla Motors, 2015
This chapter introduces the experimental techniques used throughout this thesis, including the methods used to produce the studied materials, and also an introduction to the practices used to perform the characterisations.

A large part of the characterisation work in this thesis is involved with the electronic characterisation of PV absorber materials using various implementations of photoemission spectroscopy. For this reason, a large part of this chapter is dedicated to these techniques, their implementation, and also the need for the specialist environment of ultrahigh vacuum.

Where a specific experimental system is described, it is the one which was used in the work presented in further chapters. The minutiae of each technique pertinent to the different experiments can be found in the individual chapters, with reference to additional specifics contained in Appendix C.

### 2.1 Material Growth Methods

The materials that were studied throughout this thesis were grown by various collaborators using the methods outlined in the following sections.

For PV applications, an important area of study is the growth of the materials to be used as absorber layers. In the final device, the absorber will be present as a thin-film, and therefore thin-film growth techniques are relevant. Many of the studies that focus on the growth of a thin-film of a particular material, are concerned with the ability to grow phase-pure material. Consequently, often reported is the presence of unwanted secondary phases, contamination, impurities, or other imperfections within a film such as grain boundaries, defects, or inhomogeneities. These aspects of thin-film growth are important to consider, but for new materials, where the properties have perhaps still not been fully disseminated, it is prudent to determine the properties of a form of the material which is free from these imperfections. This is achieved by the growth of single crystals.

#### 2.1.1 Single Crystal Growth Methods

Whilst single crystal growth methods are not within the scope of this thesis, because they are well established\textsuperscript{19,97}, it is practical to introduce the methods used to produce the crystals that were studied here.

**Chemical Vapour Transport**

The single crystals of SnS, SnS\textsubscript{2}, and Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}, which are presented in Chapter 5 were grown by the chemical vapour transport (CVT) method. This method functions by containing precursor elements of the crystal with another element to act as an intermediary medium which facilitates the vaporisation and subsequent crystallisation of the desired material\textsuperscript{98}, and has shown to be successful for the growth of various halides, oxides and chalcogenides\textsuperscript{99}. 
2.1 Material Growth Methods

For the tin sulphides, stoichiometric amounts of sulphur and tin were placed in a silica ampoule with iodine as the carrier agent. The evacuation and sealing of this ampoule then ensured that no external impurities could affect the crystal growth and they were placed in a zone-controlled furnace. A temperature gradient was then applied across the ampoule, which was greater than the boiling point of the intermediary phase, but lower than the boiling point of the crystal phase in order to ensure the vapourisation and crystallisation of each phase, respectively. This temperature gradient then drove the reaction,

\[
\text{Sn} + \text{S} + \text{I}_2 \rightarrow \text{SnI}_2 \rightarrow \text{SnS} + \text{I}_2 .
\]

(2.1)

The high temperature caused the iodine to react with tin, and tin iodide to be transported to the cooler side where the tin is released, reacts with the sulphur, and the iodine diffuses back toward the tin, forming a cycle.

**Travelling Heater Method**

The single crystal CZTS which is presented in Chapter 6 was grown by the travelling heater method, which has proved successful for the growth of CdTe\textsuperscript{100} and HgSe\textsuperscript{101}. A polycrystalline CZTS ingot was first synthesised by heating stoichiometric amounts of copper, zinc, tin, and sulphur in an evacuated ampoule above the melting point of CZTS. This ingot and tin were then sealed in another evacuated ampoule, which was lowered extremely slowly through a furnace, encouraging the single crystal growth of CZTS as the ampoule passed out of the heated region.

2.1.2 Thin-Film Growth Methods

The various methods used for the growth of thin-films are beyond the scope of this thesis, but a general introduction to their implementation along with the techniques used to grow the materials studied here shall be given. The method chosen for the growth of the absorber layer can impact the cell both technologically but also economically and environmentally, because even though the materials used may be considered earth-abundant, if the processing methods are not sustainable also, then this goal is jeopardised.

**Vacuum vs. Non-Vacuum**

Thin-film deposition techniques are often categorised into vacuum based and non-vacuum based, especially with regards to CZTS\textsuperscript{102}, and both have significant advantages and disadvantages.

Vacuum based techniques involve the successive deposition of the atoms of a source material, from which they are transported to a substrate for deposition. The most commonly used techniques which require the use of vacuum are sputtering, evaporation, and pulsed-laser deposition (PLD), the processes for which are shown in Figure 2.1a, b, and c, respectively.

Sputtering ejects atoms of a solid target material, depositing them onto a substrate by means of bombarding the target with energetic ions of a gas\textsuperscript{103}. For the thin-films of CuSbS\textsubscript{2} measured
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In Chapter 3, this method was employed, using targets of Cu$_2$S and Sb$_2$S$_3$. Further details of this procedure can be found in §3.2.1 and the appropriate literature\textsuperscript{54,104,105}.

In evaporation, a source of material is heated, by means of an electrical filament or an electron beam, which causes the material to evaporate and travel to a substrate where again it condenses\textsuperscript{106}. For the thin-films of Cu$_3$BiS$_3$ measured in Chapter 4, this method was used. Further details of this procedure can be found in §4.2.1.

PLD uses a high power laser beam to vaporise material from a target in pulses which is then deposited onto a substrate\textsuperscript{107}.

As all three of these techniques cause the depositing material to undergo a phase change to the vapour phase, they are collectively known as physical vapour deposition (PVD)\textsuperscript{9}. The conditions of the deposition because of the vacuum afford these techniques the ability to produce uniform films with good reproducibility. Unfortunately, the necessary equipment is rather expensive both in terms of cost and energy, and they also do not scale-up well, with low throughput and low efficiency of source material use\textsuperscript{9,108,109}.

Non-vacuum based techniques involve the production of a precursor solution which is then applied to a substrate by various means. These can be direct and physical as is the case with inkjet printing\textsuperscript{110} or spin coating\textsuperscript{111}, or require the use of reaction techniques such as spray pyrolysis\textsuperscript{112}, electrodeposition\textsuperscript{113}, or the SILAR technique\textsuperscript{114}. Such methods utilise more of the materials that they are supplied with and are therefore cheaper, also because of the lack of expensive equipment. However, these benefits come with a sacrifice in film reproducibility and overall quality because of the lack of precise control during the growth, in contrast with the highly controllable vacuum techniques\textsuperscript{9,108,109}.

Figure 2.1: Physical vapour deposition (PVD) methods for thin-film growth. a) Sputtering by means of argon ion bombardment of a source material. b) Thermal evaporation of a source material using a heated filament. c) Pulsed-laser deposition (PLD) of a source material by energetic pulses from a laser. All methods require a level of high vacuum in order to keep the atmosphere free from contaminants & to allow the unimpeded transport of the deposition material to the substrate.
2.2 Ultrahigh Vacuum

Variations within all of these techniques are possible, with the most common being the order and method by which the precursors are incorporated. Whilst it has been shown to be possible to deposit and crystallise CZTS in a one-stage process, via sputtering\textsuperscript{115}, evaporation\textsuperscript{116}, or electrochemical means\textsuperscript{117}, it is much more common to utilise a multi-stage process that involves the deposition of precursors, which are then incorporated into the final material by annealing in a sulphur atmosphere.

In the case of CZTS, the most widely studied material of interest in this thesis, it was the vacuum techniques that historically produced the highest efficiencies and received the most research attention\textsuperscript{108}, as a result of the high controllability and desire to study the new material. However, now CZTS has established itself as a viable technology, at least in the research community, trends are shifting toward non-vacuum based growth with the motivation for achieving high efficiencies with techniques that are better suited to low-cost industrial scale-up\textsuperscript{108}. The non-vacuum based techniques described above are all suitable to meet this end; however, one technique excels somewhat in its simplicity and adaptability.

**Chemical Bath Deposition**

Some of the results from the single crystal CZTS, presented in Chapter 6 were compared with measurements of thin-films of CZTS grown by chemical bath deposition (CBD)\textsuperscript{118}. This process dissolves precursor salts in a solution, which are then applied to a substrate by immersing it in the chemical bath and annealing. This technique is more controllable than some other non-vacuum processes as the choice of salts and solvent, composition of the solution, bath temperature, immersion time, annealing temperature and atmosphere can all be easily controlled\textsuperscript{119,120}. The speed and simplicity of the process allows many variables to be considered at the same time, improving research throughput\textsuperscript{108}.

Despite the promise of this technique, there are several drawbacks which limit its effectiveness. The quality, homogeneity, uniformity, and reproducibility of the produced films are generally lacking when compared to other techniques. This is because of the ineffective dissolving of the precursors\textsuperscript{121}, differences in volume expansion rates of the various precursors during annealing\textsuperscript{122}, or the presence of remnant precursor or solution residue in the finished films\textsuperscript{123,124}, all of which can affect the performance of devices. Nevertheless, the cost-effectiveness and simplicity of this technique show promise for future research.

**2.2 Ultrahigh Vacuum**

From a surface science point of view, the use of vacuum is almost ubiquitous. However, the techniques primarily used in surface science are now also finding application for technical materials as well.
2.2.1 The Necessity for Vacuum

There are two primary reasons why vacuum is a necessity for certain studies\textsuperscript{125}. First, the characterisation techniques involve the generation or measurement of electrons (or other particles) and their interaction with matter. This requires that the electrons are able to move from source, through the sample, to the detector, without losing energy. Also, the necessary equipment can require a level of vacuum, for example the production of electrons from a hot filament without oxidation. Second, because these techniques are surface sensitive, it is important that the surfaces being measured remain somewhat constant over the time period in which the measurement takes place.

There are different classifications of the quality of vacuum, dependent upon the pressure. These are categorised in Table 2.1, along with the mean free path of a nitrogen molecule at that pressure. Given that a vacuum system must be able to be sited within a laboratory, then unimpeded electron travel is satisfied by high vacuum, where an electron is expected to collide with the chamber walls before encountering a gas molecule.

The second condition may seem somewhat inconsequential for technical samples as they will already contain a layer of contamination present from atmospheric handling. However, further contamination may influence the signal from a measurement, or cause the sample to change in some other way. Furthermore, if a sample is required to be cleaned in vacuo, then it is important to maintain this cleanliness throughout measurements, which may take several hours. From the kinetic theory of gases, this level of cleanliness can be maintained for several hours with pressures of $1\times10^{-9}$ mbar and below (UHV), where it takes 1 hour or longer for the adsorption of one monolayer of contaminant\textsuperscript{126}.

It is noted that this level of cleanliness is of vital importance for surface science studies where the first few atomic layers and/or adlayers are under scrutiny\textsuperscript{126,127}; however, this can be relaxed somewhat due to the technical nature of the samples under study throughout this thesis. Nevertheless, care was ensured to achieve and maintain the best level of vacuum possible in order to ensure the non-dynamicity of all measurements performed here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Vacuum</th>
<th>Pressure (mbar)</th>
<th>Mean Free Path (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atmosphere</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>$1\times10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rough</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1\times10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$1\times10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (HV)</td>
<td>$1\times10^{-6}$</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrahigh (UHV)</td>
<td>$1\times10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$1\times10^{6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: Categories, or levels of vacuum classified by lowest pressure. Also shown is the mean free path of a nitrogen molecule at that pressure\textsuperscript{125}.
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2.2.2 Achieving UHV

This section outlines the requirements, techniques, and equipment used in providing the UHV environment necessary for undertaking the measurements presented throughout this thesis. Further details of the specifics of the equipment are given in Appendix B, §B.1.

Primarily, a modern UHV system comprises a stainless steel chamber with several ports to which different components can be attached, including: pumps, characterisation probes, means of manipulating and positioning samples around the chamber, features to prepare or grow samples, and even other complete systems that can be interconnected.

The ability to achieve UHV conditions is one of the main factors to be considered in system design, because it restricts the size, shape, and materials used in construction. If one assumes that a vacuum system has constant volume, then from the ideal gas law, the rate of change of number of molecules \( N \) is proportional to the rate of change in pressure \( p \), so that

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} \propto V \left( \frac{dp}{dt} \right) = G - pS, \tag{2.2}
\]

where \( G \) is the outgassing rate in litres millibar per second, and \( S \) is the combined pumping speed in litres per second. At higher pressures, the outgassing rate is small and the solution to Equation (2.3) takes exponential form. This results in the rapid removal of most of the gas molecules from the volume of the chamber, known as the viscous flow regime, where the gas in the chamber behaves as a massive fluid. At lower pressures, outgassing, the release of adsorbed gases upon a surface, affects the ability to remove further molecules from the chamber and therefore the ultimate pressure that a system can reach, in the steady state is

\[
p = \frac{G}{S}. \tag{2.3}
\]

At these lower pressures, gases no longer behave as a fluid because of the low number of molecules within the system, acting independently, hence the term molecular flow regime.

In order to lower the ultimate pressure of a system, either the pumping speed should be increased, or the outgassing rate should be minimised. The pumping speed is dictated by the efficiency of the pumps used in the system. Because the flow regime changes from viscous to molecular some point between atmospheric and UHV conditions, no single pump can cover this entire pressure range, because there are different pumping principles. For this reason, several pumps are attached to a system, with different uses for different gases under different conditions, and the operating principles of the main types are given in Appendix B, §B.1.

In the systems that will be described in §2.2.3 & §2.2.4, a level of medium vacuum was first achieved using scroll pumps, and then turbomolecular pumps were used to achieve UHV. UHV conditions were then maintained using a combination of ion pumps, titanium sublimation pumps (TSP) and hydrogen getters.
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The other way to improve the overall pressure of the system, and sometimes the most important factor, is to decrease the outgassing rate. At low pressures, molecules adsorbed to any surface within the chamber will begin to desorb, effectively raising the pressure\(^{127}\). The majority of the adsorbates are from water\(^{129}\), adsorbed to the chamber walls at atmospheric pressure. Eventually, this outgassing rate will decrease as the adsorbates desorb, but this will take a long time. Instead, the rate of desorption can be temporarily increased by raising the temperature of the entire system to around 150°C for a few days (bakeout)\(^{125-127}\). This causes the adsorbates to desorb much more quickly, so that after cooling down the system again to room temperature, the adsorbates should now be of such a low quantity that the outgassing rate is negligible, and the ultimate achievable pressure is much lower.

After bakeout, the limiting outgassing rates for a UHV system are from gases which either continue to desorb from inner surfaces, diffuse from the materials that the chamber itself is made from, or permeate through the chamber walls from the atmosphere\(^{125}\). Further desorption and permeation can be controlled through careful chamber design and materials use\(^{126}\). Adsorbates can only occur at surfaces, so the surface-to-volume ratio should be minimised by using spherical chambers and cylindrical connectors, and by minimising the use of corners and corrugations. Materials for use in UHV conditions are also restricted to those with low vapour pressures, with most components made from stainless steel, refractory metals, or various ceramics\(^{126}\). As such, the use of many plastics, adhesives, brass, rubber, solder, and various other volatile materials are unsuitable for UHV use\(^{126,127}\).

So that an entire system need not undergo bakeout each time a sample is to be introduced, it is usually separated into at least two parts: the main chamber of the system, which is kept at UHV conditions except during maintenance; and a secondary part, termed the load lock\(^{125}\). This is a small chamber which can be isolated and pumped separately from the main chamber. The reduced size allows much quicker pump down times, and UHV conditions are not necessarily required, as the sample is transferred to the main chamber through a low conductance port.

By observing these considerations, UHV pressures of \(\sim 1 \times 10^{-10}\) mbar are achievable and maintainable. The pressure in an UHV system is generally measured using an ion gauge, the operating principles of which are given in Appendix B, §B.1.

2.2.3 A 3-Chamber Surface Science System

An UHV system that was available to use for the measurements required in this thesis is shown in Figure 2.2. The vacuum schematic views in Figure 2.2a & b show the arrangement of peripherals attached to the chambers, as well as the pumping and sample manipulation availabilities\(^a\). Photographs of this system are shown in Figure 2.2c.

---

\(^a\) The key to vacuum symbols is given in Appendix B, §B.2.
It is capable of maintaining UHV pressures and performing the photoemission measurements needed. It consists of three chambers, designated analysis chamber\textsuperscript{b}, preparation chamber\textsuperscript{c}, and STM chamber\textsuperscript{d}. In addition to these main features, various evaporators can be added in order to perform in vacuo depositions, and the manipulators are equipped with means of annealing and cooling samples. In addition, the chambers and electron energy analyser are

\textsuperscript{b} Equipped with x-ray & UV guns, and an electron energy analyser for photoemission measurements.
\textsuperscript{c} Equipped with an ion gun, sample heating and cooling, and a LEED optic.
\textsuperscript{d} For STM measurements and long term sample storage.
made from mu-metal; a nickel-iron alloy which has high permeability and acts to shield the chamber from stray magnetic fields\textsuperscript{130}, which could affect the trajectory of electrons inside the vacuum.

These features are very useful concerning surface science studies, given that this system was designed as a synchrotron beamline endstation. However, they are somewhat superfluous to the electronic characterisation of the technical materials of interest here in the laboratory. Furthermore, the size of this system allows it to be easily customised, but the increased amount of features also lends to greater difficulty in achieving UHV pressures. The load lock of this system is situated in a different chamber to the analysis tools required here and therefore several transfers are required to bring a sample from entry to the point of analysis. Therefore, there was motivation to develop a new system that was better suited to the characterisations needed here, but the main limitation of this system is that the x-ray source is non-monochromated. This results in the production of satellite features that can complicate analysis of the spectra and also results in much poorer resolution when compared to a monochromated x-ray source. Discussion of the improvements gained with using a monochromated x-ray source is given in §2.3.2.

2.2.4 A Single-Chamber Electronic Characterisation System

An UHV system was therefore designed and built with the requirements of:

1. Performing photoemission measurements required for the work presented here.
2. Incorporating a monochromated x-ray source.
3. Having a compact design with good conductance and minimal sample transfers.
4. Being constructed from mu-metal in order to shield from stray magnetic fields.
5. Having the ability to clean the surfaces of samples.
6. Having the ability to store samples within vacuum.

The conductance of a system causes detriment to the effective pumping speed of the pumps ($S_{\text{eff}}$), such that\textsuperscript{1}

$$\frac{1}{S_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{1}{S} + \frac{1}{C_{\text{Tot}}},$$

(2.4)

where $S$ is the total pumping speed and $C_{\text{Tot}}$ is the total conductance of the components in question, given through the combination of the individual conductances either connected in series,

$$\frac{1}{C_{\text{Tot}}} = \sum_i \frac{1}{C_i},$$

(2.5)

or parallel,
\[ C_{\text{Tot}} = \sum_i C_i. \] (2.6)

From Equation (2.4), the effective pumping speed equals the given pumping speed if \( C_{\text{Tot}} = \infty \), but otherwise the conductance should be maximised by either connecting components together in parallel, or by using components with high conductances\(^{131}\). The conductance of a component is dependent upon the geometry and is reduced by length, corners, obstructions, abrupt changes in cross-sectional area, blind voids, and other increases in surface-to-volume ratios\(^{128}\).

For these reasons, spherical components were used where possible, otherwise short, wide cylindrical pipework and tubing was used, and extra pipework was included which connected low conductance areas of the system to the pumps in parallel, which increased the conductance in places.

The system in its current state is shown in Figure 2.3, and at various stages throughout assembly in Appendix B, §B.3. The vacuum schematic views in Figure 2.3a & b show the arrangement of peripherals attached to the chamber, as well as the pumping and sample manipulation availabilities\(^6\). Photographs of this system are shown in Figure 2.3c.

The system has a single main chamber, in which all of the characterisation peripherals\(^f\) are confocal to the centre, where the sample is positioned. Sample entry and transfers are facilitated through a two stage entry system, with the first stage capable of loading four samples simultaneously and the second stage containing means of cleaning the sample, akin to the preparation chamber in the system described in §2.2.3, but on a much smaller scale and without the need for an extra manipulator. All three of these sections of the system can be pumped individually, allowing maintenance or modification to be performed modularly.

In order to achieve a representative measurement using photoemission techniques, it is sometimes necessary to remove contaminants from the surface of the samples, which have formed as a result of atmospheric handling, or during the growth\(^{126}\). Photoemission techniques are very surface sensitive in nature, and as such, contamination at the surface can attenuate signal arising from the underlying material of interest. Cleaning therefore must be performed in vacuo, because any ex situ cleaning will be somewhat reversed during the time spent between cleaning and entry to the UHV system. Contaminants present at the surface of samples are usually atmospheric in nature and can vary from chemisorbed species, such as surface oxidation, to physisorbed species, which are bonded by van der Waals forces such as water vapour or hydrocarbons\(^{126}\).

---

\(^{6}\) The key to vacuum symbols is given in Appendix B, §B.2.

\(^{f}\) X-ray gun with monochromator, UV gun, electron energy analyser, electron gun, and IPES detector.
Several methods can be used for this cleaning, including crystal cleavage, or the introduction of reactive gases\textsuperscript{126,127}. Neither of these techniques was suitable for the technical samples and single crystals studied in this work, as they are more suited for large metallic single crystals or refractory metals. Instead, sputtering and annealing was employed, which is also used for the cleaning of bulk metal single crystals for surface science studies\textsuperscript{132}, whereby the annealing also segregates bulk contaminants at the surface, which are then etched away.

Bombardment of a sample surface with energetic argon ions results in the removal of surface layers by sputtering. This can be used for any sample\textsuperscript{127}, but will result in some level of disorder at the surface\textsuperscript{126}, which can then be remedied by annealing, allowing the atoms to
relax into their original positions in the crystal structure\textsuperscript{126}. Thermal annealing can also result in the direct desorption of surface contamination, further aiding the cleaning process\textsuperscript{127}. In the system shown in Figure 2.3, thermal annealing was possible in the chamber due to the radiative heating element mounted beneath the sample in the manipulator. Argon ions could be directed at a sample using one of the two ion guns\textsuperscript{8} present in the system. One gun was located in the chamber, allowing surface cleaning and measurement without the need for sample transfer, and another directed at the preparation transfer arm, allowing the cleaning of particularly contaminated samples, where the removal of the contaminants could compromise the vacuum in the chamber. In both cases, argon ions were produced using the same technique, and the gun is shown in Figure 2.4. A constant stream of clean argon gas\textsuperscript{h} was allowed into the vacuum at the back of the gun via a leak valve. The gas molecules then pass over a hot filament which thermionically produces electrons, ionising the gas molecules. The ions are then accelerated through a potential, focussed, and emitted toward the sample as a beam\textsuperscript{125}.

The cleanliness of the samples is important in order to achieve a representative measurement, but a high level of rigour in the employed cleaning methods is necessary only for surface science characterisation techniques that demand that the sample be completely free of contamination\textsuperscript{132}. For photoemission spectroscopy, measurements can still take place with a small amount of remnant contamination, and the effects can be addressed. Indeed, the nature of the single crystals and technical samples studied here\textsuperscript{i} suggests that preparation to a surface science standard would be excessive, or even impossible, not least because when cleaning binary sulphides in vacuo, there is a high chance that prolonged ion beam exposure or high temperatures will cause preferential removal of the sulphur\textsuperscript{133–137}, inducing stoichiometric changes in the samples and jeopardising the goal of achieving a representative surface.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{ion-gun-diagram.png}
\caption{Schematic diagram of an ion gun used for surface cleaning, showing how argon gas is ionised & then formed into a beam which is accelerated towards a sample.}
\end{figure}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{8} PSP Vacuum Technology ISIS-3000.
\item \textsuperscript{h} The argon feed line was cleaned by means of the scroll pump attached to the gas line (see Figure 2.3a).
\item \textsuperscript{i} Small, irregular morphology, unpolished, rough surface.
\end{itemize}
2.3 Photoemission Spectroscopy

The electronic properties, electronic structure, and chemical environments present in a material are commonly studied using different forms of photoemission spectroscopy (PES). These are based on the measurement of the energy of electrons emitted from a sample via the photoelectric effect. Electron spectroscopies are attractive measurement techniques because the generation, manipulation, and detection of electrons, is simple and easily implemented.

2.3.1 Principles & Theory

Different types of PES are described primarily by the type of excitation used, and then secondarily by any other distinguishing features, which leads to the various techniques and their associated, often voiced acronyms. In this thesis, x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS), ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy (UPS), and inverse photoemission spectroscopy (IPES) are of interest, and because much of the theory is shared amongst them, it will be described here in terms of the more commonly used XPS, with the specifics for UPS and IPES discussed later.

XPS is used to probe the occupied electronic states of a material by measuring the kinetic energy of electrons that have been emitted from the sample due to the transfer of energy from incoming x-ray photons, which have energy ~1000 eV.

Photoemission

The photoemission process is based on the photoelectric effect, separated into three stages:

1. X-ray photons of known energy interact with electrons in the atomic orbitals of a material, creating photoelectrons.
2. These electrons then move from the point of generation to the surface of the sample, undergoing various scattering processes.
3. At the surface of the sample, the electrons overcome the work function, and are emitted into the vacuum.

In the simplest description of photoemission, the electron undergoes no energy losses as it moves through the sample, resulting in a photoelectron kinetic energy that is related to the photon energy, its binding energy, and the work function of the sample. In reality, many other effects can come into play, which will be introduced later, but the main limiting factor of the photoemission process after photon absorption is the inelastic mean free path of the electrons (IMFP$_e$). The incoming photons penetrate the sample to a depth $\sim$1 μm, and so photoelectrons are produced also to this depth. The IMFP$_e$ is energy dependant, but generally independent of material, giving rise to the ‘universal curve’, which shows that over the electron kinetic energy range 10–1000 eV, the IMFP$_e$ is $\sim$1 nm, meaning that the majority

---

1 Most of XPS electron energies fall in this range
of electrons contributing to the XPS signal, having undergone no lossy collisions, originate from the top few atomic layers of a sample. This is why XPS is considered a surface sensitive technique\(^{142}\). The rest of the photoelectrons produced either escape the sample having lost some kinetic energy, or do not have the energy to overcome the work function once reaching the surface of the sample.

At the third stage of the photoemission process, the electrons undergo a loss of energy overcoming the work function of the sample. This loss is not included with those from the second stage because it is a necessary one in order to perform the measurements. This loss mechanism is therefore integral to the photoemission process and is included in the characteristic PES equations. It is therefore prudent to introduce the concept of work function and how the PES measurements are affected by it.

In §1.6.2, the definition of the work function of a material was introduced as the energy difference between the Fermi-level and the vacuum level. In this description, the work function can be imagined as an energy barrier that an electron must overcome in order to pass from the surface of a material, to a point at rest just outside the surface of a material, in vacuum. Such a barrier exists due to the contribution of two factors\(^1,143\). The bulk contribution is dominant and arises because of the inner potential of the crystal structure. In addition to this is the surface contribution from the dipole formed by the electron density overlapping slightly into the vacuum at the boundary of the solid, which is dependent upon surface features and can be different for dissimilar surfaces of the same material\(^5\). Consequently, the concept of vacuum level is only well-defined in a region proximal to the surface so that the effect of the surface dipole is experienced. The vacuum level is therefore material dependent and is different to the concept of the vacuum level at infinity which is invariant\(^{144}\). It would appear that reference to the vacuum level at infinity would be more suitable, but because any measurement of an electron energy must involve the use of an analyser, which has its own work function and vacuum level, then the vacuum level at infinity is immeasurable and not of consideration here. The resulting difference in vacuum levels between two materials is not of concern because it is compensated for by the Fermi-level alignment of two materials in electrical contact: a necessity for PES measurements.

In connecting a sample electrically to the analyser, the Fermi-levels of the two systems align, resulting in the situation shown in Figure 2.5. Electrons in the sample have a binding energy (\(E_B\)) with respect to the Fermi-level. Incident photons transfer their energy (\(h\nu\)) to the electrons, producing photoelectrons which escape the sample after overcoming the BE and the work function of the sample (\(\phi_S\)), so that they have a vacuum kinetic energy (\(E'_k\)) of

\[
E'_k = h\nu - E_B - \phi_S. \tag{2.7}
\]

\(^k\) For example, different crystallographic facets.
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Then, because the analyser is connected to the sample and because it has its own work function \( \phi_A \), which must be yielded by a measured electron, the measured KE is

\[
E_K = E_K' - (\phi_A - \phi_S) = h\nu - E_B - \phi_S - (\phi_A - \phi_S) = h\nu - E_B - \phi_A, \tag{2.8}
\]

which is more commonly written as

\[
E_B = h\nu - E_K - \phi_A. \tag{2.9}
\]

Equation (2.9) is used in order to convert the measured kinetic energies into more meaningful binding energies and is independent of sample work function. This means that different samples can be measured, given that they are in electrical contact with the analyser. The practical method of implementing this relationship is described in §2.3.3.

**Chemical Shifts**

The atomic binding energies vary for different elements, and as such, allow one to determine which elements are present in a sample. However, it is the information about the chemical environments within a material that XPS can provide that makes it such a powerful technique. Indeed, an early pioneer of XPS, Kai Siegbahn coined the term electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis (ESCA) instead of XPS in order to demonstrate this\textsuperscript{145,146}. This term has now fallen out of favour because of the need to distinguish between the many other types of electron spectroscopy available\textsuperscript{140}.

The BE of an electron arises from the electrostatic interaction between itself and the positive nuclear charge of an atom, but is also dependent upon the presence of other electrons around the atom, which can cause screening of the nuclear potential, and results in a lowering of the BE of the electron. With relation to photoemission, nuclear screening results in the photoelectron having greater KE than it otherwise would from overcoming the nuclear potential alone. Such is the case for the single atomic picture.
In the case of solids, one can imagine that the nuclear screening is affected also by interatomic interactions, both nuclear and electronic. However, for metallic elements, that is elements with an oxidation state of 0, analogies can be drawn to the single atomic picture and act as a baseline BE, shown in Figure 2.6a, neglecting effects of the free electron gas (FEG) for metallic solids, which will be addressed regarding photoemission in the next section.

Changes in electron density surrounding an atom can cause the amount of nuclear screening to increase or decrease, which in turn produces a lower or higher BE for an electron, respectively. This can be induced in many different ways, but a simple interpretation of the concept comes from ionic bonding. For a cation, there is less electron density surrounding the atom because some electrons have been transferred to the anion, which results in less nuclear screening, and a lower measured photoelectron KE, relating to a higher BE. The opposite is true in the case of anions, where more electron density surrounds the nucleus. Generally, when considering an ionic picture, a higher oxidation state results in a larger BE shift from the case of the metallic species, such that $E_B^{2+} > E_B^+ > E_B^0 > E_B^- > E_B^{2-}$, but there are other factors which can affect this.

In the case of covalent or mixed bonding materials, similar trends apply, given that most inorganic materials can be assigned formal oxidation states, but the size of the BE shifts are also dependent upon the level of covalency, the strength of the bonds, and also the differences in electronegativity between two atoms. These are again responsible for a shift in electronic density either away from, or toward an atom by different amounts, in turn affecting the nuclear screening, but generally, a larger electronegativity difference will result in a larger BE shift.

---

Figure 2.6: Demonstration of the differences in nuclear screening & therefore binding energies of an electron in a) a metallic species with zero oxidation number, b) a cationic species with positive oxidation number, & c) an anionic species with negative oxidation number. Such changes in the energies of photoemitted electrons result in the chemical shifts observed in spectra. Symbols are as-defined in the text.

---

1 See Figure 2.6b.

2 See Figure 2.6c.

3 That is, a greater difference from 0 in either direction.
because of the greater shift in electron density\textsuperscript{147}, and a longer bond length results in smaller BE shifts because of the lessened action at a distance to transfer electron density\textsuperscript{148,149}.

Described above is the simplest, somewhat naïve description of chemical BE shifts in XPS, which is good as an initial starting point and shall be the model used to account for the majority of the shifts seen in the spectra presented here. However, there are many other effects which can alter binding energies. Some of these occur after the production of the photoelectron, are termed final-state effects and will be discussed in the next section. Others, which are present regardless of the photoemission process and depend on the chemical environment, are deemed initial-state effects\textsuperscript{125}, include the shifts described above, but can also depend on the number of bonds present\textsuperscript{131}, crystal field effects\textsuperscript{146}, or ionic potentials\textsuperscript{150,151}. Combinations of these effects within a material can make predictions of absolute binding energies difficult.

**Spectral Features**

Ideally, photoemission will produce a series of peaks with binding energies representative of each of the orbitals of each of the elements within a sample, with shifts between peaks arising as a result of differing chemical environments. However, other processes can occur during photoemission which means that other features are sometimes present within a spectrum.

Because it is the kinetic energies of the electrons that are measured in an XPS experiment, but the binding energies have greater use, it is customary to display an XPS spectrum of intensity as a function of decreasing BE\textsuperscript{125}.

If photoemission results in an electron that has not lost any energy and originates from a non-valence orbital, then the peak is described as a core-level (CL) peak. These are identified using spectroscopic notation of the form $n\ell_j$, representing the principle, azimuthal, and total angular momentum quantum numbers\textsuperscript{125}. Core-levels with BE up to the photon energy are accessible, and an example of an XPS survey spectrum is shown in Figure 2.7, for a CdTe.

![Figure 2.7: Example XPS survey spectrum from a sample of CdTe measured by the author\textsuperscript{785}. Core-level features, including spin-orbit split components are marked in blue, & Auger features are marked in red.](image-url)
Table 2.2: XPS spin-orbit doublet intensity ratios due to the electronic occupancy of the orbitals\textsuperscript{125}. $s$ orbitals demonstrate a singlet peak as only one $\mathcal{j}$-value is possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\ell$</th>
<th>$\mathcal{j}$</th>
<th>Occupancy ((2\mathcal{j} + 1))</th>
<th>Doublet Intensity Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0, s</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, p</td>
<td>1/2, 3/2</td>
<td>2, 4</td>
<td>1:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, d</td>
<td>3/2, 5/2</td>
<td>4, 6</td>
<td>2:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, f</td>
<td>5/2, 7/2</td>
<td>6, 8</td>
<td>3:4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sample, with the CL features marked in blue. For $\ell = 0$, $\mathcal{j} = 1/2$ is the only possible value, and so $s$ orbitals result in a singlet. For $\ell > 0$, $\mathcal{j}$ can take two values, and so results in a doublet, separated by an energy known as the spin-orbit splitting. Due to the occupancy of each of the doublet orbitals, this results in the intensity ratios between the doublet peaks as shown in Table 2.2.

If the photon is absorbed by a valence electron, the photoemission process can still occur, but because the valence orbitals are involved in bonding, the resulting spectrum is a series of broad features, reflecting the DoS in the VB.

During the second stage of the photoemission process, there is a finite probability that the photoelectron will lose energy as a result of inelastic scattering as it moves through the sample. This manifests as a rising background of secondary electrons, increasing with BE, onto which the photoemission peaks are superimposed, as seen in the example in Figure 2.7.

Features due to the Auger effect are also present in PES spectra\textsuperscript{9} because the photoemission process can also induce the Auger effect, which is shown in Figure 2.8. After photoemission, the core-hole left by the photoemitted electron can be filled by an electron from a higher orbital relaxing into it, which in turn causes the emission of a second, Auger electron, whose KE is related to the energy level differences and is independent of the photon energy\textsuperscript{152}. Because of

![Figure 2.8: Electron emission from a) the photoelectric effect, & b) the Auger effect, where an electron from a higher orbital fills the core-hole, transferring this energy difference to another electron which is emitted as well.](image)

\textsuperscript{9} Shown in red in Figure 2.7.
the multitude of orbitals which can be involved in the Auger process, these features manifest in PES spectra as broad, energy-expansive features with multiple peaks and are identified using x-ray notation of the form\textsuperscript{135}:

\[ n_{\ell+1}^{(1)} n_{\ell+1}^{(2)} n_{\ell+1}^{(3)} \]

with \( n^{(1)} \), \( n^{(2)} \), and \( n^{(3)} \) representing the orbital in which the photoemission process took place, the orbital from which the relaxing electron originated, and the orbital form which the Auger electron was emitted, respectively.

Final-state effects produce quantised loss features in XPS spectra, manifesting as extra peaks or features to the higher BE side of the main peak.

In materials with unpaired electrons in the outer shell, photoelectrons can couple with these via exchange interactions, resulting in extra peaks for the parallel and anti-parallel spin couplings in these interactions\textsuperscript{125,131,147}. Such features are named multiplet splittings.

As a photoelectron is emitted, there is a chance that it can interact with a valence electron, promoting it to an empty conduction state and hence reducing the KE of the photoelectron by the energy difference between the excited state and the ground state, thereby producing features at higher BE in the spectrum. These are called shake-up features and are more likely for certain elements in certain oxidation states, especially where unpaired electrons exist in the VB\textsuperscript{125,131}. An example of this is shown in Figure 2.9, which shows the Cu 2p region for a material that contains a mixture of the Cu\textsuperscript{+} and Cu\textsuperscript{2+} oxidation states\textsuperscript{153}. For the Cu\textsuperscript{+} oxidation state, the valence 3d orbital is full, and no shake-up features are observed; however, for the Cu\textsuperscript{2+} oxidation state, the 3d orbital contains nine electrons and the photoemitted 2p electrons can excite the unpaired 3d electron, resulting in strong features at higher BE (marked in blue).

A photoelectron can also excite valence electrons out of the material, producing shake-off features, which often have low intensity and are masked by the background\textsuperscript{131}. For metals, the

![Figure 2.9: Example XPS Cu 2p region\textsuperscript{153} from a sample containing a mixed amount of Cu\textsuperscript{+} & Cu\textsuperscript{2+}, demonstrating the satellite features from the shake-up process (blue) & using a non-monochromatic x-ray source (red).]
electron density is high at the Fermi-level, with a continuum of states above the Fermi-level with no forbidden gap, resulting in a continuum of shake-up possibilities. Instead of producing discrete features in the spectrum, this manifests as an asymmetric tail of states to the higher BE side of the main peak.\textsuperscript{125}

Metals also contribute another mechanism that can result in photoelectron energy losses. It can occur because of the coupling of the photoelectron with plasmon oscillations in the FEG and results in a series of loss features separated from the main peak by multiples of the plasma frequency, reducing in intensity. Both bulk and surface plasmon oscillations can contribute to these loss features, with the latter occurring at smaller shifts, related to the surface plasma frequency. Such loss features can also occur in non-metals, but with reduced intensity\textsuperscript{125,131}.

**Ultraviolet Photoemission Spectroscopy**

The photoemission principle is the same for UPS as it is for XPS, with the difference being the energy of the incoming photons. For XPS, x-ray photons with energy \( \sim 1000 \text{ eV} \) are used, but for UPS, the UV photons have energy \( \sim 10 \text{ eV} \). At this level, only electrons originating from the VB of materials are probed\textsuperscript{140}. The much narrower linewidth of the excitation sources used here also allow much higher resolutions to be achieved with UPS and this shall be further discussed in §2.3.4. UPS finds greater use in surface science studies\textsuperscript{130}, but can also be used in elucidating the electronic structure of technical samples.

**Inverse Photoemission Spectroscopy**

Where PES investigates the occupied states of a material, there exists a complementary technique which allows the unoccupied states above the Fermi-level to be investigated by equivocally the opposite process, that is, inverse photoemission spectroscopy (IPES). Directing a beam of energetic electrons at a sample causes them to relax into any of the unoccupied states within a material that have energy less than the KE of the electron. This relaxation process then emits a photon whose energy is related to the KE of the electron and the energy of the unoccupied state\textsuperscript{140}. In this way, the process is very similar to the photoemission process, with the difference that the electron levels involved are above the Fermi-level, and have negative BE. Equations similar to those for PES also hold, and are derived from the energy level diagram shown in Figure 2.10.

Electrons with kinetic energy \( (E'_K) \) relative to the vacuum level of the sample, relax to an occupied state with binding energy \( (E_B) \), and release a photon with energy \( (h\nu) \), such that

\[
h\nu = E'_K + \phi_S - E_B, \tag{2.11}
\]

where \( \phi_S \) is the work function of the sample. Because the electrons were emitted from an electron gun that is electrically connected to the sample, a similar condition to that in PES holds, allowing samples of different work functions to be measured by accelerating the electrons to a kinetic energy relative to the vacuum level of the electron gun \( (E_K) \), so that
\[ E_K = E'_K + \phi_S - \phi_G, \quad (2.12) \]

then,

\[ h\nu = E_K + \phi_G - \phi_S + \phi_S - E_B = E_K - E_B + \phi_G, \quad (2.13) \]

which is more commonly written as

\[ E_B = E_K + \phi_G - h\nu. \quad (2.14) \]

So, the binding energies of the unoccupied states can be determined from Equation (2.14) by measuring the emitted photon energies and the accelerating kinetic energy of the incoming electrons. Such it is that Equation (2.14) is the negative of that for PES, Equation (2.9).

### 2.3.2 Operation & Implementation

In this section, the experimental implementation of the PES techniques will be described, including the necessary equipment. Common to all of the techniques is the necessity of using UHV for the reasons discussed in §2.2.1 and to connect the sample electrically to the rest of the system\(^p\) in order to align the Fermi-levels so that Equations (2.9) & (2.14) hold true. This can be achieved by attaching part of the surface of the sample and also supporting the back of the sample with conducting materials, and then connecting these to the remainder of the UHV system, so that the Fermi-levels of all of these parts align\(^145\). However, for reasons that will be discussed in §2.3.4, the sample is usually connected to a floating ground, which passes through a power supply, before both this and the UHV system are connected to a common ground.

**X-Ray Photoemission Spectroscopy**

X-rays are produced by bombarding a target material with high energy electrons\(^147\). The schematic of a typical x-ray gun is shown in Figure 2.11. It consists of an isolated rod, the end of which is coated with the target material. It is customary to coat the end of the rod with two

\(^p\) Specifically the analyser and electron gun.
different materials in order that different photon energies can be produced. In close proximity to the target material is a filament\(^4\), which can thermionically produce electrons if a current is passed through it. By applying a high positive voltage\(^5\) to the target-capped rod (anode), the electrons are accelerated and bombard the target material. This causes the emission of x-rays with various energies, which are then directed towards a sample. The inside of the anode is supplied with circulating water, which counteracts the electron impact-induced heating of the anode.

Two physical processes are involved in the production of x-rays by electron bombardment and are shown in Figure 2.12a. The first is the production of bremsstrahlung, caused by the deceleration of electrons by the positively charged nucleus of the target material and produces x-rays with a continuum of energies, shown by the background of the red spectrum in Figure 2.12c. The peaks shown in this spectrum are results of characteristic x-rays of the target material, which are produced when an impinging electron transfers some of its KE to a core electron in the target material, ejecting it. This then results in a secondary inelastically scattered electron, the ejected electron, and a core-hole from where the ejected electron originated. An electron in a higher energy level then relaxes into this core-hole, emitting a photon with energy equal to the difference between the two energy levels involved. These then appear as a series of peaks in the emission spectrum, with energies and intensities ratios dependent upon the target material\(^6\).

In order to build a meaningful spectrum, Equation (2.9) assumes that the photons that are incident on the sample are of a single energy; however, the production of secondary characteristic x-rays and also the bremsstrahlung means that photons of many energies reach the sample. This is known as a non-monochromated x-ray source and results in extra features

---

\(^4\) One for each target material.

\(^5\) \(\approx 12\) kV.
The bremsstrahlung adds to the background of spectra because they are a continuum, and can be suppressed somewhat by the aluminium window through which the photons are directed in non-monochromated sources, shown in Figure 2.11. This window also serves to disperse some of the anode heating and acts as a stray electron extractor\(^{125}\). On the other hand, the secondary characteristic x-rays produce features which mirror the photoemission processes but have lower intensities and appear shifted on the BE scale of a spectrum because it is calibrated to the main photon energy using Equation (2.9). Such features are shown in Figure 2.9 (x-ray satellites) and can complicate the analysis of spectra\(^ {125}\). The primary emission lines used in non-monochromatic XPS are usually Al K\(_\alpha\) (1486.6 eV) and Mg K\(_{\alpha,\beta}\) (1253.6 eV), both of which have a natural linewidth < 1 eV, with magnesium generally favoured because of its slightly narrower linewidth\(^ {154}\). These lines are represented by the red shading in Figure 2.12c.

The selection of one specific energy of photon from the emission spectrum can be achieved through diffraction\(^ {125,130,131,140}\) in a process called monochromation, which is usually designed to select the Al K\(_\alpha\) line by using a quartz (10\(\bar{1}0\)) crystal. The conditions used to produce this are shown in Figure 2.12b. From the source, x-rays are incident on the crystal at an angle (\(\theta\)) to the plane of the crystal. From the Bragg condition for diffraction\(^ {4}\), constructive interference occurs between crystal planes if

\[
2d \sin(\theta) = n\lambda, \tag{2.15}
\]

\(^{4}\) Further explored in §2.6.1.
where \( d \) is the interplanar distance, \( n \) is an integer order of diffraction, and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the radiation. For Al K\( \alpha_1 \) radiation,

\[
\lambda = \frac{hc}{E} = \frac{hc}{1486.6 \text{ eV}} \approx 8.34 \text{ Å},
\]

and for quartz \((10\overline{1}0)\)^\text{\textsuperscript{155}},

\[
d = a \cos(30^\circ) \approx 4.25 \text{ Å}.
\]

This results in a Bragg angle of

\[
\theta = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2d}\right) \approx 78.87^\circ,
\]

and an angular separation between source and sample\(^1\) of

\[
2\varphi = 2(90 - \theta) \approx 22.27^\circ.
\]

Then, by satisfying the reflection conditions and using the angular separation found here, a focussed, monochromatic x-ray beam will be incident on the sample. This is fulfilled by placing the source, sample, and crystal tangent to the Rowland circle and equating the incidence and reflection angles.

At a sacrifice of some intensity, the monochromated x-ray source benefits from no satellite features, no contribution to the background by bremsstrahlung, and because after monochromation, the linewidth is independent of the natural linewidth from emission and is dependent on the errors associated with the properties of the crystal, a much higher resolution of spectra is possible, represented by the black dashed line in Figure 2.12c.

In the system described in §\textsuperscript{2.2.3}, a twin anode, non-monochromated x-ray source was available\(^6\), but the system described in §\textsuperscript{2.2.4} benefitted from a monochromated x-ray source\(^6\).

The spectrometer used in XPS can be divided into two parts, the analyser, which only allows electrons of a certain energy to pass through, and the detector, which counts the number of electrons that were allowed to pass through the analyser. Then, by sweeping across the possible kinetic energies, and counting at each point, a spectrum can be generated.

There are many ways of analysing the kinetic energies of electrons, but the most used in PES is the concentric hemispherical analyser (CHA), shown in Figure 2.13. This consists of two concentric, hemispherical plates, between which, a potential is applied\(^{125,127}\). An incident electron will traverse around if its kinetic energy \((E''_K)\) is such that the deflecting potentials keep it on a concentric course with respect to the plates, this is termed the pass energy \((E_P)\) of the analyser\(^{127}\). Electrons with energy greater than the pass energy will collide with the outer

\(^1\) As shown in Figure 2.12.
\(^6\) PSP Vacuum Technology TX400.
\(^6\) SPECS XRC-1000M.
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Plate, and those with lower energy will collide with the inner plate. By changing the potential applied across the plates, one can alter the pass energy and theoretically sweep through the range of kinetic energies required, counting the intensity of electrons at each dwell point.

From the physical size of the analyser and the slits, a small range of kinetic energies can pass through the analyser taking different trajectories. This uncertainty contributes to the widths of the peaks observed in a spectrum and is given by \[ \Delta E_A = E_p \left( \frac{w}{2R_c} + (\Delta \alpha)^2 \right), \] (2.20)

where \( w \) is the average width of the slits used in the analyser, \( \Delta \alpha \) is the acceptance angle of the analyser, and \( R_c \) is the radius of the trajectory for an electron at exactly the pass energy, calculated as the average radius of the inner \( (R_i) \) and outer \( (R_o) \) hemispheres. In order to pass an electron of maximum KE from an XPS experiment, assuming a slit width of 2 mm, and neglecting angular divergence, then a resolution of 1 eV requires an analyser of radius, \( \Delta \alpha = 0. \)

resulting in an analyser so large that it is impractical. It is also noted that because different KE electrons require different pass energies, this results in different resolutions throughout the spectrum. In order to maintain a constant resolution throughout the spectrum, and also to ensure good resolutions with an analyser of manageable size, the analyser is operated with a constant pass energy and a series of electrostatic lenses at the entrance serve to retard the electrons to the appropriate energies, so that

\[ E''_K = E_K - E_R, \] (2.22)

\[ E_K \approx 1500 \text{ eV}. \]

\[ \Delta \alpha = 0. \]
where $E_R$ is the retardation energy resulting from the lenses. For example, if a pass energy of 20 eV is chosen, then the hemispherical voltages are set to maintain this. Then, if the retarding lenses are set to retard all of the entering electrons by 200 eV, only electrons which had KE from the sample of 220 eV will be able to pass through the analyser and be counted. Then, by sweeping the retarding voltage across the range of energies required, the spectrum can be generated with a constant resolution throughout.

Counting the electrons that are allowed to pass through the analyser is achieved by using electron multipliers. These consist of a tube coated in a material that allows secondary electron generation after being struck by an electron emerging from the analyser. Then, by applying a positive potential to the back of the tube, a current can be measured that is related to the amount of electrons entering the multiplier.

In all cases throughout this thesis, the CHA analysers used were the same\textsuperscript{7}, had an average radius of 120 mm, a variety of slit widths were available for selection, and the detector consisted of five multipliers placed in the exit plane of the analyser.

**Ultraviolet Photoemission Spectroscopy**

The generation of UV photons is achieved by using gas discharge lamps, which generate photons through the partial ionisation of the gas. A high voltage strikes the gas molecules, and the ions then collide into neutral molecules, gaining electrons from them in the process. The subsequent relaxation of these electrons then emits photons of characteristic energies. For UPS in this thesis, He(I) discharge was chosen\textsuperscript{z}. A problem with this type of UV source is that secondary emission lines are produced as well as the main one, similar to non-monochromated x-ray sources, and as such, these cause satellites in the spectra. A great advantage of using a UV source over an x-ray source is the much narrower natural linewidth of the emission line\textsuperscript{aa}.

The same CHA was used for UPS measurements, but with a much lower pass energy to benefit from the improved resolutions afforded by the narrower linewidths.

**Inverse Photoemission Spectroscopy**

An IPES experiment requires a source of electrons and a way of counting and measuring the energies of the emitted photons.

Using electrons of high enough KE results in the emission of photons with a spectrum of energies below the KE of the electrons, the measurement of which is used to produce the spectrum. This method, shown in Figure 2.14a, is called tunable photon energy (TPE), and whilst possible, requires expensive and complex equipment to perform, because the energy analysis of photons is difficult. Instead, IPES experiments were performed using the

\textsuperscript{7} PSP Vacuum Technology RESOLVE120MCD5.

\textsuperscript{z} $h\nu = 21.22$ eV.

\textsuperscript{aa} 1.2 meV for He(I)\textsuperscript{157}. 

isochromat method, which exploits the fact that the KE of the electrons acts as a cutoff for the energy of the emitted photons. By using a photon detector coupled with a photon bandpass filter, this analyser can then count photons of a single, fixed energy. From Equation (2.14), it can be seen that if the photon energy remains constant, then the different binding energies can be investigated by sweeping through the electron kinetic energies instead; a more easily achieved process, shown in Figure 2.14b.

In this thesis, the electron gun\textsuperscript{bb} consisted of a BaO electron dispenser and accelerating and focussing electrodes to produce a collimated beam of electrons with controllable kinetic energies. The photon detector\textsuperscript{cc} consisted of a SrF\textsubscript{2} window acting as a low-pass filter for the photons, which then produce photoelectrons on striking a NaCl-coated tantalum cone, where the photoionisation limit of NaCl acts as a high-pass filter. These electrons are then detected using an electron multiplier and related to photon intensity by the same method as in the CHA.

### 2.3.3 Spectrometer Calibrations

Equations (2.9) & (2.14) suggest that in order to reproduce the binding energies of a sample, the work function of the analyser or electron gun must be known. In practice, this determination is difficult, and instead is compensated for through the benefits afforded by the alignment of the Fermi-levels when the sample is electrically connected to the system.

\textsuperscript{bb} PSP Vacuum Technology IPES Electron Gun.

\textsuperscript{cc} PSP Vacuum Technology IPES Detector.
Before a PES or IPES experiment can be performed, an energy-scale calibration must be implemented by taking measurements of a pure metal sample. Silver foil is commonly used for this purpose because of its relative inertness and ease to clean, using high energy Ar\(^+\) bombardment, which removes the surface contamination.

Because metals have occupied density of states up to the Fermi-level, in PES there is a cutoff, above which no states are occupied and therefore no photoelectrons can be produced. By definition, the binding energy is referenced to the Fermi-level, and so this cutoff occurs at \(E_B = 0\) eV. Measurement of the KE of electrons arising from the Fermi-edge therefore allow the BE scale to be set, placing the origin at the Fermi-edge, and Equation (2.9) becomes

\[
E_B = E_K(\text{Fermi}) - E_K. \tag{2.23}
\]

In IPES, the same cutoff exists, but for the unoccupied density of states, and so at this point Equation (2.14) becomes

\[
E_B = E_K - E_K(\text{Fermi}). \tag{2.24}
\]

After performing this measurement, subsequent measurements of any sample will result in the calculation of accurate binding energies as long as the sample is electrically connected to the system, that is, if the binding energies are always referenced from the coincidental Fermi-edge.

The Fermi-edges for a silver foil measured by XPS, UPS, and IPES are shown in Figure 2.15a, b, & c, respectively, after the BE scale has been corrected. Theoretically, the Fermi-level results in a sharp transition between the (un)occupied density of states to zero probability; however, it is physically modelled by the Fermi-Dirac distribution function, the fitting of which to the spectrum allows the determination of the Fermi-level position. These fittings are also shown in Figure 2.15.

![Figure 2.15: Fermi-edge spectra of a cleaned silver foil measured using a) XPS, b) UPS, & c) IPES. Fitted Fermi-Dirac distribution functions shown in red.](image)
XPS measurements of the silver foil also allow the linearity of the spectrometer to be checked, that is, whether the electrons with a range of KE are measured with the same accuracy by the spectrometer. This is done by measuring various CL regions and Auger features of the silver and checking their BE, which are well known and standardised for the pure, clean metal\textsuperscript{156}.

The measurement of the cleaned metal foil also allows one to estimate some of the factors contributing to the overall resolution of the spectrometer. The FWHM of a feature in PES (\(\Delta E\)) is limited by a combination of factors that add in quadrature (to first approximation)\textsuperscript{125} to give

\[
\Delta E = \sqrt{\Delta E_{\text{CL}}^2 + \Delta E_X^2 + \Delta E_A^2},
\]

(2.25)

where \(\Delta E_{\text{CL}}\) is the linewidth of the core-level being measured and is element, orbital, and chemical environment dependant, mainly due to the lifetime of the core-hole formed in the photoemission process\textsuperscript{131}, \(\Delta E_X\) is the natural linewidth of the excitation emission line, and \(\Delta E_A\) is the analyser resolution, calculated from Equation (2.20). There are several other contributions to the FWHM of a feature, but they are either constant throughout experiments\textsuperscript{dd}, or give contributions which are negligible. By assessing the broadening (12–88\%)\textsuperscript{157} of the Fermi-edge measured by each technique, the spectrometer resolution can be estimated, without lifetime effects. Estimates of the different contributions to the resolution for each technique are detailed in Table 2.3. It can be seen that the resolution of IPES is much poorer than direct PES techniques, which is a result of the energy spread of the electron beam and the acceptance window of the bandpass photon analyser. With a very narrow emission linewidth for He(I), the UPS used here was limited in resolution by the analyser radius. For XPS, before monochromation, the resolution was limited by the emission linewidth, meaning that some analyser resolution could be sacrificed in favour of an increased count rate without affecting the overall resolution. After monochromation, the improvement in the emission linewidth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Measured (E_F) Broadening</th>
<th>(\Delta E_X)</th>
<th>(\Delta E_A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XPS</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>(-0.001^{\text{iii}})</td>
<td>(-0.03^{\text{ii}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPS</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>(-0.25^{\text{i}})</td>
<td>(-0.7^{\text{i}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPES</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>(-0.017^{\text{i}}(-0.85^{\text{iv}}))</td>
<td>(-0.16^{\text{iv}}(-0.42^{\text{iv}}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{i}From manufacturer’s guide.
\textsuperscript{ii}Citrin et al.\textsuperscript{154}
\textsuperscript{iii}Zhang\textsuperscript{157}
\textsuperscript{iv}\(E_P = 10\) eV, \(w = 3.75\) mm.
\textsuperscript{v}\(E_P = 20\) eV, \(w = 5\) mm.
\textsuperscript{vi}\(E_P = 2\) eV, \(w = 3.75\) mm.

\textsuperscript{dd}Such as thermal broadening\textsuperscript{157}. 

\textsuperscript{i} From manufacturer’s guide.
\textsuperscript{ii} Citrin et al.\textsuperscript{154}
\textsuperscript{iii} Zhang\textsuperscript{157}
\textsuperscript{iv} \(E_P = 10\) eV, \(w = 3.75\) mm.
\textsuperscript{v} \(E_P = 20\) eV, \(w = 5\) mm.
\textsuperscript{vi} \(E_P = 2\) eV, \(w = 3.75\) mm.

\textsuperscript{dd} Such as thermal broadening\textsuperscript{157}. 

Table 2.3: Measured Fermi-edge broadenings of a cleaned silver foil from XPS, UPS, & IPES, with the estimated contributions to the broadenings from the excitation source linewidth (\(\Delta E_X\)), & the analyser resolution (\(\Delta E_A\)). Values for the non-monochromated x-ray source shown in parentheses. The analyser broadening is calculated using Equation (2.20), & the parameters given in the footnotes.
caused the overall resolution to be analyser-limited, which then benefited from a lower pass energy with a sacrifice in count rate.

2.3.4 Spectral Analysis

In order to extract meaningful interpretations or properties from spectra, at least some level of analysis is required.

Sample Charging

In §2.3.1 & §2.3.3, it was shown that in order for Equation (2.9) to hold and result in a spectrum that is properly calibrated, then the sample must be connected electrically to the spectrometer. This also has the added benefit of supplying electrons to conducting materials which can then replace those lost to the photoemission process. If these electrons were not available to replenish the emitted ones, then this would cause a region of positive charge to build up at the surface of the sample\(^ {125}\). This can happen in semiconducting and insulating samples where the conductivity prevents other electrons from replenishing the emitted ones, leading to an accumulation of positive charge at the surface. In terms of the spectra, this causes the emitted electrons to lose some \(KE\) as a result of their interaction with this positive charge region, causing the spectrum to shift to higher binding energies\(^ {158}\). In some samples, notably layered structures or very wide-bandgap materials, the charge region can vary as a function of depth into the sample, and the emitted electrons also experience an origin-depth dependent level of retardation, resulting in spectra which are not only shifted, but also skewed, leading to difficult or impossible interpretation of the spectra\(^ {158,159}\).

Charging in XPS can be accounted for by two methods, that of charge elimination or charge referencing. The first method acts to replenish the electrons lost from the surface and neutralise the surface charge\(^ {145}\). This can be achieved by making more electrons available at the surface of the sample by adding direct metallic connections. Other techniques involve creating a flood of electrons which are then able to neutralise any charge. In non-monochromatic x-ray sources, the wide x-ray beam consists of low energy photons from the bremsstrahlung, which collide with anything inside the chamber, producing many low energy photoelectrons, which are then able to neutralise the charge or, in monochromated systems, where the bremsstrahlung is eliminated, the electrons can be produced in a flood gun (FG)\(^ {160}\), which then fires a disperse beam of low energy electrons toward the sample, resulting in a similar effect\(^ {145}\).

The problem with these methods is that whilst they serve to eliminate the charging effects, it is always necessary to have a reference point to check that the charge has indeed been eliminated, and not just reduced\(^ {131}\). For this reason, charge referencing is usually the first analysis procedure to be applied to any spectrum.

Assuming that the charging is constant, a spectrum can be charge-corrected by applying an extra term to Equation (2.9), shifting the \(BE\) scale using some known reference energy. The
application of inert reference materials to a sample can be used to achieve this, either by evaporating a small amount of metal to the surface, or by implanting Ar$^+$ ions into the sample using the ion gun. Then, by knowledge of the BE of standard elemental peaks, one can correct the entire spectrum to these standards. The main problem with these methods is that they can physically alter the sample under consideration$^{145}$.

