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ABSTRACT

In modern systems, submarine channel-lobe transition zones (CLTZs) show a well-documented assemblage of depositional and erosional bedforms. In contrast, the stratigraphic record of CLTZs is poorly constrained, because preservation potential is low, and criteria have not been established to identify depositional bedforms in these settings. Several locations from an exhumed fine-grained base-of-slope system (Unit B, Laingsburg depocentre, Karoo Basin) show exceptional preservation of sandstone beds with distinctive morphologies and internal facies distributions. The regional stratigraphy, lack of a basal confining surface, wave-like morphology in dip section, size, and facies characteristics support an interpretation of subcritical sediment waves within a CLTZ setting. Some sediment waves show steep (10-25°) unevenly spaced (10-100 m) internal truncation surfaces that are dominantly upstream-facing, which suggests significant spatio-temporal fluctuations in flow character. Their architecture indicates individual sediment wave beds accrete upstream, in which each swell initiates individually. Lateral switching of the flow core is invoked to explain the sporadic upstream-facing truncation surfaces, and complex facies distributions vertically within each sediment wave. Variations in bedform character are related to the axial to marginal positions within a CLTZ. The depositional processes documented do not correspond with known bedform development under supercritical conditions. The proposed process model departs from established mechanisms of sediment wave formation by emphasising the evidence for subcritical rather than supercritical conditions, and highlights the significance of lateral and temporal variability in flow dynamics and resulting depositional architecture.

INTRODUCTION

Bedforms are rhythmic features that develop at the interface of fluid flow and a moveable bed (e.g. Southard, 1991; Van der Mark et al., 2008; Baas et al., 2016). Sediment waves are a type of long-wavelength (tens of m to kms) depositional bedform that vary in grain size from mud- to gravel-dominated, linked to their depositional setting (Fig. 1) (Wynn & Stow, 2002). They have been
identified in numerous modern channel-lobe transition zones (CLTZs) (Normark & Dickson, 1976; Damuth, 1979; Lonsdale & Hollister, 1979; Normark et al., 1980; Piper et al., 1985; Malinverno et al., 1988; Praeg & Schafer, 1989; Howe, 1996; Kidd et al., 1998; Morris et al., 1998; McHugh & Ryan, 2000; Migeon et al., 2001; Normark et al., 2002; Wynn & Stow, 2002; Wynn et al., 2002a, b; Heiniö & Davies, 2009), where they form part of a distinctive assemblage of depositional and erosional bedforms (Mutti & Normark, 1987, 1991; Normark & Piper, 1991; Palanques et al., 1995; Morris et al., 1998; Wynn et al., 2002a, b; Macdonald et al., 2011). However, the detailed sedimentological and stratigraphic record of sediment waves from CLTZ and channel-mouth settings is not widely documented.

Vicente Bravo & Robles (1995) described hummock-like and wave-like depositional bedforms from the Albian Black Flysch, NE Spain. The hummock-like bedforms (5 to 40 m wavelength and a few decimetres to 1.5 m high) were interpreted to be genetically related to local scours. The wave-like bedforms (5 and 30 m wavelength and a few cm to 0.7 m high) seen in longitudinal sections exhibit symmetric to slightly asymmetric gravel-rich bedforms. Ponce & Carmona (2011) identified sandy conglomeratic sediment waves with amplitudes up to 5 m and wavelengths ranging between 10 to 40 m at the northeast Atlantic coast of Tierra del Fuego, Argentina. Ito et al. (2014) described medium- to very coarse-grained sandstone tractional structures from a Pleistocene canyon-mouth setting within the Boso Peninsula, Japan, with wavelengths up to 40 m and crest heights up to 2 m. These coarse-grained examples from Japan, Argentina, and Spain lack detailed internal facies descriptions and structure. Data on long wavelength finer-grained sediment waves in the rock record are largely missing (Fig. 1), ascribed to their wavelength and poor exposure potential (Piper & Kontopoulos, 1994). Modern examples that are dominantly fine grained (silt to mud) and show substantial wavelengths (Fig. 1) are typically interpreted as large supercritical bedforms (Symonds et al., 2016), similar to cyclic steps. This is due to observations from geophysical data of their short lee-sides and long depositional stoss-sides, and apparent single bedform structures with upstream sediment wave migration as a sinusoidal wave (Cartigny et al., 2014; Hughes-Clark, 2016; Covault et
Indeed, upstream migration of sediment waves is taken as an indicator of bedform evolution under supercritical flow conditions (Symonds et al., 2016). However, the processes responsible for the inception and morphological evolution of sediment waves within CLTZ settings remain poorly constrained, and high-resolution observations of their sedimentology are needed to explore the balance of subcritical and supercritical processes in their inception, evolution, and depositional record.

Here, we aim to improve understanding of sediment wave development in CLTZs through studying multiple stratigraphic sections from well-constrained base-of-slope systems (Unit B, Laingsburg depocentre, Karoo Basin) where distinctive fine to very-fine-grained sandstone depositional bedforms with complex architecture, facies and stacking patterns are exposed. The objectives are: 1) to document and interpret the depositional architecture and facies patterns of these sandstone bedforms, 2) to discuss the topographic controls on their inception, 3) to propose a process model for sediment wave development under subcritical rather than supercritical flow conditions, and, 4) to consider the controls on the preservation potential of sediment wave fields in channel-lobe transition zones.

**REGIONAL SETTING**

The southwest Karoo Basin is subdivided into the Laingsburg and the Tanqua depocentres. The Ecca Group comprises a ~2 km-thick shallowing-upward succession from distal basin-floor through submarine slope to shelf-edge and shelf deltaic settings (Wickens, 1994; Flint et al., 2011). The deep-water deposits of the Karoo Basin have a narrow grain size range from clay to upper fine sand. Within the Laingsburg depocentre (Figs 2A and 3A), Unit B, the focus of this study, is stratigraphically positioned between underlying proximal basin-floor fan deposits of Unit A (e.g. Sixsmith et al., 2004; Préalat & Hodgson, 2013) and the overlying channelised slope deposits of the Fort Brown Formation (Unit C-G; e.g. Hodgson et al., 2011; Van der Merwe et al., 2014). Unit B comprises a 200 m thick section at the top of the Laingsburg Formation (Grecula et al., 2003; Flint et al., 2011; Brunt et al.,
2013), and is subdivided in three subunits, B1, B2 and B3 (Fig. 3A; Flint et al., 2011; Brunt et al., 2013). Unit B is well-exposed for more than 350 km² providing both down dip and across strike control (Brunt et al., 2013) with over 15 km long exposed sections along the limbs of the Baviaans and Zoutkloof synclines and Faberskraal anticline (Fig. 2A). The study area is situated between well-defined up-dip slope channels and down-dip basin-floor lobes (Figs 3B and 3C; Grecula et al., 2003; Pringle et al., 2010; Brunt et al., 2013). Therefore, the palaeogeographic setting is interpreted to be a base-of-slope setting, where CLTZ-elements are more likely to be preserved (Figs 3B and 3C).

**METHODOLOGY AND DATASET**

Two areas of Unit B exposure were studied in detail: one located in the southern limb of the Zoutkloof Syncline (Doornkloof) and one located in the southern limb of the Baviaans Syncline (Old Railway) (Fig. 2). Stratigraphic correlations using closely-spaced sedimentary logs (m’s to tens of m’s), photomontages, and walking out key surfaces and individual beds with a handheld GPS enabled construction of architectural panels. Where the exposure allowed collection of sub-metre-scale sedimentary logs individual beds were correlated over multiple kilometres. Within the Doornkloof area (Fig. 2B) 11 long (>20-200 m) sedimentary logs, supported by 31 short (<5 m) detailed sedimentary logs, were collected along a 2 km long E-W section. Particular emphasis was placed on bed-scale changes in facies to construct detailed correlation panels. Additionally, a research borehole drilled 330 m north of the studied outcrop section (DK01; 460983-6331775 UTM; Hofstra, 2016) intersected the lower 92 m of Unit B (Figs 2A and 2B). Within the Old Railway area (Fig. 2C), eight short and closely spaced (5-20 m distance) detailed sedimentary sections were collected. Palaeocurrents were collected from ripple-laminated bed tops and re-orientated, with 117 palaeoflow measurements at Doornkloof and 87 from the Old Railway area.

**FACIES AND ARCHITECTURE**

Both study areas contain sandstone-prone packages that comprise bedforms with substantial downdip thickness and facies changes without evidence for confinement by an incision surface.
rate of thickness change and the range of sedimentary facies are markedly different from that
documented in basin-floor lobes (e.g. Prélat & Hodgson, 2013). Bed thicknesses change (metre scale)
in a downstream-orientated direction on short spatial-scales (tens of metres), compared to lateral
continuous bed thickness (hundreds of metres) known from lobes (e.g. Prélat et al., 2010). Similarly,
facies change markedly over metre scales, in contrast to lobes where facies changes are transitional
over hundreds of metres (e.g. Prélat et al., 2009). Depositional bedforms in both study areas are
present within a sandstone-prone (>90%) package of dominantly medium-bedded structured
sandstones, interbedded with thin-bedded and planar-laminated siltstones. The grain size range is
narrow, from siltstone to fine-grained sandstone, with a dominance of very-fine-grained sandstone.

Facies characteristics

The sedimentary facies within the bedforms are subdivided into four types: structureless (F1),
banded to planar-laminated (F2), small-scale bedform structures (F3), and mudstone clast
conglomerates (F4).

F1: Structureless sandstones show minimal variation or internal structure and are uniform in
grainsize (fine-grained sandstone). Locally, they may contain minor amounts of dispersed sub-
angular mudstone clasts (1-10 cm in diameter) and flame structures at bed bases.

Interpretation: These sandstones are interpreted as rapid fallout deposits from sand rich high-
density turbidity currents (Kneller & Branney, 1995; Stow & Johansson, 2000; Talling et al., 2012)
with mudstone clasts representing traction-transported bedload. Flame structures at the bases of
structureless beds are associated with syn-depositional dewatering (Stow & Johansson, 2000).

F2: Banded and planar-laminated sandstones show large variations in character. The differentiation
between planar-laminated and banded facies is based on the thickness and character of the laminae
or bands. In banded sandstones, the bands are 0.5-3 cm thick and defined by alternations of clean
sand bands, and dirty sand bands rich in mudstone clasts and/or plant fragments. Planar-laminations
show <1 cm thick laminae that are defined by clear sand-to-silt grain-size changes. Furthermore,
bands can be wavy or convolute, show substantial spatial thickness variations (<1 cm) at small (<1 m) spatial scales, and exhibit subtle truncation at the bases of darker bands. Banded facies are mudstone clast-rich where close to underlying mudstone clast conglomerates. In some places, banded sandstone beds can be traced upstream into mudstone clast conglomerates. Where this facies is observed, bed thicknesses typically exceed 0.5 m.

Interpretation: Planar-lamination and banding are closely associated, and in many cases are difficult to distinguish. This suggests that their depositional processes are closely related and are therefore combined here into a single facies group. Planar laminated sandstones can be formed under dilute flow conditions via the migration of low-amplitude bedwaves (Allen, 1984; Best & Bridge, 1992), or under high-concentration conditions from traction carpets (Lowe, 1982; Sumner et al., 2008; Talling et al., 2012; Cartigny et al., 2013). The banded facies may be formed as traction carpet deposits from high-density turbidity currents and are comparable to the Type 2 tractional structures of Ito et al. (2014) and the H2 division of Haughton et al. (2009). Deposits related to traction carpets can show significant variation in facies characteristics (e.g. Sohn, 1997; Cartigny et al., 2013). Alternatively, the banded facies may represent low-amplitude bedwave migration that formed under mud-rich transitional flows (Baas et al., 2016).

F3: Fine-grained sandstones with decimetre-scale bedform structures. The majority (~80%) of this facies is represented by climbing ripple-lamination, commonly with stoss-side preservation. Locally, small-scale (wavelengths of decimetre-scale, and heights of a few cm) bedforms are present that show convex-up laminae, biconvex tops, erosive to non-erosive basal surfaces, and laminae that can thicken downwards (Figs 4A and 4C). In some cases, the bedforms show distinct low-angle climbing (Fig. 5A). Isolated trains of decimetre-scale bedforms are present between banded/planar-laminated facies (Figs 4B and 4C), whereas those exhibiting low-angle climbing can form above banded/planar-laminated sandstone and in some cases transition into small-scale hummock-like features (Fig. 4A). These hummock-like bedforms consist of erosively based, cross-cutting, concave- and convex-up,
low- to high-angle (up to 25°) laminae sets (Fig. 4A). They have decimetre to centimetre wavelengths, and amplitudes up to 10 cm. Locally, internal laminae drape the lower bounding surfaces and these tend to be low angle surfaces, whereas elsewhere laminae downlap onto the basal surface, typically at higher angles (Fig. 4A). Where laminae are asymmetric they have accreted in a downslope direction.

Furthermore, sinusoidal laminations are observed (Fig. 4A) with exceptional wavelengths (>20 cm) and angles-of-climb (>45°) in comparison to conventional stoss-side preserved climbing ripples (15-45°; 10-20 cm). These features also differ from convolute laminae/banding as they do show a consistent wavelength and asymmetry. However, it is difficult to consistently make clear distinctions between stoss-side preserved ripples and sinusoidal laminations. Hence, they are grouped together into ‘wavy bedform structures’.

F3 facies is most common at bed tops, but is also observed at bed bases, where laterally they are overlain by an amalgamation surface. Locally, mudstone clasts (<1-4 cm) have been observed within ripple-laminated segments.

Interpretation: Climbing ripple-lamination is interpreted as high rates of sediment fallout with tractional reworking from flows within the lower flow regime (Allen, 1973; Southard & Boguchwal, 1990). The mudstone clasts are interpreted to be the result of overpassing of sediments on the bed (Raudkivi, 1998; Garcia, 2008). When sedimentation rate exceeds the rate of erosion at the ripple reattachment point, the stoss-side deposition is preserved and aggradational bedforms develop (Allen, 1973). This is indicative of high rates of sediment fallout (Jopling & Walker, 1968; Allen, 1973; Jobe et al., 2012), attributed to rapid flow deceleration from moderate-to-low concentration turbidity currents (Allen, 1973). Sinusoidal lamination is interpreted as a type of climbing ripple lamination, marked by very high sedimentation rates, leading to similarity in thickness between stoss and lee sides (Jopling & Walker, 1968; Allen, 1973; Jobe et al., 2012).
The more convex bedforms (Figs 4A and 4C) bear similarities with washed out ripples that are formed under high near-bed sediment concentration conditions at the transition from ripples to upper stage plane beds in very fine sands (Baas & de Koning, 1995), and with combined-flow ripples that have rounded tops and convex-up lee slopes (Harms, 1969; Yokokawa et al., 1995; Tinterri, 2011). In turbidites, these bedforms have been termed ‘rounded biconvex ripples with sigmoidal laminae’, and have been associated with reflected flow facies where turbidity currents have interacted with topography (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011; Zecchin et al., 2013; Tinterri & Tagliaferri, 2015). A third possibility is that these are decimetre-scale stable antidunes since these can exhibit biconvex tops and in some cases convex-up cross-lamination (Alexander et al., 2001; Cartigny et al., 2014; Fedele et al., 2017), although these bedforms may also frequently show concave laminae (Cartigny et al., 2014). Typically, antidune laminae dip upstream (e.g., Alexander et al., 2001; Cartigny et al., 2014), although downstream migrating antidunes are known from both open-channel flows (e.g., Kennedy, 1969) and gravity currents (Fedele et al., 2017).

The ‘hummocky-type’ structures (Fig. 4A) with high-dip angles (up to 25°), draping of laminae, and limited variation in laminae thickness, show similarities with anisotropic hummocky cross stratification (HCS) from combined oscillatory-unidirectional flows (e.g., Dumas et al., 2005; Dumas & Arnott, 2006). Maximum dip angles of laminae in strongly anisotropic HCS can be around 25-30° (Dumas et al., 2005; Dumas & Arnott, 2006) much higher than for symmetrical forms, which are typically less than 15° (Harms et al., 1975; Tinterri, 2011). However, thickening and thinning of laminae are expected in HCS (Harms et al., 1975) and are not clearly observed in the hummocky-like bedforms here. Such HCS-like hummocky bedforms have been interpreted from basin plain turbidites to be related to reflected flows from topographic barriers (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011). Hummock-like bedforms in turbidites have also been interpreted as antidunes (e.g., Skipper, 1971; Prave & Duke, 1990; Cartigny et al., 2014). Antidunes are typically associated with concave upward erosive surfaces, extensive cross-cutting sets if they are unstable antidunes, bundles of upstream dipping laminae (if upstream migrating), laminae with low dip angles, low angle
terminations against the lower set boundary, some convex bedding, and structureless parts of fills (e.g., Alexander et al., 2001; Cartigny et al., 2014; Fedele et al., 2017). The hummock-like bedforms in the present study share many similarities with these antidunes, however there is an absence of structureless components, the draping of surfaces is more pronounced and more typical of HCS, the approximately parallel nature of laminae within sets is more pronounced and the number of laminae is greater. Furthermore, set bundles accrete downstream suggesting that if these are antidunes then they are downstream-migrating forms. In summary, the hummock-like bedforms show greater similarity to those HCS-like structures described from reflected flows (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011), rather than features associated with downstream migrating antidunes.

The observed combination of biconvex ripples and anisotropic hummock-like features, and the transitions between these bedforms in some vertical sections, is also in agreement with that observed in some turbidity currents interacting with topography (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011), further suggesting that the hummock-like features may be related to combined flows, rather than the product of antidunes. This possibility of topographic-interaction induced hummock-like and biconvex ripple forms is discussed further, after the topography of the sediment waves is introduced.

F4: Mudstone clast conglomerate deposits form discrete patches (<20 m long and <0.3 m thick), which commonly overlie erosion surfaces. Mudstone clasts (<1 cm – 10 cm diameter) vary from subangular to well-rounded. They are dominantly clast supported with a matrix of fine-grained sandstone.

Interpretation: Mudstone clast conglomerates are interpreted as lag deposits (e.g. Stevenson et al., 2015) from energetic and bypassing high-density turbidity currents.

Bed architecture and facies distribution: Doornkloof – Subunit B1
At Doornkloof (Fig. 2), subunit B1 has an average thickness of ~5 m (Fig. 5) and comprises thin- to thick-bedded sandstones, thin-bedded siltstones and lenticular mudstone clast conglomerates (0.1-0.3 m thick, 1-70 m wide) (Figs 5 and 6A-E). There are substantial variations in bed thicknesses and sandstone-to-siltstone proportions along the 1.5 km long dip section (Fig. 5). Locally, medium- to thick-bedded sandstones occur, which comprise bedforms within a package of thin-bedded siltstones and sandstones. These bedforms show regional changes to more tabular thin-bedded sandstones and siltstones (log 01/log 08, Fig. 5). Within the exposed section (~2 km), there are three sandstone-prone bedform-dominated sections (200 m to 300 m in length) separated by siltstone-prone sections (150 to 400 m in length), which have an overall tabular appearance (Fig. 6). The DK01 core (Figs 5 and 6) is located 330 m to the north of the western limit of Section I where subunit B1 is a ~5 m thick package of interbedded thin structured sandstones and laminated siltstones (Fig. 6). Multiple erosion surfaces are present at the base, and overall in the DK01 core the subunit B1 succession fines- and thins-upward. Palaeoflow of the B1 subunit is dominantly ENE-orientated (082°) (Fig. 2B) but shows some deviation within the eastern part of the section (log 42 – Figs 2B and 5) towards the NNE (023°).