Instead, it is adventitious carbon that is commonly used to reference a spectrum in order to correct for charging effects$^{145,158}$. Carbon will be present on any sample that has been exposed to atmosphere or poor vacuum before being introduced to UHV and takes the form of different types of hydrocarbons. The C 1$s$ photoelectrons for these species can then be used as the reference BE, usually set to 284.8 eV. The main problem with using this method is that studies have shown this value to sometimes be unreliable and also that the BE can be dependent upon the underlying material$^{161}$. Therefore, the method of charge referencing should always be explicitly stated, so that future considerations can be made if needed. Throughout this thesis, different methods of charge referencing were employed and these, along with the justifications for their choice can be found in the individual chapters.

**Core-Lines: Qualitative**

The most widely used aspect of XPS measurements is that of CL analysis, which provides information about the composition and chemistry of a sample via elemental identification and chemical analysis from the BE shifts described in §2.3.1$^{140,147}$. CL peaks in XPS have finite width for the reasons described in §2.3.2, and are superimposed on top of the secondary electron background. Therefore, to be meaningfully analysed, peak fitting is necessary, especially if there is possibility of the peaks overlapping, demonstrated by the many spectral features in Figure 2.9.

Before peak models can be applied, the background must be modelled in the region of interest as it cannot be measured directly$^{125}$. The simplest background is a straight line between the start and end points of a region of interest, but it is clear that this is not physically sound. Instead, two mathematical backgrounds were developed for application to CL XPS spectra$^{162}$. The number of electrons that contribute to the background not only depends on the BE, but also upon the intensity of any photoemission features, because these are the source of the secondary electrons. The Shirley background is iterative, splitting a region into many BE steps and calculating the background of the next step ($B_{i+1}$) depending on the background and intensity values of the previous steps$^{163}$, given by

$$B_{i+1} = k_S \sum_{i=0}^{i_{\text{Max}}} (I_i - B_i),$$

(2.26)

where $I$ is the intensity of the signal, and $k_S$ is the Shirley factor, derived from the condition that $I = B$ at the region edges. The implementation of this background is simple; however, it lacks a fully physical basis as it does not take into account the fact that features have finite
widths and therefore can contribute intensity at higher BE. Instead, the Tougaard background was developed which takes into account the intensity across the entire region of interest in its calculation of the background\textsuperscript{164}. The form of this background means that in order to achieve a good fit, a large range at the high BE side is required, which is sometimes not possible. Compromise between the physical rigour but complicated implementation of the Tougaard background, and the less rigour but easier implementation and better results achieved with the Shirley background has led to the wide adoption of the latter when considering CL peaks. It is this background type which was therefore used throughout this thesis unless otherwise stated.

The contributions to the finite widths of XPS peaks in Equation (2.25) are Lorentzian in nature for the lifetime broadening, and Gaussian in nature for the others\textsuperscript{165}. A mixed Gaussian–Lorentzian (Voigt) peak profile therefore models the majority of CL XPS peaks well\textsuperscript{125} and is the type used here. The main exception is for metallic species which have a high BE asymmetric tail\textsuperscript{ee}, and are modelled well using the peak profile developed by Doniach and Sunjic\textsuperscript{166}.

The goal of peak fitting is to extract the intensity and BE of the different chemical species present in a sample by adding synthetic peaks with variable position, area and FWHM, to a model whose enveloping total matches the experimental data as closely as possible. Each chemical environment can be represented by an individual peak/doublet present for each orbital, and whilst a perfect fit to the experimental data can be achieved by adding an infinite number of peaks, constraints to the fitting must be imposed in order for a model to be physically representative. In the case of spin-orbit split doublets, the energy difference of the splitting and area ratio between the peaks is known, and generally, the FWHM between the peaks of a spin-orbit split doublet should be the same size for a single chemical environment; however, this is sometimes not the case. For transition metals, the lower-$j$ peak of a doublet is wider than the higher-$j$ peak, sometimes significantly\textsuperscript{167}. This is due to an extra broadening process that these electrons can undergo, termed Coster–Kronig broadening\textsuperscript{168}, which occurs because of a short-lifetime Auger process in which the decaying electron arises from an orbital of the same quantum number, and occurs for the lower-$j$ peak because it is the higher-$j$ electron from the same orbital which must fill it\textsuperscript{154,165,168,169}.

Having developed a fitting model for an XPS spectrum, assignments of the peaks develop as a result of pre-measurement assessment of the expected features, experience, and comparison of the spectra to either standard data banks, or to previous studies of similar materials, which should either demonstrate similar BE or at the least provide a starting point from which assessments can be made.

\textsuperscript{ee} See §2.3.1.
Many materials can be assigned an ionic formula, and because a change in oxidation state usually results in a change in $BE^\text{ff}$, the oxidation states present within a sample can be determined. This is especially important for the growth of materials which contain elements that can take multiple oxidation states.

There is a possibility that during the growth of a material, contamination could arise for several reasons. As the chemical environments of these contaminants are different to the underlying material, the $BE$ will be different, resulting in extra peaks for the contaminants, which can then be determined through the peak fitting.

Differences from the expected $BE$ for a material can give insights into chemical changes that have occurred which have resulted in charge transfer. This is important with regards to PV absorbers because it is the electronic properties that can affect important properties of the cell.

**Core-Levels: Quantitative**

To a first approximation, the intensity of an XPS peak is proportional to the amount of that species present in the analysis volume$^{125}$. This allows stoichiometry determinations of samples, but also can be used to assess the amount of contamination present, albeit with some necessary considerations.

In the case of overlayers, effects from the IMFP$_e$ as a function of energy can affect analysis. For example, consider a sample of GaAs which has been exposed to atmosphere, and a thin layer of Ga$_2$O$_3$ has formed at the surface$^{170}$. Using a monochromated Al K$\alpha$ x-ray source, two CL features are available for analysis, Ga 2$p^{ff}$ or Ga 3$d^{hh}$, which should, in terms of initial-state effects, demonstrate the same features. The results however, show that the peaks associated with GaAs are smaller than those for Ga$_2$O$_3$ in the Ga 2$p$ spectrum, but that the opposite is true for the Ga 3$d$ spectrum. This is because the lower KE of the Ga 2$p$ electrons grants a shorter IMFP$_e$ to these electrons, resulting in an increased surface sensitivity, because where electrons with higher KE would be emitted from the sample, at this KE, they are scattered in the material.

Even for samples where the entire analysis volume over the full energy range is homogeneous, the peak areas are still not directly proportional to the amount of that species within a sample. This is because of the probabilities of photoemission (photoionisation cross sections), which differ between elements and orbitals. Calculations of these probabilities still do not necessarily yield accurate results as there are other factors that affect the photoemission process, including the transmission function of the analyser, which is energy dependent, and other matrix elements which affect the probability of transferring an electron into the final state.

---

$^\text{ff}$ See §2.3.1.
$^{88}$ BE $\approx$ 1120 eV.
$^{88}$ BE $\approx$ 20 eV.
In the system described in §2.2.4, the angle between the x-ray beam and the path to the analyser entrance was set to 54.74°. Under this condition, anisotropy of photoemission is removed, simplifying the quantitative analysis. Then, if the same x-ray source and spectrometer are used throughout measurements, many other factors remain constant, and the analysis is affected mostly by IMFP and cross-section effects, so that empirical stoichiometry correction factors (SCF) can be derived for different orbitals by measuring samples which have well-defined stoichiometries. Then, the atomic percentage of a chemical species \( X_i \) can be determined by

\[
X_i = \frac{I_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{I_j}{\text{SCF}_j} \right)},
\]

where \( I \) is the area of a peak, and the sum is over all of the species of interest.

**Auger Features**

The presence of Auger features in XPS spectra can also be beneficial to analyses. In a broad set of Auger features, there is usually one transition which results in a peak that stands out from the rest and it is the position of this which is used to define the energy of the Auger feature, found by taking the zero-point of the differential of the spectrum.

Binding energy shifts in CL can often be small between different species, leading to ambiguities in the analysis. The Auger features can be used to help overcome these problems because they also experience chemical shifts, but often on a larger scale due to the three electron levels involved rather than one with CL. The Auger parameter, \( \alpha \) is defined as the difference in KE between the most prominent Auger line and CL:

\[
\alpha = E_K(\text{Auger}) - E_K(\text{CL}),
\]

which results in a value that combines the shifts of each feature, producing much larger shifts that are discernible between species. As stated at the beginning of this section, any charge referencing that a spectrum undergoes contains a potential source of error with the reliability of the chosen referencing method, and so, as a relative value, independent of any absolute binding energies and charge referencing, the use of the Auger parameter overcomes this error.

A problem with the Auger parameter shown in Equation (2.28) is that it is photon energy dependent, because the measured CL kinetic energy is. To overcome this, the modified Auger parameter is used, defined by

\[
\alpha' = \alpha + h\nu = E_K(\text{Auger}) - E_K(\text{CL}) + h\nu = E_K(\text{Auger}) + E_B(\text{CL}),
\]

\[\text{ii To an extent}\].
which takes the photon energy into account and therefore $\alpha'$ can be used comparatively, given that the spectrometer is properly calibrated.

**Valence Band Spectra**

Electrons that are photoemitted from the VB of materials create broad features in the spectrum at low BE, introduced in §2.3.1. Ignoring any features of the spectrum which arise due to final-state effects, the VB spectrum from PES gives a representation of the VBDoS of a material. Early work on studies of metal surfaces showed that the measured valence bands, both from XPS and UPS, were able to confirm band structure calculations for these materials\textsuperscript{174,175}, if the surface contamination was removed\textsuperscript{176}. Further work then demonstrated that PES could also be used to measure the VBDoS of semiconducting materials\textsuperscript{177–179}, allowing much potential for PES to corroborate theoretically calculated DoS. In order to make a direct comparison between the spectra and theoretical DoS, experimental corrections can be applied to the latter, modelling the effects caused by the photoemission process. Because the KE of the electrons arising from the VB are sufficiently high and equal, there is little variation in the analysis depth in this region between the elements and therefore the intensity correction factors reduce to the photoionisation cross-sections\textsuperscript{180}. After these have been applied to the partial DoS curves for each orbital, the DoS can be convoluted with a Gaussian function, accounting for the analyser resolution, linewidth of the excitation source and thermal effects, and then with a Lorentzian function, which accounts for the core-hole lifetimes\textsuperscript{181}.

For a more representative fit to the spectra, a background should also be subtracted from the data, and again in XPS VB spectra, the Shirley background has demonstrated good results because the rise in background at these energies is minimal\textsuperscript{176}. For UPS, the background is not often discussed, but is important, because the entire spectrum consists of valence electrons, and can strongly vary. Different approaches have been implemented with varying degrees of success\textsuperscript{182} and the one chosen for the UPS measurements presented in Chapter 6 shall be discussed there.

**Band Alignments**

For PV devices, the importance of band alignments was introduced in §1.2.2, and PES can be used to calculate these, which can be achieved by either an indirect, or direct method.

The indirect method, termed Kraut’s method, involves the measurement of three samples in order to determine the valence band offset (VBO) of a junction\textsuperscript{183–185}: the substrate (a), the thick overlayer\textsuperscript{ii} (b), and the interfacial sample\textsuperscript{kk} (i). It also exploits the facts that: the Fermi-level of any sample will align with that of the spectrometer; the energy separation between a CL and the VBM is constant for a given material, independent of any band bending which may occur; and the band bending is equal for all of the levels. The VBO of a junction is thus

\textsuperscript{ii} Thickness $> 10$ nm so that signal from the substrate is not detected.

\textsuperscript{kk} Thin enough so that signal from both the substrate and overlayer are detectable.
determined from the positions of a CL in each of the materials for each sample, and the positions of the VBM for the non-interfacial sample:

\[
\text{VBO} = (E_{\text{CL}}^b - E_{\text{VBM}}^b) - (E_{\text{CL}}^a - E_{\text{VBM}}^a) - \left( E_{\text{CL}}^b(i) - E_{\text{CL}}^a(i) \right).
\] (2.30)

The CBO can then likewise be calculated using values of the bandgap of the two materials. Further details of this method can be found in the literature\(^\text{186–189}\) as it is not implemented in this thesis because it would be impractical to grow the interfacial sample on the materials used.

It is also of interest to study the band positions of a material relative to the vacuum level, termed the natural band alignments of materials\(^\text{190}\). This results in measurements of the IP, WF, and EA, as shown in Figure 1.23. Such a measurement does not take the band bending and charge redistribution upon junction formation into account, but can serve as a good starting point for predicting the behaviour of materials when formed into junctions, as the band offsets will be similar\(^\text{191,192}\). The following method is beneficial for fledgling PV absorber materials that require further study, such as those studied in this thesis.

The secondary electrons produced in the photoemission process cannot have KE up to the photon energy because there is the hard threshold of the work function of the sample to overcome before they can be emitted\(^\text{193}\). This results in a very sharp, intense secondary electron cutoff (SEC) at a KE relative to the sample of zero\(^\text{a}\). This feature allows the WF of the sample to be determined using Equation (2.7), giving

\[
\phi_s = h\nu - E_{\text{SEC}}.
\] (2.31)

Because of the Fermi-level alignment between samples and the spectrometers, PES and IPES spectra can be combined to represent the occupied and unoccupied DoS simultaneously\(^\text{26}\), as is shown in Figure 2.16. Then, by determining the binding energies of the VBM and CBM, the IP, EA and \(E_g\) of a sample can be determined by

\[
\text{IP} = \phi_s + E_{\text{VBM}},
\] (2.32)

\[
\text{EA} = \phi_s + E_{\text{CBM}},
\] (2.33)

and

\[
E_g = \text{IP} - \text{EA}.
\] (2.34)

Within the spectrometer, secondary electrons can be generated by the collision of electrons with surfaces of it, causing the spectrum near the cutoff to be a combination of all of these electrons. In order to separate the sample SEC from the spectrometer SEC, a negative bias is applied to the sample, giving extra KE to any electrons originating from the sample, but not to those from the spectrometer, which allows the independent measurement of the sample SEC.

\(^\text{a} E'_K = 0.\)
Difficulties arise in the determination of the position of the band edges because of the method used. Historically, VB measurements were limited to metals, or simple semiconductors such as Si and Ge. Because of this, accurate calculations for the DoS were available, which Kraut et al.\textsuperscript{183} used to develop a method of determining the VBM position. This involved fitting the available theoretical DoS to the measured spectrum by the method described above and then working backwards to determine the energy of the DoS function onset before any experimental corrections were applied\textsuperscript{194}. As the use of PES for VBO determination grew, accurate DoS calculations were not available for every material, and so the method of linearly extrapolating the leading edge of the VB spectrum to the baseline became the most popular method of determining the VBM position, and yields accurate results in some cases\textsuperscript{185,195}. Advances in theoretical methods have given rise to accurate DoS calculations for many materials and Chambers et al.\textsuperscript{195} compared the accuracy of these two VBM determination methods, and the application of which will be discussed in §6.7.3 & §7.2.2.

**Sources of Misinterpretation**

Different aspects of PES spectra can be the source of various types of misinterpretation. During measurement, especially VB studies, the presence of contamination can cause unprecedented differences in the spectra which cannot be accounted for, except by cleaning the surface. Indeed, the intensity of a signal originating from a material lying underneath a layer of contamination decreases exponentially as a function of contamination thickness\textsuperscript{196}.

There is also a possibility, for inhomogeneous or non-contiguous samples, that the exciting photons will produce photoelectrons from either the underlying substrate, or part of the mounting materials, which could either overlap with, or distort the spectrum arising from the material of interest. This was particularly the case for the crystals measured in Chapter 5, where imperfect mounting of the crystals could have led to the presence of signal arising from the double-sided carbon tape used for mounting the crystals to the sample plate. To check this, measurements were made of a piece of uncovered carbon tape, the survey XPS spectrum of
which is shown in Figure 2.17, to determine the elements present in this tape and check whether these may overlap with the signal from other samples.

With regards to measurements of PV absorbers using XPS, the most common use is the confirmation of the expected oxidation states of a grown material, and whilst this is possible using XPS, it requires rigorous analysis in order to exclude other interpretations of the observed spectra. Throughout the literature, this is sometimes lacking, and will be addressed with regards to the materials studied here in their respective chapters.

One final point that should be addressed with regards to misinterpretation of PES spectra is the errors associated with BE determinations. The sources of error in PES, like any experimental technique, are numerous, and differ on a case-by-case basis. In an ideal measurement of a single peak, the error associated with the BE is due only to the peak broadening, which results from the factors discussed earlier, but in reality, there is also error from the statistical noise, which is dependent upon the amount of times the counting experiment was repeated. In spectra which involve overlapping peaks, there are also fitting errors which arise from the accuracy of the fitted background, and also the fitting procedure and algorithms used. Furthermore, an incorrect interpretation of any spectra\footnote{Such as the addition of superfluous peaks, or use of an unphysical model.} negates any error estimate regardless. Whilst it is possible to account for all of these factors through error analysis, it is more prudent to estimate BE errors in PES spectra using an educated combination of the knowledge of the instrumental resolution and confidence in the fitting procedure. As a rule of thumb, for the instrumental broadenings shown in Table 2.3, a minimum starting value for the confidence in quoted binding energies throughout this thesis were estimated to be $\pm (0.05 - 0.1) \text{ eV}$. Of course, these values can increase for noisy or complex data sets. The values measured in this thesis are quoted to two decimal places. Whilst this is not always completely correct practice, it offers better transparency for comparisons to literature reports that also quote values to this level of precision.
2.4 Density Functional Theory

Density functional theory (DFT) is employed in many aspects of physics, chemistry and materials science to predict the electronic structures of materials. In this thesis, it is used to predict the DoS, band structure, and certain electronic properties of the materials in question.

2.4.1 Introduction

Whilst the full formalisms of DFT are beyond the scope of this thesis, its implementation as a corroborative technique to experimental results is valuable. The specific implementations of the DFT used throughout this thesis are described in the appropriate chapters, and the reader is directed to literature that introduces the methods employed\textsuperscript{197–201}.

Predictions of the electronic structure of materials stem from attempts to solve the Schrödinger equation. The solutions to the Schrödinger equation, the wavefunctions, contain all of the information about the energies, positions, and properties of the particles that comprise a system, and the equation describes how they interact with one another.

Whilst the wavefunction solutions to the Schrödinger equation are well defined for the case of a single electron, once a many-electron system is taken into account, this cannot be solved exactly, and approximations must be used. Quantum mechanical wavefunctions are not observable, and so DFT was developed as a method which instead deals with a measurable quantity, electron density, by calculating the ground state electron density for a system and deriving other properties from there.

2.4.2 Implementation

Within solids, the periodic lattice allows the calculations to be non-infinite, and solutions to be found. From these solutions, various properties of a material can be predicted, and the ones used in this thesis shall be described below.

From DFT, the band structure of a material can be calculated and the band energies given as a function of k-space across the first Brillouin zone. The DoS can then be calculated by integrating the bands across k-space and the resulting DoS curve can be compared to experimental measurements by the method described in §2.3.4. The DoS can be further analysed by integrating bands arising from the different elemental orbitals, resulting in a series of partial DoS (pDoS) curves, which can be used to analyse the levels of hybridisation between the orbitals within a material, giving insight into the bonding nature.

From the DoS curves, the bandgap of the material can be predicted as the difference in the onsets of the VB states and the CB states, and by implementing a vacuum potential in the calculations, it is also possible to predict the positions of the band edges with respect to the vacuum level, giving a direct comparison to these values from experimental PES as well.
2.5 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy

Infrared spectroscopy is employed as a technique to study the vibrational properties of materials. In the case of PV materials, it is used in order to calculate the absorption spectrum of materials and from there, the light absorption properties can be determined, including a measurement of the bandgap.

2.5.1 Principles & Theory

Light, incident upon a material, can be either reflected, transmitted, or absorbed, and the relationship between the amounts that each of these happen is dependent upon the wavelength, or energy of the incident light. Historically, reflectance and transmittance spectra as a function of wavelength were generated by dispersive spectrometers, that is, the wavelength of the incoming light was varied and the spectrum at the detector was generated from the intensity as a function of the wavelength of the incoming light. However, dispersive monochromators are expensive and difficult to manage, and this, combined with the amount of time taken to generate a spectrum because of all the moving optics necessary, led to the development of a different technique to generate spectra.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), instead of using monochromatic light to generate a spectrum as a function of wavelength, uses an interferometer to generate an interferogram as a function of phase difference, utilising non-monochromatic light. The experimental system of a FTIR spectrometer is shown in Figure 2.18, utilising the common Michelson interferometer. A light source, consisting of a range of wavelengths, is split into two paths by a beamsplitter, directing one toward a stationary mirror, and the other to a movable mirror. After reflecting from the mirrors, the beams recombine again at the beamsplitter and the combined beam is then directed toward the sample. Depending upon the

Figure 2.18: Schematic of the ray diagram for a FTIR spectrometer using a Michelson interferometer.
experimental system, after interaction with the sample, the reflected or transmitted beam is then collected by the detector and the intensity is recorded\textsuperscript{203}.

The path that the beam to the movable mirror takes differs in length by changing the position of the movable mirror. The intensity of the recombined beam directed to the sample is therefore dependent upon the interference caused by the path difference between the beams, and is a function of the position of the movable mirror. Therefore, by scanning the position of the movable mirror, an interferogram is generated as a function of phase difference\textsuperscript{202}. Because of the non-monochromatic nature of the incident light, each wavelength contributes an individual interference pattern to the interferogram, which is a combination of all of these. Taking the Fourier transform of the interferogram then generates the spectrum as a function of wavelength\textsuperscript{145}.

This method of generating the spectrum is beneficial over the dispersive method because spectra are collected in a shorter time, experimental systems are simpler, and the resolution is better. The position of the mirror can be determined very accurately by including a monochromatic laser of known wavelength that follows the same optical path through the interferometer.

Throughout this thesis, measurements using FTIR were made in order to generate the absorption spectrum from a sample, which is calculated best by using a combination of reflectance and transmittance spectra, collected using a series of optics that can be altered to direct either the reflected or transmitted beam after interaction with the sample to the detector\textsuperscript{nn}.

### 2.5.2 Operation & Implementation

The absorption coefficient of a PV absorber material is dependent upon the energy of the incident light, as introduced in Chapter 1, and this can be calculated from the reflectance and transmittance spectra, as a function of photon energy. When considering materials measured for their use in PV, internal reflections within a thin-film can affect the amount of light detected in the reflected beam. To account for this, an extra term is included in the transmittance, which includes the probability of reflection at the first interface, second interface, and also the probability of internal reflections\textsuperscript{204}, so

\[
T = \frac{(1 - R)^2 e^{-\alpha d}}{1 - R^2 e^{-2\alpha d}},
\]

(2.35)

where $T$ is the transmittance spectrum, $R$ is the reflectance spectrum, and $\alpha$ is the absorption coefficient, all as a function of photon energy, and $d$ is the thickness of the sample. Solving for the absorption coefficient gives

\textsuperscript{nn} See Figure 2.18.
and one can calculate the absorption spectrum.

In semiconductors with strong absorption characteristics in the visible range, as is the case for PV absorbers, the bandgap acts as a filter, absorbing photons with energy equal to or greater than the bandgap. This manifests in the absorption spectrum as an onset of absorption at the bandgap energy. Physical and experimental considerations mean that this onset is not instantaneous and some level of fitting must be employed to determine the bandgap energy. The eponymous method that developed from the historical study of Tauc et al.\textsuperscript{205,206} has found widespread use throughout the literature, and has been generalised to different semiconductors\textsuperscript{207}. It says that the absorption function just above the absorption onset of a semiconductor takes the form

\[
\frac{1}{\alpha h \nu} = A \left( h \nu - E_g \right),
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the absorption coefficient, \( h \nu \) is the photon energy, \( A \) is a proportionality constant, \( E_g \) is the bandgap of the semiconductor, and \( n \) is dependent upon the nature of the gap transition, taking \( n = 1/2 \) for direct, and \( n = 2 \) for indirect transitions. Thus, by plotting \( (ahv)^{1/n} \) against \( h \nu \) (a Tauc plot), the trend of the function above the absorption onset will be linear and a linear extrapolation will yield the bandgap value where it intercepts the energy axis\textsuperscript{208}.

The bandgap of semiconductors is temperature dependent because of changes in lattice parameters and electron–phonon interactions\textsuperscript{209}. Generally, for PV devices, the bandgap at operating temperature\textsuperscript{oo} is important. As theoretical determinations of the bandgap generally calculate the bandgap at absolute zero \( (E_0) \), it is prudent to study the temperature dependence of the bandgap at finite temperatures and to apply theoretical models in order to extrapolate and find the bandgap at absolute zero, so that comparisons can be made between theory and experiment. The use of a cryostat-cooled sample chamber in the FTIR spectrometer allows measurements to 4 K to be performed. Several models have been developed which attempt to describe the temperature dependence of the bandgap of semiconductors. Two of the more popular methods are the Varshni and Bose–Einstein equations, and these are used at various points throughout this thesis with discussion of their implementation found at these points. Here, the mathematical forms of these equations are introduced.

The Varshni relationship\textsuperscript{210} is commonly used in the literature, and takes the form

\[
E_g = E_0 - \frac{\alpha T^2}{T + \beta},
\]

\( ^{oo} \) Usually room temperature.
where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are constants. This relationship has been used extensively and attempts to model the two mechanisms which are thought to account for the bandgap changes. First, the band positions alter as an effect of the expansion of the lattice, which is linear at high temperature, and non-linear at low temperature. Second, the band positions alter also because of the changing interaction between the electrons and the lattice, which is linear above the Debye temperature, and goes as \( T^2 \) below the Debye temperature.

The Bose–Einstein model\(^\text{211} \) takes the form

\[
E_g = E_0 - \frac{2a_B}{\exp \left( \frac{\Theta_E}{T} \right) - 1},
\]

(2.39)

where \( a_B \) is related to the exciton–phonon interactions, and \( \Theta_E \) is the Einstein temperature. This model considers the interactions of the electrons in a crystal structure, modelling them as Einstein oscillators, following Bose–Einstein statistics\(^\text{212} \).

In this thesis, measurements were performed on a Bruker Vertex 70V FTIR spectrometer equipped with a reflectance and transmittance accessory which directs the beam to the sample at an incident angle of 11°. A continuous-flow helium cryostat was used to perform the low temperature measurements.

### 2.6 X-Ray Diffraction

X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) has become one of the defining techniques for materials characterisation, especially in the growth of semiconductors, and is key in structural analysis. Quick and easy to implement, first-order analysis is available to most researchers, where it is used as an identification tool. However, in-depth analyses allow much richer studies to be performed using this technique as well.

#### 2.6.1 Principles & Theory

The principles of XRD have at their core, the Bragg condition, which can be explained through a classical treatment of the scattering of electromagnetic waves. When incident upon a solid, electromagnetic waves can be elastically scattered by the atoms in the crystal structure, emitting radiation of the same frequency from the atom\(^\text{213} \). Interference between these emitted waves produces constructive or destructive interference dependent upon the phase difference between them. Diffraction occurs in a solid crystal because of the periodicity of the lattice. Consider a series of parallel crystallographic planes within a crystal structure with interplanar spacing, \( d \) (Figure 2.19). Incident electromagnetic waves at an angle (\( \theta \)), are scattered by the atoms in the crystal structure in all directions, but are coherently reflected from the atoms at the same angle. Now, if two waves are incident upon the solid, one (\( ABC \)) which is reflected by the top plane, and another (\( DEF \)) which is reflected by the second plane, and if a detector
is placed on the line \((CF)\), then the two arriving waves will be in phase if, and only if the phase difference between them is a multiple of \(2\pi\), which equates to a path difference of an integer multiple of wavelengths, that is

\[
DEF - ABC = n\lambda, \tag{2.40}
\]

and due to the geometry of the crystallographic planes

\[
DEF = DE + EF = (AB + d\sin(\theta)) + (d\sin(\theta) + BC) = ABC + 2d\sin(\theta). \tag{2.41}
\]

Therefore

\[
DEF - ABC = (ABC + 2d\sin(\theta)) - ABC = 2d\sin(\theta) = n\lambda, \tag{2.42}
\]

the familiar Bragg condition for diffraction\textsuperscript{213-215}.

The case shown in Figure 2.19 shows one set of diffraction planes, but in a powder or polycrystalline sample, the different crystallites are arranged randomly, causing all planes to be perpendicular to the normal incidence of the waves\textsuperscript{PP} at some point within a sample and therefore by changing the angle of incidence and detection simultaneously, a diffraction pattern can be generated, as a function of \(2\theta\).

Then, by calculating the interplanar spacing for the different families of planes, using the geometry and atomic positions within a crystal structure, one can determine the angles at which diffraction will occur for each series of planes\textsuperscript{214}. These expected diffraction angles are sometimes restricted or forbidden by the presence of other atoms within the crystal structure, for example by interference between waves emitted from interplanar atoms, and if the geometry allows, this may result in destructive interference between some or all of the waves

\textsuperscript{PP} \(\theta = 90^\circ\).
Chapter 2: Experimental Methods

In that plane, the intensity of a Bragg peak, $I_{hkl}$, in a diffraction pattern is given by the absolute square of the structure factor

$$I_{hkl} = |F_{hkl}|^2,$$

(2.43)

where $hkl$ are the Miller indices of a certain series of planes.

The structure factor is calculated by summing the individual contributions of the diffracted waves from each non-equivalent atom in a crystal structure \(^{213,215}\), so

$$F_{hkl} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} f_n e^{2\pi i (hx_n + ky_n + lz_n)},$$

(2.44)

where $n$ is each non-equivalent atom in the crystal structure with coordinates $(x, y, z)$, and $f_n$ is the atomic scattering factor, dependent on the element. From Equation (2.44), it can be seen that for certain arrangements of atoms, some families of planes result in a structure factor of zero, leading to zero intensity in the diffraction pattern; these are the forbidden reflections for that crystal structure.

2.6.2 Operation & Implementation

Given that the peak intensities produced for a material are dependent both on the crystal structure, and atoms present within the lattice, unique patterns are produced, allowing materials to be identified by their crystal structure. However, problems can arise when materials share the same crystal structure or when certain symmetries result in the same interplanar spacing, because this causes overlaps between the peaks in a diffraction pattern, complicating the analysis. Nevertheless, diffraction in crystals is still a powerful technique used to determine structures.

The description of the principles in §2.6.1 relates generally to the diffraction of electromagnetic waves in crystal structures, but here the technique of interest uses x-rays as the exciting radiation, hence x-ray diffraction. X-rays are used because they are easy to produce via the method outlined in §2.3.2, and also because the wavelength of x-rays is of a similar order of magnitude to the interplanar spacing in crystals, resulting in strong diffraction. Because photons scatter from atoms via interactions with the electrons, XRD is rather insensitive to differences between the nuclei of atoms. Neutrons with de Broglie wavelengths of the same order as the interplanar spacings can also be used for diffraction, and in this case are much more sensitive to nuclear differences because of the neutron scattering via the nuclei of atoms. This benefit would suggest that neutron diffraction is the superior technique\(^{213}\); however, the difficulties involved with producing the coherent neutrons required\(^{89}\), compared with x-ray production, means that the use of neutron diffraction is limited to advanced facilities, rather than used in laboratories. Throughout this thesis, different experimental

\(^{89}\) A spallation source\(^{131}\).
systems have been used for performing XRD, which are described in the relevant chapters, but they consist of a source of x-rays\textsuperscript{46}, a sample stage, and an x-ray detector, all mounted to a goniometer, which also allows focussing of the beams.

XRD is widely practiced, and results are often compiled into databases which can be searched and indexed for matching to experimentally produced patterns. This makes XRD very useful when trying to determine the structure of a new material, or an established one which is grown by a different method. Also, it is possible to calculate the theoretical diffraction pattern of a material whose crystal structure is known. By calculating the interplanar spacings and applying the selection rules of Equation (2.44), the allowed reflection planes and their intensities can be determined, and by applying the Bragg condition, the angles at which these peaks appear can be found. In this thesis, theoretical XRD patterns were modelled using the reciprOgraph software\textsuperscript{16,216}, and the published crystal structures.

Differences between the observed experimental peaks and the theoretical ones can be indicative of several details of the material, and there are various methods for extracting materials properties from the diffraction pattern. Beyond phase identification, one can determine the lattice parameters of a sample, changes in peak positions can be used to determine the effects of strain on the material, changes in peak intensities can show orientation effects, and differences in peak widths are indicative of the crystallite size\textsuperscript{213}.

### 2.7 Raman Spectroscopy

In the context of PV materials, Raman spectroscopy (RS) is used to complement XRD in structural identification. Developed to study the vibrational states of a material, it also relies on the interaction of light with matter in such a way that probes the vibrational modes of a material. As these modes are somewhat unique to a crystal structure\textsuperscript{145}, it can be used to phase-match a material in a similar way as XRD, but complementary to it.

#### 2.7.1 Principles & Theory

Three of the ways in which light can interact with a solid are depicted in Figure 2.20a. Incident light causes the electronic polarisation field in a material to oscillate at the same frequency as the exciting radiation. If these oscillations reradiate photons, then they will have the same frequency as the incident radiation. This is elastic (Rayleigh) scattering as there is no energy change. At finite temperatures, the atoms in a solid have vibrational modes, dependent upon the structure. These phonons can then interact with the electrons, changing the frequency of the polarisation field after photon interaction. The radiated photons can therefore have a shift

\textsuperscript{46} Usually Cu Ka, \( \lambda = 1.541 \ \text{Å} \).

\textsuperscript{16} Courtesy of Nicolas Schoeni & Gervais Chapuis of École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland.
in frequency, resultant from the difference between the energy of the ground state orbital and the vibrational mode\textsuperscript{145,217}. A decrease in energy is termed Stokes scattering, and an increase in energy is termed anti-Stokes scattering. Together, a shift of the same energy in both directions is Raman scattering.

The Raman shift in wavenumbers, the measurable quantity used to implement RS, is given as

\[ \Delta \omega = \frac{1}{\lambda_I} - \frac{1}{\lambda_S}, \]

where \( \lambda_I \) is the wavelength of the incident radiation, and \( \lambda_S \) is the measured wavelength of the scattered radiation. Therefore, by measuring the energies of the scattered radiation, a spectrum can be generated as a function of Raman shift, with peaks appearing at frequencies equal to the energy of the vibrational modes of a material.

### 2.7.2 Operation & Implementation

The Raman spectrometers used throughout this thesis are described in their relevant chapters, but the general implementation of one is shown in Figure 2.20b\textsuperscript{145,217}. Monochromatic laser light of known wavelength is directed at a sample, which undergoes the different scattering mechanisms. Some of this light is backscattered in the direction from whence it came and is a combination of Rayleigh and Raman scattered light. This then passes through a filter, which excludes the original wavelength of light because the Rayleigh scattering cross section is much higher than the Raman ones and can flood the Raman signal\textsuperscript{217}. The Raman scattered light is then analysed using a dispersive spectrometer and detected in order to produce the spectrum. High-resolution spectrometers are required as the shifts to be detected can be very small.

A Raman spectrum will not directly represent the vibrational modes present in a material because there are selection rules, meaning that some modes are not Raman-active because they create phonon oscillations that cancel each other out, and also some modes are degenerate due to the crystalline symmetry. Predicting the phonon modes, calculating their energies, and determining the selection rules for a material in order to predict a Raman spectrum is not as easy as the method used for predicting XRD patterns, and for this reason, it is important to

---

Figure 2.20: a) Light scattering in a solid. b) Ray diagram for Raman spectroscopy.
consult databases of standard spectra when performing RS measurements, if available. In the case of new materials, it is prudent to compare measured spectra with measurements from a high-quality sample of the material of interest, for example, a single crystal\textsuperscript{217}.

The scattering mechanisms are somewhat independent of the wavelength of the exciting radiation, but there are some considerations which can be made in order to exploit or suppress certain mechanisms\textsuperscript{217}. A shorter wavelength increases the probability of scattering, but also increases the risk of fluorescence, and sample damage through heating. Especially for PV materials that have high absorption, the analysis volume can be altered by changing the excitation wavelength, because this will be affected by the amount of absorption. Also, where the energy of the excitation source is close to the bandgap of the material under study, resonant effects can alter the spectra. Further discussion of these considerations is addressed in §6.8.1.

As was stated, RS can be used in conjunction with XRD in order to help determine the phase and structure of a material, this is because the frequencies at which the characteristic Raman peaks appear can be unique to a material, thereby allowing identification. But also like XRD, differences between spectra can give other insights into certain materials properties. Shifts in the peaks can give information about lattice strain as the phonon energies will change, the dependence of peak intensities on the wavelength and polarisation of the excitation source can elucidate the crystal orientation and symmetry, and the width of the peaks can give information about the crystallinity\textsuperscript{217,218}.

### 2.8 Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy

Whilst it is possible to perform stoichiometry determinations using PES, there are various sources of error by using this method, which are discussed in §2.3.4, and are sometimes detrimentally large. Instead, energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX) is used to determine the bulk stoichiometry of a sample.

Though not included in this thesis because it is not associated with the electronic characterisations, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is a tool used in various aspects of materials science, including absorber material growth\textsuperscript{219}. By including a photon spectrometer and detector into a SEM experimental system, EDX measurements can also be performed.

X-rays are generated from a sample in the SEM by the same processes used to generate x-rays for PES. High energy electrons are bombarded into a sample, and through the mechanisms described in §2.3.2 and shown in Figure 2.12a, characteristic x-rays and bremsstrahlung are produced and emitted. The emission spectrum of the x-rays is then similar to that shown in Figure 2.12c, but is a superposition of the characteristic x-ray peaks from each element present within a sample. The intensity of the x-rays is then related to the amount of that element present in the sample, and by correcting the intensities using factors derived from standard samples, to account for emission cross-sections, the stoichiometry of a sample can be determined\textsuperscript{130,219}. 


Historically, the energies of the x-rays were measured using a wavelength-dispersive spectrometer, which exploits Bragg diffraction through a crystal at certain angles, dependent upon the wavelength of the x-rays. Measurements using such a spectrometer were expensive, in terms of time, space, and money. For these reasons, and also because some resolution was able to be sacrificed, solid-state photon detectors have become the norm. These use a FET to collect the photons, which produce a photoelectron that loses its energy to the creation of excitons. In this way, the size of the voltage pulse, or number of excitons produced is proportional to the energy of the original x-ray, and the rate of pulses is related to the intensity of photons. This method allows spectra to be collected in a much shorter time and the physical size of the equipment is much smaller\textsuperscript{130,219}.

Although this technique is regularly available with SEM operations, its main drawback is that the results only provide information on the amount of each element within a sample area. Whilst it can indeed map an area of a sample because of the scanning ability of the incident electron beam, allowing elemental segregation to be determined\textsuperscript{220,221}, it cannot provide any information about the chemical environment of the elements\textsuperscript{130}; a task reserved for PES.

### 2.9 Chapter Summary

The main experimental methods, growth techniques, and characterisation methods used throughout this thesis have been introduced. The principles and theoretical background to the methods have been explained and the specific implementations of these techniques pertinent to this thesis have been presented.

Greater attention in this chapter has been paid to PES techniques, including more in-depth details of their implementation, and also a comprehensive overview of the necessity and application of UHV science in this context. The majority of the work presented throughout this thesis was conceived and developed with this technique in mind, partly because of the widespread, and often misguided use of it throughout the literature for these materials. As such, it is important to understand the physical processes which govern and affect PES, including those which can affect the analysis and interpretation of the results, in order that its use is not treated as a ‘black box’. This problem is not encountered with respect to the other techniques used throughout this thesis, as they are presented as somewhat ancillary to PES, and therefore do not require the same level of familiarity, at least here.

With regards to PES, a detailed understanding of both the mechanisms involved in its use, and the acknowledgement of the limitations of the technique allow not only the implementation of high-quality, meaningful analyses, but also the development of richer and more rigorous interpretations, through the exploitations afforded sometimes by the quirks and idiosyncrasies of these techniques.
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“Solar power is the last energy resource that isn’t owned yet – nobody taxes the sun yet.”

Bonnie Raitt, Musician, 1978
This chapter involves measurements of thin-film samples of CuSbS$_2$ (CAS), and the electronic characterisation of this material for use in PV devices. The majority of the results presented here are currently unpublished, but are in the final stages of manuscript preparation as:


Related measurements of other thin-film samples of CAS, have been published as:


To date, the earth-abundant material CAS has seen relatively poor solar cell performance despite demonstrating good properties as a solar PV absorber material. Here, phase-pure CAS, confirmed by XRD and Raman spectroscopy, demonstrates a solar-matched bandgap of 1.55 eV, which agrees with theoretical calculations. Also, an analysis of the CL spectra shows how XPS can play a valuable role in characterising CAS films, and determining the effects of contaminants. Furthermore, a comparison between the VB XPS spectra and theoretical DoS calculations shows how states arising from the antimony cation lay beneath states from the copper cation. Together, these raise the level of the VBM, giving IP and EA values for this material that are lower than other common absorbers, including CIGS. The subsequent conduction band misalignment with CdS is a reason for the poor performance of cells incorporating a CAS/CdS heterojunction, supporting the idea that using a cell design analogous to CIGS is unhelpful. Thus, with a greater knowledge of the underlying electronic structure, cell architectures can be redesigned.

3.1 CuSbS$_2$: The Material

The material CAS is currently being studied as a PV absorber material. Research on this material is however, new and its full potential may still yet to be realised.

3.1.1 History

As introduced in §1.4.2, CAS is a member of the sulphosalts whose elemental constituents are considered earth-abundant. Within the Cu-Sb-S family, several naturally occurring minerals are known and have been studied. Apart from CuSbS$_2$, these include: tetrahedrite$^{222}$ Cu$_{12}$Sb$_4$S$_{13}$, famatinite$^{223}$ Cu$_3$SbS$_4$, and skinnerite$^{224}$ Cu$_3$SbS$_3$, the structures of which have all $^a$ 4.98 eV and 3.43 eV, respectively.
been determined, but have not seen research outside of the mineralogical community, excepting skinnerite, which has been synthesised\textsuperscript{225}, and is now being explored as a potential PV material as well\textsuperscript{29,226}.

CuSbS\textsubscript{2} on the other hand, also known in its mineral form chalcostibite\textsuperscript{227}, was studied synthetically for its semiconducting properties as early as 1980 by Wachtel & Noreika\textsuperscript{228}, who grew single crystals of CAS and found it to demonstrate strong p-type conductivity with low mobility, high carrier concentrations and a narrow bandgap of 0.28 eV; these results confirming previous predictions for this material\textsuperscript{89}. Because these findings are not promising for PV use, CAS was largely forgotten about until recently, when reassessments following advances in characterisation methods saw it demonstrate properties that are PV relevant. Interest has been maintained in CAS also because of its potential use in other areas of semiconductor applications, such as supercapacitors\textsuperscript{229}, dye-sensitised solar cells\textsuperscript{230,231}, or electrodes in batteries\textsuperscript{232}.

### 3.1.2 CuSbS\textsubscript{2} as a Solar Absorber

The use of indium in CIGS cells poses potential economic and environmental problems, and as such, viable replacements are being searched for. The ionic radius of antimony is almost equivalent to that of indium\textsuperscript{233–236}, but the scarcity and world demand for antimony is lower than for indium\textsuperscript{237}, and as such, could prove a good substitute, both economically and in terms of PV properties. Furthermore, the addition of trivalent indium or gallium reduced the copper ion mobility in CIGS over Cu\textsubscript{2}S\textsuperscript{54,238,239}, and a similar effect is expected for other trivalent elements, such as antimony in CAS.

CAS was not realised as a solar absorber until 2001, where it was found to demonstrate strong p-type conductivity and a suitable bandgap of 1.52 eV\textsuperscript{239}, and from there, the first cell was constructed in 2005\textsuperscript{240}. Since then it has continued to demonstrate attractive PV properties\textsuperscript{28}: a solar-matched bandgap of \(\sim 1.5\) eV\textsuperscript{234,239,241}, inherent p-type conductivity due to the dominant copper vacancy\textsuperscript{238,239,242}, and absorption stronger than both CIGS and CZTS\textsuperscript{243}.

As well as attractive properties, CAS has been grown in thin-film and nanoscale form by a variety of methods, both physical\textsuperscript{b} and chemical\textsuperscript{c}: beneficial for PV use. Also, with a relatively low melting point of \(\sim 540^\circ\text{C}\textsuperscript{242,244}\), CAS is amenable to crystallisation at lower temperatures.

Despite these benefits, solar cell performance thus far has been limited and shortcomings of the literature reports on device properties have been acknowledged\textsuperscript{105}. There have been very few reports of fully built PV devices, reporting no\textsuperscript{240,245,246}, or very low\textsuperscript{d} efficiencies, which are detailed in Table 3.1. The efficiency record stands at 3.22\%\textsuperscript{248}, and

---

\textsuperscript{b} Thermal evaporation\textsuperscript{235,236,244,773} and sputtering\textsuperscript{44,105,247}.

\textsuperscript{c} Spray pyrolysis\textsuperscript{233,238,289}, CBD\textsuperscript{234,239,240,245,246}, spin coating\textsuperscript{242}, electrodeposition\textsuperscript{241,301,773}, solution processing\textsuperscript{274} and solvo-/hydro-thermal\textsuperscript{280,282,290}.

\textsuperscript{d} < 2\%. 
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even after over a decade of research, recent reports still acknowledge that further investigation into device fabrication and characterisation is required\textsuperscript{235,243}.

CuSb\textsubscript{2} was chosen for study here, over the Cu\textsubscript{3}SbS\textsubscript{3} phase because although both of these materials benefit from the same earth-abundant composition properties, Cu\textsubscript{3}SbS\textsubscript{3} was shown to have a bandgap around 1.83 eV\textsuperscript{249}, too high for effective PV devices. The selenium equivalent of CAS, that is CuSbSe\textsubscript{2} (CASe), has also begun to be studied for its use in PV and it is believed that a mixed sulphide-selenide would allow tuning of the bandgap\textsuperscript{250}, similar to the case with CZTS\textsuperscript{6}. However, due to the problems associated with selenium use\textsuperscript{4}, this material is not considered here.

### 3.1.3 Structure

Although CAS was developed with the aim of analogously replacing CIGS, there are several apparent differences between the two materials. The mineral form of CAS is called chalcostibite, named after the Greek names for copper and antimony, and was first discovered at the Graf Jost-Christian mine in Wolfsberg, Harz, Sachsen-Anhalt, Germany in 1835\textsuperscript{9}, which led to its original name, wolfsbergite\textsuperscript{251}. Although antimony takes the trivalent oxidation state in CAS, like gallium and indium do in CIGS, CAS does not form in the tetrahedral chalcopyrite crystal structure like CIGS\textsuperscript{30,252}. Instead, CAS forms in the structure shown in Figure 3.1, which is somewhat distorted by the stereochemically active antimony lone-pair electrons,

\textsuperscript{6} See §6.1.3.

\textsuperscript{4} See §1.4.
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which do not take part in bonding\textsuperscript{253}. The copper atoms are 4-fold coordinated to sulphur in almost regular tetrahedra, whereas the antimony atoms are 5-fold coordinated to sulphur in a distorted square-based pyramid arrangement. The lone-pair electron density is then directed into the void between the SbS\textsubscript{5} pyramid units\textsuperscript{85}. With two Sb-S bonds being much longer than the other three\textsuperscript{82,254}, the crystal structure is layered through the plane intersecting these bonds\textsuperscript{247}. Consequently, there are two inequivalent sulphur sites, one which is coordinated to two Cu and three Sb atoms, and the other which is coordinated to two Cu and two Sb atoms\textsuperscript{h,255}.

3.1.4 Motivation & Scope of this Study

The low device efficiencies despite the attractive PV properties of CAS is the main motivation for this study, which demands a more fundamental understanding of it, in order to drive progress into researching novel ideas for its development. Indeed, a continued heavy reliance on analogies with CIGS could cause CAS development to stagnate, as was the case with CZTS\textsuperscript{256}, even though initial development was accelerated using these analogies. It is therefore important to acknowledge and address the differences between CAS and CIGS.

The crystal structure of CAS is very dissimilar from the tetrahedral structures of CIGS or CZTS\textsuperscript{1,105}, and leads to some differing characteristics, which are thought to be a possible cause of the poor device performances. It is the details of the electronic structure of CAS which are explored in this chapter.

\textsuperscript{8} Shown in grey in Figure 3.1.
\textsuperscript{h} Labelled S1 and S2 in Figure 3.1, respectively.
\textsuperscript{i} See Figure 6.1.
Specifically, a full XPS analysis of CAS is presented, including core-levels, the effect of surface cleaning and contamination, along with VB spectra and the position of the band edges. From this, it is shown how complexities in the spectra can make the surface contamination of this material easy to overlook, but also why it is important to identify and recognise the effects of such contamination. These findings are then corroborated within the VB with theoretical density of states calculations in order to further explain the bonding nature of this material and how this affects the position of the VBM. This then gives rise to a low IP, which is partly responsible for the poor efficiencies seen so far because of the poor conduction band alignment with the commonly used window layer, CdS. Ultimately, the poor performance of this material is ascribed not to an inherent fundamental problem, but to a reliance on comparing this material with CIGS, which then results in outcomes which are not beneficial for CAS.

3.2 Experimental Details

Complete details of the experimental systems used here can be found in Chapter 2 and the specific details pertinent to this study, including a full description of the cleaning procedure used for the thin-films, are detailed in Appendix C.

3.2.1 Growth of CuSbS₂ Films

The samples of CAS studied throughout this chapter were donated by the Zakutayev Group, at NREL, USA. Thin-films of CAS were grown by sputtering simultaneously from targets of Cu₂S and Sb₂S₃. Following this, the thin-films underwent a thermal treatment in an Sb₂S₃ atmosphere. Previous characterisations of these films can be found in the appropriate literature⁵⁴,¹⁰⁴,¹⁰⁵, along with more details of the growth methods. Thin-films were provided before (no-TT) and after (TT) the thermal treatment was performed in order to determine the effect of this on the electronic structure of the material, if any. Also, for the different characterisations, thin-films were deposited on molybdenum-coated glass for XPS measurements, and on plain glass for optical measurements.

3.2.2 Characterisation of CuSbS₂ Films

Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectra were acquired using a Horiba Scientific Jobin-Yvon LabRam HR system, using an exciting wavelength of 514.5 nm.

X-Ray Photoemission Spectroscopy

XPS was used to study the core-level electronic structure, SEC, VBM and occupied VBDOS of the CAS samples. They were affixed to UHV sample plates by spot welding tantalum straps across the sample edges. This also provided an electrical connection between the CAS films
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and the spectrometer. Ar⁺ ion sputtering at 500 eV and radiative heating at 200°C were utilised to clean contamination from the sample surfaces, the full details of which are given in Appendix C, §C.5.1.

Prior to cleaning, the main C 1s signal, due to contaminant carbon, was found to have a BE of 284.72 eV and was deemed to have either experienced no, or very minimal charging due to this BE being observed for contaminant carbon on this material previously.²⁴⁴–²⁴⁶,²⁵⁷,²⁵⁸ However, after subjecting the sample to surface cleaning, the very poor SNR for the C 1s peaks meant that this peak could no longer be used for charge correction. Instead, as the Cu 2p region doesn’t suffer from any overlapping features, the spectra were calibrated using the Cu 2p$_{3/2}$ BE of the sample prior to cleaning, which was measured to be 931.97 eV.

All of the fitted synthetic peaks in this study were Voigt profiles, fitted after subtraction of a Shirley background, unless otherwise stated. Cu 2p doublets were fitted with a separation of 19.80 eV and an area ratio of 1:2. The FWHM of the Cu 2p$_{3/2}$ peak is somewhat broader than that of the Cu 2p$_{3/2}$ peak due to Coster–Kronig effects. Sb 3d doublets were fitted with a separation of 9.34 eV, an area ratio of 2:3 and equal FWHM. Fitted S 2p doublets were separated by 1.20 eV, with an area ratio of 1:2, and equal FWHM.

Optical Absorption Spectroscopy

Values of the direct and indirect bandgaps were determined from extrapolation of the absorption spectra derived from reflectance and transmittance measurements via FTIR spectroscopy at 300 K and 4 K. The data reduction and fitting procedures are detailed in §2.5.2.

Density Functional Theory

Electronic-structure calculations were performed through the VASP code, starting from the atomic structure of CAS, obtained through XRD analysis. The band structure, pDoS in the valence and conduction bands, and bandgaps were determined.

3.3 Electronic Structure Studies of High-Quality CuSbS₂ Films

This section presents characterisations of the thermally treated sample of CAS for analysis of the potential of this material to be used as a solar absorber. The TT sample was chosen for these ‘standard’ characterisations as it has been shown to be of superior quality than the non-TT sample. Differences stemming from the thermal treatment shall be explored in §3.4.

3.3.1 Phase-Purity

The Raman spectrum for the TT CAS sample is shown in Figure 3.2 and demonstrates a single intense peak at 335 cm⁻¹, which is indicative of the chalcostibite phase.²⁶⁵ Also observed are the weaker Raman modes at 101, 140 and 164 cm⁻¹ which have been reported previously for
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3.3.2 XPS Core-Level Analysis

The Necessity for Rigorous Core-Level Analysis & the Potential Problems with XPS Spectra of CAS

The complexity and variety of compounds that can be formed increases when working with ternary, over binary systems, as is the case with CAS. It is therefore imperative to be able to control and identify the phase of the resulting film in order that the correct compound is formed. With regards to CAS, a line compound that should be intolerant to stoichiometric nonconformity, this is especially important. Beyond the standard techniques of XRD and RS for phase identification, XPS is commonly used to confirm the expected oxidation states of the elements in solar absorber compounds. Unfortunately, due to the subtle nuances that can be present in XPS spectra, coupled with inexpert analysis, it is common for important details to be either unnoticed or misinterpreted, as discussed in §2.3.4. One such detail which is overlooked is the inevitable formation of oxides at the surface of samples which have been exposed to atmosphere. If this happens during the transfer to the spectrometer, the effects of a surface oxide may be trivial, causing a slight shift in the measured properties; however, if this occurs during a processing step of solar cell production, then an extra layer will be included into the device stack, which may be a culprit for performance degradation. Whatever the circumstances, the formation of oxides on absorber materials must be acknowledged and addressed.

For CAS, it is possible for both the metals and the sulphur to oxidise, and as it is generally the case that metal-oxide peaks have higher BE than metal-sulphide peaks due to the higher

---

1 See §3.3.1.
electronegativity of oxygen over sulphur\textsuperscript{276}, this makes assignment of oxide peaks somewhat easier. For copper, the more likely to form cupric oxide\textsuperscript{k, 277} is aided in identification by the presence of characteristic shake-up lines in the Cu 2p spectra\textsuperscript{1}, associated with the Cu\textsuperscript{2+} oxidation state\textsuperscript{278}, as well as a shift in BE from the sulphide\textsuperscript{279}. However, an overreliance on the qualitative detection of such shake-up lines for confirmation or exclusion of the presence of copper oxide has resulted in incorrectly drawn conclusions. Indeed, in studies by Suriakarthick et al.\textsuperscript{280}, Jiasong et al.\textsuperscript{281}, and Su et al.\textsuperscript{282}, these satellite features are present but no fitting has been performed and they are not acknowledged in the discussion. In other studies, the satellites are explicitly excluded in the discussion, leading to the conclusion that no CuO is present; however, the data presented by Sarswat & Free\textsuperscript{283} has such a poor SNR, that this conclusion cannot be accurately drawn, and the presence of these satellites is apparent in data presented by Wang et al.\textsuperscript{284}, even though this conclusion was explicitly stated as well.

The oxidation of sulphur is very clear in XPS spectra of materials where sulphur is usually present as an anion, and is characterised by intensity around 6 eV higher BE than the corresponding sulphide species\textsuperscript{285}, making detection of this species easier. Oxidation, if present, is not guaranteed of all constituent elements, as has been assumed previously\textsuperscript{286}, and rigorous fitting of all elements should be performed in order to acquire a correct analysis. Difficulties in assessing the surface oxidation of antimony are compounded by the overlap of the O 1s & Sb 3d\textsubscript{5/2} regions\textsuperscript{287}. A fitting procedure is therefore required to determine the antimony and oxygen species. Here, a commonly followed procedure was utilised\textsuperscript{287}: because any O 1s peaks would overlap only the Sb 3d\textsubscript{5/2} peaks, the Sb 3d\textsubscript{3/2} peaks were fitted independently with the knowledge that only intensity contribution from antimony could be found there. Following that, the corresponding peaks for Sb 3d\textsubscript{3/2} were constrained using the parameters given in §3.2.2. The remaining intensity in this region was then fitted, with confidence that this was due solely to O 1s photoelectrons.

In the literature, unacknowledged intensity arising from antimony oxides is commonplace, but the presented spectra can be critically assessed and even a qualitative analysis can provide a better understanding. Some studies, like those from Ornelas-Acosta et al.\textsuperscript{245, 246}, and Sarswat & Free\textsuperscript{283}, present good quality data for the overlap region, but because there is no discussion of the possibility of the overlapping peaks, their presence cannot be excluded. Whereas with others, like those from Ramasamy et al.\textsuperscript{229}, Suriakarthick et al.\textsuperscript{280}, Su et al.\textsuperscript{282}, Wang et al.\textsuperscript{284}, and Jiasong et al.\textsuperscript{281}, the obvious presence of shoulder peaks in the overlap region suggests that oxides are present and have been overlooked, especially where the main antimony peaks have been fitted, but there is still unaccounted-for intensity in this region, as is the case with studies by van Embden & Tachibana\textsuperscript{286}, Han et al.\textsuperscript{288}, and Ramos Aquino et al.\textsuperscript{289}. Unfortunately, a retrospective analysis is sometimes not possible, for example in a study by

\textsuperscript{k} CuO.

\textsuperscript{1} See §2.3.1.
An et al.\textsuperscript{290}, where only the Cu 2$p$ region was shown, or another by Lou et al.\textsuperscript{291}, where the Sb 3$d$ region is cropped in the middle of a peak.

It is noted that other effective methods of bypassing the analysis of overlapping peaks are possible, such as measuring peaks other than those of strongest intensity\textsuperscript{m}; however, this is not recommended here as it does not address any oxygen present in the sample. It is believed that surface oxidation has previously, at least in part, been responsible for poor performing devices\textsuperscript{257}, the effects of which will be discussed in §3.4.1. It is therefore imperative that such contamination is identified in order that it can be removed, reduced, or at the least acknowledged. To this extent, XPS can be used for such a purpose, but the data must be of sufficiently high quality and thoroughly analysed.

**Core-Level Analysis of the TT Sample: Before & After Surface Cleaning**

The survey spectra for the sample, both before and after surface cleaning, are shown in Figure 3.3, and demonstrate peaks for the expected elements of copper, antimony and sulphur from the material. Peaks from carbon and oxygen are also present; not unexpected because of hydrocarbon contamination from exposure to atmosphere, which then reduced after cleaning. Sodium was also found, which did not decrease after cleaning, suggesting that it has diffused through from the glass, as has been seen in similar materials\textsuperscript{292}. Further discussion of the nature and effects of sodium with the corresponding spectra is given in §3.4.1.

The Cu 2$p$ spectra were fitted with one doublet, which was attributed to Cu$^+$ in CAS. Because charge correction was achieved using the Cu 2$p_{3/2}$ peak, the spectra are similar, and a comparison before and after cleaning is shown in Figure 3.4. It can be seen that no discernible change occurred during the cleaning process, showing no oxidation of the copper in the material after growth.

\textsuperscript{m} Such as Sb 4$d$ instead of Sb 3$d$\textsuperscript{242}. 
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\textbf{Figure 3.3:} XPS survey spectra for the TT CAS sample before & after surface cleaning.
The overlapping regions of Sb 3d and O 1s were fitted according to the procedure detailed above, and the spectra before and after cleaning are shown in Figure 3.5. It can be seen that prior to cleaning, two distinct peaks are visible in the Sb 3d_{3/2} region, with a shoulder to the lower BE side of the lower peak. These features were fitted with three Sb 3d doublets, and the remaining intensity in the Sb 3d_{5/2} region, relating to O 1s emission, was fitted with two peaks. The strongest, sharpest antimony doublet (red dash) was attributed to Sb^{3+} in CAS, sharpest because of the high crystallinity of the grown material. The doublet at highest BE (pink dot) was attributed to antimony in a Sb-O environment. It is thought that this oxide consists predominantly of Sb_{2}O_{3}, as the trivalency of antimony is maintained and the BE is in agreement with literature values; however, it is possible that the oxide is a mixture of Sb_{2}O_{3} and Sb_{2}O_{5} because the literature is unclear on the spectral differences between the two oxides. Nevertheless, this Sb-O species is drastically reduced on surface cleaning, showing that the oxide is present mainly at the surface of the sample and formed during atmospheric exposure. After cleaning, a small amount of oxide remained on the surface, which was not removed in order to avoid inducing sample damage through prolonged, excessive sputtering. The O 1s peak at higher BE (orange shading) is consistent with an adventitious species associated with carbon and the peak at lower BE (purple shading), almost fully overlapping the Sb 3d_{5/2} peak from antimony oxide, is attributed to the oxygen from within this antimony oxide, which reduced upon cleaning as well.

The third, small antimony doublet (blue dot dash), present at lower BE is attributed to metallic antimony. It is believed that the metallic antimony is not created by the preferential sputtering of sulphur, nor by the dissociation of sulphur during the surface cleaning for several reasons. First, the amount of metallic antimony relative to the antimony from CAS decreases after surface cleaning, whereas if it were created by the surface cleaning, one would expect it

\[ \text{a} \approx 537 \text{ eV.} \]
to increase. Second, surface cleaning-induced damage to the sample was minimised by using low sputtering energies and anneal temperatures\(^9\), because in a study by Ornelas-Acosta et al.\(^{245,246}\), where high energy sputtering was used\(^9\), the amount of sputter-induced antimony was markedly higher.

It was also a concern during growth, that after the thermal treatment, excess Sb\(_2\)S\(_3\) may remain on the surface of the sample. However, due to the expected BE of Sb 3d\(_{5/2}\) from Sb\(_2\)S\(_3\)\(^q\), and the lack of difference in spectral features of the Sb 3d region between the no-TT and TT samples\(^r\), it was concluded that no remnant Sb\(_2\)S\(_3\) was present.

A comparison of the S 2p spectra before and after surface cleaning is shown in Figure 3.6. Before cleaning, the spectra were fitted with three doublets, and after cleaning, were fitted with two doublets. The species which was eliminated after cleaning (green shading) is attributed to sulphur-containing surface contamination\(^298\), as seen in other sulphide materials\(^274\). The two strongest doublets (red dash & blue dot), separated by 0.30 eV are assigned to S\(^2\) in CAS: one for each of the coordination sites, as described in §3.1.3, and shown in Figure 3.1. In the literature, little to no attempts at fitting the S 2p region have been made, with only two studies by Ornelas-Acosta et al.\(^{245,246}\) fitting this region with more than one doublet, but the SNR is poor and there the authors state that the extra doublets are due to unreacted precursor. However, it is believed that the extra sulphur peaks in that study were probably due to sputter damage, affirmed by the sputter profile shown.

The viability of the presence of two doublets in Figure 3.6 is initially confirmed by the poor quality of fit when using one doublet, and is strengthened by: the area ratio between the

---

\(^9\) As detailed in Appendix C, §C.5.1.

\(^p\) 3 keV.

\(^q\) 529.7 eV\(^{294,671}\).

\(^r\) Which will be presented in §3.4.2, specifically Figure 3.18.
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doublets being 1:1, as expected from the crystal structure; that previously measured samples by the author fit this way equally well; and because of the large difference in coordination environments for the sulphur. These reasons are explored further in Appendix D, §D.1.1. Despite this, the specific assignment of the S 2$p$ doublets to their corresponding coordination environment is unclear, and the labelling of the doublets in Figure 3.6 is arbitrary. Although the S1 environment is coordinated to an extra cation, two of the bonds with antimony are longer than the others$^{254}$, and as such are believed to be governed by van der Waals forces$^{226}$. Given this, the distorted structure of CAS, and the complications involved with predicting the BE of sulphur for complex systems$^{299}$, then the amount of charge transfer, differences in electronegativities of the cations, the effect of bond lengths and the effect of second-nearest neighbours all contribute to the binding energy, and as such, no definitive assignment is given here. Prior to cleaning, the sample showed no presence of sulphate species, as has been seen in previous reports of this material$^{257,282}$.

A summary of the fitted BE and FWHM of the core-levels described above is given in Table 3.2. The shifts in BE before and after surface cleaning are rather small and therefore it can be concluded that the surface cleaning employed here did not significantly affect the underlying material. Further discussion of the reported BE, including those from contamination, can be found in §3.4, along with all fitted BE in Table 3.3 & Table 3.4. Also presented in §3.4.2 are the XPS-derived surface stoichiometry values, and a discussion and comparison of the effect of the thermal treatment stage of the growth with respect to the electronic structure and sample quality.

Figure 3.6: XPS S 2$p$ region of the TT CAS sample before & after surface cleaning. Peak envelope shown in black.
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\[ ^{299} \]
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Table 3.2: XPS BE for the main peaks of the TT CAS sample before & after surface cleaning. All values are given in eV & the peak FWHM are given in parentheses. BE of all fitted peaks in this chapter can be found in Table 3.3 & Table 3.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(\text{Cu}\ 2p_{3/2})</th>
<th>(\text{Sb}\ 3d_{5/2})</th>
<th>(\text{S}\ 2p_{3/2}) (S1)</th>
<th>(\text{S}\ 2p_{3/2}) (S2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before Cleaning</td>
<td>931.97 (1.13)</td>
<td>529.11 (0.80)</td>
<td>161.28 (0.62)</td>
<td>161.58 (0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Cleaning</td>
<td>931.97 (1.13)</td>
<td>529.19 (0.86)</td>
<td>161.33 (0.66)</td>
<td>161.64 (0.76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparison to the Literature**

The BE for the \(\text{Cu}\ 2p_{3/2}\), \(\text{Sb}\ 3d_{5/2}\) and \(\text{S}\ 2p_{3/2}\) peaks found here for the TT sample are shown in Figure 3.7 along with literature values of other XPS-measured samples of CAS. Generally, the binding energies reported in the literature for this material are in poor agreement with the values measured here. Exceptions to this are studies by Wan et al.\(^{244}\), Peccerillo et al.\(^{257}\), and Ramos Aquino et al.\(^{289}\), where the BE of all the elements of CAS are in decent agreement and all of these studies observed and acknowledged the presence of antimony oxide. Yang et al.\(^{242}\) reported \(\text{Cu}\ 2p_{3/2}\) and \(\text{S}\ 2p_{3/2}\) BE that are in agreement with those measured here, but they chose to measure the \(\text{Sb}\ 4d\) region rather than the \(\text{Sb}\ 3d\) region, presumably to avoid the overlap problem with \(\text{O}\ 1s\). Whilst this will provide the same information regarding the state of the antimony, it neglects the \(\text{O}\ 1s\) region, and cannot account for the presence of oxygen.

The BE for the cations reported by Ramasamy et al.\(^{229}\) are all lower than those measured here, suggesting the misapplication of a charge correction; however, the agreement of the reported \(\text{S}\ 2p_{3/2}\) BE would undermine this theory. Nevertheless, studying the presented \(\text{S}\ 2p\) spectrum of that study suggests that the \(\text{S}\ 2p_{3/2}\) peak actually has a much lower BE than that quoted in the discussion, and therefore means that the BE of all the elements are indeed lower, and that relatively, they are in agreement with those given here. This misapplied charge correction is further evidenced by the confusion caused by the low BE of the \(\text{Sb}\ 3d_{5/2}\) peak, which caused the authors to conclude that \(\text{Sb}^{2+}\) is present: a very uncommon oxidation state\(^{300}\).

Other XPS studies of CAS are limited by either the quality, or lack of data. Su et al.\(^{282}\) presented XPS data for the regions of CAS, yet the analysis consisted of one sentence, stating that the material was pure and of correct composition. The lack of explicitly reported BE weakens this conclusion, especially given that the SNR of the data is poor and there is evidence of several secondary peaks. An et al.\(^{290}\) used XPS to characterise CAS, but only presented the \(\text{Cu}\ 2p\) region with very poor SNR, and they state that the BE is in agreement with literature. These studies are especially limiting as they are some of the first XPS measurements of CAS. Furthermore, the stated conclusions in these studies, that the material is pure and as expected, is misleading, given there are no previous studies of this material.

\(^{a}\)The XPS measurements in this study were performed by the author.
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Those studies presented in Figure 3.7, which are not in agreement with the BE values measured here are believed to be due to either a lack of fitting procedure, else, where fitting was performed, an incorrect assignment of the peaks. Suriakarthick et al. studied samples of CAS grown at different temperatures, and the presented XPS spectra show drastic changes; however, with no applied fitting or discussion of these differences, it is difficult to determine their origin. Nevertheless, there is strong evidence suggesting the presence of oxide components, due to the wide features in the Sb 3d spectra and the presence of satellites in the Cu 2p spectra, which could be the cause of the higher BE reported there. Ornelas-Acosta et al. presented two studies of CAS which utilise XPS, and they found similar results in both cases. They fitted the Cu 2p region with two doublets, assigning the one with higher BE to CAS, and the lower to Cu₂S, claiming that it formed during the growth. Three doublets were fitted to the Sb 3d region, the one with highest BE assigned to CAS, the intermediary one to Sb₂S₃; a precursor, and the lowest BE doublet to metallic antimony, formed due to the cleaning method employed. The S 2p region was fitted with three doublets, corresponding to the three

Figure 3.7: Comparison between the XPS BE measured in this study for the clean TT CAS sample, & those reported previously in the literature for CAS. Literature references are given on the bottom x-axis, & the quoted charge referencing method employed in the corresponding study are given on the top x-axis. Species assignments are as given in the corresponding reference, see text for discussion of the agreements & more details.
sulphides mentioned above. Comparing these assignments with those reported by others\textsuperscript{v} would suggest a mistake, with the likelihood being that the middle antimony doublet originated from CAS, and the higher BE antimony doublet originated from an oxide layer, which would be in very good agreement with the other studies. Another problem with these studies is the amount of metallic antimony present in the samples, resultant probably because of the high energy sputtering which was used in the cleaning. This endorses the caution paid in the current study: that more aggressive sputtering would cause damage to the sample, leading to an unrepresentative measurement of the material.

After accounting for all these differences, there is general agreement between the few reported XPS measurements of CAS.

### 3.3.3 Natural Band Alignments

When considering solar cell materials, another often overlooked point to consider is the position of the band edges and how this will affect the architecture of the cell, as was discussed in §1.2.2. An oft quoted property of absorber materials is the bandgap, and whilst a relevant bandgap value is necessary for decent efficiencies, this can be compromised if the band alignments hinder charge carrier extraction.

The bandgaps of the CAS sample were determined from the absorption spectra, shown in the Tauc plots in Figure 3.8, using the method described in §2.5.2. Room temperature\textsuperscript{w} measurements allowed the extraction of both the direct (1.60 eV) and indirect (1.55 eV) bandgaps. That the fundamental gap of this material is indirect is not necessarily a problem, as the direct gap still has a value suitable for PV use, and the measurements here are in agreement with previous studies, which found similar values\textsuperscript{105,234,236,239,242,244,246,250,289,301,302}.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.6\textwidth]{Figure_3.8.png}
\caption{Optical absorption data from the TT CAS sample. Tauc plot fittings for the direct & indirect bandgaps of the TT CAS sample at 4 K & 300 K.}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{v} See Figure 3.7.
\textsuperscript{w} 300 K.
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The direct and indirect gaps at cryogenic temperature\(^a\) were also determined for comparison with the DFT calculations and were found to be 1.69 eV and 1.59 eV, respectively. As the direct and indirect bandgaps from DFT\(^b\) were found to be 1.82 eV and 1.67 eV, respectively, this is in satisfactory agreement with the experimental results at 4 K, with the slight discrepancy occurring as a result of error in the fitting method or calculations. These calculated values are in agreement with previous calculations as well\(^{29,85,243}\).

As was discussed in §1.6.2, it is important to know the IP and EA of PV absorber materials. The IP and WF values were extracted for the TT sample after cleaning, and were found to be 4.98 eV and 4.73 eV, respectively, from the fittings of the VBM and SEC shown in Figure 3.9. Then, by using a vacuum alignment procedure\(^{190}\) and taking the measured indirect 300 K bandgap value of 1.55 eV from Figure 3.8, this is shown for comparison with CZTS, CIGS and CdS in Figure 3.10. Consequently, the EA was calculated to be 3.43 eV by taking the difference between the measured IP and bandgap.

Although XPS can be used to great effect in determining band positions\(^{303}\) and also performing band alignments at junction interfaces\(^{304}\), it is not widely implemented, especially for new materials. Hence, these measurements are absent from the majority of the literature on CAS. However, Yang et al.\(^{242}\) measured a WF of 4.86 eV using UPS, in good agreement with the value presented here, and an IP of 5.25 eV, different to the value measured here because the VBM fitting in that study is unclear. Measurements of a CAS sample, where there was heavy antimony oxide contamination present, resulted in a very high IP\(^{257}\), thought to be due to the oxide. Further discussion of the effects of the oxide is given in §3.4.1.

It has been previously suggested that the poor \(V_{OC}\) observed in CAS cells arises partly from a poor CB alignment with the n-type layer\(^{247}\), and this is confirmed here in Figure 3.10, with the CBM of CAS lying 0.85 eV higher than that of CdS, which is in agreement with a theoretical study\(^{247}\). With such a high CBO, this would suggest that a recombination centre would be present at this interface\(^{305}\). In fact, in order to stop the formation of an electron barrier at the

\[\text{Figure 3.9: VBM & SEC fittings for the clean TT CAS sample from XPS data.}\]

\(^{a}\) 4 K.

\(^{b}\) 0 K.
interface, the CBM of the n-type layer should be 0–0.4 eV higher than that of the absorber\textsuperscript{25}, as is the case with both CIGS/CdS and CZTS/CdS as seen in Figure 3.10.

All CAS device structures so far use CdS as the window layer\textsuperscript{z}. It is therefore obvious that utilising traditional CIGS cell architectures for CAS is unfavourable for device performance. The use of CdS as the n-type layer not only creates the cliff-like CBO\textsuperscript{247}, but also undermines the goal of developing environmentally friendly structures. It may also be the case that along with replacing the n-type layer, the back contact may also need replacing, as the WF of typically used molybdenum may not be favourable for charge extraction. It is therefore apparent that further research is needed to identify more ideal partner materials for CAS in a cell\textsuperscript{306}, especially with regards to measuring band alignments in real systems.

### 3.3.4 Density of States Analysis

The low IP observed here could be explained through study of the bonding mechanism of this material, and as such, DFT calculations resulted in pDoS curves for the VB and CB. The pDoS in the VB, and VB spectra from XPS\textsuperscript{aa}, are shown in Figure 3.11. The corrected\textsuperscript{bb} pDoS curves were summed, and the total curve aligned to the XPS data for direct comparison. There is good agreement between the calculated DoS and the XPS spectra, with all features accounted for and the relative intensities of the correct order. Especially, the Cu 3\textit{d} states of the main feature (II) and the leading edge at the top of the valence band (I) are reproduced well. The only differences arise at features III and IV, and are probably caused by final-state relaxation effects in XPS, which are known to shift features that are deeper in the VB to lower BE\textsuperscript{178}.

\footnote{See Table 3.1.}
\footnote{For the TT sample after surface cleaning, and after having subtracted a Shirley background.}
\footnote{Using the parameters described in Appendix C, §C.3.}
The large photoionisation cross section of the Cu 3d orbital means that this dominates the corrected DoS curve and it is therefore prudent, given the excellent experimental corroboration shown in Figure 3.11, to discuss the pDoS contributions with respect to the uncorrected curves. These are shown in Figure 3.12a for both the VB and CB. Without the corrections, the VBDoS is still dominated by Cu 3d states, and the valence band shows three distinct features (V, VI, & V*). Similar to both CIGS and CZTS, the copper in CAS is tetrahedrally coordinated to sulphur in the crystal structure and therefore the crystal field splits the Cu 3d orbitals into a non-bonding e doublet, and a t_{2g} triplet, which is able to bond\(^{307}\). These features are present in Figure 3.12 as the non-bonding e states (VI), and the bonding (V) and antibonding (V*) hybridisation of the t_{2g} states with S 3p states. The bottom of the highest VB is comprised of bonding orbitals of S 3p states with a slight contribution from Sb 5p states (VII), and the antibonding states of this interaction form the main contribution to the CB (VII*).

Further insights into the bonding nature are gained when studying the states normally overwhelmed by intensity from Cu d/S p hybridisation and to this end, the zoomed region of the valence and conduction band edges in Figure 3.12b is considered. At first glance, it would seem that the Sb 5s states are localised in feature VIII, which agrees with the classical model of lone-pair electrons: that they are too tightly bound and therefore non-bonding. However, there are also Sb s states at the top of the valence band (X) and the bottom of the CB (X*), which suggests that the contribution here is due to interactions via the revised lone-pair model\(^{253}\). Here, the lone-pair electrons first interact with S 3p electrons and the full antibonding orbital of this interaction is then sufficiently high, that it can interact with empty Sb 5p states, resulting in bonding states in the valence band (X) and antibonding states at the bottom of the

Figure 3.11: Simulated & measured VB spectra for CAS with respect to the Fermi-level at 0 eV. Background subtracted XPS data is compared with broadened & corrected partial DoS curves. Top spectra shows intensity zoomed region to better show the underlying curves. Green data are from XPS & the black curve with grey shading is the total summed DoS.
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These findings, in agreement with previous analyses of the VBDoS of CAS \(^{85,255}\), are in direct opposition to a more recent study which claimed that the Sb 5\(s\) lone-pair is inert, localised and contributes nothing to bonding in the VB \(^{252}\). It is believed that this interpretation is incorrect for two main reasons: first, because of the excellent agreement between the XPS and DoS, the underlying bonding nature is supported; and second, due to the distorted nature of the SbS\(_5\) pyramids in the crystal structure, the revised lone-pair model suggests that these interactions should indeed take place, whereas in undistorted structures, this interaction is symmetry forbidden \(^{85}\).

CB (X\(^*\)). These findings, in agreement with previous analyses of the VBDoS of CAS \(^{85,255}\), are in direct opposition to a more recent study which claimed that the Sb 5\(s\) lone-pair is inert, localised and contributes nothing to bonding in the VB \(^{252}\). It is believed that this interpretation is incorrect for two main reasons: first, because of the excellent agreement between the XPS and DoS, the underlying bonding nature is supported; and second, due to the distorted nature of the SbS\(_5\) pyramids in the crystal structure, the revised lone-pair model suggests that these interactions should indeed take place, whereas in undistorted structures, this interaction is symmetry forbidden \(^{85}\).

Figure 3.12: a) Total & partial electronic DoS curves for CAS, with b) intensity zoomed region to more clearly show the underlying orbitals. Curves have been convolved with a Gaussian function (0.3 eV FWHM) in order to better distinguish features. DoS curves are aligned to the VBM. Black curve with grey shading is the total summed DoS. c) CE for the valence orbitals \(^{787,788}\) displayed with a schematic of the bonding hybridisations as discussed in the text. Antimony-sulphur bonding is separated into regular bonding (Sb-S) & lone-pair bonding (Sb\(^-\)-S). It is noted that the CE values do not take ionisation, multi-electron occupancy or hybridisation into account & are shown only as a schematic guide. Part labels are discussed & referred to throughout the text.
The bonding mechanisms described above and the other main contributions are shown in Figure 3.12c to more clearly demonstrate the hybridisations from the configuration energies (CE), most importantly, the lone-pair effects, and the nature of the Cu 3d states in the VB.

The described features are in agreement with previous analyses of CAS DoS calculations; however, no solid link has been previously made to the effect of these features regarding the electronic properties. As discussed in §3.1.4, much initial progress was made likening CAS to CIGS and also to CZTS, and the comparisons are still drawn in analyses of the DoS, especially regarding the Cu 3d/S 3p states in the VB, which are indeed very similar to the tetrahedral environment also present in CIGS. However, the full merit of this practice is questioned when it is clear that the crystal structure of CAS is so different from CIGS, then so should be the DoS, as the bonding is what leads to the adopted crystal structure. Clearly, from the CBDoS, Sb 5p states are the main cation contributor in CAS, whereas in CIGS, the bottom of the CB is mainly In/Ga s states. This has been recognised previously and found to be the reason why CAS shows superior absorption to CIGS, but no further discussions have been made. Here, it is posited that this difference is also the cause of the low IP found previously and discussed above. In CIGS, the In/Ga are in the 3+ oxidation state, as is Sb in CAS; however, being group III elements, In/Ga have empty valence s orbitals for the 3+ oxidation state, whereas in group V Sb, it is full. This means that in CIGS, empty cation s orbitals bond with full anion p orbitals to form regular, full bonding states at the bottom of the VB and empty, antibonding states at the bottom of the CB, whereas in CAS the full Sb 5s orbital is available for bonding, and due to the mechanism described above, these states are found throughout the VB and at the bottom of the CB. It is unsurprising that the first CB of CAS is dominated by antibonding Sb 5p/S 3p states as Sb 5p are the first empty orbitals that are within proximity of the full S 3p orbitals. This is shown, in contrast to the empty states of CIGS, in the schematic CE diagram in Figure 3.13, with the main contributions to the VBM and CBM marked. From this, it can also be seen that the empty cation s states in CIGS are closer to the anion p orbital than the also empty cation p orbitals, and therefore the cation s nature of the CBM in CIGS is explained as well.

Although it is believed that the Sb lone-pairs play some effect in raising the energy levels of the bands in CAS, the Cu d states must also have an effect due to their dominating presence in the VB. In other d-orbital dominant semiconductors, the chemical trend is that with increasing separation between the cation d and anion p levels, the VBM rises, given a common-cation. If one accepts this, then by studying Figure 3.13, the CAS analogue replacing S with Se, that is CASe, should yield a lower IP even than CAS, and such has been reported previously. This then would suggest that CIGS, with common Cu-cation, and Se as an anion, should show a similar trend when compared to CAS. As this is not the case, it is then

\[ \text{[Kr]4d}^{10}\text{5s}^{2}\text{5p}^{0} \text{ for Sb}^{3+} \]

\[ \text{[Ar]3d}^{10}\text{4s}^{0}\text{4p}^{0} \text{ for Ga}^{3+} \]

\[ \text{[Kr]4d}^{10}\text{5s}^{2}\text{5p}^{0} \text{ for In}^{3+} \]

\[ \text{[Ar]3d}^{10}\text{4s}^{0}\text{4p}^{0} \text{ for Ga}^{3+} \]
evident that it is the presence of antimony over indium/gallium which is responsible for the raising of the VBM, both through the addition of Sb 5s states to the valence band, but also the shifting of Cu 3d states within the VBM.

Another issue pertinent to PV absorbers is the formation of defects, which can affect device performance. ‘Defect tolerance’ is a term used when the formation of defects does not negatively affect the properties of a material and occurs when the VBM is antibonding in nature and the CBM is bonding in nature, so that defects would produce shallow levels\(^{27}\). To this extent, CAS should benefit from at least some measure of this property because even though the CBM is predominantly antibonding Sb \(p/S\) \(p\) states, the VBM is strongly antibonding Cu \(d/S\) \(p\) with also a slight contribution from Sb \(s\) states due to the lone-pair bonding mechanism. Furthermore, the dominant vacancy in CAS has been shown to be \(V_{\text{Cu}}\)\(^{242}\) which, as an acceptor, leads to the inherent p-type conductivity and also the crystallographic differences between the two cation-anion structural motifs makes CAS less prone to cation disorder, and the band tailing associated with it\(^{105}\), both of which further support this idea that CAS should be defect tolerant.

These observations and reasoning all support the postulate that advancement in CAS research now requires a definitive move away from CIGS analogies. The lone-pair of electrons from the antimony cation provides extra states to both the valence and conduction bands of CAS, which are not present in CIGS. This leads to a higher VBM level, resulting in a low IP for CAS, which results in a performance-damaging CBO with CdS; commonly used in CIGS cells. Therefore, CAS should be developed within its own class of earth-abundant absorbers.
3.4 Further Effects of Contamination & the Thermal Treatment of CuSbS₂

Throughout §3.3, the properties of CAS were studied using the thermally treated sample as a standard, representative of the material, after it had undergone surface cleaning. Such measurements are beneficial in determining the fundamental properties of materials, but it is also useful, given the breadth of applications for which XPS can be used, to study the effects that the contamination of the sample could have on the properties, and how this could affect device performance. These results are presented in this section, along with comparative measurements of the no-TT sample, in order to determine the effects of this thermal treatment upon the electronic structure, and also the surface stoichiometry of the sample. All fitted BE from both samples can be found in Table 3.3 for the CAS-relevant peaks, and Table 3.4 for the contamination-relevant peaks.

3.4.1 The Effects of Contamination

The presence of contamination on the TT sample before surface cleaning was acknowledged in §3.3.2, especially the presence of sodium in Figure 3.3, and the antimony oxide evident in Figure 3.5. The effects of these contaminants were touched upon there, but now a discussion of the ramifications of these will be given.

Oxidation

In §3.3.2, only the antimony appeared to have oxidised, and from the comparison with the literature in Figure 3.7, it would seem that this observation is commonplace with regards to CAS. Although this oxidation reduced with surface cleaning, suggesting it is present at the surface as a result of atmospheric handling, and not within the bulk of the material, it is still important to acknowledge its presence and effects, especially if there is the possibility of its formation midway through device fabrication, as this will lead to the incorporation of this oxide layer into the device stack, possibly contributing to the poor performance of CAS so far.

Table 3.3: XPS BE for the CAS-relevant peaks of the CAS samples before & after surface cleaning. All values are given in eV & the peak FWHM are given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cu 2p½</th>
<th>Sb 3d½</th>
<th>S 2p½ (S1)</th>
<th>S 2p½ (S2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No-TT Before Cleaning</td>
<td>931.97 (1.18)</td>
<td>529.11 (0.81)</td>
<td>161.31 (0.67)</td>
<td>161.61 (0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-TT After Cleaning</td>
<td>931.97 (1.11)</td>
<td>529.11 (1.03)</td>
<td>161.17 (0.72)</td>
<td>161.47 (0.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT Before Cleaning</td>
<td>931.97 (1.13)</td>
<td>529.11 (0.80)</td>
<td>161.28 (0.62)</td>
<td>161.58 (0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT After Cleaning</td>
<td>931.97 (1.13)</td>
<td>529.19 (0.86)</td>
<td>161.33 (0.66)</td>
<td>161.64 (0.76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This oxide is likely to be Sb₂O₃, which has n-type conductivity and a large bandgap, and therefore would act as an insulator within the cell, inhibiting charge transport and causing detriment to the efficiency. Also, it is posited that only with the use of surface sensitive techniques such as XPS, can the true ramifications of this oxide formation be determined, because as the oxide is very thin and the crystallinity probably poor, optical measurements of the bandgap and XRD analysis may not be affected by it. Indeed, in a previous measurement of a CAS sample, no crystalline oxide phase was detected by XRD, and optical measurements resulted in a bandgap value of 1.5 eV, but XPS analysis revealed a large level of antimony oxide at the surface and combined UPS and IPES measurements resulted in a bandgap value at the surface of 2.75 eV, thought to be from the oxide. In this respect, surface sensitive XPS can provide indispensable information: identifying unwanted contaminants and also measuring the effect they have on the electronic structure.

A discussion of the differences in the level of oxide contamination for the TT and no-TT samples will be given in §3.4.2.

Sodium

As well as being detected on the TT sample in Figure 3.3, sodium was also found on the no-TT sample and in both cases did not dramatically decrease after surface cleaning, suggesting that it is present not only at the surface, like the contaminant oxygen and carbon, but that it is within the bulk of the samples. The Na 1s spectra for the samples before and after cleaning are shown in Figure 3.14. Before cleaning, the spectra for both samples were fitted with one peak, and with two peaks after cleaning. Sodium diffusion from the glass is common in solar absorber materials, where it has been shown to play a beneficial role for CZTS and CIGS, yet be a detriment to CdTe. An in-depth study of the effect of sodium on this material is therefore warranted, especially as the nature of the species observed here is difficult to interpret.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sb₂O₃</th>
<th>Contamination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sb 3d₅/₂</td>
<td>O 1s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-TT</td>
<td>Before Cleaning</td>
<td>530.61 (1.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After Cleaning</td>
<td>530.46 (0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>Before Cleaning</td>
<td>530.38 (1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After Cleaning</td>
<td>530.46 (1.33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nevertheless, it is believed that the sodium diffuses from the glass substrate during growth to the surface of the CAS thin-film as Na$_2$O$^{1h}$, the literature value of which$^{318}$ is in agreement with the higher BE peak from the clean samples (blue dash dot) in Figure 3.14. Then, when the sample is exposed to atmosphere, the sodium reacts with atmospheric hydrocarbons, producing the peak at lower BE (red dash), which probably consists of a combination of Na$_2$CO$_3$, NaHCO$_3$, and NaOH, and is in agreement with literature values$^{319,320}$. It is believed that the two species are present even before surface cleaning; however, because the shift between the peaks is small and the signal from the underlying Na$_2$O is obscured by the contaminants, the peak from the contaminants takes precedent in the samples before cleaning, and only after cleaning is some of the oxide revealed. The O 1$s$ peaks associated with these compounds fall within the overlapping region and therefore make it difficult to draw conclusions as to the exact nature of the sodium compounds present.

This sodium presence at the surface of the CAS film may be part of the reason for the surface oxidation of the antimony observed in the XPS spectra. The catalytic effect of alkali-metals on the surface oxidation of absorber materials is well known$^{321-324}$, and with CAS, the probable reaction site is the antimony, because it is observed that surface oxidation takes place here$^{ii}$, and also it is thermodynamically more favourable for the antimony to oxidise when compared with the copper$^{325,326}$.

$^{1h}$ Which is the form of sodium within SLG.

$^{ii}$ See Figure 3.5.
3.4.2 The Effects of the Thermal Treatment

The thermal treatment in an Sb$_2$S$_3$ atmosphere was found to produce CAS films of a superior quality than without it\textsuperscript{105}, in terms of structural and optoelectronic properties, resulting in better reproducibility. Here, the electronic structures of CAS samples with and without the thermal treatment are compared, measured by XPS, in order to determine the effect of it.

XPS Core-Level Comparison

The survey spectra for the samples are shown in Figure 3.15, and between the samples appear the same; however, for the no-TT sample, both before and after cleaning, molybdenum was detected in the spectra, shown in Figure 3.15. As the substrate consists of molybdenum, it is believed that the molybdenum signal arises from the underlying substrate itself, because, when the samples were observed by optical microscopy\textsuperscript{11}, as shown in Figure 3.16, the no-TT sample (a & c) appeared to contain voids in places, which are believed to be holes in the CAS film, allowing unimpeded ejection of electrons from the substrate. That the TT sample did not have these features (b & d), and that molybdenum is not visible in the spectra of this sample, suggests that the thermal treatment has produced better quality films with greater uniformity.

The Cu 2$p$ and S 2$p$ spectra for the samples are shown in Figure 3.17. Because the spectra were calibrated using the Cu 2$p_{3/2}$ peak, these spectra appear the same, displaying a single Cu 2$p$ doublet common to the TT sample. The same is true for the S 2$p$ region with three doublets before cleaning and two after surface cleaning, with the assignments the same as in §3.3.2.

The overlapping Sb 3$d$ and O 1$s$ spectral regions are shown in Figure 3.18. The no-TT sample shows almost the same spectral features as the TT sample\textsuperscript{kk}, except before cleaning, the no-TT

---

\textsuperscript{11} Using a Nikon Eclipse LV100 microscope.

\textsuperscript{kk} Assigned in §3.3.2.
sample showed no evidence of metallic antimony. It is possible that this is because the sputter cleaning is preferentially removing sulphur134,136,245, leaving behind metallic antimony, else the anneal is causing the sulphur to dissociate from the antimony. This latter point is more likely, because metallic antimony was also observed on the TT sample before surface cleaning. As this sample had already undergone a thermal treatment, it is likely that this is where this species originated from. It is noted however, from the surface stoichiometry values that will be presented in Table 3.6, that the level of metallic antimony observed here is very slight, at around 2% the amount of antimony from CAS in the clean samples. It is further noted that metallic peaks should have asymmetric line shapes in XPS spectra166, but because of the low intensity of the peaks from metallic antimony, they are fit satisfactorily with symmetric peaks.

Both with and without the thermal treatment, the samples before cleaning showed significant presence of antimony oxide (pink dot). However, both from the spectra and also the stoichiometry values that will be presented in Table 3.6, it is clear that the amount of oxide present on the no-TT sample is greater than on the TT sample. Before cleaning, the amount of antimony from antimony oxide compared with the amount from CAS was 172% for the no-TT sample, compared with 118% for the TT sample. This suggests that the thermal treatment has somewhat suppressed the oxide formation if it was to occur after the thermal treatment, or that it has removed some of the oxide if the oxidation occurred before the thermal treatment.

One possible explanation for the differences in oxidation observed here relates to the presence of sodium as was discussed in §3.4.1. If it can be taken that the sodium acts catalytically at the surface and is part of the reason as to why the antimony has oxidised321, and given that there is a greater amount of sodium present at the surface of the no-TT sample compared with the TT sample prior to cleaning, then this is why the TT sample has a lower level of oxidation: because the thermal treatment appears to have suppressed the sodium diffusion. The
mechanism for this is unclear; however, it is possible that the thermal treatment has produced some form of ‘passivating layer’, which suppresses sodium diffusion to the surface and may even in and of itself, inhibit the oxide formation.

It is possible that this ‘passivating layer’ would then either be damaged or removed after the surface cleaning that took place in vacuo. If this is the case, then by exposing the sample after surface cleaning once again to atmospheric conditions, one should observe different results than before the sample received the surface cleaning. A comparison of the spectra for the TT sample before the initial cleaning and after being re-exposed to atmosphere, is presented in

![Figure 3.17: XPS a) Cu 2p & b) S 2p regions of the CAS samples before & after surface cleaning. Peak envelope shown in black.](image)

![Figure 3.18: XPS Sb 3d & O 1s region of the CAS samples before & after surface cleaning. Peak envelope shown in black. Inset to the right is zoomed to better show the metallic antimony species.](image)
Figure 3.19. In the survey of the sample after re-exposure\textsuperscript{a}, a very large Na 1s peak is clear above the other peaks, which suggests that after the in vacuo cleaning and re-exposure, much more sodium was able to diffuse to the surface, supporting the idea of the formation of a ‘passivating layer’. The size of the Sb 3d\textsubscript{5/2} peak originating from antimony oxide is also much larger on the re-exposed spectrum\textsuperscript{mm}, further supporting this idea. It is also clear that after re-exposing the sample to the atmosphere, the other constituent elements have oxidised as well, evidenced by the extra doublet in the Cu 2p spectrum at higher BE\textsuperscript{oo}, the shake-up lines between 945–940 eV, which are characteristic of CuO\textsuperscript{271}, and the extra doublet in the S 2p spectrum at higher BE\textsuperscript{oo}, characteristic of sulphate species\textsuperscript{285}, which could have formed because of the increased amount of sodium, resulting a compound such as Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}.

These observations detailed above, and the interpretations thereof, are in agreement with a previous study of the effect of the thermal treatment of these samples\textsuperscript{105}, in which it was found

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3.19.png}
\caption{XPS spectra for the TT CAS sample before surface cleaning & after re-exposure to atmospheric conditions. a) Survey spectra, b) Cu 2p region, c) overlapping Sb 3d & O 1s region, d) S 2p region. Fitted peak envelopes shown in black.}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{a} Figure 3.19a.
\textsuperscript{mm} Figure 3.19c.
\textsuperscript{ao} Pink dot in Figure 3.19b.
\textsuperscript{oo} Purple shading in Figure 3.19d.
that the thermally treated samples showed improved structural quality, cell-quality reproducibility, and optoelectronic properties, with no real change electronically. From XPS, it is shown that the thermal treatment had little effect on the surface chemistry of the sample itself, given that the BE shifts in Table 3.3 & Table 3.4 are small. The thermal treatment appears to have suppressed the formation of oxidation on the surface of the samples which agrees with the improved cell-quality reproducibility seen previously, because it has been shown how the oxide formation can be detrimental to cell performance.

**Comparison of Band Levels**

The fittings of the VBM and SEC are shown in Figure 3.20, with the extracted values shown in Table 3.5, and it was determined that the IP of the samples with and without the thermal treatment are the same. This is in agreement with the observation that the thermal treatment did not affect the bandgap\(^\text{105}\), and hence the energy levels remain in the same position.

**Surface Stoichiometry**

The XPS spectra presented throughout this chapter have been normalised in order to better demonstrate the presence of different species. Therefore, for comparisons between the amount of different species, reference is made to the XPS-derived surface stoichiometry values, detailed in Table 3.6. As no transmission function correction was available for the instrumentation used here, absolute values are not exact and should be interpreted with caution\(^\text{pp}\). Nevertheless, a correction for the Cu/S ratios was applied, which derived from independent stoichiometry measurements of standard CuS and Cu\(_2\)S powders\(^{91}\). This means that the Cu/S ratios presented here are more representative than the Sb/S ratios, because the applied correction should, to some extent, account for systematic instrumental offsets.

In CAS, the ratio of Cu:Sb:S should be 1:1:2. By normalising to a copper content of 1.0, after cleaning the ratio was found to be 1.0:1.9:2.4 for the no-TT sample and 1.0:1.4:2.0 for the TT sample. As the Cu/S ratio is more representative than the Sb/S ratio as described above, but

![Figure 3.20: VBM & SEC fittings for the CAS samples before & after surface cleaning from XPS data.](image)

\(^{99}\) For example, the apparently very high level of sodium.

\(^{91}\) Further details of this derivation are given in §6.5.1.
the trend of the Sb/S ratio should also be of value, it is concluded that the TT sample stoichiometry is in agreement with the phase determined from Raman and XRD measurements, but the no-TT sample is antimony and sulphur rich, giving further evidence that the thermal treatment has improved the sample quality.

3.5 Chapter Summary

The VBDoS and the size and nature of the bandgaps of the promising absorber material, CAS have been compared experimentally and theoretically for the first time in order to develop a better understanding of the electronic structure of this unusually structured material, and also how this relates to the measured electronic properties, with the hope of explaining why CAS cells have, so far, demonstrated poor efficiencies.

It has been shown that the strong Cu $d$ nature of the VB obscures states arising from antimony. However, these states also play a role in determining the properties of the material. In contrast with CIGS, the CBM of CAS consists of second-cation $p$/anion $p$ states rather than second-cation $s$/anion $p$ states: a result of Sb$^{3+}$ being a group V element rather than the group III (In/Ga)$^{3+}$ in CIGS. The full Sb $5s$ states therefore also feature at the top of the VB through the revised lone-pair model, along with Sb $5p$ states. These extra contributions to the VB play some role in raising the VBM and causing the comparatively low IP of 4.98 eV observed here.

The measured band levels support the reasoning that CdS is an inappropriate n-type window layer for CAS because of the large CBO, resulting from the low EA\textsuperscript{v}, which was determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>VBM–E$_F$</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>IP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No-TT</td>
<td>Before Cleaning</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After Cleaning</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>Before Cleaning</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After Cleaning</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5: VBM to Fermi-level separations & extracted values of work function (WF) & ionisation potential (IP) from XPS data for the CAS samples before & after surface cleaning.

Table 3.6: Atomic percentages derived from XPS measurements for the CAS samples before & after surface cleaning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>CuSb$_2$</th>
<th>Sb$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Contamination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-TT</td>
<td>Before Cleaning</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After Cleaning</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>Before Cleaning</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After Cleaning</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{v}3.43 eV.
using a combination of IP measurements from XPS and a bandgap measurement of 1.55 eV from the absorption spectrum. The premise of utilising CdS within cell design, which arises from CIGS development, is part of the reason that CAS has seen poor performance. It is therefore clear that the use of CdS as the n-type layer in CAS cells is less favourable than for CIGS cells. However, with an alternative n-type material with better band alignments, the potential of CAS as a solar absorber remains.

A thorough core-level XPS analysis of CAS has also been shown, which reveals that the two sulphur coordination environments can be determined by XPS, and also the effect that contamination can have, which is crucial given the complexities of the spectra of this material. Following a thorough literature survey of CAS, it is believed that these complexities have led to misinterpretations of the spectra in the past, and therefore this could have contributed to the poor performance of CAS so far as well.

The thermal treatment applied during growth, which produces higher quality thin-films, was shown to have little effect in terms of electronic structure differences; however, it is believed that this thermal treatment caused to improve the quality of the films by discouraging the oxidation and diffusion of sodium at the surface of the material, hence providing a more reproducible surface onto which other solar cell layers can be grown. Nevertheless, it is clear that further growth initiatives are also required in order to further the advancement of CAS.
Chapter 4:
The Electronic Structure of Cu₃BiS₃ for Use as a PV Absorber

“The use of solar energy has not been opened up because the oil industry does not own the sun.”

Ralph Nader, Activist, 1980
This chapter involves measurements of thin-film Cu₃BiS₃ (CBS) and the electronic characterisation of this material for use in PV devices. The majority of the results presented here are unpublished, but are in the final stages of manuscript preparation as:


The earth-abundant material CBS has shown many exciting photovoltaic-relevant properties and research interest is increasing. However, to-date, no devices have been tested. A thorough fitting model is developed and implemented in a full analysis of the XPS spectra of CBS. This overcomes previous problems in the literature, demonstrating the comprehensive potential of this analysis technique when properly applied and analysed. Not only does it allow the oxidation states of the elements to be determined, aiding with phase identification, but it can also be used to identify and analyse the effects of contamination present on the material. This is beneficial, as such contamination can be detrimental to device performance. Agreement between the experimentally measured XPS valence band spectra and electronic-structure calculations from DFT reveals the bonding nature within CBS to be superficially similar to CIGS and CZTS, from which, analogies are often drawn. However, the bonding nature is fundamentally different because of the differing structure caused by the Bi³⁺ cation and the occupied Bi 6s orbital. This structure gives rise to the low IP measured here, resulting in poor conduction band alignment with CdS, which suggests that in order for CBS devices to progress, established device architectures for CIGS and CZTS should be rejected in favour of better band-aligned and environmentally friendly materials.

4.1 Cu₃BiS₃: The Material

The material CBS has recently been acknowledged for its potential as an earth-abundant solar absorber, and therefore is not fully established in the research community with regards to properties and characteristics.

4.1.1 History & Uses

The sulphosalts, as introduced in §1.4.2, show potential for use as solar absorbers, if their constituents are earth-abundant. The Cu-Bi-S family fulfils this criterion, akin to the Cu-Sb-S family in Chapter 3, where the problematic In/Ga in CIGS is replaced with Bi. Compared with indium, bismuth sees much greater ore extraction rates, has greater world reserves and is therefore much cheaper: all beneficial for use in an earth-abundant material.

---

*a* 5.18 eV.
In this chapter, the compound Cu₃BiS₃ is of interest. Occurring naturally as the mineral wittichenite, known since 1805, and first synthesised in 1947, CBS was noted for its potential as a solar PV absorber in 1997. Interest in CBS is also maintained because of its potential use in other areas, such as: photoelectrochemistry, anode materials in batteries, near-infrared detectors, and cancer diagnosis.

4.1.2 Cu₃BiS₃ as a Solar Absorber

Since the recognition of the potential of CBS as a PV absorber material, the measured properties have been promising: inherent p-type conductivity; an appropriate bandgap measured at ~1.4 eV; and strong absorption, calculated to be higher than both CIGS and CZTS.

CBS has also been synthesised by many routes typical of other thin-film absorbers. This has enabled different aspects of the material to be studied, such as the effect of post-deposition annealing on crystallite size and resistivity, the passivation of defects, the effect of substrate temperature on growth quality, the temperature dependence of the bandgap, the effect of temperature on phase segregation, and the effect of grain size on carrier transport. Despite these promising initial results, research into CBS as a solar absorber has nevertheless been somewhat scarce, and as such, no devices have yet been tested.

4.1.3 Structure

In Chapter 3, it was discussed how the analogies drawn between CuSbS₂ and CIGS had hindered the development of that material. This matter, with regards to CBS, will be introduced in §4.1.4, but for now, similar to in §3.1.3, although CBS seems similar to CIGS electronically, the adopted crystal structures are different.

The crystal structure of CBS is shown in Figure 4.1a and demonstrates the complexity and distortion of the structure compared to the almost regular tetrahedra seen in the crystal structure of CIGS. In CBS, the Bi atoms are 3-fold coordinated to sulphur in distorted tetrahedral BiS₃ units with the Bi atom at one vertex, which is similar to one coordination environment seen in the structure of bismuthinite Bi₂S₃, where the ribbon ends consist of this type of polyhedra. These are derived from regular octahedra by the Bi lone-pair first replacing one sulphur atom at an octahedral vertex, creating distorted square-based pyramids, and then the displacement of the Bi atom due to the copper presence, which elongates two Bi-S bonds to an extent where they are not present in the wittichenite structure. The Cu atoms are also three-fold coordinated to S, but in distorted trigonal...
Chapter 4: The Electronic Structure of Cu₃BiS₃ for Use as a PV Absorber

120

near-planar CuS₃ units, which can be considered distorted tetrahedra; such polyhedra are seen in the structure of low chalcocite Cu₂Se.351 The individual crystal structure units are shown in Figure 4.1b.

This crystal structure, akin to a mixture of Cu₂S and Bi₂S₃, is expected as CBS was first synthesised from the dry fusion of these two compounds330. There are three different copper sites and three different sulphur sites, which are labelled in Figure 4.1a. However, the coordination remains the same between them with bond lengths and angles differing only slightly. This unusual structure, further details of which can be found in the appropriate literature329,341, demands a greater understanding of its effects on the properties of the material in order that development can progress further.

4.1.4 Motivation & Scope of this Study

The lack of reported device efficiencies regardless of the promising properties is the main motivation for this study, in order to better understand the material and assess the suitability of using CBS as a PV absorber.

As with all fledgling materials for solar PV use, there are still many issues to overcome and questions to be raised before the material is device ready, let alone record efficiency setting. Two of the main issues are developing the growth of the material so that it is single phase and of reproducible quality, and determining the true nature of the electronic structure. Rigorous characterisation of high-quality material, coupled with knowledge of the electronic structure will help enable this material to be incorporated correctly into device structures.

---

See Figure 6.2.
The related family of materials that were presented in Chapter 3, with antimony instead of bismuth, have also been shown to be relevant for PV, and were developed directly from the motivation to replace In/Ga in CIGS. Conversely, it would appear that CBS advanced independently of this, even though replacing trivalent In/Ga with trivalent Bi is analogous, instead developing from the motivation to synthesise the natural mineral wittichenite and discovering PV relevant properties. The research which followed saw the likening of CBS to CIGS in terms of growth and cell architecture, as was the case with CAS from Chapter 3. It is not yet clear in the stages of development for CBS whether this analogy with CIGS is beneficial or not, similar to the cases of CZTS and CAS. Although the bismuth in CBS is trivalent as is indium and gallium in CIGS, CBS does not adopt the usual tetrahedral crystal structure of solar absorbers derived from the Si structure. This is due to the lattice distortion, but also to the differing stoichiometry.

In this chapter, the electronic characterisation of CBS is presented, shown to be phase-pure by XRD and RS. A full XPS analysis, including core-levels and the effects of cleaning, the position of the band edges, and VB spectra, demonstrates the full potential of this technique applied to this material. It shows not only how it can be used to identify the oxidation states of the elements and study the electronic structure in the VB, but also how it can detect the presence and investigate the effects of surface contamination. Indeed, added complexity to the XPS spectra specific to this material requires particular care when assessing such analyses and advice is given here on how to apply procedures and methods that can aid with the analysis. These findings are then corroborated with theoretical DoS calculations, which relate the bonding nature within CBS to the measured electronic properties. This, therefore, gives insights insofar as how to design cell architectures incorporating this material. A comparison between CBS and other common absorber materials is given in terms of band edge positions, and shows how established thin-film architectures are unsuitable. These properties are then discussed with relation to the underlying crystal and band structures, showing how the material properties are dependent upon these features, with the major differences arising as a result of the incorporation of the Bi cation. Therefore, analogies drawn between CBS and tetrahedral solar absorbers are shown to be irrelevant to the point where they could cause a hindrance to development.

4.2 Experimental Details

Complete details of the experimental systems used here can be found in Chapter 2 and the specific details pertinent to this study, including a full description of the cleaning procedure used, are detailed in Appendix C.

\footnote{See Chapter 3.}

\footnote{See §4.1.3.}
4.2.1 Growth of Cu₃BiS₃ Films

The sample of CBS studied throughout this chapter was donated by the Durose Group, in the Stephenson Institute for Renewable Energy, at the University of Liverpool, UK. The thin-film of CBS was grown by evaporating a layer of copper, followed by a layer of bismuth, onto a glass substrate, which was then annealed in a sulphur atmosphere in order to crystallise the precursors. The relative thicknesses of the precursor layers determined the stoichiometry of the final film after annealing.

4.2.2 Characterisation of Cu₃BiS₃

X-Ray Diffraction

Thin-film XRD measurements were performed on the CBS sample using a Philips X’Pert PRO diffractometer equipped with a Cu Kα x-ray source running at 40kV and 40mA.

Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectra were acquired using a Horiba Scientific Jobin-Yvon LabRam HR system, using an exciting wavelength of 514.5 nm.

X-Ray Photoemission Spectroscopy

XPS was used to study the core-level electronic structure, SEC, VBM and occupied VBDoS of the CBS sample. It was affixed to a UHV sample plate by spot welding tantalum straps across the sample edges. This also provided an electrical connection between the CBS film and the spectrometer. Ar⁺ ion sputtering at 500 eV and radiative heating up to 250°C were utilised to clean contamination from the sample surface, the full details of which are given in Appendix C, §C.5.2.

In order to account for charging of the semiconducting sample, the spectra were aligned so that the C 1s signal, which was collected over many hours in order to achieve a good SNR, had a BE of 285.0 eV. As it has been shown that the use of the C 1s signal as a charge correction method is material dependent, and as this value has been used previously for this and similar materials, its use here is therefore validated.

All of the fitted synthetic peaks in this study were Voigt profiles, fitted after subtraction of a Shirley background, unless otherwise stated. Cu 2p doublets were fitted with a separation of 19.80 eV and an area ratio of 1:2. The FWHM of the Cu 2p₁/₂ peak is somewhat broader than that of the Cu 2p₃/₂ peak due to Coster–Kronig effects. Bi 4f doublets were fitted with a separation of 5.31 eV, an area ratio of 3:4 and equal FWHM. Bi 4d doublets were fitted with a separation of 23.70 eV, an area ratio of 2:3 and equal FWHM. Fitted S 2p doublets were separated by 1.18 eV, with an area ratio of 1:2, and equal FWHM.
Density Functional Theory

Electronic-structure calculations were performed through the VASP code\textsuperscript{261–264}, starting from the atomic structure of CBS, obtained through XRD analysis. The band structure, and pDoS in the valence and conduction bands were determined.

4.3 Electronic Structure Studies of Cu₃BiS₃

This section presents characterisations of the CBS sample, in order to determine the suitability of this material to be used as a solar absorber. Complete analysis of the other aspects of this material found using XPS, including the effects of contamination, will be presented in §4.4.

4.3.1 Phase-Purity

XRD and RS are commonly employed techniques for solar absorbers because together they can help determine the phase of the grown material and can also be used to exclude and detect the formation of other phases.

The measured XRD pattern for the CBS sample is shown in Figure 4.2 along with the theoretical diffraction pattern, calculated from the wittichenite crystal structure\textsuperscript{329} using the method in §2.6.2\textsuperscript{b}. The data matches the theoretical pattern well, with no peaks unaccounted for. The Raman spectrum for the CBS sample is shown in Figure 4.3, with the identified peaks, representing the modes of CBS, marked.

Although the literature on CBS is not extensive, most growth studies do report measured XRD patterns, which are in agreement with that measured here\textsuperscript{258,336–339,344,352–354,357–360}. The many peaks in the pattern, arising from the lack of symmetry in the crystal structure, make it easy to determine the correct phase, and the good match with the theoretical pattern supports the suggestion that the CBS sample here is phase-pure. RS, on the other hand, has not been widely employed in growth studies of CBS, but the spectrum measured here is thought to support the

![Figure 4.2: XRD pattern for the CBS sample, compared with the ideal pattern, calculated theoretically from the crystal structure\textsuperscript{329}.](image)

\textsuperscript{b} With the calculated pattern data shown in Appendix D, §D.2.3.
phase-purity of the material because it is in agreement with the few other reported RS spectra of CBS\cite{344,357,361,362}. Indeed, the superior resolution of the spectrum in Figure 4.3, reveals individual peaks, where previously it has displayed a wide, broad feature.

**4.3.2 XPS Core-Level Analysis**

**The Necessity for Rigorous Core-Level Analysis & the Potential Problems with XPS Spectra of CBS**

Many of the materials being studied for their use as solar absorbers consist of three or more constituent elements. As such, there is opportunity for the associated phase diagrams, including secondary phases, to be expansive, and such is the case for CBS. There are possibilities for the binary sulphides\cite{363} and other stoichiometries within the Cu-Bi-S system\cite{364} to form during growth. It is therefore important that the material is characterised sufficiently to determine the phases present. Beyond XRD and RS\cite{i}; in order to confirm the oxidation states of the elements in the material, core-level XPS analyses are frequently applied to solar absorbers\cite{273}. Furthermore, XPS can be used with great effect to study the vacuum-aligned band positions of semiconductors\cite{191}, the band alignments of heterojunctions\cite{26,365}, or to determine the presence of contaminants at the surfaces of solar absorber materials\cite{j,366}, and also as a means of experimentally studying the VBDoS\cite{274}. However, it is rare to find reports where this complete multitude of applications is exploited. This is especially the case for CBS, not only because it is a relatively understudied PV absorber, but also because of problems associated with the XPS spectra of materials containing both Bi and S, which will be further explained later. What follows therefore in this section, is a full dissemination of the uses of XPS, applied to CBS.

When considering the core-levels of CBS, one major issue is the direct overlap of the strongest peaks for Bi and S, that is the Bi 4f and S 2p regions\cite{367}. The difference in spin-orbit splitting of these two doublets should help with confidently fitting the spectra; however, this is

---

1 As shown in §4.3.1.

1 Which could be overlooked by XRD because of either the small quantity or amorphousness of the contaminant.
complicated by the fact that even though, stoichiometrically speaking, the sulphur signal should be three times greater than the bismuth signal, it will in fact be much\(^k\) weaker than the bismuth signal when taking the differences in photoionisation cross sections into account\(^{180}\). This problem is further compounded by the likelihood of oxidation present on the surface of the material, which would cause further overlap within the region. The possibility of oxidation also prevents the centroid value of the peak from being representative of the material, as it could cause it to skew. Therefore, in order to achieve a representative fit for the peaks, a robust fitting model is required. Survey spectra and the main regions of interest are presented below, with a summary of fitted peak energies in Table 4.1. A full description of the fitting procedure applied here can be found in Appendix D, §D.2.1 with the corroborating secondary regions of interest. Table 4.2, Table 4.3, & Table 4.4, show the BE for all of the fitted peaks in this study, including those from contamination. It is noted that the spectra presented here have been normalised for better clarity of the different species and so, for intensity comparisons, the stoichiometry values of Table 4.5 are used, which will be discussed in §4.4.3.

**Core-Level Analysis: Before & After Surface Cleaning**

The survey spectra for CBS before and after surface cleaning are shown in Figure 4.4 and show the expected peaks for Cu, Bi and S, as well as C and O present from contamination due to atmospheric exposure, which decrease after cleaning. Sodium is also present in the sample, and decreases after cleaning as well. This is possibly due to sodium diffusion from the glass because it is still present after cleaning and has been reported previously\(^{292}\) for CBS. The presence of sodium here is noteworthy; however, further study of its effects on CBS is warranted, as sodium is known to be beneficial to CZTS\(^{315}\) and CIGS\(^{316}\), but detrimental to CdTe\(^{317}\). The associated spectra for sodium and the other contaminants will be presented in §4.4.1.

---

\(^k\) 5.5 times.
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The Cu 2$p$ spectra, shown in Figure 4.5, were fitted with a single doublet (red dash) both before and after cleaning, which is assigned to the Cu$^+$ in CBS. As shown in Figure 4.1a, there are three different copper sites in the CBS crystal structure; however, the coordination is the same and the bond lengths and angles are only slightly different, so it is expected that the copper in CBS will be well represented by a single doublet.

It is possible that the copper in CBS could be susceptible to surface oxidation, taking the form of cupric oxide$^{1,277}$, which is more readily identified by characteristic shake-up lines present in the Cu 2$p$ spectra$^{m,278}$. Because these are lacking and there is little difference in the Cu 2$p$ spectra before and after cleaning, save for a shift in BE which will be discussed later, it was concluded that no oxidation of the copper had taken place. It is also noted that the Bi 4$s$ peak is estimated to be located around 939 eV$^{355}$, but there is no evidence of this peak because it is believed that the signal is too weak and wide compared to the signal from Cu 2$p$.

The fitted spectral region of Bi 4$f$ and S 2$p$ before and after cleaning the CBS sample is shown in Figure 4.6 after applying the fitting procedure described in Appendix D, §D.2.1. Three bismuth species are present in the sample before and after cleaning. The strongest, sharpest doublet (red dash) is attributed to Bi$^{3+}$ in the crystalline CBS. After cleaning, this peak is determined to have a broader FWHM, which is believed to arise from damage to the Bi coordination environment in the crystalline material, induced by the sputtering stage of the cleaning$^{221,368}$. The wider Bi doublet located at higher BE (pink dot) is attributed to Bi$_2$O$_3$, which depletes significantly after cleaning, suggesting that it is present in the form of surface oxidised bismuth, rather than bulk oxidised bismuth, formed during growth. The shift in BE between the CBS and Bi$_2$O$_3$ arises from the greater electronegativity of oxygen over sulphur$^{276,369}$, rather than a change in oxidation state of the bismuth. The assignment of Bi$_2$O$_3$...
is further corroborated by the presence of a corresponding oxygen peak in the O 1s spectra\(^a\). The oxide peak in the Bi 4f region could contain a different oxide, or a non-stoichiometric mixture of oxides, but the measured BE of 159.06 eV is in agreement with other studies of Bi\(_2\)O\(_3\)\(^369–371\) and although pentavalent bismuth is a possibility\(^372\), Bi\(_2\)O\(_5\) has been shown not to form during the air-oxidation of bismuth\(^373,374\).

The small Bi doublet at lower BE (blue dash dot) to that of CBS, which depletes after cleaning is assigned to metallic Bi\(^o\). The BE of the Bi 4f\(_{7/2}\) peak of 156.94 eV is in agreement with literature values for Bi metal\(^294,355,356,370,375\) and also an independently measured Bi foil\(^p\). The fact that the peak for this metallic bismuth reduces after cleaning suggests that it is unreacted precursor, remnant on the surface: subsequently sputtered away or incorporated during the annealing. This is instead of sputter-reduced or sulphur-dissociated bismuth, which if present, one would expect to increase on sputtering, but this was not the case, as can be seen between the ratios of Bi from CBS and metallic Bi, which are given in Table 4.5.

Three sulphur species were fitted to the sample spectrum before cleaning, which reduced to two species after cleaning. The doublet at high BE which is not present after cleaning (purple shading) is attributed to sulphur bonded to oxygen, as a cation in the 6+ oxidation state, with a large shift\(^q\) to higher BE than for sulphide species\(^285,376\). This is present because of the surface oxidation of the sulphur and is removed after cleaning. Such a sulphur species has been observed in previous reports of CBS\(^331,334\) and other sulphide absorber materials\(^49,366\), but was not observed in CAS in §3.3.2, where it was determined to be lacking as a result of superior growth. Hence, the formation of sulphate species is believed to be a product of imperfect

---

\(a\) Presented in §4.4.1, specifically Figure 4.13b (pink dot).

\(b\) The fitting of which is justified in Appendix D, §D.2.1 when describing the fitting procedure.

\(p\) Presented in Appendix D, §D.2.1, specifically Figure D.4 (blue data).

\(q\) ~6 eV.
growth conditions, most probably when there is an excess of unreacted sulphur, which is available to oxidise.