The medium- to thick-bedded sandstones within the sandstone-prone sections of Section I, orientated (079°-259°) subparallel to palaeoflow, show large lateral variations in thickness and facies. The bedforms comprise structureless (F1), planar-laminated to banded (F2), and ripple-laminated (F3) sandstones (Fig. 6A-E). The facies, architecture and thickness changes of one amalgamated bed (Bedform a) are described in detail (Fig. 5). Bedform a thickens (up to 2.5 m) and thins (<20 cm) multiple times, forming a down-dip pinch-and-swell morphology. Locally, the base of Bedform a is marked by shallow erosion (<0.5 m deep; <30 m long) and in some places is amalgamated with the underlying sandstone beds (Figs 5 and 7). Where Bedform a exceeds 0.5 m in thickness, banded (F2) sandstone facies is dominant, and is in some places underlain by structureless (F1) divisions, or exhibits climbing ripple-lamination at the bed top (F3). Where Bedform a is thin (<0.5 m thick), it is dominated by climbing-ripple lamination (F3). Below Bedform a, lenses of mudstone conglomerate...
(<30 m long; 5-30 cm thick) can be observed at various locations over the complete section. In some locations (e.g. log 16/18, Fig. 5), banded sandstone (F2) beds (Fig. 6D) can be observed intercalated with mudstone clast conglomerate lenses (Fig. 7). These banded beds pinch out or show a transition towards mudstone clast conglomerates upstream, and are amalgamated with Bedform a downstream. At the same stratigraphic level as Bedform a, the DK01 core shows one pronounced 20 cm thick bed with angular mudstone clasts (<1-5 cm diameter) that can be correlated to Bedform a.

In Bedform a, six truncation surfaces (10-25°) are identified within the eastern limit of the section (Fig. 5), at places where the bedform exceeds 1 m in thickness. All truncation surfaces are sigmoid-shaped and flatten out upstream and downstream within the bed (Fig. 6E). One eastward (downstream) orientated truncation surface (Fig. 6B) in the lower part of the bed is observed at log 17 (Fig. 5). However, sigmoidal westward (upstream) facing truncation surfaces are most common in the upper portion of the bed and are spaced 15-20 m apart. They cut banded (F2) and ripple-laminated (F3) sandstone facies, and are sharply overlain by banded sandstone facies (F2) with bands aligned parallel to the truncation surface, or by climbing-ripple laminated segments (Fig. 6E). Abrupt upstream thinning (SW) and more gradual downstream thickening (NE) give Bedform a, an asymmetric wave-like morphology in dip section. Small-scale bedforms (F3) are solely present at the top of the wave-like morphology, and dominantly comprise climbing-ripple lamination, with occasional wavy bedforms (stoss-side preserved climbing ripples and/or sinusoidal laminations) at the thicker sections of the bedform (Fig. 5). At abrupt bed thickness changes associated with steep westward-facing truncation surfaces (>15°) (logs 16/19/21, Fig. 5), shallow scour surfaces (<0.35 cm) can be observed that cut into the top surface of Bedform a, overlain and onlapped by thin-bedded siltstones and sandstones. Within the banded facies (F2), isolated lenses of ripple-lamination (F3) are present (up to 30-40 cm long and 10 cm thick) (Fig. 5 – log 19). Mudstone and siltstone clasts (0.2-5 cm diameter) dispersed throughout structureless (F1) sections are typically well rounded, and rarely sub-angular. At the eastern limit of Section I, stratigraphically below Bedform a, another ‘pinch-and-swell’ sandstone bed abruptly increases in thickness downstream where Bedform a is amalgamated.
with this bed below (log 21, Fig. 5). Where the bed thickens, Bedform a thins abruptly (log 23/24, Fig. 5). The thin-bedded and siltstone-prone deposits overlying Bedform a show more laterally constant geometries, thicknesses and facies.

At the upstream end (SW) of Section 1, around log 02-07 (Fig. 5, middle panel), a package of sandstone beds thickens locally (>100 m long, <5 m thick) above Bedform a (Fig. 8). Bedform a pinches and swells multiple times within this log 02-07 interval to a maximum of 0.5 m thickness and comprises similar facies as downstream (F1, F2, F3), but lacks internal truncation surfaces. The bed directly above Bedform a thickens where Bedform a thins and vice versa (Fig. 8). Sandstone beds above both this bed and Bedform a, in the top of the package, show only limited thickness variations (~10 cm) and dominantly comprise climbing ripple-laminated sandstone (F2). All sandstone beds above Bedform a either pinch-out or show a facies transition towards fine siltstone in both western and eastern directions (Fig. 5).

Bed architecture and facies distribution: Doornkloof – Subunit B2

The sandstone bed morphology and facies characteristics at the base of subunit B2 share many affinities with the deposits described within subunit B1 (Fig. 9). Palaeoflow of subunit B2 is generally NE-orientated (040°) (n=68; Figs 2B and 9B) but with a high degree of dispersion, and a shift from ENE (062°) in the western part of the section, to more northwards in the middle (19°) and eastern part of the section (030°). This indicates that the section is dominantly subparallel to palaeoflow (dip section) (Fig. 2B). Subunit B2 dominantly comprises medium-bedded (0.1-0.5 m thick) structured sandstone (Fig. 9B). Closely spaced logs (m’s to tens of m’s) collected from the main face at the base of B2 (Section II – Fig. 2B) permit tracing out of individual beds over a distance of 230 m and tracking of internal facies changes (Fig. 6F-J). Two beds (Bedform b and Bedform c) change in thickness (0.5-2 m for Bedform b and 0.3-1.2 m for Bedform c) and contain multiple internal truncation surfaces of which six are westward (upstream) facing and one is eastward (downstream) facing. Truncation surfaces cut climbing ripple-laminated facies (F3) and banded facies (F2) with maximum angles...
varying between 20-30° that shallow out and merge with the base of the bed (Figs 6G, 6H and 6J).

They flatten out in the downstream direction within the bed and are overlain by banded sandstone facies (F2). In Bedform b, the rate of westward thinning is more abrupt than eastward, giving an asymmetric wave-like morphology (Fig. 9B). This abrupt westward thinning is coincident with locations of westward (upstream) orientated truncation surfaces. In the eastern part, 110 m separates two truncation surfaces, in an area associated with bed thinning. However, towards the western part of Bedform b, there is only 25-30 m between the westward (upstream) orientated truncation surfaces, with no abrupt bed thinning.

There is a high degree of longitudinal and vertical facies variability within Bedform b and c (Figs 4 and 9B). Commonly, longitudinal facies changes are accompanied by bed thickness changes. Locally, the bases of thicker parts of the bedforms are mudstone clast-rich. Bed tops show small-scale bedform structures (F3) at most locations. Banded sandstone facies overlie the truncation surfaces (Figs 6G, 6H and 6J). Ripple-laminated facies (F3) within the middle or lower parts of Bedform b and c indicate flow directions that deviate (NW to N) from the regional palaeoflow (NE) (Figs 4A, 6F and 6H), whereas the palaeoflow direction of the ripples at the top of the bedforms are consistent with the regional palaeoflow. Detailed analysis of well-exposed sections (Fig. 4) indicates that many laminated and banded sections are wavy and separated by low angle truncation or depositional surfaces. Locally, small-scale bedform structures (F3) are present in patches (Figs 4B and 4C) (<10 cm thick; couple of metres wide), which show downstream and/or upstream facies transitions to banded/planar-laminated facies (F2), as well as examples of flame structures (Fig. 4C). The small-scale bedform structures (F3) show a lot of variability, with hummock-like features observed above biconvex ripples at both the downstream end of swells, and directly below truncation surfaces at the upstream end of swells (Fig. 4A). Additionally, both hummock-like features and biconvex ripples have been observed at the base of Bedform b (log 38; Fig. 9B). Similar to Bedform a, Bedform b & c show wavy bedform structures at the top of swells, particularly where they are the thickest. Bedform b is topped in the easternmost exposure by a scour surface that cuts at least 0.5 m into Bedform b.
and is amalgamated with an overlying pinch-and-swell sandstone bed (Fig. 9B). Medium- to thin-bedded structured sandstones are present above and below Bedform b and c, which do not show any facies or thickness changes over the exposed section.

The basal succession of subunit B2 in the DK01 core, at the same stratigraphic level as Bedform b and c, comprises thick-bedded structureless (F1) to banded (F2) (>3 m) sandstones. Bed bases are sharp and structureless and contain a variable amount of mudstone clasts (<1 cm). The middle to upper parts of these beds show banded facies (F2) with clear mudstone clast-rich and -poor bands, which pass through wavy lamination to climbing ripple (F3) and planar lamination at bed tops.

Above Section II, in both outcrop and core, a 15 m thick sandstone package shows a substantial increase in bed thicknesses (max. 4.5 m), mainly due to bed amalgamation (Fig. 9A). Some of these beds show a wave-like (asymmetric) morphology, similar to that observed in Bedforms b and c.

Abrupt bed thinning or pinch-out is common. These pinch-outs are primarily associated with depositional geometry, with rare examples of bed truncation by erosion surfaces. Bounding surfaces can be identified within the sandstone package, which are defined by successive upstream depositional bed pinch-out points (Fig. 10), with local (<2 m long) shallow (<0.3 m) erosion surfaces. These bounding surfaces separate multiple packages of downstream shingling (three to four) sandstone beds. The packages of pinch-and-swell beds are stacked in an aggradational to slightly upstream orientated manner (Fig. 10) and are topped by a >60 m thick package of tabular and laterally continuous medium- to thin-bedded structured sandstones. At the same interval in the DK01 core a transition can be observed from thick- to medium-bedded, dominantly banded (F2), sandstones towards more medium- to thin-bedded structured (F3) sandstones.

**Bed architecture: Old Railway – Subunit B2**

At this locality on the southern limb of the Baviaans Syncline, the lower 10 m of subunit B2 is exposed for 100 m EW (Fig. 2C). Here, B2 is a medium- to thin-bedded sandstone-prone unit that shows substantial lateral thickness changes without evidence of a basal erosion surface (Fig. 11).
Mean palaeoflow is ESE (121°) (Fig. 2C), indicating the exposure is sub-parallel to depositional dip.

The sandstone beds are dominantly climbing ripple laminated (F3), with some banded/planar laminated (F2) and structureless divisions (F1).

Multiple climbing ripple laminated beds contain dispersed small mudstone and siltstone clasts (Fig. 11C). The section is characterised by an alternation of beds showing typical pinch-and-swell geometries (0.5-2 m) and more tabular thin-bedded (<0.5 m) sandstones. Locally, individual beds pinch-and-swell multiple times over a distance of ~40 m, with wavelengths varying from 15 m to >40 m. Where there are swells, bed bases truncate underlying beds (Fig. 11D). Siltstones comprise only ~10% of the succession and are thin-bedded and planar-laminated, with intercalated thin very fine-grained sandstones (<1 cm).

Towards the top of the section, a 40 cm thick very fine-grained sandstone bed abruptly fines and thins downstream to a centimetre-thick siltstone bed (Fig. 12). This bed thickens and thins along a ~20 m distance (Fig. 12) forming sandstone lenses, before regaining original thickness (40 cm).

Locally, within this zone, the bed longitudinally grades to siltstone and is perturbed from the top by decimetre-scale scour surfaces (0.2-3 m long, couple of cm’s deep). At log 04 (Fig. 11A), a bed that pinches downstream has a downstream-orientated scour on its top surface, which is overlain by thin-bedded sandstones and siltstones that pass upstream beyond the confines of the scour surface.

A downstream thickening bed with an erosive base truncates these beds. The majority of the observed pinch-and-swell bedforms stack in a downstream direction (Fig. 11A). However, in the middle of the package at log 1, one bed stacks in an upstream manner, giving the overall package an aggradational character. This is similar to the stacking patterns observed within subunit B2 at the Doornkloof section (Fig. 10).

Sediment waves within channel-lobe transition zones

The Doornkloof and Old Railway sections show bedforms with clear pinch-and-swell morphology that are subparallel to flow direction. These bedforms developed in a base-of-slope setting without
any evidence of a large-scale basal confining surface. Bed-scale amalgamation and scouring are
common in the two study areas, however the more significant component of downstream bed
thickness changes is depositional. Their geometry and dimensions (>1 m height; 10-100 m
wavelength), support their classification as sediment waves (Wynn & Stow, 2002). The bedforms
described from the Doornkloof area (Beds a-c) show clear asymmetric pinch-and-swell
morphologies, related to internal upstream-facing truncation surfaces (Figs 5 and 9). The well-
constrained base-of-slope setting (Brunt et al., 2013), the lack of confining erosion surfaces, and the
lobe-dominated nature of Unit B downdip (Figs 3B and 3C) are consistent with an interpretation that
the sediment waves formed within a CLTZ setting.

**DISCUSSION**

**Topographic control on sediment wave inception**

The interpreted CLTZ setting for the sediment waves means that initial deposition is most likely
related to flow expansion at the channel-mouth (e.g. Hiscott, 1994a; Kneller, 1995; Mulder &
Alexander, 2001). The occurrence of abrupt downstream bedform thickening (e.g. Bedform a, Fig. 5),
indicates a marked decrease in flow capacity resulting in a temporary increase of deposition rates
(e.g. Hiscott, 1994a). Although deposition is expected in areas of flow expansion, this does not
explain why sediment wave deposition appears to be localised (e.g. log 02-07; Fig. 5). Both the
inception and development of the sediment waves are interpreted to be related to the presence of
seabed relief (dm’s to m’s amplitude). Seabed irregularities are common in base-of-slope settings,
and minor defects (such as scours lined with mudstone clast conglomerates; Fig. 7) could have
triggered deposition from flows close to the depositional threshold (Wynn et al., 2002a). The
presence of bedforms overlying swells of older bedforms, such as at the upstream location of
Bedform a (Figs 5 (logs 2-7) and 8) or the sediment waves overlying Bedform b in subunit B2 (Fig. 10),
suggest that relief of older bedforms, and consequent flow deceleration, may also act as a nucleus
for later sediment wave development. The locally observed decimetre-scale deep scours probably
had a more variable effect on sediment wave development. In some cases it resulted in topographic
relief that could help sediment wave nucleation (e.g. log 4, Fig. 11) and in other cases the scours
remove positive depositional relief (e.g. Fig. 12) and therefore they will have a slight negative effect
on sediment wave nucleation. The aggradational character of the sediment wave packages (Figs 10
and 11A) supports a depositional feedback mechanism. Depositional bedforms form positive
topography, which may help to nucleate sites of deposition and the development of composite
sediment waves forming the complicated larger-scale sediment wave architecture (Figs 10 and 11A).

Bed-scale process record

The sediment wave deposits from CLTZ settings in Unit B are diverse and show significant facies
variations on the sub-metre scale. The characteristics of the sediment wave deposits from the two
Unit B datasets are discussed and compared.

Bed-scale process record - Doornkloof section

Facies of the sediment waves identified at the Doornkloof section are characterised by an
assemblage of structureless (F1), banded and planar laminated (F2), and climbing ripple laminated
(F3) sandstones. Local patches of structureless sandstone facies (F1) (Figs 5 and 9B) at bed bases,
suggest periods of more enhanced deposition rates (e.g. Stow & Johansson, 2000). However, the
sediment waves are dominated by banded facies, likely related either to traction-carpet deposition
(Sumner et al., 2008; Cartigny et al., 2013) or low-amplitude bedwave migration under transitional
flows (Baas et al., 2016). This suggests deposition from high concentration flows during bedform
development. The high degree of F2 variation (band thickness, presence of shallow truncations,
wavy nature) is explained by: 1) turbulent bursts interacting with a traction carpet (Hiscott, 1994b);
2) waves forming at the density interface between a traction carpet and the overlying lower-
concentration flow, possibly as a result of Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities (Figs 4 and 6) (Sumner et al.,
2008; Cartigny et al., 2013); 3) the presence of bedwaves and associated development beneath
mixed-load, mud-rich, transitional flows (Baas et al., 2016), or some combination of these processes. There is a strong spatial and stratigraphic relationship between mudstone clast conglomerates (F4) (Figs 7 and 8) and banded sandstone facies (F2) with a high proportion of mudstone clasts. As the deposits underlying the shallow erosion surfaces are predominantly siltstones, the mudstone clast materials must have been entrained farther upstream, and are therefore interpreted as lag deposits from bypass-dominated high-concentration flows (e.g. Stevenson et al., 2015). As scours are typically documented upstream of sediment waves in modern CLTZs (Wynn et al., 2002a), the source of these mudstone clasts is likely linked to local upstream scouring, supported by the angularity of the clasts (Johansson & Stow, 1995). The transition from banded facies (F2) to climbing ripple-laminated facies (F3), common at the top of individual beds, likely represents a change from net depositional high concentration flows, to steady deposition from moderate to low concentration flows, and / or a corresponding change from mud-rich transitional flows to mud-poor flows. The dominance of this facies group (F3) at bed tops (Figs 5 and 9B) is interpreted as the product of less-energetic and more depositional tails of bypassing flows.

To understand the process record and evolution of the Unit B sediment waves, it is important to be able to distinguish the record of a single flow event from a composite body comprised of deposits from multiple flow events. The majority of the observed bed thickness changes within the sediment waves at the Doornkloof section are attributed to depositional relief although internally they show steep internal truncation surfaces (Figs 5, 6 and 9). The erosion surfaces may suggest that this depositional architecture is the result of multiple depositional and erosional flow events. However, several lines of evidence suggest these are deposits produced from a single flow event. The preservation of upstream-facing truncation surfaces (Figs 5 and 9B), implies a significant component of bedform accretion at the upstream end (Figs 13 and 14A). To be able to preserve upstream younging truncation surfaces with angles up to 25° (close to the angle-of-repose), the erosion and deposition within each bedform is likely to be the result of a single flow event. Within subunit B2, no bed splitting is observed and all truncation surfaces of Bedform b and c merge towards the bed base.
as a single surface (Fig. 9B), leaving underlying strata untouched. This suggests an origin from a single flow event for the entire bedform.

In subunit B1, all upstream facing truncation surfaces in the main sandstone body of Bedform a merge onto a single surface within the composite deposit, in a similar manner to Bedform b and c, further suggesting a single flow origin for the main sediment wave morphology. Additionally, Bedform a can be followed out for ~1 km in the upstream direction, and shows many small-scale (<5 m longitudinal distance) purely depositional undulations at the western end (Figs 5 and 8). These flow parallel undulations are stratigraphically equivalent to the deposits above the most upstream truncation surface and therefore, represent the youngest depositional phase of Bedform a development. The absence of erosion surfaces or bedding planes between these undulations further suggests that the main body of Bedform a was formed as a single event bed. The evidence therefore supports the initiation and development of each wave-like bedform in the Doornkloof section (Bedform a, b and c) to be during the passage of a single flow event. Therefore, the internal scour surfaces and bedform undulations are interpreted to be the result of spatio-temporal flow fluctuations from a single flow event. In contrast, the mudstone clast patches that underlie Bedform a show upstream pinch-out of sandstone beds and downstream amalgamation (Fig. 7) indicating multiple flow events formed these patches and the lower sandstone body prior to the initiation of the main bedform. The presence of these mudstone clast patches results in a marked difference in bedform architecture and bed thickness for Bedform a compared to Bedform b and c.