The doublet with BE around 164 eV (green shading), which reduces significantly on cleaning, is attributed to sulphur-containing surface contamination (S-C)\textsuperscript{298}, as has been seen in other sulphides\textsuperscript{274}. The strongest S doublet (red shading) is attributed to S\textsuperscript{2-} in CBS. From Figure 4.1a, it can be seen that there are three inequivalent sulphur sites in the crystal structure of CBS, suggesting that there should be three S doublets attributable to CBS: one for each of the sites. However, at the resolution of this study, one doublet is reasoned to represent the sulphur environments, because the sulphur sites differ only slightly in terms of bond angles and lengths, without changes in the coordination\textsuperscript{237,329}. It is noted that the above discussion in terms of peak trends is corroborated by the secondary regions that were recorded for Bi and S, which are further explored in Appendix D, §D.2.1.

**Comparison to the Literature**

Table 4.1 shows the BE for the peaks associated with CBS that were presented above. As a relatively understudied material in terms of applications, and due to the complex nature of the XPS spectra, few studies have presented XPS results from CBS. Nevertheless, the values measured above are shown in Figure 4.7 along with the reported literature values for other XPS-measured samples of CBS, which allows a critical analysis of these reports.

The measured BE of the Cu 2p\textsubscript{3/2} peak, which is easy to analyse when compared to the Bi 4f and S 2p overlapping region, is in agreement with some other studies of CBS. Unfortunately however, these quoted BE are limited. Chen et al.\textsuperscript{360}, Hu et al.\textsuperscript{353}, and Yin & Jia\textsuperscript{332} presented Cu 2p regions with poor SNR, whereas Murali et al.\textsuperscript{334} and Zhong et al.\textsuperscript{258} presented Cu 2p regions that have clear presence of an extra copper species\textsuperscript{7}, which is overlooked because no fitting was performed.

As has been shown above, the Bi 4f and S 2p region can contain peaks which cannot be accounted for without peak fitting. Therefore, the BE previously reported for the Bi 4f\textsubscript{7/2} and S 2p\textsubscript{3/2} peaks fall within a substantial range, as shown in Figure 4.7. Only two studies of CBS presented XPS spectra of the overlap region where a fitting model was used. Murali et al.\textsuperscript{334}

---

Table 4.1: XPS BE for the main peaks of CBS before & after surface cleaning with values for work function (WF) & ionisation potential (IP) also determined from XPS, shown in §4.3.3 & §4.4.2. All values are given in eV & the peak FWHM are given in parentheses. BE of all fitted peaks in this chapter can be found in Table 4.2, Table 4.3, & Table 4.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cu\textsubscript{3}BiS\textsubscript{3}</th>
<th>Cu 2p\textsubscript{3/2}</th>
<th>Bi 4f\textsubscript{7/2}</th>
<th>S 2p\textsubscript{3/2}</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>IP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before Cleaning</td>
<td>932.01 (1.16)</td>
<td>157.89 (0.65)</td>
<td>161.28 (0.81)</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Cleaning</td>
<td>932.23 (1.05)</td>
<td>158.19 (0.77)</td>
<td>161.47 (0.78)</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{7} Most likely copper oxide.
presented high quality data in the overlap region, but the Bi $4f_{7/2}$ BE does not agree with the value found in this study. This may be because the fitted Bi $4f$ peaks in that study appear very wide, suggesting that they consist of more than one species, with the possible evidence of an oxide because the reported BE is in agreement with the BE for the oxide measured here. Yan et al.\textsuperscript{377} reported a Bi $4f_{7/2}$ BE which is in agreement with the value here, but the analysis found in that report appears confused regarding the nature of the spectra, and the quality of fit is unclear because of the poor quality figure presented. Both of these studies are also limited because they model the S $2p$ doublet as a very wide, single peak, suggesting there may be other species present, which are unaccounted for.

Bi$_2$S$_3$ is a material which is better established in the research community, having been studied longer than CBS. As it contains both bismuth and sulphur, XPS analyses of this material also suffer from the overlap problem, and it is common throughout the literature on Bi$_2$S$_3$ to find measurements of the S $2s$ region\textsuperscript{367,369,378,387}. Yin & Jia\textsuperscript{332} took this same approach with CBS and measured the S $2s$ region; however, to ignore the S $2p$ contribution detracts from the problem that underlying peaks can skew the Bi $4f$ peak positions from the centroid values.
This, and the poor quality data presented in that study, is believed to be part of the reason for the disagreement between those reported values and the ones shown in Figure 4.7.

Some studies of CBS present high quality XPS data, but the analysis is often limited. Nair et al.\textsuperscript{331} presented depth profiles of different growths of CBS and the spectra show multiple peaks in the different regions. But, with no fitting applied, it cannot be determined what these originate from, and also, the BE for Cu 2p\textsubscript{3/2} was not reported. A similar situation exists where the presence of other species is explicitly excluded in the discussion without corroborative fitting evidence. Yin & Jia\textsuperscript{332} excluded the presence of Cu\textsuperscript{2+} because of the lack of satellite features; however, the lack of fitting and poor quality of data presented there means that this cannot be fully assessed. Mesa et al.\textsuperscript{292} acknowledged the presence of oxidised bismuth by the shape of the spectra and excluded the formation of metallic bismuth, but with no fitting of this complex spectral region, these conclusions are weakened. Reports by Mesa et al.\textsuperscript{292,338} are also limited by the incomplete reporting of spectra, choosing only to show the survey spectrum, or to not show the Cu 2p region.

Finally, some studies present spectra which question the legitimacy of the data. Chen et al.\textsuperscript{360}, Hu et al.\textsuperscript{353}, and Zhong et al.\textsuperscript{258} all present the Bi 4f and S 2p regions separately. If the overlapping region is fitted well, then it is possible to show these features individually: by subtracting the spectra. However, because there is discussion neither of the overlapping features, nor of any applied fitting procedures, one cannot draw the conclusion that this has been applied, and the reported BE are brought into question.

Given these severe weaknesses in the literature for CBS, it is believed that the spectra presented here, having taken all features into account, can provide a foundation onto which further studies of CBS can be supported. This can then allow further development of this material, especially as the BE reported here are in agreement to a certain degree with the literature. Also, because of the similar Bi-S crystal structure units for CBS and Bi\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}, it is expected that Bi 4f\textsubscript{7/2} and S 2p\textsubscript{3/2} BE should be comparable for both materials and the values measured here are in general agreement with representative studies of Bi\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} in the literature\textsuperscript{291,367,369,381,387,388}. Furthermore, the fitting procedure applied here can be used for any other materials which contain both bismuth and sulphur, especially Bi\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}, which finds technological use in photocatalysis, optoelectronics, thermoelectrics, and sensors\textsuperscript{291,379,383,385,387,389}.

4.3.3 Natural Band Alignments

The bandgap of CBS is somewhat contested in the literature as to its nature and size. Estrella et al.\textsuperscript{340}, Gerein & Haber\textsuperscript{337}, and Zeng et al.\textsuperscript{333} all measured the bandgap of CBS, finding a linear representation of the Tauc plot when using an exponent value of $n = 3/2$ in Equation
(2.37)\textsuperscript{a}, which corresponded to a direct forbidden bandgap\textsuperscript{208} of 1.28 eV, 1.2 eV, and 1.4 eV for the different studies, respectively. Other experimental measurements of the bandgap of CBS from absorption spectra by Mesa et al.\textsuperscript{338}, Viezbicke & Birnie\textsuperscript{359}, Mesa & Gordillo\textsuperscript{339}, Liu et al.\textsuperscript{343}. Murali et al.\textsuperscript{334}, and Yan et al.\textsuperscript{390} fitted a linear region to a Tauc plot relating to a direct allowed bandgap, resulting in values from 1.39–1.72 eV. However, these have poor fittings, and there is still significant absorption below the bandgap value, suggesting there is another onset present in the spectrum.

The true nature of the bandgap of CBS is thought to be different to both of these interpretations. Yakushev et al.\textsuperscript{344} presented photoreflectance measurements of CBS which demonstrated the presence of two resonances, attributed to two different bandgaps\textsuperscript{1} due to a splitting of the VB. From absorption measurements corroborated by calculated absorption spectra\textsuperscript{a}, it is believed that the fundamental bandgap of CBS is around 1.2 eV and indirect, but because of the low density of states here\textsuperscript{v}, the absorption is dominated by higher energy direct transitions to the split VB around 1.4 eV, corresponding with the values found in the literature. Both of these transitions are still suitable for PV use, but because of the presence of the lower energy transition, this could limit the $V_{OC}$ of CBS cells below the accepted bandgap of 1.4 eV.

Although there are no reports on the band offsets of a junction formed with CBS, and this cannot be performed without the growth of the partner material, there is still merit in measuring the natural band positions of a material\textsuperscript{w,303,311}, especially at this early stage in development, in order to help choose suitable partner materials.

XPS was used to measure the IP and WF of the clean CBS sample, the fittings of the VBM and SEC are shown in Figure 4.8, and the IP and WF were determined to be 5.18 eV and 4.79 eV, respectively, which are also shown in Table 4.1. There are few reports on the band levels

![Figure 4.8: VBM & SEC fittings for the clean CBS sample from XPS data.](image)

\textsuperscript{a} See §2.5.2.

\textsuperscript{1} Of the order 1.2 and 1.5 eV.

\textsuperscript{2} Data not shown, but manuscript in preparation.

\textsuperscript{v} See Figure 4.11b.

\textsuperscript{w} See §1.6.2.
of this material in the literature. Only Mesa et al.\textsuperscript{292,348,391} have published results of the WF of CBS and these values are lower than the values measured here. These reports utilised KPFM to measure the WF of CBS, but no in vacuo cleaning procedure was described. One report utilised an ex vacuo chemical etch, but substantial contamination still remained after the etch and was revealed by XPS\textsuperscript{292}. It is therefore concluded that the lower WF reported in these articles were caused by the contamination, especially as KPFM takes an average value of WF measured over a sampling area, whereas XPS measures the lowest WF within a sampling area, no matter how small this region\textsuperscript{392}. As of yet, no studies have reported IP values for CBS. It is believed that the slight remnant bismuth oxide on the surface after cleaning will have little to no effect on the values measured here. This is because Bi$_2$O$_3$ has been shown to have a very high IP\textsuperscript{x} compared to the materials under consideration here and therefore will not affect the measurements from XPS: it is not detected, even before cleaning when the oxide layer was large. Therefore, after cleaning, it is believed that the IP measured here is representative of CBS.

By using the IP value for the sample after cleaning, taking the bandgap of CBS to be 1.40 eV from the discussion above, and by following a vacuum alignment procedure\textsuperscript{190}, the natural band offsets between CBS after cleaning, CAS, CdTe, CIGS, CZTS, and the common n-type window layer material CdS, are shown in Figure 4.9.

New absorber materials for solar cells can suffer from inappropriate cell-architecture design in terms of band misalignments\textsuperscript{393}. Commonly appropriated into cell design, no doubt because of the success with CIGS\textsuperscript{394} and CdTe\textsuperscript{395}, CdS as the n-type window layer would be an inappropriate choice for CBS cells because, from Figure 4.9, it can be seen that there is a negative CBO for CdS/CBS, present because of the low IP compared to CIGS and CZTS.

![Vacuum-aligned band diagram for CBS from IP measurements using XPS & bandgap from the literature. Comparison with other common absorbers & the common n-type window layer material, CdS. Literature values of IP & bandgap are taken for CdS\textsuperscript{393}, CIGS\textsuperscript{786}, CZTS\textsuperscript{393} & CdTe\textsuperscript{669}, with the values for CAS taken from Chapter 3.](image)

\footnote{> 7.5 eV\textsuperscript{776,777}.}
which is in a similar vein to the case with CAS\(^3\). Furthermore, any concerns of the environmental impact associated with the use of CdS are eradicated if this material is not used at all. It has been previously shown that optimal efficiencies are produced in type I heterojunctions which have the CBM of the n-type layer 0–0.4 eV higher than that of the absorber layer\(^{25,396}\), and that with a large CBO of the type shown in Figure 4.9 between CdS and CBS, a recombination centre could be present\(^{305}\). Therefore, it is likely that a material with a higher CBM and more environmentally friendly elements than CdS is required, and on the other side of the junction, a lower WF contact metal may also be required. Such a strategy was also necessitated for SnS solar cells\(^{2,274,393}\), where the use of alternative materials yielded promising results\(^{396,397}\).

4.3.4 Density of States Analysis

It is important for solar absorbers that the electronic structure in the valence and conduction bands are studied, so that the bonding mechanisms and electronic properties can be understood and predictions made. A comparison between the measured VB spectra of the clean sample from XPS, along with the simulated pDoS in the VB is shown in Figure 4.10.

Inelastically scattered electrons in the XPS spectra were accounted for by subtracting a Shirley background. The total DoS curve arises from the summation of the corrected\(^{aa}\) pDoS curves and was compared with the experimental spectrum by aligning to the maximum of the peak around 3 eV. The agreement between the calculated DoS and XPS spectra in the region at the

![Figure 4.10: Simulated & measured VB spectra for CBS with respect to the Fermi-level at 0 eV. Background subtracted XPS data is compared with broadened & corrected partial DoS curves. Top spectra shows intensity zoomed region to better show the underlying curves. Green data are from XPS & the black curve with grey shading is the total summed DoS.]

\(^{3}\) See Chapter 3.

\(^{a}\) See Chapter 5.

\(^{aa}\) Using the parameters described in Appendix C, §C.3.
The top of the VB (I) is excellent, with all features accounted for, in the correct proportions. Final state effects were unaccounted for, but it is noted that final-state relaxation will tend to cause features at the bottom of the VB to be shifted nearer to the top in XPS spectra\textsuperscript{178}. This explains why the features lower in the VB (II & III) are present with approximately the correct intensities, but are shifted to lower BE in the XPS spectra.

The agreement shown between the experiment and theory in Figure 4.10 is supportive of the results. However, it is clear that the XPS spectra, at least at the top of the VB, is dominated by Cu 3d states because of the larger cross-section of d states compared with other orbitals\textsuperscript{180}. Therefore, to better demonstrate the interactions between the orbitals present, the pDoS curves before photoemission corrections were applied are presented in Figure 4.11a, both for the valence and conduction bands. Without these corrections, Cu 3d states are still the majority contribution to the VB, but other features are now also discernible. The Cu 3d states in the VB are split into three distinct regions (IV, V, IV*), which result from the tetrahedra-based Cu-S bonding units. In materials where the crystal structure consists of regular tetrahedral Cu-anion units, the crystal field splits the Cu d states into a non-bonding e\textsubscript{g} doublet, and a t\textsubscript{2g} triplet, which is able to bond with the anion p states. As the distorted Cu-S units in CBS are derived from tetrahedra, the form of the DoS observed here is similar to that of regular tetrahedral materials\textsuperscript{307,308}. That is, there is a large central feature (V) consisting of the non-bonding e states straddled in energy by two weaker features (IV, IV*), representing the t\textsubscript{2g} orbitals hybridised with S p orbitals\textsuperscript{32}. However, because the Cu-S units in CBS are distorted, the ratios between the bonding and non-bonding states are different to those from regular tetrahedra and there are other features\textsuperscript{cc} present in the DoS which are attributed to the crystal distortion, allowing some hybridisation which is symmetry forbidden in regular structures, and vice versa. Such an observation is further backed by the top of the VB of CBS more closely resembling that of Cu\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{398} rather than that of CAS\textsubscript{255}.

Below the VB are two distinct shallow CL regions: one (VI) consisting mainly of Bi s states, and another (VII) consisting of mostly S s states. The configuration energies (CE) of the orbitals are shown in Figure 4.11c and from this, it is concluded that the Bi 6s and S 3s orbitals are semi-localised because of the strength of features VI and VII and also the lack of contribution of these orbitals to the top of the VB. At the CBM and encompassing most of the first CB are antibonding states of Bi p mixed with S p (IX*). The corresponding bonding states are dispersed throughout the VB, supplementary to the Cu d states (IX).

Further comprehension of the bonding nature is gained from studying the states from the Bi cation at the VBM and CBM, which is shown more clearly in the zoomed DoS, shown in

\begin{itemize}
\item[\textsuperscript{bb}] Bonding and antibonding states, respectively.
\item[\textsuperscript{cc}] Shoulders and peaks.
\item[\textsuperscript{dd}] Which also has highly distorted tetrahedral structure units. See Figure 6.2.
\item[\textsuperscript{ee}] Which has near-regular Cu-S tetrahedral structure units. See Figure 3.1.
\end{itemize}
Figure 4.11: a) Total & partial electronic DoS curves for CBS, with b) intensity zoomed region to more clearly show the underlying orbitals. Curves have been convolved with a Gaussian function (0.3 eV FWHM) in order to better distinguish features. DoS curves are aligned to the VBM. Black curve with grey shading is the total summed DoS. c) CE for the valence orbitals displayed with a schematic of the bonding hybridisations as discussed in the text. Bismuth-sulphur bonding is separated into regular bonding (Bi-S) & lone-pair bonding (Bi’-S). It is noted that the CE values do not take ionisation, multi-electron occupancy or hybridisation into account & are shown only as a schematic guide. Part labels are discussed & referred to throughout the text.

Figure 4.11b: Due to the stoichiometry of CBS and the prominence of Cu states, those states arising from Bi hybridisation are somewhat suppressed; however, it is important to note that their presence may have some effect on the properties. It has been suggested that in the family of materials to which CBS belongs, the presence of cation s states at the top of the VB becomes less significant as the second cation moves down group V. This can be explained by the energy separation of the cation s and anion p orbitals becoming larger as one moves down the group and the interaction between these orbitals becomes less significant. At first it appears that Bi s states are present in the VB only as the anti-bonding hybridisation with S p states (X*). However, upon closer inspection, it is found that there is a contribution from Bi s states...
Chapter 4: The Electronic Structure of Cu₃BiS₃ for Use as a PV Absorber

in the CB (XI*), of an intensity which is comparable to that near the VBM (XI). It is therefore concluded that this intensity shows that the Bi s states hybridise somewhat via the revised lone-pair model: the antibonding Bi s/S p states (X*) are in close energy proximity to the Bi p orbitals and therefore further hybridise with these, leading to full bonding states close to the VBM (XI). This bonding regime is illustrated on the orbital diagram in Figure 4.11c, but this interaction is weaker than observed in other materials with lone-pair activity, which is expected, and thought to pose little significance to the properties of this material. This is reflected in the crystal structure: when compared to materials with significant lone-pair activity, the void space into which the lone-pair electron density is projected is not as substantial in CBS. The remainder of the majority states present in the valence and conduction bands are further summarised in the bonding schematic in Figure 4.11c.

Previous theoretical calculations of the valence and conduction bands of CBS focussed on the relationship between the band structure and the optical absorption spectra, with only one study by Kehoe et al. discussing the bonding nature, but not in relation to the band levels. Nevertheless, the general forms of the pDoS curves are in agreement with those calculated here. It was noted by Yu et al., how the s-like nature of the VBM for this family of materials with a group V⁺ cation gives stronger absorption than a group V⁵⁺ cation, and consequently, higher absorption than CIGS. This is one of the reasons why this family of materials is receiving increased research interest.

Although the bandgap and absorption characteristics have been studied both experimentally and theoretically, and have been shown to be PV suitable, the band positions, which facilitate cell-architecture design, have been somewhat disregarded. It is believed that the measurements of IP found here, coupled with the nature of the bonding in the valence and conduction bands, are in agreement and can be used to aid the design of future cells. The IP measured here is somewhat lower than that of other common absorbers, and will therefore require more suitable partner materials within a solar cell structure. From an orbital bonding point of view, it is believed that this low IP is inherent to this family of materials and arises from the unusual bonding situation when compared to other absorbers, created mainly by the inclusion of the group V second cation. Reference is henceforth made to Figure 4.12 for the relative positions of the valence orbitals of the constituent elements of common solar absorbers and their occupancy in the materials, given the formal ionic oxidation states.

CdTe is an ‘archetypal II-VI’ semiconductor which has a VBM consisting of bonding cation p/anion p states, with the corresponding antibonding states residing high in the CB, and a CBM consisting of antibonding cation s/anion p states, with the corresponding bonding states residing low in the VB. The cation d states in CdTe are too strongly bound to interact with valence states and so do not contribute to the top of the VB.

---

ff Discussed in §4.3.3.
In the copper-containing compounds, however, the full Cu \(d\) orbitals are in energetic proximity to the full anion \(p\) orbitals and therefore the VB of these materials is dominated by Cu \(d\)/anion \(p\) states in the manner as described above. This is beneficial for PV absorbers and solar cell production for several reasons: the strong copper vacancy gives a shallow defect, which is responsible for the intrinsic p-type conductivity of these materials\(^{237,242,401,402}\); the high-lying Cu \(d\) orbital produces a VBM level which is favourable for junction formation and ohmic contacting\(^{191}\); and the antibonding states at the top of the VB have been shown to produce materials which are defect-tolerant\(^27\). The binary Cu-chalcogenide Cu\(_2\)S suffered from instability, which was then inhibited by the addition of another cation with an unpopulated valence \(d\)-band, generating the CB and hence preventing copper self-reduction upon illumination\(^{403}\). These features should extend to, and be present in CBS as well.

Although there are differences between the VB of CdTe and the copper-containing compounds, the bottom of the CB of CdTe, CIGS and CZTS are similar. They are comprised of antibonding non-Cu cation \(s\)/anion \(p\) states, which are in close proximity\(^{bh}\).

CBS also has the same main Cu \(d\)/anion \(p\) contribution to the VB as CZTS and CIGS, and superficially the DoS reflect each other. However, the bonding within the CB is somewhat different, and there are underlying differences in the VB as well\(^i\), which is the cause for the low IP compared with the other absorbers. The main reason for this is the electronic structure

---

\(^{f6}\) CIGS, CZTS, CAS, & CBS.

\(^{bh}\) See Figure 4.12.

\(^i\) Shown in Figure 4.11 & Figure 4.12.
of the non-Cu cation. In CIGS, this is (In/Ga)$^{3+}$ and in CZTS is Sn$^{4+}$, which have unoccupied valence $s$ orbitals close in energy to the occupied anion $p$ orbitals. Antibonding states, resultant from the hybridisation of these levels make up the CBM, whereas the bonding states lie deeper in the VB, separate from the Cu $d$ features at the VBM. However, in CBS, the non-Cu cation is Bi$^{3+}$, which has more tightly bound, occupied valence $s$ orbitals, and it is the more weakly bound valence $p$ orbitals that are closer in energy to the anion $p$ orbitals. Thus, because of the differences in energy seen in Figure 4.12, the Bi $p$/S $p$ antibonding states form the CBM of CBS, and the bonding states lie shallower in the VB, overlapping somewhat with the Cu $d$ states. This is further coupled with a slight contribution from Bi $s$ states which lie at the VBM because of the model described above. These extra states influence the band levels, causing the VBM to rise, which results in a lower IP, and creates the band misalignment with CdS.\textsuperscript{308} This is thought to create a recombination centre in PV devices. In CIGS and CZTS, the bonding states from non-Cu cation $s$/anion $p$ hybridisation lie much deeper in the VB and do not overlap with the Cu $d$ states or contribute to the VBM, resulting in a higher IP.\textsuperscript{404} Due to the similar situation seen with CAS,\textsuperscript{kk} this bonding regime is thought to be an inherent feature for this family of materials.

Previous studies found that this family of materials demonstrates much better absorption than CIGS.\textsuperscript{29} This, and the insights into the band levels gained here, can be understood as a result of the bonding nature, mainly from the presence of second-cation $s$ electrons, which are not present in CIGS. It is then concluded that the success of CIGS over CBS is not due to inherent problems with CBS as an absorber, but rather is due the timescale of development that CIGS has enjoyed, and that, with appropriate research into material growth and cell design, CBS maintains definite potential as a solar PV absorber.

\section*{4.4 The Effects of Contamination on Cu$_3$BiS$_3$}

In §4.3, the focus was to present standard XPS spectra of CBS, relating this to the band structure and bonding nature. However, given that XPS can also be used to assess the level of surface contamination present on materials, and the effect that these have, it is now prudent to discuss these effects regarding CBS.

BE for all of the fitted peaks in this study are given in Table 4.2 for the peaks concerning CBS, Table 4.3 for the peaks concerning Bi$_2$O$_3$, and Table 4.4 for the peaks concerning the remainder of the contaminant species.

\textsuperscript{ii} When compared to other absorbers, seen in Figure 4.9.

\textsuperscript{kk} In Chapter 3.
4.4 The Effects of Contamination on Cu₃BiS₃

4.4.1 The Presence of Contaminants in the Core-Level XPS Spectra

After the presence of carbon, oxygen and sodium was observed in the CBS sample in Figure 4.4, the C 1s, O 1s and Na 1s regions for the CBS sample before and after cleaning were also recorded, and are presented in Figure 4.13a, b, & c, respectively.

The C 1s spectra for the sample before cleaning shows three peaks (orange dash) which are all attributed to the different bonding regimes associated with adventitious hydrocarbon contamination[161]. These reduce to two peaks after cleaning. The prominent peak was used for the charge correction as described in §4.2.2 and is associated with C-H or C-C bonds[161].

The O 1s spectra both before and after surface cleaning show three features. The wide, low intensity feature at high BE (cyan dash dot) is due to Auger features from sodium. This complicates the fitting of this region because the spectral shape of this feature is unknown and therefore, there is less confidence in the further fitting of the O 1s peaks. Nevertheless, there are two definite O 1s peaks present both before and after cleaning. The main peak with highest intensity (orange dash) is attributed to adventitious oxygen[297] and the small peak at lower BE (pink dot) is the oxygen from oxidised bismuth[316], which is in agreement with the literature[370,405].

---

*As discussed in §4.3.2.*
and the effects of which will be discussed in §4.4.2. Both O 1s peaks reduce on cleaning, suggesting that they are both present only at the surface of the sample. Although the adventitious oxygen has been fitted with a single peak, it is believed that before surface cleaning, the peak from the sulphur bonded to oxygen also resides around this energy. This was not fitted further due to the complications associated with the sodium Auger features.

The Na 1s region was fitted with one peak both before and after cleaning (red dash); however, it is noted that any shifts in the Na 1s peak are very small so it is possible that more than one species is present. The shift seen in this peak before and after the surface cleaning is consistent with the shift of the VBM, suggesting that the sodium is incorporated with the material, because peaks associated with CBS also shift by this amount before and after cleaning. The impact of the presence of sodium was discussed in §4.3.2.

### 4.4.2 Band Level Effects

In §4.3.3, the IP and WF of the clean CBS were discussed in terms of band alignments, and the fitting of the SEC and VBM for the clean sample were shown in Figure 4.8. Here, these are compared with the same values for the sample before surface cleaning, the fittings of the SEC and VBM for which are shown in Figure 4.14. As can be seen in Table 4.1, after cleaning, the IP and WF are found to increase, this is because of the removal of carbon contamination, which is known to lower the IP and WF of materials.

The demonstrated readiness of the CBS film to oxidise, along with other reports in the literature of the oxidation of this material, shown and discussed in §4.3.2, are potential issues which must be acknowledged and overcome if devices incorporating CBS are to become

---

mm Evidenced from the S 2p spectrum in Figure 4.6.

nn See Figure 4.14.
practical. This is because surface oxidation has been shown to be detrimental to cell performance in other materials.\textsuperscript{275,304,366} Oxidation of metals tends to produce insulators, which, even if very thin, could present problems between the solar cell layers, inhibiting charge carriers. Indeed, the oxidation of bismuth has been shown to produce many phases, with both n- and p-type conductivity\textsuperscript{407}, large bandgaps\textsuperscript{373,408} and high IP\textsuperscript{409}, all of which could pose problems within CBS when considering the band positions shown in Figure 4.9. Such surface oxide formation can be studied using XPS because it is surface-sensitive, and does not discriminate with regards to crystallinity compared to XRD. Thus, it is posited that XPS can be used to great effect characterising CBS in conjunction with other techniques such as XRD, SEM, and RS.

### 4.4.3 Surface Stoichiometry & Binding Energy Shifts

The XPS spectra shown throughout this chapter have been normalised in order to more clearly show the different species present. For comparison between the amount of different species, reference is made to the XPS-derived surface stoichiometry values, detailed in Table 4.5. As no transmission function correction was available for the instrumentation used here, absolute values are not exact and should be interpreted with caution; however, comparisons between values and also trends before and after cleaning are more accurate. In order to compare the stoichiometry values of the CBS material, corrections were applied to the Cu/S ratios, which derived from independent stoichiometry measurements of standard CuS and Cu\textsubscript{2}S powders\textsuperscript{490}. Literature value corrections\textsuperscript{410} were applied to the Bi/S ratios as the transmission function should not have much effect between the Bi 4\textit{f} and S 2\textit{p} regions as they are energetically similar.

After cleaning, it can be seen in Table 4.1 that there is a shift to higher BE for the peaks in the range 0.19–0.30 eV. All of the peaks shifting to higher BE suggest that this is due to Fermi-

\textsuperscript{490} Further details of this derivation are given in §6.5.1.
level shifting after cleaning, which is also evidenced by the shift in the position of the VBM, shown in Figure 4.14. Also, because there are small differences between the shifts, this suggests that some slight stoichiometric changes have taken place before and after cleaning. Indeed, the surface stoichiometry values in Table 4.5 show that such changes have taken place. In CBS, the ratio of Cu:Bi:S should be 3:1:3. By normalising to a Bi content of 1.0, the stoichiometry of the CBS sample before cleaning is 2.6:1:0:4.9 and after cleaning is 2.3:1:0:3.0. Before cleaning, the surface of the sample appears to have an excess of sulphur. After cleaning, the Bi:S ratio is near stoichiometric but the surface is copper-poor. It is believed that because chemical shifts between sulphide species are small, this sulphur-rich surface before cleaning is due to the presence of partially reacted sulphide formed during the growth, which is then either incorporated or lost during the anneal in the cleaning process.

The ‘copper-poor’ surface composition of the clean sample is not unexpected and has been observed in other studies of Cu-based solar absorbers, not least CIGS and CZTS. Here, a similar situation could exist, where surface effects are responsible for the formation of small amounts of a Cu-free surface species. Such an explanation could be relevant when designing cell architectures and warrants further study because this surface species could form an unexpected junction, as was observed with CIGS.

CIGS solar cells were developed by adding another element in order to overcome the instability problems occurring in the Cu$_2$S solar cells, which tended to degrade because of the ionic migration of copper at room temperature. A similar stability has been observed with the addition of antimony and bismuth to the base of Cu$_2$S, and thus, this family of ternary copper chalcogenides should not suffer from the same degradation problems that marred Cu$_2$S cells. However, it has been shown in crystallographic studies that CBS undergoes a series of phase transitions at low temperatures, and after such, CBS is an ionic conductor with mobile copper ions. Therefore, it is possible that during solar cell fabrication steps that require the use of higher temperatures, this mobility of copper ions could be detrimental and lead to cell degradation as in Cu$_2$S. Although the surface off-stoichiometry seen here is

\[ \text{Table 4.5: Atomic percentages derived from XPS measurements for CBS before \\& after surface cleaning.} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cu$_3$BiS$_3$</th>
<th>Bi$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Contamination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu Bi S</td>
<td>Bi O</td>
<td>S (adv.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before Cleaning</td>
<td>3.9 1.5 7.3</td>
<td>2.4 1.9 0.1</td>
<td>27.7 2.8 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Cleaning</td>
<td>23.4 10.1 29.8</td>
<td>1.2 0.4 0.3</td>
<td>11.0 1.3 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

pp Consisting of a peak which overlaps that from CBS.

qq <$200^\circ$C.

rr Such as the window layer deposition.
believed to arise as a product of the growth, it is possible that the annealing step of the cleaning process could have caused copper migration away from the surface, leading to the surface copper-deficiency. The wider ramifications of these observations are as yet unknown, as there exists no study of the defects in CBS\textsuperscript{237}. Therefore, it is clear that in order to further progress CBS as an absorber, a full defect analysis is required with the associated defect levels and their dependency on growth conditions.

### 4.5 Chapter Summary

For the first time, the experimentally measured VB spectrum from XPS and theoretically calculated DoS for the potential solar absorber, CBS have been compared and the agreement substantiates the subsequent analysis of the bonding nature of this material.

The first experimentally measured values of IP for this material have also been presented, which show that the band levels are too high to be suited to CdS as a window layer and that alternative n-type materials are required. The low IP is partly explained by how the VB has a major contribution of Cu $d$ states, but also a minority contribution of Bi $p$ states, which is dissimilar to the cases of CIGS and CZTS. The effects of the lone-pair Bi 6$s$ electrons were also discussed and the Bi $p$ nature of the CB is shown to be due to the use of group V Bi$^{3+}$ which has full valence $s$ states and empty valence $p$ states: again dissimilar to the non-Cu cations in CIGS or CZTS, which have empty valence $s$ states.

Additionally, a thorough analysis of the core-level XPS spectra of CBS has been presented, and a fitting model developed that helps disambiguate the complexities associated with the spectra, arising from the strong overlap of the Bi 4$f$ and S 2$p$ regions. Thereby, the crucial role that XPS can play when analysing this material for use in PV has been demonstrated, both in terms of the characterisation of the material grown, but also with respect to identifying and analysing the presence and effects of surface contamination. This is especially the case for its susceptibility to bismuth oxidation, which could easily be overlooked.

So, with these understandings, the promising potential shown by CBS is not dampened, although direct analogies with long-established PV absorbers should be avoided and new development strategies be implemented.
Chapter 5:
The Electronic Structures of SnS, SnS$_2$, & Sn$_2$S$_3$ for Use in PV

“I have no doubt that we will be successful in harnessing the sun’s energy... If sunbeams were weapons of war, we would have had solar energy centuries ago.”

Sir George Porter, Nobel Laureate in Chemistry, 1967
This chapter involves the electronic characterisation of the single crystal tin sulphides: SnS, SnS₂, and Sn₂S₃, for PV devices and other uses. The majority of the results presented here are published as:


With other results published as:


Although the material SnS has shown promising photovoltaic properties, efficiencies of solar cell devices incorporating this material are relatively poor and difficulties in identifying separate phases are known. The presentation of XPS measurements, coupled with optical absorption measurements and theoretical DoS calculations from DFT of the three phases of tin sulphide give some explanation as to why this has been the case. Differences in the core-level XPS spectra of the three phases, including a large 0.9 eV BE shift between the Sn 3d₅/₂ peak for SnS and SnS₂ and also a significant shift between the Sn-S and Sn-O environments allow the different oxidation states of tin to be identified and therefore make this technique useful when identifying phase-pure, mixed-phase, and even contaminated materials within the Sn-S system. A band alignment of the three phases, derived from agreement between XPS/IPES, optical absorption measurements and calculations from DFT, show that SnS and Sn₂S₃ have relatively low IP values⁴, when compared to other common thin-film absorber materials. This results in a band misalignment of SnS and CdS, showing how CdS is an inappropriate choice of window layer for this material. Further comparison between the band alignments of the three phases reveals the detrimental effect that these materials would have on solar cell performance if they were to form as impurities, and other roles which SnS₂ can play. Then, by analysing the calculated pDoS, corroborated by valence band XPS measurements, it is found that the cause of the low IP in the phases containing the Sn⁴⁺ oxidation state is due to a raising of the VBM, which in turn is caused by the occupied Sn 5s orbital. The subsequent bonding of these electrons via the revised lone-pair model accounts for the extra states at the top of the VB in these phases. This understanding, linked to the band misalignment, gives a more comprehensive explanation of the poor efficiencies. Also, the effects of the presence of impurities and contamination within these materials are discussed and found to be another possible cause for the low efficiencies.

⁴ 4.71 & 4.66 eV, respectively.
5.1 Tin Sulphides: The Materials

The binary tin sulphides (Sn$_x$S$_y$) are of interest here and have a recent history for use in PV, compared with the length of time that the materials have been known for. Their uses extend beyond PV, and are also important regarding the growth of CZTS, further discussion of which is made in Chapter 6.

5.1.1 Structures

Tin has two oxidation states, Sn$^{2+}$ ([Kr]4$d^{10}$5$s^2$5$p^0$) and Sn$^{4+}$ ([Kr]4$d^{10}$5$s^0$5$p^0$). Advances in the study of the thermodynamics of the Sn-S system$^{418,419}$ have shown there to exist three main stable phases of tin sulphide$^{420}$. That is, tin monosulphide (SnS), tin disulphide (SnS$_2$) and tin sesquisulphide (Sn$_2$S$_3$).

SnS

SnS, with the Sn$^{2+}$ cation, forms as the mineral herzenbergite$^{251}$, named after the chemist Robert Herzenberg, the crystal structure of which is shown in Figure 5.1. The Sn atoms are coordinated tetrahedrally to sulphur atoms; however, because of the Sn 5$s$ lone-pair associated with the Sn$^{2+}$ oxidation state, one sulphur atom is missing from the final tetrahedral site and the lone-pair electron density is projected into the void$^{420,421}$. As a result, the structure forms tetrahedra with the tin atom at a vertex of SnS$_3$ structural units. Furthermore, this structure consists of double layers, which are split through the plane in which the lone-pair electron density is projected$^{422}$.

SnS$_2$

SnS$_2$, with the Sn$^{4+}$ cation, forms as the mineral berndtite$^{423}$, named after mineralogist Fritz Berndt, the crystal structure of which is shown in Figure 5.2. The tin atoms are coordinated 6-fold to sulphur atoms in regular octahedra, consisting of edge sharing SnS$_6$ units. This results in distinct single layers, which are weakly bound by VdW interactions$^{424,425}$, similar to the structures seen for the other layered metal dichalcogenides such as TiS$_2$, MoS$_2$ or WSe$_2$, which

---

Figure 5.1: Crystal structure of herzenbergite$^{251}$ SnS, showing the individual atoms of Sn (grey) & S (yellow).
have a variety of uses because of this structure, such as catalysis\textsuperscript{426}, lubricants\textsuperscript{427}, and batteries\textsuperscript{428}.

**Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}**

Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} takes a mixed oxidation state\textsuperscript{b,429}, and forms as the mineral ottemannite\textsuperscript{430}, named after mineralogist Joachim Ottemann, the crystal structure of which is shown in Figure 5.3. The structure can be thought of as a 1:1 combination of the structures of SnS and SnS\textsubscript{2}, consisting of ribbons made up of edge sharing octahedra from the Sn\textsuperscript{4+} ion, which are edge capped by the distorted tetrahedra from the Sn\textsuperscript{2+} ion\textsuperscript{431}. Consequently, there are two inequivalent tin sites, one for each of the oxidation states, and also three inequivalent sulphur sites, marked in Figure 5.3.

\textsuperscript{b} Sn\textsuperscript{2+}Sn\textsuperscript{4+}S\textsubscript{3}.  

---

Figure 5.2: Crystal structure of berndtite\textsuperscript{423} SnS\textsubscript{2}, showing the individual atoms of Sn (grey) & S (yellow).

Figure 5.3: Crystal structure of ottemannite\textsuperscript{430} Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}, showing the individual atoms of Sn (grey) & S (yellow). The inequivalent tin & sulphur coordination sites are marked.
5.1.2 Properties & Growth

Such differences in the electronic structures lead to contrasting materials properties between the phases. Indeed, both SnS$_2$ and Sn$_2$S$_3$ display n-type conductivity$^{432,433}$, arising from the dominant sulphur vacancy associated with the Sn$^{4+}$ oxidation state$^{22}$. Conversely, the p-type conductivity of SnS$^{34}$ is attributed to the dominant tin vacancy associated with the Sn$^{2+}$ oxidation state$^{22}$.

SnS has demonstrated electronic properties which are relevant for its use as a PV solar absorber. It has a bandgap of 1.1–1.5 eV$^{435,436}$, a high optical absorption coefficient$^{437–439}$, and intrinsic p-type conductivity$^{21,440}$. The 2D SnS$_2$ is expected to have similar electronic properties to the other 2D semiconductors$^{441,442}$, has been shown to have a bandgap of 2.18–2.44 eV$^{443–445}$, and has potential as a photocatalyst$^{303,446}$. Studies of Sn$_2$S$_3$ are somewhat lacking, and there are few reports of the electronic properties; however, it could have as yet unknown applications$^{421}$.

Thin-film deposition techniques for preparing the tin sulphides are many and varied. Sputtering$^{447}$, electrochemical deposition$^{448}$, spray pyrolysis$^{439,449}$, CBD$^{450}$, CVD$^{451}$, SILAR$^{445}$, and thermal evaporation$^{452,453}$, have been successful, amongst others. This variety of growth methods is beneficial for device research as many different aspects of the growth method can be varied and the effects of which, studied. However, with this comes the inevitability that the measured properties of the ‘material’ can vary somewhat from sample to sample. Even though these values are reported to arise from the ‘material’ in question, this is generally accepted to be because of differing levels of impurities or contamination within the samples, and therefore the properties are in fact some average$^c$ of the properties of each of the materials present.

5.1.3 SnS as a Solar Absorber

SnS as a solar absorber was first envisioned in the late 1980s$^{450,454}$, with the first cells being built and tested a few years later$^{455}$. Progress continued and devices efficiencies of 0.5% were reported by 1997$^{456}$. However, since then, not much progress has been made, and fabricated devices have almost exclusively shown conversion efficiencies below 4%$^{305,439,453,457–459}$, with the record being 4.36%$^{397}$. A summary of the champion device efficiencies and their structures are detailed in Table 5.1.

It has been suggested that poor band alignments between SnS and the commonly used CdS window layer in device structures is the reason for these low efficiencies$^{305}$, with previous studies, in which the band positions of SnS were determined both theoretically$^{393}$, and experimentally$^{460}$, supporting this. Therefore, other pairings of window layer materials have been used, and as can be seen in Table 5.1, the best efficiencies have been achieved when using materials other than CdS.

$^c$ Dependent upon measurement technique.
5.1.4 Motivation & Scope of this Study

As a binary compound, SnS is simple from a materials point of view when compared to ternary or quaternary compounds that are used as solar absorber materials. This is one reason why interest in SnS is maintained. The promising properties that SnS continues to show, coupled with the poor efficiencies that SnS solar cells continue to demonstrate, along with the other possible uses for the other phases within this family, are the main motivations for this study.

Here, it is expected that insights into the poor efficiencies can be provided by studying the effects that the uncommon bonding environment of SnS has upon the electronic structure of the material, and in contrast to the other phases of tin sulphide, can explain the fundamental differences of SnS.

Also, as it is common for PV absorbers to be compromised on performance by the effects of secondary phase formation and contamination of the materials, confidence in the identification of the three phases is paramount. Utilising spectroscopic or diffraction techniques is challenging, due to difficulties in the assignment of different phases and in identifying mixed-
phase systems\textsuperscript{461–464}. Therefore, in order to eliminate any effects upon the underlying materials properties which may arise from imperfections in the growth, the formation of impurities, or from the contacting of the materials in a junction, it is important to study the separate phases in isolation. This will ensure that robust identification methods can be developed, the possible effects of the presence of phase impurities can be investigated, and that a benchmark can be set, against which, future (and past) studies can be compared, in order to elucidate changes or similarities observed therewith.

To this end, measurements and calculations of the electronic structures of single crystals of SnS, SnS\textsubscript{2}, and Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} are presented with discussion of the differences between the spectra for the three phases and the effects that these have upon the use of tin sulphides within PV devices.

5.2 Experimental Details

Complete details of the experimental systems can be found in Chapter 2 and the specific experimental details pertinent to this study, including a breakdown of the full cleaning procedures are detailed in Appendix C.

5.2.1 Growth of Single Crystal SnS, SnS\textsubscript{2}, \& Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}

The tin sulphide crystals studied throughout this chapter were donated by the Walsh Group in the Centre for Sustainable Chemical Technologies, Department of Chemistry, at the University of Bath, UK. Single crystals of SnS, SnS\textsubscript{2} and Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} were synthesized by iodine-assisted CVT, as described in §2.1.1, and the associated literature\textsuperscript{22}, including details of the thermodynamics of the reactions, and the amount of materials and temperature gradients used. Previous characterisation of these crystals, via XRD and EDX, showed them to be phase-pure and of the correct stoichiometry\textsuperscript{22}. The crystals are shown in Figure 5.4 after growth in the ampoules. The individual phases were identified by the nature of the crystals, with SnS being dark grey

![Figure 5.4: Single crystals of a) SnS, b) SnS\textsubscript{2}, \& c) Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} contained in their ampoules post-growth. The crystals are present as the mass at the right of the ampoule for SnS & SnS\textsubscript{2} & the left for Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}. Extra mass within the ampoule are remnants from the growth.](image)
(a), SnS₂ forming yellow flakes (b), and Sn₂S₃ crystallising as shiny black needles (c). These observations are in agreement with other studies⁴⁶⁴, and further confirm the phase-purity.

### 5.2.2 Characterisation of Single Crystal SnS, SnS₂, & Sn₂S₃

**Photoemission Spectroscopy**

PES was used to investigate the electronic structure of the crystals. They were affixed to UHV sample plates using double-sided carbon tape and were selected for measurement using ceramic tweezers in order to cause minimal damage to the fragile crystals. The morphology of the crystals made it difficult to provide a flat surface in order to maximize photoemission intensity; nevertheless, a steel blade was used to cleave the top layer of the crystals in order to provide a fresh surface. Ar⁺ ion sputtering at 500 eV and radiative heating up to 300°C were further utilized to clean contamination from the sample surfaces, the full details of which are given in Appendix C, §C.5.3.

XPS was used to study the core-level electronic structure, SEC, VBM and occupied VBDoS, and IPES was used to study the CBM of the crystals. Charging of the semiconducting crystals was checked by measuring the C 1s photoelectron region for many hours in order to obtain a significant signal from contaminant carbon. The C 1s photoelectron peak was found to have a BE of 284.95 eV, which was consistent across all samples and in agreement with other measurements of these materials¹³³,²⁷⁶,⁴⁶⁵–⁴⁶⁷. It was thus concluded that no charging effects were observed in the spectra.

During cleaning, the crystals underwent several changes which are of interest to this study and are presented in §5.4. As such, presented spectra are referred to in terms of their stage in the cleaning procedure by the terminology shown in Table 5.2.

**Optical Absorption Spectroscopy**

Values of the direct and indirect bandgaps for the crystals of SnS and SnS₂ were determined from extrapolation of the absorption spectra derived from reflectance and transmittance measurements via FTIR spectroscopy between 4 and 350 K. The data reduction and fitting procedures are detailed in §2.5.2. Data for the Sn₂S₃ crystals were not collected as the size, shape and morphology of these crystals proved unsuitable for mounting in the spectrometer, and because an accurate thickness measurement was not possible, this meant that the data reduction method used here was unviable for this sample.

**Density Functional Theory**

Electronic-structure calculations were carried out on the three tin sulphides at room temperature within the Kohn–Sham DFT⁴⁶⁸,⁴⁶⁹ formalism, as implemented in the VASP code²⁶¹, starting from the atomic structure of the crystals, obtained through XRD analysis. The band structures, pDoS in the valence and conduction bands, bandgaps, and positions of the valence and conduction bands with respect to the vacuum level, were determined.
Table 5.2: Spectral references for the crystals throughout cleaning. Full details of the cleaning are given in Appendix C, §C.5.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SnS</th>
<th>Stage of Cleaning</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As Received</td>
<td>As In</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Sputtering</td>
<td>Sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Annealing</td>
<td>Clean</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SnS₂</th>
<th>Stage of Cleaning</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As Received</td>
<td>As In</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 1 Sputters</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2 Sputters</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 3 Sputters</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 4 Sputters</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 5 Sputters</td>
<td>S5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 5 Sputters &amp; 1 Anneal</td>
<td>S5A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 6 Sputters &amp; 1 Anneal</td>
<td>S6A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 7 Sputters &amp; 1 Anneal</td>
<td>S7A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 8 Sputters &amp; 1 Anneal</td>
<td>S8A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 9 Sputters &amp; 1 Anneal</td>
<td>S9A1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 9 Sputters &amp; 2 Anneals</td>
<td>S9A2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Cleaving</td>
<td>Cleaved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SnS₃</th>
<th>Stage of Cleaning</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As Received</td>
<td>As In</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Sputtering &amp; Annealing</td>
<td>Clean</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Electronic Structure Studies of Single Crystal SnS, SnS₂, & Sn₂S₃

This section presents PES measurements of the clean SnS, SnS₂, and Sn₂S₃ single crystals, along with the density of states obtained from DFT and the natural band alignments, also derived from PES and optical absorption spectroscopy. Sputtering and annealing of the crystals helped to achieve a clean surface for representative measurements. By studying the XPS spectra throughout the cleaning cycles, the crystals were deemed to be clean when the contaminant levels fell below sensitivity and the Sn 3d spectrum demonstrated the expected peak shapes of the pure materials. A full dissemination of the cleaning procedures is detailed in Appendix C, §C.5.3, and notable effects that were observed during the cleaning procedures are discussed in §5.4.
5.3.1 XPS Core-Level Analysis

The survey spectra for the crystals, shown in Figure 5.5, demonstrate all of the expected peaks for tin and sulphur, as well as remnant O and C after cleaning. A summary of the BE and FWHM fitted below, for the main CL features of Sn and S, is given in Table 5.3.

For the Sn 3d\textsubscript{5/2} regions, shown in Figure 5.6, a single, high-intensity Sn 3d\textsubscript{5/2} peak was observed for both the SnS and SnS\textsubscript{2} crystals, with the peak for SnS\textsubscript{2} at 0.9 eV higher BE than for SnS. These were assigned to the single oxidation states of Sn\textsuperscript{2+} (red dash) and Sn\textsuperscript{4+} (blue dot), respectively, confirming the single phase of both materials. Trace amounts of tin oxide remained as a contaminant on both SnS and SnS\textsubscript{2} and is also shown in Figure 5.6. Although the peak attributed to Sn-oxide in the SnS spectrum (pink dot dash) has a similar BE to that of Sn\textsuperscript{4+} in SnS\textsubscript{2} (blue dot), the peak is assigned to the oxide because of the observance of its drastic reduction in area after surface cleaning\textsuperscript{d}. The oxides forming on SnS and SnS\textsubscript{2} are different in BE; however, they correspond to the binding energies\textsuperscript{e} of SnO on SnS (pink dot dash) and SnO\textsubscript{2} on SnS\textsubscript{2} (cyan dot dash), which is understandable as there is no change in the oxidation state of tin between the sulphide and the corresponding oxide. Although it is believed that the Sn retains its oxidation state upon oxidation of these materials, the peak from the oxide has a larger chemical shift compared to the sulphide because of the higher electronegativity of oxygen over sulphur\textsuperscript{276}.

For Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}, the Sn 3d\textsubscript{5/2} spectrum was fitted with two peaks separated by 0.6 eV, which were assigned to the Sn\textsuperscript{2+} (red dash) and Sn\textsuperscript{4+} (blue dot) oxidation states present in this mixed-valency compound. This assignment is strengthened by the fact that the area ratio was calculated to be 1:1, as expected from the distribution of tin ions in the crystal structure\textsuperscript{e}, and that the FWHM values are comparable to those of the corresponding peaks in the single-valency materials, confirming the expected distribution of tin oxidation states, and providing strong evidence for a phase-pure material. However, the difference in BE of the peaks is

\[ \text{Figure 5.5: XPS survey spectra for the tin sulphides after surface cleaning.} \]

\textsuperscript{d} See §5.4.1.
\textsuperscript{e} See Figure 5.3.
reduced compared to the single-valency phases; this can be explained by the fact that the photoemitted electrons from Sn\(^{2+}\) experience a stronger bond due to the presence of Sn\(^{4+}\) ions, shifting the corresponding Sn 3d\(_{5/2}\) peak to a higher BE, while the converse occurs for electrons photoemitted from Sn\(^{4+}\) ions, shifting the peak to a lower BE.

The S 2p doublet, shown in Figure 5.7, was very well resolved for all three samples, and was fitted using two peaks separated by 1.18 eV with an area ratio 1:2, corresponding to the 2p\(_{1/2}\) and 2p\(_{3/2}\) features, respectively\(^{260}\). It was anticipated that the spectrum for Sn\(_2\)S\(_3\) should show more than one S 2p doublet, judging by the three different coordination sites of sulphur present in the crystal structure of this compound\(^4\). However, within the resolution of this study, no further peaks could be resolved and the BE shifts are unknown. La Rocque et al.\(^{467}\) found there to be two distinct S 2p doublets for Sn\(_2\)S\(_3\); however, these were attributed to the contributions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal</th>
<th>Sn-S Bonding</th>
<th>Sn-O Bonding</th>
<th>S-C Bonding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sn(^{2+})</td>
<td>Sn(^{4+})</td>
<td>Sn(^{2+})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS</td>
<td>485.57 (0.81)</td>
<td>161.07 (0.70)</td>
<td>486.45 (1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS(_2)</td>
<td>486.45 (0.94)</td>
<td>161.45 (0.89)</td>
<td>487.16 (1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn(_2)S(_3)</td>
<td>485.82 (0.78)</td>
<td>161.49 (0.78)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3: XPS BE of the peaks of the tin sulphides after surface cleaning. All values are given in eV & the peak FWHM are given in parentheses.

Figure 5.6: XPS Sn 3d\(_{5/2}\) region of the tin sulphides after surface cleaning. Fitted peaks demonstrate the shift between the different oxidation states of Sn. SnS & SnS\(_2\) showed trace amounts of tin oxide, shown in pink & cyan, respectively. Peak envelope shown in black.

\(^4\) See Figure 5.3.
from the different oxidation states of Sn. Judging by the crystal structure, the different sulphur sites are not bonded individually to the different Sn sites, but are shared between them.

Trace amounts of sulphur-containing contamination remained on SnS₂ and is also shown in Figure 5.7 (green dot dash). The peaks assigned to these contaminants have BE which are in agreement with similar compounds and the reasons for their continued presence only on SnS₂ after cleaning are discussed in §5.4.2.

The BE for the Sn 3d₅/₂ and S 2p₃/₂ peaks found here are shown in Figure 5.8 along with literature values of other XPS-measured samples of tin sulphides. The fitted Sn 3d₅/₂ BE of 485.57 eV and 486.45 eV for SnS and SnS₂, respectively, are in good agreement with other studies of these materials, judging by the relative separation of the Sn 3d₅/₂ and S 2p₃/₂ peaks, or once the charge referencing methods used are taken into account. However, there are also several reports where the results are in stark contrast to those presented here, demonstrated by the scatter of the data points shown in Figure 5.8. This is due to a number of issues, including: an unsubstantiated method of charge-referencing based on sulfur, a mixed-phase system, believed to be pure, a misprint; or, most commonly, the reporting of S 2p BE without resolution into their spin-orbit split components. There have been few XPS studies of Sn₂S₃, and only La Rocque et al. attempted to resolve the two tin oxidation states, which do not agree with the values measured here. The other studies do however, report an enveloping BE of Sn 3d₅/₂ in Sn₂S₃, which is intermediate of the other two phases with a wider FWHM, suggesting that the peak was in fact a convolution of peaks from the two different oxidation states. A lack of attention to

---

8 Shown in the top axis of Figure 5.8.
5.3 Electronic Structure Studies of Single Crystal SnS, SnS₂, & Sn₂S₃

Regardless of these discrepancies with the literature, the oxidation states of tin, and therefore the different phases of tin sulphide, can be distinguished using properly energy-referenced XPS of a sufficiently high resolution on clean samples. The use of XPS in the identification of the different phases of tin sulphide, including when they form as secondary phases in other materials, is discussed further in Chapter 6.

5.3.2 Natural Band Alignments

As discussed in §1.6.2, the determination of the band edge positions of a material in isolation can act as a foundation from which, measurements of the band offsets within actual junctions and devices can be determined. Therefore, this section presents: measurements of the IP, EA and $E_g$ of the crystals from PES; values for the direct and indirect bandgaps determined from optical absorption spectroscopy; equivalent values determined from DFT calculations; and a comparison of these values with relation to their effects on devices.
The combination of XPS and IPES measurements of the clean crystals allowed the determination of values of IP, WF, EA and $E_g$. The fittings of the SEC, VBM, and CBM for each of the crystals are shown in Figure 5.9 and the values are listed in Table 5.5.

The temperature-dependent optical absorption spectra for SnS and SnS$_2$ are shown in Figure 5.10a & b respectively for the indirect (top spectra) and direct (bottom spectra) bandgap extraction through the method detailed in §2.5.2. Select temperatures are shown for clarity; however, the remainder of the data sets were fitted in a similar manner. These bandgap data as a function of temperature are shown in Figure 5.11. For comparison with other values measured in this study, the direct and indirect bandgaps at 4 K and 300 K are detailed in Table 5.5. Although the linear extrapolation method of estimating direct and indirect bandgaps from absorption data should be applied with caution when these transitions are close in energy$^{477}$, support of the methodology is granted by the agreement between the room temperature indirect bandgaps and those measured by PES. Also shown in Figure 5.11 are the results of fitting the Varshni$^{210}$ and Bose–Einstein$^{211}$ equations to the data$^b$. All models provided a good fit to the data, with the fitting parameters given in Table 5.4.

The data for SnS shows an unexpected feature at low temperatures. That is, below 40 K, the bandgap decreases with continued decreasing temperature. Such a trend was also observed

---

$^b$ Further details can be found in §2.5.2.
when using different experimental systems, but was not observed with the SnS\textsubscript{2} data. No other study had previously observed this trend, but this is possibly because they are limited to liquid nitrogen temperatures\textsuperscript{434,478}. One study which did achieve liquid helium temperatures\textsuperscript{479}, shows data that may suggest evidence of such a trend. Because of this feature, which is not accounted for in the fitting models and whose origin is unknown, the temperature dependent bandgap fittings for SnS were restricted to temperatures of 40 K and above.

The data and trend found for the temperature dependence of the bandgap of SnS\textsubscript{2} is in good agreement with a previous experimental study to liquid nitrogen temperatures\textsuperscript{477}, and the trend

Figure 5.10: Optical absorption data for a) SnS & b) SnS\textsubscript{2}. Tauc plot fittings for the direct (bottom spectra) & indirect (top spectra) bandgaps between the temperatures 4 K & 300 K. Select spectra shown for clarity.

Figure 5.11: Temperature dependence of the direct & indirect bandgaps for a) SnS & b) SnS\textsubscript{2}, with fittings of the Varshni\textsuperscript{210} & Bose–Einstein\textsuperscript{211} equations.
The room temperature values of direct and indirect bandgaps and IP for the three tin sulphides from DFT calculations are also presented in Table 5.5 for comparison. The HSE06 functional used here has been shown to be superior to other functionals with regards to predicting experimental bandgaps. Also, as SnS₂ is a 2D layered structure and SnS is a double-layered structure, long range VdW forces are important, which have been shown to be difficult to account for with DFT. Nevertheless, the level of DFT utilised here has been shown previously to give good agreement with experiment for SnS, and it is believed to work well also for SnS₂. The agreement between the calculated and experimental values of the indirect bandgap in Table 5.5 is testament to this.

The PES bandgaps are representative of the indirect bandgaps of the materials, as PES measures the highest lying valence states and lowest lying conduction states. Therefore, from Table 5.5, the values of bandgap from PES are in agreement with the room temperature indirect bandgap extracted from optical absorption spectra and DFT. Due to this agreement, further reference to the band levels and bandgaps of these crystals shall be to those determined by PES, which are shown, along with literature reports of these values, in Figure 5.12.

The E_g of 1.06 eV and IP of 4.71 eV for SnS are in reasonable agreement with previous studies, as is the E_g of 2.28 eV for SnS₂, which is believed to work well also for SnS₂. The agreement between the calculated and experimental values of the indirect bandgap in Table 5.5 is testament to this.

Table 5.4: Fitting parameters for the Varshni & Bose–Einstein equations applied to the data shown in Figure 5.11 for the indirect E_g^i & direct E_g^d bandgaps of SnS & SnS₂.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fitting Procedure</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>SnS</th>
<th>SnS₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Varshni^210</td>
<td>E_0 (eV)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a (meV K⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β (K)</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bose–Einstein^211</td>
<td>E_0 (eV)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a_E (meV)</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Θ_E (K)</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.5: Work function (WF), ionisation potential (IP), electron affinity (EA), & indirect (E_g^i) & direct (E_g^d) bandgap values as measured in this chapter for SnS, SnS₂, & Sn₂S₃, using methods from PES data, optical absorption data, & DFT calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal</th>
<th>PES</th>
<th>Optical</th>
<th>DFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WF</td>
<td>IP</td>
<td>EA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS₂</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn₂S₃</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.44 eV for SnS₂ is; however, found to be lower than in other studies²²,⁴⁸⁸,⁴⁸⁹, and also lower than the calculated value from DFT. This is possibly due to band-bending effects arising from the slight contamination of the crystal as studied here¹. It is noted that this discrepancy does not change the analysis which follows, but would serve only to exacerbate the effects described later in this section and also in §5.3.3. For Sn₂S₃, a bandgap of 1.10 eV and an IP of 4.66 eV is reported. There are few reports in the literature of the energy levels of this phase, but the bandgap determined here is in agreement with previous studies²²,⁴³². The strong disagreement of some literature bandgap values for SnS₂ and Sn₂S₃ arise from either an unclear fitting method for optical data⁴³³, a suspected mixed-phase material⁴⁵⁰ or the notoriety of some forms of DFT to be unsuccessful with calculations of bandgap⁴²¹,⁴⁹².

By following vacuum-alignment procedures³⁹⁶, band alignment diagrams were constructed between the tin sulphides and other appropriate materials for band alignment comparisons. Figure 5.13 shows the alignment of the p-type SnS with other common p-type absorber materials: CIGS, CZTS and CdTe, the n-type SnS₂ and common n-type window layer CdS.

When compared to the other common thin-film PV absorber materials, SnS was found to have a relatively low IP, leading to a band-level mismatch when using common partner materials

¹ See Figure 5.7.
in a solar cell\(^1\), confirming previous theoretical work\(^{393}\), and providing some explanation to the relatively poor efficiencies seen so far. That is, with a large negative CBO\(^k\) for CdS/SnS, there will be an increase in interface recombination\(^{305}\). This value for the CBO of CdS/SnS is in good agreement with experimental studies of the CBO of grown CdS/SnS junctions measured by XPS\(^l\) and also PYS\(^m\). The agreement here between experiment and theory disputes the conclusions of a previous theoretical study\(^{487}\), which found the CB of CdS to lie above that of SnS and hence give a positive CBO\(^{487}\), which is in direct contradiction to the results presented here. However, the shortcomings of that study are clear: the alignment of CdS with rocksalt-SnS or zincblende-SnS were both studied, rather than the accepted orthorhomic structure\(^{251}\). This replacement was apparently justified because by taking a cubic SnS structure, this would give a commensurate interface with CdS, but nevertheless this appears to give distinctly different results.

From a band alignment point of view\(^{453}\) and also a toxicity perspective, it would appear that CdS is a poorly suited choice of window layer for a SnS solar cell. Previous studies have been conducted that address this problem and explore the band alignment of alternative window layers\(^{396,486}\), proposing that suitable materials would include ZnO, ZnIn\(_2\)Se\(_4\), In\(_2\)S\(_3\) and Zn(O,S). The use of ZnIn\(_2\)Se\(_4\) or In\(_2\)S\(_3\) is also questionable from an earth-abundancy perspective, but evidence from the champion efficiencies so far\(^a\) demonstrates the superiority of Zn(O,S) over CdS; however, it is clear that further work is required in order to further optimise cell architectures for SnS. This could also include the replacement of the back contact

\(^{1}\) Such as CdS\(^{737}\).
\(^{k}\) \(-0.63\) eV.
\(^{l}\) \(-0.4\) eV\(^{452,460,507}\).
\(^{m}\) \(-0.5\) eV\(^{396}\).
\(^a\) See Table 5.1.
in order to produce an ohmic contact, because in light of the low IP of SnS, a contact metal with lower WF than the commonly used Mo may be required.

From Figure 5.13, it can also be seen that the band positions measured here for SnS$_2$ are in good agreement with those of CdS and therefore, at least from an electronic perspective, the n-type SnS$_2$ could be considered for use as an earth-abundant replacement for CdS in solar cells where CdS is already appropriately band-aligned.

Furthermore, the alignment shown in Figure 5.13 suggests that should SnS or SnS$_2$ form within a CZTS cell, they would cause detriment to the performance. The low IP of SnS means that it could act as an electron barrier, the large bandgap of SnS$_2$ means that it could inhibit charge transport by acting as an insulator, and because SnS$_2$ and Sn$_2$S$_3$ are n-type, a second diode could be formed, which would mitigate the rectifying behaviour of the cell. Further discussion of the importance of identifying secondary phases within CZTS can be found in Chapter 6.

The natural band alignments between the three phases of tin sulphide are shown in Figure 5.14. It can be seen that SnS and Sn$_2$S$_3$ have similar band-level positions, whereas SnS$_2$ is offset to lower energies on the band-alignment diagram, indicating that the formation of SnS$_2$ within a SnS solar cell would have a detrimental effect on performance. SnS$_2$ has a large negative (-0.51 eV) CBO with respect to SnS and hence would act as a recombination center. Again, the formation of the n-type SnS$_2$ or Sn$_2$S$_3$ could also act as second diodes within a SnS cell, mitigating its rectifying behaviour. It is possible that the effects of secondary tin sulphide phase formation could be another reason as to why SnS cells have seen such poor performances, further discussed in §5.4, especially as it has been shown that SnS$_2$ can form at the substrate interface during the growth of SnS.

An attractive proposition is to produce viable PV devices solely from tin sulphide materials, as this would simplify production and maintain earth-abundancy of the materials throughout
Chapter 5: The Electronic Structures of SnS, SnS$_2$, & Sn$_2$S$_3$ for Use in PV

It can be seen from Figure 5.14 that SnS/SnS$_2$ and SnS/Sn$_2$S$_3$ would form type II heterojunctions; however, a solar cell based on a SnS/SnS$_2$ junction would be unfeasible due to the aforementioned CBO between them, and indeed one study which produced a SnS/SnS$_2$ heterojunction demonstrated very poor diode performance.$^{493}$ A cell based on a SnS/Sn$_2$S$_3$ junction would seem electronically favourable, with a small positive CBO$^\circ$, but producing Sn$_2$S$_3$ films of consistent quality has been shown to be problematic, depending on growth conditions$^{433}$, and by comparing to other absorber materials, it is likely that a SnS cell would favour type I heterojunction architecture.$^{396}$ The results presented here for the relatively understudied Sn$_2$S$_3$ are however, promising for the future development of this material.

Beyond potential use in PV devices, SnS$_2$ has also shown promise for its use in photocatalytic water splitting.$^{303,494}$ The band levels determined for SnS$_2$ from Table 5.5 are shown in Figure 5.15 with respect to the potentials required for the reduction and oxidation of water.$^{495}$

As can be seen, the bandgap of SnS$_2$ is wide enough to straddle both potentials, and the band levels are suitably placed so that no external bias would be required to drive the reaction. Coupled with the fact that SnS$_2$ is a layered material and therefore has much greater surface area available for light collection, this shows that SnS$_2$ is a promising candidate for water splitting, more so than other materials receiving research interest in this area$^{496}$, which includes CdS.

5.3.3 Density of States Analysis

Having established the position of the band levels for the tin sulphides and the repercussions these have with regards to devices, it is important to relate the observed properties to the underlying electronic structures. By studying the DoS for these materials, one can gain insight into the nature of the bonding, reflecting the crystal structure and electronic properties.

![Vacuum-aligned band diagram for SnS$_2$ from IP & bandgap measurements using XPS & IPES. Comparison with the reduction & oxidation potentials of water$^{495}$.](image)

$^\circ$ 0.09 eV.
Figure 5.16 shows the simulated pDoS curves of the three tin sulphide phases, together with the XPS VB spectra. A Shirley background was subtracted from the latter and the simulated pDoS curves were corrected using the parameters listed in Appendix C, §C.3. The total DoS, obtained by summing the individual pDoS curves, are also shown for direct comparison to the XPS measurements.

The corrected DoS curves are in good agreement with the XPS data for all three phases, with all features present and only slight discrepancies in feature positions and relative intensities. The main discrepancy is found in the high BE feature, common to all spectra, which is ascribed to final-state relaxation effects, known to shift features near the bottom of the VB closer to the valence band edge, and which were not accounted for in the calculations.

Given the very good corroboration between theory and experiment, it is now more prudent to examine the curves before experimental corrections have been applied. Therefore, the pDoS curves for the VB and CB before corrections are shown in Figure 5.17.

All three phases show a VB consisting of majority $S\, p$ states which extend from the VBM at 0 eV to -9 eV for SnS and Sn$_2$S$_3$, but only to -8 eV for SnS$_2$. All three phases also show a feature lower than the VB that consists almost entirely of $S\, s$ states and is prominent.
around -14 eV for SnS and Sn$_2$S$_3$ and around -12.5 eV for SnS$_2$. These states, and the lack of S $s$ contribution to the VB show that this orbital is somewhat localised.

The top VB of SnS is comprised of three main features. The first, at -6.5 to -10 eV, is dominated by Sn $s$ states hybridized with S $p$ states. The second, at -2.5 to -6.5 eV, is comprised of S $p$ states, with a slight contribution from Sn $p$ states. The top of the VB is formed from a hybridization of S $p$, Sn $s$, and Sn $p$ states. The first CB of SnS extends from 1 to 6 eV and has majority Sn $p$ states hybridised with S $p$ states and a slight contribution from S $s$ and also Sn $s$ states near the bottom of the CB around 2 eV.

The top SnS$_2$ VB shows two main features, one at -6 to -8 eV, which is dominated by Sn $s$ states hybridized with S $s$ and $p$ states, and a second between 0 and -5.5 eV, composed of three distinct peaks. Of these, the two at lower energy consist of S $p$ states hybridised with Sn $p$ states, while the largest peak at the top of the VB is due to S $p$ states, with very little contribution from others. SnS$_2$ demonstrates two distinct CB: a lower one between 2 and 4 eV which is a shared contribution of Sn $s$ states hybridised with S $p$ states, and a higher one between 5 and 9 eV which comprises of Sn $p$ states mixed with both S $p$ and S $s$ states.

The Sn$_2$S$_3$ VB is similarly composed of two main features, the first at -6.5 to -9 eV is dominated by Sn $s$ states hybridized with S $s$ and $p$ states, while the second at 0 to -6.5 eV is similar to the corresponding feature in SnS$_2$, but with less well-defined peaks. Between -2 and -6 eV, it is dominated by S $p$ states, with a slight contribution from Sn $p$ states. In contrast to SnS$_2$ however, there is an extra peak at the top of the VB (-1 eV), due to a slight hybridization with Sn $s$ states. In fact, by comparing the VB spectra of the three phases, it is found that the VBDoS for Sn$_2$S$_3$ appears to be an equal superposition of the VB of SnS and SnS$_2$. \[\text{Figure 5.17: Total \\& partial electronic DoS curves for SnS, SnS$_2$, \\& Sn$_2$S$_3$. Curves have been convolved with a Gaussian function (0.2 eV FWHM) in order to better distinguish features. DoS curves are aligned to the VBM. Black curve with grey shading is the total sumed DoS.}\]
SnS₂, which given the crystal structure shown in Figure 5.3, provides further evidence for the 1:1 mixing of the Sn²⁺ and Sn⁴⁺ cations in this material. The CB of Sn₂S₃ shows the same features as SnS₂; however, the lower CB lies between 1 and 2.5 eV and the higher CB between 2.5 and 6 eV.

In SnS, there are Sn s states at the top of the VB, which are lacking in SnS₂. This can be explained by interactions through the revised lone-pair model²⁵³,4⁹⁷. Classically, the Sn 5s orbital is too low lying to couple with the Sn 5p orbital, but mixing of these states is evident at the top of the VB for SnS. In the Sn²⁺ oxidation state, the Sn 5s orbital is occupied and hybridises with the full S 3p orbital, then the higher-lying antibonding states of this interaction further hybridise with the Sn 5p orbital to create the full states at the top of the VB which have Sn s, Sn p, and S p characteristics. This bonding mechanism is demonstrated in Figure 5.18 with regards to the CE for SnS. There are no Sn s states at the top of the VB for SnS₂ because the Sn 5s orbital is unoccupied for the Sn⁴⁺ oxidation state and therefore hybridises only with the full S 3p orbital, creating full bonding states low in the VB⁹, and the corresponding empty antibonding states in the CB⁹. Consisting of a mixture of the Sn oxidation states, Sn₂S₃ sees some Sn s states at the top of the VB through the mechanism described above; however, as these only arise from the Sn²⁺ cations, they are not as prominent as in SnS.

Figure 5.18: a) CE for the valence orbitals⁷⁸⁸ of SnS displayed with the proposed lone-pair bonding mechanism as discussed in the text. It is noted that the CE values do not take ionisation, multi-electron occupancy or hybridisation into account & are shown only as a schematic guide. b) DoS curves for SnS for evaluation of the orbital hybridisation contributions according to the proposed bonding mechanism.

²⁵³ -7 eV in Figure 5.17.
⁹ 3 eV in Figure 5.17.
Simulations of the electronic structures of the three phases have been carried out previously in the literature\textsuperscript{475,498}, sometimes with support from XPS measurements\textsuperscript{421,499}, and agree well with the results presented here. However, no direct comparison of the DoS and XPS spectra for all three phases has been made\textsuperscript{472}, and nor have the contrasting features at the top of the VB been discussed\textsuperscript{492}. This is therefore the first comprehensive comparison of the three phases, which employs a combination of XPS data and theoretical modeling. By examining the pDoS from the calculations, it can be seen how the hybridization of the Sn lone-pair introduces extra states higher in the VB for SnS, and also how the VB of the mixed-valency Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} is made up of a combination of spectral features from the single-valency materials, which has not been previously examined directly\textsuperscript{500}.

These features of the DoS for these materials give an explanation for the low IP and consequent band misalignments described in §5.3.2. SnS and Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} both show low IP values which are explained by the contribution of the extra lone-pair states at the top of the VB causing a shift in the level of the VBM and hence a lowering of the IP. The lack of contribution to the VB from these states for SnS\textsubscript{2} leads to the VBM being lower than for the other two phases. Although the phenomena of band misalignment between the phases and the lone-pair contributions in SnS have been previously noted in the literature\textsuperscript{22,497}, an explanation in terms of the electronic structure of the materials had not been put forward.

5.4 The Effects of Contamination on Single Crystal SnS, SnS\textsubscript{2}, & Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}

As has been shown in §5.3.1, XPS can be used to determine the nature of contaminants present at the surface of the tin sulphides. These contaminants could have many effects on the materials, and could be important regarding their growth, as the presence of unwanted contaminants could inhibit the quality of the materials, or the performance of devices.

This section therefore presents the analysis of the crystals before they were subjected to surface cleaning, which gives some insight into the effect of atmospheric conditions on the materials. Also, throughout the cleaning, other changes took place which led to the formation of other impurities and these are also discussed.

5.4.1 Atmospheric Contamination

A comparison between the three phases of tin sulphide before and after surface cleaning is presented below in order to assess the effects of contamination. The binding energies measured in this section are presented in Table 5.6 & Table 5.7.
5.4 The Effects of Contamination on Single Crystal SnS, SnS₂, & Sn₂S₃

Figure 5.19 shows the survey spectra before and after the surface cleaning for the three tin sulphides. The clean spectra are as discussed in §5.3.1 and the surveys before cleaning for SnS₂ and Sn₂S₃ appear similar but with a greater contribution from O and C features. SnS shows this trend also; however, before cleaning, iodine was also detected, remnant from the synthesis. Neither SnS₂ nor Sn₂S₃ showed presence of iodine, although in a similar study, iodine was found only on Sn₂S₃. This inconsistency, and the fact that iodine was removed almost completely after surface cleaning, suggests that the iodine was present only at the surface. The I 3d₅/₂ BE of 619.71 eV before cleaning also suggests that the iodine was present as elemental, and therefore unreacted, iodine.

Table 5.6: XPS BE of the tin- & sulphur-relevant peaks of the tin sulphides before & after surface cleaning. All values are given in eV & the peak FWHM are given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal</th>
<th>Sn-S Bonding</th>
<th>Sn-O Bonding</th>
<th>S-C Bonding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sn³⁺ Sn⁴⁺ Sn 3d⁵/₂</td>
<td>S 2p₃/₂ Sn³⁺ Sn⁴⁺ O 1s</td>
<td>S 2p₃/₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS (As In)</td>
<td>485.57 (0.71)</td>
<td>161.07 (0.69)</td>
<td>486.77 (1.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS (Clean)</td>
<td>485.57 (0.81)</td>
<td>161.07 (0.70)</td>
<td>486.45 (1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS₂ (As In)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>486.45 (1.33)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS₂ (Clean)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>486.45 (0.94)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn₂S₃ (As In)</td>
<td>485.82 (0.77)</td>
<td>486.37 (0.91)</td>
<td>486.37 (0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn₂S₃ (Clean)</td>
<td>485.82 (0.78)</td>
<td>486.37 (0.91)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.7: XPS BE of the contamination-relevant peaks of the tin sulphides before & after surface cleaning. All values are given in eV & the peak FWHM are given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal</th>
<th>Adventitious Oxygen</th>
<th>Adventitious Carbon</th>
<th>Iodine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O 1s</td>
<td>C 1s</td>
<td>I 3d₅/₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS (As In)</td>
<td>531.77 (1.91)</td>
<td>532.54 (2.13)</td>
<td>284.98 (1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS (Clean)</td>
<td>531.00 (1.81)</td>
<td>532.54 (3.07)</td>
<td>284.95 (1.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS₂ (As In)</td>
<td>532.25 (1.83)</td>
<td>533.96 (2.09)</td>
<td>284.95 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS₂ (Clean)</td>
<td>531.92 (2.15)</td>
<td>533.62 (2.02)</td>
<td>284.95 (2.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn₂S₃ (As In)</td>
<td>532.03 (1.74)</td>
<td>533.10 (3.16)</td>
<td>285.03 (1.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn₂S₃ (Clean)</td>
<td>532.17 (1.26)</td>
<td>533.26 (1.81)</td>
<td>284.95 (1.25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I 3d intensity ~620 eV.

1 These peaks are shown as one single, fitted peak in Figure 5.20, but are probably comprised separately, as discussed in the text.
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It is noted that the surveys after cleaning appear to contain more contaminant intensity than is ideal, but it is thought that most of this intensity arises not from surface contamination, but from the carbon tape which was used to affix the crystals to the sample plate. Because of the morphology of the crystals, it proved difficult to completely cover the entire adhesive area and therefore it is possible that some signal arises from the exposed tape. Nevertheless, it is believed that this will have minimal effect on measurements, as the tape is conducting and should not interfere with the signal from the crystals, as shown in the reference spectrum in Figure 2.17.

A comparison between the Sn 3d₅/₂ peaks before and after surface cleaning for the three phases is shown in Figure 5.20. The spectra after cleaning are as described in §5.3.1. Before cleaning, all three phases showed evidence of different levels of oxidation of the tin. The oxide present on SnS is ascribed to SnO (pink dot dash) and on SnS₂ is ascribed to SnO₂ (cyan dot dash). This is the reason for the BE difference between the oxides. On SnS, it is clear that the oxide shifts to lower BE after cleaning, suggesting that it has been reduced due to sputter damage; however, as the peak from SnS did not change BE after cleaning, it is concluded that this sputter damage did not extend to the SnS.

Before cleaning, it appears that Sn₂S₃ showed presence of a small amount of SnO₂ (cyan dot dash) and that the Sn⁴⁺-S peak is larger than the Sn²⁺-S peak. This would seem surprising, as after cleaning, the Sn³⁺:Sn⁴⁺-S ratio was found to be 1:1 and a similar situation would be expected before cleaning as well. Therefore, judging by the similarity in binding energies of Sn⁴⁺-S and Sn²⁺-O, it is believed that the peak labelled Sn⁴⁺-S in Sn₂S₃ before cleaning (blue dot) also contains signal from Sn²⁺-O, the ratio of Sn³⁺:Sn⁴⁺-S is indeed 1:1 and that both

---

*See Table 5.3.*
cations present in this material have oxidised by a similar amount, leading to a total amount of oxide which is comparable to the level of oxidation observed in SnS and SnS\textsubscript{2}.

The assignments of the oxides given in this study are strengthened by the agreement of the binding energy values in Table 5.6 with previous studies of tin oxide given in the literature\textsuperscript{276,466,470,471,503,504}, both for the tin and oxygen peaks.

A comparison between the S 2\textit{p} peaks before and after surface cleaning for the three phases is shown in Figure 5.21. The spectra after cleaning are as described in §5.3.1. Before cleaning, on SnS and SnS\textsubscript{2}, there is a great amount of sulphur-containing contamination (green dot dash), which is exceptionally high on SnS\textsubscript{2}. Before cleaning, Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} showed a second S 2\textit{p} doublet (blue dot) which was at lower BE than that ascribed to the sulphur in Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}, which because of the converse shift cannot be confidently assigned to the same contamination. It is therefore believed that this extra doublet may be formed from the contributions of the different sulphur

![Figure 5.20: XPS Sn 3d\textsubscript{5/2} regions of a) SnS, b) SnS\textsubscript{2} & c) Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} before & after surface cleaning. Peak envelope shown in black.](image1)

![Figure 5.21: XPS S 2p regions of a) SnS, b) SnS\textsubscript{2} & c) Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} before & after surface cleaning. The doublet labelled S-Sn* (blue dot) is discussed in the text as a possible second coordination environment of sulphur bonded to tin in Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}. Peak envelope shown in black.](image2)
coordination environments as described in §5.3.1 and most probably there is some presence of sulphur containing contamination on this sample as well. However, because of the resolution of the study here, a fully accurate fit involving all of these features is too complex.

The acknowledgment of these contaminants, the ability to identify them and the effect that they have on the underlying materials is important. If SnO$_2$ or SnO were to form during or after tin sulphide growth for use in a solar cell device, it could negatively affect the performance. Both SnO$_2$ and SnO have been shown to have large bandgaps$^{503,505,506}$, meaning that they could act as insulators within a cell, inhibiting charge transport, and it has been suggested that n-type SnO$_2$ has unfavourable band positions to act benignly within a SnS cell with regards to CB alignments$^{452,505,507}$.

Here it is postulated that the assignments of the peaks, including those from contamination can help in future analyses of these materials. Confusion in the literature$^{466}$ has previously led to incorrect assignment of these peaks$^{473}$ because of the similarities in BE of the higher oxidation state sulphide with the lower oxidation state oxide$^{463}$. Furthermore, it could be the case that these incorrect assignments have been a cause of the poor device performance seen by SnS so far. In cases where the oxide was mistaken for the higher oxidation state sulphide$^{133,461,471}$, effort may have been wasted in altering growth conditions when the cause of the oxidation should have been addressed. Furthermore, the presence of the oxide over the sulphide can be corroborated by the presence of the O 1$s$ peak, which is often not addressed.

### 5.4.2 Cleaning-Induced changes

#### SnS

The initial sputtering of the SnS crystal caused the contaminant peaks to reduce; however, this came with the formation of an extra peak in the Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ spectrum (blue dot dash), shown in

![Figure 5.22: Changes in the XPS Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ spectrum of SnS during the cleaning procedures. Peak envelope shown in black. Spectral references are given in Table 5.2.](image)
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Figure 5.22. This extra peak reduced on annealing of the crystal and was eradicated from the clean spectra. The BE of this peak is in agreement with that for metallic tin, suggesting that prolonged exposure to the ion beam causes the preferential sputtering of sulphur, which has been observed in similar materials. The large shift to lower binding energies for the metallic tin peak lends ease to its identification; however, this is complicated by the small probable intensity of such a peak, if it were present. Consequently, it is believed that Steichen et al. may have mistakenly reported the presence of SnS and Sn⁰, when in fact, judging by the binding energies reported there, and that the survey spectrum demonstrated a large O 1s peak in that study, the assignment should be SnO and SnS.

SnS₂

The cleaning of the SnS₂ crystals proved difficult, because of the fragile nature and unideal morphology of these crystals for sputtering. Various cycles of sputtering and annealing were attempted, which are fully detailed in Appendix C, §C.5.3. The observed changes to the Sn 3d⁵/² peaks are shown in Figure 5.23. The first sputtering cycle reduced the intensity of the oxide peak compared to the sulphide peak from the As In spectrum, but after subsequent sputter cycles, very little change occurred. It is also noted that after the first sputter, the BE of the oxide peak shifts closer to the sulphide peak, suggesting that the sputtering damages the natural oxide somewhat, forming a sub-oxide. Annealing was then attempted to try and either desorb the remaining oxide, else segregate it to the surface where it could then be sputtered.

---

Figure 5.23: a) Changes in the XPS Sn 3d⁵/² spectrum of SnS₂ during the cleaning procedures. b) BE of the Sn 3d⁵/² components for SnS₂ during the cleaning. The sizes of the data points represent the relative intensities of the components. Peak envelope shown in black. Spectral references are given in the Table 5.2.

---

¹ 484.74 eV.
² See bottom spectrum in Figure 5.23a.
³ See S1–S5 in Figure 5.23b.
away. After annealing\(^w\), the oxide was no longer present, yet a new peak at lower BE had arisen. The binding energy of this peak is in agreement with Sn\(^{2+}\) and was assigned as such. This is in agreement with the observation that Sn\(_2\)S\(_3\) crystals were also found to have grown in the same ampoule as SnS\(_2\) during growth\(^22\), and that SnS\(_2\) has been shown to dissociate at higher temperatures\(^453,473\). This species arose via the preferential dissociation and desorption of sulphur at elevated temperature. Subsequent sputtering after this did not remove this species, but only served to encourage its growth\(^x\). Again, it is noted that sputtering caused this species to shift BE closer to that of SnS\(_2\), suggesting that the sputtering damages this species, creating a sub-sulphide that varies somewhere between SnS and SnS\(_2\) in stoichiometry. Another annealing cycle shifted the BE of this species back to a lower value, suggesting that a purer-stoichiometry species is created through the annealing.

Finally, with no success from these sputter and anneal cycles, the crystals were removed from vacuum and cleaved again using the steel blade. Inspection of the sample after subjection to the above procedures revealed shiny black crystals forming around the edges of the yellow parent ones, confirming the formation of the Sn\(^{2+}\) species as both SnS and Sn\(_2\)S\(_3\) demonstrated this colour. The cleaving was performed quickly and within close proximity to the load lock of the UHV system in order to minimise atmospheric contamination and retain a clean crystal surface. The spectra of this surface are presented as the clean SnS\(_2\) data, and although there is evidence of oxide in this spectra\(^y\), it is very minimal compared to the As In spectrum, demonstrating the success of the cleaving. Further sputtering or annealing of this clean surface induced the same changes described above. Therefore, in future, much care must be taken when preparing the surface of SnS\(_2\) samples, as the material is very susceptible to changes.

### 5.5 Chapter Summary

The electronic structures of single crystals of SnS, SnS\(_2\), and Sn\(_2\)S\(_3\) were studied using PES, corroborated by DoS calculations in order to gain a better understanding of the electronic structures of these materials and ultimately, how this relates to the measured electronic properties, providing explanations for the poor performance of SnS solar cells that has been seen so far. This is the first time that all three phases of tin sulphide have been studied using corroborative PES and DFT.

Prior to taking these measurements, the surface cleaning of the three phases revealed very different behaviours of the phases. SnS appears straightforward with regards to the removal of contaminants, but care must be taken not to induce the formation of metallic tin by the preferential removal of sulphur. SnS\(_2\) suffers from a similar problem as SnS, where cleaning

---

\(^w\) See middle spectrum of Figure 5.23a.
\(^x\) See S6–S8 in Figure 5.23b.
\(^y\) See top spectrum of Figure 5.23a.
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attempts reduced the tin within the material to its lower oxidation state; however, only through cleaving was a clean surface obtained. Although it is still relatively understudied, Sn$_2$S$_3$ appeared the most resistant to decomposition during cleaning and further work is needed to assess the thermodynamic stability of this phase$^{510}$. These observations allowed the effects of such contamination to be assessed and it was found that oxidation would affect solar cell devices in a negative way. Because of the fragile nature of the materials, care should be taken when characterising grown material, in order to ensure that changes have not been induced between the growth and characterisation.

Once a clean surface had been obtained, it was shown that XPS measurements can provide a clear identification of the three different phases in isolation and therefore can also play an ancillary role in the identification of tin sulphide impurity phases within the PV absorbers SnS or CZTS$^2$. The distinct chemical shifts between the two oxidation states of tin and between the sulphide and oxide help elucidate which bonding environments are present.

Measurements of the band levels of the three phases were shown to agree for values derived from PES, optical absorption, and DFT, giving strong merit to the accuracy of the band alignments which followed. These showed how the formation of these phases as impurities within solar cell structures can have detrimental effects on performance, which when coupled with the sometimes ambiguous measurements presented in the literature, could lend some explanation to the poor performance of SnS solar cells so far. Alignments also revealed how the n-type SnS$_2$ has favourable band positions for use as a possible replacement for the toxic CdS as a window layer material in solar cells and also in photocatalysis.

The alignment of SnS, when compared to other common thin-film absorbers, confirmed the low IP and higher band levels. Agreement between the calculated VBDoS and VB spectra from XPS provides an explanation for these band levels, and links it back to the individual crystal structures. There is a large difference between the different oxidation states of tin depending whether the Sn 5s orbital is occupied (Sn$^{2+}$) or unoccupied (Sn$^{4+}$). This means, for materials containing Sn$^{2+}$ ions (SnS & SnS$_2$), that Sn 5s electrons are available for bonding via the revised lone-pair mechanism, resulting in full bonding states at the top of the VB. These states, present in SnS and Sn$_2$S$_3$, but lacking in SnS$_2$, cause the upward shift of the VBM and lowering of IP, causing the band misalignment of SnS and CdS. This offers a new perspective on why SnS cells have thus far achieved relatively poor performance and suggests that in order to progress the development of this material, complete redesign of the cell architecture should be envisioned.

\[\text{This role is further explored in Chapter 6.}\]
Chapter 6: The Use of Photoemission Spectroscopies for the Characterisation & Identification of Cu₂ZnSnS₄ & its Secondary Phases

“I’d put my money on the sun and solar energy. What a source of power! I hope we don’t have to wait until oil and coal run out before we tackle that. I wish I had more years left.”

Thomas Edison, Inventor, 1931
Chapter 6: The Use of Photoemission Spectroscopies for the Characterisation & Identification of Cu₂ZnSnS₄ & its Secondary Phases

This chapter involves a comprehensive comparison and critical analysis of the use of different types of characterisation technique that are commonly used for the characterisation of various forms of the material CZTS and its use as a PV absorber.

The results of related measurements on thin-films of CZTS grown by CBD are published as:


The difficulties with both synthesising and analysing CZTS are widely acknowledged, yet it receives continued research attention because of its promise as an earth-abundant solar absorber. The growth is susceptible to the formation of secondary phases and contamination, but it is unclear as to the mechanisms of this and also the effect that such phases have on devices. Beyond this, the characterisation of CZTS itself is complicated by peak overlaps, technique insensitivity, the necessity for rigorous analysis, and a lack of standards. Here, the common characterisation techniques of XRD and RS are analysed in terms of their use for identifying secondary phases, and also for characterising mixed-phase samples of CZTS. Coupled with this, XPS is introduced as a technique that can help with these issues, but when used to its full extent, can also elucidate information about the electronic structure, such as band levels and the density of states in the VB.

In order to achieve a basic standard, from which the merits of each technique can be assessed without interference from factors such as device characteristics or growth methods, single crystal CZTS and individual samples of the possible binary secondary phases within CZTS were studied in isolation. The core-level analysis of CZTS and the secondary phases reveal complexities and nuances which are generally not considered in the literature, but which now can be used as a comparator in the future.

It was found that the use of XRD and RS combined are not unsuitable for the detection of secondary phases within CZTS, yet neither are they definitive in elucidating them, or indeed confirming phase-pure CZTS. The combined use of XPS with the two previous analysis techniques can further corroborate the presence of secondary phases, and it is shown how this technique can be used as a starting point for secondary phase analysis.

With regards to the electronic structures, corroboration of theoretical and experimental density of states curves for the VB show how the bonding nature in CZTS is different to that of CIGS, to which analogies are commonly drawn. These differences give rise to a low value of IP when compared to CIGS or CdTe, supporting the idea that CdS is a poor choice of window layer for use in CZTS solar cells. Similar measurement of the possible binary secondary phases

\[ ^5 \text{IP} = 5.28 \text{eV.} \]
also showed the negative effects that such phases can have upon devices in terms of their electronic structures.

Further experimentation involving the single crystal CZTS gave insights in how the material behaves under vacuum at elevated temperatures, environments that are possible during growth or analysis. It is shown that instabilities of CZTS, in terms of thermodynamic processes involved with the growth and also inherent to the material itself, offer further explanation to the complexities of this material and the problems associated with the secondary phases.

6.1 Cu₂ZnSnS₄: The Material

Copper zinc tin sulphide (Cu₂ZnSnS₄) is the material of interest in this chapter and is one of the most researched materials for earth-abundant PV. Consisting of earth-abundant elements, none of which pose a significant factor economically⁶, the development of CZTS will continue, trying to drive the efficiencies beyond that of the current leaders, CdTe and CIGS. There are however, several problems which must be addressed and overcome before this material can vie for significant market share.

6.1.1 History & Uses

The family of quaternary materials to which CZTS belongs are a set of naturally occurring minerals whose structures have been determined since 1965⁵¹¹, and single crystals thereof were successfully synthesised in 1967, following the synthesis of binary and ternary compounds in the preceding years⁵¹². Further studies saw the refinements of the structures of these minerals⁵¹³,⁵¹⁴, but it was not until 1979 that the first physical characterisations of crystals of this material were made beyond the structural determinations⁵¹⁵. At this point, CZTS research remained an entry in the crystallographers’ catalogue until interest was renewed when thin-films were deposited and electrical and optical characterisations performed in 1988 by Ito & Nakazawa⁵¹⁶. They found that CZTS had an optical absorption coefficient larger than 10⁴ cm⁻¹ in the visible range, intrinsic p-type conductivity and a direct bandgap of 1.45 eV. These results placed CZTS as a potential PV absorber as an alternative to CIGS. CZTS has found other semiconductor applications as well, such as the photocatalytic splitting of water⁵¹⁷, batteries⁵¹⁸, and use as the counter electrode in DSSC⁵¹⁹.

6.1.2 Structure

Historically, the mineral deposit Cu₂(Fe,Zn)SnS₄ was referred to as kesterite in the zinc-rich regime (Cu₂ZnSnS₄) and as stannite in the iron-rich regime (Cu₂FeSnS₄)⁸⁰,⁵¹⁴. The latter has been known for much longer than the former⁵²⁰ and only recently has it been classified under

⁶ See Chapter 1.
the umbrella family of \( \text{Cu}_2(\text{Fe,Zn})\text{SnS}_4 \) as better determinations of these minerals were made, and from then, both terms were used interchangeably for this entire family of minerals\(^{514}\).

Both of these structures are similar, and can be described as deriving from the same structure as CIGS, as was described in §1.3. From CIGS, the group III\(^{3+}\) indium and gallium are isoelectronically replaced by group II\(^{2+}\) Zn and group IV\(^{4+}\) Sn, leading to the similar tetrahedral crystal structure, related to the diamond structure of silicon\(^{34,307,521}\).

The crystal structures of kesterite CZTS, stannite CZTS, and chalcopyrite CIGS are shown in Figure 6.1 for comparison. In kesterite, each of the cations is tetrahedrally bonded to 4 sulphur anions and likewise, each sulphur ion coordinates to two Cu, one Zn and one Sn ion. The unit cell takes the space group \( I\bar{4} \), with the cations occupying FCC sites with a double axis in the c-direction, and the sulphur ions occupying opposing tetrahedral interstitial sites\(^{80,514}\). The general structure differs from CIGS only with respect to the cation ordering. In CIGS, the cation layers are consistent 1:1 mixes of Cu:(In/Ga), whereas in kesterite they alternate between 1:1 mixes of Cu:Sn and Cu:Zn. The distortion from true tetrahedra is experienced as a result of the atomic radii mismatch between the cations, altering the bond lengths\(^{522}\). It is therefore expected that the electronic structure, and as a result, the electronic properties of CZTS will differ slightly from CIGS\(^{307}\). Despite displaying the same overall stoichiometry, it is known that kesterite and stannite adopt similar, but distinct structures\(^{514}\). This is shown in Figure 6.1 and the difference is between the cation layers, with stannite alternating between layers consisting a 1:1 mixture of Zn:Sn and layers wholly occupied by Cu ions. This differing symmetry also gives stannite a different space group\(^{80}\) of \( I\bar{4}2m \).

It is now generally accepted that synthetically grown CZTS adopts the more stable kesterite structure\(^{34,523,524}\). This is despite historical reports which classified CZTS as the stannite structure\(^{516}\) and there is much discussion and confusion in the literature\(^{525}\) over which structure

![Figure 6.1: Crystal structures of a) kesterite (KS) CZTS\(^{514,641}\), b) stannite (ST) CZTS\(^{514}\), & c) chalcopyrite (ChP) CIGS\(^{791}\), showing individual atoms of Cu (orange), Zn (blue), Sn (grey), S (yellow), In (brown), Ga (beige), & Se (gold). Also shown are the cation planes: on the kesterite structure is the Cu/Zn (pink plane) & Cu/Sn (blue plane), & on the stannite structure is the Cu (orange plane) & Zn/Sn (green plane).]
the synthetically grown material adopts, because there is only a small energy difference between the two phases. Also, the different structures are thought to give differing properties, with a reduction in the bandgap being the most commonly cited.

Following the development of CZTS as a solar cell material and displacing it from the field of mineralogy, the term kesterite is now almost ubiquitously used to refer to synthetically grown instances of CZTS and as such, to avoid confusion throughout this chapter, unless explicitly stated, references to CZTS shall be to synthetically grown material in the kesterite structure.

6.1.3 CZTS as a Solar Absorber

Following the understandings gained from the crystallographic studies mentioned above, and from the initial promising electronic properties, the development of CZTS for its use as a solar PV absorber continued, with an initial reported efficiency of 0.66% in 1997.

Initially lauded as a replacement to CIGS, the development of CZTS somewhat followed the same path, utilising similar device architectures and growth methods. As such, growth techniques for thin-films of CZTS are many and varied, following many of the same routes as CIGS. Film growth has been achieved variously via: sputtering, evaporation, PLD, sol-gel, SILAR, CBD, and electrodeposition.

Throughout its development, CZTS has continued to demonstrate attractive PV-relevant properties. The measured p-type conductivity is now understood to be intrinsic as a result of the Cu₂ZnSnS₄ defect, which has the lowest formation energy. Predictions of the bandgap, and experimental measurements, show it to be in the region of 1.5 eV, well matched to the solar spectrum. Also, it has high absorption in the visible range.

CIGS uses selenium rather than sulphur as the anion, and there also exists an analogue of CZTS using selenium, that is CZTSe. This compound has seen development alongside CZTS because it was reported to have similar properties, including a 1.5 eV bandgap; however, it is now accepted that although it too crystallises in the kesterite structure, CZTSe has a bandgap in the region of 1.0 eV; too low for effective use in PV. This finding did however, spark interest in the development of the mixed sulphide/selenide compound CZTSSe, which allows tuning of the bandgap, in order to better match the solar spectrum. As such, this mixed compound has seen the highest efficiencies, with the record at 12.6%.

Although these higher efficiencies are promising, and the ability to tune the bandgap is beneficial, the use of selenium is questionable from an earth-abundancy point of view. Therefore, compounds containing selenium are not of interest in this thesis, and henceforth, references to CZTS shall be limited to the pure sulphide material, unless explicitly stated.

---

*See Chapter 1.*
Progress of the sulphide, selenide and mixed materials in terms of device efficiencies are detailed elsewhere\textsuperscript{551,552}, but a historical selection of device efficiencies for the pure sulphide material are given in Table 6.1, including the current record efficiency of 9.2% reported in 2016\textsuperscript{553}. From this table, it can be seen that over the past few years, efficiencies have been rising, whereas for the mixed sulphide/selenide material, efficiency progress has somewhat stagnated, with the previous record set in 2014. The pure sulphide is not trailing far behind and this steady increase demonstrates the continued potential of this material.

There are several barriers which CZTS development must overcome, in order for the efficiencies to compete for market viability with CIGS and CdTe. First, there is the need to standardise the growth methods used. Currently, many methods are employed, which allows for the study of many different aspects of the material properties, such as: homogeneity\textsuperscript{554}, form factors\textsuperscript{108,555}, defects\textsuperscript{401}, and the effects of temperature\textsuperscript{533,556}, but in order to make industrial scale-up a possibility, a small number of growth methods must take precedence over the others; a stage which CZTS has not yet achieved.

The architecture of CZTS solar cells is also not yet fully optimised. Cell-architecture designs were taken from CIGS, but since then, issues have been faced. For example, the back contact commonly used with CIGS, molybdenum, has been shown to be detrimental to the performance of CZTS, due in part to the formation of MoS\textsubscript{2} at this interface\textsuperscript{557}, and investigations are in progress to replace it\textsuperscript{273,558,559}. CdS is also commonly used as a window layer with CZTS, as it was with CIGS, and this combination has not led to results and efficiencies which are comparable.

The role and subsequent control of grain boundaries in solar absorber materials is also important and a current interest area for CZTS, where they have been shown to act benignly, or as recombination centres within the cell\textsuperscript{560,561}. The use of SLG as a substrate is prevalent.

Table 6.1: Selection of record-holding & historical solar cell efficiencies utilising CZTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absorber Growth Method</th>
<th>Device Architecture</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sputtering</td>
<td>SLG/Mo/CZTS/Zn\textsubscript{1-x}Cd\textsubscript{x}/S/i-ZnO/ITO</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Sun et al.\textsuperscript{553}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporation</td>
<td>SLG/Mo/CZTS/CdS/i-ZnO/AZO</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Shin et al.\textsuperscript{529}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputtering</td>
<td>SLG/Mo/CZTS/CdS/i-ZnO/AZO</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Scragg et al.\textsuperscript{792}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrodeposition</td>
<td>SLG/Mo/CZTS/CdS/i-ZnO/ITO</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Ahmed et al.\textsuperscript{584}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputtering</td>
<td>SLG/Mo/CZTS/CdS/AZO</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Katagiri et al.\textsuperscript{793}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBPVD</td>
<td>Al/ZnO/CdS/CZTS/Mo/SLG</td>
<td>0.66%</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Katagiri et al.\textsuperscript{526}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2 Secondary Phases of CZTS: The Binaries

For CZTS, either during the growth, left over as precursor, post-growth, or due to degradation of the material, many different phases can occur either within, or at the surface of a grown film. These are not limited to those that make up the pseudoternary phase diagram and consist of a number of binary sulphides. Each of these materials has different properties and therefore will have different effects upon CZTS, dependent upon their quantity and location.

This section serves as an introduction to these materials, presenting their known structures, properties, and the estimated or measured effects that they have as secondary phases for CZTS.

6.2.1 Copper Sulphides

In their own right, the binary copper sulphides are interesting and useful semiconductors. They are known to occur as secondary phases within CZTS\textsuperscript{109,569}, but, as was mentioned in §1.3.3,
Chapter 6: 
The Use of Photoemission Spectroscopies for the Characterisation & Identification of Cu₂ZnSnS₄ & its Secondary Phases

Cu₂S was the first material to be used as an absorber in a TFSC⁵⁷⁰, and was one of the more successful historical materials⁴⁷,⁵⁷¹. There are various phases which can exist and occur naturally in the Cu-S system⁵⁷², and include: covellite⁵⁷³ CuS, chalcocite⁵³¹ Cu₂S, digenite⁵⁷⁴ Cu₁.₈S, djurleite⁵⁷⁵ Cu₁.₉₄S, anilite⁵⁷⁶ Cu₁.₇₅S, and roxbyite⁵⁷⁷ Cu₁.₉₄S, which are stable at room temperature, amongst others that occur through phase transitions at higher temperatures⁵⁷². The non-integer stoichiometries are deviations from the chalcocite structure, that is Cu₃.₅S, and as such have complex and vast unit cells comprising many tens of atoms. Most synthetically grown copper sulphide materials⁵⁷⁸–⁵⁸⁰ are either CuS or Cu₂S, and the pseudoternary phase diagram of CZTS⁵⁸¹ is associated with Cu₂S, which is also used as a precursor for CZTS⁵⁶⁴,⁵⁸²,⁵⁸³. This means that when dealing with the possibility of copper sulphide secondary phases within CZTS, the properties of CuS and Cu₂S should represent the entire scope of this family of materials.

CuS and Cu₂S are far from simple compounds and so the identification of their formation within CZTS is a difficult task, rarely addressed with rigour, due the penchant for CZTS-synthesis within the Cu-poor regime⁵⁸⁴. As such, the expectation is that these phases are unlikely to form. Nevertheless, the use of copper sulphide materials as precursors for CZTS growth means that the effect of these secondary phases cannot be overlooked.

The oxidation states Cu⁺ and Cu²⁺ are possible and therefore, from a simple stoichiometric point of view, the compounds Cu₂S and CuS would appear to be the cuprous (Cu⁺) and cupric (Cu²⁺) sulphides, respectively. The crystal structures however, suggest otherwise.

The crystal structure of the simpler compound, chalcocite Cu₂S, is presented in Figure 6.2 and appears complex. However, all of the copper ions are threefold coordinated to sulphur ions, with slight deviations from a trigonal planar structure, and the hexagonal unit cell contains 24 Cu atoms and 12 S atoms⁵³¹. This compound is correctly considered to be Cu⁺₂S²⁻, containing only the Cu⁺ oxidation state.

![Figure 6.2: Crystal structure of chalcocite Cu₂S, showing individual atoms of Cu (orange) & S (yellow).](image-url)
The crystal structure of covellite CuS is presented in Figure 6.3. It can be seen that this structure has distinct coordination environments for both copper and sulphur. At the first copper site (Cu$_{Tri}$), the copper ions are threefold coordinated to sulphur as in Cu$_2$S in trigonal planar polyhedra, whereas at the other copper site (Cu$_{Tet}$), the copper ions are fourfold coordinated to sulphur in tetrahedra. The first sulphur environment (S1) is fivefold coordinated to copper, with two of the tetrahedra aligned in the c-direction and three of the trigonal planar coppers surrounding it in the a-b plane. The final sulphur environment (S2) is coordinated to three of the copper tetrahedra surrounding the sulphur ion in the a-b plane but offset in the c-direction, and to another sulphur (S2 site) ion in the opposing c-direction.$^{585}$ This unusual structure leads to 6 copper and 6 sulphur atoms in the unit cell and is the source of confusion in the literature as to which oxidation state the elements adopt in this compound.$^{586}$

There are twice the number of Cu$_{Tet}$ compared to Cu$_{Tri}$, and twice the number of sulphur ions which have the S$_2$ group (S2), compared with the sulphur bonded solely to copper (S1)$^{587}$. The presence of the S-S bond means that the formal oxidation state of copper in this material cannot be described as singly ionic.$^{573}$ It has been previously described as a mixed valence compound$^{d,588}$; however, it was also suggested, with evidence from photoemission$^{e,589–592}$, that the copper found in CuS is either entirely monovalent$^{f,593–598}$, or consists of an intermediate copper valency greater than 1, but lower than 1.5$^{g,599,600}$. These findings culminated in a study which suggests that Cu$^{3+}$ is an unachievable oxidation state for copper sulfides$^{601}$, and it is now generally agreed that the structure is best represented by Cu$^{+}$S$_2^2$S$^2^-$, where • is a delocalised hole$^{602}$.

---

\[d \text{ Cu}^{2+}\text{S}_2^2 \cdot \text{Cu}^{2+}\text{S}_2^2\]

\[e \text{ Further discussion can be found in §6.5.1.}\]

\[f \text{ Cu}^{+}\text{S}_2^2\text{S}^2\]

\[g \text{ Cu}^{3+}\text{Cu}^{+}\text{S}_2^2\text{S}^2\text{ or Cu}^{4+}\text{S}_2^2\text{S}^2\]

---

Figure 6.3: Crystal structure of covellite CuS$^{573}$, showing individual atoms of Cu (orange) & S (yellow). The inequivalent copper & sulphur coordination sites are marked.
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Cu₂S and some of the other off-stoichiometry phases have been shown to have a bandgap between 1.2–1.5 eV\(^{121}\), and there is possibility that they can cause a shunt through a CZTS cell or act as recombination centres\(^{603}\), if they were to form. Other Cu-S phases have been shown to demonstrate larger bandgaps, and because of the mobile Cu\(^+\) ions, can lead to junction degradation\(^{603}\). If CuS formed as a secondary phase\(^{601}\), or remained after use as a precursor\(^{604}\), then the large bandgap\(^{601,580}\), but high conductivity due to the hole conduction in the VB\(^{598}\), could also act to shunt a CZTS cell.

6.2.2 Zinc Sulphide

From the phase diagram of the Zn-S system\(^{418}\), there is only one stoichiometry of zinc sulphide, that is ZnS, because zinc only has one stable oxidation state, Zn\(^{2+}\). ZnS occurs as the mineral sphalerite, which is the main ore of zinc found in the crust of the earth\(^{86}\) and the only other phase of zinc sulphide, wurtzite occurs at high temperature\(^{1}\) and need not be considered here. The crystal structure of sphalerite ZnS is presented in Figure 6.4 and has a cubic unit cell which is based on the FCC diamond structure, with all of the zinc ions surrounded by four sulphur ions in regular tetrahedra\(^{80}\).

In its own right, ZnS has several uses: it is the basis for many phosphors\(^{605,606}\), sees applications in optics\(^{607}\), and is also being exploited for its semiconductor properties\(^{608}\). A typical II-VI semiconductor, ZnS has a very wide bandgap, in the region 3.5–3.7 eV\(^{121,609,610}\), and if it was to form within CZTS, would likely act as an insulator, restricting current flow.

6.2.3 Tin Sulphides

The three main phases of tin sulphide\(^{1}\) were introduced in Chapter 5, but will be discussed here as well because they are also found as secondary phases within CZTS\(^{273,453,556,582,583,611-616}\). These three phases are the only stable tin sulphides which form within the processing

---

\(^{b}\) 2.0 eV.

\(^{1}\) > 1000°C.

\(^{\dagger}\) SnS, SnS\(_2\), & SnS\(_3\).
temperatures of CZTS, and it is expected that tin sulphides are likely to form in CZTS films because their formation is promoted in the Cu-poor regime.

### 6.2.4 Beyond Binary Secondary Phases: An Aside on Ternaries

The three binary systems described above do not exhaust the possible secondary phases of CZTS. Although this thesis is involved with the identification of these binary phases and the effects that they have upon CZTS, it becomes clear that one cannot discuss secondary phases of CZTS and disregard the ternary ones.

The several possible stoichiometries of copper tin sulphide (CTS) are the most widely recognised ternary materials possible within CZTS, and it is \( \text{Cu}_2\text{SnS}_3 \) that occurs on the CZTS phase diagram and has even been studied for use as a PV absorber in its own right. With a reported bandgap between 0.98–1.35 eV, the formation of CTS within CZTS could have a similar effect as \( \text{Cu}_2\text{S} \), affecting the carrier collection, acting as a shunt through the cell, or forming a recombination centre.

Whilst it is not ruled out that materials in the Cu-Zn-S (CZS) and Zn-Sn-S (ZTS) systems could form as well, the CZS system is understudied, with dubiously reported properties and growth methods, which most likely refer to doped materials rather than structurally different ones. In fact, the only reputable source of a ternary phase of the CZS system was put forward tentatively in 1970 and since then, no further confirmation has been made. There are no reports of materials within the ZTS system. As such, at this stage in development, these phases can be disregarded, if they exist at all.

### A Further Aside on Elemental Phases

It is also possible that elemental phases of Cu, Zn, Sn, or S could be present within the material due to their use as precursors to the growth, or formed by the dissociation, rather than crystallisation of CZTS at higher temperatures. They would then act as direct shunts through the cell. However, the presence of metallic elements is unlikely due to the chalcophilic elements, and that given the processing temperatures used, will react at least somewhat with sulphur, giving one of the materials described above.

### 6.3 Motivation & Scope of this Study

The aim of this chapter is to present a deeper and more complete understanding of the electronic structure of CZTS and also to establish characterisation standards in the context of helping with the identification of secondary phases in future syntheses.
6.3.1 Secondary Phase Identification in CZTS

It is well known that secondary phase formation during CZTS growth is a problem that must be addressed, by being able to accurately identify them when they form within the material. Considering the pseudoternary phase diagram for CZTS, it is expected that the most likely secondary phases to form are the vertex points of this phase diagram: Cu₂S, ZnS, and SnS₂, and so these materials receive the most research attention.

As is usual when synthesising thin-films, XRD is widely employed as a technique to determine the structure of the produced film. Overall, XRD is a powerful technique which can be used to help disseminate a crystal structure; however, when used as a ‘phase-checking’ technique with the growth of thin-films, it is usually never used to its full potential, and arguably for these applications, such use is satisfactory.

However, problems arise when XRD is not applied with rigour. As discussed in §2.6.2, this creates problems when peaks from other phases overlap with those from the expected phase and as such cause misidentification. CZTS suffers from peak overlaps, especially with sphalerite ZnS, which can be considered a parent-structure of CZTS. It is unfortunate that this phase has been shown to be the most thermodynamically stable, and therefore one of the most likely to form. This specific problem is well known throughout the literature, which has led to the standard adoption of RS measurements alongside XRD. Whilst this indeed adds more substance to claims of either phase-pure material or the identification of secondary phases, there are still associated problems with the coupled implementation of these techniques.

Other problems associated with the use of XRD and RS for secondary phase identification include the overlap of XRD peaks with CTS because of the similar structure. It has been suggested that the identification of copper sulphide and tin sulphide phases using XRD is straightforward due to the crystallographic differences, yet there are other issues which complicate this analysis, further discussion of which is given in §6.8.1. Whilst Raman spectroscopy has been used to identify secondary phases, it is not wholly reliable for the exclusion of secondary phases. This is because the analysis depth is limited by the excitation wavelength, and therefore, is unable to analyse secondary phases which may form below this depth. Also, in order to identify a phase using Raman spectroscopy, the wavelength of light used must be commensurate with the bandgap of the identifiable phases, rendering the simultaneous detection of wide- and narrow-bandgap phases unfeasible.

---

k In silicon, for wavelengths less than ~450 nm, this is of the order of tens of nanometres, and extends to the order of microns for wavelengths longer than ~650 nm; however, it is also material dependent.
Studies of CZTS by XRD will generally give reference to the PDF entry in the ICDD database, and while this is standardised practice, there is rarely comparison to the primary source, which could lead to a misidentification because specific measurements may not be fully comparable.

These reasons give motivation for the study of individual references for the possible secondary phases of CZTS described in §6.2, allowing the technique efficacies to be assessed.

### 6.3.2 Standardising the Characterisation of CZTS

As well as using XRD and RS to identify secondary phases within CZTS, these techniques are also used to determine the presence of the kesterite phase of CZTS, and due to the reverse of the problems described above, can lead to the misidentification of this phase. It is therefore important that other techniques are also used in order to determine the purity of the grown material.

A technique that is commonly used alongside XRD and RS is XPS, usually to confirm the expected oxidation states within a grown material. Unfortunately, in the literature, from the presence of the expected oxidation states of the elements in CZTS, the conclusion is often drawn that this confirms the presence of the kesterite phase\(^{117,119,631-633}\), when in fact it may mean that any number of materials with the same oxidation states are present.

XPS can also be used to assess different chemical environments, whether for different oxidation states, or different environments with the same oxidation state. Therefore, in principle, XPS can be used in the identification of secondary phases within CZTS. Such acknowledgement has been made in the literature\(^ {634}\), but it has very rarely been employed. In this study, it is believed that through careful measurement and characterisation of standard samples of the secondary phases, as well as a sample of phase-pure CZTS, then XPS could be used in conjunction with other techniques to determine whether these phases are present within a potentially mixed-phase sample of CZTS.

For CZTS, surface oxidation and contamination have been shown to be potential problems\(^ {124,366,635}\). XPS has been used in the past to identify the presence of such oxidation\(^ 1\) and could be used effectively for the case of CZTS as well.

Furthermore, to help determine that the correct phase of CZTS has been grown, it is important to determine the stoichiometry of the sample. This is readily achieved using EDX or ICP-MS; however, these techniques are prone to error with regards to sulphur when molybdenum is also present, and as thin-films of CZTS are commonly grown on molybdenum, this can be a problem\(^ {629}\). XPS can be used for determining the surface stoichiometry of samples\(^ {302,636}\), and has previously been used for CZTS thin-films\(^ {567,604}\). Thus, when coupled with other techniques, XPS could provide a more complete analysis of the stoichiometry, especially as it can be

---

1 See Chapters 3, 4, 5, and selected previous literature\(^ {304,319,647,780}\).
phase-selective, if properly fitted, whereas other techniques only give the overall stoichiometry of the analysed area.

It can therefore be seen that the potential of XPS for use in characterising CZTS is great, but there are standards which must be set in order to rigorously use this technique and avoid misidentifications and inaccurate analyses. This chapter aims to provide such guidelines; however, it must be kept in mind that it is not expected that this study will provide a definitive method for the identification of secondary phases in CZTS, but rather to encourage the adoption of another technique for use in this broad subject area.

### 6.3.3 Other Aspects of CZTS

As with all solar cell materials, the choice of partner materials, especially the window layer, is important to reduce recombination, facilitate charge extraction, and increase device efficiencies. As has been shown in Chapters 3, 4, & 5, knowledge of the natural band levels of a solar absorber can be important for cell design. CdS is the most commonly used window layer material for CZTS, and because of the issues associated with the environmental impact of using CdS, along with allusions to CdS perhaps not being the most appropriate choice of window layer for other reasons, it is hoped that the band levels and bonding nature of the valence and conduction bands can help justify this.

Defect analysis is an important topic for solar absorbers because they are usually the origin of the conductivity within the semiconductor, with many absorber materials being intrinsically p-type due to the presence of defects. Conversely, unwanted defects can also act in such a way to degrade the performance of the cell, through the generation of deep level trap states within the bandgap. In the case of CZTS, it is known that there exists a level of disorder between the Cu and Zn atoms and it has been suggested that this is a cause of the band tailing observed in CZTS and so acts to restrict the overall efficiency of a solar cell. Several studies have focussed on determining this level of disorder by different methods, and the work presented here can help give insight into the effects of it.

The complexity of CZTS is one of the reasons why the presence of secondary phases is so prevalent, and the thermodynamics involved with the annealing step of the growth are not well understood. As such, because the annealing can introduce secondary phases, it is also possible that the annealing causes the breakdown of CZTS into secondary phases, which will result in them either being lost, reincorporated into the material, or forming into some other phase. Studying the thermodynamic processes involved with the growth of CZTS is important in understanding the secondary phase formation pathways, but the vast possibilities of these, which are dependent upon the growth method and choice of precursors, make this area beyond the scope of this chapter. However, the work presented here should give some insight into the breakdown mechanism of CZTS associated with in vacuo annealing.
6.3.4 Scope of this Chapter

XPS measurements of the binary secondary phases introduced in §6.2 will be presented in order to establish standard spectra that can be used in the future to help with the identification of these phases within CZTS samples. Following this will be the structural characterisation and XPS measurements of single crystal CZTS in order to establish standard measurements. Together, these standards for CZTS and the binary phases will be compared in a discussion of the effectiveness of the implementation of these techniques for use in identifying secondary phases within grown thin-films of CZTS.

Also presented here will be an analysis of the band levels of CZTS and the DoS with relation to the bonding mechanism and how these features relate to the electronic properties. Associated with this will be a discussion on the effects of the formation of the secondary phases within CZTS with regards to the band levels.

Finally, results will be presented from in vacuo annealing experiments conducted on the single crystal CZTS. The aims of this are threefold: to assess the effects of contaminants at the surface of CZTS films, including its susceptibility to oxidation; to assess the nature of the Cu/Zn disorder on the electronic properties and relate this to the processing temperatures used in the growth of CZTS; and to determine the mechanism through which CZTS breaks down at temperature in vacuo and assess the effects that this would have.

6.4 Experimental Details

Complete details of the experimental systems used in this chapter can be found in Chapter 2 and the specific details pertinent to this study, including a full description of the cleaning procedure used for the CZTS single crystal, are detailed in Appendix C.

6.4.1 Acquisition of Materials

Powdered samples of Cu$_2$S and CuS were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd. and were ≥99% pure.

Single crystal ZnS (001) was obtained from MaTeck GmbH.

Single crystals of SnS, SnS$_2$, and Sn$_2$S$_3$ were the same samples measured in Chapter 5, the full details of the growth can be found there, and in the appropriate literature$^{22}$.