Bed-scale process record - Old Railway section

In the Old Railway section (Fig. 11), erosional bed bases and bed amalgamation are common, particularly where there is depositional thinning of underlying beds, indicating that the ‘pinch-and-swell’ bedforms present at this section are the result of multiple flow events in contrast to the Doornkloof area. However, bed amalgamation has limited impact on bedform thickness, as thickness increase dominantly occurs downdip of the point of amalgamation and is therefore of a depositional
nature. The Old Railway bedforms classify as sediment waves (Wynn & Stow, 2002) with dimensions of 15 to >40 m wavelength (extending outside outcrop limits) and 1-2 m amplitude. However, the maximum bed thicknesses (1-1.5 m) are more limited than at the Doornkloof area (>2.5 m), climbing ripple-laminated facies (F3) is more dominant, and banded facies (F2) are almost absent. The sediment waves have a more uniform facies distribution and there is an absence of internal truncation surfaces (Fig. 11). The dominance of F3 indicates rapid deposition from dilute turbulent flows, which contrasts with the Doornkloof area.

Subcritical sediment waves: comparison with supercritical bedforms

The Doornkloof and Old Railway outcrops are both characterised by composite sediment waves. However, there are distinct differences between both areas. The Old Railway examples exhibit comparatively simple sediment waves, composed of multiple event beds, and dominated by lower flow-regime facies (F3) such as climbing ripple-lamination, accrete downstream, and lack significant internal erosive surfaces. Morphologically, stoss sides can be comparable to or longer than lee sides (Fig. 11). In contrast, the Doornkloof sediment waves were formed as single event beds and are characterized by short stoss sides, long lee sides, and exhibit erosion and more energetic facies (F1, F2, F4), with climbing ripple deposition (F3) becoming more dominant at the top of the beds (Fig. 13A). The Doornkloof waves migrate upstream through erosional truncation and draping at bed swelling locations (up to >10 m; Fig. 9) followed by the development of another bed swell upstream (Fig. 13A). This means that each swell initiates individually, rather than simultaneously as a sinusoidal wave.

The architecture of the Doornkloof sediment waves most closely resembles the smaller-scale type II and type III antidunal bedforms described by Schminke et al. (1973). However, these bedform architectures, which are an order of magnitude smaller, are interpreted to migrate through stoss-side deposition by supercritical flows based on the field observations, and have never been
produced experimentally. In contrast, Kubo & Nakajima (2002) and Kubo (2004) observed sediment wave architectures with short stoss sides, long lee sides and variable wavelengths, similar to the Doornkloof sediment waves, under subcritical flow conditions in physical and numerical experiments. The depositional patterns of these sediment waves were defined by upstream migration of waveforms by individual growing mounds (Kubo & Nakajima, 2002; Kubo, 2004), and are therefore highly analogous to the observations from the Doornkloof waves.

The nature and variability of small-scale bedform structures (F3) (e.g., Fig. 13A for the Doornkloof waves) provide key indicators of flow type. This facies group consists of climbing ripples, sinusoidal lamination, biconvex ripples, and hummock-like structures, with biconvex ripples sometimes transitioning upwards into the hummocks. Climbing ripples and sinusoidal lamination are indicators of subcritical flow (Allen, 1973; Southard & Boguchwal, 1990), and the biconvex ripples and hummock-like structures have greater affinities with combined-flow ripples and hummocky cross stratification than with antidunes, again suggesting deposition under subcritical flow conditions. In particular, the vertical change from biconvex ripples to hummock-like bedforms observed in the Doornkloof sediment waves is strongly analogous to structures associated with reflected flows in other turbidites (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011), rather than deposits associated with supercritical flow conditions. The presence of topography in the form of the large-scale sediment wave may have led to flow reflection (Tinterri, 2011) and deflection as and when the flow waned. Importantly, these subcritical small-scale bedforms are observed over the full length of the sediment waves, both on the stoss- and lee-side, at Doornkloof and the Old Railway (Figs 5, 9 and 11). This indicates subcritical deposition occurred across the entire sediment wave, and that the flow remained subcritical throughout the depositional period over which the decimetre bedforms were formed.

The morphology and architecture of the sediment waves in this study contrast with large supercritical bedforms, such as cyclic steps, since these exhibit short erosional lee-sides and long depositional stoss-sides (Cartigny et al., 2014; Hughes-Clark, 2016), and display upstream sediment
wave migration as a sinusoidal wave (Cartigny et al. 2014). Additionally, the sediment waves described here are not single bedform structures such as described from supercritical bedforms (e.g., Cartigny et al., 2014; Covault et al., 2017), but are composed of stacked smaller-scale bedforms. The spatial and temporal extent of subcritical deposits also contrasts strongly with ‘supercritical’ bedforms where subcritical deposition can be expected only in some or all of the stoss-side, downdip of a hydraulic jump (Vellinga et al., 2018). Furthermore, tractional subcritical bedforms are predicted to be limited to the downstream parts of the stoss side in aggradational cyclic steps, or to be mixed-in with supercritical and non-tractional subcritical facies in transportational cyclic steps (Vellinga et al., 2018; their Fig. 9). Note that decimeter-scale bedforms themselves could not be modelled in the CFD simulations of Vellinga et al. (2018). Lastly, the overall signature of subcritical deposits within dominantly supercritical bedforms was one dominated by amalgamation of concave-up erosional surfaces and low-angle foresets and backsets creating lenticular bodies (Vellinga et al., 2018). These bodies scale with the size of the overall bedform, and the backsets show clear downstream fining (Vellinga et al., 2018). Again, the sediment waves studied herein show radically different architecture to that formed in cyclic steps, characterised by stacked decimeter-scale bedforms and an absence of large-scale (scaling with the sediment wave) foresets, backsets and lenticular bodies.

In summary, the morphology, architecture, composite nature, and small-scale bedform types, all indicate that the sediment waves were clearly deposited under subcritical conditions. The subcritical nature of these sediment waves, the observation of upstream accretion via deposition on the stoss side, and the associated upstream migration of the crestline, observed at Doornkloof, challenge the assumption that all upstream-orientated expansion of sediment waves is the product of supercritical conditions (Wynn & Stow, 2002; Symons et al., 2016). That said, the Doornkloof bedforms appear to have migrated sporadically over short distances (m’s to tens of m’s) through upstream accretion (Fig. 9B), before undergoing growth of new sediment wave lenses upstream, thus the entire bedform does not continuously migrate as observed in some modern sediment wave examples (e.g., Hughes-
Clark, 2016). The presence of these subcritical sediment waves in the downstream parts of CLTZs also challenges the idea that mid-sized fans, like those in the Karoo, likely exhibit flows close to critical Froude numbers, at and beyond the CLTZ (Hamilton et al., 2017), although such conditions are likely in upstream parts of CLTZ where scouring occurs.

Spatio-temporal flow fluctuations

The large-scale erosive truncations, and the wide variability of decimetre-scale bedforms in space and time, observed in the Doornkloof waves indicate marked spatio-temporal flow fluctuations from a single flow event. In contrast, the continuity of facies and absence of significant erosive surfaces suggests that the Old Railway sediment waves were formed by flows with very limited spatio-temporal variation. Here, we focus on these spatio-temporal fluctuations indicated by the Doornkloof waves, and later address the issue of how the different types of sediment waves shown in the Doornkloof and Old Railway outcrops could coexist.

Fluctuations in velocity and concentration can be expected in environments where turbidity currents exit confinement (e.g. Kneller & McCaffrey, 1999, 2003; Ito, 2008; Kane et al., 2009; Ponce & Carmona, 2011), and where flows pass over depositional and erosional relief on the seabed (e.g. Groenenberg et al., 2010; Eggenhuisen et al., 2011). Similar steep internal scour surfaces to those observed in the Doornkloof bedforms were interpreted to be generated by energetic sweeps from a stratified flow (Hiscott, 1994b). Furthermore, a similar depositional history of waxing and waning behaviour within a single flow was inferred from the sediment waves of the Miocene Austral foreland Basin, Argentina (Ponce & Carmona, 2011). However, the depositional model proposed by Ponce & Carmona (2011) assumes each independent lens-shaped geometry is created and reworked simultaneously, and subsequently draped as a result of flow deceleration. The Doornkloof sediment wave architecture cannot be explained by this process as the ‘lenses’ are clearly not disconnected (Figs 5 and 13). The distribution of truncation surfaces within the sediment waves of subunit B2 does
however suggest there can be both phases of upstream swell formation as well as upstream
migration of the crest line (e.g. Bedform c at log 34-35). To explain the large fluctuations in flow
concentration and depositional behaviour in CLTZ settings (Fig. 13), a number of factors can be
considered. Here, we consider each of these factors in turn, and assess their potential for explaining
the development of the sediment waves observed in this study.

**Flow splitting in updip channel-levée systems**

Waxing and waning flow behaviour can be induced by splitting of the flow in the channel-levée
system updip, where the primary ‘channelised’ flow may reach the sediment wave field earlier than
the secondary ‘overbank’ flow (Peakall *et al.*, 2000). However, this would imply significant velocity
and concentration differences and therefore significant depositional facies differences between the
two stages, which does not fit the observations (Figs 13 and 14A). Furthermore, it would not explain
the number of flow fluctuations interpreted within a single flow event bed (Figs 13 and 14A).

**Mixed load (sand-clay) bedforms**

An alternative explanation for the sediment wave architecture could be that these bedforms formed
by flows with sand-clay mixtures. Complicated bedform architectures with both erosional and
depositional components have been created experimentally (Baas *et al.*, 2016). However, there are a
number of issues with this hypothesis: 1) the bedforms described from the two case studies are one
to two orders of magnitude larger than the ‘muddy’ bedforms described within flume tanks (Baas
*et al.*, 2016), and 2) the presence of clean climbing ripple-lamination suggests that at least part of the
flow was not clay-rich during deposition (Baas *et al.*, 2013; Schindler *et al.*, 2015).

**Froude number fluctuations**

The net-depositional record of waxing and waning flow conditions (Fig. 14A) observed at a single
given location within the Doornkloof sediment waves (Fig. 13) could be hypothesised to be a record
of temporal fluctuations around the critical Froude number separating sub- and supercritical flow
However, the evidence for subcritical deposition across the full length of the sediment waves, and over the timescale of bedform development, demonstrates that fluctuations around the critical Froude number cannot be directly responsible for the formation of these sediment waves. That said, fluctuations in velocity and capacity within a subcritical flow downstream of a zone of hydraulic jumps may still play a role in controlling the observed sedimentation patterns. Fluctuations of the turbidity current Froude number are expected in areas of abrupt flow expansion such as at the base-of-slope (Garcia, 1993; Wynn et al., 2002b). Turbidity currents that undergo rapid transitions from supercritical to subcritical conditions forming a single hydraulic jump, or repeated hydraulic jumps across a CLTZ (Sumner et al., 2013; Dorrell et al., 2016), have been linked to bedform formation (Vicente Bravo & Robles, 1995; Wynn & Stow, 2002; Wynn et al., 2002b; Symons et al., 2016), and have been linked to the formation of erosive scours in upstream parts of CLTZs in the Karoo Basin (Hofstra et al., 2015). Due to the presence of multiple interacting hydraulic jumps across a CLTZ, Froude number fluctuations around unity may be expected (Sumner et al., 2013; Dorrell et al., 2016). Such velocity fluctuations would change the capacity of the flow (Fig. 14A), however whether this would translate to periodic changes in sediment concentration is less clear due in part to the lack of concentration measurements from natural and experimental subaqueous hydraulic jumps. That said, in turbidity currents generally, there is a close coupling between velocity and concentration changes (Felix et al., 2005). Fluctuating velocities, and potentially concentration, related to variations in Froude numbers around critical may enable complicated and variable bedform architectures to be formed.

The ‘hose effect’

A spatial control in flow character could also be invoked to explain the development of sediment waves, based on flow-deposit interactions and the momentum of the flow core (Fig. 14B). As a turbidity current exits channel confinement it does not directly lose its momentum (e.g. Choi & Garcia, 2001). The flow core may shift around during bedform aggradation due to interactions with
depositional and erosional relief around the channel-mouth. Most studies on flow-deposit
interactions focus on temporal changes in flow conditions (e.g. Kneller & McCaffrey, 2003;
Groenenberg et al., 2010), but rarely consider lateral changes within a single turbidity current
(Hiscott, 1994a). A single location within a sediment wave field may receive periods of high and low
energy linked to the lateral shifting of the flow core, where the energetic flow core can be linked to
periods of erosion and/or high concentration flow deposition, and the flow margin to deposition
from the less energetic and dilute parts of the flow. In this scenario, the upstream-orientated
truncation surfaces are the result of the interaction of the flow core with its self-produced obstacle
(Fig. 14B), linked to the inability to sustain the compensation process over time. Upstream
fluctuations in Froude number, related to an area of scour formation and hydraulic jumps, would
result in longitudinal waxing and waning flow behaviour downstream and could explain the
combination of both erosion and high concentration flow deposition of the flow core.

The compensational effects will form a stratigraphic record of fluctuating energy levels (Figs 13A and
14A). The lateral flow movement may explain deviation in palaeoflow direction between intra-bed
ripple-laminated intervals compared to sediment wave bed tops, observed within the Doornkloof
subunit B2 sediment waves (Figs 4A, 6F, 13 and 14), as it could represent (partial) flow deflection
affected by the evolving sediment wave morphology. Similar behaviour within a single unconfined
flow has been invoked in basin-floor settings of the Cloridorme Formation (Parkash, 1970; Parkash &
Middleton, 1970) and at levée settings of the Amazon Channel (Hiscott et al., 1997). The ‘hose
effect’ would result in a composite depositional record as the core of the flow sporadically moves
laterally, repeatedly superimposing high energy conditions onto lower energy conditions, therefore
explaining the inconsistency in sediment wave wavelengths. With this spatial process, the locus of
deposition will move laterally whilst the waning flow can lead to deposition progressively migrating
upstream. The hose effect may explain how sediment waves are able to build upstream accreting
geobodies without being deposited under supercritical conditions. The mechanism also provides an
explanation for the range and spatial variability of the observed small-scale bedform structures (F3),
and for the similarities with small-scale bedforms interpreted to have been formed by turbidity currents interacting with topography (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011). As the flow migrates laterally, flows will interact at an angle with the growing sediment wave, thus encouraging interaction of incident and reflected flow.

As noted earlier, there is strong field-evidence (Parkash, 1970; Parkash & Middleton, 1970; Hiscott et al., 1997) for the ‘hose effect’ mechanism. However, the hose effect has not been experimentally or numerically modelled, which reflects the ubiquity of bedform experiments in two-dimensional flumes, and a paucity of three-dimensional flow effects on bedform development.

**Spatio-temporal flow fluctuations - summary**

In summary, the combination of waxing and waning flow behaviour in the subcritical flow core, downstream of a zone of hydraulic jumps (Dorrell et al., 2016), as well as spatial compensational processes (hose effect) are invoked as the most probable mechanisms to explain the complicated architecture and facies patterns of the Doornkloof sediment waves.

**Spatial variations within a sediment wave field**

As noted earlier, there are major differences between the sediment waves at the Old Railway outcrop with a low degree of spatial and temporal variability, and the high spatio-temporal variability observed in the Doornkloof sediment waves. Here, we will attempt to explain such variation between sediment waves in the same system. One potential mechanism is the character of the feeder channel, including factors such as channel dimensions and magnitude of the incoming flows. However, previous studies (Brunt et al., 2013) suggest that the dimensions of feeder channels within the Unit B base-of-slope system were similar, implying that the character of sediment waves is unrelated to variations in feeder channel character.
Alternatively, the differences between the Doornkloof and Old Railway areas may be related to their position relative to the mouth of the feeder channel. A dominance of lower flow-regime facies (F3) such as climbing ripple-lamination is commonly associated with overbank or off-axis environments (e.g. Kane & Hodgson, 2011; Brunt et al., 2013; Rotzien et al., 2014). As the Old Railway is characterised by such facies, it could represent a fringe position through a sediment wave field (Fig. 15). In contrast, the Doornkloof section is characterized by erosion and more energetic facies (F1, F2, F4), suggesting it was situated in a more axial position in the sediment wave field (Fig. 15A).

Furthermore, within the Doornkloof area, climbing ripple deposition (F3) becomes more dominant at the top of the beds, likely reflecting progressive decrease in flow velocity and concentration (Figs 5, 8 and 9B). These spatial and temporal variations can be integrated with the hypothesised lateral shifting of the flow core (the hose effect). The hose effect is likely to have more influence on deposits within axial parts of the channel-mouth, such as within the Doornkloof area, where the flow is most powerful. In contrast, the lateral fringes of the channel-mouth are most likely subject to deposition from flow margins (Fig. 15B), such as at the Old Railway section. This results in more steady flow conditions and relatively uniform deposition of facies and explains the difference in characteristics between the Old Railway sediment waves, which are dominated by F3 facies and shows little evidence of erosion, and the Doornkloof sediment waves, which are dominated by F1 and F2 facies with substantial evidence of erosion.

The differences in the expression of the Unit B sediment waves suggest that the stratigraphic record of CLTZ environments exhibit substantial spatial variability. The process model shows that initial sediment wave architecture can involve both upstream orientated accretion (Doornkloof area), and downstream orientated accretion (Old Railway section), depending on the position with respect to the channel mouth. Despite the lack of 3D control on morphology, we predict that this variance in depositional behaviour between axial and fringe areas will have influence on planform crest morphology and will lead to the crest curvatures, which are commonly observed within the modern seafloor (e.g. Wynn et al., 2002b). Similar observations on the importance of spatial variation have
been made for the erosional bedform area (Fig. 15) of channel lobe transition zones (Hofstra et al., 2015).

**Preservation of sediment waves in channel lobe transition zones**

Two questions that remain unanswered are: 1) what conditions promoted stratigraphic preservation of the sediment waves in the examples herein, and 2) how likely is preservation of sediment waves in the stratigraphic record of channel lobe transition zones? Here, we interpret that the preservation of the sediment waves in the two field areas is related to the strongly aggradational character of subunits B1 and B2. This is also evident from the lobe deposits downdip that show strong aggradation and limited progradation (Fig. 3; Brunt et al., 2013), in comparison to lobe deposits elsewhere in the Karoo Basin (e.g., Hodgson et al., 2006; van der Merwe et al., 2014). Furthermore, subunit B1 is abruptly overlain by a regional mudstone aiding preservation, whereas subunit B2 is overlain by thick levée successions (subunit B3), marking the progradation of the slope system across the CLTZ (Brunt et al., 2013). This scenario has similarities to that proposed by Pemberton et al. (2016) who suggested that preservation of scours in a CLTZ was linked to a rapidly prograding slope system.

For sediment waves in CLTZ settings in general, there are several scenarios that can be proposed to facilitate their preservation. During system initiation at the start of a waxing-to-waning sediment supply cycle, possibly driven by a relative sea-level fall and initial slope incision, the position of the CLTZ on the base-of-slope might be relatively stable as slope conduits evolve prior to slope progradation. The stratigraphic record of the resulting deposits is likely limited in thickness, and probably preferentially associated with scour-fills (e.g., Pemberton et al., 2016). The position of the CLTZ could be fixed through physiographic features, such as a tectonic or diapiric break-in-slope, which would aid the stratigraphic preservation of the CLTZ. Several studies have shown that when submarine channel-levee systems avulse they do not return to their original route (e.g. Armitage et
al., 2012; Ortiz-Karpf et al., 2015; Morris et al., 2016), which would help to preserve sediment waves
in an abandoned CLTZ. The stratigraphic evidence for this control would be in the sediment waves
abruptly overlain by mudstone or thin-bedded successions indicative of overbank deposition. Finally,
the preservation potential of sediment waves in CLTZs will be higher at the point of maximum
regression/progradation of the system (Hodgson et al., 2016). Similar arguments were applied to the
preservation of scour-fills in CLTZ by Hofstra et al. (2015).