Single crystal CZTS was donated by the Yoshino Group in the Department of Applied Physics and Electronic Engineering, at the University of Miyazaki, Japan. The crystals, grown by the travelling heater method from in §2.1.1, have been shown to be phase-pure and have been characterised independently in the literature$^{616,644–646}$ by XRD, RS, TEM, PPMS, EPMA, and Hall measurements. The crystals used in this chapter are shown in Figure 6.5.
6.4.2 Characterisation of Binary Materials

XPS was used to study the core-level electronic structure, SEC, and VBM of the binary materials. Contamination was removed from the samples by Ar\textsuperscript{+} ion sputtering and radiative annealing.

The powders of Cu\textsubscript{2}S and CuS were mounted to UHV sample plates using double-sided carbon tape. Cu\textsubscript{2}S received sputtering at 500 eV\textsuperscript{m} for 15 min and at 1000 eV\textsuperscript{n} for 10 min after only small changes were observed with the lower power sputtering. CuS received sputtering at 500 eV\textsuperscript{o} for 20 min. The BE of the C 1\textit{s} was found to be 284.20 and 284.44 eV for Cu\textsubscript{2}S and CuS, respectively. It was therefore concluded that no charging effects occurred in these samples because similar C 1\textit{s} BE were observed in previous studies of these materials\textsuperscript{49,590}.

The single crystal ZnS was attached to the UHV sample plate by spot welding tantalum straps across the edges of the surface of the crystal. This also provided an electrical connection between the crystal surface and the spectrometer. In total, the ZnS crystal received sputtering at 500 eV\textsuperscript{p} for 5 minutes, at 1000 eV\textsuperscript{q} for 30 minutes, at 2500 eV\textsuperscript{r} for 20 minutes, and was annealed for 1 hour at temperature steps of 300, 350, 400, & 450°C. ZnS required much more aggressive sputtering and annealing because it was found that a thick layer of ZnO had formed at the surface. The large bandgap of ZnS\textsuperscript{s} means that charging was expected\textsuperscript{647}, and it has previously been shown to differentially charge\textsuperscript{648}. This was compensated for in the spectra by using an electron flood gun at 3.5 eV energy and correcting the spectra to the C 1\textit{s} peak at a BE of 284.8 eV.

The tin sulphide crystals were attached to the sample plates, underwent cleaning procedures, and were charge corrected as described in Chapter 5.

\textsuperscript{m} Resulting in a flux ion current of 8 \(\mu\)A at the sample.
\textsuperscript{n} Resulting in a flux ion current of 14 \(\mu\)A at the sample.
\textsuperscript{o} Resulting in a flux ion current of 5 \(\mu\)A at the sample.
\textsuperscript{p} Resulting in a flux ion current of 9 \(\mu\)A at the sample.
\textsuperscript{q} Resulting in a flux ion current of 10 \(\mu\)A at the sample.
\textsuperscript{r} Resulting in a flux ion current of 13 \(\mu\)A at the sample.
\textsuperscript{s} \textasciitilde 3.6 eV.
All of the fitted synthetic peaks in this study were Voigt profiles, fitted after subtraction of a Shirley background, unless otherwise stated, and the constraints for peak fitting are described in §6.4.3.

### 6.4.3 Characterisation of Single Crystal CZTS

#### X-Ray Diffraction

For the powder XRD data, a small piece of the CZTS single crystal was ground to a powder. Measurements were then performed using a Philips X'Pert diffractometer equipped with a Cu Kα x-ray source running at 40kV and 30mA with an X’celerator detector.

#### Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectra were acquired using a Renishaw inVia system, using exciting wavelengths of 532 nm and 633 nm.

#### Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy

EDX measurements were performed courtesy of the NiCaL within a SEM, using an accelerating voltage of 20 kV.

#### Photoemission Spectroscopy

PES was used to investigate the electronic structure of the CZTS crystal, which was affixed to a UHV sample plate by spot welding tantalum straps across the edges of the surface of the crystal. This provided an electrical connection between the CZTS crystal and the spectrometer. Ar⁺ ion sputtering and radiative heating were utilised to clean contamination from the surface of the crystal. As the cleaning procedure forms part of the results of this experiment, details of this are given in §6.7.1 and Appendix C, §C.5.4.

XPS was used to study the core-level electronic structure, combined with UPS to study the SEC, VBM and occupied VBDoS of the crystal. IPES was used to study the CBM of the crystal. Charging of the CZTS crystal was corrected by aligning the spectra to the C 1s peak at 284.6 eV, which has been used previously for this material.

All of the fitted synthetic peaks in this study were Voigt profiles, fitted after subtraction of a Shirley background, unless otherwise stated. Cu 2p doublets were fitted with a separation of 19.80 eV and an area ratio of 1:2. Zn 2p doublets were fitted with a separation of 23.05 eV, an area ratio of 1:2. Sn 3d doublets were fitted with a separation of 8.41 eV and an area ratio of 2:3. Sn 4d doublets were fitted with a separation of 1.06 eV and an area ratio of 2:3. Fitted S 2p doublets were separated by 1.20 eV, with an area ratio of 1:2. The FWHM between the doublet peaks for Cu 2p and Zn 2p were allowed to vary and resulted in a wider 2p½ peak due to Coster–Kronig effects.
Density Functional Theory

Electronic-structure calculations were performed through the VASP code\textsuperscript{261–264} within periodic boundary conditions. The pDoS in the valence and conduction bands were determined.

6.5 XPS Characterisation of the Binary Phases for Use as Standards

The identification of secondary phases in thin-films of CZTS is no simple task, and even with rigorous implementation of combined XRD and RS, sometimes the presence of secondary phases can still be overlooked. Utilising a wider variety of complementary techniques is key to making the identification of secondary phases within CZTS more transparent. To this end, a recent study showed that PL could be included into the secondary phase identification repertoire of techniques\textsuperscript{651}.

XPS is also a possible addition to this collection of techniques. Because of the different chemical environments between atoms within CZTS and atoms within secondary phases, there should be a representative set of peaks for each different phase. As has been shown in previous chapters, rigorous fitting models are required, with support from the literature and standard measurements, in order to support the analysis.

The main Auger features of the XPS spectra were also recorded so that the modified Auger parameter could be determined. This procedure is far more rigorous than using solely the BE position of a peak because the Auger parameter is much more sensitive to chemical changes, and also it eliminates the effect of uniform charging of samples\textsuperscript{173}.

Further to the identification of secondary phases within CZTS, the effect that they have upon the material is also important to disseminate, and for this reason, the SEC and VBM were recorded for the secondary phases in order to determine the IP and WF values for the materials.

XPS can also be used to determine the stoichiometry of CZTS; however, this is not always reliable for reasons discussed in §2.3.4. Some of these issues can be resolved by determining the stoichiometry from peaks that are close in BE, as was employed in Chapter 4, and it was suggested in the literature that for CZTS these could be Cu 3\textit{p}, Zn 3\textit{d}, & Sn 4\textit{d}\textsuperscript{652}. However, the use of these peaks is unsuitable, because if oxygen were present, the O 2\textit{s} peak overlaps the Sn 4\textit{d} peaks, and the Zn 3\textit{d} doublet is in the VB and susceptible to changes there. Instead, by measuring the main core-levels of CZTS and by applying stoichiometry correction factors (SCF) which are derived from known-stoichiometry materials\textsuperscript{u}, then transmission, mean free path, and cross-section differences can be reduced.

\textsuperscript{1} ~74, 10, & 25 eV, respectively.

\textsuperscript{u} The binary phases presented below.
6.5.1 Copper Sulphides

Because the samples of Cu₂S and CuS were powders, they contained a substantial amount of contamination, probably in the form of water and hydrocarbons. Sputtering the powders removed a large amount of this contamination; however, it was not possible to completely remove because of the morphology of the powder. Annealing was not performed to attempt to desorb these contaminants in order to avoid inducing changes in the materials themselves.⁵⁷²

The Cu 2p region for the Cu₂S and CuS powders are shown in Figure 6.6a & b, respectively. For Cu₂S, two Cu 2p doublets were fitted. The low-intensity, wider doublet at higher BE (purple shading) is attributed to copper bonded with oxygen (Cu-O), whose form is unknown, but given the high BE of the Cu 2p3/2 peak, it is likely to be either CuO, Cu(OH)₂ or a mixture thereof. The high-intensity doublet at 932.48 eV (red dash) is attributed to the copper bonded to sulphur in Cu₂S. A similar situation exists in CuS, also with a wider, lower intensity doublet (purple shading) at higher binding energy being attributed to Cu-O as in Cu₂S. The copper in CuS was represented using two doublets, shown in Figure 6.6b, with the same FWHM and an area ratio of 1:2 between the doublets. The lower intensity doublet at 932.20 eV (red dash) is attributed to the Cu₃₅, and the higher intensity doublet at 932.45 eV (blue dot) is attributed to Cu₄₅. That the higher BE doublet is twice as intense as the lower, reflects that there are twice as many instances of Cu₄₅ than Cu₃₅ in the crystal structure. The higher BE of the Cu₄₅ doublet is understandable, given that there is a stronger bond felt by the copper ion here due to the presence of more surrounding anions. Such fitting of the Cu 2p has not previously been implemented in the literature, probably due to the small shift in BE, but suggestions alluding to it have been made.⁵⁹²,⁵⁹⁹

![Figure 6.6: XPS Cu 2p region of a) Cu₂S, & b) CuS. Fitted peaks reflect the differences in crystal structure between the two materials. Oxidised copper (purple shading) also shown & discussed in the text as to the origin. Peak envelope shown in black.](Image)

⁵ 933.49 eV.

⁶ 933.20 eV.
The S 2p region for the Cu₂S and CuS powders are shown in Figure 6.7a and b, respectively. The CuS sample showed S 2p intensity around 169 eV (purple shading), which was not present for the Cu₂S, and is attributed to copper sulphate. This is in agreement with features seen in the Cu 2p region as well; the peak labelled Cu-O for CuS in Figure 6.6b is much larger than that in Cu₂S, which is explained if copper sulphate is also present in CuS, occurring at a similar BE\(^{565}\) to CuO or Cu(OH)\(_2\). Common to both S 2p spectra is the presence of sulphur-containing contamination (green shading), which is commonly found in semiconducting materials\(^{3,274}\), and the uncertain form that this contamination takes leads to a spread of possible BE\(^{298,656-658}\).

For Cu₂S, one S 2p doublet was fitted that was assigned to the sulphur in Cu₂S (red dash), as expected from the crystal structure and fitted features of the Cu 2p region. The spectral shape of the S 2p region for CuS, with three distinct peak crests, can be explained with regards to the crystal structure. The CuS S 2p spectrum was fitted with two doublets assigned to the different sulphur environments in CuS. The doublet at lower BE\(^{\gamma}\) is assigned to the sulphur that is bonded only to copper (red dash), whereas the higher BE doublet\(^{\delta}\) (blue dot) is assigned to the sulphur which is bonded to copper and another sulphur ion. This assignment is strengthened by the fact that there are twice as many instances of the sulphur bonded to copper and sulphur in the structure, reflected by the 2:1 area ratio between the two doublets, and the higher BE of this doublet is understandable as the S₂ bond is stronger than the S-Cu bond\(^{325}\).

Although the crystal structure of CuS is well known in the literature, there is confusion about the expected XPS spectra. Some studies found similar spectra to those presented here\(^{592,593,595}\).

---

Figure 6.7: XPS S 2p region of a) Cu₂S, & b) CuS. Fitted peaks reflect the differences in crystal structure between the two materials. Oxidised sulphur (purple shading) & contaminant sulphur (green shading) also shown & discussed in the text as to the origin. Peak envelope shown in black.

---

\(^{1}\) See Chapters 3, 4, & 5.

\(^{\gamma}\) 161.20 eV.

\(^{\delta}\) 161.97 eV.
and assigned the doublets in the same manner\textsuperscript{279,601}, whereas others assigned the doublets the other way around\textsuperscript{691}, or to non-stoichiometric material\textsuperscript{659}.

For use later in determining the stoichiometry of CZTS using XPS, SCF for Cu 2p\textsubscript{3/2} and S 2p are required. The complexity of the spectra for CuS shown above makes this material unsuitable for this purpose, so Cu\textsubscript{2}S was chosen. By normalising to a S 2p SCF of 1.00, taking the areas of the Cu 2p\textsubscript{3/2} peak and S 2p doublet associated with Cu\textsubscript{2}S, and by assuming an ideal stoichiometry for the Cu\textsubscript{2}S sample, a SCF for Cu 2p\textsubscript{3/2} of 12.85 was found. Due to the amount of contamination that was unable to be removed from the Cu\textsubscript{2}S sample, this SCF will not be wholly accurate and caution will be applied with its use.

The binding energies found here for Cu\textsubscript{2}S and CuS are shown, with comparison to literature values in Figure 6.8. Goh et al.\textsuperscript{279} and Kundu et al.\textsuperscript{659} fitted two S 2p doublets for CuS, and the BE differences are in agreement with those values measured here. Although there appears to be much scatter of the BE measured throughout the literature, some general trends are commonplace. It is generally found that the Cu 2p\textsubscript{3/2} BE for Cu\textsubscript{2}S is ~0.3 eV higher than that for CuS. Indeed, this finding is one of the reasons why the presence of Cu\textsuperscript{2+} in CuS was historically excluded, for a higher oxidation state would result in a BE higher than that of Cu\textsuperscript{+}, which was not the case. Without reference to both of the materials, the BE of the Cu 2p\textsubscript{3/2} peak

![Figure 6.8: Comparison between the XPS BE measured in this study, & those reported previously in the literature for CuS & Cu\textsubscript{2}S. Literature references are given on the bottom x-axis\textsuperscript{279,590–593,595,653,655,659,781,795–799}, & the quoted charge referencing method employed in the corresponding study are given on the top x-axis. Species assignments are as given in the corresponding reference, see text for discussion of the agreements & more details.](image)

\textsuperscript{279,590–593,595,653,655,659,781,795–799}
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Chapter 6:

for an unknown sample cannot assign the phase, but the shape of the S 2p spectrum would be able to determine the phase of a single phase material. Nevertheless, the phases should be able to be more clearly defined by the use of the modified Auger parameter, and for this purpose, the Cu LMM regions are shown in Figure 6.9a & b, for Cu₂S and CuS, respectively. The position of the L₃M₄5M₅5 transitions were determined and are shown on Figure 6.9 as well. The shift between these values, which is larger than that between the Cu 2p₃/₂ BE means that the shift between the modified Auger parameters will be even larger, disambiguating the assignment of the phases further. Further discussion of the Auger parameters for these materials is given in §6.8.2.

The fittings for the SEC and VBM of Cu₂S and CuS are shown in Figure 6.10a & b, respectively and yielded WF/IP values of 4.65/4.60 eV for Cu₂S and 4.74/4.67 eV for CuS.

Figure 6.9: Auger spectra for the Cu LMM region of a) Cu₂S, & b) CuS. Auger peak determination was performed using the x-axis intercept of the differential spectra, shown in grey.

Figure 6.10: VBM & SEC fittings for a) Cu₂S, & b) CuS after surface cleaning from XPS data.
Although there have been very few reports of the band levels of these materials in the literature, the values measured here for Cu₂S are lower than literature values\textsuperscript{aa}, and for CuS are higher than literature values\textsuperscript{bb}. There are a number a possibilities for these discrepancies, foremost amongst which, is the fact that the samples measured here still contained significant amounts of contamination.

### 6.5.2 Zinc Sulphide

After cleaning, there was no contamination remaining on the ZnS crystal. The Zn 2p and S 2p regions are shown in Figure 6.11a & b respectively. The single Zn 2p doublet (red dash) was assigned to the zinc in ZnS and the Zn 2p\textsuperscript{3/2} peak had a BE of 1022.05 eV. The S 2p region was fitted with two doublets. The large doublet with 2p\textsuperscript{3/2} BE of 161.79 eV (red dash) was assigned to sulphur in ZnS. The smaller doublet at lower BE (orange dash) was also assigned to sulphur in ZnS and not to contamination due to the lack of carbon and oxygen presence in the survey spectrum after cleaning. This extra peak is thought to be a result of the differential charging. This was attempted to be compensated for by using an electron flood gun which seemed to eliminate the differential charging effects. However, it would seem that this was not completely effective and the small doublet is attributed to part of the sample that did not reach equilibrium through the charging and compensation.

For use later in determining the stoichiometry of CZTS using XPS, SCF for Zn 2p\textsuperscript{3/2} and S 2p are required. By normalising to a S 2p SCF of 1.00, taking the areas of the Zn 2p\textsuperscript{3/2} peak and S 2p doublet, and by assuming an ideal stoichiometry for the ZnS crystal, a SCF for Zn 2p\textsuperscript{3/2} of 15.60 was found.

Use of the flood gun means that the spectrum must be aligned to a known reference. In this case, the C 1s region was measured for many hours in order to acquire signal from the very low content of contaminant carbon remaining at the surface. However, because of the

---

\textsuperscript{aa} ~5.3/5.4 eV\textsuperscript{580,781}.

\textsuperscript{bb} ~3.8/4.1 eV\textsuperscript{580,782}.

---

![Figure 6.11: XPS a) Zn 2p region & b) S 2p region of ZnS. Fitted peaks for ZnS shown in red, & secondary peaks shown in orange attributed to the differential charging, further discussed in the text. Peak envelope shown in black.](image-url)
The aforementioned differential charging, it may be the case that this carbon was compensated for differently to the ZnS and the BE measured here may not be completely reliable. For this reason, use of the Auger parameter is ideal as this measurement is independent of any charge corrections. Therefore, the Zn LMM region was also recorded and is shown in Figure 6.12. The position of the L₃M₄5M₅5 transition was determined and is shown in Figure 6.12 as well.

A comparison between the binding energies for ZnS measured here and those recorded previously in the literature are shown in Figure 6.13. Although the BE are in general agreement, it is difficult to assess the practicality of using the Zn 2p½ BE as zinc is able to take only one oxidation state and the shifts are very small between species. Variations shown in the literature references are given on the top x-axis. See text for discussion of the agreements & more details.
6.5 XPS Characterisation of the Binary Phases for Use as Standards

Figure 6.14: VBM & SEC fittings for ZnS after surface cleaning from XPS data.

in Figure 6.13, at least to some extent, are due to the different choices of C 1s BE used for charge correction\textsuperscript{cc}. However, the use of the Auger parameter can render this correction unnecessary in the case of phase identification. Calculation and discussion of the Auger parameter for this material is given in §6.8.2.

The fittings for the SEC and VBM for ZnS are shown in Figure 6.14 and yielded values of WF and IP for this material of 5.16 eV and 6.74 eV, respectively. This value of IP is in agreement with reported values in the literature\textsuperscript{661,662}, but the WF value is lower than reported\textsuperscript{663}, probably as a result of the charging issues discussed above, because the measurement of IP should be independent of charge referencing, whereas WF is dependent upon it. Further discussion of the implications of these measurements is given in §6.8.4.

6.5.3 Tin Sulphides

The CL fittings and band level determinations for the tin sulphides were given in Chapter 5 and were shown to be significantly different between the phases so that confident determination between the two different oxidation states of tin is possible. However, with regards to CZTS, tin is in the Sn\textsuperscript{4+} oxidation state, the same as in SnS\textsubscript{2}, and therefore it may become difficult to distinguish between these two phases. For this reason, the Sn MNN Auger regions were also recorded for SnS, SnS\textsubscript{2}, and Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} and are shown in Figure 6.15a, b & c, respectively. The positions of the M\textsubscript{4}N\textsubscript{45}N\textsubscript{45} transitions were determined and are shown in Figure 6.15 as well. Calculation and discussion of the Auger parameters is given in §6.8.2.

For use later in determining the stoichiometry of CZTS using XPS, SCF for Sn 3d\textsubscript{5/2} and S 2p are required. By normalising to a S 2p SCF of 1.00, taking the areas of the Sn 3d\textsubscript{5/2} peak and S 2p doublet associated with SnS, and by assuming an ideal stoichiometry for the SnS crystal, a SCF for Sn 3d\textsubscript{5/2} of 12.69 was found.

\textsuperscript{cc} Shown on the top axis.
6.6 Structural Characterisation of Single Crystal CZTS for Use as a Standard

There are few reports in the literature of standard measurements of CZTS, with most referencing to other technical samples. Therefore, in order to assess the effectiveness of the combination of characterisation techniques analysed here for use in secondary phase identification, it is prudent to make individual independent measurements upon a standard sample of CZTS, that is, a single crystal.

6.6.1 Phase Determination

The powder XRD pattern for the CZTS crystal is shown in Figure 6.16. The peaks are sharp, showing the high crystallinity of the powdered crystal. Also shown (red circles) is the commonly used ICDD reference for kesterite CZTS\(^{dd}\). All of the indexed peaks in this entry are accounted for in the measured data, but with differing intensities for some of the peaks, specifically the (220/204), (312/116), and (224) reflections. Also shown is the theoretical diffraction pattern (green circles), calculated from the kesterite crystal structure\(^{ee}\) using the method in §2.6.2\(^{ee}\). Previously in the literature, the use of this ICDD entry as a reference has been criticised for not including all diffraction peaks\(^{631}\), leading to wrongly drawn conclusions on observations of ‘extra’ peaks. This criticism appears to be founded given that the

\(^{dd}\) PDF\# 00-026-0575.

\(^{ee}\) With the calculated pattern data shown in Appendix D, §D.3.1.
6.6 Structural Characterisation of Single Crystal CZTS for Use as a Standard

Theoretically calculated diffraction pattern appears to reproduce the experimental peaks more fully than this ICDD entry.

The Raman spectra for the powdered CZTS crystal are shown in Figure 6.17 for two different excitation wavelengths. As can be seen, the different wavelengths of excitation have different sensitivities to certain modes, but the main peak at 337 cm$^{-1}$ is common to both spectra. In order to assess the presence of secondary phases, different wavelengths must be used; however, it must also be kept in mind that the spectrum from CZTS itself will change and therefore differences seen between the spectra are not necessarily proof of the presence of secondary phases.

Whilst there are many reports of RS of CZTS samples, there is little universal agreement in the literature because of the differences between the spectra of different wavelengths, and there is no ubiquitously used wavelength, like there is for XRD. Nevertheless, studies which used the same or similar experimental systems to those used here, demonstrate comparable spectra with peaks appearing at the same values$^{629,664,665}$. Further discussion of the observed XRD and Raman spectra for the CZTS crystal compared to the individual secondary phases is given in §6.8.1 with regards to using these techniques to identify or exclude secondary phases in CZTS.

Figure 6.16: Powder XRD pattern for the single crystal CZTS, compared with ideal patterns derived from the commonly used ICDD entry (red data), & theoretically from the crystal structure (green data)$^{514,641}$. Strongest reflection labels are shown.

Figure 6.17: Raman spectra for the single crystal CZTS using different excitation wavelengths. Strongest peak positions marked.
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6.6.2 Bulk Stoichiometry Determination

The bulk stoichiometry of the crystal was determined by EDX, and the atomic percentages and compositional ratios are detailed in Table 6.2. These results suggest that the crystal is slightly Zn-rich and Sn-poor, but that the copper and sulphur content are near-stoichiometric. This result is similar to that observed in previous characterisations, but differs from record efficiency reports, which explain that most of the highest achieving devices are determined to be Cu-poor and Zn-rich, acting to encourage the formation of V_{Cu}, generating the conductivity and suppressing the deep level Sn_{Zn} defect. The stoichiometry here suggests that the Sn_{Zn} defect should also be suppressed, but the V_{Cu} defect will not be encouraged as much, possibly leading to differing transport properties in single crystal when compared to thin-film CZTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Ideal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu at%</td>
<td>26.28</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn at%</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn at%</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S at%</td>
<td>49.43</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu/(Zn+Sn)</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn/Sn</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/(Cu+Zn+Sn)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2: Stoichiometry values & elemental ratios of single crystal CZTS obtained from EDX measurements compared with ideal values.

6.7 Surface Preparation & Electronic Structure Studies of Single Crystal CZTS for Use as a Standard

Whilst it is known how the expected XRD and Raman spectra of phase-pure CZTS should appear, there is confusion in the literature regarding the expected form that PES spectra should take and there are complications involved, which make analysis far from trivial. In the first instance therefore, this section aims to present PES spectra of the clean, phase-pure CZTS in order to help disambiguate these confusions. Also, other applications of PES with regards to solar absorber material properties and the electronic structure will be shown, in the context of CZTS.

6.7.1 Surface Preparation

The presence of contaminants and secondary phases can influence the PES spectra and perceived properties of a material. Therefore, it is important that standard spectra be free of such contamination, and this was achieved by in vacuo sputtering and annealing. However, it is also important to acknowledge the presence of such contamination, because it can provide information such as: the reasons why the contamination has formed; the origin of it; and the
likelihood of it to form in the future. From this, possible prevention measures can be explored.

It is also key to determine the effects of such contamination. Here, the term ‘contamination’ refers not to the secondary phases which are possible to form in CZTS as these shall be dealt with later in §6.8, but rather to the products of the processes of surface oxidation and adsorption of water and hydrocarbons.

**Expected XPS Spectra of CZTS**

Subtleties in XPS spectra can make the analysis more difficult, and one must critically examine a material before measurement in order to pre-emptively acknowledge any of these features. This is especially the case when a material requires cleaning, as there must be an expected ‘goal’ spectra to be achieved. An example of how this applies is given by the S 2p spectrum of CuS, presented in §6.5.1. Here, if it was not known that there are two distinct sulphur sites in the crystal structure of CuS, which lead to the distinctive spectrum seen in Figure 6.7b, then one may think that the second doublet was due to contamination, and if such a sample was undergoing surface cleaning procedures, then one may attempt to eliminate this second sulphur doublet which would culminate in damaging the material.

It is known that the oxidation states within CZTS are Cu\(^{+2}\), Zn\(^{2+}\), Sn\(^{4+}\), and S\(^{2-}\), which should not cause the formation of any extra features within the spectra, and the BE are expected to be in reasonable agreement with other compounds involving these oxidation states, and the expected ranges of BE are shown in Table 6.3. From the crystal structure, each Cu, Zn, & Sn atom is coordinated tetrahedrally to four S atoms, and each S atom is coordinated tetrahedrally to two Cu, one Zn, & one Sn atom. These coordination environments suggest that only one peak for each element should be associated with CZTS.

It is now possible to consider overlapping peaks within the spectra that could complicate the analysis. This is achieved by studying the features of standard spectra of the expected elements within a sample. The main Cu 2p, Cu LMM, Zn 2p, Sn MNN, S 2p, S LMM, O 1s and C 1s regions should show no overlapping peaks and the only problem occurs with the Zn LMM region, which overlaps with the Sn 3d\(^{3/2}\) peak. For these overlapping regions, there exist procedures by which these features can be fitted, compensated for, or effectively ignored, and the applied procedures used here will be discussed in §6.7.2.

### Table 6.3: Expected XPS binding energy ranges for CZTS based on the formal oxidation states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Range (eV)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu(^{+2}) 2p(^{3/2})</td>
<td>932–933</td>
<td>278,653,655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(^{2+}) 2p(^{3/2})</td>
<td>1021–1023</td>
<td>278,647,653,804,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn(^{4+}) 3d(^{5/2})</td>
<td>486–488</td>
<td>274,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(^{2-}) 2p(^{3/2})</td>
<td>160–163</td>
<td>806,807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{51}\) See Figure 6.1.
Using these presuppositions about the expected spectra, sputtering and annealing could then be undertaken in order to clean the contamination from the surface of the crystal. It is well known that this can sometimes induce changes in materials that affect their properties, and for this reason, care must be taken when using these cleaning techniques. Sputtering is employed in the literature to remove surface contamination for routine thin-film XPS analysis of CZTS; however, high-energy sputtering is commonly used, which, even though it is sometimes stated that no preferential sputtering was observed, can damage the film. This damage can then be overlooked if no fitting was performed or if the spectra before cleaning are not shown. Indeed, some studies recognise this problem and suggest that the ion energy should be kept as low as possible to stop the preferential sputtering of sulphur and the subsequent formation of metallic species. Bär et al. observed that ion energies of 50 and 100 eV did not cause structural changes, whereas with 500 eV, the formation of metallic species occurred.

Using these observations, a positive feedback cleaning procedure was applied, which used low energy sputtering: increasing the energy if no changes were taking place.

**Cleaning Procedure**

Throughout this section, spectra will be presented at different stages of the cleaning procedure and as such, are given referential labels to differentiate between them. These references are detailed, along with a complete description of the experimental procedures used in the cleaning, in Appendix C, §C.5.4.

Spectra were recorded before the cleaning procedure began (As In) in order to assess the level of contamination on the crystal, and also to act as a starting point for the cleaning. The survey spectrum for the CZTS crystal before cleaning is shown in Figure 6.18. It shows all of the expected peaks for Cu, Zn, Sn, S, O, and C. Due to the many elements present in this survey, the SNR is poorer than was observed for other materials consisting of fewer elements.

---

**Figure 6.18:** XPS survey spectrum for the single crystal CZTS before surface cleaning.

---

\[ 66 > 1000 \text{ eV}. \]
The fitted spectral regions of Cu 2p, Zn 2p, Sn 3d\textsubscript{5/2}, S 2p, and O 1s for the CZTS crystal before cleaning are shown in Figure 6.19. Peaks attributed to CZTS (red dash) were the dominant species for all elements. The Cu 2p region was fitted with two doublets, with the second (blue dot) initially believed to be some form of copper oxide, but further discussion later shows that this requires further analysis\textsuperscript{ab}. The Zn 2p region was fitted with a single doublet attributed to Zn in CZTS. The Sn 3d\textsubscript{5/2} peak is shown rather than the whole Sn 3d region because of overlap with the Zn LMM Auger features. This will be addressed later, but for now, the fitting of this single component will suffice during the cleaning. Two peaks were fitted for the Sn 3d\textsubscript{5/2} region, with the second being attributed to SnO\textsubscript{2} (pink dash dot), akin to the discussion in Chapter 5, where the oxide peak has a higher BE\textsuperscript{502,675} than the CZTS peak, and the Sn\textsuperscript{4+} in CZTS maintains this oxidation state when forming the oxide. The S 2p region was fitted with a single, very well resolved doublet, and the O 1s region was fitted with two peaks, a narrow peak at lower BE which corresponds to the metal oxides (pink dash dot), and a wide, higher BE peak which is attributed to adventitious (adv.) oxygen species (orange dash).

The relatively low level of contamination from the survey spectrum and apparent evidence that only tin and possibly copper have oxidised, bodes well for the surface cleaning, as there is not much to be removed. There is also no evidence of extra sulphides in the crystal, strengthened by the strong resolution seen between the splitting of the S 2p peaks, because even though different sulphides show very small shifts in the S 2p\textsubscript{3/2} peak, even this would impair the resolved splitting of this doublet\textsuperscript{i}.

---

\textsuperscript{ab} See §6.7.2.
\textsuperscript{i} See, for instance Figure 6.7.
Initially, the crystal was cleaned using sputtering with 200 eV ion energy; however, although this caused the reduction of the carbon and oxygen peaks in the survey spectrum, after several sputtering iterations, it became apparent that it was inducing structural changes, because of the development of a shoulder on the Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ peak. It was thought that this extra species could be removed by more aggressive sputtering; however, the first few iterations of this aggressive sputtering caused the growth of this extra species up to a point where further sputtering caused no further changes. It was thus concluded that this extra species was indeed induced by the sputtering and that even the initial 200 eV ion energy was too aggressive. It was also noted that annealing of the crystal after the formation of this extra species could not remove it, even after several days of annealing. This negative result served as motivation to study the effects of structural degradation of the CZTS crystal, the results of which are presented in §6.9.3.

The crystal was therefore re-prepared ex situ and the cleaning procedure restarted using a lower ion energy of 100 eV. This then caused the reduction of carbon and oxygen as well, but after several iterations, the same problems were not experienced. Instead, the spectra showed the reduction and elimination of the SnO$_2$ peak without the formation of any other species. These changes are shown in Figure 6.20. As was mentioned previously, using the entire Sn 3d region for analysis at this stage is impractical due to the overlap of the Zn LMM Auger features with the Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ peak and as such, only the Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ peak was used for fitting. This however,

![Image of Figure 6.20: XPS a) Sn 3d$_{5/2}$, b) Sn 4d, & c) Cu 2p$_{3/2}$ regions of the single crystal CZTS during the surface cleaning. Cleaning references (As In, Cl. 1–3) are detailed & explained in Appendix C, §C.5.4. Peak envelopes shown in black.]

\[\text{Figure 6.20: XPS a) Sn 3d}_{5/2}, \text{ b) Sn 4d, } \text{ & c) Cu 2p}_{3/2}\text{ regions of the single crystal CZTS during the surface cleaning. Cleaning references (As In, Cl. 1–3) are detailed & explained in Appendix C, §C.5.4. Peak envelopes shown in black.}\]

\[\text{Data not shown.}\]

\[\text{1k>1500 eV.}\]

\[\text{II See Figure 6.20a.}\]
increases the tenuousness of the fitting, so the Sn 4d region was also fitted in the same manner and led to the same conclusions.

The spectra shown in Figure 6.20 are at various stages of the cleaning procedure, which consisted of sputtering with 100 eV ion energy for 5 minutes, followed by annealing at 230°C for 15 minutes, referred to as a cleaning cycle. It can be seen, between the As In and Cl. 1 tin spectra, which corresponds to one cleaning cycle, that the SnO₂ peaks reduce, showing that the majority of this tin oxide is located only at the surface of the sample. Then, a subsequent six cleaning cycles (Cl. 1–Cl. 2), saw the removal of the tin oxide species, and a further six cleaning cycles brought about no further changes in the spectra (Cl. 2–Cl. 3). At this point, for fear of the structural changes that were brought about previously through extended and aggressive sputtering, four final cleaning cycles were applied, followed by a final anneal for 3 hours, which also resulted in no further changes to the spectra. The crystal was then deemed to be clean enough for the spectra to be recorded for the clean crystal. It is noted that some contaminant carbon and oxygen remained present in the survey spectrum, but it was concluded that removal of these species would probably cause the structural changes seen before and as the tin oxide was removed, these other contaminants were probably contained within voids of the imperfect crystal surface, and could therefore be largely ignored in the resulting analysis.

Shown in Figure 6.20c is the Cu 2p₃/₂ region throughout cleaning. The second peak (Cu₉₅) is present throughout the cleaning procedure and does not change with regards to relative peak intensity or peak separation. This is contradictory to the assignment of this peak as originating from copper oxide because given the removal of the tin oxide by the cleaning procedure, one may reasonably expect the removal of copper oxide also, or at the least, some change in its intensity. Therefore, this extra peak was assigned to be also associated to the CZTS; the true nature of which is discussed further in §6.7.2.

Before cleaning, the finding that only the tin had oxidised is in agreement with a study of the native oxidation of CZTS, which found that the tin was the most likely to oxidise, and the copper least likely.

It is noted that during the cleaning procedures no discernible changes in terms of relative peak intensities or the formation of extra peaks were detected in the spectra for all regions, except for those described above. The only change was a constant shift to higher binding energies for all peaks, which arises due to the charge transfer and Fermi-level shifting caused by the removal of the contamination.

---

See Figure 6.20b.

See Figure 6.5.
6.7.2 XPS Core-Level & Auger Analysis

This section presents XPS spectra for the CZTS crystal after the cleaning procedure described in §6.7.1. All of the peak BE and Auger KE fitted and described below can be found in Table 6.4.

The survey spectrum for the clean crystal is shown in Figure 6.21 and demonstrates the same features as were observed in Figure 6.18, with the only real differences being the reduction of the carbon and oxygen peaks. This is indication that with such a complex material as CZTS, the survey spectra cannot be used alone in determining the cleanliness of a sample, because the changes that take place within the regions of interest are rarely visible in the survey.

In order to more confidently identify secondary phases of CZTS in future analyses, the main Auger features were recorded as well as the CL regions. These are presented alongside their respective CL region and the calculation and use of the Auger parameters for CZTS will be discussed in §6.8.2.

The Cu 2p and Cu LMM regions are shown in Figure 6.22a & b respectively. The Cu 2p region was fitted with two doublets, as discussed in §6.7.1, with the main Cu 2p½ peak at 932.13 eV (red dash) being attributed to Cu⁺ in CZTS. The second peak at 932.79 eV (blue dot) was excluded as originating from the oxide due to the cleaning procedure and the low susceptibility of copper to oxidise in CZTS366. This then raises the question as to the origin of this peak,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element in CZTS</th>
<th>Core-Level Peak</th>
<th>Binding Energy (eV)</th>
<th>Auger Feature</th>
<th>Kinetic Energy (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>2p½</td>
<td>932.13 (1.07)</td>
<td>L₃M₄5M₄5</td>
<td>917.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>932.79 (1.07)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>2p½</td>
<td>1021.80 (1.06)</td>
<td>L₃M₄5M₄5</td>
<td>990.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>3d½</td>
<td>486.26 (0.95)</td>
<td>M₄N₄5N₄5</td>
<td>434.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4d½</td>
<td>25.41 (0.93)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>2p½</td>
<td>161.71 (0.81)</td>
<td>L₂₃M₂₃₂₃</td>
<td>149.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables must have this line

Figure 6.21: XPS survey spectrum for the single crystal CZTS after surface cleaning.
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because without it, a satisfactory fit cannot be achieved for the Cu 2p region. Although the crystal structure would suggest that there is one coordination environment for the copper in CZTS, crystallographically, there are two different copper positions, either in the same plane as tin or zinc. It is known that the copper in the Cu/Zn plane undergoes relatively low enthalpy of formation site-switching with the zinc atoms, and that only element-sensitive neutron diffraction can elucidate this level of switching. This second copper species is therefore tentatively attributed to an amount of disordered copper, occupying a site normally occupied by an element with a higher oxidation state (Zn$^{2+}$), which causes charge transfer around this atom, reducing the nuclear screening and resulting in a higher BE for this environment of copper. It is noted that a corresponding second species is not observed in the Zn 2p region; however, this may be a result of the small shifts seen in Zn 2p peaks. Further work is required in order to fully disseminate the origin of this second species, which could be more confidently assigned through the use of higher resolution SRPES. Further discussion of this is given in §6.9.2. The Cu LMM region demonstrates a sharp peak, and the calculation and discussion of the Auger parameter is given in §6.8.2.

The Sn 3d, Sn 4d and Sn MNN regions are shown in Figure 6.23a, b, & c, respectively. The Sn 4d region was also fitted in order to corroborate the fitting employed in the Sn 3d region because of the overlap with the Zn LMM features and the need for the extraction of this spectrum, as was discussed in §6.7.1. The Sn 3d$^{5/2}$ region was fitted first and then the Sn 3d$^{3/2}$ peaks were constrained, according to the parameters described in §6.4.3; the remaining intensity in this region is then attributed to the Zn LMM features. The single doublet (red dash) was attributed to Sn$^{4+}$ in CZTS and was corroborated by the single doublet in the Sn 4d region. The Sn MNN region demonstrates two main features: a broad, high intensity feature at lower KE associated with M$_3$N$_{45}$N$_{45}$ transitions, and a sharper, low intensity feature at higher KE associated with M$_4$N$_{45}$ transitions. Because it is sharper, this feature is taken as the main Auger peak, and the calculation and discussion of the Auger parameter is given in §6.8.2.

Figure 6.22: XPS a) Cu 2p & b) Cu LMM regions of the single crystal CZTS after surface cleaning. Fitted CZTS peaks shown in red, & extra peaks (blue dot), discussed in the text. Peak envelope shown in black, & Auger peak determination was performed using the x-axis intercept of the differential spectrum, shown in grey.
The Zn 2p and Zn LMM regions are shown in Figure 6.24a & b, respectively. For the clean crystal, this region was fitted with a single doublet (red dash) which was attributed to Zn$^{2+}$ in CZTS. The Zn LMM region was generated by subtracting the Sn 3d features from the fitted spectrum as shown in Figure 6.23a, the position of the L$_3$M$_{45}$M$_{45}$ peak was determined, and the calculation and discussion of the Auger parameter is given in §6.8.2.

The S 2p and S LMM regions are shown in Figure 6.25a & b, respectively. A single, sharp, well resolved S 2p doublet was attributed to S$^2-$ in CZTS. The S LMM region shows a broad peak with a steep background. The position of the L$_{23}$M$_{23}$M$_{23}$ peak was determined, and the calculation and discussion of the Auger parameter is given in §6.8.2.

The analysis of the CL and Auger spectra for clean CZTS can help determine the formation of secondary phases in the future, because should the spectra of a grown thin-film of CZTS differ significantly, then one could conclude that there exist secondary phases within the film which require further investigation. This matter is discussed further in §6.8.2.
Using the SCF determined from §6.5, stoichiometry values were calculated using the areas of the peaks fitted above. These results are given in Table 6.5 for the CZTS crystal both before and after the surface cleaning. Before cleaning, it would appear that the crystal is S-poor, with the metals in near stoichiometric ratios. This differs from the values calculated using EDX\textsuperscript{20}, but is most probably explained by the fact that XPS-derived stoichiometry values are very surface sensitive, and the deviations seen here most likely result from the volatility of sulphur in vacuum. After cleaning, the surface stoichiometry remains S-poor, but is now also Zn-poor and Sn-rich, explained by the higher volatility of zinc in vacuum.

**Comparison to the Literature**

The measured binding energies for the elements of single crystal CZTS presented in Table 6.4 are shown in Figure 6.26 for better comparison to the literature. As can be seen, there is quite a variation between the measured values presented throughout the literature. These discrepancies could be accounted for by the differences in charge referencing methods, but as this is often not reported, compensating for this is not always possible. Homogeneous charging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>After Cleaning</th>
<th>Before Cleaning</th>
<th>Ideal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu at%</td>
<td>25.11</td>
<td>27.26</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn at%</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>14.49</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn at%</td>
<td>17.81</td>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S at%</td>
<td>45.03</td>
<td>43.84</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu/(Zn+Sn)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn/Sn</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/(Cu+Zn+Sn)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{20} See Table 6.2.
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of the sample, or a shift in Fermi-level, would cause all of the measured binding energies to shift by a similar amount; however, aligning the reported binding energies did not generate full agreement between the values shown in Figure 6.26 either. This does not mean that there is no agreement between the spectra, as BE values can differ between samples for many reasons such as: a lack of, or unrepresentative peak fitting; charge transfer due to differing growth methods or post growth changes; the presence of secondary phases or contamination; and poor quality data. It is therefore prudent to analyse each report on its own merit.

XPS analyses are limited if they fail to quote the measured BE or present the spectra. Dhakal et al. reported the use of XPS alongside other common characterisation techniques in order to exclude the presence of secondary phases at the interface of a thin-film of CZTS and CdS; however, with no spectra shown or binding energies quoted, this analysis cannot be assessed and the conclusions are therefore compromised. Ni et al. reported the growth of CZTS thin-films which were sulphurised using a novel sulphur agent, and XPS was reported to be used to determine the stoichiometry, which without the spectra being presented, must be interpreted.

Figure 6.26: Comparison between the XPS BE measured in this study for the clean single crystal CZTS, & those reported previously in the literature for CZTS. Literature references are given on the bottom x-axis, & the quoted charge referencing method employed in the corresponding study are given on the top x-axis. See text for discussion of the agreements & more details.
with caution, leading to the conclusions about the stoichiometry being questioned, which dictated further experiment in that study.

Survey spectra are vital for initially assessing the quality and cleanliness of a sample, but in-depth analysis can rarely be performed from them because of the sacrifice in resolution, yet conclusions have sometimes been drawn from analysis of only the survey spectra. Kim et al.\textsuperscript{680} used XPS in analysing the surface stoichiometry of CZTS thin-films, contrasting the results to bulk stoichiometry measurements using EDX. Differences in the stoichiometry were attributed to different levels of oxidation, but because this analysis was taken from the survey spectra, and no fitting or binding energies were reported, it cannot be determined what chemical environment the oxygen is in.

The pitfall of not fitting peaks to data is common throughout the literature, and a secondary phase or contamination, which is shifted by only a small amount or is low in intensity, may be overlooked. Harel et al.\textsuperscript{681} recorded high quality data from the CL and VB regions of samples of CZTS and discussed at length the compositional differences observed. They further discuss BE shifts and FWHM changes of the peaks between different compositions and conclude that different chemical environments are present for both sulphur and tin. However, because no fitting was performed or binding energies quoted, these extra species could not be assigned or quantified, weakening the conclusions.

Despite this, the majority of XPS studies at least quote the binding energies found, and some of these are in agreement with the values measured in this study, when taking some correction factor into account. Although peak fitting was only applied in the known overlap regions\textsuperscript{pp}, and not to the Cu 2p or Zn 2p regions, the BE reported by Hurtado et al.\textsuperscript{649} are in agreement with those presented here. Danilson et al.\textsuperscript{668} studied monograin powders of the mixed sulphur-selenium compound, and although peak fitting was only applied for the S 2p/Se 3p overlap region, the determined BE are in agreement with those measured here, suggesting that the BE for the mixed compound are similar to those for the pure sulphide. Electrochemically deposited thin-films of CZTS were studied by Pawar et al.\textsuperscript{632}, and polycrystalline bulk material was grown by Das et al.\textsuperscript{664}, who both found BE that were in agreement with the values measured here, once they were systematically shifted in order to account for presumable charging.

Some other quoted BE are in agreement with those presented in this study, but are not in universal agreement across the elements. This is believed to be due either to poor quality data, or to a lack of peak fitting, which would reveal the presence of extra species, whether from secondary phases or contamination. This is difficult to assess, but in some cases, the general shape of the spectra allows it. Cao & Shen\textsuperscript{682} and Wang et al.\textsuperscript{683} studied nanoparticles of CZTS, and although the BE agree somewhat with the values measured here, there are obvious shoulders on the Cu 2p, Sn 3d, and S 2p peaks, suggesting the presence of secondary phases

\textsuperscript{pp} S 2p & Sn 3d/Zn LMM.
or contamination, which is not addressed in the analysis. Similar situations exist with the spectra presented by: Riha et al., Tian et al., Zou et al. and Jiang et al., where the presented Sn 3d peaks are very wide and have obvious shoulders even though no fitting was performed. Choi et al., Xu et al., Yu et al. and Liu et al., who presented very noisy Cu 2p data, which showed the presence of shoulder peaks, as well as the satellite structure associated with copper oxide, without any acknowledgement of these features in the discussion. Jiang et al., Rajeshmon et al. and Zhang et al., who presented noisy data with poor resolution, possibly obscuring the presence of multiple species within the spectra. Moholkar et al., who presented spectra in which obvious shoulders and secondary peaks developed throughout an annealing process, but were attributed in a confused discussion of the spectra to ‘broadening’ of the peaks due to the annealing. Calderón et al., who presented binding energies in agreement with those measured here, excepting Zn 2p3/2, on which there is a shoulder toward higher binding energies.

Because of the small spin orbit splitting for the S 2p doublet, measurements not utilising monochromated radiation, or with poor resolution are usually unable to visibly resolve the splitting of these peaks, and as such, the enveloping S 2p BE is quoted over the more assessable S 2p3/2 peak BE. Such is the case with reports from Baryshev et al. and Rajeshmon et al., and is thought to be one of the reasons for the discrepancies in the binding energies. Other differences arise where fitting has been performed inexpertly, evidenced from the unphysical and implausible fitting constraints employed; for example Gordillo et al. used a S 2p spin-orbit splitting value of 0.9 eV and vastly different FWHM between the doublet peaks, or Mali et al., who synthesised nanoflakes of CZTS by the SILAR method and presented high quality XPS data, which was hindered by poor fitting that included the use of enveloping S 2p peaks rather than resolving them into their components, and also by fitting a background which did not correspond to the baseline. Other problems arise when extra peaks are sometime foisted as spectral artefacts, such as a report by Surgina et al., who used SRPES to measure CZTS grown by PLD and found two Sn 3d doublets using a good fitting model, but then attributed the second doublet to “so-called ‘final-state’ effects” with no further explanation or citation.

The formation of contamination or secondary phases can be easily overlooked as is shown above, and cause potential problems either later in the characterisation, or in future growth of materials, led by these analyses. Furthermore, it is posited that several reports of ‘phase-pure’ CZTS synthesis are not so, which could cause further problems with citations of these reports. Nevertheless, it is promising to review several reports that acknowledge the potential influences of secondary phases or contamination, measured by XPS. K. G. et al. measured the XPS depth profile of thin-films of CZTS grown by spray pyrolysis and found that signal from oxygen and carbon was present throughout the depth profile, which they acknowledged was a consequence of the growth method. Shin et al. and Suryawanshi et al. determined

---

98 1.20 eV.
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the presence of Cu$_2$S and SnS$_2$ within a thin-film of CZTS using XRD and XPS, finding the Cu 2$p_{3/2}$ peak from Cu$_2$S to occur at higher BE than CZTS and the Sn 3$d_{5/2}$ peak to also occur at higher BE than CZTS. However, this analysis is questioned somewhat because the peak fittings are not shown and the enveloping data does not fully resolve the components, with only shoulders visible. Zou et al. acknowledged that ZnS could be a possible secondary phase in their CZTS nanocrystals and measured a reference sample of ZnS, comparing it to their Zn 2$p$ spectrum from CZTS to rule out the formation of this secondary phase. This approach is similar to that employed here and its usefulness shall be assessed in §6.8.3.

Presumably presented in good faith, it is common to read reports that utilised XPS, yet drew conclusions which are unfounded. This usually is the case where XPS is used as a secondary measurement and is not the main focus of the report; nevertheless, it is important to present accurate data and interpretations thereof, so that meaningful conclusions can be drawn. Sometimes, spectral regions are clipped too narrowly, meaning that the full peak shape cannot be visualised and assessed, or the quoted BE values do not correspond to those displayed on the spectra. Other issues arise with stating experimental details copied verbatim from reports of other research groups, which may not represent the actual experimental details, leading to data that cannot be critically assessed later.

One common discussion matter found in analyses is the confirmation of oxidation states within a material from the agreement of the measured BE with a literature value. These citations are usually from other characterisations of CZTS, but sometimes are from completely different materials that happen to have the same elements in the same oxidation state. This is not always a justified analysis and will be further addressed in §6.8.2. Another unjustified analysis, which is related to this, is when it is stated that the oxidation state is confirmed by the spin-orbit splitting value of a doublet, and sadly, this is rife in the literature of CZTS.

The binding energies reported by Xie et al. are in agreement with the values presented here, despite no peak fitting being presented, and the fact that the survey showed large quantities of surface contamination in the form of carbon, oxygen and sodium, which were not addressed. Also, the resolution of this study is very poor, with very wide peaks, which could mask intensity arising from secondary phases. What is most troubling however, is that these spectra are replicated from a past article from Xie et al., with no acknowledgment of the previous work, in which, different binding energies were reported. A similar situation exists with a report from Tiong et al., who presented XPS data from CZTS nanocrystals that is of poor quality, and possibly obscures the identification of secondary peaks. They then state that the measured binding energies are in agreement with the literature and cite a previous report by the same authors, which replicates the same data.

Despite these weaknesses in the literature, the agreements are promising for the results presented here, as they show that the BE values measured from the single crystal are comparable to those measured from thin-films or other forms, and can therefore be used as
standards. It is clear, given the scattered nature of the binding energies in Figure 6.26, that it is necessary to utilise a more robust measurement for analysing XPS data that is not susceptible to charging or other effects which can shift binding energies. That is, the Auger parameter, which is analysed in the case for CZTS in §6.8.2.

6.7.3 Density of States Analysis & Natural Band Alignment

One powerful application of PES that is underused is its ability to give a semi-direct representation of the VBDoS of a material, which is beneficial for material studies. Furthermore, it can provide experimental corroboration of theoretically calculated density of states, and also help in the determination of the VBM position.

PES Spectra

The VB spectrum of the clean CZTS crystal, obtained from XPS, is shown in Figure 6.27 along with the theoretically calculated pDoS curves. A Shirley background was subtracted from the XPS spectra (green open circles) and the pDoS curves were corrected using the parameters listed in Appendix C, §C.3. The total DoS curve (black line, grey shading) was obtained by summing the individual corrected pDoS curves, and is also shown in order to compare it with the XPS spectrum.

The theoretical DoS curves were aligned to the leading edge of the VB spectra and it was found that the top of the VB (I) is reproduced excellently by the calculations. Beyond this edge, the agreement is less satisfactory, but is still good, with all features present and accountable for with the correct intensity ratios. The bottom of the first VB (II, IV), and a feature at the very bottom of the VB region (V) are at lower binding energies in the XPS spectrum, because of final-state relaxation effects in XPS, which are known to shift features higher in BE closer to the valence band edge\textsuperscript{178}. Feature III is mainly due to Zn $d$ states and is found at higher energy in the XPS spectra than the DoS. This is thought to be because the level

![Figure 6.27: Simulated & measured XPS VB spectra for CZTS with respect to the Fermi-level at 0 eV. Background subtracted XPS data is compared with broadened & corrected partial DoS curves. Green data are from XPS & the black curve with grey shading is the total summed DoS.](image)
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of DFT used here has incorrectly calculated the BE of the somewhat localised Zn 3$d$ states. Indeed, previous studies comparing lower levels of theory show how the Zn $d$ states were originally calculated with even lower BE, increasing when utilising higher levels of theory\(^{521}\).

The combination of XPS/UPS and IPES allows the determinations of the values of IP, WF, EA, and $E_g$ using the methods described in §2.3.4. The linear extrapolation fittings of the SEC, VBM and CBM from XPS and IPES are shown in Figure 6.28. The leading edges of the SEC and VBM are clear, so the linear extrapolation of these to the baseline caused no problems with regards to finding the linear region to fit to. However, also shown in Figure 6.28 is the broadened DoS curve (grey shading), which was fitted to the leading edge of the XPS spectrum and the unbroadened DoS (green shading), which was shifted by the same amount. Historical development of the use of XPS for performing band offset measurements led to the lauding of a technique for determining the VBM that was more precise than the linear extrapolation method. Proposed by Kraut et al.\(^{183}\), this involves the fitting of theoretically calculated density of states to the leading edge of the VB spectra and using the onset of the DoS as the true position of the VBM. This method then negates any effects which arise due to instrumental broadening that can shift the onset of the leading edge of experimental spectra. The necessity for accurate DoS calculations confined this technique to the realm of simple semiconductors and surface science, with the linear extrapolation technique taking precedence with regards to grown thin-film heterojunctions. The relative benefits and drawbacks for these VBM determination techniques are discussed further in §7.2.2, and how they apply to different spectra with varying degrees of accuracy. In Figure 6.28, one can see that the linear extrapolation technique and DoS onset technique result in different values for the VBM to Fermi-level separation: 0.30 eV and 0.60 eV, respectively. Therefore, the value found from the onset of the aligned DoS shall be the one used in the remainder of this analysis.

IPES is a lower resolution technique than XPS and confidence in fitting the linear extrapolation of the leading edge is less certain. Nevertheless, a linear portion was assessable and this was fitted. It may be the case that it is necessary to perform a DoS fitting to the CB spectra

![Figure 6.28: VBM, SEC, & CBM fittings for the clean single crystal CZTS from XPS & IPES data. Band levels were determined as described in the text using linear extrapolations & fitting the DoS curve.](image)
measured by IPES in order to determine the true position of the CBM; however, this was not performed as the broadening criteria and cross sections are unknown with regards to IPES.

The VB region measured using UPS is shown in Figure 6.29 along with the theoretically calculated pDoS curves. Here, the pDoS curves were corrected for experimental comparison using the parameters listed in Appendix C, §C.3. The total DoS curve (black line, grey shading) was obtained by summing the individual corrected pDoS curves, and is also shown in order to compare it with the UPS spectrum. Because of the correction factor that was necessary for determining the VBM position from XPS, a similar correction will be necessary here as well, so the corrected DoS curves were aligned to the leading edge of the UPS spectra and it was found that the linear extrapolation method and the DoS alignment method yielded values for the VBM to Fermi-level separation of 0.54 eV and 0.70 eV, respectively. Again, the difference here shows the necessity of performing this correction, and the aligned DoS value is used later.

It is noted that in Figure 6.29, the UPS spectrum is shown without a background subtracted from it. This is due to the complexity of backgrounds for UPS spectra in general, coupled with the complex nature of the CZTS spectrum. The Shirley background type for XPS core-level peaks fails somewhat when used for UPS spectra because of the rising background and multiple features within the UPS spectrum. A different background function, proposed by Li et al., has seen success when applied to UPS spectra; however, this background function requires that the start and end points be free from intensity due to spectral features, and therefore cannot be used for the CZTS spectrum because there is no such region, given the photon energy used here. Nevertheless, whatever the true nature of the background for this spectrum, the leading edge and determined VBM position will be affected minimally by this choice, because the background remains low until a significant onset of states.

Figure 6.29: Simulated & measured UPS VB spectra for CZTS with respect to the Fermi-level at 0 eV. UPS data is compared with broadened & corrected partial DoS curves. Green data are from UPS & the black curve with grey shading is the total summed DoS. Part labels are discussed & referred to throughout the text.
Regardless of these problems, one can still analyse the features of the spectra. They are much the same as those in the XPS spectrum shown in Figure 6.27, with the differences in cross section for different photon energies causing the differences in relative intensities. The top of the VB (I), and other features occurring in the VB match in BE between the peaks of the UPS spectrum and the pDoS curves (II, IV). Similar to the XPS spectrum, feature III appears at higher BE in the UPS spectrum than the corresponding feature in the calculated DoS, showing that the Zn 3d orbital had an incorrectly calculated BE. Comparing the relative intensities of the DoS features with the spectral features in relation to a rising baseline, they are in agreement.

**Natural Band Alignments**

The extracted band positions from the fittings described above are listed in Table 6.6. First, it must be acknowledged that because of the error associated with the fitting of the IPES spectrum, discussed in the previous section, the actual separation between the CBM and Fermi-level is believed to be greater than that estimated from the linear extrapolation used here, which would result in a lower value of EA, and a larger bandgap, bringing the measured values much closer to the accepted bandgap for CZTS of ~1.5 eV\(^{109}\).

Given that both sets of values in Table 6.6 use the same IPES spectrum to determine the CBM position, it is differences in the XPS and UPS spectra which generate the differences between the band position values. The differences between the XPS and UPS spectra are not vast, and given the error associated with the method used for determining the VBM position, one could argue that the VBM to Fermi-level separation between the techniques are in agreement. The value of the WF is independent of these fittings however, being based upon the fitting of the SEC and the spectrometer calibration. In this case, the WF from UPS was found to be lower than that of XPS, explainable because UPS is a much more surface sensitive technique, making it much more susceptible to the slight amount of contamination remaining on the crystal, which is known to reduce the WF\(^{606,702}\). Therefore, for these reasons, it is concluded that XPS is a better technique to use when dealing with samples which cannot be cleaned to UHV standard, as it probes more of the bulk and is less sensitive to contamination.

The values given in Table 6.6 are shown for comparison to literature values in Figure 6.30a. The natural band positions of CZTS are very rarely reported, and even more rarely by photoemission techniques. Much more often quoted are the CBO or VBO in relation to a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>IP (eV)</th>
<th>WF (eV)</th>
<th>EA (eV)</th>
<th>VBM–E(_f) (eV)</th>
<th>CBM–E(_f) (eV)</th>
<th>(E_g) (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XPS/IPES</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPS/IPES</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.6: Ionisation potential (IP), work function (WF), electron affinity (EA), band-edge to Fermi-level separations, & bandgap values for the clean single crystal CZTS from XPS/UPS & IPES measurements.
constructed heterojunction, and whilst this is important, it is dependent upon the growth conditions. Instead, analysis of the natural band positions can act as a starting point, allowing predictions to be made about the behaviour of a junction before it is constructed. The bandgap values measured in this study are lower than other reports, and this is due to the position of the CBM being underestimated in this study, as discussed above. Despite this, the band levels measured in this study are in general agreement with the literature presented in Figure 6.30, especially with regards to values of IP, both experimental and theoretical\textsuperscript{535,661,680,697,703–705}, including previous work of the author, which measured the band levels of thin-films of CZTS grown by CBD\textsuperscript{118}. Disagreement between the values measured here and the literature can be explained by Olopade et al.\textsuperscript{704} using simulation parameters whose origin was not explained, or Kida et al.\textsuperscript{705} measuring nanocrystals of CZTS, which were shown to display higher band levels than bulk CZTS. Much more often measured, the bandgap of a sample of CZTS is almost universally quoted throughout the literature\textsuperscript{a}, and is found to have an average value of \(\sim 1.50\) eV across experimental and theoretical techniques.

\textsuperscript{a} Shown in Figure 6.30b.

Figure 6.30: Literature band levels and bandgaps of CZTS. a) Comparison between the electronic band levels & bandgaps measured in this study, & those reported previously in the literature for CZTS. Literature references are given on the bottom x-axis\textsuperscript{118,535,661,680,697,703–705}, with the corresponding experimental techniques used on the top x-axis. Semi-transparent data are from UPS. b) Literature bandgap values for CZTS, by different methods, shown on the x-axis\textsuperscript{26,115,122,307,393,404,521,522,525,527,533,535,540,541,543,545,546,549,583,600,606,683,685,689–692,694–696,698,700,716,722,744,809–816}. Measurements of the same value are displaced on the x-axis.
It is now practical to assess the natural band positions of CZTS with comparison to other common solar cell materials. This is shown in Figure 6.31, having taken the standard value of 1.5 eV for the bandgap of CZTS. In the previous chapters of this thesis, band alignment diagrams have been presented with values of CZTS taken from the literature. There, the values showed the bands for CZTS to be almost in agreement with those of CdTe and CIGS, leading to the view that in terms of band alignment, CZTS should behave similarly to these other absorbers. This is also the reason why CdS was chosen as a window layer for CZTS, because of the success seen with CdTe/CdS and CIGS/CdS solar cells. This band alignment proliferated through theoretical studies involving CZTS and supported the view that CZTS is similar to CIGS or CdTe. However, the results presented here, which are shown to be in agreement with experimental literature on the band levels of CZTS, suggest that the alignment of CZTS and CdS is perhaps, somewhat unfavourable.

From Figure 6.31, it can be seen that the CB of CZTS lies above the CB of CdS, resulting in a negative CBO of -0.50 eV when considering the bandgap value of 1.50 eV, and still -0.25 eV when using the data from IPES (dash line). This is unfavourable for solar cell heterojunctions, where it forms a ‘cliff-like’ configuration, leading to increased recombination at the interface and a low $V_{OC}$. The CBO found in this study is shown for comparison to the literature reports of band alignments of CZTS and various window layers, using a variety of techniques in Figure 6.32. It has been suggested that an optimal solar cell heterojunction will have a positive CBO less than 0.4 eV, and this ideal region is also shown in Figure 6.32 (green shading). With the exception of a single experimental and theoretical study, the CBO for CdS/CZTS is consistently determined to be negative throughout a variety of experimental and theoretical techniques (red circles).

Consequently, it has been suggested that this misalignment is one reason why CZTS has not achieved better efficiencies. This, coupled with the environmental aspects of the use of...
Cadmium in a solar cell where the absorber was developed in part to overcome the negative aspects associated with CdTe, has led to alternative window layers being studied. ZnO and ZnS are commonly used semiconductors for other applications and were shown to give a positive CBO when interfaced with CZTS (blue & teal circles, respectively); however, the CBO is judged to be too large, forming a barrier against electron extraction\textsuperscript{25,709,711,712}. In\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} has been praised as a potential window layer\textsuperscript{713}, and has been found to be successful with CIGS cells\textsuperscript{714}. Band alignment studies of In\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}/CZTS shown in Figure 6.32 (brown circles) would suggest that success could be found here too; however, this brings about the problems associated with the scarcity of indium\textsuperscript{68}. With ZnS producing too large a positive CBO, and CdS producing too large a negative CBO with CZTS, it was thought that by mixing these two compounds, band level tuning could result in a favourable CBO using a (Zn,Cd)S/CZTS junction. Although this was shown experimentally to be favourable (red/teal circles), it still has the associated problems with utilising cadmium. Indeed, the record efficiency for CZTS solar cells is held by a device utilising (Zn,Cd)S as a window layer\textsuperscript{553}, but the record efficiency device using the mixed sulphur/selenium compound, higher than the pure sulphide, uses a CdS window layer\textsuperscript{550}, again suggesting that the CdS/CZTS junction is less favourable than the CdS/CZTSSe junction, because the CB of CZTSe has been shown to be lower than CZTS\textsuperscript{33,710,715,716}. Further research is therefore needed to identify a more suitable, earth-abundant, non-toxic window layer for CZTS, but the natural band levels shown in Figure 6.30 & Figure 6.31 should provide good grounding for this.

\textsuperscript{68} See §1.4.
Density of States Analysis

The band structure of CZTS is shown in Figure 6.33, with the projected total DoS also shown. From this, the direct bandgap of CZTS was found to be 1.47 eV at the gamma point, in agreement with previous studies of the band structure\textsuperscript{521,540}, and the commonly used value of 1.50 eV. The direct nature of the bandgap means that this should be representative of the photoemission measurements, as they were performed under normal emission, and the band edge measurements should therefore reproduce the states at the gamma point.

The element- and orbital-separated pDoS curves for CZTS are shown in Figure 6.34. In the DoS shown in Figure 6.27 & Figure 6.29, which were corrected for comparison with PES spectra, the Cu $d$ and Zn $d$ states dominate because of the larger photoionisation cross sections for $d$-orbitals\textsuperscript{180}, with contributions from the other orbitals hardly visible. Without these corrections, the top of the VB (I, II, III) is still dominated by Cu $d$ states, and the Zn $d$ states are dominant below the VB (IV), located around -9 eV. Because of the four elemental components of CZTS, it is important to study the role of each. Thus, the zoomed region of Figure 6.34 is also shown in order to determine the contribution from lower intensity orbitals.

The Zn $d$ states in the VB (IV) are somewhat localised and contribute only slight hybridised states with the S $p$ orbitals, around -3.5 eV (V). Most of the top of the VB consists of Cu $d$ and S $p$ states, which are split into three distinct regions (I, II, III), and arise from the tetrahedral Cu-S bonding units in CZTS. Here, the crystal field splits the Cu $d$ states into a non-bonding $e_g$ doublet, and a $t_{2g}$ triplet, which is able to bond with the S $p$ states\textsuperscript{307,521,540}. This is reflected in the pDoS, where there is a large central feature (I) consisting of the non-bonding $e_g$ Cu $d$ states, and on either side are two weaker features (II, III), representing the Cu $d$ $t_{2g}$ orbitals hybridised with S $p$ orbitals. Beneath the majority Cu $d$/S $p$ states is a slight contribution to the VBM from Cu $p$/S $p$ bonding orbitals (VI), with the corresponding antibonding orbitals situated high in the CB (VII). The final region of semi-localised states is that from S $s$ around -14.5 eV (VIII), too tightly bound to interact, except with Sn $s$ states, which also have a high BE, and being unoccupied in the Sn$^{4+}$ oxidation state, result in a small amount of intensity.

![Figure 6.33: Calculated band structure of CZTS, with valence states in red & conduction states in blue. The structure is aligned to coincide the highest valence state to 0 eV. The corresponding integrated density of states is shown to the right, & the location of the direct band gap is marked.](image)
from S $s$ states in the CB (IX) because of the Sn $s$/$S$ $s$ antibonding states found there. The remainder of Sn $s$ states are found to be hybridised with S $p$ orbitals, with the bonding states overlapping the Zn $d$ states (X), and the antibonding states constituting most of the CBM (XI). The lower tail of the first VB is comprised of a significant portion of Zn $s$/$S$ $p$ bonding states (XII), with the corresponding antibonding states found in the CB (XIII) around 4 eV.

The unoccupied states are split into two distinct bands. The first (XI), consisting mostly of antibonding Sn $s$/$S$ $p$ states as described above, is separated from the rest of the CB (XIII), which has a mixed contribution from antibonding Sn $p$/$S$ $p$, Cu $s$/$S$ $p$, and Zn $p$/$S$ $p$ states, but also some contributions from other orbitals. The bonding states from Sn $p$/$S$ $p$, Cu $s$/$S$ $p$, and Zn $p$/$S$ $p$ are located deeper in the VB (XIV, V).

The DoS for CZTS shown here is in general agreement with previous calculations in the literature, including the nature of the VBM and CBM$^{275,307,524,525,544,715,717}$, where the bonding here is likened to that in CIGS$^{304,521,540}$. Experimental corroborations exist with Bär et al.$^{275,718}$, who compared the DoS to XES and XAS spectra and Xiao et al.$^{507}$, who compared the overall shape of the DoS to the XPS VB spectra, and there they reported that the Zn $d$/$S$ $p$ antibonding states (V) were a cause of the VBM level through p-d repulsion$^{311}$. However, as these states do not contribute much to the VB, it is not certain to the extent of this repulsion, with Mitzi et al.$^{524}$, Zhang et al.$^{307}$, and Zhao & Persson$^{544}$ claiming that Zn plays no role with regards to the level of the VBM or CBM. The results presented here are the first time that the DoS curves have been experimentally corrected for better comparison and corroborations, and the
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Having analysed the measurements of the single crystal CZTS and the possible binary secondary phases, it is now necessary to compare the spectra in order to assess the possibility of using each technique to determine each secondary phase and also whether there exist any remaining ambiguities in the analysis.

6.8.1 Structural Analysis Techniques

As discussed in §6.3.1, the problems associated with using XRD to unambiguously determine phase-pure CZTS is not possible and RS should be used in conjunction to help determine this.

With regards to XRD, studies of CZTS are usually compared against previous results without assessing how the possibility of secondary phase formation would alter the observed spectrum, if at all. Theoretically calculated diffraction patterns for CZTS and the possible secondary phases are shown in Figure 6.35\textsuperscript{uu}. The kesterite CZTS structure is derived from the sphalerite structure of ZnS, and for this reason, the main peaks associated with CZTS overlap with those of ZnS, which makes the identification of ZnS in CZTS near-impossible with lab-based XRD. A similar situation exists with CTS, which is also believed to be sphalerite-derived, meaning

\[ \text{Cu}^+, \text{Zn}^{2+}, \text{Sn}^{4+}, \text{S}^{2-}. \]

\[ \text{uu} \] With the calculated pattern data shown in Appendix D, §D.3.1.
the same situation exists. Conversely, CZTS may be identified using XRD, as there are many more identifiable peaks from CZTS, due to the broken symmetry, because of the extra elements. These extra peaks however, have small structure factors and are therefore not very intense in the spectrum. This makes their detection difficult in a sample displaying widened peaks because of poorer crystallinity, and therefore means that they are not significant above the background.

The other possible secondary phases shown in Figure 6.35 are identifiable by XRD within CZTS because of at least one characteristic peak which is not overlapped by those from CZTS. This is particularly the case for the tin sulphides, which all have strong peaks at lower angles. The copper sulphides also have peaks that are not overlapped by those from CZTS; however, because the main peak of Cu₂S is close to one of CZTS, and the other peaks are significantly lower in intensity, this could make identification of Cu₂S difficult by XRD if it existed in low quantities or the crystallinity was poor; likely with a secondary phase. Similarly, the main peak of CuS is between those that nearly overlap with peaks from CZTS, and as such, may not be distinguishable from the background.

In summary, the use of XRD alone could identify the presence of CZTS and tin sulphide secondary phases; however, the determination of phase-pure CZTS is not possible because of the direct overlap of peaks from ZnS and CTS, also rendering their identification not possible, and the multiple peaks of the copper sulphides, some of which overlapping with those of CZTS, makes their detection not impossible, but difficult.
The Raman peaks from the measurements of the CZTS crystal presented in Figure 6.17 are summarised and compared to standard literature values for the spectra of the secondary phases in Figure 6.36. The most obvious differences between the spectra presented here are that several different wavelengths are used for the excitation. This selection is sometimes dictated by the use of quasi-resonant Raman scattering, whereby the peaks become more intense when an excitation wavelength is used that corresponds to the bandgap of the material under study; however, it is sometimes the case that the selection of excitation wavelength is restricted by available equipment.

No peaks are shown for Cu$_2$S because it is believed that none of the modes are Raman active, judging by the broad, featureless spectrum observed by Wang et al., and as such, this secondary phase is undetectable by RS. In terms of peak locations, Figure 6.36 would suggest that the identification of CuS or the tin sulphides would be possible using Raman spectroscopy, but that the identification of ZnS and CTS would be difficult. Whilst the identification of CTS would indeed appear to be problematic, the identification of ZnS using RS is relieved somewhat if one utilises quasi-resonant Raman scattering, because the bandgaps of CZTS and ZnS are significantly different. On account of this, the signal from ZnS should appear resonant with the use of shorter wavelength excitations and with a comparison to longer wavelength measurements, one should be able to determine the presence of ZnS within a CZTS sample.

![Figure 6.36: Comparison of the peaks from Raman spectra of a) sphalerite (SP) ZnS, b) herzenbergite (HZ) SnS, c) berndtite (BD) SnS$_2$, d) ottemannite (OT) Sn$_2$S$_3$, e) covellite (CV) CuS, f) chalcocite (ChC) Cu$_2$S, g) CTS; & h) kesterite (KS) CZTS. The colours determine the excitation wavelength used in that study.](image)

$^{\text{viv}}$~1.5 eV & 3.8 eV, respectively.
Therefore, the use of a single excitation wavelength of Raman spectroscopy is not sufficient to determine phase-pure CZTS because secondary phases may produce much less intense signal, depending on their amount and also the excitation wavelength used. Whilst it may not be practical, the use of multiple excitation wavelengths is necessary in order to draw the conclusion that phase-pure CZTS is present\(^\text{720}\).

Furthermore, these techniques are limited for secondary phase identification because of the inherent limits of the techniques themselves. First, there is the detection limit associated with them, and the effective detection limit may be lessened by the presence of CZTS, which should constitute the majority of the intensity\(^\text{721}\). Also, physical requirements of the techniques dictate that samples must be crystalline, in order to result in peaks which are narrow enough to be detectable, and with the formation of secondary phases, it is possible that they occur in an amorphous state, rather than being incorporated with the crystal structure\(^\text{121,568,703,722}\).

6.8.2 Core-Level & Auger Photoelectron Spectroscopy

The standard spectra from the CZTS crystal presented in §6.7.2 can act as a starting point for determining whether this technique is suitable for secondary phase identification in CZTS. Therefore, these spectra, and the standard spectra of the possible secondary phases will be compared here and assessed for the possibility of identifying them within a real sample. All of the energies and fitting parameters discussed in this section are detailed in Table 6.7.

**Sulphur**

Although it is usually the most overlooked aspect of the XPS spectra, the S 2\(p\) region can give valuable insight into the presence of secondary phases. A comparison between the S 2\(p\) regions for CZTS and each of the measured secondary phases is presented in Figure 6.37. All of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cation CL BE</th>
<th>FWHM</th>
<th>Cation Auger KE</th>
<th>α'</th>
<th>S 2(p)(_{3/2}) BE</th>
<th>FWHM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuS</td>
<td>932.20</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>917.84</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1850.04</td>
<td>161.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>932.45</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>917.33</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1849.81</td>
<td>161.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₂S</td>
<td>932.48</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>989.37</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1850.29</td>
<td>161.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnS</td>
<td>1022.05</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>989.37</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1849.81</td>
<td>161.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS</td>
<td>485.57</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>435.29</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>920.86</td>
<td>161.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS₂</td>
<td>486.45</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>433.92</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>920.37</td>
<td>161.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn₂S₃</td>
<td>485.82</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>434.84</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>920.66</td>
<td>161.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZTS</td>
<td>932.13</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>990.02</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1849.20</td>
<td>161.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>932.79</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>990.02</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1849.66</td>
<td>161.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1021.80</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>990.02</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>2011.82</td>
<td>161.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>486.26</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>434.19</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>920.45</td>
<td>161.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
compounds show similar spectral shapes, distinguished only by BE shifts, excepting that of CuS, which is different because of the two distinct sulphur environments in this structure.

Although the shifts between the binding energies of the different compounds are small, it is the small spin-orbit splitting of the S 2p region that benefits the analysis of secondary phases. A good-quality, highly crystalline sample of CZTS will demonstrate a sharp, well resolved S 2p doublet, such as seen in Figure 6.37, and therefore, the measurement of an ill-resolved S 2p doublet gives testament to either the poor crystal quality of the sample, which broadens the peaks of the single doublet, else the presence of secondary phases, which even when present in small quantities, will jeopardise the enveloping resolution of this region.

As was shown in Figure 6.25, the S LMM region for the CZTS crystal demonstrated a wide peak, lessening the confidence in its position and making it more difficult to determine the presence of additional phases. Coupled with this, the S LMM region occurs at relatively low KE, and therefore is affected more by the presence of contaminant overlayers, and indeed is rarely visible in survey spectra of samples with contamination\(^{ww}\). For these reasons, the use of the S LMM region and the calculated Auger parameter is not recommended for helping with secondary phase identification.

**Cations**

A comparison of the Cu 2p\(_{3/2}\) and Cu LMM regions between CZTS and the copper sulphides is shown in Figure 6.38a & b, respectively. Despite each of these compounds containing Cu\(^+\), the copper sulphides have higher binding energies than CZTS and could be identified by the presence of a shoulder peak. Then, the difference between the Cu LMM regions of the two

\(^{ww}\) See, for instance Figure 3.3, Figure 3.15, Figure 4.4, & Figure 5.19.
Copper sulphides could allow distinction to be made between the phases. It is noted however, that the presence of oxidised copper could lead to the same result, but this could be checked using the O 1s region.

A comparison of the Zn 2p$_{3/2}$ and Zn LMM regions between CZTS and ZnS is shown in Figure 6.39a & b, respectively. The BE for ZnS is higher than for CZTS and could therefore be identified by the presence of a shoulder peak. The Zn LMM spectra for ZnS and CZTS are also different; however, this does not arise completely from differences between the materials, but also from artefacts from the Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ peaks, which needed to be subtracted from this region.

A comparison of the Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ and Sn MNN regions between CZTS and the tin sulphides is shown in Figure 6.40a & b, respectively. The differences between the spectra for the tin sulphides are discussed at length in Chapter 5. Regarding the CZTS Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ spectrum, it most closely resembles that of SnS$_2$, unsurprising as they both contain only the Sn$^{4+}$ cation. This allows the presence of a compound containing Sn$^{2+}$ to be addressed on BE alone, as the shift is quite large, and will result in a shoulder toward the lower BE side of CZTS. The use of the Sn MNN region will aid in this identification as the four compounds show different Sn MNN...
spectral shapes, not only shifts in KE. Again, the presence of oxidised tin could complicate this analysis, but this could also be checked using the O 1s region.

**Auger Parameter**

One of the biggest difficulties involved with determining secondary peaks within an XPS spectrum is the possibility for variation in the BE of a measured species from the standard value derived from a clean sample. This means that the use of absolute binding energies is often impractical because the value could change because of charging, Fermi-level shifting, or charge transfer effects because of the contacting of a secondary phase with the host material. This can be overcome by using the Auger parameter; introduced in §2.3.4, it combines the positions of the main CL of an element with the corresponding Auger line in such a way as to allow any effects requiring the homogeneous correction of the BE scale to be neglected, so that its value changes only because of chemical state changes. Furthermore, it is more sensitive to chemical state changes than the position of the individual peaks alone, assuming no final state effects are present.

Using the values calculated in the data presented in previous sections, displayed in Table 6.7, along with values taken from the appropriate literature, Wagner plots were drawn for each of the cations of CZTS. Such a figure displays the BE of the main CL, KE of the main Auger line, and the Auger parameter for different compounds, allowing easier identification of chemical species, and trends between them. The Wagner plot for copper is shown in Figure 6.41, containing data measured from CZTS and the copper sulphides, along with literature reports of metallic copper, oxides, sulphides, hydroxides and sulphates. The measured values of the copper Auger parameter for CuS and
Cu₂S are in agreement with those from the literature. Three distinct regions are seen in this figure, which are labelled and associated with the presence of the different oxidation states of copper. Compounds containing Cu²⁺ or Cu⁰ demonstrate a high Auger parameter value greater than 1851 eV and the Cu²⁺ compounds are distinguished from the metallic copper by the higher BE Cu 2p3/2 peak, and also the associated shake-up structure seen in the spectra, present only with the Cu²⁺ oxidation state.

Compounds that demonstrate an Auger parameter value of less than ~1850.5 eV contain the Cu⁺ oxidation state, delineating this group of compounds for more effective determination. Furthermore, between these such compounds, although the binding energies of the CL are very similar, the Auger line KE is variable enough so that the Auger parameter of CuS, Cu₂S, and Cu₂O are discernible. CZTS is represented as two points in Figure 6.41 because of the two distinct copper peaks that were fitted in §6.7.2 and therefore appear to be in conflict with the Auger parameters for Cu₂S and Cu₂O. However, it is thought that in thin-films of CZTS, this level of resolution will not be accessible between the two copper species and therefore spectra found there will be well represented by a single peak, which will have a BE intermediary of the two measured here. This would then result in a copper Auger parameter which would lie in between the two shown in Figure 6.41, therefore allowing the unambiguous measurement of the Auger parameter for each compound, including CZTS.

The Wagner plot for zinc is shown in Figure 6.42, containing data measured from CZTS, ZnS and ZnO, along with literature reports of metallic zinc, oxides, sulphides, hydroxides, carbonates and sulphates. Although the binding energies measured in this study for ZnS and ZnO are higher than those found in the literature, probably because of charging effects in these insulating materials, the measured Auger parameters are in agreement, demonstrating the
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ability of the Auger parameter to determine chemical states, regardless of charging effects. There is a large spread of binding energies throughout the literature for the same materials, which makes the determination of chemical state using BE alone difficult. However, by assessing the Auger parameters, it can be seen that there is distinction between the different compounds. Separated by almost 2 eV, metallic zinc shows a high Auger parameter around 2014 eV, making its identification straightforward. As zinc is only able to take one cationic oxidation state, Zn$^{2+}$, there is only one other region shown in Figure 6.42. Those compounds with generally high binding energies and a resulting Auger parameter of less than 2010 eV are from zinc compounds with polyatomic anions, values between 2010 and 2011 eV from ZnO, and compounds with an Auger parameter between 2011 and 2012 eV are from ZnS. Being most closely related to ZnS, CZTS demonstrates an Auger parameter close to this, but being higher, it is also able to be distinguished.

The Wagner plot for tin is shown in Figure 6.43, containing data measured from CZTS and the tin sulphides, along with literature reports of metallic tin, oxides and sulphides. The measured values of the tin Auger parameter for SnS, SnS$_2$, and Sn$_2$S$_3$ are in general agreement with those from the literature. However, given the large shifts in BE between the oxidation states, and also the other spectral differences which were explored in Chapter 5, it would seem necessary to use the Auger parameter only in order to distinguish between sulphide and oxide, although the O 1s peak can help with this also. CZTS demonstrates an Auger parameter close to that of SnS$_2$, its most closely related tin compound, and without careful analysis of the spectra, could be mistaken.
Chapter 6: The Use of Photoemission Spectroscopies for the Characterisation & Identification of Cu₂ZnSnS₄ & its Secondary Phases

Judging by the expected and measured Auger parameters presented above, it would appear that determination of secondary phases within CZTS using XPS would be somewhat straightforward. Unfortunately, there are aspects of the spectra which complicate the analysis further. First, the determination of the position of the Auger line is clear for a single-phase, clean compound, but for a mixed-phase sample, one of two approaches must be taken. Either, the enveloping Auger line energy must be determined, which will give some indication of the presence of secondary phases, as it will skew the Auger parameter from the expected values. Otherwise, one must attempt to fit the Auger region, by either using standard spectra in a qualitative way, or by using theoretical lineshapes for the Auger regions. This latter method is complex, especially because of the overlap of the Zn LMM region with the Sn 3d₃/₂ peak, which, as the determination of the zinc chemical environments is already one of the most difficult, only compounds the problem.

There are several approaches which are used to overcome the problem of overlapping peaks of interest in XPS spectra; however, they have been shown to be unsuitable for use with CZTS. First, a different CL is chosen to be analysed rather than the main peak, and while this is effective as the same chemical information is contained in each orbital, it is impractical for CZTS because, as can be seen in Figure 6.21, the secondary peaks for each element either have their own overlapping problemsxx, or are significantly lower in intensityyy, meaning that data acquisition times will become excessive. Another method is to use x-rays with a different photon energy, which shifts the Auger features by the difference in photon energy on the BE

---

xx Zn 3p.

yy Cu 3p & Sn 3p.
scale. However, for CZTS, this again is impractical because the use of twin anode sources removes the benefits gained by monochromated x-rays, resulting in poorer resolution and the presence of satellites, which further exacerbates the complexities.

Much work has been conducted on oxides, more so than sulphides, and in the same manner of determining secondary sulphide phases within CZTS, the presence of oxide phases could also be assessed using XPS. Such a method was employed in a study by Bär et al., who used standard Sn MNN spectra of oxides and sulphides in order to assess their presence in CZTS. Beyond this, other studies have mentioned the possible use of the Auger parameter to aid with secondary phase or oxide identification, but none have presented data using it.

Despite these problems, it is still believed that XPS can play a vital role in helping to determine the presence of secondary phases within CZTS, provided that: the spectra are of sufficiently high quality and resolution, it is critically analysed, and also it is used in conjunction with other techniques.

**An Aside on CTS**

Although samples of phase-pure CTS were unavailable for measurement in this study, it is important, as will become clear in §6.9.3, for this possible secondary phase to be analysed to the best ability using reports from the literature. This is difficult as CTS is a newly studied material, and as such, reports of XPS measurements are limited and the growth is non-standardised and inconsistent.

Despite this, because of the similarly derived structure of CTS to CZTS, it is expected that the binding energies from XPS will be very similar, but because CTS contains no zinc, which is arguably the most difficult element to characterise in CZTS, then it is possible that the presence of secondary peaks in the copper and tin spectra could lead to a conclusion that this secondary phase is present.

**6.8.3 Stoichiometry Determination**

Although the use of XPS for stoichiometry determination was presented in §6.7.2, it is necessary to use correction factors, which may not be available in all instances. Also, it remains that stoichiometry from XPS is very surface sensitive and that while surface stoichiometry measurements are sometimes important, it is probably more useful to determine the bulk stoichiometry when growing CZTS and therefore XPS should only be used as a secondary technique, for comparison to results from either EDX or ICP-MS.

**6.8.4 The Effects of Secondary Phase Formation**

Having determined the possibility of secondary phase identification using the above techniques, it is practical to assess the effects of the secondary phases, should they form within
CZTS. The electronic band levels determined using XPS coupled with the known properties of the secondary phases from the literature can help elucidate this.

The values for IP measured for the secondary phases and CZTS are shown, with either literature, or measured values for the bandgaps, in the vacuum-aligned band diagram in Figure 6.44. Also, the main effects of the formation of each phase within CZTS are summarised in Table 6.8, with their detection feasibility using the techniques discussed above.

Altamura & Vidal\textsuperscript{121} composed a similar diagram to Figure 6.44 and the results were found to be in agreement. The natural band offsets shown give some indication to the effect of the secondary phase on the carrier properties of the sample; however, depending on the nature and formation mechanism of the secondary phase, these could give differing alignments. Nevertheless, it would seem that the formation of any and all of these secondary phases would be detrimental to a CZTS cell in some way. The wide-bandgap, insulating nature of ZnS or SnS\textsubscript{2} would hinder carrier extraction, whilst the small bandgap and metallic nature of SnS, CuS, and Cu\textsubscript{2}S could lead to shunting through the cell or unfavourable offsets, which could form recombination centres, or electron blocking layers. Finally, the n-type conductivity of ZnS, SnS\textsubscript{2}, and Sn\textsubscript{3}S\textsubscript{3} could form a second diode in the cell, mitigating its rectifying behaviour.

In summary, the problems associated with secondary phase formation within CZTS are known, and the results presented here are in agreement with what has been observed in the synthesis of this material, and therefore more sophisticated analysis techniques are required to help determine the presence of them. It has been shown that the difficulties associated with XRD and RS can be somewhat remedied when coupled with the use of XPS, because the inherent differences between the techniques can complement and contrast the findings of each. This combination of XRD, RS, and XPS is powerful, but determining the spatial location of such secondary phases, and sub-micron detection still remains a challenge\textsuperscript{568}.

\begin{center}
\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{vacuum-aligned-band-diagram.png}
\caption{Vacuum-aligned band diagram for CZTS in comparison with the secondary phases measured in this study. IP values are from XPS. Bandgap values are taken from Chapter 5 for the tin sulphides, the accepted value of 1.50 eV for CZTS, & the literature for CuS\textsuperscript{880,837}, Cu\textsubscript{2}S\textsuperscript{781,838}, & ZnS\textsuperscript{190,661,662,839}. Differing literature values for the IP of CuS\textsuperscript{880,782} & Cu\textsubscript{2}S\textsuperscript{880,781}, are shown as dashed lines.}
\end{figure}
\end{center}
### Table 6.8: Summary of the properties & effects of secondary phase formation in CZTS, with the efficacy of the analysis techniques to determine that phase. All values derived experimentally in this study unless otherwise stated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Bandgap</th>
<th>Conductivity</th>
<th>Likelihood of Formation</th>
<th>Effective Detection Methods</th>
<th>Ineffective Detection Methods</th>
<th>Impact on Cell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CZTS</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>p-type</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>XRD RS XPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₂S</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>p-type</td>
<td>Phase diagram endpoint</td>
<td>— RS</td>
<td>Shunting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuS</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>hole conduction</td>
<td>Used as a precursor</td>
<td>XPS XRD</td>
<td>Electron barrier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnS</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>n-type</td>
<td>Phase diagram endpoint</td>
<td>XPS RS</td>
<td>Insulating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>p-type</td>
<td>Degradation used as a precursor</td>
<td>XPS RS</td>
<td>Electron barrier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS₂</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>n-type</td>
<td>Phase diagram endpoint</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>Diode Recombination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn₂S₃</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>n-type</td>
<td>Most stable tin sulphide</td>
<td>XPS RS</td>
<td>Electron barrier Diode</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Effects of XRD and RS limited by crystallinity. Use of RS assumes multiple wavelengths. Use of XPS assumes Auger parameter analysis and fitting model applied.*

1. Liu et al. & Marshal & Mitra
2. Li et al. & Kalanur & Seo
3. Ni et al., Fella et al. & Thimsen et al.
5. Quasi-resonant wavelength required.
6. Weber et al.
7. Altamura & Vidal

### 6.9 Induced Changes in CZTS by Means of In Vacuo Annealing

This section now explores the changes that occur to CZTS as a result of surface cleaning for measurement, and also the effect that vacuum and elevated temperatures have upon the material. Both of these are environments which samples of CZTS may be exposed to, as a result of the growth, or during characterisation. Whilst it is assumed that no changes occur during the characterisation of a sample, dynamic processes are real and must be addressed if they are affecting the measured properties.
Several studies have explored the thermodynamics of the reaction processes involved with the growth of CZTS, and because these are not the focus of the present study, the reader is directed to them for more comprehensive analyses\textsuperscript{273,547,643}.

6.9.1 The Effects of Contamination

From the spectra presented in §6.7.1, it was shown that surface contamination was present on the CZTS crystal. Such contamination is inevitable for any sample that has been exposed to atmospheric conditions, and can only be avoided by growing and characterising the sample in situ. Such hydrocarbon contamination is somewhat benign when compared to the effect of carbon present from growth conditions, such as precursors\textsuperscript{635,723}, but the presence of oxides on a sample of CZTS can cause significant problems to device performance\textsuperscript{121,366}.

The hydrocarbon contamination was readily removed after minimal cleaning cycles, but it was also observed that some oxidation of the tin had also occurred, which took further cleaning cycles to remove. The lack of oxidation of the copper, zinc, or sulphur of the CZTS crystal shows that the native oxidation of CZTS is mostly centred on the tin, as has been previously concluded\textsuperscript{366}. This observation has ramifications for future growth of CZTS thin-films, because as phase-pure CZTS should show only the native oxidation of tin, if the other elements show significant levels of oxidation, then it could be indicative that there is some deviation from phase-purity, possibly due to remnant precursor, the formation of secondary phases\textsuperscript{zz}, or that a processing problem has allowed exposure to oxygen during the growth.

6.9.2 Changes in CZTS at $25^\circ{\text{C}} \leq T \leq 300^\circ{\text{C}}$

Whilst it is not the main focus of this study, the Cu/Zn disorder transition in CZTS is the main focus of several current studies using DFT\textsuperscript{611}, optical spectroscopy\textsuperscript{640}, RS\textsuperscript{639} and neutron scattering\textsuperscript{642,677}, with the hope to elucidate the cause and effect of this disorder upon device performance\textsuperscript{552}. Here, the changes in XPS spectra of the CZTS crystal are presented at elevated temperatures, in the hope of gaining some insight into this disorder transition.

In CZTS, there is a low enthalpy of formation for the site-switching of the copper and zinc atoms in plane, which does not exist in the Cu/Sn plane or indeed in CIGS because of the larger chemical mismatch between copper and tin/indium/gallium\textsuperscript{552}, compared with the comparative size of the copper and zinc atoms\textsuperscript{404}. It was then found that the level of disorder present within CZTS is temperature dependent, with a critical temperature around $250^\circ{\text{C}}$, below which, the Cu/Zn plane is mostly ordered, and above which, this plane rapidly becomes disordered\textsuperscript{639,642}. Given this low transition temperature, there are implications for the growth of CZTS, which usually takes place above it, suggesting that the rate and method of cooling for the material is important regarding the reversibility of this transition\textsuperscript{639}. Although it has

\textsuperscript{zz} Which are more susceptible to oxidation.
been shown that this transition results in bandgap changes of up to ~0.3 eV\textsuperscript{552,640}, and that it has been suggested that these antisite defects then result in shallow states which increase the band tailing\textsuperscript{677}, the true effect of this disorder upon cell performance still requires determination\textsuperscript{552}.

In order to induce this transition within the CZTS crystal, PES measurements were performed whilst heating the crystal to a temperature above the known critical temperature, in this case, 300°C, and then allowing the crystal to cool slowly back to room temperature. Full details of the annealing temperatures and times are detailed in Appendix C, §C.5.4. Throughout the anneal, the crystal was monitored by XPS in order to determine any changes which may occur, and the crystal was kept at 300°C for a sufficiently long time\textsuperscript{aaa}, in order to ensure any changes would be observed.

Compared to the clean crystal, no extra species were fitted during the annealing, and the fittings used were the same as described in §6.7.2. This demonstrates that the annealing did not cause any oxidation or reduction of the material. Binding energies and FWHM of the main peaks of CZTS, as well as the position of the bands, at different stages of the annealing process, are shown in Figure 6.45a, b, & c, respectively. The binding energies of all of the peaks and the VBM shift by the same amount between each stage of annealing, suggesting that this is due only to Fermi-level shifting within the bandgap. This, and the relative constancy of the FWHM of the peaks, suggests that no changes have occurred in the level of disorder, because with such a transition, one could expect contrasting shifts, or widening of the peaks from

![Figure 6.45: XPS changes throughout annealing the single crystal CZTS to 300°C. a) Main core-level peak BE & VBM positions. b) Main core-level peak FWHM. c) Band-level positions from XPS (solid lines) & UPS (dashed lines), showing the top of the valence band (red), & bottom of the conduction band (blue).](image)

\textsuperscript{aaa} > 30 hours.
copper and zinc. The shifts in the band levels also do not give evidence to the observation of the transition, because at temperature, the bandgap narrows slightly, as expected$^{211}$, and after cooling back to room temperature, the bands return to a similar position, albeit slightly higher than before, in agreement with the shift in Fermi-level. The disagreement between the band positions derived from XPS and UPS are because of the problems associated with fitting the band edges of this material, which were discussed in §6.7.3.

Figure 6.46a & b shows the XPS stoichiometry values through the annealing, in the form of atomic percentages and the commonly cited ratios of elements within CZTS, respectively. Although the surface stoichiometry from XPS was criticised in §6.8.3, the relative shifts between the different stages of the annealing will be representative of the changes occurring at the surface. From the atomic percentages, it can be seen that the sulphur and tin content remain relatively constant, the copper sees a general increase, and the zinc sees a general decrease, and these trends are reflected in the ratios as well, the crystal surface becomes more copper-rich, and more zinc-poor after the anneal.

It has been reported that the disorder transition is reversible, and the results seen here would suggest that this is not the case, because the observed changes do not revert to the same values as before the annealing took place. It is therefore concluded that although changes to the crystal were induced by the annealing, it cannot be fully assessed as to whether they occurred because of the disorder transition. More probably, the changes observed were caused as a result of the dissociation of zinc from the surface of the crystal, which explains the stoichiometry changes observed and also why the values did not revert to their original values after cooling, as would be expected with observations of the disorder transition. This result further suggests that the reversibility of this disorder transition holds true for atmospheric annealing, but not in vacuo.
### 6.9.3 Degradation of CZTS

The growth of CZTS is performed at relatively high temperatures in vacuum for some methods, and as such, it is worth determining the prolonged effects of temperature under vacuum, in order to gain insights about the degradation experienced by CZTS under these conditions. In order to simulate these conditions on the CZTS crystal, PES measurements were performed whilst heating the crystal to temperatures up to 475°C, and then allowing the crystal to cool slowly back to room temperature. This temperature was chosen because it is known that full decomposition of CZTS occurs at temperatures above 500°C and this was not desired. Full details of the annealing temperatures and times are detailed in Appendix C, §C.5.4.

Several changes took place throughout the annealing, in terms of peak positions and areas. The stoichiometric changes, in terms of atomic percentages of the elements and the common elemental ratios used for CZTS, are shown throughout the annealing in Figure 6.47a & b, respectively, and the corresponding changes in terms of peak positions and FWHM are shown in Figure 6.48a & b, respectively.

Between the clean spectra and that at 360°C, a similar trend is observed to that in §6.9.2: that the crystal experiences a loss of zinc, resulting in a Cu-rich and S-rich surface at 360°C and that the binding energies of all the peaks, including the position of the VBM are shifted higher, demonstrating the continued Fermi-level shifting.

Between 360°C and 475°C, the values are relatively constant, suggesting that at this temperature, some form of equilibrium is reached. On prolonged exposure at 450°C, the surface loses zinc, tin, and sulphur, with a large increase in copper. After cooling, the stoichiometry values continue with these trends, but the BE and FWHM return closer to the values before the annealing. This shows that the stoichiometry changes are due to elemental loss, whereas the widening and shifting of the peaks is reversible. These observations suggest that zinc is lost from CZTS at relatively low temperatures in vacuum, probably because of the

![Figure 6.47: XPS changes throughout annealing the single crystal CZTS to 475°C. a) Atomic percentages of the constituent elements. b) Elemental ratios, shown logarithmically.](image-url)
high vapour pressure of zinc, and at 450°C, CZTS begins to degrade through the loss of zinc, tin, and sulphur, probably via ZnS and SnS. This is in agreement with previous studies which found ZnS to segregate in CZTS\textsuperscript{529}, and that at temperatures above 400°C, tin was lost via SnS\textsuperscript{121,554,614,643}.

Degradation of CZTS was found during the initial cleaning of the crystal in §6.7.1, where a secondary species in the tin spectra formed with high-energy sputtering. Therefore, in order to study the nature of this species, the crystal was deliberately sputtered aggressively, followed by annealing at 450°C. Full details of this can be found in Appendix C, §C.5.4.

The aggressive sputtering caused the immediate removal of all surface contamination, showing that the remnant carbon and oxygen in Figure 6.21 is indeed only present at the surface. XPS regions for Cu 2\textit{p}\textsubscript{3/2}, Zn 2\textit{p}\textsubscript{3/2}, Sn 3\textit{d}\textsubscript{5/2}, Sn 4\textit{d}, and S 2\textit{p} are shown in Figure 6.49a, b, c, d, & e, respectively. In the spectra after sputtering, and after annealing, an extra species appears in the Cu 2\textit{p} and S 2\textit{p} spectra at higher BE (green dots), which increased after the annealing. A corresponding extra species was found in the Sn 3\textit{d}\textsubscript{5/2} spectra at higher BE as well, but there was also evidence of intensity at lower BE (blue dash dot). These extra peaks were corroborated by the Sn 4\textit{d} spectra, which demonstrate the same peak ratios. The Sn peaks at lower BE are attributed to metallic tin, which is in agreement with the literature\textsuperscript{259,470,476,508,509}.

The extra peak at higher BE was originally thought be from SnS, as the degradation mechanism explored above would suggest. However, the formation of SnS from CZTS would require a change in oxidation state of the tin, from Sn\textsuperscript{4+} to Sn\textsuperscript{2+}, and as the extra species of tin now appears at higher BE than CZTS, this would seem implausible. So, given the extra peaks also observed in the copper and sulphur spectra, they were attributed to the formation of CTS.
Annealing the crystal after this sputtering had formed the CTS only caused this species to increase. The higher BE than CZTS for the copper, tin and sulphur in CTS is in agreement with the few studies of this material. Also, studies showed that in the CTS-ZnS binary phase diagram, CTS and CZTS coexist under a solidus at ~850°C, explaining why annealing did not reduce this species.

These findings on the degradation of CZTS after sputtering and annealing in vacuum have consequences for future characterisations. That is, there exists a fine line between the removal of surface contamination, and the induction of structural changes that damage the material, making further characterisation unrepresentative. The results shown here can therefore help in avoiding these problems with thin-films of CZTS, which may suffer from the presence of secondary phases before characterisation.

6.10 Chapter Summary

The electronic structures of single crystal, phase-pure CZTS and its possible secondary phases were studied using PES in order to better understand the underlying electronic properties of these materials, and also in order to assess the viability of using XPS as a technique to help characterise samples of CZTS, which may contain these secondary phases. Also, the use of
XRD and RS for this matter was discussed by comparing and contrasting expected spectra of the secondary phases with measurements of phase-pure CZTS. XPS was then further used to study the behaviour of CZTS in vacuum and at elevated temperatures in order to determine the processes that can occur.

The core-level and Auger spectra for the possible binary secondary phases Cu$_2$S, CuS, ZnS, SnS, SnS$_2$, and Sn$_2$S$_3$ showed large and interesting differences, especially for CuS and the tin sulphides\textsuperscript{bbb}, which allow the spectra of the different phases to be distinguished.

The standard XRD and RS measurements of the single crystal CZTS showed that the commonly used XRD reference spectra lacks the full range of peaks and therefore can lead to misidentification, and the wavelength-dependence of the RS spectra means that peaks which are present at one wavelength but not another, could have led to misidentification in previous analyses, where spectra were compared to a reference that used a different wavelength.

An analysis of the core-level XPS spectra for the single crystal CZTS demonstrated the complexities of these spectra and allowed a critique of the current literature, finding it severely wanting both in terms of quality of data and quality of analysis. However, with careful and pre-informed approaches, the analysis of CZTS using XPS was found to be useful for its ability to determine oxidation states, elemental presence, contaminant effects, surface stoichiometry for comparison to bulk values, and the possibility to help reveal secondary phases.

Corroboration of the theoretical DoS for CZTS with both XPS and UPS valence band measurements allowed a deeper analysis of the band structure and bonding regime in this material, which found that the main contributions to the VB by Cu $d$/S $p$ states are also influenced by states from the other cations, and that the split CB, with the CBM comprised of Sn $s$/S $p$ states, differs somewhat from the often-compared material CIGS. These differences can be attributed to the differing structures of the two materials, involving the use of sulphur over selenium, but more importantly, the use of group II, Zn$^{2+}$ and group IV, Sn$^{4+}$ elements over the group III Ga/In$^{3+}$ in CIGS, and how the different occupancies of the formal oxidation states of these elements affect the bonding nature of the valence and conduction bands.

The fitting of the theoretical DoS to the XPS VB spectra also showed the weaknesses of the linear extrapolation method of VBM determination, at least in the case of CZTS, but then allowed more accurate determination of the VBM. The band level positions determined here, revealed a lower value of IP than was previously assumed for CZTS. This finding, in agreement with other measurements of CZTS thin-films, gives some explanation as to why lower CZTS solar cell efficiencies are found, when using CdS as a window layer. A band misalignment is formed, and this, from both a design and environmental perspective, supports research which envisions to replace CdS with a more suitable candidate.

\textsuperscript{bbb} Explored in Chapter 5.
Assessments of the combined use of XRD, RS, and XPS, in terms of determining either phase-pure CZTS or secondary phases within it, showed the matter to be complex, even with high-quality data and in-depth analysis. Peak overlaps in XRD renders the detection of ZnS and CTS problematic, and the conclusion of phase-pure CZTS a difficult one to draw. RS was shown to be effective in determining the presence of secondary phases with differing bandgaps, through the use of quasi-resonant RS and multiple excitation wavelengths. However, this required prior knowledge of the expected secondary phases within a film, which may not be clear.

The use of XPS was shown to be able to provide some relief from these problems by offering another avenue by which secondary phases can be assessed, but that some caveats are required for the analysis. First, high-quality data must be recorded and a fitting model employed. Second, as the absolute binding energies can be influenced by external factors, the use of the Auger parameter is recommended for the assignment of peaks. Finally, if the measurements warrant surface cleaning, then extreme caution must be applied with regards to the cleaning procedure, because it was shown that the surface of CZTS is delicate in terms of the possibility for induction of unwanted phases.

Measurement of the band levels of CZTS and the secondary phases, along with their known properties, show that formation of any of these phases within CZTS, without exception, can act detrimentally to the performance of a cell, whether through the creation of second diodes, acting to block electron transport, or the formation of recombination centres. Furthermore, the use of XPS was shown to be effective in determining the presence of oxidation and contamination at the surface of CZTS, and analysing the effects they have upon the material.

Whilst it was found that the onset of the disorder transition in CZTS could not be established through the use of XPS and in vacuo annealing, the breakdown mechanism of CZTS through the loss of zinc at lower temperatures, followed by the subsequent loss of tin and sulphur at higher temperatures, show how films are expected to behave in such environments in future growth and analyses.

Overall, with regards to the detection and analysis of secondary phases within CZTS, there are many factors which must be acknowledged, in order to address the issues involved. Here, the merits of three powerful analysis tools have been shown to cooperate, in order to provide a more complete analysis; however, this does not completely address all of the issues. It is therefore vital, considering these problems, that as many techniques as possible are properly implemented, in order to elucidate the presence of secondary phases within CZTS, because it is believed that this is one of the main reasons why this promising, earth-abundant absorber is not achieving the efficiencies needed to progress it along the road to market viability.
Chapter 7: Conclusions & Recommendations for the Future

“Scientists will eventually stop flailing around with solar power and focus their efforts on harnessing the only truly unlimited source of energy on the planet: stupidity. I predict that in the future, scientists will learn how to convert stupidity into clean fuel. Energy companies will place huge hamster wheels outside of convenience stores and offer free lottery tickets to people who spend five minutes running inside the wheels, which will be connected to power generators.”

Scott Adams, ‘Dilbert’ Cartoonist, 2008
In this chapter, the findings throughout this thesis are collated, drawing comparisons and contrasts between not only the materials, but the methods and analysis techniques that were used. Finally, reference is drawn back to the contents of Chapter 1, and suggestions are made for the progression of this work.

### 7.1 Chapter Summaries

Throughout the previous chapters, the electronic structures of the materials: CAS, CBS, SnS, and CZTS have been studied with regards to their suitability for use as PV absorber materials. Unusual crystal structures and bonding regimes have been shown to give rise to properties that may not have been expected for these materials, but have been clarified through the use of photoemission spectroscopy, corroborated by electronic-structure calculations from DFT.

#### 7.1.1 Chapter 3

In Chapter 3, the state of the VB and bandgaps of CAS were compared experimentally and theoretically. In the VB, it was found that the strong Cu \(d\) states obscured Sb \(s\) and \(p\) states at the top of the VB, which is different to the case of CIGS. These extra features cause the low IP, resulting in a band misalignment with CdS. This has been part of the cause of the poor efficiencies so far, where CdS is used because of the analogies drawn between CAS and CIGS, which have now been shown to be unfounded.

A core-level XPS analysis allowed the determination of the two sulphur environments in the crystal structure and has shown the detrimental effect that contamination can have on the material. Previously in the literature, it is believed that misinterpretations have resulted in contaminant species being overlooked. A thorough fitting procedure was shown to allow the proper interpretation of these spectra, which can reveal these extra species.

A thermal treatment applied to the thin-film of CAS, which produces better quality films, had little effect on the electronic structure, but was found to inhibit the formation of oxidation at the surface of the film.

#### 7.1.2 Chapter 4

In Chapter 4, the measured IP of CBS shows that CdS would be unsuitable as a window layer. A comparison between the VB spectrum from XPS and the theoretically calculated DoS gives an explanation for this low IP. Beneath the majority Cu \(d\) states in the VB, bismuth contributes \(p\) and \(s\) states as well, raising the level of the VBM. These arise from the difference in orbital energies and occupancies of CBS when compared to other absorber materials.

The application of a rigorous fitting model for the core-level XPS spectra has shown how the complexities of the spectra for CBS can be overcome, and also has revealed how the previous
literature suffered by these complexities. XPS has therefore shown the detrimental effect that contamination can have on CBS films.

### 7.1.3 Chapter 5

In Chapter 5, the electronic structures of SnS, SnS$_2$, and Sn$_2$S$_3$, derived from XPS measurements and calculations from DFT, were compared, showing agreement between the nature of the DoS and also the positions of the band levels. These show how the other phases of tin sulphide can act detrimentally to solar cell performance, if they were to form in SnS. SnS$_2$ was shown to have favourable band positions for its use as a possible replacement to CdS, but the measured IP of SnS shows that part of the reason why SnS cells have achieved poor efficiencies is because of a band misalignment with CdS, due to the low IP. Compared to SnS$_2$, the different oxidation state of tin in SnS is the reason why the IP is low and extra states are formed by the lone-pair tin electrons, which raise the level of the VBM.

The effects of the surface cleaning and contaminants of the tin sulphides showed different behaviours, demonstrating how it is important to distinguish between them, because of their possible effects on SnS cells. This differentiation can be achieved with XPS, which can be used in future characterisations of these materials, and clarifies some previous confusion in the literature.

### 7.1.4 Chapter 6

In Chapter 6, measurements were made on single crystal CZTS and samples of the possible binary secondary phases, so that they can act as standards, and the efficacy of such characterisations were assessed, with the focus on identifying secondary phases.

Differences in the XPS core-level and Auger spectra between the binary phases and CZTS show that, with complete analysis, this technique can be used to help identify the secondary phases. The complexities of the XPS spectra from CZTS were also addressed, with reference to the current literature and the problems associated therewith. Ultimately, it was shown how XPS can be used with CZTS to determine: oxidation states, the presence and effects of contaminants, surface stoichiometry estimates, and the possible presence of secondary phases. However, the necessity for rigorous analysis and critical interpretation was also highlighted.

Complications in the XRD and RS spectra of CZTS and the binary phases explain the care required when using these techniques to determine phase-purity and identify secondary phases. The XPS analyses of the secondary phases showed that the combination of all of these techniques makes the matter of secondary phase identification in CZTS more accessible. In all cases, the need for high-quality data and analysis is paramount, with some extra aspects also required, which are not always undertaken in standard analyses: measurement of the Auger parameter, and application of a thorough fitting model.
The cleaning of the CZTS crystal for XPS measurements was shown not to be simple, with there being much scope for unintended damage to the material: another factor to be considered in future analyses. However, the presence of oxidation and contaminants were shown to be assessable using XPS, and it was also shown how this complex material can undergo changes as a result of the vacuum environment, high temperatures, or sputtering.

The DoS for CZTS was compared experimentally and theoretically to determine the bonding nature of this material, which is different from the related material CIGS, due to the presence of states from the non-copper cations, producing differences in bonding. This was then related to the measured band levels, showing how CdS is not a suitable material for use with CZTS, because of the low IP. The band levels of the binary phases were also shown to act detrimentally to a CZTS cell.

### 7.2 Analysis Summaries

Throughout the data presented in this thesis, it is clear that the use of PES, with a focus on XPS, is powerfully beneficial when applied to PV absorber materials. It should not however, be concluded that the use of these techniques can provide a replacement to the more standard characterisation techniques that are used. Instead, PES can provide complementary information, and also, through the analysis of the DoS and band levels shown throughout, give a better understanding to the properties of these materials.

#### 7.2.1 XPS Core-Level Summaries

It is clear from the literature reviews presented in each individual chapter that XPS-related problems have been encountered in the past with each of these materials, which have then led to misinterpretations, possibly causing detriment to the materials. Therefore, these problems cannot be ignored, but must be acknowledged and analysed in order to drive forward the versatility of this technique.

In Chapter 3, the main problem associated with the CAS spectra was the overlap of the Sb 3d and O 1s regions. This was shown to be accounted for by the fitting procedure employed in that chapter, which allowed the determination of all of the antimony and oxygen species that could be present within a sample of CAS. The two fitted S 2p doublets, attributed to each of the sulphur sites in the crystal structure of CAS, was unexpected, but the reasoning given shows how confidence in this is founded and should be acknowledged for future measurements of CAS.

In Chapter 4, the main problem associated with the CBS spectra was the overlap of the Bi 4f and S 2p regions. This proved more difficult to fit than the overlapping case for CAS, because the overlapping regions both originated from the material in question and therefore could not be removed. However, reasonable success was achieved by using the fitting procedure
described in that chapter. The XPS literature of CBS was then shown to suffer from a lack of fitting in this region and it is stressed how important this is, so that all present species can be identified and accounted for.

In Chapter 5, the oxidation state of the tin was identifiable for the different tin sulphides, through a large shift in BE. However, including the possibility of oxidation of the tin increases the complexity of the spectra because the oxide peak of the Sn$^{2+}$ was shown to overlap with the sulphide peak of the Sn$^{4+}$. All of these species are possible within a technical sample due to the reduction or oxidation of the tin during either cleaning or growth. Therefore, regarding the tin sulphides, it is necessary to analyse the spectra with these considerations in mind, utilising the complementary anion peaks for identification, where necessary.

In Chapter 6, the XPS spectra of CZTS were shown to be very complex, even for the clean single crystal. The overlap of the Sn 3d and Zn LMM regions is unavoidable, given that monochromated XPS is generally required to provide a resolution which is fully analysable. The XPS spectra of the binary phases were sometimes shown to result in small BE shifts between them and CZTS, but with the inclusion of the calculation of the Auger parameter, this became achievable, given a properly fitted set of spectra. Also, the 4-component nature of the material means that the intensities of the peaks are suppressed, which is further compounded by the inclusion of any contamination. This means that long acquisition times are necessary in order to generate spectra that are of high enough quality to analyse, especially if the Auger features also need to be collected.

Throughout the results chapters, the S 2p spectra were sometimes fitted with a doublet that was assigned to sulphur-containing contamination (S-C). Such a species is rarely found in the literature, but then again, considering the literature reviews from the individual chapters, fitting the S 2p region is rare. Still, the fitting of this species, given its small size, may appear somewhat tenuous. Its inclusion is believed to be accurate because, without exception, it reduces after surface cleaning, suggesting that it is present only at the surface.

Despite these complexities, the use of properly analysed XPS spectra in the characterisation of PV absorbers is encouraged. It can be used to determine the oxidation states, help identify phase-pure material, identify and characterise contaminants, and also determine the effects of various modifications on the electronic structure. In fact, XPS is the sole technique that can effectively acknowledge specific features, such as certain oxides and other surface-specific effects, which may be missed by other characterisation techniques, because they are not sensitive to such things.

Previously in the literature, several recurrent features of analyses are reported that have been shown to limit the effectiveness of an XPS characterisation. These include: presenting data whose quality is too low for effective analysis; a reliance upon literature agreement of BE, when no analysis has been performed; a lack of fitting procedures, or unfounded ones, which lead to the exclusion of certain species; or the conclusion of BE agreement between two
materials that are not necessarily related. It is therefore clear that these should be avoided in future characterisations, and in order to sustain the true capabilities of XPS, standard procedures should be followed, high-quality data should be presented, and a complete, critical analysis of the spectra should be performed with the explicit reporting of all relevant details.

### 7.2.2 Band Alignments, DoS, & Bonding Analysis Summaries

Although XPS was used in the earlier literature for studying the VB structures of materials\textsuperscript{175–179,181,183}, it is rare to find its use in contemporary reports, especially for novel, functional materials such as the new generation of PV absorbers. It has been shown in this thesis, how XPS can be coupled with advanced theoretical calculations of such materials in order to elucidate the DoS in the valence and conduction bands.

For such measurements, it is essential that the material be as clean as possible in order that a representative spectrum is measured, and also it is recommended that monochromated XPS is used in order to improve the resolution, and to remove the bremsstrahlung background and satellite features that can mar the spectrum\textsuperscript{670}. UPS is sometimes thought to be better suited for measurements of the VB of materials, but following the results presented in Chapter 6, it seems that monochromated XPS is the better choice for VB studies, which is in agreement with earlier literature\textsuperscript{178,181}. XPS has several advantages over UPS for theoretically compared VB spectra: the chemical states and cleanliness of a sample are able to be checked using the core-levels; XPS spectra are not as susceptible to final-state distortion effects as UPS spectra\textsuperscript{178}; and surface cleanliness is less important for XPS than for UPS\textsuperscript{181}.

In Chapter 6, it was discussed how the leading edge extrapolation method was unsuitable for determining the VBM of CZTS, due to the nature of the DoS at the VBM. Instead, a method which involves the fitting of the DoS curves to the experimental spectra was used. Chambers et al.\textsuperscript{195} studied the application of these methods, based on a value termed the effective resolution ($R_{\text{eff}}$), which is the ratio of the energy over which the leading edge rises, to the resolution of the spectrometer used. They found that for $R_{\text{eff}} > 1$, the linear extrapolation method works because the onset of states is shallow enough that the experimental resolution will not affect it, but for $R_{\text{eff}} < 1$, the experimental resolution broadens the slope of the true edge, and as such, the linear extrapolation method will underestimate the onset of the VBM, as was the case in Chapter 6. Where possible, the linear extrapolation method is preferred, as it is much easier to implement; however, this criteria should be kept in mind when performing this determination. Table 7.1 shows estimates of the $R_{\text{eff}}$ criterion for the materials studied in this thesis, showing how the linear extrapolation method was applicable for all of the materials, excepting CZTS.
For the band alignments shown throughout this thesis, the IP was always measured using XPS, but the EA value was calculated either from subtracting the bandgap value from the IP, or from IPES spectra. The direct measurement of EA from IPES is the preferred method; however, it is not always possible or accurate due to the weaknesses associated with the IPES measurements as implemented here. For this reason, the use of EA values from IPES measurements are recommended only when they are corroborated by other methods of measuring the bandgap.

### Table 7.1: Effective resolution (R_{eff}) estimates, according to Chambers et al.\textsuperscript{195} for the materials studied in this thesis. R_{eff} < 1 indicates that the linear extrapolation method for the determination of the VBM will not be accurate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>R_{eff}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAS</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZTS</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu\textsubscript{2}S</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuS</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnS</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the band alignments shown throughout this thesis, the IP was always measured using XPS, but the EA value was calculated either from subtracting the bandgap value from the IP, or from IPES spectra. The direct measurement of EA from IPES is the preferred method; however, it is not always possible or accurate due to the weaknesses associated with the IPES measurements as implemented here. For this reason, the use of EA values from IPES measurements are recommended only when they are corroborated by other methods of measuring the bandgap.

## 7.3 Materials Prospects

Whilst it has been shown how the techniques used throughout this thesis are applicable for use during future characterisations of PV absorber materials, it is also important to discuss the materials themselves, in order to assess their potential as solar absorber materials. Comparisons between them will be given in §7.3.5.

### 7.3.1 CuSbS\textsubscript{2}

As a relatively new absorber material, the poor efficiencies demonstrated so far are not completely disheartening. With regards to the measurements and results presented in Chapter 3, it would appear that one of the main reasons for these poor efficiencies is the continued reliance in drawing analogies from CIGS. Indeed, the bonding regime in CAS revealed differences that lead to the difference in band positions. Still, further research of this material is required, especially with regards to designing and developing different cell architectures to determine the optimal partner materials for CAS. Also, during the growth of CAS, more
reproducible methods are required, and the use of XPS, as implemented in Chapter 3, can aid with this by identifying phase-pure material and contaminants.

### 7.3.2 Cu$_3$BiS$_3$

Of all the materials studied here, CBS is the youngest in terms of technological and research advancement. Theoretical studies and experimentally grown samples have demonstrated attractive PV-relevant properties; however, there is confusion as to the nature of the bandgap$^{333,337,340,344}$, and a lack of literature studies for the rigorous characterisation of the material. Nevertheless, the measurements presented in Chapter 4 show promise for future characterisations of this material, and the insights into the band positions from the bonding nature have revealed properties which can aid in cell designs for the future.