In summary, we hypothesise that preservation of sediment waves may require i) updip avulsion, ii)
represent the point of maximum system progradation, or iii) form during a period of relative spatial
stability, followed by system progradation. Subsequent rapid progradation of a slope system is then
important for long-term preservation, though an off-axis location relative to large-scale slope
channels is critical in order to avoid cannibalisation of the CLTZ deposits (e.g., Hofstra et al., 2015).

Such propagation of channel-levée systems (e.g. Hodgson et al., 2016), suggests that the
preservation potential of sediment waves in axial positions, for example the interpreted position of
the Doornkloof section, is lower than sediment wave deposits in fringe positions, such as the
interpreted position of the Old Railway section (Fig. 15A).

CONCLUSIONS

Detailed morphologies, architectures and facies of fine-sand grained sediment waves are reported
from an ancient channel-lobe transition zone. The sediment waves are constructed from banded and
planar-laminated sandstones, as well as from progressive aggradation of a range of small-scale
bedforms, including climbing ripples, sinusoidal lamination, biconvex ripples, and hummocky-like
structures, interpreted as the products of subcritical deposition, with periods of flow reflection and
deflection forming the biconvex ripples and hummocks. Morphologically, the sediment waves
exhibit long-lee sides, and short erosively-cut stoss sides, and show upstream accretion over short
distances (m’s to tens of m’s), punctuated by the upstream development of new sediment wave
lenses. Consequently, the observations from these exhumed deposits challenge some current models of sediment wave development, which suggest that entire sediment waves continuously migrate upstream under supercritical conditions. In particular, the outcrops demonstrate that the formation of sediment waves in an upstream direction, as well as upstream migration of crestlines, is not solely the product of supercritical flows, but can also occur in subcritical conditions. The progressive development of the sediment waves is argued to be the product of lateral migration of the expanding flow across the channel-lobe transition zone, potentially coupled to fluctuations in velocity and flow capacity related to upstream hydraulic jumps. Variations in sediment waves, from more complex forms with multiple erosive surfaces and complex internal facies, to simple accretionary forms with abundant climbing ripples, is linked to position across the channel-lobe transition zone, from axial to lateral fringes respectively. The preservation potential of sediment waves in CLTZs into the stratigraphic record is low due to subsequent system progradation and erosion. However, preservation is higher where there is updip avulsion and abandonment of a CLTZ, in off axis areas where sediment waves might be overlain by overbank sediments, and/or at the point of maximum system progradation.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Sediment wave dimensions (crest height versus wavelength) from modern and ancient systems grouped on the basis of type of dataset (A), setting (B) and grain size (C). Data taken from Normark & Dickson (1976); Winn & Dott (1977); Damuth (1979); Lonsdale & Hollister (1979); Piper et al. (1985); Malinverno et al. (1988); Praeg & Schafer (1989); Piper & Kontopoulos (1994); Vicente Bravo & Robles (1995); Howe (1996); Kidd et al. (1998); Morris et al. (1998); Nakajima et al. (1998); McHugh & Ryan (2000); Migeon et al. (2001); Wynn et al. (2002a,b); Normark et al. (2002); Ito & Saito (2006); Heinio & Davies (2009); Ito (2010); Mukti & Ito (2010); Campion et al. (2011); Ponce & Carmona (2011); Ito et al. (2014); Morris et al. (2014); Postma et al. (2014). Note that a lack of sand-prone sediment waves in modern examples can be ascribed to difficulties in retrieving piston cores within such sediments (e.g. Bouma & Boerma, 1968). The raw data are available as supplementary material to this manuscript.

Figure 2. (A) Location map of the Laingsburg depocentre within the Western Cape. The transparent overlay with black lining indicates the total exposed area of Unit B. Important outcrop areas are highlighted, including the sections studied in this paper: Doornkloof and Old Railway; white diamonds indicate locations discussed in Brunt et al. (2013). (B) Zoomed-in map of the Doornkloof section including palaeocurrent distributions, sub-divided into subunit B1 and subunit B2. The outcrop outlines are indicated by solid lines. Red line indicates Section I (Figure 5), blue line on DK-unit B2 represents Section II (Figure 9). (C) Zoomed-in map of the Old Railway section including palaeocurrent distributions.

Figure 3. (A) Simplified stratigraphic column of the deep-water stratigraphy within the Laingsburg depocentre, based on Flint et al. (2011). (B-C) Palaeogeographic reconstruction of subunit B2 (B) and subunit B1 (C) based on the regional study of Brunt et al. (2013). The two outcrop locations discussed in this paper are indicated by the diamonds.
Figure 4. Examples of internal bed structure and facies changes within subunit B2 (Doornkloof), with one example from Bedform c (A) and two from Bedform b (B and C) (see Fig. 9B for locations). All these examples show vertical internal facies changes, which include planar-lamination, wavy-lamination/banding and ripple-lamination.

Figure 5. Complete stratigraphic panel of the Doornkloof section showing the subdivision of Unit B, the location of the two detailed sedimentary sections (I, II), and the position of the DK01 core. The thin siltstone interval (TSI; Brunt et al., 2013) between the AB interfan and subunit B1 has been used as a stratigraphic datum. The middle correlation panel shows section I of subunit B1; the position of Bedform a and the palaeoflow patterns have been indicated, as well as the location of the correlation panel in Figure 8. The bottom correlation panel shows the detailed facies distribution within Bedform a and its internal truncation surfaces. Outcrop photograph locations shown in Figure 6 (A-D) and Figure 7 have been indicated.

Figure 6. Representative outcrop photographs from Section I and II and descriptive DK01 core log of subunit B1, with (A) Bedform a with ripple-top morphology on top of a local mudstone clast conglomerate deposit; (B) Eastward-orientated internal truncation surface (dotted line) in banded division within Bedform a; (C) Mudstone clast conglomerate layer below Bedform a; (D) Mudstone clast-rich banded section of Bedform a; (E) Westward-orientated internal truncation surface (dotted line) with climbing ripple-laminated facies within Bedform a; (F) Climbing ripple-lamination in between banded sandstone and sigmoidal lamination, as part of Bedform b; (G) Lower section of westward orientated truncation surface in Bedform b; (H) Upper section of westward orientated truncation surface in Bedform b; (I) Banded sandstone division in Bedform b; (J) West-facing truncation surface in Bedform c. See Figure 5 and Figure 9B for locations. Interpreted position of Bedform a is indicated (by an asterisk) within the DK01 core log.

Figure 7. Mudstone clast conglomerate patch at the bottom of Bedform a, with clean true-scale photopanel (top) and interpreted vertically exaggerated (Ve = 1.8) photopanel (bottom). It shows a
basal erosion surface overlying thin-bedded sandstones, multiple ‘floating’ sandstone patches, upstream orientated pinch-out and downstream orientated amalgamation. Location of photograph is shown in the lowest panel of Figure 5.

**Figure 8.** Facies correlation panel of local sandstone swell in subunit B1. *Bedform a* is located at the base of the package. Top panel shows its location within subunit B1. See middle panel of Figure 5 for more detailed facies correlation panel of the complete subunit B1, log locations, and lower panel of Figure 5 for symbol explanations.

**Figure 9.** (A) Panoramic view of the base of subunit B2 at the DK-section. The outlines of *Bedform b* and *c* are indicated with white lines. Numbers indicate the position of sedimentary logs. (B) Facies correlation of the II-section with *Bedform b* and *c*. The top panel shows the thickness variability of these beds and the surrounding stratigraphy, comprised of structured sandstones (ripple- or planar-laminated); the lower panel shows the internal facies distribution of *Bedform b* and *c*. Rose diagrams show palaeoflow measurements around Section II. Internal truncation surfaces and location of the facies photos shown in Figure 4 and Figure 6 (F-J) have been indicated. See Figure 2B and Figure 5 for location of section II and for meaning of log symbols.

**Figure 10.** Bedset architecture within the main subunit B2 outcrop face in the Doornkloof area. Bounding surfaces have been defined based on successive bed pinch-out with multiple (3-4) downstream-orientated stacked and weakly amalgamated bedforms.

**Figure 11.** Subunit B2 within the Old Railway area. A- Facies correlation panels of the section with bedform distribution (top) and facies distribution (bottom). B- Zoomed-in facies correlation panel of most eastern section with C – mudstone clasts within a climbing-ripple laminated bed, indicating sediment overpassing, and D – bed splitting indicating erosion and amalgamation. See Figure 2 for location and lowest panel in Figure 5 for meaning of log symbols. Location of Figure 12 is indicated.

**Figure 12.** Sketch of bed showing transient pinch-out to a thin siltstone bed (see Figure 11B for location), with (A1) pinch-out to siltstone, and (A2) local scouring of bed top.
Figure 13. (A) Idealised model to illustrate the variation in sedimentary structure within sediment wave swells in the Doornkloof area. (B) Interpretation of changes in depositional behaviour through time, linked to the observed internal facies changes in (A). T1-T7 refer to successive time periods, and show the evolution of the sediment waves, and what this means in terms of flow conditions over time. F1 consists of structureless sands.

Figure 14. (A) Process explanation of the upstream-orientated accretion process, linked to flow capacity changes over time. Flow capacity may be linked to temporal variations in velocity from upstream hydraulic jumps, and/or to the lateral migration of the flow, shown in part B. (B) Illustration of the inferred spatial contribution (hose effect) during formation of the sediment waves. Lateral migration of the flow core during a single event is linked to capacity changes at a single location, as well as the formation of new swells upstream. The steps are interlinked between A and B; ‘x’ marks the same location throughout. Step 5 represents another phase of erosion, and thus a return to step 2.

Figure 15. (A) Spatial division within a channel-lobe transition zone between a depositional bedform area (DB) and an erosional bedform area (EB) following Wynn et al. (2002a). Differences in sediment wave deposit facies and architecture are explained by spatial differences between the axis and fringe areas of the deposition-dominated fields (DB) of a CLTZ. (B) Sketch model showing how the ‘hose effect’ within an active flow will dominantly influence sediment wave development in axial areas.
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ABSTRACT

In modern systems, submarine channel-lobe transition zones (CLTZs) show a well-documented assemblage of depositional and erosional bedforms. In contrast, the stratigraphic record of CLTZs is poorly constrained, because preservation potential is low, and criteria have not been established to identify depositional bedforms in these settings. Several locations from an exhumed fine-grained base-of-slope system (Unit B, Laingsburg depocentre, Karoo Basin) show exceptional preservation of sandstone beds with distinctive morphologies and internal facies distributions. The regional stratigraphy, lack of a basal confining surface, wave-like morphology in dip section, size, and facies characteristics support an interpretation of subcritical sediment waves within a CLTZ setting. Some sediment waves show steep (10-25°) unevenly spaced (10-100 m) internal truncation surfaces that are dominantly upstream-facing, which suggests significant spatio-temporal fluctuations in flow character. Their architecture indicates individual sediment wave beds accrete upstream, in which each swell initiates individually. These depositional processes do not correspond with known bedform development under supercritical conditions. Lateral switching of the flow core is invoked to explain the sporadic upstream-facing truncation surfaces, and complex facies distributions vertically within each sediment wave. Variations in bedform character are related to the axial to marginal positions within a CLTZ. The depositional processes documented do not correspond with known bedform development under supercritical conditions. The proposed process model departs from established mechanisms of sediment wave formation by emphasising the evidence for subcritical rather than supercritical conditions, and highlights the significance of lateral and temporal variability in flow dynamics and resulting depositional architecture.

INTRODUCTION

Bedforms are rhythmic features that develop at the interface of fluid flow and a moveable bed (e.g. Southard, 1991; Van der Mark et al., 2008; Baas et al., 2016). Sediment waves are a type of long wavelength (tens of ms to kms) depositional bedform that vary in grain size from mud- to gravel-
dominated, linked to their depositional setting (Fig. 1) (Wynn & Stow, 2002). They have been identified in numerous modern channel-lobe transition zones (CLTzs) (Normark & Dickson, 1976; Damuth, 1979; Lonsdale & Hollister, 1979; Normark et al., 1980; Piper et al., 1985; Malinverno et al., 1988; Praeg & Schafer, 1989; Howe, 1996; Kidd et al., 1998; Morris et al., 1998; McHugh & Ryan, 2000; Migeon et al., 2001; Normark et al., 2002; Wynn & Stow, 2002; Wynn et al., 2002a,b; Heiniö & Davies, 2009), where they form part of a distinctive assemblage of depositional and erosional bedforms (Mutti & Normark, 1987, 1991; Normark & Piper, 1991; Palanques et al., 1995; Morris et al., 1998; Wynn et al., 2002a,b; Macdonald et al., 2011). However, the detailed sedimentological and stratigraphic record of sediment waves from CLTZ and channel-mouth settings is not widely documented.

Vicente Bravo & Robles (1995) described hummock-like and wave-like depositional bedforms from the Albian Black Flysch, NE Spain. The hummock-like bedforms (5 to 40 m wavelength and a few decimetres to 1.5 m high) were interpreted to be genetically related to local scours. The wave-like bedforms (5 and 30 m wavelength and a few cm to 0.7 m high) seen in longitudinal sections exhibit symmetric to slightly asymmetric gravel-rich bedforms. Ponce & Carmona (2011) identified sandy conglomeratic sediment waves with amplitudes up to 5 m and wavelengths ranging between 10 to 40 m at the northeast Atlantic coast of Tierra del Fuego, Argentina. Ito et al. (2014) described medium- to very coarse-grained sandstone tractional structures from a Pleistocene canyon-mouth setting within the Boso Peninsula, Japan, with wavelengths up to 40 m and crest heights up to 2 m. These coarse-grained examples from Japan, Argentina, and Spain lack detailed internal facies descriptions and structure. Therefore, the processes responsible for the inception and morphological evolution of sediment waves within CLTZ settings remain poorly constrained. Furthermore, data on long wavelength finer-grained sediment waves in the rock record are largely missing (Fig. 1), ascribed to their wavelength and poor exposure potential (Piper & Kontopoulos, 1994). In contrast to modern, modern examples that are dominantly fine grained (silt to mud) and show substantial wavelengths (Fig. 1) are typically interpreted as large supercritical bedforms (Symonds et al.,...
2016), similar to cyclic steps. This is due to observations from geophysical data of their short lee-sides and long depositional stoss-sides, and apparent single bedform structures with upstream sediment wave migration as a sinusoidal wave (Cartigny et al., 2014; Hughes-Clark, 2016; Covault et al., 2017). Indeed, upstream migration of sediment waves is taken as an indicator of bedform evolution under supercritical flow conditions (Symonds et al., 2016). However, the processes responsible for the inception and morphological evolution of sediment waves within CLTZ settings remain poorly constrained, and high-resolution observations of their sedimentology are needed to explore the balance of subcritical and supercritical processes in their inception, evolution, and depositional record.

Here, we aim to improve understanding of sediment wave development in CLTZs through studying multiple stratigraphic sections from well-constrained base-of-slope systems (Unit B, Laingsburg depocentre, Karoo Basin) where distinctive fine to very-fine-grained sandstone depositional bedforms with complex architecture, facies and stacking patterns are exposed. The objectives are: 1) to document and interpret the depositional architecture and facies patterns of these sandstone bedforms, and 2) to discuss their origin and formative processes, 2) to discuss the topographic controls on their inception, 3) to propose a process model for sediment wave development under subcritical rather than supercritical flow conditions, and, 4) to consider the controls on the preservation potential of sediment wave fields in channel-lobe transition zones.

REGIONAL SETTING

The southwest Karoo Basin is subdivided into the Laingsburg and the Tanqua depocentres. The Ecca Group comprises a ~2 km-thick shallowing-upward succession from distal basin-floor through submarine slope to shelf-edge and shelf deltaic settings (Wickens, 1994; Flint et al., 2011). The deep-water deposits of the Karoo Basin have a narrow grain size range from clay to upper fine sand. Within the Laingsburg depocentre, (Figs 2A and 3A), Unit B, the focus of this study, is stratigraphically positioned between underlying proximal basin-floor fan deposits of Unit A (e.g. Sixsmith et al., 2004;
Prélat & Hodgson, 2013) and the overlying channelised slope deposits of the Fort Brown Formation (Unit C-G; e.g. Hodgson et al., 2011; Van der Merwe et al., 2014). Unit B comprises a 200 m thick section at the top of the Laingsburg Formation (Grecula et al., 2003; Flint et al., 2011; Brunt et al., 2013), and is subdivided in three subunits, B1, B2 and B3 (Fig. 3A; Flint et al., 2011; Brunt et al., 2013). Unit B is well-exposed for more than 350 km² providing both down dip and across strike control (Brunt et al., 2013) with over 15 km long exposed sections along the limbs of the Baviaans and Zoutkloof synclines and Faberskraal anticline (Fig. 2A). The study area is situated between well-defined up-dip slope channels and down-dip basin-floor lobes (Fig. 3B and 3C; Grecula et al., 2003; Pringle et al., 2010; Brunt et al., 2013). Therefore, the palaeogeographic setting is interpreted to be a base-of-slope setting, where CLTZ-elements are more likely to be preserved (Fig. 3B and 3C).

**METHODOLOGY AND DATASET**

Two areas of Unit B exposure were studied in detail: one located in the southern limb of the Zoutkloof Syncline (Doornkloof) and one located in the southern limb of the Baviaans Syncline (Old Railway) (Fig. 2). Stratigraphic correlations using closely-spaced sedimentary logs, m's to tens of m's, photomontages, and walking out key surfaces and individual beds with a handheld GPS enabled construction of architectural panels. Where the exposure allowed collection of sub-metre-scale sedimentary logs individual beds were correlated over multiple kilometres. Within the Doornkloof area (Fig. 2B) 11 long (>20-200 m) sedimentary logs, supported by 31 short (<5 m) detailed sedimentary logs, were collected along a 2 km long E-W section. Particular emphasis was placed on bed-scale changes in facies to construct detailed correlation panels. Additionally, a research borehole drilled 330 m north of the studied outcrop section (DK01; 460983-6331775 UTM; Hofstra, 2016) intersected the lower 92 m of Unit B (Figs 2A and 2B). Within the Old Railway area (Fig. 2C), eight short and closely spaced (5-20 m distance) detailed sedimentary sections were collected.
Palaeocurrents were collected from ripple-laminated bed tops and re-orientated, with 117 palaeoflow measurements at Doornkloof and 87 from the Old Railway area.

**FACIES AND ARCHITECTURE**

Both study areas contain sandstone-prone packages that comprise bedforms with substantial downdip thickness and facies changes without evidence for confinement by an incision surface. The rate of thickness change and the range of sedimentary facies are markedly different from that documented in basin-floor lobes (e.g. Prélat & Hodgson, 2013). Bed thicknesses change (metre scale) in a downstream-orientated direction on short spatial-scales (tens of metres), compared to lateral continuous bed thickness (hundreds of metres) known from lobes (e.g. Prélat *et al.*, 2010). Similarly, facies change markedly over metre scales, in contrast to lobes where facies changes are transitional over hundreds of metres (e.g. Prélat *et al.*, 2009). Depositional bedforms in both study areas are present within a sandstone-prone (>90%) package of dominantly medium-bedded structured sandstones, interbedded with thin-bedded and planar-laminated siltstones. The grain size range is narrow, from siltstone to fine-grained sandstone, with a dominance of very-fine-grained sandstone.