### 7.3.3 Tin Sulphides

SnS is arguably the most mature of the materials studied here, in terms of PV absorber applications. As a binary material, it has the advantage of simplicity over the other materials, whilst still demonstrating attractive properties for PV. Nevertheless, it was feared that SnS suffers from the impacts of secondary phases and improper cell design$^{22,393}$. XPS showed not only that secondary phases can hinder cell performance, but also that they can be identified using this technique, as well as acknowledging that with a different window layer, SnS cells may be able to achieve higher efficiencies. From the properties of SnS$^2$ that were also measured in Chapter 5, this material was shown to have potential as a window layer for PV cells, or for use in photocatalysis.

### 7.3.4 CZTS

CZTS is the most advanced PV absorber that was studied here, in terms of the highest-achieved efficiency$^{553}$, but is also probably the most complex. Of the many identified problems that affect the performance of CZTS solar cells$^{27,108,109,552,564,565,729}$, the impact of secondary phases have been alleviated somewhat by the results presented in Chapter 6. In order to reduce the impact of secondary phases, they must first be identified. Measurements of the single crystal CZTS, which are unique in terms of XPS analyses, and the systematic approach to secondary phase identification applied in Chapter 6, set standard measurements that can be compared to in future analyses, leading to the more accurate identification of these phases, but also showing the impact of them. The combined application of XPS, RS, and XRD can provide a commanding analysis, with each technique bringing different advantages. Then, after identification of these secondary phases, research can be conducted into preventing their formation, or removing them. Post-growth surface etches have been shown to be effective at removing some types of secondary phase that segregate at the surface$^{121}$, but if some were to form in the bulk of the material$^{730}$, then the growth methods would need revising.
7.3.5 Comparison

Individually, the materials studied in this thesis show promise as solar absorbers, but similar problems were common between them, which mostly involve the band misalignment with CdS, due to the low IP, shown independently to be a result of the bonding regime. Here, a direct comparison is made between these materials and CIGS.

Figure 7.1 shows the natural band alignments of the materials studied throughout this thesis, along with CIGS, with the contributions to the DoS labelled at their approximate positions. The band alignment between CIGS and CdS has been shown to be beneficial for solar cells\cite{190,731,732}, and it is common to find this architecture present in the high-efficiency devices\cite{394,733}, even modules\cite{734}. The materials studied throughout this thesis all have band levels that are higher than CIGS, which led to the individual conclusions that CdS is a poor choice of window layer for these types of solar cell, due to the cliff-like CBO, which can generate a recombination centre or lead to voltage losses\cite{6}. In terms of absolute values, the offsets between the materials shown in Figure 7.1 appear small. However, when considering solar cell structures, where the bandgap is of the order 1.5 eV, an offset of 0.5 eV can impact the performance, leading to a voltage deficit, limited to two thirds of its maximum. In each individual chapter, explanations were given as to why the IP of each material was ‘unusually low’, in terms of the bonding regimes, apparent from the DoS presented there.

Figure 7.2 shows the schematic CE diagram for the materials studied throughout this thesis, along with CIGS, highlighting the main contributions to the VBM and CBM, and also shows

---

\(\text{See \S 1.2.2.}\)
Chapter 7: Conclusions & Recommendations for the Future

For binary semiconductors involving valence cation $d$ states, it has been shown using a simple tight-binding model\textsuperscript{311,735} that, given a common-cation, the larger the anion $p$/cation $d$ orbital separation, the higher the resulting VBM. CIGS can be compared to CAS, CBS, and CZTS in terms of these materials sharing the common-cation copper, but with CIGS containing selenium anions and the others containing sulphur anions. From the orbital energies of Se 4\textit{p} and S 3\textit{p}, compared with Cu 3\textit{d} in Figure 7.2, this chemical trend would suggest that CIGS should have a higher VBM than the others. As this is not the case, there must be other factors that result in the band positions observed here, and these arise from the other cations, adding another layer of complexity\textsuperscript{736}. As such, these chemical trends cannot be simplistically applied here, as they were for binary semiconductors\textsuperscript{735,737}.

In CIGS\textsuperscript{308,309}, the $s$ orbitals of indium and gallium are unoccupied, and close in energy to the full selenium $p$ orbitals. This results in bonding states deep in the VB and antibonding states at the CBM. Also, the unoccupied $p$ orbitals of indium and gallium are much higher than the selenium $p$ orbitals. The interaction of these result in bonding states low in the VB and high in the CB. Importantly, the only contribution to the VBM is from Cu $d$/Se $p$ interactions.

Figure 7.2: CE\textsuperscript{787,788} for the valence orbitals of CIGS & the materials studied in this thesis. The formal ionic occupancies of the orbitals within the materials are marked & the main contributions to the VBM & CBM are highlighted. The gradient-shading for SnS represents that the hybridisation of all three of the highlighted orbitals contribute to both the VBM & CBM via the revised lone-pair model (see Figure 5.18). Also shown are the VBM positions (red dot) & CBM positions (blue dot) for the individual materials relative to the vacuum level. The CE have been arbitrarily shifted to act as a guide to the eye for the bonding situations, are not absolute values, & do not take ionisation, multi-electron occupancy or hybridisation into account.
For SnS, there is no copper cation, and so the bonding regime is dependent on a cation with no valence \( d \) orbital influence. As shown in Chapter 5, the state of the VBM in SnS is dictated strongly by lone-pair interactions, via the revised lone-pair model\(^{253}\). These interactions create full states high in the VB, shifting the VBM upwards when compared with SnS\(_2\)^\(^d\), which has low band positions, similar to CdS. This demonstrates that states generated through lone-pair interactions via the revised lone-pair model act to raise the position of the VBM.

CAS and CBS are similar materials with regards to their electronic structures. Comparable to CIGS, they have VBM which consist mainly of Cu \( d \)/S \( p \) interactions. However, the structure of the non-copper cation is different. The antimony and bismuth \( s \) orbitals are full, and so it is the empty \( p \) orbitals which hybridise with the full S \( p \) orbitals; the antibonding states of which form the CBM. Also, because the \( p \) orbitals of antimony and bismuth are much closer in energy to the S \( p \) orbitals, compared with In/Ga \( p \) and Se \( p \) in CIGS, these interactions also contribute states near the top of the VB in CAS and CBS, as opposed to deeper in the VB, like in CIGS. Furthermore, the lone-pair antimony and bismuth electrons also interact weakly via the revised lone-pair model, generating still extra states at the top of the VB. Overall, it is believed that this combination of states is responsible for the higher VBM levels than for CIGS. It is possible that CAS has a higher VBM than CBS because of the stronger interactions between the non-Cu cation and sulphur, due to the stoichiometry, but also because of the more energetically distant orbitals of bismuth over antimony, which altogether results in a slightly higher VBM for CAS.

In Chapter 4, it was discussed how the bonding similarities between CIGS and CZTS were different to CBS. But then, in Chapter 6, it was shown how the measurement of the IP of single crystal CZTS was in agreement with other measurements\(^{118,535,661,697,703}\), and therefore rejected the previous idea that CZTS had band levels that were comparable to CIGS\(^{1393}\). At first, the bonding regimes of the VBM and CBM of CIGS and CZTS do appear similar: strong Cu \( d \)/anion \( p \) VBM, and an unoccupied non-Cu cation \( s \) orbital\(^f\) lower than the anion \( p \) orbitals, which hybridise to produce the antibonding CBM. However, in CZTS, the Sn also has a lower \( p \) orbital than indium or gallium, with the bonding states of the interaction of this orbital and S \( p \) residing higher in the VB than the corresponding states in CIGS.

Another difference between CZTS and CIGS is the presence of the third cation. As CIGS is an alloy of CIS and CGS, indium and gallium play very similar roles. However, in CZTS, which derived from CIGS through the isoelectronic substitution of the indium and gallium, one can consider the tetravalent tin in a similar vein to the trivalent cations in CIGS; all of which are \( p \)-block elements, but the divalent zinc must be considered separately, because it is a \( d \)-block element. In §6.7.3, it was discussed how several reports concluded that no Zn \( d \)

\(^{d}\) Where these interactions are forbidden because of the unoccupied Sn 5\( s \) orbitals.

\(^{e}\) Antimony in CuSbS\(_2\) is more prevalent than bismuth in Cu\(_2\)BiS\(_3\).

\(^{f}\) As was initially shown in Figure 3.10, Figure 4.9, & Figure 5.13, and rectified in §6.7.3.

\(^{g}\) Sn 5\( s \) in CZTS.
interactions were present at the top of the VB\textsuperscript{307,524,544}. However, in other reports\textsuperscript{567}, and here, the presence of Zn \textit{d} interactions are observed in the VB, overlapping with the Cu \textit{d} states. Therefore, it is concluded that the location and presence of all of these extra states in the VB of CZTS is the reason for the higher VBM than CIGS.

The materials CAS and CBS are closely related and are directly comparable, whereas SnS and CZTS have slightly different bonding regimes. But overall, it is believed that similar principles and trends can be applied, and the positions of the band levels and analyses of the bonding regimes can be extended to other materials for use as PV absorbers. That is, if the cation \textit{d} orbitals are located close to the anion \textit{p} orbitals\textsuperscript{b}, then the VB will consist of the hybridisation of these states and the CB will most likely consist of the antibonding hybridisation of anion \textit{p} with the most tightly bound, unoccupied cation orbital, yet the final position of the VBM will be decided by the location of the states of any other cations present in the material.

Throughout the chapters, each one of these materials was found to have a low IP compared with CIGS, and also CdTe, and were an anomaly in terms of the expected band positions. Given that they all demonstrate a similarly low IP, it could appear that CIGS is the anomaly of PV absorbers, and that a low IP is characteristic of these materials. This rationale is discouraged however, because CIGS and CdTe crystallise in the expected tetrahedral structures, whereas for the materials studied here, the distortion present in the crystal structures is part of the reason for the low IP. Therefore, it could be said that for copper-based, earth-abundant PV absorber materials\textsuperscript{1}, a low IP is expected, and so CdS will not be a suitable window layer. CZTS would appear to be an exception to this, because the IP is still low, despite crystallising in a tetrahedral structure. This is partly because of the presence of two \textit{d}-block cations in this material and although the effects of \textit{p}-\textit{d} repulsion are well known for binary semiconductors\textsuperscript{311,312,736,738}, there is still scope for the study of the repulsion from two different cations, as this situation is not common in materials, especially for solar absorbers.

### 7.4 Final Remarks

The implementation of PES, especially XPS, has been explored, with application to characterising PV absorbers. Too regularly, it was found that XPS analyses of PV absorbers in the literature were weakened by misinterpretations of the spectra, arising because of the complexities that can sometimes be present. These problems were shown to be overcome by applying sound fitting procedures to the spectra, developed individually for each material, which then enabled the use of this technique in their characterisation. It can determine the oxidation states and chemical species present within a sample, which allows the phase-purity and presence of contaminants to be assessed. Beyond this, the wider power of this technique

\textsuperscript{b} For example with Cu\textsuperscript{+} and S\textsuperscript{2}.

\textsuperscript{1} Or those with strong lone-pair presence.
was demonstrated by using it to measure both the DoS in the VB, for corroborations with theoretical calculations, and the position of the VBM with respect to the vacuum level, to complement other band level measurements and perform band alignments: two aspects which are rarely applied with functional materials.

It was the intention of the work presented throughout this thesis, to determine whether CAS, CBS, SnS, and CZTS were viable contenders as earth-abundant PV absorbers, and to offer explanations as to why the efficiencies are not as high as is desirable for a market-ready material, suggesting ways in which they could be improved. Thorough exploration of the electronic structure of these materials has revealed how the positions of the band levels are not suited to CdS and other materials which commonly partner thin-film PV absorbers. Apart from this technological aspect, the use of cadmium is also a concern for devices \(^{17,109,712,739-741}\), as its use could jeopardise the goal of earth-abundancy\(^1\).

Overall, it is thought that with continued research into their development, all of these materials still have the potential to perform well, given the new understandings regarding the electronic structures gleaned here. Although CZTS is the most well established, it is perhaps more prudent to allow this research to step aside for the new, simpler materials, which show greater promise than CZTS did at the equivalent stage in development. This is especially the case, as novel methods of improving CZTS usually add to the complexity, through the inclusion of extra elements, rather than reducing it\(^565\).

With relation to the energy sector in general, as presented in §1.4, these materials fulfil the criteria of earth-abundancy well, and it may be possible to sacrifice some ultimate efficiency in favour of cheaper production, both economically and environmentally speaking. Nevertheless, it is clear from the size of the PV sector, within the size of the current renewables market\(^k\), and within that, the amount of thin-film deployment\(^l\), that one technology must outshine the others in terms of cost of production and efficiency. This would generate considerate attraction to impose a significant shift toward the more widespread adoption of energy generation from PV. More likely, given the current economic climate\(^6\), earth-abundant thin-film PV can continue to establish itself within its attractive niche and gradually, greater deployment could be realised\(^742\).

### 7.5 Suggestions for Future Research

The necessity for continued research into these materials was acknowledged in §7.4, and here, potential avenues for investigation are presented.

---

\(^1\) As discussed in §1.3.3 & §1.4.

\(^k\) See Figure 1.1 & Figure 1.2.

\(^l\) See Figure 1.15 & Figure 1.16.
7.5.1 Best Practices

From the limitations of various literature reports presented throughout this thesis, it is important to establish best practices for the characterisation and reporting of the findings for PV absorbers. Hoye et al.\textsuperscript{670} explored many of these, with respect to perovskite-like materials, but which are applicable to most PV absorbers. First, it is vital that any data collected is of high-quality, as analyses can never be performed accurately with poor data. For absorber characterisations, XRD, RS and XPS spectra should be collected and corroborated in order to characterise the material as fully as possible. Where possible, RS measurements should use multiple wavelengths of excitation and monochromated XPS should be used.

For XPS, the fitting procedures, analysis techniques, and measured values, used and found throughout this thesis, can be taken forward, for use in future analyses, as standards. Pre-measurement assessments of a sample should be conducted in order to gain an expectation for the number of peaks present within a sample, including any expected contamination, which then allows a fitting procedure to be implemented. Fitting should always be performed, even in the case where one peak is expected, because small shifts can cause imperceptible changes to the data, elucidated only by peak fitting. Following this, all BE should be reported, in order that they can be assessed together, and references to appropriate previous literature should be given in corroboration. At the expense of extra measurement time, the SEC and VBM of a sample can be collected during the CL acquisition as well, which has the added benefit of allowing the IP of materials to be calculated. During the same experiment, the VB spectra can also be collected, allowing analysis of the bonding nature and comparison to theoretical calculations for the DoS. Alternatively, changes in the VB spectra can reveal differences between materials, for example if an impurity is introduced.

7.5.2 Furthering the Measurements Performed Here

Whilst the analyses presented here are believed to be comprehensive and representative of the pure, clean materials, there are improvements that can be made in order to provide more confidence in the results.

Ideally, these measurements would be repeated on single crystal samples of all of the materials, but this was not the case for CAS or CBS because single crystals were not available. As research progresses with these materials, it is hoped that large single crystals can be grown and measured, which would allow in vacuo bulk cleaving: ensuring a fresh, clean surface, free of contamination. Alternatively, to remove any concern of the contamination affecting the band level measurements, samples could be grown in situ whilst performing IP measurements.

A benefit of the measurements performed here is that they can be performed with standard laboratory systems, and require no specialist equipment. However, a deeper understanding could be gained from using specialist analysis techniques. The use of synchrotron radiation allows PES to be performed with a tunable photon source of very high brightness, and benefits
from very high resolution, allowing individual species to be more easily identified\textsuperscript{531}, or more accurate determination of band alignments\textsuperscript{743} and the VB\textsuperscript{75}. Other techniques also benefit from synchrotron radiation, such as: XRD and XRF, which allow spectra to be recorded very quickly and with high resolution\textsuperscript{614,641,677,744,745}; NEXAFS, which facilitates CBM measurements via the absorption onset\textsuperscript{637,697}, or an alternative to determining chemical environments\textsuperscript{628}; and XAS and XES, which provide an alternative for measuring the band edge positions\textsuperscript{275,304,746}.

### 7.5.3 Advancement of the Materials

Discussions of the effects of defects on these materials were found throughout the chapters, as defects are very important to the properties and performance of absorber materials, providing the inherent conductivity, but also possibly acting detrimentally to cell performance. CZTS has received much research interest in the study of its defects\textsuperscript{401,559,568,708,747,748}, and those of SnS are well understood\textsuperscript{21,422,463,749}, given its simplicity. CAS\textsuperscript{235,242} and CBS on the other hand, have not received much research attention regarding their defects and as such, this is warranted. This could be achieved in a similar manner to the theoretical and experimental corroboration between the VBDoS presented here: PL could be used to experimentally determine the presence and formation of defects within samples\textsuperscript{304,750}, whilst being corroborated by a theoretical defect analysis.

In Chapter 6, the binary secondary phases were studied, with the knowledge that these are likely to form within CZTS. It was also stated that ternary phases were a possibility, but were not fully explored, as they are currently understudied\textsuperscript{m}. Further investigation into these possible ternary phases is therefore necessary, especially as it was concluded that a ternary phase was found during the degradation of CZTS\textsuperscript{n}.

The intention of the experiments performed here was to provide measurements of purely the absorber layer, in order to set standards that were not affected by external factors. This is why the materials underwent surface cleaning, and the reason why junctions were not analysed. Given that CdS was shown to be unsuitable for all of the materials studied here, it is now important to select and grow new materials to be the window layer and back contact in these solar cells, and to experimentally determine the band alignments. This research is already underway for CZTS\textsuperscript{558,637,672,709,711,712} and SnS\textsuperscript{396,458,460,486,751}, is limited for CAS\textsuperscript{306}, but is lacking for CBS. Nevertheless, further studies of this need to continue in order to further the progress of these materials, as the results here show that this is a significant limit upon their efficiencies.

\textsuperscript{m} See §6.2.4.
\textsuperscript{n} See §6.9.3.
Appendix A: Extra Information for Chapter 1
A.1 Determining the Energy of the Sun

In §1.1.3, it was stated that the solar power reaching the surface of the earth is 4 orders of magnitude greater than the current global electricity demand. First, it is assumed that the world has an annual electricity demand of 20 PWh, from the 2008 value in Figure 1.1[^7]. This equates to a required average power of

$$20 \text{ PWh} \div 8760 \text{ hours} \approx 2.3 \text{ TW}. \quad (A.1)$$

The sun delivers 174 PW to the upper atmosphere of earth with a density of 1366 Wm$^{-2}$. Through the effects of the atmosphere, obliqueness, seasonal and diurnal variations, cloud cover, and prevailing conditions[^16], this reduces to an average power density of 188 Wm$^{-2}$ at the surface. Assuming a negligible change in effective surface area due to the thickness of the atmosphere, this relates to the surface of the earth receiving an average of

$$188 \text{ Wm}^{-2} \times \frac{174 \text{ PW}}{1366 \text{ Wm}^{-2}} \approx 24 \text{ PW}, \quad (A.2)$$

which then equates to a potential supply of energy greater than demand by

$$24 \text{ PW} \div 2.3 \text{ TW} \approx 10000 = 4 \text{ OoM}. \quad (A.3)$$

A.2 Calculations for the Limiting Factors of PV Technologies

In §1.5.3, estimates were given to the cost of GW modules of various PV technologies and their ability to be deployed on a terawatt scale, given the limiting element. First, the PV technologies were assumed to either have the record efficiency taken from the literature[^42,248,397,553], or 20%. For silicon, it was assumed that the absorber layer had a thickness of 200 μm, and the thin-film absorber layers had a thickness of 2.5 μm. In reality, the different technologies probably favour different thicknesses, but for the sake of argument, these should be representative.

For determining the required amount of absorber material required to produce a module capable of 1 GW, the power density from the sun was taken to be 1000 Wm$^{-2}$, the same for AM1.5G[^752]. In determining the price of a module of each technology, prices for the elements were taken to be the raw materials costs and did not include any consideration of manufacturing, processing, or installation costs, and dealt only with the materials cost for the absorber layer: none of the other parts of the device. In determining the amount of possible 1 GW modules available, it was assumed that the limiting factor was the estimated reserve of the rarest elemental component of the absorber layer, shown in Table A.1, and that the entire

[^42,248,397,553]: 1% was assumed for CBS which has not yet had any efficiencies reported.
The contents of this reserve could go into producing cells. Although these assumptions mean that the price of a cell could be much higher, and the number of producible modules could be much lower, it allows some level of comparison between the technologies.

The mass of absorber layer material required to generate 1 GW of power, was calculated using

\[ m_{GW}(x) = \frac{\rho_x d_x}{\eta_x I_{sol}}, \]  

(A.4)

where \( x \) is the absorber in question, \( \rho_x \) is the density of the absorber material, \( d_x \) is the required thickness of the absorber material, \( \eta_x \) is the efficiency of the solar cell, and \( I_{sol} \) is the power density of the sun. By considering each element of an absorber material separately, the mass of each element for a 1 GW absorber was determined by

\[ m_{GW(i)}(x) = m_{GW}(x) \cdot \left( \frac{M_i \cdot n_i(x)}{\sum_j (M_j \cdot n_j(x))} \right), \]  

(A.5)

where \( i \) is the element in question, \( M_i \) is the molar mass of the element in question, \( n_i \) is the number of moles of the element in question in the absorber, and the sum over \( j \), each of the elements in the absorber. By taking the current price of each element\(^65\), the total cost of the absorber layer material for a 1 GW module was calculated using

\[ \mathcal{E}_{GW}(x) = \sum_i \left( \mathcal{E}_i \cdot m_{GW(i)}(x) \right), \]  

(A.6)

where \( \mathcal{E}_i \) is the cost per mass for each element, summed over the elements in an absorber material. Further, the number of producible 1 GW modules from the limiting elemental reserve for an absorber material was calculated using

\[ \#_{GW}(x) = \frac{m_{res(k)}(x)}{m_{GW(k)}(x)}, \]  

(A.7)

where \( m_{res(k)} \) is the mass of the estimated reserve\(^65\) for the limiting element, \( k \) in the absorber material. The limiting element for each of the considered absorbers is given in Table A.1.
Appendix B: Extra Information for Chapter 2
B.1 Achieving UHV: Further Details

Advanced development in stainless steel production and welding techniques means that this material is now primarily used for vacuum components, designed in the form of interchangeable, flanged components, which, with the use of metal gaskets, make systems very modular and adaptable to different situations\textsuperscript{126}.

In the viscous flow regime, positive displacement pumps are used in order to bring the pressure from atmosphere to around $1 \times 10^{-3}$ mbar\textsuperscript{1}. These work by increasing and decreasing the volume of the system in such a way that as a pressure gradient is formed, flow occurs out of the system, hence decreasing the pressure. This type of pump comes in many forms but the most common is the rotary vane pump, the schematic for which is shown in Figure B.1a. An oil-sealed rotating cam with two spring-loaded vanes creates a changing volume which is alternately connected to, and isolated from, the vacuum system. During each rotation of the cam, gas from the vacuum system is swept into the volume between the vanes, isolated from the system, compressed within the pump, and exhausted from the pump. Another pump which works on a similar principle is the scroll pump, shown in Figure B.1b. In this type of pump, the changing volume is created by two interleaved scrolls, one of which rotates, shifting a volume of gas, compressing it at the centre of the scrolls. The action is similar to the rotary vane pump, but does not require sealing with oil: beneficial for UHV as there is no risk of oil contamination.

Once this initial pumping has achieved a level of medium vacuum, another pump is used to achieve pressures of high vacuum and below, in the molecular flow regime. The pumps used in this regime can be classified as either momentum transfer compression pumps, or entrapment pumps, where the former compresses the gas molecules into the back of the pump.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure_b1.png}
\caption{Schematic diagrams for a) a rotary vane pump, & b) a scroll pump. Panes show the cycling stages of the pumping mechanism, & both work by continually isolating, compressing & exhausting gas from the chamber.}
\end{figure}
where they can be removed using another type of pump, and the latter serves to contain the gas molecules in such a way as they cannot contribute to the total pressure in the system.

The most commonly used compression pumps that allow the attainment of UHV conditions are the diffusion pump and the turbomolecular pump, shown in Figure B.2a & b, respectively. The diffusion pump entrains gas molecules in a jet of fluid, facilitated by the continual cycling of oil through the pump. This type of pump has been superseded by the turbomolecular pump, due to the high risk of oil backflow and high associated maintenance. The turbomolecular pump contains a series of stators and rotors that spin at high speeds. The blades are angled in such a way as to maximise the probability of compression should a gas molecule impinge on the top rotor. This type of pump reduces the oil problems associated with diffusion pumps and by using magnetically levitated bearings instead of greased ball bearings, the use of oil can be removed completely, with this also serving to reduce vibrations.

Entrapment pumps are almost defined by the ion pumps. Boasting no moving parts, high pumping speeds, and simple operation and maintenance, they are used to maintain UHV conditions on the majority of vacuum systems. The basic schematic for an ion pump is shown in Figure B.3 but there are many variants. A strong magnetic field within the central cylinders trap electrons, which then collide with gas molecules in the system and ionise them. Plates of titanium are held at a high negative potential, causing the ions to be accelerated into them. The pumping action is twofold: first, the ions bombard the plates with such force that

Figure B.2: Schematic diagrams for a) a diffusion pump, & b) a turbomolecular pump, showing how gas molecules are compressed at the bottom of the pumps. A further type of pump (backing pump) is required at the exhaust of these pumps because they cannot compress the gas to atmospheric pressures by these mechanisms.
they are implanted into it, and second, the force at which the ions impinge the plates causes titanium to be sputtered from them, which then forms a film and acts as a getter material, whereby gas molecules chemisorb with the titanium, forming stable compounds.

Another entrapment pump that is used, often in combination with the ion pump, is the TSP\textsuperscript{127}. Instead of continually sputtering small amounts of titanium on the chamber wall to form the gettering film like the ion pump does, this pump consists of a titanium filament, which by resistive heating is sublimated onto the walls of the chamber creating a fresh titanium film.

In order to measure the pressure of the system in these conditions, ion gauges are used\textsuperscript{127}. A schematic of an ion gauge is shown in Figure B.4. Electrons are thermionically emitted from a hot filament and are accelerated towards a positively biased grid. Collisions between electrons and gas molecules in this grid cause the molecules to become ionised, which are then accelerated towards a negatively biased collector. The drain current through this collector is then proportional to the pressure in the system. Mass spectrometers are also employed as

Figure B.3: Schematic diagram for an ion pump, showing how electrons are contained within the anodes & ionise gas molecules, causing them to accelerate into the titanium cathodes, sputtering material away.

Figure B.4: Schematic diagram of an ion gauge, showing how a hot filament produces electrons which ionise gas molecules. These are then accelerated towards a negatively charge collector, where the current is proportional to the pressure.
residual gas analysers (RGA) in order to assess the contribution of partial pressures to a vacuum system as well as to perform leak detection.

B.2 Key to Vacuum Symbols

The key to the vacuum symbols used in Figure 2.2 & Figure 2.3, is shown in Figure B.5.

![Vacuum Symbols](image)

Figure B.5: Vacuum symbols used in the UHV chamber schematics in Figure 2.2 & Figure 2.3.

B.3 The Assembly of the Single-Chamber Electronic Characterisation UHV System

In §2.2.4, the motivation behind the design and commissioning of a new UHV system to perform the PES experiments required for this thesis was explained. Here, several photographs of this system are shown, presenting further aspects of this system.

After the initial commissioning of the system, one limiting factor was that only one sample at a time could be present within the system because there was no facility for sample storage. Figure B.6a shows this system at this stage of assembly. A sample was introduced through the load lock, directly onto the transfer arm, which was then pumped separately from the main chamber. This was improved upon by the addition to the system shown in Figure B.6b. At the previous load lock entry point, an additional transfer arm was added which contained storage for four samples. This was then used as the load lock, allowing up to four samples to be entered simultaneously, reducing pumping time. Furthermore, as the original transfer arm could be pumped individually to both the main chamber and the new load lock, this area could be used as a small preparation chamber, by the addition of a sputter ion gun, as shown in Figure B.6b.

---

*From DIN 28401.*
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In Figure B.7, the operating principles of the monochromated x-ray source, as described in §2.3.2, are shown. In Figure B.7a, the Rowland circle schematic from Figure 2.12 is overlaid, showing the location of the different peripherals. Figure B.7b & c show the interior view of the crystals during assembly and how they are mounted to the 3-axis manipulator in order to optimise its position. Also shown in Figure B.7a is a piece of tubing that connects the monochromator chamber to the bottom of the main chamber. This added parallel component increases the conductance to this area of the system, increasing the effective pumping speed.

During optimisation of the monochromated x-ray source, it is necessary to first direct the x-ray beam toward the centre of the chamber, and then to focus the beam in order to both maximise the intensity, and also to produce the best resolution, which is achieved by changing the positions of the x-ray source, the crystal, and also the sample stage. This is facilitated by using a sample that contains a phosphor in order to visibly locate the x-ray beam, and also the silver foil, used for spectrometer calibration in §2.3.3. This sample is shown within the UHV system during an optimisation procedure in Figure B.8. The beam is trained on the phosphor, resulting in the green spot caused by the luminescence of the phosphor under x-ray illumination. After locating the beam to the centre of the chamber, the silver is placed under the beam, and XPS spectra are recorded as the positions are finely tuned to achieve the best resolution.
Figure B.7: a) Rowland circle overlaid onto the UHV system, showing the locations of the x-ray source, sample, & crystal. b) & c) Monochromator crystal & the supporting stage.

Figure B.8: Inside view of the UHV system during optimisation of the monochromator. The sample on the manipulator contains a piece of silver foil & a phosphor-coated foil. The green spot is the luminescence from the x-ray beam. The heating filament used for annealing samples in vacuo is located beneath the sample stage.
Appendix C:  
In-Depth experimental Details
In Chapter 2, descriptions and discussions of the instrumentation and data extraction methods used in this thesis were given. Throughout the main results chapters, it was described how each technique was applied; however, for the sake of succinctness, certain details were omitted which were either beyond the scope of the study, or else offered little towards the interpretation of the data and the conclusions drawn in each chapter. Therefore, for the sake of completeness and reproducibility, these details shall be specified in this appendix, grouped by technique.

**C.1 Raman Spectroscopy Details**

**C.1.1 Chapter 3: CuSbS$_2$ & Chapter 4: Cu$_3$BiS$_3$**

The Horiba Scientific Jobin-Yvon LabRam HR system Raman spectrometer consisted of a confocal microscope coupled to a single grating spectrometer, equipped with a notch filter and a CCD camera detector. Spectra were measured using an incident wavelength of 514.5 nm from an argon ion laser in backscattering geometry with an exposure time of 30 s and 5 acquisitions, over a range of 100–1500 cm$^{-1}$. Before measurements, the spectrometer was calibrated to the laser wavelength and the Si 520 cm$^{-1}$ mode.

**C.1.2 Chapter 6: Single Crystal CZTS**

The Renishaw inVia Raman spectrometer consisted of a confocal microscope coupled to a single grating spectrometer, equipped with a notch filter and a CCD camera detector. Spectra were measured using an incident wavelength of 532 nm from a Cobolt Samba CW DPSS laser in backscattering geometry with an exposure time of 15 s and 30 acquisitions, over a range of 100–1400 cm$^{-1}$, or using an incident wavelength of 633 nm from a He-Ne laser in backscattering geometry with an exposure time of 30 s and 30 acquisitions, over a range of 100–1100 cm$^{-1}$. Before measurements, the spectrometer was calibrated to the laser wavelength and the Si 520 cm$^{-1}$ mode.

**C.2 Optical Absorption Details**

**C.2.1 Chapter 3: CuSbS$_2$ & Chapter 5: SnS & SnS$_2$**

FTIR absorption spectroscopy was performed using the experimental system described in §2.5. The low temperatures were obtained using an Oxford Instruments CFV2 continuous-flow helium cryostat. The vacuum pressures were 2 mbar for the optical path in the spectrometer and 1×10$^{-6}$ mbar in the sample environment of the cryostat.
C.3 Density Functional Theory Details

For comparison between the calculated pDoS and the XPS/UPS VB spectra, the pDoS curves were corrected using the standard photoionisation cross-sections, then convolved with a Gaussian function to account for thermal broadening and the spectrometer resolution, and then further convolved with a Lorentzian function to account for lifetime broadening. For the DoS before experimental corrections were applied, the pDoS curves were convolved with a Gaussian function, so that features could be more easily distinguished. The broadening parameters are given in Table C.1.

Table C.1: FWHM of the broadening functions applied to the pDoS curves for analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>XPS Comparison</th>
<th>UPS Comparison</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gaussian FWHM</td>
<td>Lorentzian FWHM</td>
<td>Gaussian FWHM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin Sulphides</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZTS</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.3.1 Chapter 3: CuSbS₂, Chapter 4: Cu₃BiS₃, & Chapter 6: CZTS

The screened hybrid functional HSE06 was used for geometry optimization and the DoS calculations, with the explicit inclusion of relativistic spin-orbit coupling for CBS due to the presence of the heavy element, Bi. HSE06 includes 25% Hartree–Fock exchange, which is screened with a parameter of \( \omega = 0.11 \text{ bohr}^{-1} \), with 75% exchange and full correlation from the GGA functional, PBE. This method has been used successfully in calculations of CAS, CBS, CZTS, and related materials. The PAW method was used to describe the interaction between valence and core electrons, scalar relativistic pseudopotentials were used, and Bi 5d states were treated as valence. A cutoff energy of 400 eV, increased to 560 eV for the optimisation to minimize the errors from Pulay stress, and a \( \Gamma \)-centred k-point mesh of 3x2x4, 4x7x2, & 6x6x6 were used to give convergence to within 1 meV per atom for CAS, CBS, & CZTS, respectively. A convergence criterion of 0.01 eV Å\(^{-1}\) was used in the optimization for the forces on each atom.

C.3.2 Chapter 5: Tin Sulphides

The room temperature structures of each of the tin sulphides were relaxed using the PBE GGA functional with the D3 dispersion correction applied to account for VdW forces, using the Becke–Johnson damping variant. A plane-wave basis set with a 550 eV cutoff was employed with PAW pseudopotentials treating the Sn 5s, 4d and 5p and S 3s and 3p states as valence electrons. The Brillouin zones of SnS, SnS₂ and Sn₂S₃ were sampled using...
In-Depth experimental Details

Γ-centred Monkhorst–Pack k-point grids\textsuperscript{764}, with 8×4×8, 8×8×6, & 4×8×3 subdivisions, respectively. The ion positions, cell shape, and volume were optimized to a tolerance of 10\textsuperscript{-7} eV on the electronic wavefunctions and 0.005 eV Å\textsuperscript{-1} on the forces.

Electronic-structure calculations were then carried out on these optimized structures using the HSE06 hybrid functional\textsuperscript{754,765,766}. The DoS of the valence and conduction bands was simulated using denser Brillouin zone sampling meshes with 12×6×12, 12×12×8, & 6×12×5 subdivisions for SnS, SnS\textsubscript{2} and Sn\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3}, respectively, with interpolation being performed using the Blöchl-corrected tetrahedron method\textsuperscript{767}. For these calculations, the electronic minimisation was performed to a tolerance of 10\textsuperscript{-6} eV.

C.4 Photoemission Spectroscopy Details

All PES measurements presented in this thesis were performed in the Electronic Characterisation UHV system described in §2.2.4. The base pressure of this system throughout the study was less than 2×10\textsuperscript{-10} mbar, with hydrogen as the main residual gas. The x-ray source used for XPS measurements was monochromated Al K\textalpha radiation\textsuperscript{a}. The UV source used for UPS measurements was a helium discharge lamp and He(I)\textsuperscript{b} was chosen as the excitation line. Details of the operating parameters for XPS, UPS, & IPES, are given in Table C.2.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique &amp; Region</th>
<th>Source Power (W)</th>
<th>E\textsubscript{P} (eV)</th>
<th>Bias (V)</th>
<th>Step Size (eV)</th>
<th>Flux (\mu A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>XPS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>250/250/200/300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auger</td>
<td>250/250/200/300</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auger</td>
<td>—/—/—/300</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>—/9/9/9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UPS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE &amp; VB</td>
<td>—/—/—/Maximum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IPES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBM</td>
<td>—/1.04A/1.03A\textsuperscript{i}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>—/4/3.9–10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{i} Electron gun filament current.

\textsuperscript{a} h\nu = 1486.6 eV.

\textsuperscript{b} h\nu = 21.22 eV.
C.5 In Vacuo Cleaning Details

Samples were sputtered and annealed in order to remove surface contaminants, including oxides and hydrocarbons, which form due to handling in ambient atmosphere. Low energy sputtering and a grazing angle of incidence were used in order to minimise sample damage, except where stated. This cleaning facilitated the measurement of a more representative surface of the samples, and hence prevented the VB spectra from being overwhelmed by signal from the contamination.

C.5.1 Chapter 3: CuSbS$_2$

During cleaning, the no-TT and TT samples of CAS were monitored by XPS and were considered to be clean when the C 1$s$ peak and peaks due to antimony oxide were no longer visible on the survey spectra. Such cleanliness was achieved by sputtering with 500 eV Ar$^+$ ions in 5 minute steps, followed by annealing at 200°C for 60 minutes. In total, the no-TT sample received 20 minutes of sputtering and the TT sample received 30 minutes of sputtering.

C.5.2 Chapter 4: Cu$_3$Bi$_3$S$_3$

During cleaning, the sample of CBS was monitored by XPS and was considered to be clean when sputtering cycles no longer produced noticeable changes to the survey spectra following the removal of oxidised bismuth. Such cleanliness was achieved by sputtering with 500 eV Ar$^+$ ions in 5 minute steps for 30 minutes total, followed by annealing at 150°C for ~20 hrs and then at 250°C for ~24 hrs before allowing the sample to cool to ambient temperature.

---

*a 20°.

*d Resulting in a flux ion current of 10 μA at the sample.

*e Resulting in a flux ion current of 5 μA at the sample.
C.5.3 Chapter 5: Tin Sulphides

Each of the crystals required differing active feedback cleaning procedures, with details given in Table C.3, Table C.4, & Table C.5 for SnS, SnS₂, and Sn₂S₃ respectively, with the results comments determining the subsequent cleaning cycle.

SnS

Table C.3: In vacuo cleaning cycles for SnS. \( I_{\text{flux}} \) is the flux ion current at the sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Energy or Temperature</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>( I_{\text{flux}} ) (μA)</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Anneal 1</td>
<td>200°C</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sputter 1</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sputter 2</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sputter 3</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sputter 4</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sputter 5</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sputter 6</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Anneal 2</td>
<td>200°C</td>
<td>225°C</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>No real change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Anneal 3</td>
<td>250°C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Reduction in metallic Sn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Anneal 4</td>
<td>230°C</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Reduction in metallic Sn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Anneal 5</td>
<td>250°C</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Reduction in metallic Sn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sputter 6</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Development of metallic Sn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Anneal 6</td>
<td>250°C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>No metallic Sn (Clean)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SnS₂

Table C.4: In vacuo cleaning cycles for SnS₂. \( I_{\text{flux}} \) is the flux ion current at the sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Energy or Temperature</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>( I_{\text{flux}} ) (μA)</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sputter 1</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sputter 2</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sputter 3</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sputter 4</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sputter 5</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Anneal 1</td>
<td>200°C</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Increase in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sputter 6</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sputter 7</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>No real change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sputter 8</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>No real change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sputter 9</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No real change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Anneal 2</td>
<td>300°C</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Redevelopment of Sn²⁺ species (Cannot remove this by cleaning)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cleave</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Minimal contaminants (Clean)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Sn_2S_3**

Table C.5: In vacuo cleaning cycles for Sn_2S_3. I_{flux} is the flux ion current at the sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Energy or Temperature</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>I_{flux} (μA)</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sputter 1</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anneal 1</td>
<td>250°C</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Increase in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sputter 2</td>
<td>500 eV</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Anneal 2</td>
<td>250°C</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Reduction in contaminants (Clean)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C.5.4 Chapter 6: CZTS**

Cleaning of the CZTS Crystal

The CZTS crystal required an active feedback cleaning procedure, with the details shown in Table C.6, with the results comments determining the subsequent cleaning cycle.

Table C.6: In vacuo cleaning cycles for the single crystal CZTS. Multiple numbers represent repeated cycles. I_{flux} is the flux ion current at the sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Energy or Temperature</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>I_{flux} (μA)</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Spectral Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Prior to cleaning</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>As In</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anneal 1</td>
<td>240°C</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>SnO_2 reduced</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sputter 1</td>
<td>100 eV</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt; 1^i</td>
<td>SnO_2 reduced</td>
<td>Cl. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anneal 2</td>
<td>240°C</td>
<td>3060</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sputter 2–7</td>
<td>100 eV</td>
<td>5×6</td>
<td>&lt; 1^i</td>
<td>SnO_2 eliminated</td>
<td>Cl. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anneal 3–8</td>
<td>230°C</td>
<td>15×6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sputter 8–13</td>
<td>100 eV</td>
<td>5×6</td>
<td>&lt; 1^i</td>
<td>No real change</td>
<td>Cl. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anneal 9–14</td>
<td>230°C</td>
<td>15×6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sputter 14–17</td>
<td>150 eV</td>
<td>5×4</td>
<td>&lt; 1^i</td>
<td>No real change</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anneal 15–18</td>
<td>230°C</td>
<td>15×4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Anneal 19</td>
<td>250°C</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>No real change</td>
<td>Clean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^1 Too low to measure.
Appendix C: In-Depth experimental Details

Annealing of the CZTS Crystal to 300°C

The annealing details involved for the crystal up to 300°C in order to induce the order/disorder transition are detailed in Table C.7. These details are in relation to §6.9.2.

Table C.7: In vacuo annealing details for the single crystal CZTS up to 300°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Spectral Reference</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Prior to annealing</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Clean</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anneal 1</td>
<td>220°C</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Anneal 2</td>
<td>250°C</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Anneal 3</td>
<td>300°C</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>@300°C</td>
<td>Spectra at 300°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cooling</td>
<td>300°C</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>Cooling</td>
<td>Spectra during cooling to 25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>Spectra after cooling to 25°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annealing of the CZTS Crystal above 300°C & Aggressive Sputtering

The annealing and sputtering details involved for annealing the crystal above 300°C and sputtering the crystal hard to induce structural changes are detailed in Table C.8 & Table C.9, respectively. These details are in relation to §6.9.3.

Table C.8: In vacuo annealing details for the single crystal CZTS above 300°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Spectral Reference</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Prior to annealing</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Clean</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anneal 1</td>
<td>150°C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Anneal 2</td>
<td>180°C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Anneal 3</td>
<td>250°C</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Anneal 4</td>
<td>300°C</td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Anneal 5</td>
<td>330°C</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Anneal 6</td>
<td>360°C</td>
<td>1235</td>
<td>@360°C</td>
<td>Spectra at 360°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Anneal 7</td>
<td>410°C</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>@410°C</td>
<td>Spectra at 410°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Anneal 8</td>
<td>450°C</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>@450°C</td>
<td>Spectra at 450°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Anneal 9</td>
<td>475°C</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>@475°C</td>
<td>Spectra at 475°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Anneal 10</td>
<td>450°C</td>
<td>4230</td>
<td>@450°C</td>
<td>Spectra at 450°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>Spectra after cooling to 25°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table C.9: In vacuo aggressive sputtering & annealing details of the single crystal CZTS. $I_{\text{flux}}$ is the flux ion current at the sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Energy or Temperature</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>$I_{\text{flux}}$ (μA)</th>
<th>Spectral Reference</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Prior to annealing</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Clean</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sputter 1</td>
<td>2000 eV</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sp.</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Anneal 1</td>
<td>150°C</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Anneal 2</td>
<td>160°C</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Anneal 3</td>
<td>180°C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Anneal 4</td>
<td>215°C</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Anneal 5</td>
<td>260°C</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Anneal 6</td>
<td>300°C</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Anneal 7</td>
<td>320°C</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Anneal 8</td>
<td>350°C</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Anneal 9</td>
<td>400°C</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Anneal 10</td>
<td>430°C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Anneal 11</td>
<td>460°C</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Anneal 12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Ann.</td>
<td>Spectra after cooling to 25°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D:
Extra Data
D.1 Extra Data for Chapter 3

D.1.1 The Fitting of Two S 2p Doublets

In §3.3.2, the S 2p region was fitted with two doublets, which were attributed to the two different coordination environments for sulphur in the CAS crystal structure. This fitting model would appear somewhat tenuous, given the small shift between these two doublets, and therefore reasons for this fitting is presented here.

First, when fitting this region with a single S 2p doublet, no satisfactory fit could be achieved. A comparison for the TT sample between the two-doublet fit shown in Figure 3.6, and a single-doublet fit is shown in Figure D.1. The quality of fit for the two-doublet model is superior to that of the single-doublet model. With two doublets, the peak envelope (black line) matches the data very well; however, when using only one doublet, the peak envelope (pink shaded area) does not fully represent the data (green and yellow shaded areas). Such an asymmetric lack of fitting cannot be rectified by lineshape changes and hence, two doublets are required.

Also, in a study of other samples of CAS, which were grown by different techniques, the two-doublet model applied to the S 2p regions of these samples also produced a more satisfactory fit; the results of which are shown in Figure D.2. This demonstrates that there is strong evidence for the accuracy of the application of this model, and that the extra doublet is a feature of the material itself, rather than remnant from the growth, or other changes.

Furthermore, the two-doublet model results in a ratio between the doublets of 1:1: expected because of the mixing ratio of the different coordination environments in the crystal structure.

![Figure D.1: XPS S 2p region of the CAS sample measured in Chapter 3, demonstrating the quality of fit when using one or two S 2p doublets. Peak envelope of the two doublet model shown in black, peak envelope of the one doublet model shaded in pink, with the difference between this envelope & the experimental data shaded in green & yellow.](image)

---

*Under- and over-fitting both on the same side of the peak features.*

*b See Figure 3.1.*
**D.2 Extra Data for Chapter 4**

### D.2.1 XPS CL Fitting Procedure for Bismuth & Sulphur

Because of the complexity of the overlapping region of the Bi 4f and S 2p doublets for the CBS sample in Chapter 4, the S 2s and Bi 4d regions were also recorded in order to corroborate the fitting. These two regions should be representative of the main doublets, because, as they all lie within a similar KE regime for the ejected photoelectrons, their IMFP and photoelectron escape depths are similar, and therefore the ratios between the area of a bulk species and surface species should be the same.

In order to create a robust fit, some assumptions must be made in order to prevent an unmanageable number of variables. First, it was assumed that any peaks not arising from CBS will not shift BE due to cleaning, and will only change either in intensity, FWHM, or both. Therefore, these were fixed to the BE that was measured in the sample before surface cleaning, which was used to generate the fitting model because here the contaminant peaks are largest and this is where one has most confidence in the fitted BE.

Second, the number of species of an element is the same no matter which orbital is being used, and the ratios between the species within an orbital will be the same when considering different

---

* Shown in Figure 4.6.
* CuBiS$_3$.
* Bi$_2$O$_3$ or S-O.
Appendix D: Extra Data

orbitals. This will be true for the areas of the peaks, because although the photoionisation cross sections will be different between orbitals, they are the same within an orbital. This will also be true for the FWHM of the peaks, because although the core-hole lifetimes will be different for the different species and different orbitals, it will be the same difference between the orbitals as the instrumental broadening remains the same throughout. Hence, for the other corroborative regions, these ratios were fixed.

Bearing these assumptions in mind, the fitting procedure used for the Bi and S peaks for CBS can be summarised as follows:

1. Determine the number, FWHM ratio and area ratio of S peaks for the sample before cleaning using the S 2s region.
2. Translate these peaks into S 2p doublets using appropriate constraints.
3. Determine the number, FWHM ratio and area ratio of Bi peaks for the sample before cleaning by modelling the remaining intensity with Bi 4f doublets.
4. Translate these doublets into Bi 4d doublets using appropriate constraints.
5. Translate all the above steps to the sample after cleaning to determine the effect of cleaning in terms of peak intensities and BE shifts for the peaks associated with CBS.

The sample before surface cleaning was chosen to be fitted first as these spectra contain the greater number of prominent peak crests, giving better confidence to the number of peaks chosen. The S 2s region was fitted first, as this would give the number of small S 2p peaks present in the overlap region, allowing more confidence in the number and positions of the larger Bi 4f peaks. Three peaks were determined in the S 2s region for the sample before cleaning, as shown in the bottom spectra in Figure D.3. This then reduced to two peaks after cleaning, where one of the peaks is almost insignificant. The assignments for these peaks are in agreement with those for S 2p that are described in §4.3.2.

![Figure D.3: XPS S 2s region of the CBS sample from Chapter 4 before & after surface cleaning. Peak envelope shown in black.](image-url)
The S 2s peaks are then converted to S 2p doublets, and are fixed with regards to area and FWHM ratios. The remaining area in the overlap region is then taken up by Bi 4f doublets. An initial model used two doublets for the sample before cleaning, one for Bi in CBS (red dash) and another at higher BE for oxidised bismuth (pink dot), these two doublets are evident from the peak crests seen in Figure D.4.

Attempting to optimise the fit described above for the Bi 4f & S 2p region of the sample before cleaning resulted in an unsatisfactory fit for several reasons, which are illustrated in the top spectra of Figure D.4. There is always missing intensity at low BE around 157 eV (α), the S 2p doublet attributed to S-C (green shading) fits with a BE (β) that is too close to the BE of the S 2p doublet associated with CBS (red shading) to be in agreement with the literature, and several other features (γ) where the model either under- or overcompensates the experimental data. This suggests that another Bi doublet is present because of the missing intensity around 157 and 163 eV, which also corresponds to the doublet separation for Bi 4f. A doublet around these BE would be consistent with metallic bismuth, and reasons for this assignment are discussed in §4.3.2. Confidence in this extra species is heightened by the fact that without it, line shape and background alterations would not give a better fit. With this doublet included (blue dash dot), a much more robust fit is achieved, as shown in the middle spectrum of Figure D.4, and it is this model which is propagated to the clean sample, which

![Figure D.4: XPS Bi 4f & S 2p overlap region of the CBS sample from Chapter 4 with (middle spectrum) & without (top spectrum) including a contribution from metallic bismuth. Shown in the bottom spectrum is the Bi 4f region from an independently measured Bi foil. Peak envelopes shown in black.](image)

---

1 Using the parameters detailed in §4.2.2.

2 Three S 2p doublets and two Bi 4f doublets.

3 Also shown in Figure D.4 is a metallic bismuth spectrum from an independently measured Bi foil (blue data).
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also gives a satisfactory fit\(^1\). The area of the metallic bismuth peaks are small, and as such do not affect the BE of the other peaks. It is noted that the line shape of metallic species should be asymmetric\(^{166}\); however, because of the relative intensity of this species and the added complexity associated with asymmetrical line shapes, a symmetrical line shape models this species satisfactorily. The assignments for the peaks in this region can be found in §4.3.2.

The Bi 4d region was fitted only as corroboration to the peak model adopted in the overlap region and not as a dictatorial region like the S 2s region. This is because there are many reports on the S 2s region being used as an alternative\(^{332,367,369,378-387}\), but few on using the Bi 4d region\(^{369}\). Hence, there is less confidence in the fitting used here. Also, the Bi 4d region has a poorer SNR because the intensity is lower and also, as evidenced in Figure D.5, the width of these peaks is very large, meaning that peaks will overlap and as such, there will be less confidence using this region for an initial fit. Nevertheless, a corroborative fit was achieved, which is in agreement with the model used for the overlap region. Due to the size of the metallic bismuth peak (blue dash dot), the BE was constrained to the standard reference energy for metallic Bi 4d\(_{5/2}\) at 440 eV\(^{355}\), in agreement with the Bi 4f\(_{7/2}\) peak located at the standard value for metallic bismuth as well. Background subtraction proved difficult here because the region lies toward the lower BE side of the Cu LMM Auger features, creating a steep background, as can be seen in Figure D.5. As such, a Shirley background did not result in a good fit, so a Tougaard background was used\(^{162}\). It is believed that a modified Shirley background would provide the best fit; however, such rigour is unnecessary for this region. Using the appropriate constraints\(^j\), reasonable fits were achieved for the sample both before and after cleaning, strengthening confidence in the fit within the overlapping region. The largest peak in this region (red dash) corresponds to Bi in CBS, as it is in the overlap region, and the peak at higher BE (pink dot) is due to Bi\(_2\)O\(_3\): in agreement the literature\(^{369}\).

Figure D.5: XPS Bi 4d region of the CBS sample from Chapter 4 before & after surface cleaning. Peak envelope shown in black.

\(^1\) See Figure 4.6.

\(^j\) As detailed above and using the parameters detailed in §4.2.2.
D.2.2 Binding Energies for the Secondary Regions

The binding energies of the fitted peaks in §D.2.1 for the S 2s and Bi 4d regions for the CBS sample from Chapter 4 are detailed in Table D.1, with the binding energies for all of the fitted peaks in this study given in Table 4.1, Table 4.2, Table 4.3, & Table 4.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cu$_3$BiS$<em>3$ Bi 4d$</em>{5/2}$</th>
<th>Bi$_2$O$<em>3$ Bi 4d$</em>{5/2}$</th>
<th>Contamination Bi 4d$_{5/2}$ (S-C) (S-O)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before Cleaning</td>
<td>441.63 (3.54)</td>
<td>225.71 (1.87)</td>
<td>440.00 (4.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Cleaning</td>
<td>441.47 (4.22)</td>
<td>225.84 (1.75)</td>
<td>440.00 (4.51)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D.2.3 Calculated XRD Pattern

In Chapter 4, the calculated diffraction pattern for wittichenite Cu$_3$BiS$_3$ was presented, calculated using the method in §2.6.2. Here, the data generated from these calculations are given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20 (*)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
<th>20 (*)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
<th>20 (*)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.70</td>
<td>13.26</td>
<td>37.33</td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>51.78</td>
<td>10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.05</td>
<td>12.35</td>
<td>37.39</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>52.32</td>
<td>15.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>42.12</td>
<td>38.42</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>52.81</td>
<td>12.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.02</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>39.02</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>53.12</td>
<td>7.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.58</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>39.55</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>54.23</td>
<td>9.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.53</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>41.23</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>54.27</td>
<td>8.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.61</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>41.36</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>54.37</td>
<td>12.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.99</td>
<td>41.79</td>
<td>42.93</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>54.63</td>
<td>7.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.79</td>
<td>16.91</td>
<td>44.31</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>57.34</td>
<td>6.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.98</td>
<td>49.06</td>
<td>45.21</td>
<td>21.37</td>
<td>57.67</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.98</td>
<td>38.56</td>
<td>47.23</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>58.35</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.25</td>
<td>26.14</td>
<td>47.74</td>
<td>10.60</td>
<td>60.05</td>
<td>7.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>47.81</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>61.37</td>
<td>12.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.71</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>47.89</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>61.58</td>
<td>5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.78</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>48.38</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>63.84</td>
<td>8.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.81</td>
<td>48.40</td>
<td>49.89</td>
<td>30.74</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.77</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>50.25</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>67.29</td>
<td>7.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.92</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>51.73</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D.3 Extra Data for Chapter 6

D.3.1 Calculated XRD Patterns

In Chapter 6, calculated diffraction patterns were presented, calculated using the method in §2.6.2. Here, the data generated from these calculations are given.

**Sphalerite ZnS**

Table D.3: Calculated reflections & intensities for sphalerite\(^\text{294}\) ZnS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(2\theta) (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.10</td>
<td>11.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.52</td>
<td>60.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.38</td>
<td>40.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Herzenbergite SnS**

Table D.4: Calculated reflections & intensities for herzenbergite\(^\text{251}\) SnS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(2\theta) (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
<th>(2\theta) (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>57.13</td>
<td>45.56</td>
<td>38.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>51.15</td>
<td>11.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.55</td>
<td>70.15</td>
<td>51.37</td>
<td>20.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.66</td>
<td>35.08</td>
<td>54.33</td>
<td>18.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>95.16</td>
<td>56.54</td>
<td>13.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.08</td>
<td>28.67</td>
<td>56.76</td>
<td>35.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Berndtite SnS\(_2\)**

Table D.5: Calculated reflections & intensities for berndtite\(^\text{423}\) SnS\(_2\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(2\theta) (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>85.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.31</td>
<td>28.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.22</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.01</td>
<td>62.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.12</td>
<td>26.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.64</td>
<td>19.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.16</td>
<td>20.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.84</td>
<td>15.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ottemannite Sn$_2$S$_3$

Table D.6: Calculated reflections & intensities for ottemannite$^{430}$ Sn$_2$S$_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20 (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
<th>20 (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
<th>20 (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.62</td>
<td>15.28</td>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>40.97</td>
<td>42.71</td>
<td>14.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.10</td>
<td>60.77</td>
<td>31.86</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>46.89</td>
<td>68.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.48</td>
<td>71.20</td>
<td>32.53</td>
<td>26.50</td>
<td>48.05</td>
<td>14.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.71</td>
<td>27.52</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>24.69</td>
<td>48.51</td>
<td>28.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.56</td>
<td>29.78</td>
<td>33.78</td>
<td>39.45</td>
<td>49.43</td>
<td>21.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.70</td>
<td>22.09</td>
<td>37.93</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>53.63</td>
<td>12.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.79</td>
<td>44.71</td>
<td>39.87</td>
<td>14.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.87</td>
<td>20.61</td>
<td>40.13</td>
<td>52.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Covellite CuS

Table D.7: Calculated reflections & intensities for covellite$^{573}$ CuS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20 (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27.13</td>
<td>10.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.68</td>
<td>14.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.28</td>
<td>45.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.79</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.87</td>
<td>44.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.85</td>
<td>10.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.93</td>
<td>72.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.67</td>
<td>16.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.34</td>
<td>42.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Chalcocite Cu$_2$S

Table D.8: Calculated reflections & intensities for chalcocite$^{351}$ Cu$_2$S.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$2\theta$ (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
<th>$2\theta$ (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
<th>$2\theta$ (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.81</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>32.74</td>
<td>10.51</td>
<td>37.42</td>
<td>33.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.83</td>
<td>14.38</td>
<td>32.86</td>
<td>38.32</td>
<td>37.48</td>
<td>35.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.75</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>33.58</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>38.61</td>
<td>14.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.88</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>33.59</td>
<td>13.93</td>
<td>38.64</td>
<td>18.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.89</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>34.21</td>
<td>15.16</td>
<td>38.64</td>
<td>12.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.20</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>40.21</td>
<td>14.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.22</td>
<td>12.87</td>
<td>35.41</td>
<td>13.24</td>
<td>40.81</td>
<td>33.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.98</td>
<td>17.34</td>
<td>35.44</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>45.95</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>17.13</td>
<td>35.52</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>46.53</td>
<td>20.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.24</td>
<td>14.55</td>
<td>36.23</td>
<td>24.18</td>
<td>48.37</td>
<td>44.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.26</td>
<td>14.57</td>
<td>36.27</td>
<td>20.83</td>
<td>48.40</td>
<td>45.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.25</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td>36.37</td>
<td>14.83</td>
<td>48.47</td>
<td>17.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.28</td>
<td>18.26</td>
<td>37.37</td>
<td>37.15</td>
<td>53.63</td>
<td>18.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.37</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>37.40</td>
<td>32.87</td>
<td>53.81</td>
<td>10.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CTS

Table D.9: Calculated reflections & intensities for CTS$^{319}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$2\theta$ (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28.46</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.97</td>
<td>16.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.33</td>
<td>58.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.15</td>
<td>41.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.88</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kesterite CZTS

Table D.10: Calculated reflections & intensities for kesterite\textsuperscript{514,641} CZTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2θ (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
<th>hkl</th>
<th>2θ (°)</th>
<th>Intensity (Norm. %)</th>
<th>hkl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.34</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>002</td>
<td>47.33</td>
<td>39.04</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.25</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>50.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.13</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>50.46</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.45</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>51.08</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.66</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>53.27</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.94</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>56.10</td>
<td>27.47</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.02</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>56.20</td>
<td>13.66</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.98</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>56.81</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.91</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>56.86</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.70</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>58.87</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.91</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>61.58</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.97</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>62.13</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>321/107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.28</td>
<td>19.59</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>64.11</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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