**Facies characteristics**

The sedimentary facies within the bedforms are subdivided into four types: structureless (F1), banded to planar-laminated (F2), small-scale bedform structures (F3), and mudstone clast conglomerates (F4).

F1: Structureless sandstones show minimal variation or internal structure and are uniform in grainsize (fine-grained sandstone). Locally, they may contain minor amounts of dispersed sub-angular mudstone clasts (1-10 cm) in diameter and flame structures at bed bases.

Interpretation: These sandstones are interpreted as rapid fallout deposits from sand rich high-density turbidity currents (Kneller & Branney, 1995; Stow & Johansson, 2000; Talling *et al.*, 2012) with mudstone clasts representing traction-transported bedload. Flame structures at the bases of structureless beds are associated with syn-depositional dewatering (Stow & Johansson, 2000).
F2: Banded and planar-laminated sandstones show large variations in character. The differentiation between planar-laminated and banded facies is based on the thickness and character of the laminae or bands. In banded sandstones, the bands are 0.5-3 cm thick and defined by alternations of clean sand bands, and dirty sand bands rich in mudstone chipsclasts and/or plant fragments. Planar-laminations show <1 cm thick laminae that are defined by clear sand-to-silt grain-size changes. Furthermore, bands can be wavy or convolute, show substantial spatial thickness variations (<1 cm) at small (<1 m) spatial scales, and exhibit subtle truncation at the bases of darker bands. Banded facies are mudstone clast-rich where close to underlying mudstone clast conglomerates. Occasionally in some places, banded sandstone beds can be traced upstream into mudstone clast conglomerates. Where this facies is observed, bed thicknesses typically exceed 0.5 m.

Interpretation: Planar-lamination and banding are closely associated, and in many cases are difficult to distinguish. This suggests that their depositional processes are closely related and are therefore combined here into a single facies group. Planar laminated sandstones can be formed under dilute flow conditions via the migration of low-amplitude bedwaves (Allen, 1984; Best & Bridge, 1992), or under high-concentration conditions from traction carpets (Lowe, 1982; Sumner et al., 2008; Talling et al., 2012; Cartigny et al., 2013). The banded facies are interpreted as traction carpet deposits from high-density turbidity currents and are comparable to the Type 2 tractional structures of Ito et al. (2014) and the H2 division of Haughton et al. (2009). Deposits related to traction carpets can show large variation in facies characteristics (e.g. Sohn, 1997; Cartigny et al., 2013). Alternatively, the banded facies may represent low-amplitude bedwave migration that formed under mud-rich transitional flows (Baas et al., 2016).

F3: Fine-grained sandstones with decimetre-scale bedform structures. The majority (~80%) of this facies is represented by climbing ripple-lamination, commonly with stoss-side preservation. Locally, small-scale (wavelengths of decimetre-scale, and heights of a few cm) bedforms are present that show convex-up laminae, biconvex tops, erosive to non-erosive basal surfaces, and laminae that can

thicken downwards (Figs 4A and 4C). In some cases, the bedforms show distinct low-angle climbing (Fig. 5A). Isolated trains of decimetre-scale bedforms are present between banded/planar-laminated facies (Figs 4B and 4C), whereas those exhibiting low-angle climbing can form above banded/planar-laminated sandstone and in some cases transition into small-scale hummock-like features (Fig. 4A). These hummock-like bedforms consist of erosively based, cross-cutting, concave-and convex-up, low- to high-angle (up to 25°) laminae sets (Fig. 4A). They have decimetre to centimetre wavelengths, and amplitudes up to 10 cm. Locally, internal laminae drape the lower bounding surfaces and these tend to be low angle surfaces, whereas elsewhere laminae downlap onto the basal surface, typically at higher angles (Fig. 4A). Where laminae are asymmetric, they have accreted in a downslope direction.

Furthermore, sinusoidal laminations are observed (Fig. 4A) with exceptional wavelengths (>20 cm) and angles-of-climb (>45°) in comparison to conventional stoss-side preserved climbing ripples (15-45°; 10-20 cm). These features also differ from convolute laminae/banding as they do show a consistent wavelength and asymmetry. However, it is difficult to consistently make clear distinctions between stoss-side preserved ripples and sinusoidal laminations. Hence, they are grouped together into ‘wavy bedform structures’.

F3 facies is most common at bed tops, but is also observed at bed bases, where laterally they are overlain by an amalgamation surface. Locally, mudstone clasts (<1-4 cm) have been observed within ripple-laminated segments.

Interpretation: Climbing ripple-lamination is interpreted as high rates of sediment fallout with tractional reworking from flows within the lower flow regime (Allen, 1973; Southard & Boguchwal, 1990). The mudstone clasts are interpreted to be the result of overpassing of sediments on the bed (Raudkivi, 1998; Garcia, 2008). When sedimentation rate exceeds the rate of erosion at the ripple reattachment point, the stoss-side deposition is preserved and aggradational bedforms develop (Allen, 1973). This is indicative of high rates of sediment fallout (Jopling & Walker, 1968; Allen, 1973;
Jobe et al., 2012), attributed to rapid flow deceleration from moderate-to-low concentration turbidity currents (Allen, 1973). Sinusoidal lamination is interpreted as a type of climbing ripple lamination, marked by very high sedimentation rates, leading to similarity in thickness between stoss and lee sides (Jopling & Walker, 1968; Allen, 1973; Jobe et al., 2012).

The more convex bedforms (Figs 4A and 4C) bear similarities with washed out ripples that are formed under high near-bed sediment concentration conditions at the transition from ripples to upper stage plane beds in very fine sands (Baas & de Koning, 1995), and with combined-flow ripples that have rounded tops and convex-up lee slopes (Harms, 1969; Yokokawa et al., 1995; Tinterri, 2011). In turbidites, these bedforms have been termed ‘rounded biconvex ripples with sigmoidal laminae’, and have been associated with reflected flow facies where turbidity currents have interacted with topography (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011; Zecchin et al., 2013; Tinterri & Tagliaferri, 2015). A third possibility is that these are decimetre-scale stable antidunes since these can exhibit biconvex tops and in some cases convex-up cross-lamination (Alexander et al., 2001; Cartigny et al., 2014; Fedele et al., 2017), although these bedforms may also frequently show concave laminae (Cartigny et al., 2014). Typically, antidune laminae dip upstream (e.g., Alexander et al., 2001; Cartigny et al., 2014), although downstream migrating antidunes are known from both open-channel flows (e.g., Kennedy, 1969) and gravity currents (Fedele et al., 2017).

The ‘hummocky-type’ structures (Fig. 4A) with high-dip angles (up to 25°), draping of laminae, and limited variation in laminae thickness, show similarities with anisotropic hummocky cross stratification (HCS) from combined oscillatory-unidirectional flows (e.g., Dumas et al., 2005; Dumas & Arnott, 2006). Maximum dip angles of laminae in strongly anisotropic HCS can be around 25-30° (Dumas et al., 2005; Dumas & Arnott, 2006) much higher than for symmetrical forms, which are typically less than 15° (Harms et al., 1975; Tinterri, 2011). However, thickening and thinning of laminae are expected in HCS (Harms et al., 1975) and are not clearly observed in the hummocky-like bedforms here. Such HCS-like hummocky bedforms have been interpreted from basin plain
turbidites to be related to reflected flows from topographic barriers (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011). Hummock-like bedforms in turbidites have also been interpreted as antidunes (e.g., Skipper, 1971; Prave & Duke, 1990; Cartigny et al., 2014). Antidunes are typically associated with concave upward erosive surfaces, extensive cross-cutting sets if they are unstable antidunes, bundles of upstream dipping laminae (if upstream migrating), laminae with low dip angles, low angle terminations against the lower set boundary, some convex bedding, and structureless parts of fills (e.g., Alexander et al., 2001; Cartigny et al., 2014; Fedele et al., 2017). The hummock-like bedforms in the present study share many similarities with these antidunes, however there is an absence of structureless components, the draping of surfaces is more pronounced and more typical of HCS, the approximately parallel nature of laminae within sets is more pronounced and the number of laminae is greater. Furthermore, set bundles accrete downstream suggesting that if these are antidunes then they are downstream-migrating forms. In summary, the hummock-like bedforms show greater similarity to those HCS-like structures described from reflected flows (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011), rather than features associated with downstream migrating antidunes.

The observed combination of biconvex ripples and anisotropic hummock-like features, and the transitions between these bedforms in some vertical sections, is also in agreement with that observed in some turbidity currents interacting with topography (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011), further suggesting that the hummock-like features may be related to combined flows, rather than the product of antidunes. This possibility of topographic-interaction induced hummock-like and biconvex ripple forms is discussed further, after the topography of the sediment waves is introduced.

F4: Mudstone clast conglomerate deposits form discrete patches (<20 m long and <0.3 m thick), which commonly overlie erosion surfaces. Mudstone clasts (<1 cm – 10 cm diameter) vary from subangular to well-rounded. They are dominantly clast supported with a matrix of fine-grained sandstone.
Interpretation: Mudstone clast conglomerates are interpreted as lag deposits (e.g. Stevenson et al., 2015) from energetic and bypassing high-density turbidity currents.

**Bed architecture and facies distribution: Doornkloof – Subunit B1**

At Doornkloof (Fig. 2), subunit B1 has an average thickness of ~5 m (Fig. 5) and comprises thin- to thick-bedded sandstones, thin-bedded siltstones and lenticular mudstone clast conglomerates (0.1-0.3 m thick, 1-70 m wide) (Figs 5 and 6A-E). There are substantial variations in bed thicknesses and sandstone-to-siltstone proportions along the 1.5 km long dip section (Fig. 5). Locally, medium- to thick-bedded sandstones occur, which comprise bedforms within a package of thin-bedded siltstones and sandstones. These bedforms show regional changes to more tabular thin-bedded sandstones and siltstones (log 01/log 08, Fig. 5). Within the exposed section (~2 km), there are three sandstone-prone bedform-dominated sections (200 m to 300 m in length) separated by siltstone-prone sections (150 to 400 m in length), which have an overall tabular appearance (Fig. 6). The DK01 core (Figs 5 and 6) is located 330 m to the north of the western limit of Section I where subunit B1 is a ~5 m thick package of interbedded thin structured sandstones and laminated siltstones (Fig. 6). Multiple erosion surfaces are present at the base, and overall in the DK01 core the subunit B1 succession fines- and thins-upward. Palaeoflow of the B1 subunit is dominantly ENE-orientated (082°) (Fig. 2B) but shows some deviation within the eastern part of the section (log 42 – Figs 2B and 5) towards the NNE (023°).

The medium- to thick-bedded sandstones within the sandstone-prone sections of Section I, orientated (079°-259°) subparallel to palaeoflow, show large lateral variations in thickness and facies. The bedforms comprise structureless (F1), planar-laminated to banded (F2), and ripple-laminated (F3) sandstones (Fig. 6A-E). The facies, architecture and thickness changes of one amalgamated bed (Bedform a) are described in detail (Fig. 5). Bedform a thickens (up to 2.5 m) and thins (<20 cm) multiple times, forming a down-dip pinch-and-swell morphology. Locally, the base of Bedform a is marked by shallow erosion (<0.5 m deep; <30 m long) and occasionally amalgamates in some places.
is amalgamated with the underlying sandstone beds (Figs 5 and 7). Where Bedform a exceeds 0.5 m in thickness, banded (F2) sandstone facies is dominant, and is occasionally in some places underlain by structureless (F1) divisions, or exhibits climbing ripple-lamination at the bed top (F3). Where Bedform a is thin (<0.5 m thick), it is dominated by climbing-ripple lamination (F3). Below Bedform a, lenses of mudstone conglomerate (<30 m long; 5-30 cm thick) can be observed at various locations over the complete section. In some locations (e.g. log 16/18, Fig. 5), banded sandstone (F2) beds (Fig. 6D) can be observed intercalated with mudstone clast conglomerate lenses (Fig. 7). These banded beds pinch out or show a transition towards mudstone clast conglomerates upstream, and amalgamate are amalgamated with Bedform a downstream. At the same stratigraphic level as Bedform a, the DK01 core shows one pronounced 20 cm thick bed with angular mudstone clasts (<1-5 cm diameter) that can be correlated to Bedform a.

In Bedform a, six truncation surfaces (10-25°) are identified within the eastern limit of the section (Fig. 5), at places where the bedform exceeds 1 m in thickness. All truncation surfaces are sigmoid-shaped and flatten out upstream and downstream within the bed (Fig. 6E). One eastward (downstream) orientated truncation surface (Fig. 6B) in the lower part of the bed is observed at log 17 (Fig. 5). However, sigmoidal westward (upstream) facing truncation surfaces are most common in the upper portion of the bed and are spaced 15-20 m apart. They cut banded (F2) and ripple-laminated (F3) sandstone facies, and are sharply overlain by banded sandstone facies (F2) with bands aligned parallel to the truncation surface, or by climbing-ripple laminated segments (Fig. 6E). Abrupt upstream thinning (SW) and more gradual downstream thickening (NE) give give Bedform a, an asymmetric wave-like morphology in dip section. Small-scale bedforms (F3) are solely present at the top of the wave-like morphology, and dominantly comprise climbing-ripple lamination, with occasional wavy bedforms (stoss-side preserved climbing ripples and/or sinusoidal laminations) at the thicker sections of the bedform (Fig. 5). At abrupt bed thickness changes associated with steep westward-facing truncation surfaces (>15°) (logs 16/19/21, Fig. 5), shallow scour surfaces (<0.35 cm) can be observed cutting that cut into the top surface of Bedform a, overlain and onlapped by thin-
bedded siltstones and sandstones. Within the banded facies (F2), isolated lenses of ripple-lamination (F3) are present (up to 30-40 cm long and 10 cm thick) (Fig. 5 – log 19). Mudstone and siltstone clasts (0.2-5 cm diameter) dispersed throughout structureless (F1) sections are typically well rounded, and rarely sub-angular. At the eastern limit of Section I, stratigraphically below Bedform a, another ‘pinch-and-swell’ sandstone bed abruptly increases in thickness downstream where Bedform a amalgamates with this bed below (log 21, Fig. 5). Where the bed thickens, Bedform a thins abruptly (log 23/24, Fig. 5). The thin-bedded and siltstone-prone deposits overlying Bedform a show more laterally constant geometries, thicknesses and facies.

At the upstream end (SW) of Section I, around log 02-07 (Fig. 5, middle panel), a package of sandstone beds thickens locally (>100 m long, <5 m thick) above Bedform a (Fig. 8). Bedform a pinches and swells multiple times within this log 02-07 interval to a maximum of 0.5 m thickness and comprises similar facies as downstream (F1, F2, F3), but lacks internal truncation surfaces. The bed directly above Bedform a thickens where Bedform a thins and vice versa (Fig. 8). Sandstone beds above both this bed and Bedform a, in the top of the package, show only limited thickness variations (~10 cm) and dominantly comprise climbing ripple-laminated sandstone (F2). All sandstone beds above Bedform a either pinch-out or show a facies transition towards fine siltstone in both western and eastern directions (Fig. 5).

Bed architecture and facies distribution: Doornkloof – Subunit B2

The sandstone bed morphology and facies characteristics at the base of subunit B2 share many affinities with the deposits described within subunit B1 (Fig. 9). Palaeoflow of subunit B2 is generally NE-orientated (040°) (n=68; Figs 2B and 9B) but shows a wide spread high degree of dispersion. and a shift from ENE (062°) in the western part of the section, to more northwards in the middle (19°) and eastern part of the section (030°). This indicates that the section is dominantly subparallel to palaeoflow (dip section) (Fig. 2B). Subunit B2 dominantly comprises medium-bedded (0.1-0.5 m thick) structured sandstone (Fig. 9B). Closely spaced logs (m’s to tens of m’s) collected from the
main face at the base of B2 (Section II – Fig. 2B) permit tracing out of individual beds over a distance of 230 m and tracking of internal facies changes (Fig. 6F-J). Two beds (Bedform b and Bedform c) change in thickness (0.5-2 m for Bedform b and 0.3-1.2 m for Bedform c) and contain multiple internal truncation surfaces of which six are westward (upstream) facing and one is eastward (downstream) facing. Truncation surfaces cut climbing ripple-laminated facies (F3) and banded facies (F2) with maximum angles varying between 20-30° that shallow out and merge with the base of the bed (Figs 6G, 6H and 6J). They flatten out in the downstream direction within the bed and are overlain by banded sandstone facies (F2). In Bedform b, the rate of westward thinning is more abrupt than eastward, giving an asymmetric wave-like morphology (Fig. 9B). This abrupt westward thinning is coincident with locations of westward (upstream) orientated truncation surfaces. In the eastern part, 110 m separates two truncation surfaces, in an area associated with bed thinning. However, towards the western part of Bedform b, there is only 25-30 m between the westward (upstream) orientated truncation surfaces, with no abrupt bed thinning.

There is a high degree of longitudinal and vertical facies variability within Bedform b and c (Figs 4 and 9B). Commonly, longitudinal facies changes are accompanied by bed thickness changes. Locally, the bases of thicker parts of the bedforms are mudstone clast-rich. Bed tops show small-scale bedform structures (F3) at most locations. Banded sandstone facies overlie the truncation surfaces (Figs 6G, 6H and 6J). Ripple-laminated facies (F3) within the middle or lower parts of Bedform b and c indicate flow directions that deviate (NW to N) from the regional palaeoflow (NE) (Figs 4A, 6F and 6H), whereas the palaeoflow direction of the ripples at the top of the bedforms are consistent with the regional palaeoflow. Detailed analysis of well-exposed sections (Fig. 4) indicates that many laminated and banded sections are wavy and separated by low angle truncation or depositional surfaces. Locally, small-scale bedform structures (F3) are present in patches (Figs 4B and 4C) (<10 cm thick; couple of metres wide), which show downstream and/or upstream facies transitions to banded/planar-laminated facies (F2), as well as examples of flame structures (Fig. 4C). The small-scale bedform structures (F3) show a lot of variability, with hummock-like features observed above...
biconvex ripples at both the downstream end of swells, and directly below truncation surfaces at the upstream end of swells (Fig. 4A). Additionally, both hummock-like features and biconvex ripples have been observed at the base of Bedform b (log 38; Fig. 9B). Similar to Bedform a, Bedform b & c show wavy bedform structures at the top of swells, particularly where they are the thickest. Bedform b is topped in the easternmost exposure by a scour surface that cuts at least 0.5 m into Bedform b and is amalgamated with an overlying pinch-and-swell sandstone bed (Fig. 9B). Medium- to thin-bedded structured sandstones are present above and below Bedform b and c, which do not show any facies or thickness changes over the exposed section.

The basal succession of subunit B2 in the DK01 core, at the same stratigraphic level as Bedform b and c, comprises thick-bedded structureless (F1) to banded (F2) (>3 m) sandstones. Bed bases are sharp and structureless and contain a variable amount of mudstone clasts (<1 cm). The middle to upper parts of these beds show banded facies (F2) with clear mudstone clast-rich and -poor bands, which pass through wavy lamination to climbing ripple (F3) and planar lamination at bed tops.

Above Section II, in both outcrop and core, a 15 m thick sandstone package shows a substantial increase in bed thicknesses (max. 4.5 m), mainly due to bed amalgamation (Fig. 9A). Some of these beds show a wave-like (asymmetric) morphology, similar to that observed in Bedforms b and c. Abrupt bed thinning or pinch-out is common. These pinch-outs are primarily associated with depositional geometry, with rare examples of bed truncation by erosion surfaces. Bounding surfaces can be identified within the sandstone package, which are defined by successive upstream depositional bed pinchout points (Fig. 10), with local (<2 m long) shallow (<0.3 m) erosion surfaces. These bounding surfaces separate multiple packages of downstream shingling (three to four) sandstone beds. The packages of pinch-and-swell beds are stacked in an aggradational to slightly upstream orientated manner (Fig. 10) and are topped by a >60 m thick package of tabular and laterally continuous medium- to thin-bedded structured sandstones. At the same interval in the DK01 core a transition can be observed from thick- to medium-bedded, dominantly banded (F2), sandstones towards more medium- to thin-bedded structured (F3) sandstones.
Bed architecture: Old Railway – Subunit B2

At this locality on the southern limb of the Bavians Syncline, the lower 10 m of subunit B2 is exposed for 100 m EW (Fig. 2C). Here, B2 is a medium- to thin-bedded sandstone-prone unit that shows substantial lateral thickness changes without evidence of a basal erosion surface (Fig. 11). Mean palaeoflow is ESE (121°) (Fig. 2C), indicating the exposure is sub-parallel to depositional dip. The sandstone beds are dominantly climbing ripple laminated (F3), with some banded/planar laminated (F2) and structureless divisions (F1).

Multiple climbing ripple laminated beds contain dispersed small mudstone and siltstone clasts (Fig. 11C). The section is characterised by an alternation of beds showing typical pinch-and-swell geometries (0.5-2 m) and more tabular thin-bedded (<0.5 m) sandstones. Locally, individual beds pinch-and-swell multiple times over a distance of ~40 m, with wavelengths varying from 15 m to >40 m. Where there are swells, bed bases truncate underlying beds (Fig. 11D). Siltstones comprise only ~10% of the succession and are thin-bedded and planar-laminated, with intercalated thin very fine-grained sandstones (<1 cm).

Towards the top of the section, a 40 cm thick very fine-grained sandstone bed abruptly fines and thins downstream to a centimetre-thick siltstone bed (Fig. 12). This bed thickens and thins along a ~20 m distance (Fig. 12) forming sandstone lenses, before regaining original thickness (40 cm). Locally, within this zone, the bed longitudinally grades to siltstone and is perturbed from the top by decimetre-scale scour surfaces (0.2-3 m long, couple of cm’s deep). At log 04 (Fig. 11A), a bed that pinches downstream has a downstream-orientated scour on its top surface, which is overlain by thin-bedded sandstones and siltstones that pass upstream beyond the confines of the scour surface. A downstream thickening bed with an erosive base truncates these beds. The majority of the observed pinch-and-swell bedforms stack in a downstream direction (Fig. 11A). However, in the middle of the package at log 1, one bed stacks in an upstream manner, giving the overall package an
Sediment waves within channel-lobe transition zones

The Doornkloof and Old Railway sections show bedforms with clear pinch-and-swell morphology that are subparallel to flow direction. These bedforms developed in a base-of-slope setting without any evidence of a large-scale basal confining surface. Bed-scale amalgamation and scouring are common in the two study areas, however the more significant component of downstream bed thickness changes is depositional. Their geometry and dimensions (>1 m height; 10-100 m wavelength), support their classification as sediment waves (Wynn & Stow, 2002). The bedforms described from the Doornkloof area (Beds a-c) show clear asymmetric pinch-and-swell morphologies, related to internal upstream-facing truncation surfaces (Figs 5 and 9). The well-constrained base-of-slope setting (Brunt et al., 2013), the lack of confining erosion surfaces, and the lobe-dominated nature of Unit B downdip (Figs 3B and 3C) are consistent with an interpretation that the sediment waves formed within a CLTZ setting.

DISCUSSION

Topographic control on sediment wave inception

The interpreted CLTZ setting for the sediment waves means that initial deposition is most likely related to flow expansion at the channel-mouth (e.g. Hiscott, 1994a; Kneller, 1995; Mulder & Alexander, 2001). The occurrence of abrupt downstream bedform thickening (e.g. Bedform a, Fig. 5), indicates a marked decrease in flow capacity resulting in a temporary increase of deposition rates (e.g. Hiscott, 1994a). Although deposition is expected in areas of flow expansion, this does not explain why sediment wave deposition appears to be localised (e.g. log 02-07; Fig. 5). Both the inception and localised deposition development of the sediment waves are interpreted to be related to subtle and evolving the presence of seabed relief at the time of deposition. Seabed irregularities are common in base-of-slope settings, and minor defects (such as
scours lined with mudstone clast conglomerates; Fig. 7) could have triggered deposition from flows close to the depositional threshold (Wynn et al., 2002a). The presence of bedforms overlying swells of older bedforms, such as at the upstream location of Bedform a (Figs 5 (logs 2-7) and 8) or the sediment waves overlying Bedform b in subunit B2 (Fig. 10), suggest that relief of older bedforms, and consequent flow deceleration, may also act as a nucleus for later sediment wave development. The locally observed decimetre-scale deep scours probably had a more variable effect on sediment wave development. In some cases it resulted in topographic relief that could help sediment wave nucleation (e.g. log 4, Fig. 11) and in other cases the scours remove positive depositional relief (e.g. Fig. 12) and therefore they will have a slight negative effect on sediment wave nucleation. The aggradational character of the sediment wave packages (Figs 10 and 11A) supports a depositional feedback mechanism. Depositional bedforms form positive topography, which may help to nucleate sites of deposition and the development of composite sediment waves forming the complicated larger-scale sediment wave architecture (Figs 10 and 11A).

Bed-scale process record

The sediment wave deposits from CLTZ settings in Unit B are diverse and show significant facies variations on the sub-metre scale. The characteristics of the sediment wave deposits from the two Unit B datasets are discussed and compared.

Bed-scale process record - Doornkloof section

Facies of the sediment waves identified at the Doornkloof section are characterised by an assemblage of structureless (F1), banded and planar laminated (F2), and climbing ripple laminated (F3) sandstones. Local patches of structureless sandstone facies (F1) (Figs 5 and 9B) at bed bases, suggest periods of more enhanced deposition rates (e.g. Stow & Johansson, 2000). However, the sediment waves are dominated by banded facies, likely related either to traction-carpet deposition (Sumner et al., 2008; Cartigny et al., 2013) or low-amplitude bedwave migration under transitional
flows (Baas et al., 2016). This suggests deposition from high concentration flows during bedform development. The high degree of F2 variation (band thickness, presence of shallow truncations, wavy nature) is explained by either: 1) turbulent bursts interacting with the traction carpet (Hiscott, 1994b), or 2) waves forming at the density interface between the traction carpet and the overlying lower-concentration flow, possibly as a result of Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities, or a combination of both processes (Figs 4 and 6) (Sumner et al., 2008; Cartigny et al., 2013); or 3) the presence of bedwaves and associated development beneath mixed-load, mud-rich, transitional flows (Baas et al., 2016), or some combination of these processes. There is a strong spatial and stratigraphic relationship between mudstone clast conglomerates (F4) (Figs 7 and 8) and banded sandstone facies (F2) with a high proportion of mudstone clasts. As the deposits underlying the shallow erosion surfaces are predominantly siltstones, the mudstone clast materials must have been entrained farther upstream, and are therefore interpreted as lag deposits from bypass-dominated high-concentration flows (e.g. Stevenson et al., 2015). As scours are typically documented upstream of sediment waves in modern CLTZs (Wynn et al., 2002a), the source of these mudstone clasts is likely linked to local upstream scouring, supported by the angularity of the clasts (Johansson & Stow, 1995). The transition from banded facies (F2) to climbing ripple-laminated facies (F3), common at the top of individual beds, likely represents a transition from net depositional high concentration flows, to steady deposition from moderate to low concentration flows, and/or a corresponding change from mud-rich transitional flows to mud-poor flows. The dominance of this facies group (F3) at bed tops (Figs 5 and 9B) is interpreted as the product of less-energetic and more depositional tails of bypassing flows.

To understand the process record and evolution of the Unit B sediment waves, it is important to be able to distinguish the record of a single flow event, from a composite body comprised of deposits from multiple flow events. The majority of the observed bed thickness changes within the sediment waves at the Doornkloof section are attributed to depositional relief although internally they show steep internal truncation surfaces (Figs 5, 6 and 9). The erosion surfaces may suggest that this...
depositional architecture is the result of multiple depositional and erosional flow events. However, several lines of evidence suggest these are deposits produced from a single flow event. The preservation of upstream-facing truncation surfaces (Figs 5 and 9B), implies a significant component of bedform accretion at the upstream end (Figs 13 and 14A). To be able to preserve upstream younging truncation surfaces with angles up to 25° (close to the angle-of-repose), the erosion and deposition within each bedform, is likely to be the result of a single flow event. Within subunit B2, no bed splitting is observed and all truncation surfaces of Bedform b and c merge towards the bed base as a single surface (Fig. 9B), leaving underlying strata untouched. This suggests an origin from a single flow event for the entire bedform.

In subunit B1, all upstream facing truncation surfaces in the main sandstone body of Bedform a merge onto a single surface within the composite deposit, in a similar manner to Bedform b and c, further suggesting a single flow origin for the main sediment wave morphology. Additionally, Bedform a can be followed out for ~1 km in the upstream direction, and shows many small-scale (<5 m longitudinal distance) purely depositional undulations at the western end (Figs 5 and 8). These flow parallel undulations are stratigraphically equivalent to the deposits above the most upstream truncation surface and therefore, represent the youngest depositional phase of Bedform a. The absence of erosion surfaces or bedding planes between these undulations further suggests that the main body of Bedform a was formed as a single event bed. The evidence therefore supports the initiation and development of each wave-like bedform in the Doornkloof section (Bedform a, b and c) to be during the passage of a single flow event. Therefore, the internal scour surfaces and bedform undulations are interpreted to be the result of spatio-temporal flow fluctuations from a single flow event. In contrast, the mudstone clast patches that underlie Bedform a show upstream pinch-out of sandstone beds and downstream amalgamation (Fig. 7) indicating multiple flow events formed these patches and the lower sandstone body prior to the initiation of the main bedform. The presence of these mudstone clast patches results in a marked difference in bedform architecture and bed thickness for Bedform a compared to Bedform b and c.
**Bed-scale process record - Old Railway section**

In the Old Railway section (Fig. 11), erosional bed bases and bed amalgamation are common, particularly where there is depositional thinning of underlying beds, indicating that the ‘pinch-and-swell’ bedforms present at this section are the result of multiple flow events in contrast to the Doornkloof area. However, bed amalgamation has limited impact on bedform thickness, as thickness increase dominantly occurs downdip of the point of amalgamation and is therefore of a depositional nature. The Old Railway bedforms classify as sediment waves (Wynn & Stow, 2002) with dimensions of 15 to >40 m wavelength (extending outside outcrop limits) and 1-2 m amplitude. However, the maximum bed thicknesses (1-1.5 m) are more limited than at the Doornkloof area (>2.5 m), climbing ripple-laminated facies (F3) is more dominant, and banded facies (F2) are almost absent. The sediment waves have a more uniform facies distribution and there is an absence of internal truncation surfaces (Fig. 11). The dominance of F3 indicates rapid deposition from dilute turbulent flows, which contrasts with the Doornkloof area.

**Subcritical sediment waves: comparison with supercritical bedforms**

The Doornkloof and Old Railway outcrops are both characterised by composite sediment waves. However, there are distinct differences between both areas. The Old Railway examples exhibit comparatively simple sediment waves, composed of multiple event beds, and dominated by lower flow-regime facies (F3) such as climbing ripple-lamination, accrete downstream, and lack significant internal erosive surfaces. Morphologically, stoss sides can be comparable to or longer than lee sides (Fig. 11). In contrast, the Doornkloof sediment waves were formed as single event beds and are characterized by short stoss sides, long lee sides, and exhibit erosion and more energetic facies (F1, F2, F4), with climbing ripple deposition (F3) becoming more dominant at the top of the beds (Fig. 13A). The Doornkloof waves migrate upstream through erosional truncation and draping at bed swelling locations (up to >10 m; Fig. 9) followed by the development of another bed swell upstream.
This means that each swell initiates individually, rather than simultaneously as a sinusoidal wave. The architecture of the Doornkloof sediment waves most closely resembles the smaller-scale type II and type III antidunal bedforms described by Schminke et al. (1973). However, these bedform architectures, which are an order of magnitude smaller, are interpreted to migrate through stoss-side deposition by supercritical flows based on the field observations, and have never been produced experimentally. In contrast, Kubo & Nakajima (2002) and Kubo (2004) observed sediment wave architectures with short stoss sides, long lee sides and variable wavelengths, similar to the Doornkloof sediment waves, under subcritical flow conditions in physical and numerical experiments. The depositional patterns of these sediment waves were defined by upstream migration of waveforms by individual growing mounds (Kubo & Nakajima, 2002; Kubo, 2004), and are therefore highly analogous to the observations from the Doornkloof waves.

The nature and variability of small-scale bedform structures (F3) (e.g., Fig. 13A for the Doornkloof waves) provide key indicators of flow type. This facies group consists of climbing ripples, sinusoidal lamination, biconvex ripples, and hummock-like structures, with biconvex ripples sometimes transitioning upwards into the hummocks. Climbing ripples and sinusoidal lamination are indicators of subcritical flow (Allen, 1973; Southard & Boguchwal, 1990), and the biconvex ripples and hummock-like structures have greater affinities with combined-flow ripples and hummocky cross stratification than with antidunes, again suggesting deposition under subcritical flow conditions.

Spatio-temporal flow fluctuations—Doornkloof section

In particular, the vertical change from biconvex ripples to hummock-like bedforms observed in the Doornkloof sediment waves is strongly analogous to structures associated with reflected flows in other turbidites (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011), rather than deposits associated with supercritical flow conditions. The presence of topography in the form of the large-scale sediment wave may have led to flow reflection (Tinterri, 2011) and deflection as and when the flow...
Importantly, these subcritical small-scale bedforms are observed over the full length of the sediment waves, both on the stoss- and lee-side, at Doornkloof and the Old Railway (Figs 5, 9 and 11). This indicates subcritical deposition occurred across the entire sediment wave, and that the flow remained subcritical throughout the depositional period over which the decimetre bedforms were formed.

The morphology and architecture of the sediment waves in this study contrast with large supercritical bedforms, such as cyclic steps, since these exhibit short erosional lee-sides and long depositional stoss-sides (Cartigny et al., 2014; Hughes-Clark, 2016), and display upstream sediment wave migration as a sinusoidal wave (Cartigny et al., 2014). Additionally, the sediment waves described here are not single bedform structures such as described from supercritical bedforms (e.g., Cartigny et al., 2014; Covault et al., 2017), but are composed of stacked smaller-scale bedforms. The spatial and temporal extent of subcritical deposits also contrasts strongly with ‘supercritical’ bedforms where subcritical deposition can be expected only in some or all of the stoss-side, downdip of a hydraulic jump (Vellinga et al., 2018). Furthermore, tractional subcritical bedforms are predicted to be limited to the downstream parts of the stoss side in aggradational cyclic steps, or to be mixed-in with supercritical and non-tractional subcritical facies in transportational cyclic steps (Vellinga et al., 2018; their Fig. 9). Note that decimeter-scale bedforms themselves could not be modelled in the CFD simulations of Vellinga et al. (2018). Lastly, the overall signature of subcritical deposits within dominantly supercritical bedforms was one dominated by amalgamation of concave-up erosional surfaces and low-angle foresets and backsets creating lenticular bodies (Vellinga et al., 2018). These bodies scale with the size of the overall bedform, and the backsets show clear downstream fining (Vellinga et al., 2018). Again, the sediment waves studied herein show radically different architecture to that formed in cyclic steps, characterised by stacked decimeter-scale bedforms and an absence of large-scale (scaling with the sediment wave) foresets, backsets and lenticular bodies.
In summary, the morphology, architecture, composite nature, and small-scale bedform types, all indicate that the sediment waves were clearly deposited under subcritical conditions. The subcritical nature of these sediment waves, the observation of upstream accretion via deposition on the stoss side, and the associated upstream migration of the crestline, observed at Doornkloof, challenge the assumption that all upstream-orientated expansion of sediment waves is the product of supercritical conditions (Wynn & Stow, 2002; Symons et al., 2016). That said, the Doornkloof bedforms appear to have migrated sporadically over short distances (m’s to tens of m’s) through upstream accretion (Fig. 9B), before undergoing growth of new sediment wave lenses upstream, thus the entire bedform does not continuously migrate as observed in some modern sediment wave examples (e.g., Hughes-Clark, 2016). The presence of these subcritical sediment waves in the downstream parts of CLTZs also challenges the idea that mid-sized fans, like those in the Karoo, likely exhibit flows close to critical Froude numbers, at and beyond the CLTZ (Hamilton et al., 2017), although such conditions are likely in upstream parts of CLTZ where scouring occurs.

**Spatio-temporal flow fluctuations**

The large-scale erosive truncations, and the wide variability of decimetre-scale bedforms in space and time, observed in the Doornkloof waves indicate marked spatio-temporal flow fluctuations from a single flow event. In contrast, the continuity of facies and absence of significant erosive surfaces suggests that the Old Railway sediment waves were formed by flows with very limited spatio-temporal variation. Here, we focus on these spatio-temporal fluctuations indicated by the Doornkloof waves, and later address the issue of how the different types of sediment waves shown in the Doornkloof and Old Railway outcrops could coexist.

Fluctuations in velocity and concentration can be expected in environments where turbidity currents exit confinement (e.g. Kneller & McCaffrey, 1999, 2003; Ito, 2008; Kane et al., 2009; Ponce & Carmona, 2011), and where flows pass over depositional and erosional relief on the seabed (e.g.
Similar steep internal scour surfaces to those observed in the Doornkloof bedforms were interpreted to be generated by energetic sweeps from a stratified flow (Hiscott, 1994b). Furthermore, a similar depositional history of waxing and waning behaviour within a single flow was inferred from the sediment waves of the Miocene Austral foreland Basin, Argentina (Ponce & Carmona, 2011). However, the depositional model proposed by Ponce & Carmona (2011) assumes each independent lens-shaped geometry is created and reworked simultaneously, and subsequently draped as a result of flow deceleration. The Doornkloof sediment wave architecture cannot be explained by this process as the ‘lenses’ are clearly not disconnected (Figs 5 and 13). The distribution of truncation surfaces within the sediment waves of subunit B2 does however suggest there can be both phases of upstream swell formation as well as upstream migration of the crest line (e.g. Bedform c at log 34-35).

To explain the large fluctuations in flow concentration and depositional behaviour in CLTZ settings (Fig. 13), a number of factors can be considered. Here, we consider each of these factors in turn, and assess their potential for explaining the development of the sediment waves observed in this study.

**Flow splitting in updip channel-levée systems**

Waxing and waning flow behaviour can be induced by splitting of the flow in the channel-levée system updip, where the primary ‘channelised’ flow may reach the sediment wave field earlier than the secondary ‘overbank’ flow (Peakall et al., 2000). However, this would imply significant velocity and concentration differences and therefore significant depositional facies differences between the two stages, which does not fit the observations (Figs 13 and 14A). Furthermore, it would not explain the number of flow fluctuations interpreted within a single flow event bed (Figs 13 and 14A). Therefore, other mechanisms need to be proposed.

**Mixed load (sand-clay) bedforms**
An alternative explanation for the sediment wave architecture could be that these bedforms formed by flows with sand-clay mixtures. Complicated bedform architectures with both erosional and depositional components have been created experimentally (Baas et al., 2016). However, there are a number of issues with this hypothesis: 1) the bedforms described from the two case studies are one to two orders of magnitude larger than the 'muddy' bedforms described within flume tanks (Baas et al., 2016), and 2) the presence of clean climbing ripple-lamination suggests that at least part of the flow was not clay-rich during deposition (Baas et al., 2013; Schindler et al., 2015).

Froude number fluctuations

The net-depositional record of waxing and waning flow conditions (Fig. 14A) observed at a single given location within the Doornkloof sediment waves (Fig. 13) could be hypothesised to be a record of temporal fluctuations around the critical Froude number separating sub- and super-supercritical flow conditions. However, the evidence for subcritical deposition across the full length of the sediment waves, and over the timescale of bedform development, demonstrates that fluctuations around the critical flow Froude number cannot be directly responsible for the formation of these sediment waves. That said, fluctuations in velocity and capacity within a subcritical flow downstream of a zone of hydraulic jumps may still play a role in controlling the observed sedimentation patterns.

Fluctuations of the turbidity current Froude number are expected in areas of abrupt flow expansion such as at the base-of-slope (Garcia, 1993; Wynn et al., 2002b). Turbidity currents that undergo rapid transitions from supercritical to subcritical conditions forming a single hydraulic jump, or repeated hydraulic jumps across a CLTZ (Sumner et al., 2013; Dorrell et al., 2016), have been linked to bedform formation (Vicente Bravo & Robles, 1995; Wynn & Stow, 2002; Wynn et al., 2002b; Symons et al., 2016), and have been linked to the formation of erosive scours in upstream parts of CLTZs in the Karoo Basin (Hofstra et al., 2015). Due to the presence of multiple interacting hydraulic jumps across a CLTZ, Froude number fluctuations around unity may be expected (Sumner et al., 2013; Dorrell et al., 2016).
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2013; Dorrell et al., 2016). Such velocity fluctuations would change the capacity of the flow (Fig. 14A), however, whether this would translate to periodic changes in sediment concentration is less clear due in part to the lack of concentration measurements from natural and experimental subaqueous hydraulic jumps. That said, in turbidity currents generally, there is a close coupling between velocity and concentration changes (Felix et al., 2005). Fluctuating velocities, and potentially concentration, related to variations in Froude numbers around critical may enable complicated and variable bedform architectures to be formed. Here we examine the field evidence for such fluctuations.

The Doornkloof sediment waves (Fig. 13A) are composite features that show long depositional lee sides and short erosional stoss sides, and migrate upstream through truncation and draping at bed swelling locations (up to >10 m; Fig. 9) followed by the development of another bed swell upstream (Fig. 13A). This means that each swell initiates individually rather than simultaneously as a sinusoidal wave. The architecture most closely resembles the smaller-scale type II and type III antidunal bedforms described by Schminke et al. (1973). However, these bedform architectures, which are an order of magnitude smaller, are interpreted to migrate through stoss-side deposition by supercritical flows based on the field observations, and have never been produced experimentally. In contrast, Kubo & Nakajima (2002) observed somewhat similar depositional patterns for sediment wave development, with individual growing mounds due to preferential deposition in combination with upstream migration of the waveform due to differential deposition, under subcritical flow conditions in physical and numerical experiments.

The morphology and architecture of the Doornkloof sediment waves contrast with large supercritical bedforms such as cyclic steps since these exhibit short erosional lee-sides and long depositional stoss-sides (Cartigny et al., 2014; Hughes-Clark, 2016), and form a single bedform structure rather than being composed of stacked smaller-scale bedforms (e.g., Cartigny et al., 2014; Covault et al., 2017).
In addition to the larger scale morphology and architecture, the nature and variability of small-scale bedform structures (F3) (Fig. 13A) provide key indicators of flow type. This facies group (see Facies Characteristics) consists of climbing ripples, sinusoidal lamination, biconvex ripples, and hummock-like structures, with biconvex ripples sometimes transitioning upwards into the hummocks. Climbing ripples and sinusoidal lamination are indicators of subcritical flow (Allen, 1973; Southard & Boguchwal, 1990), and the biconvex ripples and hummock-like structures have greater affinities with combined-flow ripples and hummocky cross-stratification than with antidunes, again suggesting deposition under subcritical flow conditions. In particular, the vertical change from biconvex ripples to hummock-like bedforms is strongly analogous to structures associated with reflected flows in other turbidites (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011), rather than with supercritical flow. The presence of topography in the form of the large-scale sediment wave may have led to flow reflection and deflection as and when the flow waned, in a similar manner to that envisaged by Tinterri (2011) for larger-scale topography.

The morphology, architecture, composite nature, and small-scale bedform types, all suggest that the sediment waves were clearly deposited under subcritical conditions. Consequently, fluctuations around the critical Froude number cannot be directly responsible for the formation of the sediment waves, albeit fluctuations in velocity and capacity within a subcritical flow downstream of a zone of hydraulic jumps may still play a role in controlling the observed sedimentation patterns.

The subcritical nature of these sediment waves, the observation of upstream accretion via deposition on the stoss side, and the associated upstream migration of the crestline, challenges the assumption that all upstream-orientated expansion of sediment waves is the product of supercritical conditions (Wynn & Stow, 2002; Symons et al., 2016). That said, these bedforms appear to have sporadically migrated short distances (m’s to tens of m’s) through upstream accretion (Fig. 9B), before undergoing growth of new sediment wave lenses upstream (Fig. 14A), thus the entire bedform does not continuously migrate as observed in some modern sediment wave examples (e.g., Hughes-Clark, 2016).
Mixed load (sand-clay) bedforms

An alternative explanation for the sediment wave architecture could be that these bedforms have been formed by flows with sand-clay mixtures. Complicated bedform architectures with both erosional and depositional components have been created experimentally (Baas et al., 2016). However, there are a number of issues with this hypothesis: 1) the bedforms described from the two case studies are of order of magnitude larger than the ‘muddy’ bedforms described within flume tanks (Baas et al., 2016), and 2) the presence of clean climbing ripple-lamination suggests that at least part of the flow was not clay-rich during deposition (Baas et al., 2012; Schindler et al., 2015).

The ‘hose effect’—Doornkloof section

A spatial control in flow character could also be invoked to explain the development of sediment waves, based on flow-deposit interactions and the momentum of the flow core (Fig. 14B). As a turbidity current exits channel confinement it does not directly lose its momentum (e.g. Choi & Garcia, 2001). The flow core may shift around during bedform aggradation due to interactions with depositional and erosional relief around the channel-mouth. Most studies on flow-deposit interactions focus on temporal changes in flow conditions (e.g. Kneller & McCaffrey, 2003; Groenenberg et al., 2010), but rarely consider lateral changes within a single turbidity current (Hiscott, 1994a). A single location within a sediment wave field may receive periods of high and low energy linked to the lateral shifting of the flow core, where the energetic flow core can be linked to periods of erosion and/or high concentration flow deposition, and the flow margin to deposition from the less energetic and dilute parts of the flow. In this scenario, the upstream-orientated truncation surfaces are the result of the interaction of the flow core with its self-produced obstacle (Fig. 14B), linked to the inability to sustain the compensation process over time. Upstream fluctuations in Froude number, related to an area of scour formation and hydraulic jumps, would result in longitudinal waxing and waning flow behaviour downstream and could explain the combination of both erosion and high concentration flow deposition of the flow core.
The compensational effects will form a stratigraphic record of fluctuating energy levels (Figs 13A and 14A). The lateral flow movement may explain deviation in palaeoflow direction between intra-bed ripple-laminated intervals compared to sediment wave bed tops, observed within the Doornkloof subunit B2 sediment waves (Figs 4A, 6F, 13 and 14A), as it could represent (partial) flow deflection affected by the evolving sediment wave morphology. Similar behaviour within a single unconfined flow has been invoked in basin-floor settings of the Cloridorme Formation (Parkash, 1970; Parkash & Middleton, 1970) and at levée settings of the Amazon Channel (Hiscott et al., 1997).

The ‘hose effect’ would result in a composite depositional record as the core of the flow sporadically moves laterally, repeatedly superimposing high energy conditions onto lower energy conditions, therefore explaining the inconsistency in wavelength-sediment wave wavelengths. With this spatial process, the locus of deposition will move laterally whilst the waning flow can lead to deposition progressively migrating upstream. This mechanism may explain how sediment waves are able to build upstream accreting geobodies without being deposited under supercritical conditions. The mechanism also provides an explanation for the range and spatial variability of the observed small-scale bedform structures (F3), and for the similarities with small-scale bedforms interpreted to have been formed by turbidity currents interacting with topography (Tinterri, 2011; Tinterri & Muzzi Magalhaes, 2011). As the flow migrates laterally, flows will interact at an angle with the growing sediment wave, thus encouraging interaction of incident and reflected flow.

As noted earlier, there is strong field-evidence (Parkash, 1970; Parkash & Middleton, 1970; Hiscott et al., 1997) for the ‘hose effect’ mechanism. However, the hose effect has not been experimentally or numerically modelled, which reflects the ubiquity of bedform experiments in two-dimensional flumes, and a paucity of three-dimensional flow effects on bedform development.

Spatio-temporal flow fluctuations - summary

In summary, the combination of waxing and waning flow behaviour in the subcritical flow core, downstream of a zone of hydraulic jumps (Dorrell et al., 2016), as well as spatial compensational...
processes (hose effect) are invoked as the most probable mechanisms to explain the complicated architecture and facies patterns of the Doornkloof sediment waves.

**Bed-scale process record—Old Railway section**

In the Old Railway section (Fig. 11), erosional bed bases and bed amalgamation are common, particularly where there is depositional thinning of underlying beds, indicating that the ‘pinch-and-swell’ bedforms present at this section are the result of multiple flow events. However, bed amalgamation has limited impact on bedform thickness as thickness increase dominantly occurs downdip of the point of amalgamation and is therefore of a depositional nature. The Old Railway bedforms classify as sediment waves (Wynn & Stow, 2002) with dimensions of 15 to >40 m wavelength (extending outside outcrop limits) and 1-2 m amplitude, however their maximum bed thickness (1-1.5 m) is more limited than at the Doornkloof area (>2.5 m), climbing ripple-laminated facies (F3) is more dominant, and banded facies (F2) are almost absent. The sediment waves have a more uniform facies distribution and there is an absence of internal truncation surfaces (Fig. 11). This suggests less spatial-temporal fluctuations of flows compared to the Doornkloof area. The dominance of F3 indicates rapid deposition from dilute turbulent flows, which contrasts with the Doornkloof area.

**Spatial variations within a sediment wave field**

The character of the feeder channel could explain differences observed in CLTZ sediment wave character between the Doornkloof and Old Railway section. As noted earlier, there are major differences between the sediment waves at the Old Railway outcrop with a low degree of spatial and temporal variability, and the high spatio-temporal variability observed in the Doornkloof sediment waves. Here, we will attempt to explain such variation between sediment waves in the same system. One potential mechanism is the character of the feeder channel, including factors such as channel dimensions and magnitude of the incoming flows. However, previous studies (Brunt et al., 2013)
suggest that the dimensions of feeder channels within the Unit B base-of-slope system were similar, implying that the character of sediment waves is unrelated to variations in feeder channel character.

Alternatively, the differences between the Doornkloof and Old Railway areas may be related to their position relative to the mouth of the feeder channel. A dominance of lower flow-regime facies (F3) such as climbing ripple-lamination is commonly associated with overbank or off-axis environments (e.g. Kane & Hodgson, 2011; Brunt et al., 2013; Rotzien et al., 2014). As the Old Railway is characterized by such facies, it could represent a fringe position through a sediment wave field (Fig. 15). In contrast, the Doornkloof section is characterized by erosion and more energetic facies (F1, F2, F4), suggesting it was situated in a more axial position in the sediment wave field (Fig. 15A). Furthermore, within the Doornkloof area, climbing ripple deposition (F3) becomes more dominant at the top of the beds, likely reflecting progressive decrease in flow velocity and concentration (Figs 5, 8 and 9B).

Lateral spatial and temporal variations can be integrated with the hypothesised lateral shifting of the flow core (the ‘hose effect’). The hose effect is likely to have more influence on deposits within axial parts of the channel-mouth, such as within the Doornkloof area, where the flow is most powerful. In contrast, the lateral fringes of the channel-mouth are most likely subject to deposition from flow margins (Fig. 15A), such as at the Old Railway section. This results in more steady flow conditions and relatively uniform deposition of facies and explains the difference in characteristics between the Old Railway sediment waves, which are dominated by F3 facies and shows little evidence of erosion, and the Doornkloof sediment waves, which are dominated by F1 and F2 facies with substantial evidence of erosion.

The differences in the expression of the Unit B sediment waves suggest that the stratigraphic record of CLTZ environments exhibit substantial spatial variability. The process model shows that initial sediment wave architecture can involve both upstream orientated accretion (Doornkloof area), and
downstream orientated accretion (Old Railway section within a single flow), depending on the position with respect to the channel mouth. Despite the lack of 3D control on morphology, we predict that this variance in depositional behaviour between axial and fringe areas will have influence on planform crest morphology and will lead to the crest curvatures, which are commonly observed within the modern seafloor (e.g. Wynn et al., 2002b). Furthermore, due to the propagation of channel-levee systems (e.g. Hodgson et al., 2016), the preservation potential of sediment waves in axial positions, such as those from the Doornkloof section, is lower than sediment wave deposits in fringe positions, such as the Old Railway section (Fig. 15). Similar observations on the importance of spatial variation have been made for the erosional bedform area (Fig. 15) of channel lobe transition zones (Hofstra et al., 2015).

Preservation of sediment waves in channel lobe transition zones

Two questions that remain unanswered are: 1) what conditions promoted stratigraphic preservation of the sediment waves in the examples herein, and 2) how likely is preservation of sediment waves in the stratigraphic record of channel lobe transition zones? Here, we interpret that the preservation of the sediment waves in the two field areas is related to the strongly aggradational character of subunits B1 and B2. This is also evident from the lobe deposits downdip that show strong aggradation and limited progradation (Fig. 3; Brunt et al., 2013), in comparison to lobe deposits elsewhere in the Karoo Basin (e.g., Hodgson et al.). The preservation of the sediment waves in the Doornkloof area is interpreted to be related to the strong aggradational character of Unit B, also evident from the lobe deposits downdip (Brunt et al., 2013; van der Merwe et al., 2014).

Furthermore, subunit B1 is abruptly overlain by a regional mudstone aiding preservation, whereas subunit B2 is overlain by thick levee successions (subunit B3), marking the progradation of the slope system across the CLTZ (Brunt et al., 2013). This scenario has similarities to that proposed by
Pemberton et al. (2016) who suggested that preservation of scours in a CLTZ was linked to a rapidly prograding slope system.

For sediment waves in CLTZ settings in general, there are several scenarios that can be proposed to facilitate their preservation. During system initiation at the start of a waxing-to-waning sediment supply cycle, possibly driven by a relative sea-level fall and initial slope incision, the position of the CLTZ on the base-of-slope might be relatively stable as slope conduits evolve prior to slope progradation. The stratigraphic record of the resulting deposits is likely limited in thickness, and probably preferentially associated with scour-fills (e.g., Pemberton et al., 2016). The position of the CLTZ could be fixed through physiographic features, such as a tectonic or diapiric break-in-slope, which would aid the stratigraphic preservation of the CLTZ. Several studies have shown that when submarine channel-levee systems avulse they do not return to their original route (e.g., Armitage et al., 2012; Ortiz-Karpf et al., 2015; Morris et al., 2016), which would help to preserve sediment waves in an abandoned CLTZ. The stratigraphic evidence for this control would be in the sediment waves abruptly overlain by mudstone or thin-bedded successions indicative of overbank deposition. Finally, the preservation potential of sediment waves in CLTZs will be higher at the point of maximum regression/progradation of the system (Hodgson et al., 2016). Similar arguments were applied to the preservation of scour-fills in CLTZ by Hofstra et al. (2015).

In summary, we hypothesise that preservation of sediment waves may require i) updip avulsion, ii) represent the point of maximum system progradation, or iii) form during a period of relative spatial stability, followed by system progradation. Subsequent rapid progradation of a slope system is then important for long-term preservation, though an off-axis location relative to large-scale slope channels is critical in order to avoid cannibalisation of the CLTZ deposits (e.g., Hofstra et al., 2015).

Such propagation of channel-leveé systems (e.g. Hodgson et al., 2016), suggests that the preservation potential of sediment waves in axial positions, for example the interpreted position of the Doornkloof section, is lower than sediment wave deposits in fringe positions, such as the interpreted position of the Old Railway section (Fig. 15A).
CONCLUSIONS

Detailed morphologies, architectures and facies of fine-sand grained sediment waves are reported from an ancient channel-lobe transition zone. The sediment waves are constructed from banded and planar-laminated sandstones, as well as from progressive aggradation of a range of small-scale bedforms, including climbing ripples, sinusoidal lamination, biconvex ripples, and hummocky-like structures, interpreted as the products of subcritical deposition, with periods of flow reflection and deflection forming the biconvex ripples and hummocks. Morphologically, the sediment waves exhibit long-lee sides, and short erosively-cut stoss sides, and show upstream accretion over short distances (m's to tens of m's), punctuated by the upstream development of new sediment wave lenses. Consequently, the observations from these exhumed deposits challenge some current models of sediment wave development, which suggest that entire sediment waves continuously migrate upstream under supercritical conditions. In particular, the outcrops demonstrate that the formation of sediment waves in an upstream direction, as well as upstream migration of crestlines, is not solely the product of supercritical flows, but can also occur in subcritical conditions. The progressive development of the sediment waves is argued to be the product of lateral migration of the expanding flow across the channel-lobe transition zone, potentially coupled to fluctuations in velocity and flow capacity related to upstream hydraulic jumps. Variations in sediment waves, from more complex forms with multiple erosive surfaces and complex internal facies, to simple accretionary forms with abundant climbing ripples, is linked to position across the channel-lobe transition zone, from axial to lateral fringes respectively. The preservation potential of sediment waves in CLTZs into the stratigraphic record is low due to subsequent system progradation and erosion. However, preservation is higher where there is updip avulsion and abandonment of a CLTZ, in off axis areas where sediment waves might be overlain by overbank sediments, and / or at the point of maximum system progradation.
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Figure 1. Sediment wave dimensions (crest height versus wavelength) from modern and ancient systems grouped on the basis of type of dataset (A), setting (B) and grain size (C). Data taken from Normark & Dickson (1976); Winn & Dott (1977); Damuth (1979); Lonsdale & Hollister (1979); Piper et al. (1985); Malinverno et al. (1988); Praeg & Schafer (1989); Piper & Kontopoulos (1994); Vicente Bravo & Robles (1995); Howe (1996); Kidd et al. (1998); Morris et al. (1998); Nakajima et al. (1998); McHugh & Ryan (2000); Migeon et al. (2001); Wynn et al. (2002a,b); Normark et al. (2002); Ito & Saito (2006); Heinio & Davies (2009); Ito (2010); Mukti & Ito (2010); Campion et al. (2011); Ponce & Carmona (2011); Ito et al. (2014); Morris et al. (2014); Postma et al. (2014). Note that a lack of sand-prone sediment waves in modern examples can be ascribed to difficulties in retrieving piston cores within such sediments (e.g. Bouma & Boerma, 1968). The raw data are available as supplementary material to this manuscript.

Figure 2. (A) Location map of the Laingsburg depocentre within the Western Cape. The transparent overlay with black lining indicates the total exposed area of Unit B. Important outcrop areas are highlighted, including the sections studied in this paper: Doornkloof and Old Railway; white diamonds indicate locations discussed in Brunt et al. (2013). (B) Zoomed-in map of the Doornkloof section including palaeocurrent distributions, sub-divided into subunit B1 and subunit B2. The outcrop outlines are indicated by solid lines. Red line indicates Section I (Figure 5), blue line on DK-unit B2 represents Section II (Figure 9). (C) Zoomed-in map of the Old Railway section including palaeocurrent distributions.

Figure 3. (A) Simplified stratigraphic column of the deep-water stratigraphy within the Laingsburg depocentre, based on Flint et al. (2011). (B-C) Palaeogeographic reconstruction of subunit B1 (B) and subunit B2 (bottom B1 (C) based on the regional study of Brunt et al. (2013). The two outcrop locations discussed in this paper are indicated by the diamonds.
Figure 4. Examples of internal bed structure and facies changes within subunit B2 (Doornkloof), with one example from Bedform c (A) and two from Bedform b (B and C) (see Fig. 9B for locations). All these examples show vertical internal facies changes, which include planar-lamination, wavy-lamination/banding and ripple-lamination.

Figure 5. Complete stratigraphic panel of the Doornkloof section showing the subdivision of Unit B, the location of the two detailed sedimentary sections (I, II), and the position of the DK01 core. The thin siltstone interval (TSI; Brunt et al., 2013) between the AB interfan and subunit B1 has been used as a stratigraphic datum. The middle correlation panel shows section I of subunit B1; the position of Bedform a and the palaeoflow patterns have been indicated, as well as the location of the correlation panel in Figure 8. The bottom correlation panel shows the detailed facies distribution within Bedform a and its internal truncation surfaces. Outcrop photograph locations shown in Figure 6 (A-D) and Figure 7 have been indicated.

Figure 6. Representative outcrop photographs from Section I and II and descriptive DK01 core log of subunit B1, with (A) Bedform a with ripple-top morphology on top of a local mudstone clast conglomerate deposit; (B) Eastward-orientated internal truncation surface (dotted line) in banded division within Bedform a; (C) Mudstone clast conglomerate layer below Bedform a; (D) Mudstone clast-rich banded section of Bedform a; (E) Westward-orientated internal truncation surface (dotted line) with climbing ripple-laminated facies within Bedform a; (F) Climbing ripple-lamination in between banded sandstone and sigmoidal lamination, as part of Bedform b; (G) Lower section of westward orientated truncation surface in Bedform b; (H) Upper section of westward orientated truncation surface in Bedform b; (I) Banded sandstone division in Bedform b; (J) West-facing truncation surface in Bedform c. See Figure 5 and Figure 9B for locations. Interpreted position of Bedform a is indicated (by an asterisk) within the DK01 core log.

Figure 7. Mudstone clast conglomerate patch at the bottom of Bedform a, with clean true-scale photopanel (top) and interpreted vertically exaggerated (Ve = 1.8) photopanel (bottom). It shows a
basal erosion surface overlying thin-bedded sandstones, multiple ‘floating’ sandstone patches,
upstream orientated pinch-out and downstream orientated amalgamation. Location of
photograph is shown in the lowest panel of Figure 5.

**Figure 8.** Facies correlation panel of local sandstone swell in subunit B1. *Bedform a* is located at the
top of the package. Top panel shows its location within subunit B1. See middle panel of Figure 5 for
more detailed facies correlation panel of the complete subunit B1, log locations, and lower panel of
Figure 5 for symbol explanations.

**Figure 9.** (A) Panoramic view of the base of subunit B2 at the DK-section. The outlines of *Bedform b*
and *c* are indicated with white lines. Numbers indicate the position of sedimentary logs. (B) Facies
correlation of the II-section with *Bedform b* and *c*. The top panel shows the thickness variability of
these beds and the surrounding stratigraphy, comprised of structured sandstones (ripple- or planar-
laminated); the lower panel shows the internal facies distribution of *Bedform b* and *c*. Rose diagrams
show palaeoflow measurements around Section II. Internal truncation surfaces and location of the
facies photos shown in Figure 4 and Figure 6 (F-I) have been indicated. See Figure 2B and Figure 5 for
location of section II and for meaning of log symbols.

**Figure 10.** Bedset architecture within the main subunit B2 outcrop face in the Doornkloof area.
Bounding surfaces have been defined based on successive bed pinch-out with multiple (3-4)
downstream-orientated stacked and weakly amalgamated bedforms.

**Figure 11.** Subunit B2 within the Old Railway area. A- Facies correlation panels of the section with
bedform distribution (top) and facies distribution (bottom). B- Zoomed-in facies correlation panel of
most eastern section with C – mudstone clasts within a climbing-ripple laminated bed, indicating
sediment overpassing, and D – bed splitting indicating erosion and amalgamation. See Figure 2 for
location and lowest panel in Figure 5 for meaning of log symbols. Location of Figure 12 is indicated.

**Figure 12.** Sketch of bed showing transient pinch-out to a thin siltstone bed (see Figure 11B for
location), with (A1) pinch-out to siltstone, and (A2) local scouring of bed top.
Figure 13. (A) Idealised model to illustrate the variation in sedimentary structure within sediment wave swells in the Doornkloof area. (B) Interpretation of changes in depositional behaviour through time, linked to the observed internal facies changes in (A). T1-T7 refer to successive time periods, and show the evolution of the sediment waves, and what this means in terms of flow conditions over time. F1 consists of structureless sands.

Figure 14. (A) Process explanation of the upstream-orientated accretion process, linked to flow capacity changes over time. Flow capacity may be linked to temporal variations in velocity from upstream hydraulic jumps, and/or to the lateral migration of the flow, shown in part B. (B) Illustration of the inferred spatial contribution (hose effect) during formation of the sediment waves. Lateral migration of the flow core during a single event is linked to capacity changes at a single location, as well as the formation of new swells upstream. The steps are interlinked between A and B; ‘x’ marks the same location throughout. Step 5 represents another phase of erosion, and thus a return to step 2.

Figure 15. (A) Spatial division within a channel-lobe transition zone between a depositional bedform area (DB) and an erosional bedform area (EB) following Wynn et al. (2002a). Differences in sediment wave deposit facies and architecture are explained by spatial differences between the axis and fringe areas of the deposition-dominated fields (DB) of a CLTZ. (B) Sketch model showing how the ‘hose effect’ within an active flow will dominantly influence sediment wave development in axial areas.
Figure 1: Sediment wave dimensions (crest height versus wavelength) from modern and ancient systems grouped on the basis of type of dataset (A), setting (B) and grain size (C). Data taken from Normark & Dickson (1976); Winn & Dott (1977); Damuth (1979); Lonsdale & Hollister (1979); Piper et al. (1985); Malinverno et al. (1988); Praeg & Schafer (1989); Piper & Kontopoulos (1994); Vicente Bravo & Robles (1995); Howe (1996); Kidd et al. (1998); Morris et al. (1998); Nakajima et al. (1998); McHugh & Ryan (2000); Migeon et al. (2001); Wynn et al. (2002a,b); Normark et al. (2002); Ito & Saito (2006); Heino & Davies (2009); Ito (2010); Mukti & Ito (2010); Campion et al. (2011); Ponce & Carmona (2011); Ito et al. (2014); Morris et al. (2014); Postma et al. (2014). Note that a lack of sand-prone sediment waves in modern examples can be ascribed to difficulties in retrieving piston cores within such sediments (e.g. Bouma & Boerma, 1968). The raw data are available as supplementary material to this manuscript.
Figure 2: (A) Location map of the Laingsburg depocentre within the Western Cape. The transparent overlay with black lining indicates the total exposed area of Unit B. Important outcrop areas are highlighted, including the sections studied in this paper: Doornkloof and Old Railway; white diamonds indicate locations discussed in Brunt et al. (2013). (B) Zoomed-in map of the Doornkloof section including palaeocurrent distributions, sub-divided into subunit B1 and subunit B2. The outcrop outlines are indicated by solid lines. Red line indicates Section I (Figure 5), blue line on DK-unit B2 represents Section II (Figure 9). (C) Zoomed-in map of the Old Railway section including palaeocurrent distributions.
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Figure 3: (A) Simplified stratigraphic column of the deep-water stratigraphy within the Laingsburg depocentre, based on Flint et al. (2011). (B-C) Palaeogeographic reconstruction of subunit B2 (B) and subunit B1 (C) based on the regional study of Brunt et al. (2013). The two outcrop locations discussed in this paper are indicated by the diamonds.
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Figure 4: Examples of Internal bed structure and facies changes within subunit B2 (Doornkloof), with one example from Bedform c (A) and two from Bedform b (B and C) (see Fig. 9B for locations). All these examples show vertical internal facies changes, which include planar-lamination, wavy-lamination/banding and ripple-lamination.
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Figure 5: Complete stratigraphic panel of the Doornkloof section showing the subdivision of Unit B, the location of the two detailed sedimentary sections (I, II), and the position of the DK01 core. The thin siltstone interval (TSI; Brunt et al., 2013) between the AB interfan and subunit B1 has been used as a stratigraphic datum. The middle correlation panel shows section I of subunit B1; the position of Bedform a and the palaeoflow patterns have been indicated, as well as the location of the correlation panel in Figure 8. The bottom correlation panel shows the detailed facies distribution within Bedform a and its internal truncation surfaces. Outcrop photograph locations shown in Figure 6 (A-D) and Figure 7 have been indicated.
Figure 6: Representative outcrop photographs from Section I and II and descriptive DK01 core log of subunit B1, with (A) Bedform a with ripple-top morphology on top of a local mudstone clast conglomerate deposit; (B) Eastward-orientated internal truncation surface (dotted line) in banded division within Bedform a; (C) Mudstone clast conglomerate layer below Bedform a; (D) Mudstone clast-rich banded section of Bedform a; (E) Westward-orientated internal truncation surface (dotted line) with climbing ripple-laminated facies within Bedform a; (F) Climbing ripple-lamination in between banded sandstone and sigmoidal lamination, as part of Bedform b; (G) Lower section of westward orientated truncation surface in Bedform b; (H) Upper section of westward orientated truncation surface in Bedform b; (I) Banded sandstone division in Bedform b; (J) West-facing truncation surface in Bedform c. See Figure 5 and Figure 9B for locations. Interpreted position of Bedform a is indicated (by an asterisk) within the DK01 core log.
Figure 7: Mudstone clast conglomerate patch at the bottom of Bedform a, with clean true-scale photopanel (top) and interpreted vertically exaggerated (Ve = 1.8) photopanel (bottom). It shows a basal erosion surface overlying thin-bedded sandstones, multiple ‘floating’ sandstone patches, upstream orientated pinch-out and downstream orientated amalgamation. Location of photograph is shown in the lowest panel of Figure 5.
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Figure 8: Facies correlation panel of local sandstone swell in subunit B1. Bedform a is located at the base of the package. Top panel shows its location within subunit B1. See middle panel of Figure 5 for more detailed facies correlation panel of the complete subunit B1, log locations, and lower panel of Figure 5 for symbol explanations.

60x20mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Figure 9: (A) Panoramic view of the base of subunit B2 at the DK-section. The outlines of Bedform b and c are indicated with white lines. Numbers indicate the position of sedimentary logs. (B) Facies correlation of the II-section with Bedform b and c. The top panel shows the thickness variability of these beds and the surrounding stratigraphy, comprised of structured sandstones (ripple- or planar-laminated); the lower panel shows the internal facies distribution of Bedform b and c. Rose diagrams show palaeoflow measurements around Section II. Internal truncation surfaces and location of the facies photos shown in Figure 4 and Figure 6 (F-J) have been indicated. See Figure 2B and Figure 5 for location of section II and for meaning of log symbols.
Figure 10: Bedset architecture within the main subunit B2 outcrop face in the Doornkloof area. Bounding surfaces have been defined based on successive bed pinch-out with multiple (3-4) downstream-orientated stacked and weakly amalgamated bedforms.
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Figure 11: Subunit B2 within the Old Railway area. A- Facies correlation panels of the section with bedform distribution (top) and facies distribution (bottom). B- Zoomed-in facies correlation panel of most eastern section with C – mudstone clasts within a climbing-ripple laminated bed, indicating sediment overpassing, and D – bed splitting indicating erosion and amalgamation. See Figure 2 for location and lowest panel in Figure 5 for meaning of log symbols. Location of Figure 12 is indicated.
Figure 12: Sketch of bed showing transient pinch-out to a thin siltstone bed (see Figure 11B for location), with (A1) pinch-out to siltstone, and (A2) local scouring of bed top.
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Figure 13: (A) Idealised model to illustrate the variation in sedimentary structure within sediment wave swells in the Doornkloof area. (B) Interpretation of changes in depositional behaviour through time, linked to the observed internal facies changes in (A). T1-T7 refer to successive time periods, and show the evolution of the sediment waves, and what this means in terms of flow conditions over time. F1 consists of structureless sands.
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Figure 14: (A) Process explanation of the upstream-orientated accretion process, linked to flow capacity changes over time. Flow capacity may be linked to temporal variations in velocity from upstream hydraulic jumps, and/or to the lateral migration of the flow, shown in part B. (B) Illustration of the inferred spatial contribution (hose effect) during formation of the sediment waves. Lateral migration of the flow core during a single event is linked to capacity changes at a single location, as well as the formation of new swells upstream. The steps are interlinked between A and B; ‘x’ marks the same location throughout. Step 5 represents another phase of erosion, and thus a return to step 2.
Figure 15: (A) Spatial division within a channel-lobe transition zone between a depositional bedform area (DB) and an erosional bedform area (EB) following Wynn et al. (2002a). Differences in sediment wave deposit facies and architecture are explained by spatial differences between the axis and fringe areas of the deposition-dominated fields (DB) of a CLTZ. (B) Sketch model showing how the ‘hose effect’ within an active flow will dominantly influence sediment wave development in axial areas.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>Dataset type</th>
<th>Formation/System</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Dimensions (WL = Wavelength; CH = Crest Height)</th>
<th>(Average) grain size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campion et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Outcrop</td>
<td>Cerro Toro Formation</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>CH 1.5 - 15 m; WL 60 - 200 m</td>
<td>mud to very fine sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ito, Saito (2006); Ito (2010)</td>
<td>Outcrop</td>
<td>Boso Peninsula</td>
<td>Canyon</td>
<td>CH 0.4 - 2 m; WL 7 - 60 m</td>
<td>gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ito et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Outcrop</td>
<td>Boso Peninsula</td>
<td>Canyon-mouth</td>
<td>CH &lt; 2 m; WL &lt; 20 m</td>
<td>medium to very coarse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Outcrop</td>
<td>Laingsburg Formation</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>CH 0.8 m; WL &gt; 100 m</td>
<td>very fine sandstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukti, Ito (2010)</td>
<td>Outcrop</td>
<td>Halang Formation</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>CH 0.13 m; WL 10.7 m</td>
<td>mud-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piper, Kontopoulos (1994)</td>
<td>Outcrop</td>
<td>Pleistocene south side Gulf of Corinth</td>
<td>Confined channel</td>
<td>CH 8 m; WL 80 m</td>
<td>pebbly sands to gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponce, Carmona (2011)</td>
<td>Outcrop</td>
<td>Austral foreland Basin</td>
<td>CLTZ</td>
<td>CH &lt; 5 m; WL 10 - 40 m</td>
<td>coarse-grained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postma et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Outcrop</td>
<td>Tabernas Basin</td>
<td>Canyon/channel</td>
<td>CH 3 - 8 m; WL 20 - 100 m</td>
<td>coarse sands to gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicent-Brago, Robles (1995)</td>
<td>Outcrop</td>
<td>Albion Black Flysch</td>
<td>Channel-fill; CLTZ</td>
<td>CH 0.3 - 1.5 m; WL 5 - 40 m</td>
<td>pebbly sands to gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winn, Dott (1977)</td>
<td>Outcrop</td>
<td>Cerro Toro Formation</td>
<td>Confined channel</td>
<td>CH &lt; 4 m; WL 8 - 12 m</td>
<td>gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damuth (1979)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Manila trench</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>CH 5 - 20 m; WL 300 - 3000 m</td>
<td>silt-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heinio, Davies (2009)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Espirito Santo Basin</td>
<td>Channel/CLTZ</td>
<td>CH 10 - 30 m; WL 100 - 300 m</td>
<td>coarse-grained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howe (1996)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Barra Fan</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>CH 5 m; WL 1750 m</td>
<td>silt-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidd et al. (1998)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Stromboli Canyon</td>
<td>Canyon</td>
<td>CH 3 - 4 m; WL 200 m; CH 18 m, WL 800 m</td>
<td>sand-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonsdale, Hollister (1979)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Reynidsjup Fan</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>CH 20 m; WL 500 m</td>
<td>silt-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malinverno et al. (1988)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Var Canyon</td>
<td>Canyon</td>
<td>CH &lt; 5 m; WL 35 - 100 m</td>
<td>sand to boulders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McHugh, Ryan (2000)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Monterey Fan</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>CH 10 - 25 m; WL 300 - 2500 m</td>
<td>silt-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migeon et al. (2001)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Var Fan</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>CH 7 - 46 m high, WL 900 - 5500 m</td>
<td>silt-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris et al. (1998)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Valencia Channel mouth</td>
<td>Channel-mouth</td>
<td>CH m-scale; WL 70 - 80 m</td>
<td>coarse-grained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakajima et al. (1998)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Toyama Fan</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>CH &lt; 70 m; WL &lt; 3000 m</td>
<td>silt-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normark, Dickson (1976)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Reserve Fan</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>WL 120 - 400 m</td>
<td>silt-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normark et al. (2002)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Hueneme Fan</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>CH 1 - 8 m; WL 150 - 550 m</td>
<td>silt-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piper et al. (1985)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Laurentian Fan</td>
<td>Channel-mouth</td>
<td>CH 2 - 5 m; WL 50 - 100 m</td>
<td>gravel and gravelly sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praeg, Schafer (1989)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Labrador Sea</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>CH 5 - 30 m; WL 500 - 3000 m</td>
<td>silt-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wynn et al. (2000a)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>Selvage Fan</td>
<td>Channel-levée</td>
<td>CH &lt; 5 m, WL &lt; 1100 m</td>
<td>silt-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wynn et al. (2000b)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>La Palma Fan</td>
<td>Slope/levée</td>
<td>CH 5 - 70 m; WL 400 - 2400 m</td>
<td>silt-dominated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wynn et al. (2000b)</td>
<td>Modern</td>
<td>El Hierro Fan</td>
<td>Channel</td>
<td>CH 6 m; WL &lt; 1200 m</td>
<td>coarse-grained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>